

## A <br> NEW HISTORY

## OFTHE

## HOLY BIBLE; FROMTHE

 BEGINNING of the WORLD, TOTHE
## ESTABLISHMENT of CHRISTIANITY.

WITH

Answers to moft of the Controverted Queftions, Differtations upon the moft remarkable Paffages, and a Connection of Profane Hiftory all along.

To which are added,
Notes, explaining difficult Texts, rectifying Miftranflations, and reconciling feeming Contradictions.

The whole illuftrated with proper Maps and Sculptures.

> By the Reverend Thomas Stackhouse, A. M. Late Vicar of Beenham in Berkfhire.
V O L. I.

## E D I NBURGH,

Printed for Alex. Donaldson, and John Wood; and for James Meuros Bookfeller in Kilmarnock. MDCCLXVII.

> TOTTHI

RIGHT REVEREND FATHER IN GOD,
E D M U N D, Lord Bifhop of LONDON,

AND


#### Abstract

One of his Majesty's Moft Honourable Privy Council.


My Lord,

THAT a book of this fize, by a perfon of my obfcurity, fhould, in fo fhort a fpace of time, after fo large a number already printed off, come to its fecond impreffion, muft be imputed very much to the influence of your Lordfhip's name in the front, which is of weight fufficient to famp authority upon any thing, and to induce both clergy and laity to read what your Lordhip has not difdained to approve.

There is fomething however, I hope, in the laudablenefs of my intention, which, in conjuncton with your Lordfhip's influence, has been a means to conciliate the good opinion of the public, and to give the work a greater currency : For the deA 2
fign
fign of what I now prefent to your Lordfhip, is, fo to methodife, explain, and illuftrate the Hiftorical Part of the Holy Bible, as to remove the difficulties in reading it, which fome have afferted, and others complained of, with an intent, I fear, to prejudice the world againft it. And were I under no previous obligations to your Lordfhip, the very nature of my fubject would remit me to one, who has always been a known encourager of works of this kind, and who has himfelf fo glorioully maintained the truth and authority of thofe facred records, and both the evidences and excellency of the Chriftian difpenfation.

Since it is our fate, my Lord, to live. in an age wherein divine revelation is rejected, the fenfe of ancient prophecies. perverted, the miracles of our Bleffed Saviour degraded, the myfteries of our holy religion ridiculed, its laws and conftitutions flighted, and its guides and minifters treated with defpite; we ought to account it the peculiar bleffing of Heaven; that in this great metropolis' we have one prefiding over us, who is fo well qualified to withftand this innundation of impiety, who is both able and willing to vindicate
the caufe of God and religion, and, by his example and encouragement, to animate us in clefence of it.

To you, my Lord, we owe a full confutation of infidelity, in your Lordfhip's moft excellent Pastoral Letters; to you we owe that wife fyftem of directions, for our private conduct, and the honourable difcharge of our minitterial office, which, if duly obferved, would make us unto God a fweet favour of Cbrift, and a glorious clergy indeed; to you we awe the knowledge of our ecclefiaftical laws and conftitutions, which your Lordfhip, with great care, and pains indefatigable, has digefted and explained; to you we owe he defence of thofe immunities and privileges, and the prefervation of thofe rights and poffeffions, with which thofe laws and conftitutions have invefted us; and, however other tongues may be filent, my gratitude, I hope, will always oblige me to declare, that to you I owe the prefent comfortable leifure I have for ftudy, and the generous encouragement your Lordhip has always been pleafed to give to my weak, but wellintended labours.

Whatever then, my Lord, the perrenfnois
verfenefs of this prefent generation may be, future ages muft be told, what an exquifite judge and mafter of all ufeful learning, what a firm friend to men of merit, what a true patriot to your country, what a zealous defender of the Chriftian caufe, what a wife guide and governour of Chrift's church, what a kind protector of his minifters, and frenuous affertor of their rights and privileges, you have all along been ; in how large a fphere your Lordhip, thefe many years, has moved; and with what luftre you have always a: dorned it.

That the great giver of every good and perfect gift may long preferve your Lordfhip, a public bleffing to this church and nation, is the daily fervent prayer of,

> My Lord,

> Your Lordehtp's
> Mof humble,

Obliged, and
Devoted fervant,


```
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```
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TOTHE

## H I S T O R Y <br> OFTHE

## OLD TESTAMENT.

BEfore we enter upon the hiftory of the (a) Holy Bible, it may not be improper to inquire a little into the truth and authority, the perfection and excellency, the antiquity, ftyle, and other properties of that Part of it which we call the Old Teftament, (for what we have to fay concerning the New muft be referved so another place), the number and nature of the books whereof it is compofed, and the feveral tranflations and other incidental changes, which, fince the time of its publi-
(a) The books which we look upon as the foundation of our holy religion, go under different names. They are filied facred and divine books, boly rwrit, and boly fcriptures, becaufe they were wrote by perfons divinely infpired, and do contain the commandments of God himfelf. Our Saviour calls them the foriptures, by way of eminence; becaufe no other book is comparable to them. Several of the ancients gave them the name of Pandect, and Bibliotheca Sancta, as containing all the tracts which were wrote upon the fame divine fubjea. Of later ages the word Bible, (which comes from the Greek B6eio, fignifying books)
publication, it has undergone. And this we are the rather induced to do, becaufe a bolder fpirit of infidelity than ufual, has, of late, gone out into the world; teaching fome to look upon all religion as a mere trick, contrived by the arts of princes, and conferved by the intereft of priefts; others, to call in queftion the genuinenefs of fome particular books of fcripture, thereby to make way for the fubvertion of the whole; others, to difparage the whole, as a rude and immethodical, a flat and infipid compofition, unbecoming the Spirit of God to dictate, or men of letters to read; and others again, from the pretended fufficiency of natural religion, to deny the neceffity of any divine revelation at all.

A divine revelation, what.

What we are to underftand by a divite revelation needs no great pains to difcover. (b) In the moft fimple and obvious fenfe of the word, revelation is the making that known, which was a fecret before ; and fo, when applied to a religious ufe, "It is God's making known him"felf, and his will to mankind, over and above what he " has made known by the light of nature or reafon." To this purpofe we may obferve, that the objects of our knowledge are of three kinds: Some are difcernible by the light of nature without revelation; fuch is the knowledge of God from the effects of his power and wifdom, as (c) the apoftle argues: Others knowable, not at all by the light of nature, but by revelation only; fuch is the falvation of
books) has univerfally prevailed. But how the word teffament came to be applied to the holy fcriptures, is not fo eafy a matter to define; only we may obferve, that the Septuagint's ufing the word $\Delta \operatorname{coth}^{\prime} x_{n}$, (which fignifies à teffament), might probably induce the Latin interpreter to tranflate it by feflamentun. Büt then we mult remember, that this word mult not be ufed in its ordinary fenfe, as it means a man's laft rwill, that is to be executed after his death; but, in a more general fignification, to denote, a folemn declaration of the will of God towards men, containing his laws, his precepts, his promifes, and the covenant which he has contracted with them. And for this reafonit is likewife called by the Latins inftrumentun, i. e. an authentic deed, containing folemn ordinances, or treaties, and compacts. The books which comprehend what God revealed to the Jews, are called the Old, and thofe which contain what he declared by Jefus Chrift and his apoftes, are ftiled the New Tiffament. Du Pin's hit. of the Canon, occ.
a. (b) Bifhop. Williams's fermons at Boyle's lectures.
(c) Rom. i. 20 ,
mankind by the death of Jefus Chrift, (d) which (as the apoftle expreffes it) bas, from the beginning, been bid in God: And others, difcoverable by the light of nature indeed, but very imperfectly, and therefore ftand in need of 2 revelation to give them a farther proof and evidence; and of this kind is that (e) life and immortality, which (the fame apoftle tells us) our faviour brought to light by the gofpel. But now, be the revelation of what degree foever, whether partial or entire, whether a total difcovery of fome unknown truths, or only a fuller and clearer manifeftation of them, it muft be fupernatural, and proceed from God.

That God can make a revelation of his will, either im- The poffimediately to our minds and inward faculties, or mediately God's mato our underftandings, by the intervention of our outward $\begin{aligned} & \text { king one. }\end{aligned}$ fenfes, can never be queftioned by any one who confiders him as the author of his being, and therefore intimately acquainted with all the fprings and movements of his foul. ( $f$ ) We find ourfelves: capable of communicating our thoughts to one arother, either by means of a found of words, which ftrikes the ear, or by writing, or other fignatures of our intentions, which affect the eye; and why cannot God make ufe of the like means to imprefs what idea he thinks fit on our minds, or to give fuch motions to the brain,' as may occafionally exite whatever thoughts he defigns to produce in us? or rather indeed, why may not he, without any intermediate or occafional caufe at all, enlighten the mind by a direct and naked view of fuch truths as he defires it ihould know? for $(g)$ be that planted the ear, and be that formed the eye, fhall not he have accefs to them ? or fhall not he have power of communicating his thoughts, whoteacheth man underfanding.

Since therefore it cannot be denied, but that it is poffible The pro- $^{\text {S }}$ for God to reveal his will to mankind, let us, in the next bability place, confider, which is moft probable, which moft agree- that he did. able to the notions we have of him, whether he fhould, or fhould not, make fuch a revelation. Now, if we may judge of this by the general fenfe of mankind, we fhall hardly find any one, that believed the exiftence of a God, who did not believe likewife fome kind of commerce and communication between God and men. ( $b$ ) This was the foundation of all the religious rites and ceremonies, which every nation
(d) Eph. iii. 9. (e) 2 Tim. i. 10. (f) Fiddes's body of divinity, vol. 1. (g) Pfal. xeiv. 9. (b) Dr. Sherlock's fermons.

Vol. I.
B
pretended
pretended to receive from their gods: And, what gave birth to all their fuperfitious arts of divination, was the purfuafion that their gods had a perpetual intercourfe with mer, and, by fundry means, gave them intelligence of things to come.

And indeed it is hardly to be imagined, that God fhould make reafonable creatures on purpote to know him, and to be happy in the knowledge, and love, and admiration of him, and yet withdraw himfelf from them, without giving them any vifible tokens of his prefence, or communicating any farther knowledge of himfelf to them, than what they might perceive in the reflection of his works. A defire to be acquainted with the will of the Supreme Being feems to be fo connatural to the foul of man, that, in the more civilized parts of the world, we fcarcely know any people of note, who had not their Sibyls, fuch as they accounted the mouth of their gods; and, without all doubt, none were without an oracle, to which, upon all exigencies they had recourle, and to whofe injunctions they willingly fubmitted. And if fuch a defire be implanted in us, the confideration of God's goodnefs will not fuffer us to doubt, but that he has made a proper provifion to anfwer this, as well as our other natural appetites. Whereupon we cannot but conclude, that the fame power and wifdom which made man a reafonable and inquifitive being, and allowed him a world of wonders to employ his intelleetual faculties in the contemplation of, has likewife taken care to fatisfy that noble defire of knowing what the will of his maker is, and what relates to his own eternal welfare: And that is revelation.

Without this, indeed, the cafe is with him, as with one that is born blind, (i) who, whatever other evidence he may have of the being of a God, wants one, the moft convincing of all, i.e. the wonders of an almighty power, and
(i) Our excellent Milton, in that epifode upon light wherein he bewails his own want of fight, very feelingly, has expreffed this thought with a great deal of tendernefs and beauty:
> ———Thus with the year
> Seafons return, but not to me return
> Day, or the fweet approach of ev'n or morn,
> Or fight of vernal bloom, or fummer's rofe,
> Or flocks, or herds, or human face divine.
> But cloud inltead, and eveř during dark
> Surround me, from the chearful ways of men
and incomprehenfible wifdom, confpicuous in the frame of nature, and the vifible parts of the creation. And, in like manner, whatever fuch fenfe men as have only reafon for their guide, may attain of the mercy and goodnefs of God; whatever they may obferve, in the courfe of his providence, to confirm them in the belief of it; whatever hopes they may entertain of it from a general notion of the divine nature; whatever defire they may have for it from the fenfe of their own mifery; yet they want that evidence of it, which alone can fatisfy and compofe their doubrful and diftracted minds ; and that is certainty, or, which is the fame, revelation; by which, and nothing lefs, that certainty is to be attained.

The plain truth is, if there be no revelation, we are, The necedas it were, without God in the worll ; and, confidering the fity of his nature of fome events, cannot affuredly fay, whether the doing it divine providence interferes in the government of it, or fate and chance happen to all things ( $k$ ). If there be no revelation, we are fill in our fins, and have no fanctuary agaisf the accufations of our enraged confciences, the fears of onr guilty minds, or the juftice of an incenfed Deity: If there be no revelation, we have no hope, can have no comfort in our death, nor any affurance of immortality after ir. In a word, if there be no revelation, we are in a perpetual maze, as if we were at fea, without ftar or compafs, and knew not what courfe to take to gain our harbour. And therefore the fame reafon which we have to believe that God is good and gracious in all his other difpenfations, we have to believe likewife, that, from the firit creation of the world, he always vouchfafed mankind fome revelation of his will, whereby to direct their conduct.

Adam, no doubr, was ereated, at firft, in the full per- to the fir: fection of his reafon; and yet, if we take a view of him man, in that ftate, we fhall foon perceive, that he could not attain a competent knowledge of many things, without the aflifance of divipe revelation. (l) He felt indeed himfelf

Cuit off, and, for the book of knowledge fair, Prefented with an univerfal blank
Of nature's works, to me expung'd and raz'd,
And wifdom at one entrance quite fhut out. Book. 3 .
(k) Bifhop Williams's fermons at Boyle's lectures.
(l) Milton, whom I take to be a good commentator upon what happened to Adam in his fate of innocence, introduces him thus expreffing himfelf:
to be, but how he came to be, he knew not; for he faw nothing about him, that could either be fuppofed to have given him that being, or could inform him how he came by it. He faw he had a body, but what that body was originally made off, he could not póffibly tell ; for how could he fuppofe, that fuch warm, foft, and tender flefh, fuch firm and well-compacted joints, fuch bright and radiant eyes, $\delta c$. were ever formed of cold, fhapelefs, and unactive earth? He felt his body move obfequious to his will, but what that inward principle was, which moved it, he was wholly ignorant ; nor could he poffibly, of himfelf, conceive, that there was an immaterial fpirit, of a diftinct nature and fubfiftence, vitally united to it, and what gave the fpring to 'all its motions. He caft his eyes up to the heavens, and there faw that glorious luminary, which gave light (as he perceived) to all about him; but whether it was an intelligent being or not, or, when it came to decline and fet, 'whether it might not be inclofed in perpetual darknefs, he could not underftand. He found, towards the approach of night, an heavy ftupidnefs begin to feize him, and that he was forced to fubmit to its power; but he did not know, but that it was to be the extinction of his being, and that he was to clofe his eyes and conclude his life together.' 'This we may very well fuppofe to have been the cafe of Adam, at his firft looking about him, immediately upon his creation. For though he had what we call reafon, in a fovereign degree; yet even that reafon muft have been his torment for a while, when it made him inquifitive, but could give him no fatisfaction : And there,

Myfelf $I$ then perus'd, and limb by limb Survey'd, and fometimes went, and fometimes ran With fubtle joints, as lively vigour led. But who I was, or where, or from what caufe Knew not. To fpeak I try'd, and forthwith fake: My tongue obey'd, and readily could name Whate'er I faw : "c Thou Sun, faid I, fair light!
"And thou, enlighten'd earth, fo frefh and gay!
"Ye hills, and dales ! ye rivers, woods, and plains!
"And ye, that live, and move, fair creatures! tell, .
"Tell (if ye faw) how canie I thus, how here -
" Not of my felf-by fome great maker, then,
"In goodnefs', and in pow'r pre-eminent.
"T Tell me how I may know him, how adore,
" From whom I have, that thus I move, and live, "And foel that I am hapuier than I know." Book 8.
fore it is proper to believe, (the wifdom and goodnefs of God conftrain us to believe), that, in order to relieve him under this perplexity, God took care, either by the miniftry of his only angels, or by fome immediate infpiration, and impreflion, to inform him of every thing that was neceffary for him to know, in the fate wherein he had placed him.

He had placed him now in a beautiful garden, and given him great variety of fruits for his nourifhment and fupport. But might not fome of thefe fruits be defigned for other purpofes than food? or might they not have fome bad and pernicious qualities in them, how apparently fair foever, and inviting? (m) Withont making the experiment it was impoffible for Adam to know what food was proper for his conftitution, which experiment (for ought he know) might have proved fatal to him ; and therefore we find God giving him this direction : ( $n$ ) Of every tree in the garden thou mayft freely eat,", but of the tree of knowledge of good and evil, thou fbalt not eat of it ; for in the day that thou eateft thereof, thou balt furcly die.

He had placed him, naked and defencelefs, in the midit of favage creatures, all äble and inclined to deftroy him, had they notbeen reftrained by fome invifible power; and, in this condition, he muft have been miferable beyond all imagination, and under perpetual apprehenfions, that the firft lion or tyger he met would certainly devour him: But, to eafe his mind in this particular, we find God giving him affurance to the contrary, and invefting him with this authority: (o) Have dominion over the fifb of the fea, and over the fowl of the air, and over euery living thung that moveth uppon the earth.

He had formed a woman, to be a confort and companion to him ; but how he fhould know any thing of a future ftate of marriage, and the ties of conjugal affection among his pofterity, $(p)$ (as his words plainly indicate); how he fhould have a perfect notion of father and mother, before there was any fuch thing as father and mother in the world; fhould have clear ideas of the affecticn and endearments arifing from that relation, and yet, at the fame time, fhould perceive, that the affection and endearments arifing from marriage, would fo far get the better of them, as to attach a man nearer to a ftranger. taken into his bofom, than to thofe very parents whofe

[^0]blood ran in his veins; is a problem which cannot be refolved without having recourfe to divine revelation; and therefore we find our Sayiour thus expounding it: (q) Have ye not read, that be who made them in the beginning, made them male and female; and faid, For this caufe fball a man leave father and mother, and ball cleave to his wife, and they twain Jball be one flefb? So that the words of Adam, upon this occafion, were the declaration of God himfelf, and only pronounced by Adam, in confequence of an exprefs revelation from God. And if a revelation, in thefe and fuch like inftances, was needful for the conduct of man in his fate of integrity, much more was it neceffary in a ftate of defection and general depravity.

Whether we believe, then, or not believe, the account which Mafes gives of the devil's deceiving our firft parents in the form of a ferpent; yet, unlefs we will deny the truth of all hiftory, we muft allow, that in procefs of time, (both before and after the flood), the corruption of mankind became univerfal; and that their grand adverfary had fo enlarged his empire, as even to outvie the God of heaven in the fpléndour of his temples, the number of his votaries, and the pomp and folemnity of his worthip. ( $r$ ) In this cafe, we do not indeed fay, that man had any tight to the divine affiftance: That he had forfeited by his apottacy; and where the neceffity is created by our own fault, there lies no obligation upon the creator to provide a remedy. But though God was under no obligation to do fit, yet, confidering the miferable circumftances mankind were in after the fail, more efpecially through want of a revelation, we may reafonably conclude, that the benignity of his nature would no lefs incline him to give them one, than if he had been obliged to it by a feecial promife or cove: nant.

For how can we believe, that a being af infinite perfection, when he faw mankind under the deception of fin, and the delufions of Satan, fhould take no care to rectify their miftakes, and reform their manners? (s) Can we fuppofe it conlfitent with infinite truth, to fuffer all nations to be expoted to the wicked defigns of feducing and apoftate firits, without ever offering them any means to undeceive them ? Can we imagine, that a God of infinite Majefty and power, who is a jealous God, and will not give bis bonoter to another, thould allow the world to be guity of

[^1]idolatry; to make themfelves gods of wood and ftone: nay, to to offer their fons and daughters unto devils, without concerning himfelf to vindicate his own honour, by putting a ftop to fuch abominations? We have no true notion of God, if we do not believe him to be infinite in knowledge, holinefs, mercy, and truth; and yet we may as well believe there is no God at all, as imagine, that a God of infinite knowledge fhould take no notice of what is done here below; that infinite holinefs thould behold the whole world overfpread with wickednefs, and find no way to redrefs it ; and that fuperftition, and idolatry, and all the tyranny of fin and Satan, for fo long a time; fhould enflave and torment the bodies and fouls of men; and there fhould be no compafion in infinite mercy, nor any care over a deluded world in a God of truth. We may therefore jufly conclude, that fince a revelation, in the ftate of man's defection, was fo neceffary in itfelf, and fo agreeable to the known attributes of God, there is abundant reafon to be perfuaded, that God was always inclinable to impart one to mankind, wheneter their occafions requited it.
"But what occafion could there be for any divine re-An objec" velation ( $t$ ), when, by giving them the light of reafon, ${ }^{\text {tion. }}$ " (that perfect and unerring guide), and implanting in them " the law of nature; God hath made an ample and ftand" ing provifion, both for the inftruction of their minds, " and the direction of their lives? When, by a due at" tention to thefe, they might, at any time, be ennabled " to perceive all that was neceffary for them to know, and " to praciife all that was required of them to do, without "" any fupernatural intervention, which, in this cafe, feems . " highly needlefs and fuperfluous?"

We readily grant, indeed, that the great principle of ac-Anfwere tion in human nature is reafon ; infomuch that to judge by fhewing according to its directions, is not the privilege of the philo- feetion fopher only, but a thing effential to our very beings, and as much infeparable from all perfons, as is the fenfe of their own exiftence. But then we are to confider how fmall a portion of light any man's reafon has, that he can properly call its own. For, $(u)$ as we derive our nature from our parents, fo that which we gencrally call natural knowlodge, or the light of nature, is a knowledge and
(t) Chriltianity as old as the creation, pafim.
(u) Law's Cafe of reafon; or, Natural religion fairly and fully fated.

## The APPARATUS,

light, that is made natural to us by the fame authority which makes a certain language, certain cuftoms, and modes of behaviour, natural. Nothing, in this cafe, feems to be our own, but a bare capacity to be inftructed, or a nature fitted for any impreffions; as capable of vice as virtue; and as liable to be made an Hottentot, by being born among Hottentots, as to be made a Chriftian, by being born among Chriftians. So that our moral and religious knowledge is not to be imputed to the internal light of our own reafon or nature, but to the happinefs of having been born among reafonable beings, who have made a fenfe of religion and morality as natural to our minds, as articulate language is to our tongues.

We allow, ágain, that there is a moral diftinction between good and evil, right and wrong, founded in the nature of things; but then we affirm, that this is not from a philofophical contemplation of the fitnefs of the one, and the unfitnefs of the other, that we prefer virtue to vice; but from the inftruction of thofe who had the care of our education, and the formation of our judgments from our infancy. When we arrive at an age of more maturity, indeed, and happen to have a genius fitted for philofophical inquiries, we may then deduce proofs that svill eftablifh our notions of fuch a moral diftinction; but thefe, we muft allow, are an after-knowledge, not common to men, but accidental confirmations of that fenfe of religion and morality, which, more or lefs, was fixed in us by the inftitution and authority of thofe among whom we had the good fortune to live. Now, if this be the true ftate of reafon, as it is originally in us; if this be all the light that we have from cur own nature, viz. a bare capacity of receiving good or bad impreffions, right or wrong opinions and fentiments, according to the particular country we chance to be born in; if we are nothing without the affiftance of men; nay, if we are foolifh and helplefs animals, till education and experience have revealed unto us the wifdom and knowledge of other men; then are we but weakly qualified to affert and maintain the abfolute perfection of human reafon, in oppofition to the neceffity and advantage of a divine revelation. But this is not all.
and depra- It is not only the imperfection of our reafon, but its vity of hu-frequent depravity likewife, that ought to abate our conman reafon. fidence in it; fince, upon farther examination, we fhall find, that all the mutability of our tempers, the diforder of our paffions, and corruption of our hearts; all the extravagancies
travagancies of the imagination, all the contradictions and abfurdities which are to be found in human life and human actions, are ftrictly and properly the mutability, corruption, and abfurdities of human reafon. We, indeed, in the common forms of fpeech, talk of our reafon as a diftinct principle from our paffions, affections, and humours; but this is only a diftinction of language made at pleafure, and without any real diftinction in the things themfelves. $(x)$ The fame principle, which is the agent of all that is good in us, muft be equally the agent of all that is evil ; for the action and power of reafon are as much required to make any thing vicious, as to make it virtuous; and, if fo, reafon is certainly the wortt as well as the beft faculty we have, and not only the principle of virtue, but the certain caufe likewife of all that is bafe and thameful in human life.

Brutes, we know, are incapable of imprudence and immorality, becaufe none of their actions are actions of reafon; and therefore, if our reafon be the only faculty which diftinguifhes us from brutes, it muft certainly follow, that all the irregularities, whether of humour, paffion, or affection, which cannot be imputed to brutes, muft folely be afcribed to the faculty whereby we are diftinguifhed from them; and, confequently, every thing that is vain, thameful, falfe, or bafe, muft be the fole proGuct of our reafon; fince, if they proceeded from any other principle, they could have no more vanity, fallenefs, or bafenefs in them, than we have in our hunger or chirft. And if the matter ftand thus with our reafon; if all that is wife or abfurd, holy or profane, glorious or fhameful, in thought, word, or deed, is to be imputed to it; then is it as grofs an abfurdity to talk of the abfolute perfection of human reafon, as of the unfpotted holinefs of
(x) 1bid. St. Paul, indeed, in his epifle to the Romans (ch. vii.) feems to fpeak of two diftinct things, when he tells us of the law in bis mind, and the law in bis members; but in this he might accommodate himfelf, in fome meafure, to the known fornss of diction, and yet pofibly mean no more than one and the fame principle, confidered in different views, or acting differently. Without the will or choice, there can be neither virtue nor vice in any act we do; and yet it is a received maxim, that voluntas fequitur ultimum intellectus practici judicium; and though that judicium does not always happen to be right, yet fill it is the ipring and caufe of our agions, be it right ar wrong.
human life, or the abfolute infallibility of human conjectures; fince, upon examination, it is found to be a principle of an ambiguous nature, productive of vice as well as virtue; and capable of leading us into error, as well as difcovering truth.
The igno- It will be no difparagement, I hope, to the prefent age, rance of tive to fuppofe that the ancient philofophers had as great ftrength bift pailo fophers. of reafon and judgment, as fincere a defire to find out wuth, and as great diligence in inquiring after it, as any os our moden unbelievers; and yet, if we look into their writings, we fhall find that they were utterly ignorant in many great and important points of religion, and ftrangely inconfiftent with themfelves in others.
'They were ignorant of the true account of the creation of the world, and the orginal of mankind; and therefore $(y)$ fome of them held all things to be eternal, while others (z) imputed them to chance; and thofe who allowed them a beginning, knew nothing of the manner and gradations whereby they rofe up into fo beautiful an order.

They were ignorant of the origin of evil ; whereupon they devifed two contrary principles, in perpetual conflict with one another ; and though they were fenfible that human nature was ftrangeiy corrupted, yet they acknowledged that its corruption was a difeafe, whereof they knew not the caufe, and could not find out the cure.

They were ignorant of any form of worthip that might be acceptable to God, and of a proper way to appeafe his difpleafure, when they were confcious of their offences againft him; and therefore we find Cicero, the greateft and beft philofopher that Rome, or perhaps any other nation, ever produced, (a) " allowing men to continue in the ido" latry of their anceftors, and advifing them to conform " themflves to the fuperftitious religion of their country, " in offering fuch facrifices to different gods, as were by " law eftablifhed."

They were ignorant, at leaft they taught nothing of the exceeding love of God towards us; of his defire of our happinefs, and his readinefs to conduct us in the ways
(j) Peripatetics. (z) Epicureans. (a) A patribus acceptos deos placet coli; De leg. l. 2. Item illud ex inttitutis pontificum et arufpicum non mutandum eft, quibus hottiis immolandum cuique deo. lbit.
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of virtue; and therefore (b) fome of them made their fupreme Jupiter a folitary kind of being, wholly taken up in the contemplation of his own perfections, and leaving the government (of all fublunary things at leaft) either to fome inferior agents, or the guidance of a blind, unthinking, chance.

They were ignorant, at leaft (c) they taught nothing of divine grace and affiftance towards our attainment of virtue, and perfeverance in it; and therefore we find (d) others of them equalling themfelves to the gods, and fome, times taking precedency; " becaule we have difficulties, " fay they, to encounter, which make the conqueft of vice, " and the improvements in virtue, more glorious in us, " than in the gods, who are good by the neceflity of their "6 nature."

And as thefe great philofophers were utterly ignorant of fome, fo were they far from being clear and confiftent with themfelves in other great articles of religion. They had but dark and confufed notions of the nature of God; and therefore the renowned Socrates ingenuoufly confeffed, that all he knew of God was, that he knew nothing; and, for this reafon, endeavoured to draw men off from divine and heavenly contemplations, (as being what he found too high for human reafon to underfand), and to betake themfelves to the fudy of civil life.

They had but dark and confufed notions of the fammum bonum, or fupreme felicity of man; and therefore Cicero tells us, that there was fuch a diffention among them upon this head, that it was almoft impoffible to reckon up their different fentiments, even while himfelf is fetting down the notions of above twenty of them, all equally extravagant and abfurd.

They had weak and uncertain notions of the immortality of the foul; for, however they might perceive if to hare a firitual exiftence, yet they could from thence deduce no argument, but that God might deftroy it, if he pleafed: And
(b) Epicureans. (c) Non quis, quod bonus vir effet, gratias diis egit unquam : Jovem optimum maximurn ob eas rf $s$ appellant, non quod nos julios, temperatos, fapientes, efficiat, fed quod falvos, incolumes, opulentos, copiofos; Cic. de nath. deorum, l. 3.
(d) Stoics. Eft aliquid, quo fapiens antccedat dcum; ille, nature beneficio, non firo, fapiens eft; Sen. enif. 53 .
therefore (e) Cicero plainly declares that, "which of the two " opinions" (that the foul is mortal, or that it is immortal) " be true, God only knows :" Which, among other declarations of the like nature, might probably induce Seneca to fay, $(f)$ "That immortality (however defirable in itfelf) " was rather promifed than proved by thefe great men."

They had weak and uncertain notions of a future fate ; for, though their poets had prettily fancied an elyfium and an hell; yet all fober men looked upon thefe rather as wellcontrived reftraints for the vulgar, than any matters of their own belief: And therefore Socrates is introduced, as faying, $(g)$ " I hope there is a place where I and good men " fhall meet ; yet I cannot affirm it:" And ( $b$ ) "I wifh," fays Cicero, "t that you could prove to me that our fouls " are immortal;" fo that, after all, they wanted arguments to convince themfelves, and ended all their difquifitions in a peradventure, and a wifh. But, what is more,

They had no notion at all of the refurrection of the body; for, though their poets made frequent mention of the ghofts of departed men appearing in a vifible form, and retaining in the fhades below their former Chapes; yet by this (if they mean any thing) they mean no more, than that the foul, after this life, paffes into another ftate, and is there invefted with a body, made up of light, aërial particles, quite different from what it had before; but that the grofs matter, which they faw laid in the grave, and turn to corruption, or burnt into athes, or blown away in the air, fhould ever be raifed, or colleeted again and revivified; of this the moft fpeculative among them had no conception.
and their immorality and viciournefs:

Thus ignorant, or thus doubtful at leaft, were fome of the greateft names of antiquity, of thefe prime and fundamental truths, which muft be acknowledged the great barriers of virtue and religion : And therefore we need lefs wonder, that we find fo many of them abetting practices apparently flagitious; (i) that we find feveral fects efteeming revenge, not only lawful, but commendable; and the defire of popular applaufe the greateft incentive to all kind of virtue: That we find fome of the greateft of them fuil of the praife of felf-murther, and fetting themfelves for the example of it to their followers: That we find Cato.
(e) Tufe. Queft. Jib. 1. (f) Epin. 100. (g) Plato in Phred. (b) Tufc. Qureft. (i) Vis. Eihhop of London's fecond patoral letter.
commending fornication as a proper remedy againft adultery; Plato, afferting the expediency of mens having their wives in common ; and Chryfippus, teaching the worft of inceft, that of fathers with their daughters, and pleading the lawfulnefs of unnatural luft: 'That we find, in fhort, whole fraternities degrading human nature into that of beafts; the Cynics, laying affde all the natural reftraints of fhame and modefty, committing their lufts openly; and the Stoics affirming, that no words or fpeech of any kind ought to be cenfured and avoided, as filthy and obfcene: So true is the obfervation which Quintilian makes of the philofophers of his time, ( $k$ ) "That the moft notorious " vices were fcreened under that name; and that they did " not labour to maintain the character of philofophers by " virtue and ftudy, but concealed very vicious lives under " an auftere look, and an habit different from the reft of " the world."

And if thefe men of fpeculation, and profound reafoners, were thus ignorant in their notions, and corrupt in their principles, what reafon have any of our modern contemners of revelation to prefume, that, if they had lived in thofe days, they would have acquitted themfelves better? What grounds to imagine, that they would have been wifer than bocrates, and Plato, and Cicero? ( $l$ Had their lot been among the vulgar, how are they fure they fhould have been fo happy, or fo confiderate, as not to be involved in that idolatry and fuperftition, that wickednefs and immorality, which then overfpread the world? Had thery joined themfelves to the philofophers, what fect would they have followed, (for they were all erroneous), or what book would they have made the adequate rule of their lives and converfations? Or had they fer up for themfelves, how are they certain they thould have been able to deduce the feveral branches of their duty, or to apply them to the feveral cafes of life, by argumentation, and dint of reafon? It is. one thing to find out a rule at firft, and another to perceive its agreement with reaton; and the difficulty is not much (when once we know our duty) to begin and deduce its obligation from reafon: But to begin and difcover our duty in all points, with all its true motives, merely by the help of natural reafon, is like gropping for an unknown way in an obfcure twilight. It is
(k) Inf. I. r. prxf. (l) Clarke's demonfration of natural and revealed religion.

The beft of no improbable opinion then, that the difcoveries, which their know- the wifeft of the heathen world made (even in points of tradition. morality) were not fo much owing to the ftrength of their own reafon, as to certain traditions which they might either receive from their anceftors, or gain by the converfation they might have with the Hebrews, to whom God had committed the oracles of his will by the hand of his fervant Mofes. For this is certain beyond all controverfy, that the moft eminent philofophers, fuch as Pythagoras, Plato, Democritus, and others, finding a dearth of knowledge at home, travelled for improvement into other parts; and, as Egypt was accounted the chief feat of learning, there were few men of note who went not thither to compleat their ftudies; where, converfing with the Jews (who were there in great numbers), and having the opportunity of confulting the law of Niofes in the Ytolemean library, they might from thence collect many remarkable doctrines, though (when they came to publifh them) they chofe to difguife, and blend them with their own notions and inventions. However this be, it is manifeft, that the philofophers, who have lived fince the publication of the gofpel, have, in their feveral fyftems, been much more clear and uniform, both as to the meafures of human duty, and the motives requifite to the performance of it, than they were before; which clearnefs and uniformity are really owing to the help of revelation, that has given us a far more perfect and exact knowledge of the nature and attributes of God, from whence many of our duties immediately flow; a greater certainty of future rewards and punifhments; and a clearer conviction of the necellity of fobriety, temperance, and other moral virtues, as preparatory to our happinefs in the next life, by perfecting our nature in this.

This (as I take it) is the true ftate of human reafon in its prefent ruinous and depraved condition: In its minority, equally capable of bad, as well as good impreffions, and formed entirely by the examples we fee, and by the inftitution of thofe who have the charge of our education: In our maturity, the fource of cur paffions and defires, our humours and appetites, and the fole agent of all the evil, as well as all the good, we do; in the higheft pitch of its perfection, unatle to fettle any certain rule of morality, and beholden to tradition or revelation for the chief and beft difcoveries which it makes: In the breaft of the greateft philofopher, orespread with errer, ignorant in manys
many, and doubtful in all the great principles and motives of religion, and thereupon enfnared in divers hurtful lufts; and much more, in the breaft of the vulgar, funk into ignorance and ftupidity, and thereby fubmitted to the wiles of the temper, and ( $m$ ) taken captive by him at his will. And is this the faculty of which we hear fuch loud boafts, and to which the abfolute perfection of immutability and infallibility are afcribed? "Is this ( $n$ ) the fundamental " law of the univerfe, that can tell us more than books " or mafters, more than the two tables of Mofes, or the " twelve tables of the Greeks, and of which all other laws " are but copies and tranfripts?" Is this the only principle that is allowed us, to inform our minds in all religious truths, and direct our conduct in all our moral actings? This the only pilot, to fteer our courfe through this tempeftuous world, in the midit of fo many dangers, avocations, and fnares; with fo many lufts within, and temptations without, to carry us wrong; fo many Syrens to allure us, fo many rocks to dafh us, and fo many waves to fwallow us up quick ? Whether God, in this method, would have made a fufficient provifion for man's falvation, we will not here difpute : But, to confider human reafon (as it is in fact) modified by the various difabilities, paffions, and prejudices, which will ever prevail among the greateft part of mankind; and then confider every man left, in this wild difconcerted ftate, without rule or guide, to fearch out truth and happinefs by his own collections; the diftractions and perplexities, which muft needs enfue, would make every wife man wifh for fomething better : And, if fo, what can we imagine more defirable, more appofite to the wants of human nature in fuch a cafe, than that God fhould interpofe, and by an authoritative declaration of his will, (committed to perfons ordained to that office) inftruct the ignorant, and reduce thofe that were going aftray.
"But fuppofe that God, in compliance to mens wants, An objec" hould vouchfafe to give them a declaration of his will ; tion. " yet ftill the queftion is, Who are the perfons that are " appointed to convev it ? The pretence to revelation is to "common, and the number of impoftures fo great ; the " difference between a divine impreflion and a diabolical " illufion, natural enchufiafm and fupermatural infpiration,
(m) = Tim. ii. 26. (n) Yid. Chrifianity as old as the creation, P. 60.61 Ec .
" is fo undiftinguifhable, and by us who live at fuch a di-
" ftance of time, fo impoflible to be adjufted; that the fa" feft way is to fufpend our belief, until we have a fuffi" cient conviction, that what is offered as a meffage from " heaven, infallibly comes from God."

The moft ufual ways wherein God of old was wont

Anfwered by enumerating the different kinds of re velation. to communicate his mind to mankind, were by vifions, by dreams, by voices, and by infpiration. The Jewih doctors, who treat of the fubject, have many curious obfervations concerning the difference of thefe feveral kinds of revelation; but the moft plain and obvious diftinction feems to be this -- That vifion was the reprefentation of fome mementous thing to men, when they were awake, in oppofition to dreams, which were reprefentations made to them when their external fenfes were afleep; that voices were either God's calling to men from on high (0) (as he did to St. Yaul) or his immediate converfing with them (as he did with Mofes) ( $p$ ) face to face, even as one man' fpeaketh to 'bis friend; and that infpiration was an inward excitement of the foul of man, by the operation of the Holy Ghoft, without any bodily perception or fenfation.

Thefe are the feveral forts and degrees of revelation which have commonly been afcribed to God: And, what do we fee in any of them, that he cannot, when he pleafes, make ufe of, and that effectually ? Cannot he, by fome vifible appearance, convince men of his immediate prefence beyond the poffibility of doubr? Gannot he, either with or without fuch vifible appearances, talk as familiarly to them, as one man converfes with another ? Cannot he, who formed our minds, and knows all the ways of accefs to them, draw fuch clear and bright feenes, and pictures of things on our fancy and imagination, whether fleeping or waking, as Thall need no other proof of their divinity, but themfelves ; even as light is known by itfelf, and the firft principles of reafon by their own evidence? In thort, why cannot he fo clarify the underftanding by a beam of light let in from above, as thall be as evident a proof of its divine original, as it is that the light proceeds from the fun, the fountain of it?
How the perfons inspired might judge prophets had, and therefore can no more defcribe them,
of their own of their own. infpirations.

Whatever it may feem to us, who have not the fenfation or experience of fuch divine reprefentations as the
(o) Atts ix. 4.
(p) Exod. xxxiii, 1 .
than the perfon who never had his eye-fight, can conceive what light and colours are; yet, as the blind man may be convincea, that there are fuch things as light, colour, figure, and fight, by what he hears and obferves from thofe who are about him ; fo we may be affured, that there was in the prophetic fchemes, that powerful reprefentation, on the part of the divine agent, and that clearnefs of perception on the part of the perfon infipired, as would abundantly make good thofe phrafes of vifion and fpeaking, by which it is defcribed in fcripture; infomuch that fuch a perfon, after fuch illumination, might as well queftion what he heard and faw by the natural organs of fenfe, as doubr of what was revealed to him by the imprefflons made upon him through the agency of the divine Spirit.
" But do not we fee enthufiaftic perfons as confident of How dif"their infpirations and vifions, and (according to their tingurt it " perfuafion) as much obliged to follow them as thofe that thantaim. " are truly infpired? How then thall we find out the dif"ference, and by what criterion fhall we judge ?" It is owned, indeed, that confidence in imaginary infpirations may be fometimes very great, but then the perception, and confequently the affurance arifing from thence, cannot be equal, or any ways comparable to what is produced by a real one. For, though God Almighty can fo communicate himfelf, as that the perfon infpired fhall know moft certainly that it is from him, and from him only, (in which cafe there is no abfolute neceffity for any farther evidence), yet, that nothing might be wanting to the full conviction of him who had the revelation, God was frequently pleafed to add fome fign, or fupernatural proofs, in order to fatisfy the party of the truth of his divine miffion. Thus Gideon, when required to go upon a difficult enterprife, was cured of his fear, and confirmed in his mind (q) by the fire out of the rock, which confumed the flefb and the cakes; as Mofes, when fent to deliver the children of Ifrael from the Egyption bondage, perceived that his commiffion was from God, upon feeing the bufb burn without confuming, $(r)$ and the rod in his hand turned into a furpent: A courfe this highly neceffary to give the meffenger full fatisfaction, efpecially when the cafe is fuch as Mofes feems to put it, (s) They will not believe me, nor hearken to my woice; for they will fay, The Lord bath not appeared unto thee.
"But fuppofe a perfon never fo well fatisfied in what How we or he calls a revelation, and that (in his own opinion) he mhen judg= perron is infpired.
" is as fure of it, as he is of his being and exiftence; ye ${ }_{r}$ " 4 what is all this to me, unlefs. I am equally fatisfied tha ${ }^{\text {t }}$ "' he really had fuch a revelation; that his pretenfions to a " miffion from heaven are true, and he far from being "' an impofture ; but how fhall I judge of this ?" Why, the only way is, to confider with ourfelves, what it is that we might expect from the perfon who pretends to be a meffenger fent from God, and then obferve whether he anfwers
viz. from his perfonit muncation. and a mark of divine favour, we may well ailcharatcr; expect, that the perfon who pretends to it fhould be a man of virtue, good fenfe, and known probity; cool and confiderate enough, not to be impofed on himfelf, and too honeft and upright ever to think of impofing upon others : One who has no trick, no crafty defign, no fecular ends to ferve, no vanity or ambition to gratify; who difclaims all worldly greatnefs and emoluments, and intends nothing but the good of mankind, and the glory of God, wha fent him : One, who by his whole behaviour difcovers that he is in earneft, and really believes his own commiffion; is, confequently, deterred by no threats, difcouraged by no oppofition, but goes on with undaunted courage, ftill perfifting in the fame affertions, and ready to lay down his life in confirmation of what he fays. So far then as the credibility of a perfon is the proof of a revelation, and fo far as the wifdom, probity, and fincerity of a perfon is a proof of his credibility, we have an evidence to reft upon, and a character, whereby we may try the truth of his revelation.

As the revelation pretends to come from God, we may reafonably expect, that it fhould be confonant to the notions we have of the divine attributes, and conducive to the happinefs and inftruction of man: That therein we fhould find the moft lively characters of the divine perfections, juftice and power, fet forth in all their authority, to adminifter matter of terror to the wicked ; but fo tempered with mercy and kindnefs, as to raife the hopes, and attract the love, and eftablifh the comfort of the righteous: Therein to find the myfteries of the divine counfels unfolded, and the beauty and harmony of divine providence difplayed, as far as God's government of the world. and the condition of mankind in it will permit : Therein to find the beft principles and precepts to inform and direct us in what we are to know and do, the beft arguments and motives for our encourgement, and the beft means and expedients
expedients for the purifying and perfecting of our natures: Therein, laftly, to find the chief fubjects of human inquiry, and what is beft and moft neceffary for mankind to know, the creation of the world, the origin of evil, the fupervention of grace, the condition and certainty of a future ftate, and by what method God may be appeafed, forgivenefs obtained, and the heavy load upon human nature, arifing from the fenfe and confcioufnefs of fin, removed. So far then as its fublimity and ufefulnefs are an indication of its divine original, we have another evidence to reft upon, and a farther character whereby we may try the truth of a revelation.

Once more, we may expect, that a perfon coming and the miwith fuch high pretenfions, fhould give us fome proof of raculous at his delegation from heaven, either by predicting events of a very uncertain contingency, or performing works of a very fupernatural kind, in confirmation of it: And, fince miracles and prophecies require a divine power, and are always looked upon as an authentic evidence of a divine commiffion, the man who does thefe, and does them fairly, without fraud or collufion, muft certainly be a prophet fent from God; otherwife we muft be reduced to the neceffity of allowing, that God may fometimes employ his power for the confirmation of a falfehood, and fet the broad feal of heaven, as it were, to a lie; which is confounding the notions we have of him, and inverting all his attributes.

Thefe then are the marks and tokens whereby we may judge of the truth of a revelation at any time: The credibility of the perfon who brings it; the excellency of the doctrine he teaches; and the divine atteftation which he produces. Where thefe are concurring, and with one mouth, as it were, giving in their evidence, we cannot but fay that it is the voice of God, and a revelation, which carries upon it the confpicuous famp of his authority. And now, to try the pretenfions of thofe in the Old Teftament who claimed fuch commifion from God by the foregoing marks and characters :

That there was really fuch a perfon as Mofes is atteft-Mofes's: ed by many of the ( $t$ ) heathen writers, who fpeak of himperfonal as an extraordinary man, and the founder of the Jewifh as to his ${ }^{\text {cha }}$ laws and religion. That this Mofes pretended to have this wiflom;
( $t$ ) Vid. Grot. De veritate, lib. I. where he enumerates fereral.
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religion from God, and whatever he wrote or delivered to the people, to receive from him by immediate revelation, is plain to any one who looks into his writings. But that his pretenfions in this refpect were real ; that he acrually received what he delivered from the mouth or infpiration of God, and was neither capable of being deceived himfelf, nor defirous to impofe on others; this will appear from the evidence we have of his wifdom and veracity; from the nature and tendency of his precepts and doctrines; and from the miraculous demonftrations he gave of his commiffion. In order to which it will be neceffary for us to look a little into the facred records: Defiring, how ever, that no more credit may be given to them (as yet) than what is ufually given to any other narrative of tolerable repute, concerning the actions of perfons who lived in former ages.

Now, befides the account of his ftrange and miraculous prefervation, the fcriptures acquaint us, that he ( $u$ ) was brought up in Pharaoh's courr, educated in all princely qualities, and Jkilled in all the learning of the Egyptians. What the $(x)$ learning of the Egyptians was, we need not here relate: If we will believe Macrobius, who, ( $y$ ) in one place, makes Egypt the mother of all arts, and, $(z)$ in another, the Egyptians the fathers of all philofophic fciences, there was not a nation under the fun that could compare with them. How can we then imagine, that a perfon bred up in all the polite literature of Egypt, and converfant amongft the wifeft philofophers of Pharoah's court, fhould not be able to pafs a judgment between an impofture and a truth, between a familiar converfe with God, and a deception of his fenfes? Can we think that he, who had fuch opportunities of raifing himfelf to the higheft pitch of honour, fhould willingly forfake all his prefent pleafure and future advantages, had he not been fully purfuaded of the certain and undoubted truth of the matters which he recorded? Is it poffible, that a man of common fenfe and prudence fhould ever venture himfelf upon an affair fo hazardous, and unlikely to fucceed, as that which he undertook, had it not been by the inftigation of that God who appeared to him, and promifed him the affiftance of his power, to enable him to accomplith his defign ? And what
(u) Heb. xi. 25. Act vii. 22. (x) Vid. Stillingfleet's Orig. Sac. (y) Macrob. Saturn. lib. 2. cap. 15. (z) Som. Seip. lib. I. cap. 19.
tolerable ground can we have to imagine, that a perfon who really believed the truch of what God had revealed to him, fhould dare to write otherwife than it was revealed?
To extol himfelf, or aggrandize his nation, may be and difinthought a probable inducement: But fo far is he from mag- tereftednifying himfelf, that he omits no opportunity of recording (a) his own failings and milcarridges; paffes; over in filence his own (b) qualities and (c) achievements; and opens the account of his miniftry with the relation of a fact, (d) (the murther of the Egyptian), which nothing but the prefumption of his being acted by a divine authority can juftify or excufe. Now, had it been any part of his aim to have raifed his reputation into a fuperfitious veneration among the Jews, or to have eftablifhed his family in any high degree of honour and authority, how eafily might he have done it? It was but concealing what might feem to deprefs the one, and ufing the power he had to advance the other: But inftead of that, we find him very fecure and carelefs in both refpects; relating his own faults without difguife or extenuation ; conferring ( $e$ ) both the civil and ecclefiaftical power upon other families, and leaving his own in the meanneft fort of attendance upon the tabernacle. And fo far was he from aggrandizing his nation, that he fets forth the lefs, as well as the greater enormities of their firft grogenitors; that he fpares not the ftock of his own family Levi, but records very punctually ( $f$ ) his and Simeon's inhumanity to the Shechemites; and, through the whole courfe of his hiftory, feems as if he were defcribing ( $g$ ) the obftinacy, and unbelief, and unthankfulnefs, and difobedience of a people towards a gracious God, rather than any way enhancing their reputation in the world. Hitherto it appears, that Mofes acted like an honeft and fincere man ; let us, in the next place, make fome infection into the revelation he makes, both as an hiftorian and a lawgiver.
(a) Exod. iv. :0. 13. Num. ii. 10. 11. Chap. xx. 12. (b) Heb. xi. 25. Afts vii. $22 . \quad$ (c) Jofephus relates, that Mofes, for Come years, was general to Pharaoh, and that he obtained a very fignal victory over the Ethiopians.
(d) Exod. ii. 12. (e)Vid, Grot. De veric, and Shackford's connect. of the facred apd profane hilt. lib. :2.
(f) Gen. xxxiv.
(3) Dewt : : 7 ,

The fub. As an hiftorian then, what could he deliver to the world jects he treats of, as an hiftori20. more becoming the Majefty of God to impart, and the neceffities of men to know, than the origin of the univerfe, and the firft beginning of all things; than the formation of man, his ftate of innocence at firt, his fall, and the confequential evils of it ; his redemption; and the glorious hopes and expectances of the new covenant; than the propagation of mankind; their general defection, the univerfal deluge, the confufion of tongues, and thereupon the plantation of families, and origin of kingdoms; than the felection of one particular family (of which Chrift was to come in the flefh) from the reft of mankind, and the many wonderful works which God did to redeem them from bondage, and conduct them through the wildernefs, until he had fettled them in the promifed land, and given them laws and ordinances, whereby they were to live?
Wherein o- Thefe are fome of the great fubjects which Mofes has ther hiffori- treated of in the Pentateuch; and it is no fmall confirmation widh him; of their truth and reality, that we find the fame things related much in the fame manner by the moft ancient and beft authors. What Mofes fays of the origin of the world is (b) recorded in the old hiftories of the Phoenicians and Egyptians. The formation of man according to the image of God, and his dominion over other creatures, is defcribed by Ovid, who had it from the Grecians. The hiftory of Adam and Eve, the tree of knowledge, and the tempting ferpent, were found formerly among the Indians, as Maimonides tells us, and is ftill among the Brachmans, and inhabitants of Siam, as later voyagers report. The hittory of the deluge, of the ark, and of thofe who were faved therein, is recorded by Berofus, by Plutarch, and Lucian; nay, Abydenus (as he is cited by Eufebius) makes mention of the very dove which was fent out to explore the waters. The building of the tower of Babel, and the giants attempting to reach the height of heaven, is the common tale of every poet. The burning of Sodom is related by Diodorus, Strabo, and Tacitus. The account of Abraham, Ifaac, Jacob, and Jofeph, in the fame manner as Mofes relates it, was found in many ancient hiftorians quoted by Eufebius, and is ftill extant in Juftin, from Trogus Pompeius; and (to mention no more) the actions of Mofes himfelf, how he led the people of Ifracl out of Egypt, received the two tabies of the law from the hand of God, and inftituted feveral rites and religious
obfervances, are to be found in moft of the fame authors, but more efpecially in the verfes which are afcribed to Orpheus, and in hiftories which treat of the affairs of Egypt.

Thus confonant to the greatnefs and majefty of God, as a lawand the received opinions of the earlieft ages of the world, giver. are the hiftoric facts which Mofes relates. And (to confider him in his legiflative capacity) what can be more agreeable to the notions we have of God, than the prohibition of idolatry and polytheifm, and the inflitution of his true religion and worfhip; than the prohibition of perjury and vain fwearing, of theft, of murder, of adultery, of covetoufnefs of all kinds; and the injunction of the contrary virtues, of juftice and mercy, of chaftity and chasity, together with all due reverence to parents, both in a natural and civil capacity? What can be more becoming the character of a divine legiflator, than his often inculcating upon the people (as we find almoft in every page Mofes does) the many obligations they had to God, and the innumerable favours they had received from him; his frequent and pathetic exhortations to obedience, and living anfwerably to the fingular mercies conferred upon them; his con, ftant reminding them of their former mifcarriages, their murmurings and rebellions againft heaven, and his compaffionate forewarning them of the judgment of God, and of the various plagues and punifhments which would certainly be the confequence of their perfifiting in their fins? Nay, the very ceremonial precepts (which he enjoins to difcriminate them from other nations) are a fufficient indication that he received them from God; fince, had they been of his own invention, he would have confulted the people's eafe, and his own popularity more; and (*) not impofed fo many laborious and expenfive ordinances, fo many facrifices, both ftated and occafional, fo painful an inftitution as that of circumcifion, and fuch annual and weekly ceffations from labour, as were apparently againft the intereft of a nation, whofe great fubriftence was upon pafturage and agriculture. Nor can we conceive how any people would have fubmitted to fuch arbitrary injunctions, but that they were fully fatisfied they came originally from God, and were only delivered to them by the hand of his fervant Mofss. And, for their farther conviction of this, they had all the evidence that could be required, the prediction of events, which none but God could foreknow, and the de-
monftration of miracles, which none but God could perform.

## His mira

 cles.For not to infilt at prefent (i) on the feveral prophecies (contained in the Pentateuch) which Mofes himfelf foretold, and accordingly came to pafs; what can we account she whole method of his condacting the people of Ifrael out of Egypt, both in its progrefs, and in its execution, but one continued miracle? Nothing but a feries of wonders, furprizing in their nature, and dreadful in their effects, could have prevailed with Pharaoh to let the people go ; and nothing but a divine power, which went out betore the people, could have given them a free paffage, and the Egyptians a total overthrow in the red fea. The wonderful fupport of fo great a multitude in a wafte and barren wildernefs, when neither their raiment decayed, nor their bread and water failed, and the victories they afterwards gained in their way to the promifed land, were both convictions of the Almighty's power, and a confirmation of the truth of the Mofaic revelation; fince it would be impious to fuppofe, that Providence would, in the fight of the heathen, have favoured Ifrael with fuch wonderful fucceffes, under the conduct of a leader who only pretended to act and make laws by an authority which he was not really invefted with. So that the whole turns ultimately upon the veracity of God. The conftant apprehenfions which both reafon and religion give us of him, forbid us to imagine, that he will employ his power to deceive his creatures; and yet, if he fhould permit the fame evidences to be produced for errors as for truth, this would be a way to put a deception upon them, as well as to cancel his own credentials, and make miracles of no fignificance at all.
A recapitu- Upon a review then of what has been faid in relation to jation of the argument.

Mofes, viz. that he was a perfon of great wifdom and integrity, unlikely to be impofed on himfelf, and unwilling to impofe upon others, and without any private defigns of popularity, or felf-exaltion in what he did; that, as an hiftorian, he related facts necefiary for man to know, and becoming the nature and majefty of God to reveal; as a legiflator, gave laws and ordinances, which had a manifeit ftamp of divine authority ; as a prophet, foretold fuch things as none but God (who had all events under his intuition) could know; and, as a worker of miracles, did
(i) Vid. Exod. xxiv. Numb. xiv. Deut. xxviii. 53. campared with Jofephus, De bell Jud. lib. viị.
fuck

## or Preparatory Discourse.

fuch things as had all imaginable evidence of an almighty power affifting him : It will neceffarily follow, that, as fure as God is true, and cannot be an abettor of falfehood, what he did, was by the order and appointment; what he delivered; was exprefsly the will ; and what he wrote (for the books that go under his name we fhall hereafter prove to be his) was infallibly the word of God.

That there was to be a fucceffion of prophets after Mofes, is veryplain, not only from the rules which God has prophess; (k) prefcribed by the trial of them, but from that exprefs promife likewife which Mofes made to the people: $A$ prophet will the Lord thy God raife up to thee of thy brethren, like unto me, unto bim fbalt thou hearken. For tho' the words, in their full and compleat fenfe, relate to Chrift who is the great prophet of the church ; yet, whoever attends to the main frope of them, will eafily perceive, that their immediate afpect is towards an order of prophets who fhould fucceed Mofes, to inftruct the people in the firitual fenfe and true obligation of the law; and to make fuch farther difcoveries of the Almighty's will, as he, from time to time, fhould give them commiffion and authority to do. And to this purpofe we may obferve that the firft fchools of thefe prophets among the Jews, were in the cities of the Levites, which, for the conveniency of inftructing the people, were difperfed up and down in the feveral tribes; that ( $l$ ) the firft inftitution of thefe fchools feems to be about Samuel's time; and that he very probably was ordained prefident over one or more of them, and had the care and tuition of fuch as were to be trained up to the prophetic office.

In what particular manner they were there trained up, in order to obtain a previous difpofition to prophecy, the fcripture is not exprefs; but this we may fuppofe, that they were put upon fuch ftudies and firitual exercifes as had a tendency to improve their underftandings and natural abilities, to regulate their paffions and appetites, and to raife their affections to things fublime; that they were employed in fearching out the hidden fenfe of the law, in contemplating the nature and attributes of God, in adoring him, and celebrating his praifes. To which purpofe, becaufe there was a certain quality in it to allay the paffions and elate the heart, they always made ufe of mufic, both vocal and inftrumental ; for fo the firf company of prophets ( $n$ ) that
(k) Deut. xviii. 21. 22. (b) Vid. Stillingfleet's Org. Sac. and Lewis's Org. Heb.1. 2.c.15. (m) Wheatiy's Schcol of Prophes.
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## The APPARATUS,

we read of are defcribed, (n) coming down from the bigh place, with a pfaltery, and a tabret, and a pipe, and a barp before them.
their inte-
Out of thefe feminaries, or colleges of prophets, God ufually made choice of perfons to be fent upon meffages 9 though he did not fo ftrictly tie himfelf up to this method, but called fometimes one from the court, as he did Ifaiah, and fometimes one from the herds, (as he did Amos), and bad them go, and prophefy to the houfe of Ifrael. And whenever he made choice of any one, he always gave him fuch a full conviction, both of the reality of his own infpiration, and the importance of the meffage he fent him upon, as made it impoffible for him to refift the impulfe; for fo Ezekiel tells us of himfelf: (0) The fpirit lifted me up, and took me away, and I went in bitternefs, and in the beat of my fpirit; for the hand of the Lord was ftrong upon me. And indeed, confidering that the prophets were men of fober fenfe, and moft of them of very liberal education, we can hardly believe that they would have ventured upon fo hazardous an employ, where perfecution was fure to be their lot, had they not been urged to it by an immediate and irrefiftible call from Heaven. The apoftle has given us a very dolorous defcription of the many calamities which their profeffion brought upon them : ( $p$ ) They had trials of cruel mockings and fcourgings, yea, moreover, of bonds and imprifonments: They were foned, were fawn afunder, were tempted, were lain with the fword, oc. Now, what men in their fenfes would have expofed themfelves to all thefe perfecutions and fufferings, in the execution of an office, had they not been purfuaded of the truth of their vocation, and under an indifpenfable neceflity to purfue it, whatever penalties might ftand in their way ?

Nothing then can be more evident, than that the prophets (if we allow them to be men of common fenfe) were men of integrity likewife, and far from pretending to a commiffion which they had not; fince (in acceffion to what has been faid) the doctrines they taught, the predictions they gave, and the miracles they did, loudly proclaimed them to be fent from God.
the excellence of their doctrine;

For what can be more fuitable to the nature of God, than thofe exprobations of fuperftition and idolatry, and thofe many exhortations to inward piety and real holinefs,

$$
\text { (n) I Sam. x. 5. (o) Ezek. iii. 14. (p) Heb. xi. } 36,37 .
$$

to frequently, fo kindly occurring in the prophets? (q) Wherequitbal fball I come before the Lord, and bow myfelf before the moft bigh God? Shall I give my firf-born for my tranfgrefion, the fruit of my body for the fin of my foul? No. God requires nothing of thee, but to do jufly, and to love mercy, and to walk bumbly before bim. What can be more agreeable to the divine mercy and goodnefs, than thofe earneft calls and invocations to repentance? ( $r$ ) Turn ye, turn ye from your evil ways; for why will ye die, O boufe of Ijrapl: For, as I live, faith the Lord, I have no pleafure in the death of the wicked. What is more conducive to the bonour and glory of God, than thofe rapturous fongs of praife wherewith the Royal Pfalmift tunes his harp, and thofe tender ftrains of grief wherewith the mournful prophet wets his bed? (s) Ob! that my head were waters, and mine eyes a fountain of tears, that I might weep day and night for the flain of the daughter of my people. What difcovery can be of fuch importance, as that of the birth and high character of the Saviour of the world? ( $t$ ) Unto us a child is born, unto us a fon is given, and his name Jball be called Wonderful Counjellor, the mighty God, the everlafting Father, the Prince of Peace; as that of his death and vicarious punifhment? (u) He quas oppreffed, and be was afflicted, yet be opened not his mouth; be made his foul an offering for fin, and for the tranfgreffion of my people was he ftricken: And, laftly, as that of the happy effect which his religion would produce? when ( $x$ ) the wolf foould dwell with the lamb, and the leopard lie down with the kid, and the calf, and the young lion, and the fatling together, and a little child fould lead them; as the eyangelical prophet expreffes it in that beautiful allegory.

That the prophets fhould be able to foretel things fotheir promany ages before they came to pafs; that he who went ${ }^{\text {piectiss }}$ from Judah to denounce God's judgments againft the altar of Bethel, and againft ( $y$ ) Jeroboam, for fetting it up, fhould make mention of the very name of Jofiah (who was to be God's inftrument in executing them) three hundred and fix-ty-one years before the event happened: That (z) Elijah thould denounce all the punifhments which God would bring upon Ahab and his family for their great impiety, fome years before the thing came to pafs: That liaiah
(q) Micha, vi. 6. (r) Ezek. «xxiii. 11. (s) Jer. ix. 1. (t) Ifa. ix. 6. (u) Ch. liii. (x) Ch. xi. 6. (y) I Kings siii. a. (z) Ch. xvii.

## The APPARATUS,

fhould prophecy of Cyrus by name, (a) two hundred and ten years before the accomplifiment of his prophecy ; (b) foretell his rebuilding of the temple, and defcribe his conquefts, in fuch full and expreffive terms, that the hiftory of Cyrus by Xenophon has hardly done it better : And (to mention but one prophet more) that Daniel fhould fpeak of the profanation of the temple and fanctuary by Antiochus Epiphanes, declare the manner of his death, and delineate the very temper and countenance of the man, (c) four hundred and eighty years before the accomplifhment : This, and much more that might be mentioned, can be afcribed to nothing elfe but the infpitation of God, which made the fame ftrong in preffion upon the minds of the prophets, and guided their tongues to the fame words and expreffions, as if the things had been actually prefented before their eyes.
their miracles.

The prophets indeed did not work many miracles, becaufe there was not that occafion for them. The law of Mofes, which they were fent to inforce, not invalidate, had been fufficiently confirmed by miracles before; and, as they were a ftanding order of men, which the people were well accuftomed to, the people were inclinable enough to believe them, without a divine atteftation. 'However, when they were employed upon great and important meffages to perfons who either believed not the God of Ifrael, or had revolted from his fervice, God was never wanting to accompany them with a power of working miracles, to be the credentials of their commiffion. Thus, upon the defection of the ten tribes, and when Calves were fet up in Dan and Bethel, in oppofition to the workip at Jerufalem, the prophet, who was fent to denounce God's anger againft fuch procedure, was enabled, by a word's fpeaking (d) to reid the altar, and both to wither, and reftors again feroboam's band. In the famous controverly between the priefts of Baal and Elijah, the prophet was empowered (e) to call fire down from heaven, which confumed bis facrifices, and gained him the victory over his adverfaries; and, to convince Naman the Syrian of the true God's being in Ifrael, Elifha was directed $(f)$ to cure him of his leprofy, by the fimple prefcription of dipping himfelf in the river Jordan. Upon thefe, and the like occafions, when the honour of God, or the truth of the prophet; feemed to be called in queftion, a power of working miracles was com-
(a) Vid. Joíph. Antiq. 1. 2. cap. 1. (b) Ifa. xliv. 26. (c) Jofeph. Antiq. Hib, 12. cap. 11. (d) I Kings, xiiit. 4, 5, 6. c) Ch. sviii. (f) 2 Kings, v.
municated to him, as an evident demonftration of God's abetting his caufe, and attefting the truth of what he pretended to reveal.

Putting all this together then, viz. that the prophets A recapituwere men of fobriety and good education, but void of all taicesthe craft and diffilmulation; that they expofed themfelves to infinite hazards and difficulties in the execution of their office; that they taught doctrines confonant to the divine attributes, and made difcoveries of the greatett importance; foretold events which none but God could know; and performed works which none but God could do; gave all imaginable evidence of the truth of their commiffion, and fealed ic very often with the teftimony of their own blood: it will certainly follow, that we have all the reafon we can defire (all indeed that the nature of the thing will bear) to believe, that they were meffengers fent from God to fupply the intermediate fpace between Mofes and Chrift; and confequently, that the revelation of God's will in the Old Teftament (fo far as they are concerned in declaring it) is undubitably true.
"But, be the character of Mofes and the prophets (as An objec" meffengers fent from God to impart his will to mankind) ${ }^{\text {tion }}$.
4. never fo well eftablifhed ; yet what is that to us, who
: live in times fo diftant and remote from them, and have
" only the tradition of men uninfpired, and the teftimony " of a fet of books, (faid indeed to be dictated by the Holy
" Ghoft, but how truly we cannot tell), for the foundation
" of our faith ? Had we lived indeed in the days of Mofes " and the prophets, when revelation was attended by figns
" and mighty wonders, the teftimony of many glorious mi-
" racles, and the completion of many remarkable prophe-
"c cies, we fhould have then been inexcufable, had we re-
" mained incredulous amidft thefe inftances of divine power:
" but fince, in our prefent circumftances, we are reduced to
"t the bare letter of the fcriptures, which, for ought we know,
"s may be fpurious and corrupt; or, it genuine, feem to have
" fmall fignatures of a divine firit in their compofition ;
" which, almoft in every paffage, are loaded with abfurdi-
" ties and contradictions, with myfteries and riddles, and
" obicure paflages; and, where they chance to be intelli-
" gible, are fo trifling in their narrations, fo illogical in
"their reafonings, fo confufed in their method, fo infipid
"s in their ftyle, fo tedious in their repetitions, fo ambiguous
${ }^{6}$ in their various readings, and, in the whole, fo barren of
" any real entertainment to an ingenious reader, that, " inftead
" inftead of poring in thefe mufty and perplexed records, (and
" which perhaps too may not be fo ancient as is pretended) we
" think it the eafier and fafer way to attend to the fentiments
" of our minds, and thofe plain and immutable laws which
" God has written upon the flefhly tables of our hearts."
The fate of We allow indeed, that there is a great deal of difference
the cafe between the contemporaries with the prophets, and thofe of after-ages.
between thofe who were contemporaries with Mofes and the prophets, and us, who are at fome thoufand years diftance. The completion of a prediction gave fanction to the propher's pretenfions, and miracles carried with them a clear and prefent conviction; they entered quick, and gained affent without axy argumentation: Whereas our faith now is founded on human teftimony, and the evidences of our religion comprifed in no very large volume. But then, we are to confider, that we give credit to the contents of other books upon no better grounds; that upon this very account we firmly believe, that Alexander, about two thoufand years ago, conquered a great part of the world; and that there was fuch a perfon as Julius Cæfar, who, upwards of feventeen hundred years ago, conquered France, and came into England : And yet the authority of the facred records has been more ftrictly examined into, and found to be better attefted than that of any human compofition. The contemporaries with infpired men were convinced by fenfe and occular demonfration; but in this we have the advantage of them, that, having lived to fee the whole feheme of revelation compleated, and at once placed in our view, we can compare one part with the other, and thence obferve how the myftery of man's redemption gradually advances; what harmony there is between the Old and the New Teftament ; and how the many prophecies in the one receive their accomplifhment in the other; which cannot but give great comfort and fatisfaction to an inquifitive mind.

It is not to be doubted then, but that we, of after-ages, upon whom the ends' of the world are come, have fufficient grounds for our faith to reft upon, if we can but fatisfy ourfelvesthat the perfons by whom God made revelations of his will at fundry times and in diverfe manners, were directed by him to record them in certain books. That, in writing thefe books, they were affifted by the infpiration of his infallible fpirit - That, according to the beft computations, they were wrote by the very fame perfons to whom they are afcribed: .-.That, at a proper period of time, they were compiled into one body by fuch as were authorifed and enabled
fo to do:-That from them they had defcended to us true and genuine, without any confiderable lofs or alteration : -That the books now extant, and received by the Chriftian church, are the very fame which were thus written by infpiration, and compiled by authority:- And that they are not liable to the foregoing objections, but deferve a better character, and better ufage, than fome in this age are pleafed to give them.
(g) It is the opinion of fome learned men, that writing The obwas an art corval with mankind, and the invention of A- jection andam himfelf. Jofephus indeed informs us, that it was in fiwered by ufe before the flood; and from thence fome have conjec- that revetured, that the hiftory of the creation, and the reft of the lations book of Genefis, were (for the fubftance of them) deliver- were reed down to Mofes in verfe (which was the moft ancient way books. of writing) and that, from them, he compiled his book. This however can hardly be a probable conjecture, becaufe it is fcarce conceivable how men could have loft the fenfe of religion fo totally as we find they did, had there been any ftanding records of it at that time The more probable opinion is, that it was the long-experienced infufficiency of oral tradition (the only way of conveyance then in ufe) that gave occafion to the general corruption; while fome forgot, and others perverted, the doctrines' delivered to them by their anceftors, and, in compliance to their lufts, brought themfelves, by degrees, firft to belicve a lie, and then to propagate it, having no written rule of truth to confront the error.

It can hardly be doubled, but that God vouchfafed fre- Why God quent revelations to the patriarchs before the law, and appointed it fufficiently inftructed them in his will; nor can we que- to be fo. ftion but that thefe holy men ufed their beft endeavours to propagate the doctrine they received, and to reform the manners of thofe at leaft who depended on them: And (what was a great advantage to them in this refpect) both their lives were fo very long, and the principles of their religion fo extremely few, that two perfons might have conveyed them down from Adam to Abraham. For Methufelah lived above three hundred years, while Adam was yet alive: Sem was almoft an hundred when Methufelah died; and when Sem died, Abrahan was above an hundred, according to the Hebrew computation. Here is a great period of time filled up by two or three perfons; and
(g) Jenkin's Reafonablenefs, and Stillingfleet's Orig. Sac.
yet, in this time, the tradition of thofe few things wherein religion was then comprehended, was fo totally corrupted, that idolatry was generally practifed, and God was obliged to make a new and immediate revelation to the patriarch Abraham.
(b) The promulgation of the law on mount Sinai, was one of the moft amazing things that ever happened: And, as the circumfances of the whole folemnity were very furprifing, the commandments then delivered but few, the people all of one language, feparate from the reft of mankind, and obliged to a conftant commerce among themfelves; fo there feems to be in this cafe all imaginable advantages in favour of tradition : And yet, notwithftanding thefe, God would not truft his precepts to this uncertain way of conveyance, but (i) himfelf, with his own finger, twice wrote them upon two tables of fone. The hiftorical tranfactions of the Jews, the many ftrange deliverances Heaven vouchfafed them, and particularly their Gignal victory over the Amalekites, God commanded Mofes not to relate to pofterity by word of mouth only, but to write them for a memorial in a book ( $k$ ): Nay, the very ceremonial part of the law, though not intended to be of perpetual obligation, was not referred to this traditionary method, but, according to divine appointment, committed to writing, and repofited with the priefts: and therefore we have lefs reafon to wonder, that, in things which were to come to pafs in future ages, (fuch as the predictions of the prophets were, and whereon the fate of nations, as well as divine veracity, did depend, we always find God gtving injunctions of this kind, ( $l$ ) to write their infpirations before the people in a table, and to note them in a book, that they might be for the time to come, for ever and ever.

That the books which were fucceffively wrote in this

That thefe books were written by divine infpiration. manner, were wrote by the order and affiftance of God's bleffed Spirit, no one can doubt, who either attends to the high fentiments which the Jews of old entertained of them, or to the teftimony whereby both Chrift and his apoftles have given a full fanction to them. The law of Mofes was to the Jews accounted the law of God himfelf, and the Pentateuch efteemed the foundation of their religion. The familiar converfe he had with God, the won-
(h) Burnet on the Articles. (i) Exod. xxxi. 18. (k) Chap. xvii. 14. (l) Ifa. xxy. 8.
ders and miracles that he wrought, and the divine wifdom and gift of prophecy which refided in him, put it beyond all difpute, that the books which he left behind him were penned by the infpiration of the Spirit of God, whereof he was full. The other canonical books which, in procefs of time, were collected into a body, the Jews always held in the like veneration ; infomuch, that (as Jofephus tells us) they were accuftomed from their infancy to call them the doctrines of God, and were ready, at any time, to lay down their lives in vindication of them : Nor is it any bad argument to us Chriftians, that we find our bleffed Lord quoting thefe books under the title of The Scriptures, and acquainting us with the common diftribution of them, in his days, into the law, the prophets, and the pfalms; becaufe the book of pfalms was placed in the front of that collection, which was ufually ftyled the Hagiographa. It is upon the evidence of thefe books that he proves himfelf to be the Meffias; it is by them that he confutes the Jews; and to them that he appeals both in the proof of his own doctrine, and in all his difputations with them: And therefore we need not wonder that we find both the apoltle of the Gentiles affuring us, that ( $m$ ) all fcripture is given by the in /piration of God, and the apoftle of the Jews afferting the fame thing, viz. that (n) no prophecy of the fripture is of private interpretation; for the prophecy came not in old time by the will of man, but holy. men of God fpake as tbey were moved by the Holy Ghoft. Upon the whole therefore we muft conclude, (0) either that Mofes and the other writers of the Old 'reftament were infpired, or that they were confummate cheats; and that, not only Chrift and his apoftles, by remitting us to them, and citing their writings as divine, did connive at the cheat, but that God himfelf likewife, by giving them the power of miracles and prophecy, did countenance the importure; and by invefting them with the characters of his authority, and all outward marks of his approbation, inevitably lead us into error; which is moft impious to think, and moft blafphemous to fay.

Confidering then that the divine intention in having and fins the fariptures wrote, was to make them the flandard of what reafaith and rule of life in all future ages of the church, there was a ftrong reafon why God thould take care that
(m) 2 'Tim. iii. 16. (n) 2 Pet. i. 20, 2 I. (fo) Vid. Calmet's Differ. Vol. i.

Vol. I. F the
the books which he defigned to be the fole guide of mankind in matters of religion, and which he forefaw all pofterity would appeal to as the great touchftone of truth, fhould not be liable to any errors; but that his Holy Spirit fhould fo guide the hand of his penmen (as it were), and affift them in their compofitions with fuch an infallible veracity, as might be of fufficient authority to filence all differences whenever they fhould arife. And accordingly we may obferve, that, in all ages, both Jews and Chriftians have appealed to thefe books as to oracles, in order to decide all controverfies in religion; that, in every general council, the Holy Bible was always placed on high as the directory and unerring compafs whereby to fteer in their debates; and that, at the opening of fuch afferiblies, each member was wont to declare himfelf much in the fame fenfe with ( $p$ ) the article of our church; "That the " Holy Scripture containeth all things neceffary to falva" tion; fo that whatfoever is not read therein, nor may, " be proved thereby, is not to be required of any man " that it fhould be believed as an article of the faith, or be " thought requifite or neceffary to falvation."

It is needlefs, and almoft impoffible for us to define precifely how far the Spirit of God was engaged in the compofition of the Holy Scriptures. It feems more confonant however to the manner of the divine operations, which do not ufually put any force upon human nature, but leave it in a great meafure to the exercife of its faculties, to fuppofe, that the authors of them were fomething more than mere amanuenfes to the Holy Ghoft. The great diverfity of fylle and diction which may be obferved in feveral books, and fometimes the expreffing one and the fame thing in different terms by different authors, is almoft a fure indication, that they themfelves had fome fhare in the compofition, and that the Holy Ghoft was not the fole author of every word and expreflion: For if this had been the cafe, the flyle of each book had been alike and uniform; at leaft there had not been that apparent difference in it which we now fee, and which (taking in the holy penmen for a fhare in the compofition) may not unfidy be afcribed to natural caufes. If the Holy Ghof had dictated every word, I fay, why fhould Ifaiah, who was bred in a court, be more florid and magnificent in his expreffion than Amos, who had his education among the herds? It is a more eafy fuppofition therefore of the two, ( $p$ ) Article VI.
that God fhould fuggeft the matter of his revelation firft to their minds, and then leave them to weigh it in their thoughts, (as they did other truths), and fo put it into fuch a form of words, as their own minds, or the tenor of their education, naturally inclined to.

The writings of the holy penmen are of different kinds: Some of them are hiftorical, fome perceptive, fome argumentative, fome doctrinal, fome poetical, and fome prophetical ; in all which the meafure of the divine affiftance feemed to vary in proportion to the nature of the fubject whereof they treated. If they wrote hiftorically of matters of fact, which either they themfelves knew, or had received from credible witneffes, there was no reafon that the fubftance of their hiftory fhould be revealed again: All that feems requifite is, that the Holy Ghoft fhould fo far infpect them, as to prevent any error in the relation. If they delivered any moral precepts, or argued from any revealed truths, he then allowed them to employ their reafoning faculties, as far as their arguments were fuitable and folid; and at the fame time cleared their underftanding, and hindered them from writing any thing impertinent. If their compofitions were of the poetic kind, he left them to follow the eftablifhed rules of that art, and to fcan out the metre by themfelves; and all that he did in this cafe, was to quicken their invention, and refine their fancy: But if they were to indite things of an higher nature, and fuch as were above their faculties; if they were either to predict fome remarkable event, or declare fome divine truth that was never revealed before; it feems reafonable to believe, that the whole of thefe was immediately infpired into their minds by the Holy Ghoft; becaufe they could be the refult neither of their underftanding nor memory; and confequently could come into their minds no other way but by immediate infpiration.

From the whole then, it is reafonable to think, that the meafures of divine infpiration varied according to the nature of the fubject, or the exigencies of the penman who recorded it: That, in the main, they purfued their own method and manner of expreffion ; bat on fome important occafions had the words dictated to them: That in fome fubjects they had their memory refrefhed; in others, their underftanding enlightened ; in others, their fancies elevated; in all, their wills directed to the difcovery and declaration of the truth: And even in the leaft matter they wrote, were never fo far left to their own difcretion,
as not to have the Holy Spirit prefiding over them, and keeping them from expreffing any thing contrary to the divine mind, or the dignity of the facred fubject.
The num- Now the books of the Old Teftament, which, by the ber, order,
and autho
divine will and infpiration, were in this manner written, and
rity of the books. claffes, whereof the firft comprehended the five books of Mofes; the fecond, all the prophets; and the third, thofe writings which they called Chetubim, the Greeks Hagiographa; or books that were written by holy men, but not with luch fulnefs of firit as to be ranked among the prophets. In this divifion they reckoned five books in the firft clafs; eight in the fecond; and nine in the third; in all two and twenty; according to the number of the letters of their alphabet, and as fully comprehending all that was neceffary to be known and believed, as the number of their letters did all that was requifite to be faid or written; for in this method it is that they range them.

| 'The books of Mofes. | $\left\{\begin{array}{l} \text { Genefis: } \\ \text { Exodus. } \\ \text { Leviticus, } \\ \text { Numbers. } \\ \text { Deuteronomy. } \end{array}\right.$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| Four books of the former prophets. IV. | [ Jofhua. ${ }^{\text {Judges; }}$ and ( $\sigma$ ) Rutk |
|  | Samuel I. and ( $r$ ) 2. <br> Kings 1. and ( $r$ ) 2. |
| Four books of the later prophets. IV. | Ifaiah. |
|  | Jeremiah, and his (s) Lamentations. Ezekiel. |
|  | ( $t$ ) The books of the 12 leffer prophets. <br> (King David's Pfalms. <br> King Solomon's Proverbs. <br> His Ecclefiaftes. |
| And the reft of the holy writers. IX. | His Song of Songs. |
|  | The book of Job. |
|  | The book of Daniel. |
|  | The book of Ezra, and ( $u$ ) Nehemiah. |
|  | The book of Efther. |
|  | The book of ( $x$ ) Chronicles 1. and 2. |

(q) Which was put as an appendix to the Judges. (r) Counted them but one book. (s) Coninted but one book. ( $t$ ) Which were all put in one. (u) The Jews reckoned them both together for Qre. ( $x$ ) And thefe two went with them for one book.

Which two books of Chronicles, containing the fum of all their former hiftories, and reaching from the creation of the world to the Jews return from Babylon, are a perfect epitome of the Old Teftament; and therefore not improperly placed, as if they concluded and clofed up their whole Bible.

The book of Genefis, which is an introduction to the reft Genefs. of the Pentateuch, (and contains the hiftory of about 2369 years, from the beginning of the world to the death of the patriarch Jofeph) is fo called becaufe it treats of the creation of the world, the beginning and generation of man, and all other creatures $(y)$.

That of Exodus, which relates the tyranny of Pharaoh, Exodus. and the bondage of the Ifraelites under him (and contains an hiftory of near 145 years) is fo called, becaufe it comprehends the hiftory of the departure of the Ifraelites out of Egypt, under the conduct of Mofes (z).

That of Leviticus, (which contains about one month's Leviticss, time) has its name, becaufe it gives an account of the Jewifh fervice and worfhip, of the offices of the Levites, and the whole Levitical order (a).

That of Numbers, (which contains the hiftory of fome- Numbers. what more than $3^{8}$ years), and relates feveral remarkable incidents in the Ifraelites paffage through the wildernefs, has its denomination from Mofes's numbering the tribes of the people (b), according to God's order and appointment (c).

That of Deuteronomy, which fignifies a fecond law, Deuterone(and takes up about the fpace of fix weeks) is a fummary ${ }^{m y}$. * repetition of the laws, both moral, civil, and ceremonial;
(y) The Hebrews call it Berefith, in Principio, in the beginning, becaufe in their language it begins with that word. (z) The Hebrews call it veele Schenooth, becaufe it begins with thefe words, Now thefe are the names, \&c. (a) The Jews term it Vaicra, becaufe in Hebrew it begins with this word, which fignifies, and be called. (b) For now that they were paffing through the wildernefs, wherein they were in danger of meeting with many enemies, it was highly convenient to take an account of their forces, and to put themfelves in a pofture of defence; Lewis Antiq. Heb. 1. 8. (c) The Jews term it Vacdabber, and be fpake, becaufe in Hebrew it begins with thofe words.

* This feems to be of abfolute ufe, becaufe the Ifraelites; who had heard them before, died in the wildernefs; and as there
monial, which Mofes had given the Ifraelites in the former books; together with feveral kind admonitions and earneft exhortations to better obedience for the time to come, from the confideration of the many divine favours already received, and the promifes that were in reverfion (d).

This is the fcope of the Pentateach, or five books of Mofes: And that he, and none but he, was the writer of them, we have all the affurance that innumerable paffages, in the Holy Scriptures, the joint authority of Chrift and his apoftes, the anivertal confent of all ages, and (e) the concurring teftimony of the moft ancient Heathen authors, can give us. Only it mult be obferved, that fome part of the laft chapter of Deuteronomy, wherein mention is made of the death of Mofes, muft have been added by fome other writer, either by Jofhua his immediate fucceffor, or, (as others would have it) by Ezra, the great reftorer of the Jewifh canon.

The book of fofluta (which contains the hiftory of 17 years) is fo called, not fo much upon the account of its author, as of its fubject-matter ; fince it contains the hiftory of the wars, and other affairs which happened under the adminiftration of that great captain: But fince the author of the book of Ecrlefiafticus gives him this charatter; ( $f$ ) that be was the fucceffor of Mofes in prophecies, i. e. the next infpired writer of fcripture after Mofes, we have no reafon to oppofe the judgment of the Jewilh church, which $(g)$ generally afcribed it to him.
Judges.
The book of 7 udges, which relates the ftate of the Jewifh people in the land of Canaan, in the time of the
was now another generation of men fprung up, it was highly requifite to have thefe laws promulged afrelh, which Moles does in this book, and here and there interfperfes bothesplications and additions; Lewis, ibid. (d) The Jews call it Elle hatdeburim, bac fint verba, thefe are the rwords, becaufe the Hebrew text begins in this manner. (e) Vid. Grot. de Verit. Hib. i. fect. r6. Du Pin's Canon, vol. i. and Le Clexk's Prolegom. De frriptore Pentateuchi. ( $f$ ) Ecclef. x̀lvi. i.
(g) The Talmudifts indeed make him the author of the book; but fome of the ancients, and many modern writers, deay it : And accordingly we find Theodoret affirming, that this volume was collected a long time after Johua's death; and that it was no more than an abfract of an ancient commentary, called The book of juft men, whereof we find mention made in the tenth chapter of the faid book of Jofhua; Lewis's Antiq. Heb. lib. 8.
judges, from Johhua's death until Eli, (i. e. about 300 years) is very ancient, as appears from a paffage in a pfalm of David, (b) When thou wentift forth before the people, when thou marchedft through the wildernefs, the earth fbook, the heavens alfo dropped at the prefence of God; which words are an exact imitation of thefe in (i) Judges; Lord, when thou wenteft. out of Seir, when thou marchedfo out of the field of Edom, the earth trembled, the bcavens dropped, the clouds alfo dropped water: And, that it was wrote by Samuel, as well as the book of Ruth, (which is an appendix to it), the doctors of the Falmud agree, though others attribute it to Hezekiah, and many to Ezra.

The two books of Samuel, which are public hiftories of The books the tranfactions under the two laft judges, Samuel and Eli, of Samuel. and under the two firt kings, Saul and David, (comprifing the compals of 100 years), have likewife evident marks of their antiquity: And, though it be not abfolutely certain who their author was, yct the generality of the Jews do, with great probability, affert, that the four and twenty firf chapters were written by Samuel himfelf, and the reft by the prophets Nathan and Gad; which affertion they found on this paffage in the Chronicles, $(k)$ Now the aCts of David the King, firft and laft, behold they are written in the book of Samuel the foer, and in the book of Nathan the propbet, and the book: of Gad the feer.

The books of Kings ( $l$ ), and the Chronicles, (for I take Kings, and them in the order wherein they now ftand in our Bibles), Chronicles. which, taking in fome part of the foregoing books, contain the hiftory of the Jewifh monarchy down to the captivity of Babylon, (a f face of above 500 years), were compiled out of ancient records, which records were wrote by men of a prophetic fpirit; and all that Ezra (or whoever their compiler was) added of his own, was only fome genealogical obfervations at the beginning of the Chronicles, and fome other paffages of finall moment, relating to the times after the captivity.
(b) Pfal. leviii. 7, 8. (i) Judges v. 4. (k) I Chron. xxix: 29. (l) Though it be a matter of great uncertainty, whether the book of the Kings or of the Chronicles were firf written, yet it is evident, that this of the Chronicles is more full and comprehenfive than that of the Kings: And from thence thefe books are called Paralipomena, Remains, Supplements, and Additions, by the Greek interpreters; becaufe they contain fone paffages or circumfances that were omitted in the other hifterical writers; Lewis, ibit.

## The APPARATUS,

> Ezra. The book of Ezra, which is a continuation of the Chronicles, and comprifes the hiftory of the Jews from the time that Cyrus made the decree for their return, until the 20th year of Artaxerxes Longimanus, (which was about 100 years), was all compofed by him, except the fix firft chapters, which contain an account of the firft return of the Jews upon the decree of Cyrus; whereas Ezra did not return until the time of Artaxerxes. It is of his fecond return therefore that he writes the account, and, adding it to the other, (which he found ready compoted to his hand), he made it a complete hiftory of the Jewilh reftoration.

Nehemiah, who was the fon of Hilkiah, of the tribe of Levi, was advanced in Babylon to be cup-bearer or page to King Artaxerxes ; and from him he obtained leave to return to Judea for 12 years, in order to rebuild the city of Jerufalem. He continues the hiftory of Ezra from the 20th year of Artaxerxes to the reign of Darius Nothus, (about 40 years in all), and is, $(m)$ by the writer of the book of Maccabees, attefted to be the author of that work.
Efher.
The hiftory of Efther, a Jewifh captive virgin, who, for her tranfcendent beauty, was advanced to the throne of Perfia, and, by her intereft with her royal hufband ( $n$ ) Ahafuerus, (who fome will have to be the fame with the abovementioned Artaxerxes, and others with Darius Hyftafpes), procured to her countrymen a wonderful deliverance from Haman's intended maffacre, by fome is fuppofed to have been written by Ezra, and by others by Mordecai. But the more probable opinion of the Talmudifts is, that the great fynagogue (to perpetuate the memory of that remarkable event, and to account for the original of the feaft of Purim) ordered this book to be compofed, and afterwards approved, and admitted into the facred canon.
Job.
Who the author of the book of 706 was, is indeed uncertain : It is very probable however, that he was a perfon of great antiquity, and one who lived before the promulgation of the Jewifh law ; becaufe there are no traces of that to be found in the whole compafs of the book: And therefore the moft general opinion is, that it was written by Mofes, during his abode in Egypt, or in his flight into the land of Midian, with an intent to encourage the Jews

[^2]under the feverities of the Egyptian bondage. Though fome will rather have it, that the materials of this book were drawn up firft by Job himfelf, or fome of his friends, the interlocutors; and afterwards coming into the hands of Mofes, and thence into the pofleflion of Solomon, were by him turned † into Hebrew verfe', in the manner we now find them.

Some of the ancient fathers were of opinion, that the Pfalms. whole book of Pfalms was written by David only; but in this they muft be miftaken ; becaufe the titles of feveral pfalms tells us, that they were compofed by Mofes. The Hebrew doctors do generally agree, that the 92th pfalm was made by Adam. Solomon, no doubt, was the author of the 49 th pfalm, which is much of the fame ftrain with his other nuptial fong, which is called the Canticles; and it is no improbable conjecture, that the 88th and 89th pfalms were indited in the time or the Egyptian bondage ; the former condoling the people's diftrefs, and the latter prophefying their deliverance. However this be, it is certain, that David (who had an excellent gift of poetry and pfalmody) was the compofer of much the greater part of them ; and therefore his name was thought proper to give title to the whole collection, which was undoubtedly made by Ezra.

That the book of Proverbs, Ecclefiaftes, and Canti-The procles, were written by King 'Solomon, is the general opi-verbs. nion of the Jewifh doctors, who pretend to tell us, that he wrote the Canticles in his youth, his proverbs in his manhood, and his Ecclefiaftes at the latter end of his life. There are, however, but 25 chapters in the beginning of the firf, which are reputed the original collec-
$\dagger$ St Jerome, in his preface to the book of Job, informs us, that, for the moft part, it is in heroic verfe; that, from the beginning of the book to the third chapter, it is profe; butfrom the words, Let the day perifh wherean I wwas born, chap. iii. 3. to thefe, Wherefore I abbor myfelf, and repent in duff and afhes, chap. xiii. 6. all is hexameter verfe, confifing of dactyls and fpondees, like the Greek yerfes of Homer, or the Latin of Virgil. And Marianus, Victorius, in his note upon this paffage of St. Jerome, tells us, that he has examined this book of Job, and finds St. Jerome's obfervations to be true ; Shuckford's Connection, vol. 2. shap. 9.
tion of Solomon, the reft were compiled by other hands; only the laft chapter (which bears the name of Lemuel) is fuppofed to have been written by him under a borrowed name, and feems to be made up of fome wife inftructions which his mother Bathfheba had taught him when he was a child.

The Song of Songs, (as it is called), though it may relate to Solomon's marriage with the daughter of the King of Egypt, and is fo. far hiftorical ; yet the pious, in all ages, have ever efteemed it an allegorical dialogue between Chrift and

Erclefiafits.

Canticles.

The Prophets.

The canon of the oll Teftament compiled by Ezra. his church. And, though fome paffages in Ecclefiaftes feem to exprefsan Epicurean notion of providence : Yet it is to be remembered, that the author (in an academic way) difputes indeed on both fides, but, in the conclufion, determines for that which is right, viz. (o) To fear God and keep his commandments, which is the whole duty of man; for God, fays he, will bring every work to judgment, and every fecret thing, whether it be grood, or whether it be evil.

That the book both of the greater and leffer Prophets (for we have no need to confider them feparately) have been always thought to belong to the perfons whofe names and inferiptions they bear, we have the univerfal confent of the Jewilh church, feveral plain paffages from Jofephus, and a very remarkable teftimony in the book of Ecclefiafticus to convince us, where, after many praifes beftowed upon Ezekiel, and other prophets and worthies of Ifrael, there are thele words: ( $p$ ) And, of the twelve prophets let the memorial be bleffed; let their bones flouri/b again out of their place; for they comforted facob, and delivered them by a cer* tain hope.
Thus it appears, that the books, of the Old Teftament were either the work of the men whofe names they bear, or at leaft the compofitions of perfons affifted by the Holy Ghoft : And how they came to be collected intora body, and by perfons. who were duly qualified for the work, revifed, and publifhed in one volume, in the manner we now have them, is the next'point of inquiry we are to purfue.

It muft be acknowledged indeed that we cannot give' an exact account of the fettlement of the canon of the OId Teftament, becaufe we'have no authors extant who profeffedly treat of this affair; but, if we may believe the concurring teftimony of ancient writers, both Jewifh and Chriftian, (who might probably have their opinions from fome au-

$$
\text { (o) Ecclef. xii. 13. 14. (p) Ecclef. iv. } 10 .
$$

thorities
thorities that are now loft) we muft allow, that Ezra, upon his return from the captivity of Babylon, undertook the work; and, after he had finihhed it, had it approved by the grand Sanhedrim, and publifhed by authority. Only we muft obferve, $(q)$ that the two books of Chronicles, and thofe of Nehemiah, Efther, and Malachi, were very probably afterwards added by Simon the Juft; and that it was not till this time that the Jewifl canon of the Holy Scriptures was fully compleated.

That his canon began to be compiled foon after the return from the captivity, is pretty plain from the above-cited paffage in Ecclefiafticus, which makes mention of the twclue minor prophets, and is an argument that they were then collected, and digefted into one volume : And if we believe ( $r$ ) that the LXX interpreters tranflated all the Old leftament, (which is an opinion that many learned men do maintain), then it is evident, that the canon muft have been fettled before the time that their verfion was made, which was done under Ptolomy Philadelphus, and not improbably at the beginning of his reign. The truth is, both the Jewilh hiftory ends, and the fpirit of prophecy ceafed, much about this time: Nehemiah was the laft hiftorian, and Malachi the laft prophet, both contemporaries with Ezra, and both affifting to him in publifhing this new edition of the frriptures; and, therefore, it is reafonable to fuppofe, after the race of fuch writers were extingt, and all wifion and prophecy fealed up among the Jews, that this was a proper period for collecting the feveral copies, and adjufting the catalogue of their facred books.

But Ezra did more than this: (s) He not only collected all the books whereof the Holy Scriptures did confift, and

What he did to make his edí ion difpofed them in their proper order, but, by comparing the perfect: feveral copies together, he corrected all the errors which had crept into them through the negligence or miftakes of tranfribers. He changed the old names of feveral places that were grown obfolete, and, inftead of them, inferted fuch new ones as the people were better acquainted with. He filled up the chafins of hiftory, and added, in feveral places, throughout the books of this edition, what appeared to him to be neceffary for the illuftration, connection, and completion of the whole. And, laftly, he wrote every
(q) Vid. Prideaux's Connection, part t. 1. 5. (r) Vid. Walton's Prolog. 9. in Bib. Polyg. (s) Vid. Prideaux's Connection, part i. lib. 5 .

## The APPARATUS,

book in the Chaldee character, which fince the time of the captivity, the people underftood much better than the old Hebrew. But whether, upon this review, he added the vowel points, as they now are in our Hebrew. Bibles; is a queftion a little too prolix and intricate for us to engage in at prefent. Thofe who have a mind to have their curiofity in this refpect fatisfied, may fee the arguments on both fides fairly tated in the learned ( $t$ ) Connection, we have had fo frequent occafion to quote.

What we have to obferve farther is, that, in the feveral corrections, additions', and alterations which Ezra made, he did not proceed according to his own humour and caprice, but was directed by the fame Spirit which at firft affifted the writers of thefe facred volumes. For befides that himfelf was a ( $u$ ) prophet, or (as he is ftyled) ( $x$ ) a ready foribe in the law of Mofes, we can hardly fuppofe, but that, in an affair of fuch confequence, he would not only ufe the beft fkill he had himfelf; but confult likewife with Haggai, Zechary, and Malachi, (the lait of whom muft needs have been alive in his time, and poffibly the other two), and do nothing without their advice ; becaufe, in matters of much lefs moment (viz. where fome who pretended to the priefthood could not prove their pedigree) we find him fo very cautious; that he would determine nothing himfelf, but left the matter undecided, until a prieft /bould arife who (y) bad Urim'and Thummin, whereby he might confult the divine will upon all occafions.
and that the fame number of books has defcended to us.

Thus was the canon of the Old Teftament fettled, in or about the times of Ezra : And, that it continued in the fame manner or order until the publication of the gofpel, (befides the authority of feveral Chriftian writers), we have this remarkable teftimony from (z) Jofephus. "We have only two and twenty books," fays he, " which comprehend the' hiftory of all ages, and merit " our belief : Five belong to Mofes, which contain what " relates to the origin of man, and the tradition of the
( $t$ ) Part I. lib. 5. p. 497. (u) The Jews look upon Ezra as another Mofes; they call him the fecondfounder of the law, and hold his perfon in fo great efteem and veneration, that it is a common faying among their writers, If the law had not been given by Mofes, Ezra was worthy to have been the publifher of it : Lerwis. antiq. Heb. lib. 8. (x) Ezra vii. 6. (y) Chap. ii. 62.63 .
(z) Contra Apion.
" feveral fucceffions and generations, down to his death. "-From the death of Mofes to the reign of Artaxerxes, " (who was King of Perfia after Xerxes), the prophets " who fucceeded him have, in their books, written what
" happened in their time. The other books contain hymns
" to the praife of God, and precepts for the conduct of
" human life. What happened fince the time of Arta-
" xerxes down to our days, has likewife been recorded by
" the writers thereof; but they have not met with the like
" credit, becaufe there has not been any certain fucceffion
" of prophets during that time. And from hence, fays he,
"s it is manifeft, what refpect and eftimation has been paid
" to the books which complete our cannon; fince, in fo long
" a tract of time, no man has ventured either to add any
" thing to them, or diminifh or alter any thing in them;
" fince the Jews from their infancy are accuftomed to call
" them divine inftitutions, to believe them ftedfaftly, and,
" upon occafion, to lay down their lives in defence of "them."

That the fame number of authentic books has been Apocryphal tranfmitted to us, we may plainly perceive, if we will but books return to the feveral catalogues which the fathers, in their writings, have left us of them, which the council of Laodicea enumerates, and fundry general councils afterwards confirm. And though, in procefs of time, feveral apocryphal books (as containing matters of Jewifh hiftory and many moral precepts) were, by degrees, admitted into the fervice of the church, and publicly read for the inftruction of the peop'e; yer it would, be no hard matter to fhow, that fome of the beft and moft learned writers of their times always denied their canonical authority: "The church " indeed allowed them to be read, (as St Jerome tells us); but " fhe did not receive them into the canon of feripture :" And in like manner our church declares concerning them, that the " doth read them for example of life, and inftruc" tion of manners; but does not apply them to eftablifh any " doctrine." So that, though fome of thefe be confeffedly fpurious, and accordingly have been rejected by the wifdom of the church; yet this can be made no argument againft fuch as have been univerfally received, and handed down by unanimous, conftant tradition.
"But though we have been careful to receive no An objce" more books than what are ftrictly canonical, yet how tion.
" fhall we fatisfy ourfelves that we have received them all?
" In feveral parts of fcripture we find books referred to,
"fuch as the book of the covenant, the book of the wars of ' the Lord, the book of Alher, the book of the acts of Solomon, " \&c. none of which are now extant; and therefore, " as we fuppore them loft, we cannot but infer that our " prefent canon of fripture is very lame and imperfect.

Anfwered, by flewing that none of the canonical books are Ioft.

What has given credit to this objection is the common notion that the books here fuppofed to be loft were volumes of fome fize, and all indited by the Spirit of God; whereas we may obferve, ift, That the word Sepher, which we render book, fignifies properly a bare rehearfal of any thing, or any kind of writing, be it ever fo fmall; and that the cuftom of the Jews was to call every little memorandum by that name: For what we tranllate a bill of divorcement, is, (a) in the original, a book of divorcemient; and the fhort account of our Saviour's genealogy is (b) the book of the generation of 7efus Chrift. 2dly, That feveral of thefe tracts, which are not now extant, were written, not by perfons pretending to any fupernatural affiftance, but by fuch (c) as were ftyled recorders, or writers of chronicles, (as it is in the margin), an office of great honour and truft, but of a different kind from that of prophets. 3dly, That fuppofing they were indited by fuch as were properly prophets, yet they were not written by divine infpiration ; "for prophets (as (d) St. Auftin obferves) did not at " times write under the guidance and direction of the Holy " Ghoft. In the fundamentals of religion, indeed, they were "divinely affited; but in other matters they only wrote as "' faithful hintorians." And, 4thly, That moft of thefe pieces (e) are fitl remaining in the friptures, though they go under other appellations; and that fuch as are not to be found there, were never defigned for religious inftruction, nor are they effential to man's falvation. And now to apply thefe obfervations to the books we imagine to be loft.

The book of the covenant, which is mentioned in Exod. xxiv. 7. and thought to be miffing, is not any diftinct book from the body of the Jewifh laws. For whoever impartially examines that paffage in Exodus, will find, that the book referred to is nothing elfe but a collection of fuch injunctions and exhortations as are exprefsly laid down in the four preceding chapters.
(a) Deut. xxiv. 1.
(b) Mat. i. I.
(c) 2 Sam. viii. 16. 2 Kings, xviii. 18. 2 Chron. xxix. 8. (d) De civit. Dei, lib. 18. cap. $38 . \quad$ (e) Vid. Edward's Perfection of theHoly Scripture ; and Jenkins's Reafonablenefs. of the Chriftian Religion, vol 2.

The book of the wars of the Lord, cited in Numb. xx. 14. and fuppofed to be wanting, is (in the opinion ( $f$ ) of a very able judge) that very record, which, upon the defeat of the Amalekites, God commanded Mofes to make, as a memorial of it, and to rehearfc it in the cars of folbua. So that it feems to be no more than a fhort account of that victory, together with fome proper directions for Jofhua's private ufe and conduct in the management of the fubfequent war, but not at all dictated by divine infpiration ; and confequently no ( $g$ ) canonical fcripture.

The book of Jafher, mentioned in Jofh. x. 13. is fuppofed by fome to be the fame with the book of Judges, becaufe we find mention therein of the fun's ftanding fill; but the conjecture of the Jewifh hiftorian ( $b$ ) feems to be better founded, viz. that it was compofed of certain records, (kept in a fafe place at that time, and afterwards removed into the temple) which gave an account of what happened to the Jews from year to year, and particularly of the fun's ftanding fitl ; and (as it is in 2 Sam. i. 18.) directions for the ufe of the bow, i. e. for fetting up of archery, and maintaining military exercifes. So that this was not the work of an infpired perfon, but of fome common hiftoriographer, who wrote the annals of his own time, and might therefore deferve the name of fa/ber, The upright; becaufe what he wrote was generally deemed a true and authentic account of all the events and occurrences which then happened.

Once more, the feveral books of Solomon, mentioned in 1 Kings, iv. 32. 33. were no part of canonical fcripture. His three thoufand proverbs were perhaps only fpoken, not written down. His fongs, which were a thoufand and five, and whereof we have but one, were very likely his juvenile compofitions; and his univerfal bifory
(f) Dr. Lightfoot's Chronology of the times of the Old Teftament. (g) Others are of opinion, that the book here under conifideration is no other than the book of Judges, which may properly enough be called the book of the wars of the Lord; becaufe it recounts the warlike enterprizes which thofe brave men, who were ftirred by God in an extraordinary manner, were fo famous for, (or to exprefs the remarkablenefs of the thing, The wars of the Lord may fignify as much as the great, wonderful, and renowned wars fought by the valiant Hebrews; Lewis's Antiq, Heb. lib. 8.
(h) Jofeph. Antiq. lib. 5. cap. 2.
of vegetables, and that of animals of all kinds, as properly belonged to philofophy. It was not neceflary for every one to be acquainted with them : And though the lofs of them (confidering the matchlefs meafure of wifdom wherewith God had endowed their author) is certainly very great ; yet it is a lofs which none but the bufy fearchers into nature have caufe to bewail: Nor have they fo much caufe cither, if the conjectures of fome learned men hould prove true, viz. that thefe books of plants and animals were extant in the days of Alexander the Great ; or that being perufed and underftood by Ariforle and Theophraftus, by the help of an interpreter, they were tranlated into their writings in the manner we now find them, and, in procefs of time, gained them great honour and renown. Upon the whole therefore we may conclude, that if any books feem to be wanting in our prefent catalogue, they are either fuch as lie fecret and unobferved under other denominations, or fuch as had never the title of being canonical; as contained no points effential to man's falvation; and fuch, confequently, as we may live fafely ignorant of here, and thall never be refponfible for hereafter.

## An objec-

 tion.
## Anfwered,

 by thewing the occafion and benefit of various readings."But fuppofe we have the whole number of our boaks, " yet we are till at a lofs for the true fenfe of them; becaufe " fince the time of their firt recording, they have been fo " chopped and changed by the management of thofe who had " the cuftody of them; fo foifted with errors, and loaded " with various readings, that they render the text purely pre" carious, and make every wife man doubtful and fufpici" ous, whether any thing of certainty can be gathered from a " book where the fenfe and phrafeology is fo very uncertain." We readily grant indeed, that there is a great variety of different readings occurring in the books of the Old Teftament; but, as in a multitude of copies this is a thing unavoidable, fo is it one of the mort effectual means, at this diftance of time from all origimals, to help us to the true fenfe and meaning of the rext. For, put the cafe, that we had but one copy of the Bible by us, yet methinks it would be a defirable thing to have another; for (i) another, to join with the firf, would give us more authority, as well as fecurity. Now chufe that fecond where you will, there thall be numberlefs variations from the firft, and yet half or more of the faulis ftill remain in them both. A third therefore, and a fourth, and fo on, are defirable, thar, by a joint and mutual help, all the fauls may be mended; and yet the more copiss you call
(i) Phileleu. Lipf. Aniwer to a difcourfe of Free-thinking.
to your affiftance, the more do the various readings multiply upon you; becaufe every copy has its particulax flips, though in a principal paffage or two it may do fingular fervice. Were the originals indeed ftill in being, they would fuperfede the ufe of all other copies; but fince that is impoffible from the nature of things, fince time and calamities muft confume all, the fubidiary help muft be from the various tranfripts conveyed aown to us, when compared and examined together: And no onc can be ignorant, how much a collation of this kind tends both to illuftrate the fenfe of any particular paffage, and to ftrengthen the authority of the whole.
Confidering then, that before the ufe of printing, more manufcripts were made of the Holy Bible than of any Heathen author whatever; and that thefe manufcripts have been examined with more care, and collated with more exactnefs, and the various readings fet down ever to the moft minute difference ; we are not to wonder if, with all this frrupulous fearch and inquiry, the variations are fo many. The editors of profane authors do not ufe to trouble their readers with an ufelefs lift of every littl flip committed by a lazy or ignorant fcribe. What is thought commendable in an edition of the fcriptures, and has the name of fairnefs and fidelity, would be deemed triffing and impertinent in them : But if the like fcrupuloufnofs were obferved in regiftering the fmalleft changes in profane, as is allowed, pay required in facred authors, the number of their variations would rife at leaft to a full equality.
We ought to account it therefore a tingular inftance of God's good providence, confidering the great antiquity of many books of the fcriptures, beyond that of any other books in the world ; the multitude of copies that have been taken in all ages and nations; the dificulty to avoid miftakes in tranfcribing books in a language which has fo many of its letters, and of its words too, fo like one another ; the defect of the Hebrew vowels, and the late invention (as moft are now agreed) of the points; the change of the Samaritan, or ancient Hebrew, for the prefent Hebrew, or Chaldee character; the captivity of the whole nation of the Jews for feventy years; and the mixtures and changes which, during that time, were brought into their language: Confidering, I fay, that all the accidents which have ever happened to create errors and miftakes in any book, have concurred to occafion them in the Old Tefta-
VOL. I. H ment,
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ment, we ought to efteem it a particular inftance of God's providence, that the different readings are fewer, and make much lefs alteration in the fenfe, than thofe of any book of the fame bignefs, and of any note, or antiquity, if all the copies thould be as carefully examined, and every little vasiation as punctually fet down, as thofe of the Holy Scriptures have been. And much more are we to blefs the divine providence, that whatever differences are to be found in the feveral copies of the Bible, they do not in the leaft prejudife the fundamental points of religion, nor weaken the authority of thefe facred records. For this is the judgment of one ( $k$ ) who had ftudied the fubject much, and was fufficiently verfed in fcripture criticifm, viz. "That the " things relating either to faith or practice, are plainly " contained in all copies whatever. Difference there is " indeed in leffer things, as in matters of chronology, " which depend upon the alteration, or omiffion, or ad"dition of a letter; or in the names of men, or of cities, " or countries; but the principal doctrines of religion are " fo difperfed throughout the fcriptures, that they can re" ceive no damage or alteration, unlefs the whole fhould " be changed, or very grofsly corrupted."

And that the text was never altered, or corrupted.

For befides this providential care, (which we may well fuppofe to go along with the writings of fo divine a character), we find God making all proper and prudent provifion for their prefervation, by inferting a particular and ftriet prohibition in the law itfelf, ( $l$ ) That no one Jbould prefume to add unto, or diminifb ought from it; by enjoining the people to make it their conftant ftudy, $(m)$ to bind it, as it were, for a fign upon their hands, and as frontlets between their eyes, and to write it upon the gates and pofts of their houfes; and by requiring them to read it diligently, both in private to their families, and after a more folemn manner in their public congregations. All which could not but make them competent judges of the law of Mofes, and enable them to defcry any change or material corruption which fhould at any time attempt to infinuate itfelf.

To fecure the other infpired writings, a continued fucceffion of prophets was of great fervice : And it feems next to impoffible for any dangerous alteration to have been made, without detection and cenfure, fo long as that order of men, whofe office and zeal led them to correct any error

[^3]in faith, as well as corruption in practice, was in being; Nor can we fuppofe it probable, that any perfon would at: tempt fuch alterations, where the copies were in fo many hands, and fo openly read and confulted, that there was farce any priwate perion who might not have known (if any fuch thing had happened) when and wherein they had been corrupted.

Nay, fo far were the Jews from fuffering corruptions to creep into the Holy Scriptures, that ( $n$ ) if but one nsord happened to be altered in any copy, it was to be laid afide as utterly ufelefs; unlefs it was fometimes given to a very poor man to read to his family, upon condition, that he brought it not with him to the fynagogue, nor made any other ufe of it. The religious factions among the Jews were many timies very violent; but we no where find any party accufing the other of corrupting, or falifying fcripture; nor does our Saviour himfelf, who fo frequently reproves the Scribes and Pharifees for their traditions, and falfe gloffes, ever once charge them with adulterating the text itfelf; which he certainly would not have failed to do, had they been culpable in that refpect. On the contrary, both he and the apoftes appeal to it as true and authentic, and borrow their proofs from it, in confirmation of the Chriftian faith and doctrine. To conclude this argument then,

That from the time of Ezra, to the coming of our Saviour Chrift, the Jews did not corrupt the text of the facred writings, is plain from his not charging them with any fuch practice; which doubtlefs he would have done, (as well as reprove their falfe comments upon them), had they been equally guilty of both: And that, fince the beginning of Chriftianity, neither they, nor any other fect whatever, could poffibly make any falfifications, and cither add or diminif any thing material, without an immediate detection, is manifert from the multitude of true and authentic copies, which were eyery where difperfed as far as Chriftianity prevailed, and from that jealous and vigilant eye, which each party had upon the other: So that we may reafonably fuppofe, that all the little errors which may be remarked in them. proceeded not from any ill defign, but merely from the ignorance or inattention of their tranfcribers. And indeed, ( 0 ) confidering the many ages through which the books hare paffed, we have much more reafon to
(n) Vid. Jenkins's Reafonablenefs, vol. 2. (a) Bifhop Bur: net on the Articles.

## The APPARATUS,

wonder, that they are brought down to us fo entire, and fo manifeftly genuine, in ail their main and fundamental points, than that we fhould fee fome inftances of human frailty in thofe who copied and preferved them.

An objec, tion.

Anfwered, by hewing that thanflations are defective.-
"But be the books ever fo genuine, and their tradi" tion ever fo certain, yet we cannot fuppofe them wrote " by perfons divinely infpired, fo long as we fee in them " certain characters' inconfiftent with fuch a fuppofition. "Surely the pureft language. the moft perfect ftyle, the " greateft clearnefs, the moft exact method, the foundeft " reafoning, the moft apparent confiftency, and, in a " word, all the excellencies of good writing, might be ex" pected in a piece compofed or dictated by the Spirit of " God ; but books wherein we find the reverfe of all this', " it is idle, if not impious, to afcribe to the Deity."
I. Cne great miftake which the generality of readers run into, is, to judg. of the compofition of the fcripture, not from its original but from its tranflations : For, ${ }^{( }(p)$ befides that in ancient writings, (fuch as the Bible is) there are allufions to many rites and cuftoms that are now laid afide; and, for this reafon, muft needs feem flat or impertinent; which,' when they were in ufe, had a great deal of Spirit and propriety in them; and befides that the Hebrew, in particular, is a language of a peculiar catt, both in the contexture of its words, and the cadence of its periods, and contains certain "expreffions', whofe" emphafis can no more be tranflated into another language, than the water of a diamond can be painted; without detracting from the original: Befides all this, I fay, the tranflators themfelves, fometimes by running into miftakes, and at all times by adhering too religioully to the letter of the text, have contributed not a little to make the fyle of the facred writings appear lefs advantageous. For, whereas other tranflators have taken a liberty to accommodate the beauties of the language whereinto they tranflate, to the idiotifms of that wherein their author wrote; thefe have thought themfelves reftrained from ufing fuch freedom in a divine compofition; and have therefore left feveral Hebraic, and other foreign phrafes in their verfion, which feem a little uncouth, and give the reader (who can look no farther) a very odd notion of the original : 'Though it is certainly manifeft, that the moft elegant piece of oratory that ever was framed, if we render it literally, and not give it the true genius of the
( $p$ ) Vid. Boyle of the ftyle of the Scripture; and Nicholl's Conference, vol. i.
language whereunto we are admitting it, will lofe all its beauty, and appear with the fame difadvantage.
II. Another miftake that we run into, is, when we That eloconfine eloquence to any nation, and account that the quence is only proof of it, which is accommendated to the prefent not peculitafte. We indeed, in thefe European countries, whofe country; languages, in a great meafure, are derived from Greek and Latin, make them the patterns for our imitation, and account them the ftandard of perfection: But there is no reafon why the eaftern nations, whofe languages have no affinity with them, fhould do the fame; mucin lefs is ir reafonable to expect it in writers who lived long before thefe Greck or Latin authors (we fo much admire) were born. It is fufficient for them that they wrote according to the fafhionable, and efteemed eloquence of their own times: But that the Holy Ghoft fhould infpire them with certain fchemes of feeech, adapted to the modern tafte, and fuch as were utterly unknown in the countries where they lived, is a thing that can never enter into any fober man's confideration. The truth is, fince Mofes was bred up in all the refined learning and wifdom of the Egyptians; fince Solomon was excellent in all kind of knowledge, and in a manner idolized by the Eaftern world; and fince Daniel's promifing youth was improved by the learning of the Chaldean fages; we have all the reafon imaginable to believe, that they wrote according to the perfection of fyle which was then in ufe; that though their eloquence differs from ours, yet it is excellent in its kind; and that, if we have other notions of it, it is only becaufe we are unacquainted with thofe bold allegories, and figurative ways of difcourfe; thofe dark fentences, furprifing brevities, and inconnected tranfitions, wherein the nature of their true fublime did confift.
III. Another miftake we run into is, when we fup- nor neceffapofe that the critical rules of eloquence are any ways ne- yy in a diceffary in divine compofitions. The defign of God, in pooftion. recording his laws, was to inform our underftandings, to cure our pallions, and rectify our wills; and if this end be bu attained, it is no great matter in what form of diction the prefcription be given. We never expect that a phyfician's receipt hoould be wrote in a Ciceronian ftyle: And if a lawyer has made us a firm conveyance of an eftate, we never inquire what elegancies there are in the writing. -When therefore, -God intends to do us far greater thinge than thefe; when $k$ is delivering the terms of our faivation,
falvation, and prefcribing the rules of our duty ; why fhould we expect that he fhould infift on the niceties of ftyle and exprefion, and not rather account it a diminution of his authority, to be elaborate in trifles, when he has the momentous iffues of another life to command our attention, and affect our pafiions? In fome of the greateft works of nature, God has not confined himfelf to any fuch order and exactnefs. ( $q$ ) The ftars, we fee, are not caft into regular figures; lakes and rivers are not bounded by ftraight lines; nor are hills and mountains exact cones or pyramids. When a mighty prince declares his will by laws and edicts to his fubjects, is he (do we think) careful at all about a pure fyle, or elegant compofition? Is not the phrafe thought proper enough, if it conveys as much as was intended ? And would not the fine ftrains of fome modern critics be thought pedantic and affected on fuch occafions? Why then fhould we expect in the oracles of God an exactnefs, that would be $(r)$ unbecoming, and beneath the dignity of an earthly monarch, and which bears no proportion or refemblance to the magnificent works of the creation ? A ftrict obfervation of the rules of grammar and rhetoric, in elegant expreffions, harmonious periods, and technical definitions and partitions, may gratify indeed fome readers; but then it muft be granted that thefe things have the air of human contrivance in them; whereas in the fimple, unaffected, artlefs, unequal, bold, figurative ftyle of the Holy Scriptures, there is a character fingularly great and majeftic, and what looks more like divine infpiration, than any other form of compofition.
Thefyle of Thefe obfervations being premifed, if we fhould now fcripture in fructive and affecting. confider the nature of eloquence in general, as it is defined by (s) Ariftotle, to be a faculty of perfuafion, which Cicero makes to confift in three things, inftructing, delighting, and mawing our readers or hearers mind, we hall find, that the Holy Scriptures have a fair claim to thefe feveral properties.

For where can we meet with fuch a plain reprefentation of things, in point of hiftery, and fuch cogent arguments, in point of precept, as this one volume furnifhes
(q) Vid. The Minute Philofopher, dialogue 4.
( $r$ ) Cujefcunque orationem videris folicitam et politam, fcitG animum quoque non minus effe pufillis occupatum: Magnus remiffius loquitur, et fecurius; quxcunque dicit plus haḅent fiducix quam curx; Sen. epit. II5.
(s) Rhet. l. 1. c. 2.
us with? Where is there an hiftory written more fimply and naturally, and at the fame time more nobly and loftily, than that of the creation of the world? Where are the great leffons of morality taught with fuch force and perfpicuity (except in the fermons of Chrift, and the writings of the apoftles) as in the book of Deuteronomy? Where is the whole compafs of devotion, in the feveral forms of confeffion, petition, fupplication, thankfgiving, vows, and praifes, fo punctually taught us, as in the book of Pfalms? Where are the rules of wifdom and prudence fo convincingly laid down, as in the Proverbs of Solomon, and the choice fentences of his Ecclefiaftes? Where is vice and impiety of all kinds more juftly difplayed, and more fully confuted, than in the threats and admonitions of the prophets? And what do the little warmths, which may be raifed in the fancy by an artificial compofure and vehemence of ftyle, fignify in comparifon of thofe ftrong impulfes and movements which the Holy Scriptures make upon good men's fouls, when they reprefent the frightful juftice of an angry God to ftubborn offenders, and the bowels of his compaition, and unfpeakable kindnefs, to all true penitents and faithful fervants?

The Holy Scripture indeed has none of thofe flafhy ornaments of fpeech, wherewith human compofitions fo plentifully abound; but then it has a fufficient ftock of real and peculiar beauties to recommend it. 'To give one inftance for all out of the hiftory of Jofeph and his family: The whole relation indeed is extremely natural: But the manner of his difcovering himfelf to his brethren is inimitable. ( $t$ ) And Fofeph could no longer refrain bimfelf-but, lifting up bis woice with tears, faid -I am fofeph ——Doth my father yet live? - And his bretbren could not anfwer him; for they were troubled at his prefence. And fofeph faid to bis bretbren, Come near me, I pray you: And they came near, and be faid, I am 70 eph - your brother —whom ye fold into Egypt. Nothing certainly can be a more lively defcription of Jofeph's tender refpect for his father, and love for his brethren: And, in like manner, when his brethren returned, and told their father in what fplendor and glory his fon Jofeph lived, it is faid, that (u) Jacob's heart fainted, for be believed them not; but when be faw the suaggons which Jofeph had fent for bim, the fpirit of facob, their father, revived: And Ifrael faid, It is enough Fofeph my fon is yet alive -I will go -and fee him, (t) Gen. xlv. t. déc. (a) Ver. 26. ér.

Figurative and lofty fometimes.
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before I die. Here is fuch a contraft of different pafions, of utter defpondency, dawning hope, confirmed faith, triumphant joy, and paternal affection, as no orator in the world could exprefs more movingly, in a more eafy manner, or thorter compafs of words.

Nay more, had I leifure to gratify the curious, I might eafily thow, that thofe very figures and fchemes of fpeech, which are fo much admired in profane authors, as their great beauties and ornaments, are no where more confpicuous than in the facred.

One figure, for inftance, efteemed very florid among the mafters of art, is, when all the members of a period begin with the fame word. The figure is called anaphora; and yet (if I miftake not) the 15 th pfalm affords us a very benutiful paffage of this kind. Lord, who fall abide in thy taberiacle? Who Jall dwell in thy boly bill? He that walketh uprightiy; he that backbiteth not with bis tongue; be that maketh much of them that fear the Lord; be that fweareth to his hurt, and changeth not; be that putteth not out his money to ufury, nor taketh re= ward againft the innocent. He that does thefe things ßall never be moved.

The ancient orators took a great deal of pride in ranging finely their antitheta. Cicero is full of this, and ufes it many times to a degree of affectation; and yet I cannot find any place wherein he has furpaffed that paffage of the prophet. ( $x$ ) He that killeth an ox, is as if be Alew a man; be that facrificeth a lamb, as if be cut off a dog's neck; be that offereth an oblation, as if he offered fwines blood. But above all other figures, that whereon poets and orators love chiefly to dwell, is the bypotypofis, or lively defcription; and yet we fhall hardly find, in the beft claflic authors, any thing comparable, in this regard, to the Egyptians deftruction in the Ked Sea, related ( $y$ ) in the fong of Mofes and Miriam ; to the defcription of the Leviathan ( $z$ ). in Job; to the defcent of God, and a ftorm at fea (a) in the Pfalmift; to the intrigues of an adulterous woman (b) in the Proverbs; to the pride of the Jewifh ladies ( $c$ ) in Ifaiah; and to the plague of locufts (d) in Joel; which is reprefented like the ravaging of a country, and ftorming a city by an army : A fire devourath before them, and behind them a defolate

willdernefs, and nothing Jaall efcape them.——Before their face people foall be pained; all faces fball gather blacknefs. They fall run like mighty men; they fall climb the wall like men of war; they fball march every one in bis way, and they ßall not break their ranks.----They fball run to and fro in the city; they Jall run upon the wall; they Joall climb up upon the boujes; they fball enter into the windows as a thief. The defcription is more remarkable, becaute the analogy is carried quite throughout without ftraining, and the whole proceffes of a conquering army in the manner of their march, their deftroying the provifion, and burning the country, in their fcaling the walls, breaking intohoufes, and running about the vanquilhed city, are fully delineated and fet before our eyes.

From thefe few examples (for it would be endlefs to at all imes proceed in inftances of this kind) it appears, that the Holy proper: Bible is far from being defective in point of eloquence; and (what is a peculiar commendation of it) its fylie is full of a grateful variety; fometimes majeftic, as becomes that bigh and boly one who inbabiteth eternity; fometimes fo low, as to anfwer the other part of his character, who dwelleth with bim that is of an bumble fpirit ; and, at all times fo proper, and adapted fo well to the feveral fubjects it treats of, that (e) whoever confiders it attentively will perceive, in the narrative parts of it, a ftrain fo fimple and unaffected; in the propheric and devotional, fomething fo animated and fublime; and in the doctrinal and preceptive, fuch an air of dignity and authority, as feems to fpeak its original divine.

We allow indeed, that method is an excellent art, Metbor, a highly conducive to the clearnefs and perfpicuity of dif- modern incourfe; but then we affirm, that it is an art of moderninvention in comparifon to the times when the facred penmen wrote, and incompatible with the manner of writing which was then in vogue. We indeed in Europe, who, in this matter, have taken our examples from Greece, can hardly read any thing with pleafure that is not digefted into order, and forted under proper heads; but the eaftern nations, who were ufed to a free way of difcourfe, and never cramped their notions by methodical limitations, would have defpifed a compofition of this kind as much as we do a fchool-boy's theme, with all the formalities of its exordiums, ratios, and confirmatios. And, if this
was no precedent for other nations, much lefs can we think, that God Almighty's methods ought to be confined to human laws, which, being deligned for the narrownefs of our conceptions, might be improper and injurious to his, whofe thoughts are as far above ours, as the beavens are bigher than the earth.
and not fo proper in vivine compolitions,

The truth is, $(f)$ infpiration is, in fome meafure, the language of another world, and carries irr it the reafoning of firits, which, without controverfy, is vaftly different from ours. We indeed, to make things lie plain before our underftandings, are forced to fort them out into diftinct partitions, and confider them by little and little, that fo at laft, by gradual advances, we may come to a tolerable conception of them; but this is no argument for us to think that pure fpirits do reafon after this manner. Their underftandings are quick and intuitive: They fee the whole compafs of rational inferences at once; and have no need of thofe little methodical diftinctions which oftentimes help the imperfection of our intellects. Now, tho' we do not affert, that the language of the Holy Scriptures is an exact copy of the reafoning of the fpiritual world; yet, fince they came by the infpiration of the Holy Ghoft, it is but reafonable to expect that they fhould preferve fome fmall relifh of it ; as books tranflated into another tongue always retain fome marks of their originals. And hence it comes to pafs, that though the Holy Ghoft does vouchfafe to fpeak in the language of men, yet, in his divine compofitions, there are fome traces to be found of that bold and unlimited ratiocination which is peculiar to the heavenly inhabitants, whefe noble and flaming thoughts are never clogged with the cold and jejune laws of human method. To which purpofe we may obferve, that. even among the Heathens, whenever their authors reprefent a perfon infpired, a Sybil, a Caffandra, or a Tirefias, they never introduce him making a fet formal fpeech, but always faying fomething noble and fublime, which difdains :all ordinary artificial fetters. And, if the greateft mafters of polite writing thought it proper to neglect all rules and reftraints in compofitions of pretended infpiration, why fhould that be accounted culpable in the Holy Scriptures which is held fo exquifite in Sophocles, or any other lofty tragedian?
(f) Nicholl's conference with a Theif, vol. r.

But after all, the Holy Scriptures (as far as can be expected) are not deftitute of method. they are not indeed many cawrote upon the plan of fome Greek and Latin compofitions ; fes, the but they are delivered in fuch a manner as is eafy to be un- obferve it: derfood, not unpleafant to read, and, to thofe who are accuftomed to oriental compofitions, exceedingly beautiful. For, where can we find a more methodical hiftory than that of Mofes, beginning at the firft creation of all things, and the formation of human kind; proceeding in the account of their increafe, depravation, and almof total deftruction by an univerfal deluge; after their fecond increafe, relating their relapfe into idolatry, and thereupon God's electing a peculiar people to ferve him according to his own appointment ; and fo recording the firft original and various adventures of their progenitors; the afflictions and wanderings of that chofen nation, and the polity which -they hould obferve when once they were fettled in the promifed land ? Nothing can be more clear and regular than this. And as for the other hiftorians, who wrote the tranfactions of the Jewifh nation from the conqueft of Canaan to the Babylonifh captivity, they are fo exact in obferving the order and feries of time, and in fetting down the length of each prince's reign, that they afford a berter foundation for hiftorical truth; as well as chronological certainty, than is to be found in the beft heathen writers of this kind.

It cannot be expected indeed, that pfalms and hymns wrote upon fundry occafions, or fuch proverbs and wife axioms as took their rife from different obfervations, and were noted down the inttant they were conceived, fhould have any connection or mutual dependence. Prophecies too were to be loofe, and unconfined to rule, as being the language of a fpirit, which will admit of no reftraint; but, as for the doetrinal and argumentative parts of the fripture, they are digefted in fuch a manner as to make them plain and intelligible: And tho' the partitions and tranfitions of them are notifo formally diftinct as in fome other books; yet are they perceiveable enough to an attentive reader, and will receive great illuftration from the analytical works of fome expofitors.

It muft not be diffembled however, that the Hebrew And why tongue (wherein a great part of the Bible was written) has fometime many words, confifting of the fame fyllables, and yet of ${ }^{\text {not. }}$ very different fignifications; and that it is defective in fevefal modes and tenfes which our modern languages have :
fo that, if the tranflator has miftaken the fignification of the word, he fpoils the connection ; or, if he has not given the verb the right mode and tenfe, (which, in a great meafure, he is obliged to guefs at), there will be a plain incoherence in the fenfe. Nor muft it be forgot, that the prefent divifion of the fcripture into chapters and verfes (though of excellent ufe to the memory) has fometimes feparated things which fhould have been united, and fometimes united matters that fhould have been feparated; and this difturbs the fenfe, and makes it look wild and incoherent to fuch as are not qualified to obferve its propriety and connection in the original.

The caufes of fome obfcurity in the écriptures:
'Thefe are fome of the caufes of the feeming irregularity; and the like may be faid of the great obfcurity which fome have complained of in the Holy Scriptures; viz. that, where it is not occafioned by the fubject-matter, which fometimes contains myfteries above all human comprehenfion, and fometimes alludes to cuftoms and tranfactions which length of time has concealed from our knowledge, it ufually happens, when the fignification of words is ambiguous and uncertain in the origirial; when there occur fome particular idioms of the Hebrew tongue not fo familiar to us; when the confruction is intricate, and the words make different fenfes, according as they are differently joined together; when the ftyle itfelf is obfcure by reafon of metaphors and allegories, which are ufual in the poetical books; when the writer paffes from one fubject to another fomewhat abruptly; which frequently happens in the prophetical; or when he makes tranfpofitions in the order of narration; as is fometimes perceiveable in the hiftorical. But, thefe cafes excepted,' (which, with a little ftudy and application of our own, as well as attention to thofe who undertake the expoftion of thefe difficulties, may ealfiy be remedied), that the Holy Scriptures are, in all points neceffary to falvation, and, to all perfons of - competent underftanding, fufficiently plain and intelligible, the very defign of God's baving them wrote; is a fufficient demonftration: For, as the defign of all writing is to convey our thoughts intelligibly to others, fo would it be a great reflection upon the divine wifdom, if a book written by God's direction,' and for'the inftruction of mankind, thould fall fhort of that end, which even human compofitions feldom fail of.

Prticu'aroy in the graphets:

We cannot deny indeed but that there is a great obfurity generally fpread over the writings of the prophets;
but then we affirm, that fuch obfcurity is neceffary for wife purpofes and providential reafons. For, as the creator of the world governs it with wifdom, and (where the free will of man is concerned) with great condefcenfion; had the Holy Spirit revealed to the prophets future events fo diftinctly, as that they might have expreffed the moft minute circumftance of time, place, perims, do in ooper terms; had the predictions, I fay, been fo plain and apparent, that every body, at firft fight, might fee the whole contrivance, and look through all the fcenes of action, they could never have been accomplifhed, without offering violence (by fome miraculous interpofition) to mens voluntary determinations. Had God, for inftance, forerold our Saviour's crucifixion, with all its particular circumfances, the manner how, the time when, the place where, and the perfons by whom, it was to be affected; it is hardly fuppofable, that the chief priefts, and fo many principal men among the Jews, would have had an hand in it, without being perfectly carried on to it by an over ruling power, againft their own inclinations; which (befides its contrariety to the principles of human nature) muft needs make God the outhor of fin. But fince the prophecies concerning the Meffias and his fufferings were delivered with fuch a mixture of obfcurity, as never fully to be underftood till after their accomplifhment, they gave room for the Jews malice to concur with God's providence in bringing this matter to pafs: And fo (as St. Paul tells us) (g) becaufe they knew him not, nor yet the voices of the prophets, they fulfilled them in condemning him. So neceflary it was, that all prophecies of future events fhould be couched under dark and enigmatical phrafes, left, by being too plainly foretold, they might poffibly chance to deftroy themfelves, and defeat their own intention.

We acknowledge ftill farther, that, befides the predic-And the extions of the prophets, there are feveral points contained pediency of in fcripture quite remote from the common apprehenfion ries. of mankind, and, in many refpects, hard to be underftood. But then we muft cbferve withal, that, as thefe obfcure paffages are very few, in comparifon of the plain texts, and no more hinder us from underftanding the plain, than the fpots in the fun debar us from the light of 'it; fo are they far from reflecting difhonour upon the difpenfation itfelf. If we confider ferioufly with ourfelves, we
cannot but fay, that it is more reafonable to fuppofe ( $b$ ), that a revelation from God fhould contain fomething dif. ferent in kind, and more excellent in degree, than what lay open to the common fenfe of men, or could be difcovered even by the moft fagacious philofophers. 'the councils of princes, we know, lie often beyond the ken of their fubjects, who can only perceive fo much as is revealed by thofe who fit at the helm, and are often unqualified to judge of the ufefulnefs and tendency even of that, till in due time the fcheme unfolds, and is accounted for by fucceeding events. This makes the councils of princes revered, and preferves the dignity of the cabinet. And in like manner, why may we not fuppofe, that, (i) as eafinefs of accefs is many times known to lay a man open to contempt; fo, to protect his revelation from rude encroachments, by impreffing an awe and reverential fear upon our minds, God has thought proper to furround it (as it were) with a facred and majeftic obfcurity, and, in fome parts of it, to exhibit fuch exalted truths as tranfeend the reach of human wifdom; thereby to humble the pride and haughtinefs of our reafon; and thereby to engage us in a clofer and more diligent fearch into fuch fubjects as will every moment furnifh us with new matter to entertain the bufieft contemplation, to the utmoft period of human life.
Reafons for Thefe are fome reafons for the obfcurity, and the like
feeming feeming contradictions in fcripture, may be faid for the feeming contradictions (efpecially in matters of chronology) which are faid to occur in the facred writings. For if we confider the different cuftoms and ways of fpeaking which were in ufe in former days, but now are obfolete; and yet we might happily reconcile fome repugnant expreffions, if we were but acquainted with thofe ufages, to which in all probability they allude: If we confider the narrow compafs of the Hebrew tongue, wherein one word has formetimes a great many fignifications; and yet we' might make feveral contradictory paffages agree, if we knew but how to give the fame word one fignification in the firlt paffage, and another in the fecond; and more efpecially, if we confider that chronology is a part of learning of all others the moft difficult to be adjufied; that the leaft alteration of a word or letter may, make an exceeding great difference; that the Jewifh years do not exactly quadrate with thofe of orher nations, either
(b) Vid. Minute Philofapher, dialogue 6." (i) South's fermons.
as to their length, or their beginning ; and that the fupernumerary months of kings reigns do often. puzzle the general computation; we cannot much wonder, that in the midft of fo many difficulties, there fhould be found fome feeming repugnancies in the fenfe of fome texts, as well as in the accounts of time. But when we confider farther, that by thewing the different acceptation of the words and expreffions in thefe feemingly interfering places; by fettling the chronological accounts, and comparing them with other parts of fcripture which have an analogy with them; and by ufing, in thort, thofe feveral rules of interpretation and criticifm which are wont to be employed in the explication of all other authors, all thefe incongruities are fufficiently cleared up by learned men ; we fhall be induced to think, that they are fo far from invalidating the authos: rity of the Holy Scriptures, that they do, in a great mea: fure, confirm it. For if the fcriptures had been writeen by a cabal of men, defigning to impofe upon the world, undoubtedly thefe men would have ufed all circumfpection and caution, that no fign of contradiction fhould have appeared in their writings, becaufe nothing is fo exact as a ftudied cheat; whereas it is no fmall argument of the veracity of thefe writers, that they agree with one another in all material points, and only neglect an exactnefs in fome little punctilios, wherein nothing but a confederacy could have made them uniform:

But after all, we talk of contradictions, and other ab though no furdities; of digreffions, repetitions, falfe reafonings, im- when in ones, pertinent, and fometimes ridiculous relations in feripture, quired into. which, upon better examination, will be found reconcileable to good fenfe, and in fome refpect prove its very perfection and ornament. We may think it a little ftrange, for inftance, that Cain, upon the murder of his brother, fhould be introduced as faying, (k) every one that findeth me ball fay me, and prefently after, as (l) going into the land of Nod, and there building him a city; whereas, according to the common notion, there were but (befides himfelf) three perfons, his father, mother, and his wife, upon the face of the whole earth: But now, if the word Kol, which we render every one, may as well be tranflated :every thing, every creature ( $m$ ), every wild beaft of the
(k) Gen. iv. 14. (l) Ver. 17. ( $m$ ) He w'as afraid (fays Jofephus) left, while he wandered up and down in the earth (which was part of his punifhment) he fhould fall among fome beafts, and be flain by them; Antiq. lib. x. cap. 3 .

## The APPARATUS,

field, (the man's confcience foreboding that God might poffibly let loofe the brute-creation upon him), and if, upon a moderate computation, the other defcendents of Adam (for Mofes takes notice only of the two lines of Cain and Seth) might be numerous enough to ftock whole countries with inhabitants, (as fome have calculated even to a demonitration) where will the abfurdity be then?

Digreffions in frripture.

It may look perhaps like a carelefs ramble of thought, to fee a prophet, (for it is only in the prophetical works that this happens), after he has begun a plain and methodical difcourfe upon an incidental word or expreffion, break out all at once into a long digreffion, which feems not fo fuitable to his main purpofe; but if we attend to the matter of that digreflion, we fhall generally find it a prediction of the glad tidings of the gofpel, the moft important fubjeft that infived authors can employ their thoughts apon; and what the A'Foly Ghoft took every occafion to fuggeft to their minds. Nor can we be ignorant, that in the beft Heathen writers who pretended to infpiration, (as moft of their poets did), thefe very digreffions (which were ftyled epifodes) were thought their greateft beauties; and that in fome of their loftieft compofitions, (fuch as thofe of Pindar and of Horace, ( $n$ ) where he imitates Pindar), thefe wild excurfions were held effential to the poem, the only indications of the divine enthufiafm, and fome of the daring flights of a bold afpiring mufe, which defpifed all rules, and difdained to be controlled.
Repetitions The repetitions in fcripture we may perhaps take offence in fcripture whence occafioned. at, and think them more frequent in the Bible than in any other book. But when it is confidered that the feveral tracts of the Bible were written by different perfons, and at different times, it can be no more fault or blemifh in it, that its different writers fhould fometimes happen to fay the fame things, than that the fame hiftory fhould be written by Appian and Curtius, or the fame arguments made ufe of by Ariftotle and Cicero.

This is a cafe, without a combination, unavoidable. But * when we confider withal, that the things which are faid to be fo often repeated, are generally fuch as relate to moral duties, which can never be fufficiently enforced, and that in inculcating thefe the facred writers have ufed all the variety that can be expected; in forme places exhorting men to goodnefs, from the reward; in others,
(n) Vid. Carm. 1. 3. ode 3. where the digreffion begins, line 18. * Boyle of the ftyle of the fcriptures.
from the beauty of virtue; in fome exhibiting the danger, in fome the turpitude, and in others the folly of fin; here commending fobriety from its temporal, and there from its eternal recompence; here reprefenting pride as contemptible to men, and there as hateful to God; and every where diverfifying their arguments, to make them work upon the love, the hope, or the fear of their readers, from the confideration of the goodnefs, the promifes, or the juftice of God: When we obferve the prophets denouncing judgments, fometimes againft the people, fometimes againft the priefts, and at other times againft the kings; fome reprehending them for their pride, fome for their idolatry, and others for their profanation of the Sabbath; one bringing them the joyful news of a reftoration from their captivity, and another of their redemption by the Meffias; one weeping over the (.ld Jerufalem, and another ravifhed with the thoughts of the New: When we confider, I fay, this wonderful variety of frefh matter in the facred writers, both moral and prophetical, we cannot but adore the goodnefs of God, in giving us line upon line, and precept upon precept ; in condefcending fo gracioully to our infirmities, that in almoft every page of his Holy Word he has fupplied us with frefh motives and exhortations to thofe great and momentous duties we are fo apt to tranfgrefs; and muft needs be very grofsly prejudiced, if we can fuppofe, that the writings either of Seneca, (who ufually feeds his reader with nothing but whipt cream, or a very little fenfe frothed out into a multitude of words), or even of the divine Plato limfelf, (who, ftripped of his unintelligible rant, makes but a poor figure in point of folid fenfe), any way comparable to the Holy Bible, wherein God feems to have provided for our entertainment, as well as our edification ; and to have overfpread it with a pleafing diverfity of fubjects and arguments, in the fame manner that he has adorned the creation with a curious variety of plants and animals.

It muft not be diffembled indeed, that, what with mif-and its merendering the connective particles, which have many dif- thod of rea. ferent fignifications, and now and then ( 0 ) mifplacing a doning ${ }_{\text {dicated }}$; parenthefis in the Hebrew tongue, the thread of the difcourfe comes often to be interrupted; and thofe who overlook the figurative, and fometimes abrupt way of arguing ufual among the eaftern nations, (where the reader is often left to make the deduction for himfelf), will meet with fome perplexities: But where either this is not the cafe,
(c) Parenthefes were'not originally in the Hebrew tongue. Vel. I.
or where thefe difficulties are furmounted, a man of a competent underftanding may fee the force and tendency of any foripture-argument as clearly as if it were drawn up in mood and figure. The art of logic is a novel invention, compared with the date of the authors we are now fpeaking of: And therefore they are not blameable for not being perfect in all the niceties of the Greek fchools; efpecially confidering, that if even they had been mafters of this art, fince they were to addrefs themfelves to popolar auditories, prudence would have directed them to make ufe of popular arguments, (as we find they did), which in fuch a cale, the greateft Heathen orators have always employed, and thence found, that they carried their point with better fuccefs than in the moft irrefragable fyllogifms.
(p) The Heathen moralifts, we find, urge virtue from the rational topics of conveniency and inconveniency, by difplaying the aminblenefs and advantages of good, and deformity and mifchiefs of evil : And are not the arguments which Mofes ufes to engage the Jews to a compliance with the laws which God enjoined them, drawn from the obligation they owed him for his creating them; from his delivering them from bondage, and making them his chofen people; from the profperity which their obedience would procure, and the certain calamities which their difobedience would bring upon them; are not the arguments which the prophets ufe, when they denounce fuch terrible judgments againt them, and tax them with fuch vile ingratitude, fuck ftupid idolatry, and fuch other awakening motives to repentance; are not thefe arguments, I fay, as powerful to perfuade a nation to abandon their fins, and adhere to the dervice of God, as the moft pompous harangues concerning the wretchednefs of vice, and the beatitudes of philofophic virtue? ( $q$ ) efpecially, confidering, that what thefe friptural writers have left us comes backed with the atthority of Alnighty God, which is inftead of a thoufand arguments and reafonings.
and its rela- I mention but one objection more, and that is, the im-
tions neitions neither impor sinent, pertinence of fome relations occurring in the hiftorical, and the ridiculoufuefs of fome actions mentioned in the prophetical books of fcripture: But before we pafs that cenfure, we fhould do well to confider, whether the facred writers might not pofibly have fome farther profpect in recording thefe matters, than we, at this diftance of time, (p) Young's Sermons. (g) Edwara's on the Excellency of the Scripture.
are aware of. The book of Ruth, the hiffory of Iface and Rebecca, of Jofeph and his brethren, bce. (which fome are pleafed to call little fimple fanily flories) deferve a better name, even though they were no more than thort memoirs of the Jewihh hiftory, giving us an account of the lives of fome confiderable perfonages of that nation: But when we confider the whole fcheme of God's providential difpenfation, in fending the Meflias into the world, and the method which he was pleafed to take in preparing the way for it, by feparating one man's family (from whofe loins the defigned Saviour of the world was to defcend) from his idolatrous relations and countrymen, and making his offspring the ftandard of true religious worthip for many ages ; it is but reafonable to fuppofe, that fome particular account fhould be given of the origin of this extraordinary family, by which all the world has received fuch a wonderful benefit, and all the kingdoms of the earth. have been bleffed in the birth of Jefus Chrift. And when we confider farther, that many things relating to Abraham and Sarah, the facrifice of Ifaac, and the captivity and exaltation of Jofeph, dc. are fo particularly related, becaufe God defigned that thefe occurrences fhould be types and fladows of fome things remarkable under the golpel, ziz. of the incarnation, paffion, refurrection, and afcenfion of our Lord and Saviour ; we cannot but perceive that, if the hiftorian had omitted the relation of thefe ancient facts, Chriftianity had wanted fome confiderable evidences of its trurh, and the wife fcheme of God's providence, in the falvation of the world, had not been fo amply difplayed.

There is more difficulty indeed, in accounting for fome nor ridy, paffages in the behaviour of the prophets, in whom any in lous; difcretion may be held more inexculable; becaufe they are all along fuppofed to be guided by the Holy Ghoft ; and in thofe very actions which are thought liable to cenfure, had the immediate orders and injunctions of God; and yet when we read of Ifaiah's ( $r$ ) wialting naked, and barcfoct three years; of Jeremiah's taking a long journey, only (s) to carry a linen girale, and bide it in the bole of the rock of the river Euphrates; of Ezekiel's $(t)$ taking his boufohold. ftuff, and digging a bole through the wall of the city, to carry it out ; and of Hofea's (u) going, and taking unto tim a wife of zuboredoms, and cbildron of whoredoms, \&c. when

- (r) Ifa, xx. 3. (r) Jer, xiii. 4. (t) Ezek. xii. 7 . (u) Hof.i. 2.
we read thefe extravagant actions, I fay, if we were to underftand them in a literal fenfe, we fhould be apt to account the doers of them diftracted, rather than infpired; and under fome temptation to think, that, by putting them upon iuch unaccountable offices, God was minded to make his fervants ridiculous. The Scripture, however, has taken care to inform us, that ( $x$ ) the fpirits of the prophets are fubject to the prophets, i. e. $(y)$ they are not hurried on by a mad enthufiafm, but are always left in a compofure of mind, fit to comport themfelves, and to feeak to the people as the minifters of a rational and all-wife God.

Now there are three ways whereby learned men have undertaken to account for thefe feemingly ftrange and whimfical actions of the prophets. (z) Some fuppofe, that what in thefe and feveral other places is told, was really and literally performed : Others, that it was tranfacted in vifion; and others again, that it is all no more than a parable, dictated by God to the prophet, and by the prophet recited to the people. However, to make thefe and fuch like actions of the feveral prophets all of a piece and uniform, we are to obferve, that whereas fome of them are only parabolical, and others impoflible to be tranfacted in reality, (for though Jeremiah, for infance, might take too long journies to Euphrates, about the affair of a girdle, without demurring to the authority of him who fent him; yet we can hardly think that he really fent bonds and yokes to the feveral princes that are mentioned, ch. xxvii. ver. 2, 3. much lefs that he took the wine-cup from the hand of God, and made, the kings of all nations, as is related, ch. xxv. ver. 15; \&c. drink thereof); whereas, I fay, the nature of the thing would not permit thefe and the like actionsto be performed in reality, we have abundant reafon to fuppofe, that they were performed in an imaginary fenfe only, i. e. that thefe actions of the prophets were, by a divine impulfe, reprefented to them (a) in a dream or trance, which left in their minds a lively idea, and occafioned their publifhing to the people, not only the reprefentations themfelves, but
(x) Vid. Lowth on infpiration. ( $y$ ) I Cor. xiv. 32. (z) Waterland's Scripture vindication, part 3.
(a) That thefe actions of the prophets were not real, but merely imaginary, and fuch as are reprefented upon the flage of their fancies, when in a dream or in a trance, mutt be plain to every one who confiders the circumftances of them. Smith's felect difcourfes.
what they were likewife defigned to typify, with more force and energy. And accordingly we may obferve, that even in the Chriftian church, when the firit of prophecy came to revive, thefe kind of emblematical reprefentations were likewife introduced, as is evident, not only from Agabus's taking St. Pauls girdle, (b). and binding his own hands and feet, to fignify what fhould befal the owner thereof, as foon as he came to Jerufalem, but more particularly from St. Peter's vifion (c) of the Jheet let down from heaven, wherein were all mannsr of four footed beafts of the earth, and wild beafts, and creeping things, and fowls of the air: Which vifion we find him in his vindication (d) foon after, renouncing to the Jews with all boldnefs, and explaining likewife the fymbolical intent of it, viz. his commiffion, and delegation to preach to thefe Gentiles, in order to their converfion.

Thus we have taken a furvey of the fcriptures of the but, taken Old Teftament ; found out their authors, and the nature all togeand degree of their infpiration; inquired into the number beautifur and order of their books, and by whofe care and fuperin-and execttendency they were all digefted into one code; traced down lent. their defcent even to our own times, without any lofs or confiderablealteration; and (what we chiefly intended) endeavoured to fatisfy the moft popular objections that are ufually made againft them. And indeed the objections againft them would be far from being fo many, if we had a little more $\mathbb{k}$ kill and knowledge in them ; but the misfortune is, we live at a great diftance from the apoftolic age, and much more from the lateft times of the infpired writers of the Old Teftament, and fo muft needs be under fome difficulties, from our unacquaintance with the fyle and way of writing, as well as the manners and cuftoms of thofe ages. There will, of neceffiy, therefore, be fome fyots and dark places in them, as there are in the fun, not for want of light and elegance originaily in them, (any more than for want of light in the fun), but by reafon of fome deficiency in ourfelves, who are ar a diftance, and under fuch circumftances as intercept our fight, and hinder us from making true and exact obfervations. But if we could ftand, (as we are to judge of pictures) in the fame ages in which they were drawn, and had lived in the fame light in which thefe books were written, we thould be able to make a much truer judgment, and penctrate much farther into the meaning of them, than we now can do. And even in
(b) Acts xxi. 1 I.
(c) Aets x. In.
(d) Ch. xi. 5 .
our prefent fituation, if we would make any tolerable judgment of them, we muft not confider them feparately, but as they all altogether make up a compleat fyftem of religion: and therefore, (to conclude this argument in the words of a pious vindicator of the ftyle of the Holy Scriptures), (e)
"I conceive, fays he, that, as in a lovely face, though the " eye, the nofe, the lips, and the other parts, fingly
" looked on, may beget delight, and deferve praife, yet " the whole face muft neceffarily lofe much, by not being " all feen together: So, though the feveral portions of "Scripture do, irrelatively, and in themfelves, fufficiently " evidence their heavenly extraction, yet he who fhall at" tentively furvey that whole book of canonical writings, " which we now call the Bible, and thall judicioufly, in " their fyftem, compare and confer them together, may " difcern, upon the whole matter, fo admirable a con" texture and difpofition, as may manifeft that book to " be the work of the fame wifdom, which fo accurately " compofed the book of nature, and fo divinely contriped " this vaft fabric of the world." And therefore-to proceed to other confiderations.
The Bible One commendation of that part of the Bible which the beft and moft ancient hifo. ry in the worid. The gretenfions of the E gyptians and Chaldeans. is called the Old Teftament, is, that it is the beft, as well as moft ancient hiftory in the world. The Egyptians of old we read, contended with the Babylonians and Chaldeans, for the glory of antiquity; and as the Babylonians divide the ftate of mankind into three governments, viz. the firft under gods, which (according to them) contains ten generations, the fecond under demi-gods, or heroes, and the third under kings or men ; and during the courfe of thefe three ftates, they reckon up above $30,0 c o$ years; fo Manetho, the Egyptian hiftorian, to difplay the antiquity of his nation, and throw the balance on their fide, divides, in like manner his chronological account into the fame forms

- of government of gods, demi-gods, and kings; and from the pretended pillars of Hermes, (whence he compiled his hiftory), makes the whole amount to upwards of 36,525 years. There is good reafon, however, why we hould defpife fuch monftrous accounts as have only bare words for their foundation, and are plainly contrary to all obferwations on the progrels of mankind, the improvement of luitbandry, and the advancement of arts and fiences.

We acknovledge indeed, that the moft ancient way of peeferving any monuments of larning, in thofe elder times, (c) Mr Boyle. P, 74.
and efpecially among the Egyptians, was by infcriptions on pillars; but befides the difficulties of conceiving how pillars of any kind fhould be able to withftand the violence of the deluge, without being defaced, befides, that no other hiftorian, who was wrote of the affairs of Egypr, has once made mention of thefe pillars, and that Diodorus, (who lived fince the time of Manetho) never once quotes him as an author of any credit; there is, in truth, very little in his dynafties, befides names and numbers, except it be now and then a ftory of the Nile's overflowing with honcy, of the moon's growing bigger, of a fpeaking lamb, and feven kings who fucceffively reigned as many days, one king, only a day; and fuch other ftrango and romantic accounts, as are enough to invalidate the authority of any writer.

The Chinefe at prefent are very ambitious to be thought of the chian ancient people, and would make us believe, that they nefe. can reckon up fucceflions of kings and their reigns, for feveral thoufand years before the beginning of the world affigned by Mofes; but befides that, $(f)$ the character which writers (who have lived among them) do generally give that nation, wiz. That they are men of a trifling and credulous curiofity, addicted to fearch after the philofopher's ftone, and a medicine to make them immortal; and whatever advantage their fituation and political maxims have given them, are far from being fo learned, or fo accurate in point of any fcience, as the Europeans: It is plain, from all accounts, that their antiquities reach no higher than the times of Fohi; for Fohi was their firt king, and his age coincides with that of Noah : So that upon the whole, we have good reafon to queftion the authenticknefs of thofe annals which relate fuch fabulous things, as the fun's not fetting for ten days, and the clouds raining gold for three days together. But of what antiquity foever their firft writers might be, it is certain, that fince the time of Hoan-ti, their XIth emperor, who, about 200 years before Chrift, ordered (upon pain of death) all the monuments of antiquity, whether hiftorical or philofophical, to be deftroyed; there is little or no credit to be given to the books which they prodnce : And though they make mighty boafts of the date and perfection of fuch volumes as they pretend efcaped the common wreck; yet if we may credit the teftimony of perfons who made it their particular bufinefs
(f) Vid. Le Comptors memoirs, and Bianchini's hift, univerf.
(when among them) to inquire, they have not any one copy in an intelligible charadter above 2000 years old.

And Grecians refurod.

The Grecians of old were fo very great pretenders to antiquity, and they fcorned to have any father or founder of their nation affigned them; and therefore they affected to be called Aborigines, et Genuini Terra, the eldeft fons of the earth, if not coeval with it : And yet if we look' into the date of their hiftorians, we thall find, that none of them exceeded the times of Cyrus and Cambyfes ( $g$ ), about 550 years before Chrift ; that feveral of their ancient writers' have left nothing behind them, but barely their names; and that even from thofe whofe works have defcended to us, we have no account of any hiftorical facts, older than the Perfian war. Heródotus (who wrote a little more than 400 years before Chrift) is cailed by Cicero the Father of bifory, as being the eldeft Greek hiftorian that we have extant; and yet when he pretends to relate the origion of any nation, or tranfactions of any confiderable diftance, he is forced to interfperfe many fabulous reports which himfelf feems not to believe; and for this reafon, fome imagine it a point of modefty and ingenuity in him, that he calls the books of his hiftory by the names of the Mufes, on purpofe to let his readers know, that they were not to look for mere hiftory in them, but a mixture of fuch relations, as (though not ftrictly true) would neverthelefs pleafe and entertain them. However this be, it is certain, that Thufidides, in the very entrance of his hiftory, not only confeffes, but largely afferts the impoffibility of giving any competent account of the times which preceded the Peloponnefian war; and therefore we find Ylutarch, who ventured no farther back than the times of (b) Thefeus, (a little before the miniftry of Samuel), juftly obferving, that, "As hiftorians, in their geographical de"fcriptions of countries, croud into the fartheft parts of " their maps, thofe places which they know nothing of, " with fome fuch remarks as thefe on the margin ; all " beyond is notbing but dry deferts, impaffable mountains, "frozen feas, and the like: So I may well fay of the " facts of hiftory, that are farther of than the times of "Thefeus; all beyond is nothing but monftrous, anid tragical "fictions. 'There the poets, and there the inventors of " fables dwell: Nor is there any thing to be expected
(g) Vid. Stillingfeet's Org Sac. chap. 4. (b) Vid. the life of Thefeus.
** worthy of credit, or what carries the leaft appenrance of " certainty."

But now, whoever reads the Bible with care and impartiality, in the hiftorical part of it, will find nothing fabulous or romantic; no computations of an immoderate fize; no excurfions into ages infinite and innumerable; no fucceffions of monarchs, heroes, and demi-gods, for thoufands of thoufand generations. On the contrary, he will perceive, (i) that Mofes, who was above a thoufand years older than any hiftorian we know of, (and upon that account deferves the greater credit), has fixed the beginning of time at a proper period, about 2433 years before his own birth; has given us a fair and authentic hiftory of the origin and formation of the world, of the creation and introduction of the parents of all mankind, of the peopling the earth with inhabitants, and of the firft inftitution of civil government; that he has given us the earlieft account, not only of all ufeful callings and employments, fuch as gardening, hufbandry, pafturage of cattle, \&c. but of all the politer arts and fciences, fuch as poetry and mufic, hiftory, geography, phyfic, anatomy, and philofophy of all kinds. In a word, he will perceive, that the facred Bible is not only a record of all the moft ancient learning, but a magazine of all learning whatever; and confequently , that he who defires to appear in the capacity of a fcholar, either as a critic, chronologer, an hiftorian, an orator, a difputant, a lawyer, a ftatefman, a pleader, or a preacher, muft not be unacquainted with this inexhauftible fund.

Another commendation of this moft excellent book, The Bible is, that the language in which a great part of it is written, wroel in the was the Girf and original language in the world; but then ginf and ortthe queftion is, Which is the original? 'The writers who guage. have handled this fubject, have produced the feveral claims of the Hebrew, the Chaldean, the Syrian, and the Arabian: but as the arguments for the Syrian and Arabian are but few and trifling, the chief competition feems to lie between the Hebrew and Chaldean.

Now it is natural to fuppofe, that a primitive language fhould be plain and eafy; fhould confift of fimple and uncompounded founds; of as few parts of, fpeech, and as few verminations in thofe parts as poffible. ( $k$ ) Moods and
(i) Edwards on the perfection of the Scriptures. (k) Shuckford's connection of facred and profane biftory, vol, 2. lib. 2.
tenfes, numbers, and perfons in verbs, and the different cafes in nouns, we may well imagine were the improvements of art and ftudy, and not any firf effay or original production; and in this refpect we cannot but conceive, that the Hebrew tongue (I mean as it ftands in our Bible, and not as the Rabbins have enlarged it) bids fair for the precedency. Its radical words (which are ( $l$ ) not many) conift generally of three letters, or two fyllables at the moft. Its nouns are not declined by different cafes, nor are its numbers diftinguifhed by different terminations (as the Latin or Greek are), but by ( $m$ ) the addition of a fhere fyllable in the dual and plural, which at the fame time denominates the gender. The gender is likewife irtcluded in the verb, which prevents the neceffity of having many pronouns; and by varying its conjugations, (which are ferdom irregular), it has the lefs ufe for anxiliary verbs. Add to this, that the Hebrews ufe feldom ary vowels in writing ; have no compound nouns or verbs; few prepofitions, few. adjectives, no comparatives or fuperlatives; no great number of conjugations; but two moods, two tenfes; no gerunds, no fupines ; and cf particles of all kiads far from many; and then we can hardly conceive a language more fimple and eafy, more thort and expreflive than theirs.
The pre- Upon this account fome of late have imagined, that the tences of
the Chinefe
Ghinefe might poffibly be the firft original language of manand Chal- kind: For befides that Noah very probably fettled in thefe dee. parts, its words are, even now, very few, not above twetve hundred; its nouns are bet three hendred and twenty-fix, and all its words confeffedly monofyliables; fo that whatever the original of this tongue was, it feems very likely to have been the firft that was planted in the country: For though it is natural to think, that mankind might begin to form fingle founds at firft, and afterwards come to enlarge their fpeech by doubling and redoubling them; yet it is not to be imagined, that if men had firt known the copioufnefs of expreflion, arifing from words of more fyllables than one, they would ever have redaced their language to its primitive monoíyllables. But fince we have not a fufficient knowledge of this language to make a competent judgment of it, we muft wave its pretenfions for the prefent.

The Chaldee, it muft be owned, has a great many marks of this original fimplicity in it: But then, what give the
(l) About five hundred. ( $m$ ) $I m$ is added to the plural in nouns mafculine; and cth in fuch as are feminine.

Hebrew a farther claim to priority, are certain proper names of perfons mentioned before the flood, fuch as ( $n$ ) Adam, Eve, Cain, Abel, Seth, ©́c.; of ancient countries, fuch as (o) Lydia, Afyria, \&c. ; of ancient Heathen Gods, fuch as ( $p$ ) Saturn, Jupiter, Belus, Vulcan, \&c. ; of feveral kinds of animals, and mufical inftruments; and in fhort of mountains, rivers, cities, and places, which derive their exymology, or right fignification, from this tongue only; as Bochart, with an immenfe deal of oriental learning, has abundantly proved.

There are other learned men however, who being willing to compromife the matter between the two languages, (the Hebrew and Chalde) ase apt to fow (q) hat if Chatee (the Hebrew and Chaldeef, are apt to fancy, (q) that if perhaps oany one would be at the pains to examine them ftrictly, riginally and to take from each what may reafonably be fuppofed to be improvements made fince their originad, he will find the Chaldee and Hebrew congues to have been at firft the fame. However that be, it is certain, that thofe who maintain the perpetuity of the fame tongue from Adam to Mofes, do afn fert, that before the confufion of Babel, there was but one univerfal language among all the nations upon the earth; that this very language (even after the confufion) was continued in its parity, in the family of Seth and Heber, from whom it had its name, and from whom Abraham, the father of the faithful, defcended: that Abraham, notwithfanding his intercourfe with other nations, fill preferved this primitive tongue; and his defcendents, notwithftanding their fojourning in the land of Egypt, were under no temptation to corrupt it, becaufe they lived feparately and by themfelves in the land of Gofhen, until the miniftry of Mofes. And if this be a true defcent of the tongue, then we are fure that the Pentateuch, and other books of the Old Teftament, were all wrote (except fome portions after the Babylonifh captivity) in the fame facred primgeval language, which God himfelf fake, which he taught our firft parents, and wherein all the patriarchs and worthies of old among his chofen people, were known to converfe.
(n) Thus the word Adam somes from the Hebrew Adamal, which fignifies earth; Eve, or Cheva, from Chiah, life; Cain from Canab, to poffefs Abel fignifies vanity; and Seth frome Skath, to fubfitute. (0) Thus Lydia from Lud; Afyria from Alfur. ( $p$ ) Thus Saturn from Satar, to hide one's Self; Fupiter from Gebovah; Belus from Baal; and Vulcan from Tu-bal-Cain. (q) Vid. Shuckford's connection, val. r. lib. 2.

In a word, $(r)$ the concifenefs, fimplicity, energy, and fertility of the Hebrew tongue; the relation it has to the moft ancient oriental languages, which feem to derive their origin from it; the etymology of the names whereby the firft of mankind were called, and the names of animals, which are all fignificant in the Hebrew tongue, and defcribe the nature and property of thefe very animals; characters not to be found in any other language, and yet all meeting together in this, do raife a prejudice very much in favour of its primacy; and this certainly is no fmall commendation of the Bible, that it comprifes the compafs of a language which is the moft ancient, and (as fome think) the moft excellent in the world, and no where elfe to be found. If any critics or grammarians could fay the like concerning the Greek or Latin tongue, viz. that there is a certain book wherein either of thefe, in its firft purity, is wholly contained, they would be very lavifh in their encomiums of it, and the prelation of it to all other volumes whatever would not want a proper difplay.

The great refpect flewn to the Bible,

And indeed, whatever the merry fooffers of this age, or the graver lovers of fin and fingularity may think, it is certain, that in former days men of all orders and degrees, of the higheit ftation in life, as well as capacity in knowledge, of polite parts, as well as folid judgments, and converfant in all human, as well as divine literature, have all along held the Scriptures fn fingular veneration; have employed their wit and eloquence in fetting forth their praife; and not only thought thetr pens, but poetry itfelf, ennobled by the dignity of fuch a fubject.
by perfons David, in his time, was a confiderable prince, a mighty of the high-warrior, and fubduer of the nations that were round him; and yet his living in a military way made him no defpifer of the Scriptures: For obferve what a beautiful panegyric he has given us barely of that part which we call the Pentateuch: (s) The law of the Lord is an undefiled law, converting the foul; the teftimony of the Lord is fure, and giveth wifdom unto the fimple; the flatutes of the Lord are right, and rejoice the heart; the commandment of the Lord is pure, and giveth light unto the eycs; the judgments of the Lard are true, and righteous altogether: More to be defired are they than gold, yea, than much fine gold; fiwecter alfo than the honey and the honey-comb. Morco-

[^4]ver, by them is thy fervant taught, and in keeping of thens there is great reward.

Ptolemy Philadelphus was one of the greateft monarchs in his age: He had large armies, fine fleets, vaft magazines of warlike ftores, and (what was peculiar in his character) he was a perfon of extenfive learning himfelf, a and the generous encourager of all liberal fciences, and fo great a greateft collector of books, that in one library at Alexandria he and wit. had four hundred thoufand volumes; and yet, as if he could not be at eafe, nor think his collection compleat, without the Bible ( $t$ ), he fent for an authentic copy from Jerufalem, and for a number of learned men to make a tranflation of it in the Greek tongue, for which he plentifully rewarded them; Which puts me in mind of Mr . Selden, one of the greateft fcholars and antiquaries of his age, and who, in like manner, made vaft amafinents of books and manufcripts from all parts of the world, (a library perhaps not to be equalled, on all accounts, in the univerfe), as he was holding a ferious conference with Archbihop Uher, a little before he died, he profeffed to him, that ( $u$ ) notwith. fanding he had poffeffed himfelf of fuch a vaft treafure of books and manufcripts on all ancient fubjects, yet he could reft bis foul on none but the Scriptures.
St. Paul was doubtefs a good fcholar, as well as a good Chriftian, and his knowledge in polite literature is diffinguifhable by the feveral citations which he makes of the ancient Heathen poets: And yet he is not afhamed to give us this character of the Bible: ( $x$ ) All foripture is given by the inffiration of God, and is profitable for doctrine, for reproof, for correction, for infruction in rigbteounnefs, that the man of God may be perfect, thoroughly furnifbed unto all good works. Which calls to my remembranoe what ( $y$ ) another great man of our nation, in a letter to ope of his fons, declares; "I have been acquainted fomewhat (fays he) with " men and books: I have had long experience in learn" ing, and in the world: There is no book like the Bible, " for excellent learning, wifdom, and ufe ; and it is want " of underftanding in them who think or fpeak other" wife."
Longinus, the world muft own, was a competent judge of all kind of eloquence. His little book on the fubject, though impaired by the injury of time, has given us.
(t) Vid. Prideaux's connection, part 2. 1. 2. p. H1O. (u) In Gis iife. (w) ₹ Tim. iii. «6. (y) Judge Hale.
fpecimen enough of his exquifite tafte that way; and yet, though he was an Heathen, he gives bonour where bonour is due, and feems to praife and admire the true fublime of Mofes more than that of any other author he quotes.

Tertullian (if we will think no worfe of him for being one of the fathers of the church) was an excellent orator, a great philologift, and an acute reafoner; and yet we find him (z) adoring the plenitude of the Scripture. The noble Picus Mirandula was the beft linguift and fcholar of his age; and yet, after he had run through innumerable volumes, " he refted in the Bible, (as he tells us), as the only " book wherein he had found out the true eloquence and " wifdom." And therefore it was no wild rant, but a fentence proceeding from mature judgment, that of Robert king of Sicily, to Fran. Petrarcha: " I tell thee, my Pe" trarcha, thofe holy letters are dearer to me than my " kingdom; and, were I under neceflity of quitting one, " it fhould be my diadem."

We need lefs wonder then, that we find our profound logician, Mr. Locke, declaring, that (a) " the little fatif" faction and confiftency he found in moft of the fyftems " of divinity, made him betake himfelf to the fole reading " of the Scripture, which he thought worthy of a diligent " and unbiaffed fearch :" That we find our religious philofopher, Mr. Boyle, (as well as the learned Grotius) afferting the propriety and elegance of the facred ftyle; and our incomparable Newton (b) giving the preference to Scripture chronology, above that of the Egyptians, Greeks, Chaldeans, or any other nation whatever : That we find, I fay, fome perfons of the moft fparkling wit and fancy difcanting either on the facred hiftory of the Bible, or on fome divine matters contained in it; a Milton taking the whole plan, and a great part of the very diction of his lofry poem thence; a Cowley, embellifhing the ftory of King David; a Buchanan, rendering his pfalms in Latin verfe, and in Englifh; a Prior, paraphrafing on the Ecclefiaftes of his fon. Which manifeftly fhews, that fome of the greateft perfonages in the world, the moft noble and refined wits, the moft knowing and judicious heads, have bore the greatef efteem for the Holy Scriptures, and not thought their learning or ingenuity mifemployed in their fervice. And this swill give us occafion to inquire a little into fome of the principal verfions and expofitions that have been made of them.
(z) Lib. adver. Hermogenem. (a) Jenkens's Preface to his Reafonatlenefs of Chriftianity. (b) Yid. his Chronologi of ancient kingdoros amended, pafin.

Now the (c) firft and principal verfion we have of the The SeptuaHoly Scriptures, is that which we call the Septuagint, from ${ }^{\text {gint verfion. }}$ the 70 , or 72 interpreters, which Prolemy Philadelphus (as we faid before) employed in the work. For about the year of the world 3727 , he being very intent on making 2 great library at Alexandria, committed the care of that matter to Demetrius Phalerius, a nobleman of Athens, and who at that time was his librarian. Demetrius, purfuant to the King's order, made diligent fearch every where; and being informed, that among the Jews there was a book of great note, called The Law of Mofes, he acquainted the king with it; hereupon the King fent to Eleazar, the high prieft, requefting him to fend an authentic copy thereof; and (becaule he was ignorant of the Hebrew tongue) to fend withal fome men of fufficient capacity to tranflate it into Greek. The meffengers who went upon this errand, and carried with them many rich prefents for the temple, when they came to Jerufalem, were received' with great honour and refpect, both by the high-prieft and all the people; and having received a copy of The Law of Mofes, and fix elders out of each tribe (i. e. feventy-two in all) to tranflate it, returned to Alexandria. Upon their arrival, the elders, by the King's appeintment, betook themfelves to the work, and firft tranflated the Pentateuch, and (notlong after) the reft of the Old Teftament, into Greek. This is the fubftance of Arifteas's hiftory; but herein he has intermixed fo many ftrange and incredible things, that (d) many learned men have been inclined to thins the whole
(c) The other Greek tranflations by Aquila, Symmachus, and Theodocian, are now loft, except only fome fragments of them which fill remain.
(d) Vid. Du Pin's hiftory of the canon, Jc. F. Simon's critical hiftory of the Old Teitament; Dr. Hoddy De Bibliorum textibus originalibus; Dr. Prideaux's connection of the Old and New Teftament, oc. And the reafons they give for their fuppofing the whole to be a fiction, are fuch as thefe. 1. That Arifteas, who pretends to be an Heathen Greek, fpeaks all along as a Jew, and (what is more) makes all the parties concerned fpeak in the fame manner. 2. That by the feventy-two elders fent for from Alexandria to Jerufalem, it looks like a Jewifh invention, framed with refpeit to their Sanhedrim, which confifted of that number. 3. That the difufe of the Hebrew tongue, and the little acquaintance the Jews had with the Greek, make it incredible that there fhould be found fix men in each
of it a mere fiction, contrived by the Helleniftical Jews of Alexandria, on purpofe to give the more fanction and authority to this tranflation, whofe true original they relate to be thus.-Upon the building of Alexandria, and encouragement given to other nations (as wells as Greeks and Macedonians) to come and inhabit it, great multitudes of Jews reforted thither. In procefs of time, they made a confiderable part of the city; and by degrees fo accuftomed themfelves to fpeak the Greek language, that they forgot their own; and were thereupon obliged to have the Scriptures tranflated into Greek, both for their private ufe and public fervice. It was the cuftom at that time to read the Pentateuch only in the fynagogues; and therefore this was the firt part of the Scriptures which they tranllated. In the days of Antiochus Epiphanes; the prophets were introduced, and then they were under a neceflity of tranflating them likewife; (e) and is: a fhort time after, fome private men might turn the reft of the books (which they call the Hagiographa) into the Greek language ; and thus the whole verfion, which, from the fable of Arifteas, goes under the name of the Septuagint, came to be compleated. However this be, it is certain that this tranflation, as foon as it was finifhed, was held in efteem and veneration, almoft equal tribe capable of this performance. 4. That the queftions which Ptolemy put to the interpreters, and the anfwers which they returned him, carry with them an air of fiction. 5. That the letters of gold in which the law was written, the inland Pharos, and the cells appointed for the interpreters, their marvellous agreement in every point, and their wonderful difpatch in finihing the whole in feventy-two days, are much of the fame caft. And 6. That the prodigious fum which Ptolemy is faid to advance, in order to procure this verfion, in money, in plate, in precious ftones, and prefents, \&c. to the amount of about two millions fterling, together with many more abfurdities and contradictions occurring in the hiftory, is enough to prove it an idle ftory and romance, without any other foundation, except that, in the reign of Ptolemy Philadelphus, fuch a verfion of the law of Mofes into the Greek language was made by the Jews of Alexandria; Prideaux's connection, part 2. 1. 1.
(c) That this tranflation was made at different times, and by different perfons, the various fyles in which the feveral books are found written, the many ways in which the fame Hebrew words, and the fame Hebrew things are tranflated, in different places, and the greater accuracy to be obferved in the tranflation of fome books than of others, are a full demomitration; Prideanx, is.
to the original; and was not only ufed by the Jews in their difperfion through the Grecian cities, but approved by the Grand Sanhedrim at Jerufalem, and always quoted and referred to by our Saviour and his apoftles, whenever they made an appeal to the Holy Scriptures.

It is true indeed, (and what every common reader may obferve), that there is frequently a manifeft difference beiween this verfion and the Hebrew text: But the difference from the may well enough be accounted for, if we will but allow, Hebrew that the vowels or points in the Hebrew tongue might ${ }^{\text {text } ; ~}$ poflibly then not be in ufe, that the fame words in Hebrew are known to have different fignifications, which may give the tranllation a fenfe different from the original; that the tranilators themfelves fometimes take a greater latitude, and render a paffage not literally, but paraphraftically; that at other times they infert a word or two by way of explanation, which are not directly in the text, and perhaps now and then omit a word in the original, which they thought was fufficiently fupplied by the emphafis of their Greek exprefion. Thefe confiderations, together with the known ignorance and negligence of tranferibers, will account for the difference, if not for the errors and miftakes which occur in the tranllation. For that the tranflators themfélves did wilfully mifinterpret the Hebrew text, is a notion that cannot, with any juftice, be admitred, confidering that they had no manner of temptation fo to do. I fhould rather think, that if there ihould be any dangerous corruptions in the Greek copies, (f) they were made after the coming of our Saviour, and when the Jews had utterly rejected him as an impoftor ; that the Jewifh doctors having got together a fufficient number of thefe copies, might make in them (what they could not fo well do in the Hebrew text) fuch alterations as they thought proper, in order to juftify their infidelity; and that in all probability they did then curtail fome prophecies ( $g$ )
(f) Mr. Whifton, in his literal accomplifhment of Scripture prophecy, and Collection of authentic records belonging to the Old and New Teftament, has abundantly fhewn, that feveral texts have been altered, and prophecies dillocated by the Jews in the Old Teftament. ( $g$ ) Thus Dr. Lightfoot obferves, that in Ifa. ix. 6. inftead of thefe five names of Chrit, Wonderful, Counfellor, the mighty God, the Everlafting Father, the Prince of peace, there is only inferted, the Angel of the Great Counfel; in Proem. fuper Quaf, in Gen.
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(as we find they are curtailed in the Greek verfion) relating to the Divinity of the Meffias; and having changed the chronology of the LXX, by adding 1400 years to the account, cunningly difperfed them among the long lives of the antediluvian patriarchs, in order to make it be believed, that Jefus of Nazareth, whom they crucified, was not the true Meffias, but that the time of his appearance was paffed and gone (as fome of them ftill affert) a long tract of years before the Chriftian æra.
and how we ought to receive it.

The refult then of all this is-that we ought to have that refpect and efteem for the LXX's verfion which it deferves; not wholly reject it, becaufe moit of its errors and faults proceed from the mere miftaking of vowels; from the ambiguity of words; from the liberty which the tranflators took of paraphrafing; and from the neglect of tranfcribers: but, on the other hand, not wholly embrace it, but rather read it with candour, and caution; with caution, becaufe it has fallen into ill hands, and has met with fome defigning men, who have done their utmoft to corrupt it: And with candour, becaufe it is the oldelt Greek tranflation of the Bible; has been made ufe of by the facred penmen of the New Teftament; is conducible to our better underftanding the fenfe of the Hebrew; and as to its difagreement therewith, may, in a great meafure, admit of a reconciliation.

The Chal dce paraphrafes, and why made.

Of all the tranflations which are in the oriental languages, ( $b$ ) the Chaldee is of the greateft efteem and reputation among the learned. It is called, by way of eminence, the Targum: For as the word targum in Chaldee fignifies in general an interpretation, or verfion of one language into another; fo by the Jews it is appropriated to thofe paraphrafes which go under the names of Onkelos, fonathan, fofeph, ejc. The ufe of thefe targums was to inftruct the vulgar Jews, after their return from the Babylonifh captivity : For (i) though many of the better fort retained the knowledge of the Hebrew tongue during that captivity, and taught it their children; and the Holy Scriptures, which were delivered after that time (excepting only fome parts of Daniel and Ezra, and one verfe in Jere-
(b) Befides this, there are other oriental verfions, viz. the Syriac, which is looked upon as genuine and faithful; the Arabic, which is neither of any great antiquity or authority; and feveral others. (i) Vid. Prideaux's Connection, and Edwards on the Excellence of Scripture.
miah) were all written therein; yet the common people; by having fo long converfed with the Babylonians, learned their language, and forgot their own: And therefore, that they inight have the Bible in a language which they underftood, there were feveral targums, at feveral times, made by different perfons, and on different parts of fcripture.

The targum of Onkelos, becaufe it comes up neareft to That of the Standard of the Chaldee, (which is only perfect in the Oakelos. books of Daniel and Ezra), is thought by fome the moft ancient ; but others give the preference, in point of antiquity, to that of Jonathan, whom they place about thircy years before Chrift, under the reign of Herod the Great. Its author is reputed to have lived much about our Saviour's time; and as he undertook to tranflate the Pentateuch only, fo has he rendered it word for word, and, for the moft part, very accurately and exactly.

That of fonathan, fon of Uzziel, which takes in the Jonathan, books of Jorhua, Judges, Samuel, Kings, Ifaiah, Jeremiah, Ezekiel, and the minor prophets, has the like purity of ftyle; but then it is quite different in the manner of its compofure : For, inftead of being a ftrict verfion, it is in many places very lax and paraphraftical, and, efpecially in the prophets, full of fuch comments, gloffes, and allegories, as do not at all commend the work.

That which goes under the name of fofeph, furnamed and Joreph; The Blind, comprehends the other parts of Scripture called the Hagiographa; fuch as the book of Pfahms, of Job, Efther, Proverbs, dec.; but this, and the reft of the targums, are fo barbarous in their ftyle, fo full of miftakes, and: fo loaded with fables, that ( $k$ ) they feem to be the compofitions of fome later Talmudifts, rather than of any ancient paraphraft. To mention but one more, that of ferufalem is only upon the Pentateuch, and ( $l$ ) yet it is far from being perfect: For in it whole verfes are frequently wanting; fome'are tranfpofed, and others mutilated, which has made many of opinion, that it is no more than a fragment of fome ancient paraphrafe which is now loft.

The truth is, the only writings of this kind which ant of what the Jews have reafon to value themfelves upon, are thof ${ }_{\text {dice }}$ ald of Onkelos and Jonathan, and with thefe they are fo jufatuated, that they hold them to be of the fame authority with the facred text ; and, for the fupport of this opinion, pre-
(k) Vid. Prideaux's Connect. part 2. lib. 8. p. 77 r.
(1) Vil. Calmet's Dictionary on the word. Targum.
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tend to derive them from the fame fountain. For they faf: "That when God delivered the written law to Mofes upon " Mount Sinai, he delivered with it, at the fame time, the "Chaldee paraphrafe of Onkelos; and that, when by his "Holy Spirit he dietated to the prophets the Scriptures of " the prophetical books, he delivered feverally to them the " targum of Jonathan upon each book at the fame time; " and that both thefe targums were delivered down by tra" dition through fuch faithful hands as God, by his provi" dence, had appointed; the firft from Mofes, and the o" ther from the prophets themfelves; till at laft, through " this chain of traditional defcent, they came down to the " hands of Onkelos and Jonathan, who did nothing more "to them than only put them into writing."

How romantic foever this account may be, yet we are not to run into a contrary extreme, and think that thefe paraphrafes are of no fignificance to us ; fince it is obvious; that they cannot fail of explaining many words and phrafes in the Hebrew original, which will conduce to our better underftanding of thofe fcriptures on which they are wrote; and to hand down to us many of the cuftoms and ufages of the Jews in vogue in ourSaviour's days, and thereby help us to illuftrate many obfcure paffages which occur in the New Teftament, as well as the Old.

Of the ancient Latin and vulgar tranflations;

The Latin tranflations of the Bible, ( $m$ ) even in St. Auftin's time, were almoft innumerable; but thefe were all made from the Septuagint, and not from the Hebrew, until St. Jerome (who was well verfed in that language) obferving the errors of the many Latin trannlations, and their frequent difagreement with the original, undertook a new one; and with great care and exactnefs tranflated from the Hebrew all the Old Teftament, except the Pfalms, which being fung in the church in the old Latin or Italian verfion, could not be changed without giving the people fome offence. St. Jerome's tranllation, however, was not fo univerfally received, but that fome bifhops (who were not fo well accquainted with the Hebrew) abfolutely rejected it; whilft others, who were better judges, and faw its conformity to the original, readily embraced it. During the time of this divifion, both tranflations were read in public, i.e. fome books in St. Jerome's verfion, and fome
( $m$ ) Qui enim Scripturas ex Hebræa lingua in Græcam verterunt, numerari poffunt; Latini autem interpretes nullo modo; Aug. De. doal. Gbrifi, lib. 2. cap. 18.
in the Italian, till at length another, which was compored of both, and is called by the Romanifts Vetus et vulgata, was thought more correct than either, and accordingly gained the afcendant.

The Romanifts would make us believe, that this tranflation, which they fo highly extol, is the very fame with fentiments St. Jerome's ; and that whatever variations may be per-manifts ceived in it, they were occafioned by the force of time, thereupon? and the negligence of tranfcribers. However this be, it cannot be denied, but that it has feveral confiderable faults; shat it leaves the original very often, and fometimes runs contrary to it; that it frequently follows the Septuagint, or the Chaldee paraphrafe; that it abounds with barbarous words; with many places where its fenfe is corrupted, and in fome quite loft : And yet ( $n$ ) the Council of Trent thought fit to ordain and declare, "That the fame ancient " and vulgar verfion, which has been approved of, and " ufed in the church for many ages paft, thall be confi"' dered as the authentic verfion in all public lectures, "difputes, fermons, and expofitions, which no body " Thall prefume to reject, under what pretence foever." A decree, which, (o) the authors of that communion are forced to apologize for, by faying, that the Council did not intend thereby to reftrain interpreters from confulting the Hebrew, and upon all occafions from rectifying that very iranflation by the original text; did not intend to compare that tranflation with the originals, either Hebrew or Greek, but only with the other tranflations that were then extant ; did not intend to pronounce it abfolutely perfect, and free from all errors. but only preferable to any other, and proper enough to be declared authentic, if it was but morally confonant to its original.

But whatever the merit or authority of this tranfla-Of modern tion formerly was, not long after the year 1500 , there ${ }^{\text {Latin tranfo }}$ arofe feveral learned men, well fkilled in languages, who ${ }^{\text {lations }}$; feeing the corruptions that were in this, as well as other Latin verfions, and comparing them with the originals, endeavoured to correct them from thefe fountains. In the Roman communion, thofe of the beft note, were Ximenius, archbifhop of Toledo, who gave us the firt polyglot Bi ble ; Sanct. Pagninus, a Dominican monk, who, in his tranflation, is a rigid obferver of the original text, but fome-

[^5]what obfcure ; Malvenda, another Dominican, who is grammatical enough, but both obfcure and barbarious; Cardinal Cajetan, who is literal, without obfcurity ; the renowned Erafinus, whofe verfion of the New Teftament, in all refpects, is juftly commended; and of the Reformed religion, the moft remarkable, are Sebaftian Munfter, a German, who renders the Hebrew text very clofely and exactly; Leo Juda, a Zuinglian, who indulges a kind of paraphrafe, to make the fenfe more obvious; Caftalio, who wrote in a neat and elegant, but, as fome think, too florid and affected a ftyle; Theodore Beza, who has tranflated the New Teftament with good fuccefs; and Junius and Tremellius, who, with a true and natural fimplicity, did both of them jointly tranflate the Old Teftament out of the Hebrew, and Tremellius alone, the New Teftament
and their ufe out of the Syriac.

Thefe are moft of the later verfions of the Bible which, more or lefs, have amended the faults of the vulgar Latin, and brought us nearer to the original. Upon the whole, therefore, we may conclude, that thefe feveral learned tranflators are all of them, in their kinds, very ufeful; fome, by keeping clofe to the original, and others, by $u$ fing a latitude. In the main, they have prefented us (tho' in a different ftyle and manner) with the true and genuine meaning of the text : " But wherefoever the Latin tranlla"tors difagree," (fays a great man ( $p$ ) of the Koman communion, and himfelf an able tranflator), " or a reading is " thought to be corrupted, we muft repair to the original " in which the Scriptures were wrote : So that the truth " and fincerity of the tranflators of the Old Teftament " muft be examined by the Hebrew copies; and of the " New, by the Greek ones."

As foon as the reformation began to appear in England, feveral editions of the Old and New Teftament were publifhed in our tongue. In the year 1527, Tindal tranflated the Pentateuch and the New Teftament, and afterwards, both he and Coverdale joined in the work, and finifhed the tranflation of the whole Bible; which being revifed by Matthews, about ten years after, was reprinted. But it had not long been reprinted, before Henry VIII. forbad the fale of that, and every other Englifh tranflation; and, at the fame time, ordered Tunftal, bifhop of Durham, and Heath, bifhop of Rochefter, to make a new one, which was publifhed in the year 1541 : When being difpleaf,
(p) Cardinal Ximenius in his preface to Pope Leo.
ed with that likewife, he forbad all Englifh tranflations whatever; fo that, during his reign, no one was permitted to read the Scriptures in the vulgar tongue, without a proper licenfe. In the reign of Edward VI.' the editions of Tindal and Tunftal were revived: But as the life of that prince was but Chort, upon Queen Mary's fucceffion to the throne, a violent perfecution arofe, and all Englifh tranflations (as being done by Proteftants, and thought injurious to the Roman caufe) were utterly fuppreffed. During this reign, fome Calvinifts, who had fled for fhelter to Geneva, made a new Englifh tranflation of the Bible, according to the Geneva form, which was publifhed in that city as foon as finifhed, but not in London until the year 1598. Many paffages in this verfion were made to favour the Prebyterian caufe; and therefore thofe of the Epifcopal party, in the beginning of Queen Elifabeth's reign, endeavoured to get it fuppreffed: But not being able to accomplifh their defign, Archbihop Parker, in conjunction with feveral other bifhops, made another tranflation in oppofition to it. This is ufually called the Bibops Bibls; or tranflation. It was made according to the Hebrew of the Old, and the Greek of the New Teftament; but becaufe, in many places, it receded from the-Hebrew original, to come nearer to the Septuagint, it was not fo well approved by King James I.; and therefore he ordered a new one to be made, which might be more conformable to the Hebrew text.

This is the tranlation which we read in our churches The tranfo at this day; only the old verfion of the Pfalms (as it is lation in called) which was made by Bifhop Tunftal, is fill retained ${ }^{\text {prefent wifes. }}$ in our public liturgy: And though it cannot be denied, that this tranflation, efpecially taking along with it the marginal notes, (which are oftentimes of great fervice to explain difficult paffages), is one of the moft perfect in its kind; yet I hope it will be no detraction to its merit, nor any diminution of the authority of the Holy Scriptures, to wifh, that fuch as are invefted with a proper authority, would appoint a regular revifal of it, that where it is faulty, it may be amended; where difficult, rendered more plain; where obfcure, cleared up; and, in all points, made as obvious as poffible to the apprehenfion of the meaneft reader.

The learned indeed may better difpenfe with a lefs per-Rules for fect verfion. They know that there are faults in fome ${ }_{\text {Scriperpeting }}$ copies, which muft be rectified; fometimes a tranfpofition
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of terms, which mult be replaced in their proper order and many times various readings, fome of which, for feveral reafons, are to be preferred before others. They know that there is a literal fenfe and a figurative, whiche muft not be confounded; fome propofitions, which feem negative, and yet are to be taken interrogatively or affirmatively; and fome parenthefes, which darken the fenfe, unlefs they are more diftinctly marked, than they commonly are in moft tranflations. They know, that the different pointing of the fame Hebrew words gives them quite different fenfes; that the fignification of the Hebrew verb changes according to its conjugation; that there are certain allufions to fuch cuftoms and ufages as explain many difficulties; and feveral ways of fpeaking among the Jews; and other eaftern nations, which muft be adjufted to our ideas. They know, that there are general expreffions; which muft be reftrained to the particular fubject in hand, and that the different circumftances of the fubject, the connection with what goes before and after; and defign of the author, muft often determine the meaning.
The defcts Thefe, and many more rules of interpretation; are of our pre- not anknown to the learned: But the common people, fent tranfla-who are no lefs concerned to know the will of God, are tion. entirely ignorant in this refpect; and therefore, if a verfion be defective in feveral of thefe particulars, (as thofe who have examined ours with obfervation are forced to acknowledge that it is), if, when the original is figurative, our tranflators, in feveral places, have expreffed it in a way not accommodated to our prefent notions of things, when they might have done it with the fame propriety: If, when there is an ambiguity in any word or phrafe, they have frequently taken it in a wrong fenfe; and for want of attending to the tranfpofition or context, have run into fome errors; and many times unintelligible diction: If they have committed palpable miftakes in the names of cities and countries, of weights and meafures, of fruiss and trees, and feveral of the animals which the Scripture mentions: And, laftly, if, by mifapprehending the nature of a propofition, whether it be negative or affirmative, or the tenfe of a verb, whether paft or future, they have fallen upon a fenfe, in a manner quite oppofite to the original; and, by not attending to fome oriental cuftoms, or forms of feech, have reprefented matters in a diefs quite foreign to the Eaglifh dialect: If in thefe, and fuch like inftances, I fay, our tranflators have made fuch miftakes, the poople,
who know not how to rectify them, muft be mifled; and therefore to prevent the danger of this, we will inftance a little in one or two of the moft obvious of them.

Few or none, I hope, are fo grofsly ignorant, as to think that God has a body like unto ours, though the Scripture attributes eyes, bands, mouth, bowels, \&c. to him; but yet, fince people are ready to receive wrong notions by thefe, and fuch like figurative expreffions, and fince our language has words in abundance whereby to exprefs them in a proper fenfe, it feems more reafonable that when the original fpeaks of God's band, it fhould be tranllated God's power; his eyes, his care and providence; his mouth, his order and commandments; his bowels, his moft tender compaffions, \&c.

The Scriptures, we may obferve, frequently call cities, kingdoms, and their inhabitants, by the fame names with their kings or founders: But certainly a verfion (if it is defigned to be underftood) fhould diftinguifh them exactly. Thus, the name of A/ber, when it fignifies the fon of Shem, thould be kept in the tranflation; but when it fignifies his country, it fhould be rendered $A$ Jjyia; but when the inbabitants of the country, it fhould be tranflated Afyrians: but this rule of diftinction our interpreters, to the great confufion of the reader, have not obferved.

Prodigals divert themfelves much with that quaint advice of Solomon, (as they call it), (q) Caft thy bread upon the waters, and thou Balt find it after mawy days: But would they only obferve, that the Hebrew word Lechen not only fignifics bread, but likewife wheat, whereof it is made; and that the word majim not only denotes waters, but alfo ground that is moift, or lies near the waters; they might eafily perceive, that the fenfe of the text is, Throw thy grain into moift ground, and, in procefs of time, thou Jkalt find it again.

The profane do likewife abufe another wholefome precept of Solomon, ( $r$ ) Be not righteous over much, neither make thyfelf over wife, as if a man can be too righteous, or too wife: Whereas, would they but confider, that Solomon is here fpeaking of that juftice which a man is to exercife towards others, (as the context plainly fhows), they could not but perceive the propriety of this interpretation; -Do not exercife juffice too rigoroufly, neither fet up for a man of too great wifdom.
(q) Ecelef. xi. 5.
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(r) Chap. vii. 16.

Some parents are fo very fevere and cruel to their children, as to obferve no bounds in their correcting them; and they may poffibly ground their feverity upon this text, (s) Chaften thy fon while there is hope, and let not thy foul fpare for his crying; but had they any tolerable fkill in the Hebrew tongue, they would foon fee, that the latter part of the verfe fhould be thus rendered; -But fuffer not thyfelf to be tranfported fo, as to caufe bim to die.

It is a ftrange kind of bleffing that which God gives to the tribe of $A / \mathrm{ber}$, as our tranflators have ordered it ; ( $t$ ) Thy Jboes Jball be iron and brafs, and as thy days, fo fall thy ftrength be; but had they confidered, that the Hebrew word mineal never fignifies a bobe in Scripture, but only a bolt or bar; and that the word which they render flrength, equally denotes peace or reft; they would have made better fenfe of the bleffing thus:-_Thy bolts Jaall be of iron and brafs, and thou Shalt have peace in thy days.

It is a text of much obfcurity, and hardly confiltent with decency, to fay, (u) Moab is my wa/b-pot, over Edom will I.caft out my Jboe, Pbilifia be thou glad of me: But now, $(x)$ confidering that the word which is rendered wafb-pot, is employed to exprefs the loweft degree of fervitude; and what is rendered a Joo, fignifies often a chain, and fo implies a ftate of flavery and bondage; there is a fpirit and dignity in the woids thus rendered, $-I$ will reduce the Moabites to the vileft fervitude, I will alfo triumph over the Edonites, and make them my Javes, and the Philifines Jball add to my triumph.

To name but one more, it would feem, at this day, not very decent, to fee a man go naked; and efpecially if he pretended to a divine miffion, moft fober people would conclude him lunatic : And therefore when Ifaiah is faid to have ( $y$ ) walked three years naked and barefoot, for a fign and a wonder upon Egypt, and upon Ethiopia, we muft either fuppofe that this was ( $z$ ) only acted in vifion, (as feveral other things recorded of the prophets were), or that all the while he went only without his upper garment, (enough to denominate him naked), but wore his other cloaths as ufual ; "For far be it. from God (fays (a) Mai" monides) to make his prophets sidiculous, or to prefcribe
(s) Prov. xix. 18. (t) Deut. xxxiii. 25. (u) Pfal. Ix. 8. (x) Effay for a new tranflation. (y) Ifa. xx. 3. (z) Vid. Smith felect difcourfes, (a) More Nev. part 2. chap. 46.
" them fuch actions as muft of courfe denote them fools " and madmen."

Thefe are fome of the places wherein our tranflators How to have been manifeflly faulty; and I mention it agàtn, that make a new I have produced thefe, not with any finifter defign, but tranflation. purely to clear the facred oracles from a cenfure which the negligence of their interpreters may have poffibly brought upon them; and to fhow the world, that the call for a new, at leaft a more perfect tranflation, is neither groundlefs nor unreafonable: But then the queftion is, how muft this project be put in execution? or, who is the perfon fufficient for fuch a work? My reply to thofe who make this inquiry, muft be in the fenfe of fuch, (b) as have made it the fubject of their moft mature deliberation, and have thereupon thought, that a new Englifh verfon might be compofed out of our laft edition, if improved with fuch alterations and amendments, as might make the ftyle and fenfe, in many places, more accurate, and accommodate the whole to the tafte of the moft curious reader : But then they affert, that the perfon who is to attempt this, or another tranflation perfectly new, muft have a competent knowledge of the Hebrew and Greek tongues, and be daily converfant in reading the Scriptures, in order to make their phrafe and ftyle, and manner of arguing, familiar to him: Muft be fufficiently acquainted with the Jewifh, and other oriental rites and cuftoms, their manners and fchemes of diction, to which paffages, almoft in every page, do allude : Muft be fufficiently fkilled in hiftory, chronology, geography, dc.; in the proportion of weights and meafures; in the names of plants and animals; and indeed of all arts and fciences, either expreffed or referred to in the Scriptures: Muft be well verfed in critical learning, in the beft commentators, both ancient and modern; and efpecially in fuch writers as have given. us rules and directions preparatory to their right interpretation : That, being thus qualified, he muft take abundant care to have the text of the Bible (from whence he tranflates) duly eftablifhed, by an exact collation of it with divers ancient copies, and ancient tranflations made from the original language: That he muft be a perfect mafer of the
(b) Vid. Father Simon's critical hifory, 1. 3.; Du Pin's hifory of the canon, 1. I. c. ro.; Differt. De S. Script. interpret. per D. Whitby; An effay for a new tranflation of the Bible; and Edward's excellency of the Holy Scripture.
purity and elegance, the ftrength and whole compais of the language whereinto he tranflates, (becaufe, in the courfe of the work, he will have frequent occafion to try it all) : 'That, in the main, he muft keep clofe to the original text; but when the terms of the two languages are found incompatible, muft confider the fenfe rather than the words of the original, if he would either do that or his own tranflation juftice: That he muft decline making ufe of Hebrew, or other exotic words, which, in a tranflation defigned for common ufe, muft needs be improper, as well as barbarous and unintelligible: Muft modernize a little (to make them more familiar) thofe words and forms of fpeech, which allude to ancient nations and cuftoms; and (as fome would have it) reduce the old geography, as well as weights and meafures, and computatious of all kinds, to the names and ftandards that are now in ufe: That when any equivocal word or phrafe occurs, he muft examine every fenfe, wherein it may be taken, and make choice of that which is moft confonant to the author's defign, and agrees beft with the preceding and following difcourfe: That when any dark paffage prefents itfelf, he muft confult thofe of the like import that are plainer ; or (if none fuch there be) advife with the beft commentators, and fo determine; laying down this for a certain rule, that whenever a Scripture feems to exprefs any thing contraryto right reafon, it muft admit of another meaning: And therefore, laftly, he muft attend diligently to the different fenfes of Scripture, figurative and literal; watch narrowly when tranfpofitions of words or phrafes occur ; when parenthefes are wanting or redundant; and in what manner each chapter and verfe is divided; becaufe, upon a wrong difpofition of thefe, much obfcurity is known oftentimes to arife.
"The divifion of the Scriptures into fections, chap ters, and verfes.

The divifion of the Pentateuch into fections was of fo early a date, that the ancient Jews accounted it one of thofe conftitutions which Mofes received from God on Mount Sinai. The whole was divided into 54 fections, according to the number of their Sabbaths in a year; and on each Sabbath-day, a different fection was read, until the whole number was concluded. After the Babylonifh captivity, (c) the common people had almoft forgot their mothertongue, and were therefore forced to have the Scriptures, when read to them on the Sabbath-day, interpreted in Chaldee: And that the reader and interpreter might keep their
(c) Vid. Prideaux's connect. part I. 1. 5 .
proper periods, every paufe was marked with two great points, which the Jews called foph pafuck, i. e. the end of the verfe. In this manner the Jews divided their Scriptures into fections and verfes; but the divifion of them into chapters and numerical verfes (as we have them now) is of a much later date.

Hugo de Sancto Caro, (commonly called Hugo Cardinalis), about the year 1240 , being minded to write a commentary upon the Old Teftament, found it neceflary for his defign, to invent a concordance; and to make the concordance more ufeful, he divided the books into thorter fections, than were in the Hebrew Bible; and thefe fections into fubdivifions, the better to make his references. Thefe fections are, the chapters into which the Bible has ever fince been divided; but the fubdivifions were not marked by figures, (as are the verfes with us), but by the capital letters, $A, B, C, D, E, F, G$, placed on the margin, in equal diftances from each other. In this fate the Scriptures continued, till about the year 1438, Rabbi Nathan, being in like manner to make a concordance in-Hebrew, imitated Hugo in the divifion of the fcriptural books into chapters; but inftead of his capital letters, he took the old way of periods or verfes, and diftinguifhed them by numbers; a method which Vatablus firf followed in his edition of the Latin Bible, and Robert Stevens in his of the Greek New Teftament; which has ever fince been of common ufe in every edition of the Holy Scriptures, whether in the learned or vulgar languages.

Thus we have taken a fufficient view both of the inter- The defigm nal and external parts of the Holy Scriptures, of thofe of the folof the Old Teftament more efpecially; and the proper re- ${ }^{\text {lowing }}$ work. fult of all our inquiry is, the putting in practice that wholefome advice which our bleffed Saviour gives the Jews; (d) Search the fcriptures, for in them you think (and think with very great juftice) that you have eternal life: And to facilitate that fearch, the defign of the following fheets is, by the help of analytic writers, to give the reader a plain and eafy narrative of the hinorical parts of the Bible; by the affiftance of the beft critics and commentators, to explain difficult paffages, and reconcile feeming contradictions; by the ftrength of reafon and argument, to filence the cavils and objections which have given umbrage to profanenefs and infidelity; and by thefe feveral means (if pof-
fible) to retrieve the credit of the facred writings; to reclaim the heart of the unbeliever, and ftop the mouth of the noify fcoffer; to inftruet the ignorant, confirm the weak and wavering, fatisfy the curious and inquifitive, and, in fhort, convince every fober and impartial inquirer of the truth and juftice of the Pfalmift's prayer and fentiment, (e) Teach us, O-Lord, the way of thy ftatutes, and we Sall keep it unto the end. Give us underfanding, and we Jball keep thy law; yex, we Jball keep it with our whole heart : for great is the peace which they bave, who leve thy lau, and are not offended at it. Amen.
(c) Pfal. cxix. 33, 34, 165.
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## $P \quad R \quad E \quad F \quad A \quad C \quad E$.

AFter fo long an Apparatus, there will be lefs occafion to fay much in the Preface; and yet. I thoughe it not improper to give the reader a little notice, from what motives it was that I have undertaken this work, and in what method I intend, with the bleffing of God, to purfue it.

The Holy Bible itfelf, I readily grant, is, in a great meafure, hiftorical, and an hiftory of an hiftory may feem a folecifm to thofe who do not fufficiently attend to the nature of thefe facred writings, whofe foope and method, and form of diction, are vaftly different from any modern compofition : Wherein the idiom of the tongue in which it was penned, and the oriental cuftoms to which it alludes, occation much obfcurity ; the difference of time wherein it was wrote, and variety of authors concerned therein, a diverfity of ftyle, and frequent repetitions; the intermixture of other matters with what is properly hiftorical, a feeming perplexity; the malice of foes, and negligence of fcribes, frequent diflocations; and the defect of public records, (in the times of perfecution), a long interruption of about four hundred years, to fay nothing that this hiftory relates to one nation only, and concerns itfelf no farther with the reft of mankind, than as they had fome dealings and intercourfe with them. Whoever, I fay, will give himfelf the liberty to confider a little the form and compofition of the Holy Bible, and the weighty concerns which it contains, muft needs be of opinion, that this, of all other books; requires to be explained where it is obfcure; methodized where it feems confufed ; abridged where it feems prolix ; fupplied where it is defective; and analized where its hiftorical matters lie blended and involved with other quite different fubjects. This I call writing ant biffory of the Bible: And hereupon I thought with myfelf, that if I could but give the reader a plain and fuccinet narrative of what is purely hiftorical in this facred book, without the interpofition of any other matter ; if I could but
fettle the chronology, and reftore the order of things, by reducing every paffage and fact to its proper place and pcriod of time; if I could but (by way of notes and without breaking in upon the feries of the narrative part) explain difficult texts, rectify miftranflations, and reconcile feeming contradictions, as they occurred in my way; if I could but fupply the defect of the Jewilh fory, by continuing the account of their affairs under the rule and conduct of the Maccabees ; if I could but introduce profane hiftory as I went along, and, at proper diftances of time, fum up to my reader what was tranfacting in other parts of the then known world, while he was perufing the records of the Hebrew worthies; and, at the fame time, if I could but anfwer fuch queftions and objections as infidelity, in all ages, has been too ready to fuggeft againft the truth and authority of the Scriptures; and with all, difcufs fuch paffages, and illuftrate fuch facts and events, as make the moft confiderable figure in Holy Writ: If I could but do this, I fay, I thought I had undertaken a work which inight poffibly be of public ufe and benefit; feafonable at all times, but more efpecially in the age wherein we live, and (if I may be permitted to apply to myfelf the apofte's words) fuch as might make me (a) unto God a fwet favour in Cbrift in them that are faved, and in them that perif/; to the one the favour of death unto death, and to the stber r.tbe fawour of life unto life.

I am very well aware, that feveral have gone before me in works of the like denomination; but I may boldly venture to fay, that none of them have taken in half that condpafs of view which I here propofe to myfelf. Blowe has given us a very pompous book; but befides that it is no more than a bare tranflation of Sieur de Royamont's Hiftory of the Old and Nerv Teflament, it omits many material facts, oblerves no exact feries in its narration, but is frequently interrupted by infertions of the fentiments of the fathers, which prove not always fo very pertinent; and, in fhort, is remarkable for little or nothing elfe but the number of its fculptures, which are badly defigned, and worfe executed. Elwood, in fome refpects, has acquitted himfelf much better: He has made a pretty juft collection of the Scripture-account of things; but then, when any difficulty occurs, he ufually gives us the facred text.jtfelf, without any explanatory note or comment upon it; and fo not only leaves his reader's underfanding as ignorant as he found it, but his mind in fome danger of being tainted by

$$
(a)=\text { Cor. ii. } 15,16
$$

the unlawfui parallels he makes between the acts of formes and later times, and by a certain levity which he difcovers (b) upon feveral occafions, not fo becoming the facrednets of his fubject. Howel has certainly excelled all that went before him, both in his defign and execution of it. He has given us a continued relation of Scripture-tranfaction; has filled up the chafm between Malachi and Chrift; has annexed fometimes notes, which help to explain the difficulties that are chiefly occafioned by the miftakes of our tranflators: But, in my opinion, he has been a little too fparing in his notes, and (as fome will have it) too pompous in his diction. He has omitted many things that might juftly deferve his notice, and taken notice of others that feem not fo confiderable. Some very remarkable events he has thought fit to pafs by without any comment; nor has he attempted to vindicate fuch paffages as the lovers of infidelity are apt to lay hold on, in order to entrench themfelves the fafer.

Whatever other mens fentiments might be, thefe things I thought in fome meafure effential, apd at this tine (more efpecially) extremely neceffary in an hiftory of the Bible; and to encourage my purfuit of this method, I have feveral helps and affiftances which thofe who went before me were not perhaps fo well accommodated with.

The foundation of a lecture by the Honourable Mr. Boyle has given occafion for the principles of natural and revealed religion to be fairly ftated, and the objections apd cavils of infidelity of all kinds to be fully anfwered. The inftitution of another by the Lady Mayer has furnifhed us with feveral tracts, wherein the great articles of our Chriftian faith are ftrenuoully vindicated, and, as far as the nature of myfteries will allow, accurately explained.

The uncomion licence which of late years has been taken to decry all prophecies and miracles, and to expofe feveral portions of Scripture as abfurd and ridiculous, has raifed up fome learned men (God grant that the number of them may every day increafe!) to contend earneftly for the faith, and, by the help of critical knowledge in an-. cient cuftoms and facred languages, to refcue from their hands fuch texts and paffages as the wicked and unftable were endeavauring to wreft, to the perverfion of other mens faith, as well as their own deftruction. The commentaries and annotations we have pon the Scriptures, both
(b) Vid. his account of the plague of lice of Pharoah and his people ; the fory of Sampron's foxes, and that of Efther.
WOL. I.
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from our own countrymen, and from foreigners, have, of late years, been very folid and elaborate; the differtations? or particular treatifes on the moft remarkable facts and events, extremely learned and judicious; the harmonifts, or writers, who endeavour to reconcile feeming contradictions, very accurate and inquifitive ; fuch as have wrote in an analytical way, clear and perficuous enough; and (to pafs by feveral others) facred geography has been fully handled by the great Bochart; facred chronology fufficiently afcertained by the renowned Ufher; and the chafm in the facred ftory abundantly fupplied by our learned Prideaux ; fo that there are no materials wanting to furnifh out a new and complete hiftory of the Bible, even according to the compafs and extent of my fcheme. That thererore the reader may be apprifed of the method, I propofe to myfelf, and what he may reafonably expect from me, I muft defire him to obferve, that, according to the feveral periods of time, from the creation of the world to the full eftablihment of Chriftianity, my defign is, to divide the whole work into eight books. Whereof
The I. Will extend from the creation to the deluge.
The II. From the deluge to the call of Abraham.
The III. From the call of Abraham to the departure of the Ifraelites out of Egypt.
The IV. From the departure of the Ifraelites to their entrance into the land of Canaan.
The V. From their entrance into Canaan to the building of Solomon's temple.
The VI. From the building of the temple to the Babylonif captivity.
The VII. From the captivity to the birth of Chrift. And The VIII. From the birth of Chrift to the completion of the canon of the New Teftament.

Each of thefe books I purpofe to divide into feveral chaprers, and each chapter into three parts. The number of chapters will vary, according as the matter in each period arifes, but the parts in each chapter will be conftantly the fane, viz.

1/f, A Narrative Part, which, in plain and eafy diction will contain the fubftance of the Scripture-hiftory for fuch a determinate time.

2dy, An Argumentative Part, which will contain an anfwer to fuch objections as may poffibly be made againft any paffage in the hiftory comprifed in that time. And,

3 dly, A Pbilological Part, which will contain the fentiments of the learned, both ancient and modern, concerning fuch remarkable events or tranfactions as fhall happen in that time; or perhaps a fummary account of what is moft confiderable in profane hiftory, towards the conclufion of each period.

That the reader may perceive how I gradually advance in the Sacred Hiftory, and, by turning to his Bible, may compare the narrative with the text, and find a proper folution to any difficulty that fhall occur in the courfe of his reading, I fhall, at the top of the page in each fection, fet down the book and chapter, or chapters, I have then under confideration, and the date of the year, both of the creation, and before and after the coming of Chrift, wherein each remarkable event happened. And, that all things may be made as eafy as poffible to the reader, I fhall take care not to trouble him with any exotic words in the text ; but where there is occafion to infert any Hebrew expreffions, for his fake, I fhall chufe to do it in Englifh characters, and to reduce every thing that I conceive may be above his capacity, to the notes and quotations at the bottom of the page.

The notes (befides the common references) will be only of four kinds.
$1 / t$, Additional; when a paffage is borrowed from any other author, whether foreign or domeftic, to confirm or illuftrate the matter we are then upon; marked thus [*].

2dly, Explanatory ; when, by producing the right fignification of the original, or inquiring into fome ancient cuftom, and the like, we make the paffage under confideration more intelligible ; marked thus [ $\dagger$ ].

3dly, Reconciliatory; when, by the help of a parellel place, or fome logical diftinction, we fhew the confiftency of: two or more paffages in Scripture, which, at firft view, feem to be contradictory; marked [\|I].

4 thly, What we may call Emendatory; when, by confidering the various fenfes of the original word, and felecting what is moft proper, or, by having a due attention to the defign of our author and the context, the miftakes in our tranflations are fer right; marked [ $\ddagger$ ].

So that when the reader fees any of thefe characteriftics, he may be affured what manner of note he is to expect. The chronological and other tables murt be referved to the conclufion of the work.

An account of the Years, Months, and Kalendar of the Jews; together with a reduction of the Money, Weights, and meafures, to the prefent ftandard, and manner of computation, to which the reader, in the courfe of the hiltory, will have - frequent occafion to refer.

## The Jewish Years.

THE Hebrews did originally (even as the Syrians and Pheenicians) begin their year from the autumnal equinox: but upon their coming up out of the land of Egypt, (which happened in the month Nifan), they; ia commemoration of that deliverance, made their year commence at-the beginning of that month, which ufually appened about the time of the vernal equinox. (c) This form they ever after made ufe of in the calculation of the times of their feafts, feftivals, and all other ecclefiaftical concerns; but in all civil matters, as contracts, obligations, and all other affairs that were of a fecular nature, they fill made ufe of the old form, and began their year as formerly, from the firft of Tifri, which happened about the time of the autumnal equinox: So that the Jews had two ways of beginning their year ; their facred year (as they called it) with the month Nifan, and the civil year, with the month Tifri.

The form of the year which they anciently made ufe of, was wholly inartificial: For it was not fettled by any aftronomical rules or calculations, but was made of lunar months fet out by the phafis or appearance of the moon. When they faw the new moon, they began their months, which fometimes confifted of 29 , and fometimes of 30 days, according as the new moon did fooner or later appear. The reafon of this was, becaufe the fynodical courle of the moon (i. $e$. from new moon to new moon) being 29 days and a half, the half day, (which a month of 29 days fell fhort of) was made up, by adding it to the next month, which made it confift of 30 days: So that there months were made up of 29 days, or 30 days fucceffively and alternately; with this certain rule, that the firft or initial month (whether of their facred or civil year) always confifted of $3^{\circ}$ days, and the firft day of each month was
(c) Prideaux's Cornetion, in the preface.

## The Jewih account of Time，Money，and Weights．

called the new moon．Of twelve of thefe months did their common year confift ：But as twelve lunar months fell e－ ven days fhort of a folar year，fo every one of thefe common years began eleven days fooner，which，in thirty years time， would carry back the beginning of the year through all the four feafons，to the fame point again，and get a whole year from the folar reckoning．To remedy therefore the con－ fufion that might from hence arife，their cuftom was，fome－ simes in the third year，and fometimes in the fecond，to caft in another month，（which they called Veader，or the fecond Adar），and make their year then confift of thisteen months；fo that by the help of this intercalation，they re－ duced their lunar year in fome meafure to that of the fun， and never fuffered the one，for any more than a month at any time，to vary from the other．

This intercalation of a month，however，every fecond or third year，makes it impracticable to fix the beginnings of the Jewifh months to any certain day in the Julian kalendar； but as they therein always fell within the compafs of 30 days，fooner or later，I have given the reader the beft view I could of their coincidence and correfpondency，in the following fcheme，wherein the firft column gives the feveral names and order of the Jewifh months，and the fecond of the Julian within the compafs of which the faid Jewifh months have always，fooner or later，their beginning and ending．

| Jewish Montis． |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 Nijan | $\left\{\left.\begin{array}{l} \text { March and } \\ \text { April } \end{array} \right\rvert\,\right.$ | 7 Tifri | \｛September and \｛October |
|  | 出 \｛ April and | Ma | \｛ October and |
| 2 fyar | 号 M May | Ma | 茾 \｛ November |
| 3 Sivan | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 㟒 }\left\{\begin{array}{l} \text { May and } \\ \text { June } \end{array}\right. \\ & \hline \text { S } \end{aligned}$ | $9{ }^{\text {Cij }}$ eu | ${ }_{H}$ S November and |
|  | ．${ }^{\text {c }}$ \｛ June and |  | E \｛ December and |
| 4 Tamuz | 㵄 \｛ July |  | －${ }_{\text {¢ }}$ |
| Ab | ${ }_{5}$ SJuly and | 11 Sbebat | 运 $\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { January and } \\ \text { February }\end{array}\right.$ |
| 5 | \｛Augult |  | February |
| 6 Elul | $\left\{\begin{array}{l} \text { Auguft and } \\ \text { Seprember } \end{array}\right.$ | 12 Adar | $\left\{\begin{array}{l} \text { February and } \\ \text { March } \end{array}\right.$ |

The thirteenth month（Veader）is then only intercalated， or caft in，when the beginning of Nifan would otherwife be carried back into the end of February．

The Jewifh account of Time, Money, and Weights.
The Jews of old had very exact kalendars; wherein were fet down their feveral fafts and feftivals, and all thofe days wherein they celebrated the memory of any great event that had happened to their nation; but thefe are no longer extant. All they have that favours of any antiquity, is their Megillab Thaanith, or Volume of Affiction, which contains the days of fafting and feafting that where heretofore in ufe among them, but are now laid afide; and therefore no longer to be found in their common kalendars. Out of this volume, however, as well as fome of their other kalendars, I thought it not froper to fet down fome of their hiftorical events, in order to let the reader fee on what particular day of each month their memorial (whether by fafting or feafting) was oblerved.

The Jewish Kalendar.

| Months. |
| :--- |
| r. NisAN |
| or Asib. | | Days. New moon. Beginning of the facred or |
| :---: |
| I. Neclefiaftical year, a faft for the death of |
| ene children of Aaron, Lev. X. I, 2 . |
| the |

X. A faft for the death of Miriam, the fifter
of Mofes, Numb. Xx. I.
XIV. The Pafchal Lamb flain on the evening
of this day.
XV. The great and folemn feaft of the paf-
fover.
XVI. The oblation of the firf fruits of the
harveft.
XXI. The conclufion of the Paffover, or end
of unleavened bread.
XXIV. A faft for the death of Jofhua.
2. Jyar or VII. The dedication of the temple, when Jrar. the Afmoneans confecrated it again after the perfecutions of the Greeks.
X. A faft for the death of the high-prieft Eli, and for the taking of the ark by the Philiftines.
XXIII. A feaft for the taking of the city of Gaza by Simon Maccabeus, 1. Mac. xiii. 43. 44.
XXVIII. A faft for the death of the prophet Samuel, 1 Sam. xxv. I.

> 3. Sivaze
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3. Sivan.
VI. Pentecoft, or the fiftieth day after the paffover, called likewife the feaft of weeks, becaufe it happened feven weeks after the paffover.
XV. A feaft for the victory of the Maccabees over the people of Bethfam, $\ddagger$ Mac. v. 52.
XVII. A feaft for the taking of Cæfarea by the Afmoneans.
XXVII. A faft in remembrance of Jerobo. am's forbidding his fubjects to carry their firft fruits to Jerufalem, I Kings, xii. 27.
XXX. A feaft in memory of the folemn judgment given by Alexander the Great, in favour of the Jews, againft the Ifhmaelites and Egyptians.
4. Tamuz or IX. A faft for the taking of Jerufalem on
"「hammuz. that day, but whether by Nebuchadnezzar, Antiochus Epiphanes, or the Romans, it is not faid.
XVII. A faft in memory of the tables of the law that were broken by Mofes, Exod. xxxii. 15.
5. Ab. IX. A faft in memory of God's declaring to Mofes (as on this day) that none of the murmuring Ifraelites fhould enter into the land of Canaan, Numb. xiv. 29. 31.
X. A faft, becaure, on this fame day, the city and temple were taken and burnt, frif by the Chaldeans, and afterwards by the Romans.
XVIII. A faft, becaufe, that, in the time of Ahab, the evening lamp went out.
6. ELUL. VII. A feaft in memory of the dedication, of the walls of Jerufalem by Nehemiah, Ezra, vi..16;
XVII. A faft for the death of the fpies who brought an ill report of the land of promife, Numb. xiv. 36.87 .
cxii The Jewifh account of Time, Money, and Weights, Months, Days,
7. TisRif. I. The feaft of trumpets, Lev. xxiii. 34. Num. xxix. 1.2.
III. A faft for the death of Gedaliah, whereupon the expulfion of the people, and the utter defruction of the land enfued, Jer. xli. 2.
VII. A faft for the Ifraelites worlhipping the golden calf, and the fentence which God pronounced againft them in confequence of that crime, Exod. xxxii. 6. לJc.
X. The faft of expiation, as fome think, in memory of man's fall, and expulfion out of paradife, Lev. xxiii. 19.
XV. The feaft of tabernacles, in memory of their dwelling in tents, in their paffage through the wildefnefs, Lev. xxiii. 34.
XXIII. The rejoicing for the law ; or a feaft inftituted in memory of the law which God gave them by the hand of Mofes.
8. Marches- VI. A faft upon the occafion of Nebuchadvan. nezzar's putting out Zedekiah's eyes, after that he had flain his children in his fight, 2 Kings xxp. 7. Jer. lii. 1 I.
9. Cislev. VI. A faft in memory of the book of Jeremiah torn and burnt by King Jehoir achim, Jer. xxxvi. 23.
VII. A feaft in memory of the death of Herod the Great, a bitter enemy to the fages.
XXI. The feaft of mount Gerizim, upon their obtaining leave of Alexander the Great to deftroy the temple of Samaria, which was fituate there.
XXV. The feaft of dedication, viz. of the temple, profaned by the order of Antiochus Epiphanes, and repaired and beautified by the care of Judas Maccabeus. This feftival Chrift honoured with his prefence at Jerufalem. It is likewife call.eff the feaf of light, becaufe, during the time
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time of its celebration, the people were ufed to illuminate their houfes, by letting up candles at every one's door. Vid. 1 Macc. iv. 52.; 2 Macc. ii. 16.; John x. 22.
to. Tebeth. X. A faft in memory of the fiege of Jerufalem, by Nebuchadnezzar, 2 Kings XXV. 1 .
XXVIII. A feaft for the exclufion of the Sadducees out of the Sanhedrim, where they had once all the power.
i1. Shebeth. IV. A faft in memory of the death of the elders who fucceeded Johina, Judg. ii. 10.

XV: The beginning of the years of trees, when they were firft allowed to eat the fruit thereof, after they were four years planted, Lev. xix. 23 , $6 c$.
XXIII, A faft for the war of the ten tribes againft that of Benjamin, for the outrage committed upon the body of the Levite's wife, Judg, Xx.
XXIX. $\dot{A}$ memorial of the death of $A n$. tiochus Epiphanes, a cruel enemy to the Jews, I Macc. vio

12: Ádir. VII. A faft in remembrance of the death of Mofes, Deut. xxxiv. 5 .
XIII. Efther's faft, probably in memory of that which is mentioned in Efther iv. 16.
XV. A feaft in memory of the death of Nicanor, a bitter enemy to the Jews, is Macc. xv 30.
The feaft of Purim or Lots; becaufe, when Haman purpofed to deftroy all the Jews that were in Perfia, according to thè fuperftition of the country, he firft drew lots, to know on what day of the year it would be beft to put his defign in execution, from whence the feaft, in com-
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memoration of their efcape, took its name.
XXIII. The dedication of the temple of Ze rubbabel, Ezra vi. 16.
XXVIII. A featt in commemoration of the repeal of the decree whereby the kings of Greece had forbidden the Jews to circtumcife their children, to obferve the Sabbath, and to reject foreign worfhip.

When the year confifts of thirteen months, here is the place where the fecond month of Adar, or Veadar, by way of intercalation, comes in.

## Jewish Money.

The cuftom of making money, of fuch a form, fuch an allay, and fuch a determinate value, is not fo ancient as fome may imagine. (d) The original way of commerce was certainly by way of barter, or exchanging one kind of merchandife for another, as it is the cuftom, in fome places, even to this day. In procefs of time, fuch metals as were generally efteemed to be moft valuable, were received into traffic, bus then the cuftom was to weigh them out to one another; till, finding the delays and other inconveniencies of this method, they agreed to give each metal a certain mark, a certain weight, and a certain degree of allay, in order to fix its value; but it was a long while before men came into this agreement. The coinage of money among the Perfians, Greeks, and Romans, was but of late date: Among the Perfians, no older than the times of Darius, fon of Hyftafpes; and among the Grecians, (from whom the Romans very probably took it), of the fame date with Alexander. We have no traces of this practice among the ancient Egyptians, bofore the time of the Polemies; nor had the Hebrews - this cuftom among them, (e) until the government of Si -
mon
(d) Calmet's differtation, vol. I.
(e) And yet the Jews have a tradition, that not only Johiua, David, and Mordecai, but even Abraham himfelf had found out the way of coining. It is faid of Abraham indeed, that be was very rich in filver and gold, Gen. xiii. 2. But we no where read that this

The Jewiih account of Time, Money, and Weights.
mon Maccabeus, to whom Antiochus Sidetes, King of Syria, granted the privilege of coining his own money in Judea.

Before that time, they made all their payments by weight: And therefore the reader need lefs wonder, that one and the fame word fhould denote both a certain weight of any commodity, and fuch a $(f)$ determinate fum of money; what he has to remark is this- $(g)$ That among the ancients, the proportion of gold to filver was moft commonly as ten to one; fometimes it raifed to be as eleven to one, fometimes as twelve, and fometimes as thirteen : That though, in the time of King Edward I. it was here in England at fo low an eftimate as ten to one, yee it is now advanced to the value of fixteen to one, and in all the reductions of this kind that we make, is to be fo computed.


Jewish Werghts.

|  | lb. oz. gr. dec. |
| :---: | :---: |
| The Gerah, | 000001095 |
| The Hebrew Drachm or Zuza, | 0000054.75 |
| Two Zuzas made a Bekath, | $00000109 \frac{1}{\frac{1}{2}}$ |
| Two Bekarhs made a Shekel, | 00000219 |
| An hundred Shekels made a Mineth, | $05^{\circ} 0000$ |
| 'Thirty Mineths made a Talent, | 15000000 |

money was famped with any impreffion; and yet the Jowifh tradition runs thus, viz. "That on Abraham's money were ftamped on one fide an old man and an old woman, on the other, a young man and a young maid; on Jothua's money, on one fide an ox, on the other a rhinoceros: On David's money, on one fide a ftaff and a ferip, on the other a tower; and on Mordecai's money, on one fide fackcloth and afhes, on the other a crown." But this feems to have the air of Rabinical fiction; Lewis's Ant. Heb. lib.6. (f) For fo the word Jhakel comes from foakal, to rweigh; and may properly be interpreted the quetght; Lezvis, itid. ( $g$ ) Prideaux's conncation, in the preface.

## Measuresoflength.



## Measuresof Capacity.

Dry Meafures.
The Cab contained a quarter of a peck.
The Omer, or Gnomer in 12 Logs made an Hin, which the Hebrew, was the tenth anfwered our gallon. part of an Ephah. 6 Hins made a Bath,

The Ephah is computed to which was about fix gallons; be about our bufhel; and
The Homer is fuppofed to 10 Baths made an Homer, be ten.

Liquid Meafures.
The Log came near to our pint. and which was 60.

The reader will be pleafed to obferve, that, in the valuation of money, I have chiefly followed Dean Prideaux, in his preface to the firtt part of his Connection of facred and profane hiftory, and in the reduction of weights and meafures, our learned Cumberland: But whoever defires a fuller account of thefe matters, may confult the faid bihop Cumberland, Of the Jewifh weights, meafures, and monies; Mr. Brerewood, De ponderibus et pretiis veterum nummorum; Dr. Bernard, De menfuris et ponderibus antiquis; and others that have written on this argument, which is not a little difficult and perplexing.

## THE
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OFTHE
B I B L E.


> B O O K I.

Containing an account of things from the Creation to the Flood; in all 1656 years.

CHAP. I.
Of the Greation of the World.

## The INTRODUCTION.

THE chief defign of the author of the Pentatcuch A M. r. is, to give a fhort account of the formation of ${ }_{4004}$ Ant. Chrit. the earth, and the origin of mankind ; of the Gen. ch. r. moft remarkable events that attended them in and part of the infancy of the world; and of the tranfactions of one particular nation more efpecially, from whence the Meflias was to fpring: And therefore it cannot well be expected, that he fhould extend his hiftory to the creation of the fupreme empyrean heaven, which God might make the place included in of his own refidence, and the manfions of thofe celeftial be- Mofer's aiings, whom he conftituted the minifters of his court, and attendants on his throne *, an immenfe fpace of time, perhaps,

* This is no novel notion of our own, but what has been confirmed by many great authorities, as the learned and ingenious Dr. Burnet teftifies. For, fpeaking of fome who fuppofed that the whole univerfe was created at one and the fame time, and
A. M..ा. perhaps, before the Mofaic account of the origination of Ant. Chrift, this planetary world begins.
40044 .

4004. . In the introduction of the hiftory indeed we are told, and part of that God created $\dagger$ the beaven and the earth: But when it ch. 2. is confidered, that beaven,' in Scripture-language, is very commonly fet to fignify no more than the upper region of the air; that we frequently read of (a) the firmament of beaven, (b) the windows of heaven, (c) the battles of heaven, and (d) the boary froft of heaven, bc. none of which extend beyond our atmofphere, we have no grounds to conclude, that at one and the fame time God created every thing that is contained in the vaft extra-mundane fpaces of the univerfe. On the contrary, when we find him recounting to Job, that at the time (e) when be laid the foundations of the earth, the morning fars fang together,
the higheft heaven and angels included in the firt day's work, " Hieronymi verba," fays he, " libet hic opponere. Sex mille
" necdum noftri orbis implentur anni, et quantas prius aternita-
"tes, quanta tempora, quantas feculorum origines fuifé arbitran-
"dum eft, in quibus angeli, throni, dominiones, cateraque virtutes
" fervierint Deo. In libro De Trinitate, (five Novitiani, five
"Tertulliani fit), tam mundus angelicts, quam fuper-firma-
" mentarius, conditus dicitur ante mandumMofaicum, his verbis,
" Quam etian fuperioribus, i. e. fuper ipfum quoque folidamentun
" partibus, angelos prius infituerit Deus, Jpirituales virtutes di-
" gefferit, thronos poteffatefque prafecerit, et alia multa calorunt
" immenfa fpatia condiderit, \&c. ut hic mundus novifimum magis
"Dei opus effe appareat, quam folum et unieunn. Denique Ca-
" tholicorum communem hanc fuiffe fententiam, notat Caffianus
צ fuo tempore, nempe feculoquinto ineunte: Ante illudGenefeos
" temporale principium, omnes illas poteftates ceteffes Deum creaffe,
" non dubium eft ;" Burnet's Archæolog. Philofoph. c. 8.
$\dagger$ By beaven, fome undertand in this place the highett fu-per-fimamentary heaven, and by the earth, that pre-exiftent matter whereof the earth was originally made; and fo the fenfe of the words will be - " that God at firf created the mat"t ter whereof the whole univerfe was compofed, all at once, " in an inftant, and by a word's fpeaking; but it was the fu" preme heaven only which he then finifhed, and formed into " a moft excellent order, for the place of his own refidence, " and the habitation of his holy angels; the earth was left rude " and indigefted, in the manner that Mofes has deferibed it, " until there fhould be a fit occafion for its being revifed, and " fet in order likcwife."
(a) Gen. i. 20.
(b) Gen. vii. II.
(c) Job. xxxviii.
4005. (d) $1 b i d$. ver. 29. (e) Ibid. ver. 4, 7.

By the beaven therefore we are to underftand no more, than that part of the world which we behold above us : But then I imagine we have very good reafon to extend our conceptions of this world above us fo far, as to include in it the whole planetary fyftem. + The truth is, the feveral planets that are contained with in the magnus orbis,
$\dagger$ The better to underftand this, and fome other matters, in our explication of the formation of celeftial bodies, it is proper to obferve, that there are three more remarkable fyftems of the world, the Ptolemaic, Copernican, and what is called the New Syftem, which aftronomers have devifed.

Ift, In the Ptolemaic, the earth and waters are fuppofed to be in the centre of the univerfe, next to which is the element of air, and next above that the element of fire; then the orb of Mercury, then that of Venus, and then that of the Suin; above the fun's orb thofe of Mars, Jupiter, and Saturn; and above them all, the orbs of the fixed fars, then the chryftalline orbs, and laftly, the celumempyreum, or beaven of keavens. All thefe maffy orbs, and valt bodies borne by them, are in this fytem fuppofed to move round the terraqueous globe once in twenty-four hours; and befides that, to form other revolutions in certain periodical times, according to their ditance from the fuppofed centre, and the different circumference they take.
$2 d l y$, In the Copernican fytem, the fun is fuppofed to be in the centre, and the heavens and earth to revolve round about it, according to their feveral periods; firt Mercury, then Venus, then the Earth with its fatellite the moon; then Mars, then Jupiter with its four moons; lafly, Saturn withits five, or more moons revolving round it; and beyond, or above all thefe, is the firmament, or region of fixed ftars, which are all fuppofed to be atequal diftances from their centre the fun,

3 dly , In the New Syftem, the fun and planets have the fame fite and pofition as in the Copernican; but then, whereas the copernican fuppofes the firmament of the fixed ftars to be the bounds of the univerfe, and placed at equal diftance from its centre the fun; this new hypothefis fuppofes, that there are many more fyflems of funs and plänets, befides that in which we have our habitation; that every fixed ftar, in thort, is a fun, encompaffed with its complement of planets, both primary and fecondary, as well as ours; and that thefe ftars, with their planets, are placed at regular diftances fromeach other, and according to their diftances from us, feem to vary in their refpective magnitudes; Derban's Afra-theology, in the prelimiary dificurfe.
A. M. r. (as ir is called), or the circle which Saturn defcribes about Ant. Chrif the fun, have fo near a fimilitude and relation: The fame ein. ch. r. form, the fame centre, and the fame common luminaryand part of with one another, that it can hardly be imagined but that ch. 2.
 they were the production of one and the fame creation. And therefore, though the hiftorian feems chiefly to regard the earth in his whole narration; yet there is reafon to prefume, that the other parts of the planetary world went all along on in the fame degrees of formation with it.

That this world was formed out of a pre-ex-
$2 d l y$, It is to be obferved farther, that this planetary world, or fyftem of things, was not inmediately created out of nothing, (as very probably the fupreme heavens were), but out of fome tuch pre-exiftent matter as the ancient Heathens were wont to call Cbaos: And accordingly we may obferve, that in the hiftory which Mofes gives us of the creation, he does not fay, that God at once made all things in their full perfection, but that, * in the beginning be created the earth, i.e, the matter whereof the chaos was compofed, which was without form, without any fhape or order, and void, without any thing living or growing in it; and darknefs zuas upon the face of the waters, nothing was feen for want of light, which lay buried in the vaft abyfs.

## Accord-

* What our tranflators render [in the beginning] fome learned men have made [in rwifdom] God created the beaven and the earth; not only becaufe the Jerufalem targum has it fo, but becaufe the Pfalmift, paraphrafing upon the works of the creation, breaks forth into this admiration, O Lord! bow wonderfill are thy warks, in nuifdom baft thou made them all, Pfal. civ. 24. And again, exhorting us to give thanks unto the Lord for his manifold mercies, he adds, who by wiflom made the beavens, ibid. exxxvi. 5. Where, by wifdom, as fome imagine, he means the fon of God, by whom, (fays the Evangelift, John i. 3.) all things weve made, or all things created (fays the apoftle, Col. i. 16.) that are, in beaven, and that are in the earth: And therefore the meaning or the phrafe mult be, that God, in creating the world, made wefe of the agency of his fon. Fuit bec apud antiquos [fays Petaviihs, De officio fex dierum, l. 1. c. 1.] pervagata, multuwque cammunis opinio, principii nomine verbum, fignificari, feu fliun. And to this interpretation the word Elobim in the plural number, joined with bara a verb fingular, feems to give fome countenance; though others are of opinion, that a noun plugal governing a verb fingular, is no more than the common idiom of the Hebrew tongue ; and for this idiom a very contiderable com-

According to tradition then, and the reprefentation A. M. . $^{2}$. which this infpired author feems to give us, * this chaos Ant. Chrif 4004. was a fluid mafs, wherein were the materials and ingre-Gen.ch. x. dients of all bodies, but mingled in confufion with one ano- and part os ther, fo that heavy and light, denfe and rare, fluid and fo- ch. 2 . lid particles, were jumbled together, and the atoms or fmall conftituent parts of fire, air, water, and earth, (which have fince obtained the name of elements), were every one in every place, and all in a wild confufion and diforder. This feems to be a part of God's original creation ; but why he fuffered it to continue fo long, before he transformed it into an habitable world, is a queftion only refolvable into the divine pleafure: Since, according to the ideas we have of his moral perfections, there is nothing to fix the creation of any thing fooner or later, than his own arbitrary will determined. Only we may imagine, that, after the revolt of fo many angels, God intending to make a new race of creatures, in order to fupply their place, and fill up (as it were) the vacancy in heaven; and withal, refolving to make trial of their obedience before he admitted them into his beatific prefence, fingled out one (as perhaps * there might be many chaotic bodies in the univerfe) placed at a proper diftance from his own empyrean feat, to be the ha-
bitation
mentator affigns this reafon :- That the Hebrew language was originally that of the Canaanites, a people ftrangely addicted toidolatry and polytheifm; and who therefore made more ufe of the plural Elobima, than of the fingular Eloah; which ufage the Jews continued, though they were zealous afierters of the unity of the Godhead, and thereupon moft commonly joined a verh of the fingular number with it, purfuant totheir notions of the divine unity; Le Clerc's differt. De. ling. Hebraica.

* To mention one author out of the many which Grotius has cited, Ovid, in the beginning of his Metamorphofes, has given us this defcription of it:

Ante mare, et terras, et quod tegis omnia, coclum, Unus erat toto nature vultus in orbe, Quem dixere chaos: rudis, indigettaque moles,
Nec quicquam, nifi pondus, iners, congeftaque eodem Non bene junctarum difcordia femina rerum, dec.

* Si materia chans extitit ante mundi Mofaici principium, quid fuit, quem in finem extitit, aut ubinam loci ante illud tempus? Refpondeo, hæc non effe nimis folicite quxrenda, cum magra ex parte notitiam noftram fugiant. Sed vidimus quandoquenoras Itetlasin colo oriri, que nunquam antea apparuerant; quas taVol. I.
A. M. r. bitation of the creatures he was about to form, and might Ant. Curif. delay the fitting it up for them, until the time which his in4004. . finite wifdom had determined for their creation was fully and part of come.
ch. 2.
The wif. dom of Mofes's account of things.
$3^{d l y}$, It is to be obferved farther, that though Mofes might have in his view the whole planetary fyftem, and know very well, that every day each planet advanced in the fame proportion, as the earth did in its formation : Yet what he principally chofe to infift on (as a feccimen of all the reft) was this fublunary creation. He who was verfed in all the learning of the Egyptians, could not be unacquainted with the vulgar, or what is ufually called the Ptolemaic hypothefis, which came originally from Egypt into Greece ; and yet, inftead of expreffing his notions according to this, or any other fyftem, we find him giving us a plain narrative, how matters were tranfacted, without afferting or denying any philofophic truth. Had he indeed talked a great deal of globular and angular particles, of centrical motion, planetary vortices, atmofpheres of comets, the earth's rotation, and the fun's reft, he might poffibly have pleafed the tafte of fome theorifts better: but theories we know are things of uncertain mode. They depend in a great meafure upon the humour and caprice of an age, which is fometimes in love with one, and fometimes with another. But this account of Mofes was to laft for ever, as being the ground-work which God defigned for all his future revelations; and therefore it was requifite to have it framed, in fuch a manner, as that it might condefcend to the meanef capacity, and yer not contradict any received notions of philofophy.

The Jews, it muft be owned, were a nation of no great genius for learning; and therefore, if Mofes had given them a falle fyftem of the creation, fuch as a fimple people might be apt to fancy, he had both made himfelf an impoftor, and expofed his writings to the contempt and derifion of every man of underftanding: And yet, to have given them a particular explication of the tue one, muft
men præextitiffe, fub aliqua forma, et alicubi locorum, æquum eft credamus. Præterea, cometas fæpe in cxlo advertimus, quarum origo, et prima fedes nos latent. Denique, nentiquam fingendum eft, colos incorruptibiles: corpora coeleftia, proinde ac terreftria, fuas habent vicifitidines et tranfmutationes; atque ipfæ fixæ in planetas, mediante chao, converti pofiunt, et viciffim planetæ, excuffis fordibus, in fixas revivifcere, bc.: Burnet's Archeol. Pbilofoph. cap, 9 .
have made the illiterate look upon him as a wild romancer. A. M. m. By God's direction, therefore, he took the middle and wifeft way, which was to fpeak exact truth, but cautioufly, and in fuch general terms as might neither confound the minds and part of of the ignorant Jews, nor expofe him to the cenfure of philofophizing Cliriftians: And we may well account it an evident token of a particular providence of God over-ruling this infpired penman, that he has drawn up the cofmogony in fuch a maniner, as makes it of perpetual ufe and application; forafmuch as it contains no peculiar notions of his own, no principles borrowed from the ancient exploded philofophy, nor any repugnant to the various difcoveries of the new. $4 t h l y$, It is to be obferved farther, in relation to this account of Mofes, that when God is faid to give the word,

The creation not left to matter and motion. and every thing thereupon proceeded to its formation, he
did not leave matter and motion to do their beft, whilft he ftood by (according to Dr. Cudworth's expreffion) as an idle fpectator of this lufus atomorum, and the various refults of it; but himfelf interpofed, and, conducting the whole procefs, gave not only life and being, but form and figure to every part of the creation.

The warmeft abettors of mechanical principles do not deny, but that (a) a divine energy at leaft muft be admitted in this cafe, where a world was to be formed, and a wild chaos reduced to a fair, regular, and permanent fyftem. The immediate hand of God (they cannot but acknowledge) is apparent in a miracle, which is an infraction upon the ftanding laws of nature; but certainly, of all miracles, the creation of the world is the greateft, not only as it fignifies the production of matter and motion out of nothing, but as it was likewife the ranging and putting things into fuch order, as might make them capable of the laws of motion which were to be ordained for them. (b) For whatever notions we may have of the ftated oeconomy of things now, it is certain that the laws of motion (with which philofophers make fuch noife) could not take place before every part of the creation was ranged and fet tled in its proper order.

It may be allowed however, fince, even in the Mofaic account, there are fome paffages, (fuch as, Let the earth bring forth grafs, let the earth bring forth the living creature after his kind, and it was 10 ), that whatever
(a) Vid. Whifton's Theory.
(b) Vid. Hale's Origin of mankind.
A. M. is. comes under the compafs of mechanical caufes, might pofAnt. Chrift. fibly be effected by matter and motion, only fet on work
4004. . by infinite wifdom, and fuftained in their being and operaand part of tion by infinite power; but whatever is above the power of ch. 2. fecond caufes, fuch as the production of matter out of nothing, the formation of the feeds of all animals and vegetables, the creation of our firft parents, arrd infpiring them with immortal fouls, \&oc. thefe we affirm, and thefe we ought to believe, were the pure refult of God's omnipotent power, and are afcribed to him alone.

To this purpofe we may obferve; that before our author begins to acquaint us with what particular creatures were each day fucceflively brought into being, he rakes care to inform us, (as a thing effential and preparatory to the work) + that the Spirit of God moved upon the face of the waters. For, whether by the Spirit of God, we are to underftand (a) his holy and effential Spirit, which is the third perfon in the ever-blefled Trinity, whether ( $b$ ) that plaftis nature, which (according to fome) was made fubfervient to him upon this occafion, or any other emanation of the divine power and energy, it is reafonable to fuppofe, that its moving, or incubation upon the chaotic mafs, derived into it a certain fermentation, impregnated it with feveral kinds of motive influence, and fo feparated and digefted its confufed parts, as to make it capable of the difpofition and order it was going to receive.

+ The word in the Hebrew, according to the opinion of fome both ancient and modern interpreters, fignifies literally a brooding upon the waters, even as a hen does upon her eggs; but, as there are only two places wherein the word occurs, [Deut. xxxii. if. and Jer. xxxiii. 9.], Mr. Le Clerc contends, that in neither of thefe it will properly admit of this fenfe; and therefore he rather thinks it (as our Ainfworth feems to do) to be a metaphor taken from the hovering and fluttering of an eagle, or any other bird, over its young, but not its fitting over, or brooding upon them. A diftinction of no great moment in my opinion.
(a) Cudworth's Intellectual fyftem.
(b) Gen. i. 2. It is obferved by fome later Jewih, as well as Chriftian interpreters, that the feveral names of God are often given as epithets to thofe things which are the greatef, the itrongeft, and the beft of their kind; and thereupon they think, that fince the word Ruach fignifies the wind, as well as the Spirit, Ruach Elobim fhould be tranflated a mof vehement wind, inflead


## The HISTORY.

IN this condition we may fuppofe the chaos to have beeri, A. M. r. when the $\dagger$ fat for light was given; whereupon all Ant. Cririt. the confufed, ftagnating particles of matter began to range ${ }_{c}{ }^{4004}$. into form and order. The dull, heavy, and terrene parts, and part of which over-clouded the expanfum, had their fummons to ch. 2. retire to their refpective centres. They prefently obeyed the $\underset{\text { The work }}{ }$ Almighty's orders, and part of them fubtided to the cen- of the frr tre of the earth, fome to Jupiter, fome to Saturn, fome ${ }^{\text {day. }}$ to Venus, dec. till the globes of thefe feveral planets were compleated. And as the groffer parts fubfided, the lighter, and more tenuous mounted up; and the lucid and fiery particles (being lighter than the reft) afcending higher, and, by the divine order, meeting together in a body, were put in a circular motion, and, in the fpace of a natural day, made to vifit the whole expanfum of the chaos, which occafioned a feparation of the light from darknefs, and thereby a diftribution of day and night $\dagger$ : And this was the work of the firft day.

The next thing which God Almighty commanded, Thefecond. was, that the waters, which as yet were univerfally difperfed over the face of the chaos, fhould retire to their re-
of the Spirit of God; and that this fignification agrees very well with Mofes's account, which reprefents the earth fo mixed with the waters, that it could not appear, and therefore ftood in need of a wind to dry it. But befides that this fenfe feems to be a fad debafing of the text, it is certain, that the wind (which is nothing but the moving of the air) could not be fpoken of now, becaufe it was not created until the fecond day.
$\dagger$ The words are, Let there be light, which, as Longinus takes notice, is a truly lofty expreffion; and herein appears the wifdom of Mofes that he reprefents God like himfelf, commanding things into being by his word, i.e. his will : For wherever we read the words [be faid] in the hiftory of the creation, the meaning mult be, that he willed fo and fo; Patrick's comment.
$\dagger$ If we rather approve the Copernican hypothefis, we muft fay, that the earth having now received its diurnal and annual motion, and having turned round about its axis, for about the fpace of 12 hours, made this luminous body, now fixed in a proper place, appear in the eaft, which, in the fpace of 12 hours more feemed to fet in the weft; and that this revolution made a diltinction between day and night; Bedford's chronelogy.
A. M. \%. fective planets, and be reftrained within their proper li4004. mits by feveral atmofpheres. Hereupon all the aqueous Gen. ch. r. parts immediately fubfided towards the centres of the feveral and pait of planets, and were circumfufed about their globes; by which ch. 2. means the great expanfum was again cleared off, and the region of the air became more lucid and ferene. And this is the operation which Mofes calls dividing the waters under $\dagger$ the firmament from the waters which are above $t$ the firmament; for the waters under the firmament are the waters of the earth, the waters abovec the firmament are thofe of the moon, and other planets, which, in the fecond day's work, were difmiffed to their feveral orbs, but were confufedly mixed, and overfpread the whole face of the expanfum before.
The hird. Thus, on the fecond day, the delightful element of air was difintangled and extracted from the chaos: And one part of the bufinefs of the third, was to feparate the other remaining elements, water and earth. For the watry particles, as we faid, clearing the expanfum, and falling upon the planetary orbs, muft be fuppofed to cover the face of the earth, as well as other planets, when the great Creator gave the command for the waters to be gathered into one place, and the dry land to appear. Whereupon the mighty mountains inftantly reared up their heads, and the waters, falling every way from their fides, ran into thofe large extended vallies, which this fwelling of the earth in fome places had made for their reception in others. The earth, being thus feparated from the waters, and defigned for the habitation of man and beaft, (which were afterwards
$\dagger$ Gen.i.6. The LXX interpreters, in tranflating the word [Rakiagh] the firm or folid, feem to have followed the philofophy of the firit ages: For the ancients fancied, that the heavens were a folid body, and that the ftars were faftened therein, which might likewife be the notion of Elihu, [Job xxsvii. 18.] fince he reprefents the heaven to be ftrong or folid, like a molten looking-glafs; whereas, the proper fenfe of the word is fomething fpread or firetched out. And to this both the Pfalmift and prophet allude, when they tell us, that God fpreadeth out the beaven like a curtain, Pfal. civ. 2. and firetched them out by his dijcretion, Jer. x. 12.

+ Several commentators fuppofe the waters above the firmament to be thofe which hang in the clouds; but the notion of their being planetary waters feems more reafonable, becaufe at this time, there were no clouds, neither had it as yet rained on the earth; via. Gen. ii. 6.
to be created), was firf to be furnithed with fuch things as A. M. I. were proper for their fupport; grafs for cattle, and herbs ${ }_{4004}^{\text {Ant. } C h r i}$ and fruit-trees for the nourifhment of, man. Immediarely Gen . ch. r. thenefore, upon the divine command, it was covered with and part of a beautifut carpet of flowers and grafs, trees and plants of ${ }^{\text {ch. } 2 .}$ all kinds, which were produced in their full proportion, laden with fruit, and not fubjected to the ordinary courfe of maturation. For how great foever the fecundity of the primogenial earth might be, yet it is fcarce to be imagined, how + trees and plants could be ripened, into their full growth and burthen of fruir, in the fhort period of a day, any other way than by virtue of a fupernatural power of God, which firft collected the parts of matter fit to produce them; then formed every one of them, and determined their kinds; and at laft provided for their continuance, by a curious inclofure of their feed,-in order to propagate their fpecies, even unto the end of the world: And this was the work of the third day.

When God had finifhed the lower world, and furnifhed The fourth. it with all manner of fore, that mafs of, fiery light, (which we fuppofe to have been extracted on the firft day, and to have moved about the expanjum for two days after), was certainly of great ufe in the production of the wether, the feparation of the waters, and the ravefaction of the land, which might poffibly require a more violent operation at firf, than was neceffary in thofe leffer alterations, which were afterwards to be effected; and therefore, on the fourth day, God took and condenfed it, and cafting it into a proper orb, placed it at a convenient diftance from the earth and other planets; infomuch, that it became a fun, and immediately hone out in the fame glorious manner in which it has done ever fince.

After this, God took another part of the chaos, an opaque fubftance, which we call the moon; and having caft it into a proper figure, placed it in another orb, at a
$\dagger$ There are two things wherein the production of plants, in the beginning, differed from their production ever fince. ift, That they have fprung ever fince cut of their feed, either fown by us, or falling from the plants themfelves; but in the beginning were brought out of the earth, with their feed in them, to propagate them ever after. 2dly, That they need now (as they have ever fince the firit creation) the influence of the fun, to make them fprout; but then they came forth by the power of God, before there was any fun, which was not formed till the next day; Petrick's comment. in loc.
A. M. r. nearer diftance from the earth, that it might perpetually Ant, Thrift. be moving round it, and that the fun, by darting its rays 4004. Gen. ci. i. upon its folid furface, might reflect light to the terrestrial and part of globe, for the benefit of its inhabitants: And, at the fame ch. 2.
 time that God thus made the moon, he made, in like man: nee, $\dagger$ the other five planets of the folar fyftem, and their fatellites. Nor was it only for the difpenfation of light to this earth of ours, that God appointed the two great luminavies of the fun and moon to attend it, but for the menfore and computation of time likewife : That a speedy and fwift motion of the fun, (according to the Ptolemaic fyiterm), in twenty-four hours round the earth, or of the earth (according to the Copernican) upon its own axis, might make a day; that the time from one change of the moon to another, or thereabouts, might make a month ; and the apparent revolution of the fun, to the fame point of the ecliptic line, might not only make a year, but orcafin likewife a grateful variety of feafons in the federal parts of the earth, which are thus gradually and fucceffively vifited by the reviving heat of the fun -beams: And this was the work of the fourth day.
The fifth. After the inanimate creation, God, on the fifth day, proceeded to form the animate; and becaufe fifth and fowl are not fo perfect in their kind, neither fo curious in their bodily texture, nor fo fagacious in their inftinet, as terseferial creatures are known to be, he therefore began with them, and \| out of the waters, i. e. out of fuch matter
$\dagger$ I am very fencible that the words in the text are, He made the fats alpo, ver. 16.; but the whole fentence comes in fo very abruptly, that one would be apt to imagine, that after Mores's time, it was clapped in by forme body who had a mind to be mending his hypothefis, or elfe was added by way of marginal note at firlt ; and at length crept into the text itself, (as F . Simon has evidenced in feveral other inftances). For the fixed flats do not feer to be comprehended in the fix days work, which relates only to this planetary world, that has the fun for its centre ; Patrick's comment. and Nicholls's conference, vol. I. Vil. answer to the fubfequent objection.
|| From the words in Gen. [chap. i. ver. 20.] Let the waters bring forth abundantly the moving creature that bath life, and fowl, that may fly above the earth, \&c. Come have farted an opinion, that fowl derive their origin from the water; and others, from the words, Out of the ground God formed every bereft of the field, anil every fowl of the air, raife another, viz. that
as was mixed and concocted with the water, he formed fe- A.M. I. veral of different thapes and fizes; fome vaftly big, + to Ant. Criif. fin wonders of his creating pere 4004 . fhew the wonders of his creating power; and fome ex-Gen.ch. r. tremely fmall, to thew the goodnefs of his indulgent pro-and part of vidence. And (what is peculiar to this day's work) here $\underbrace{\text { ch. } 2 \text {. }}$ we have the firft mention made of God's bleffing his creatures, and + bidding them be fruitful and multiply, i. e. giving them, at their firft creation, a prolific virtue, and a natural inftinct for generation, whereby they might not only preferve their fpecies, but multiply their individuals: And this was the work of the fifth day.

Thus every thing being put in order; the earth co.The fixth. vered with plants; the waters flored with fifh ; the air replenifhed with fowl ; and the fun placed at a proper diftance, to give a convenient warmth and nourihment to
that fowl took their beginning from the earth : But thefe two texts are eafly reconciied, becaufe neither denies what the other fays, though they fpeak differently; as when Mofes fays, Let the ruaters bring forth fowl, he does not by that fay, that the earth did not bring forth fowl. It is molt reafonable therefore to think, that they had their original partly from the waters, and partly from the earth; and this might render the flefh of fowl lefs grofs than that of beafts, and more firm than that of fifhes. Hence Philo calls fowl the kindred of $\mathrm{f} / \overline{\mathrm{h}}$; and that they are fo, the great congruity there is in their natures (they being both oviparous, which makes them more fruitful than other animals, and both fteering and directing their courfe by their tails) is a fufficient indication.

+ Mores inftances in the whale, becaufe it is fuppofed to be the principal and largeft of all fifnes; but the original word denotes feveral kinds of great fifh, as Bochart [in his Hierozom, p. i.1.i.c. 7.$]$ obferves at large; and fhews withal the prodigious bignefs of fome of them; but he fhould have added, that the word fignifies a crocodile likewife, as well as a whale; Patrick, and Le Clerc in.loc.
$\dagger$ That fifh and fowl fhould here have a blefling pronounced upon them, rather than the beafs, which were made the fixth day, fome have fuppofed this to be the reafon;-that the production of their young requires the particular care of divine providence, becaufe they do not bring them forth perfecty formed as the beafts do, but only lay their eggs, in which the young are hatched and formed, even when they are feparate from their bodies: And "what a wonderful thing is this," fays one, "that when the womb (as we may call it) is feparated "from the genitor, a living creature like itfelf fhould be pro" duced ?" Patrick's Comment.
A. M. r. all ; in order to make this fublunary world a ftill more Ant.Chrift. comfortable place of abode, in the beginning of the fixth, Gen. ch. s. and laft day, \| God made the terreftrial animals, which and part of the facred hiftorian diftributes into three kinds: $\mathrm{f} f$, Beafts, ch. 2. by which थ: underftand all wild and favage creatures, fuch as lions, bears, wolves, \&cc. 2dly, Cattle, all tame and domeftic creatures, defigned for the benefit and ufe of men, fuch as oxen, fheep, horfes, bc. And, 3 dly, Grecping things, fuch as ferpents, worms, and other kinds of infects.

Thus, when all things which could be fubfervient to man's felicity were perfected; when the light had, for fome time, been penetrating into, and clarifying the dark and thick atmofphere; when the air was freed from its noifome vapours, and became pure and clear, and fit for his refpiration; when the waters were fo difpofed, as to minifter to his neceffities by mifts and dews from hea*
|| In the $24^{\text {th }}$ verfe of this chapter, it is faid, that God commanded the earth to produce fuch and fuch animals; Let the. earth bring forth the living creature after bis kind; and yet, in the very next verfe, it follows, that God made the beaft of the earth, and every thing that moveth, after bis kind: But this feeming contradietion is eafily reconciled, by putting together the proper meaning of both thefe paffages, which muft certainly be this- that God himfelf effectually formed thefe terreftrial animals, and made ufe of the earth only as to the matter whercof he conftituted their parts. Some indeed have made it a queftion, whether thefe feveral creatures were at firft produced in their full fate and perfection, or God only created the feeds of all animals, (i. e. the animals themfelves in miniature), and difperfed them over the face of the earth, giving power to that element, affited by the genial heat of the fun, to hatch and bring them forth; but for this there is no manner of occafion, fince it is much more rational to fuppofe, that God did not commit the formation of things to any intermediate caufes, but himfelf created the firlt fet of animals in the full proportion and perfection of their fpecific natures; and gave to each fpecies a power afterwards, by generation, to propagate their kind; for that even now, and in the prefent fituation of things, any perfect feecies cannot, either naturally or accidentally, be produced by any preparation of matter, or by any influence of the heavens, without the interponition of an almighty power, phyfical experiments do demonftrate ; Patrick's Commentary; and Bentley's Sermons at Boyle's Lectures.
ven, and by fprings and rivers from the earth; when the furface of the earth was become dry and folid for his fupport, and covered over with grafs and flowers, with plants $4^{4004 .}$ and herbs, and wees of all kinds, for his pleafure and fuf and $\operatorname{cat}$. of and herbs, and trees of all kinds, for his pleafure and fuf tenance ; when the glorious firmament of heaven, and the chap. 2. beautiful fyftem of the fun, moon, and ftars, were laid open for his contemplation, and, by their powerful influences, appointed to diftinguilh the feafons, and make the world a fruitful and delicious habitation for him; when, laftly, all forts of animals in the fea, in the air, and on the earth, were fo ordered and difpofed, as to contribute, in their feveral capacities, to his benefit and delight: When all thefe things, I fay, were, by the care and providence of God, prepared for the entertainment of this principal gueft, it was then that man was created, and introduced into the world, in a manner and folemnity not unbecoming the lord and governor of it. To this purpofe we may obferve, that God makes a manifeft diftinction between him and other creatures, and feems to undertake the creation, even of his body, with a kind of mature deliberation, if not confultation with the other perfons of the ever-blefled Trinity; $\dagger$ Let us make man.

## However

$\dagger$ Gen. i. 26. The Jewifh doctors are of opinion, that the confultation was real, and held with füch angelical beings as God might employ in the work of man's creation; and they tell a ftory upon this occafion which feems a little fictitious, viz. that as Mofes was writing his book by God's appointment, and thefe words came to be dictated, he refufed to fet them down, crying out, O Lord! iwouldft thou then plunge men in error, and ssake them doubt of the doarine of the unity? Whereupon it was anfwered by God, I conmand thee to writs, and if any will err, let themi err. Several modern expofitors account ic only a majeftic form of fpeech, as nothing is more common than for kings and fovereign princes to fpeak in the plural number, efpeciaily when they are giving out any important order or command. It has been obterved, however, that as there were no men, and confequently no great men, when this was fpoken; fo there was no fuch manner of fpeech in ufe among men of that rank for many ages after Mofes. Their common cuftom was, in all their public inftruments and letters (the better to enhance the notion of fovereignty) to fpeak in the firf perion, as it was in our nation not long ago, and is in the kingdom of Spain to this veryday; and therefore, upon the authority of almoft all the fathers of the church: "Nam hxc verba Deum Patrem " ad Filium, et Spiritum Sanctum, aut faltem ad Filium dixife,

* omnes
A.M. r. However this be, it is certain that the force and energy

Ant.Cbritt. Gen. ch. x . tion of mankind at firft was fo immediately the work of and part of Almighty God, that the power of no fubordinate intelli${ }^{\text {chap. } 2 .}$ gence could be capable of it: That the curious ftructure of man's body, the accommodation of it to faculties, and the furnilhing it with faculties that are accommodated to it, (even as to its animal life), imports a wifdom and efficacy far above the power of any created nature to effect. And this may poffibly fuggeft the reafon, why, in the formation of his body, God made choice of the du/f of the ground, viz. that from the incongruity of the matter we might judge of the difficulty, and learn to attribute the glory of the performance to him alone. And if the creation of the body of our great progenitor was a work of fo much divine wifdom and power, we cannot but expect, that the firitual and immaterial nature, the immortal condition, active powers, and free and rational operations, which, in refemblance of the Divine Being, the foul of man was to participate, fhould require fome peculiar and extraordinary conduct in its production at firft, and union with matter afterward : All which is expreffed by God's breathing into the man's $\ddagger$ nefrils the breath of life, i. e. doing fomething analagous to breathing, (for God has no body to breathe with), whereby he infufed a rational and immortal fpirit (for we mutt not fuppofe that God gave any part of his own effence) into the man's head, as the principal feat thereof; and $\|$ man became a living foul.

As foon as Adam found himfelf alive, and begun to caft his eyes about him, he could not but perceive that he was

[^6]in no fmall danger, as being furrounded with a multitude A. M. x. of favage creatures, all gazing on him, and (for any thing Ant. Chrir. he knew) ready and difpofed to fall upon and devour him. Gen. ch. y. And therefore, to fatisty his mind in this particular, God and part of took care to inform him, that all the creatures upon earth $\underbrace{\text { ch. } 2 .}$ were fubmitted to his authority ; that on them he had impreffed an awe and dread of him; had invefted him with an abfolute power and dominion over them; and, to convince him of the full poffeffion of that power, he immediately appointed every creature to appear before him, which they accordingly did, and, * by their lowly carriage, and geftures of refpect fuitable to their feveral fpecies, evidenced their fubmiffion; and, as they paffed along, fuch knowledge had Adam then of their feveral properties and deftinations, that he affigned them their names, which a fmall fikill in the Hebrew tongue will conviace us, were very proper, and fignificant of their notures.

This furvey of the feveral creatures might poffibly occafion fome unealy reflection in Adam, to fee every one provided with its mate, but himfelf left deftitute of any companion of a fimiliar nature : and therefore, to anfwer his defires in this particular likewife, (c) God caufed a deep geep to fall upon bim, which was intended, nor only as an expedient for the performance of the wonderful operation upon him without fenfe of pain, * but as a trance, or extafy

* Milton has expreffed himfelf upon this occafion in the following manner:

As thus he fpake, each bird, and beaft, behold Approaching, two and two ; thefe cow'ring low With blandiflmeut; each bird foop'd on his wing. I nam'd them, as they pafs'd, and underitood Their nature; with fuch knowledge God endu'd My fudden apprehenfion. Book 8 .
(c) Gen. ii. 2 I

* In like manner, he makes this fleep which fell upon Adans to have been a kind of trance or extafy, (for fo the LXX tranflate it), and thus he relates the occafion and nature of it.

He ended, and Theard no more; for now
My earthly by his heavenly overpower'd,
Which it had long flood under, Atrain'd to th' height
In that celeftial colloquy fubline,
(As with an object that excels the fenfe,
Dazzled and fpent), funk down, and fought relief
Of fleep, which initantly fell on me, call'd
By nature as in aid, and clos'd my eyes.
Mine eyes he clos'd, but open left the cell Of
A. M. I, extafy likewife, wherein was reprefented to his imagination, Ant. Chrif. both what was done to him, and what was the myftical Gen.ch. r. meaning of it, and whereby he was prepared for the reand part of ception of that divine oracle ( $d$ ) concerning the facred inch. 2. ftitution of marriage, which prefently, upon his awaking, he uttered.

While Adam continued in this fleep, God, who, with the fame facility wherewith he made him, could have formed the woman out of the duft of the earth, (being willing to fignify that equality and partnerlhip, that love and union, and tendernefs of endearment, which ought to interfere between hufband and wife), took part of the fubftance of the man's body, $\ddagger$ near his fide, and clofing up the orifice again, out of that fubftance he + formed the body of Eve, and then breathing into her the breath of life, made her, in like manner, become a living foul.

This was the * conciufive act of the whole creation : and upon a general furvey of fuch harmony rifen from principles

> Of fancy, my internal fight; by which (Abtract as in a trance) methought I faw, 'Though fleeping, where I lay, and faw the fhape Still glorious, before whom awake I ftood Under his formeng hands a creature grew Man-like, but different fex ; fo lovely fair, That what feem'd fair in all the world, feem'd now Mean, or in her fumm'd up, in her contain'd, And in her looks, which from that time infus'd Sweetnefs into my heart, unfelt before; And into all things from her air infiri'd The fpirit of love, and amorous delight. (d) Gen. ii. 23.
$\ddagger$ As the orignal word does not frictly fignify $a r i b$, and is all along rendered by the LXX. $\pi \lambda^{\prime}$ evea, I thought it not improper to give it that conftruction, thereby to cut off from infidels an occation for raillery, and to fpare them all their wit about the redundant or defective rib of Adam.
$\dagger$ The original word fignifies building or framing any thing with fingular care, contrivance, and proportion; and hence our bodies are in, Scripture frequently called houfes, Job. iv, ig. 2 Cor. v. I. and fometimes temples, John ii. 15. I Cor.iii. 16.

* It is not very neceffary to determine at what feafon of the year the world was made; yet it feems moft probable, that it was about the autumnal equinox, and that not only becaufe the trees were laden then with fruit, as the hiftory tells us our firft parents did eat of them; butbecaufe the Jews did then begin their
principles fo jarring and repugnant, and fo beautiful a varie- A. M. ı. ty and compofition of things from a mere mafs of con- Ant. Chrif. fufion and diforder, God was pleafed with the work of his Gen. ch, 1 . hands ; and having pronounced it good, or properly adapt ${ }^{\text {and }}$ part of ed to the ufes for which it was intended, be refted from all ch $\underbrace{2}$. his work, i. e. he ceafed to produce any more creatures, as having accomplifhed his defign, and anfwered his original idea; and thereupon he * fanctified and fet apart the next enfuing
civil year (viz. in the month $T_{i f r}$, which anfwers to part of our September and OAtober) from whence their fabbatical and jubilee years did likewife commence, Ezod. xxiii 16. xxxiv. 22.; Lev. xxv. 9. The month $A b i b$ (which anfwers to part of our March and April) had indeed the honour afterwards to be reckoned among the Jews the beginning of their year in ecclefiaftica! matters, becaufe the children of Ifrael, on that month, came out of the land of Egypt; but from the very creation, the month $T i / r i$ was always counted the firft of their civil year, becaufe it was the general opinion of the ancients, that the world was created at the time of the autumnal equinox; and for this reafon, the Jews do ftill, in the æra of the creation, as well as in that of contracts, and other inftruments, compute the beginning of their year from the firit day of Tifri. Herein, however, the Jews differ from us; that whereas they make the world only 3760 , moft of the Chriftian chronologers will have it to be much about 4000 years older than Chrift ; fo that by them 5732 years, or thereabouts, are thought a moderate computation of the world's antiquity. Vid. Ufher's annals; Bed. ford's chronology ; and Shuckford's connection.
* Whether the infitution of the Sabbath was from the beginning of the world, and one day in feven always obferved by the patriarchs, before the promulgation of the lav:; or whether the fanctification of the feventh day is related only by way of anticipation, as an ordinance not to take place until the introduction of the Jewifh oeconomy, is a matter of fome debate a mong the learned; but I think with little or no reafon; for when we confider, that as foon as the faered penman had faid, God ended bis work, and reffed, he adds immediately, in the words of the fame tenfe, beblefled the feventh day, and fanctifed it; when we compare this paflage in Genefis with the twentieth chapter of Exodus, wherein Mofes fpeaks of God's blefing and fanctifying the Sabbath, not as an act then firft done, but as what he had formerly done upon the creation of the world; when we remember, that all the patriarchs from Adam to Mofes had fet times for their folemn affemblies, and that thefe times were weekly, and of divine inftitution; that upon the return of thefe week-

Sabbaths,
A. M. s. enfuing day, (which was the feventh from the beginning of Ant. Chrif. the creation, and the firft of Adam's life), as a time of 4004 . . folemn reft and rejoicing for ever after, to be oblerved and and part of expended in acts of praife and religious worfhip, and in ch. 2 commemoration of the infinite wifdom, power, and goodnefs of God, in the world's creation.

## The Objection

againf
fes's ac- $\mathrm{B}^{\text {UT }}$ how great foever the difplay of the divine at-res'sac-
count of the " creation. " creation, yet Mofes, one would think, is far from en" deavouring to give us the moft advantageous reprefenta-
" tion of them. To fpeak the world into being at once,
" and in an inftant, had been more agreeable to the no-
" tions we have of an almighty power, than the fpinning
" it out into fo many days labour, But allowing this fuc-
" ceflion of time to have been real, what a fad blunder
" does the hittorian make, even athis firft fetting out, when
" he talks of light, before there was any fuch thing as the
"fun, and of the moon's "being a great light, when eve-
" ry body knows it to be an opaque body; when he dif-
" tributes the whole work into fuch unequal proportions,
" and accounts for fome parts of it in a manner inconfift-
" ent with the wifdom of its maker. For on the firft
"day, to have no more to do that what might be dif-
" patched in the twinkling of an eye, but on the third
" to have all the waters of the abyis drained off, and broad
** channels dug for the reception of the fea; to have the
" fun, moon, and other planets, together with the ftars,
" (a vaft number of immenfe bodies!), ail made on the
" fourth; and when one piece of clay would have done
" for both, to have two diftinct creations for our firft pa-
" rents; and (what is worft of all) in the hurry of the
Sabbaths, very probaby it was, that Cain and Abel offered their refpective facrifices to God; and that Noah, the only righteous perfon among the Antediluvians, Abraham, the moft faithful fervant of God after the flood, and Job, that perfece and upright man, who feared God, and efchewed evil, are all fuppofed to have obferved it; we cannot but think, that the day whereon the work of the creation was concluded, from the very beginning of time, was every week (until men had corrupted their ways) bept boly, as being the birth day of the ruorld, (as Philo De numndi opificio Ayles it), and the univerfal feffival of mankized; Bedford's Scripture-chronology, and Patrick's commentary.
" work (for the fixth day, being the winding up of all, A. M. r. "s was a day of great hurry), to forget the creation of the Ant. Chrif. " ${ }^{\text {p }}$ poor woman's foul, to fay nothing of the ftrange fub- Gen, cl. ${ }^{4004 .}$ " ftratum of her body: Thefe, and feveral other particu- and part of
" lars are enongh to make us fufpect the phyfical truth " of our author's cofmogony, and to pronounce it not " much better than what we meet with in the theology, or " hiftories of other ancient nations.
(e) Where waft thou, when I laid the foundations of anfwered, the earth? Declare if thou haft underftanding. Whereupon are the foundations thereof faftened, and who laid the corner fone tbereof? is a queftion very proper to be put to thofe who demand a reafon for the actions of God: For if they cannot comprehend the works themfelves, they are certainly very culpable in inquiring too bufily into the time the glory of and manner of his doing them. But (to gratify the inqui- God. fitive tor once) though we do not deny, that all things are equally esy to almighty power, yet it pleafed the divine architect to employ the pace of fix days in the gradual formation of the world. becaufe he forefaw, that fuch procedure would be a means conducive to the better inftruction both of men and angels. Angels (as we hinted before) were very probably creared, when the fupreme heavens were made, at leaft fome confiderable time before the production of this vifible world. Now, though they be great and glorious beings,' yet ftill they are of a finite nature, and unable to comprehend the wonderful works of God. There are fome things (as ( $f$ ) the apoftle tells us) that thefe celeftial creatures defire to look into; and the more they are let into the knowledge and wifdom of God, the more they are incited to praife him. ( $g$ ) That therefore they mighe not want fufficient matter for this heavenly exercife, the whole fcene of the creation, according to the feveral degrees and nature of things, feems to have been laid open in order before them, that thereby they might have a more full and comprehenfive view of the divinesattributes therein exhibited, than they could have had, in cafe the world had farted forth in an inftant, or jumped (as it were) into this beautiful frame and order all at once; juft as he who fees the whole texture and contrivance of any curious piece of art, values and admires the artift more, than he who beholds it in the grofs only.
(c) Job. xxxviii. 4, 6. (f) 1 Pet. i. 12. (g) Jenkin's reafonablenefs of the Chriftian religion. Vol. I.
A. M. ग. God was therefore pleafed to difplay his glory before the Aut.Chrift. angels, and by feveral fteps and degrees, excite their Gen. ch. 1. praife, and love, and admiration, which moved them to and part of fongs and houts of joy. By this means, his glory, and $\underbrace{\text { ch. 2.-_ }}$ their happinefs were advanced, far beyond what it would have been, had all things been created, anid ranged in their proper order in a moment. By this means they had time to look into their firft principles and feeds of all creatures, both animate and inanimate, and every day prefented them with a glorious fpectacle of new wonders; for that the more they faw, the more they knew, and the more they know of the works of God, the more they for ever love and adore him. But this is not all.

By this fucceffive and gradual creation of things, in the fpace of fix days, the glory of God is likewife more manifeft to man, than it would have been, had they beery made by a fudden and inftantaneous production. The heavens, and all the hoft of them, we may fuppofe, were made in an inftant, becaufe there were then perhaps no other creatures to whom God might difplay the glory of his works; but as they were made in an inftant, we have little or no perception of the manner wherein they were made : But now, in this leifurely procedure of the earth's formation, we fee, as it were, every thing arifing out of the primordial mafs, firft the fimple elements, and then the compounded and more curious creatures, and are led, ftep by ftep, full of wonder and admiration, until we fee the whole compleated. So that, in condefcerifion to cur capacity, it was, that God divided the creation into ftated periods, and protonged the fucceffion of what he could have done in fix moments, to the term of fix days, that we might have clearer notions of his eternal power and godhead, and every particular day of the week, new and particular works; for which we are to praife him. And this, by the by, fuggefts amother argument, founded on the inftitution of the Sabbath-day: For if, in fix days, the Lord made beaven and earth, and, reffing on the feventh day, did blefs and fanctify it, this feems to imply, that God obliged himfelf to continue the work of the creation for fix days, that fhewing himfelf (if I may fo fay) a divine example of weekly labour, and fabbatical reft, he might more effectually fignify to mankind, what tribute of duty he would require of them, viz. that one day in feven, abftaining from bufinefs and worldy labour, they

Ahould devote to confecrate it to his honour, and religious A. M. . r. worthip.
There is therefore po necefity of departing from 4004. literal fenfe of the Scripture in this particular. The reite- and part of rated acts, and the different operations mentioned by Mofes, , ch. 2. ought indeed to be explained in fuch a manner, as is confiftent with the infinite power, and perfect fimplicity of the acts of God, and in fuch a manner, as may exclude all notions of weaknefs, wearinefs, or imperfection in him; but all this may be done without receding from a fucceffive creation, which redounds fo much to the glory of God, and affords the whole intelligent creation fo fair a field for contemplation.
Some of the Jewilh doctors are of opinion, that in the why light firft day, when God created light, at the fame time, he before the formed and compacted it into a fun; and that the fun is mentioned again on the fourth day, merely by way of repetition; while others maintain that this light was a certain luminous body (not unlike that which conducted the children of Ifrael in the wilderneis) that moved round the sworld, uncil the day wherein the fun is created. But there is no occafion for fuch conjectures as thefe: Every one knows, that darkinefs has, in all ages, been the chief idea which men have had of a chaps. (b) Both poets and philofophers have made Nex, and Erebus, and Tartarus, the principal parts and ingredients of its defcription; and therefore it feems very agreeable to the reafon of mankind, that the firl reniove from the chaos flould be a tendency to light. But then by light (as it was produced the firft day), we muft not underftand the darting of rays from a luminous body, fuch as do now proceed from the fun, (i) but thofe particles of matter only, which we call fire, (whofe properties we know are light and heat), which the Almighty produced, as a proper inftrument for the preparation, and digeftion of all other matter. For fire, being naturally a ftrong and reftlefs element, when once it was difentangled and fet free, would not ceafe to move, and agitate, from top to bottorn, the whole beavy and confufed mafs, until the purer and more fhining parts of it being feparated from the groffer, and fo uniting together, (as things of the fame feccies naturally do), did conftiute that light, which, on the fourch day, was more compreffed and confotidated, and fo became the body of the fun.
(b) Patricks comment, in locum. (i) Nicholl's conference, vol. r .
A. M. r. The author of the Book of Wirdom tells us indeed, that Ant.Chrift. ( $k$ ) God ordered all things in meafure, and number, and Gen.ch. r. weight; but we cannot from hence infer, that in the and part of bexemeron, he was fo niece and curious, as to weigh out th. 2.

No difroopor: ion in the work of each day. to himfelf in gold fcales (as it were) his daily work by grains and fcruples. We indeed, who are finite creatures, may talk of the beat and burtben of the day, and, in a weekly tafk, are forced to proportion the labour of each day to the prefent condition of our ftrength; but this is the cafe of human infirmity, and no way compatible to God. To omnipotence nothing can be laborious, nor can there be more or lefs of pains, where all things are equally eafy. But, in the mean time, how does it appear, that even, in human conception, the work of the third day, which confifted in draining the earth, and ftocking it with plants, or even of the fourth day, wherein the fun and moon, and other planets were made, was more difficult, than that of the firft, which is accounted the fimple production of light?

The compafs of the chaos (as we fuppofed) took up the whole folar fyftem, or that fpace, which Saturn circumfcribes in his circulation round the Sun: And if fo, what a prodigious thing was it, to give motion to this vaft una weildy mafs, and to direct that motion in fome fort of regularity; in the general ftruggle and cumbuftion, to unite things that were no ways akin, and to fort the promifcuous elements into their proper feecies; to give the properties of reft and gravitation to one kind, and of afcenfion and elafticity to another; to make fome parts fub. fide and fettle themfelves, not in one continued folid, but in feveral different centres, at proper diftances from each other, and fo lay the foundation for the planets; to make others afpire and mount on high, and having obtained their liberty by hard conflict, join together, as it were, by compact, and make up one body, which, by the tenuity of its parts, and rapidity of its motion, might produce light and heat, and fo lay the foundation for the fun; to place this luminous body in a fituation proper to influence the upper parts of the chaos, and to be the inftrument of rarefaction, feparation, and all the reft of the operations to enfue ; to caufe it, when thus placed, either to circulate round the whole planatary fyitem, or to make the planetary globes to turn round it, in order to produce the viciffitudes of day and night ; to do all this, and more than this, I
(k) Wif. xi. 30 .
fay, as it is included in the fingle article of creating light, A. M. 1. is enough to make the firft day, wherein nature was utterly Ant. Chrift. impotent, (as having motion then firf impreffed upon her) Gen. ch. i. a day of more labour and curious contrivance than any fub- and part of fequent one could be, when nature was become more awake $\underbrace{\text { ch. } 2 .}$ and active, and fome affiftance might poffibly be expected from the inftrumentality of fecond caufes.

To excavate fome parts of the earth, and raife others, in How chans order to make the waters fubfide intol proper channels, is fels for the thought a work not fo comporting with the dignity and ${ }_{\text {eafily }}$ be majefty of God; and therefore * fome have thought that made. it poffibly might have been effected by the fame caufes that occafion earthquakes, i.e. by fubterraneous fires and flatufes. What incredible effects the accenfion of gunpowder has, we may fee every day; how it rends rocks, and blows up the moft ponderous and folid walls, towers, and edifices, fo that its force is almoft irrefiftible. And why then might not fuch a proportionable quantity of the like materials, fet on fire together, raife up the mountains, (how great and weighty foever), and the whole fuperficies of the earth above the waters, and fo make receptacles for them to run into. ( $l$ ) Thus we have a channel for the fea, even by the intervention of fecond caufes: Nor are we deftitute of good authority to patronize this notion; for after that the Pfalmift had faid, the waters fand above the mountains, immediately he fubjoins, at thy rebuke they fied, at the voice of thy thunder (an earthquake, we know, is but a fubterraneous thunder) they hafted away, and went down to the valley beneath, even unto the place which thou badft appointed to them.

However this be, it is probable, and (if our hypothefis The work of ( $m$ ) be right) it is certain, that, on the fourth day, the fun, the fourth moon, and planets, were pretty well advanced in their for- proportionmation. The luminous matter extracted from the chaos on ably great. the firft day, being a little more condenfed, and put into a proper orb, became the fun, and the planets had all along been working off, in the fame degrees of progreffion with

[^7]A. M. y the earth; fo that che labour of this day could not be fo Ant. Chrif. difproportionably great as is imagined. It is true, indeed, ${ }^{\text {Gen. ch. 1. the Scripture tells us, that God on this day, not only made }}$ and part of the fun and the moon, but that be made the ftars alfo; ch. 2. and, confidering the almoft infinite number of thefe heavenly bodies, (which we may difcern with our eyes, and much more with glafes), we cannot but fay, that a computation of this kind would fwell the work of the fourth day to a prodigious difproportion : But then we are to obferve, that our Englifh tranflation has interpolated the words [be made], which are not in the original; for the fimple verfion of the Hebrew is this-and ( $n$ ) God made two great lights, the greater light to rule the day, and the leffer light to rule the night, and the fars: Which laft words [and the fiars] are not to be referred to the word [made] in the beginning of the verfe, but to the word [rule], which immediately goes before them : And fo this fentence, the laffer light to rule the night, and the Why the ftars; will only denote the peculiar ufefulnefs and predomoon may minancy of the moon above all other ftars or planets, be called a in refpect of this earth of ours; in which fenfe it may great light. not improperly be ftyled (as $*$ fome of the moft polite authors are known to call it) the ruler of the night, and a queen, or goddefs, as it were, among the fars. With regard to us therefore, who are the inhabitants of the earth, the moon, though certainly an opaque body, may not be improperly called a great light; fince, by reaion of its proximity, it commpnicates more light, (not of its own indeed, but what it borrows from the fun), and is of more ufe and benefit to us than all the other planets put together. Nor muft we forget (what indeed deferves a peculiar obfervation) that the moon (o), by its conftant deviations towards the poles, affords a ftronger and more lafting light to the inhabitants of thofe forlorn regions, whofe long and tedious nights are of fome days, nay, of fome months continuance, than if its motion were truly circular, and the rays it reflects confequently more oblique. A mighty comfort and refrefhment this to them, and a fingular in:-
(n) Gen. i. 16.

* Lucidum cxli decus-fyderum regina bicornis; Hor. Aftrorum decus; Virg. Etn. - Obfcuri dea clara mundi; Scneca Hip. Arcanæ moderatrix Cynthia noctis; Statius Theb.
- Phoeben imitantem lumina fratris

Semper, et in proprio regnantem tempore noctis; Manil. (o) Derham's Aftro-theology, ch. 4 -
ftance of the great Creator's wifdoin' in contriving, and A. M. x. mercy in preferving all his works! 4004.

St. Paul, in his epiftle to the Romans, makes all man-Gen.ch. $\mathrm{m}^{4004 .}$ kind (as certainly our firft parent literally was) clay in the and part of hands of the potter, and thereupon he afks this queftion; ; $\underbrace{\text { ch. } 2 .}$ ( $p$ ) Nay but, 0 man, who art thou, that replieft againft Why the God? Shall the thing formed fay to him that formed it, waman was why haft thou formed me thus? Hath not the potter power rib. over the clay, of the fame lump to make one veffel unto hanour, and another unto di/bonour? It but badly becomes us therefore to inquire into the reafon that might induce God to make the man and the woman at different times, and of different materials; and it is an impertinent, as well as impious banter, to pretend to be fo frugal of hls pains. What if God, willing to thew a pleafing variety in his works, condefcended to have the matter, whereof the woman was formed, pafs twice through his hands, in order to $*$ foften the temper, and meliorate the compofition? Some peculiar qualities, remarkable in the female fex, might perhaps juftify this fuppofition: But the true reafon, as I take it, is couched in thefe words of Adam $(q)$, This is now bone of my bones, and fefb of my flefb; flo ball
( $p$ ) Rom. ix. 20, 2 1.

* Milton has given us a very curious defcription of Eve's. qualifications, both in body and mind.

Though well I undertand, in the prime end
Of nature, her the inferior in the mind;
And inward faculties, which moft excel;
In outward alfo her refembling lefs
His image, who made both, and lefs expreffing
The character of that dominion giv'n
O'er other creatures; yet when I approach
Her lovelinefs, fo abfolute fhe feems,
So in herfelf compleat, fo well to know
Her own, that what the wills to do, or fay;
Seems wifeft, virtuoufet, difcreeteft, beft.
All higher knowledge in her prefence falls
Degraded, wifdom in difcourfe with her
Lofes difcountenanc'd, and like folly fhews.
Authority and reafon on her wait,
As one intended firt, but after made
Occafionally; and, to confummate all,
Greatnefs of mind, and noblenefs their feat
Build in her lovelieft, and create an awe
About her, as a guard angelic plac'd. Book 8.
(q) Gen. ii. 23, 24 .
A. M. x. be called + woman, becaufe fie was taken out of man; there Ant. Cari.f. fore fball a man leave his father and his mother, and cleave to 4en. ch. I. bis wife, and they fball be one flefb.
and part of Since God was determined then to form the woman out ch. 2. of fome part of the man's body, and might probably have a myftical meaning in fo doing; to have taken her (like the poets Minerva) out of the head, might have intitled her to a fuperiority which he never intended for her; to have made her of any inferior, or more difhonourable part, would not have agreed with that equality to which fhe was appointed; and therefore he took her out of the man's fide, to denote the obligations to the fricteft friendfhip and fociety; to beget the firongeft love and fympathy between him and her, as parts of the fame whole; and to recommend marriage to all mankind, as founded in nature, and as the re-union of man and woman.

Why the woman's fool is not mentioned in the works of creation.

It is an eafy matter to be fceptical ; but fmall reafon, I think, there is to wonder, why no mention is made in this place of the infpiration of the woman's foul. What the hiftorian means here, is only to reprefent a peculiar circumftance in the woman's compofition, viz. her affumption from the man's fide: And therefore what relates to the creation of her foul muft be prefumed to go before, and is indeed fignified in the preface God makes before he begins the work; ( $r$ ) It is not good that man fould be alone, I will make him an belp meet for bim, i.e. of the fame ( $s$ ) effential qualities with himfelf. For we cannot conceive of what great comfort this woman would have been to Adam, had fhe not been endowed with a rational part, capable of converfing with him; had the not had, I fay, the fame underftanding, will, and affections, though perhaps in a lower degree, and with fome accommodation to the weaknefs of her fex, in order to recommend her beauty, and to endear that foftnefs wherein (as I hinted before) the had certainly the pre-eminence.

Such is the hiftory which Mofes gives us of the origin

The ridiculous accounts which other nations give us of the creation. of the world, and the production of mankind: And if we fhould now compare it with what we meet with in other nations recorded of thefe great events, we thall foon perceive, that it is the only rational and philofophical account extant ; which, confidering the low ebb that learning was
$\dagger$ Arius Montanus renders the Hebrew word virago, in the margin virifg, i. e. Sbe-man.
( $r$ ) Gen. ii. 18 . (s) So the original word means, and fo the vulgar Latin has tranflated it.
at in the Jewifh nation, is no fmall argument of its divine A. M. r. revelation. What a wretched account was that of the E- Ant. Chrif, gyptians, (from whence the Epicureans borrowed their hy- Gen. ch. , pothefis), that the world was made by chance, and man-and part of kind grew out of the earth like pumkins? What ftrange $\underbrace{\text { ch. } 2 .}$ ftories does the Grecian theology tell us of "Ovequos and r $\bar{n}$, Fupiter and Saturn; and what fad work do their ancient writers make, when they come to form men and women out of projected fones? How unaccountably does the Phe-nician hiftorian ( $t$ ) make a dark and windy air the principle of the univerfe; all intelligent creatures to be formed alike in the fhape of an egg, and both male and female awakened into life by a great thunder-clap? The Chinefe are accounted a wife people, and yet the articles of their creed are fuch as thefe -That one Tayn, who lived in heaven, and was famous for his wifdom, difpofed the parts of the world into the order we find them; that he created out of nothing the firt man Panfon, and his wife Panfone; that this Panfon, by a power from Tayn, created another man called Tanhom, who was a great naturalift, and thirteen men more, by whom the world was peopled, till, after a while, the flky fell upon the earth, and deftroyed them all; buit that the wife Tayn afterwards created another man, called Lotziram, who had two horns, and an odoriferous body, and from whom proceeded feveral men and women, who ftocked the world with the prefent inhabitants. But, of all others, the Mahometan account is the moft ridiculous; for it tells us, that the firft things which were created, were the Throne of God, * Adam, Paradife, and a great pen, wherewith God wrote his decrees: That this throne
was
(t) Vid. Cumberland's Sanchoniatho.

* As to the formation of Adam's body; the Mahometans tell us many ftrange circumftances, viz. That after God, by long continued rains, had prepared the flime of the earth, out of which he was to form it, he fent the angel Gabriel, and commanded him, of feven lays of earth, to take out of each an handful: That upon Gabriel's coming to the earth, he told her, that God had determined to extract that out of her bowels, whereof he propofed to make man, whowas to be fovereign over all, and his vicegerent: That; furprifed at this news, the Earth defired Gabriel to reprefent her fears to God, that this creature, whom. he was going to make in this manner, would one day rebel a. gainft him, and draw down his curfe upon her? That Gabrie! returned, and made a report to God of the Earth's remonftrances; but Godrefolvine to execute his defign, difpatched Michael,

Vol.I.
T
and
A. M. 1. was carried about upon angels necks, whofe heads were fo Ant. Carif. big, that birds could not fly in a thoufand years from one
4004. Gen.ch. ı. ear to another; that the heavens were propped up by the and part of mountain Koff; that the ftars were firebrands, thrown ach. 2. gainft the devils when they invaded heaven, and that the earth ftands upon thie top of a great cow's horn; that this cow ftands upon a wite fone, this ftone upon a mountain, and this mountain upon God knows what ; with many more abfirdities of the like nature.
And the
Thefe are fome accounts of the world's creation, funterefs of which nations of great fagacity in other refpects have at Moles. leaft pretended to believe. But alas ! how fordid and trifling are they, in comparifon of what we read in the book of Genefis; where every thing is eafy and natural, comporting. with God's majefty, and not repugnant to the principles of plitlofophy? Nay, whiete every thing agrees with the pofitions of the greateft inetr in the Heathen world, * the fentiments of their wrifelt philofophers, and the defcriptions of their
and afterwards Affaphel, with the fame cotimilfion: That thefe two angels returned in like manner to report the Earth's excufes, and abfolute refufal to contribute to this work; whereupon he deputed Azrael, who, without faying any thing to the Earth, took an handful out of each of the feven different lays or beds, and cariied it to a place in Arabia, between Mecca and Taief: That after the angels fiad mixed and kneaded the Earth which Azrael brought, God, with his own hand, formed out of it an human fatue, and having left it in the fame place for fome time to dry; not long after communicating his fpirit, of enlivening breath, infured life and underftanding into it; and cloathing it in a wonderful drefs, fuitable to its dignity, corfmanded the angels to fall proftrate before it, which Eblis (by whom they mean Lucifer) refufing to do, was immediately driven out of paradife. N. B. The difference of the earth employed in the formation of Adam, is of great fervice to the Mahometans, in explaining the different colours and qualities of mankind who are derived from it, fome of whom are white, others black; others tawny, yellow, olive-coloured, and red; fome of one humour, inclination, and complexion, and others of a quite different; Calmet's Dicionary, on the word Adam.

* Thales, quem primum Greci putant rerum naturalium caus fas effe rimatum; mundum opus effe Dei, Deumque antiquiffmum effe rerum omnium, utpote ortus expertem, afferit. Pythagoras, cum mundi hujus fabricamet ornatum contemplaret, videri fibi, aiebat, audire vocem illam Dei, qua exiftere juffus eft. Plato

Chap. I. from the Creation to the Flood.
their moft renowned poets. So that were we to judge of A. M. r. Mofes at the bap of reafon, merely as an hiftorian ; had we Ant. Chrif. none of thofe fupernatural proofs of the divinity of his ${ }^{4004}{ }^{40}$. writings, which fet them above the fphere of all human and part of compofition; had his works none of that manifett adivaitage of antiquity above all others we ever yet faw; and were we not allowed to prefume, that his living near the tine which he makes the æra of the world's creation, gave hiim great afliftances in point of tradition; were we, I fay, to wave all this that might be alledged in his behalf; yet the very manner of his treating the fubject gives him a pre: ference above all others. Nor can we, without admiration, fee a perfon who had none of the fytems before him which ve now fo much value, giving us a clearer idea of things, in the way of an eafy narrative, than any philofopher, with all his hard words and new-invented terms, has yet been able to do; and, in the compafs of two fhort chapters, comprifing all that has been adyanced with reafon, even from his own time to this very day.

## DISSERTATION I.

The wifdom of God in the works of the creation.

THOU GH the anthor of the Pentateuch (q) never once attempts to prove the being of a God, as taking it all along for a thing undeniable; yet it may not be improper for us, in this place, to take a curfory view of the works of the creation, (as far at leaft as they come under the Mofaic account), in order to thew the exiftenge, the wifdom, the greatnefs, and the goodnefs of their almighty Maker.'

Let us then caft our eyes up to the firmament, where the The being rich handy-work of God prefents iffelf to our fight, and of widom of God proved from non ex æterna materia, fuique corquali, Deum mundum compe- the make giffe ratus eft, fed eduxiffe ex nihilo, folaque fua voluntate ad id and motion eguiffe, neque folum à Dea, fed ad Dei fimilitudinem factum effe hominem, et animos noftros Deo effe cognatos et fimiles, eidem Platoni notum fuit. Vacandi quoque ad partes poetæ: inter Latunos Virgilius, cum canentem inducit Silenum, ut coactis rerum feminibus mundi tener orbis concreverit; pracipue Ovidiuf, cum ceeli terrxque narrat crtum, hominifque ad Dei effigiem conficti; et, inter Gracos, imprimis Hefodus, qui rerum omni'um machinationem, fuaviffimis carminibus, Mofaica doctrinz confonis, in Theogonia, celebravit; Huetii Alnetana Quefiones.
(a) Vid. Stillingfleet's Orig. Sacr. 1. 3.c. i.
A. M. т. Ant. Chrif. 4004. Gen. ch. 1. pread out the beaven like a curtain? Who garnifhed thefe and part of heavens with fuch a variety of fhining objects, a thoufand, ch. 2 .
$\qquad$ and ten thoufand times ten thoufand different ftars, new funs, new moons, new worlds, in comparifon of which this earth of ours is but a point, all regular in their motions, and fwimming in their liquid æther? Who painted the clouds with fuch a variety of colours, and in fuch diverfity of fhades and figures, as is not in the power of the fineft pencil to emulate? Who formed the fun of fuch a; determinate fize, and placed it at fuch a convenient diftance, as not to annoy, but only refrefh us, and nourifh the ground with its kindly warmth? ' If it were larger, it would fet the earth on fire; if lefs, it would leave it frozen : If it were nearer us, we fhould be fcorched to death; if farther from us, we fhould not be able to live for want of heat: Who then hath made it fo commodious (b) a tabernacle (I fpeak with the Scriptures, and according to the common notion) out of which it cometh forth, every morning, like a bridegroom out of bis chamber, and rejoiceth, as a giant, to run bis courfe? For fo many ages paft, it never failed rifing at its appointed time, nor once miffed fending out the dawn to proclaim its approach : But at whofe voice does it arife, and by whofe hand is it directed in its diurnal and annual courfe, to give us the blefled viciffitudes of the day and night, and the regular fucceffion of different feafons? That it fhould always proceed in the fame ftrait path, and never once be known to ftep afide; that it fhould turn at a certain determinate point, and not go forward in a fpace where there is nothing to obftruct it; that it fhould traverfe the fame path back again in the fame conftant and regular pace, to bring on the feafons by gradual advances; that the moon fhould fupply the office of the fun, and appear at fet times, to illuminate the air, and give a vicarious light, when its brother is gone to carry the day to the other hemifphere; (c) that it fhould procure, or at leaft regulate the fluxes and refluxes of the fea, whereby the water is kept in conftant motion, and fo preferved from putrefaction, and ac. commodated to man's manifold conveniencies, befides the bufinefs of filhing, and the ufe of navigation : In a word, that the reft of the planets, and all the innumerable hoft of
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heavenly bodies fhould perform their courfes and revolutions, with fo much certainty and exactnefs, as never once

A. M. 1. Ant. Chrift. to fail, but, for almoft this 6000 years, come conftantly | 4004. |
| :---: | about in the fame period, to the hundredth part of a mi- and part of nute; this is fuch a clear and inconteftible proof of a divine $\underbrace{\text { ch. } 2 .}$ architect, and of that counfel and wifdom wherewith he rules and directs the univerfe, as made the Roman philofopher, with good reafon, conclude, "t That (d) whocves " imagines, that the wonderful order, and incredible "conftancy of the heavenly bodies, and their motions oc (whereupon the prefervation and welfare of all things " do depend) is not governed by an intelligent being, him" felf is deftitute of underftanding. For fhall we, when " we fee an artificial engine, a fphere, a dial, for in" ftance, acknowledge, at firft fight, that it is the work " of art and underftanding; and yet, when we behold the " heavens, moved and whirled about with an incredible " velocity, moft conftantly finifhing their anniverfary vi!' ciffitudeş, make any doubt, that thefe are the perform" ances, not only of reafon, but of a certain excellent and "divine reafon ?"

And if Tully, from the very imperfect knowledge of aftronomy, which his time afforded, could be fo confident, that the heavenly bodies were framed, and moved by a wife and underftanding mind, as to declare, that, in his opinion, whoever afferted the contrary, was himfelf deftitute of underftanding; (e) what would he have faid, had he been acguainted with the modern difcoveries of aftronomy; the immenfe greatnefs of the world, that part of it (I mean) which falls under our obferyation; the exquifite regularity of the motions of all the planets, without any deviation or confufion ; the inexpreffifle nicety of adjuftment in the primary velocity of the earth's annual motion : The wonderful proportion of its diurnal motion about its own centre, for the diftinction of light and darknefs; the exact accommodation of the denfities of the planets to their diftances from the fun: The admirable order, number, and ufefulnefs of the feveral fatellites, which move about their refpective planets; the motion of the comets, which are now found to be as regular and periodical, as that of other planetary bodies ; and; laftly, the prelervation of the feveral fytems, and of the feveral planets and comets in the fame
(d) Tully De nat, deorum, (c) Ciarke's Demonfration of a God.

fyftem,

A. M. r. fyftem, from falling upon each pther: What, I fay, would Ant. Chrift. Tully, hat great mafter of reafon, have thought and faid,
4004. . if thefe, and other newly-difcovered inflances of the inexand part of preflible accuracy and wifdom of the works of God, had ch. 2. been obierved and confidered in his days? Certainly Atheifm, which even then was unable to withftand the arguments drawn from this topic, muft now, upon the additional ftrength of thefe later obfervations, be putterly athamed to fhow its head, and forced to acknowledge, that it was an eternal and almighty being, God alone, who gave thefé celeftial bodies their proper menfuration and temperature of heat, their duenefs of diftance, and regularity of motion; or, in the phrafe of the proplet, (f) who eftablifbed the world by bis wifdom, and fretched out the beavens by bis underftanding.
The air and If, from the firmament, we defcend to the orb whereon its meteors. we live, what a glorious proof of the divine wifdom do we meet with in this intermediate expanfion of the air, which is fo wonderfully contriyed, as, at one and the fame time, to fupport clouds for rain, and to afford winds for health and traffic; to be proper for the breath of animals by its fpring," for caufing founds by its motion, and for conveying light by its tranfparency? But whofe power was it that made fo thin and fluid an element, the fafe repofitory of thunder and lightning, of winds and tempefts? By whofe command, and out of whofe treafuries, are thefe meteor's fent forth to purify the aif, which would otherwife ftagnate, and confume the vapours which would otherwife annoy us? And by what ikilful hand is the ( $g$ ) water, which is drawn from the fea, by a natural difillation made frefh and bottled up, as it were, in the clouds, to be fent upon the wings of the wind into different countries, and, in a manner, equally difperfed, "and diftributed over the face of the earth, in gentle fhowers?
From the Whofe power and wifdom was it, that banged the earth
carth earth and upon notbing, and gave it a foherical figure, the moft commodious that could be devifed; both for the confiftency of its parts, and the velocity of its motion? That weighed the mountains in fcales, and the bills in' a balance, and difpofed of them in their moft proper places for fruitfulnefs and health? That diverffied the climates of the earth into fuch an agreeable variety, that, at "the fartheft diftance, each one has its proper feafons, day and

$$
(f) \text { Jer, li. 15. (g) Ray's Wirdom of God in the creation. }
$$

"nighe
night, winter and fummer? 'That cloathed the face of it A. M. in, with plants and flowers, fo exquifitely adorned with various Ant. Chrif. snd inimicable beauties, that even Solomon, in all bis glory, Gcn. ch. x . was not arrayed like one of them? That placed the plant in and part of the feed (as the young is in the womb of animals) in fuch ch. 2 . elegant complications, as afford at once both a pleafing and aftonifhing feectacle ? 'That painted and perfumed the flowers, gave them the fweet odours which they diffufe in the air for our delight, and, with one and the fame water, dyed them into different colours, the fcarlet, the purple, the carnation, furpaifing the imitation, as well as comprehenfion of mankind? 'That has replenifhed it with fuch an infinite variety of living creatures, ( $b$ ) fo like, and at the fame time fo unlike to each other, that of the innumetable particulars wherein each creature differs from all others, every one is known to have its peculiar beauty, and fingular uie? Some walk, fome creep, fome fly, fome fwim; but every one has members and organs, (i) fitted to its peculiar motions. In a word, the pride of the horfe, and the feathers of the peacock, the largenefs of the camel, and the fmallnefs of the infect, are equal demonftrations of an infinite wifdom and power: Nay, * the fmaller

## (b) Dr'. Sam. Clarke's ferm, vol. 1. <br> (i) Ray's Wifdom of God in the creation.

* Where has nature difpofed fo many fenfes, as in a gnat? (fays Pliny in his natural hiftory, when confidering the body of that infect), "Ubi vifum pretendit? Ubi guftatum applicavit? " ubi odoratum inferuit? ubi vero truculentamillam, et portione " maximam vocem, ingeneravit? qua fubtilitate pennas adnex" uit? prelongavit pedum crura, difpofnit jejunam caveam, uti " alvum, avidam fanguinis, et potiffmum humani, accendit? " telum vero, petfodiendi tergori, quo fpiculavit ingenio? " atque; ut in capaci, cum cerni non poffit exilitas, ita recipro" ca geminavit arte, ut fodiendo acuminatum, pariter forden"doque fiftulofum effet ?" And if Pliny made fo many queries concerning the body of a gate, (which, by his own confeffion, is none of the leaft of infects), what would he, in all likelihood, have done, had he feen the bodies of thefe animalcula, which are difcerainble by glafies, to the number of 10,20 , or 30 thoufand, in a drop of pepper-water, not larger than a grain of millet? And if thefe creatures be fo very finall, what mult we think of their mulcles, and other parts? Certain it is, that the mechanifm, by which nature performs the mufcular motion, is exceedinglyminut: and curious, and to the performance of every mufcular motion, in
A. M. f. fmaller the creature is, the more amazing is the workmany Apt. Chrif. fhip; and when in a little mite, we do (by the help of Gen. ch. 1. glaffes) fee limbs perfectly well organized, an head, a body, and part of legs, and feet, all diftinct, and as well proportioned for ch. 2 . their fize, as thofe of the valteft elephants; and confider withal, that in every part of this living atom, there are mufcles, nerves, veins, arteries, and blood; and in that blood ramous particles and humours; and in thofe hu*mours fome drops that are compofed of other minute particles: When we confider all this, I faý, can we help being loft in worder and aftonifhment, or refrain crying out, with the bleffed apoftle, ( $k$ ) 0 the depth of the riches both of the wifdom, and knowledge of God! bow unfearcbable are bis works, and bis ways of creation and providence paft finding out!

But there is another thing in animals, both terreftrial and aqueous, no lefs wonderful than their frame; and that is, their nataral inftinct. In compliance with the common forms of feech I call it fo; but in reality, it is the providential direction of them, by an all-wife, and all-powerful mind. For what elfe has infufed into birds the art of build: ing their nefts, either hard or foft, according to the contitution of their young? What elfe makes them keep fo con: ftantly in their nefts, while they are hatching their young, as if they knew the philofophy of their own warmth, and its aptnefs for animation? W-hat effe moves the fwallow, upon the approach of winter, to fly to a more temperate climate, as if it underfiod the celeftial frgns; the influence of the ftars, and the change of feafons? What elfe (l) caufes the falmon, every year, to afcend from the fea up a river, fomie four or five hundred miles perhaps, only to cafr its fpawn, and fecure it in banks of fand, until the young be hatched, or excluded, and then return to the fea again ? How thefe creatures, when they have been wan: dering, a long time, in the wide ocean, fhould again find out, and repair to the mouth of the fame rivers, feems to me very ftrange, and hardly accountable, without having recourfe either to fome impreffion given at their firft creation, or the immediate and continuai direction of a faperior

[^9]caufe. In a word, $(m)$ can we behold the fpider's net, the filk-worm's webs, the bee's cells, or the ant's granaries, without being loft in the contemplation, and forced to Gen. ${ }^{4004 .}$. . acknowledge that infinite wifdom of their creator, who ei- and part of ther directs their unerring fteps himfelf, or has given them ch. 2 . a genius (if I nay fo call it) fit to be an emblem, and to fhow mankind the pattern of art, induftry, and frugality?

If from the earth, and the creatures which live upon it, From the we caft our eye upon the water, we foon perceive, that water, and it is a liquid and tranfparent body, and that, had it been itsanimals, more or lefs rarified, it had not been fo proper for the ufe of man : But who gave it that juft configuration of parts, and exact degree of motion, as to make it both fo fluent, and at the fame time fo ftrong, as to carry and waft away the moft unweildy burthens? Who hath taught the rivers to run, in winding freams, through vaft tracts of land, in order to water them more plentifully; then throw themfelves into the ocean, to make it the common centre of commerce; and fo, by fecret and imperceptible channels, return to their fountain-head, in one perpetual circulation? Who ftored and replenifhed thefe rivers with fifh of all kinds, which glide, and fport themfelves in the lim: pid ftreams, and run heedlefsly into the fifher s net, or come greedily to the anglex's hook, in onder to be caught (as it were) for the ufe and entertainment of man? The great and wide fea is a very awful and ftupendous work of God, and the flux and reflux of its waters are not tive eafieft phenomena in nature. (n) All that we know of certainty is this, that the tide carries and brings us back to certain places, at precife hours: But whofe hand is is that makes it foop, and then return with fuch regularity ? A little more or lefs motion in this fluid mals would diforder all natuse, and a fmall incitement upon a tide ruin whole kingdoms: Who then was fo wife, as to take fuch exact meafures in immenfe bodies, and who fo ftrong, as to rule the rage of that proud element at difcretion? Even he, (0) who bath placed the fand for the bound therecf, by a perpetual decree, that it cannoi pafs; and placed the Leviathan (among other animals of all kinds) therein io take bis paftime, out of whole reftrils goeth a fmoke, anat whofe breath kindleth coals; fo that be makutb the deep to boil like a pot, and maketh the fea like a fot of ointment,
(m) Charnock's exiflence of a God. (n) Fenelon's dompn. flration of a God. (o) Jer. r. 22.

Vol. I.
A. M. I. as the author of the book of ( $p$ ) Job elegantly defcribes Ant. Chrif. that moft portentous creature.
Gen.ch . If now, from the world itfelf, we turn our eyes more and part of particularly upon man, the principal inhabitant that God ch. 2.


And the make of man's body and roul. has placed therein, no underftanding certainly can be fo low and mean, no heart fo ftupid and infenfible, as not plainly to fee, that nothing but infinite wifdom could, in fo wonderful a manner, have fafhioned his body, and infpired into it a being of fuperior faculties, whereby he (q) teacheth us more than the beafts of the field, and maketh us wifer than the fowls of heaven.

Should any of us fee a lump of clay rife immediately from the ground into the compleat figure of a man, full of beauty and fymmetry, and endowed with all the parts and faculties we perceive in ourfelves, and poffibly far more exquifite and beautiful : Should we prefently, after his formation, oblerve him perform all the operations of life, fenfe, and reafon; move as gracefully, talk as eloqueotly, reafon as juftly, and do every thing as dexteroully, as the moft accomplifhed man breathing; the fame was the cafe, and the fame the moment of time, in God's formation of our firft parent. But (to give the thing a ftronger impreffion upon the mind) we will fuppofe, ( $r$ ) that this figure rifes by degrees, and is finifhed part by part, in fome fucceffion of time ; and that, when the whole is compleated, the veins and arteries bored, the finews and tendons laid, the joints fitted, and the liquor (tranfinutable into blood and juices) lodged in the ventricles of the heart, God infufes into it a vital principle, whereupon the liquor in the heart begins to defcend, and thrill along the veins, and an heavenly blufh arifes in the countenance, fuch as foorns the help of art, and is above the power of imitation. The image moves, it walks, it fpeaks; it moves with fuch a majefty, as proclaims it the lord of the creation, and talks with fuch an accent, and fublimity of fentiment, as makes every ear attentive, and even its great Creator enter into converfe with it: Were we to fee all this tranfacted before our eyes, I fay, we could not but ftand aftonifhed at the thing; and yet this is an exact emblem of every man's formation, and a contemplation it is, that made holy David break out into this rapturous acknowledgment: (s) Lord! I will give thee thanks, for I am fearfully and zuonderfully
(p) Job. xli. 31 . ( $q$ ) Job xxxv. 11. ( $r$ ) Hale's origination of mankind. (s) Pial. cxxxix. 14, 16.

Chap. I. from the Creation to the Flood.
made; marvelous are thy works, and that my foul know- A. M. r. cth right well: Thine eyes did fee my fubfance, ytt being Ant.Chritt. imperfect, and in thy book were all my members written. 4004.

Nay, fo curious is the texture of the human body, and and part of in every part fo full of wonder, that even Galen himfelf, $\underbrace{\text { chap. } 2 .}$ (who was otherwife backward enough to believe a God), after he had carefully furveyed the frame of it, and viewed the fitnefs and ufefulnefs of every part, the many * feveral intentions of every little vein, bone, and mulcle, and the beautiful compoftion of the whole, fell into a pang of devotion, and wrote on hymn to his Creator's praife. ( $t$ ) And, if in the make of the body, how much more does the divine wifdom appear in the creation of the foul of man, a fubftance immaterial, but united to the body by a copula imperceptible, and yet fo ftrong, as to make them mutually operate, and fympathize with each other, in all their pleafures and their pains, a fubftance endued with thofe wonderful faculties of thinking, underftanding, judging, reafoning, chufing, acting, and (which is the end and excellency of all) the power of knowing, obeying, imitating, ahd praifing its Creator ; though certainly neither it nor any fuperior rank of beings, angels, and archangels, or the wbole hoft of beaven can worthily and fufficiently do it ; (u) for who can exprefs the mighty aEts of the Lord, or flow forth all bis praife?

Thus, which way foever we turn our eyes, whether we look upwards or downwards, without us, or within us, upon the animate or inanimate parts of the creation; we fhall find abundant reafon to take up the words of the Pfalmift, and fay, $(x) \circ$ Lord, bow wonderful are thy works! in wifdom baft thou made them all; the earth is full of thy riches. (y) O, that men would therefore praife the Lord for bis

* Galen, in his book De formatione iffetus, takes notice, that there are, in a human body, above 600 mufcles, in each of which there are, at leaft, ten feveral intentions, or due qualifications, to be obferved; fo that, about the mufcles alone, no lefs than 6000 feveral ends and aims are to be attended to. The bones are reckoned to be 284 , and the diftinct fcopes, or intentions of each of thefe, are above 40 ; in all, about 12,000 ; and thus it is in fome proportion with all the other parts, the fkin, ligaments, veffels and humours; but more efpecially with the feveral veffels of the body, which do, in regard of the great variety and multitude of thofe feveral intentions required to them, very much exceed the bomogeneous parts; Wilkins's nat. rel. ( $t$ ) Clarke's ferm. vol. I. (u) Pfal. cvi. 2. ( $x$ ) Ibid. civ. 24 . (y) Ibid. cvii. 2 1. 22 .
A. NA. r. grif gelinefs, and declure the wonders that be doth for the children Ant. Clurif of meir! that they would offer him the facrifice if thankgiving, Gen. ci. 1. and tell-out all bis. works with gladnefs!
and part of
ch. 2.


## C H A P. II.

## Of the flate of man's innocence.

The History.

Gen.ch.2. AS foon as the feventh day from the creation (the firle from ver. 8, A day, as we faid, of Adam's life, and confequently Goo's con- the firft day of the week) was begun, Adam, awaking ous dufting Eve of his fleep, and mufng, very probably, on his vifion the to Adam, ramrying, and bicf fing them. preceding night, beheld the fair figure of a woman approaching hin $\dagger$, conducted by the hand of her almighty Maker; and as fhe advanced, the feveral innocent beauties that adorned her perfon, the comelinefs of her fhape, and gracefulnefs of her gefture, the luftre of her eye, and dweetnefs of her looks, difcovered themfelves in every ftep more and more.

It is not to be expreffed, nor now conceived *, what a full tide of joy entered in at the foul of our firft parent, when
4 It is the general opinion of interpreters, both Jewifh and Chriftian, that God himfelf, or, more particularly, the fecond perfon in the ever-bleffed Trinity, God the Son (whois therefore ityled in Scripture [Ifa. lxiii. 9.] the Angel of God's prefence) ap. peared to Adam, on this and fundry other oecafions, in a vifible glorious majefty, fuch as the Jews call the Scbechinah, which leems to have been a very fhining fame, or amazing fplendor of light, breaking out of a thick cloud, of which we afterward read very frequently, under the name of the glory of the Lord, and to which we cannot fuppofe our firft parents to have beeng Atrangeis. We therefore look upon it as highly probable, that this divine Majefty firt cenducted Eve to the place where Adam was, and not long after their marriage, conveyed them both, from the place' where they were formed, into the garden of Eden; Patrick's comnentary.

* Milton has exprefied the joy and tranfport of Adam, upo his firlt fight of Eve, in the following manner:

When out of hope, behold her: not far off;
Such as I faw her in my dream, adorn'd
With what all earth, or heaven could beftow,
To make her amiable. On fhe came, :

## Chap. II. From the Creation to the Floöd.

when he furveyed this lovely creature, who was deftined A. M. $x^{\text {r }}$ : to be the partner and companion of his life; when, by a Ant. Chrif. fecret fympathy, he felt that the was of his own likenefs, Geni ch. 2 : and complexion, bone of his bone, and flefb of his fle $/ \mathrm{l}$, his from ver. 8. very felf, diverfified only into another fex; and could eafily forefee, that the love and union which was now to commence between them was to be perpetual, and for ever infeparable. (a) For the fame divine hand which conducted the woman to the place where Adam was, prefented her to him in the capacity of a matrimonial father; and, * having joined them together in the nuptial ftate,

Led by her heav'nly Maker (though unfeen)
And guided by his voice; not uninform'd
Of nuptial fanctity, and marriage rites.
Grace was in all her fteps, heav'n in her eye,
In ev'ry gefture dignity and love
I overjoy'd, could not forbear aloud,
" This turn hath made amends, thou haft fulfill'd
"' Thy words, Creator bounteous, and benign!
"Giver of all things fair! but faireft this
" Of all thy gifts." Book 8.
(a) Vid. Patrick's Commentary.

* The words of Milton upon this occafion are extremely fine.

> -all heav'n

And happy conftellations, on that hour
Shed their felectef influence: The earth
Gave fign of gratulation, and each hill:
Joyous the birds; frefh gales, and gentle airs
Whifper'd it to the woods, and from their wings
Flung rofe, flang odors, from the ficy fhrub,
Difporting. Book 8.
Nor can we pafs by his epifode upon marriage, which, for its graye and majeftic beauty, is inimitable.

Hail wedded love! myfterious law! true fource
Of human offspring! fole propricty
In paradife, of all things common elfe!
By thee adult'rous luft was driv'n from men,
Among the beftial herds to range; by thee
(Founded in reafon, loyal, juft, and pure)
Relations dear, and all the charities
Of father, fon and brother, firit were known.
Perpetual fountain of domeftic fweets !
Whofe bed is undefil'd, and chafte pronounc'd _
Herc love his golden fhafts employs; here lights
His conftant lamp, and waves his purple wings;
Reigns here and revels-_Book
A. M. r. promounced his benediction over thent, to the intent that Ant.Chirf. (b) they might enjoy unmolefted the dominion he had giGen.ch. z. ven them over the other parts of the creation, and, being $\underbrace{\text { from ver. 8. themfelves }+ \text { fruitful in the procreation of children, might }}$ $\underbrace{}_{\text {live to fee the earth replenifhed with a numerous progeny, }}$ defended from their loins.
The fitua- In the mean time God had taken care to provide our tion of pa- firft parents * with a pleafant and delightful habitation in radife.
(b) Vid. Gen. i. 28, 29, 30.
$\dagger$ The words of the text are, Be fruitful and multiply, and replenifh the earth: Whereupon fome have made it a queftion, whether this is not a command, obliging all men to marriage and procreation, as mof of the Jewilh doctors are of opinion? But to this it may be replied, $1 f$, That it is indeed a command obliging all men fo far, as not to fuffer the extinction of mankind, in which fenfe it didabfolutely bind Adam and Eve, as alfo Noah, and hisfons, and cheir wives, after the flood: But, $2 d l y$, that it does not oblige every particular man to marry, appears from the example of our Lord Jefus, who lived and died in an unmarried ftate; from his commendation of thofe who made themfelves eunucbs for the kingdom of God, Matth. xix. 12, and from St. Paul's frequent approbation of virginity, I Cor.vii. i. bc. And therefore, 3 d l y, it is here rather a permiffion than a command, though it be expreffed in the form of a command, as other permiffions frequently are. Vid. Gen. ii. 16. Deut, xiv. 4.; $P$ ool's Annotations.

* The defcription whiçh Milton gives us of the garden of paradife, is very agreeable in feveral places, but in one more efpecially, where he reprefents the pleafing variety of it.
- Thus was this place

A happy rural feat of varicus view.
Groves, whofe rich trees wept od'rous gums, and balm;
Others, whofe fruit, burnifh'd with golden rind,
Hung amiable; (Hefperian fables true,
If true, here only) and of delicious tafte.
Betwixt them lawns, or level downs, and flocks,
Grazing the tender herb, were interpos'd;
Or palmy hillock, or the flow'ry lap
Of fome irriguous valley fpread her fore.
Flow'rs of all hue, and without thorn the rofe.
Another fide umbrageous grots, and caves
Of cool recefs, o'er which the mantling vine
Lays'forth her purple grape, and gently creeps
Luxuriant. Mean white murm'ring waters fall
Down the flop hills, difers'd, or in a lake

Chap. II. from the Creation to the Flood.
the country of Eden (c) which was watered by four rivers; by the Tigris, in Scripture called Hiddekel, on one fide, A. M. I . and by the Eup Setes on the other, which, joining their 4004 . and by the Euptrates on the other, which, joining their Gen.ch. z. ftreams together in a place where (not long after the flood) from ver. 8: the famous city of Babylon was fituate, pafs through a large country, and then dividing again, form the two rivers, which the facred hiftorian calls Pi扁, and Gibon, and fo water part of the garden of paradife, wherein were all kinds of trees, heribs, and flowers, which could any way delight the fight, the tafte, or the fmell.

Among other trees however, there were two of very Thetree of remarkable names and properties planted in the midft, that of or moft eminent part of the garden, to be always within the view and obfervation of our firft parents, the tree of why fo cal. life, fo called, (d) becauie it had a virtue in it, not only led. to repair the animal firits, as other nourifhment does, but likewife to preferve and * maintain them in the fame equal temper and fate wherein they were created, without pain, difeafes, or decay ; and the tree of knowledge of good and evil, fo called, (e) not becaufe it had a virtue to confer any fuch knowledge, but * becaule the devil, in

> his
(That to the fringed bank, with myrtle erownd,
Her chryital mirror holdsł unite their freams.
The birds their choir apply. Airs, vernal airs, Breathing the finell of fields, and groves, attune
The trembling leaves, while univerfal Pan
Knit with the Graces, and the hours, in dance
Lead on the eternal fpring.-Book 4 .
(c) Hifoire de la Bible, 'par M. Martin. (d) Patrick's Comment.; at vid. ch. 3. ver. 20.

* Others think, that the tree of life was fo called, in a fymbolical fenfe, as it was a fign and token of that life which man had received from God, and of his continual enjoyment of it, without diminution, had he perfifted in his obedience; and as this garden, fay they, was confeffedly a type of heaven, fo God mightintend by this tree to reprefent that immortal life which he meant to beftow upon mankind with himfelf, Revel. xxii. 2.; according to which is that famous faying of St. Aultin, Erat ei in ceteris lignis, atimentum, in iftis vero facramentunt, Patrick's Commentary.
(e) Nicholl's Conference, vol I.
* Others think the tree of knowledge was fo called, either in refpect to God, who was minded by this tree to prove our firft parents whether they would be good or bad, which was to
A. M.'r. his temptation of the woman, pretended that it had ; preAnt. Ch:If. tended, that ( $f$ ) as God knew all things, and was himfelf Gen. ch. 2. Subject to no one's controul, fo the eating of this tree would $\underbrace{\text { from ver, } 8}$. confer on them the fame degree of knowledge, and put them in the fame ftate of independency: And from this unfortunate deception (whereof God might fpeak by way of anticipation) it did not improperly derive its name.
The prohi- Into this $\dagger$ paradife of much pleafure, but fome danbition given ger, wherein was one tree of a pernicious quality, though our firt pa-all the reft were good in their kind, and extremely falutary,
rents. the Lord God conducted our firft parents, who, at this time, were naked, and yet not afhamed, becaufe their innocence was their protection. They had no finful inclinations in their bodies, no evil concupifcence in their minds, to make them blufh; and withal, the temperafure of the climate was fuch, as needed no cloathing to defend them from the weather, God havinggiven them (as we may imagine) a furvey of their new habitation, fhewn them the various beau-
be known by their abftaining from the fruit, or eating it; of in refpect to them, who, in the event, found by fad experience, the difference between good and evil, which they knew not before; but they found the difference to be this, that good is that which gives the mind pleafure and affurance; but evil that which is always attended with forrow and regret; Pool's Annotations, and Toung's Sermons, vol. I.
$(f)$ Eftius in difficiliora loca.
$\dagger$ The word paradife, which the Septuagint make ufe of (whether it be of Hebrew, Chaldee, or Perfian original) fignifies a place enclofed for pleafure and delight; either a park where beafts do range, or a fpot of ground focked with choice plants, which is properly a garden; or curioully fet with trees, yielding all manner of fruit, which is an orchard. There are three places in the Hebrew text of the Old Teftament, whercin this word is found, 1 . in Nehemiah, ii. 8, where that prophet requelts of Artaxerxes letters to A faph, the keeper of the king's fore, 7 , or paradife; 2 dly, in the Song of Solomon, [iv. 13.] where he fays, that the plants of the fpoufe, are an orchard of pomegrapates; and 3dly, in Ecclefiaftes [ii. 5.] where he fays, he made bimfelf gardens, or paradifes. In all which fenfes the word may very fitly be applied to the place where our firt parents were to live; lince it was not only a pleafant garden, and fruitful orchard, but a fpacious park and forelt likewife, whereunto the feve-al beafts of the field were permitted to come; Edward's Survey of religion, vol. I: and Calmet's DiJionary on the word. Paradife.
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ties of the place, the work wherein they were to employ A. M. r. themfelves by day, and * the bower wherein they were to ${ }^{\text {Ant.Chriit. }}$ repofe themfelves by night, granted them to eat of the fruit Gen. ch. 2 . of every tree in the garden, except that one, the tree of from ver. 8 . knowledge of good and evil, which (how lovely foever it might appear to the eye) he fricoly charged them not fo much as to touch, upon the penalty of incurring his difpleafure, forfeiting their right and title to eternal life, and entailing upen themfelyes, and their pofterity, \|mortality, difeafes, and death.

With

* The defcription which Milton gives us of this bliffful bower, is extremely fine.
-It was a place,
Chos'n by the fov'reign Planter, when he fram'd
All things to man's delightful ufe: The roof
Of thickeft covert, was inwoven thade,
Laurel and myrtle, and what higher grew
Of firm and fragrant leaf. On either fide Acanthus, and each od'rous bufhy fhrub, Fenc'd up the verdant wall. Each beauteous flow'r Iris, all hues, rofes, and jeflamin, Rear'd high their flourifh'd heads between, and wrought Mofaic: Underfoot the violet, Crocus, and hyacinth, with rich inlay, Broider'd the ground, more colour'd than with ftone Of coftlieft emblem. Other creatures here, Beaft, bird, iniect, or worm, durlt enter none; Such was their awe of man! Book 4 ,
|| The words in our verfion are, In the day thou eateft thereof, thou Salt furely die; which feem to imply, that on the day that Adamfhould eat of the tree of knowledger he fhould die; which eventually proved not fo, becaufe he lived many years after; and therefore (as fome obferve very well) it fhould be rendered Thou fhalt deferve to die without remifion; for the Scripture frequiently exprefies by the future, not only what will come to pafs, but alfo what ought to come to pafs; to which purpofe there is a very appofite text in I Kings, ii. 37 .. where Solomon fays to Shimei,-_Go not forth thence (viz. from Jerufalem) any nwhither; for in the day thou goeft out, and palfeft over the brook Kidron, thou Jhalt furely die, i. e. thou Shalt deferve death without remiffion. For Solomon referved to hituself the power of punifliing him when he fhould think fit; and, in effect, he did not put him to death the fame day that he difobeyed, any more than God did put Adam to death the fame day that he tranfo

Vol.I.
A. M. \%. With this fmall reftraint which the divine wildom Ant.Chrift. thought proper to lay upon Adam, as a token of his fub-

$$
4 \mathrm{CO} 4 .
$$ Gen.ch. 2. jection, and a tefl of his obedience, God left him to the from ver. 8. enjoyment of this paradife, where every thing was pleaTheir em-fant to the fight, and accommodated to his liking. Not ployment thinking it conyenient however for him, even in his ftate of in paradife. innocence, to be idle or unemployed, here he appointed hin to drefs and keep the new plantation, which, by reafon of its luxuriancy, would in time, he knew, require his care. Here he was to employ his mind, as well as exercife his body ; to contemplate and ftudy the works of God ; to fubmit himfelf wholly to the divine conduct; to conform all his actions to the divine will; and to live in a conftant dependence upon the divine goodnefs. Here he was to fpend his days in the continuąl exercifes of prayer and thankfgiving; and, it may be, the natural dictates of gratitude would prompt him to offer fome of the fruits of the ground, and fome living creatures, by way of facrifice to God. Here were thoufands of objects to exercife his intellective faculties, to call forth his reafon, and employ it ; but that wherein the ultimate perfection of his life was doubtlefs to confift, was the union of his foul with the fupreme good, that infinite and eternal Being, which alone can conititute the happinefs of man.

Their hap- (g) O! Adam, beyond all imagination happy;' with pinefs. uninterrupted health, and untainted innocence, to delight thee; no perverfenefs of will, or perturbation of appetite, to difcompofe thee; a heart upright, a confcience clear, and an head unclouded, to entertain thee; a delightful earth for thee to enjoy; a glorious univerfe for thee to contemplate; an everlafting heaven, a crown of never-fading glory for thee to look for and expect; and, in the mean time, the author of that univerfe, the King of that heaven, and giver of that glary, thy God, thy Greatof, thy benefactor, to fee, to converfe with, to blefs, to glorify, to adore, to obey!
greffed in eating the forbidden fruit. This feems to be a good folution ; though fome interpreters underftand the prohibition, as if God intended thereby to intimate to Adam the deadly quality of the forbidden fruit, whofe poifon was fo very exquifite, that, on the very day he eat thereof, it would certainly have deAtroyed him, had net God's goodnefs interpofed, and reftrained its violence; Vid. Efay for a new Tranflation; and Le Clerc's Comment.
(g) Revelation examined, part 1.

This was the defigned felicity of our firft parents. Nei- A. M. y. ther they nor their pofterity were to be liable to forrow or Ant. Chrift. mifery of any kind, but to be poffeffed of a conftant and Gen, 4004 . never-failing happinefs; and, after innumerable ages and from ver. x. fucceffions, were, in their courfes, to be taken up into an and defigned heavenly paradife. For ( $k$ ) that the terreftrial paradife was trannation; to Adam a type of heaven, and that the never-ending life of happinefs promifed to our firft parents (if they had conlinued obedient, and grown up to perfection under that oeconomy wherein they were placed) fhould not have been continued in this earthly, but only have commenced here, and been perpetuated in an higher ftate, $i . e$, after fuch a trial of their obedience as the divine wifdom fhould think convenient, they fhould have been tranflated from earth to heaven, is the joint opinion * of the beft ancient, both Jewifh and Chrittian writers:

## The Objection

"B UT how delightful foever the garden of Eden might againf the be, a type of heaven, and an entrance into the reality of a " regions pradife.
(b) Bull's fate of man before the fall.

* This fame learned writer, (uiz. Bifhop Bull) has compiled a great many authorities from the fathers of the firf centuries, all full and fignificant to the purpore, and to which I refer the reader, only mentioning one or two of more remarkable force and antiquity, for his prefent fatisfaction. Juftin Martyr, 〔peaking of the creation of the world, delivers not his own private opinion only, but the common fenfe of Chriftians in his days. "We have been taught," fays he, "that God, being good, did, " in the begimning, make all things out of an uninformed matter a. For the fake of men, who, if by their works they had rendered " themfelves worthy of his acceptance, we prefume, fhould have " been favóured with his friendfhip, and reigned together with " him, being made incorruptible, and impaffable;" Apol. 2. Athanafius,among other things worthy our obfervation concerning the primordial fate of our firft parents, has thefe remarkable words: "He brought them therefore into paradife, and gave them " a law, that if they fhould preferve the grace then given, and " continue obedient, they might enjoy in paradife a life without " grief, forrow, or care; befides that they had a promife alfo of " an immortality in the heavens;" De incarnatione verbi. And therefore we need lefs wonder, that we find it in an article inferted in the common offices of the primitive church; and that in the moft antient liturgy now extant [that of Clemens] we read thefe words concerning Adam: "When thou brought-
A. M. r. " regions of eternal blifs; yet all this feems to be bue Ant. Chrif. "(i) an imaginary and romantic defcription of what ne4004. Gen. ch. 2. " ${ }^{6}$ from ver. 8 . 6 chen, in the orb of the moon, in the moon itell, " the middle region of the air, $\delta c$. and of thofe who al" low it a fituation in this fublunary world, fome have " carried it into a far diftant country, quite concealed from " the knowledge of men ; whilft others had rather have it " lie in Cartary, in China, in Armenia, in Mefopotamia, in "Syria, in Perfia, in Babylonia, in Arabia, in Paleftine, " in Ethiopia, \&c. In fhort, there is fcarce any corner of " the known world, wherein this wonderfal garden has " not been feated; and therefore others have more wifely "' concluded, that there was neven any fuch determinate " place ; that ( $k$ ) the whole earth, before its devaftation, " was entirely paradifiacal ; that Mofes, in his account, " only puts a part for the whole, the better to acconmo" date it to his reader's conception ; or that, if ever there " was a local paradife, the violent concuffions which hap" pened at the flood did unfettle the bounds of countries, " and courfes of rivers, and fo totally change the face of " nature, that it is next to impoffible now to find it out."

Difference of opinion no argument againft it.

That learned men fhould differ in their opinion about a queftion, which, it muft be confeffed, has its difficulties attending it, is no wonderful thing at all; but that Mofes who wrote about 850 years after the flood, fhould give us fo particular a defcription of this garden, and that other facred writers, long after him, fhould make fuch frequent mention of it, if there was never any fuch place, nay, if there were not then remaining fome marks and characters of its fituation, is pretty ftrange and unaccountable. The
" eft him into the paradife of pleafure, thou gaveft him free leave
" toeat of all other trees, and forbadeft him to tate of one only,
" for the hope of better things: That if he kept the command-
" ment, he might receive immortality as the reward of his obe-
" dience; Apof. Conf. lib. 8. cap. 12.
(i) Burnet's Theory. ( $k$ ) Burnet's Theory; and Archæol. philofoph.
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very nature of his defcription fhews, that Mofes had no A.M. r. imaginary paradife in his view, but a portion of this habi- Ant. Chrif table earth, bounded with fuch countries and rivers as were Gen ch, 2 . very well known by the names he gave them in his time, from ver. 8 . and (as it appears from other paffages in Scripture) for many ages after. (l) Eden is as evidently a real country, as Ararat, where the ark refted, or Shinaar, where the fons of Noah removed after the flood. We find it mentioned as fuch in Scripture, as often as the other two; and there is the more reafon to believe it, becaufe; in the Mofaic account, the fcene of thefe three memorable events is all laid in the neighbourhood of one another.

Mofes, we muft allow, is far from being pompous or romantic in his manner of writing; and yet it cannot be denied, but that he gives a manifeft preference to this fpot of ground above all others; which why he hould do, we cannot imagine, unlefs there was really fuch a place as he defcribes: Nor can we conceive, ( $m$ ) what other foundation both the ancient poets and philofophers could have had, for their fortunate illands, their elyfian fields, their garden of Adonis, their garden of the Hefperides, their Ortygia and Toprobane, (as defcribed by Diodorus Siculus), which are but borrowed iketches from what our infpired penman tells us of the firft terreftrial paradife.

It is not to be queftioned then, but that, in the antedilu- Mofesreally vian world there really was fuch a place as this garden of intended a Eden, a place of diftinguifhed beauty, and more remark-of it. ably pleafant in its fituation; otherwife we cannot perceive, * why the expulfion of our firft parents from that abode fhould
(l) Univerf. hif. book I chap. r. (m) Huet. Quef. Aletan.

* Eve's lamentation upon the order which Michael brought for their departure out of paradife, is very beautiful and affecting in Milton.

O unexpected hock, worfe far than death !
Muft I thus leave thee, Paradife, thus leave
Thee, native foil? Thofe happy walks and thades,
Fit haunt of gods! where I had hope to fpend
Quiet, though fad, the refpite of that day
Which muft be mortal to us both! O flow'rs,
That never will in other climate grow,
My early vifitation, and my laft
At ev'n, which I had bred with tender hand
A. M. I.

Ant. Chrif. 4004. 4004. we fee, what occafion there was for placing a flaming fword Gen. ch. 2. about the tree of life ; or for appointing an hoft of che$\underbrace{\text { from ver. } 8}$, rubims to guard the entrance againt their return. The face of nature, and the courfe of rivers, might poffibly be face of nature, and the courfe of rivers, might polfibly be
alrered by the violence of the flood; bat this is no valid exception to the cafe in hand: (n) becaufe Mofes does not defcribe the fituation of paradife in antediluvian names. The names of the rivers, and the countries adjacent, $C u / b$, Havilah, \&c. are names of later date than the flood; nor can we fappofe, but that Mofes (according to the known geography of the world, when he wrote) intended to give geography of the world, when he wrote) intended to give
tus fome hints of the place, near which Eden, in the former world; and the garden of paradife, were feated.
And how to Nov the defcription which Mofes gives us of it, is defind it out. livered in thefe words -- (o) And the Lord God planted a garden Eaflward in Eden, ana' a river want out of Edein to water the garden, and from thence it was parted, and became into four beads. The name of the firf is Pifon, that is it which compalfeth the whole land of Havilah, where there is gold, and the gold of that land is good; there is the Bdellium, and the Onyx fone. And the name of the fecond river is Gihan; the favne is it that compaffetb the whole land of Cu/b. And the nome of the third river is Hiddekel; that is it which goes before Alfyria: And the fourth is Euphrates. So that to difcover the place of Paradife, we mult find out the true fituation of the land of Eden, whereof it was probably a part, and then trace the courfes of the rivers, and inquire into the nature of the countries which Mofes here fpecified. The difter- The word Eden; which in the Hebrew tongue (ac-
ent cond- cording to its primary acceptrtion) fogifies pleafures and ent coun- cording to its primary acceptrtion) fignifies pleafures and tries called delight; in a fecondary fenfe, is frequently made the proper
Eden, and Eden, and their fevesal Intuations.
fhould be thought any part of their punifiment ; nor can name of feveral places, which are either more temarkably fruitful in their foil, or pleafant in their fituation: Now;

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { From the firft op oning bud, and gave ye names! } \\
& \text { Who now will rear you to the fun, and tank } \\
& \text { Your tribes, or water from the ambrofial fount? } \\
& \text { Thee, laftly, nuptial bower, by me adorn'd, } \\
& \text { With what to fight, or fmell, was fweet! from thee } \\
& \text { How fhall I part, and whither wader down } \\
& \text { Into a lower world? } \\
& \text { (n) Shuckford's Cconet, 1. : XI. }
\end{aligned}
$$

of all the places which go under this dendmination, the A. M. J. learned have generally looked upon thefe three, as the pro- Ant. Chrif. pereft countries wherein to enquire for rhe terreftrial pa- 4004. radife. mos feems to take notice of, when he divides Syria into shree parts, viz. Damafcus, the plain of Aven, and the houfe of Eden, called Coelo-Syria, or the Hollow Syria, becaufe the mountains of Libanus and Antelibanus inclofe it on both fides, and make it look like a valley. But ( $q$ ) (how great foever the names be that feem to patronize it) this by no means, can be the Eden which Mofes means; not only bedaufe it lies nat to the eaft, but to the north of the place where he is fuppofed to have wrote his book, bus more efpecially, becaufe it is deftitute of all the marks in the Mofaical defeription, which ought always to be the prircipal teft in this inquiry.
2. The fecond place, wherein ( $r$ ) feveral learned men have fought for the country of Eden, in Armenia, between the fources of the Tigris, the Eurphrates, the Araxis, and the Phafis, which they fuppofe to be the four rivers fpecified by Mofes. But this fuppofition is for from being well founded, becaufe, according to modern difcoveries, the Phafis docs not rife in the mountains of Armenia, (as the ancient geographers have mifinformed us), but at a great diftance from them, in mount Caucafus: Nor does it run from fouth to north, but direetly contrary, from north to fouth, as fome $(s)$ late travellers have difcovered. So that, according to this fcheme, we want a whole river, and can no ways account for that which (according to Mofes's defcription of it) went out of the country of Eden, to, woster the garden of paradife.
3. The third place, and that wherein the country of Eden, as mentioned by Mofes, feems moft likely to be feated, is Chaldea, not far from the banks of the Kiver Euphrates. Te this purpofe, when we find Rabfhekah vaunting out his maftar's actions, (t) Have the gods of the na-
(p) Ch. i. 5. (g) Its chief abettors are Heideggar in his Hiftoria Patriarch.; Le Cierc in Gen. ii. 8.; P. Abram in his Pba*us Vet. Teft.; and P. Hardouin in his edition of Pliny.
$(r)$ The chief patrons of this fcheme are Santon in his Atlas; Reland in his Differtort. ut fitu puaradifis; and Calmet, both in his Dietionary and Commentary on Gen. ii. 8. (s) Vid. Thavenot and Sir John Chardin's travels. $\quad(t)=$ Kings xix, 12 , and 4fa. хххүіі. 12.
A. M. I. tions delivered them which my fathers bave deftroyed, as 4004. Gazan and Haran, and Rezeph, and the children of Eden; Gen. ci. 2. which were in Telafar? As Telaffar, in general, fignifies $\underbrace{\text { from ver. } 8 \text {. any garrifon or fortification; fo here, more particularly, }}$ it denotes ( $u$ ) that ftrong fort which the children of Eden held in an ifland of the Euphrates, towards the weft of Babylon, as a barrier againft the incurfions of the Affyrians on that fide. And therefore, in all probability, $(x)$ the country of Eden lay on the weft fide, or rather on both fides of the river Euphrates, after its conjunction with the Tigris, a little below the place where, in procefs of time, the famous city of Babylon came to be built.

Thus we have found out a country called Eden, which, for its pleafure and fruitfulnefs *, (as all authors agree), anfwers the character which Mofes gives of it; and are now to confider the defcription of the four rivers, in order to afcettain the place where the garden (we are in queft of) was very probably fituate.

The river Hion.

The firft river is Pifon, or Phifon, (as the fon of Sirach calls it), that which compaffeth the land of Havilah. Now, for the better underftanding of this, we muft obferve, that (y) when Mofes wrote his hiftory, he was, in all probabilitys in Arabia Petræa, on the Eaft of which lies Arabia Deferta; but the fterility of the country will not admit of the fituation of the garden of Eden in that place, and therefore we muft go on eaftward (as our author directs us) until we come to fome place, through which Euphrates and Tigris are known to fhape their courfe. Now Euphrates and Tigris, though they both rife out of the mountains of Armenia, take almoft quite contrary courfes. Euphrates runs to the weft, and paffing through Mefopotamia, waters the country where Babylon once ftood; where-
(u) Vid. Bedford's fcripture-chronology. (x) Calvin [on Gen. ii. 8.] was the firft farter of this opinion, and is, with fome little variation, followed by Marinus, Bochart, Huetius Bifhop of Auranches, and divers others.

* Herodotus, who was an eye witnefs of it, tells us, that where Euphrates runs out into Tigris, not far from the place where Ninus is feated, that region is, of all that he ever faw, the moft excellent; fo fruitful in bringing forth corn, that it yieldeth two hundred fold; and fo plenteous in grafs, that the people are forced to drive their cattle from pafture, left they fhould furfeit themfelves by too much plenty; Vid. Herod. Clio. lib.s and Quint. Curt. l. 5 .
(y) Vid. Well's Geography; and Patrick's Commentary.
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as Tigris takes towards the eaft, and paffing along Affria, A. M. i. waters the country where the once famed city of Nineveh Ant. Chrif. itood. After a long progrefs, they meet a little below Ba- 4004. tood. After a long progrels, they meet a little below Ba-Gin. ch. 2 . bylon, and, running a confiderable way together in one from ver. 8 ; large ftream, with Babylonia and Chaldea on the weft, and the country of Sufiana on the eaft fide, they feparate again not far from Baffora, and fo fall, in two channels, into the Perfian gulf, inclofing the ifland Teredon, now called Balfara.

Now, taking this along with us, we may obferve far- The land of ther, that there are two places in \$criprure which make Havilah. mention of the land of Havilah. In the one we are told, that (z) the Ifraelites dwelt from Havilab unto Shur, that is before Egyyt; and in the other, that (a) Saul fmote the Amalekites from Havilah, until thou goeft to Shur, that is before Egypt; where, by the expreffion, from Havilab unto Shur, is probably meant the whole extent of that part of Arabia which lies between Egypt to the weft, and a certain ftream or river which empties irfelf into the Perfian gulf, on the eaft. That Havilah is the fame with this part of Arabia, is farther evinced from its abounding with very good gold. For all authors, both facred and profane, highly commend the gold of Arabia; tell us, that it is there dug in great plenty; is of fo lively a colour, as to come near to the brightnefs of fire; and of fo fine a kind, fo pure and unmixed, as to need no refinement. Bdellium (which by fome interpreters is taken for pearl, and by others for an aromatic gum) is, in both thefe fenfes, applicable to this country : for the * bdellium, or gum of Arabia; was always held in great efteem ; nor is there any place in the world which produces finer * pearls, or in greater quantities, than the fea about Ba -

## (z) Gen. xxv. 18. <br> (a) I Sam. xv. 7.

* Galen, comparing the gum of Arabia with that of Syria, gives fome advantage to the former, which he denies to the other; De. fignp. medic. lib. 6. And Pliny prefers the bdellium of Arabia before that of any other nation, except that of Bactriana; Plin. lib. 12. cap. 9.
* Nearchus, one of Alexander's captains, who conducted. his fleet from the Indies, as far as the Perfian gulf, fpeaks of an illand there abounding in pearls of great value; Strabo, lib. 16. And Pliny, having commended the pearls of the Indiar: feas, adds, that fuch as are fifhed towards Arabia, in the Perfian gulf, deferve the greatelt praife; lib, 6. cap. 28.

Voh. I.
V
haren,
A. M. I. haren, an ifland fituated in the Perfian gulf: and as for* Ant.Chift, the onyx-ftone in particular, (if we will believe what Pliny 40c4. z, tells us) the antients were of opinion, that it was no where from ver. 8 . to be found but in the mountains of Arabia. It feems reafonable therefore to conclude, (according to all the characters which Mofes has given us of it) that that tract of Arabia which lies upon the Perfian gulf, was, in his days, called the land of Havilah, and that the channel which, after Euphrates and Tigris part, runs weftward into the faid gulf, was originally called Pifon; and this the rather, becaufe + fome remains of its ancient name continued a long while after this account of it.

The river Giton.

The fecond river is Gibon, that which compaffeth, or runneth along, the whole land of $\ddagger$ Cu/h. Where we may obferve,

* Strabo tells us, that the riches of Arabia, which confifted in precious ftones and excellent perfumes, (the trade of which brought them a great deal of gold and filver, befides the gold of the country itfelf), made Auguftus fend Ælius Gallus thither, either to make thefe nations his friends, and fo draw to limfelf their riches, or elfe to fubdue them; lib. 16. Diodorus Siculus defcribes at large the advantages of Arabia, and efpecially its precious ftenes, which are very valuable, both for their variety and brightnefs of colour; lib. 2. And (to name no more) Pliny, who is very curious in remarking the countries of precious flones, affures us, that thofe of the greateft value came out of Arabia; lib. ult.
$\dagger$ It is a great while fince both this river and the river Gihon have loft their names. The Greek and Roman writers call them Itill, after their parting, by the names they had before they met, Eutplyates and Tigris; but there was fome remainder of the name of $P i / \sigma n$ preferved in the river Pifotigris, which is Pifon mixed with Tigris (as Mr. Carver obferves.) By henophon it is called fimply Ptyfaus, in which the name of Pbifon is plainly enough retained, and went under that name, until the time of Alexander the Great. For Q. Curtius commonly calls Tigris itfelf by the name of Pbifis, and fays it was fo called by the inhabitants thereabout; which, in all probability, was the name of this other river Pbifon, but, in procefs of time, lof by the many alterations which were made in its courfe, as Pliny tells us; Patrick's Conimentary.
$\ddagger$ The LXX's tranflation renders the Hebrew word $C_{a} / f$ by the name of Ethiopia, and in this miftake is all along followed by our Englifh verfion, (whereas by the land of Culf is always meant fome part of Arabia), which has led Jofephus, and feveral others, into a notion, that the river Gihon was the Nile in Egypt;
obferve, that Mofes has not affixed fo many marks on the A. M. . . . Gihon, as he does on the Pifon, and that probably for this reafon ; (b) becaufe, having once found out the Pifon, we Gen. ch. 2. might eafily difcover the fituation of the Gihon. For Pi. from ver. 8 . fon being knowh to be the firf river, in refpect to the place where Mofes was then writing, it is but natural to fuppofe, that Gihon (as the fecond) fhould be the river next to it; and, confequently, that other ftream, which, after the Euphrates and Tigris are parted, hold its courfe eaftward, and empties iffelf in the Perfian gulf. For all travellers agree, that the country lying upon the eaftern ftream, which other nations call Sufiana, is by the inhabitants to this day * called Cbuzefan, which carries in it plain footfeps of the original word Cu/f, or (as fọme write it) Cbuz.
Though therefore no remains of this river Gihon are to be met with in the country irfelf; yet, fince it lies exactly the fecond in order, according to the method that Mofes has taken in mentioning the four rivers; and, fince the province it runs along and wafhes was formerly called the land of $C u f$, and has at this time a name not a little analogous to it ; there is no doubt to be made, but thate the faid eafterly channel, coming from the united fream of the Euphrates and Tigris, is the very Gihon defribibed by Mofes.
The third river is Fiddekel, that which goeth towards the eaft of, or (as it is better tranflated) that which goeth Theriver along the fide of Aljyria. It is allowed by all interpreters, as well as the LXX, that this river is the fame with Tigris, which (as Pliny fays) was called Diglito, in thofe parts where its courfe was flow, but where it began to be rapid, it took the other name. And, though it may be difficult to fhew any juft analogy between the names of Hid-
and fuppofing withal, that the country of Havilah was fome part of the Ealt-Indies, they have run into another error, and taken Pifon for the Ganges, whereby they make the garden of Eden contain the greatelt part of Afia, and fome part of Africa hitawife, which is a fuppofition quite incredible; Patrick, ibid; Bedford's Scripture-cironology; and Shuckford's Connection.
(q) Wells's Hittorical Geography, vol. i.
* Benjamin of Navarre tells us, that the province of Elam, whereof Sufa is the metronolis, and which extends itfelf as far as the Perfian gulf, at the eaft of the mouth of the river Euphrates, or Tigris, (as you pleäfe to term it), is called by that peme; Wells, ibid.
A. M. ${ }^{\text {r. }}$ dekel and Tigris; yet, if we either obferve Mofes's method Ant. Chrif. of reckoning up the four rivers, or confider the true geo-
Gen. ch. 2. graphy of the cotintry, we thall eafily perceive, that the $\underbrace{\text { from ver. } 8 \text {. river Hiddekel could propërly' bè no other. (c) For as, in }}$ refpect to the place where Mofes wrote, Pifon lay neareft to him, and fo, in a natural order, twas named firft; and the Gilion, lying near to that, was accordingly reckoned fecond; fô, having paffed over that fream, and turning to the left, in order to comé back ágain to Arabia Petræă, (where Mofes was), we meet, in our paffige, with Tigris in the third place ; and fo, proceeding weftward through the lower part of Mefopotamia,' corme to Pherath, 'or Euphrates, at laft. For Tigris (we muft remember) parts Affyria from Mefopotamia, and meeting with Euphrates a little below Babylon, runs along with it in one common channel, turtil they feparate again; and make the two ftreams of Pifon and Gihon, which, as we faid before, empty themfelves into the Perfian gulf.
Euphrates, "The" fourth river was + Euphrates; but this lay fo and the four riear the country of Judea, and was fo well kniown to the zeads of the inhabitants thereef, that there was no occafion for Mofes
ruvers. particularly to defcribe it. From the courfe of thefe four rivers,' however,' which he manifertly makes the bounds and limits of it; we may perceive, that the land of Eden muft neceffarily lie upon the great channel which the Tigris and Euphrates make, while they run together, and where they part again, muft there terminate; for fo the facred text informs us, wiz. that a river went out of Eden to water the garden; and from thence it was parted, and became into four beads; which words manifeftly imply, that in Eden the river was but one, i.e. one fingle channel; but from thence, i.e. when it was gone out of Eden,
(c) Wells's Geography.
$\dagger$ Eupbrates is of the fame fignification with the Hebrew Fherath, and is probably focalled, by reafon of the pleafantnefs, at leaft the great friitfulnefs, of the adjacent country: It mut not be diffembled however, that it is one of thofe corrupt names which our tranllations have borrowed from the Septuagint verfion, and which probably the Greeks, as Rehand [De fitu Paraditi] judicioufly obferved, took from the Pertians, who often fee the word $a^{3}$ or $a$, which fignifies water, before the names of rivers, of which word, and Frat, (as it is Aill called by the ncighouring people), the name Eponater

it was parted, and became four ftreams or openings, (for A. M. $x^{8}$. fo the Hebrew word may be tranllated), two upwards, and Ant. Chrif. two below. For, fuppofing this channel to be our common Gen.ch. 2. centre, we may, if we look one way, i.e. up towards Ba- from ver. 8. bylon, fee the Tigris and Euphrates coming into it; and, if we look another way, i. e. down towards the Perfian gulf, fee the Pifon and Gihon running out of it.

It feems reafonable then to fuppofe, that this country of Eden lay on each fide of this great channel, partly in Chaldea, and partly in Sufiana: And, what may confirm us in this opinion, is, the extraordinary goodnets and fertility of the foil. For, as it is incongruous to fuppofe, that God would make choice of a barren land wherein to plant the garden of paradife; fo all ancient hiftorians and geographers inform us, that not only Mefopotamia, Chaldea, a good part of Syria, and other neighbouring countries, were the moft pleafant and fruitful places in the world; but modern travellers likewife particularly affure us, that in all the dominions which the Grand Seignior has, there is not a finer country (though, for want of hands, it lies in fome places uncultivated) than that which lies between Bagdat and Baffora, the very tract of ground, which, according to our computation, was formerly called the land of Eden.

In what precife part of the land of Eden the garden of in wiat paradife was planted, the facred hiftonian feems to intimate, part of E by informing us, that it (d) lay caftward in Eden: For he garden of does not mean, that it lay eaftward from the place where paradif. he was then writing, (that every body might eafily know), but his defign was to point out, as near as pofible, the very fot of ground where it was anciently feated. If then the garden of paradife lay in the eafterly part of the country of Eden, and (e) the river which watered it ran through that province (as the Scripture tells us it did) before it entered into the garden, then muft it neceflarily follow, that paradife was fituated on the eaft fide of one of the turnings of that river, which the conjunction of the Tigris and Euphrates makes, (now called the river of the Arabs, and very probably at the loweft great turning, which Ptolemy takes notice of, and not far from the place where Aracca (in Scripture called Erec) at prefent is known of ftand.
(d) Gea, ii, o. (o) Chap, ii. io.
A.M. r. Thus we have followed the parh which * the learned Ant. Chil. and judicious Huetius, bifhop of Auranches, has pointed Gen. ch. 2. out to us, and have happily found a place wherein to fix from ver. 8, this garden of pleafure. And, though it muft be owned, The altera- that there is no draught of the country which makes the tions in the rivers exactly anfwer the defcription that Mofes has given preient us of them ; yet it is reafonable to fuppofe, $(f)$ that he cusutcd for. wrote according to the then known geography of the country; that if the fite, or number of rivers about Babylon, have been greatly altered fince, this, in all probability, has been occafioned by the cuts and canals which the monarchs of that great empire were remarkable for making; and that all modern oblervators find greater variations in the fituation of places, and make greater corrections in all their charts and maps, than need to be made in the defeription of Mofes, to bring ir to an agreement even with our lateft accounts of the prefent country, and rivers near Chaldea. But I efpoufe this opinion, without any formal oppofition to the fentiments of other learned men, who,

* Upon this occafion it may not be improper to fet down a brief expofition of his opinion in his own words, "Je dis ". donc, que la paradis terrefre eftoit fitué fur le canal que for* " ment le Tigre et l'Euphrate joints enfemble, entre le lieu de " leur jonction, et celuy de la feparation, qu'ils font de leurs " eaux, avant que detomber dans le golphe Perfique. Et comme " ce canal foifoit quelques detours, et quelques courbures, $j$ z " dis, (pour entrer dans une plus grand precifion), que le para-
" dis eftoit fitué fur une de ces courbures, et apparemment fụr
" le bras meridional de la plus grande, (qui a elté marquée par
" Agathodæmon dans les Tables geographiques de Ptolemée),
" lorfque ce fleuve revient vers l'orient, aprés avoir fait un long
" ratours ters l'occident, environ à trente deux de degrez tren-
"" tuneuf minutes de latitude feptentrionale, et à quatre vingt
" degrez diz minutes de longitude, (felon le delineation dé
" Agathodecmon), à peu prés là ou il place l'Aracca, qui eft
" J'Erec de l'Ecriture. L'ajoute encore, qué les quatre teftes,
" de ce feuve font le Tigre, et l'Euphrate avant leur junction,
" et les deux canajx, par oŭ il tombe dans lia mer, aprés fa di-
" vifion; que le plus occidental de ces deux canaux eft le Phi-
" fon;'que le pais de Chavilah, qį̣il traverfe, eft une partie
" de l'Arabic Heureufe, et une partie de l'Arabie Deferté ;
"s que le Gehon elt le canal oriental des deux, dont j'ay parlé;
"et que le pais de Chus eft ta Sufina.". Vid. Traité de la
situation du priadis, p. 16 .
(f) Shuckford's Comention, book I.
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doubtlefs, in this cafe, are left to their own choice.: Since A. M. s. the fituation of paradife, (as the learned Bihop concludes), Ant. Chrif. whether it be in one part of the world or in another, can 4004. never be efteemed as an article of our Chriftian faith.

## DISSERTATION II.

## Of the image of God in man.

WHoever looks into the hiftory of the creation, as it is recorded by Mofes, will foon perceive, that there was fomething fo peculiar in the formation of man, as to deferve a divine confultation, and that this peculiarity chiefly confifts in that + divine image and fimilitude wherein it pleafed God to make him. This pre-eminence the holy penman has taken care, $(g)$ in two feveral places, to remind us of, in order to imprint upon us a deeper fenfe of the dignity of human nature: And therefore it may be no improper fubject for our meditation in this place, to confider a little, wherein this divine image or likenefs did confift; how far it is now impaired in us; and in what meafure it may be recovered again.

What the image of God impreffed upon man in the ftate a difficuty of his integrity was, it is as difficult matter for us, whoto conceive date our ignorance from our firit being, and were all along mageof God bred up with the fame infirmities about us wherein we were was. born, to form any adequate perception of, ( $b$ ) as it is for a peafant, bred up in the obfcurities of a cottage, to fancy in his mind the unfeen fplendors of a court; and therefore we have the lefs reafon to wonder, that we find fuch a vasiety of opinions concerning it.
(i) Some of the Jewifh doctors were fond enough to Different imagine, that Adam at firft had his head furrounded with opinions
$\dagger$ The words in the text are, in our image, after our likenefs, which feem to be mucli of the fame import; only a learned Jewifh interpreter has obferved, that the laft words, after our likenefs, give us to undertand, that man was not created properly and perfectly in the image of God, but only in a kind of refemblance of him; for he does not fay, in our likenefs, as he does, in our inage; but after our likenels; where the caph of fimilitude (as they call it) abates fomething of the fenfe of what follows, and makes it fignify only an approach to the divine likeners, in undertanding, ficedom of choice, fpirituality, immoriality, ec c. Patrich's Commentary.
(g) Gen. i. 26, 27. (b) South's fermons, vol. I.
(i) Calmet's Dittionary on the word Adan,
A. M. $\frac{\text {. }}{}$

Ant. Chrif 4004. Gen. ch. 2 . from ver. 8 .
a vifible radiant glory which accompanied him wherever. he went, and ftruck awe and reverence into the other parts of the animal creation; and that his perfon was fo completely perfect and handfome, that even God; before he formed him, affumed an human body of the moft perfect beauty, and fo, in a literal fenfe, made him after his own image and refemblance. But there needs no pains to refute this groundlefs fancy.
(k) Pbilo is of opinion, that this image of God was only the idea of human nature in the divine underftanding, by looking on which he formed man, juft as an architect about to build an houfe, firft delineates the fcheme in his mind, and then proceeds to erect the fabric. But this opinion, how true foever, does not come up to the point in hand; becaufe it makes no diftinction between man and other creatures, (for they were likewife made according to the ideal image in the divine intellect) though it may be manifeftly the intent of the Scripture-account to give him a particular preference.
(l) Origen, among ancient Chriftian authors, will have it to be the Son of God, who is called $(m)$ the exprefs image of the Father: But there is no fuch reftriction in the words of Mofes. They are delivered ( $n$ ) in the plural number; and therefore cannot, without violence, be applied to one fingle perfon in the Godhead; and, among the moderns, fome have placed it in holinefs alone; whilft others have thought it more properly feated in dominion. But thefe are only fingle lines, and far from coming to the whole portraiture.
Its divifion The divine fimilitude, in fhort, is a complex thing, and and explica- made up of many ingredients; and therefore (to give our thoughts a tract in fo fpacious a field) we may diftinguifh it into natural and fupernatural; and accordingly, fhall, Ift, confider the fupernatural gifts and ornaments; and then, 2dly, thofe natural perfections and accomplifhments wherein this image of God, impreffed on our firft parents, may be faid to confift.
(0) An eloquent father of the church has fet this whole matter before us in a very apt fimilitude, comparing this animal and living effigies of the King of Kings with the image
(k) De mundi opificio.
(l) Vid. Edward's Survey of religion, vol. 1. (n) Heb. i. 3. (n) Gen. i. 26. Let us make mon. (o) Greg. Nyfen. De hominis opificio, cap. 4.
of an emperor, fo expreffed by the hand of an artificer, ei- A. M. . 1 . ther in fculpture or painting, as to reprefent the very drefs Ant. Chrif, and enfigns of royal majefty, fuch as the purple robe, the Gen, ch. 2. fceptre, and the diadem, orc. But as the emperor's image from ver. 8 . does reprefent, not only his countenance and the figure of his body, but even his drefs likewife, his ornaments and royal enfigns; fo man does then properly reprefent in himfelf the image and fimilitude of God, when to the accomplifhments of nature (which cannot totally be extinguifhed) the ornaments of grace and virtue are likewife added; when " man's nature (as he expreffes it) is not clothed in purple, " nor vaunts its dignity by a fceptre or diadem, (for the " archetype confifts not in fuch things as thefe), but inftead " of purple is cloathed with virtue, which, of all others, is " the moft royal veftment ; inftead of a fceptre is fupport" ed by a bleffed immortality; and, inftead of a diadem, is " adorned with a crown of righteoufnefs."

That our firft parents, befides the feeds of natural virtue and religion fown in their minds, and befides the natu- of it as to ral innocence and rectitude wherein they were created, were the foul. endued with certain gifts and powers fupernatural, infufed into them by the Spirit of God, is manifeft, not only from the authority of ( $p$ ) Chriftian writers, but from the teftimony of Philo the Jew likewife, who is very full of fublime notions concerning the divine image, and, in one place more efpecially, expreffes himfelf to this purpofe. ( $q$ ) "The "Creator made our foul," fays he, " while inclofed in a " body able of itfelf to fee and know its maker ; but, con" fidering how vaftly advantageous fuch knowledge would " be to man, (for this is the utmoft bound of its felicity), " he infpired into him from above fomething of his own " divinity, which, being invifible, impreffed upors the in" vifible foul its own character; that fo even this earthly "region might not be without fome creature made after " the image of God:" And this * he afferts to be the recondite fenfe of Mofes's words in the hiftory of man's creation.

And
( $p$ ) Vid. Buil's State of man before the fall. (q) Lib. Quod det potiori infid. Koleat, p. 171.

* "The great Mofes," fays he, "makes not the fpecies of the "r rational foul to be like to any of the creatures, but pronounceth "it to be the image of the invifible God, as judging it then to " become the true and genuine coin of God, when it is formed " and imprefled by the divine feal, the character whereof is the Vol. I.
" eternal
A. M. r. And indeed we need go no farther than this hiftory of Ant.Carift. Mofes, to proye the very point we are now upon. For; 4004.2 whereas it acquaints us, that the firf man, in his ftate of from ver. s. integrity, was able to fuftain the approaches of the divine Intanics prefence, and converfe with his Maker in the fame language, thereof. it is reafonable to fuppofe, that ir was a particular vouchfafement to him, to confirm his mind, and enlighten his underftanding in this manner ; becaufe no creature is fit to converfe with God without divine illumination, nor is any creature able to bear his majeftic appearance, that is not fortified and prepared for it by a divine power.

Whereas it tells us, that ( $r$ ) God brought every living creature unto Adam, to fee what be would call them, and whatever be called them, that was the name thereof; it cans hardly be fuppofed (confidering the circumftances of the thing) but that this was the effect of fomething more thart human fagacity. That in an infinite variety of creatures, never betore feen by Adam, he fhould be able on a fudden, without labour or premeditation, to give names to each of them. fo adapt and fitted to their refpective natures, as that God himfelf fhould approve the nomenclature, is a thing fo aftonifing, that we may venture to fay, * no fingle man among all the philofophers fince the fall, no Plato, no Ariftote, among the ancrents, no Des Cartes, no Gaffendus;
" eternal word. For God," faitli he, " breathed into his face " the breath of life; fo that he who receives the infpiration " mult of neceffity reprefent the image of him that gives it, and " for this reafon it is faid that man was made after the image. " of God;" lib. De plantatione Noe.
(r) Gen. ii. 19.

* The knowledge of Adam is highly extolled by the Jewifk. doctors. Some of them have maintained, that he compofed two books, one concerning the creation, and another about the nature of God. They generally believe, that he compofed the xci, pialm; but fome of them go farther, and tell us, that Adam's knowledge was not only equal to that of Solomon and Mofes, but' exceeded even that of angels; and, for the proof of this, they produce this fory-That the angels having fooke contemptuoufly of man, God made this anfwer - That the creature whom they defpifed was their fuperior in knowledge; and, to convince them of this, that he brought all the animals to them, and bid them name them, which they being not able to do, he, propofed the thing to Adam, and he did it immediately : With many more fancies of the fame ridiculous nature; Saurin's Difertations.
no Newton, among the moderns; nay, no academy or roy: al fociety whatever durft have once attempted it.

Whereas it informs us, that Adam no fooner faw his ${ }^{4004}$. wife brought unto him, but ( $s$ ) he told exactly her origi- from vet. 8 : nal, and gave her a name accordingly, though he lay in the profoundeft fleep and infenfibility all the while that God was performing the wonderful operation of taking her out of his fide ; this can be imputed to nothing, but either an immediate infpiration, or fome prophetic vifion (as we faid before) that was fent unto him while he flept. (t) From the conformity of parts which he beheld in that goodly creature, and her near fimilitude to himfelf, he might have conjectured indeed, that God had now provided him with a meet help, which before he wanted; but is is fcarce imaginable, how he could fo punctually defcribe her rife and manner of formation, and fo furely prophefy, that the general event to his pofterity would be, for the fake of her fex, to leave father and mother, and cleave to their wives, otherwife than by divine illumination; " which enabled him $\{u$ ) (as one excellently expreffes it) " to view effences in them" felves, and read forms withour the comment of their ": refpective properties; which enabled him to fee confe" quences yet dormant in their principles, and effects yet " unborn, and in the womb of their caules; which ena" bled him, in fhort, to pierce almol into future contin" gencies, and improved his conjectures and fentiments" e" ven to a prophecy, and the certainties of a predistion."

Thefe feem to be fome of the fupernatural gifis, and As to the what we may call the chief lines, wherein the image of body. God was fo confpicuous upon Adam's foul; and there was this fupernatural in his body likewife, that $(x)$ whereas it was made of the duft of the earth, and in its compofition confequently corruptible, either by a power continually proceeding from God, whereof $(y)$ the tree of life was the divine fign and facrament, or by the inherent virtue of the tree itfelf, perpetually repairing the decay's of nature, it was to enjoy the privilege of immortality. (z) Not fuch an immortality as the glorified bodies of faints 'fhall hereafrer poffers (for they thall be made wholly impaffable, and fet free from the reach of any outward imprefionis and ele-
(s) Gen. ii. 23.
( $t$ ) Bull's Sermons and difcourfes. (u) South's fermons, vol. i. $(x)$ Hopkins's doetrine of the two covenants. (y) Gen. ii. 9. (z) Edward's
Survey of religion, vol. 1 .
A. M. r. mental diforders, which may impair their vigour, or enAnt. Chrif. danger their diffolution), but an immortality by donation; Gen.ch. 2, and the privilege of an efpecial providence, which engaged from ver. 8. itfelf to fway and over-rule the natural tendency which was in man's body to corruption; and, notwithftanding the contrarieties and diffentions of a terreftrial conftitution, to continue him in life as long as he fhould continue himfelf in his obedience.
Thenatural 2. Another chief part of the divine image and fimilipart as to
the foul. ${ }^{\text {tude in our firft parents, was an univerfal rectitude in all }}$ the faculties belonging to the foul. Now the two great faculties, or rather effential acts of the foul, are the underfanding and will; which, though (for the clearer conception of them) we may feparate, are in their operation fo blended and united together, that we cannot properly think them diftinct faculties. It is the fame individual mind which fees and perceives, as well as chufes or rejects the feveral objects that are prefented to it. When it does the former, we call it the underftanding, and when the latter, the will; fo that they are both radically and infeparably the fame, and differ only in the manner of our conceiving them. Nay, the cleareft and only diftinet apprehenfion we are able to form of them, (even when we come to confider them feparately), is only this, that the underftanding is chielly converfant about intelligible, the will about eligible objects; fo that the one has truth, and the other goodnefs in its view and purfuit. There are befides thefe, belonging to the foul of man, certain paffions and affections, which (according to the common notion and manner of f peaking) have chiefly their refidence in the fenfitive appetite; and, however, in this lapfed condition of our nature, they may many times mutiny and rebel, yet, when kept in due temper and fubordination, are excellent hand-maids to the (a) foul. Though the Stoics look upon them all as finful defects, and deviations from right reafon; yet it is fufficient for us, that our bleffed Saviour (who took upon him all our natural, but none of our finful infirmities) was known to have them, and that our firf progenitor, in the ftate of his greateft perfection, was not devoid of them. Let us then fee how far we may fuppofe that the image of God might be impreffed upon each of thefe.
(b) His foul itfelf was a rational fubftance, immaterial, and immortal ; and therefore a proper reprefentation of

[^10]that fupreme Spirit, whofe wifdom is infinite, and effence eternal.
A. M. .

Ant. Chrift. 4004.
(c) His underitanding was, as it were the upper region Gen. sh. 2. of his foul, lofty and ferene; feated above all fordid affec- from ver 8 ., tions, and free from the vapours and difturbances of infe-In its unrior paffions. Its perceptions were quick and lively, its derfanding. reafonings true, and its determinations juft. A deluded fancy was not then capable of impofing upon it, nor a fawning appetite of deluding it to pronounce a falfe and difhoneft fentence. . In its direction of the inferior faculties it conveyed its fuggeftions with clearnefs, and enjoined them with power ; and though its command over them was but fuafive, yet it had the fame force and efficacy as if it had been defpotical.

His will was then very ductile and pliant to the motions Will. of right reafon. It purfued the directions that were given it, and attended upon the underfanding, as a favourite does upon his prince, where the fervice is both privilege and preferment : And, while it obeyed the underftanding, it commanded the other faculties that were beneath it; gave laws to the affections, and reftrained the paffions from licentious fallies.

His paffions, were then indeed all fubordinate to his will Afections, and intellect, and acted within the compafs of their proper objects. His love was centred upon God, and flamed up to Heaven in direct fervours of devotion. His hatred (if hatred may be fuppofed in a ftate of innocence) was fixed only upon that which his pofterity only love, fin. His joy was then the refult of a real good fuitably applied, and filled his foul (as God does the univerfe) filently and without noife. His forrow (if any fuppofed difafter could have occafioned forrow) muft have moved according to the fevere allowances of prudence; been as filent as thought, and all confined within the clofet of the breaft. His hope was fed with the expectation of a better paradife, and a nearer ad. miftion to the divine prefence; and (to name no more) his fear, which was then a guard, and not a torment to the mind, was fixed upon him, who is only to be feared, God, but in fuch a filial manner, as to become an awe without amazement, and a dread without diftraction.

It muft be acknowledged indeed, that the Scriptures do Confcience, not exprefsly attribute all thefe perfections to Adam in his firt eftate ; but, fince the oppofite weakneffes now infeft

[^11]A. M. ri. the nature of man fallen, we muft conclude (if we will be
4004. true to the rule of contraries) that thefe, and fuch like exGen. ch. 2. cellencies, were the endowments of man innocent. And $\underbrace{\text { from ver. } 8 \text {, if fo, then is there another perfection arifing from this har- }}$ mony, and due compofure of the faculties, which we may call the crown and confummation of all, and that is a good confcience. For, as in the body, when the vital and principal parts do their office, and all the fmaller veffels act orderly, there arifes a fweet enjoyment upon the whole, which we call bealth; fo, in the foul; when the fupreme faculties of the underftanding and will move regularly, and the inferior paffions and affections liften to their dietates, and follow their injunctions, there arifes a ferenity and complacency upon the whole foul, infinitely beyond all the pleafures of fenfuality, and which, like a fpicy field, refrefhes it upon every reflection, and fills it wtih a joyful coinfidence towards God.

As to the body, and its domini on over other creatures.

Thefe are fome of the natural lines (as we may diftinguifh them) which the finger of God pourtrayed upon the foul of man : and (fo far as a firitual being may be refembled by a corporeal) (d) the contrivance of man's bodily parts was with fuch proportion and exactnefs, as moft conduced to its comelinefs and fervice. His ftature was erest and raifed, becoming him who was to be the lord of this globe, and the obferver of the heavens. A divine beauty and majefty was thed upon it, fuch as could neither be eclipfed by ficknefs, nor extinguifhed by death; (e) for Adam knjew no difeafe, fo long as he refrained from the forbidden tree. Nature was his phyfician, and innocence and abftinente would have kept him healthful to immortality. And from this perfection of man's body, efpecially that port and majefty which appeared in his looks and afpect, there arofe, in fome meafure, another lineament of the divine image, viz. ( $f$ ) that dominion and fovereignty wherewith God invefted him over all other creatures. For there is even ftill remaining in man a certain terrific charadter, (as (g) one calls it), which, affifted by that inftinct of dread that he hath equally implanted in their natures, commands their homage and obeifance; infomuch, that it muft be hunger or compulfion, or fome violent exafperation or o-
(d) Bate's Harmony of the divine attributes. (e) South's fermons, vol. I. (f) Gen. i. 26. (g) Cornelius Agrippa, De occult. philor.
ther, that makes them at any time rebel againft their ma- A. M. . . ker's vicegerent here below.

This is the beft copy of the divine image that we can Gen. ch. 2. draw : Only it may not be amifs to add, ( $h$ ) that the holi- from ver. 8 . nefs of man was a refemblance of the divine purity, and his happinefs a reprefentation of the divine felicity. And now, to look over it again, and recount the feveral lines of it. What was fupernatural in it, was a mind fortified to bear the divine prefence, qualified for the divine converfe, fully illuminated by the divine Spirit; and a body, that (contrary to the natural principles of its compofition) was indulged the privilege of immortality. What was natural to it, was an univerfal harmony in all its faculties; an underitanding fraught with all manner of knowledge ; a will fubmitted to the divine pleafure; affections placed upon their proper objects; paffions calm and eafy ; a confcience quiet and ferene : refplendent holinefs, perfect felicity, and a body adorned with fuch comelinefs and majefty, as might juftly challenge the rule and jurifdiction of this inferior world.

If it be demanded, how much of this image is defaced, How far it loft, or impaired; the anfwer is, that (i) whatever was fu- is lof or pernatural and adventitious to man by the benignity of Almighty God, (as it depended upon the condition of his obedience to the divine command), upon the breach of that command, was entirely loft: What was perfective of his nature, fuch as the excellency of his knowledge, the fubordination of his faculties, the tranquillity of his mind, and full dominion over other creatures, was fadly impaired : but what was effential to his nature, the immortality of his foul, the faculties of intellection and will, and the natural beauty and ufefulnefs of his body, does fill remain, notwithftanding the concuffions they fuftained in the fall.-

If it be alked, what we muft do in order to repair this How it may defaced image of God in us? The only anfwer we can have be repareds in this cale, is, from the facred oracles of Scripture. We muft ( $k$ ) be renewed in the fpirit of our mind, and put on the new man, which after God is created in rightcoufnefs and true bolinefs: We muft (l) be followers of God as dear children; graw in grace, ( $m$ ) be renewed in knowledge, and ( $n$ ) conformed to the image of his fon: We mult ( 0 )
(b) Bate's Harmony. (i) Hales's Origination of mankind. (k) Eph. iv. 23, 24. (l) Fph. v. I. (m) Col. iii. 10.
( $n$ ) Rom. viii. 29. (o) 2 Pet. i. 5. Gr.
A. M. 1. give all diligence to add to our faith virtue; and to virtue' 4004 . Cen. ch. 2.patience; and to patience,. godlinefs, and to godlinefs, brofrom vor. 8. therly kindnefs; and to brotherly kindnefs, charity; that we may ( $p$ ) be complete in him, who is the bead of all principality and power: and that $(q)$ as we bave borne the inrage of the earthly; we may alfo bear the image of the heaverly Adam.

$$
\text { (p) Col. ii. 16. } \quad(q) \text { I CQr. xv. } 49 .
$$

## C H A P. III.

## Of the fall of man.

## The History.

THE facred hiftorian indeed gives us no account of Satan, the chief of the fallen angels, and grand adverfary of God and man; but, from feveral other places in Scripture, we may learn, that he at firlt was made like other celeftial fpirits, perfect in his kind, and happy in his condition, but that, through pride or ambition, as we may fuppofe, falling into a crime, (whofe circumftances to us are unknown), he thence fell into mifery, and, together* with his accomplices, was banifhed from the regions of blifs, that * in his fate of exile, having loft all hopes, and defpairing

* That profane, as well as facred writers, had the faine notion of the fall of wieked angels, is manifelt from a tradition they $\mathrm{h}_{\text {ad }}$ (though mised with fable) of the Titans and giants invading heaven, fighting againft Jupiter, and attempting to depofe him from his throne, for which reafon he threw them down headlong into hell, where they are tormented with inceffant fire ; and therefore Empedocles, in the verfes recited by Plutarch, makes mention of the fate of fome dæmons, who, for their rebellion, were, from the fummit of heaven, plunged into the bottom of the great deep, there to be punifhed as they deferved. To which the fory of Ate, who once inhabited the air, but being always hurtful to man, and therefore hateful to God, was caft down from thence, with a folemn oath and decree, that fhe fhould never return again, feems not a little to allude; Huetius, in Alnetan. 2uaf. lib. 2.
* Our excellent Milton reprefents Satan within profpect of Eden, and near the place where he was to attempt lis defperate enterprize
fpairing of a reconciliation with the Almighty, he abandoned A. M. 1. himfelf to all kind of wickednefs, and, upon the creation Ant. Chrif. of man, out of pure envy to the happinefs which God ${ }^{4004}$. had defigned for him, refolved upon a project to draw him $\underbrace{\text { Gen. ch. 3. }}$ into difobedience, and thence into ruin and perdition; but how to put his fcheme in execution was the queftion. The woman he perceived (as by nature more ductile and tender) was the properer fubject for his temptations; but fome form he was to afluine, to enable him to enter into conference, with her. ( $r$ ) The figure of a man was the fitteft upon this occafion; but then it would have difcovered the impofture, becaufe Eve knew very well, that her hufband was the only one of that \{pecies upon the face of the earth. And therefore confidering, that the ferpent, which before the fall was a bright and glorious creature, and (next to man) $\dagger$ endued with the greateft talents of fagacity, and underftanding,
enterprife againft God and man, falling into doubts, and fundry paffions, and then, at laft, confirming himfelf in his wic* ked defign.

But fay I could repent, and could obtain,
By act of grace, my former fate; how foon
Wou'd height recal high thoughts! how foon unfay
What feign'd fubmifion fwore! Eafe wou'd recant
Vows made in pain, as violent and void
All hope excluded thus, behold, intead
Of us, outcalt, exil'd, his new delight,
Mankind, created ; and for him this world,
So farewel hope! and, with hope, farewel fear !
Farewel Remorfe! all good to me is loft;
Evil be thou my good! by thee at leaft
Divided empire with heaver's King I hold;
By thee, and more than half perhaps, will reign:
As man e'er long, and this new world fhall know.
Book 4.
( $r$ ) L'hiftoire du Vieux et Nouveau Teftament, par M. Martin.
$\dagger$ Milton, who is an excellent commentator upon the whole hittory of the fall, brings in the devil, after a long fearch to find out a bealt proper for his purpofe, concluding at laft to make ufe of the ferpent.

Him, after long debate (irrefolute
Of thought revolv'd) his final fentence chofe
Fit veffel, fittelt imp of fraud in whom
To enter, and his dark fuggeftions hide
From fharpeft fight: for in the wily fnake
Whatever fleights, none wou'd fuficions mark,
Vol. I.
Aa
As

## A. M. L. underftanding, would be no improper inftrument for his

 Ant. Chrif. 4004. purpofe, he ufurped the organs of one of thefe, and thro ${ }^{2}$ Gen. ch. 3. them he addreffed himfelf to the woman, the firft opportunity when he found her alone.After * fome previous compliments (as we may imagine) and congratulations of her happy frate, the tempter put on an air of great concern, and feemed to intereft himfelf not a little in her behalf, by wondering why God, who had lately been fo very bountiful to them, fhould depy them

As from his wit, and native fubtilty
Praceeding; which in other beait obferv'd,
Doubt might beget of diabolic pow'r
Active within, beyond the fenfe of brute. Book 9.
The wifdom and fubtilty of the ferpent are frequently mentioned in Scripture, as qualities which diftinguifh it from other animals; and feveral are the inftances, wherein it is faid todifcover its cunning. ift, When it is old, by fqueezing itfelf beq tween two rocks, it can Atrip off its old fkin, and fo grows young again. 2 dly , As it grows blind, it has a fecret to recover its fight by the juice of fennel. 3 dly, When it is aftaulted, its chief care is to fecure its head, becaufe its heart lies under its throat, and very near its head. And, 4 thly, When it goes to drink at'a fountain, it firf vomits up all its poifon, for fear of poifoning itfelf as it is drinking; with fome other qualities of the like nature ; Calmet's Dictionary.

But a modern auther of our own hàs given us this further reafon for the devil's making ufe of the ferpent in this affair, viz.——That as no infinite being can actuate any creature, beyond what the fitnefs and capacity of its organs will admit; fo, the natural fubtilty of the ferpent, and perhaps the pliablenefs, and forkinefs of its tongue (which we know enables other creatures to pronounce articulate founds), added to the ad. vantages of its form, made it the fittelt in lrument of delufion that can be imagined; Revelation examined.

* Milton has very curioufly defcribed the artful and infinuating carriage of the ferpent, upon his firft approach to fpeak to Eve.

He bolder naw, uncall'd, before her food,
But as in great admiring : oft he bow'd
His turret creft, and fleek enamell'd neck,
Fawning ; and lick'd the ground whereon fhe trod.
His gentle dum expreffions turn'd at length
The eye of Eve, to mark his play: he, glad
Of her attention gain'd, with ferpent tongue
Organic, or impulfe of vocal air,
His Frudulenst teraptation thus began. Book 9.
the ufe of a tree ${ }^{*}$, whofe fruit was fo tempting to the eye, A. M: x. fo grateful to the palate, and of fuch fovereign quality to ${ }^{\text {Ant.Chrift. }}$ make them wife: And when Eve replied, that fuch was Gen ch. 3. the divine prohibition, even under the penalty of death itfelf *, he immediately fubjoins, that fuch a penalty was an empty threat, and what would never be executed upon them; that God would never deftroy the work of his own bands, creatures fo accomplifhed as they were, for fo flight a tranfgreffion; and that the fole intent of this prohibition was, to continue them in their prefent fate of dependence and ignorance, and not admit them to that extent of knowledge, and plenitude of happinefs, which their eating of this fruit would confer upon them : For God him-

[^12]A. M. r. felf knew, that $\dagger$ the proper ufe of this tree was, to illuAnt, Chrif. 4004.

## Gen. ch. 3.

 minate the underftanding, and advance all the other faculties of the foul to fuch a fublimity, that the brighteft angels in heaven hould not furpafs them; nay, that they fhould approximate the Deity itfelf, in the extent of their intellect, and independence of their being. In fhort, he acqainted Eve, that the jealoufy of the Creator was the fole motive of his prohibition; that the fruit had a virtue to impart $\dagger$ an univerfal knowledge to the perfon, who tafted it ; and that therefore God, who would admit of no competitor, had referved this privilege to himfelf. Above all, he engaged her to fix her eyes upon the forbidden fruit; he remarked to her its pleafantnefs to the fight, and left her to guefs at its delicioufnefs. Eve, in the very midft of the temptation, had a freedom of choice; but the fond conceit of knowing good and evil, of becoming like God, and of changing her felicity (great indeed, but fubordinate) for an independent ftate of happinefs, and efpecially the deceitful bait of prefent fenfual pleafure, blinded her reafon by degrees; and as fhe ftood gazing on the tree, filled all her$\dagger$ It is very well worth our obfervation, how ambiguous and deceitful the promife, which the tempter makes our firf parents, was; for, by opening the eyes, the anderftood a further degree of wifdom, as the fame phrafe imports, Aets xxvi. 18.; and Eph. i. 18.; but he meant their perceiving their own mifery, and confufion of confcience, as fell out immediately; by being like gods, fhe undertood the happinefs of God the Father, Son, and Holy Ghoft, as appears by the words of God himfelf, ver. 22:; but he meant it of angels, (frequently ftyled Elobim, i. e. gods), and of fuch fallen angels as himfelf, who are called principalities and powers, Col. ii. , 5. And by-knowing good and evil, the underItood a kind of divine omnifcience, or knowing all manner of things, (as the phrafe frequently fignifies); but he meant it, that thereby fhe fhould experience the difference between good and evil, between happinefs and mifery, which fhe did to her coft. A method this of cunning and referve, which he has practifed in his oracular refponfes ever fince; Ainfworth's annotations.
$\dagger$ The words good and evil, when applied to knowledge, comprehend every thing that is poffible for man to know; for fo the woman of Tekoa, in her addrefs to King David, tells him [2Sam. xiv. 17.] as an anigel of God is my Lord the King, to differn good and bad, and that by the terms good and bad, we are to undiertand all things, the 20th verfe of that chapter will inform us, where the continues her compliment, and fays, My Lord is wife, according to the wiffom of an angel, to know all ihings that are on the earth; Le Clerc's Commentary.

Chap. III. from the Creation to the Flood.
thoughts, and the whole capacity of her foul. The fight A.M. I. of the fruit provoked her defire; the fuggeftions of the Ant. Chritto tempter urged it on ; her natural curiofity raifed her long- Gen 4004. ing; and the very prohibition itfelf did fomething to inflame it: So that, at all adventures, the put forth her hand, and plucked, and eat.

Earth felt the wound, and nature from her feat
Sighing, thro' all her works, gave figns of woe,
That all was loft (s)
She however had no fuch fenfe of her condition ; but, fancying herfelf already in the poffeffion of that chimerical happinefs, wherewith the devil had deluded her, fhe invited her huiband (who not unlikely came upon her, while fhe was eating) to partake with her. ( $t$ ) The moft abfurd arguments appear reafonable, and the moft unjuft defires equitable, when the perfon, who propofes them, is beloved; the devil therefore knew very well what he did, when he made his firft application to the woman. Her charms and endearments, which gave her the afcendency over her hufband's affection, would be of more efficacy (he knew) than all the fubtile motives which he could fuggeft; and therefore he made ufe of her to engage him in the like defection; and after fome fmall reluctancy, (as we may fuppofe), he, ( $u$ ) like an uxorious man, was by her entreaties prevailed on, (contrary to the fenfe of his duty, and convictions of his own breaft), to violate the command, merely becaufe fhe had done it, and to fhare whatever fate God's indignation for that tranfgreffion fhould bring upon her. Thus the follicitations of the woman ruined the man, as the inchantments of the tempter ruined the woman. She held forth the fair enticing fruit to him; and he, rather than fee her perifh alone, chofe to be involved in the fame common guilt ( $x$ ).

Earth trembled from her entrails, as again
In pangs, and nature gave a fecond groan;
Sky lowr'd, and, murmuring thunder, fome fad drops
Wept, at compleating of the mortal fin (y).
(s) Milton, Book9:
(i) Mede's Difcourfes.
() Milton, Book 9 .
( $t$ ) Saurin's Differtations.
(x) Edward's furvey of religion.
A. M. r. For as foon as they had eaten of the forbidden fruit, Ant, Chrift, + their eyes were opened, but in a fenfe quite different from 4004. Gen. ch. 3. what the tempter had promifed them, viz. to fee their own folly, and impendent miferies, and make fad reflections upon what they had done. They had acquired knowledge, indeed ${ }_{0}$ but it was a knowledge arifing from forrowful experience, that the ferpent had beguiled them both, and drawn them from the good of happinefs and innocence, which they knew before, into the evil of fin and mifery; which (until that fatal moment) they had no conception of. (z) They faw a living God provoked; his grace and favour forfeited; his likenefs and image defaced; and their dominion over other creatures withdrawn from them. They faw, very probably, the heavens grow angry and formy; the angel of the Lord ftanding with his fword, threatening them with vengeance; and the devil himfelf, who before had feduced them, throwing off the difguife, and now openly infulting over them. They faw that $\dagger$ they

+ Le Clerc obferves, that it is reputed an elegancy in the fas cred writing to make ufe of the figure, which rhetoricians call antanacidffs, whereby they continue the fame word or phirafe that went before, though in a quite different fenfe; as the learned Grotius upon John i. 16, and Hammond on Matth. viii. 22. have abundantly fhewn; and for this reafon he fappofes, that Mofes repeats their eyes twere opened, which the devil had ufed before; though he means it in a fenfe quite different from the former.
(z) Miller's Hiftory of the church.
$\dagger$ Thofe who take the word naked in a literal fenfe, fuppofe, that upon the fall, the air, and other elements, immediately became intemperate, and diforderly; fo that our firft parents foon knew, or felt, that they werenaked, becaufe the fun foreched them, the rain wet them, and the cold pierced them. Vid, Patrick's Commentary; and King on the origin of evil. But others take the expreffion rather in a figurative fenfe, $\tau i z$. to denote the commiffion of fuch fins as ntran in his fenfes may well be afhamed of: And to this purpofe they have obferved, that when Mores returned from the mount, and found that the people had made and confecrated a golden image, the expreffion in Scripture is, That the people were naked, i. e. were become vile and reprobate finners, (for fo the word $\gamma$ duyos fignifes in the New Teftament, Rev. xvi. 15.) ; for Aaron hat made them vaked, anto their fhame, among their enemies, Exod. xxxii. $25 . ;$ Vid. Le Clerc's Commentary. Now thofe who take it in this fenfe, have obferved farther, that by the word nakednefs (according to
were naked; were ftripped of all their intellectual and moral ornaments; were fubjected to irregular appetites and inordinate lufts; and blufhed to fee their external glory fo much debafed, that $\ddagger$ they took and platted together figleaves, (which in eaftern countries are very large), in order to make themfelves $\ddagger$ fuch coverings as might both protect
the ufual modefty of the Hebrew tongue) are meant all the irgular appetites to veneral pleafures, which Adam and Eve were itrangers to in their flate ofinnocence, but began now firft toexperience, and which the intoxicating juice of the forbidden tree might very probably exite ; Nicholls's Conference, vol. I.

As with new wine intoxicated both,
They fwim in mirth, and fancy that they feel
Divinity within them, breeding wings,
Wherewith to fcorn the earth : but that falfe fruit
Far other operation firlt difplay'd,
Carnal defire inflaming: he on Eve
Began to calt lafcivious eyes, fhe him
As wantonly repay'd, in luft they burn. Milton, book 9 .
$\ddagger$ Our tranllation indeed tells us, that our firf parents fewed fig-leaves together, which gives occafion to the ufual fneer, What they could do for needles and thread?' But theoriginal. word tapar fignifies no more than to put together, apply, or fit, as it is plain from Job xvi. 15. and Ezek. xiii. 28.; and the word gneleh, which we render leaves, fignifies alfo branches of trees, fuch as were to make booths or bowers, Neh. xviii. 15. So that, to adapt or fit branches, (which is tranflated fewing leaves together) is only to twit and plat the flexible branches of the fig-tree round about their wailts, in the manner of a Roman crown, for which purpofe the fig-tree, of all others, was the mofferviceable, becaufe, as Pliny tells us, [1. 16. c. 24.] it had folium maximum er umbraffimum; Patrick's Commentary.
$\ddagger$ The word in the tranflation is aprons: but fince in the original it may fignify any thing that covers or furrounds us, it may every whit as properly here he rendered a bower, or arbor, covered with the branches of the fig-tree wherein the fallen pair thought to have hid themfelves from the fight of God; to which interpretation the fubfequent verfe feems to give fome countenance; Le Clerc's Conmentary. Nor is Milton's defcription of the fig-tree uninclinable to this fenfe:
> --Such as at this day fpreads her arms, Branching fo broad and long, that in the ground The bended twigs take root, and daughters grow
A. M. 1. Ant. Chrif. 4004. Gen. ch. 2.
protect them from the injuries of the weather, and conceal their flame. Nor was their guilt attended with hame only, but with fear likewife, and many difmal apprehenfions. + Before they finned, they no fooner heard the voice of the Lord coming towards them, but they ran out to meet him, and, with an humble joy, welcomed his gracious vifits; but now * God was become a terror to them, and they a terror to themfelves. Their confciences fat their fin before them in its blackeft affect ; and, as they had then no hopes of a future mediator, fo there remained nothing for them but a certain fearful looking for of judgment, and fiery indignsion, ready to devour them. And, accordingly, no fooner did they hear the found of God's majeftic prefence drawing nearer and nearer to the place where they were, (which happened towards the cool of the evening), but they impmediately betook themfelves to the thickeft and clofeft alaces they could find in the garden, in order to hide themfelves from his infection; for fo far where they fallen in their underftanding, as never to reflect, that all places and things are naked and open to the eyes of him, with whom they bad to do.

About the mother tree; a pillared fade High over-arch'd, and echoing walks between.
There oft the indian herdfmen, fhunning heat,
Shelters in cool, and tends his pasturing herds
In loop-holes, cut thro' thicket hade. Book 9.
$\dagger$ The word voice may be equally rendered noife: And fince God's ufual way of notifying his prefence afterwards was either by a fall fill voice or noife, I Kings, xix. 12. or by a $n$ oife like that of great waters, Ezek. i. 24. or like the ruffing of wind in the trees, 2 Sam. v. 24. we may reafonably fuppofe, that it was either a fort gentle noife, like a breeze of wind among the trees of paradife, or a louder one, like the murmuring of forme large river, which gave Adam notice of God's approaching ;
Le Clerc's commentary.

* Milton makes Adam, upon this occation, exprefs himfelf in this manner:
——How fall I behold the face
Henceforth of God or angel, eft with joy
And raptures oft beheld ? - 0 ! might I here
In folitude live favage, in rome glade
Obfcur'd, where higheft woods (impenetrable
To far or Sun-light) fpread their umbrage: broad,
And brown as ev'ning ! Cover me, ye pines,
Ye cedars, with innumerable boughs.
Hide me, where I may never fee them more. Book 9.

Out of their dark retreat, however, God calls the two A. M. r. criminals, who, after a fhort examination, acknowledge Ant.Chrifttheir guilt indeed, but lay the blame of it, the man upon 4004. the woman, and the woman upon the ferpent: Whereupon God proceeds to pronounce fentence upon them, but firft of all, upon the devil, as being the prime offender. The devil had made the ferpent the inftrument of his de-ception; and therefore + God firft degrades it from the noble creature it was before this fact to a foul creeping animal, which, inftead of going erect, or flying in the air, was fentenced to creep upon its belly, and thereupon become incapable of eating any food but what was mingled with duft. And to the devil, who lay hid under the covert of the ferpent, (and therefore is not exprefsly named), he declares, that how much foever he might glory in his prefent conqueft, a time fhould come, when a child, defcended from the feed of that very fex he had now defeated, i.e the Messias, fhould ruin all his new-erected empire of fin and death; and (a) baving fpoiled principalities and powers, hould make a Jow of them openly, triumphing over them in his crofs. This could not fail of being matter of great comfort and confolation to Adam and Eve, to hear of the conqueft of their malicious enemy, before their own fentences were pronounced; * which to
$\dagger$ Jofephus, in the beginning of his Antiquities, pretends, that all creatures ufing the fame language, and confequently being endued with reafon and underftanding, the ferpent, excited by envy, tempted Eve to fin, and, among other things received this fignal punifhment, viz. that it fhould be deprived of its feet, and ever after crawl upon the ground, which Aben Ezra, and feveral other Rabbins, confirm : but what is certain in the rerpent's punifhment, is this-that it actually eats the dry and dulty earth, (as Bochart and Pliny telis us), otherwife we can hardly conceive how it could fubfift in dry and fandy deferts, to which God in a good meafure, has condemned it ; Rev. examined.
(a) Col. ii. 15 .

* It is remarkable, that a woman is the only creature we know of, who has any forrow in conception. This Ariftotle exprefsly affirms, and only excepts the inftance of a mare conceiving by an afs, and, in general, where there is any thing monftrous in the foctus. Other creatures, we find, are in more perfect health, and ftrength, and vigour; at that time, than before; But ariftotle reckons up ten different maladies, to which the Vol. I.

B b
woman
A. M. I. Ant che woman, was forrow in conception, pain in child-

Ant. Chri., birth, and conftant fubjection to her hufband's will; to Gen.ch. 3. the man, * a life of perpetual toil and flavery, and to them both, as well as all their pofterity, a temporal death at the time appointed.

Nor was it mankind only which felt the fad effects of the induction of fin, but * even in the inanimate part of the creation
woman is then naturally fubject. And, as fhe is fubject to ficknefs in the time of her conception, fo it is farthe remarkable, that flie brings forth her offspring with more pain and agony than any other creature upon earth, even though fhe has fome advantages in her make above other creatures, that might promife her in this cafe an alleviation: and therefore we may fuppofe, that, uporr God's faying to the woman, In forrows thou halt bring fortb children, a real effect did immediately accompany the word fpoken, and caufe fuch a change in the woman's body, as, in the courfe of riature, mult have occafioned the extraordinary pain here fpoken of; for fo we find, that in the fentence pronounced againft the ferpent, againft the earth, and againft man, the word of God was not only declarative, but executive likewife, as producing a real change by a new modification of matter, or conformation of parts; Revelation examined; and Bibliotheca Biblica, vol. 1 .

* The words in the text are, In the fweat of thy face Balt thou eat bread, ver. 19. From whence fome conclude, that the earth, before the fall, brought forth fpontaneoully, (as feveral of the ancient poets have defcribed the golden age), and without any pains to cultivate it; as indeed there needed none, fince all things at firt were, by the divine power, created in their fulk perfection. What labour would have been neceffary in time; if man had continued innocent, we do not know; only we may obferve from the words, that lefs pain, would then have been required, than men are now forced to take for their fuftenance. The wifdom, goodnefs, and juftice of God, however, is very confpicuous, in decreeing, that toil and drudgery fhould be the confequence of departing from an eafy and rational obedience; in making the earth lefs defirable to man, when his guilt had reduced him to the neceffity of leaving it; and in kecping in order thofe paffions and appetites which had now broke loofe from the reftraint of reafon, by fubduing their impetuofity with hard labour; Patrick's Commentary; and Revelation examized.
* Milton brings in God, foon after the fall, appointing his holy angels to make an alteration in the courfe of the celeftial bodies, and to polfefs them with noxious qualities, in order to de-
creation fuffered by it. The fertility of the earth, and fe- A. M. r. renity of the air, were changed; the elements began to ${ }^{\text {Ant. Chrif. }}$ jar; the feafons were intemperate, and the weather grew $\underbrace{\substack{404 \\ \text { Cen. } 4 . \\ 3}}$ uncertain: So that to defend themfelves againft the immoderate heat, or cold, or wind, or rain, which now began to infeft the earth, our firft parents were inftrusted by God * how to make themfelves veftments of the flins of thofe beafts, which, very probably, they were appointed to facrifice,

Aroy the fertility of the earth, and thergby punifh man for his tranfgreffion.
—_- The fun
Had its firft precept fo to move, fo thine, As might affect the earth with cold and heat Scarce tolerable; and from the north to call
Decrepid winter; from the fouth to bring
Solfitial fummer's heat. To the blank moon
Her office they prefcrib'd, to th' other five
Their planetary motions and afpects
Of noxious efficacy, and when to join
In fynod unbenign; and taught the fix'd
Their influence malignant when to thower:
Which of them, rifing with the fun, or falling,
Should prove tempeftuous. To the winds they fet
Their corners, when with blutter to confound
Sea, air, and fhore: The thunder then to roll
With terror through the dark aerial hall
Thefe changes in the heavens, though flow, produce
Like change on fea and land; fiderial blaft,
Vapour, and milt, and exhalation hot,
Corrupt, and peftilent. Book 10.

* It cannot be denied, but that the finins of beafts were a ve. ry ancient fort of cloathing. Diodorus Siçulus [lib. I.] where he introduces Hercules in a lion's fkin, tells us no lefs; and the author to the Hebrews makes mention of this kind of habit: But the Jewifh doctors have carried the matter fo far, as to maintain, that as Adam was a prieft, this coat of his was his priefty garment which he left to his pofterity: So that Abel, Noah, Abraham, and the reft of the patriarchs, facrificed in it, until the time that Aaron was made high-prief, and had peculiar veftments appointed him by God. But all this fine fiction of theirs falls to the ground, if we can but fuppofe with fome, that by the word which we render coats, we may not improperly underfand tents, or arbors, to defend our firft parents from the violence of the heats, and fuch hafty fhowers as were common in the coun-
A. M. I. fice, either in confirmation of the covenant of grace, Ant.Cnift. couched in the fentence pronounced againit the ferpent, or
Gen. ch. 2, as a reprefentation of that great expiatory facrifice, which, in the fulnefs of time, God might inform theni, was to be offered as a propitiation for the fins of all mankind : And, upon this account, it very likely was, that Adam changed his wife's name (who, as fome think, was called Iffcha before) into that of Eve, as believing that God would make her the mother of all mankind, and of the promifed feed in particular, by whom he hoped for a reftoration both to himfelf and his pofterity, and to be raifed from death to à ftate of happinefs and immortal life.

Confidering then + what a fad cataftrophe this tranfgreflion of theirs had brought upon human nature, and that
tries adjacent to paradife, and where-the winter was not fo cold as to require coats made of lkin , which would certainly be too warm. That they could not be the finins of flain animals is very manifeft, becaufe as yet there were no more than two of each. fpecies, male and female, nor had they propagated. And therefore others have imagined, that if the original word muft mean coats, they were more probably made of the bark of trees, which are called dypeatoo, the fins of them, as well as the hides of animals. Vid. Le Clerc, and Patrick's Commentary; and Bibliotheca. Bib. vol. 1.
$\dagger$ The words in the text are thefe, Bebold the man is become. as one of us, to know good and evil; and now, left be put forth. his barid, and tafte of the tree of life, and live for ever, Gen. iii. 22. The former of thefe fentences is beld by moft interpreters to be an irony, fpoken in allufion to the devil's manner of tempting Eve, ver. 5. ; but, from the latter part of the words, this queftion feems to arife, "Whether Adam and Eve, if they " had tafted of the tree of life after their tranifgreffion, fhould " have lived for ever?" Now it is very manifeft," that by the violation of God's command, they had juftly incurred the penalty, "In" the day thou eatef thereof, thou Ghalt furety die, i. e. fhalt furely become mortal: From whence it follows, that whether they had, or had not eaten of the tree of life, they were, the moment they fell, fubject to the neceffity of dying, nor could the virtue of the tree, be it what it would, preferve them from the execution of the fentence; and therefore thefe latter words, And now', left he put forth bis band, and tafte of the trae of life, and live for ever, are, in like manner, fpoken farcaftically, and as if God had faid, "Left the man thould vainly fancy in hịm" felf, that by eating of the tree of life, he thall be enabled to " live for ever, let us remove this conceit from him, by remo-
that fuch a feene of complicated mifery might not be per. A. M. r. petuated by means of the tree of life, God, in his great ${ }^{\text {Ant. Chrif. }}$ mercy, found it convenient to remove them from the gar- Gen. ch. 3. den of paradife into that part of the country lying towards the eaft, where at firft he created them ; and that he might prevent their meditating a return, he fecured every paffage leading to it with a guard of angels, (fome of which flying to and fro in the air, in bright refulgent bodies, feemed to flath out fire on every fide, or to refemble the + vibrations of a flaming fword) that thereby he might deter them from
is ving him from this place, and for ever debarring him from any " hopes of coming at that tree again; Effius in diff. loca.

Examples of God's fpeaking by way of farcafm, or upbraiding, are not uncommon in Scripture: But confidering that, in the midft of judgment, be bere thinketh upon mercy; that before the fentence againft our firf parents, he promifes them a reftoration, and after fentence paft, does neverthelefs provide them with cloathing; fome have thought, that the words, by taking the original verb (vid. Gell's effay) to fignify ths.time paft, (as it may well enough do), are rather an expreffion of pity and compafion, and of the fame import as if God had faid, "The man was once, "like one of us, to know good, and to purfue it; to know evil, " and to avoid it; (for that is the perfecion of moral knowledge); "" but behold how he is now degenerated! And therefore, left "this degeneracy thould continue upon him, and he become ob"durate, the belt way will be to feclude him from the tree of " life, by expelling him from paradife." But this opinion feems to afcribe too much to the power of the tree, and is not fupported with authority equal to the former.
$\dagger$ What is meant by the flaming fyord reprefented to be in the hands of the cherubims, at the entrance of the garden of paradife, is varioully conjectured by learned men: But, of all eflays of this kind, that of Tertullian, who thought it was the Torrid Zone, is the moft unhappy; Tertul. Apol. cap. 4y. The words of Lactantius are [Juftit. Divin. 1. 2.c. 12.] Ipfampairadifum igne circumvallavit, He encompafed paradife with a wall of fire: From whence a learned man of our nation, pretending that the original word fignifies a dividing fame, as well as a flaming fword, fuppofes, that this flame was an accenfion of fome combuftible matter round about the garden, which excluded all comers to it, till fuch time as the beauty of the place was defaced; Nicholls's Conference, vol. i. Some Rabbins are of opinion, that this flaming fiword was an angel, founding their fentiments on that paflage in the Pfalms, where it is faid, that
A. M. r. from any thoughts of ever attempting a re-entrance, until Ant. Chrif, he fhould think fit to deftroy, and utterly lay wafte the felves and their poiterity into a ftate of wretchednefs and corruption: For, as from one common root, (b) fin ent tered into the world, and death by fin ; fo death paffed upan all men, forafmuch as all have finned, and been defiled by this original pollution.

## The Objection.

The objec-" $\mathrm{BUT}^{\text {, upon }}$ uppofition that the ftate of perfection tion againf Mofes's account of the fall. . compleat as is pretended; we cannot well conceive how "6 it was poffible for them to fall from it at all, or at leaft " in fo Phort a fpace as the Scripture-account reprefents it, " after their creation. Some great and enormous offence, " one would fuppofe they had committed; but who could 's dream, that the bare eating of a little forbidden fruit could " be fo provoking, as to bring upon them that wretched " depravity of nature, which ever fince we have been " complaining of? The counfels of God are a great deep; " but what reafon can be given, why he fhould put their " virtue upon the trial, when he could not but forefee, " that they certainly would be foiled by the wiles of the "t tempter? Or, if a probation was thought neceflary, why " was their abftinence from the fruit of a certain tree made "t the teft of their obedience, when fo many more mo" mentous precepts might have befitted their condition as if well? We may account the ferpent as fubtle as we pleafe, " but how he could over-reach mankind in the perfection " of their knowledge; or, if the devil lay concealed in the " ferpent's body, what inducement he could have to af" fume the form of fo deteftable a creature; and what "fhould hinder Eve from not being frightened when the
that God maketh his angels fpirits, and his minifers a faming fire, Pal. civ. 4. And hereupon another learned man of our nation has imagined, that this flaming fword (which was accounted by the Jews a fecond angel) was of a different kind from the cherubim, viz. a feraph, or flaming angel, in the form of a flying fiery ferpent, whole body vibrated in the air with luftre, and may fitly be defcribed by the image of fuch a fword; Tennifon of Idolatry.
(b) Roni. v. 12.
" heard him begin to fpeak, and inftead of ftaying to talk A. M. r. " with him, flee immediately to her herband, we cannot ${ }^{\text {A }}$ "conceive. If the devil, in this difguife, was like to be 4004.
" an over-match for her, why did God admit of fuch an
" unequal conflift? Or, if the conflict was to be, why did
" not he fend her fuccours from above? When fo great a
" price, as the lives of all mankind, was fet upon her
" head, why did not he enable her to overcome the wiles
" of the tempter? Why did not he order a guard of an" gels, or fome more powerful influxes of his holy Spirit,
" to affift and fecure her ftanding? But if the thing was
" fo, that God decreed her fall, it is hard meafure, one
" would think, to condemn her and hier pofterity for it;
" and looks as if he was angry beyond bounds, when he
" curfes the earth, and the ferpent, which were both inca-
" pable of fin, and confequently no ways culpable; when
" he drives the unhappy pair out of paradife with fuch pre-
" cipitancy, and leaves them to fhift for themfelves in a na-
" ked barren land; and (what is worft of all) when he en-
" tails their fin, and confequent depravation, upon their
" innocent pofterity, until the end of the world; and all
" this for no greater crime than eating an aple or two,
" when robbing an orchard, now-a-days, is accounted a
" crime not worth a whipping: 'To fay nothing of the
" oddnefs of that part of the fentence, wherein ferpents
" were appointed to bite men by the heel, and men to
" bruife them on the head. This certainly can never be
"right in the letter ; and therefore our fafeft way will be
" to take this whole account of Mofes in a figurative and
" allegorical fenfe; and to fuppofe, (with feveral, both
"Jewifh and Chriftian writers), that the hiftory of the fall
" exhibits the defection of the foul; the ferpent reprefents
" concupifcence ; the man, to whom he durft not apply
" himfelf, is the picture of reafon; and the woman, whom
" he fo eafily feduced and overcame, the emblem of fenfe,
" and fo on."
How long our firft parents continued in their ftate of How long innocence, and in the poffeflion of the garden of Eden, is the frate of not fo well agreed. The account of their fall, in the fe- ${ }^{\text {man's in- }}$ ries of hiftory, follows immediately their introduction intolafed. . : their blifsful abode; whereupon (c) moft of the Jewilh doctors, and fome of the Chriftian fachers, were of opimion, that they preferved their integrity but a very fhort
(c) Edward's Survey, voivi.
A. M, i. . while ; that in the clofe of the fame day wherein they were Ant. Chrif, made, they tranfgreffed the covenant, and were the very ${ }^{\text {ene. ch. 3. fame day caft out of paradife. But we are to confider, }}$ that many circumftances are omitted in the Scriptures concerning the ftate of our firft parents, and the manner of their tranfgreffion ; that Mofes makes mention of nothing but what is conducive to his main deffign, which is to give a brief account of the moft remarkable tranfactions that had happened from the beginning of the world to his time; and that there are fundry good reafons which may induce us to believe, that the fate of man's innocence was of a longer duration than thofe who are for precipitating matters are pleafed to think it.

Longer than is ufually imagined,

God indeed can do what he pleafes in an inftant; but man neceffarily requires a fucceffion of time to tranfact his affairs in ; and therefore when we read of Adam, in the fame day that he was created, (and that was not until God had made every beaft of the field), (d) inquiring into the nature of every living creature, and impofing on them proper names; falling into a deep fleep, and, with fome formality, (without doubt), receiving his wife from the hand of God; removing into the garden of paradife, and (as we may well fuppofe) walking about, and taking fome furvey of it ; receiving from God both a promife and prohibition, and thereupon (as we may fuppofe again) (e) ratifying the firft great covenant with him : When we read of all thefe things, I fay, we cannot but think, that fome time muft be required for the doing of them; and therefore to fuppofe, after this, $(f)$ that in the clofe of the fame day, the woman wandered from her hufband, met with the ferpent, entered into a parley with him, was overcome by his infinuations, did eat of the forbidden fruit, did prevail with her hufband to do the fame, and thereupon perceiving themfelves naked, did inftantly fall to work, and make themfelves aprons:, To fuppofe, that in the fame evening God comes down, fummons the criminals before him, hears their excufes, decrees their punifhments, drives them out of paradife, and places two cherubims to guard all avenues againft their return : This is crowding too long a feries of bufinefs into too fhort a compafs of time, and thereby giving an handle to infidelity, when there is no manner of occafion for it.
(d) Burnet's Archsologix philofophicis. (e) Bull's Statè of man before the fall. (f) Nicholls's Conference, vol. i.

We, who are not ignorant of Satan's devices, and how ready he is to wait for a favourable occafion to addrefs his
A. M. ı. temptations to every man's humour and complexion, can hardly fuppofe, ( $g$ ) that he would have fet upon the woman immediately after the prohibition was given; and not rather have waited, until it was in forme meafure forgot, and the happy opportunity of finding her alone fhould chance to prefent itfelf: But fuck an opportunity could not well inftantly have happened, becaufe the love and endearments between this couple, at firft, we may well imagine, was fo tender and affecting, as not to admit of the leapt absence or feparation: Nor muff we forget (what the hiftory itfelf tells us ) that they were fo much accuftomed to ( $b$ ) the voice of God walking in the garden in the cool of the day, as not to account it any new thing; and fo well acquainted with the nature and plantation of the garden, as to run directly to the darkeft thickets and umbrages, in order to hide themfelves from his fight; which mut have been the refult of more than an hour or two's experience. And therefore (if we may be allowed to follow others in their conjectures) (i) it was either on the tenth day of the world's age that our firth parents fell, and were expelled paradife, in memory of which calamity, ( $k$ ) the great day of expiation, (which was the tenth day of the year) wherein all were required to afflict their fouls, was, in after ages, inftituted; or (as o.thess would rather have it) on the eight day from their creation : ( $l$ ) That as the firft week in the world ended with the formation of man and woman, the fecond was probably concluded with their fatal eduction.

When man is faid to have been made according to the How he likenefs and image of God, it cannot be fuppofed, but that came to fall. he was created in the full perfection of his nature; and yet $(m)$ it muff be remembered, that * no created being can, in
(g) Patrick's Commentary.
(b) Gen. iii. Io. (i) UTher's Annals. ( $k$ Lev. xvi. 29.
(l) Edward's Survey, vol. x. ( $m$ ) Clarke's Inquiry into the original of moral evil.

* God, though he be omnipotent, cannot make any created being absolutely perfect; for whatever is absolutely perfect, mut neceflarily be felf-exiftent: But it is included in the very notion of a creature, as fuch, not to exift of itself, but of God Anablolately perfect creature therefore implies a contradiction; for it would be of itself, and not of itself, at the fame time. Abfolute perfection therefore is peculiar to God; and should he communicate his own peculiar perfection to another, that other

Vole
Cc would
A. M. ${ }^{\text {r }}$. in its own nature, be incapable of fin and default. Its Ant. Chrif. perfections, be they what they will, are finite, and whatever has bounds fet to its perfections, is, in this refprect, imperfect, i. e. it wants thofe perfections which a being of infinite perfections only can have; and whatever wants any perfection, is certainly capable of mifcarrying. And as every finite creature is capable of default, fo every rational being muft neceffarily have a liberty of choice, i.e. it muft have a will to chufe, as well as an underftanding toreafon; becaufe a faculty of underftanding, without a will to determine it, if left to itfelf, muft always think of the fame fubject, or proceed in a feries and connection of thoughts, without any end or defrga, which will be a perperual labour in vain, or a thoughtfulnefs to no purpofe. And as every rational being has a liberty of choice, fo, to direct that choice, it muft of neceffity have a prefcribed rule of its actions.

God indeed, who is infinite in perfection, is a rule to Himfelf, and aets according to his own effence, from whence it is impoffrble for him to vary; but the moft perfeef creatures mult act by a rule, which is not effential to them, but prefcribed them by God, and is not fo intrinfic in their natures, but that they may decline from it; for a free agent may follow, or not follow, the rule prefcribed him, or elfe he would not be free.

Now, in order to knowhow it comes to paifs, that we fo frequently abufe our natural freedom, and tranigrefs the rules which God hath fet us, we mult remember, that ( $n$ ) the foul of man is feated in the midit, as it were, between thofe more excellent beings, which live perpetually above, and with whom it partakes in the fublimity of its nature and underfanding, and thofe inferior terreftrial beings,
would be God. Imperfection muft therefore be tolerated in creatures, notwithftanding the divine omnipotence and goodrefs; for contradictions are no objects of power. God indeed might have refrained from acting, and continued alone felffufficient, and perfect to all eternity; but infinite goodnefs would by no means allow of this; and therefore fince it obliged him to produce external things, which things could not poffibly be perfect, it preferred thefe imperfect things to none at all; from whence it follows, that imperfection arofe from the infinity of divine goodnefs; King's Eflay on the origin of evil.
( $n$ ) Stillingfleet's Orig. facr.
awith which it communicates, through the vital union it has A. M. ?. with the body; and that, by reafon of its natural freedom, Ant. Ch. it is fometimes affimulated to the one, and fometimes to the Geni ch. 3 . other of thefe extremes. We muf obferwe further, that, (o) in this compound nature of ours, there are feveral powers and faculties, feveral inclinations and difpofitions, feveral paffions and affections, differing in their nature and tendency, according as they refult from the foul or body; that each of thefe has its proper object; in a due applicas tion of which it is eafy and fatisfied; that they are none of them finful in themfelves, but may be inftruments of much good, when rightly applied, as well as occafion great mifchief by a mifapplication ; and therefore a confiderable pare of virtue will confift in regulating them, and in keeping our fenfitive part fubject to the rational. This is the original conftitution of our nature: And fince our firf parents were endued with the fame powers and faculties of mind, and had the fame difpofitions and inclination of body, it cannot be, but that they muft have been liable to the fame fort of temptations; and confequently liable to comply with the dictates of fenfe and appetire, contrary to the direction of reafon, or the precepts of Almighty God. And to this caufe the Scripture feems to afcribe the commiffion of the firt fin, when it tells us, that the wioman faw the tree, that it was good for food, and pleafant to the eye, and defarable to make one wije, i.ee. it had feveral qualities which were adapted to her natural apperites; was beautiful to the fight, and delightful to the taffe, and improving to the underftanding; which both anfwered the defire of knowledge implanted in her firizual, and the love of fenfual pleafure refulting from her animal part; and thefe, heightened by the fuggeftions of the temper, abated the horror of God's prohibition, and induced her to act contrary to his exprefs command.

God indeed all along foreknew that fhe would fall in this God's pree inglorious manner ; but his foreknowledge did not neceffitate ${ }_{\text {occafion } \text { of }}^{\text {fcience }}$ her falling, neither did his wifdom ever conceive, that a occafion fallen creature was worfe than none at all ( $p$ ). The di-' vine nature, as it is in itfelf, is incomprehenfible by human underftanding: And not only his nature, but likewife his powers and faculties, and the ways and methöds in which he exercifes them, are fo far beyond our reach, that we are utterly incapable of framing juft and adequate no-
-(0) Clarke of the original of moral evil." ( $p$ ) Bifhop King's Sermon of predeftination.
A. M. f. tions of them. We attribute to him the faculties of wifAnt.Chrift. dom, underitanding, and foreknowledge; but at the fame
${ }^{4004 .}$. time, we cannot but be fenfible, that they are of a nature
$\underbrace{\text { Gen. ch. 3. }}_{\text {quite different from ours, and that we have no direct and }}$ proper conceptions of fhem. When we indeed forefee or determine any thing, wherein there is no poffible matter of obftruction, we fuppofe the event certain and infallible; and were the foreknowledge and predetermination of God of the fame nature with ours, we might be allowed to make the fame conclufion : But why may not it be of fuch a perfection in God, as is conftent both with the freedom of man's will, and contingency of events? As the beavens are bigher than the earth, fo are bis ways far above our ways: And therefore, though it be certain that he who made Eve, and confequently knew all the fprings and weights, wherewith the was moved, could not but forefee, how every poffible object, that prefented itfelf, would determine her choice; yet this he might do, without himfelf giving any biafs or determination to it at all $(q)$ : Juft as the man, who fees the fetting of the chimes, can tell, feveral hours before, what tune they will play, without any pofitive influence, either upon their fetting, or their playing. So that Eve, when the was tempted, could not fay, I was tempted by God, for God tempteth none; neither had the divine prefcience any influence over her choice, but ( $r$ ) by her own huft was Soe drizun away, and enticed; and when luft had conceived, it brought forth fin, and fin, when it was finifbed, brought forth death.
Thereason- That fome command was proper to be laid upon man ablenefs of in his ftate of innocence, is hardly to be denied (s). DeGod's gin a pendence is included in the very notion of a creature : ${ }_{\text {law. }}^{\text {ving man a }}$ And as it is man's greateft happinefs to depend on God, whofe infinife wifdom can conarive, and infinite power can effect whatever he knows to be moft expedient for him; fo was it Adam's advantage to have a conftant fenfe of that dependence kept upon his mind, and (for that reafon) a fure and permanent memorial of it, placed before his eyes; in fuch a manner, as might make it impoffible for him to forget it.

And as this dependence on God was Adam's greateft happinefs, fo it feems neceffary on God's pait, and highly comporting with his character of a creator, that he fhould
(q) Young's Serm, vol. I. (r) James i. 14. Ǵc. (s) Revelation examined.
require of his creatures, in fome acts of homage and obe- A.M. I. dience, (which homage and obedience mult neceffarily im- 4004: ply fome kind of reftraint upon their natural liberty) an Gen. ch. 3 . acknowledgment and declaration of it. And if fome reftraint of natural liberty was neceffary in Adam's cafe, what reftraint could be more eafy, than the coercion of his appetite from the ufe of one tree, amidft an infinite variety of others, no lefs delicious; and at the fame time, what reftraint more worthy the wifdom and goodnefs of God, than the prohibition of a fruit, which he knew would be pernicious to his creature.

The prohibition of fome enormous fin, or the injunc The fitners tion of fome great rule of moral virtue, we perhaps may which he account a properer teft of man's obedience : But if we con- gave Adam, fider the nature of things, as they then frood, we may find reafon perhaps to alter our fentiments $(t)$. The Mofaic tables are acknowledged by all to be a tolerable good fyftem, and to comprife all the general heads of moral virtue; and yet, if we run over them, we thall find, that they contain nothing fuitable to man in the condition wherein we are now confidering him.

Had God, for inftance, forbidden the worfhip of falfe gods, or the worfhip of graven images; can we fuppofe, that Adam and Eve, juit come out of the hand of their maker, and vifited every day with the light of his glorious prefence, could have even been guilty of thefe? Befides that, the worthip of falfe Gods and images was a thing which came into the world feveral hundreds of years afterwards, either to flatter living princes, or fupply the place of dead ones, who the filly people fancied were become gods. Had he prohibited perjury and vain fwearing; what poffible place could thefe have had in the infant and innocent fate of mankind ? Perjury was never heard of, till the world was better peopled, when commerce and trade came in ufe, when courts of judicature were fettled, and men began to cheat one another, and then deny it, and fo forfwear it: And oaths and imprecations could never have a being in a ftate of innocence: They borrow their original manifeftly from the finfulnefs of human nature.

The like may be faid of all the reft. How could Adam and Eve have honoured their father and their mother, when
( $t$ ) Nicḥolls’s Cenferençe, vol. I. and Jenkin's Reafonablepefs, vol. 2.
A.M. 9. they never had any? What poffible temptation could they 4004 . have to be guilty of murder, when they mult have act: Gen. ch. 3. ed it upon their own flefh ? How could they commit adultery, when they were the only two upon the face of the earth? How be guilty of theft, when they were the fole proprietors of all? How bear falfe witnefs againft their neighbour, or coyet his goods, when there was never a neighbour in the world for them, to be fo unjuft to? And fo (if we proceed to Chriftian precepts) how could they love enemies, how could they forgive trefpaffes, when they had no one in the world to offend againft them? And the duties of mortification and felf-denial, \&o. how could they poffibly exercife thefe, when they had no luft to conquer, no paffion to overcome, but where all ferene and calm within.

Since therefore all the moral precepts, that we are acquainted with, were improper for the trial of man's obedience in his ftate of innocence; it remains, that his probation was moft properly to be effected, by his doing or forbearing fome indifferent astion, neither good or evil in itfelf, but only fo far good or evil, as it was commanded or forbidden. And if fuch a command was to be chofen, what can we imagine fo natural and agreeable to the ftate of our firft parents, (confidering they were to liye all their lives in a garden) as the forbidding them to eat of the fruit of a certain tree in that garden, a tree hard at hand, and might every moment be eat of, and would therefore every monent give them an opportunity of teftifying their obedience to God by their forbearing it? A wife appointment this, had not the great enemy of mankind come in, and defeated it.
Who tie Who this great enemy of mankind was, and by what xerent was method of inhluation he drew our firft parents into their defection, Mofes, who contents himfelf with relating facts as they happened outwardly, without any comment, or expofition of them, or who, by a metonomy in the Hebrew tongue, $\mu$ fes the inftrumental for the efficient caufe, tells us exprefsly, that it was the ferpent; and, for this reafon, fome of the ancient Jews ran into a fond conceit, that (u) this whole palfage is to be underftood of a real ferpent; which creature ( $x$ ) they fuppofe, before the fall, to have had the faculty of feeech and reafon both. But this is 200 grofs a conception to have many abettors; and there-
(iv) Le Clerc's Commentary and effays. (x) Joiephus, and feviral others.

Chap. 1It. from the Creation to the Flood.
fore the common, and indeed the only probable opinion is, A. M. r. that it was the devil, fome wicked and malicious fpirit Ant.chrit. (probably one of the chief of that order) who envied the $\mathrm{c}_{4} 004$. good of mankind, the favours God had beftowed on them, and the future happinefs he had ordained for them, and was thereupon refolved to temp them to difobedience, thereby: to bring them to the fame forlori condition with himfelf, and his other apoftate brechren; and that, to effect his purpofe, he made ufe of a ferpent's body, wherein to tranfact his fraud and impofture.

Why the devil chofe to affume the form of a ferpent, Why the rather than that of any other creature, we may, in fome devil affumeafure, learn from the character which the Scripture gives form of a us of it, viz. that it was more fubtle than any beaft of the ferpent. field, that the Lord God had made: where the word fubtle may not fo much denote the craft and infidioufnefs as the gentle, familiar, and infinuating nature of this creature. ( $y$ ) That the ferpent, before the fall, was mild and gentle, and more familiar with man, than any other animal: That * it did not creep on the ground, but went with its head and breaft reared up, and advanced; that by frequently approaching our firft parents, and playing and fporting before them, it had gained their good liking and efteem, is not only the fentiment both $(z)$ of Jews and (a) Chriftians, but what feems likewife to have fome foundation in fcripture : For when God fays, That be will put enmity between the ferpent and the woman, and between. bis feed and her feed, the implication muft be, that there was fome fort of kindnefs and intimacy between them before.
(y) Mede's Difcourfes.

* The beauty of the ferpent, which the devil made choice of, is thus defcribed by Milton.

So fpake the entemy of mankind, inclos'd
In ferpent, inmate bad! and toward Eve
Addres'd his way : not with intended wave,
Prone on the ground, as fince, but on his rear,
Circular bafe of rifing folds, that tower'd,
Fold above fold, a furging maze! his head
Crefted aloft, and carbuncle his eyes;
With burnifh'd neck of verdant gold, erect
Amidft his circling fipires, that on the grafs
Floated redandant: pleafing was his thape,
And lovely.
Book 9.
(z) Jofephus's Antiq. l. 1. (e) Bafil. Hom, De paradifo.

There
A. M. r. There is no abfurdity then in fuppofing, that this creaAnt. Carif. ture was beloved both by Adam and Eve. She efpecially 4004.

## $\underbrace{\text { Gen. ch. }}$

 felf with it (b). She laid it perhaps in her bolom, adorned her neck with its windings, and made it a bracelet for her arms. So that its being thus intimate with the woman, made it the properer inftrument for the devil's purs pofe, who fliding himelf into it, might wantonly play before her, until he infenfibly brought her to the forbidden tree : And then, twifting about its branches, might take of the fruit and eat, to fhew her, by experience, that there was no deadly quality in it, before he began his addrefs; and his fpeech might be the lefs frightful or furprifing to her, who, in the ftate of her innocence, not knowing what fear was, might probably think (as he might poftively affirm) * that this new-acquired faculty proceeded from the virtue of the tree.But there is another conjecture fill more probable, if we will but allow, that the ferpent was not of a common ordinary fecies, but one very probably fomething like that flying fiery fort, which, we are told, are bred in Arabia and Egypt. (c) They are of a chining yellowifh colour like brafs, and by the motion of their wings, and vibration of their tails,
(b) Mede's Difcourfes.

* Eve, upon hearing the ferpent fpeak, inquires by what means it was, that it came by that faculty; and is told, that it was by eating of a certain tree in the garden.

I was at firtt, as other beafts, that graze
The trodden herb, of abjeet thoughts and low-m
Till on a day, roving the field, I chanc'd
A goodly tree far diftant to behold,
Laden with fruit of various colours, mist
Ruddy and gold-
To fatisfy the fharp defire I had
Of talting thefe fair apples, I refolv'd
Not to defer- -
Sated at length, e'er long, I might perceive
Strange alteration in me, to degree
Of reafon in my inward powers; and fpeech
Wanted not long, though to this flape retain'd.
Thenceforth to fpeculation high or deep
I turn'd my thoughts, and with capacious mind
Confider'd all things vifible in heaven;
Or earth, or middle. Book 9.
(c) Tennifon of Idolatry; Patrick's Commentary; and Nicholls's Conference, vol. 1.
reverberating the fun-beams, make a glorious appearance. A. M. x. Now, if the ferpent, whofe body the devil abufed, was of Ant. Chir this kind, (though perhaps of a fpecies far more glorious), Gen, ch. 3. it was a very proper creature for him to make ufe of. For thefe ferpents we find called in Scripture feraphs, or feraphim, which gave the name to thofe bright lofty angels who were frequently employed by God to deliver his will to mankind, and, coming upon that errand, were wont to put on certain fplendid forms, fome the form of cherubim, i.e. beautiful fying oxen, and others the fhape of feraphim, i. e. zuinged and fbining ferpents. Upon this hypothefis we may imagine farther, that the devil, obferving that good angels attended the divine prefence, and fometimes miniftered to Adam and Eve in this bright appearance, ufurped the organs of one of thefe fhining ferpents, which, by his art and fkill in natural caufes, he might improve into fuch a wonderful brightnefs, as to reprefent to Eve the ufual Sbechinab, or angelical appearance, fhe was accuftomed to; and, under this difguife, fhe might fee him approach her without fear, and her him talk to her without furprife, and comply with his feduction with lefs reluctancy; as fuppofing him to be an angel of God's retinue, and now difpatched from heaven to inftruct her in fome momentous point, as the had often perhaps experienced before during her ftay in paradife.

A (d) learned Jew has expounded this tranfaction in a How Eve new and uncommon way. He fuppofes that the ferpent did dame to be not fpeak at all, nor did Eve fay any thing to it; but that, being a very nimble and active creature, it got upon the tree of knowledge, took of the fruit, and eat it ; and that Eve, having feveral times feen it do fo, and not die, concluded with herfelf, that the tree was not of fuch a deftructive quality as was pretended ; that as it gave fpeech and reaton to the ferpent, it would much more improve and advance her nature ; and was thereupon emboldened to eat.

This opinion is very plaufible, and, in fome degree, founded on Scripture: For though the woman might perceive by her fenfes, that the fruit was pleafant to the eye, yet it was impofible fhe fhould know, either that it was good for food, or defirable to make one wife, any other way than by the example and experiment of the ferpent, which, merely by eating of that fruit, '(as lhe thought), was
(d) Ifaae Aberbenel.

VoL. I. D d changed
A. M. s. changed from a brute into a rational and vocal creature. Ant.Chrift. This, I fay, is a pretty plaufble folution; and yet it cannot 4en.ch. 3. be denied, but that the text feems to exprefs fomething more, and that there was a real dialogue beiween the woman and the ferpent, wherein the ferpent had the advantage. And therefore (to perffit in our former expofition) it is not improbable, that the tempter, before ever he accofted Eve, transformed himfelf into the likenefs of an angel of light, and prefacing his feeech with fome fhort congratulations of her happinefs, might proceed to enfnare her with fome fuch cunning harangue as this.

A paraphrafe upon the tempter's \{peech.
"And can it poffibly be, that fo good a God, who has "fo lately been fo bountiful to you, as to give you fuch an excellent being, and inveft you with power and do" minion over all the reft of his creatures, fhould now ct envy you any of the innocent pleafures of nature? Has " he indeed denied you the ufe of the tree of knowledge?
"But why did he plant it at all? Why did he adorn it " with fuch beautiful fruit? Why did he place it on an " eminence in the garden. for you to behold daily, unlefs " he is minded to mock and tantalize you? The true de" fign, both of the prohibition and penalty which you re" late, is to keep you in ignorance, and thereby oblige " you to live in perpetual dependence on him. He knows "full well, that the virtue of this tree is to illuminate " the underftanding, and thereby to enable you to judge " for yourfelves, without having recourfe to him upon e" very occafion. (e) To judge for himfelf is the very pri" vilege that makes him God; and for that reafon he keeps " it to himfelf: But eat but of this tree, and ye fhall be " like him; your beings fhall be in your own hands, and " your happinefs vaft and inconceivable, and independent " on any other. What effect it has had on me, you can" not but fee and hear, fince it has enabled me to reafon " and difcourfe in this wife; and, inftead of death, has " given a new kind of life to my whole frame. And, " if it has done this to a brute animal, what may not " creatures of your refined make and excellent perfections " expect from it? Why fhould you harink back, or be " afraid to do it then ? You have here an opportunity of " making yourfelves for ever; and the trefpafs is nothing. " What harm in eating an apple? Why this tree of
(e) Bifhop King's Difcourfe on the fall, at the end of his Origin of evil.
${ }^{6}$ knowledge more facred than all the reft? Can fo great A. M. r. "a punifhment as death be proportionate to fo 'fmall a Ant. Chrif. "f fault? I come to affure you that it is not
"reverfed his decree, and eat you what you will, ye fure-
" ly fhàll not die."
(f) Thus the ferpent fuggefted to Eve, that God had And the mpofed upon her, and fhe was willing to difcover whether probability he had or no. Curiofity, and a defire of independency, cefis. to know more, and to be entire mafter of herfelf, were the affections which the tempter promifed to gratify; and an argument like this has feldom failed ever fince to corrupt the generality of mankind: Infomuch that few, very few, have been able to refift the force of this temptation, efpecially when it comes (as it did to Eve) cloathed with all the outward advantage of allurement. For whoever knows the humour of youth, and how he himfelf was affected at that time, cannot but be fenfible, that as the fairnefs of the fruit, its feeming fitnefs for food, the defire of being independent, and under her own management and government, were inducements that prevailed with our firft parents to throw off the conduct of God; fo this curiofity of trying the pleafures of fenfe, this itch of being our own mafters, and chufing for ourfelves, together with the charming face of fin, and our ignorance and inexperience of the confequences of it, are generally the firft means of our being corrupted againft the good maxims and priaciples we received from our parents and teachers.

It is in the effential conftitution of man, (as we faid before), that he fhould be a free agent; and, if we confider him now as in a ftate of probation, we thall foon perceive, that God could not lay any reftraint upon him, nor communicate any affiftance to him, but what was confiftent with the nature he had given him, and the fate he had

[^13] liberty of chuice was natural, and nat to be reffran- placed him in. God created man a free agent, $(g)$ that he might make the fyftem of the univerfe perfect, and fupply that vaft biatus which muft otherwife have happened betweer heaven and earth, had he not interpofed fome other creature (endued with rationality, mafter of his own elections, and confequently capable of ferving him voluntarily and freely) between angels and brutes. In the very act of creating him, therefore, God intended that he fhould be rational, and

[^14]A. M. I. Ant. Chrif. 4004. Gen.ch. 3. a violation of his own laws, and infraction on his own $\underbrace{}_{\text {work, to have interpofed, and hindered the ufe of that }}$ faculty, which, by the law of nature, he had eftablifhed. We do not expect, that the fituation of the earth, or the courfe of the fun fhould be altered on our account, becaufe thefe feem to be things of great importance; and we apprehend it unreafonable, that, for our private advantage, the order and harmony of things fhould be changed, to the detriment of fo many other beings. But, to alter the will, to ftop the electiont, is no lefs a violation of the laws of nature, than to interrupt the courfe of the fun, becaufe a free agent is a more noble being than the fun. The laws of its nature are to be efteemed more facred, and cannot be changed without a great miracle : There would then be a kind of fhock and violence done to nature, if God fhould interfere, and hinder the actions of free-will; and perhaps it would prove no lefs pernicious to the intellectual fyftem, than the fun's ftanding fill would be to the natural.

To apply thefe reflections to the matter now before us. Had God, to prevent man's fin, taken away the liberty of his will, he had thereby deftroyed the foundation of all virtue, and the very nature of man himfelf. For virtue would not have been fuch, had there been no poffibility of acting contrary, and man's nature would have been divine, had it been made impeccable. Had God given our firft parents then fuch powerful influences of his holy Spirit, as to have made it impoffible for them to fin, or had he fent a guard of angels, to watch and attend them, fo as to hinder the devil from propofing any temptation, or them from hearkening to any; had he, I fay, fupernaturally over-ruled the organs of their bodies, or the inward inclinations of their minds, upon the leaft tendency to evil ; in this cafe he had governed them, not as free but as neceffary agents, and put it out of his own power to have made any trial of them at all. All therefore that he could do, and all that in reafon might be expected from him to do, was to give them fuch a fufficient meafure of power and affiftance, as might enable them to be a match for the ftrongeft temptation; and this, there is no queftion to be made, but that he did do.
(b) We indeed, in this degenerate ftate of ours, find a A. M. r. great deal of difficulty to encounter with temptations. We ${ }_{4004 \text {. }}$ find a great blindnefs in our underftandings, and a crook- Gen. ch. 3. ednefs in our wills. We have paffions, on fome occafions, ftrong and ungovernable ; and oftentimes experience an inclination to do evil, even before the temptation comes: But our firft parents, in their primitive rectitude, ftood poffeffed of every thing as advantageous the other way. They had an underftanding large and capacious, and fully illuminated by the divine Spirit. Their will was naturally inclined to the fupreme good, and could not, without violence to its nature, make choice of any other. Their paffions were fedate, and fubordinate to their reafon; and, when any difficulties did arife, they had God at all times to have recourfe to : By which means it came to pafs, that it was as hard for them to fin, as it is difficult for us to abftain from finning; as eafy for them to elude temptations then, as it is natural for us to be led away by temptations now. And therefore, if, notwithftanding all thefe mighty advantages towards a ftate of impeccancy, they made it their option to tranfgrefs, their perverfenefs only is to be blamed, and not any want of fufficient affiftance from their bounteous Creator.

Great indeed is the diforder which their tranfgrefion has brought upon human nature ; but there will be no reafon to impeach the goodnefs of God for it if we take butall, he has fon to impeach the goodnels of God for k , ir we take but provided in this one confideration-That what he thought not fit to prevent by his almighty power, he has, neverthelefs, thought fit to repair by the covenant of mercy in his fon Jefus Chrift. By him he has propounded the fame reward, everlafting life after death, which we fhould have had, without death, before; and has given us a better eftablifhment for our virtue now, than we could have had, had we not been fufferers by this firft tranfgreffion.

For let us fuppofe, (i) that, notwithftanding our firft parents had finned, yet God had been willing that original righteoufnefs fhould have equally defcended upon their pofterity; yet we muft allow, that any one of their pofterity might have been foiled by the wiles of the tempter, and fallen, as well as they did. Now had they fo fallen, (the covenant of grace being not yet founded), how could they ever have recovered themfelves to any degree of acceptance with God: Their cafe muft have been the fame,
(b) Nicholls's Conference, vol. 1. (i) Young's Sermons.
A. M. r. as defperate, as forlorn, as that of fallen angels was before: Ant. Chrif. Whereas, in the prefent ftate of things, our condition is Gen. ch. 3. much fafer. Sin indeed, by reafon of our prefent infir$\underbrace{}_{\text {mity, may more eafily makes it breaches upon us, either }}$ through ignorance or furprife; but it cannot get dominion over us, without our own deliberate option, becaufe it is an exprefs gofpel-promife againft the power of fin, that ( $k$ ) it Sball not have dominion over us; againft the power of the devil; that ( $l$ ) greater is be that is in you, than be that is in the world; againft the power of temptations, that ( $n$ i) God is faitbful, who will not fuffer us to be tempted above what we are able; againft difcouragement from the pretence of our infirmities, that ( $n$ ) we may do all through Chrift that frengthens us; and, in cafe of failing, that (o) we have an Advocate with the Father, and a propitiation for our fins. Thus plentifully did God provide for man's ftability in that ftate of integrity, thus gracioufly for his reftoration, in this ftate of infirmity. In both cafes his goodnefs has been confpicuous, and has never failed!

In like manner, (to abfolve the divine nature from any imputation of paffion or peevifhnefs; of injuftice or hard ufage, in curfing the ferpent and the earth; in driving our lapfed parents out of paradife, and in entailing their guilt and punifhment upon the lateft pofterity), we fhould do well to remember, that the ferpent, againft which the firft fentence is denounced, is to be confidered here in a double capacity; both as an animal, whofe organs the devil employed in the feduction of the woman; and as the devil himfelf, lying hid and concealed under the figure of the ferpent: For the fentence, we may obferve, is plainly directed to an intelligent being and free agent, who had committed a crime which a brute could not be capable of.

Now, if we confider what a glorious creature the ferpent was before the fall, we cannot but fuppofe that God intended this debafement of it, $(p)$ not fo much to exprefs his indignation againft ir, (for it had no bad intention, neither was it conffious of what the devil did with its body), as to make it a monument of man's apoftacy, a teftimony of his difpleafure againft fin, and an inftructive emblem to deter all furure ages from the commifion of that
(k) Rom. vi. 14.
( $)^{1}$ I John, iv: 4.
(in) 1 Cor. $\mathrm{x}=\mathrm{I3}$ : (n) Phil. iv. 13. (o) I John, ii. I. (p) Patrick's Commentary; and Mede's Difcourfes.
which brought fuch vengeance along with it. In the Levi- A. M. s. tical law we find, that if a man committed any abomina- Ant. Cbrif. tion with a beaft, (q) the beaft was to be flain as well as $\underbrace{\text { Gen. ch. }}$. the man; and, by parity of reafon, the ferpent is here punifhed, if not to humble the pride, and allay the triumph of the devil, by feeing the inftrument of his fuccefs fo thamefully degraded, at leaft to remind the delinquents themfelves of the foulnefs of their crime, and the necefficy of their repentance, whenever they chanced to behold fo noble a creature as the ferpent was reduced to fo vile and abject a condition, merely for being the means of their tranfgreffion

But God might have a farther defign in this degradation of the ferpent : He forefaw, that, in future ages, Satan would take pride in abufing this very creature to the like pernicious purpofes, and, under the femblance of ferpents of all kinds, would endeavour to eftablifh the vileft idolatry, even the idolatry of his own hellifh worfhip. That therefore the beauty of the creature might be no provocation to fuch idolatry, it was a kind and beneficent act in God to deface the excellence of the ferpent's fhape, and, at the fame time, infpire mankind with the ftrongeft horror and averfion to it Nor can it be denied. but that, ( $r$ ) if we fuppofe the devil poffeffed the ferpent, and was, as it were, incarnate in it, the power of God could unite them as clofely as our fouls and bodies are united, and thereby caufe the punifhment inflicted on the literal ferpent to affect Satan as fenfibly as the injuries done our bodies do reach our fouls; at leaft, while that very ferpent was in being.

To confider Satan then under the form of a ferpent, The Jatter we fhall fee the propriety of the other part of the fentence part of the denounced againft him, and what comfort and confolation our criminal parents might reafonably collect from thence. jerpent exThat this part of the fentence, $I$ will put enmity between plained. thee and the woman, and between thy feed and ber feed; it Sall bruife thy head, and thout balt bruife his bech, is not to be underftood in a literal fenfe, (becaufe fuch fenfe is abfurd and ridiculous), every reader of competent underfanding muft own: And therefore its meaning muft be fuch as will beft agree with the circumftances of the tranfaction. Now the tranfaction was thus-_Adam, tempted by his wife, and fhe by the ferpent, had fallen

[^15]from
A. M. . from their obedience, and were now in the prefence of Ant.Chrift, God expecting judgment. (s) They knew full well, at Gen. ch. 3. that juncture, that their fall was the victory of the fer$\underbrace{\text { pent, whom, by experience, they found to be an enemy }}$ to God and man : To man, whom he had ruined by feducing him to fin; and to God, the noble work of whofe creation he had defaced. It could not therefore but be fome comfort to them, to hear the ferpent firft condemned, and to fee that, however he had prevailed againft them, he had gained no victory over their maker, who was able to affert his own honour, and to punifh this great author of iniquity. Nor was it lefs a confolation to them to hear from the mouth of God likewife, that the ferpent's victory was not a complete victory over even themfelves; that they and their pofterity fhould be able to conteft his empire; and though they were to fuffer much in the ftruggle, yet finally they fhould prevail, bruife the ferpent's head, and deliver themfelves from his power and dominion over them.

This certainly is the loweft fenfe wherein our firft parents could have underftood this part of the fentence denounced againft the ferpent ; and yet this very fenfe was enough to revive in them comfortable hopes of a fpeedy reftoration. For when Adam heard that the feed of the woman was to deftroy the evil firit, he undoubtedly underftood Eve to be that woman, and fome ifflue of his by her to be that feed; and accordingly we may obferve, that when Eve was delivered of Cain, the form of her exultatation is, ( $t$ ) I have gotten a man from the Lord, i. e. I have gotten a man through the fignal favour and mercy of God. ( $u$ ) Now this extraordinary exultation cannot be fuppofed to arife from the bare privilege of bearing iffue, for that privilege (as fhe could not but know before this time) the had in common with the meaneft brutes; and therefore her tranfport muft arife from the profpect of fome extraordinary advantage from this iffue, and that could be no other than the deftruction of her enemy.

Cain indeed proved a wicked man; but when fhe had conceived better expectations from Abel, and Cain had flain him, fhe, neverthelefs, recovered her hopes upon the birth of Seth; becaufe $(x)$ God, faith fhe, bath appointed me another feed, or one who will deftroy the power of Satan,

[^16]inftead of Abel, whom Cain flew. Thus we fee, that the A. M. z. obfcurity in which it pleafed God to foretel the deftruction Ant. Chrint. of the evil firit, gave rife to a fucceffion of happy hopes $\mathbf{c}_{40 c 4}$. in the breaft of Adam and Eve; who (if they had known $\underbrace{-3 \text { - }}$ that this happinefs was to be poftponed for four thoufand years) would, in all probability, have inevitably fallen into an extremity of defpair.

But how neceffary foever God might think it, to give Why God our firft parents fome general hopes and expectations of turned our a reftoration ; yet, being now fallen into a ftate of fin and out of paracorruption, which muft of courfe infect their lateft pofte: dife, rity, he found it expedient to deprive them of that privilege of immortality, wherewith he had invefted them, and (as an act of juftice and mercy both) to turn them out of paradife, and debar them from the tree of life: Of juftice, in that they had forfeited their right to immortality, by tranfgreffing a command, which nothing but a vain, criminal curiofity could make them difobey; and of mercy, in that, when fin had entailed all kinds of calamity upon human nature, in fuch circumftances, to have perpetual life, would have been to perpetuate mifery.

This, I think, can hardly be accounted the effegt of and curfed paffion or peevifhnefs : And, in like manner, God's curfing the ground. the ground, or (what is all one) his depriving it of its pri= ginal fruitfulnefs, by a different turn given to the air, elements, and feafons, was not the effect of anger, or any hafty paffion, (which God is not capable of, but of calm and equitable juftice; fince it was man (who had done enough to incur the divine difpleafure) that was to fuffer by the curfe, and not the ground itfelf: For the ground felt no harm by bringing forth thorins and thiAles, but Adam, who for fome time had experienced the fpontaneous fertility of paradife, was a fufficient fufferer by the change, when he found himfelf reduced to hard labour, and forced to eat, bis bread by the fweat of bis brows.

It muft be acknowledged therefore, $(y)$ that there was The nature good reafon, why the penalty of the firft tranfgreftion of the diThould be greater than any fubfequent one; becaufe it was vine prohi. defigned to deter potterity, and to let them fee, by this ex: ample, that whatever commination God denounces againft guilt, will moft infallibly be executed. We miftake, however the nature of God's laws, and do in effect renounce
(y) Revelation examined.

Vol. I.
Ee
his
A. IT. I. his authority, when we fuppore, that good and evil are in Ant.ChriA. the nature of things only, and not in the commandments
4004.
command or forbid, how indifferent foever it be in itfelf, is for that bery reafon, fo far as it is commanded or forbidden by him, as truly good or evil, as if it were abfolutely and morally fo, being enacted by the fame divine authority; which makes all moral precepts obligatory. God, in fhort, is our law-giver, and whatever he commands, whether it be a moral precept or pofitive injunction, fo far as he enacts it, is of the fame neceffary and indifpenfable obligation. Upon this it follows, that all fin is a tranfgreffion of the law, and a contempr of God's authority: But then the aggravations of a fin do arife from the meafure of its guilt, and the parties advantages to have avoided it; under which confideration, nothing can be more heinous than the fin

The heinournefs of tranfgreffing it: of our firft parents. It was not only a bare difobedience to God's command, by a perfect infidelity to his promifes and threats; it was a fort of idolatry in believing the devil, and patting a greater truft in him, than in God. It was an horrible pride in them to defire to be like God, and fuch a diabolical pride, as made the evil angels fall from heaven. Covetoufrefs, and a greedy theft it was, to defire, and purloin, what was none of his own; and one of the moft cruel and unparatelled murders, that ever was committed, to kill and deftroy fo many thoufands of their offspring. (a) Add to this, that it was a difobedience againft God, an infinite being, and of infinite dignity; a God, who had given them exiftence, and that fo very lately, that the impreffes of it could not be worn out of their memory; that had beftowed fo much happirrefs upon them, more than on all the creation befides; that had made them lords over all, and reftrained nothing from them, but only the fruit of this one tree. Add again, that they committed this fin, againft the cleareft conviction of confcience, with minds fully illuminated by the divine Spirit; with all poffible affiftance of grace to keep them from it, and no untoward bent of nature, or unruly paffion to provoke them to it: And, putting all this together, it will appear, that this was a fin of the deepeft dye, and that no man, now-a-days, can poffibly commit a crime of fuch a complicated nature, and attended with fuch horrid aggra: vations:

It is the opinion of fome, (b) that the fruit of the for A. M. i. bidden tree might be impregnated with fome fermenting Ant,Chrif. juice, which put the blood and firits into a great difor- Gen ch. ${ }^{4004}$. der, and thereby divefted the foul of that power and do- And the inminion it had before over the body; which, by its opera- And the intion, clouded the intellect, and deprayed the will, and re-puting is to duced every faculty of the mind to a miferable depravity, Adam's inwhich, along with human nature, has been propagared down to pofterity : (c) as fome poifons (we know) will ftrangely affegt the nerves and fpirits, without caufing immediate death ; and (d) as the Indians (we are told) are acquainted with a juice which will immediately turn the perfor who drinks it into an idiot, and yet leave him, at the fame time, the enjoyment of his health, and all the powers and faculties of his body. But whatever the effect of the fruit might be, and whether the corruption of our nature and death, (with all the train of evils, which 'have defcended to us), lay in the tree, or in the will of God, there is no quetion to be made, but that our wife Creator might very juftly decree, that human nature in general hould be affected with it, and our happinefs or unhappinefs depend upon the obedience or difobedience of our firft parents. We laily fee, that children very often inherit the difeafes of their parents, and that a vicious and extravagant father leaves commonly his fon heir to nothing elfe but the name and hadow of a great family, with an infirm and fickly conftitution. And if men generally now partake of the bad habits and difpefitions of their immediate parents, why might not the corruption of human nature, in the firft, have equally defcended upon all the reft of mankind? (e) The rebellion of a parent, in all civil governments, reduces his children to poverty and difgrace, who had a title before to riches and honours: And for the fame reafon, why might not Adam forfeit for himfelf, and all his defcendents, the gift of immortality, and the promife of eterual life? God might certainly beftow his own favours upon his own terms: And therefore, fince the condition was obedience, he might juftly inflict death, i. e, withhold immortality from us; and he might juftly deny us heaven (for the promife of heaven was an act of his free bounty) upon the tranfgreflion and difobedience of our
(b) Jenkins's reafonablenefs, vol. 2.
(c) Jenkins's Rea- fonableners, vol. $2 . \quad$ (d) Revelation examined, vol. I. (e) Jenkins's reafonablenefs, vol. 2.
A. M. i. Girft parents. We were in their loins, and from thence

Ant. Chrif. 4004. our infection came : they were our reprefentatives, and in them we fell: But then, amidft all this fcene of calamity, we have one comfortable, one faving profpect to revive us, viz. that $(f)$ Adam was the figure of him that was to come; and therefore, as by 'the offence of one, judgment came upon all mankind to condemnation, even fo by the righteoufiness of one, the free gift came upon all men unto juffitication of life.

This is the account we have of the fall: And though we pretend not to deny, that in fome places there are figurative expreffions in it, as beft comporting with the nature of ancient prophecy, and the oriental manner of writing; yet this can be no argument, why we fhould immediately run to an allegorical interpretation of the whole.

That not only the poets, but fome of the greateft philofophers likewife, had a ftrange affectation $\mathrm{f} \boldsymbol{r} \boldsymbol{r}$ fuch figurative documents, in order to conceal their true notions from the vulgar, and to keep their learning within the bounds of their awn fchools, we pretend not to deny: And yet, fince it is apparent, that Mofes could have no fuch defign; (g) fince he had no reafon to fear any other philofophers fetting up againft him, of running away wih his notions; fince he affects no other character, but that of a plain hiftorian, and pretends to relate matters juft as they happened, without any difguife or embellifhment of art; fince he orders his books (which he endeayours to fuit to the vulgar capacity) to be read in the ears of all the people, and commands parents to teach them to their children; it cannot be fuppofed, but that the hiftory of the fall, as well as the reft of the book of Geneffis, is to be taken in a literal fenfe. All the reft of the book is allowed to be literal, and why hould this part of it only be a piece of Egyprian hieroglyphic ? Fable and allegory, we know, are directly oppofite to hiftory: The one pretends to deliver truth undifguifed; the other to deliver truth indeed, but under the veil and cover of fiction : So that, if this book of Mofes be allowed to be hiftorical, we may as well fay, that what Thucydides relates of the plague of Athens', or Livy of the battle of Cannæ, is be underftood allegorically, as that what Mofes tëlls us of the prohition of the fruit of the tree of knowledge, or of Adam and Eve's expulfion

## (f) Rom. v. 34, 18.

 vol. 1.(g) Nicholls's Conference,
from
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from the garden of paradife for breaking it, is to be inter- A. M. . . preted in a myftical fenfe.

Ant. Chrif.
Nay, we will put the cafe, that it were confifent with Gen.ch. ${ }^{4004}$. the character of Mofes to have amufed the people with fables and allegories; ( $b$ ) yet we can hardly believe, but that the people retained fome tradition among them concerning the formation of our firt parents, and the manner of their defection. This they might eaflly have had from their illaftrious anceftor Abraham, who might have deduced it from Noah, and thence, in a fow fucceffions, from Adam himfelf : and if there was any fuch tradition preferved among them, Mofes muft neceffarily have loft all his credit and authority, had he pretended to foift in a taie of his own invention, inftead of a true narration. For the fhort queftion is, - (i) Did the children of Ifrael know the hiftorical truth of the fall, or did they not? If they did know it, why fhould Mofes difguife it under an allegory, rather that any of the reft of the book of Genefis? If they' did not know it, how came it to be forgotten in fo few gee nerations of men, fuppofing it had ever been known to $A$ dam's pofterity? If Adam's pofterity never rightly knew it, but had the relation thereof always conveyed down in metaphor and allegory, then muft Adam, in the firft place, impofe upon his fons, and they upon fucceeding generations; but for what reafon we cannot conceive, unlefs that the moft remarkable event that ever befel mankind (except the redemption of the world by Chrift) fo came to pafs, that it was impofible to tell it to pofterity any other way than' in allegory.

It can farce be imagined, but that fome of the ancient The hifory writers of the Jewifh church, as well as the infpired wri- of the fall ters of the New Teftament, had as true a knowledge of thefe proved titeral diftant traditions, as any modern efpoufer of allegories can fiom the pretend to; and therefore, $(k)$ when we read in the book Scripture. of Wifdom, that ( $l$ ) God created man to be immortai, and made him to be the image of bis own eternity; but that, through the envy of the devil, death came into the world: when the fon of Sirach tells us, that $(m)$ God, at the firit, filled man with the knowledge of underfanding, and Jbewed bim good and evil, but (n) that error and darknefs bad their
(b) Mofes Vindicatus. (i) Jenkins's Reafonablenefs, vol. 2. (k) Vid. Bifhop Sherlock's Differt 2. annexed to his ufe and intent of prophecy. (l) Wird. ii. 23, 24. $(m)$ Ecclef. xvii. ${ }^{\prime} j$. ( $n$ ) Ibid. xi. 16 .
A. M. r. beginning together with finners; that ( 0 ) death is the fenAnt. Chris. tence of the Lord over all flefh; ( $p$ ) that the covenant, fromt Gen. ch. 3. the beginning, was, Thou Jbalt dic the death; and that (q) of woman came the beginning of fin, and through ber wo all die; when we read, and compare all thefe paffages together, I fay, can there be any reáfonable foundation to doubt in what fenfe the ancient Jewifh church underftood the hiftory of the fall?

Nay more: When not only we find the wicked, and the enemies of God reprefented under the image ( $r$ ) of a ferpent, of a dragon, of a leviathan, the crooked ferpent \&c.; and the prophet telling us exprefsly, that ( $s$ ) duff baill be the ferpent': nneat ; but our bleffed Saviour likewife declaring, that ( $t$ ) the devil was a murderer from the beginning, a lyar, and a father of lies; St. Paul afferting that (u) the woman being deceived, was firft in the tranf. greflion, and that $(x)$ the ferpent beguiled ber through bis fubtility; and St John, in his revelation, ( $y$ ) calling that wicked and malicious firit, the devil or the dragon, Sa. tan, or the old ferpent, indifferently; we cannot but perceive, that thefe paffages are not only plain references to the firf deception of mankind under the form of that creature, but that they virtually comprife the fum and fubftance of the Mofaic account. (2) So that, if we have any regard either to the tradition of the Jewinh church, or the teftimony of Chrift and his apoftles, we cannot but believe that the hiftory of man's fall, and the confequences there, upon, were really fuch as Mofes has reprefented them.
confrmed by foreign teftimonies

And to confirm us in this belief, we may obferve farther, that the tradiction of almoft every nation is conformable to his relation of things: (a) That not only the ftate of man's innocence, in all probability, gave rife to the poet's fiction of the golden age; but that the ftory of Adam and Eve, of the tree and of the ferpent, was extant among the Indians long ago, and (as travellers tell us) is fill preferyed among the Brachmans, and the inhabitants of Peru: (b) That in the old Greek myfteries, the people ufed to carry about a ferpent, and were inftructed to cry 'Eñx, whereby the devil feemed to exult, as it were, over

[^17]the unhappy fall of our firft mother ; and that (c) in his A. M. r. worfhip in idolatrous nations, even now, * there are fre- Ant.Chrithquent inflances of his difplaying this his conqueft-under the geni, ch: 3. figure of a ferpent: Strong evidences of the truth of the Mofaic account! To fay nothing of the rationale which it gives us of our innatepudor circa res venereas, of the pains of child-birth, of the prefent fterility of the earth, of the flownefs of children's education, of their imbicility above all other creatures, of the womat's fubjection to her hufband, of our natural antipathy to viperous animals, and (what has puzzled the wifeft of the Heathen fages to difcover) of the depravation of our wills, and our frong propenfity to what is evil.

This origin of ewil is a queftion which none of them And is the could refolve. They faw the effect, but were ignorant of moft fatifthe caufe; and therefore their conjectures were abfurd. factory of (d) Some of them laid the whole blame on matter, as if ${ }^{\text {any }}$. its union with the mind gave it a pernicious tincture. Others innagined a pre-exiftent fate, and that the bad mclinations which exerted themfelves in this world were firft of all contracted in another. (e) Several eftablifhed two principles, the one the author of all the good, and the other the author of all the evil, (whether natural or moral) that is found in human nature: And, in prejudice to this abfurdity, many betook themfelves to Atheifm, and denied any firft principle at all ; accounting it better to have no God in the world, than fuch an unaccountable mixture of good and evil. But now, had but thefe wife men had the advantage of reading the Mofaic account, they would never have taken up with fuch wild hypochefes, but immediately concluded with our Saviour's argument, that $(f)$ a corript tree cannot bring forth good fruit: becaufe the explication of the rife of fin, by an original
: (c) Vid. Heideggerí Hiftoria patriarcharum, vol. I.

* Philip Melanethon tells us a fory to this purpofe, of fome priefs (fomewhere in Affia) who carry about a ferpent in a brazen veffel, and, as they attend it with a great deal of mufic and charms in verfe, the ferpent lifts up itfelf, opens its mouth, and thruats out the head of a beautiful virgin; the devil, in this tnantier, glorifying in this mifcarriage of Eve among thefe poor idolaters. And an account much of the like nature is given us it books of travels into the Weft-Indies; Nicholls's Conference, vol. I .
(d) Nicholls's Conference, vol. 1. (e) Bifhop King on the origin of evil. ${ }^{-}$(f) Matth, viit 18.
A.M. r. lapfe, is not only freed from thefe abfurdities wherewith Ant. Chrii. other explications abound; but, according to the fenfe Gen. ch. 3. which the author of the book of Wifdom has of it, fets the goodnefs of God in the creation of the world in its proper light; wiz. (g) that God made not death, neither. bath be pleafure in the deftruction of the living. He created all things, that they might bave their being, and the generations of the world were healthful. There was no poifon of deftruction in them, nor the kingdom of death upon the earth, until that ungodly men called it to them; (b) and fo error and darknefs had their beginning together with finners.


## DISSERTATION iIf.

## Of original fin.

ORiginal fin indeed is a phrafe which does not occur in the whole compafs of the Bible; but the nature of the thing itfelf, and in what manner it came to be committed, are fufficiently related: So that thofe who admit of the authority of the Scriptures, make no queftion of the fact. The great matter in difpute is, what the effect of this tranfgreffion was; what guilt it contained; what punifhment it merited; and in what degree its guile and punifhment both may be faid to affect us.

Different opinions concerning it.

Some have not ftuck to affirm, ( $i$ ) that in the begin. ning of the world, there was no fuch thing as any exprefs covenant between God and man ; that the prohibition of the tree of knowledge was given to our firft parents only, and they alone confequently were culpable by its tranfgreffion ; that Adam, in fhort, was moral, like one of us; he no reprefentative for his pofterity; his fin purely perfonal ; and that the imputation of guilt, down to this time, for an offence fo many thoufand years ago committed, is a fad reflection upon the goodnefs and juftice of God.

In oppofition to this, others think proper to affirm, that at the irift creation of things, there was a covenant madè with all mankind in Adam, their common head, and proxy, who flipulated for them all ; that by a tranfgref. fion of this covenant, our firf parents fell from their ori-

[^18]ginal righteoufnefs, and thence became dead in fin, and A.M. i. actually defiled in all their faculties of foul and body; and Ant. Chrif, that this corruption is not only the parent of all actual ${ }^{4004 .}$ tranfgreffions, but (even in its own nature) brings guilt up. on every one that is born into the world, whereby he is bound over to the wrath of God, and the curfe of the law, and fo made fubject to death, with all the miferies that attend it, Spiritual, temporal, and eternal.

There is another opinion which concerns itfelf not with the imputation of the guilt, but only with the punifhment of this tranfgreffion, and thereupon fuppofes, that though Adam, as to the compofition of his body, was naturally mortal, yet, by the fupernatural gift of God, (whereof the tree of life was a fymbol or facrament), he was to be preferved immortal : From whence it is inferred, ( $k$ ) that the denunciation of the fentence, In the day thou eateft thereof, tbou fbalt furely die, is to be underttood literally indeed, but then extended no farther than natural death; which, confidering the fears, and terrors; and fundry kinds of mifery which it occafions, may be reputed punifhment fevere enough, though fairly confiftent with our notions of God's goodnefs and juftice, becaufe it is but a temporal punifhment, and abundantly recompenfed by that eternal redemption which all mankind fhall have in Chrift Jefus.

Others again do fo far approve of this, as to think it in part the punifhment of original fin; but then they fuppofe, that befides this natural mortality, there is a certain weaknefs and corruption fpread through the whole race of mankind, which difcovers itfelf in their inclination to evil, and infufficiency to what is good. This, fay they, $\dagger$ the
(k) Locke's Reafonablenefs of Chriftianity ; and Tractatus De imputatione divina peccati Adami, per Dan. Whitby.
$\dagger$ St. Auftin, in his fourth book againt Julian, brings in Cicero [De repub. 1. 3.] complaining, "Non a matre, fed a noverca " natura editum effe hominem in vitam; corpore nudo, fragili, " et infirno; animo anxio ad moleftias, humili ad timores, " molli ad labores; in quo tamen velut obrutus ineft ignis qui"dam divinus mentis." Whereupon the holy father makes this remark, " Rem vidit auctor ifte, caufam nefcivit: Latebat " enim eum, cur effet grave jugum fuper filios Adam; quia, fa" cris literis non eruditis, ignorabat originale peccatum."
A. M. r. very Heathens complain of; this $\dagger$ the Scriptures every Ant.Chinit. Where teftify; and therefore they conclude, that fince man
4004. 4004. Gen. ch. 3. was not originally made in this condition, (for God created nem him afrer his own image), he muft have contracted all this finom his fall ; and that therefore the threatening of death had an higher fignification than the diffolution of the foul and body, vize the lofs of the divine favour, of all fupernatural gifts and graces, and a total defection of the mind from God, which immediately enfued upon the tranfgreffion.

A proper 11 tte of the quefition:

Thefe are fome of the principal opinions, (for the litthe fingularities are innumerable), and, in the midt of fo many intricacies, to find out a proper path for us to purfac; we may refolve the whole controverfy into this one que-ftion:-" Whether human nature be fo far corrupted, " and the guilt of our firft parents tranfgreffion fo far " imputed to their poiterity, that every perfon, from the " mother's womb, muft neceffarily go aftray, and muft " certainly fall into everlafting perdition, without the " means appointed, in the new covenant for his preferva" tion?" And in fearching into this, the fentiments of the fathers, much more the altercations of the fchoolmen, will help us very little. t The former are fo divided in their opinions;
$\dagger$ The Scriptures flate the corruption of human nature in fuch terns as thefe, viz. that by one man fin entered into :the wionld, by whofe difobedience many were miade finner's; Rome x. 19. that by nature therefore we are tbe children of wrath, Eph: ii. 3. and unable to receive the things of the Spirit, or to know them, becaufe they are fpiritually difcerned, I Cor. ii. 14.; for what is born of fieft, is fleft, John iii. 6.'; and who can'tring a clean thing out of an unclean? Job xiv. 4. The royal Pfalmilt therefore makes, in his own perfon, this confeffion of our natural depravity ; Bebold ! rwas floapen in ruickednefs, and in fin did my mother conceive me, Pfal, li. 5.; and St. Paul this public declaration of our inability to do good ; I dnow that in me (i. e. in my feèb) dwelleth no good thing; for to will is prefent with me, but to per form that webich is good, I find not; for though I delight in the law of Cod after the inward man, yet I fee another law in my memberss quarring againft the lacw in my mind, and bringing me into captivity to the law of fin rubich is in my menbers. O wretched man that I am! uubo fall deliver me from the body of this death? Rom. vii i8. $6 c$.
$\dagger$ Vofius, in his hitory of Pelagianifm, affures us, that the whole Catholic church was always of opinion, that the guilt of Adam's
opinions, and the latter fo abftrufe in their arguments upon A. M. r. this fubject, that an honeft inquirer will find himelt be- Ant, Cari: wildered, 4004. recourfe will be in a manner comporting with his attributes.

That God, who is the fountain of our being, is infi- And the nitely pure and holy, and can therefore be neither the au- moft prothor or promoter of any fin in us, is obvious to our firft cation of it conceptions of him ; and therefore, if the corruption of our nature be fuppofed to be fuch as neceffarily and unavoidably determines us to wickednefs, without the leaft tendency to good, to give it a counterpoife, thofe who maintain the negative of the queftion are in the right, fo far as they ftand in defence of God's immaculate purity, and are known to be afferters of the freedom of human choice, without which the common diftinctions of virtue and vice, and the certain profpects of rewards anci punifhments, are entirely loft. But when they carry the point fo far as to deny any alteration in human nature now, from what it was at its firft creation; as to deny, that Adam, in his ftate of uprightnefs, had any gifts and graces fupernatural, any clearnefs in his undertanding? any ftrength in his will, any regularity in his affections, more than every man of maturity and competent faculties has at this day; when they adventure to affirm, that there is no neceflity of grace in our prefent condition, to affift our hereditary weaknefs, to enlighten our minds, and incline our wills, and conduct our affections to the parpofes of holinefs, but that every man may do what is good

Adam's fin was imputed to his pofterity to their condemeation; fo that childres dying therein were configned to evertafing punifhment, at leaft to an everlating feparation from God; And, to confurm this affertion, he quotes a multitude of paftages out of almoft all the doetors of the Greek church. Taylor and Whitby, and fome other writers upon this argument, produce the teftimony of the fame fathers to evince the very con. trary pofition; fo that there is no depending upon any thing where authors are fo minconfiftent with themfelves, and fo repugnant to one another. The suth is, before Pelagius appeared in the world, moft of the ancient writers of the church were very inaccurate, both in what they thought and wrote concerning original fin and free-will; and it feems as if the providence of God permitted that Heretic to arife, that thercby he might engage the maintainers of orthodoxy to fudy thofe pofints more maturely; Whitaker De peceaty orig. l. 2.
A. M. y. and acceptable to God by the power of his own natural Ant.Chrift. abilities; they then run counter to the common experiGen.ch. ${ }^{4.0}$. ence of human infirmity; they overlook the declarations of God's word concerning his gracious affiftance; and feem to defpife the kind overture of that bleffed agent, whereby we are renewed and fanctified in the fpirit of our minds.

In like manner, when the maintainers of abfolute depravation contend, that man, in his prefent condition, is far departed from original righteoufnefs, and, of his own' accord, very much inclined to evil; that the order of his faculties is deftroyed, and thofe graces which conftituted the image of God, departed from him ; that in this fate he is now unable to raife himfelf from the level of common impotence, but requires the intervention of fome fuperior principle to aid and affift him in his progrefs towards feaven; they fay no more than what experience teaches us, and what the facred records, which acquaint us with the difpenfation of grace, are known to authorife. But when they carry their pofitions to a greater extent than they will juftly bear; when they affirm, that ever fince the firft defection, the mind of man is not only much impaired, but grievoufly vitiated in all its faculties, having a ftrong averfion to every thing that is good, and an invincible propenfity to what is evil ; not one thought, word, or wifh, that tends towards God, but the feeds and principles of every vice that bears the image and lineaments of the devil, inherent in it: When they advance fuch doctrines as thefe, I fay, they debafe human nature too low, and feem to impute fuch iniquity to its maker as can hardly be wiped off, if every human foul be naturally inclined to all kind of wickednefs when it comes from the hand of his creating power.

There is certainly therefore anorher way of accounting for thefe difficulties; without any prejudice to the divine attributes, and that is this :- Not by afcribing any pofitive malignity to human nature, but only the lofs of the image of God; becaufe a miere privation of rectitude, In an astive fubject, will fufficiently anfwer all the purpofes for which a pofitive corruption is pleaded. ( $l$ ) The foul of man, we know, is a buify creature : By the force of its own nature it muft be in action; but then, without grace. and the image of God affifting and adorning it, it cannot
( $l$ ) Hopkins on the two covenants.
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act regularly and well. So that the difference between A. M. J. Adam and us, is not that we have violent inclinations to Ant. Chrif. all manner of wickednefs implanted in our nature, any Gen. ch. 3. more than he, in his innocence, had in his; but that we, in our prefent condition, want fundry advantages which he, in the height of his perfection, was not without. He had the free power of obedience; he had the perfect innage of his maker in all the divine qualities of knowledge and holinefs, which we have not; and therefore, when we fay, that he communicated to his pofterity a corrupted nature, it mult not be underftood, as if that nature, which we receive, was infected with any vicious inclinations or habits, to fway and determine our will to what is evil; but the meaning is, that he communicated to us a nature, which has indeed a power to incline, and act varioufly, but that he did not, withal, communicate to us the image of God, nor that fullnefs of knowledge and power of obedience, which were requifite to make all its actions and inclipations holy and regular : And our nature is therefore faid to be corrupted, becaufe it is comparatively bad; becaufe it is reduced to its mere natural ftate, which at the beft is a ftate of imperfection, and deprived of that grace which fhould have reftrained it from fin, and of thofe other high endowments wherewith at firft it was invefted.

This is a fair account of our original corruption : It fands clear of the difficulties that attend the other opinions, and is not inconfiftent with the notions we have of the divine attributes. For barely to withdraw thofe extraordinary gifts, which were not effential to man's nature, but fuch as God additionally had beftowed upon him; and he by his tranfgreffion, unworthily forfeited, is what agrees yery well with the wifdom and juftice, and holinefs of God to do ; though to infufe a pofitive malignity, or fuch a ftrong inclination to wickednefs in us, as induces a neceffity of finning, moft certainly does not.

That the judge of all the world cannot but do right, and he, who keepeth mercy from generation to generation, can have no hand in any cruel action, is a certain truth, and what our finf refiections on the divine nature teach us. Thofe therefore who maintain, that Adam's fin is not imputed to us to our damnation, or, that children unbaptifed, are not the objects of divine vengeance, nor fhall be condemned to hell, or an eternal expulfion from God's prefence, for what was done many thoufand years before they were boin, are fo far in the right, as they op-
A. M. п. Anc. Chril 4 CO4. 404. God, and reprefents him in a drefs of horror, and engaged Gen. ch. 3 . in acts of extreme feverity at leaft, if not unrelenting cruelty. Hell certainly is not fo eafy a pain, nor are the fouls of children of fo cheap and fo contemptible a price, as that God fhould fnatch them from their mother's womb, and throw them into perdition without any manner of concern; and therefore, when mea argue againft fuch pofitions as thefe, they are certainly to be commended, becaufe therein they vindicate the facred attributes of God : But when they carry their oppofition to a greater length than it will juftly go, fo as to affirm-that there was no fuch thing as a covenant between God and Adam, or if thére was, that Adam conträcted for himfelf only; that his guilt confequently was perfonal, and cannot, in juftice, be imputed to us; that fince we had no fhare in the tranfgreflion, there is no reafon why we fhould bear any part in the punifhment; that we are all born, in fhort, in the fame ftate of innocence, and are under the fame favour and acceptance with Almighty God, that Adam, before. the firft tranfgreffion was: When they advance fuch pofitions as thefe, in maintenance of their oppofition, they fadly forget, that while they would feem advocates for the mercy and goodnefs of God, they are taking away the foundation of the fecond covenant; deftroying the neceffity of a divine mediator; and overlooking thofe declarations in Scripture, which affirm, that ( $m$ ) all the world is become guilty before God; that all men, both fews and Gentiles, are under fin; bave come Jbort of the glory of God, ( $n$ ) and are by nature the children of wrath.

To make an agreement then between the word of Godd, and his attributes in this particular, we may fairly allow, that there really was a covenant between God and Adam at the firft creation ; that in making that covenant, Adam, as their head and common reprefentative, ftipulated for all mankind, as well as for himfelf; and that, in his tranfgreffion of it, the guilt and the punifhment due thereupon, was imputed to all his pofterity. This we may allow was the fate and condition wherein Adam left us; but then we muit remember, that ( 0 ) the whole fcheme of man's falvation was laid in the divine counfel and decree from all eternity; that God, forefeeing man would fall,

[^19]determined to fend his Son to redeem him, and determined A. M. s. to do this long before the tranfgreffion happened : So that Ant. Chrif. the wifdom and goodnefs of God had effectually provided 4004. herfan and goodnels of God had effecally provided $\underbrace{\text { Gen. ch. 3. }}$ before-hand againft all the ill confequences of the fall, and made it impoflible, that Adam's pofterity fhould become eternally miferable, and be condemned to the flames and pains of hell, any other way than through their own perfonal guilt and tranfgrcifions. The redemption of the world was decreed, I fay, from eternity, and was actually promifed before any child of Adam was born, even before the fentence was pronounced upon our firft parents; and as foon as it was pronounced, its benefits, without all controverfy, did commence. So that, upon this hypothefis, every infant that comes into the world, as it brings along with it the guilt of Adam's fin, brings along with it likewife the benefits of Chrift's meritorious death, which God bath fet forth, as a ftanding propitiation for the fins of the whole world. Nor can the want of baptifm be any obffruction to this remedy, fince the remedy was exhibited long before the rite was inftituted; and fince that rite, when inftituted, (according to the fenfe of fome learned fathers), was more a pledge of good things to come *, a type of our future refurrection, a form of adoption into the heavenly family, and of admiffion to thofe rich promifes of God which are bid in. Fefus Chrift, than any ordinance appointed for the my/fical wajbing away of fin.

In fhort, as long as St. Paul's epiftes are read, the ori- The whole ginal compact between God and man, the depravation of fummed up. human nature, and the imputation of Adam's guilt, muft be received as ftanding doctrines of the church of Chrift: But then we are to take great care in our manner of explaining them, to preferve the divine attributes facred and inviolate : And this may happily be effected, if we will but fuppofe, that our hereditary corruption is occafioned, not by the infufion of any poffive malignity into us, but by the fubduction of fupernatural gifts from us; that the covenant of grace commenced immediately after the covenant of works was broken, and has included all man-

[^20]A. M. r. kind ever fince; that the blood of Chirift fhields his chil-

Ant. Chrif. dren from the wrath of God; and that the imputation of
Gen. ch. 3. Adam's guilt, and obnoxioufnefs to punifhment; is effectually taken away, by the meritorious oblation of that Lamb of God, which was flain from the foundation of the warld.

## CHAP. IV.

## Of the murther of Abel, and the banifbment of Cain.

The History.
A.M. in $8 . \bigcirc$ UR firft parents, we may fuppofe ${ }^{*}$, after a courfe Ant. Chrif. of penance and humiliation for their tranfgreflion, ${ }^{387}{ }^{386}$. 4 . obtained the pardon and forgivenefs of God; and yet the to ver. 25. corruption, which their fin introduced, remained upon huCain and man nature, and began to difcover itfelf in that impious Abel's bith fact which Cain conmitted upon his brother Abel. Cairs was the firft child that was ever born into the world; and his mother Eve was fo fully perfuaded, that the pro-

* The oriental writers are very full of Adam's forrows and lamentations upon this occafion. They have recorded the feveral forms of prayer wherein he addreffes God for pardon and forgivenefs : and fome of the Jewifh doctors are of opinion, that the thirty-fecond pfalm, wherein we meet with thefe expreffions, I acknowledge my fin unto thee, and mine iniquity bave I not bid ; I faid I will confefs my tranfgrefions unto the Lord, and thou forgaveft the iniquity of myy fin, was of his compoing.

Our excellent Milton, to the fame purpofe, introduces Adam after a melancholy foliloquy with himfelf, and fome hafty altercations with Eve, propofing at length this wholefome advice to her:

[^21]mifed feed would immediately defcend from her, that the A. M. $128^{8} \cdot$ fuppofed him to be the perfon who was to fubdue the Ant.Chrif. tpower of the great enemy of mankind; and therefore, Gen. ch. 4. upon her delivery, fhe cried out in a tranfport of joy, $\underbrace{\text { to ver: } 25:}$ $t$ I have gotten a man from the Lord, and accordingly gave him the name of Cain, which fignifies poffcfion or acquifltion ; never fufpecting, that as foon as he grew up, he would occafion her no fmall forrow and difconfolation.

The next fon that the bore, (which was the year following), was called + Abel, denoting forrow and mourning; but very probably he might not receive that name, until his tragical end; which caufed great grief to his parents, verifying the meaning of it. Other children, we may prefume, were all along born to our firft parents; but thefe are the two who, for fome time, made the principal figure; and as they had the whole world before them, there was fmall reafon (one would think) for thofe feuds and contentions, which, in after ages, embroiled mankind. But the misfortune was, they were perfons of quite different tempers; and accordingly, when they grew up, betook themfelves to different employments; Cain, who was of a furly, fordid, and avaritious temper, to the tilling of the ground, and Abel, who was more gentle and ingenuous in his difpofition, to the keeping of fheep.
† If et $\begin{aligned} & \text { Febovah, which our trantation makes a man from }\end{aligned}$ the Lord, fhould rather be rendered the man, the Lord. Helvicus has dhewn, in fo many inftances in Scripture, that eth is an article of the accufative cafe, that it feems indeed to be the Hebrew idiom; befides, that it is a demonftrative, or emphatic particle, which points at fome thing or perfon, in a particular manner; and therefore fèveral, both Jewifh and Chrifian doctors, have taken the words in this fenfe:-TThat our grandmother Eve, when delivered of Cain, thought the had brought forth the Meffias, the God-mian, who was to bruifc the ferpent's bead, or deftroy Satan's power and dominion, according to the promife, which Göd had made her; Eamard's Survey of religion, vol. i.
$\dagger$ Others derive the name from a word which fignifies samity, and are of opinion, that Eve inteñded thereby, either to declare the little efteem the had of him, in comparifon of her firf-born ; or to Thew the vanity of her hopes, in taking Cair for the Mefliah ; or to denote, that all things in the world, into which he was now come, were mere vanity and sexation of fpirit, Patrick's Commentary, and Saurin's Difertation. Vol. I.

G 2
A. M. 128. It was a cuftomary thing, even in the infancy of the Ant. Chinit world, to make acknowledgments to God, by way of ${ }^{8876 \text {. }}$. oblation, for the bountiful fupply of all his creatures ; and to ver. 25 : accordingly $\|$ thefe two brothers were wont to bring offerTheir obia ings, fuitable to their refpective callings: Cain, as an huf tions. bandman, the fruits of the ground; and Abel, as a fhepherd, the firftings, or (as fome would have it) the $\ddagger$ milk

In the laft verfe of this chapter we read, that it was in the days of Enos, when men firft began to call on the name of the Lord; and yet, in the third and fourth verfes thereof, we find that Cain and Abel brought their refpective offerings to the place (as we may fuppofe) of divine worfhip. Now, if the beginning of divine worihip was in the days of Enos, what worthip was this in the days of Cain and Abel? To have two beginnings for the fame worthip, is a thing incongruous, unlefs we can fuppofe, that the two brothers, when they came with theeir oblations, did not worfhip at, all; neither openipg their lips' in the divine benefactor's praife, nor invocating a bleffing upon what his bounty had fent them, which is highly inconfiftent with the character of worlhippers. But, in anfwer to this, we mult obferve, that the worfhip of God is of two kinds, public and private; that the worfhip wherein thefe brothers were concerned, was of the latter fort; for Cain is mentioned by himfelf, and Abel by himfelf. They came to the place of worfhip feverally; their facrifices were not the fame: Neither were they offerers of the fame mind. Butthe worthip which was infituted in the time of Enos, was of a public nature, when feveral families, under their refpective hêads, met together in the fame place, and joined in one common fervice, whether of prayers, praifes, or facrifices. Though the phrafe of men's beginning to call upon the naine of the Lord, may poffibly bear another conftruction, as we fhall fhew when we come to examine the place itfelf; Street's Dividing of the boof.
$\ddagger$ It is a pretty common opinion, that the eating of flefh was not permitted before the flood; and it is the pofition of Grotius, that no carnal facrifices were, at that time, offered; becaufe nothing, but what was of ufe to man, was to be confecrated to God. The fcarcity of cattle might very well excufe their being lain in the worlhip of God ; and therefore fince the fame word in Hebrew, [Hhalab or Hbeleb], accörding to its diferent punctuation, fignifies both fat and milk, and actordirgly is rendered both ways by the LXX, many learned men feem rather to favour the latter, as finding it a cultom among the ancient Egyptians, to facrifice milk to their deities, às a token atd acknowledgment of the fecundity of theircattle; 'Le clent's

## Chap. IV. from the Creation to the Flood.

of his flock. Upon fome fet and folemn occafion then, (p) (and not improbably at the end of harvert), as they were prefenting their refpective offerings, God, who efti- c mates the fincerity of the heart more than the value of the to ver. 25 . oblation, $\dagger$ gave a vifible token of his acceprance of Abel's facrifice, preferable to that of Cain, which fo enraged, and tranfported him with envy againft his bróther, that hẹ could not help thewing it in his countenance.

God however, in great kindnefs, condefcended to ex- Ged's expoftulate the matter with him, telling him, " $(q)$ Thar poftulation " his refpect to true goodnefs was impartial, wherever.
" he found it, and that $\ddagger$ therefore it was purely his own. "fault, that his offering was not equally accepted ; that

Commentary, and Saurin's Difertation. But the learned Heidegger is of an opinion quite the contrary; Vid. Exercit. 15. Do cibo antediluviauo.
(p) Heidegger's Hinoria patriarcharum.

+ The Jews are generally of opinion, that this vifible taken of God's accepting Abel's facrifice, was a fire, or lightning, which came from heaven, and confumed it. The footteps of this we meet with in a fhort time after, Gen. xv. I7. and the examples of it were many in future ages, viz. when Mofes offered the firf burnt-offering according to the law, Levsix. 24, when Gideon offered upon the rock, Jud. vi. 21 .; when David ftayed the plague, 1 Chron xxi. 26.; when Solomon confecrated the temple, 2 Chron. vii. I.; and when Elijah con* tended with the Baalites, I Kings xviii. 38. boc. And accordingly, we find the Ifraelites, (when they with all profperity to their King), praying, that God would be pleafed to accept (in the Hebrew, turn into afbess) bis burnt facrifice, Pfal. xx, $3 . ;$ Patrick' and Le Clerc's Commentary.
(q) Patrick's Commentary.
$\ddagger$ The words in our trandation are, If thou doeft well, fhalt thou not be accepted? ver. 7. which fome render, fhalt thou not recaive, viz. a reward? Others, falt tbou not be pardoned? and others again, thou foalt be alevated to dignity. But if we confider, what God fiys to Cain in the two foregoing verfes, that bis countenance wasf flefen, we cannot but perceive, that in this, * he promifes him, that if he did well, he thould hate his face lifted up, and that he fivuld have no more reafon to be fad; for fo the Scripture frequently expreflesa fearlefs and chearful flate: If iniquity be in, thime band, fays one of Job's friends, put it arvay from thee, and let not wickednefs dwoll in thy tabernacles; for then thon halt lift tup thy face without Spot, Job xi. 15.; Effay for a new tramation,
A. M. 128 . 66 piety was the proper difpofition for a facrificer ; and Ant. Chri:" that, if herein he would emulate his brother, the fame tokens of divine approbation thould attend his oblaGen, ch. 4." tokens ( $r$ ) that it was folly and madnefs in him to harbour any revengeful thoughts againft his brother; becaufe, if he proceeded to put them in execution, $\ddagger$ a " dreadful punifhment would immediately overtake him; '" and that leaft of all had he reafon to be angry with him
- " whofe preference was only a token of his fuperior vir-
" tue, and not intended to fupplant him of his birthright,

4. (s) which fhould always be inviolate, and his brother
"s be obliged to $\dagger$ pay him the refpect and homage that
'6 was
(r) Poole's Annotations.
$\ddagger$ The words in our tranflation are, Sin lieth at thy door : where, by $f i n$, the generality of interpreters mean the punifh: ment of fin, which is hard at hand, and ready to overtake the wicked. But our learned Lightfoot obferves, that God does here prefent himfelf to Cain, in order to threaten, but to encourage him, as the firft words of his fpeech to him do import ; and that therefore the bare defcription of lying at the door, does plainly enough infinuate, that the text does pot fpeak either of errors or punifhment, but of a facrifce for fin, which the Scripture often calls by the Hebrew word here, and which was commonly placed before the door of the fanctuary, as may be feen in feveral paffages in Scripture. So that, according to this fenfe, God is here comforting Cain, even though he did amifs in maligning his brother, and referring him to the propitiation of Chrift, which, even then, was of fanding force for the remiffion of fin ; E fay for a new tranflation. But this fenfe of the word feems a little too far-fetched.

## (s) Le Clerc's Commentary.

$\dagger$ The words in the text are, zunto thee fhall be bis defire, Gen. iii. 16. which (however fome expofitors have clouded them) will appear to be plain and eafy enough, if we do but coufider, that there are two expreflions, in the Hebrew tongue; to fignify the readinets of one perfon to ferve and refpect another. The one is [aine el yad] or our eyes are to bis band: the other [tefbukah $e l$ ] or our defire is to bim. The former exprefles our outward attendance, and the latter the inward temper and readinefs of our mind to pay refpect. Of the former we have an inftance in Pfal. cxxxiii. The eyes of fervants are to the band of their mafters, and the eyes of a mailen are to the band of her miffreff, i. e. they ftand ready with a vigilant obfervance to execute their orders. We meet the other expref: fion in the place before us, and it imports an inward temper
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"s was due tơ his primogeniture; which, if we was minded A. M. ${ }^{128}$. " to .preferve, his wifeft way would be to be quiet, and Ant. Chrif. ${ }^{3876}$. " not proceed one ftep farther in any wicked defign," Gen. ch. 4 .

This was a kind admonition from God: But fo little to ver. 25. effect had it upon Cain, that inftead of being fenfible of his fault, and endeavouring to amend, he grew more and more incenfed againft his brother ; infomuch, that at laft he took a refolution to kill him; but diffembled his defign, until he fhould find a proper opportunity.

And, to this purpofe, coming to his brother one day, and pretending great kindnefs to him, he afked him very friendly to take a walk with him in the fields, where, having got him alone *, upon fome pretence or other, he picked a quarrel with him, and fo fell upon him, and flew
and difpofition of mind to pay refpect and honour. His defire will be unto thee, i. e. he will be heartily devoted (as we fay in Englifh) to honour and refpect you. And thou Shalt [or mayelt] rule over bim, i. e. you may have any fervice from him you can delire ; Shuckford's Connection, vol. I.

* According to the Englifh tranlation, Mofes tells us, ver. 8. that Cain talked with Abel his brother. The words ftrictly fignify, Gain faid unto Abel his brother; after which there is a blank fpace left in the Hebrew copies, as if fomething was wanting. The Samaritan Pentateuch, and the LXX verfion fupply this, by adding the words,--Let us go into the fields; but the Jerufalem Targum, and that of Jonathan, have fupplied us with their whole converfation-As they went along, "I know, " fays Cain, that the world was created by the mercy of God, " but it is not governed according to the fruit of our good "works, and there is refpect of perfons in judgment. Why " was thy oblation favourably accepted, when mine was re" jected? Abel anfwered and faid unito Cain, The world was " created in mercy, and is governed according to the fruits of " our good works. There is no refpect of perfons in judg" ment ; formy oblation was more favourably received, be"caufe the fruit of my works was better, and more precious, " than thine. Hereupon Cain in a fury breaks out, There is " no judgment, nor judge, nor any other world; peither fhall " good men receive any reward, nor wicked men be punifhed. "To which Abel replied, There is a judgment and a judge, " and another world, in which good men thall receive a re" ward, and wicked men be punifhed." Upon which there infued a quarrel, which ended in Abel's death. So that, according' to this account, Abel fuffered for the vindication of the truth, and was, in reality, the finf martyr ; Efthius in dificiliora lock.
A. M.128. him, and afterwards $(t)$ buried him in the ground; to pre. Ant. Chrif. vent all difcovery: But it was not long before he was Gen.ch. 4. called to an account for this horrid fact. God appeared ta $\underbrace{\text { to ver. 25. him, and hąving queftioned him about his brother, and re- }}$ ceived fome fullen and evafive anfwers from him, directly charged him with his murder ; and then reprefenting it in its proper aggravations, as a crime unpardonable, and what cried aloud to heaven for vengeance, he proceeded immediately to pafs fentence upon him.

Cain's chief ( $u$ ) defign and ambition was, to make
and fentence aggaint him. himfelf great and powerful, in favour with God, and in credit with men, without any one to ftand in competition with him ; but in every thing he intended, he found himfelf difappointed, for attempting to accomplifh his ends in fo wicked a manner. Inftead of growing great and opulent; the ground was fentenced not to yield him her ftrength, i. e. he was to be unprofperous in his hufbandry and tillage: Inftead of enjoying God's favour without a rival, he was banilhed from his prefence, and for ever excluded from that happy converfe with the Deity which, in thefe firft ages of the world, it was cuftomary for good men to enjoy; and inftead of being a man of renown among his family, he became a fugitive and vagabond; was banifhed from his, native country, and compelled to withdraw into fome diftant and defolute part of the earth, as an abominable perfon, not worthy to live, nor fit to be endured in any civil community.

The fame principle, which leads wicked men to the commiffion of crimes, in hopes of impunity, throws them into defpair, upon the denunciation of punifhment. This fentence of Cain, though infinitely fhort of the heinoufnefs of his guilt made him believe, $f$ that he was to underga much
(t) Jorephus's antiq. 1. x. c. 3. (u) Shuckford's Intro, duction, vol. i.
$\dagger$ The words in our tranflation are, My punifbment is great er than I can bear; but as the Hebrew word [Aven] fignifies iniquity, rather than punifhment and the verb [ $\mathrm{Na} / \mathrm{ha}$ ] fignifies to be forgiven, as well as to bear, it feems to agree better with the context, if the verfe be rendered either pofitively, My iniguity is too great to be forgiven, or (as the Hebrew expofitors take it) by way of interrogation, Is my iniquity too great to ba forgiven; which feems to be the better of the two; Shuckford's. Conneltion, vol. r. A learned annotator has obferved, that as there are feven abominations in the heart of him that loveth not
much greater evils than it really imporfed; and that not A. M. 128. only the miferies of banifhment, but the danger likewife of Ant. Chrif, being flain by every one that came near him, was enfuant Gen. ch. 4 . upon it. But, to fatisfy him in this refpect, God was pleaf- to ver. $25^{\circ}$ ed to declare, that his providence fhould protedt him from all outward violence; and, to remove the uneafy apprehenfion from his mind, vouchfafed to give him a fign (very $(x)$ probably by fome fenfible miracle) that no creature whatever fhould be permitted to take away his life; but, that whoever attempted it hould incur a very fevere punifhment ; becaufe God $(y)$ was minded to prolong his days in this wretched eftate, ae a monument of his vengeance, to deter future ages from committing the like murther.

Thus, by the force of the divine fentence, Cain left Cain's bahis parents and relations, and went into a ftrange country. nifhmen:. He was banifhed from that facred place where God vouchfafed + frequent manifeftations of his glorious prefence; and tho' by the divine decree no perfon was permitted to hurt
his brother, Prov. xxvi. 25. there were the like number of tranfgreffions in Cain's whole conduct ; for, it, he facrificed without faith; 2dly, was difpleafed that God refpected him not; 3 dly, hearkened not to God's admonition ; 4 thly, fpake difemblingly to his brother; 5 thly, killed him in the field; 6 thly, denied that he knew where he was; and 7 thly, neither afked nor hoped for mercy from God, but defpaired, and fo fell into the condemnation of the devil; Ainfworth's Annotations.
(z) Univerfal Hiftory, numb. 2. (y) Patrick's Commentary
$\dagger$ Both Lightfoot, Hiedegger, and Le Clerc, feem to be of opinion, that what we render the prefence of the Lord, was the proper name of that particular place where Adam, after his expulfion from paradife, dwelt; and accordingly wee find that part of the country which lies contiguous to the fuppofed fituation of paradife, called by Strabo [lib. 16.] rérotau $\Theta_{\varepsilon \tilde{8}}$ However this bé, it is agreed by all interpreters, that there wasa divine glory, called by the Jews Schechinah, which appeared from the beginning, (as we faid before, page 40 in the notes) and from which Cain being now banifled, never enjoyed the fight of it again. 'If, after this, Cain turned a downright idolater, (as many think), it is very probable that he introduced the worhip of the fun (which was the mof ancient idolatry) as the beft refemblanice he could find of the glory of the Lord which was wont to appear in a flaming light ; 'Patrick's Commentary.
A. M. 12s. him, yet, being confcious of his own guilt, he was fearful Ant. Chr if of every thing he faw or heard: 'Till having wandered aGen.ch. 4. bout a long while in many different countries, he fetted $\underbrace{\text { to ver. 25; }}$ at length with his wife and family in the land of Nod; where, in fome tract of time, and after his defcendents were fufficiently multiplied, he built a city, that they might live together, and be united, the better to defend themfelves againft incurfions, and * to fecure their unjuft poffeffions; and this place he called after the name of his fon Enoch, which in the Hebrew tongue, fignifies a dedication.
His defcendents.

This Enoch begat Jarad; Jarad begat Mehujael; Me. hujael begat Methufaei ; and Methufael begat Lamech, who wast the firft introducer of polygamy. For he married two wives, Adah and Zillah, by the former of which hehad

* The words of Jofephus are thefe. "So far was Cain from " mending his life after his affliction's, that he rather grew " worfe and worfe, abandoning himfelf to his lufts, and all " mainer of outrage, without any regard to common juttice.
* He enriched himfelf by rapine and violence, and made choice " of the moft profligate of monfters for his companions, in" ftructing them in the very myftery of their own profeffion.
*. He corrupted the limplicity and plain-dealing of former times
" with a novel invention of weights and meafures, and ex-
" changed the innocency of that primitive generofity and
" candour for the new tricks of policy and craft. He was
" the firit who invaded the common rights of mankind by
" bounds and inclofures, and the firt who-built a city, fot" tified and peopled it;" Antiq. l. 1. c. 3.; and Le Clerc's Commentary.
$\dagger$ Le Clerc, fuppofing that the increafe of females at the beginning of the world was much greater than that of males, is of opinion, that there might poffibly want a man to efpoufe one of the women which Lamech married : nor cain he think that Mofes intended to blame him for what was the conftant practice of fome of the moft eminent of the poft-diluvian patriarchis. Bifhop Patrick likewife makes this apology for him. "His car" neft defire of feeing that bleffed feed," fays he, " which was " promifed to Eve, might perhaps induce him to take more " wives than one, hoping, that by multiplying his pofterity, " fome or other of them might prove fo happy as to produce that " feed. And this he might poflibly perfuade himfelf to be more " Jikely, becaufe the right which was in Cain, the firt-born, " he might now conclude, was revived in himelf; and that the "curfe laid upon Cain was by this time expired, and his po" ferity reftored to the right of fulfiling the promife:" Both
two children ; Jabal, $\dagger$ who made great improvements in A. M. 128 . the management of cattle, and found out the ufe of tents, Ant. Chrift. (z) or moveable houres, (2) and Jo be carried about to places of Gen. ch. 4. frefh pafturage ; and Jubal, who was the firft inventor of to ver. 25 . all mufical inftruments, and himfelf a great mafter and performer. By the latter, he had Tubal-Gain, the firtt who difcovered ( $a$ ) the art of forging and polifhing metals, and thereupon devifed the making all forts of armour, both defenfive and offenfive ; and whofe fifter Naamah (a name denoting fair and beautiful) is fuppofed to have firt found out the art of finning and weaving.
(b) This is the regifter of Cain's pofterity for feven generations: And Mofes, perhaps, might the rather enumerate them, to fhew who were the real authors and inventors of certain arts and handicrafts, (c) which the Egyptians too vainly affumed to themfelves: But then he barely. enumerates them, without ever remarking how long any of them lived, (apractice contrary to what he obferves in the genealogy of the Sethites), as if he efteemed them a generation fo reprobate as, (d) not to deferve a place in the book of the living.

The murther of Abel had for a long time occafioned Lamech's a great animofity between the family of Seth and the de- difcourfe to fcendents of Cain, who, though at fome diftance, lived in perpetual apprehenfions that the other family might come

Selden and Grotius plead for the lawfulnefs of poligamy before the Levitical difpenfation; but the learned Hiedegger (who has a whole differtation upon the fubject) has fufficiently anfwered them, and proved at latge; that this cultom of multiplying wives is contrary both to the law of God and the law of nature ; Hiforia patriar. exercit. 7.
$\dagger$ The words in the text are, He rwas the father of fuchas dwell in tents; for the Hebrews call him the father of any thing whowas the firft inventor of it, or a molt excellent mafter of that art : and from the affinity of their names, as well as the fmilitude of their inventions, l larned men have fuppofed, that Jabal was the Pales; Jubal the Apollo; Tubal-Gain (which in the Arabic tongue, fill fignifies a plate of iron or brafs) the Vulcan ; and his fiiter Naamah, the Venus, or (as fome will have it) the Minerva of the Gentiles; Hiedegger's Hift. patriar. and Stillingfiet's Origines, l.3.c. 5.
(z) Le Clerc's Commentary.
(d) Heidegger's Hiftoria patriar. (b) Howel's Hiftory of the Bible. (c) Le Clerc's Commentary.
(d) Patrick's Commentary.
A. M. 128. upon them unawares, and revenge Abel's untimely death : Ant Chift but Lamech, when he came to be head of a people, endea-
 $\underbrace{\substack{\text { Gcn. ch. } \\ \text { O ver. } 25 \text {. }}}$ his family together, $\dagger$ he argued with them to this purpofe. $\underbrace{4}$ Why fhould we make our lives uneafy with thefe " groundlefs fufpicions? What have we done, that we " fhould
(e) Shuckford's Connnection, vol. i.

+ This fpeech of Lamech, as it ftands unconneted with any thing before it, is fuppofed by many to be a fragment of fome old record which Mofes was willing to preferve ; and, becaufe it feems to fall into a kind of metre, fome have thought it a fhort fketch of Lamech's poetry, which he was defirous to add to his fon's invention of mufic, and other arts. Many fuppofe, that Lamech, being plagued with the darly contentions of his two wives, here blufters and boafts of what he had done, and what he would do, if they gave them any farther moleftation. Others innagine, that as the ufe of weapons was found out by one of his fons, and now become common, his. wives were fearful, left fome body or other might make ufe of them to flay him; but that, in this regard, he defires them to be eafy, becaufe, as he was not guilty of llaying any body himfelf, there was no reafon to fear that any body would hurt him. The Targum of Onkelos, which reads the wordsinterrogatively, favours this interpretation much; Have 1 fain a man to my wounding, or a young man to my burt? i. e. 1 have done no violence or offence to any one, either great or fmall, and have therefore no caufe to be apprehenfive of any to myfelf. But the Rabbins tell us a traditional ftory, which, if true, would explain the paffage at once. The tradition is, -" That Lamech, when he was " blind, took his fon Tubal-Cain to hunt with him in the woods, " where they happened on Cain, who being afraid of the fociety " ard converfe of men, was wont to lie lurking up and down in " the woods : that the lad miftook him for fome beaft firring in " the bufhes, and directed his father, how, with a dart, or an " arrow, he might kill him ; and this (they fay) was the man " whom he killed by his wounding him; and that afterwards, " when he came to perceive what he had done, he beat Tubal" Cain to death for mifinforming him: and this was the young " man whom he killed by lrurting or beating him." But befides the incongruity of a blind man's going a hunting, this ftory is direetly contrary to the promife of God, which affuredCain, that no perfon thould kill him, and feems indeed to be devifed for no other purpofe, but merely to folve the difficulty of the paflage. Among the many interpretations which have been made of it, that which I have offered feems to be the moft natural and ea-
" fhould be afraid? We have not killed any man, nor of- A. M. 128 . " fered any violence to our brethren of the other family ; Ant.Chrift. " and furely reafon muft teach them, that they can have Gen.ch. 4. " no right to hurt or invade us. Cain indeed, our an- to ver. 25. " ceftor, killed Abel ; but God was pleafed fo far to for" give his fin, as to threaten to take the fevereft vengeance " on any one that fhould kill him : And if fo, furely they " muft expect a much greater punifhment, who fhall pre" fume to kill any of us. For if Cain Ball be avenged " Seven-fold, furely Lamech, or any of his innocent family, " feventy-feven fold." And it is not improbable, that, by frequent difcourfes of this kind, as well as by his own example, he overcame the fears and fhynefs of the peoplc, and (as we fhall find it hereafter) encouraged them to commence an acquaintance with their brethren, the children of Seth. This is the fum of what the Scripture teaches us of the deeds of Cain, and his wicked offspring, who were all fwept away in the general deluge.


## The Objection.

"، BUT how little foever the Scripture teaches us of Cain and his adventures, yet it certainly teaches us " too much, ever to believe that Adam and Eve were the Adam.
" primogenial parents of mankind. ( $f$ ) According to the
" Mofaic account, Cain and Abel were at this time the " only two perfons (excepting their parents) upon the face " of the earth; and yet, when we read that Abel was a " keeper of heep, we cannot but fuppofe, that he kept " them for this reafon,-_that none of his neighbours " might come and fteal them away; and that Cain was a " tiller of the ground, we cannot but infer, that there were " at that time all fuch artificers as were requifite to carry " on fuch an occupation, fmiths and carpenters, millers " and bakers, bec.
fy, and is not a little countenanced by the authority of Jofephus. "As for Lamech," fays he, " who faw as far as any man into "s the courfe and methods of divine juftice; he could not but " find himfelf concerned in the profpect of that dreadful judg" ment which threatened his whole family, for the murther of " Abel, and, under this apprehenfion, he breaks the matter to " his two wives;" Antiq. lib. 1. c. 3 . (f) Vid. La Pierere's Syfteme theolog. p. 1. 1. 3.; and Blunt's Oracles of reafon.
A. M. г28. " When Cain intended to murther his brother, he enAnt. Chrif. "c ticed him to go with him into the field : Now the field, ${ }^{3876}$. ${ }^{3}$. " we know, is ufually oppofed to a town, and therefore he Gent. ch. 4 . to ver. 25 .
"But allowing that Adam and Eve had fome few chil${ }^{6 \prime}$ dren befides in the province of Eden; yet how came " Cain, when banifhed from his native country, to find " the land of Nod (a land which, by the bye, no one can " tell where it lies) fo well peopled in thofe early days, as " there to meet with women enough, out of whom to chufe
" a wife, and men in abundance to build him a city; " which, to diftinguifh it from other cities, (as then there " might be many), he called by the name of his fon E " noch ? Thefe things are inconfiftent, and can never be " reconciled, unlefs we fuppofe, that there was really a " race of mankind before Adam, and that Mofes never in" tended to write of the primitive parents of all the warld, " (fince, within the compais of a few lines, he lets fall fo "، many expreffions denoting the contrary), but only to " give us an account of the origin of the Jewifh nation, "which we fondly imagine to be the hiftory of the uni" verfal creation."

Anfwered, by hewing that Mofes intended to treat of the firft man.

Now, though it cannot be denied but that Mofes might principally defign to give us a hiftory of the Jewifh nation; yer, in the beginning of his account, and till they came to be diftinguifhed from other nations in the patriarch Abraham, he could not have that under his peculiar confideration. He acquaints us, we find, with the origination of the firf of other animals, whence they arofe, and in what
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manner they were perfected; and when he came to treat A. M. r28. of the formation of human creatures, it is but reafonable Ant. Chrit. to imagine, that he intended likewife to be underftood of Gen. ch. 4. the firft of their kind. Now, that Adam and Eve were the to ver. 25. firt of their kind, the words of our Saviour, (b) from the beginning of the creation God made them male and female, are a full confirmation; becaufe he produces the very fame precept that was applied to $\Lambda$ dam and Eve at their creation, therefore flall a man leave his father and bis mother, and cleave to his wife: And that there could be none before them, the reafon why (i) Adam called his wife's name Eve, becaufe fie was the mother of all living, i. e. the perfon who was to be the root and fource of all mankind that were to be upon the earth, is a plain demonftration: For if fhe was the mother of all living, there certainly was no race of men or women before her.

St. Paul, while he was at Athens, endeavoured to convince the people of the vanity of that idolatry into which he perceived them fallen, by this argument among others, -that ( $k$ ) God bad made of one blood all nations of men, for to duvell on all the face of the earth. (l) Some Greek
 in they are followed by the vulgar Latin:- But allowing the common reading to be juft, yet ftill the word $\tilde{\alpha} \dot{\mu} \mu \alpha$, or blood, muft be taken in the + fenfe wherein it occurs in the beft Greek authors, namely, for the fock or root out of which mankind came; and fo the Apoftle's reafoning will be-_" That however men are now difperfed in " their habitations, and differ fo much in language and " cuitoms from each other, yet they all were originally " of the fame ftock, and derived their fucceffion from the " firft man that God created." Neither can it be conceived, on what account ( m ) Adam is called in Scripture the firft man, and that be was made a living foul of the earth,
(b) Mark x. 6.
(i) Gen. iii. 20.
(k) Acts xvii. 26.
(l) Stillingfleet's Orig. facr. 1. 3. c. 4.
$\dagger$ Homer employs it in this acceptation:

Thence thofe that are near relations are called by Sophocles,
 fenfe:

Trojano o fanguinc duci; Stillingfleet's Orig. facr.1. 2. c. 4. $(m) 1$ Cor. xv. 45 .
A. M. 128.earthly, unlefs it were to denote, that he was abfolutely Ant.Chnift the firft of his kind, and fo was to be the ftandard and
Gen. ch. 4. meafure of all that followed.
to ver. 25; The defign of Mofes is not to give us a particular acHow Cain count of the whole race of mankind defcended from Adam, might till ( $n$ ) but only of thofe perfons who were moft remarkable, bis ground, and whofe fory was necefflary to be known, for the unand why A- derftanding of the fucceffion down to his time. Befides keep his thofe that are particularly mentioned in Scripture, we are catile.

That there might be valt numbers of people then in the world. told in general, that Adam ( $\theta$ ) begat fons and daughters; and if we will give credit to an ancient eaftern tradition, he had in all thirty-three fons, and twenty-feven daughters, which, confidering the primitive fecundity, would in a fhort time be fufficient to ftock that part of the world at leaft where Adam dwelt, and produce a race of mechanics able enough to fupply others with fuch inftruments of hulbandry as might then be requifite for the cultivation of the ground. ( $p$ ) For in the infancy of the world, the art of tillage was not come to fuch a perfection, but that Cain might make ufe of wooden ploughs and fpades, and inftead of knives and hatchets, form his tools with fharp flints or fhells, which were certainly the firft inftruments of cutting. And though in thofe early days there was no great danger of Abel's lofing his cattle by theft; yet, to provide them with cool fhades in hot climates, to remove them from place to place as their pafture decayed, to take care of their young, and guard them from the incurfions of beafts of prey, (with many more incidental offices), was then the fhepherd's province, as well as now.

According to the computation of moft chronologers, it was in the hundred and twenty-ninth year of Adam's age, that Abel was flain ; for the Scripture fays exprefsly, that Seth ( $q$ ) (who was given in the lieu of Abel) was born in the bundred and thirtieth year, (very likely the year after the murther was committed), to be a comfort to his difconfolate parents. Sọ that Cain muft be an hundred and twen-ty-nine years old when he abdicated his own country; at which time there might be a fufficient quantity of mankind upon the face of the earth, to the number, it may be, of an hundred thoufand fouls. For if the children of Ifrael, from feventy perfons, in the fpace of a hundred and ten years, became fix hundred thoufand fighting men,
(n) Patrick's Commentary. cholls's Conference, vol. I.
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(though great numbers of them were dead during this in-A. M. 128 . creafe), we may very well fuppofe, that the children of A. Anr.Chrift. dam, whofe lives were fo yery long might amount at leaft 3876 .有 to a hundred thoufand in an hundred and thirty years, $\underbrace{\text { to ver. } 25 .}$ which are almoft five generations.

What the
Upon this fuppofition, it will be no hard matter to find wife ; the Cain a wife in another country; $t$ though it is much ${ }^{\text {city }}$; more probable that he was married before his banifhment, becaufe we may well think that all the world would abhor the thoughts of marriage with fuch an impious vagabond and murtherer. Upon this fuppofition we máy likewife find him men enough to build and inhabit a city; efpecially $(r)$ confidering that the word [Hir] which we render city, may denote no more than a certain number of cottages, with fome little hedge or ditch about them : and this clufter of cortages (as was afterwards cuftomary) he might call by his fon's name rather than his own, which he was confcious was now become odious every where. Upon this fuppofition, laftly, we may account for Cain's fear, left every one that lighted on him would kill him; for by this time mankind was greatly multiplied, and, (s) though no mention is made of Abel's marriage, (as, in fo fhort a compendium many things muft neceffarily be omitted), yet he perhaps might have fons who were ready to purfue the fugitive, in order to revenge their father's death; or fome of his own fifters, enraged againft him for the lofs of their brother, might poffibly come upon him
$\dagger$ There is an oriental tradition, that Eve, at her two firft births, brought twins, a fon and a daughter; Cain, with his fifter Azron, and Abel, with his fifter Awin; that when they came to years of maturity, Adam propofed to Eve, that Cain fhould marry Abel's twin-fifter, and Abel Cain's, becaufe that was fome fmall remove from the neareft degree of confanguinity, which, even in thofe days, was not efteemed entirely lawful; that Cain refufed to agree to this, infifing to have his own fifter, who was the handfomer of the two ; whereupon Adam ordered them both to make their offerings, before they took their wives, and fo referred the difpute to the determination of God; that while they went up to the mountain for that purpofe, the devil put it into Cain's head to murder his brother, for which wicked intent his facrifice was not accepted: And that they were no fooner come down from the mountain, than he fell upon Abel, and killed him with a fone; Patrick's Conmentary; and Univerfal Hifory, No. 2.
( $r$ ) Le Clerc's Commentary. (s) Patrick's Commentary.
A. M. x28. unawares, or when they found him anleep, and fo difpatch ${ }_{3}{ }^{\text {Ant. Chrif. }}$ him.

Various are the conjectures of learned men $\ddagger$ conto ver, 25. cerning the mark which God fet upon Cain, to prevent and mark his being killed. Some think that God ftigmatized him on fet upon his forehead with a letter of his own name, or rather fet Cain. fuch a brand upon him, as fignified him to be accurfed. Others fancy that God made him a peculiar garment, to diftinguifh him from the reft of mankind, who were cloathed with fikins. Some imagine, that his head continually fhaked; others, that his face was blafted with lightning; others, that his body trembled all over; and others again, that the ground fhook under him, and made every one fly from him: Whereas the plain fenfe of the word is nothing more, than that God gave Cain a fign, or wrought a miracle before his face, thereby to convince him, that though he was banifhed into a ftrange land, yet no one flould be permitted to hurt him; and to find out the land into which he was banifhed, is not fo hard a matter as fome may imagine.
The land of The defcription which Mofes gives us of it is this.Nod, where $(t)$ And Cain went out from the prefence of the Lord, and was. what it dwelt in the land of Nod, on the eaft of Eden; and there be built a city, and cailed the name of it after the name of his fon Enoch. Hereupon ( $u$ ) the learned Huetius ob-
$\ddagger$ Almof all the verfions have committed a miftake in tranilating ver. 15. that God bad put a mark upon Cain, leaft any finding bim fhould kill bim. The original fays no fuch thing, and the LXX have very well rendered it thus-God fet a fign before Cain, to perfuade bim, that whoever foould find bim /hould not kill bim. This is almoft the fame with what is faid in Exod. x. I. that God did figns before the Egyptians; and Ifa. Ixvi. 19. that be would fot a fign before the Heathen; where it is evident, that God did not mean any particular mark which fhould be fet on their bodies, but only thofe figns and wonders which he wrought in Egypt, to oblige Pharaoh to let his people go; and the miracuIous manner wherein he delivered them from the Babylonifh captivity. This expofition is natural, and agreeable to the methods of divine providence, which is wont to convince the incredulous by figns and wonders; nor could any thing elfe affure Cain, in the fear he was under, that the firt who met him fhould not kill him, after what God had faid to him in exprobation of his crime; Patrick's Commentary; and Saurin's Difertation.
(t) Gen. iv. 16, 17. (u) De la fitu. du paradis.
ferves, that Ptolemy, in his defcription of Sufiana, places A. M. 128 . there a city called Anuchtba; and that the fyllable tha, Ant. Chrir. which ends the word, is, in the Chaldee language a ter- ${ }^{3876 .}$
mination pretty common to nouns feminine, and confe- to ver. 25 . quently no part of the name itfelf: Fron whence he infers, that this Anuchtha, mentioned by Prolemy, is the fame with the city Enoch mentioned by Mofes; efpecially fince Ptolemy places it on the eaft fide of Eden, which agrees very well with what Mofes fays of the land of Nod. ( $x$ ) But though it be allowed, that Anuchtha and Enoch be the fame name, yet it will not therefore follow, that there was no other city fo called but that which was built by Cain. It is certain, that there was another Enoch, the fon of Jared, and father of Methufelah, a perfon of remarkable piety, in the antediluvian age; and why might not the city, mentioned by Ptolemy, be called after him, in refpect to his illuftrious character, and miraculous exemption from death ? Or rather, why might it not take its name from fome other Enoch, different from both the former, and living fome generations after the flood? For it is fcarce imaginable, how the city of Enoch, built before the flood, fhould either ftand or retain its antient name, after fo violent a concuffion, and total alteration of the face of nature.

Nor fhould it be forgot, that the province of Sufiana, where Huetius places the land of Nod, is one of the moft fruitful and pleafant countries in the world: Whereas, confidering that Cain's banifhment was intended by God to be part of his punifhment, it feems more reafonable to think, that he fhould, upon this account, be fent into fome barren and defolate country, remote from the place of his nativity, and feparated by mountains, and other natural obftructions, from the commerce of his relations. For which reafon the learned Grotius is clearly of opinion, that the country into which Cain was fentenced to withdraw, was Arabia Defetta : To the barrennefs of which, the curfe that God pronounces againft him, feems not improperly to belong. ( $y$ ) And now thou art curfed from the earth, and when thou tilleft the ground, it Jball not, henceforth, yield unto thee her ftrength. But after all, their opinion is not to be found fault with, who fuppore, that the word Nod, which fignifies an exile or fugitive, is not a proper, buit only an appellative name; and that there-
( $x$ ) Well's Geography. (y) Gen.iv. ir.
Voz. I.
Ii
fore,
A.M. 128 . fore, wherever the country was where Cain took up his Ant.Chrift. abode, that, in after-ages, was called the land of Nod, or
Gen. ch. 4. to ver. 25. A recapitu- of Abel, ftands clear of the imputation of all abfurdiJation of the ty or contradiction, wherewith the lovers of infidelityaniwer. would gladly charge it. The time when E is brother murdered him, was in the 12gth year of the world's creation, when, $\dagger$ according to a moderate compuration, their and their parents defcendents could not bui be very numerous. The manner in which he murdered him might not be with a fword or fpear (which perhaps then were not in ufe) * fince a club, or ftone, or any rural inftrument, in the hand of rage and revenge, was fufficient to
$\dagger$ Though we hould fuppofe, that Adam and Eve had no other children than Cain and Abel in the year of the world 128, which (as the beft chronologers agree) was the time of Abel's murder; yet, as it muft be allowed that they had daughters married with thefe two fons, we require no more, than the, defcendents of thefe two children, to makea confiderable number of men upon the earth in the faid year 128. For, fuppofing them to have been married in the 19 th year of the world, they might eafily have had each of them eight children, fome males, fome females in the 25 th year. In the 50 th year there might proceed from them, in a direct line, 64 perfons; in the $74^{\text {th }}$ year, there would be 572 ; in the $98 \mathrm{th}, 4096$; and in the 122 d year, they would ${ }^{+}$mount to $3_{2}, 768$. If to thefe we add the other children, defcended from Cain and Abel, their children and the children of their children, we fhall have in the aforefaid 122 d year, $4^{\frac{3}{2}} 1,164$ men, scapable of generation, without ever reckoning the women, both old and young, or fuch children, as are under the age of 17 years; Vid. Differt. abronol. geogr. critique fur la Bible, difert. I. in the Journal of Paris, Jan. 1712, vol. LI. p. 6.

* There is an oriental tradition, that when Cain was con. firmed in the defign of deftroying his brother, and knew not how to go about it, the devil appeared to him in the thape of a man, holding a bird in his hand; and that, placing the bird upon a rock, he took up a fone, and with it fqueezed its head in preces. Cain, inftructed by this example, refolved to ferve his brother in the fame way; and therefore, waiting till Abel was anleep, he lifted up a large fone, and let it fall, with all its weight, upon his head, and fo killed him; whereupon God caufed him to hear a voice from heaven, to this purpofe, The reft of thy days foalt thou pafs in perpetual fear; Calmet's Diftionary on the word Abel.
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do thework. The place where he murdered him, is faid A. M. 128. to be in the field, $(z)$ not in contradiftinction to any large ${ }^{\text {Ant. Chrif. }}$ and populous city then in being, but rather to the tents, $3^{886}$. or cotrages, where their parents and offspring might then to ver. $25^{\text {. }}$ live. The caufe of his murdering him, was (a) a firit of emulation, which, not duly managed, and made a fpur to virtue, took an unhappy turn, ${ }^{*}$ and degenerated into malice: And the true reafon of all (as the Apoftle has ftated it) was, that (b) Cain was of that wicked one, and flew his brother, becaufe his own works were wicked, and his brother's righteous.

## DISSERTATION IV,

## Of the inflitution of facrifices.

THE firft plain account that we meet with of facri- Sacrifices, fices, is here in the examples of Cain and Abel. when they Mention is made indeed of the flkins of fome beafts, where- fixt began, with God directed our firft parents to be cloathed; but expofitors are not agreed, whether what we render finins might not denote fome other fort of covering, or fhelter from the weather; or, if they were the real kkins of beafts, whether thefe beafts were offered unto God in facrifice or no; whereas, in the Scripture before us, we have obla. tions of both kinds, bloody and unbloody facrifices, (as they are commonly diftinguithed) ; the fruits of the field, offered by Cain; and the firftlings of the flock, by Abel. So that from hence we may very properly take an occafion to inquire a little into the original of facrifices; for what ends and purpofes they were at firf appointed; and by what means they became an acceptable fervice unto God.

The Scriptures indeed make no mention of the firft inftitution of facrifices; and from their filence in this re- inftitution fect, fome have imagined, that they proceeded originally from a dictate of nature, or a grateful inclination to return unto God fome of his own bleffings. But in fo fhort an account of fo large a compafs of time, (as we have faid before), it may well be expected, that feveral things fhould be omitted. To this purpofe, therefore, others have obferved, that Mofes fays nothing (c) of Enoch's prophecy ; nothing ( $d$ ) of Noah's preaching; nothing ( $e$ ) of the peopling
(z) Le Clerc's Commentary. (a) Shuckford's Connection. (b) I John iii. I2. (c) Jude 14. (d) 2 Pet. ii. 5. (e) Vid. Gen. iv.
A. M. 128. of the world ; though thefe be referred to in other parts Ant. Clurit. of Scripture: $(f)$ nor does he here introduce the facrifices Gen, ch. 4. of Cain and Abel, with an intent to inform us of the origin $\underbrace{\text { to ver. 25. of that rite, but merely to let us know what was the un- }}$ happy occafion of the firft murder that was ever committed in the world.

The ( $g$ ) Jews indeed, to whom he primarily wrote, knew very well, that their own facrifices were of divine infritution, and that God had manifefted his acceptance of them, at the very firft folemn oblation after that inftitution, by a miraculous fire from the divine prefence; nor had they any reafon to doubt, but that they were fo inftituted, and fo accepted from the beginning: And therefore there was lefs reafon for Mofes to expatiate upon a matter, which had doubtlefs defcended to them in a clear and uninterrupted tradition.

A grateful fenfe of God's bleffings will, at any time, engage us to offer him the calves of our lips, (as the Scripture terms them), or the warmeft expreffions of our praife and thankfgiving; but what dictate of nature, or deduction of reafon, could ever have taught us, that, to deftroy the beft of our fruits, or the beft of our cattle, would have been a fervice acceptable to God? Goodnefs, and mercy, and lenity, and compaffion, are the ideas we we have of that infinite being ; and who would then have thought, that putting an innocent and inoffenfive creature to torture, fpilling its blood upon the earth, and burning its flefh upon an altar, would have been either a grateful fight, or an offering of a fweet-fmelling favour to the Moft High?

No (b) being, we know, can have a right to the lives of other creatures, but their creator only, and thofe ou whom he fhall think proper to confer it : But it is evident, that God, at this time, had not given man a right to the creatures, even for neceffary food, much lefs for unneceffary cruelty; and therefore to have taken away their lives, without Goḍ's pofitive injunction, would have been an abominable act, and enough to diffecrate all their oblations. When therefore we read, that his acceptance of facrifices of old was ufually teftified by way of inflammation; or fetting them on fire, by a ray of light which iffued from his glorious prefence, we muft allow, that this was a proof
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of his previous inftitution of them ; otherwife we cannot A.'M. iz8. poffibly think, why he fhould fo far concern himfelf about Ant. Chrif. them, as even to be at the expence of a miracle, to de- $\mathrm{c}^{3876}$. mind of the Lord. (is the Apoftle's way of arguing), or who bath been bis counfellor? And, in like manner, without a divine revelation, it would have been the height of vanity and prefumption, to have pretended to determine the way of reconciliation with him, and (without his order and appointment) to have entered upon a form of worfhip, entirely new and ftrange, by killing of beafts, and burning their fat. ( $k$ ) No man (fays another Apoftle) taketh this bonour to bimfelf, but be that is called of God, as was Aaron; nor can any one lay hold on the promife of forgivenefs of fins (which is the great defign of all facrificing) any other way than by fymbols of God's own inftitution.

In $(l)$ moft nations indeed, the cuftom of facrificing did prevail: But that it did not arife from any principle of nature or reafon, is manifeft from hence-- $(m)$ that the graveft and wifeft of the Heathen philofophors always* condemned bloody facrifices as impious, and unacceptable to their Gods; but this they would not have done, had they looked upon them as any branch of natural religion, which none were more warm in extolling than they. It is no improbable conjecture, therefore, that other nations might
(i) Rom. xi. 34. (k) Heb. 4. 3. (l) Heidegger's Hiftor. patriar. exercit. 1. ( $m$ ) Edward's Survey of religion, vol. 1.

* It is the opinion of Tertullian, [Apol.ch. 46.], that none of the ancient philofophers ever compelled the people to facrifice living creatures. Theophraltus is quoted by Porphyry in Eufebius, [prep. Eyan.l. i.c. 9.] as afferting, that the firf men offered handfuls of grafs; that, in time, they came to facrifice the fruits of the trees; and, in after ages, to kill and offer cattle upon altars. Many other authors are cited for this opinion. Paufanias [De Cerere Phrygialenfi] feems tointimate, that the ancient facrifice was only fruits of trees (of the vine efpecial. ly, and of honey-combs and wool. Empedocles [De antiguiiffimis temporibus] affirms, that the firit altars were not fained with the blood of creatures; and Plato [De legibus, 1. 6.] was of opinion, that living creatures were not anciently offered in facrifice, but cakes of bread, and fruits, and honey, poared upon thera;" $f$ for

Non bove mactato creleftia numina gaudent, was an old polition of more writers than Ovid. Vide Shuckford's Connection, vol. i.l. 2.
A. M. 128. take the right of facrificing from the Jews, to ( $n$ ) which the Ant. Chrif. devil, in Heathen countries, might inftigate his votaries, ${ }^{387}$. ch. 4. purely to ape God, and intimate his ordinances: Or , if this $\underbrace{\text { to ver. } 25 \text {. commencement of facrificing among them is thought to be }}$ too late, why may not we fuppofe, that they received it by tradition from their fore-fathers, who had it originally from Adam, as he had it from God by a particular revelation? Now, that there was fome warrant and precept of God for it, feems to be intimated by the author to the Hebrews, when he tells us, that (0) by faith Abel offered unto God a more acceptable facrifice, than Cain: for ( $p$ ) if faith cometh by bearing, and bearing by the word of God, faith is founded on fome word, and relieth on divine command or promife ; and therefore, when Abel offered the beft of his flock in facrifice, he did what was in-. joined him by God, and his practice was founded upon a divine command, which was given to Adam, and his fons, though Mofes, in his fhort account of things, makes no mention of it.

In fine, if it appears from hiftory, that facrifices have been pfed all over the world, have fpread as far, as univerfally among men, as the very notions of a Deity; if we find them almoft as early in the world as mankind upon the earth, and, at the fame time, cannot perceive that mankind ever could, by the light of reafon, invent fuch notions of a Deity, as might induce them to think, that this way of worfhip would be an acceptable fervice to him ; if mankind indeed could have no right to the lives of the brute-creation, without the conceffion of God; and yet it is evident, that they exercifed fuch right, and God approved of their proceeding, by vifible indications of his accepting the facrifices; then muft we neceffarily fuppofe, that facrifices were of his own inftitution at firft; and that they were inftituted for purpofes well becoming his infinite wifdom and goodnefs.
The ends
For we muft remember, that Adam and Eve were, at and defigns this time become finners, and though received into mercy, of God's sinfituting them. in conftant danger of relaping; that by their tranfgreffion, they had forfeited their lives, but as yet could have no adequate fenfe, either of the nature of the punifhment, or the heinoufnefs of the fin which procured it; and that now they were to beget children, who were fure to inhe-
(r) Heidegger's Hiftor. patriar. exercit.8. (a) Heb. xi. 4. (p) Rom. x. 17 .
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rit their parents corruption and infirmity. Since man, A. M. 128. therefore, had forfeited his life by his tranfgreffions, and Ant. Carif. God, notwithftanding, decreed to receive him into mercy, $\mathrm{G}^{3876 .}$ nothing certainly could better become the divine wifdom to ver. 25 . and goodnefs, than the eftablifhment of fome inftitution, which might at once be a monition both of the mercy of God, and the punifhment due to fin. And becaufe God forefaw that man would often fin, and fhould often receive mercy, it was neceffary, that the inftitution fhould be fuch as might frequently be repeated; and in fuch repetition, frequently remind man of his own endlefs demerit, and of God's infinite goodnefs to him ; to which purpofe the inftitution of facrifices for fin was of excellent ufe and fervice.

Both from the commandment which at firft was given to Adam, and the fentence which was afterwards denounced againft him, we learn, that death was the penalty of his difobedience. And fince it was fo, certainly it was highly proper, that he fhould know what he was to fuffer, and confequently, that he fhould fee death in all its horror and deformity, in order to judge rightly of the evil of difobedience. And what could exhibit this evil more ftrongly, than the groans and ftruggles of innocent creatures, bleeding to death for his guilt, before his eyes, and by his own hands? Sights of this kind are fhocking to human nature even yet, though cuftom hath long made them familiar: With what horror then, may we imagine that they pierced the hearts of our firft parents, and how was that horror aggravated, when they confidered themfelves as the guilty authors of fo much cruelty to the creatures which were about them? Nay when the groans of thefe dying animals were over, what a fad, a ghaftly fpectacle muft their cold carcaffes yield? And even after their oblation, how difinal a meditation muft it be, to confider the beauty and excellency of thefe animate beings reduced to an handful of duft; cfpecially when they could not fee them in that condition, but under fad conviction, that they themfelves muft follow the fame odious fteps to deftruction?

We can hardly conceive, how God could ftrike the human foul with a deeper fenfe of mifery from guilt, or with more abhorrence of the fad caufe of that mifery, than by this method of apponting facrifices: Nor can we imagine how our firt parents could have ever fuftained themfelves under fuch aflicting thoughts; had not God, in his infinite goodners ${ }_{2}$
A. M. r28. goodnefs, caufed fome ray of hope to fhine through this Aptr. Chriif. cene of morrality and mifery, and made facrifices (at the 387. Ch. 4. Came time that they were fuch lively embleuss of the horror $\underbrace{\text { to ver 25. of guilt) the means of its expiation, and the feals of his }}$ covenant of grace.
(q) That God entered into a covenant of mercy with man, immediately after the fall, is evident from the fentence paffed upon the ferpent, wherein that covenant is comprifed:, and therefore, as we find that, in after-ages, his ufual way of ratifying covenants of this kind was by facrifices; fo we cannot imagine that he failed to do fo at this time, when fuch mercy was more wanted than ever it was fince the foundation of the world. Sacrifices indeed have no natural aptitude to expiate guilt, in which fenfe, the apoftle affirms it $(r)$ to be impolible for the blood of bulls, and of goats, to take away fins. The death of a beaft is far from being equivalent to the death of a man, but infinitely fhort of that eternal death to which the man's finfulnefs does confign him: But fill, as facrifices are federal rites, and one of thofe external means which God had inftituted, under the antediluvian difpenfation, for man's recovery from fin, we cannot but fuppofe, but that when pioully and devoutly offered, they were accepted by him, for the expiation of tranfgreffions, though it muft be owned, that they did not, of themfelves, or by their own worthinefs, atone for any thing, but only in virtue of the expiatory facrifice of the Meffias to come, whereof they were no more than types and fhadows. To fpeak ftrickly and properly, therefore, thefe facrifices did not really and formally, but typically and myftically expiate, i.e. they did not pacify Gods anger, and fatisfy his juftice, and take away fin, by their own force and efficacy, but as they were figures and reprefentations of that univerfal facrifice, which (in the divine intention) was hain from the foundation of the world, and in the fulnefs of time, was to come down from heaven in order to fulfil the great undertaking of making atonement for the fins of all mankind.
Thus to reprefient the horrid nature of fin, and to feal
The means the eternal covenant of mercy; to be types of the great
them acceptable to Cod. expiatory facrifice of Chrift's deah, and a ftanding means of obtaining pardon and reconciliation with God, feems to be fome of the principal ends of God's initituting facrifices at firft : And what was of ufe to grin them a favourable acceptance in his fight, we may, in fome meafure, learn from

> (q) Revelation examined. (r) Heb. x. 4.
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the reafons, that are ufually alledged, for his rejection of A. M. I28: Cain's, and approbation of Abel's facrifice.

Moit of the Jewifh interpreters have placed the differ- Gen. ch. 4. ent events of thefe two facrifices in the external quantity to ver. 25 ; or quality of them. They tell us, that Cain brought of the fruits of the ground indeed, but not of the firft fruits (as he fhould have done), nor the fulleft ears of corn, (which he kept for himfelf), but the lankeft and lateft; and, even what he brought, 'twas with a niggardly hand and grudging mind; fo that he raifed God's averfion (s) by offering to him of that which cof bim nothing: Whereas Abel found a kind acceptance, becaufe $(t)$ be honoured the Lord with bis fubfance: He brought of the firftings of his flock, and the very beft and fatteft of them, as thinking nothing too good to be offered in devotion and gratitude to him for whom he received all.:
(u) Allowing the maxim of the Jewifh church, viz. that without blood there is no remifion, to have been good, from the firft inftitution of facrifice, a very learned writer fuppofes, that Abel came, as a petitioner for grace and pardon, and brought the atonement appointed for fin; but Cain appeared before God as a juft perfon, wanting no repentance, and brought an offering in acknowledgment of God's goodnefs and bounty, but no atonement in acknowledgment of his own wretchednefs; and that upon this account his oblation was rejected, as God's expoftulation with him feems to imply: If thou doft well, fbalt thou not be accepted? And if thou doft not well, fin lieth at thy door, i. e. if thou art righteous, thy righteoufnefs fhall fave thee; but if thou art not, by what expiation is thy fin purged? It lieth ftill at thy door.
The author to the ( $x$ ) Hebrews has given us, I think, a key to this difficulty, when he tells us, that by faith Abel offered unto God a more excellent facrifice than Cain. (y) The faith (of which the Apoftle gives us feveral inftances in this chapter) is the belief of femething declared, and, in confequence of fuch belief, the performance of fome action enjoined by God: By faith Noah, being warned by God, prepared anz ark, i.e. he believed the warning which God gave him, and obediently made the ark which he had appointed him to make: By faith Abrabam, when called to go into a ftrange
(s) 2 Sam. xxiv. 2.4. (t) Prov. iii. 9. (u) Bifhop Sherlock's Ufe of prophecy, dif. 3. ( $x$ ) Chap. xi. ver. 4. ( $y$ ) Shuckford's Conneet. val. i. I. 2.

Vol. I.
K k land,
A. M. 128. land, which God promifed to give him for an inberitance, Ant. Cnif. obeyed, i. e. he believed that God would give him what he ${ }^{38}$ en. ch. 4. had promifed, and, in confequence of fuch belief, did what to ver. 25. God commanded him: And thus it was, that Abel, by faith, offered a better facrifice than Cain, becaufe he believed what God had promiled, that the feed of the woman bould bruife the ferpent's bead, and, in confequence of fuch belief, offered fuch a facrifice for his lins, as God had appointed to be offered, until the feed fhould come.
(z) In order to offer a facrifice by faith then, there are three things requifite. Ift, That the perfon who offers fould do it upon the previous appointment and direction of God: 2 dly , That he fhould confider it as a fign and token of the promife of God made in Chrift, and of remiffion of fins through his blood; and 3dly, That, while he is offering, he fhould be mindful withal (in the phrafe of St. Paul) to prefent himfelf a living facrifice, holy, and acceptable unto God. In the firft of thefe qualifications Cain was right enough, becaufe he had learned from his father, that, as God had appointed facrifices, it was his duty to offer them: But herein was his great defect, that while he was offering, he gave no attention to what he was about ; nor once reflected on the promife of God, made in paradife, nor placed any confidence in the merits of a Saviour, to recommend his fervices; but, vainly imagining that his bare obIation was all that was required to his juftification, he took no care to preferve his foul pure and unpolluted, or to conItitue his members as inflruments of righteoufnefs unto God. In fhort, his oblation was the fervice of an hypocrite, lying unto God, and ufing the external fymbols of grace for a cloak of maliciounnefs; whereas $A$ bel's facrifice was attended with awful meditations on that feed of the woman which was to become the world's redeemer, with warm applications to him for mercy and forgivenefs, and with holy refolutions of better obedience, of abandoning all fin, and always abounding in the work of the Lord; and therefore there is no wonder, that their fervices met with fo different a reception. For, however facrificing was an external rite, yet the opus operatam would by no means do. Unlefs the attention of the mind, and the integrity of the heart went along with it, (a) be that killed an ox was as if be glew a man ; and he that facrificed a lumb, as if be cut off a dog's nech; fo deteftable in the fight of God was the richeit
(z) Heidegger's Hilt, patriar. exercit. 5. (a) Ifa. Ixvi. 3. oblation
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oblation *, when the facrificer was not a good man ; nay, A. M. I28. fo ready was he to pafs by all obfervances of this kind, if Ant. Charif. the worfhipper came but, in other refpects, qualifed: Gen. ch. 4. (b) For he that keepeth the law bringeth offerings enough; $\underbrace{0 \text { ver. } 2 \mathrm{~s} .}$ be that taketh beed to the law offereth a peace-offering; be that requiteth a good turn offereth fine flour ; and be that giveth alms facrificeth praife. To depart from wickednefs is a thing pleafing to the Lord; and to forfake unrighteoujnefs is a propitiation.

* That it is not the quality of the facrifice, but the mind and difpofition of the facrificer, which God regards, was the general fentiment of the wifeft Heathens, as appears by that excel . lent paffage in Perfius:

Compofitum jus, fafque animo, fanctofque receffus
Mentis, et incoctum generofo pectus honefto,
Hæc cedo, ut admoveam templis, et farre litabo.

> SAT. 2,

And that other in Seneca:
Non in victimis, licet optime fint, auroque prafulgent, deorum eft honos, fed pia et recta voluntate venerandum; De fenect. l. 1. c. 6 .
(b) Eccluf. xxxv. I, \&éc.

## C H A P. V.

## Of the general Corruption of Mankind.

## The History.

$G$Reat * was the grief, no doubt, which our firt A.m. izo. parents felt upon the lofs of the righteous Abel, Ant. Chirif. and the expulfion of their wicked fon Cain ; but, to al- ${ }^{3874 .}$ leviate, in fome meafure, this heavy load of forrow, God and 6. to $5{ }^{\circ}$ was pleafed to promife them another fon, whofe fate fhould


* The Jewifh, and fome Chritian doctors, fay, that Adam of Seth. and Eve mourned for Abel one hundred years, during which time they lived feparate, Adam particularly, in a valley near Hebron, thence named the valley of tears. And the inhabitants of Ceylon pretend, that the Salt-lake, on the mountain of Columbo, was formed by the tears which Eve fhed on this occafion." All fiction; Calnet's Diftionary.
A. M. y yo. be different, and himfelf a lafting comfort and confolation Aai, Chitio them: And therefore, as foon as Eve was delivered of Gui. ii. 5 . the child, fhe called his name Seth, which fignifies fubfitute, and 6. to becaufe God had been fo good as to fend him in the room $\underbrace{\text { ver. } 13 .}$ of his brother Abel, whom Cain flew. Adam, when he had A catalogue Seth, was 130 years old: He lived after that 800 years, of Adam's and begat feveral other children (though Mofes makes no the line of mention of them). So that the $\|$ whole of his life was Seth. 930 years.
A. M. 235. Seth, when he was ros years old, had a fon named Enos: After which time he lived 807 years; fo that the whole of his life was gra.
A. M. 325. Enos, when 90, had a fon named Gainan: After which he lived 815 years; in the whole 905.
A. M. 395. Cainan, when 70 , had a fon named Mabalaleel: After which he lived 840 years; in all 910.
A. M. 460 . Mahalaleel, when 65 , had a fon named fared: After which he lived 830 years; in all 395 .
A. M. 622. Jared, when 102, had a fon named Enoch: After which he lived 800 years; in all 962.
A. M. 637. Enoch, when 65, had a fon named Methufelah: After which he lived 300 ; in all 365.
$\|$ If it be afked, how it came to pafs, that Adam, who was immediately created by God, and, confequently, more perfect than any of his kind, did not out-live Methufelah, who was the eighth from him? the anfwer which fome have given, viz: That his grief and affliction of mind for the lofs of paradife; and the mifery which, by his tranfgreffion, he had entailed upon his offspring, might affect his confitution, and, by degrees, impair his ftrength, is not much amifs: But there is another reafon which feems to me better founded, viz. That, whereas Adam was created in the full perfection of his nature, and all his defcendants, being born infants, did gradually proceed to maturity ; fubducting the time from their infancy to their manhood, we fhall find, that Adam out-lived them all: For we muft not compute, as we do now, (when the extent of man's life is ufually no more than 70 ) that his complete manhood was at 30 , or thereabouts. In the yery catalogue now before us, we read of none (except Enoch, and two others) who begat children befcre they were 90 or upwards; and therefore, fubtracting thofe years (which we may fuppofe interfered between his birth and his manhood) from the age of Methufelah, we may perceive, that Adam furpafled him to the number of almof fixty; Ffitius in diffic. loca.

Methufelah, when 187, had a fon named Lamesh: Af- A. M. s30. ter which he lived 782 ; in all 969 .

Ant. Chrif. 3874.

Lamech, when 182, had a fon named Noab: After Gen. ch. 5 . which he lived 595: in all 777 : And
and 6 .to
Noah, when he was 500 years old, had three fons, Shem, $\underbrace{\text { ver. } 13 .}$ Ham, and Japhet, $\dagger$ from whom the world, after the de- A. M. 874. luge, was replenifhed.
A. M. $105^{6 .}$
$\dagger$ This is the genealogy which Mofes gives us of the $\begin{gathered}\text { A.M. } 1.1566 \text {. }\end{gathered}$ pofterity of Adam, in the line of Seth, until the time of beiag all.
the
$\dagger$ Of thefe three fons, the eldeft was Japhet, as appears from Gen. x.21.; the fecond was Shem, from Gen. x. 21. and the youngeft Ham, from Gen ix. 24. Neverthelefs, both here and a little lower, Shem is named firf; whether it was, that the rights of primogeniture were transferred to him (tho' the facred hiltorian fays nothing of it); or God was minded, thus early, to fhew, that he would not be confined to the order of nature, in the difpofal of his favours, which he frequently beftowed upon the younger children; or (what I think the molt likely) becaufe the nation of the Jews were to defcend from him, and he, and his pofterity, were to be the principal fubject of this whole hittory; Patrick and Le Clerc's Commentary; and Pool's Annotations.
$\dagger$ From this catalogue we may further obferve, that the cuftom in thofe times was, to give children their names according to the occurrences in life, or expectations of their parents. Thus Seth, being a good man, was grieved to fee the great degeneracy in other parts, though he endeavoured to preferve his own family from the contagion; and therefore called his fon Enor, which fignifies forrowwful. Enos, perceiving the pofterity of Cain to grow every day worfe and worfe, was concerned for their iniquity, and began todread the confequences of it; and therefore called his fon Gainan, which denotes lamentation. Though Cainan had his name from the wickednefs of Cain's family, yet he himfelf was refolved to maintain the true worfhip of God in his own; and therefore called his fon Mabalaleel, i. e. a praifer and worßhipper of God. In the days of Mahalaleel (as the tradition tells us) a defection happened among the fons of Seth, who went down from the mountains where they inhabited, and adjoined themfelves to the daughters of Cain; and therefore he called his fon's name fared, which fignifies defcending. Jared, to guard againt the general corruption, devoted himfelf and his defcendents, more zealoufly to the fervice of God; and, àcordingly, called his fon Enoch, which means a dedication. Enoch, by the fpirit of prophecy, forfeeing the deftruction which would cone upon the earth, immediately after the death of his fon, called his name Mcethefleh, willich imports as much; for
A. M. 130 . the deluge; but we muft obferve, that thefe are far from Ant.Chrift. being all his progeny. In the cafe of our great progenitor Gen. ch. g. Adam, he informs us, that atter the birth of Seth, (a) be
anc b. to bad feveral fons and duughters, though he does not fo much as record their names; and the like we may fuppofe of the reft of the antediluvian patriarchs. For it is incongruous to think, that Lamech was 181, and Merhufelah 187, before they ever had a child, when it fo plainly appears, that his father Enoch had one at 65 . The true reafon then of this omiffion is - that the hiftorian never intended to give us a catalogue of the collateral branches (which doubtlefs were many) but only of the principal perfons by whom, in a right line, the fucceffion was continued down to Noah, and thence to Abraham, the founder of the Jewifh nation.

The diviGion of he world into the families of $\mathrm{Cain}_{\mathrm{a}}$ and Seth.

Not long after the departure of Cain, the whole world was divided into two families, or oppofite nations: The family of Seth, which adhered to the fervice of God, $\dagger$ be-
came
for the firt part of the word [Metbu] fignifies be dies, and [Selab] the fending forth of water. Methufelah, perceiving the wickednefs of the world, in the family of Seth, as well as that of Cain, to grow every day worfe and worfe, called his fon Lamech, which intimates a poor man, bumbled and afficted with grief, for the prefent corruption, and fear of future punilhment: And Lamech conceiving better hopes of his fon (as fome, imagine) that he fhould be the promifed feed, the reftorer of mankind after the deluge, or a notable improver of the art of agriculture, called his name Noah, which denotes a comforter: Bedford's Scripture chronology. We may obferve from this catalogue, however, that the patriarchs, in thofe days, were not fo fuperflitious, as to think any thing ominous in names; and therefore we find, that Jared feared not to call his fon Enoch, by the very name of Cain's eldeft fon, Gen.iv. 17 . even as Methufelah called his fon Lamech, by the name of one of Cain's grand-childrent, ch. iv. ver. 18.; Patrick's Commentary.
(a) Gen. y. 4:
$\dagger$ The words in our tranflation are,-then, i. e. in the days of Enos, began men to call upon the name of the Lard, ch. iv. 26.; but, it being very probable, that puiblic affemblies for religious offices were held long before this time, and that even when Cain and Abel offered their facrifices, their families joined with them in the worhip of God; fome meni of great note, fuch as Bertram, Hacktpan, and Heidegger, take them in the fame fenfe with our marginal tranflation; then began men, (i.e. the children
came more frequent in religious offices; and, as their num-A. m. $\mathrm{r}_{3} \mathrm{on}^{\circ}$ ber increafed, met in larger affembles, and in communion, Ant, Chrif. $3^{874 .}$ to perform the divine worflaip by way of public liturgy; ©elle cin s. and, ( $b$ ) for this their piety and zeal, were ftyled the and 6 . so fons or fervants, of God, in diftinction to the family of Cain, which now became profligate and profane, renouncing the fervice of God, and addicting themfelves to all man-
dren of Seth) to call themfelves by the name of the Lord, i. e. the fervants and worlhippers of the Lord, in contradiftinction to the Cainites, and fuch profane perfons as had forfaken him. It mult not be diffembled however, that the word Hoabal, which we. trannate began, in feveral places of Scripture fignifies to profane; and upon this prefumption many of the Jewifh writers, and fome. of no obfcure fame among us, have taken the words fo , as if Mofes intended to intimate to us, that men began now to apoftatize from the worlhip of God, to fall into idolatry, and to. apply the mof holy name, which alone belongs to the great Creator of heaven and earth, to created beings, and efpecially to the fun. But, confidering that Mofes is here fpeaking of the pious family of Seth, and not that of Cain; that when the $\mathrm{He}-$ brew' word fignifies to profane, it has always a noun following it; but when an affirnative mood follows, (as in the pafage before us), it always fignifies to begin; and withal, that the eaftern writers reprefent this Enos as an excellent governor, who, while he lived, preferved his family in good order, and, when he died, called them all together, and gave them a charge to keep all God's commandments, and not to affociate themfelves with the children of Cain: Confidering all this, I fay, we can hardly fuppofe that Mofes is here pointing out the origin of idolatry, but rather the invention of fome religious rites and ceremonies in the external worfhip of God at this time, or the diftinction which good men began to put between themfelves and fuch as were openly wicked and profane. For that the true meaning of the expreffion Karabefhem, according to our marginal tranflation, is to call or nominate by, or after the name of any one, is manifeft from feveral inftances in Scripture. Thus, Gen. iv. 17. Fikra be called the name of the city Beflem; by, or after the name of his fon. Numb. xxxii. 42. fikra, be called it Nobabe/hem, by, or after his own name; and in Pfal. xlix. 11. Kareau, they call their lands Bifjmotban, by, or after their own-names; and the name here intimated is afterwards exprefsly given them by Mofes himfelf, Gen, vi. when he tells us, that the fons of God faw the daughters of men; Patrick's Commentary ; and Calmet's Dictionary on the word Enos; and Shuckford's connection, vol. 1.1. 1.
(b) Heidegger's Hiltor. Patriarch.
A.M. rino. ner of impiety and lafcivioufnefs) from whence they had Ant. Cbrit.
3874 . the name of the fons and daughters of men. and 6. to Seth, and the feventh in a direct line from Adam, a per$\underbrace{\text { ver. 13. }}$ fon of fingular piety and fanctity of life, not only took care of his own conduct, * as confidering himfelf always untranfation. der the eye and obfervation of a righteous God, but, by his good advices and admonitions, endeavoured likewife to put a fop to the torrent of impiety, and reform the vices of the age; for which reafon God was pleafed to fhew a fignal token of his kindnefs to him; for the exempted him from the common fate of mankind, and, without fuffering death to pafs upon him, tranllated him into the regions of blifs.

Adam's death.

In this period of time, Adam, who (according to the fentence denounced againft him at the fail) was to return to his native duft, * departed this life, and (as the tradition

[^24]dition is) having called his fon Seth, and the other branches A. M. ${ }^{1} 3^{\circ}$. of his numerous family about him, he gave them ftrict ${ }^{\text {Ant. Chr }} 387 \%$. charge, that they fhould always live feparate, and have no Gen. ch. 5 . manner of intercourfe with the impious family of the mur- and 6 . 10 therer Cain.

In this period of time, Noah, the great-grandfon of Noah's Enoch, and a perfon of equal virtue and piety, was born: birth and and as it was difcovered to Enoch at the bitth of Methufe name. Lah, that foon after that child's death, the whole race of mankind fhould be deftroyed for their wickednefs; fo was it revealed to Lamech, at the birth of his fon, (c) that he and his family fhould be preferved from the common deftruction, and fo become the father of the new world; and for this reafon $\dagger$ he called him Noab, which, fignifies a comforter:
ter, bought for a burying-place for himfelf and family, Gen. xxiii. 3. \&c. The oriental Chrittians fay, that when Adam faw death approaching, he called his fon Seth, and the reft of his family to him, and ordered them to embalm his body with myrrh frankincenfe, and caffia, and depofit it in a certain cave, on the top of a mountain, which he had chofen for the repofitory of his remains, and was thence called the cave of All-Konuz, a word derived from the Arabian Kanaza, which fignifies to lay up priwately. And this precaution (as the Jews will have it) was or dered by Adam to be taken, left his pofterity fhould make his relits the object of idolatry. Several of the primitive fathers be. lieve, that he died in the place where Jerufalem was afterwards built, and that he wasinterred on mount Calvary, in the very fpot where Chrift was crucified ; but others are of opinion that (though be did not die at Jerufalem) yet Noah, at the time of the deluge, put his body into the ark, and took care to have it buried there by Melchifedec, the fon of Shem, his grandfon. The Mahometans will have his fepulchre to have been on a mountain near Mecca, and the ancient Perfians, in Serendil, or Ceylon: fo ambitious is every nation to have the father of all mankind repofited with them. When Eve, the mother of all living, died, is no where expreffed in Scripture; but there are fome who venture to tell us, that fhe outlived her hufband ten years; vide the Univerfal Hifory; and Calnet's Dictionary on the weprd Adam.
(c) Bedford's Scripture-chronology.
$\dagger$ The fubftance of Lamech's prophecy, according to our tranflation, is this; He called bis fon Noab, faying, This fane Jhall comfort us, concerning the work and toil of our bands, becaufe of the ground which the Lord bath curfed; and the fenfe of learned men upon it hath been very different. Sonic

Yoi. I.
LI
are
A. M. 330 .forter : Though others imagine, that the name was thereAnt. Chrift. Fore given him, becaufe his father, by the fpirit of propheGen. ch. ${ }^{3}$. cy, foreknew, that God, in his days, would remove the and 6. to curfe of barrennefs from off the face of the earth, and, af$\underbrace{\text { ver. } 13 .}$ ter the time of the deluge, reftore it to its original fertility.

After
are of opinion, that there is nothing prophetical in this declaration of Lamech's, and that the only caufe of his rejoicing was to fee a fon born, who might in time be affiting to him in the toil of cultivating the ground. But in this there is nothing particular : in this fenfe Lamech's words may be applied by every father at the birth of every fon; nor can we conceive why a peculiar name fhould be given Noah, if there was no particular reafon for it. The Jewilh interpreters generally expound it thus. He foallmake our labour in tilling the ground nuore eafy to us, in that he flall be the inventor of feveral proper tools and in. ftruments of hufbandry, to abate the toil and labour of tillage: And forme will tell us, that he therefore received his name, becaufe he firft invented the art of making wine, a liquor that chears the heart, and makes man forget forrow and trouble. But the invention of the fit tools for tillage, after that Tubal, Cain had become fo great an artificer in brafs and filver, feems to belong to one of his defcendants, rather than Noah; and as Noah was not the firf hubandman in the world, fo nei: ther can it be concluded, from his having planted a vineyard, that he was the firft vine-dreffer. Another opinion, not altogether unlike this, is, - that Lamech, being probably informd by God that his fon Noah fhould obtain a grant of the creatures for food, Gen. ix. 3.and knowing the labour and inconveniencies they were then under, rejoiced in forfeeing what eafe and comfort they fhould have, when they bad obtained a large fupply of food from the creatures, befides what they could produce from the ground by tillage. The reftoration of mankind by Noah, and his fons furviving the flood, is thought by many to anfwer the comfort which Lamech promifed himfelf and his pofterity: Gut the learned Hiedegger, after an examination of all thefe, and fome other opinions, fuppofes that Lamech, having in mind the promife of God, expected that his fon lhould prove the bleffed feed, the faviour of the world, who was to bruife the ferpent's head, and, by his atonement, expiate our fins, which are the works of our own hands, and remove the curfe which lay upon finners. But this, in my opinion, is too forced an expofition, Lamech, it is certain, in virtue of Gods promife, expected a deliverance from the curfe of the earth, and forefaw that that deliverance would come through his fon: but how came it thro' his fon, unlefs it came in his fons days? and in what initance

## Chap. V. from the Creation to the Flood.

After the death of Adam, the family of Seth (to fulfil A. M. 930 . their fathers will) removed from the plain where they had ${ }^{\text {An. Chrift. }}$ lived to the mountains over againft paradife, where Adam ${ }^{3074 .}$ is faid to have been bu:ied; and for fome time lived there and 6 . to in the fear of God, and in the ftricteft rules of piety and $\underbrace{\text { ver. I3. }}$ virtue. But as the family of Cain daily increafed, they The wiccame at length to foread themfelves over all the plain which kednefs of Seth had left, even to the confines of the hill-country, thes. where he had fixed his abode, and there they ${ }^{*}$ lived in all kind of riot, luxury, and licentioufnefs.

The noife of their revellings might poffibly reach the A.M. i 042 . holy mountain where the Sethites dwelt : whereupon fome Ant. Clrif. of them might be tempted to go down, merely to gratify inftance could it appear, unlefs it were in fomething fubfequent to the flood? and what could that poffrbly be, unlefs the removal of the fterility of the earth, and reftoring it to its original fruitfulnefs? For which reafon we find God, after the flood, declaring, that he will not again curfe the earth for man's fake; and folemnly promifing, that while the earth remaineth, feed-time and barveft ßhall not ceafe, Gen. viii. 22. Vid. Hiedegger's Hitt. patriar.; Patrick and Le Clerc's Commentary; Pool's Annatations; Shuckford's Connection; and Bilhop Sherlock's Ufe and intent of prophecy, differtation 4.

* Some of the oriental writers have given us a large account of their manner of living. "As to the pofterity of Cain," fay they, " the men did violently burn in luft towards the women, and, " indike manner, the women, without any fhame, committed " fornication with the men : fo that theywere guilty of all man" ner of filthy crimes with one another, and, meeting together in " public placesfor this purpofe, two or three men were concern" ed with the fame woman, the ancient women, if poffible, be" ing more luftful and brutilh than the young, Nay, fathers " lived promifcuoully with their daughters, and the young men " with their mothers; fo that neither the children could diftin" guifh their own parents, nor the parents know their own chil" dren. So deteftable were the deeds of the Cainites, who fpent " their days in luft and wantonmefs, in fing'ing and dancing, and " all kinds of mufic, until fome of the Tons of Seth, hearing the " noife of their mufic and riotous mirth, agreed to go down to " them from the holy mountain, and, upon their arrival, were fo " captivated with the beauty of their women, (who were naked) " that they immediately defiled themfelves with them, and fo " were undone." For when they offered to return again to their " former abodes, the fones' of the mountain becom likefire, " andpermitted them to pais no farther ;" Eutjch. Annals,p. 27.
A.M. rot2 their curiofity perhaps at firft, but being taken with their Ant.Chrift. deluding pleafures, and $*$ intoxicated with the charms of

2962. Gen. ch. 5 , their women, (who were extremely beautiful), they forgot and 6 . to the charge which their forefathers had given them, and fo $\underbrace{\text { ver. } 13 .}$, took to themfelves wives of the daughters of Cain; from which criminal mixture were born men of a vaft gigantic ftature, who for fome time infefted the earth : And, in a few generations after, the whole family of Seth (very probably after the death of their pious anceftor) followed the like example, and, forgetting their obligations to the contrary, entered into fociety with the Cainices, and made intermarriages with them; from whence arofe another race of men, no lefs remarkable for their daring wickednefs than for their bold undertakings and adventurous actions.
The gene- Evil communications naturally corrupt good manners : ral corrap-
tion of the world. by degrees, eat out all remains of religion in the pofterity of Seth: Noah indeed, who was a good and pious man, endeavoured what he could, (e) both by his counifel and authority, to bring them to a reformation of their manners, and to reftore the true religion among them, * but all he could do was to no purpofe. The bent of their thoughts had taken another turn; and all their ftudy and contrivance was, how to gratify their lufts and inordinate

* Our excellent Milton defribes the manner of their being captivated with the daughters of Cain in thefe words:

Long had not walk'd, when from the tents, behold,
A bevy of fair women, richly gay,
In gems, and wanton drefs : to th' harp they fung Soft amorous ditties, and in dance came on.
The men, though grave, ey'd them ; and let their eyes Rove without a rein; 'till in the amorous net
Firft caught, they lik'd, and each his liking chofe. Book it.
(e) Jofephus's Antiq. 1. i. c. 4.

* Jofephus tells us, that Noah, for a long while, oppofed the growing impiety of the age ; but that at laft, finding himfelf and family in manifeft danger of fome mortal violence for his good-will, he departed out of the land himfelf, and all his people; Antiq. l. i. c. 4.; and (as the tradition is) he fettled in a country called Cyparifon, which had its name from the great quantity of Cyprefs-trees which grew there, and whereof (as we fhall obferve hereafter) in all probability he built the ark. paffions.
paffions. In one word, the whole race of mankind was A.M. ro42. become fo very wicked, that one really would have thought Ant. Chir. they had been all confederated together againft heaven, to Gen. ch. 5 . violate God's laws, to profane his worrhip, and fpurn at and 6. to his authority: So that his patience and long-fuffering came $\underbrace{\text { ver. } 13 .}$ at length to be wearied out: And though he is not a man, that he fhould repent, or the ion of man that he fhould grieve at any thing, yet his concern for the general corruption is reprefented under that notion, the better to accommodate it to our capacizy, and to exprefs his fixed refolution of deftroying all mankind for their iniquity, and with them all other creatures made for their ufe, $\|$ as if he had repented that ever he made them.

Before
|| As languages were at firft invented by fuch perfons as were neither philofophers nor divines, we cannot at all wonder, that we meet with many improprieties in fpeech, and fuch actions imputed to God, as no ways comport with the dignity of his nature. Thus, when the holy Scriptures fpeak of God, they afcribe hands, and eyes, and feet to him; not that he has any of thefe members, according ta the literal fignification; but the meaning is, that he has a power to execute all thofe acts, to the effecting of which, thefe parts in us are inftrumental, i.e. he can converfe with men, as well as if he had a tongue or mouth ; he can difcern all that we do or fay, as perfectly as if he had eyes and ears; and can reach us, as well as if he had hands or feet, Ecc. In like manner, the Scripture frequently reprefents him, as affected with fuch paffions as we perceivein ourfelves, viz. as angry and pleafed, loving and hating; repenting and grieving, © ©c.; and yet, upion reflection, we cannot fuppofe, that any of thefe paffions can literally affect the divine nature; and therefore the meaning is, that he will as certainly punifh the wicked, as if he were inflamed with the paffion of anger againt them; as infallibly reward the good, as we will thofe for whom we have a particular affection; and that when he finds any alteration in his creatures, either for the better or the worfe, he will as furely change his difpenifations towards them, as if he really repented, or changed his mind. It is by way of analogy and comparifon, therefore, that the nature and paffions of men are afcribed to God': So that when he is faid to repent or grieve, the meaning muft be, not that he perceived any thing that he was ignorant of before, to give him any uneafinefs, (for known unto bim are all his ways from the beginning), but only that he altered his conduct with regard to men, as they varied in their behaviour towards him, juft as we are wont to do when we are moved by any of thefe paffions
A.M.rys6. Before he refolved upon their deftruction, however, we Ant.CGriit. find him in great ftruggle and conflict with himfelf; his Gen. ch. 5 . Juftice calling for vengeance, and his mercy pleading for and 6. to forbearance ; till at length his juftice prevailed, and dever. 13 .
And God's refolution to deftroy it. nounced the fentence of condemnation upon the wicked world: But ftill with this referve_-That if, \| within the fpace of 120 years, (which was the term limited for their reprival), they fhould forfake their evil ways, repent, and reform, his mercy fhould be at liberty to interpofe, and reverfe their doom. All which he communicated to his fervant Noah, who, for his juftice and fingular piety, in that corrupt and degenerate age, had found favour in his fight; and for whofe fake his family, which conifted of eight perfons in all, was to be exempted from the general deftruction.

The Objection.
The objec-" $\mathbf{B}$ UT how great foever the wickednefs of the antediluvian world might be, yet it comports but badly " with the goodnefs, and wifdom, and foreknowledge of "God, to have created the race of mankind, and provid" ed fuch a delightful place for their habitation, and then;
and changes of affections, we, who dwell in the houfes of clay, and whofe foundations are in the duft: For the very Heathens can tell us, that majeftatis diminutio eft, et confeffio erroris, mutandá facere; neceffeft enim ci eadem placere, cui, ni/2 optima placere non polfunt ; Seneca in Praf. nat. queft. Vid. Le Clerc's Commentary; Bilhop King on Predeftination; and Ainfworth's Annotations.
\| This was the term allowed mankind for their repentance, and prevention of their ruin: And yet, if we compare ch v. 3.2. with ch. vii. II. we fhall find, that between this time and the flood, there were but 100 years. How then did God perform his promife ? Now, in anfwer to this, it may be faid, that the increafing wickednefs of mankind might juftly haften their ruin, and forfeit the benefit of this indulgence ; but what I take to be the true folution is this:-This promife (though mentioned after what we read in ch. v. 32.) feems neverthelefs to have been made 20 years before it; for that verfe is added there out of its proper place, only to complete the genealogy; and therefore, after this narrative of the wickednefs of the world, it is repeated here in its due order, in the soth verfe: Nor are fuch tranfpofitions uncommon in Scripture, without any diminution to its authority; Pool's Annotations.
$4{ }^{4}$ in fo thort a compafs of time, to cancel the work of A.M.x536.
" his own hands, by deftroying the beauty of the one, and Ant.Chrin. " the lives of the orher. For feven generations together Gen ch. 5 . " (if ( $f$ ) Jofephus tells truth) men lived in the exercife of and 6 . to "virtue, and in the love and fear of God. The family ver. $\underbrace{13 .}$ " of Seth were very famous for their holinefs, juftice, and " purity; and (as + as eaftern writers fay) were continually " employing themfelves in the worfhip and praifes of God. " One of them, in particular, was fo remarkable for his " virtue and piety, that he had a privilege granted him, " which the Son of God himielf (when on earth) could " not obtain, viz. a tranflation into immortality, without " undergoing the pains of death ; and yet, in a genera"tion or two following, we read, that ( $g$ ) All flefb had "corrupted his way upon the earth, and that every imagi"natizn of bis beart was evil continually, infomuch that " it repented and grieved the Lord that he had made man.
" Now if God forefaw that man would fo foon become " fo very wicked, why did he make him at all? Or, if " forefeeing this, he neverthelefs thought proper to make " him, why was he fo concerned at finding him to be juft " what he forefaw he would prove? 「o deftroy the wick" ed race of Cain indeed, in fome particular branch of it, "for a teftimony of his difpleafure againft the reft, this " might have been conffiftent with his wifdom and juftice, " and other facred attributes: But to lay wafte the whole

## (f) Antiq. I. .. c. 4.

$\uparrow$ Immediately after the death of Adam, (fay feveral of there writers), Seth being wearied with the wickednefs of the family of Cain, his neighbours, and fearing that now they would become more profligate, retired from the plain where he lived before, and taking with him his eldeft fon Enos, and Cainan the fon of Enos, and Mabalaleel the fon of Cainan, and their wives, brought them up unto the top of that mountain where Adam was buried; that thefe inhabitants of the mountains became very famous for their holinefs, juftice, and purity; that they continually employed themfelves in the praiies of God, and in cultivating their minds in fublime fecculations; and that, when they were remored to a greater diftance from the earth, they were fo very near the celeftial paradife, that they heard the voices of angels celebrating the praifes of God, and joined with them in their facred hymns and heavenly benedictions; Bedford's Scripturechronology.
(g) Gen, vi, 12.
A.M.r. $536 .{ }^{6}$ earth all at once, and even the brute creation, which Ant.Chrift, " was not capable of offending; to pull down what he had
" peopling the flattered and defaced earth from the loing
" of four progenitors only, argues too much levity and
"caprice, 'ever to be imputed to a wife and unchangeable
" God.
"The whole hiftory of this period of time indeed " (according to the account of Mofes) is fo glaringly ro" mantic, and fo repugnant to other parts of Scripture,
" that a man who ventures to think for himfelf, will
" hardly be induced to credit it. The Apoftle to the Co-
"rinthians tells us, that (b) flefh and blood cannot inherit "the kingdom of God, neither doth corruption inherit in. 's corruption; and yet (i) here we have a man, who (ac" carding to the Chriftian interpretation) was immediately " taken up into heaven (but in what vehicle ? there is the " queftion) without any change or alteration, that we
" read of. Chrift, in his gofpel, has told us exprefsly, that * ( $k$ ) the angels of God neitber marry, nas are given in " marriage, and the * fimplicity of their nature muft " induce us to think, that they are not capable of genera" tion ; and yet ( $l$ ) here again we are told, that the fons " of God took themJelves wives of the daughters of men. 4' But, allowing the fons of God to fignify the defcendants " of Seth, yet where was the great damage in their mar" rying the daughters of Cain? We read of no law to " prohibit fuch marriages, and where no law is, there can "be no tranfgrefion; and yet the deftruction of the world " is reprefented as proceeding from this one caufe. The " poets indeed do frequently entertain us with many plea" fant ftories of their gods turning gallants to ladies, of " their affuming human fhape, living in obfcurity for fome " time, and fubmitting to employs far beneath their qua" lity, and all for the love of the fair fex ; but, in a
(b) 1 Cor. xv. 50 . (i) Gen. v.. ${ }^{24}$. (k) Matth. xxii. 30. * The learned Heidegger, in his Differtation De Nephilim, fet gigantibus antediluaianis, has abundantly fhewn from Scripture, from reafon, and from the nature of angels, that neither fimply by themfelves, nor incorporate in any human body, are they capable of begetting children; nor could it have been confiftent with the attributes of God, for him to have permito. ted any fuch abomination.
(l) Gen. vi. 2.

Chap. V. from the Creation to the Flood. I57
" book of divine extract and facred truth, we little expeet- A.M, 1536 .
"ed to be told of amorous intrigues. The giants of old, Ant. Chir. " of what monftrous fize and ftrength they were, how Gen.ch. g.
" they fought againft the gods, and piled + mountain up- and 6. to
" on mountain, in order to fcale heaven, and dethrone $\underbrace{\text { ver. 1\%. }}$
" them, is a popular fubject among the fons of Parnaffius;
" but who ever thought to hape met $(m)$ with the founda-
" tion of all thefe fictions in fo grave an author as Mofes?
" In fhort, his whole account of the tranflation of Enoch,
" and the deluge of Noah; of the fons of God, and the
" daughters of mea; of giants and incubufes, and other
" fuch monftrous abfurdities, favour very ftrong of the
"fabulous age, and feem to be calculated, for no other " purpofe than merely to banter the eafy faith of the vul-
" gar, and to gratify fuch as delight in fiction."
That God of his infinite widdom might, for very good reafons, think proper to create man at firft, and in all the full perfection of his nature, notwithtanding he could not

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Anfwered, } \\
& \text { by fhewing } \\
& \text { how the an- } \\
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& \text { world came } \\
& \text { to be fo } \\
& \text { wicked. }
\end{aligned}
$$

$\ddagger$ The poets have defcribed the attempt of the ancient giants in fuch frains as thefe:

Neve foret terris fecurior arduus æther,
Affectâfe ferunt regnum ceelefte gigantes,
Altaque congeflos Atruxife ad fydera montes.
Ovid. Met.l. I.
-_Immania vidi
Corpora, qui manibus magnum refcindere celum
Agrefli, fuperifque Jovem detrudere regnis.
Ter funt conati imponere Pelio Offam
Scilicet; atque Offx frondofum involvere Olympum;
Ter pater extructos disjecit fulmine montes.
Virg. Etn. 6. et Geor.
Magnum illa terrorem intulerat Jovi
Fidens juventus horrida brachiis,
Fratrefque tendentes opaco
Pelion impofuife Olympo.
Sed quid Typheus, quid validus Mimas,
Aut quid minaci Porphyrion flatu,
Quid Rhæcus, evulfifque truncis
Enceladus jaculator auidax,
Contra fonantem Palladis 灰gida
Pofient ruentes?
Hor. Car'. l. 3. ode 4.
(y) Gen. vi. 4 ,

Vqu. I
A.M. 1536. but forefee, that he would fadly degenerate, and turn rebel Ant. Chrif to his will, is a queftion we have already endeavoured to Gein. ch. 5 . refolve, ( $n$ ) when we treated of the fall of Adam; and by and 6. to what means his pofterity, in the fucceffion of fo few gene:rations as paffed from the creation to the flood, became fo very corrupt, as to lay God under a neceffity to deftroy them, may in a great meafure be imputed to the length of their lives, and the ftrength and vigour of their conftitutions. For, fuppofing all mankind, fince the original defection, to be born in a ftate of depraved nature, with their underftandings impaired, their wills perverted, and their paffions inflamed ( 0 ) ; we can fcarce imagine any reftraint, confiftent with human freedom, fufficient to check their unru:ly appetites in that height of vigour, and confidence of long life. For if we, who rarely, and with no fmall difficulty; ftretch out to the fan of feventy jears, are hardly withheld from violence and villany by all the dictates of reafon and terrors of religion, what can we conceive fufficient to have kept them back, in their ftrength and fecurity in fin, from a continued feries of 8 or 9 hundred years? No interpofition of providence can be fuppofed available to the reformation of mankind under thefe circumftances, unlefs it were fuch as would either change their nature, or deftroy their freedom; and therefore we have reafon to believe, that in the feace of 1800 years from the creation, God found them degenerated to fuch a degree, as if they had loft all fenfe of their humanity; for this fome have made the import of the text, my fpirit fball not always frive with man, for that he alfo is flefh, i. e. it is in vain to ufe any farther methods of mercy, or monitions of providence with man, who is now entirely given up to flefhly appetites, and by that means funk down into the loweft condition of brutality.

By what gradations man arrived at his height of corruption, is not fo evident from Scripture; but there are two paffages; ( $p$ ) the earth was corrupt before God, and the earth was filled with violence; which feem to point out fome particular vices: For by violence is plainly meant cruelty, and outrage, and injuftice of every kind; and by corruption, the Jews always underfand, either idolatry, or unlawful mixtures and pollutions; the latter of which feems to be denoted here, becaufe of the fubfequent expli:

[^25]cation of the words, for all fef/b had corrupted his way upon A.M. 1536 An. the earth.

Ant. Chrift.
Now, if we look into the hiftory, we fhall find, that Gen. ${ }^{2468 .}$. 5 . the firft act of violence was committed by Cain upon his and 6. to brother Abel; the firft act of incontinence by Lamech, in $\underbrace{\text { ver. } 13 .}$ the matter of his polygamy; and that as one of his fons invented the inftruments of luxury, fo the other invented the inftruments of violence and war, As luxury therefore naturally begets a difpofftion to injure others in their property, and fuch a difpofition, armed with offenfive weapons, in the hands of men of a gigantic ftature and ftrength, (as many of the antediluvians very probably were), tends to beget all manner of infolence and outrage to our fellow-creatures; fo thefe two cardinal vices might naturally enough introduce that train of corruption which drew God's judgments upon the inhabitants of the earth.

Had God indeed given them no intimations of this his God's judefign, no calls to repentance, no means and opportuni- fated indities of becoming better, before he determined their deftruc- ftroying all rion, fomething might then be faid in oppofition to the mankind; righteoufnefs of this procedure; but ( $q$ ) fince, from the very beginning, he was pleafed, in the fentence he paffed upon the ferpent, to give them a remarkable promife, that the feed of the woman fhould deftroy the power of that evil fpirit which brought fin into the world, and confequently, $(r)$ that all parents were obliged to train up their children in the ways of virtue and religion, without which it was impoffible for any of them to be the promifed feed, which was to reftore mankind to their original perfections; fince he himfelf inftituted facrifices, as a means admirably well fitted to infpire mankind with an horror of guilt, and be at the fame time a perpetual memorial of the divine mercy from generation to generation; fince, in his expulfion of Cain from his prefence; and exaltation of Enoch into heaven, he made an open declaration to all future ages, that his vengeance fhould at all times purfue fin, but his bounty had always in fore an ample reward for the righteous; frice at this time he exkibited himfelf to mankind $\ln$ a more fenfible manner than he does how, caufing them to hear voices, and to dream dreams, and, by fundry extraordinary means, convincing them of
(q) Shuckford's Connect. vol. I. 1. I. (f) Revelation examined, vol. I .
A.M. 1936. their duty, and giving then directions for the conduct of Ant.cirf.
2468 . their lives; fince, at this time, they had the principles of ${ }^{2468}$. . F . 5 . religion (which were but very few) conveyed to them by and 6 . to an eafy tradition, which, by Methufelah's living 248 years $\underbrace{\text { ver. } 13}$, with Adam, and dying but a lictle before the flood, in the compafs of 1600 years and more, had but two hands to pafs through: And, laftly, fince God appointed $\mathrm{N} c: \mathrm{h}$ in particular to be a preacher of righteounnefs (s), as the Apoftle ftyles him, to exhort that wicked race to forfake their fins, and retwrn unto him ; to warn them of their impending doom, if they perfifted in their provocations; to give them notiee, that 120 years was the flated time of their reprieve, and that, at the end of that period, his fixed determination was to deftroy them atterly, unlefs their amendment averted the judgment: Since thefe and many more methods of mepcy were all along employed by God (and efpecially in the days that his iong-fuffering waited, while the ark was preparing) for the recovery of mankind, before the deluge came upon them, they are fufficient to vindicate the ways of God with man, and to juftify his feverity in bringing in the flood upon the world of the ungody, which neither his reftraints nor rewards, nor all the munitions and exhortations of his prophets, added to his own declarations, inftitutions, inflictions, and denunciations of vengeance, could reclaim, in the courfe of fo many centuries.
and oticr
Other living creatures, it is true, were not culpable in living crea. zustes. this manner : They all anfwered the ends of their production, and man was the only rebel againt his maker. ( $t$ ) But as, in an univerfal deluge, it was impoffible to preferve them alive without a miracle; fo, having, in fome meafure, been made inftrumental to man's wickednefs, innocent though they were, they were all to be deftroyed, in order to evince the malignity of fin, and God's abhorrence of it. For the great end of his providence in fending the deluge was not fo much to eafe himfelf of his adverfaries, as to leave a perpetual monument of his unrelenting feverity, that thereby he might deter future ages from the like provocation. And this is the inference which the Apoftle draws from all his judgments of old : (u) If God fpared not the angels, fays he, that finned, but caft them down to Hell; if he fpared not the old world, but brought in a flood'

[^26]upon the ungodly; if 'ie turned the cities of Soidom and Go. A.M. 1536. morrba into a/bes, and condemned them with an overthrow; Ant. Chr thefe are an enfample unto thofe, that after fball live ungod-Gen. ch. s. $l y$; for (however they may efcape in this life), be bath re- and 6. to ferved the unjuft unto the day of judgment to be punifbed. ver. 13.

The Scripture indeed feems to impute all this iniquity to the marriages between the fons of God and the daughters of God not of men ; but the misfortune is, that feveral interpreters, being led away by the authority of the LXX, who (according to Philo) did anciently render what we ftyle the
 and apoftate angels affumed, at this time, human bodies, and, having had carnal communication with women, begat of them a race of giants ; and from this original, the notion of incubi, or devils converfing with women in the like manner, has ever fince been derived. 8 t . Auftin, $t$ among many others, is very poffite in this opinion. ( $x$ ) "Several people have had the trial," fays he', " and feveral " have heard it from thofe who knew it to be true, that " the filvani and fauni, commonly called incubi, have " been often fatal to women, and have defiled their bed. " It is likewife affirmed with fo much confidence, that " certain demons (called durii among the Gauls) have not " only attempted, but likewife perpetrated thefe kinds of "impure actions, that it would be foolifh to make any "queftion of it." But befides the incompatiblenefs of the notions of a fpirit, and the nature of an incubus, the fons of ${ }^{\prime}$ God are here reprefented under circumftances quite different to what we may fuppofe of any demons affuming human hape.
(y) An incubus (if any fuch there be) can defire commerce with a woman, for no other reafon, but only to
$\dagger$ Dr. Whitby, in his Scriptura patrum, p. 5. has inflanced in almoft all the fathers of the four firt centuries, who were of this opinion; fuch as Jutin Martyr, Irenæus, Athenagoras, Clemens Alexandribos, Tertullian, St. Cyprian, Laetantius, Eufebius, $\sigma c$. and fuppofes that this notion took its rife from the vain traditions of the Jews; becaufe we find not only Philo reading the word äryesios in the Septuagint verfion, but Jofephus likewife afferting, " that the angels of God mixing " with women, begat an infolent race (not much unlike that " of the giants in the Greek fables) overbearing right with " power; Antiq. l. i.c. 4.
(x) De civitate Dei, 1. 15. c. 23.
(1) Heidegger's Hift.

Patriar.
A.M. 1536 . draw her into the gulf of perdition. Any carnal gratifiAnt. Chrift. cation of his own cannot be his motive, becaufe pleafure in
2468 . Gen. ch. 5 . an affumed body, if it is pretended to, muft be fictitious: and 6. to But here the fons of God are faid to be enamoured with the ver. 13 . daughters of men, and (to farisfy their lufts) to take to themfelves wives of all that they chofe, which denoting a fettled marriage and cohabitation with them, can hardly be imagined in the cafe before us. From thofe marriages we may farther obferve, that a generation of living men, called by the Scripture men of renown, did enfue; but it is im: pious to think, that God would ever concur with the devil, violating the laws of generation which he had eftablifhed, and proftituting the dignity of human nature, by ftamping his own image upon, or infufing an human foul into whatever matter a fiend fhould think fit to engenerate.
not great In prejudice taken to this opinion, therefore, feveral inmen and terpreters have made choice of another, which, though ${ }^{\text {magifrates; }}$ fomewhat more reafonable, is neverthelefs fubject to exceptions. It fuppofes, that, by the fons of God in this place, are meant the princes, great men, and magiftratés in thofe times, who, inftead of uffing their authority to punif and difcountenance vice, were themfelves the greateft examples and promoters of lewdnefs and debaüchery; taking the daughters of men, or of the inferior and meaner fort of the people, and debauching them by force. But ( $z$ ) befides the harfhnefs of the conftruction, which (contrary to Scripture-phrafe) makes all great and powerful perfons to be called the fons of God, and all mean and plebeian women the daughters of men, there is this error in the fuppofition, that the great men we are now fpeaking of; did not offer any force or violence to thefe inferior women; they faw that they were fair, and made choice of them for wives. They did not take them merely to lie with them, and fo difmifs them ; but voluntarily entered into a ftate of matrimony and cohabitation with them. And this being all the matter, wherein is the heinoufnefs of the offence, if men of a fuperior rank marry with their inferiors, efpecially when an excefs of beauty apologizes for their choice? Or, why fhould a few unequal matches be reckoned among fome of the chief caufes which brought upon the world an univerfal deftruction?
But the de- The moft common, therefore, and indeed the only proferndents of bable opinion is, that the fons of God were the defcendSeth.
dents of Seth, who, for the great piety wherein they continued for fome time, were fo called, and that the doughters of men were the progeny of wicked Cain: And why Gen. ch. 5 . the intermarriages of thefe two families (even though there was no exprefs prohibition from God) came to be fo provoking to him, and in the end fo deftructive to themfelves, is the next point of our inquiry.

It has been a queftion among the learned, whether or no, in the ages before the flood, idolatry was practifed? but there feems to be no great foundation for our doubting it, though fome have endeavoured to eftablifh it upon incompetent texts. The only expreffion in Scripture that bears a proper afpect this way is in Gen. vi. 5, where we are told, That God faw, that the wickednefs of man was great in the earth, and that evcry imagination of the thoughts of his beart was only evil continually. The words feems parallel to that paffage of the Apoftle, (a) they became wain in their imaginations, and their foolifb beart was darkened;-whereupon it follows, that they changed the glory of the incorruptible God into an image, made like to corruptible man, and to birds, and four-footed beafts, and creeping things. Since therefore Mofes makes ufe of (b) the like expreffion concerning the age foon after the flood; and, fince it is certain that, foon after the flood, men fell into idolatry, until the true worfhip of God was again eftablifhed in Abraham's family, it feems very probable that he intended us an intimation hereof in the manner of his exprefling himfelf : Nor can we imagine but that, when St. Peter compares the falfe teachers of his age with the people of the antediluvian world, in the nature of their punifhment, he means to inform us, that they refembled them likewife in the nature of their crime, in their (c) bringing in damnable berefies, and abetting fuch doctrines, as ever denied the Lord that bought them; or that, when St. Jude (d) exprefies his indignation againft certain ungodly men in his days, who denied the only Lord God, 'and our Lord' Jefus Chrift, in fuch words as thefe, Woe unto them, for they are gone into the way of Cain; he leaves us to infer, that Cain and his pofterity were the firft that threw off the fenfe of a God, and, inftead of the creator, began to worfhip the creature.

Now if the Cainites were, at this time, not only profligate in their manners, but abettors of infidelity, and
(a) Rom. i. 21. 23. '(b) Cut, vii. 21. (c) 2 Pet. ii. 8. 50 (d) Ver. 4. 11.
A.M.Is.36. promoters of idolatry; for the family of Seth, who proAnt. 2468 . Gen.ch. 5 or any matrimonial compacts with them, could not but and 6. to prove of fatal confequence. 'Tis a folemn injunction which God gives the Ifraelites, againft all idolatrous nations, (e) Thou Jbalt not make marriages with them; thy daughter thou fbalt not give unto his Jon, nor bis daughter ßbalt thou take unto thy fon': And, that this is no feecial but a general prohibition, extenfive to all nations that profefs the true worthip of God, is evident from the reafon that is annexed to it; for they will turn away thy fon from follow. ing me, that they may ferve ether Gods. This was what Balaam knew full well, and therefore, perceiving that he could injure the children of Ifrael no other way, he advifed the Moabites to commence a familiarity with them; whereupon it foon came to pafs, that ( $f$ ) The people began to commit whoredom with the daughters of Moab, and they called the people unto the facrifices of tbeir gods, and the people did eat, and bowed down to their Gods.
'Twas this danger of feduction into a ftate of idolatry that made Abraham, before the law, fo very anxious and uneafy, left his fon Ifaac fhould marry a Canaanitifh woman; and though we, under the gofpel, ( $g$ ) know very well, that an idol is nathing in the world, and that there is none other God but one, yet we are admonifhed by the fame Apoftle, who teaches us this, Not to be unequally yoked together with unbelievers: for what fellow/hip, fays he, bas righteoufnefs with unrighteoufnefs, what communion bath light with darknefs, or what part bath be that bebeveth with an infidel ( $b$ )? From all which it feems to follow, that the fin was very heinops in the family of Seth, to mix with the wicked feed of Cain, when they could not but forefee, that the confequence would be their ceduction from the true worfhip of God; and that the heinoufnefs of their fin feems fill to be inhanced, if, what fome oriental writers tell us be true, viz. that God gave them this prohibition by the mouth of their great forefather Adam, and that their cuftom was, at certain times, to fwear by the blond of Abel (which was their folemn oath) that they would never leave the mountainous country where they inhabited, nor have any communion with the defcendents of Cainn.

[^27]How the commixture of two fuch different families A.M. $1536^{-}$ came to produce a fet of giants is not fo eafy a matter to Ant. Chrift. determine. Thofe who pretend to reduce it to natal ${ }^{2468}$. caufes, or the eager luft and impetus of their parents, and 6. to are valtly mittaken, (i) becaufe giants there were among ver. $\mathrm{I}_{3}$. the Cainites, before this conjunction, and we read of feveral in other nations many ages after the flood. The more probable opinion therefore is, $(k)$ that God permitted it in fprung. vengeance to their parents crimes, and that the children begotten by fuch unlawful mixtures might, (fome of them at leaft), be accounted monftrous in their kind, (for thus the word Nepbilim certainly fignifies), and fo become the abhorrence of all future generations.

It muft be acknowledged, indeed, that tranflators have not agreed in their notions of this word. Aquila, inftead of gigantes, renders it ( $l$ ) men who attack, or fall with impetuofity upon their enemies; and Sycmmahus will have it mean ( $m$ ) violent and cruel men, the only rule of whofe actions is their ftrength and force of arms: And from hence fome have imagined, that the giants fooken of in Scripture were famous for the crimes and violences they committed rather than for the height or largenefs of their ftature. But to hinder this from paffing for a truth, we have the hiftories of all ages, both facred and profane, and feveral other remains and monuments, to evince $\dagger$ the being of fuch prodigious creatures in almoft every country.
(n) That there were multitudes of giants in the land The real of promife, before the Ifraelites took poffeffion of if, fuch exiftence of as Og King of Bafan, and the Anakims, whom (0) the Moabites called Enims, i. e. terrible men, and ( $p$ ) the Ammonites, Zamzummims, i. e the inventors of all wickednefs, whofe pofterity were in being in the days of David, and whofe bones were to be feen at Hebron, the chief place
(i) Gen. vi. 4. (k) Vid. Heidegger's Vit. patriar. and Pa-

$\dagger$ Mr. Whiton, in his Original records, has a fupplement concerning the old giants, wherein, according to the Apocryphal book of Enoch, he divides the giants into three kinds, and in this divifion thinks himfelf contenanced by the words of Mofes, Gen. vi. 2. ©c.; the firft and loweft kind of which are called Eliudim, and are of fature from 4 cubits to 15 ; the fecond are $N_{e p h}$ blim , from 15 to 40 cubits; and the third, or great giants, 40 cubits at leaft, and many times above.
( $n$ ) Huetii Alstan. Queft. (o) Deut. ii. 11.( $p$ ) Ver. 2 I.
Vol.I. $\mathrm{Nn}_{\mathrm{n}} \mathrm{ef}$
A. M. 5 S5: 5 of their abode, is manifeft from the facred records. (q) Al An. Curit. the pecople (fay the fpies who were fent to take a furvey of
i468. Gen. ch.5. the land) are men of Rature; and there we faw the giants, and 6 to the fons of Anack, which came of the giants, fo unmeafuver. 13 . rably large, that we were but like graffoppers in comparifon of them. And therefore we need lefs wonder, that wd find ( $r$ ) Jofephus, upon the fame occafion, telling us, ". That the race of giants was not then extinct, who, on " account of their largenefs and fhapes (not at all to be " likened to thofe of other men) were amazing to fee, and " terrible to hear of." Homer (s) fpeaks of the giants O. tus and Ephialtes, who, at the age of nine years, were nine cubits about, and fix and thitty in height; he likewife defcribes ( $t$ ) the bignefs of the Cyclops Polyphemus, who was of fuch predigious ftrength, that he could, with the greateft faciity, take up a ftone which two and twenty fourwheeted chariots would fcarce be able to move. This we allow to be, in fome meafure, romantic, but.ffill it confirms the tradition, that feveral perfons of old were of a gigantic ftature.
"That the Cyclopes ind Laffrigones," ( $u$ ) fays Bochart, " were once in Sicily, we have the account, not only in " the poets, Homer, Hefiod, and Euripides, Virgil, Ovid " and Silius, but in the hiftorians and geographers (I " mean 'Thucydides and Strabo) who where Grecians, and " in Trogus, Mela, Pliny, and others, who were Romans : " And that there was fomething of truth in the fables con"cerning them, we are affured by thofe bones of giants " which were dug out of the earth in the memory of our "fathers." ( $x$ )
(q) Numb. xiii. 33. (r) Antiq. 1. 5. c. 2. (s) Odyff. 1. 11. (1) Ibid. 1. 9 .
(u) Cannan i. 30.
(x) Fazellus relates, and out of him Cluverius, that, A. D. 1547, near Panormum in Sicily, the body of a giant was dug up about 18 cubits or 27 fect tall. The fame authors relate, that, $A D .1516$, was dug up, near Mazarene in Sicily, the body of a giant, 20 cubits or 30 feet tall. The fame authors relate, that A.D. 1548, near Syracufe, was dug up another body of the fame dimenfion. They inform us, that $A . D$. 1550, near Entella in Sicily, was dug up a body of about 22 cubits or 33 feet high, whofe 1 kull was about 10 feet in circumfercnce; and they defcribe the corpfe of a giant of portentous magnitude, found fanding in a vaft cave, near Drepanum ia Sicily, A. D. 1342, whofe faff was like the malt of a Ihip,

But I forbear more inftances of this kind, and, * refer- A.M.1536. ring the reader, for his further conviction, to fuch au- ${ }_{2}$ nt. Chirrit. thors as have profeffedly handled this fubject, thall only Gen.ch. 5 . crave leave to make this remark- - $(y)$ that, in all pro- and 6. to bability, no fmall part of the eldeft cities, towers, temples, $\underbrace{\text { rer. } 13}$ obelifls, pyramids, and pillars, fome of which are fill remaining, and defervedly efteemed the wonders of the world, $\dagger$ were the ftructure of thefe ancient giants; and, as they furpafs the abilities of all later ages, fo they feem to me to be the vifible and undeniable remáins, monuments, and demonftrations, not only of their exiftence, but of their prodigious ftature and ftrength likewife; fince in an age, ignorant of mechanical powers and engines, fuch vaft piles of building could no otherwife have been erected.

Without concerning ourfelves then with the fictions and fables of the poets, or ( $z$ ) whether the giants of old, rebelling againft heaven, were able to heap mountains upon
and the forepart of whofe fkull would contain fome Sicilian buffels, which are about a third part of our Englifh bufhel. $V$ Vide Whiton's Supplement concerning the old giatts, in his Anthentic records, part 2.

* They that defire to fee more infanices of this kind may find them cited by Huetius, in his queft. Aletan. 1. 2.; Aug. De civit. Dei. 1. 15.; Jofeph. Antiq. 1. 1. c. 5. 18 ; Pliny, 1. i.; Hiedegger's Hitt. patr. exercit. in.; Grotius De veritate.1. i.; Hackwell's Apolog. I. 3.; Whifton's Original Records, part 2. and our Philofophical Tranfactions, N. 234. $272.274 \cdot 346$. and 370 .
(y) Whiton's Supplement, part 2.
$\dagger$ The works of this kind which our author reckons up, are 1. The Giants Dance, upon Salibury Plain in Englanu. now cakled Stone-benge, 2. The Giants Cauferway in the north of Ireland. 3. The Circular Gigantic Stone at Ravenna. 4. The Tower of Babel. 5. The two Dbelifks mentioned by Herodotus. 6. The Temple of Diana in Egypt. 7. The Labyrintb in Egypt. 8. The Lake Mcris, 480 miles long, and dug by human labour, all by the fame Herodotus. 9. The Sphinx of Egypt. Io. The mg,t ancient Tenple in Egypt. 11. The Igrigentine Temple. 12. The Pyramidal Obelifk, all mentioned loy Diodorus Siculus. 13. The Temple of Solomon. 14. Theip Palace of Solonon at Jerufatem. 1 . That at Balbeck. 16. That at Tadmor. 17. The Palace and Buildings at Perfepolis. 18. The Temiple of Belus at Rabylon. 19. The Temple at Chillembrum. And, 20. The Firft Tompte of Diana at Ephefus; Whilton's Supp!.
( $x$ ) Calmet's Diffration fur les geans, vol. 2.
A.M.1 ${ }^{536}$. mountains, in order to fcale it, or to hurl rocks, and Ant. Chrif. iflands, and huge flaming trees againft it, in order to fhake, Gen. ch. 5 . or fet it on fire; all that we pretend to fay is, that in anand 6. to cient days, there were giants in great numbers, who (excepting the largenefs of their ftature) were formed and fafhioned like other men, and waged no other war with heaven, than what all wicked perfons are known to do when they provoke the divine majefty by their crimes and enormous impieties. This is the whole of what the Scriptures affert, and I know nö occafion we have to defend the wild hyperboles of the poets.
The reality
Amidft the antediluvian corruption, and even while of Enoch's thefe abominable and gigantic men were in being, Mofes tranfation, makes particular mention of one perfon of eminent fanctiand what it means. ty, and who found a favour extraordinary, for having preferved his innocence, and perfifted in his duty, notwithftanding the wickednefs of the age wherein he lived. Enoch was certainly, in other refpects, an extraordinary perfon. (a) St. Jude diftinguifhes him as a prophet: (b) the Arabians feprefent him as a great fcholar, the Babylonians look upon him as the author of their aftrology; the Greeks called him their Atlas, and affirm, that he was the firft who taught men the knowledge of the ftars : but it was not for thefe rare qualities, fo much as for his fingular piety and virtue, that God exempted him from the common fate of mankind.

The Jewifh doctors indeed will have the words of Mofes concerning him to inuport no more, than his fudden and untimely death, becaufe he lived not near fo long as the other patriarchs. But the paraphrafe which St. Paul gives us of them, (c) By faith Enoch was tranflated, that be Jould not Jee death, and was not found, becaufe God bad tranflated him; for before his tranlation, he had this tefimony, that be pleafed God's this paraphrafe, I fay, will not fuffer us to doubt of the truth of the Chriftian interpretation. And indeed, ( $d$ ) unlefs the Chritian interpretation be true, the whole emphafis of Mofes's words is loft, and they become a crude tautology. For if we fay, that Enoch was not, i. e. was no longer living, becaufe Cod took bim, i. e. God caufed him to die; it is the fame, as if we fhould fay, God caufed bim to die, becaufe be took him away by death, which is flat and infipid, a proof of the fame thing by the

[^28]Chap. V. from the Creation to the Flood.
fame thing, and hardly confiftent with common fenfe: A.M. $\mathrm{C}_{5} 56$. Whereas, if we interpret the words in this manner- Ant. Chriut. Enoch was not, i. e. was no where to be found, was feen Gen. ch. 5 . neither among the living nor the dead here on earth, for and 6 . to God to:k him, i. e. becaufe God tranflated him to another $\underbrace{\text { ver. 13. }}$ place, foul and body together, without undergoing the pains of death; here is a grace and energy in the expreffion, not unbecoming the fyle of an infpired penman.

The reafon which Mofes affigns for God's taking him in this wife, is, that be walked with God: But if God's taking him menns no more than his hafty death, it was far from being a divine atteftation of his piety, (becaufe length of days are the promifed reward of that) ; and therefore we may be allowed to infer, that his walking with God was not the caufe of his ablation by dearh, but of his affumption into glory. The truth is, (e) about 57 years before this event, Adam, the father of all living, had fubmitted to the fentence denounced againt him, and refigned his breath; and whatever notions his pofterity might have of a life immortal in reverfion, yet if feemed expedient to the divine wifdom, at this time, in the perfon of Enoch, to give them, as it were, an anticipation of it, and to fupport and comfort them under the fenfe of their mortality, with the profpect, and affured hope, that after the dark entry of death was paffed, they were to be admitted into the manfions of blifs.

Our Saviour indeed, when he came upon earth, (chough why Ctrit declared from heaven to be the Son of God), was not exempt- was not exed from the common condition of our mortality. ( $f$ ) For- from death. afmuch as the children are partakers of flefb and blood, be alfo bimfelf likewife took part of the fame, that through death, be might deftrcy bim who bad the powver of death, i. e. the devil. His errand was to propitiate for our fins; but fince, $(g)$ without fbedding of blood there is no remiffion, the decree was, that he floould die; which when we had fatisfied, he rofe again; and after forty days converfe with his difciples, even (h) while they beheld bim, we are told, be was taken up into beaven, and a cloud received bim out of their fight. And, in like manner, if the end of Enoch's affumption was for the conviction of mankind in that great article of faith, the realiry of another world, ir feems reafonable to believe, that the thing was done publicly and vifibly; that either fome bright and radiant cloud,
(e) Patrick's Commentary. (f) Heb ii. 14. (g) Ch. ix. 22. (b) Acts xix. and Luke zxiv. 5 .
A.M. I 3 56. guided by the miniftry of angels, gently raifed him from Ant. Chrf. the earth, and mounted with him up on high, (which feems
2468. Gen. ch. 5 . to be our Saviour's cafe), or that a (i) ftrong' guft of wind, and 6. to governed by the fame angelic powers, in fome vehicle or other, refembling a bright chariot and horfes, tranfported him into heaven, (which feems to be the cafe of Elijah), and that, in his paffage thither, his body was transformed, his corruptible into incorruption, hiṣ mortal into immortality, in a moment, in the twinkling of an eye ( $k$ ), as we are told it will happen to thofe who are alive, when the laft trumpet fball found.

The place to which Enoch was trannated;

It is an idle conceit therefore of fome of the Jewifh, as well as Chriftian doctors, that Enoch was not tranflated into the celeftial, but only into the old terreftrial paradife, wherein Adam, before his tranfgreffion, lived. Whether the beauty of that place went to ruin, or no, as foon as our firft parents were ejected, and no hand left to drefs it, it is certain, it could never withftand the violence of the flood; and confequently Enoch muft have perifhed in it, unlefs we can fuppofe *, that he was preferved by fome fuch miracle as the Ifraelites were, when they paffed through the Red-fea, and that the waves, towering up on all fides, furrounded it like a wall, and lsept that particular fpot dry; which is by much too bold a fuppofition, efpecially when it contradicts that authority, which tells us, that (l) the waters prevailed exceedingly upon the earth, and that all the high bills, which were under the whole heavens, were covered.
and fome Heathen evidences :hefura

Whatever therefore fome may fancy to themfelpes, we acknowledge now no other paradife, than what is reprefented in the Scriprures, as a place in which God gives the brighteft evidences of his prefence, and communicates his glory with the utmoft majefty; a place which St. Paul calls ( $m$ ) the third beaven, whereunto Elijah was tranf-

## (i) 2 Kings ii. It. <br> (k) I Cor. xv. 52.

* Bonferius ait, Verifimile efle paradifum ab imbribus fervatum immunem, undique ad latera fefe attollentibus aquis, et quafi in murum folidatis, quemadmodum folidatæ aqua Maris Rubri, Ifraelitis in matio aquarum tranfeuntibus: Verum non hic quid verifimile fit queritur, fed quid pro certo affirmari poffit. Ubi miraculi nullum vefigium apparet, non licet propria opinione verifimilitudinis illud aftruere; Heidegger, Fit. patriar. De raptu Euabi. exercit. 9.
(l) Gcn. vi. 19. (m) 2 Cor. xii. 2.
lated, and wherein our bleffed Saviour is now ( $n$ ) preparing A.M. 1536 . manfions for us, that where he is, we may be alfo. Into this Ant. Chrif. happy place we fuppofe Enoch to have been conveyed, and 2468. it is no mean confirmation of the truth of the Mofaic ac and है. 5 . count, that we find, among the Heathen world, notions $\underbrace{\text { ver. } 13 .}$ of the like tranflation: That we find Bacchus affuring Cadmus, that, by the help of Mars, he fhould live for ever in the ifles of the bleffed: That we find Aganympha made immortal by the favour of Jupiter; and, after the death of her hufband Hercules, Alcmena, tranflated by Mercury, and married to Rhadamantus; with many more allufions of the like nature ( 0 ).

And in like manner, it is far from being a bad argu-Astikewife ment for the truth and reality of the flood ( $p$ ), that we find, of the fiood. almoft every where in the Latin and Greek hiftorians, horrid defcriptions of the lives of the giants, which occafioned that heavy jndgment: That we find Berofus the Chaldean, (as he is quoted by ( $q$ ) Jofephus), relating the fame things which Mofes does, concerning the great deluge, the deftruction of mankind by it, and the ark, in which Nochus (the fame with Noah) was preferved, and which refted on the tops of the Armenian mountains : That we find Abydenus, the Affyrian (as he is cited ( $r$ ) by Eufebius) taking notice of the wood of the veffel, wherein Xifuthrus ( $\dagger$ for fo he calls Noah) was faved, and telling us, that the people of Armenia made ufe of it for amulets to drive away difeafes: 'That we find Alexander Polyhiftor, in a paffage produced (s) by Cyril, informing us of an Egyptian prieft who related to Solon, out of the facred books of the Egyptians, (as he fuppofes), that, before the particular deluges known and celebrated by the Grecians, there was of old an exceeding great inundation of waters, and devaftation of the earth : And (to mention no more) that we find ( $t$ ) Lucian giving us a long account of an ancient tradition,
(n) John xiv. 2. 3. (0) Huetii Qurf. Aletanx, l. 2.c. 10. ( $p$ ) Grotius De verit. 1. 1. §. 16. (q) Cont.App.1. I. (r) Prepar. Evang. 1. 9.
$\dagger$ M. Le Cle ${ }^{--}$, in his notesupon Gort. De verit. [1. 1. §. 16.] feems to intimate, that Xifuthrus, Ogyges, and Deucalion, are all names fignifying the fame thing in other languages, as Noab does in Hebrew, wherein Mofes wrote; and that the deluges which are faid to have happened in their times, and are thought to be different, were in reality one and the fame.
(s) Contra Julianum.
(t) De Dea Syria.
A.M. 1536. which the people of Hierapolis had of the deluge, * vary Ant. Chrif. ing very little from what our facred hiftorian relates: When
2468. Gen, ch. 5. we find all this, I fay, we cannot but acknowledge, that and 6. to thefe, and the many more hiftorians who are ufually prover. 13 . duced upon this head, are a ftrong teftimony of the truth and authority of Mofes; and therefore, to conclude this reply, or vindicaton of him, with the reflection of the learned $(u)$ Scaliger upon the agreement he perceived between Mofes and Abydenus, in the account they both give of the dove and the raven which Noah is faid to have fent out: "Though the Greek hiftorians (fays he) do not " always agree in particulars with the facred one, yet they

* The account, though fomewhat long, is not unpleafant, and deferves our obferyation. This race of men (fays he) which now is, was not the firt: Thefe are of a fecond generation, and from their firit progenitor Deucalion, whoincreafed to fo great a multitade as we now fee. Now of thefe former men they tell us this ftory--They were contentious, and did ma. ny unrighteous things; they neither kept their oaths; nor were hofpitable to ftrangers ; for which reafon this great misfortune came upon them: All on a fudden the earth difembowelled itfelf of a great quanticy of water, great fhowers fell, the rivers overflowed, and, the fea fwelled to a prodigious height; fo that all things became water, and all men perifhed. Only Deucatlion was left unto the fecond generation, upon the account of his prudence and piety; and the manner wherein he was faved is this_He had a great ark or chelt, into which he came with his children and the women of his houfe, and then entered hogs, and horfes, and lions, and ferpents, and all other animals which live upon the earth, together with their mates. He received theth all, and they did him rio harm; for by the affiftance of heaven there was a great amity between them, fo that all failed in one cheft as long as the water did predominate. This is the account which all the Greek hiftorians give of Deucalion. But what happened afterwards (as it is told by the people of Hierapolis) is worthy our obfervation; viz. That in: their country there was a chafm, into which all this waterfunk, whereupon Deucalion built an altar, and erected a temple over it, which he confecrated to Juno: And to verify this ftory, not only the priefts, but the other inhabitants likewife of Syria and Arabia, twice every year, bring abundance of water, which they pour into the temple, and though the chafm be but fmall, yet it receives a prodigious quantity of it; and when they do this, they relate how Deucalion firft inflituted this cuftom, in memory of that calamity, and his deliverance from it.
(u) Not. in Fragm, in append, ad emend. temp.
*" are rather to be pitied for not having had the advantage A.M. I $536^{6}$.
" of true and authentic antiquities and records to fet them Ant. Chrif.
" right than to forfeit their $w$ and auhory, fin
號 from Gen. ch. 5.
" fuch lips and deviations from the truth of the ftory as and 6 . to
" render their teftimony and confirmation of the truth of $\underbrace{\text { ver. } .13 .}$
" the facred hiftory much ftronger, becaufe much lefs to
" be fufpected than if they agreed with it in every circum-
"ftance."


## DISSERTATIONV.

## Of the Heathen biftory, the chronology, religion, learning, longevity, \&c: of the Antediluvians.

WE are now arrived at a period, where it may be convenient to take fome notice of fuch Heathen writers as have given us an account of the times before the flood, thans from through which we have hitherto been tracing Mofes: And to the flood. thofe that are efteemed of the beft credit and repute, are only three; Berofus, who wrote the hiftory of the Chaldeans; Sanchoniatho, who compiled that of the Phonicians; and Manetho, who collected the antiquitics of Egypt.

The Chaldeans were certainly a nation of great and The hifory undoubted antiquity. ( $x$ ) In all probability they were the of Berofuso firft formed into a national government after the flood, and therefore were more capable of having fuch arts and fciences flourifh anong them as might preferve the memory of eldeft times, to the latelt pofterity: And yet, even among thefe people, who enjoyed all the advantages of eafe, quiet, and a flourifhing empire, we find no credible and undoubted records preferved. Berofus, their hiftorian was, (as $(y)$ Jofephus affures us) a prieft of Belus, and a Babylonian born, but afterwards flourifhed in the ifle of Cos, and was the firft who brought the Chaldean aftrology into requeft among the Greeks; in honour of whofe trame and memory, the Athenians (who were great encouragers of novelties) erected a flatue for him with a golden tongue, a good emblem of his hiftory, (z) fays one, who made a fair and fpecious fhow, but was not within what it pretended to be, efpecially when it attempts to treat of ancient times. It cannot be denied, however, but that fome
(x) Stillingfleet's Orig, facr. 1. 1, c. 3. ( $y$ ) Cont. App. 1. r. (z) Vid. Univerf, hit.; and Shuckford's Conneet, I. I.

## A.M.s 336 . fragments of it which have been preferved from ruin by

 Arte.chift the cate and induftry of Jofephus, Tatianus, Eufebius, and Gen. chi: 5 . others, have been very ufeful, not only for proving the and 6. to truth of Scripture-hiftory to the Heathens, but for con$\underbrace{\text { ver. }{ }^{13} \text { :- firming likewife fome paffages relating to the Babylonifh }}$ empire.After a defcription of Babylonia, and a ftrange ftory concerning a certain creature, which, in the finft year of the world, came out of the Red-fea, and, converfing familiarly with men, taught them the knowledge of letters, and feveral arts and ficiences, he proceeds to give us a fhort account of ten kings which reïgned in Chaldea before the flood; and thefe, correfponding with the number which Mofes mentions, Alorus, the firft, is fuppofed to be Adam; and Xifuthrus, the lait, Noah; and of this Xifuthrus he purfues the fory in this manner.
(a) Cronus, or Saturn, appearing to him in a dream, gave him warning, that on the isth day of the month Drefius, mankind fhould be deffroyed by a flood, and therefore commanded him to build a fhip; and, having frift Farnifhed it with provifions, and taken into it fowls and four-footed beafts, to go into it himfelf, with his friends and neareft relations. Xifuthrus did as he was ordered, built a veffel, whofe length was five furlongs; and breadth two furlongs; and having put on board all that he was directed, went into it, with his wife, children, and friends: When the flood was come, and began to abate, he let our fome birds, which finding no food, nor place to reft on, returned to the hip again. After fome days he let out the birds again, but they came back with their feet daubed whth mud; and when, after fome days more, he let them go the third time, they never came back again, whereby he underftood that the earth appeared again above the water, and fo, taking down fome of the planks of the thip, he faw it refted upon a mountain. This is the fubltance of what we have in Berofus, who varies very hitle from oun facred hiftorian during this period.

Sanchoniatho is highly. commended both by Por-
of Sanisoniaihu. phyry, the great adverary of Chriftianity, and by his tranflator into Greek, Philo Biblius. Theodoret is of opinion, that his name, in the Phcenician tohgue, fignifies Dida ingns, $^{\prime}$ a lover of truth; which name, as Bochart inagines, was given him when he firft fet hifnelf to write

> (a) Ibib. (b) Stillingflect', Orig. facr. 1. 1. a. z.

## hiftory; but how faithful he has been in tranfcribing his A.M. . 533 . account of things from his records, we cannot determine, Ant. Chrint.

 unlefs we had the books of Tautus, and the facred infruip- $\mathrm{C}^{2468:}$ tions and necords of cities, from whence he pretends to have extracted his hiftory, to compare them together. If we and 6. to
ver. 13 . may judge by what remains of his writings, which is only
$\qquad$ his firft book concerning the Phoenician theology extant in

BiLE wisga Eufebius, we fhall hardly think him deferving fo large a commendation:: But be that as it will, the method wherein he proceeds is this.-After having delivered his cofmogony, or generation of the other parts of the world, he tells us, that the firft pair of human creatures were Protogonus and Fon, (as Philo, his tranfator, calls them), the latter of whom found out the food which is gathered from trees: That their iffue were called Genus and Genca, who were the firft hat practifed idolatry ; for, upon the occafion of great droughts, they made their adorations to the fun, calling him Beelfamen, which, in Phœnician, is the Lord of beaven: That the children of thefe were Phos, pur, and Pblox, i. e. light, fire, and flame, who firt found out the way of generating fire, by rubbing pieces of wood againft one another: That thefe begat fons of vaft bulk and fature, whofe names were given to mount Caifius, Libanus; Antilibanus, and Brathys, whereon they feifed : That of thefe were begotten Memrumus, and Hypfuranius, the latter of whom was the inventor of huts made of reeds and rufhes, and had a brother called Ufous, the firt workhipper of fire and wind, in whofe time women became very abandoned and debauched: That many years after this generation, came Agreus and Halieus, the inventors of the arts of hunting and fifhing: That of thefe were begotten two brothers, the firf forgers and workers in iron; the name of one is loft, but Chryfor (who is the fame with Vulcan) found out all firning-tackle, and, in a fmall boat, was the fant that yentured to fea, for which he was afterwards deified: That from this generation came two brothers, Technites and Autochthon, who invented the art of niaking tyles ; from mhefe Agrus, and "Agrores, who firf made courts about houfes, fences, and cellars; and from thefe Amynus, and Magus, who fhewed men how to conftitute villages, and regulate their flocks. This is the fubffance of what Sanchoniatho relates during this period; and how far it agrees with the account of Mofes, efpecially in the idolatrous live of Cain, our learned bihop Cumberland has all along made his obfervation.
A.M. i536. Manetho Sebennita was high-prieft of Heliopolis in the Ant. Chrift. time of Ptolemy Philadelphus, by whofe order he wrote Ccn. ch. 5 . his hitory; but that which deftroys the credit of it, (though and 6. to it gave him an opportunity of invention), is, that (c) he $\underbrace{\text { ver. I3.- }}$ profeffes to tranfcribe his Dynafties from infcriptions on the and of Mar pillars of Hermes (whom the Egyptians, out of veneration, netho.
call Trifmegiftus) in the land of Seriad, which land no one knows any thing of, and which pillars being engraven be: fore the flood, can hardly be fuppofed to efcape undefaced.

The plain truth is, the LXX tranflation was, not long • before this time, finifhed; and when the Jewifh antiquities came to appear in the world, the Egyptians (who are mighty pretenders this way) grew jealous of the honour of their nation, and were willing to fhew, that they could trace up their memoirs much higher than Mofes had carried thofe of the Ifraelites. (d) This was the chief defign of Manetho's making his collections. He was refolved to make the Egyptian antiquities reach as far backwards as he could; and therefore, as many feveral names as he found in their records, fo many fucceffive monarchs he determined them to have had; never confidering that Egypt was at firft divided into three, and afterwards into four fovereignties for fome time, fo that three or four of his kings were many times reigning together: Which, if duly copfidered, will be a means to reduce the Egyptian account to a more reafonable compafs.

* The fubftance of the account however (as it ftands un. explained in Manetho) is this :- That there were in Egypt thirty dynafties of gods, confifting of 113 generations?
(c) Vid. Stillingfleet's Orig. Sacr. 1. 1. c. 2. No. ir. (d) Shuckford's Connect. part 1.1.1.
* The accounts of Manetho feem at firlt fight fa extravagant, that many great writers look upon them as mere fictions, and omit attempting to fay any thing concerning them; though other learned men (and more efpecially our countryman Sir. John Marfham, in his Can. chron. p. i.) not well fatisfied with this proceeding, have undertaken an examination of them, and with fomefuccefs. The misfortune is, we have none of the original works from whence they were collected, nor any one author that properly gives us any light or knowledge of them. The hiftorians Diodorus Siçulus, and Herodotus, did not examine thefematters to the bottom; and we have no remains of the old Egyptian Chronicon, or of the works of Manetho, except fome quotations in the works of other writers. The Chronographia

Chap. V. from the Creation to the Flood.
tions, and which took up the fpace of 36,525 years; that A.M.1556. when this period was out, then there reigned eight demi- Ant. Chriit. gods in the fpace of 217 years; that after them fucceeded $\mathbf{G c n}$. ch. 5 . a race of heroes, to the number of 15 , and their reign took and 6. to up 443 years; that all this was before the flood, and then $\underbrace{\text { ver. } 13 .}$ began the reign of their kings, the firft of whom was Menes.

Now, in order to explain what is meant by this prodigious number of years, we mult obferve, (e) that it was a very ufual and cuftomary thing for ancient writers to begin their hiftories with fome account of the origin of things, and the creation of the world. Mofes did fo in his book of Genefis ; Sanchoniatho did fo in his Phœenician hiftory; and it appeary from Diodorus, that the Egyptian antiquities did fo too. Their accounts began about the origin of things, and the nature of the gods; then follows an account of theirdemi-gods and terreftrial deities; after them came their heroes, or firf rank of men; and, laft of all, their kings. Now, if their kings began from the flood; if their heroes and demi-gods reached up to the beginning of the world; then the account which they give of the reigns of their gods, before thefe, can be only their theological feeculations put into fuch order as they thought moft philofophical.

To make this more plain, we muft obferve farther, that the firft and moft ancient gods of the Egyptians, and of all other nations, (after they had departed from the worfhip of the true Gopd), were the luminaries of heaven; and it is very probable, that what they took to be the period of time in which any of thefe deities finifhed their courfe, that they might call the time of bis reign. Thus a perfeet and compleat revolution of any ftar which they wor-
of Syncellus, wrote by one George, an abbot of the monaftery of St. Simeon, and called $S t$. Syncellus, as being fuffragan of Tarafius, patriarch of Confantinople, is the only work we can have recourfe to. From thefe antiquities Syncellus collefted the quotations of the old Chronicons of Manetho, and of Eratofthenes, as he found them in the works of Africanus and Eufebius; and the works of Africanus and Eufebius being now loft, (for it is knows that the work which goes under the name of Eufebius's Chronicon is a compofition of Scaliger's), we havé nothing to be depended upon but what we find in Syncellus above mentioned ; Shuckford's Connect.
(e) Shuckford's Connett. 1. I.

A．M．1536．fhipped，was the reign of that fitar；and as a period of Ant．Chrift． 36,525 years is what they call an entire mundane revolu－ tion，i．e．when the feveral heavenly bodies come round to the fame point，from which all their courfes began；fo is it very remarkable，that they made the fum total of the reigns of all their feveral Gods，to amount to the felf－fame fpace of time．This I take to be a true flate of the Egyp－ tian dynafties：And if fo，it makes their hiftory not near fo extravagant as has been imagined，and finks their ac－ count of time fome hundred years fhort of the Jewifh com－ putation．
The differ－The Jewifh computation indeed is not a little ambiguous，
ence be－ tween the Hebrew and Samaritan computa． tions： by reafon of the different methods，which men find them－ felves inclined to purfue．The three common ways of com－ puting the time from the creation to the flood，are，that which arifes from the Hebrew text，from the Samaritan copies，and from the LXX interpretation．

> Th computation of Mofes.

| 1．According to the Hebrew text |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Adam | 1 | 130 | 800 | 930 | 930 |
| Seth－ | $13^{\circ}$ | 105 | 807 | 912 | 1042 |
| Enos | 235 | 90 | 815 | 905 | 1140 |
| Cainan－ | 325 | 70 | 840 | 910 | 1235 |
| Mahalaleel | 395 | 65 | 830 | 895 | 1290 |
| Jared | 460 | 162 | 800 | 962 | 1422 |
| Enoch | 622 | 65 | 300 | 365 | 987 |
| Methufelah－ | 687 | 187 | $7^{82}$ | 969 | 1656 |
| Lamech－ | 874 | 182 | 595 | 777 | 1651 |
| Noah－ | 1056 | 500 |  |  |  |

Chap．V．from the Creation to the Flood．

| 2．According to the Samaritan． |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Adam | 1 | 130 | 800 | $93^{\circ}$ | 930 |  |
| Seth | 130 | 105 | 807 | 912 | 1042 |  |
| Enos | 235 | 90 | 815 | 905 | 1140 |  |
| Cainan | 325 | 70 | 840 | 910 | 1235 |  |
| Mahalaleel | 395 | 65 | 830 | 895 | 1290 |  |
| Jared－ | 460 | 62 | 785 | 847 | 1307 |  |
| Enoch | 522 | 65 | 300 | 365 | 887 |  |
| Methufelah－ | 587 | 67 | 653 | 720 | 1307 |  |
| Lamech | 654 | 53 | 600 | 653 | 1307 |  |
| Noah－ | 707 | 500 |  |  |  |  |


| 3．According to the Septuagint． |  |  |  | 吅 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Adam－ | 1 | 230 | 700 | 930 | 930 |
| Seth－－ | 230 | 205 | $7 \times 7$ | 912 | 1042 |
| Enos | 435 | 190 | 715 | 905 | 1340 |
| Cainan－ | 625 | 170 | 740 | 910 | 1535 |
| Mahalaleel | 795 | 165 | 730 | ＇895 | 1690 |
| Jared | 960 | 162 | 800 | 962 | 1922 |
| Enoch | 1122 | 165 | 200 | 365 | 1487 |
| Methufelah－ | 1287 | 187 | $7^{82}$ | 969 | 2256 |
| Lamech | 1474 | 188 | 565 | 753 | 22.7 |
| Noah ：－ | 1662 | 1500 |  |  | 1 |

A.M. 153 . The difference between the Hebrew and Samaritan com: ${ }_{2468 \text {. }}^{\text {Ant. Chrif. }}$ putation is eafily perceived, by comparing the two former Gen. ch. 5 . tables together; nor will it be any hard matter to reconcile and 6. to them, if we confider what $(f)$ St. Jerom informs us of, wiz. ver. 13 . that there were Samaritan copies which made Methufelah 187 years old at the birth of Lamech; and Lamech 182 at the birth of Noah. Now, if this be true, it is eafy to fup. pofe 62 (the age of Jared at the bitth of Lnoch) to be a mif. take of the tranfcriber, who might drop a letter, and write 62 inftead of 162 ; and thus afl the difference between the Hebrew and Samaritan copies will entirely vanifh.
And between the Hebrew and Septuagint.

But it is not fo between the Hebrew and the Septuagint. The Hebrew, according to the highent calculation, makes no more than 1656 years before the flood, but the Septuagint raife it to no lefs than 2262 : So that in this one period (without faying any thing of the wide difference between them in fubfequent times) there is an addition of above 600 years, which can 4 hardly be accounted for by any mifake of tranfcribers, becaufe all the ancient and authentic copies; both of the Hebrew and Septuagint, agree exactly in their computation. And therefore the generality of learned men, defpairing of a reconciliation, have fairly entered the lifts, and taken the fide which they thought moft tenible.
Arguments Thofe who efpodife the caufe of the Greek verfion, for the Sep- draw. up their arguments in this rank and order. They
tuagint.
(f) In quatt. in Genef.
$\dagger$ Lud. Capellus [in his Cbron. facr. in apparatu ITalton, ad Bibl. Polyglot.] attempts to reconcile this difference, by telling uis from St. Auftin [De Civitate Dei, cap. 13.] that this editions was not made by the LXX themfelves, but by fome ear'y tranferiber from them, and probably for one or other of thefe two reafons; ift, Perhaps, thinking the years of the antediluvians to be but lunar, and computing, that at this rate the firi fathers (whofe lives are thus altered) mult have had their children at 5 s, 6,7 , or 8 years old (which could not but look incredible); the tranfcriber, I fay, finding this, might be induced to add 100 years to each, in order to make them of a more probable age of marthood at the birth of their refpective children: Or, $2 \mathrm{~d} \mid \mathrm{y}$, If he thought the years of their lives to be folar, yet till he might imagine, that infancy and child hood were proportionably longer in men who were to live 7,8 , or 9 hundred years, than they are in us; and that it was too carly in their lives for them to be fathers at 60,70 , or 90 years of age; 2nd for this reafon, might add an 100 years to make th ir advance to manKood (which is commonly not till one fourth part of life is over) proportionable to what was to be the term of their duration; Sbuckf. Gon.l. i.
tell us, that the alteration in the Septuagint computation A.M. If 5 . 6 . muft have been purpofely made; becaufe, where letters ${ }^{\text {Ant.Chrift. }}$ muft neceffarily have been added, and where fometimes both Gen. ch. parts of a verfe, and fometimes two verfes together are al-and 6 . to tered, and fo altered, as ftill to keep them confiftent with $\underbrace{\text { ver. } 13 .-}$ one another; this, whenever done, muft be done defignedly, and for no other reafon that they can imagine, but merely a detection of errors in the Hebrew copies.

They tell us, that, though they have no pofitive proof of fuch errors in the prefent Hebrew copies, yet they have good grounds to fuipect there are fuch, becaufe that, before the time of Antiochus, the Jews, while in peace, were fo very carelefs about their facred writings, that they fuffered feveral variations to creep into their copies; that when Antiochus fell upon them, he feized and burnt all the copies he could come at, fo that none, but fuch as were in private hands, efcaped his fury; that, as foon as that calamity was over, thofe copies which were left in privare bands, the Jews got together, in order to tranfcribe others from them; and that, from thefe tranfcriptions, came all the copies now in ufe. Now, fuppofe, fay they, that thefe private copies which efcaped the fury of Antiochus, but were made in an age confeffedly inaccurate, had any of them dropt fome numerical letters, this might occafion the prefent Hebrew text's falling fhort in its computations : And, to confirm this,
They tells us, that Jofephus, (g) who exprefsly declares, that he wrote his hiftory from the facred pages, ( $b$ ) in his account of the liyes of the antediluvian patriarchs, agrees with the Septuagint; and that the Greek hiftorians before Jofephus, fuch as Demetrius Phalerius, Philo the elder, Eupolemus, bc. very accurate writers, and highly commended by Clemens Alexandrinus, and Eufebius, in their calculation differ very much from the common Hebrew: So that not only Jofephus, but thefe elder hiftorians likewife muft have either feen, or been inforited of certain Hebrew copies which agreed with the Septuagints and differed from what have defcended to us. In ihort,
They tell us, (i) that the whole Chriftian Church, Eaftern and Weftern, and all the celebrated writers of the church, are on their fide; that all the antient manufcripts have exactly the fame computations with the common Sep-

[^29]A.M. 1536 tuagint, except here and there a variation or two; not Ant.Chuift. worth regarding; and therefore they conclude, that, as
2463 . Gen, ch. s. there is a manifelt difagreement between the Greek and and 5. to Hebreiv copies in this refpect, the miftake fhould rather be $\underbrace{\text { ver. 13. }}$ charged upon the Hebrew, than the Septuagint; becaufe, as the Hebrew is thought by fome to fall thort, and the Septuagint to exceed, in its account of the lives of the parriarchs, 'tis obvious to conceive, that a fault of this kind may be incurred by way of omiffion rather than addition.
For the fe- Thofe who maintain the aurhority of the Hebrew text, prwation. as the fandard and rule of reckoning the years of the patriarchs, oppofe their adverfaries in this manner.

They tell us ( $k$ ) that the Hebrew text is the original, in which the Spirit of God indicted the Scriptures of the Old Teftament, and being, confequently, authentic, is better to be trufted than any tranflation made by men liable to error, as the LXX interpreters were; and that the Jews, to whom ( $l$ ) were committed thefe oracles of God, ufed the greatef diligence to preferve them pure and entire, infomuch, that in the courfe of for many years (as ( $m$ ) Jofephus teftifies in his time) no perfon durft add, take away, or mifplace any thing therein.

They tell us, that no reafon can be affigned why the Hebrew text hould be corrupted, but many very probable ones, why the Septuagint might; fince, either to exalt the antiquity of their own nation, or to conform to the dynafies of the Egyptians, the Jewifh interpreters at Alexandria might falfify their chronology; fince, in this very point, there are fo many different readings in the Septuagint, and fo many errors and miftranflations in it, that $(n)$ the learned Dr. Lightfoot (to whom, as yet, no fufficient reply has been made) has proved it a very corrupt and imperfect verfion.

They tell us that the Hebrew computations are fupported by a perfect concurrence and agreement of all Hebrew copies now in being; that there have been no various readings in thefe places, fince the Talmuds were compofed; that, even in our Saviour's time, this was the current way of calculation, fince the paraphrafe of Onkelos
(k) Millar's Church Hiftory. (l) Rom. iii. 2. (m) Contra App.1. 1. (n)Vid. Ejus opera, tom. 2. p. 932. ex edit. Ultraject. ICg9.
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(which is on all hands agreed to be about that age) is the A, M, rs3 ${ }^{\text {. }}$. fame exactly with the Hebrew in this matter ; that St. Anc. Cirrit. Jerom and St. Auftin (who were the beft fkilled in the 2468. Hebrew tongue of any fathers in their age) followed it in and 6 . 10 their writings, and the Vulgar Latin, which has been in $\underbrace{\text { ver. I } 3 .}$ ufe in the church above 1000 years, entirely, agrees with it.

They tell us, that Demetrius, the real hiftorian, (for $\dagger$ Phalerius was none), lived not before the reign of Ptolemy Philopater, the grandfon of Phitadelphus, near feventy years after the LXX tranflation was made, that Philo was contemporary with our Saviour, wrote almoft 300 years after the faid tranflation, and, living conftantly at Ale xandria, might very well be fuppofed to copy from it; that Jofephus, though a Jew, and perfectly fkilled in the hebrew language, in many inftances, (which learned (0) men have pointed out), adheres to the Greek in oppofition to the Hebrew; and that the fathers of the firft ages of the church, though they were very good men, had no great extent of learning; underftood the Greek tongue better than the Hebrew ; and for that reafon gave the preference to the Septuagint computation,

In this manner do the adyocates for the Hebrew text defend its authority : And, fince it is confeffed, there has been a tranfmutation fomewhere, if that tranfmutation was defignedly and on purpofe done, (as the adverfe party agrees), 'ris indifferent ( $p$ ) whether it was done by way of addition or fubtraction : Only as it is evident, that the
$\dagger$ Demetrius Phalerius was the firf prefident of the college of Alexandria, to which the library belonged, where the original manufcripts of the Septuagint were repofited. He was a great fcholar as well as an able ftatefman and politician; but I doubt Bilhop Walton is miftaken, when (in his oth Prolegom. ad Bib. Polyglot.) he quotes him as one of thofe Greek hiftorians whofe works might prove the Septuagint computation to be more probable than the Hebrew. The Phalerian Demetrius Iived a bufy, active life, was a great officer of fate, both at home and abroad, and I do not find that he ever wrote any hiftory. 'T was Demetrius the hiforian, therefore, that the Biihop flould have quoted; but he, living in the time that I mentioned, does not make much to his purpole ; Shuckford's Connett. l. I.
(a) Vid. Cave's Hitt.; Litt. P. 2. in Jofeph.; and Well's Differtation upon the Chronicles of Jofephus, p. 19.-2: 2 .
( $p$ ) Heidegger's Hift. patriar.
A.M.1536. Greeks did compute by numerical letters, whereas it is Ant.Chritt. much queftioned, that the Hebrews ever did, the miftake
2468 . Gen. ch. 5 . or falfification rather feems to lie on the fide of the Greek and 6. to tranflaters, the very form of whofe letters was more fuf$\underbrace{\text { ver. 13. }}$ ceptible of it.

This is a true fate of the controverfy, wherein the arguments for the Hebrew compuration do certainly preponderate; though the names, the venerable $\dagger$ names, on the contrary fide, have hitherto been more numerous.
The religi- It might be fome entertainment to the reader, could we о月. but give him any tolerable view of the religion, polity, and learning, of the antediluvian people: But the Sacred hiftory, in this refpect, is fo very floort, and the hints fuggefted therein, fo very few, and fo very obfcure withal, that, during this period, we are left, in a great meafure, in the dark. However, we cannot but obferve, that it is a miftaken notion of fome authors, who affirm, that at the beginning of the world, for almoft 2000 years toge-' ther, mankind lived without any law, without any precepts, without any promifes from God; and that the religion from Adam to Abraham was purely natural, and fuch as had nothing but right reafon to be its rule and meafure. The antediluvian difpenfation indeed was, in the main, founded upon the law of nature; but frill it muft be acknowledged, that there was (as we fhewed before) a divine precept concerning facrifices; that there was a divine promife concerning the bleffed feed; and that there were feveral other precepts and injunctions given the patriarchs, befides thofe that were built upon mere reafon.

The law of facrifices (which confeffedly at this time obtained) was partly natural, and partly divine. As facrifices were tokens of thankfulnefs and acknowledgments, that the fruits of the earth, and all other creatures, for
$\dagger$ The names of the Septuagint computation, which the learned Heidegger, in his Hif. patriar. (as he takes them from Baronius) has reckoned up, are fuch as thefe: Theophilus Bifhop of Antioch, StCyprian, Clemens Alexandrinus, Hyppolytus, O rigin Lactantius, Epiphanius, Philaftrịus, Orofius, Cyril, the two Anaftafii, Nicephorus, and Suada; to whom he might add feveral more, as Heidegger fuggefts; while thofe among the ancients, who contended for the Hebrew calculation, were only St. Auftin and St Jerom, but men of great fkill and proficienc 5 in the Hebrew language; De atate patriarcharum, "exer.' io.
the ufe and benefit of man were derived from God; they A.M. 1536 were a fervice dictated by natural reafon, and fo were na- Ant. ${ }_{2468 \text {. }}$ tural acts of worfhip: But, as they carried with them Gen. ch. 5 . the notion of expiation and atonement for the fouls of and 6 . to mankind, efpecially as they referred to the Meffias, and fig- $\underbrace{\text { ver. } \mathbf{I}_{3} \cdot}$ nified the future facrifice of Chrift, they were certainly inffituted by God, and the practice of them was founded upon a divine command.

It is not to be doubted, ( $q$ ) but that Adam inflrueted his children to worfhip and adore God, to conmemorate his goodnefs, and deprecate his difpleafure ; nor can we fuppofe, but that they, in their refpective families, put his inftructions in execution : And yet we find, that in the days of Enos, (befides all private devotion) a public form of worfhip was fet up; that the people had the rites of their religion, which God had appointed, fixed, and eftablifhed; and that, very probably, as Cain buitt cities Sor his defcendents to live in, fo knos might build temples, and places of divine worthip, for his to refort to.

The diftinction of clean and unclean animals' was another divine injunction under this difpenfation. God refers Noah to it, as a thing well known, when he commands him ' $(r)$ to put into the ark feven pair of clean, and two of unclean creatures : And (s) though, in refpect of man's food, this diftinction was not before the law of Mofes, yet fome beats were accounted fit, and others unfit for facrifices. from the beginning. The former were efteemed clean, and the latter unclean: And it feems fafer to make a pofitive law of God the foundation of this diftinetion, than to imagine that men, in fuch matters as thefe, were left to their own difcretion.

The prohibition of marrying with infidels or idolaters, was another article of this dilpenfation, as appears from God's angry refentment when the children of Seth entered into wedlock with the wicked pofterity of Cain. And, to mention no more, under this period were, given thofe fix great precepts of Adam (as they ace generally called) whereof the Jewifh doctors make fuch boaft;* and of thefe the
 (s) Patrick"s Commentary:

* The commandments given to the fons of Noah are the rame with thefe. They are zabridgment of the whole law of nature ; but have one pofitive precept annexed to them; and are
A.M.1536. Ift was of Atrange worfhip, or idolatry; the 2 d of curfing Ant. Chrif. the moft holy name, or blafphemy; the 3 d of uncovering Gen.ch. 5 . the nakednefs, or unlawful copulation ; the 4th of bloodand 6. to fhed, or homicide; the 5 th of theft and rapine; and the $\underbrace{\text { ver. 13. }} 6$ th of judgment, or the adminiftration of juftice in the public courts of judicature. So that from the very firft, God did not leave bimfelf without a witnefs (as the Apofle terms it) but, in one degree or other, made frequent manifeftations of his will to mankind.
Polity.
That government; of one kind or other, is effential to the well-being of mankind, feems to be a pofition* founded in the nature of things, the relation wherein men, at firf, ftood towards one another, and the feveral qualifications in them, which, in a fhort time, could not but appear. The firft form of government, without all controverfy, was patriarchal: But this form was foon laid afide, when men of fuperior parts come once to diftinguifh themfelves; when the head of any family either out-powered or out-witted his neighbour, and fo brought him to give up his dominion, either by compulfion or refignation. Government, however, at this time, feems to have been placed in fewer hands, than it is now: Not that the number of people was lefs, but their communities were larger, and their kingdoms more extenfive, than fince the
generally placed in this orders. " I. Thou fhalt ferve no other " gods, but the maker only of heaven and earth. 2. Thou fhalt " remember to ferve the true God, the Lord of the world, by fanc-
"tifying his name in the midt of thee. 3. Thou fhalt not thed the
" hlood of man created after the image of God. 4.Thou fhalt not
"defile thy body, that thou mayeft be fruitful and multiply, and,
" with a bleffing, replenifh the earth. 5. Thou fhalt be content
" with that which thine is, and what thou wouldft not have done
"to thyfelf, that thou fhalt not do to another. 6. Thou fhalt do
"right judgment to everyone, without refpect to perfons. 7. Thou
"fhalt not eat the flefh in the blood, nor any thing that hath life
" with the life thereof.' This is the heptalogue of Noah, or the fe-
ven words, which, as the Jews tell us, were delivered to him and his fons, and were conftantly obferved by all the uncircumcifed worfhippers of the true God; Bibl. Bit. occaf.annot. 15. vol. I.
* To this purpofe Cicero [De legibus, 1 3. c. 1.] tells us, that "Sine imperio, nec domus ulla, nec gens, nec hominum univer"fum genus ftare, nic rerum natura omnis, nec ipfe mundus po"teft." Seneca aflerts, that " Iftud [imperium] eft vinculum, per "quod refpublica coharet: : Mle fpiritus vitalis, quem hace tot mil" lia trahunt; nihil ipfa per fe futura, nifionus et preda, fi mens "•illa imperii fubtr:ahatur."
flood ; ( $t$ ) infomuch, that it may well be queftioned, whe- A.M. $\mathrm{C} 53^{36}$. ther, after the union of the two great families of Seth and Ant.Chrift. Cain, there was any diftinction of civil focieties, or diver 2468 . fity of regal governments at all. It feems more likely, and 6. to that all mankind then made but one great nation, living in $\underbrace{\text { ver. } 13 .}$ a kind of anarchy, and divided ineo feveral diforderly affociations; which, as it was almoft the natural confequence of their having, in all probabilty, but one language; fo it was a circumftance which greatly contributed to that general corruption which otherwife perhaps could not fo univerfally have prevailed. And for this reaton we may fuppofe, that no fooner was the pofterity of Noah fufficiently increafed, but a plurality of tongues was miraculoufly introduced, in order to divide them into diftinct focieties, and thereby prevent any fuch total depravatton for the future.

The enterprifing genius of man began to exert itfelf very and the early in mufic, brafs-work, iron-work, and every fcience, lcarning of ufeful and entertaining, and the undertakers were not $1 \mathrm{l}-{ }_{\text {lavians. }}^{\text {the }}$ antedmited by a fhort life. They had time enough before them to carry things to perfection; but whatever their 1kill, learning, or induftry performed, all remains and monuments of it have long fince perifhed.
(u) Jofephus indeed gives us this account of Seth's great Seth's pilknowledge in aftronomy, and how induftious he was to ${ }^{\text {lats. }}$ have it conveyed to the new world. "Seth, and his de" fcendents" fays he, "were perfons of happy tempers, " and lived in peace, employing themfelves in the ftudy of " aftronomy, and in other fearches after ufeful knowledge; " but, being informed by Adam, that the world thould be " twice deftroyed, firft by water, and afterwards by fire, " they made two pillars, the one of ftone, and the other " of brick, and infcribed their knowlege upon them, fup" pofing that the one or other of them might remain for "the ufe of pofterity." ( $x$ ) But how ftrangely improbable is it, that they, who foreknew that the deftruction of the world fhould be by a flood, fhould bufy themfelves to write aftronomical obfervations on pillars, for the benefit of thofe who fhould live after it? Could they think, that their pillars would have fome peculiar exemption, above other ftructures, from the violence and outrage of the waters? If they believed that the flood would prove univerfal, for whofe inftruction did they write their obfervations? If they did not, to what end did they write them at all, fince the
( $t$ ) Univerf. hitt. 1. 1. n. 2. (u) Antiq. 1. 1. c. 2. ( $x$ ) Stillingfleet's Orig. Sac. 1. I. c. 2.
 Ant.Chrift. to whom they pleafed? The plais truth is, $(y)$ Jofephus, Gen. ch. 5 . who frequently quotes. Heathen authors, and Manetho in and 6. to particular, took this ftory of Seth's. pillars from, the pillars
ver. 13 . $\underbrace{\text { ver. } 13 .}$ of Hermes mentioned in that hifforian: for, as the Jews had an ancient tradition concerning Seh's pillars, Jofephus,' in reading Manetho, might poffibly think his account mifapplied, and thereupon imagine, that he fhould probably lit on the truth, if he put the account of the one and the tradition of the other together ; and this very likely might occafion his miftake.
and Enoch's ( $z$ ) The Eaftern people have preferved feveral traditions prophecy. of very little certainty concerning Enoch. They believe, that he received from God the gift of witdom and knowledge to an eminent.degree, and that God fent him thirty volumes from heaven, filled with all the fecrets of the moft mylterious fcience.: St Jude, it is ccrtain, feems to cite a paffage from a prophecy of his: nor can it be denied, but that in the firft ages of Chriftianity, + there was a book well known to the Jews, that went under his name: But
(y) Shuckford's Conncetion, 1. I. (z) Calmet's Dietionary on the word Enoch.
$\dagger$ Jofeph Scaliger, in his annotations upon Eufebius's Chronicon, has given us fome confiderable fragments of it, which Heidegger, in his Hif. Patr, has tranflated into Latin, which the curious, if they think proper, may confult: But the whole feems to be nothing but a fabulous collection of fome Jew or other, moft unworthy the holy patriarch. Tertullian, however, has defended it with great warmih, and laments much that all the world is notas zedons as himfelf, in the maintenance of its authenticalnefs. He pretends, that it had been faved by Noah in the ark, from thence tranfmitted down to the church, and that the Jews, in his days, rejected it, only becaure they thought it was favourable to Chrifianity; Millar's Hiftory of the chureb; and Saurin's Differtations. The great objections againft this hook are, that neither Philo, nor Jofephus; (thofe difigent fearchers into antiquity, make any mention of it; and that it contains fuch fabulous ftories as are monfrous and abfurd. But to this fome have anfwered, that fuch a book there cer$t$ uinly was, notwithitanding the filence of thefe Jewifh antiquaries; and that, after the apofles time, it might be corrupted, and many things added to it by fucceeding heretics, who might take occafion from the antiquity thereof, and from the palfage of Michael's contending with the devil about the body of Mofes, to interpolate many fables and inventions of their 0.wn; Raleigh's Hiftory of the ricorld.
befides
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befides that this piece is now generallygiven up for fpurious, A.M. $\mathrm{I}_{5} 5^{6}$. there is no need for us to fuppofe, that St Jude ever quoted any paftage out or this, or any orher book of Enoch.
(a) Eivir Ger. ch. 5. (a) Enoch was a prophet, we aie told, and as fuch; and 9 to was invefted with authority, to cry aloud, and fpare, not, $\underbrace{\text { ver } 13 .}$ to reprove the wicked, and denounce-God's judgments againft them, and as he was a good man, it was eafy. for St. Jude to imagine, that he would not fit fill, and fee the impieties of the people grow fo very exorbitant, without endeavouring to reprefs them, by fetting before them the terrors of the Lord. He could not difcharge the office of a good man, and a prophet, without forewarning them of the (b) Lord's coming, with ten thoufand of his faints, to execute judgment upon all, and to convince all that were ungodly among them; and becaufe this was his office and duty, the Apoftle infers, (as by the Spirit of God he might certainly know), that he did fo, though he might not make that inference from any paffage in his prophecy; becaufe it is a known obfervation, that $\dagger$ many things are: alluded to it in the New Teftament; which were never perhaps in any book at all.

Of all the frange matters that occur in this period of The longetime, there is notbing which looks fo like a prodigy as the evity of the longevity of thofe men who at firft inhabited the earth; anicdilunor is any event fo apt to affect us with wonder, as the vians; difproportion between their lives and ours. We think it a great thing, if we chance to arrive at fourfcore, or an hundred years; whereas they lived to the term of $7,8,9$ hundred, and upwards, as appears * by the joint teftimo-
(a) Heidegger's hift. patriar.
(b) Jude, ver. $14,15$.

+ There are many inftances of the New Teftament of facts alluded to, which we do not find in any ancient books. Thus the contelt between Michael and the devil is mentioned, as if the Jews had, fome where or other, a full account of it. The names of the Egyptians, Jannes, and Jambres, are fet down, though they are no where found in Mofes's hiftory. St. Paul tells us, that Mofes exceedingly quaked and feared on mount Sinai ; but we do not find it forecorded any where in the Old Teftament. In all thefe cafes, the apofles and holy writers hinted at things, received as true by tradition among the Jews, without tranffaribing them from any real book; Shuckford's Connection, l. i.
* Manetho, who wrote the flory of the Egyptians; Berofus, who wrote the chaldean hiftory; thofe authors, who give us an accome of the Phenician antiquities; and among the Greeks

Vos. I.
Qq
Hefiodus
A.M. 13.36. ny both of facred and profane hiftory. The only fufpicion Ant. Chrif that can arife in our minds upon this occafion, is, that the Gen. ch. 5. computation might poffibly be made, not according to folar, and 6. to bur lunar years ; but this, inftead of folving the difficulty, $\underbrace{\text { ver. 13., runs us into feveral grofs abfurdities. }}$

The fpace of time, between the creation and the flood, is ufually computed to be 1656 years, which, if we fuppofe to be lunar, and converted into common years, will amount to little more than 127; too fhort an interval, by much, to ftock the world with a fufficient number of inhabitants. From one couple we can fcarce imagine, that there could arife ' 500 perfors in fo flort a time; but fuppofe them a thouland, they would not be fo many as we fornetimes have in a good country village. And were the flood gates of heaven opened, and the great abyfs broken up, to deftroy fuch an handful of people? Were the waters raifed fifteen cubits above the higheft mountains, throughout the face of the whole earth, to drown a parifh or two ? This certainly is more incredible than the longeft age which the Scriptures afcribe to the patriarchs : befides that, this fhort interval leaves no room for ten generations, which we find from Adam to the flood; nor does it allow the patriarchs age enough, (fome of them, upon this fuppofition, mult not be above five years old), when they are faid to beget children.

It is generally allowed, and may indeed be proved by the teftimony of Scripture, that our firft fathers lived tonfiderably longer, than any of their pofterity have done fince ; but according to this hypothefis, (which depreffes the lives of the antediluvians, not only below thofe who lived next the flood, but even below all following generations to this day), Methufelah, who was always accounted the oldeft man fince the creation, did but reach to the age of 75 , and Abraham, who is faid to have died in a good old age, was not completely fifteen.

The patrons of this opinion therefore would do well to tell us, when we are to break off this account of lunar years in the facred hiftory. If they will have it extended no Garther than the flood, they make the poftdiluvian fathers longer-lived than the antediluvian, but will be puzzled to affign a reafon, why the deluge fhould occafion longevity. If they will extend it to the pondifuvians likewife, they

Hefiodus, Hecatens, Hellanicus, Ephorus, ơ $c$. do unanimoufly agree, that in the firit ages of the world, men lived a thoufand Fears; Burnet's Theory, l. 2.c. 4 .
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will then be intangled in worfe difficulties; for they will make their lives miferably fhort, and their age of geting Ant.C ${ }^{11536}$. children altogether incongruous and impoffible.

From the whole therefore we may conclude, that the Gen. ch. 5 . years whereby Mofes reckons the lives of the antediluvians, were folar years, much of the fame length with what and 6, to we now ufe; and that therefore there mult be a reafon, either in their manner of life, their bodily conftitution, the temperament of the world wherein they lived, or (what is molt likely) the particular vouchfafement of God to give them this mighty, this fingular advantage above us.

Some have imputed this extraordionary length of life in and the the antediluvians to the lobriety of their living, and fim- reafons for plicity of their diet; that they eat no flefh, and had no ${ }^{\text {it. }}$ provocations to gluttony, which wit and vice have fince invented. (c) This indeed might have fome effect, but not poffibly to the degree we now fpeak of; fince there have been many moderate and abftemious people in all ages, who have not furpaffed the common period of life.

Others have afcribed it to the excellency of the fruits, and fome unknown quality in the herbs and plants of thofe days: But the earth, we know, was curfed immediately after the fall, and its fruits, we may fuppofe, gradually decreafed in their virtue and goodnefs, until the time of. the flood; and yet we do not fee, that the length of mens lives decreafed at all during that interval.

Others therefore have thought, that the long lives of the men of the old world proceeded from the ferength of their ftamina, or firft principles of their bodily conftitution; which, if they were equally ftrong in us, would maintain us, as they think, in being, as long : But tiough it be granted, that both the ftrength and ftature of their bodies were greater than ours, and that a race of ftrong men, living long in health, will have children of a proportionably ftrong conftitution; yet, that this was not the fole and adequate caufe of their longevity, we have one plain inftance to convince us, viz. that Shem, who was born before the deluge, and had in his body all the virtue of an antediluvian conftitution, fell 300 years fhort of the age of his forefathers, becaufe the greateft part of his life was paffed after the flood.
(c.) Burnet's Theory of the earth, 1. 2. c. 4 .
A. M. The ingenious theorift whom I have quoted, for this

153 ${ }^{\circ} \cdot \sec ^{2} c$. An. Chri. $2 \div 68$. ※'. Gen. ch. 5 . and 6. to ter. 13. reafon, imagines, that before the flood, the fituation of the earth to the fon was direct and perpendicular, and not as it is now, inclined and oblique. From this pofition he infers, that there was a perpetual equinox all the earth over, and one continued fpring; and thence concludes, that the equality of the air, and ftability of the feafons were the true caufes of the then longevity; whereas the change, and obliquity of the earth's pofture, occafioned by the deluge, altered the form of the year, and brought in an equality of feafons, which caufed a fenfible decay in nature, and a gradual contraction in human life.

His reafoning upon this point is very elegant. "There " is no queftion," fays he, " but every thing upon earth, " and efpecially the animate world, would be much more " permanent, if the general courfe of nature was more " fteady, and more uniform. A ftability in the heavens " makes a ftability in all things below; and that change, " and contrariety of qualities which we have in thefe re" gions, is the fountain of corruption-the æther in " their little pores, the air in their greater, and the va" pours and atmofphere that furround them, fhake, and " unfettle their texture and continuity; whereas, in a fixed " ftate of nature, where thefe principles have always the " fame conftant and uniform motion, a long and lafting " peace enfues, without any violence, either within, or "s without, to difcompofe them. We fee, by daily expe" rience," continues he, " that bodies are kept better in "t the fame medium, (as we call it), than when they are sf fometimes in the air, and fometimes in the water, moift " and dry, hot and cold, by turns, becaufe thefe different " ftates weaken the contexture of their parts. But our '6 bodies, in the prefent ftate of nature, are put in an " hundred different mediums, in the courfe of a year; " the winds are of a different nature, and the air of a "different weight and preffure, according as the wea"ther and feafons affect them. All thefe things are " enough to wear out our bodies foon, very foon, in "comparifon of what they would laft, if they were al"s ways incompaffed with one and the farne medium, and "that medium were always of one and the fame tem" per."

This is all very pretty: But the author's grand miftake is, that it was not fo in the primitive earth. He has no authority to fhow, that how high foever the waters might
might fwell at the deluge, the centre of the earth gave way, A.M.is 536 . or the foundations of the round world were lbaken. The Ant. Chrift. earth, no doubt, had, before, as well as after the flood, an Gen. ch. $5^{\circ}$ annual as well as a diurnal motion. (d) It ftood to the and 6. to fun in the fame oblique pofture and firuation, and was $\underbrace{\text { vef. } 13 .}$ confequently fubject to the fame feafons and viciffitudes that the prefent earth is; and if the air was more mild, and the elements more favourable at that time, this we may account the peculiar bleffing of God, and not the refult of the earth's pofition to the fun, or any fancied ftability in the weather. The truth is, whatever we may attribute to fecond caufes, why bodies that are naturally mortal and corruptible fhould fubfift fo long in the primitive ages of the world; yet the true caufe of all is to be afcribed to the will of God, who impregnated our firft parents with fuch vigour, and gave their pofterity for fome time fuch robuft conftitutions, as depended not upon the nature of their diet, the ftability of the feafons, or the temperature of the air. After the flood, God foon made a fenfible change in the length of man's days. For, perceiving the general iniquity to increafe again, and thereupon defigning to make an alteration in the world's continuance, he haftened the period of human life, that the number of fouls he intended to ferd into the world before the confummation of all things, might have a fpeedier probation. Man's age accordingly went on finking by degrees, until a littlegbefore David's time, it came to be fixed at what has been the common ftandard ever fince. (e) The days of our age are threefcore years and ten; and though men be fo ftrong, that they come to fourfcore years, yet is their ftrength then but labour and forrow, fo foon paffetb it away, and we are gone. This is our fated period; and therefore for us, who live in this poftdiluvian world, and have the term of our trial fo much fhortened, the fubfequent "prayer of the devout Pfalmift will always be necelfary, always feafonable ; So teach us to number our days, that we may apply our hearts unto wifdom.
(d) Vid. Keill's Examination of Burnet's Theory. (e) Pfal. xc. 10 .

## CHAP. VI.

## Of the Deluge.

## The Hystory.


#### Abstract

A. M. GOD (as we faid before) had given mankind a repri1656 , $\sigma^{\circ}$ c. val for an hundred and twenty years; but when he


 Ant. Chrift, faw that all his lenity and forbearance tended to no pur${ }^{2348, ~ G \% . ~}$ from Gen. pofe, except it was to make them more bold and licentious vi. 12 . to in their fins, he declared to his fervant Noah, that within ix. 20. a fhort time his refolution was to deftroy them, and with them all other creatures upon the face of the earth, by a flood of waters; but $\ddagger$ affured him at the fame time, that fince he had comported himfelf better, and approved his fidelity to his maker, he would take care to preferve him and his family, and whatever other creatures were$\ddagger$ The words in our tranflation are, Witb thee will I effabliffj my covenant : But ift, by the word covenant, we are not here to uiderfand a mutual compact or agreement, but only a fimple and gracious promife, as it is likewife ufed, Numb. xviii. 19. xxv. 12. and in feveral other places; which promife, though only mentioned here, was doubtlefs made before, as may eafily be gathered from both thefe words, and fome foregoing paffages, and from the neceffity that Noah fhould have fome fuch fup. port and encouragement during all the time of his miniftry. 2 dly , This covenant of God might relate to his fending the promifed feed, and redemption of mankind by the Meflas ; and in this fenfe will import, that as the Meffias was to come out of Noah's loins, fo the divine providence would take care to preferve him alive. But, 3 dly, A learned and Right Reverend author is of opinion, that this covenant of God relates to his reinftating the earth in its primitive fertility in Noah's lifetime. To which purpofe he obferves, that as foon as the flood was over, God declares, I rivill not curfe again the ground any mors for man's fake: From which declaration it appears, (fays he), 1 nt , That the flood was the effect of that curfe which was denounced againit the earth for man's fake; and 2dly, That the old curfe was fully executed and accomplifhed in the flood; in confequence of which, a new bleffing is immediately pronounced upon the earth, Gen. viii. 22. While the earth remaineth, feed-timue, and barveft, and cold, and beat, and fummer, and winter, and day and night, Shall not ceafe; Pocl's Annot. and Bifhop Sherlock's ufe and intent of prophecy.
neceflary for the reftoration of their fpecies from the general caiamity.
To this purpofe he gave him orders to build a kind of veffel, not in the form of thips now in ufe, but rather inclining to the fafhion of a $\ddagger$ large cheft or ark, and himfelf prefcribed the plan whereby he was to proceed. That to make the veffel firm and frong, and able to endure the preffure of the wayes, the wood moft proper for that puppofe $\ddagger$ fhould be cyprefs; and, that to prevent the waves from penietrating, or the fun from cracking it, as well
$\ddagger$ The word thebath, which we render ark, is only read here, and in another place, where Mofes, when an infant, is faid to have been put into one made of bulrufhes, Exod ii. 3. It is fup: pofed to come from a root which fignifies to dwell or inhabit ; and may therefore here denote a boufe, or place of abode. And indeed, if we confider the ufe and defign, as well as the form and figure of this building, we can hardly fuppofe it to be like an ark or cheft, wherein we ufually fore lumber, and put things out of the way; but rather like a farm-houfe, fuch as are in feveral countries where the cattle and people live all under one roof. As foon as men began to hew timber, and to join it together, for the purpofe of making houfes, nothing can be fuppofed a more fimple kind of edifice than what was made rectangular, with a bottom or floor, to prevent the dampnefs of the ground ; a floping cover or roof to carry off the rain that Thould fall; ftalls and cabbins for the lodgement of man and beaft; and, to keep out wind and weather effectually, a coat of bitumen or pitch. Of this kind was this building of Noah's, and may therefore rather be termed a place of abode, than an ark or cheft, properly fo called; Le Clerc's Comment. in tocum.
$\ddagger$ The timber whereof the ark was framed Mofes calls go. pher-wood; but what tree this gopher was, is not a little controverted. Some will have it to be cedar, others the pine, others the box, and others (particularly the Mahometans) the Indian plane-tree; but our learned Fuller, in his Mifcellanies, has obferved, that it was nothing elfe but that which che Greeks call Karuequros, or the cyprefs-tree; for, taking away the termination, cupar and gopher differ very little in the found. This obfervafion the great Bochart has confirmed, and fhown very plainky, that no country abounds fo much with this wood as that part of Alfyria which lies about Babylon. And to this we may add the obfervation of Theophraftus, who, fpeaking of trees that are leaft fubject to decay, makes the cyprefs-tree the mof durable of all; for which Vitruvius gives us this reafon, viz, that f.
A. M. well as to fecure it from worms, and make it glide more

1656, \&c. Ant. Chrif. 2349, \&c. from Gen. vi. 12. to ix. 20. eafy upon the water, his bufinefs would be, as foon as it was finifhed,' + to pitch it, or rather finear it all over with bitumen, (whereof there was plenty in the country), both within and without; thar, to make its proportion regular, its length fhould be fix times more than its breadth, and ten times more than its height; and, to give it capacity enough, the firft of thefe fhould be +300 cubits, that is, in our meafure, 450 feet ; the fecond 50 cubits, or 75 feet; and the third 30 cubits, or 45 feet; that, to make it commodious for the reception of every thing, it was to confift of three ftories or decks, of equal height each, and each divided into ftalls and apartmients proper for the things that were to be put into it ; that, for turning off the rain, the roof was to be made floping; that for letting in of light, * there were windows to be fo and fo difpofed,
the fap, which is in every part of the wood, has a peculiar bitter tafte, and is fo very offenfive, that no worm or other corroding animal will touch it: fo that fuch works as are made of this wood, will in a manner laft for ever. Vid. Univerf. hif.; Patrick's Comment.; Bochart's Phaleg. l. 1.c.4.; and Bedford's Scrip. chronol. l. 1. c. 9.
$\dagger$ The Arabic tranflation fays exprefsly, pitch it with pitch, but the bitumen (which is plentiful in that country, and, as others think, intended here) was of the fame nature, and ferved to the fime ufe as pitch, being glatinous and tenacious, and proper to keep things together; Patrick's Comment.
$\dagger$ A cubit is the meafire from the elbow to the fingers end, containing fix hands-breadths, or a foot and an half: So that 300 cubits make exactly 450 feet. There are fome however who take thofe for geometrical cubits, every one of which contain fix of the common; but there is no need for any fuch computation, fince, taking them for common cubits, it is demonftrable (as will appear hereafter) that there might be room enough in the ark for all forts of bealts and birds, together with Noah's family, and theirnecellary provifion; Ainfworth's Annot.; and Patrick's Comment.

* There are various tranflations of the word zobar, which occurs but once in the whole Bible in this fenfe. It feems to be derived from a root in the Chaldee, which fignifies to /hine, or give light; and therefore our verfion renders it a avindow; but if fo, it mult be collective, and mean feveral windows, becaufe it is not likely that there thould be but one in fo vaft a building: And from the following words, in a cilbit halt thas $f$ fil it above, fome have fuppofed, that the window was it
$\dagger$ or fome other conveniency anfwerable to them; and that, for the more eafy induction of the many things it was to contain, a door or entry-port was to be made in its fide.

Thefe were the inftructions which God gave Noah, who accordingly went to work, and being aflifted with the hands of his family; (for + the reft of the world doubtlefs derided him), in the time that was appointed him, and feven days before the rain began to fall, . ${ }^{*}$ he had completed the whole.
be a cubit fquare, or buta cubit high, which would have been much too fmall. But the relative it being, in the Hebrew, of the feminine gender, and zobar of the mafculine, thefe two words cannot agree; and therefore the proper antecedent feems to be the ark, which was covered with a roof raifed a cubit high in the middle. This however, in the original, may fignify no more than an injunction to build the ark by the cubit, as the common meafure, by which the work was to be marked out and directed. Vid. Univerf. bijt.; Saurin's Difert.; axd Lamy's Introduction.
$\dagger$ What that other conveniency was, we fhall have occafion to thew when we come to treat of the word zobar, (which we here render window), in anfwer to the fubfequent objection.
$\dagger$ The A poftle ta the Hebrews (xi. 7.) mentions Noah's building the ark as an heroic act of faith: By faith Noah, fays he, being warned of God of things not feen as yet, moved wuith fear, prepared an ark, to the faving of his boufe, by wowich be condemned the world, and becanue beir of the righteonfnefs rwbich is by faith: For we may well imagine, that this work of his was not only coftly and laborious, but efteemed by the generality wery foolifh and ridiculous; efpecially when they faw all things continue in the fame pofture and fafety for fo many foores of years together; whereby Noah, withont doubt, became all that while the fong of drunkards, and the fort of the wits of the age; Pool's Annot. The Mahometans have a tradition, that when he began to work upon this famous vellel, all that faw him derided him, and faid, "You are building a hip; if you can bring water to it, you " will be a prophet, as well as a carpenter ;" but he made anfwer to thefe infults, "You laugh at me now, but I fhall have " my turn to laugh at you; for at your own cof you will learn, " that there is a God in heaven who punifhes the wicked;" Calmet's Dict. on the word Noah.

* It is fomewhat frange, that the torrent of interpreters Should fuppofe, that Noah was 120 years about this work, whem he gives no intimation to that purpofe, but fufficient reafons wo

$$
\text { VoL.I. } \quad \text { R } \mathrm{r} \quad \text { believe, }
$$

whole. Whereupon God gave him inftructions, that he fhould take into the ark every living thing of all flefh, both cattle, and beafts of the field, birds, and fowls of the air, and repiles of all kinds; $\dagger$ of the unclean, one pair
believe, that he was not near fo long as is imagined. It is plain from Scripture, that be was 500 years old when be begat Shem, Hans, and Faphet; (Gen. v. 32 ) and that when he received the command for building the ark, the fame fons were married; for the text fays exprefsly, Thou fhalt come into the ark, thou, and thy fons, and thy wife, and thy fons wives with thee, (Gen. vi. 18.) So that all the time between the birth and marriage of the faid fons muft at leaft be fuppofed to intervene before the command to build the ar'k was given; and between the command and the execution of it mult not be fo long as is imagined, without a concurrence of miracles, to prevent that part of it which was firft built from being rotten and decayed before the laft part of it was finifhed'; Saurin's Differt. In what place Noah built and finifhed his ark, is no lefs made a matter of difputation. One fuppofes that he built it in Paleftine, and planted the cedars whereof he made ic in the plains of Sodom : Another takes it to have been built near mount Caucafus, on the confines of India; and a third in China, where he imagines Noah dwelt before the flood. But the moft probabie opinion is, that it was built in Chaldea, in the ferritories of Babylon, where there was fo great a quantity of cyprefs in the groves and gardens, in Alexander's time, that that prince buiit à whole fleet out of it, for want of other timber. And this conjecture is confirmed by the Chaldean tradition, which makes Xifuthrus (another name for Noah) fet fail from that conntry. Kid. Univerf. bif. l. i. c.i.
$\dagger$ The diftinction between beafts that were clean and unclean, being made by the law, has given fome a colour to imagine, that Mofes wrote this book after his coming out of Egypt, and receiving the law: But to this it may be anfwered, that though with refpect to man's food, the diftinction of clean and unclean waṣ not before the law, yet fome were accounted fit for facrifices, and others unfit, from the very firf beginning: and then unclean beafts, in this place, muft denote fuch as are rapacious, which were not to be offered to God. In fhort, fince the rite of facrificing was before the flood, we may very well be allowed to fuppofe, that this diftinetion was alfo before it; and to fuppofe farther, that as the rite was undoubtedly of God's inflitution, fo the difference of clean and unclean creatures to be facrificed was of his appointment likewife. But there is a farther doubt arifing from this paffage, and that is-whether there
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pair only, but of the clean feven pair; that when the ge- A. M. neral defolation was over, they might increafe again, and ${ }_{\text {Ant. Chrifo }}^{165,}$ replenifh the earth; and that when every thing was thus 2349, \&cc. fertled and difpofed of, himfelf and his family thould like. from Gen. wife go into the ark, and take up their apartments. ivi. 12.

Purfuant to thefe directions, Noah and his family $\underbrace{\text { i. }}_{\text {A niort de- }}$ went into the ark, (leaving the reft of the world in their frrifticn of fecurity and fenfuality), in the 6ooth year of his age, the flood. much * about the middle of September; when, in a few days after, (a) the whole face of nature began to put on a difmal afpect, as if the earth were to fuffer a final diffolution, and all things return to their primitive chaos. ** The cataracts of heaven were opened, the abyfs of
there went into the ark but feven of every clean, and two of every unclean fpecies, or fourteen of the firft, and two of the laft. Some adhere to the former expofition, but others to the latter, which feems to be the natural fenfe of the Hebrew words, feven and feven, and two and two. Befides, if there were but feven of the clean beafts, one mult have been without a mate : and if it be fuggefted, that the odd one was for facrifice, it is more than Mofes tells us, who, on the contrary, repeats it, that the animals all went in by pairs; Patrick's Commentary; Pool's Annotations; and Univerfal Hiffory, c. x.

* The words in the text are; In the fecond month; but, for the better underftanding of this, we muit remember, that the year among the Hebrews was of two kinds; the one ecclefiaftical, which began in March, and chiefly regarded the obfervation of their fafts and feftivals, of which we read Exod. xii. 2. and the other civil, for the better regulating of mens political affairs, which began in September. Accordingly the fecond month is thought by fome to be part of A pril, and part of May, the moft. pleafunt part of the year, and when the flood wasleaft expected; and leaft feared ; but by others, part of October. and part of November, a little after that Noah had gathered in the fruits of the earth, and laid them up in the ark: So that the flood came in with the winter, and was by degrees dried up in the following fummer. And this opinion feems to be more probable, becaufe the moft ancient, and firt begimning of the jear was in September; and the other beginning of the year in March was but a later inftitution among the Jews, with refpect to their feftivals and other facred affairs, which are net at all concerned here ; Pool's Annotations.
(d) Howel's Complete hiftory.
** Ovid, who is fuppofed to have extracted moft of the beginning of his Metamorphofes out of the facred records, has
A.M. 656 . waters in the centre of the earth poured out, and the fea, Aot. Chrif. forgetting its bounds, overfpread the eartio with a dread.
${ }^{2} 349$. \&ec. trom Gen. ful inundation.
vi. 12. 10 Too late does wretched man perceive the approach of ix. zo. his deferved fate; and in vain does be find out means for his prefervation. The tops of the hills, the talleft trees, the ftrongeft towers, the loftieft mountains, can give himno relief; it is but a fmall reprieve at moft that they can yield him; for as the waters fowll, and the waves come rufhing on, hills, trees, towers ; mountains, and every little refuge, mult difappear with him. Noah himfelf cannot help him. Though he xtight now remember his predictions, and fo flee to dim for fuccour, yet God has thut the door of the ark, and it cannot be opened: (b) And fo it fhall be to every one, at the laft great day, who fhall not be found in Chrift, the only ark of our fatwation.
Its rife. For forty days and nights together, without the leaft intermiffion, did the clouds continue raining; when at length the ark began to floar, and to move from place to place as the waves drove it. And though there might be fome fhort ceffations afterwards, yet, at certain intervals the rain continued falling, and the waters fwelling, till in procefs of time, the flood began to cover the mountains,
defcribed both the induction and retreat of the waters in a mannier very comfortable to the original, from wheace he had them. Their induction thus:
—_Madidis Notus evolat alis,
Terribilem picea tectus caligine vuItum-_
Utque mana lata pendentia nubila preffit:
Fit fyagor: hinc denff funduntur ab æthere nimbi, -
Ipfe tridente fuo terram percuffit : at illa
Intremuit, motuque finus patefecit aquarum.
Expatiata ruunt per apertos flumina campos,
Cumque fatis, arbufta fimul, pecudefque, virofque,
Tectaque, cumque fuis rapiunt penetralia facris, \&óc.
Their retreat thus :
Nubila disjecit, nimbifque Aquilone, remotis,
Et colo terras oftendit, et æthera terris-
Jam mare littus liabet: plenos capit alveus amnes :
Flumina fubfidunt: colles exire videntur :
Surgit humus : crefcunt loca decrefcentibus undis.
Poftque diem longum nudata cacumina fylva
Oftendunt, limumque tenent in fronde relictum. Lib. r.
(b) Miller's Hiftory of the church; Patrick's Commentary ; and Pool's Annotations.
and, by a gradual increafe, came at laft to raife its furface fifteen cubits (above twenty-two feet of our meafure). higher than the tops of the higheft of them.

In this elevation the flood continued until the latter from Cen, end of March : When, as one friend is apt to remember another in diftrefs, fthe Scripture here fpeaks in the ftyle of men), fo God, reflecting upon Noah, and the poor remains of his creation, floating in the ark, caufed a drying north wind to arite, the flood-gates of heaven to be ftopped, and the irruption of the waters out of the womb of the earth to ceafe; by which means the deluge began to abate, and the waters fubfide, fo that in a fhort time, the ark, which mult have drawn great depth of water, ftuck on a mountain, named Ararat, and there refted; and not long after, the tops of other mountains began to appear.

This happened in the beginning of May, when the fummer was coming on apace: But Noah, wifely confidering, fent ouve that although the mountains were bare, the valleys might ftill be overtlowed, waited forty days longer before he attempted any farther difcovery; and then opening $\dagger$ the window
$\dagger$ It is very obferveable, that the words which we render rwindorw, in ch. 6 ver. 16. and ch. 8. ver. 6. of Genefis, are far from being the fame : in the former place, the word is zobar, (the nature of which we thall have a proper occafion to explain), in the latter, it is bhalon, which fignifies indeed an oval bole or window in any building, but here is a window of a peculiar denomination. That it was cultomary among the Jews to have a room in the upper part of their houfes fet apart for divine worfhip, in Hebrew called Beth-alijah, or fimply alijah, in Greek insswov, and in Latin oratoriunn; and that in this place of prayer, there was always an bhalon, an hole or window, which pointed to the kilba, or place whereunto they directed their worthip, is evident from leveral paffages in Scripture. Among the Jewifh conflitutions, in the code called Beracoth, there is a certain canon grounded upon this cuftom, viz. That no man foall pray, but in a room where there is an thalon opening towards the boly city and of Daniel it is particularly related, that when he knew that the decree for his deftruction was ligned, be went into the boufe, and bis hhalon, bis window being open in his chamber towards ferufalem, be kneeled upon bisknees three times a day, as he did aforetime, Dan. vi. 10. for that this was not a common window, but one dedicated to religionsworlhip, is plain from the peoples difcerning, bs
A. M. 16g6. sre. Ant. Chric 2349. \&cc. from Gen. vi. 12 . to ix 20.
window, he let go a raven, as fuppofing that the fmell of dead bodies would allure him to fly a good diftance from the veffel; but the experiment did not do : The raven, after feveral unfuccefsful flights, finding nothing but water, returned to the ark again. Seven days after this, he let fly a dove, a bird of a ftrong pinion. and, from the remoteft places, always accuftomed to come home, and therefore proper to make farther difcoveries. But fhe finding nothing but water likewife, immediately returned to the ark, and was taken in. After this he waited feven days more, and then fent her forth again; and fhe, in the evening, brought in her mouth an olive-branch, the emblem: of peace, and a token to Noah that the waters were abated much. Whereupon be waited feven days more, and then let her fly the third time; but the finding the waters gone and the earth dry, returned no more ; fo that he was now thinking of uncovering the roof, ard going out of the ark himfelf; but having a pious regard to the divine providence and direction in all things, he waited five and fifty days longer, and then received orders from God for him and his family to quit the veffel, but to take care at the fame time that every other creature fhould be brought forth with him.

Thus ended * Noah's long and melancholy confinement; which, by a due computation from the time of
its being open, that he was at prayers. Nor is it improbable. that this window had fome viffle fign, either of the name of God, or of the holy city, or of the fanctuary, or the like infcribed on it; becaufe it is a conftant tradition, and thefe oratories or rooms for prayers were always fo made as to have their angles anfwer to fuch certain points of the heaven, and to have the mark of adoration fo evidently diftinguifhed, that none might miftake it, if they caft but their eye upon the wall. Now, as the practice among the Jews of worhipping in upper rooms, with their faces towards a hole or window in the wall, was never in. troduced by any pofitive law, and yet univerfally prevailed, it is reafonable to believe, that at firf it was derived from Noah, and that the windows in their oratories were made in imitation of this hhalon, or point of adoration in the ark ; Bibliotheca Biblica, vol. 2.; Occaf. Annot. in the appendix.

* M. Bafnage [in his Antiq. Judaiq. tom. 2. p. 399.] has given us the kaleadar of this melancholy year of Noah's confinement.
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his going into the ark, to that of his coming out, wasex- A. m. actly the fpace of a folar year.

The Objection. "BUT, granting (c) that a veffel, fafhioned according $1656,8 c$. Ant.Chrif. 2349, \&c. from Gen. vi. 12. to vi. 12.
ix. 20. $\underbrace{20 \text {. }}$ to the defcription which Mofes gives us of the ftruc" ture of the ark, could live (as the feamen phrafe it)

## The year of the world's creation 1656 .

Month.

1. September. Methufelah died at the age of 969 years.

II October. Noah and his Family entered the ark.
III. Novernber the 17 th. The fountains of the great deep were broken open.
IV. December the 26 . The rain began, and continued forty days and forty nights.
V. January. All the men and beafts that were upon the earth were buried under the waters.
VI. February. The rain continued.
VII. March. The waters remained in their elevation till the 27 th, when they began to abate.
VIII. April the 17 th. The ark refted on mount Ararat.
IX. May. They did nothing while the waters were retreating.
$X$. June the itt. The tops of the mountains appeared.
XI. July the inth. Noah let go a raven, which (as Befnage thinks) returned to him no more.

The 18 th. He let go a dove, which returned.
The 25 th. He let go the dove again, which returned with an olive- branch.
XII. Augult the 2d. The dove went out the third time and returned no more.
I. September the 1 ft . The dry land appeared.
II. Oftober the 27 th. Noah went out of the ark with his family. During this long continuance in the ark, the form of prayer, which fome oriental writers make Noah to have offered unto God, runsin this manner: "O Lord, thou art truly great, " and there is nothing fo great as that it can be compared to " thee; look upon us with an eye of mercy, and deliver us " from the deluge of waters. I intreat this of thee for the " love of Adam, thy firft man; for the love of Abel, thy " faint; for the righteoufnefs of Seth, whom thou haft loved. "Let us not be reckned in the number of thofe, who have dif"obeyed thy commandments; but fill extend thy merciful " care to us, becaufe thou haft hitherto been ourdeliverer, and " all thy creatures mall declare thy praife. Amen;" Calmet's, Dictionary on the words Dcluge and Noal.
(c) Parker's Bibliotheca Biblica, vol. 1.part. i. Occafional Apnotat: 12.
A. M. " in fuch a tempeft of waters fo long togecher; yet what 2349. \&c... from Gen. vi. 12. to ix. 20 .
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" cnough, to attend fuch a multitude of creatures) when
" they muft have lived all this while, without the leaft
" light either of fun, moon, or the ftars? And in this
" ftate of darknefs, whetein day and night to them were
"' both alike, how could they poffibly meafure time, or
" tell the precife number of the months and days, that
" they had continued in the ark?
"'The ark indeed, according to the defcription of
" Mofes, was a large building: But had it been ten times
" larger, it could never have contained the feveral couples
" of all kinds, which were ordered to be brought into it.

* Had they been huddled together, the wild and the tame,
" the ftrong and the weak promifcuoufly, they would have
' foon difpatched one another, without troubling the deluge. Had proper cells and partitions been made for
" them, 'tis hardly conceivable, with a prodigious face
" fuch a number as was merely neceffary, would have
"t taken up. For, if we compute only the creatures of
" the old world, the room allowed them in the ark will
" hardly contain fo many different fpecies together, with
" their refpective food and provender; but then if we
's take in all the beafts of the new world, and fuch as are
" found under the fouthern hemifphere, we thall fcarce
"f find room for the animals themfelves, much lefs for
" the great ftore of provifions that will be neceffary to
" keep them alive fo long. But the greateft wonder is
"(e) how the many a nimals, which are peculiar to feve-
" ral parts of America, could get into Chaldea, or where-
" ever the ark was built : And, after the deluge was over,
" could return to their native country again. Nay, even
-6 allowing this to be prasticable, it will ftill puzzle our
" imagination to conceive, how cither man or beaft, could
" poffibly live, by reafon of the fharpnefs of the air, when
" once the ark came to be raifed above the middle region,
" above the tops of the higheft mountains.
"It is a much more reafonable fcheme, therefore, and © what rids us of all thefe difficulties, to fuppofe that the (d) Ibid. Occafional, Annot. it. (e) If. Voffius De ætate mundi, p. $: 83$.
" flood was not univerfal, but confined to fome particular
" countries; that, as its primary defign was to deftroy
" mankind only, (who could hardly be thought, in fo
thave overfitead the whole face of the
$\% 6$ rath) the
earth), there was no necelinty to carry the waters be: ix. zo.
" yond the bounds of what was inhabited; and that the
" waters required to raife the deluge fome fifteen cubits
"above the higheft mountains, are more than what the
" clouds, the rivers, the fea, and all the fuppofed cavities
"t of the earth, were able to produce. For, to come to
" an eftimate of this, $(f)$ we muft firft fuppofe water
" enough to cover the plain furface of the earth, the fields,
" and lower grounds; then we maft heap up fo much
" more upon this, as will reach above the tops of the
" higheft mountains; fo that, drawing a circle over the
" tops of the highef mountains, quite round the earth, " (lappofe from pole to pole) and another to meet round " the middle of the earth, all that fpace or capacity, " contained within thefe circles, is to be filled up with
" water; and what a prodigious mafs muft this needs
" make ?
" In a word, we allow the flood to have been fo far uni-
" verfal, that it overwhelmed all the parts of the then in-
" habited world, and that all the race of mankind, except
" Noah's family, was deftroyed in it ; but that it hoould
" extend itfelf over the whole globe, we fee no manner of
"reafon, becaufe the whole globe was not then inha-
" bited: Nor can we find out, in the whole ftorehoufe of
" nature, a fufficient quantity of water to overflow it to
" the height which Mofes talks of, even though the whole
" of it had been inhabited. And therefore we may well
" be allowed to conclude, that the deluge was local, and
" might probably happen in that tract of ground, " which lies between the four feas, the Perfian, the
"Cafpian, the Euxine, and the Syrian, in which com" pafs are the Tygris, the Euphrates, and Several other
" large rivers, that might be contributory to the inun" dation."
How many wife ends the providence of God might have Aniwered, in bringing this deftruction upon the earth, it is impofibie the dewis e for us to tind out ; but even fuppofing that he had but this to be urnone, viz. to rid himfelf of a generation that was become veral, fiom profligate, and paft all hopes of amendment; yet the of the ( $f$ ) Burnet's Theory, I, i, c. $2 . \quad \begin{gathered}\text { worlo s in } \\ \text { haütants. }\end{gathered}$
A. M. 3656, \&cc. Ant. Chrif. 2349, \&c. from Gen. vi. 12. to' ix. 20. number of mankind, which, before the flood, was vaftly fuperior to what the prefent earth perhaps is capable of fuftaining, caufed every place to be inhabited, and that none might efcape the avenging hand, caufed every place to be overflowed. And indeed, if we confider the longevity of the firft inhabitants of the earth, and the pretty near equality of their ages (which feem to have been providentially defigned for the quick propagation of mankind) we thall foon perceive, that, in the face of 1600 years, mankind would become fo numerous, that the chief difficulty would be, where we Thould find countries to receive them. For if, in the fpace of about 266 years (as the facred hiftory acquaints us) the pofterity of Jacob, by his fons only (without the confideration of Dinah his daughter) amounted to fix hundred thoufand males above the age of twenty, all able to bear arms, what increafe may not be expected from a race of patriarchs, living 6, 7,8 , or 9 hundred years a-piece, and fome to the five hundredth year of their lives begetting fons and daughters. For, ( $g$ ) if we fuppofe the increafe of the children of Ifrael to have been gradual, and proportionate through the whole 266 years, it will appear, that they doubled themfelves every fourteen years at leaft; and if we fhould continue the like proportion through the entire hundred and fourteen periods (which the face from the creation to the deluge admits) the product, or number of people on the face of the earth at the deluge, would at leaft be the hyndredth in a geometric double proportion, or feries of numbers, $2,4,8,16,6 c$. where every fucceeding one is double to that before it : And to how an immenfe fum this proportion would arife, * thofe who know any

> thing
(g) Whifon's Theort of the earth, l. 3. c. 3.

* The ingeuious Dr. Burnet [in his Theory of the earth, L. I.] has computed the multiplication of mankind in this method. "If we allow the firtt couple, fays be, at the end of 100 years, " or of the firt century, to have left ten pair of breeders (which " is no hard fuppofition) there would arife from thefe, in 1500 " years, a greater number than the earth was capable of, at" lowing every pair to multiply in the fame decuple proportion, "t that the firl pair did. But, becaure this would rife far beyond " the capacity of the earth, let us fuppofe them to increafe, in "the following centuries, in a quintuple proportion only, or, "if you will, only in a quadrople, and then the table of the " multiplication of mankind, from the creation to the flood, ! would ftand thas:
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thing of the nature of geometric progreffions, will foon perceive. So that had the antediluvians only multiplied as taft before, as it is certain the Ifraelites did fince the flood, the number of mankind actually alive and exifting at the deluge muit have been not only more than what the prefent earth does contain, but prodigioufly more than what $\underbrace{\text {. } 20 \text { - }}$ the whole number of mankind can be juftly fuppofed, ever fince the deluge; nay indeed, with any degree of likelihood; ever fince the firft creation of the world. Upon which account, though this calculation muft not at all be efteemed real, or to exhibit in any meafure the juft number of the pofterity of Adam alive at the time of the deluge, yet it cestainly fhews us how vaftly numerous (according to the regular method of human propagation) the offspring of one fingle perfon may be; how plentifully each quarter of the world muft then have been ftocked with inhabitants; and that confequently, to deftroy its inhabitants, the inundacion muft have fallen upon every quarter, and encompaffed the whole globe.

And accordingly, if we take the circuit of the globe, From traand inquire of the inhabitants of every climate, we fhall dition. find, (b) that the fame of this deluge is gone through the earth, and that in every part of the known world there are certain records and traditions of it; that the Americans acknowledge, and fpeak of it in their continent ; that the Chinefe (who are the moft diftant people in Afia) have the

Century
$1-10$
$2-40$
$3-160$
$4-640$
$5-2560$
$6-10240$.
$7-40960$
$8-163840$

Century $9-655360$
$10-2621440$
$11=10485760$
$12-41943040$
$13-167772160$
14 -67:088640.
$15 —-2684354560$
$16-10737418240$

This product is too exceillve high, if compared with the prefent number of men upon the face of the earth, which I think is commoniy eftimated to be between three and four hundred millions; and yet this proportion of their increafe feems' to be low enough, if we take one proportion for all the centuries. For though in reality the fame meafure cannot run' equally through all the ages, yet we have taken this as moderate and reafonable between the highelt and the lowelt; but if we had only taken a triple proportion, it would have beer fufficient (ald things confidered) for our purpofe.
(b) Burnet's Theory, ibid.
A. M. 1656, \&c. Ant. Chrif. 2349, \&c. from Gen. vi. 12 , to ftili) every one of thefe people have a tale to tell, fome one
way, fome another, concerning the refteration of mankind, ftill) every one of thefe people have a tale to tell, fome one
way, fome another, concerning the refteration of mankind, which is a full proof that they thought all mankind was once deftroyed in that deluge. From fof Nay, inttead of furrounding the globe, we need only
fils. $\quad$ turn afide the furface a little, and look inte the bowels of fils.
tradition of it ; that the feveral nations of Africa tell vas rious ftories concerning it; and that in the European parts the flood of Deucalion is the fame with that of Noah, only related with fome difguife. So that we may trace the deluge quite round the globe, and (what is more remarkable the earth, and we fhall find arguments enough for our conviction. For * the beds of fhells which are often found on the tops of the higheft mountains, and the petrified bones and teeth of fifhes which are dug up fome hundreds of miles from the fea, are the cleareft evidences in the world, that the waters bave, fome time or other, overflowed the higheft parts of the earth : Nor can it, with any colour of reafon be afferted, that thefe fubtcr; raneous bodies are only the mimickry or mock-productions of natare, for that they are real fhells the niceft

[^30]examination both of the eye and microfope does evinice; and that they are true bones, may be proved by burning them, which (as it does other bones) turns them firft into a coal, and afterwards into a calx.

Thefe confiderations bid fair for the univerfality of the deluge; but then, if we take in the teftimony of Scripture, this puts the matter paft all doubt. For when we read, that, by reafon of the deluge, (i) every living fubftance was deftroyed, which was upan the face of the ground, both man and cattle, and the creeping things, and the fowl of the heaven; that during the deluge, ( $k$ ), the waters exceedingly prevailed, and all the bigh bills that were under the whole beavens, were covered; and that, when the deluge was over, God made a covenant with Noah, that ( $l$ ) there Jould be no more a flood to deftroy the earth, and to cut off all fefb; we cannot but conclude, that every creature under heaven, except what was preferved in the ark, was fwept away in the general devaftation.

And, indeed, unlefs this devaftation was general, we can and frotis hardly conceive what neceflity there was for any ark at all. reafon: ( $m$ ) Noah, and his family, might have retired into fome neighbouring country, as Lot and his family faved themfelves by withdrawing from Sodom, when that city was to be deftroyed. This had been a much better expedient, and might have been done with much more eafe, than the great preparations he was ordered to make, of a large veffel, with ftalls and apartments for the reception of beafts and birds. Beafts might have poffibly faved themfelves by flight ; but if they did not, Noah might, after the deluge, have furniihed himfelf from other places, which this defolation had not reached; and as for the birds, they, without much diffculty, might have flown to the next dry country, perching upon trees, or the tops of mountains, by the way, to rett themfelves if they were tired, becaufe the waters did not prevail upon the earth all on a fudden, but fwelled by degrees to their determinate height.

Now, if the fwelling of thefe waters to a height, fuperior to that of the loftieft mountains, was only topical, we cannot but allow, that unlefs there was a miracie to keep them up on heaps, they would certainly flow all over the earth; becaufe thefe mountains are certainly high enough to have made them fall every way, and join with the feas,
(i) Gen. vii. 23. (k) Ch. vii. 19. (l) Ch. ix. 11. (m) Burnet's Theory, l. I.
A. M. which environ the earth. All liquid bodies, we know, are 16 s 6, s. 8 cc.
Ant.Chrift. diffufive: Their parts being in motion, have no tie or 2349 , \&c. connection one with another, but glide, and fall off any frum Gen. way, as gravity and the air prefs them; and therefore, vi. 12. to ix. 20. $\cdots$ when the waters began to arife at firft, long before they could fwell: to the height of the hills, they would diffule themfelves every way, and thereupon all the valleys and plains, and the lower parts of the earth, would be filled all the globe over, before they could rife to the tops of the mountains in any part of it.' So vain and unphilofophical is the opinion of thofe, who, to evade the difficulty of the queftion, would fain limit or seftrain the deluge to a particular country, or countries. For if we admit it to be univerfal, fay they, where fhail we find a fufficient quantity of water to cover the face of the earth, to the height that Mofes mentions?

Whence the fufficiency of water, valrious conjectures.

Some indeed have thought it the beft, and moft compendious way, to call in the arm of omnipotence at once, and to affirm, That God created waters on purpofe to make the deluge, and then annihilated them again, when the deluge was to ceafe. But our bufinefs is not here to inquire what God could work by his almighty power ; but to account for this event, in the beft manner we can, from natural caufes. ( $n$ ) Mofes, it is plain, has afcribed it to natural caufes, the continued rains for forty days, and the difruption of the great abyfs; and the manner of its gradual increafe and decreafe, wherein he has reprefented it, is far from agreeing with the inftantancous actions of creation and annihilation.

Others, inftead of a creation, have fappofed a tranfmutation of element, viz. either a condenfation of the air, (o) or a rarefaction of the waters; but neither of thefe expedients will do : For, befides that air is a body of a different fpecies, and (as far as we know) cannot, by any compreflion or condenfation, be changed into water, even upon the fuppofition that all the air in the atmofphere were in this manner condenfed, it would nor produce a bed of water over all the earth, above two and thirty feet deep; becaufe it appears, by undoubted experiment, that a column of air from the earth to the top of the atmofphere, does not weigh more than two and thirty feet of water:
(a) Burnet's Theory, I. 1. c. 3 . Noë, 1.2.c. 4.
(o) Kircher De Arca
math

Chap. VI. from the Creation to the Flood.
much lefs would the fpirit of rarefaction anfwer the pur. pofe, ( $p$ ) becaufe, if we fuppofe the waters but fifteen times rarer than they naturally are, (as we moft certainly do, to make them reach the tops of the higheft mountains), it will be diffizult to conceive, how they could jither drown man or beaft, keep alive the fifh, or fupport the heavy $\underbrace{\text { ix. } 20 .}$ bulk of the ark. The truth is, Mofes, in his account of the deluge, fays not one word of the tranfmution of elements; the forty days rain, and the difruption of the abyls are the only caufes which he affigns; and thefe, very likely, will fupply us with a fufficient quantity of water, when other devices fail.
( 9 ) A very fagacious naturalift, obferving, that at certain times, there are extraordinary preffures on the furface of the fea, which force the waters outwards upon the fhores to a great height, does very reafonably fuppofe, that the divine power might, at this time, by the inftrumentality of fome natural agent, to us at prefent unknown, fo deprefs the furface of the ocean, as to force up the water of the abyfs through cerrain channels and apertures, and fo make them a partial and concurrent caufe of the deluge. It cannot be denied indeed, but that the divine providence might, at the time of the deluge, fo order and difpofe fecond caufes, as to make them raife and impel the water to an height fufficient to overflow the earth; but then, becaufe there muft be anorher miracle required to fufpend the waters upon the land, and to hinder them from running off again into the fea, our author feems to give the preferenee to another hypothefis, which, at the time of the deluge, fuppofes the centre of the earth to have peen changed, and fet nearer to the centre or middle of our continent, whereupon the Atlantic and Pacific oceans muft needs prefs upon the fubterraneous abyfs, and fo compel the water to run out at thofe wide mouths, and apertures, which the divine power had made in breaking up the fountains of the great deep. Thus the waters being poured out upon the face of the earth, and its declivity changed by the removal of the centre, they could not run down to the fea again, but muft neceffarily ftagnate upon the earth, and overflow it, till upon its return to its old centre, they in like manner would retreat to their forme: receptacles. But the misfortune of this hypothefis is, that
( $p$ ) Burnet's Theory, and Le Clerc's Commentary. (q) Ray in his Phyfico-theological difcourfe concerning the deluge.
A. M. befides the multitude of miracles required in it, it makes $3656,8 \mathrm{cc}$. Ant. Chrift 2349 , \&c. the deluge topical, and confined to our continent only; whereas, according to the teftimony of the firit of God in from Gen. the Holy Scriptures, it was certainly univerfal.
yi. 12. to (
ix 20 . A very ingenious theorift feems to be of opinion himfelf, and labours to perfuade others, that the * deluge was occafioned by the diffolution of the primæval earth; the diffolution of the earth by the fermentation of the in-

> clofed
(r) Dr. Burnet.

* To have a more perfect idea of the author's fcheme, we muft remember, that he conceives the firf earth, from the manner of its formation, to have been externally regular and uniform, of a fmooth and even furface, without mountains, and without a fea; and that all the waters, belonging to it, were inclofed within an upper cruft, which formed a ftupendous wault around them. This vaft collection of waters he takes to have been the great deep, or abyfs of Mofes, and that the difruption was the chief caufe of the delage. For he fuppofes, that the earth being, for fome hundreds of years, expofed ta the continual heat of the fan, which, by reafon of the perpendicular pofition, which, as he imagines, the earth's axis then had to the plane of the ecliptic, was very intenfe, and not allayed by the diverfity of feafons, which now keeps our earth in an equality of temper; its exterior cruf was, at length, very much dried, and when the head had pierced the fhell, and reached the waters beneath it, they began to be rarefied, and raifed into vapours: which rarefaction made them require more fpace than they needed before, and finding themfelves pent in byan exterior earth, they preffed with violence againtt the arch to make it yield to their dilatation: And, as the repeated action of the fun gave force to thefe inclofed vapours more and more, fo, on the other hand, it weakened more and more the arch of the earth, that was to refirt them, fucking out the moilture that was the cement of its parts, and parching and chapping it in fundry places; fo that, there being then no winter to clofe up its parts, it every day grew more and more difpofed to a diffolution, till at length, when God's appointed time was come, the whole fabrick broke; the frame of earth was torn in pieces, as by an earthquake; and thofe great portions or fragments, into which it was parted, fell down into the abyfs, fome in one pofture, and fome in another. Thus the earth put on a new form, and became divided into fea, and land; the greateft part of the abyfs conitituting our prefentocean, and the reff filling up the cavities of the earth. Mountains and hills appeared on the laad, illands in the fea, and
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clofed waters; the fermentation of the waters, by the continued intenfe heat of the fun; and the great heat of the fun, by the perpendicular polition of the axis of the 234, Chrit. earth to the plane of the ecliptic. But allowing the pofi from Gen. tion of the earth to be what he imagines, ( $s$ ) yet it feems ix. In. to difficult to conceive, how the heat of the fun thould be fo intenfe, as to caufe great cracks in it, and fo raife the waters in it into vapours; or how the waters, thas rarefied, fhould be of force fufficient to break through an arch of folid matter, lying upon them fome hundred miles thick. It is much more probable, that if the action of the fun was fo ftrong, the abyis (which the theorift makes the only forchoufe of waters in the firt earth) would have been almoft quite exhaufted, before the time of the deluge: Nor can we believe that this account of things is any way confonant to the Mofaic hiftory, which defcribes a gradual rife and abatement, a long continuance of the flood, and not fuch a fudden fhock and convulfion of nature, as the theorift intends, in which, without the divine intervention, it was impoffible for the ark to be faved.
$(t)$ Another dearned theorift endeavours to folve the whole mattex, and fupply a fufficiency of water from the trajection of a comet. For he fuppofes, "That in irs "defcent towards the fun, it preffed very violently upon " the earth, and by that means, both raifed a gread tide "' in the fea, and forced up a vaft quantity of ©bterraneous " waters; that as is paffed by, it involved the earth in its " atmofphere for a confiderable time; and as it went off, " left a vaft tract of is tail behind," which (together with " the waters, preffed from the fea, and from the great a' 6 byfs) was enough to cover the face of the whole earth, "for the perpendicular height of three miles." But (to pafs by finaller objections) that which ieens to deftroy his whole hypothedis is this - (u) That it is far from being clear, whether the atmofphere of a comet be a watery fubfance or not. The oblervations of the moft curious inquirers make it very probable, that the circle about the bo-
and rocks upon the flore, fo that, at one flock, providence diffolved the old world, and made a new one out of its ruip. Vide the Univerfal hiftory, l. I. c. I. where this extract out of Burnet's theory is made.
(s) Keil's Examination of Burnet's theory. ( $t$ ) Mr. Whifon.
(u) Keil's 'Anfwer to Whitton's Theory ; and Nicholl's Coniference, vol. i.

Vol. I.
T t
dy
A. M. dy of a comet is nothing, but the curling or winding round vi. 12. to ix. 20. of the fmoak, rifing at firft to a determinate height, from all parts of the comer, and then making off to that part of it which is oppofite to the fun; and if this opinion be true, the earth, by paffing through the atmofphere of a comet, ran a greater rifque of a conflagration, than a deluge.

Thefe are the feveral expedients which the wit of men hath devifed, to furnifh a fufficient quantity of water, in order to effect a'deluge, but all incompetent for the work. Let us now turn to the facred records, and fee what the two general caufes affigned therein, the opening of the windows of heaven, and the breaking up of the fountains of the great deep, are able to fupply us with, upon this occation.

The two reafons which the Scripture afligns: 1. Continu ed rains:
r. By the opening of the windows of heaven, muft be underfood the caufing the waters which were fufpended in the clouds, to fall upon the earth, not in ordinary fhowers, but in floods, or, (as the Septuagint tranilate it) in cataracts, $(x)$ which travellers may have the trueft notion of, who have feen thefe prodigious falls of water, fo frequent in the Indies, and where the clouds many times do not break into drops, but fall, with a terrible violence, in a torrent.

How far thefe treafures of waters in the air might contribute to the general inundation, we may, in fome meafure, compute from what we have obferved in a thun-der-cloud, $(\dot{\beta})$ which, in the fpace of lefs than two hours, has fometimes poured down fuch a vaft quantity of water, as befides what funk into the dry and thirfty ground, and filled all the ditches and ponds, has caufed a confiderable flood in the rivers, and fet all the meadows on float.

Now, had this cloud (which for ought we know moved forty miles forward in its falling) food ftill, and emptied all its water upon the fame fpot of ground, what a fudden and incredible deluge would it have made in the place? What then muft we fuppofe the event to have been, when the flood-gates of heaven were all opened, and on every part of the globe, the clouds were inceflantly pouring out water with fuch violence, and in fuch abundance, for forty days together?

[^31]It is impoffible for us indeed to have any adequate conception of the thing, $(z)$ though the vaft inundations which are made every yean in Egypt, only by the rains which fall in Ethiopia, and the like annual overflowings of the great river Oroonoque in America, whereby many iflands and plains, at other times inhabited, are laid twenty feet under water, between May and September, may give us a faint emblem, and be of fome ufe to cure our infidelity in this refpect.
2. The other caufe which the Scripture makes mention 2. the break of, is the breaking up of the fountains of the great deep, ing up of, whereby thofe waters, which were contained in vaft quantities in the bowels of the earth, were forced out, and thrown upon the furface of it. (a) That there is a mighty collection of waters inclofed in the bowels of the earth, which conftitutes a large globe, in the interior or central part of it; and that the waters of this globe communicate with that of the ocean, by means of certain hiatus, or apertures, paffing between it and the ocean, * is evident From the Cafpian and other feas, which receive into themfelves many great rivers, and having no vifible outlets, mult be fuppofed to difcharge the water they receive, by fubterraneous paffages into this receptacle, and by its intervention, into the ocean again. The (b) Mediterranean, in particular, befides the manny rivers that run into it, has two great currents of the fea, one at the ftraits of Gi-
(z) Patrick's Commentary. (a) Woodward's Natural hiftory.

* The Cafpian fea is reckoned in length to be above an hundred and twenty German leagues, and in breadth, from eaft to weft, about ninety of the fame leagues. There is no vifible way for the water to run out; and yet it receives into its bofom near an hundred large rivers, and particularly the great river Wolga, which of itfelf is like a fea for largenefs, and fuppofed to empty fo much water into it in a year's time, as mightffuffice to cover the whole earth; and yet it is never increafed nor diminifhed, nor is obferved to ebb or flow; which makes it evident, that it muft neceffarily have a fubterraneous communication with other parts of the world. And accordingly, FatherAvril, a modern traveller, tells us, that near the coaft of Xylam there is in this fea a mighty whirlpool, which fucks in every thing that comes nearit, and confequently has a cavity in the earth, into which it defcends. Vid. Moll's Geography at the end of Perfia in Afia, P. 67.; Stillingfleet's Orig. fac. 1.3. c. 4.; and Bedford's Scripture-chronology, c. 12.
(b) Nicholl's Conference, vol. i.

Tt 2
braltar,
A. M. braltar, and the other at the Propontis, which bring in fuch vaft tides of water, that, many ages ago, it muft have endangered the whole world, had it not emptied itfelf, by certain fecret paffages, into fome great cavity underneath. And for this reafon, fome have imagined, (c) that the earth altogether is one great animal, whofe abyfs fupplies the place of the heart in the body of the earth, to furnifh all its aqueducts with a fufficiency of water, and whofe fubterraneous paffages are like veins in the body, which receive water out of the fea, as the veins do blood out of the liver, and in a continued circulation, return it to the heart again.

However this be, it is certainly more than probable, (becaufe a matter of divine revelation), that there is an immenfe body of water inclofed in the centre of the earth, to which the Pfalmift plainly alludes, when he tells us, that (d) God founded the earth upon the feas, and eftablifed it upon the floods; that (e) be ftretched out the earth above the waters; that $(f)$ be gathered up the waters as in a bag, (fo the beft tranflations have it), and laid up the deep as in a ftore-houfe. Nay, there is a paflage or two in the Proverbs of Solomon, (where Wifdom declares her antiquity, and pre-exiftence to all the works of the earth), which fets before our eyes, as it were, the very form and figure of this abyfs: ( $g$ ) When be prepared the heavens, I was there, when We fet a compals upon the face of the deep, and ftrengthened the fountains of the aby/s. Here is mention made of the abyfs, and of the fountains of the abyfs; nor is there any queftion to be made, but that the fountains of the abyif here are the fame with thofe which Mofes mentions, and which, as he tells us, were broken up ar the deluge. And what is more obfervable in this text, the word which we render compafs, properly fignifies a circle, or circumference, or an orb, or fiphere : So that, according to the teftimony of Wifdom, who was then prefent, there was, in the beginning, a fphere, orb, or arch, fet round the abyfs, by the means of which, the fountains thereof were ftrengthened; for we cannot conceive, how they could have been ftrengthened any other way, than by having a ftrong cover or arch made over them.

[^32]If fuch then be the form of this abyfs, that it feems to A. M. be a valt mafs or body of water lying together in the ${ }_{\text {Ant.Chrift. }}^{1656,8 c}$. womb of the earth, it will be no hard matter to compute ${ }_{2349}, 8 c \mathrm{c}$. what a plentiful fupply might have been expected from from Gen. thence, in order to effect an univerfal deluge. (b) For if $\mathrm{ix}_{\mathrm{vi} .20}^{\mathrm{vi} .20}$ the circumference of the earth (even according to the low- Its fuffici- $_{20}$ eft computation) be $2 \mathrm{I}, 000$ miles, the diameter of it (ac- Its fufficicording to that circumference) 7000 miles; and confe- drown the quently from the fuperficies to the centre, 3500 miles; world. and if (according to the beft account) * the higheft mountain in the world (taking its altitude from the plain it ftands upon) does not exceed four perpendicular miles in height; then we cannot but conclude, that in this abyfs there would be infinitely more water than enough, when drawn out upon the furface of the earth, to drown the earth to a far greater height than Mofes relates. In a word, fince it is agreed on all hands, that in the time of the chaos, the waters did cover the earth, infomuch that nothing of it could be feen, till God was pleafed to make a feparation : Why fhould it be thought fo ftrange a thing, that, upon a proper occafion, they fhould be able to cover the earth again; (i) efpecially when the waters above the firmament came down to join thofe below, as they did at the beginning ?
(k) Seneca, treating of that fatal day (as he calls it) when the deluge fhall come, (for he fuppofed that the
(b) Patrick's Commentary.

* It is very probable, that men are exceedingly miltaken as to the height of mountains, fince, upon examination, it appears that the higheft in the world is not four miles perpendicular. Olympus, whofe height is fo extolled by the poers, does not much exceed a mile and a half. The mount Athos, which is faid to caft its fhadow into the ifle of Lemnos, (according to Pliny 87 miles) is but two miles in height; nay, the very Pike of Teneriff, which is reputed the higheft mountain in the world, may be afcended in three days, which (according to the proportion of eight furlongs to a day's journey) make it much about the height of a German mile perpendicular, as Varenius confeffes. And as for thofe mountains in Peru, in comparifon of which (as the Spaniards tell us) the Alps are no more than cottages, they themfelves allow, that they may be afcended in four days, which ftill reduces them within the compafs of four miles, and thereby makes the account of the flood, and its over-topping the higheft mountains, not fo improbable as fome imagine; Stillingfleet's Orig. Jacr. lib. 3, cap. 4.
(i) Vid.1. I. c. I. p. 6.
(k) Nat. Quxft. 1. 3. c. 27.
world
A. M. world was to be deftroyed alternately, firft by water, and ${ }_{\text {Ant. Chrift. }}^{365 \text {, }}$, fter that by fire), and queftioning how it might be effect2349 , \&c. ed, whether by the force of the ocean overfowing the from Gen. earth, by perperual rains without intermifion, by the vi. 12. to ix. 20. fwelling of rivers, and opening of new fountains, or (what he rather fuppofes) by a general concourfe and combination of all thefe caufes, concludes his inquiry at laft with thefe remarkable words, "There are valt lakes (fays he) "s which we do not fee, much of the fea which lies hidden " and concealed, and many rivers which glide in fecret ; " fo that there may he caufes of a deluge on all fides, " 6 when fome waters flow under the earth, others flow " round about it, and being long pent up, may overwhelm " it. And as our bodies fometimes diffolve into fweat, " fo the earth fhall melt, and, without the help of other " caufes, fhall find in itfelf what fhall drown it. -T.There " being in all places, both openly and fecretly, both from " above and from beneath, an eruption of waters ready to


But whatever folutions we máy gather, either from facred or profane authors, its feems neceffary, after-all, to call in the divine power to our affiftance. (l) For though the waters which covered the earth at the creation might be fufficient to cover it again; yet how this could be ef, fected by mere natural means, cannot be conceived. Though the waters fufpended in the clouds might fall in great torrents for fome time, yet, when once their fore was exhaufted, (as at this rate it could not-laft long), nothing but an almighty voice could have commanded a frefh fupply of forty days continuance from thofe other planetary fpaces where he had fettied their abode: And though the fubterraneous ftores did certainly contain a fund fufficient to complete the deluge, yet there wanted on this occafion an almighty hand, either to break down the arch which enclofed the abyfs, or by fome fecret paffages to force the waters out of it upon the furface of the earth; and fo ftopping the reflux, fufpend them for fuch a determinate time, at fuch an elevation. There needed fome almighty hand, I fay, to do this: And accordingly we may obferve, that though Mofes makes mention of two natural caules that might be conducive to the work, yet he introduces God as fuperintending their caufes, and affuming indeed the whole performance to himfelf: For behold $I$, even $I$, dobring a
flod of waters upon the earth, to deftroy all flifl wherein is the breath of life, from under beaven, and every thing that is on the earth fball die.

Thus, with the help and concurrence of God, we have from Gen. found a fufficient quantity of water for the deftruction of the old world. Let us now confider the make and capacity $\underbrace{-}$ of the veffel wherein the feveral animals that were to replenifh the new where to be preferved.
(m) Could we but imagine, that by fome frange revolu- Mofes's tion the whole art of fhipping hould come to be loft in this manner of part of the world, and that there happened to remain fuch defcribing a fhort account of one of our largeft fhips (the Royal the ark. Anne, for inftance) as that it was fo many feet fong, broad, and deep; could contain in it fome hundreds of men, with other living creatures, and provifions for them all during feveral months, and that the ftrength of it was fuch, that it was not broken in pieces all the time that the great form endured; would it not be very pleafant for any one to conclude from hence, that this fhip, according to the defcription of it, was nothing but an oblong fquare, without any more contrivance than a common cheft made by the moft ignorant joiner? And yet fuch are fome men's inferences when they talk of this noble ftructure.

Mofes indeed makes mention of little elfe but the di- Its defign to menfions of the ark, its ftories, and capacity to hold the foatin calm things to be placed in it; but it does not therefore follow, weather. but that it might have the convexity of a keel, (as many large flat-bottomed veffels have), as well as a prow, to make it cut the waters more eafily. The defign of the veffel however was not to make way, (as they call it at fea), but to preferve its inhabitants; and this it was more capable of doing (as $\dagger$ may be proved to a demonftration) than if it had
(in) Biblioth. Biblica. Occaf. annot. 13 .
$\dagger$ For let $\mu \mathrm{s}$ fuppofe, that, without any addition of art, it was nothing more than an oblong fquare, whofe length was fextupla to the bieadth, and decuple to the height; it is demonArable, that a piece of wood of that proportion, being lighter than the water, will be always fupported by it. For inftance, take a plank of oak exactly fquare, let it be one foot broad, fix feet long, and feven or eight inches thick, anfwering the proportion of the ark; there is no body, I believe, will fay, that any waves or winds will be ftrong enongh to break this piece of timber, notwithfanding its right angels. Now, let any folid of this fafhion be multiplied in a decuple, centuple, or millecuple
A. M. had been built according to the moft modern model, even 1656.8 c . fuppoling the waters, from the firft to the laft, to have been 2349, scc. from Gen. vi. 12. to ix. zc. never fo boifterous. But this they were not: Whatever ftorms and convulions there might be in particular places, when the flood-gates of heaven were at firf opened, and the fountains of the great deep broken up. (and then the ark was not afloat), the facred text takes no notice of any . reugh weather till after the 150 days of the flood's gradual increate, when, upon the ceafing of the rains from above, and the waters from beneath; God fent forth a ftrong driving wind, but then the ark was at reft. So that all the time that the ark was afloat, or (as the Scripture expreffes it) while it went on the face of the waters, the winds were alleep, and the weather, though rainy, was free from all ftorms and angry commotions. Upon the whole, therefore, we may conclude, that, be the ftructure of the ark what it will, it was certainly fuited both to the burthen it was to carry, and the weather it was to live in ; and on this, and fundry other accounts, * upon experiment, perhaps it may be found to be the moft complete and perfect model that ever was devifed.
Its capacity to hold every thing that was to beput in it.

Had we never feen a fhip, and fhould be told what a number of men, and what a quantity of provfions and merchandize one of the largeft rates will carry, it would feem no lefs incredible to us than what Mofes tells us of the things which were contained in the ark. The ark,
millecuple proportion, and let theforce of the waves, and the invafive power of the wind, be multiplied.alfo with it in the fame proportion, the refiftance of a rectangular folid (which is perfectly impenetrable, and exactly the cafe of the ark) will be proof againft any given force whatever; Bibliotheca Biblica, vol. I.;Occaf. annot. 13.

* About the beginning of the laft century, Peter Janfon, 2 Dutch merchant, caufed a fhip to be buile for him, anfwering, in its refpective proportions, to thofe of Noalis ark, the length of it being 120 feet, the breadth of it 20 , and the depth of it 12 . At firft it was looked upon no better than as a fatatical vifion of this Janfon, (who was by profeffion a Menonitt), and, whillt it was building, he and his fhip were made the fport of the feamen, as much as Noali and his ark could be. But afterwards it was found that thips built in this fathion were, in the time of peace, beyond all others moft commodious for commerce ; becaufe they would hold a third part more, without requiring any more hands and were found far better runners than any made before ; Bibliotheca Biblia, ibid.

Chap. VI. from the Creation to the Flood.
according to his account, was 300 cubits in length, 50 in breadrh, and 30 in height; and if we fuppofe the cubit ${ }^{1536}$ Ant. Chrif. here mentioned, at the loweft computation, to be but a foot 2468 . E8\%. and an half long, yet was the length of it (according to Gen. fiom that proportion) 450 feet, the breadth 75 , and the height
 cal feet, which was face enough, in all confcience, to receive every thing, and much more, than every thing that was to be contained in it. For it appears from the facred text, that the form of the ark was rectangulai, $(n)$ and being intended only for a kind of float to fwim above the water, the flatnefs of its bottom did render it much more capacious. It appears from the fame text, that this ark confifted of three ftories, and the whole height of it being 45 feer, it may well be fuppofed that this height was equally divided among the three ftories, and fo each ftory was 15 feet high, only deducting a foot and a half, or one cubit, for the flop of the roof, or the cover of the upper ftory. ( 0 ) It is likewife pretty well agreed by interpreters, that the loweft ftory was appointed for four-footed animals, as moft commodious for them ; the middle ftory for their provender, and what they were to live upon; and the upper fory partly for the birds, and what they were to eat, and partly for Noah and his family, together with their utenfils: And that each of thefe ftories was fpacious enoughi to receive what was to be put therein, will appear to any one who will give himfelf the trouble * of making a geometrical calculation.
(n) Wiikins's Effay towards a real character. (o) Wells's Geography, vol. r. cap. 2.; Lamy's Introduction.
$\dagger$ Buteo has plainly demonfrated, that all the animals contained in the ark could not be equal to 500 horfes; (the learned Heidegger, from Temporarius, make them 400 oxeri); and yet it is not to be queftioned, but that a building very near as long at St. Paul's Church, and as bread as the middle inle of that church is high within, is capable of affording fabling for fuch a number of horfes, Vid. Dr. Bundy's Tranflation of Lamy's introduction. Kircher (in his Arca Noe, c. 8.) has given us large calculations of the dimenfions of the ark, and from thence concludes, that this veffel was capacious enough to receive, not only Noah and his family, all other creatures and their food, but even an entire province likewife. Wilkins (in his effay towards a real character), and from him Welts (in his

Vox. I. U u Geograply
A. M. उ5弓б, \%с. Ant.Ohrif. 2349, \&c, to from Cen. above the power of all arithmetic to count; and yet, when wi. 12. to ix. 20.

The num. ber of animals :

He who looks upon the ftars; as they are confufedty fcattered up and down in the firmament, will think them to be (what they are Cometimes called) innomerable, and the yare diftinctly reduced to their particular conftellations, and defcribed by their feveral places, magnitudes, and names, it appears, that of thofe which are vifible to the naked eye, there are not many more than a thoufand in the whole firmament, and few more, than half formany (even taking in the minater kinds of them) to be feen at once in any hemifphere. And, in like manner, he who thould put the quettion, How many kitrds of beafts or birds there are in the world: Would be anfwered, even by fuch as in other refpects are knowing and learned enough, that there are fo many hundreds of them as cannot be enumerated: whereas, upon a diftinct inquiry into all fuch as are yet known, or have been defcribed by credible authors, it will appear, that they are much fewer than is commonly imaginied, $(p)$ not an hundred forts of beafts, and not two hundred of birds.

And why fewer than is' imagined.

And yet, out of this number, as fmall as it is, we muft except all animals that are of equivocal generation, as infects ; all that are accuftomed to live in water, as fifh and water fowl; all that proceed from a mixture of different Species, as mules, and all that by changing their climate; change their colour and fize, and for pafs for different creatures, when in reality they are the fame. We muft obferve farther, that all creatures of the ferpentine kind,

Geography of the Old Teflament) have both entered into a large detail of things, and given us an exact and complete idea of the capacity of the ark, and of its proportion, together with what it might contain. Le Peletier (in his Difer. fur l' arch de Noc) Follows another Englifl author, Bifhop Cumberland, who, in his Difcovery of the weights and meafures of the Jews, has pro ved, that the ancient cubit of the Jews was the old derah of Memphis; whereupon Peletier allows I,781,377 cubical. feet of Paris for the whole contents of the ark, fo that it might hold (as he pretends) 42413 tons of lading. Buta certain anonymous author has publifhed a differtation upon the fame principles, wherein he compares the ark to our modern thips, and computes its meafure according to the tons it might contain, and thereupon makes it larger than forty fhips of 1000 tons each. Vid. Difert. hiff. chron. geograph. ©c. d. 2.; Fournal ds Paris fur Fanvier 17.12, tom. 51. p. 9.
(p) Wilkins's Eflay:-

Cliap. VI. from the Creation to the Flood.
the viper, fnake, flow-worm, lizard, frog, toad, d.c. might A. M. have fufficient face for their reception, and for their nou- $\begin{gathered}1656, ~ \\ \text { Ant. Chrif. }\end{gathered}$ rilhment in the hold or botiom of the ark, which was pro- $2345,{ }^{c} \mathrm{c}$. bably three or four feet under the floor, whereon the beafts from Gerr. are fuppofed to ftand; and that the fmaller creatures, fuch vi. 12. to as the moule, rat, mole, Gic. might find fufficient room in $\underbrace{\text { ix. } 20 .}$ feveral parts of the ark, without having any particular places or cells appointed for them: So that the number of the feveral fpecies of animals to be placed in the firft or low. eft fory, upon the foot of this deduction, ftands thus.

| Beafts which live on hay. |  | On fruits and roots. $\quad$ O |  | flith. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| The Horle | Stone burck | The Hog | The Lion | Stoat |
| Afs | Shamo's | Baboon | Bear | Weelle |
| Camel | Antelope | Ape | Tyger | Caftor |
| Elephant | Elke | Monkey | Pard | Otter |
| Bull | Hart | Sloth | Oun | Dog |
| Urus | Buck | Porcupine | Cat | Wolf |
| Bifor | Rein-dear | Hedge-hog | Civet | Fox |
| Bonafus | Rue | Squirrel | Fine | Padger |
| , Buffalo | Rhinoceros | Guines pis | Pole-cat | Jacka! |
| Sheep | Cameleopard | Ant-bear | Martin | Caraguya, |
| Stepciteros | Hare | Armadilla |  |  |
| Brodd-tail Goat | Rabbit | Tortoife |  |  |

Now, eoncerning thefe creatures God gives Noah this The loweft injunction: (g) Of every clean beaft, thou fbalt take to thee ftory laree by fevens, the male and the female; and of beafts that are not their recep. clean, by two, the male and the female. Taking the words tion, and then in their higheft acceptation, viz. that Noah was to why receive into the ark one pair of every fpecies of unclean animals, and feven pair of every fpecies of clean; yet, confidering that the feecies of unclean animals, which were admitted by pairs only, are many in comparifon of the clean, and the fpecies of large animals few in comparifon of the fmaller; we cannot but perceive (as by a fhort calculation it will appear) that this lower ftory, which was ten cubirs high, three hundred long, and fifty broad, i. c. 225,000 folid feet in the whole, would be capable of receiving, with all manner of conveniency, not only all the forts of beafts that we are acquainted with, but probably all thofe other kinds which are any where to be found under the copes of heaven.

It is a pretty general opinion, and what feems to be The midele founded on Scripture, that, before the flood, both men, fory fuffi-
(q) Gan. viil. 2. $\mathrm{Uu}_{2}$ cient to contain their provender, and beafts, why,
A. M. beafts, and birds fed only upon fruits and vegetables. 1656.8 cc.
Ant. Clrit. $(r)$ Behold I bave given you every berb, fays God, bear2349 . \&c. ing feed, which is upon the face of all the earth, and every foom Gen. tree which is the fruit of a tree yielling feed, to you vi. 12. to
ix. 20 . to every fowl of the air, and to every thing that creepeth upon the earth, wherein there is life, I bave given every green berb for meat : * Nor do there want inftances in hiftory of fome very ravenous creatures that have been brought to live upon other kind of food than flefh. So
(r) Chap. i. 29, 30.

* It is not to be denied, but that feveral learned men have taken great pains to provide fleth for the carnivorous animals thut up in the ark, when it is beyond all controverfy that the ftomachs of fuch animals are fitted for the digeftion of fruits and vegetables; that füch food would be more falutary both for them and their keepers, and would create a leis demand of drink throughout the courfeof folong a confinement; and yet there is not the leall foundation from the text to fuppofe, that any fuch provifion was made for creatures of fuch an appetite, but feveral inflances in hiftory do fhow, that even the moit rapacious of them all may be brought to live upon other diet than flefh. Thus Philoftratus, in his Appolonius, 1.5 . tells us of a lion in Egypt, which, though it went into the temple conftantly, would neither lick the blood of facrifices, nor eat any of the flefh when it was cut in pieces, but fed altogether on bread and fweetmeats: and Sulpitius Severus [Dial. 1. c. 7.] gives us this account of a Monk of Thebais. "" When we came to the tree, "s whitherour courteous hoft led us, we there perceived a lion, "s at the fight of which I and my guide began to tremble; but " as the holy man went direetly up to it, we, though in no " fmall fright, followed after. The beaft, at our approach, " modefly retired, and food very quiet and ftill, while the " good man gathered it fome branches of apples; and, as he is held them out, the lion came up and eat them, and fo went ". off." The like ftory is told us by Phocas, in his defcription of the Holy Land, cap. 13. of fome lions beyond the river Jordan, whom an Anchorite, named lberus, fed with pulfe and crufts of bread: And to the animals in the ark, feeding in this inamer, the prophet Ifaiah, fpeaking of the times of the Meffiah, [ch. 11. 6, 7.] is fuppofed by our author to allude. The wotf fhall dwell with the lamb, and the lenpard lie dow: with the kid, and the calf, and the young lion, and the fatling tygethe:and a little child Jhall lead them; and the conv and the bear foall reed, their young ones fhall lie down together, and the lion foall ext foraw like the ox; Heidegrer's hit. pate. exer. 17.
that there was no neceflity for Noah's providing fo many fupernumerary fheep (as fome would have it) to feed the carnivorous animals for a whole year. (s) The fame divine providence which directed all the animals, of whatever country, to make towards the ark, which took from them their fiercenefs, and made them tame and gentle upon this occafion, might likewife beget in them a loathing of flefh, (fuppofing they cat it before), and an appetite for hay, corn, fruits, or any other eatables that were moft obvious in this time of diftrefs. And as they were thut up, and could not fpend themfelves by motion, but might have their ftomachs palled with the continued agitation of the veffel, they may well be fuppofed to ftand in need of lefs provifion than at other times.

If then (to make our computation) we fhould fay, that $(t)$ all the beafts in the lower ftory of the ark were equal, in their confumption of food to 300 oxen, (which is more by a great deal than fome calculations have allowed), that 30 or 40 pounds of hay are ordinarily fufficient for an ox for one day; and that a folid cubit of hay, well compreffed, will weigh about 40 pounds; then will this fecond fory, being of the fame dimenfions with the other, i.e. 225,000 folid feet, not only allow fpace for a fufficient quantity of hay, but for other repofitories of fuch fruits, roots, and grain, as might be proper for the nourifhment of thofe animals that live not upon hay ; and for fuch paffages and apertures in the floor as might be neceffary for the putting down hay and other provender to the beafts in the lower ftory.

Upon the whole therefore it appears, that the middle The upper ftory of the ark was likewife large enough to hold all that was requifite to be put therein : and as for the third and upper ftory, there can no manner of doubt be made, but that it was fufficient to hold all the feecies of birds, even though they were many more than they are generally computed. The accurate Bifhop Wilkins * has divided them into
(s) Heidegger's Hif. patr. ibid. (t) Wilkins's Effay, part. 2.c. 5 .

* The manner of his reckoning them up is this:

1. Cernivorous birds
2. Phytivorous birds of fhort wings
3. Phytivorous birds of long wings
4. Phytivorous birds of thort thick will
5. Infectivorous
A. $\mathrm{M}^{*}$ 1656, \&c. Ant. $\mathrm{Cl}_{\mathrm{z}} \mathrm{if}$ 2349, \&c. from Gen. vi. 12 . to新. 20.
into nine forts, and reckon them to be an hupdred and ninety-five in the whole; but then the greatelt part of them are fo very fmall, that they might well enough be kept in partitions or cages piled one upon another. The food neceffary for their futenance would not take up any great preportion of room, and the remainder of the ftory would make a commodious enough habitation for Noah and his family, together with little clofets and offices, wherein to difpofe of their feveral domeftic matters and $\mu$ tenfils.
Bihop Wil- Upon the whole inquiry then, fays the fame learned prekins's re- late, it does, of the two, appear more difficult to aflign a flection upon the whole. fufficient number and bulk of neceffary things to anfwer the capacity of the ark, than to find fufficient room in it for the couvenient reception of them; and thereupon he truly, as well as pioully, concludes, $(u)$ " That had the " moft fkilful mathematicians and philofophers been fet to "c confult what proportions a veffel defigned for fuch an "f ufe as the ark was, fhould have in the feveral parts of ir, " they could not have pitched upon any other more fuit"s able to the purpofe than thefe mentioned by Mofes are ; "' infomuch, that the proportion of the ark (from which " fome weak and Atheiftical perfons have made fome poor " efforts to overthrow the authority of the facred Scrip" tures) does very much tenid to confirm and eftabliilh " the truth and divine authority of them. Efpecially, if " we only confider, that in thefe days men were lefs " verfed in arts and fciences; at leaft, that the ark was, " in all probability, the firf veffel of any bulk that was " made to go upon the water: Whence the juftnefs of "the proportion obferved in its feveral parts, and the ex" actnefs of its capacity to the ufe it was defigned for, are
6. Infectiverous birds the greater
7. Infectiverous birds the lefs
8. Aquatic birds near wet places
9. Aquatic fiffpedes
10. Aquatic plenipedes

To thefe perhaps may he added fome exotic birds; but as the number of thefe is but finall, fo we may obferve of the carniyoroms, which is the largen fpecies, that they were reputed punclean, and confequently, but two of each fort admitied into the ark; Bedford's Scrip. chron, 2.12.
(u) Wilkins, ibid.
"r reafonably
" reafonably to be afcribed, not to bare human invention A. M. " and contrivance, but to the divine direction, exprefsly nt.Chrifto * acquaints us,"

Thus we have placed the feveral kinds of createres in the ark, and furnifhed them with a competent fock of provifion.

And, now, if it hould be afked, How came they all thi- How the fether? The reply in that cafe will be this - ( $x$ ) That the veral creacourtry of Eden is very reafonably fappofed by learned men brought to to be next adjacent to the garden of that name, from the ark. whence Adam was expelled; and that, as all early accounts of that country paint it out to us, as one of the mort fruitful and delicious regions in the earth, (though now greatly changed), there is no reafon to imagine, that Adam fought for any habitation beyond it. There, according to many concurring circuinftances, was this famous afk built: There is gopher-wood (very reafonably fuppofed to be cyprefs) found in great abundance; there is afphaltws, wherewith the ark, to defend it from the imprefion of the waters, was daubed and fineared both within and without; and not far from thence is mount Ararat, where the ark, as the waters began to abate, is known to have refted: And, in this fituation, there is not any reafon to imagine, that any one fecies of animals could be out of Noab's reach. ( $y$ ) There they were all natives of the fame country, and he perhaps, fome time before the flood, might have tamed fome of every kind, fo that, when the deluge came on, they might eafily be brought to the ark, and every one ranged in jis proper place, before that Noah thut it up.

But now, that they are all hut up, what fhall we to for How they air to keep them alive, or for light, to direct them in lived for what ther are to do? Mention indeed is made of a window, and light, left in the upper part of the ark; but this is faid to be no more than a cubit fquare, and what is this in proportion to fo vaft a fabric: Either therefore we muft devife fome reIief for them in this exigence, or we thall foon find the poor remains of the creation in utter darknefs, and in the fhadow of death.
(z) $\Lambda$ is the word Zobar, which we render window, is never mentioned in the fingular number through the whole
(x) Revelation Examined, part 1 . ( $y$ ) Howell's Hiltory, vol. 1 . 1. I. (z) Vid. Bibliotheca Biblica, vol. I.; Occafional annot. xi.
A. M. 7656, \&c. Ant. Chrift. 2349, \&cc. from Gen, vi. 12. to ix. 20.
compafs of the Bible, but only this once, it perhaps may be no very eafy thing to find out its true fignification. Whether the LXX interpreters underftood the meaning of it; whether they knew, in the Greek language, any word capable of exprefling it; or whether they might think it of fo facred a nature, as not proper to be publifhed at all: But fo it is, that they prudently have omitted it in their tranflation, and will have the precept, or direction, which God gives Noah, to mean no more, than that he fhould finifh the ark, by clofing it on the top, and compacting it well together.

The word has its original from a verb which fignifies to burn, or fbine like oil; and indeed wherever it occurs (as it fometimes occurs in the dual number), it always fignifies fome bright and luminous body; and accordingly, fome of the Jewifh doctors were of opinion, that this muft have been a kind of precious ftone, or carbuncle, which was hung up in the midit of the ark, to give light, all around : And to this purpofe, R. Levi tells us, that, "du" ring the whole 12 months that Noah was thut up in the " ark, he needed neither the light of the fun by day, nor " the light of the moon by night; for there was a jewel " belonging to him which he hung up in the ark; and as " it waxed dim, he knew that it was day, but as its luftre " was more intenfe, he knew that it was night." But this opinion is not well founded; becaufe fuch authors as have written beft upon the qualities of precious ftones, do all agree, that (whatever the ancients may fay), there is no fuch thing as a night-fhining carbuncle to be found in nature.

That it is poffible to make a felf-fhining fubfance, citheir liquid or folid, the hermetical phof pher of Balduinus, the aerial and glacial noctilucas of Mr. Boyle; and feveral other preparations of the like fort, together with the obfervan, tions of the moft accurate philofophers upon the production and propagation of light, and the prodigious ejaculation of infenfible effluviums, are a fufficient demonftration. The moft furprifing fubftance of this kind was the pantarba of Jarchus, " which fhone in the day as fire, or as the fun, " and at night did difcover a flame, or light, as bright " as day, though not altogether fo ftrong; which was, in " fhort, of that fiery and radiant nature, that if any one " looked on it in the day-time, it would dazzle the eyes " with innumerable gleams and corufcations:" Nor can we well doubt, but that Noah, who (as oriental traditions fay) was a profound philofopher; who was certainly a per-
fon of much longer experience, than any later liver can pretend to ; and (what is more) was under the peculiar favour and direction of God, perceiving the neceffity of the thing, fhould be equally able to prepare fome perpetual light, which fhould centrally fend forth its rays to all parts of the ark, and, by its kind effluviums, cherifh every thing that had life in it. Now, if this be allowed, (and this is more confonant to the letter of the text + than any other interpretation that has hitherto been advanced), then will all the difficulties, which either are, or can be raifed about the manner of fubfiftence, in a clofe veffel, by creatures of fo many different fecies, vanifh immediately. But, if it be not allowed, then it is impoflible, without admitting a whole train of miracles, to give the leaft account, how refpiration, nutrition, motion, or any other animal function whatever, could be performed in a veffel fo clofely fhut up: And therefore, it is the fafeft to conclude, that, according to the divine direction, there muft have been fomething placed in the ark, which, by its continual
$\dagger$ P. Lamy, to evade fome difficulties that he could not fo well folve, tells us, That the form of the ark is fo little afcertained by Mofes, that every one is left to his own conjectures concerning it: And therefore, he fuppofes, that as the ark was divided into three ftories, or floors, and the word Zobar, which we tranflate window, fignifies, fplendour, light, noon, \&c. the whole fecond ftory (in which he places the animals) was quite open all round, except fome parts, which were grated, to hinder the birds from flying in and out : Otherwife, he cannot conceive, how they could have had fufficient light, and air, and a free paffage for it, to prevent flagnations, and many other inconveniencies, which, upon this fuppofition, would have been removed. The lower ftory indeed was included within wooden walls, and well guarded with pitch, as being all under water; but the two upper fories, being above water, were either entirely open, or fecured with lattices and grates; and the top, or open parts, covered with goat-fkins, and fheep-fkins, fewed together, (as the tabernacle afterwards was), which Noah could eafily let down, or roll up,' according as rain, or ftorm, or a want of air made it neceflary. And then, as for keeping the beafts clean, he fuppofes, that the ftalls we fo open and fhelving at the bottom, that water might have been let in, high enough to have wafhed the feet of the cattle, and to have cleanfed the falls of itfelf. Vid. his Introduction to the Holy Scriptures, lib. 1. cap. 3.; and Bedford's Scripture-chronology, cap. ir. But all this is pure imagination, and inconfiftent with the notion which the facred hiftory gives us of it.

VoL. I. $X \times$ emanation,
A. M. ${ }^{16} 56, \&_{s}$ Ant;Chrif: 2349, \&c.: from Gen. vi, 12. io ix. 20 .
$\qquad$ How in the middle re. gion of the air.
emanation, might both purify and invigorate the included air; might corveet and fweeten all noxious vapors and exhaiations; and, like the fun, fend fuch a vivifying lighe, that nothirg fhould die that was within the ark, i. e. fo far as the beams thereof did reach.

Thus we have refcued Noah and his family, from the danger of fuefocation in their confinement, by the fupply of a vicarious light, to purify the air, and difpel all vapours, as well as enable them to go about their work: But now, that the waves fwell, and the veffel mounts on high, even above the top of the higheft hitls uader heaven, they run into another quite different danger, viz. that of being ftarved to death, amidft the colds, and extreme fabtility of the air, in the middle region, wherein no creature can live. (a) But the middle region of the air, we ought to remember, is not to be looked upon as a fixed point, which: never either rifes or falls. It is, with refpegt to us, more or leif elevated, accordiug to the greater or lefs heat of the fiua. In the cold of winter, it is much nearer to the earth, than in the warmth of fummer; or fo fpeak more properly) the cold which reigns in che middle region of the air during the fummer, reigns likewife in the lower region during the winter. . Suppofing the deluge then to out-top the highef mountains, it is evident, that the middle region: of the air muft have rifen higher, and removed to a greater diftance from the earth, and waters; and, on the contrary, that the lower region muft have approached nearer to both, in proportion as the waters of the deluge increafed or decreafed: So that, upon the whote, the ark was all along in the lower region of the air, even when it was carried fifteen cubits above the higheft mouatains; and the men and beafts which were inclofed in it, breathed the fame air as they would have done on carth, a thoufand, or twelve hundred paces lower, had not the deluge happened. How Noah But, during this whole courfe of the ark, fince Noah could moa-was thut up in fo clofe a place, where he was not capable fure time. of making any obfervations, where indeed he could fee nejther fun, moon, bor ftars, for many months, it may very well be wondered, how he could poffibly have any juit menfuration of time, had we not reaion to fuppofe, that he certainly had within the ark a chronometer of one kiod or other, which did exactly anfwer to the motion of the heavens without. The invention of our prefent horological

[^33]machines
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machines indeed, and particularly of the pendulum watch; (which is the matt exact corrector of time), is but of modern date ; but ir does not therefore follow, but that the fame, or other equivalent pieces of art, might, in former ages, have been perfectly known to fome great men. Suppofe that Mr. Hugens, or fome other, was the inventor of peadulums in thefe parts of the world, yet it is more than probable, that there was a pendulum-clock made many years before at Florence, by the direction of the great Galileo; and that, long before that, there was awother at Prague, which the famous Tycho Brake made ufe of, in his aftronomical obfervations. And thercfore, unlefs we fondly imagine, that we poftdiluvians have all the wit and ingenuity that ever was, we cannot but think, that Noah, who not only had longexperience himfelf, bur fucceeded to the inventions of above 1600 years, (which, confidering the longevity of people then, were much better preferved than, they can be now), was proxided with horological pieces of warious kinds, before he entered the ark. Or, if we can fuppofe him defitute of thefe, yet what we have faid of the zohar, is enough so evince, that, by the obfervation of that alone there coold be no difficulry in diftinguifhing the nights from the days, and keeping a journal accordingly.

But now, that the flood fabfides, and the ark is landed, How the and all its inhabsants are to difembark how can we fuppofe that feveral of the animals fhall be able to find their way from the mountains of Armenia, into the diftant parts of the Weft Indics, which (as far as we can find) are joined to no other part of the known world, and yet have crearures peculiar, and fuch as cannot live in any other climate? This is a queftion that we mud own ourfelves ignurant of, (d) in the fame manner, as we pretend not to fay, by what means that vaft continent was at firft peopled. But by what method foever it was that its firit inhabieants came thither, whether by frefs of weather, or defigned adven. ture, by long voyages by iea, or (fuppofing a paffage between ope continent and another) by long journeyings by land, it is plain, that by the fame means, fome creatures at firft might have been conveyed thither: And as their number, atr that time, could be but fmall, we may fuppote that by a promifcuous copulation with one another, they might beget a fecond fort, which in procefs of time, the
(b) l'id. Univerfal hiftory. Of this however we flall give the conjectures of the learned, when we come to treat of the difeer - fion of nations in our nest book.
A. M. nature and temperature of the climate might fo far alter,

3656, \&c. Ant.Chrift. 2349, \&ec. from Gen. vi, 12. to ix. 20. as to make them pafs for a quite different fpecies, and fo far affect their conftitution, as to make them live not fo commodioufly in any other climate. To convey either men or beaft, all on a fudden, from the warmeft parts of Africa, to the coldeft places in the north, would be a probable means to make them both perifh, but the cafe would not be fo, if they were to be removed by infenfible degrees, nearer to thofe places: Nor can we fay, that there never were fuch creatures in thofe parts of Afia, where Noah is thought to have lived, as are now to be found in America; becaufe it is very well known, that formerly there have been many beafts of a particular fpecies in fome countries, fuch as the hippopotami in Egypt, wolves in England, and beavers in France, where at prefent there are few or none of them to be found.

Why God made ufe of this method.

If, after all, it fhould be alked why God made ufe of this, rather than any other method, to deftroy the wicked, and preferve the righteous ? the proper anfwer is, that whatever pleafeth him, that hath he done, both in heaven and in earth ; for as his will is not to be controlled, fo neither is it to be difputed. For argument's fake, however, let us fuppofe, for once, that inftead af drowning the world, God had been pleafed to deftroy by plague, famine, or fome other fore judgment, all mankind, except Noah and his fons, who were to be eye-witneffes of this terrible execution : to live to fee the earth covered with dead bodies, and none left to bury them; the fields uncultivated, and the cities lie wafte and defolate without inhabitants, who can conceive what the horror of fuch a fight would have been? And who would have been content to live in fuch a world, to converfe only with the image of death, and with noifome carcafes? But God in mercy, fhut up Noah in the ark, that he fhould not fee the terrors and confternations of finners when the flood came; and he wafhed away all the dead bodies into the caverns of the earth, will all the remains of their old habitations. So that when Noah came out of the ark, he faw nothing to difturb his imagination, nor any tokens of that terrible vengeance which had over-run the world, to offend his fight : Only, when he looked about him, and faw every thing gone, he could not but fall into this contemplation, that God, when he enters into judgment with the wicked, (c) will not pity, nor fpare, nor bave mercy,
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but deffroy. He will dafb them one againft another, even fa- A. M. ther and fon together, and (d) caufe his fury to reft upon them ${ }^{1}{ }^{1656}$, \&c. until his anger be accomplijbed.

DISSERTATION. VI.

## of mount Ararat.

BEfore we conclude this chapter, and this book together, it may not be improper to give the reader fome account of the mountains of Ararat in general; in what part of the world that particular one which is here intended is faid to be fituate; and, according to the relations both of ancient geographers and modern travellers; of what form and magnitude this mountain is. But in this inquiry fome difficulties will arife, by reafon of the different traditions concerning it,

The author of the verfes * which go under the name Different of the Sibylline Oracles, places the mountains of Ararat in the borders of Phrygia, not far from Celænæ, at the it . head of the two rivers Marfyas and Meander : But it appears from good authorities, that there is in reality no mountain at all in that place, or at moft, but a fmall hill, an eminence made by art, and not by nature : And therefore the learned Bochart has happily found out the ground of this miftake, when he tells us, that not far from this city Celænæ, there is another town called Apamea, and firnamed K، $\boldsymbol{b}_{\text {aitas, }}$, or the ark; not from any tradition that Noah's ark ever refted there, but purely on account of its fituation, becaufe it is encompaffed with three rivers, Mar-
(d) Ezek. v. 13.

* The verfes, as they are fet down by Galleus de Sibyllis, p. 58.9, are thefe :




But that which fhews the fpurioufnefs of thefe verfes, is this: --That the Sibyl, fpeaking of herfeif as contemporary with Noah, takes notice of the river Marfyas, which, whatever name it had at firf,' was certainly, after the death of Midas, called the fountain of Midas, and retained that name until the time of Marfyas, by whom it was altered; and this mult be long after the death of this Sybil; Bedford's Scripture cbronology. l. 2. c. 2.
A. M. fyas, Obrymas, and Orgas, which give it the refemblance of x $656,8 \mathrm{c}$.
Ant. Chrif
a 2349 , \&ic. andria was fo called, by reafon of the bay which inclofed from Gen. the fhips.

Sir Walter Raleigh, (e), and from him fome later wriix. 20. ters $(f)$ are of opinion, that the mountains of Ararat were thofe of Caucafus, towards Bactria and Saga Scythia, This, as they imagine, agrees with the general notion, that the Seythians might contend for the antiquity of their original with any other nation; with the Chaldean tradition, concerning the actions of their great man Xifuthrus, who is commonly fuppofed to be the fame with Noah; with the language, learning, and hiftory of the Chinefe, who are thought to be Noah's immediate defcendents; and with the journey which fome of his other defcendents are faid to have took, viz. (g) from tbe eaft to the laud of Sbinar, A modern chronologer has endeavoured to prove, that the place where Noah built the ark was called Cyparifon, not far from the river Tigris, and on the north-ealt fide of the city of Babylon; that while the flood continued, it failed from thence to the north-eaft, as far as the Calpian fea, and when the flood abated, the north-wind brought it back by a fouthern courfe, and landed it upon Mount Caucafus, eaft of Babylon, and about nine degrees diftant from it in longitude; and that this opinion, as he imagines, is more agreeable to the courfe which the ark, by meeting with contrary currents, would be forced to make; to the fenfe of Scripture, in bringing the fons of Noah from: the eaft, and in fetting the children of Shem (who went not to Shinar) in this place, and to the great conveniency of Noah's landing, not too far from the country where he lived before the flood, that thereby he might be capable of giving better directions to his family how to difperfe themfelves, and to replenifh the new world as occation did require. But befides that there appears little or no authority for all this, the obfervation of travellers into thofe coontries may make it be queftioned, whether fuch a veffel as the ark is reprefented, drawing much water, and very unfit for failing, conld be able to reach Mount Caucafus from the province of Eden (where it is generally thought to have been built) in the ipace of the flood's increafe, which was no more than an hundred and fifty days. The moft probable
(e) His Hittory of the world. (f) Heylin's Cormography ; and Shuckford's Connection, $1.2 . \quad(g)$ Gen.xi. 2. opinion
opinion therefore is, that by the word Ararat, the Holy A.M. 1656 . Scriptures denote that rountry which the Grecks, and Ant. Cariie from them other weftern nations, do call Armenia. In this from Gin. fenfe it is taken by the Sepruagior, by the Chaldee para- vi. iz. to phrafe, by the Vulgate, by Theodoret, and by divers or. $\underbrace{\mathrm{ix} .20}$ thers. The learned Bochart has bronght together a multitude of arguments, all tenting to the fame conclufion : But then the queftion is, on what particular mountain it was that the ark landed?

1. The moft prevailimg opinion for fome time was, that The mofe one of the mountains which divide Armenia on the fouth confiderfrom Mefopotamia, and that part of Affyria which is inhaz- ${ }^{\text {a }}$ alk. bited by the Curds, (from whence the mountains took the name Gurdu), which the Greeks changed into Gordiai, * and feveral other names, was the place where the ark landed : and what makes for this opinion, is, that whereas the deluge was in a great meafure occafioned by the overflow ing of the ocean, as the Scriptures tell us, that flux of waters which came from the Perfian fea, running from the fouth, and meeting the ark, would of courfe carry it northward upon the Gordixan mountains, which feems to be yoyage enough for a veffel of its bulk and ftructare to make in the ftated time of the flood's increafe.
The tradition which affirms the ark to have refted on thofe mountains, muft have been very ancient, fince it is the tradition of the Chaldeans themfelves, and in former ages was very little queftioned, tilt men came to inquire into the particular part of thofe mountains wheron it fertled, and then the authors feemed to place it out of Armenia; Epiphanius on the mount Lubar, between the country of the Armenians and Gordieans; and all the Eaftern authors, both Chriftian and Mahometan, on mount Themanin, or Al-Judi, which overlooks the country of Diarrhabia, or Mouffal, in Mefopotamia.
To confirm this tradition however, we are told, that the remrainders : of the ark were to be feen upon thefe mountains. Berofus and Abydenus both declare, that there was fuch a report in their time; the former obferves farther, that feveral of the inhabitants thereabouts frraped the
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pitch off the planks as a rarity, and carried it about them for an amulet; and the latter fays, that they ufed the wood of the veffel againft feveral difeafes with wonderful fuccefs; as the relicks of this ark were likewife to be feen in the time of Epiphanius, if we may believe him. The town of Themanin, which fignifies eight, fituate at the foot of the mountain Al-Judi, was built we are told, in memory of the eight perfons who came out of the ark; and formerly there was a monaftery, called the monaftery of the ark, upon the Curdu mountains, where the Neftorians ufed to celebrate a feftival, on the very fpot where they fuppofed the ark ftopped: But in the year of Chrift 776, that monaftery was deftroyed by lightning; together with the church, and a numerous congregation in it; and fince that time, the credit of this tradition has in fome meafure declined, and given place to another, which at prefent prevails.
2. 'This opinion places mount Ararat towards the middle of Armenia, near the river Araxes, or Aras, above 280 miles diftant from Al-Judi, to the north eaft. (b) St. Jerom feems to have been the firft who hath given us an account of this tradition. "Ararat (fays he) is a champain " country, incredibly fertile, through which the Araxes " Hows at the foot of mount Taurus, which extends fo " far; fo that by the mountains of Ararat, whereon the " ark refted, we are not to underftand the mountains of " Armenia in general, but the higheft mountains of Tau" rus, which overlook the plains of Ararat." Since his time, its fituation in this place has been remarked by feveral other writers; and all the travellers into thefe places now make mention of no other mount of Ararat than what the Armenians call $M a / 2 s$, (from Amafia, the third fucceffor of Haikh, the founder of their nation), and what the Mahometans do fometimes name Agri-dagh, i. e. the heavy or great mountain, and fometimes Parmak-dagh, the fingermountain, alluding to it appearance ; for, as it is ftrair, very fteep, and ftands by irfelf, it feems to refemble a finger, when held up.

A defcription of mount $A$ tarat.

The mount Ararat, which the Armenians, as we faid, call Mafis, and fometimes Mefefouffar, (becaufe the ark was ftopped there when the waters of the flood began to abate) itands about twelve leagues to the eaft (or rather fouth-eaft) of Erivan, (a fmall city feated in the upper
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Armenia) four leagues from Aras, or Araxes, and ten to A. M. the north-weft of Nakfchivan; which, becaufe nak, in ${ }^{16} 56,3 \mathrm{c}$. Armenian, fignifies a ßhip, and fchivan, Aopped or fettled, 2 , ant.9. \&cce is fuppofed to have its pame from the fame occation. This from Gern. mountain is encompaffed by feveral little hills, and on the vi. 12. to top of them are found many rains, which are thought to have been the buildings of the firft men, who might fear, for fome time, to go down into the plains. It fands by itfelf, in the form of a fugar-loaf, in the midft of one of the greateft plains that is to be feen, and feparated from the other mountains of Armenia, which make a long chain. It confifts of two hills, whereof the lefs is more fharp and pointed; but the larger (which is that of the ark) lies north-eaft of it, and rears its head far above the neighbouring mountains. It feems fo high and big indeed, that when the air is clear, it does not appear to be above two leagues from Erivan, and yet may be feen fome four or five days, journey off; but from the middle to the top, it is always covered with fnow, and for the fpace of three or four months in the year, has its upper part commonly hid in the clouds.
The Armenians have a tradition, that on the fummit of this mountain there is ftill a confiderable part of the ark remaining, but that it is impoffible to get up to the top of it. (i) For they tell us of one traveller, a perfon of fingular piety, who endeavoured to do it, and had advanced as far as the middle of the mountain; when, being thirfty, and wanting water, he put up a prayer to God, who caufed a fountain to fpring out of the ground for him, and fo faved his life; but, at the fame time, he heard a voice, faying, Let none be fo bold as to go up to the top of this mountain.

How difficult the afcent of this mountain is (without any particular revelation) we may inform ourfelves from the following account which Mr. Tournefort gives us of it.
"About two o'clock in the afternoon, (k) (fays he), Tourne"' we began to afcend the mountain Ararat, but not with- fort's ac" out difficulty. We were forced to climb up in loofe count of ito "fand, where we faw nothing but fome juniper and " goats-thorn. The mountain, which lies fouth and " fouth-fouth-eaft from Eimiadzim, or the three churches,
(i) La Boulaye's Voyages.
(k) Vide his Voyages into the Levant, letter 7.

Yol. I. . Yy "is
A. M. " is 1696, \&c. . Ant. Chrif. $2349,8 c$. from Gen. vi. 12. to ix. 20.
is one of the moft fad and difagreeable fights upon earth; for there are neither trees nor fhrubs upon it, nor any convents of religious, either Armenians or. Franks. All the monafteries are in the plain, nor can I think the place inhabitable in any part, bécaufe, the foil of the mountain is loofe, and moft of it covered with frow.
"From the top of a great abyfs, (as dreadful an hole as ever was feen), oppofite to the village of Akurlu, (from whence we came), there continually fall down rocks of a blackifh hard ftone, whichamake a terrible " refound. This, and the noife of the crows that are continually flying from one fide to the other, has fomething
" in it very frightful; and to form: any notion of the place, you muft imagine one of the higheff mountains in the world opening its bofom, only to fhow one of the molt horrid fpectacles that can be thought of. No living animals are to be feen but at the bottom, and towards the middle of the mountain. They who occupy the " loweft region, are poor hepherds and fcabby Hocks. ". The fecond region is poffeffed by crows and tygers, " which we paffed by, not without giving us fome dread " and uneafinefs. All the reft of it, $i, \epsilon$. half of it, has " been covered with fnow ever fince the ark refted there; " and thefe fnows are covered half the year with very " thick clouds.
" Notwithftanding the amazement which this frightfut
" folitude caft us, inco, we endeavoured to find out the " monaftery! we were told of, and inquired whether " there were any religious in caverns. The notion they " have in the country, that the ark: refted here, and the " veneration which all the Armenians have for this moun" tain, (for they kifs the earth as foon as they fee it, and " repeat certain prayers after they have made the fign of 'is the crofs), have made many imagine, that it muft be fil" led with religiousir However, they affured us that there " was only one forfaken convent at the foot of the gulf; " that there was no fountain throughout the whole mount; " and that we could not go in a whole day to the fnow, " and down again to the bottom of the abyfs; that the " Mhepherds often loft their way; and that we might judge ' what a miferable place it was, from the necefity they " were under to dig the earth from time to time, to find a " $\int$ pring of water for themfelves and their flocks; and, " in fhort, that it would be folly to proceed on our way, " becaufe they were fatisfied our legs would fail us ; nor
" would they be obliged to accompany us for all the trea-
" fures of the king of Perfia.
" When we confidered what the fhepherds had told us,
" we advifed with our guides; and they, good men, un-
" willing to expofe themfielvs to the danger of dying for
" thirft, and having no curidity ar the expence of thei ix. 20 .
" legs, to meafure the height of the mountains, were at
" firft of the fame fentiments with the fhepherds; but af-
" terwards concluded, that we might go to certain rocks,
" which were more prominent and vifible than the reft,
" and fo return by night to the place where we were; and
" with that refolution we went to reft. In the morning
" after that we had eat and drank very plentifully, we be-
" gan to travel towards the firtt ridge of rocks, with one
" bottle of water, which, to eafe ourfelves, we carried
"by turns; but notwithftanding we had made pitchers
" of our bellies, in two hours time they were quite dried
"up; and as water fhook in a bottle is no very plea-
"fant liquor, our hopes were, that when we came
"to the fonow, we fhould eat fome of it to quench our
" thirft
" It muft be acknowledged, that the fight is very much
"deceived when we fland at the bottom, and guefs at the
" height of a mountain ; and efpecially, when it muft be
" afcended through fands as troublefome as the Syres of
Africa. It is impoffible to take one firm flep upon the fands of mount Ararat; in many places, inflead of afcending, we were obliged to go back again to the middle of the mountain; and, in order to continue our
" courte, to wind fometimes to the right, and fometimes to
" the left.
" To avoid thefe fands, which fatigued us moft into-
" lerably, we made our way to the great rocks, which
" were heaped one upon another. We paffed under
"then, as through caverns, and were fhelered from all
" the injuries of the weather, except cold, which was
" here fo keen and intenfe, that we were forced to leave
" the place, and came into a very troublefome way, full
" of large flones, fuch as mafons make ufe of in building,
" and were forced to leap from fone to ftone, till I,
" for my part, was heartily weary, and began to fit down,
" and repofe myfelf a little, as the reft of the company
" did.
A. M. 1656, \&c. Ant. Chrift. 2349, \&c. from Gen. vi. 12 . to ix. 20.
" an hour together upon this green phat, and fo paffed
" very agreeably, and much fafter than we could have
" gone upon our legs. The night and our thirft were a
" kind of fpurs to us, and made us make the greater
" fpeed. We continued therefore fliding in this manner,
" as long as the way would permit ; and when we met " with fmall flints which hurt our choulders, we turned ${ }_{\text {Ant, Chrift }}^{1656,}$ " and flid on our bellies, or went backwards on all four. 2349 , \&c. "Thus, by degrees, we gained the monaftery; but fo dif- from Gen. " ordered and fatigued by our manner of travelling, that " we were not able to move hand or foot."
I have made my quotation from this learned botanift and moft accurate traveller the longer, not only becaufe it gives us a full idea of the mountain, fo far as he afcended, but fome diftruft likewife of the veracity $(l)$ of a certain Dutch voyager, who feems to affure us, that he went five days journey up mount Ararat to fee a Romifh hermit ; that he paffed through three regions of the clouds, the firft dark and thick, the next cold and full of fnow, and the third colder fill ; that he advanced five miles every day, and when he came to the place where the hermit had his cell, he breathed a very ferene and temperate air ; that the hermit told him, he had perceived neither wind nor rain all the five and twenty years that he had dwelt there ; and that on the top of the mountain there ftill reigned a greater tranquillity, which was a means to preferve the ark without decay or putrefaction.

There is one objection which may be made to all that we have faid concerning the fituation of this famous moun- ${ }^{\text {tiond }}$ flated tain, and that is, -Whereas the fons of Noah, when fwered. they quitted the country where the ark refted, are faid to $(m)$ journey from the eaft into the land of Shinar, it is plain, that if they removed from any part of Armenia, they muft have gone from the north or north-weft; but this we fhall take occafion to examine when we come to treat of their migration. In the mean time, it is worthy our obfervation, and fome argument of our being in the right, ( $n$ ) that the fituation of Ararat, as we have fuppofed it, whether it be mount Mafis, or the mountain of Cardu, was very convenient for the journey of the fons of Noah, becaufe the diftance is not very great, and the defcent eafy, efpecially from the latter, into the plains of Mefopotamia, whereof Shinar is a part. Nor fhould we forget, that the neighbourhood, which the facred hiftory, by this means, preferves between the land of Eden, where man was created, that of Ararat, where the remains of mankind were

[^36]( $n$ ) Univerf. Hift. l. i. c. 1. p. ifo.
A. M. faved ; and that of Shinar, where they fixed the centre of 1536, ©ec. their plantations, is much more natural and feerns to have Ant. Chri.
2349 , Ge berter face and appearance of truth, than to place thefe from Gen. feenes at fo vaft a diftance, as fome commentators have vi. 12 . to done.
$\underbrace{\text { ix. } 20 .}$ One inquiry more, not concerning mount Ararat only, That there buit every other mountain that is difperfed over the whole were moun-
tains before
earth, is this, - Whether they were in being before the the deluge, induction of the flood? The ingenious author of the Theory, fo often quoted, is clearly of opinion, that (0) the face of the earth; before the deluge, was fmooth, regular, and uniform, without mountains, and without a fea; and that the rocks and mountains, which every where now appear, were made by the violent concuffions which then happened, and are indeed nothing elfe but the ruins and fragments of the old world. But all this is confuted by the teftimony of divine wifdom, who declaring her own pre-exiftence,' ( $p$ ) I was fet uth from everlafing, fays the, from the beginning, or ever the earth was; when there zuas no depth, I was brought forth; when there were no fountains abounding with water, before the mountains were fettled, before the bills, was I brought forth; while as yet God bad not made the earth, nor the fields, nor the bigheft part of the duft of the world So that, according to this declaration, not only the fountains of waters which we fee upon the face of the earth, but even mountains (which fome have accounted its greateft deformities) and all hills were part of the original creation, and cotemporary with the firft foundations of the earth : And though a deluge can fcarce be fuppofed to overfpread the globe, without making fome tranfmutation in it, yet that it could not fhock the pillars of the round world, or caufe a toral diffolution in nature, we have the fame divine teftimony affuring us, that at the time of the firft creation, ( $q$ ) God laid the foundation of the earth fo fure, that it Jould not be removed for ever.

Their ule and pleafantreers.

It is a groundlefs imagination then to afcribe the origin of mountains and other lofty eminences to a certain difruption of the earth in the time of the deluge; when God. from the very firft beginning, defigned them for fuch excellent purpofes. For, befides that feveral of thefe rocks
(o)'Burnet's Theory, l. 1. c. 5. ( $p$ ) Prov. viii. 23. \& c c. (q) Pfal. civ. 5 .
and mountains (as well aś the broad fea) are really an awful Gight, and fill the mind with juft notions of God's tret mendous Majeitys whick a fmall ritery on a frooth furtiz face doe not do fo well ; gud befides, that they yield food from Gen. for feveral animals formed by oatuat to live upon thems, ix. 20.0 and fupplyens from wishout wich many wholefome plants; $\underbrace{\text { n- }}$ and from within with, many ufeful metaks.; by condenfeng the vapours, and fo prodtacing rain, fountains and rivers, they give the very plainpsand valleys themfelves the fertility whigh they boalt of r Forthis feems to be the defrgifolof hills, (fays ( $r$ ) a learned inquirer into the origionl of friings and fountains), © That their ridges, being placed through $s$ st the midft of the continent, might ferve, as it were, for st alembicks, to diftil frefh water for the ufe of man and " beaft; and their heights to give a defeent to thofe fireums " which run gently, like fo many veins of the microcofm, " to be the more beneficial to the creation."
(s) Nay, we may appeal to the fenfe of mankind, whether a land of hills and dales has not more pleafure and beauty both than any uniform flat, which then only affords delight when it is viewed from the top of an hill. For what were the Tempe of Theffaly, fo celebrated in ancient fory for their unparalleled ploganthefs, but a vale divided by a river, and terminated with hills? Are not all the defcriptions of poets embellifhed with fuch ideas, when they would reprefent any places of fuperlative delight, any blifsful feats of the mufes and nymphs, any facred habitations of gods and goddeffes? They will never admit that a wild flat can be pleafant, no not in the * Elyfian fields : 'They too muft be diverffifed. Swelling defcents and declining vallies are their chief beautizs; nor can they imagine * even paradife a place of pleafure, or heaven iffelf * to be heaven without them. So that fuch a place as our prefent earth is, diftinguifhed into mountains, rivers, vales,
( $r$ ) Dr. Hafley. ( $s$ ) Bentley's Sermons at Boyle's lectures,

* At pater Anchifes peniius convalle virenti,

Hoc fuperate jugum - -et tumulum capit. Vir. Æn. 6.

* Flowers worthy of paradife, which not wife art,

In beds and curious knots, but nature bonn, Pour'd forth profufe, on hills, and dale, and plain.
*. For earth hath this variety from heav'n Of pleafure, fituate on hill or dale.

Milton's Paradije Lof, book 4.
A.M. and hills, muft, even in point of pleafure, claim a pre-e1656, \&c. ${ }_{\text {Ant.Chrif, }}$, minence before any other, that prefenting us with no more 2349 . \&c. than a fingle fcene, and, in one continued plain fuperficies, from Gen. muft of neceffity pall the profpeet. But then, if we confivi. 12. to der farther the riches that are repofited in thefe mountains, $\underbrace{\text { ix. } 20 .}$ the gold and precious ftones, the coal, the lead, the tin, and other valuable minerals that are dug out of their bowels, all ufeful in their kinds, and fitted for the accommodation of human life, we fhall be apt to overlook the fantaftical pleafantnefs of a fmooth outfide, and to think with Mofes, the man of God, that ( $t$ ) Bleffed of the Lord is any land for the chief things of the ancient mountains, and for the precious things of the lafting bills.
(f) Deut. xxxiii. 13. 15 .

The End of the First Boos.
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Containing an account of things from the Flood to the Call of Abraham ; in all 426 years, and fix months.

## 

C H A P. I.

The remainder of what is recorded of Noab, to bis death.

## The History.

A$S$ foon as Noah and his family were landed, and A. M. all the creatures committed to his charge were 1657, , 8c. come fafe out of the ark, he felected fome of ${ }_{2347,}$ Ant chrit. every kind, both beafts and birds, but fuch only as irmom cen. were clean, and, by God's appointment, proper for fa-viii. 20. to crifice; and having built the fifft altar that we read of, re the end of flored the ancient rite of divine worlhip, and $\dagger$ offered burnt- Noah's fathe primi.

+ Jofephus tells us, that Noah, in a perfuafion, that God had fes and doomed mankind to deftruction, lay under a mortal dread for grants fear of the fame judgment over again, and that it would end in which God him. an anniverfary inundation; fo that he reprefented himfelf before the Lord with facrifices and prayers, " humbly befeeching him, " in mercy, to preferve the order of the world in its frame;

Vol. I.
Z z
" to
 3657 , \&.c. Ant.Ciarift. 2347, Fต゙c. 2347 , 解c. of the divine mas as procured him a gracious from Gen. ance, and in teftimony of that acceptance, feveral grants viii. 20. to and promifes.
ch. 9. Gods promifes were, that $\ddagger$ though mankind were na$\underbrace{\text { n- }}$ turally wicked, and apt to go aftray from the very womb, yet, be their iniquities ever fo great, he would not any more deftroy the earth + by a general deluge, or difturb the order of nature, and $\ddagger$ the feveral feafons of the year, and
" to punifh the guilty, and fpare the lives of the innocent ; and " not to proceed with rigour, for the wickednefs of fome parti" culars, to the deltruction of the whole; otherwife the furvivers " of this calamity would be more wretched than thofe that were " wafhed away in the common ruin, if, after having fuffered "s horror of thought, and the terror of fo difmal a fpectacle fhey is fhould only bedelivered from one calamity, to be confumed by $\because$ another;" Antiq. I. i. c.4. But that this fhould be the purport of his prayer is not very likely, becaufe we find no fach indications of terror in Noah, who knew the great and criminal caufes of the deluge to be fuch; as could nothappen every year, and who having found favour in the eyes of God, and a miraculous prefervation from a general deftruction, can hardly be fuppofed to have caft away his confidence in him fo foon, and inftead thereof, to be pofleffed with an abject and fervile fear: and therefore we may conclude, that the nature of his prayer and facrifice was eucharaftical, and not deprecatory : Heidegger's Hift. patriar. exercit. 19.
$\ddagger$ The words in our tranflation are, $I$ will not again curfe the ground any more for man's fake, for the imagination of man's beart is evil; which is certainly very injurioully rendered, becaufe itmakes the facted author fpeak quite contrary to what he defigned, and is an affront to the juftice, goodnefs, and wifdom of God, who, by this manlation of for inftead of thongh; might feem to blefs man for his evil imaginations; Effay for a new tranflation.
$\dagger$ For particular inundations there have been at feveral times, indivers plaees; whereby towns and countries have been overwhelmed, with all their inhabitants; Pool's Annotations.
$\ddagger$ All the verfions do manifeftly, in this place, confound the four fealons:of the year, which Mofes exactly diftinguifles. For the Hebrew word kor, which they render cold, fignifies the winter, becaufe of the cold that then reigns. The word chom, which they render beat, fignifies the fpring, becaufe of the heat which abounds in Judea about the end of the fring, in the months

Chap. I. from the Flood to the Call of Abraham.
and their regular viciffitudes : and in confirmation of this, he appointed the rainbow for a token, which (whether it ufed to appear before the flood or no) was now to be the ratification of the truth of his promife, and his faithful witnefs in heaven.

The grants which God gave Noah and his fons were not only * the fame dominion which our finf parents be-
fore
months of May and June, which are the harveft-time in that country. The word kajts, which they render fummer, does indeed fignify fo; but then the word choroph, which they term the rwinter, fhould be rendered autumn, which is the time of plowing and cultivating the ground, as may be feen Prov. xx. 4. So that the whole fentence, which contains the promife of God, Gen, viii, 22. if rendered juftly, fhould run thus,-White the earth remaineth, Yeed-time and harveft, winter and Spring, fummer and autumn, day and night, Shall not ceafe; an Eflay for a new tranflation. We cannot but obferve hówever, that this vicifitude of times and feafons, which ishere promifed as a bleffing to mankind, is a full confutation of the dreams of fuch writers as are apt tofancy, "That in the primordial earth there was every " where a perpetual fpring and equinox; that all the parts of the "year had one and the fame tenor, face, and temper; and that "s there was no winter or fummer, feed-time or harveft, but a " continual temperature of the air, and verdure of the earth ; which, ifit were true, would make this promife of God a punifhment, rather than a bleffing to mankind. Vid. Burnet's Theory, l. 2. c. 3.: and Heidegger's Hift. patriar. exercit. 19.

* A learned and Right Reverend author, to fhew the renovation of the earth after the deluge, and its deliverance from the curfe inflicted upon it by reafon of Adam's tranfgreffion, runs the parellel between the bleffings and privileges granted to Adam foon after his creation, and thofe reftored to Noah and his pofterity foon after the flood. To our firt parents it is faid, Have dominion over the fifl of the fea, and over the fowl of the air, and over every living thing that moveth on the carth, Geri. i 28. To Noah and his fous it is faid, The foar of yout, and the dread of you, fhall be upon every beaft of the earth, and: upon every fowl of the air, and upon all that moveth upon. the earth, and upon all the ffines of the fea, into your hand are they delivered, Gen. ix. 2. To Adam and Eve are granted for food, Every berb bearing feed__and every tree, in the which is the fruit of the tree, yielding feed, Gen. i. 29. But Noah and his fons have a larger charter, -_Every moving thing that liveth flall be meat to you, evien as the green herb, bave I
A. M. fore the fall had over the animal creation, and a full 3657, Che. power to keep them in fubmiffion and fubjection; but a ${ }_{2347}$. \&c. privilege likewife to kill any of thefe creatures for food; from Gen. only with this reftriction, that they were not to $\dagger$ put them viii. 20. to hic end of ch. 9 . to unneceflary torture, or to eat any part of their blood, which might be a means to introduce the fhedding of human blood. The human kind, notwithftanding their apa-
given you all things, Gen. ix. 3. The bleffing upon the earth at the creation was, - Let the earth bring forth grafs, and berb yielding feed, and the fruit-tree yielding fruit after his kind, Gen. i. if. The bleffing after the flood is, ——While the earth remaineth, feed-time and barveft hall not ceafe, Gen. viii. 22. In the beginning, the lights in the firmament were appointed to divide the day from the night, and to be for feafons, and for days, and years, Gen. i. I4. After the flood, the new bleffing is,_That /pring and autumn, fummer and winter, and day and night, Sall not ceafe, Gen. viii. 22. Whereupon our author afks, What is beftowed in the firft bleffings that is wanted in the fecond? What more did Adam enjoy in his happieft days? What more did he forfeit in his wortt, with refpect to this life, than that which is contained in thefe bleffings! If he neither had more, nor loft more, all thefe bleffings you fee exprefsly reftored to Noah and his pofterity : and from all this laid together, he concludes, that the old curfe upon the ground was, after the deluge, finifhed and completed ; Ufe and intent of prophecy, p. 91.
$\dagger$ The words in the text are-But fefh, with the life thereof, which is the blood thereof, fhall you not eat. This the Hebrew doctors generally underftand to be a prohibition to cut off any limb of a living creature, and to eat it, while the life, i.e. the blood, was in it; dum adbuc vivit, et palpitat, feu tremit, as a moderninterpreter has truly explained their fenfe. And in this they are followed by feveral Chriftians, who think (as Maimonides did) that there were fome people in the old world fo favage and barbarous, that they did eat raw flefh, while it was yet warm from the beaft out of whofe body it was cut piece-meal. Plutarch tells us, that it was cuftomary in his time to run red hot fpits thro' the bellies of live fwine, to make their flefh more delicious; and I believe fome among us have heard of whipping pigs and torturing other creatures to death for the fame purpofe, Now thefe things could not be committed, if fuch men thought themfelves bound in confcience to abftain from all unneceffary cruelties to the creatures, and to bleed them to death with all the difpatch they could, before they touched them for food. Vid. Patrick's Commentary; and Revelation examined, vol 2. p. 20.
ftacy, did ftill retain fome lineaments of the divine fimili. tude; and therefore, whofoever murdered any of them, did, did thereby deface the image of God; and whether it were ${ }_{2347}$, \&c. man + or beaft, ftranger or near relation, was appointed by the magiftrate to be put to death ; and with thefe grants and promifes, he gave them encouragement (as he did our firft progenitors) to be fruitful and multiply, and refrom Gen. viii. 20. to the end of plenifb the earth, which was now left almoft deftitute of inhabitants.

But how much foever the deluge might deprive the earth of its inhabitants, it had not fo totally deftroyed the trees, and plants, and other vegetables, but that, in a thort time, they began to appear again; and being encouraged by the kindly warmth of the fun, difcovered their feveral feccies by the feveral fruits they bore. Noah before the flood + had applied himfelf to hufbandry, and now, upon the recovery of the earth again, betook himfelf to the fame occupation. Among his other improvements of the ground, he had planted a vineyard, and perhaps was the firft man who invented a prefs to fqueeze the juice out of the grape, and fo make wine. Natural curiofity might tempt him to tafte the fruit of his own labour; but being either unacquainted with the ftrength of this liquor, of, through age and infirmity, unable to bear it, fo it was, that drinking a little too freely, he became quite intoxicated with it ; and fo falling afleep in his tent,
$\dagger$ If it here fhould be afked, How any beaft that is neither capable of virtue or vice can be deemed culpable, in cafe it fhould chance to kill any man? The anfwer is, That this law was ordained for the benefit of men, for whofe ufe all beafts were created. For, ift, Such owners as were not careful to prevent fuch mifchiefs, were hereby punifhed; 2dly, Others were admonifhed by their example to be cautious; 3 dly, God thereby inftructed them, that murder was a moft grievous crime, whofe punilhment extended even to beafts; and, $4^{\text {thly }}$, The lives of men were hereby much fecured, when fuch bealls as might do the like mifchief another time, were immediately difpatched, and taken out of the way; Patrick's Commentary.
$\dagger$ Anciently the greatef men efteemed nothing more honourable, and worthy their ftudy, than the art of agriculture. Nibil bomine libero dignius, nothing more becoming a gentleman, was the faying of the Roman orator; and for the triath of this, the Fabii, the Cato's, the Varro's, the Virgil's, the Pliny's, and other great names, are fufficient witnefles; Ribliothecc Biblica, vol.1.p.251.
A. M. lay with his body uncovered, and, in a very indecent po-
1656. 2 Ant. Chrift. 2347, \&zc. from Gen. viii. 20. to the end of ch. 9.
Ham's immodefty to his father Noah. fture, was expofed to the eyes of his children.

Ham, whe efpied his father in this condition, inftead of concealing his weaknefs, proclaimed it aloud, and to his other two brothers Shem and Japhet made him the fubject of his fcorn and derifion : But fo far were they from being pleafed with his behaviour in this refpect, that taking a garment, and laying it upon both their fhoulders, they went backward, till, coming to their father, they dropt the garment upon him, and fo covered the nakednefs which their pious modefty would not permit them to behold. Nor is it improbable, that, to prevent the like indecency, they watched him during the remaining time of his fleep; and might poffibly, upon his awakir, 5 , acquaint him with what had happened: Whereupon, perceiving how unworthily his fon Ham had ferved him, $\dagger$ he curfed his race in the perfon of Canaan his grandfon; and reflecting how refpectfully his other two fons had behaved, he rewarded their pious care with each one a bleffing, which, in procefs of time, was fulfilled in their pofterity:
And Noah's This is all that the Scripture informs us of concerning death. Noah, only we are given to underftand, that he lived 350 years after the deluge, in all $95^{\circ}$; and if we will believe the tradition of the orientals, he was buried in Mefopotamia, where, not far from a monaftery, called DairAbunah, i. e. the monaftery of our father, they fhew us, in a caftle, a large fepulchre, which they fay belonged to him : But as for the common opinion of his dividing the world among his three fons before his death, giving to Shem Afia, to Ham Africa, and to Japhet Europe, there is
$\dagger$ It is a tradition among the eaftern writers, that Noah, having curfed Ham and Canaan, the effect of his curfe was. that not only their pofterity were made fubject to their brethren, and born, as we may fay, in flavery, but that likewife, all on a fudden, the colour of their fkin became black: (For they maintain, that all the blacks defcended from Ham and Canaan) ; that Noah, feeing fo furprifing a change, was deeply affected with it, and begged of God, that he would be pleafed to infpire Canaan's matters with a tender and compaffionate love for him; and that his prayer was heard. For notwithftanding we may ftill at this day obferve the effect of Noah's curfe in the fervitude of Ham's pofterity, yet we may remark likewife the effect of his prayer, in that this fort of black flaves is fought for, and made much of in moft places; Calinet's Difionary on the mord Ham.
no manner of foundation for it, either in Scripture or tradition.

The $\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{b}} \mathrm{jection}$.
A. M. 1657, 8 2347, \&c. from Gen.
viii. $\mathbf{3 0}$ to the end of

" BU $\Gamma$ how fhort foever this poftdiluvian part of N.oah's ch. $g$. hiftory may be thought, it is long enough, we find, " to contain many more abfurdities and mifreprefertations " of things that can eafily be digefted. . It might be the " opinion of the Heathen world, perhaps, that their gods " were pleafed with the finell of incenfe, and (as one (b) " expreffes it) would leave their ordinary diet of nectar " and ambrofia, to fnuff up the fmoke and fat of facri" fices; yet furely it gives us too grofs and carnal a notion ". of the great God of heaven and earth, that he fhould " be fo far delighted with the fweet favour of any obla" tion, as to have his heart attendered, his relentings " kindled, and himfelf drawn into a hafty refolution never " to deftroy the earth any more, when it is apparent, that
" fince that time he has brought upon it feveral inundations,
" and at the end is refolved to confume it with a general " conflagration.
'cr The rainbow indeed may be accounted a very beauti-
" ful fight in the heavens; but as ir proceeds from a natu-
" ral caufe, (c) from the reflection and refraction of the
"rays of the fun from innumerable drops of rain in a
"cloud, it can be no proper token of a covenant com-
" mencing at that time. As there was a fun and clouds
" before the flood, the fame phænomenon mult have fre-
" quently appeared, and confequently loft its validity; nor
"can we fuppofe, that God fhould ever be fo unmindful
" of his covenant, as to ftand in need of fo flight, fo com-
" mon a remembrancer.
"The permiffion of animal food to Noah and his po-
" fterity may be thought perkaps a peculiar privilege; but
" (d) when we read of the fome dominion over all crea-
" tures, and the fame diftinction of clean and unclean
" beafts in the times before the flood that we find in the
" times of Noah, either we muft fuppofe the diftinction
" to be frivolous, and the dominion given to man
" more extenfive, after he had finned, than it 'was before;
" or we muft allow, that this is a privilege no more than
(b) Lucin: De Sacrif. vol. I. p. 306. (c) Saurin's Difertation. (d) Heidegger's Hitt. patriar. exer. 15 .
A. M. 1657. \&c. Ant, Chrif. 2347, 8c. from Gen. viii. 20. to the end of ch. 9

" The Lord and Sovereign of the poftdiluvian world was the Patriarch Noah, who muft confequently be a man of bufinefs, as having the chief government of affairs devolved upon him; and yet, after this period of time, we hear no manner of tidings of him, except it be in one fcurvy ftory of his planting a vineyard, getting fcandaloully drunk, and expofing himfelf to the fcorn and derifion of all about him. It is fomewhat Itrange, that in all the antediluvian ages, the ufe of the vine floould not be found out, or that Noah, who was now above 600 years old, fhould not be acquainted with its intoxicating quality; but, if he was nct, the more he was to be pitied; and Mofes (one would think) Chould have imitated his two dutiful fons, and, in compaffion to his infirmity, caft the kind veil upon his nakednefs. But inftead of that, to reprefent this favourite of God, and grave fire of mankind, lying in his tent in the fhameful manner that he does; and then, as foon as he
 and fet him a venting his curfes and his bleffings at random, looks as if he were acting the part of Ham, and expofing a weak man's failings to the public. For; according to this reprefentation, what other reafon can we affign for the feveral notorious blunders that he makes; (e) for his miftaking the name of Canaan (who feems to be innocent in the whole affair) inftead of that of his guilty fon Ham, in the curfe; for preferring his younger fon Shem before the firft-born Japhet, in the bleffing; and for the many unaccountable reveries of enlarging Japhet, making him dwell in the tents of Shem, and "Ham to become the fervant of fervants? What ac" count can we give for thefe extravagancies, I fay, but
(e) Vide Gen. ix. 25, 26, 27.

## Chap.I. from the Flood to the Call of Abraham.

" that of fuppofing that the good old patriarch was not "yet got out of his cups, and returned to his fenfes?" 7657, \&c. A. M. It is a fad perverfion of the ufe of human underftanding, $2_{347}, 8$. and no finall token of a fecret inclination to infidelity, when men make the condefcenfions of Scripturean argument againft irs divine authority; and from the figures and allufions which it employs in accommodation to their capacities, draw conclufions unworthy of its facred penman, and unbecoming the nature of God. very fully in thefe words: $(f)$ Hear, 0 my people, and I will fpeak; I my felf will teftify againft thee, O Ifrael, for I am God, even thy God. I will not reprove thee, becaufc of thy facrifices, or for thy burnt-offerings, becaufe they were not always before me. I will take no bullock out of thine boufe, or be-goat out of thy folds; _-_for thinkeft thou that 1 will eat bulls flefb, or drink the blood of goats? Offer unt,s God thankfgiving, and pay thy vows unto the Moft High, and call upon me in the time of trouble, fo will I hear thee. and thou fbalt praife me. So that it is not the oblation itfelf, but the grateful fenfe and affections of the officer, that are acceptable to God, and which, by an eafy metaphor, may be faid to be as grateful to him ( $g$ ) as perfumes or fweet odours are to us.

And indeed, if either the fenfe of gratitude or fear, if either the apprehenfion of God's peculiar kindnefs, or of his wrathful indignation againft fin, did ever produce a fincere homage, ( $b$ ) it muft have been upon this occafion when the Patriarch called to remembrance the many vows he had made to God in the bittorneis of his foul, and in the midft of his diftrets; when c.ming out of the ark, he had before his eyes the ruins of the old world, fo many dreadful objects of the divine vengeance; and at the fame. time faw himfelf fafe amidft this little family, which muit have all likewife perifhed, had they not been preferved by a miraculous interpofition. And with fuch affections of mind as this fcène could not but excite, it would be injurious not to think that his prayers and oblations were anfwerably fervent, and his joy and thankfgiving fuch as became fo fignal a deliverance.

But it was not upon account of thefe only that his fervice found fo favourable a reception. Sacrifices ( $i$ ) (as we thewed before) were of divine inftitution, and prefigurative (f) Pfal. 1. 7, \&c. (g) Patrick's Commentary. (b) Saurin's Differtations. (i) Vid. p. 135, do.

Vol. I.
A. M. of that great propitiation which God, in due time, would 1657.8 cc . Ant. Chrif. 2347, 8c. from Gen. viii, 20. to the end of ch. 9 .
 exhibit in the death of his fon. Whatever merit they have, they derive it from Chrift, ( $k$ ) whbo gave bimfelf for us, an offoring, and a facrifice to God for a fweet-fmelling favour. It was in the fenfe of this, therefore, that Noah approached the altar which he had erected; and while he was offering his appointed facrifices, failed not to commemorate this Lamb of God, which was glain from the foundation of the world, and fo found his acceptance in the beloved; for he is the (i) angel which comes and ftands at the altar, having a golden cenfer, and to whom is given much incenfe, that he may offer it with the prayers of the faints, ufon the golden altar, which is befare the throne.
That the
We miftake the matter however yery much, if we imacovenant hereupon redtrained God neither from particuiar inundations; gine, that the merit of Noah's facrifice (even when purified with the blood of Chrift) was the procuring caufe of the covenant here mentioned. The covenant was in the divine counfel from everlafting, and God only here takes an occafion to acquaint Noah with it : But then we may obferve, that he expreffes himfelf in fuch terms as lay no reftraint upon him from fending a judgment of waters, or from bringing a general conflagration upon the world at the laft day. He binds himfelf only never to fmite any more every living thing in the manner he had done, i. e. with an univerfal deluge; but if any nation deferves fuch a punifhment, and the fituation of their country well admits of it, he may, if he pleafes, without breach of this covenant, bring a local inundation upon them; though it mult be acknowledged, that whenever we find him threatening any people with his ( $m$ ) fore judgments, he never makes mention of this.
nor the ge- It was a general tradition among the Heathens, that the
neral connera! configaration. world was to undergo a double deftruction, one by watef, and the other by fire. The deftruction by fire St. Peter has gipen us a very lively defcription of. ( $n$ ) The beavens and the earth, which are now, fays he, are kept in flore, referved unto fire, againft the day of judgment; for then foall the heavens pafs away with a great noife, and the elements melt with fervent heat, and the earth alfo, and the works that are therein, Jball be burnt up. But all this is no infraction upon the covenant made with Noah, which felates to the judgment of a flood: And though this cataftrophe will certainly be more terrible than the other, yet
( ${ }^{\prime}$ ) Eph.v. 2. ( ( ) Revel. viii. 3. (n) Vid. Erek. viị. xiy. 3I: (n) 2 Pet. iii. 7. 10.
it has this great difference in it, (0) that it is not fent as a curfe, but as a bleffing upon the earth : Not as a means to deface and deftroy, but to renew and refine it; and therefore the fame Apoftle adds, ( $p$ ) Neverthelefs we, according to bis promife, look for new beavens and a new earth, wherein dwelleth righteoufnefs.

Thus the covenant of God ftandeth fure: But then, in $\underbrace{\text { n. } 9 .}_{\text {That the }}$ relation to the fign or facrament of it, whether it was pre- rainbow, vious or fubfequent to the deluge, this has been a matter the fgn of much debated among the learned. It cannot be denied in- the covedeed, but that * this curious mixture of light and fhade, not exift difcernible before the flood.
(0) Heidegger's hrif. patriar. vol. 1.exer. 19. ( $p$ ) 2 Pet. iii. 13 .

* The learned Heidegger has given us an account of the nature and colours of the rainbow, and by what different caufes they are produced, in words fo very expreflive, that I chufe to give them in the original, rather than run the hazard of injuring their emphafis by a bad tranflation. "Efficit iridem potiffimum fol, feu " radius folaris in vaporem receptus, inque eo refractus, propter "diverfa receptacula; unum rarius, aera; alterum denfius, va" porem; qui et folarem recipit radium, et in oculum reflecit: "Ita ut in iride fit partim $\dot{\alpha} \nu \dot{\alpha} x \lambda a \sigma t s$, five radii luminoff, in pro" funditate vaporis, refractio; partim focek $\lambda e \sigma t 5$, feu radii cjus "ad occulum refectio, qua non poffunt fociari nifi in nube rori"da, et in pluvias jam refolvendà; quippe in tantum rara, ut " eum aliquantum radius folaris penetret, et in tantum etiam "denfa, et ubi radius fefe paululum infinuarit, eundem reper" cutiat. Circularis et arcuata eft ejus figura, ob figuram folis " ipfius; quia femper iris apparet ex adverfofolis, repercuffis ejus "radiis ab oppofita nube. Colores iridis, exvaria lucis et um" brarum mixtura, funt tres potifimum; $\varphi_{a r y i s e o s, ~ p u n i c e u s ~ e t ~}$
 "ruleus. Cum enim folares radii primum fubeunt nubens, quia " minus tranfitur oppaci, color eft rubicundus, feu puniceus: " Ubi paulo magis penetrârit, impeditur aliquantum colortis ar"dor, atque fic fit viridis; at in profundum vaporis admiffus, "ufque ad infimam arcus curvaturam, ob opacitatem remittit "color, eftque cxruleus;" Exercit. 19. This defcription is pretty lively, and gives us fome idea of this frange phænomenon; and yet we muft own, that the natare of refraction, on which the colours of the rainbow do depend, is one of the abftrufett-things that we meet with in the philofophy of nature. Our renowned Boyle, who wrote a treatife on the fubject of colours, after a long and indefatigable fearch into their natures and properties, was not able fo much as to fatisfy himfelf what light is, or (if it be a body) what kind of corpufcles, for fize and flape, it confifts of,
A. $M$ : 1657, scc. Atrr.Carift $z_{3}^{2} \div 7, \dot{x} \mathrm{c}$. trum Gen. wii. I?, to the end of cin. 9 .
difcermible in the rainbow, arifes naturally from the fuperficies of thofe parts which conftitute a cloud, when the rays of the fun, from the adverfe part of the hemifphere, are darted upon it; and for this reaton, $(q)$ whenever there is the like difpoftion of the fun to the cloud, it may be imagined that the fame phænomenon may be feen, and confequently, at certain times, has been feen, not from the deluge only, but from the finft foundation of the world. $(r)$ But as this opinion bas nothing in Scripture to enforce it, fo there are no grounds in nature to give it any fanction, unlefs we will affert this manifeft untrath,_That every difpofition of the air, and every denfity of a cloud, is fitly qualified to produce a rainbow.

This meteor (as the Seripture informs us) * was appointed
or how thefe infenfible corpufcles could be fodifferently, and yet withal foregularly refracted : And he freely acknowledges, that however fome colours might be plaufibly enough explained in the general, from experiments he had made, yet whenfoever he would defcend to the minute and accurate explication of particulars; he found himfelf very fenfible of the great obfcurity of things. Dr. Halley, the great ornament of his profetion, makes the fame acknowledgment ; and after having, from the given proportion of. refraction, accounted both for the colours and diameter of the painbow, with its feveral appearances, he could hence difcern (as he tellis us) farther difficulties lying before him: Particularly, from whence arofe the refractive force of fluids? which is a problem of ne fmall moment, and yet defervedly to be placed among. the myfteries of nature, nondum fenfibus, aut ratiociniis noftris objecta: And the noble Theorift of light himfelf, after his many furprifing difcoveries, built even upon vulgar experiments, found it too hard for him to refolve himfelf in fome particularsabout it ; and notwithftanding all his prodigious 1 kill in mathematics, and his dextrous management of the moft obvious experiments, he concludes it at laft to be a work too arduous forhuman underftanding, abfolutely to determine what light is, after what manner refract ed, and by what modes and actions it produceth in our minds the phantafies of colours; Biblioth. Biblica, vol. 2.occaf.annot. 2. in the apperdix.
(q) Vid. Brown's Pfeudodoxia epidemica. ( $r$ ) Dr. Jackfon upon the Creed, 1. i. c. 16.

* That this rainbow was thought to be of fomewhat more than mere natural extraction, the phyfical mythology of the ancient Heathens feems to teltify, and it is not improbable, that from the tenor of God's covenant here made with Noah, which might be communicated to them by tradition, Homer, the great
pointed by God to be a witnefs of his covenant with the ${ }^{\text {A. }}$. M.
new world, and a meffenger to fecure mankind from de- ${ }^{16575}$ Ant.Chrift, ftruction by deluges; fo that had it appeared before the 2347 , sc. flood, the fight of it afterwards would have been but a from Gen. poor comfort to Noah and his pofterity, whofe fear of an the end of inuadation was too violent, ever to be taken away by a ch. 9. common and ordinary fign.

For fuppofe that God Almighty had faid to Noah, (s) " I make a promife to you, and to all living creatures, " that the world fhall never be deftroyed by water again; " and for confirmation of this, behold, I fet the fun in " the firmament;" would this have been any ftrengthening of Noah's faith, or any fatisfaction to his mind? "Why " (fays Noah) the fun was in the firmament when the " deluge came, and was a fpectator of that fad tragedy; " and as it may be fo again, $\dagger$ what fign or affurance
father of Ethnick poetry, does, by an eafy and lively fiction, bring in Jupiter, the king of heaven, fending Iris, his meffenger, with a peremptory command to Neptune, the prince of waters, to defilt from any farther affifing the Grecians, and annoying the Trojans; and at the fame time that Iris is fent with this meflage to the watry deity, the poet has fo contrived the matter, that Apollo, or the fun, which is the parent and efficient caufe of the rainbow, is fent with another meffage to Hector, and the Trojans, in order to encourage them to take the field again, and renew their attack. The meaning of all which fine machinary is no more than this, -That after a great deal of rain, which had caufed an inundation, and thereby made the Trojan horfe ufelefs, the fun begar to appear again, and the rainbow in a cloud oppofite to the fun, which was a fure prognotic of fair weather ; $B i-$ bliotheca Biblica, vol. 1. occaf. annot. 2. in the appendix.
(s) Buruct's Theory.
$\dagger$ When God gives a fign in the heavens, or on the earth, of any prophecy or promife to be fulfilled, it muft be fomething new, or by fore change wrought in nature, whereby he teftifies to us, that he is able and willing toftand to his promife. Thus God puts the matter to Ahaz, A/k a fign of the Lord, a/k it either in the depth or in the height above: and when Ahaz would alk no fign, God gives him one unaiked: Behold a Virgia fball conceive, and bsar a fon. Thus when Abraham afked a fign, whereby he might be affured of God's promife, that his feed thould inherit theland of Canaan, it is faid, that when the fun went down, and it was dark, behold a fincaking furnace, and a burniug lamp pafled between the piecer of the beafts which he had cut afonder, Gen.

A．M．＂＂in this againft a fecond deluge ？＂But now，if we fup－

1657，છ゙c． Ant．Chrift 2347，B゚C． from Gen． viii．20．to the end of ch． 9 ． pofe，on the other hand，that the rainbow firft appeared to the inhabitants of the earth after the deluge，nothing could be a more proper and appofite fign for providence to pitch upon，in order to confirm the promife made to Noah and his pofterity，that the world fhould no more be deftroyed by water．The rainbow had a fecret connection with the effect itfelf，and fo far was＊a natural fign；and as it ap－ peared firft after the deluge，and was formed in a thin， watery cloud，there is，methinks，a great eafinefs and pro－ priety of its application for fuch a purpofe．For if we fuppofe，that while God Almighty was declaring his promife to Noah，and what he intended for the fign of it，there appeared，at the fame time，in the clouds，＊ a fair Gen．xv．17．And in like manner，in the fign given to Heze－ kiah for his recovery，and to Gideon for his victory ；in the for－ mer cafe，the 弓hadorw went back ten degrees in Abaz＇s dial，Ifa． xxaviii．8．；and in the latter，the fleece was wet，and all the ground about it dry；and then（to change the trial）it was dry， and all the ground about it wet，Judg．vi．38，39．Thefe were all figns，proper，fignificant，and fatisfactory，having fomething new，furprifing，and extraordinary in them，denoting the hand and interpofition of God：But where every thing continues to be as it was before，and the face of nature，in all its parts，the very fame，it cannot fignify any thing new，nor any new inten－ tion of the author of nature；and，confequently，cannot be a fign or pledge，a token or affurance of the accomplifinment of any new covenant or promife made by him；Burnet＇s Theory， l．2．c． 5 ．
＊Common philofophy teaches us，that the rainbow is a natu－ ral fign that there will not be much rain after it appears，but that the clouds begin to difperfe．For as it never appears in a thick cloud，but only in a thin，whenever it appears after how－ ers which come from thick clouds，it is a token that they now grow thin ；and therefore the God of nature made choice of this Gign，rather than any other，to fatisfy us，that he would never fuffer the clouds to thicken again to fuch a degree，as to bring an－ other deluge upon the earth；Patrick＇s Commentary．＂Fit ＂iris ab adverfo fole，mittente radios in nubem non denfum； ＂fignificat，ergo naturaliter，quod et juflu Dei，imbrem nun－ ＂quam orbruturum mundum：qui enim poffr，cum neque cac－ ＂lum totum obductum nubibus fit，reque，qua adfunt，funt ＂valde denfx ？＂Valefus，De S．Pbilof．c．g．
＊The ingenious Marcus Marci is of opinion，that the rain－ bow，which firf appeared to Noah after the flood，and was fo particularly dignified by God，as to be confecrated for a divine
a fair rainbow, that marvellous and beautiful meteor, which Noah had never feen before, it could not but make a moft lively impreffion upon him, quickening his faith, and giving him comfort and aflurance, that God would be ftedfatt to his purpofe.

For God did not fet this bow in the clouds for his own fake, to engage his attention, and revive his memory, whenever he looked on it, (though that be the expreffion which the Holy Spirit, fpeaking after the manner of men, has it was apthought fit to make ufe of), but for our fakes was it placed pointed. there, as an illuftrious Symbol of the divine mercy and goodnefs, and to confirm our belief and confidence in God: And therefore, whenever ( $t$ ) we look upon the rainbow, we thould do well to praife him who made it; for ve$r y$ bsautiful is it in the brightnefs thereof. It compafleth the beaven with a glorious circle, and the bands of the Mof High have, bended it.
And as the goodnefs of God was very conficuous to That fefh Noald and his pofterity, in giving them a new fign for the was nut ate conirmation of his promifes; fo it was no lefs remarkable flood. in the new charter which he granted them, for the enlargement of their diet. That our firft parents *, in their ftate
fign, was not the common one, but a great and univerfal iris, inimitable by art, which he ha's defined by a fegment of a circle, diffected into feveral gyrations (or rounds) by the diverfity of the colours, differing from one another, begotten by the fun-beams refracted in the atmofphere, and terminated with an opaque fuperficies. But whether this ferves to explain the matter any better, or whether the common rainbow be not an appearance illuftrious enough to anfwer the purpofes for which it was intended, we leave the curious to inquire; and fhall only obferve farther, that whether it was an ordinary or extraordinary bow which appeared to Noah, it is the opinion of fome, that the time of its firft appearing was not immediately after he had facrificed, (as is generally fuppofed), but on the 1 goth day of the flood, when God remembered Noah, upon which very day of the year they likewife calculate the birth of Chrit (as pretypified thereby) to have exactly fallen out; and that even the glory of the Lord, which fhone round about the fhepherds, was a gracious phenomenon, correfponding with this fign of the covenant; Bibliotheca Biblica, ibid.
(t) Ecclefialticus, xiiii. in, 12.

* This notion the Pagan poets and philofophers had received; for Ovid, in his defeription of thefe times, gives us to under
ftand,
A. M. fate of integrity, had not the liberty of eating flefh, is 3657 , $8:$ i. . very evident, becaufe they were limited by that injunction 2347, \&s. which appoints herbs and fruits for their food: (u) Bebold from Gen. I bave given you eivery herb bearing feed which is upon
vii. 20. to. wiii. 20. to. the face of the earth, and every tree in which is the $\underbrace{\text { ch. ix. fruit }}$ of the tree yielding"feed: To you it foall be for meat. Nay, fo far was mankind from being indulged the liberty of eating flefh at that time, that we find the bearts of the field, creatures that in their nature are voracious, and the fowl of the air, and every thing that creeped upon the earth, under the fame reftraint, as having no thing allowed them for their food but the herbage of the ground ; becaufe it was the Almighty's will, that in the ztate of innocence no violence fhoula be committed, nor any life maintained at the lofs and forfeiture of another's.

This was the original order and appointment, and fo it continued after thie fall ; for we can hardly fuppofe, that God would allow a greater privilege to man after his tranfgreffion than he did before. On the contrary, we find him ( $x$ ) curfing the ground for man's fake, and telling him exprefsly, that in forrow he foould eat of it all the days of his life; and though it fhould bring forth thorns and thiftles to him, yet here the reftriction is ftill continued, of the herbs of the field thou bolt eat, which is far from im-
fand, that they fed on no feff, but lived altogether on herbs and fruits, when he introduces Pythagoras, a great inquirer in. to the ancient and primitive practices of the world, exprefing himfelf in this manner :

At vetus illa ætas, cui fecimus aurea nomen,
Fertibus arboreis, et quas humus educit, herbis
Fortunata fuit; nec polluit ora cruore,
Tunc et aves tutæ movere per aëra pennas,
Et lepus impavidus mediis erravit in arvis;
Nec fua credulitas pifcem furpenderathamo.
Cuncta fine infidiis, nallamque timentia fraudem,
Plenaque pacis erant. Met. l. 15.
Prophyry, in his book De abfineiztia, afferts the fame thing, siz. That in the golden age noflefh of beafts was eaten, and he is to be pardoned in what he adds afterwards, viz. That war and famine introduced this practice. He was not acquainted with Genefis; he knew not that God's order to Neah after the flood was, that every living creature fhould be meat for hiv; Edwards's Survey of religion, vol. 1. p. 117.
(4) Gen, i. 29, 30.
(x) Ch. iii. 17, 18 .
plying a permiffion to make ufe of living creatures for that purpofe.

Nay, farther, we may obferve, that'fuch a permiffion had been inconfiftent with Gods intention of punifhing him by impoverifhing the earth; fince, had God indulged him the liberty of making ufe of what creatures he pleafed for his food, he might eafily have made himfelf an amends for the
A. M.

1657, \&c.
Ant. Chrif.
2347, \&c. from Gen. viii. 20. to
the end of ch. 9 . unfruitfulnefs of the earth; by the many good things which nature had provided for him. The dominion therefore which God at firft gave mankind over brute animals, could not extend to their flaying them for food, fince another kind of diet was enjoined them; nor could the diftinction of clean and unclean refpect them as things to be eaten, but as things to be facrificed. The firft permiffion to eat them was given to Noah and his fons, and is plainly a diftinct branch of power, from what God grants, when he rells them, (y) The fear of you, and the dread of you, Joall be upon every beaft of the earth.

If it be alked, for what reafon God fhould indulge Noah why it was and his pofterity in the eating of flefh after the flood, which granted to he had never permitted before it? The moft probable an- Noah and fwer is, - That he therefore did it becaufe the earth was ty. corrupted by the deluge, and the virtue of its herbs, and plants, and other vegetables, fadly impaired by the faltnefs and long continuance of the waters, fo that they could not yield that wholefome and folid nutriment which they. did before; though others rather think, that God indulged them in this; (z) becaufe of the bardnefs of their bearts; and that, perceiving the eagernefs of their appetites towards carnal food, and defigning withal to abbreviate the term of human life, he gave them a free licence to eat it; but knowing, at the fame time, that it was lefs falutary than the natural products of the earth, he thence took occafion to accomplifh his will and determination, of having the period of human life made much fhorter. Nor is the reafon, which (a) Theodoret affigns, for God's changing the diet of men from the fruits of the earth, to the fleh of animals, much amifs, viz. "That foreknowing, in future " ages, they would idolize his creatures, he might aggra" vate the abfurdity, and make it more ridiculous fo to do " by their confuming at their tables what they facrificed at " their altars; fince nothing is more abfurd, than to wor" fhip what we eat.

[^37]A. M. It cannot be denied indeed, but that the grant of dom:${ }^{3} 657$, \&c, nion which, God gave Adam, in his ftate of innocence, is ${ }_{2347, \text { ech. now }}$ much impaired, and that the creatures which to him from Gen. were fubmiffive through love, by us muft be ufed with fevii. 20. ${ }^{0}$ verity, and fubjected by fear: But fill it is no fmall hapch. 9 . c. - pineis to us, that we know how to fubdue them ; that the Tua ? n's horle and the ox patiently fubmit to the bridle and the yoke; donminion ever brate creatures ditll : .at. aues. and fuch creatures as are immorigerous, we have found out expedients to reclaim. For though man's friength be compraratively finall, yet there is no creature in the earth, fea, or air, bat what *, by fome foratagem or other; he can put in fubjection under him.

But (解 canfl thou draiv out Letiathan with an hook? or bis targue with a cord, wubich thou letteft down? Canft thou put an book into bis nofe? or bare bis jaw tbrough with a fear? Will he make many fupplications unto thee? Will tre Jpeak foft words unto thee? Wilt thou take him for a Servant for ewer? AH thefe queftions, how expreffive foeyer of the feveral qualities of this portentous creature, may neverthelefs, be anfwered in the affirmative, viz. That how large foever in bulk, and how tremendous foevé in ftrength thig animal may be, yet the Greenland fiffermen, who every year return with its fpoils, do literally perform what our author feems to account impoffible; they (c) fill bis Jhin with barbed irons, and his head with fifb fpears; and fo they play with him, as with a bird; they bind bitrs for their maidens, and part bim among their merctiants.

[^38]\[
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Lib. 5. Halieutic $\omega$ n. ver. 10. \&c.
(b) Job.xli. i. \&c.
(c) Ver. 5, \&c.

In fhort, God has implanted in all creatures, a fear and A.m. dread of man. (d) This is the thing which keeps wolves out of our towns, and lions out of our ftreets; and tha' the fharpnefs of hunger, or violence of rage, may at certain times make them forget their natural inftinct, (as the like caufes have fometimes divefted man of his reafon), yet, ch. g . no fooner are thefe caufes removed, but they return to their ordinary temper again, without purfuing their advantage, or combining with their fellow brutes to rife up in rebellion againft man, their lord and mafter.
(e) Some modern writers of no fmall note are clearly of Why Mofes opinion, that the Ararat where the ark refted, was mount Caucafus, not far from China, where Noah and fome herle menpart of his family fettled, without travelling to Shinar, N a atter or having any hand in the building of Babel; and the the flood. arguments they alledge for the fupport of this opinion, are ruch as thefe. - That the Mofaic hiftory is altogether filent, as to the peopling of China at the difperfion, and wholly confines itfelf within the bounds of the then known world; that the Chinefe language and writing are fo entirely different from thofe among us, (introduced by the confufion at Babel), that it cannot well be fuppofed they were ever derived from them; and that (taking their firft king Fohi and Noah to be the fame perfon) there are feveral ( $f$ ) traditions relating to them, wherein they feem to agree, that the reign of Fohi coincides with the times of Noah, and the lives of his fucceffors correfpond $\because$ ith the men of the fame ages recorded in scripture; and from hence they infer, that the true reafon why Mofes makes fo little mention of Noah, in the times limbfequent to the
(d) Miller's Hiory of the church. 1. I. c. 1. (c) Dr. Alix, in his reflections on the books of the Holy Scriptures; Mr Whiton in his chronology of the Old Teftament; Shuckford, in his Connection; and Bedford, in his Scripture chronology. ( $f$ ) Thus, in the Chinefe hiltory, Fohi is faid to have had no father, which agrees well enough with Noah, becaufe the memory of his father might be lof in the deluge ; that Fohi's mother conceived him, as the was encompaffed with a rainbow; which feems to allude to the rainbow's firit appearing to Noah after the flond; and that Fohi carefully bred up feven fort of creatures, which he ufied to facrifice to the fupreme Spirit of heaven and earth, which is an imperfect tradition of Noah's taking into the ark, of every clean beaft by fevens, and of his making ufe of none but thefe in all his burnt offerings; Shackford's Conneetion, lib. 2.
A.M. flood, is this,-_That he lived at too great a diffance, and 1657, \&c. Ant. Chrift ${ }^{2} 347$, \&c. from Gen. viii. 20. to the end of ch. 9 . had no fhare in the tranfactions of the nations round about Shinar, to whom alone after the difperfion of mankind, he is known to confine hiftory. This indeed is folving the difficulty at once: but then, as this opinion is only conjectural, the hiftories and records of China are of a very un- certain and precarious authority; and fuch as are reputed genuine, of no older date than fome few centuries before the birth of Chrift; the major part of the learned world has fuppofed, either that Noah, fettling in the country of Armenia, did not remove from thence, nor had any concern in the work of Babel, and fo falis not under the hiftorian's confideration; or that, if he did remove with the reft into the plains of Shinar, being now fuperannuated, and unfit for action, the adminiftration of things was committed to other hands, which made his name and authority the lefs taken notice of.

Why he records the account of his drunkennefs.

It muft be acknowledged, however, that the defign of the facred penman is, to be very fuccinct in his account of the affairs of this period, becaufe he is haftening to the hiftory of Abraham, the great founder of the Jewifh nation, and whofe life and adventures he thinks himfelf concerned, upon that account, to relate more at large. However this be, it is certain, from the tenor of his writing, that he is far from leading us into any fufpicion of his having a private malignity to Noah's character. He informs us, that amidft the corruption of the antediluvian world, he preferved himfelf immaculate, and did therefore find favour in the fight of God, and was admitted to the honour of his immediate converfe: That, to preferve him from the general deftruction, God inftructed him how to build a veffel of fecurity, undertook the care and conduct of it himfelf, and, amidft the ruins of a finking world, landed it fafe on one of the mountains of Armenia; that, as foon as the deluge was over, God accepted of his homage and facrifice, and not only renewed to him the fame charter which he had originally granted to our firft progenitor, but over and above that, gave him an enlargement of his diet, which he had not granted to any before; and with him made an everlafting covenant, never to deftroy the world by water any more, whereof he conftituted his bow in the clouds to be a glorious imbol. In this point of light it is that Mofes has, all along, placed the patriarch's character ; and therefore, if , in the conclution of it, he was forced to fhade it with one act of intemperance, this, we may reafonably con-
clude,
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clude, proceeded from no other paffion but his love of A. M. truth; and to every impartial reader muft be * a ftrong $1657,8 \mathrm{cc}$. argument of his veracity, in that he has interfperfed the ${ }_{2347}, \& c$. fauls with the commendations of his worthies, and, from Gen. through his whole hiftory, drawn no one character fo very the end of fair, as not to leave fome blemifhes, fome inftances of ch. $g$. human frailty fill abiding on it. And indeed, if we confider the thing rightly, we fhall find it an act of fingular kindnefs and benefit to us, that God has ordered the faults and mifcarriages of his faints fo conftantly to be recorded in Scripture; fince they are written for our inftruction, to remind us of our frailty, and to alarm our caution and fear.

Noah, we read, had efcaped the pollutions of the old world, and 'approved his fidelity to God in every trying juncture; and yet we fee him heie falling of his own accord, and fhamefully overcome in a time of fecurity and peace; when he had no temptations to befet him, nor any boon companions to allure him to excefs: And therefore his example calls perpetually upon (g) him that thinketh be fandeth, to take heed left be fall. More efpecially, it informs us, that ( $b$ ) wine is a mocker, ftrong drink is raging, and whofoever is deceived thercby, is not wife; and therefore it exhorts, in the words of the wife man, (i) Look not thou upon wine when it is red, when it giveth its colour in the cup, when it maveth itfelf aright. At the laft it will bite like a ferpent, and fing like an adder.' Thine eyes fball hebold firange women, and thine heart Jball utter per-

* To confirm in fome meafure the trith of this account of Mofes, we have an Heathen ftory, which feems to have fprung from fome tradition concerning it; for it tells us, that, on a certain day, Myrrha, wife, or (as others fay) nurfe to Hammon, and mother of Adonis, having her fon in her company, found Cynitas fleeping in his tent, all uncovered, and in an indecent pofture. She ran immediately, andinformed Hammon of it; he gave notice of it to his brothers, who, to prevent the confufion which Cyniftas might be in to find himfelf naked, covered him with fomething. Cyniftas, underftanding what had paffed, curfed Adonis, and purfued Myyrrha into Arabia; where, after having wandered nine months, fhe was changed into a tree which bears myrrh. Hammon and Ham are the fame perfon, and fo are Adonis and Canaan ; Calmet's Dittionary on the aurd Ham.
(g) i Cor. x. 12.
(b) Prov. xx. I.
(i) Ch. xxiii, 31, 在c.
A. M. verfe things; yea; thou fbalt be as he that lieth dowin itr An57, \&c. . the midft of the fea, and as he that lieth upon the top of a 2347 , $\& \mathrm{c}$. from Gen. viii. 20. to the end of ch. s . And in what fenfe it may be undergrood.
maft.
There is not however all the reafon that is imagined, to fuppofe that Noah was drunk to any fuch exceffive degree. The fame word which is here ufed occurs ( $k$ ) in another place of this book of Genefis, where we read, that 70 ofepb's brethren drank, and were merry with bim; and yet the circumftances of the entertainment will not fuffer us to think, that they indulged themfelves in any excefs, in the prefence of him whom as yet they knew to be no other than the governour of Egypt. And in like manner, if we may be allowed to take the word here in an innocent fenfe, its import will only be, that Noah drank of the wine plentifully, perhaps, but not to a debauch, and fo fell alleep. For we muft obferve, that Mofes's defign is, not to accufe Noah of intemperance, but only to thew upon what occafion it was the Canaanites, whom the people under his command were now going to engage, were accurfed, and reprobated by God, even from the days of Noah ; and, confequently, in more likelih od to fall into their hands.

Without perplexing ourfelves therefore to find out fuch excufes as ieveral incurpreters have devifed, as that Noah was unacquainted with the nature of the vine in general, * or with the effects of this in particular, or that the age and infirmity of his body, or the deep concern and melancholy of his mind, made him liable to be overcome with a very little; we may adventure to fay, that he drank plentifully, without impeaching his fobriety; and that, while he was afleep, he chanced to be uncovered, without any ftain upon his modefty. There is a great deal of difference between fatiety and intemperance, between refrefling nature, and debauching it; and confidering withal, that the fafhion of mens habits was at that time

- (k) Ch. xiiii. 34 .
* It is a Jewifh tradition or allegory, that the vine which Noal planted was not of ordinary terreftrial growth, but was carried down the river out of paradife, or at leaft out of Eden, and found by him: And as fome have imagined that the tree of kninuledge of good and coil was a vine;; fo, by the defcription given thereof, and the fatal confequences attending it, there feems to be a plain allufion to it, and fome reafon to believe that it was one and the fame tree by which the nakednefs both or Adam and Noah was expofed to derilion; Targ. Jonast.
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loofe, (as they were likewife in fubfequent ages before the ufe of breéhes was found out), fuch an accident mighr have eafily happened, without the imputation of any harm.
(l) The Jewifh doctors are generally of opinion, that Canaan, * having firft diffovered his grandfather's nakednefs, made himfelf merry therewith, and atterwards expofed it to the forn of his father. Whoever the perfon was, curifed Cait is certain that he is called ( $m$ ) the yournger, or little fon of naan, and Noah, which cannot well agree with Ham, becaufe he not Ham. was neither little, nor his younger fon, but the fécond, or middlemoft, as he is always placed; ( $n$ ) nor does it feem fo pertinent to the matter in hand, to mention the order of bis birth, but very fic (if he fpeaks of his grandfon) to difringuifh him from the reft. So that if it was Canaan who treated his grandfire in this unworthy manner, the application of the curfe to him, who was firft in the offence,
(l) Calmet's Dietionary on the word Ganaan.

* Interpreters have invented feveral other reafons, why the curfe which properly belonged to Ham was inflicted on his fon Canaan; as ift, When Canaan is mentioned, Ham is not exempted from the maledittion, but rather more deeply plunged into it, becaufe parents are apt to be more affected with their childrens misfortunes than their own ; efpecially, if themfelves brought the evil upön them by their owrr fault or folly. 2dly, God having bleffed the three fons of Noah at their going out of the ark, it was not proper that Noah's curfe fhould interfere with the divine bleffing, but very proper that it Ihould be transferred to Canaan, in regard to the future extirpation of the people which were to defeend from him. But, 3 dly, Some imagine that there is here an ellipfis, or defect of the word father, fince fuch relative words are frequently omitted, or underfood in Scripture. Thas, Mat. iv. 21. Fames of Zebedee for the fon of Zebedee; John xix 25. Mary of Cleopas for the wife of Cleopas; and Acts vi. 16. Emmor of Sychem for the fatber of Sychem, which our tranflation rightly fupplies; and in like manner Canaan may be put for the father of Canaan, as the Arabic tranflation has it, i.e. Ham, as the Septuagint here render it. And though Ham had more fons, yet he may here be defcribed by his relation to Canaan, becaufe in him the curfe was more fixed and dreadful, reaching to his utter extirpation, whilt the reft of Ham's poferity. in after ages were bleffed with the faving knowledge of the gofpel ; Poole's Annotations.
(w) Gen. ix. 24. (n) Patrick's Commentary.
A. M; is far from being a miftake in Noah. It is no random ana-
16.57, تْ. Ant, Clirif, 2347, Gic. from Gen. viii. 20. to the end of ch. 9 . thema which he let fly at all adventures, but a cool, deliberate denunciation, which proceeded not from a finit of indignation, but of prophecy. The hiftory indeed takes notice of this malediciónimmediately upon Noah's awaking out of his feep, and being informed of what had happened ; but this is occafioned by i,ts known brevity, which (as, we have often remarked) relates things as inftantly fucceffive, when a confiderable fpace of time ought to interfere. In all probability, thefe predictions of Noah, which poine out the different fates of his pofterity, were fuch as (0) we find $t$ Jacob pronouncing over his fons a little before his death; and it is not unlikely, that the common opinion of Noah's dividing the earth among bis, might take its original from thefe laft words, that we read of him, which were certainly accomplified in their event.
The curfes verified.

The curfe upon Canaan is, that he ghould be a fervant to Shem: And, $(p)$ about 800 years after this, did not the Ifraelites, defcendents of Shem, take poffefion of the land of Canaan, fubdue thirty of its kings, deftroy moft of its inkabitants, lay heavy tributes upon the remainder, and, by oppreftions of one kind or other, oblige fome to fly into Igypt,:* cthers into Africa, and others into Greece ? He
(o) Gen. xlix.
$\dagger$ That which may confirm us in this opinion, is, That Jacob, when he calleth his children together, acquaints them, that his purpofe is to tell them that which 乃Jall befal them in the laft days; and that he does not always prefage bleflings, but fometimes ill-luck to their pofterity, and (in the fame manner that Noah does) now and then drops a note of his difpleafure, according as their bebaviom has been: For thus he fays of Simeon and Levi, in regard to the laughter of the Shechemites, Curfod be their anger, for it wals ferce; and their wrath, for it swas cruel, Gen. xlix. 7.
(p) Patrick's Comment in locum.

* Procopius [De belfa Vandal. 1 2. c. io.] tells us, that in the province of Tingitana, and in the very ancient city of Tingis, which was founded by them, there are two great pillars to be feen, of white ftone, erecied near a large fountain, with an infrription in Phenician characters to this purpofe, We are people preferved by fight, from that rover 7 fefus, the fon of Nave, who purfued us. And what makes it very probable that they bent their flight this way, is the great agreement, and almont identity of the Punic, with the Canaanitifh or Hebrew language; Gelnet's Dittionary on the -wrad Canaan.
was doomed likewife to be a fervant to Japhet; and did A. M. not the Greeks and Romans, defcended from Japhet, ut- ${ }^{1657 \text {, ich. }}$ Antik. terly deftroy the relicks of Canaan, who fled to Tyre, 5347. built by the Sidonians; to Thebes, built by Cadmus; and ton Gen. to Carthage, built by Dido? For who has not heard of ch.e. th the conquefts of the Romans over the Africans?

The bleffing upon Japher is, that his territories fhould
$\cdots$
Tine itellbe enlarged : ( $q$ ) And can we think otherwife, when (as Japhei, we fhall fhew anon) not only all Europe, and the Lefter Afia, but Media likewife, and part of Armenia, Iberia, Albania, and the vaft regions towards the north, which anciently the Scythians, but now the Tartars, inhabit, fell to the fhare of his pofterity? It was likewife declared, that he fhould dwell in the tents of Shem; and is it not noto. rious, that the Greeks and Komans invaded, and conquered that part of Afia where the pofterity of Shem had planted themfelves? that both Alexander and Cæfar were mafters of Jerufalem, and made all the countries thereabouts tributary? " You (fays ( $r$ ) Juftin Martyr, fpeaking to "Trypho the Jew concerning his nation) who are de" fcended from Shem, according as God has appointed, "came into the land of the children of Canaan, and " made it your own; and in like manner, according to " the divine decree, the fons of Japhet (the Romans) have " broke ing upon you, feized upon your whole country, " and ftill keep poffeflion of it. Thus the fons of Shem " (fays he) have overpowered and reduced the Canaanite; " and the fons of Japhet have fubdued the fons of Shem, " and made them their vaffals; fo that the pofterity of "Canaan are become, in a literal fenfe, fervants of fer" vants."

There is fomething peculiar in the bleffing which Noal And upon gives Shem; for (s) bleffed (fays he) be the Lord God of fied, veriShent: But why the God of Shem, and not the God of Japhet? As to the behaviour of thefe two fons towards their father, it was the fame. They joined in the pious office done to him; fo that in this refpect they were equal, and equally deferving of a bleffing. Nay, if any preference was due to either from the father, it was to Japhet, his firft-born ; for fo he was, though commonly laft named, when the fons of Noah are mentioned together. Now this being the cale, how comes Shem to be preferred? And what

[^39]A. M. is the bleffing conferred on him ? A temporal bleffing it ${ }^{3657}$, \&c. ${ }_{2347}$, \&c. fons of Noah. Day and night, fummer and winter, feed. from Gen. time and harveft, were a common gife to the world, and
viii. 20 to viii. 20. to
the end of
beftowed (as our Saviour obierves) on the evil, as well as the end of on the good. We may therefore prefume, that the bleffing $\underbrace{\text { here given to Shem was of a different kind, founded upon }}$ ( $t$ ) a better covenant, and effablifped upon better promifes; than any temporal grant can be. And accordingly we may obferve, that the fame promife which was given to Adam after the fall, viz. that the feed of the woman fhould finally prevail, was renewed to Noah before the flood; for (u) with thee will I eftablijb my covenant, fays God; and therefore, as the apoftle to the Hebrews tells us of this patriarch, ( $x$ ) that be was heir of the rightegufne/s wubioh is by faith; he certainly forefaw, that in Seth's family God would fettle his church ; that of his feed Chrift fhould be born according to the flefh; and that the covenant which fhould reftore man to himfelf and to his maker, fhould be conveyed through his pofterity. And this accounts for the preference given to Shem; for Noah Spake not of his own choice, but declared the counfel of God, who had now, as he frequently did afterwards, chofen the younger before the elder.

Thus it appears upon inquiry, that thefe prophecies of Noah were not the fumes of indigefted liquor, but $(y)$ the words of truth and fobernefs: And though their fenfe was not fo apparent at the time of their being pronounced, yet their accomplifhment has now explained their meaning, and verified that obfervation of the Apoftle, (which very probably alludes to the very predictions now before us), No prophecy is of any private interpretation; for'the prophecy came not of old time by the will of men, but holy men of God fpake as they were moved by the Holy Ghof.

> DISSERTATION. I.

## Of the probibition of blood.

[^40]Wife thereof, which is the blood thereof, fball you not eat. Whether this prohibition related to the eating of things ${ }^{1657, \text {, } 2 \mathrm{cc}}$; ftrangled, and fuch as died of themfelves, in which the ${ }_{2347}$, \&c. blood was fettled, (as (a) fome will have it), or to the eat- from Gen. ing of the flefh of creatures reeking in blood, and their the end of limbs cut off while they themfelves were yet alive, (as o-ch. g. thers ( $b$ ) imagine), is not fo material here to inquire; fince the former was prolibited by fubrequent laws, both (c) in the Jewifh and Chriftian chuirch, and the latter was a practice too abhorrent to human nature, one would think, to need any prohibition at all. Whether therefore it be blood congealed, or blood mingled in the flefh, that is here primarily intended, the injunction muft at leaft equally extend to blood fimple and unmixed; nor can any interpretation imaginable be more natural and obvious than this:
" Though I give you the flefh of every creature that you " Thall think proper to make ufe of for food, yet I do not " at the fame time give you the blood with it. The blood " is the life, or vehicle, or chief inftrument of life, in eve"' ry creature; it muft therefore be referved for another "r ufe, and not be eaten."

This is the true fenfe of the prohibition, compared with The quefo thefe' parts of the Levitical law wherein we find it re-injoined: But then the queftion is, whether this injunction be obligatory upon us now, under the difpenfation of the gofpel? Or, whether the gofpel, which is the law of liberty, has fet us free from any fuch obfervance? And a queftion it is that ought the rather to be determined, becaufe fome have made it a matter of no fmall fcruple to themfelves, whillt others have paffed it by with neglect, as a law of temporary duration only, and now quite abrogated.

That therefore the reader may, in this matter; chiefly The argujudge for himifelf, I fhat fairly tate the arguments on both fides; and when I have done this, by a fhort examination tion there. upon. into the merits of each evidence, endeavour to convince myfelf, and others, on which fide of the queftion it is that truth preponderates; and confequently, what ought to be the practice of every good Chriftian in relation to this law.

Thofe who maintain the lawfulners of eating blood, do not deny but that this prohibition obliged Noah and his (a) St, Chryfoftom, and Ludovicus De Dieu. (b) Màimonides, and our Selden De jure gentium. (c) Vid. Lev. xvi. 12.5 and AGs Xv. 20.
A. M. pofterity, i. e. all mankind, to the time of the promulga 1657 , \&ce. 2347, Gi. law, this prohibition was renewed, and more explicit reafrom Gen. Cons were given for the obfervation of it; nay, do not deyiii. 20. to ny, but that under the gofpel it was enjoined, by a very competent authority, to fome particular Chriftians at leaft, for fome determinate time. But then they contend, that during thefe feveral periods, theve could be no moral obligation in the injuncion, but that, (fetting atide the divine authority) (d) neither if they did eat, were they the wor $\int e_{s}$ neither if they did nut eat, were they the better.

For if there was any moral turpitude in the act of eating blood, or things commixed with blood, how comes it to pafs (fay they) that though God prohibited his own people the Jews, yet he fuffered other nations to eat (e) any thing that died of itfelf, and confequently had the blood fettled in it? If $(f)$ meat commendeth us to Goot, the fame providence which took care to reftrain the Jews ( $g$ ) (for is he the God of the fewus only; is he not alfo of the Gentiles?) from what was deteftable to him, as well as abhorrent to human nature, would have laid the fame inhibition upon all mankind ; at leaft he would not have enjoined his own people to give to a profelyte of the gate, or to fell to an alien or Heathen, fuch meat as would neceffariky enfnare them in fin.

The law therefore which enjoined. Noah and his children to abftain from blood, muft neceffarily have been a law peculiar to that time only. (b) Cain, in the firf age of the world, had flain Abel, while there were but few perfons in it: God had now deftroyed all mankind, except eight perfons; and to prevent the fate of Abel from befalling any of them, he forbids murder under a capital punifhment; and to this purpofe, forbids the ufe of blood, as a proper guard upon human life in the infancy of the world. Under the Mofaic covenant he renews this law indeed, but then he eftabiiflues it upon another foundation, and makes blood therefore prohibited, becaufe he had appointed it (i) to be offered upon the altar, and to make an atonement for men's fouts: For it is the blood (faith he) that maketh an atonement for the foul; and what was referved:
(d) 1 Cor; viii. 8. (e) Dèut. xiv. 21. ( $f$ ) ェ Cor. viii. 8. (g) Rom. iii. 29. (b) Mifcellanea facra, vol. 2. (i) Lev. **ii. 上. ${ }^{\prime}$

## Chap. I. from the Flood to the Call of Abraliam.

for religious purpofes was not at that time convenient to be eat. But now, that thefe purpofes are anfwered, and ${ }_{\text {Ant.Chrif. }}^{\text {r6 }}$. thefe facrifices are ar an end, the reafon of our abfinence ${ }_{2347}$, \&c. has ceafed; and confequently our abitinence infelf. is no longer a duty.

Blood, we allow, had ftill fomething more facred in it : it was a type of the facrifice of Chrift, who was to be of fered upon the altar of his crofs; but that oblation being now made, the reafon of its appropriation, and being with held from common ufe, is now no more. And, though the council at Jerufalem made a decree, even fubfequene to the facrifice of Chrift, that the brethren, who were of the gentiles, Joould abftain from things Arangled, and from bload ; yet before we can determine any thing from this injunction, the occafion, place, time, and other circumftances of it, muft be carefully looked into.

The occafion of the decree was this, - While Paul and Barnabas were preaching the gofpel at Antioch, certain perfons, converted from Judaifm, came down from Jerufalem, and, very probably', pretending a commilion from the apofles, declared it their opinion, that whoever embraced the Chriftian religion, was obliged, at the fame time, to be circumcifed, and obferve the whole law.

The place, where the queftion arofe, was Antioch, where (as Jofephus tells us) there was a famous Jewilh univerfity, full of profelytes of the gate, (as they were called), and who, in all probability, were converted by the men of (k) Cyprus and Cyrene, who were among thofe that were difperfed at the firfe perfecution, which immediately enfued the martyrdom of Stephen.

The perfens who moved this queftion were ( $t$ ) fome of the: fect of the Pharifees, converted to Chriftianity ; but fill fo prejudiced in favour of their old religion, or at leaft of the divine rite of circumcifion, that they thought there was no coming to Chrift without entering in at that gate.

The perfons to whom the queftion related, ( $m$ ) were profelytes of the gate, i. e. Gentiles by birth, but who had renounced the Heathen religion as to all idolatry, and were thereupon permitted to live in Patefine, or wherever the Jews inhabited; and had feveral privileges allowed them, upon condition, that they would obferve the laws of focieey; and conform te certain injunctions that ( $n$ ) Mofes had prefcribed them.
(k) Acts xi. 20. (l) Ch. xv. 5. (n) Mificellanea facra, tol. 2. ( $n$ ) Lev. xvii.
A. M. The time when this queftion arofe, was not long after ${ }_{\text {Ant. Chrift }}^{1657, ~ t h e ~ c o n v e r f i o n ~ o f ~ C o r n e l i a s ; ~ f o ~ t h a t ~ t h i s ~ b o d y ~ o f ~ p r o f e-~}$ $347,8 c$ 7, \&c. from Gen. viii. 20. to the end of ch. 9 . lytes was, very probably, the firft large number of Gentiles that were received into the Chriftian church, and this the firft time that the queftion was agitated,_"Whether " the profelytes of the gate, who, (as the zealots pretend" ed) could not fo much as live among the Jews without "circumeifion, could be allowed to be a past of the Chri"'ftian church without it ?"

Under thefe circumftances the council at Jerufalem convened, and accordingly made their decree, that the profelytes of the gate (for it is perfons of this denomination on1y which their decree concerns) Jbould (6) abftain from the meats offered to idols, and from blood, and from things franglod, and fromi fornication; the very things which, $(p)$ according to the law of Mofes, they engaged themfelves to abftain from, when they were firft admitted to the privilege of rajourning among the Jews. Sa that, in effect, the decree did no more than declare the opinion of thofe who made it, to thofe to whom it was fent, viz. that Chriftianity did not alter the condition of the profelytes, in refpect of their civil obligations; but that, as they were bound by thefe laws of Mofes before their converfion, fo were they ftill; and confequently, that the fenfe of St. Paul is the fame with the feafe of the council at that time; $(q)$ let $e-$ very one abide in the calling, i . e . in the civil ftate and condition wherein he is called. But fuppofing the decree to extend farther than the profelytes of Antioch, yet there was another reafon why the council at Jerufdem fhould determine in this manner, and that was-uthe frong averfion which they knew the Jewifh converts would have conceived againt the Gentiles, had they been indulged the liberty of eating blood; and therefore, to compronife the matter, they laid on them this prudent reftraint, from the fame principle that we find St. Paul declaring himelf in this manner: $(r)$ Thougb I am free from all men, yot buve I made myfelf a fervant unio all, that I might gain the more: Unto the few, I.became os a few, that I might gain the ferw ;-to the sueak became I as weak, that I might gain the weak. I am made all things to all men, that I might ly all means fave fome.

Nay, admicted the decree was not made with this view, yet, being founded on laws which concerned the
(o) A\&s xv. 29. (b) lidi I.ev, xvii, and wriii. (g) 1 Cor。 vii. 20. ( $r$ ) Ch. ix. 19, 20, 22 .

Jewid polity only, it could certainly laft no longer than that government lafted; and confequently, ever fince the temple woifhip has expired, and the Jews have ceafed to ${ }_{2347}$. \& \& $\mathbf{c}$. be a political body, it muft have been repealed; and ac- frum Gen. cordingly, if we look into the gofpel, fay they, we may vili. 20. to thergy, there find a repeal of it in full form. For therein we are ch. $g$. todd, (s) that the kingdom of God is not meat and drink, but righteoufne/s, and peace, and joy in the Holy Ghof: ( $t$ ) that meat commendeth us not unto God; ( $(u)$ that what goeth into the mouth defleth not the mant; $(x)$ that to the pure, all things are pure; and $(y)$ that there is nothing unclean of itfelf, but oinly to him that efteemetr it io be unclean, it is unclean; for every creature of God is good, and nothing is to be refufed, if it be reccived with thankjgivings, for it is fanctififed with the word of God and prayer ( $z$ ); And therefore we are ordered, (a) that whatever is fotd in the fbambies, even though it a thing offered to idols, that to eat, afking no queftions for confcience fake; and are told, that (b) whoever commandeth us to abftain from meats, which God has created to be received with thankfgiving of them that kelieve, and know the truth, ought to be ranked in the number of feducers.

In a ward, the very genius of the Chrittian religion, fay they, is a charter of liberty, and a full exemption from the law of Mofes. It debars us from nothing, but what has a moral turpitude in it, or at leaft what is too bafe and abject for a man that has the revelation of a glorious and immortal life in the world to come: And as there is no tendency of this kind in the eating of blood, they therefore conclude, that this decree of the apoftles either concerned the (c) Jewifh profelytes only, who, in virtue of the obedience they owed to the civil lavs of Paleftine, were to abtain from blood; or obliged none, but the Gentiles of Antioch, Syria, and Cilicia, to whom it was directed; was calculated for a certain feafon only, either to prevent giving offence to the Jews, who were then captious, or to reconcile Gentile and Jewifh converts, who where then at fome variance; but was to laft no longer than till the Jews and Gentiles were formed into one communion. So that now, the prohibition given by God to Noah, the laws gi-

[^41]A. M. ven by Mofes to the Ifraelites, and the decree fent by the
 Ant.Chrift. 2347, ש゙i. gone and a full licenfe given us to eat blood with the fame from Gen. indifference, as any orher food; if fo be, we thereby (d) vil. 20. to
the end of
give $n o$ offence to our weaker brethren, for whom Cbrift died. ch. 9 .

The arguments againft the eating of blood.

Thofe who maintain the contrary opinion, viz. That the eating of blood, in any guife whatever, is wicked and unlawful, found the chief of their arguments upon the limitation of the grant given to Noah, the reafons that are commonly devifed for the prohibition, and the literal fenfe of the apoftolic decree.
(e) When princes give grant of lands to any of their fubjects, fay they, they ufually teferve fome royalties (fuch as the mines, or minerals) to themfelves, as memorials of their own fovereignty, and the other's dependence. If the grant indeed be given without any referve, the mines and minerals may be fuppofed to be included in it; but when it is thus exprefsly limited, "You fhall have fuch and fuch " lordfhips and manors, but you fhall not have the mines " and minerals with the lands, for feveral good reafons " Specified in the 'patent;" it muft needs be an odd turn of thought to imagine, that the grantee has any title to them; and yet this is a parallel cafe: for when God has thus declared his will to the children of merr,_-_You " fhall have the flefh of every creature for food, but you "f fhall not eat the blood with it ;" it is every whit as ftrange an inference, to deduce from hence a general right *ō eat blood.

The cominandment given to Adam is,———(f) Of every zree in the garden thou flalt freely eat, but of the tree of knowledge of good and evil, thou falt not eat. This is the firft law : And the fecond is like unto it, ( $g$ ) Every moving thing, that moveth, foall be meat for you, even as the green berb, have I given you all things; but flefh, with the life theregf, which is the blood thereof, fall you not eat. This, upon his donation both to Adam and Noah, God manifeftly referves to himfelf, as an acknowledgment of his right, to be duly paid; ' and when it was relaxed or repealed, fay they, we cannot tell.
Nay, fo far from being repealed, that it is not only in his words to Noah, that God has declared this inhibition, but in the law, delivered by his fervant Mofes, he has ex:
(d) 1. Cor. viii. ri. éc. (e) Vid. Revelation examined, vol. $=$ (f) Gen. ii. 16, :7. (g) Ch. ix. 3. 4.
planned his mind more fully concerning it. (b) Whatfoever man there is, of the boule of Ifrael, or of the Arrangers, that fojourn among you, that eateth any manner of blood, I will even Jet my face againft that foul, and will cut bim off from among his people. This is a revere commination, fay they; and'therefore observe, how oft, in another place, he reiterates the injunction, as it were, with one breath: (i) Only be fare, that thou eat not the blood, for the blood is the life, and thou mays not eat the life with the flesh. Thou bait not eat it; thou fall pour it upon the earth, as water; thou fbalt not eat it, that it may go well with thee, and thy children after thee.

Now, there are feveral reafons, continue they, why God should be fo importunate in this prohibition. For having appointed the blood of his creatures to be offered for the fins of men, he therefore requires, that it should be religioully fat apart for that purpofe; and having prohibited the fin of murther under a fever penalty, he therefore guards againft it, by previously forbidding the eating of blood, left that fhould be an inlet to favagenefs and cruelty.

The Scythians, (as ( $k$ ) Herodotus affures us), from drinking the blood of their cattle, proceeded to drink the blood of their enemies; and where remarkable for nothing fo much, as their horrid and brutal actions. The animals, that feed on blood, are perceived to be much more furious than others that do not; -and thereupon they obferve, that blood is a very hot, inflaming food; that fuck foods create choler, and that choler eafily kindleth into cruelty. Nay, they obferve farther, that eating of blood gave occation to one kind of early idolatry among the Rabi, in the eft, viz. the worfhip of dxmons, whole food, as they imagined, was blood; and therefore they, who adored them, had communion with them by eating the fame food. Good reafon therefore, fay they, had God in the :gofpel as well as the law, to prevent a practice which he could not but forefeet would be attended with fuch pernicious affeats.

For the apoftolic decree, as they argue farther, did not relate to one feat of people only, the proselytes of the. gate, who were lately converted to Christianity ; nor was it directed to forme particular places only, and with a defign to:anfwer forme particular ends, the prevention of offence, or the reconciliation of contending parties; to fubfift for
(b) Lev. xvi 10.
$=$ Vol. I..
(i) Deut. xii. 23 .
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(k) Lib. 4.
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A. M. 1657 . se. Ant Chrir 2347, 8c. from Gea. viii. 20. to thic end of ch. 9
a determinate time, and then to lofe all its obligation: but it concerned all Chriftians, in all nations, and in all future ages of the church; was enafted for a general afe and intent; and has never fince been repealed: And to fupport thefe affertions, they proceed in this method.

Before the paffing of this decree, fay they, St. Yaul preached Chrifianity to the whole body of the Gentiles at Antioch. For he had not long preached in the fynagogues, before the Gentiles (l) befought him, that he would preach to them the fame words, i. e. the doenrine of Jefus Chrift, on the next Sabbath day; and accordingly, we are told, that on the Sabbath-day, came almoft the whole city together. to bear the word of God, which certainly implies a concourfe of people more than the profelytes of the gate.; nay, more than the whole body of the Jews, who were but, a handful, in comparifon of the reft of the inhabitants of that great city; and that this large company was chiefly made up of Gentiles, the fequel of the hiftery informs us. For when the (m) Fews fawe the multitude, they were filled with envy, and fpake againft thofe things which were Jpoken by Paul, con: ti adizting and blafpheining. Then Paul and Barnabas waxed bold, and faid, It was necsflary that the word of God foould fivt bave been Spoken to you; but fesing ye put it from you, and-judge yiurfelves untworthy of cverlafting life, ld, we turn to the Gentiles. And when the Gentiles beard this, they were glad, and glorified the word of the Lord; and as many as were ordained to eternal life, believed'; and the zvard of the Lord was publifhed throughout all the region.

Now, this tranfaction at Antioch, fay they, happened feven years before the decree againft blood and things ftrangled was paffed at Jerufalem; and therefore, as the Gentikes, not in Antioch only, but in all the region round about, were no ftrangers to the doctrine of fefus Chrift, there is reafon to fuppofe, that this decree, when paffed, was not confined to one particular fet of men, but directed to all Genile converts at large. For hear what the prefident of the council fays upon this occafton; $(n)$ Wherefore my fentence is, that we trouble not them, twho from among the Gentilcs are 'turned to God; but that we write unto them; that they inffain from follutions of idols, and from fornication, and from things ftrangled, and from blood: For Mojes of old time bath in every city, them that preach bim. being read in the fyagorue every Sabbath-day.

$$
\text { (l) Acts riii. } 42 \text {. }
$$

(m) Acts xiii. $45, \& \mathrm{cc}$.
( $n$ ) Acts xv .19 , to 22.

My fentence (fays the apoftle) is, that ye write unto the $\boldsymbol{\Lambda} . \mathrm{M}$. Gentile converts upon thefe points; for Mofes bas thofe of 1057 , \&it. Chrif. old in every city that preach bim, i. e. there is no neceffity $2_{34}$, \&c. of writing to any Jewifh convert, or any profelyte convert to tom Gen. Chriftianity, to abftain from thefe things, becaufe all that vini. 20. to are admitted into fynagogues, (as the profelytes were), ch. 9 . know all thefe things fufficiently already. And according: ly, upon this fentence of St. James, the decree was founded, and directed (according to the nature of the thing) tô thofe whom it was fitting and neceffary to inform in the de points ; i.e. to thofe who were unacquainted with the writings of Mofes.

The letter indeed which contained the decree, was directed to the brethren at Anțioch, Syria, and Cilicia; but it would be fhocking, and unchriftian to think, that the precepts of an apoftolic epifte were obligatory to thofe only to whom the epiftle was directed. The purport of is concerned all. It was to apprife the Heathen converts to Chriftianity, that they were exempièd from the obfervance of the law of Mofes, except in four inftances laid down in that canon; and as it was of general concern for all converts to know, the apoftles, we may prefume, left copies of it in all the churches : For $\mathfrak{q}$, we are told exprefsly of St. Paul and his companions, that ( 0 ) as they went through the cities, they dilivered them the decrees for to keep, which were ordained of the apofles and elders that were at ferufalem; and fo were the chirches eftablifbed in the faith, and increafed in number daily.

The apoftles, fay they; out of Chriftian prudence, might do many things to prëvent offence, and to accommodate matters to the peoples good-liking: But certainly it looks below the dignity of a fyn d, to mieet, and debate, and determine a queftion, with the greateft folemnity, mërely to ferve a prefent exigence; to leave upon record a decree which they knew would be but of temporary obligation ; and yet could not but forefee, would occafion endlefs fruples, and difputes in all future ages of the church. If it was to be of fo fhort a continuance, wliy was not the repeal notified, and why were not fo many poor, ignorant people faved, as died martyrs in the attentation of it? But, above all, how can we fuppofe it confiftent with the honour and juftice of the apoftles, to impofe things as neceffary, which were but of tranfient and momentary duration ?

Obferve the words of the decree, (cry they), It feem:ed good unto the Holy Ghoft, and to us, to lay upon you no (0) Ch. xvi. $4,5$.
A. M. greater burthen, than thofe neceffary things, viz. that ye ab1657, Gic. Stain from meats offered to idols, and from blood, and from ${ }_{2347}$, Gic. things ftrangled, and from fornication. If thefe abftinences from Gen.were only intended to be enjoined for a feafon, could they. viii. 2o. to properly be enjoined under the denomination of neceflary ch. 9 . things? Is that the appellation for duties of a tranfient and temporary obfervation ? Did neither the apoftles, nor the Holy Ghoft, know the diftinction between neceffary and expedient? Or, fuppofe it not convenient to make the diftinction at that time, how come things of a temporary, and thofe of an eternal obligation, to be placed upon the fame foot of neceflity in the fame decree? Or, were fornication and idolatrous pollutions to be abftained from, only for a feafon, in compliment to the infirmity of the Jews, or in order to make up a breach between fome newly initiated converts? Thefe are abfurdities, fay they, which cannot be avoided, when men will affert the temporary obligation of this decree.

Some general declarations in Scripture, efpecially in St. Paul's epiftes, feem indeed like a repeal of it ; but then, if we confider the fcope and occafion of thefe declarations, we fhall foon perceive, that they were intended to be taken in a limited fenfe, otherwife they are not confiftent with the' decree itfelf. Our bleffed Saviour, for inftance, tells the people, that, not that which goeth into the mouth defileth the man, but that which cometh out of it: But now, if this declaration of his deftroys the validity of the apaftolic decree, it will follow, ift, That this decree was repeated juft twenty years before it was made; which is a fuppofition fomewhat extraordinary. And, zdly, That the whole body of the apoftles did, after full debate, make a moft folemn decree, and that under the influence of the firit of God, in direet contradiction to the exprefs declaration of their Lord and Mafter, which iş a little too contiguous to blaf. phemy; and therefore let us confider the occafion of our Saviour's words.

The Pharifees, it feems, were offended at his difciples, for fitting down to meat before they had wifhed their hands, as being a violation of one of their traditional precepts. Whereupon our Saviour tells the company, Not that which goeth into the mouth defilcth the man; never meaning to give them a permiffion to eat any thing prohibited by the law, but only to inftruct them in this,--That there was not all that religion, or profanation of religion, as the Pharifees

Pharifees pretended, in obferving or not obierving the tradition of the elders, by eating with wafhed or unwafhed Ant.Chifir. hands; that the thing itfelf was of an indifferent nature ; 2347, \&c. nor could a little foil taken in at the mouth, by eating with dirty hands, defile the man, becaufe nothing of that kind could properly be ealled a pollution.

St. Paul, himfelf was one of the council of Jerufalem when the prohibition of blood was ratified by the Spirit of God, and impofed on the Gentiles who were converted to the Chriftian faith; and therefore we can hardly think, that in his epiftles, which were written not many years after, he fhould go about to abolifh the obfervation of thofe precepts which, after mature deliberation, were enacted by a general affembly of the church. And therefore, when he tells us that the kingdom of God, i. e. the Chriftian religion, confifteth not of meat and drink, and that meat commendeth us not unto God, he muft be underfood in a comparative fenfe, viz. That it neither confifts in, nor commendeth us fo much, as holinefs and purity of life. When he declares, That every creature of Gol is good, that nothing is unclean of itfelf, and that to the pure all things are pure, \&c. he muft neceflarily be underftood with this reftraining claufe,-In cafe there be no particular ftatute to the contrary; for where there is one, all the fanctity in the world will not give a man a toleration to break it. And when he complains of fome mens commanding us to abftain from certain meats, as! an infringement upon our Chriftian liberty, and a branch of the doctrine of devils, the meats which they forbad mruft be fuppofed to be lawful in their kind, and under no divine prohibition, otherwife we bring the apoftles who inhibited the ufe of blood under the like imputation.

It cannot be denied indeed, that ( $p$ ) St. Pavl allows Chriftians to eat things offered to idds, which may feem to invalidate this apoftolic decree. But the anfwer to this is, -(q) That the plain intention of the council at Jerufalem, in commanding to abitain from meats offered to idols, was to keep Chriftians from idolatry, or, as St. James expreffes it, from pollutions of idols; and the true way :o effect this, they knew, was by prohibing all communion with idols and idolaters in their feafts, which were inftituted in honour of their idols, and were always kept in their temples: But how is this command defeated by St. faul's, permitting the Corinthians to eat any part of a
( $f$ ) I Cor. x. 27. (q) Revelation examined, vol. 2. p. 66.

## 2347, \&c.

 from Gen. in honour co an idol) hnce the Chrintian who eat it viii to the manner did not eat it in honour to the idol, but viii. 20. to merely as common food? things folen, would not any one conceive, that the defign of this command was to prohibit theft, and all communion with thieves in ther villainy? Yes, furely:-_Suppofe then, that any one of the council fhould after this tell the people whom he preached to, that they might buy any meat publicly fold in the fhambles, or fet before them in private houfes, afking no queftions for confcience fake, though poffibly the butcher or the hoft might have ftolen the meat; would any one think that this permiflion was intended to invalidate the decree of abfaining from things ftolen? And if fuch a conftruction would be abfurd in the one cafe, why fhould it not be deemed to in another? efpecially, when St. Paul himfelf fo expretsly, fo folemnly deters Chriftians from all participation in idolatrous feafts; (r) The things which the Centiles facrifice (fays he) they facrifice to devils, not to God; and I would not that ye Jould have fellowfip with devils. Ye cannot drink the cup of the Lord, and of devils; ye cannot be partakers of the Lord's table, and of devils.In a word, (fay they), whatever the fenfe of certain paffages in St. Paul's writings may feem to be, they cannot be fuppofed to contradif the decree at Jerufalem : A decree to which himfelf confented, nay, which he himfelf principally occafioned, and which he himfelf actually carried about, and depofited with the feveral churches. For to imagine, that with his own hands he depofired the decree in one church, under the fanction of a canon ratified by the Spirit of God, and then immediately went to another, and preached againft that very canon, and decried it as inconfiftent with Chriftian liberty. is to charge the Apoftle with Tuci an inconfiftency of behaviour, folly, and prevarication, as but badly comports with the character of an ambaffador of fefus Chrift. And therefore, unlefs we are mindtd to impair the authority, and fap the foundation of revealed religion, we muft allow the decree to be fill in force; and the command which prohibits the eating of blood, ftill chargeable upon every man's confcience. A
(r) 1 Cor, $x, 20,2:$.
command given by God himfelf to Noah, repeated to Mo- A. M. fes, and ratified by the apofles of Jefus Chrift; given Ans chritit. immediately after the flood, when the world, as is were, $2_{2447}$, , 8 c . began anew, and the only one given on that occafion; re-from Gen. peated with awful folemnity to the people whom God had yiii. 20. to feparated from the reft of the world to be his own ; re-ch. 9 . peated with dreadful denunciations of divine vengeance upon thofe who fhould dare to tranfgrefs it; and ratified by the moff folemn and facred council that ever was affembled upon earth, ácting under the immediate influence of the Spirit of God; tranfmitted from that facred affembly to the feveral churches of the neighbouring nations by the hand of no meaner meffengers than two bifhops and two apoftles; afferted by the beft writers, and moft philofophic fpirits of their age, the Chriftian apologifts, and fealed with the blood of the beft men, the Chriftian martyrs; confixmed by the unanimous confent of the fathers, and reverenced by the practice of the whole Chriftian church for above 300 years, and of the eaftern church even to this very day.
Thefe are fome of the chief arguments on both fides of The decithe queftion: And to form a judgment hereupon, we may fon of the obferve, - That though this prohibition of eating blood ${ }^{\text {queftion. }}$ can hardly be deemed a commandment of moral obligation, yet it is a pofitive precept, which cannot but be thought of more weight and importance, for being fo oft and fo folemnly enjoined; that though the reafons alledged for its injunction are not always' fo convicing, yet the prevention of cruelty and murder, which is immediately mentioned after it, will, in all ages, be ever efteemed a good one; and, though the liberty granted in the gofpel feems to be great, yet ir can hardly be underftood without fome reftriction.
It feemed once good to the Holy Ghoft, among other neceffary things, to prefcribe an abftinence from blood; and when it feemed otherwife to him, we are no where, that I know of, inftructed. Could it be made appear indecd, that this prefcription was temporary and occational, defigned to bind one fet of men only, or calculated for the infant-ftate of the church, the queftion would be then at an end : But fince there are no proper marks in the apofles decree, to thew the temporary duration of it ; and the notion of profelytes of the gate, to whom alone it is faid to be directed, (how commodious foever it may be to folve all difficulties), upon examination is found to be groundlefs or uncertkin, the obligation, Ifear, lies upon every good Chriftian

A: M. ftill. But as this is not every one's fentiment; : (s) As one 1657, \&c. Ant. Chrift. 2347, \& c. from Gen. viii. 20. to the end of ch. 9 .
 believeth that be may eat all things, and another thinketh it the fafe fide of his duty to abfain; fo let not bim that eatetb defpife him that eateth not; and let not bim that eateth not judge him that eateth; buit judge this rather, that no man put a ftumbling-block, or an occafion to fall, in his brother's way.
(s) Rom. xiv. 2, 3, 13.

## C H A P. II.

## Of the confufion of languages.

## The History.

A. M. $\mathrm{F} O \mathrm{OR}$ fome years after the flood, it is highly probable
1757. \&c. Ant. Chrif. 2247, \&c. from Gen. xi. to ver. 10. $\qquad$ $\xrightarrow{\square}$ Reafons for building the tower of liabel. of the mountaint of Armenia, where the árk refted : 'That as they began to multiply and fpread, they thence removed into the countries of Syria; then crofling the Tygris into Mefopotamia, and, fo fhaping their courfe eaftward, came at length to the pleafant plain of Babylon, on the banks of the river Luphrates. The fertility of the foil, the delightfulnefs of the place, and the commodioufnefs of its fituiation, made them refolve to fettle there, and to build a city, which fhould be the metropolis of the whole earth; and in it a valt high tower, which fhould be the wonder of the world: For the prefent ufe, a kind of pharos or landmark. and to future ages a monument of their great power and might

By this project they promifed themfelves mighty matters ; but that which chiefly ran in their heads, was their keeping together in one body, that, by their united ftrength and counfels, as the world increafed, they inight bring others under their fubjection, and make themelves univerfal lords. Bur one great difcouragement to this théir project was; -That in the place winch they had chofe for the feene of all their greatnets, there was no izone to build with. Perceiving, however, that there was clay enough in the country, whereof to make bricks, * and plenty of a pitchy
fubftance,

* The word which our tranflators make fime, is in Hebrew hbemar, in Greek äのథaлtos, in Latin bitumen; and that this
plain
fubflance, called bitumen, which fhould ferve inftead of mortar; with one confent they went to work, and in a fhort time every hand was employed in making bricks, 2247 , 8 ec. building the city, and laying the foundation of a prodigi- from Oen. ous pile, which they purpofed to have carried up to an im. xi. to ver. menfe height, and liad already made a confiderable pro


## A. M.

 1757, 88. 10.$\underbrace{10-2}$ grefs in the work, when God, diffatisfied with their proceedings, thought proper to interpofe, and, at the expenee of a miracle, quafhed all their project at once; infomuch, that this firft attempt of their vanity and ambition became the monument of their folly and weaknefs.

The bleffing which God had given Noah and his fons, And for the to increafe and muliiply, and repleni/b the earth, had now, confufion of for above an hundred years, exerted idfelf to good purpofe; but though the number of their defcendents was very large, yet the language which they all fpake was but one, the fame which had defcended to them $\dagger$ from their great progenitor,
plain did very much abound with it, which was of two kinds, liquid and folid; that the liquid bitumen here fwam upon the waters; that there was a cave and fountain, which was continually cafting it out; and that this famous tower, at this time, and the no lefs famous walls of Babylon, were afterwards built with this kind of cement, is confirmed by the teftimony of feveral profane authors. For thus Strabotells us, "In Babylonia bitumen multum " nafcitur, cujus duplex eft genus, autl oce Eratofthene, liquidum " et aridum. Liquidurn vocant napbtam, in Sufiano agro nafcens; " aridum vero, quod etiam congelefcere potelt, in Babylonia, fors"te propinquo Naphtæ;" lib. i6. Thus Juftin, fpeaking of Semiramis, "Hæc Babyloniam condidit, (fays he), murumque ur: "bis cocto latere circumdedit; arenx vice bitumene interftrato, "quæ materia in illis locis paffim e terris exæftuat;" lib. I And thus Vitruvius, who is elder than either, "Babylone lacus eft am. "pliffima magnitudine, habens fupranatans liquidum bitumen, " quo bitumine, et latere teftaceo, ftructum murum Semiramis "Babyloni circumdedit;" lib. 8. To thefe we may add fome modern teltimonies, which tell us, that thefe fprings of bitumen are called oyum Hit, the fountains of Hit; and that they are much celebrated by the Perfians and Arabs. All modern travellers, except Rautwolf, who went to Perfia and the Indies by the way of Euphrates, before the difcovery of the Cape of Good Hope, mention thefe fountains as a very ftrange and wonderful thing. Vid. Biblioth. Biblica, vol 1. p. 281.; Heidegger's Hift. patriar. exercit. 2 I.; and Univerf, hift lib. I. c. 2.

+ That the children of Noah did fpeak the fame language with Adam, is very manifelt; becaufe Methufelah, the grandfaVol.I.
A. M. nitor Adam, and very probably was pronounced in the 1757, \&c. fame common manner. To fruftrate their undertaking ${ }_{2247}$ Ant. 8 , \&c. . therefore, God determined with himfelf + to confourd their from Gen. language; by which means it came to pafs, that though xi. to ver. 3.
 their tongues ftill retained the faculty of fpeech, yet, having loft the pronunciation of their native language, on a fudden they-were fo changed, and modified to the expreffion of another, (which was of $x$ found quite different), that the rext ftander-by could not comprehend what his neighbour meant, and this in a fhort time ran them into the utmoft diforder and confufion. For thefe different dialects produced different ideas in the minds of the builders, which, for want of underftanding one another, they employed to improper objects, and fo were obliged to defift from their enterprize. And not only that, but being by this means deprived of the pleafure and comfort of mutual fociety, (except with fuch as fake the fame language)s all thofe who were of one dialect joined themfelves together, and leaving the devoted place, (as they then thought it), depart-
ther of Noah, lived a confiderable time with him, and queftionIefs fpake the fame language. And that this language was no other than the Hebrew, is very probable from this argument, That Shem, the fon of Noah, was for fome time contemporary with Abraham, who defcended from him, and whofe family continued the fame language that they both fpake, until the time of Mofes, who recorded the hiftory of his own nation in his native language; fo that what we have now in the Pentateuch, according to the opinion of all Hebrew, and mof Chriftian writers, is the very fame with what God taught Adam, and Adam his pofterity ; Patrick's Commentary
$\dagger$ Some commentators, from the word confound, are ready to infer, that God did not make fome of thefe builders fpeak new, different languages, only that they had fuch a confufed remembrance of the original language they frake before, as made them fpeak it in a quite different manner: fo that by the various inflections, terminations, and pronunciations of divers dialects, they could no more undertand one another, than thofe whounderftand Latin can comprehend thofe who fpeak French; Italiait, or Spanifh, though thefe languages do certainly arife from it. But this we conccive to be a great miftake, not only becaufe it makes all languages extant to be no more than fo many different dialecis of the fame original, and confequently reducible to it; but becaufe, upon examination it will appear, that there are certain languages in the world fo entircly different from each other, that they agree in ro one effential property whatever ; and muit therefore, at this time, have been of immediate infufion.
ed in tribes, $\dagger$ as their choice or their chance led them, to A. m. feek out frefh habitations. Thus God not only defeated ${ }_{\text {Ant. }}^{1757, ~ C h r i f, ~}$ their defign, but likewife accomplifhed his own, of having 2247, \&c. the world more generally and more fpeedily peopled than froin Gen. it otherwife would have been; and to perpetuate the me xi. to ver. mory of fuch a miraculous event, the place which was firft $\underbrace{-}$ called Bábel, and, with fmall variation, afterwards 'Babylon, from this confufion of languages, received its denomination,

This confufion of tongues (if not difperfion of the people), is fuppofed by moft chronologers to have fallen ion years after the flood; for Peleg, the fon of Eber, (who was great-grandfon to Shem), was certainly born in thaf year, and is faid to have had the name $P$ eleg given him, becaufe that in his time the earth ${ }^{*}$ was divided.

## The Objection.

" ${ }^{\text {B }}$UT upon the fuppofition that the ark refted on the mountains of Armenia, and the family of Noah, " for fome time," continued in that coaft; how can they, " with any tolerable propriety, be faid to have journeyed "from the eaft into the land of Shinar, when, if by Shinar "we are to underftand the land of Cbaldea or Babylon, e" very map will inform ps, that the mountains of Arme" nia lie in a manner quite north of Babylon, and confe" quently they mult have travelled from the north, and " not from the eaft, to have arrived at that place?
" But Mofes perhaps might not be fo good at geography " as he is at the multiplication of mankind. According to " the Hebrew computation, (which is reckoned true), the new world had now fubfifted much about an hundred years; and can we fuppofe, that the defcendents of no " more than three couple (for Noah, we may now fuppofe ' was become effete, and unable to beget children) were, ", in fo flort a time, a number fufficient to fet about the
$\dagger$ The difperfion of Noah's fons was fo ordered, that each fanily and each nation dwelt by itfelf; which could not well be done (as Mr. Mede obferves) but by directing an orderly divifion, either by catting of lots, or chufing according to their birth-right, after that portions of the earth were fet out, according to the number of their nations and families; otherwife fome would not have been content to go fo far north as Magog did, whilf others were fuffered to enjoy more pleafant countries.

3 E 2 "building
A. M. " building of a city, which was to be the metropolis of the

1957, \& \& c. Ant. Chrif. 2247 , \&c. from Gen. xi. to ver. 10. $\rightarrow \sqrt{\square}$ " whole world, and of a tower, whofe top was to reach up " to beaven ?"
" Defigns of this nature are generally attempted by valt, " extenfive empires, that are over ftocked with people, " and have multitudes of idle hands to employ; ; but to fuppofe a fmall tribe of men, (and who of necefity muft "fome of them be bufied in other occupations), and much ' more, to fuppofe a colony or detachment only of them " (as moft commentators will have it) to have had the har" dinefs to enterprize fo prodigious a fabric as the tower of 6 Babel is reprefented, is fomething fo romantic, that it " puts one in mind of that fabulous ftuff of the giants pic ling one mountain upon another, to fcale heaven, and s6 wage war with the gods.
" But fuppofing the ftory to be true; yet where would
" the harm be in building a town to dwell in, and a tower
${ }^{6}$ for its ornament or defence? It is a laugable ambition,
46 one would think, for a people to defire to perpetuate
" their name ; and for a city to be at unity with itfelf,
" how joyful a thing is it! What then can we conceive
" fhould be the reafon that God fhould be fo highly of-
"fended at thefe builders, as himfelf to interpofe in dif-

* appointing their defign? But to interpofe in the manner
" he did, by fubducting the old, and infufing new lan" guages, fó as to make them unintelligible to one another,
" this is a thing fo unaccountable, that it would tempt one
" to think, that there was a miftake fomewhere in our
's tranflation.
" The Hebrew word fbaphah, which we render lan-
"guage, (or lip, as it is in the marginal note), has, doubr-
" lefs, very frequently that fignification ; nor is it to be de-
"s nied, but that one univerfal language was fpoken by
* Noah's family. But then it appears from feveral paffages
" in Scripture, (particularly from Ifa. xix: 18 .), that the
"c. word does not fo properly denore languages, as it does
*s an agreement in fentiments and inclinations, which feems
" every whit as neceffary for the building of a city as the " greateft fimilitude of didlect can be: Now, taking the
" word in this fenfe, it may be, that what we call con"s founding their language, may mean confounding their " minds, and raifing a fpirit of difcord among them, which © might make them abandon their enterprife, and difperfe ss into different countries; and then, though they might fpeak !s all the fame language at parting, a confiderable diverfity
" would naturally, and without the intervention of a mi- A. M. ' racle, in a hort time enfue.
"We fee in a thoufand years, what alterations and deviations have been made from the Latin, in France, Italy; Spain, and the Subalpine countries.' In France, the "Gafcon and Provencial dialects are lrardly underftood at "Paris: In Spain, befides the Caftilian, there are two " large idioms, the Portuguefe and the Catalan, neither of " which are readily' intelligible by a perfon that has' always " lived at Madrid: And a man may know all the reft of the dialects which are derived from the Latin; and yet be wholly to feek in the Grifons language.-All thefe 'tongues, however, we certainly know, have fprung from the Latin within thefe twelve hundred years, and the nations who fpeak them, have conftantly maintained a " mutual commerce and intercourfe together. If, then fuch alterations are actually vifble in dialects (which have been formed from languages fill extant) in fo few years, what may we reafonably fuppofe to have been the fate of languages that exifted above three thoufand years ago? efpecially, when men were fo totally divided from one another, as we may imagine the firft inhabitants of this globe were, after this great diferfion, In thort, (a) the caufe of the variety of languages in the world is grounded in reafon and nature; in the difference of climates, in the unfettled temper of mankind, the neceffary mutability of human things, the rife and fall 'of ftares and empixes, and change of modes and cuftoms, which neceflarily introduce a proportionable change in language: And therefore, fuppofing the Hebrew to be the primitive language, in a proper period of time after fuch a difperfion, all other languages will be found as naturally fpringing from it as fo many branches from the fame fock. It is in vain then to have recourfe to miracles, when the buffrefs may as well be done without it; when it is but fuppofing, that all the languages now extant fprung originally from one common root, and that they are no more than different forms and dialects of it, which the force of time, affifted with fome incidental "caufes, without the intervention of any fuperior power, " naturally produces; otherwife we can hardly imagine " how dialects that are fo near a-kin came to be placed fo " nearly to one another."
(a) Vid. Sentimens de quelque theologiens fur l'bjffoire critique. p.435.; and a letter to Dr. Waterland, p. 28, 29.

Thofe who have undertaken to fettle the geography of the Holy Scriptures, tell us, that the land of Shinar was all that valley which the river Tigris runs along, from the mountains of Armenia northwards to the Perfian gulf; or at leaft to the fouthern divifion of the common channel of the Tygris and Euphrates. (b) So that the country of Eden was part of the land of Shinar: And as Eden was probably fituate on both fides of the aforementioned channel, fo it is not unlikely that the valley of Shinar did extend itfelf on both fides (but on the weftern fide, without all doubt) of the river Tygris.
Now the mountains of Armenia, according to the account of moft geographers, lie north, and not eaft, from Shinar and Affyria; but then it may be fuppofed (c) either that Mofes, in this place, followed the geographical ftyle of the Aflyrians, who called all that lay beyond the Tigris the eaft country, though a great part of it, towards Armenia, was really northward; or (as fome (d) others will have it) that as mankind multiplied, they fpread themfelves in the country eaftward of Ararat; and fo making fmall removes, (from the time of their defcent from the mount to the time of their journeying into the land of Shinar), they might probably enough be faid to have begun their progrefs from the eaft. But without the help of thefe folutions, and taking Mofes in a literal fenfe, he is far from being miftaken. (e) Moft geographers indeed have drawn the mountain of Ararat a good way out of its place, and hiftorians and commentators, taking the thing for fact, have been much perplexed to reconcile this fituation with its defcription in Scripture: Whereas, by the accounts of all travellers for fome years paft, the mountain which now goes under the name of Ararat lies about two degrees more eaft than the city of Shinar or Senjar, from whence the plain, in all probability, takes its name: And therefore, if the fons of Noah entered it on the north fide, they muft of neceffity have journeyed from the eaft, or, which is the fame thing, have travelled weffward from the place where they fet out, in order to arrive at the plain of Babylon.

[^43]Chap. II. from the Flood to the Call of Abraham,
family were not concerned in this expedition; but for what reafon we cannot conceive, fince there is no fact, in all the ${ }^{17575}$, ${ }^{18 c}$. Crrif . Mofaic account, more firmly eftablifhed than this, that the whole race of mankind, then in being, were actually engaged in it.

As foon as Mofes has bronght the three fons of Noah $\underbrace{\text { ro. }}$ out of the ark, he takes care to inform us, that ( $f$ ) of them was the whbole earth over/pread. After he has given us the names of their defcendents, at the time of their difperfion, he fubjoins, and ( $g$ ) by thefe were the nations divided in the earth after the flood: And then, proceeding to give us an actount of this memorable tranfaction, he tells us, that (b) the whole earth was of one language, and of one Speech; and that as they, namely the whole earth, (i) journeyed from the eaft, they found a plain in the land of Shinar, and dwelt there, \&c.; ( $k$ ) fo that, from the beginning to the end of this tranfaction, the connection between the antecedent and relative is fo well preferved, that there is no room to fuppofe, that any lefs than all mankind, were gathered together on the plain of Shinar, and affifted in the building of Babel : Nor \{eems it improbable, that Mofes has made thefe unufual repetitions, to inculcate the certainty of that fact, and to take away all ground for fuppofing, that any other branch of Noah's pofterity was in any other part of the earth at that tinie.
The time indeed, when this tranfaction happened, is What the very differently computed by chronologers, according as number of they follow the LXX interpreters, who make it 531 ; them mighes the Samaritan copy, which makes it 396 ; or the He -bly be. brew, which allows it to be no more than 101 years from the flood to the confufion of tongues, and lefs, we may fuppofe, to the firft beginning to build the tower. $\mathbf{I E}$ we take either of the former computations, the thing anfwers itfelf: Upon a moderate multiplication, there will be workmen more than enough, even without the pofterity of Shem: But if we fubmit to the Hebrew account of time, we fhall find ourfelves ftraitened, if we part with one third of our complement, in fo laborious a work. There is no neceflity however to fuppofe, ( $l$ ) with fome, that every one of thefe progenitors, as foon as married, (which was very early), had every year twins by his wife; which, according to arithmétic progreffion, would amount to no lefs
$(f)$ Gen. ix. 19.
(g) Ch. x. 32.
(b) Ch. xi. t. (i) Ibid. ver. 2. (k) Univerf. hifl. 1. 1. c. 2. (l) Temporarius in demont. chronol. 1. 2.
A. M. than 1554420 males, and females, in the fhorteft period given. Half the number would be fufficient to be employed on this occafion ; and ( $m$ ) half the number will be no unreafonable fuppofition, confidering the ftrength of conftitution men had then, and the additional bleffing which God beftowed upon them, and whereby he interefted his peculiar providence, " Ut ad incrementum fobolis humanæ, " ad orbis vaftitatem inftaurandam, precipua quædam in " illis fœecunditas ineffet, quæ juftam alioquin ætatem an" teverteret; ut vel a pueris ipfis, quod nonnulli fufpican" tur, probabile effet, generandi vim illis et ufum potuiffe


But after all, there feems to be no occafion for fuppofing an extraordinary increafe of people, or for confining the firft undertaking of this great building to the compafs of one hundred years after the flood. In the tenth chapter of Genefis, it is faid indeed, that unto Eber were born two fons, and that the name of one was Peleg, which being derived from an Hebrew word, that fignifies to divide, has this reafon annexed to it, for in his days was the earth divided. Now,- by the fubfequent account of Peleg's anceftors we find, that he was born in the loift year after the flood; from whence it is concluded, that the earth began to be divided at his birth. But this is a conclufion that by no means refults from the text, which only fays that in his days was the earth divided; words which can, with no manner of propriety, imply, that this divifron began at his birth.

His name indeed was called Peleg; but it does not therefore follow, that this name was given him at his birth. It might have been given at any time after, from his being a principal agent among his own family, in the divifion made in his days; as feveral names have, throughout all ages, been given upon the like accidents, not only to private perfons, but to whole families Or fuppofe the name to be given at his birth, yet no reafon can be affigned why it might not be given prophetically, as well as that of Noah, from an event then forefeen, though it might not come to pafs for fome confiderable time after the name was given.

[^44](o) Since Peleg then, according to the facred account, A. M. lived two hundred and thirty-nine years, and his younger ${ }_{\text {Ant. Chrin }}^{1757,}$ brother Jocktan, and his fons, were a confiderable colony 2247 , $80^{\circ}$. in the diftribution of the world, it is much more rational from Gen. to fuppofe, that this diftribution did not begin till a good xi. to ver. part of Peleg's life was expended. Suppofe it however to be no more than an hundred years after his birth; yet we may fill retain the Hebrew computation, and have time and hands enough for the carrying on the great work of Babel, before this diftribution, fince mankind might very well be multiplied to fome millions, in the compals of two hundred years.

Putting all thefe confiderations together then, we can Why God hardly imagine, that there wanted a fufficient number of dinifiked and men to go upon an enterprife, which, though not ftrictly deficired under chargeable with fin, becaufe there was no previous com-taking. mand forbidding it, yet, in the fenfe of God himfelf, bold and prefumptuous enough: $(p)$ Behold the people is one, and they bave all one language, and now this they begin to do; this is their firft attempr, and after this, nothing $\ddagger$ will be reAtrained from them; they will think themfelves competent for any thing that they fhall have a fancy to do. For though God could have no reafon to apprehend $\dagger$ any mo-
leftation
(o) Revelation examined, vol. 2. differt. 3. ( $p$ ) Gen. $x i .6$.
$\ddagger$ The cemmon verfions fay of the builders of the tower of Babel, and now nothing will, or fhall, be reftrained from them, rubich they bave imagined to do. But this is falfe in fact; becaufe God foon put a fop to their defign by confounding them, and foattering them abroad from thence, ouve the face of the earth. We may obferve therefore, that the fame particle which is indeed fometimes taken negatively, is evidently here to be taken interrogatively, and is equal to the molt exprefs affirmation: And therefore the text fhould thus be tranflated, Shall they not be ceffrained in all they imagine to do? Yes, they fhall; which accordingly was immediately executed; Efay for a new tranlation:
$\dagger$ What their attempts were, the hiftorian has reprefented in their own words: And they faid, Go to, let us build us a city, and a tower, whofe top may reach unto beaven, Gen. xi. 4. But far be it from any one to imagine, that thefe builders could be fo ftupidly ignorant, as ever to think by this means to climb up to heaven, or that they would not have chofen a mountain, rather than a plain, or a valley, for this, Vol, I.

3 F
if from Gen. earth replenithed, it was an ack highly confiftent with his xi. to ver. infinite wifdom and goodnefs to fee them difappointed. The divine purpofe was, that men fhould nor live within the limits of one country only, and fo be expofed to perpetual contentions, while every one would pretend to make himfelf mafter of the neareft and moft fertile lands; but that, poffefling themfelves of the whole, and cultivating almoft every place, threy might enjoy a proportionable increafe of the fruits of the earth. (q) Thorns and briars were fpringing up every where; woods and thickets fpread. ing themfelves around; wild beaf increafing; and all thiswhile the fons of Noah gathering together in a clufter, and defigning fo to contirue; fo that it was highly feafonable. for God to confound their mintimed projects, and difperfe. them.

Their purpofe was to make themfelves a name by enflaving, others: but God forefaw, $(r)$ that abfolute power
if they could once have entertained fo grofs an imagination. It is a common hyperbole this in the facred writings, to fignify any great and lofty building, as may be feen in Deut i. 18. Dan. iv. 8. and in feveral other places; nor is the like manner of expreflion unufual among profane authors likewife: for Homer, fpeaking of the ifland of Calypio, tells us, that in it was a place:

 Odjff. é. ver. 238.

By a literal interpretation of the Hebrew idiotifm, however, it is a common thing for the greateft abfurdities to be received by the unwary for realities; and not at all a wonder, that the mifundertanding the text thould give rife to what we are told of the giants in the fable attempting to feale heaven, and of the expedition of Cofigna and his companions, who had contrived ladders for that end; hoping that fo they might make their nearer addrefles to the queen of heaven. And thus even the fillieft of the Pagan tales may be traced up to their orizinal; for there is generally fome foundation for them in truth, either mifunderftood or mifapplied. Vid. Le Clerc's.Commentary; Voff. Hift, Grac. lib. 1. cap. 3.; and Bibliotheca Biblica ad locum.
(q) Waterland's Scripture vindicated, part. I. (r) Le Clerc's Difertation.
and univerfal empire were not to be trofted in any moreal hand ; that the firt kinas would be far trom being the beft men; but as they acquired a fuperiority by fraud and violence, fo they would notbebackward to maintain it by op-from Gen. preffion and cruelly: And therefore, to remedy fuch pu- xi. to ver. blic grievances, he determined, with himfelf, that there fhould be a diverfity of governments in the world ; that if the inhabitants of any place chanced to live under a tyrannical power, thofe that were no longer able to endure the yoke might fly into other countries and dominiuns, (which they could not do if the whole was one entire monarchy), and there find a fhelter from oppreffion. And as he knew how conducive the bad example of princes would be towards a general corruption of manners, he therefore took care to provide againft this malady, by appeinting feveral diftinct kingdoms and forms of government at one and the fame time; that if the infection of vice got afcendency, and prevailed in one place, virtue and godlinefs, and whatever is honourable and praife-worthy, might find a fafe retreat, and flourifa in another. Thus all the mifchiefs which might poflibly arife from an univerfal monarchy, and all the advantages that do daily accrue from feparate and diftinct governments, were in the divine forefight and confideration, when he put a furprifing ftop to the building of thefe men, and their ambitious fchemes of empire together.

For in what manner foever it was that he effected That this this, $\dagger$ whether it was by diturbing the memories, or defeat was perverting ly hiswork.
$\dagger$ Since Mofes has no where acquainted us, (fays the learned Heidegger, in his hif. patriar. lib. I. exercit. 2II.) in what manner the confufion of languages was effected, every one is left to follow what opintion helikes beft, fo long as that opinion contains nothing incongruous to the received rule of faith: nay, it may not be inconvenient to produce feveral opinions upon this fubject, to the intent that every one may embrace that which feems to him moft conformable to truth. And therefore he infances in the opinions of feveral learned men, but in thofe more particularly of Julius Scaliger, who afcribes this event to a confufion of notions which God miraculoufly fent among the builders; and that of Iface Cafaubon, who'will needs have all the different languages now extant to be no more than derivatives from the Hebrew. Saliger's words, as Heidegger quotes them are thefe: "Sic enim aiunt (Hebrai fcilicet) quo impii pro" pofiti opusillud intercipcretui arque prohiberetur, factuma Deo
A. M. perverting their imaginations; by diverfifying their hearing fion of new languages, or a divifion of the old into fo many different dialects; and again, whether thefe tongues, or dialects of tongues, $f$ were few or more; whether
"optimo maximo, ut lapidem petenti alius calcem, alius fabu" lum, alius maltham, alius bitumen, alis aquam, ferret. For. " taffe etiam non defuiffe arbetror, qui fibi dictam putarent "contumeliam, atque propterea manum confererent, ubi max" ima intercedit occafio fubtilitatis; nam fi lapidem petenti a" lius aliud, multi multa, diverfa omnia afferebant, videretur " unius foni modus, in varias fpeciss deductus, diverfis menti" bus fefe infinuaffe. Una igitur prifca adhuc extaret lingua, " varix vero fignificatus." The words of Cafaubon are as follows: "Si in Babele linguæ in totum diverfæ facte funt, necef-
 "contrarium videmus accidiffe. Eft enim verififfirnum, lin, " guas cæteras eo manifeftiora et magis expreffa originis He" braicx veftigia fervaffe, et nunc furvare, quo propius ab an" tiqua et prima hominum fede abfuerunt. Nam proximus "qnifque populus genti Hebraicx proxime ad illius linguam " acceffit. Longinquitas vero alienationem fubinde majorem " intulit. Clarum hoc, ex comparatione linguarum, Syriace, " Chaldaicx, Arabicx, Punicæ, \&c. cum Hebraica: clariffi" mum item, fi Grecam linguam diligenter fpectes. Graci " primi in Afia habitarunt : inde Iones, vel, ut Æfculus vocat "Hebraice, Javones in Europam trajecerunt; in antiquiffimis " quibufque Græcorum fcriptoribus multa propterea vocabula " Hebraica, qua poftea vel defierunt effe in ufu, vel admodum "funt mutata: obfervamus etiam Afiaticos Grecos magis " Sopacios, quam Europæos."
$\dagger$ It is not to be thought, that there were as many feveral dialects as there were men at Babel, fo that none of them underftood onc another, This would not only have difperfed mankind, but utterly deftroyed them; becaufe it is impofible to live without fociety, or to have any fociety without underfanding one another. It is likely therefore that every family had its peculiar dialect, or rather, that fome common dialeci or form of fpeaking was given to thofe families whom God defigned to make one colony in the following ciifperfion. Into how many languages the people were divided, it is impoffible to determine. The Hebrews fancy feventy, becaufe the defcendents of the fons of Noah, as they are enumerated in Scripture, are juft formany: the Greek fathers make then feventy-two becaufe haps as there were either tribes or heads of families), ${ }^{1757, \text {, \&c. }}$ and all the reft were no more than derivatives from them ; Ant. Chrint, the operations of an Almighty power are equally vifible, from Gen. and the foorfeps of divine wifdom apparent, in the very xi. to ver. method of his difappointing thefe ambitious builders.
(s) He could no doubt, with the fame facility, have fent down fire from heaven to confume them; but then, that would have been but a momentary judgment, whereof we fhould have known nothing but what we read in the dead letter of a book: whereas, by this means, the remembrance of Gods interpofition is preferved to all future ages, and in every new language that we hear, we recognize the miracle.
( $t$ ) It was equally the finger of God, we allow, whether And not a the minds or the tongues of the workmen were confound- confufion ed ; but then, in that cafe, the miracle does not fo plainly of minds, and fo flagrantly appear, nor would it have had fo good ant tongues. effect upon the builders themfelves; becaufe men may quarrel and break off fociety without a miracle; whereas they cannot fpeak with new tongues by their own natural ftrenth and ingenuity.

Nor is the formation of a new language only more miraculous, but to the imaginations of the perfons upon whom it was wrought, incredibly more furprifing than any difagreement in opinion, or any quarrel that might there-
the LXX verfion adds two more, (Elifa among the fons of Japhet, and Canaan among the fons of Shem), and the Latin fathers follow them. But this is all conjecture, and what is built upon a very weak foundation. For in many places, fo many people concurred in the ufe of the fame fpeech, that of the feventy fcarce thirty remain diftinct, as Bochart has obfered: and among thefe, others have fuppofed, that the Hebrew, Chaldee, and Arabic, in the eaft; the Greek and Latin in the weft; and the Finnifh, Sclavonian, Hungarian, Cantabric, and the ancient Gaulifh, in the north; are generally reputed originals : befides fome more that might be difcovered in Perfia, China, the Eaf-Indies, the midland parts of Afric, and all America, if we had but a fufficient knowledge of the hiftory of thefe people. Vid Patrick's Commentary; and Wotten of the confufion of languages at Babel.
(s) Heidegger's Hift. patriar. vol. 1. exercit. 21. (t) Wotten of the confufion of languages at Babsl.
A. M. upon enfue. And therefore I have always thought, that Ant. Chrif. this account of the confufion of tongues which God 2247, छ̌c. wrought at Babel, would fcarce have been told fo particuIrom Gen.
xi. to ver. xi. to ver. only arifen from a quarrel among the builders, which ob-
so. liged them to leave off their work, and fatter themfelves over the face of the earth. For when God is here defcribed as coming down in perfon to view their work, fomething almoft as folemn as the creation, full as folemn as the denunciation of the flood, when Noah was commanded to build the ark, is certainly intended by that expreffion : and therefore, when Mofes acquaints us, that there was but one language at that time, the circumftance would be impertinent, if he did not intimate withal, that very foon after there were to be more.
la,xix. 18. The prophet Ifaiah indeed, fpeaking of the converfion of fome Egyptians to the Jewifh faith, tells us, that in that day Jball five cities in the land of Egypt fpeak the language (or lip, as it is in the margin) of Canaan, and fwear to the Lord of hofts. Speaking the language of Canaan, ( $u$ ) is thought by fome to mean no more than being of the fame religion with the Jews, who inhabited the land of Canaan ; but why may it not be interpreted literally, as it is in our trandlation ? Might not thefe five cities particularly, to dhew the value and reverence that they had for the religion of the Jews, learn their language; efpecially fince they would thereby be better enabled to underftand the books of Mofes and the Prophets, which were written in that tongue? Do not the Mahometans, whatever they are, Turks, Tartars, Perfians Moguls, or Moors, all learn Arabic, becaufe Mahome wrote the Alcoran in that language? Why then fhould we be offended at the literal fenfe of the words, when the figurative is fo low and that in comparifon of it? ( $x$ ) Ir that day Egypt foall be like a woman; it Joall be afraic and fear, becaufe of the Jbaking of the hand of the Lort of Hofts. (y) The Lord of bofts fball be a terror unt Egypt, and ( $z$ ) in that day foall there be an allar to thi Lord in the midft of the land of Egypt, i. e. they fhall be come profelytes to the law of Mofes; and that they may not miftake in underftanding the fenfe of the law, whict

[^45]they foal then embrace, they fall agree to learn the langage in which it is written. This is an eafy and genuine fenfe of the words: But, inftead of that, to fly to a forced and abitrufe one, merely to evade the evidence of a miracle, favours of vanity at leafs, if not of irreligoon.
A. M. 1757, © C . Ant.Chrif. 2247, sic. from Gc . xi. to ven. 10.


In fort, all interpreters, both Jewifh and Chritian, underftood this confufion of Babel to be a confufion of languages, not of opinions. They fam the texts, if literally underftood, required it ; they observed a furprifing variety of tongues, effentiaily different from one another; and they knew that this was not in the leaf inconfiftent with the power of God. They did not queftion, but that he who made the tongue could make it Seek what, and how he pleated ; and they acquiefced (as all wife and honeft interpreters fhould) in the literal explication, perceiving that nothing unworthy of God, or trifling, or impoffible in iffelf, refuted from it.

But to give this part of the objection a full and fatisfactory answer, we hall look a little into the nature of this corfulanguages in general, and thereby endeavour to flow, that fin, there there are fome languages, when once eftablifhed, are not were all afo fubject to variation as is pretended; and that, in the aral languege fubfequent to this extraordinary event, they could not, in any miatural way, undergo all the alterations we now perceive in them, fuppofing them all defended from one common flock:-
(a) Now, in order to this, we mut observe, that every language conifts of two things, matter and form. The matter of any language are the words wherein men who peak the language exprefs their ideas; and the feveral ways whereby its nouns are declined, and verbs conjugated, are its form.

The Latins and Greeks vary their nouns by termina-

 from, the, in both numbers; but the Hebrews have no different terminations in the fame number, and only vary thus, - Ilk, man; ifbim, men; ifbah, woman; ifboth women. The reft are varied by prepofitions infeparably affixed to the words, as $h a-i \hbar b$, the man; lei $J_{b}$, to the man; be-i/h, in the man, \& c . which prepofitions, thus
(a). Wotton of the confufion of languages at Babel.
A. M. joined, make one word with the noun to which they are
 Ant.Chrift, which come from a Latin or Teutonic original.
from Gen. The weftern and northern people confider every tranfixi. to ver. tive verb, either actively or paffively, and then they have
fo. done; as amo, in Latin, is I love; amor, I am loved; and fo in Greek, $\dot{\alpha} \gamma \alpha \pi \tilde{\omega}, \dot{\alpha} \gamma \alpha \pi \tilde{\omega} \mu \alpha \dot{\alpha}:$ but. in Hebrew, every word has, or is fuppofed to have, feven conjugations; in Chaldee and Syriac, fix ; and in Arabic, thirteen; all differing in their fignifications.

The weftern languages abound with verbs that are compounded with prepofitions, which accompany them in all their moods and tenfes, and therein vary their fignificarion : but in the eaftern there is no fuch thing; for though they have (in Arabic efpecially) many different fignifications, fome literal, and fome figurative, yet ftill their verbs, as well as nouns, are uncompounded.

In the Greek, both ancient and barbarous, in the Latin and the dialects arifing from it, and in all the branches of what we call the old Teutonic, the poffeffive pronouns, my, thy, bis. yours, theirs, \&c. make a diftinct word from the noun to which they are joined; as пazचй nूeã, pater nofter, fader vor, our father, \&c. But in all the oriental tongues, the pronoun is joined to the end of the noun, in fuch a manner as to make but one word. Thus ab; in Hebrew, is father; abi, my father; abinu, our father. In Chaldee, from the fame root, abuna, is our father ; in Syriac, abun; in Arabic and Erhiopic, the fame.

Once more. All weftern languages mark the degree of comparifon in their adjectives by proper terminations, wife, wifer, zwifeft; fapiens, fapientior, fapientif-
 tongues already mentioned have any thing in them like this.

Thefe are fome of the marks and characters which diftinguifh the eaftern from the weftern languages; and what is father obfervable, thefe characters have none of them difappeared, or haifted from one to another, for near three thoufand years. They appear in every book of the Old Teftament, from Mofes down to Malachi ; in the Chaldee paraphrafts, in the Syriac verfions, in the Mifna, in the Gemara, and in every other Rabbinical book, down to the Jewifh writers of the prefent age: but, on the other hand, if we confider Homer's poems, which are the oldeft monuments we have of the Greek language ; if we take Theorritus

Theocritus for the Doric Dialect ; Euripides, or Thucydi- A. M. des, for the Attic; Herodotus, or Hippocrates, for the ${ }^{1757}$, \&e. Ionic; and Sappho for the .Eolic; and fo defcend to the 2247 , 8 cc . Greek, which is fpoken at this day, we fhall fee the gene- from Gen. ral marks of weftern languages running through them all. ${ }_{10}^{\text {xio }}$. Thefe idioms fhew themfelves, at firft fight, to be nothing $\underbrace{-}$ more than dialects manifeftly fringing from the fame common root, which never did, and (as far as we may judge from the practice of above two thoufand years) never will conjugate verbs, decline nouns, or compare adjectives, like the Hebrew or Arabic. Thefe languages did always compound verbs and nouns with prepofitions, which effentially alter the fenfe. Thefe languages had never any poffeffive pronouns affixed to their nouns, to determine the perfon or perfons to whom of right they belong; nor do they affix any fingle letter to their words, which may be equivalent to conjuactions, and connect the fenfe of what goes before with what follows; which any perfon but tolerably initiated in the eaftern languages muft know to be their properties.

And indeed, if we caft but our eye a little forward into That there the facred hiftory, it will not be long before we may per- could not, ceive fome inftances of this difference between languages. For when Jacob and Laban made a covenant together, they that alteraerected an heap of ftones, on which they eat, and Labantion in lane called it fegar- $\int a b a d u t b a \bar{a}$, but Jacob Gal-ed, which words ${ }_{\text {is }}$ guages that fignify (thofe in Chaldee, which are Laban's, and the other ed. in Hebrew, which are Jacob's) an heap of witnefles; and in like manner, Pharaoh calls Jofeph Tfophnath-Paaneabh, which words are neither Hebrew nor Chaldee. So that here we fee three diftinct dialects formed in Jacob's time; and yet we may obferve, that the world was then thin, commerce narrow, and conquefts few; fo that the people were conftrained to converfe with thofe of their own tribe, and confequently could keep their dialect far more entire than it is poffible for any nation to do now, when commerce, conquefts, and colonies planted in regions already peopled with nations that Speak diftinct languages, may be fuppofed to bring in a deluge of new words, and make innumerable changes. But nations feldom trade much abroad, or make invafions upon their neighbours, or fend forth plantations into remote countries, until they are pretty well ftocked at home, which could hardly be the cafe of any one country for feveral ages after the difperfion.

Vol. I.
$3 G$
It.
A. M. 1757, \&c. Ant. Cbrift 2247, \&c. from Gen, xi, to ver. $\nrightarrow$.


It is a miftaken notion which fome have imbibed, that every little thing, be it dut the change of air, or difference of climate, (which at moft can but affect the pronunciation of fome letters or fyllables), can make a diverfity in languzges. Small and infenfible altevations, which perhaps will appear in an age or two, will undoubtedly happen; but unlefs people converte much with Arangers, their language will fubfift, as to its conftituent form, the fame for many generations.

The Roman language, for imftance, was brought to a comiderable perfection before Plantus's time ; and though now and then fome obfolete words may appear in his writings, yet any maw that underftands Latin may read the books that were written in it, from Plautus down to Theodoric the Goth, which was near feven hundred nears; and had not the barbarous nations broken into Italy, it might have been an intellizibide language for feveral ages more. And in like manner, we may fay, that had not the Turks, when they over-ran Greece, brought darknefs and ignorance along with them, the Greek tongue might have continued even to this day, fince it is manifeft, from Homer's Poems, and Euftathius's commentaries upon them, that it fubfifted for above two thoufand years, without any confiderable atration; for the fpace of tinne between the poes and his commentator was no lefs.

And thit there aré more original lan. guages in the world than is i magined.

And if the languages which we are acquainted with remained fo long unchanged to any great degree in times of more commerce and action than what could be fubfequent upon the difperfion, there is reafon to believe, that (though it be difficult to define the number of them), there are many more original languages in the world than fome men imagitre. For if we confider their great antiquity, their mutural agreement in the fundamentals (which we have defcribed) can be no argument that any one of them is derived from the reft ; fince it is natural to fuppofe, that when God confounded the fpeech of the builders of Babel, he made the dialects of thofe people who were to live near one another, fo far to agree, that they might, with lefs difficulty, and in a fhorter fpace of time, mutually underftand each other, and fo more eafily maintain an intercourfe together. For tho' their affociation (confidering the ends that engaged them in it) was certainly culpable, yet perhaps it might not deferve fo fevere a punifhment as ans entire feparation of every tribe among them from their neareft
neareft kindred, with whom they had hitherto fpent all their time.

To fum up the force of this argument in a few woids Ant. Cinst If we confider the time fince the building of the tower of from Gen. Babel, not yet 4000 years, and the great variety of languages that are at prefent in the world ; if we confider how entirely different fome are to others, fo that no art of etymology can reduce them to the leaft likenefs or conformity; and yet, in thofe early days, when the world was lefs mento peopled, and navigation and commerce not fo much minded, there could not be that quick progreffion of languages: And if we examine the alterations which fuch languages as we are acquainted with have made in two or three thoufand years paft, where calonies of different people hape not been imperted, we fhall find the difference between language and language to be fo very great, and the alteration of the fame language, in a confiderable tract of time, to be fo very fmall, that we fhall be at a lofs to conccive, whence fo many and fo warious languages could have proceeded, unlefs we take in the account of Mofes, which unriddles the whole difficuity, and juftly afcribes them to the fame almighty power which taught our firft parents to fpeak one tongue in the beginning, and, in after ages, infpired the apoitles of Jefius Chrift with the gift of many.

## DISSERTATION H.

## Qf the Tower of Babel.

TH A T there really was fuch a building as the tower That there of Babel, erected fome ages after the recovery of reatly was the earth from the deluge, is evident from the concurrent fuch a ${ }^{2}$ teftimony of feveral Heathen writers. For when (befides twilding as the particular defcription which (b) Herodotus, the father of Babel. of the Greek hiforians, gives us of it) we find Abydenus (as he is (c) quoted by Eufelizus) telling us, "That the firkt " race of men, big with a fond conceit of the bulk and " ftrength of their bodies, built, in the place where Baby" lon now fands, a tower of fo prodigious an height, " that it feemed to touch the thies, but that the winds and " the gods overthiew the mighty ftructure upon their " heads;" when we find Eupolemus (as he is (d) cited
(b) Lib I. c. 18 I .
(c) Preparat. evang. 1. 9. c. i4.
(d) Alex, Polyhit, apud Eufeb. Prop. evan. 1. 9. c. 18.
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A. M. by Alexander Polyhiftor) leaving it upon record, "That 1757, \&c. . ": the city of Babylon was firft built by giants, who efcaped Ant.Chrift. 2247, ©i:. from Gen. xi. to ver. "؛

## 70.

 " dirperied, and feattered over the face of the whole earth; and laftly, when (e) we find Jofephus mentioning it as a received doctrine among the Sibyls, "That at a certain " time, when the whole world fpoke all one language, the " people of thofe days gathered together, and raifed a " mighty tower, which they carried up to fo extravagant " an height, that it looked as if they had propofed to fcale " heaven from the top of it; but that the gods let the " winds loofe upon it, which, with a violent blaft, beat it " down to the ground, and at the fame time ftruck the " builders with an utter forgetfulnefs of their native tongue, " and fubftituted new and unknown languages in the room " of it:" When we find thefe, and feveral other authors, I fay, that might be produced, bearing teftimony to Mofes in moft of the material circumftances attending the building of this tower, we cannot but conclude, that the reprefentation which he gives us of the whole tranfaction is agreeable to truth.

The fhort is, all the remains now extant of the moft ancient Heathen hiftorians (except Sanchoniatho) concur in confirming the Mofaic account of this matter; and the fum of their teftimonies is, $-(f)$ That a huge tower was built by gigantic men at Babylon; that there was then but one language among mankind ; that the attempt was offenfive to the gods; and that therefore they demolifhed the tower, overwhelmed the workmen, divided their language, and difperfed them over the face of the whole earth.

That it was not blown down or deftroyed.

There is one circumftance indeed wherein we find thefe ancient hiftorians differing with Mofes, and that is, in affirming that the tower was demolifhed by the anger of God, and by the violence of the winds; but as it feems more confiftent with the divine wifdom (for the admonition of pofterity) to have fuch a monument of mens folly and ambition for fome time ftanding; fo we may obferve, that (in confirmation of our facred penman, who fpeaks of it as a thing exifting in his time) Herodotus, the Greek
(e) Antiq. 1. i. c. 5. (f) Vid. Jofephus's Antiq. l. r. c. 5. Eufebius's Præpar. evang. 1.9. c. 14. Gr.; and Huetius's Quæf. Alnetan. 1. 2. p. 189.

Chap. II. from the Flood to the Call of Abrahan.
hiftorian, tells us exprefsly, that he himfelfactually faw it, as it was repaired by Belus, or fome of his fucceffors; ${ }^{17575}$, \&c. Chrif Pliny, the Latin hiftorian, that it was not deftroyed in his 2247 , \&c. days; and fome modern travellers, (whom by and by we from Gen. thall have occafion to quote), that there are fome vifible ${ }^{\text {xi, to ver. }}$ remains of it extant even now. And therefore the fancy of 10. its being beat down with the winds is taken up, in pure confornity * to fome Perfian tales, recorded of Nimrod, whom thefe hittorians fuppofe to be the firft projector of it.

It cannot be denied indeed, but that the generality of who were interpreters, meeting with the exprefion of $(g)$ the chilthe builders of it. dren of men, whereby they underftand bad men and infideis, as oppofed to the children of God, which ufually denote the good and the faithful, are apt to imagine, that none of the family of Shem, which retained (as they fay) the true worfhip and religion, were engaged in the work. but fome of the worfer fort of people only, who had degenerated from the piety of their anceftors: But by the

[^46](g) Gen, xi. 5 .
cbildern
A. M. childrcn of men in that place, it is evident, that we are to x757. ©
Ant. Cliri. underftand all mankind, becaufe, in the initial words of Ant. Chrir. the chapter, 'they are called (b) the whole earth; nor can from Gen. we well conceive how, in fo thort a time, after that awakxi, to ver. 10. ning judgment of the deluge, the major part of mankind, even while Noah and his fons were ftill alive, fhould be fo far corrupted in their principles, as to deferve the odious character of unbelievers.
Not Nimsod.
(i) Jofephus indeed, and fome other authors, are clearly of opinion, that Nimrod, a defcendent from the impious Ham, was the great abettor of this defign, and the ringleader of thofe who combined in the execution of it. But though the undertaking feems to agree very well with the notion which the Scripture gives us of that ambitious prince ; yet, befides that, ( $k$ ) others extremely well verfed in all Jewifh antiquities, have made it appear, that Nimrod was either very young at the time, or even not yet born, when the project of building the tower and city was firft formed, there is reafon to believe (even fuppofing hima then alive, and in great power and authority among his people) that he was not in any tolerable condition to undertake fo great a work.

The account which Mofes gives us of him is,_-That he ( 4 ) began to be a migbty one in the earth; which the beft writers explain, by his being the firft who laid the foundation of regal power among mankind: But it is fcarce imaginable, how an empire, able to effect fuch a work, could be entirely acquired, and fo thoroughly eftablifhed, by one and the fame perfon, as to allow leifure for amufements of fuch infinite toil and trouble.
( $m$ ) Great and mighty empires indeed have feemingly betn acquired by fingle perfons; but when we come to examine into the true original of them, we fhall find, that they began upon the foundations of kingdoms already attained by their anceftors, and eftablifhed by the care and wifdom of many fucceflive rulers for feveral generations, and after a long exercife of their people in arts and arms, which gave them a fingular advantage over other nations that they conquered. In this manner grew the empires of Cyras, Alexander, and all the great conquerors in the world: Nor can we, in all the records of hiftory, find one
(b) Ver. 1. (i) Antiq. 1. 1. c. 5. (k) Bochatt's Phaleg. 1. 1. c. 10. (l) Gen.x.8. (m) Revelation Examined, vol. 2 differt. 3 .

Chap. II. from the Flood to the Call of Abrahan!
large dominion, from. the very foundation of the world, that was ever erected and eftablifhed by one private perfon. A. M. 1757, \&c. And therefore we have abundant reafon to infer, that Nim- Ant. Chrif. rod, though confeffedly the beginner of fovereign authori- from Gen. ty, could, at this time, haye no great kingdom under his command.

But admitting his kingdom to be larger than this fuppofition; yet, from that day to this, we can meet with no works of this kind attempred, but from a fulnefs of wealth, and wantonnefs of power, and after peace, luxury, and long leifure had introduced and eftablifhed arts: So that nothing can be more abfurd than to attribute fuch a prodigious work to the power and vanity of one man, in the infancy both of arts and empire, and when we can farce fuppote, that there was any fuch thing as artificial wealth in the world.

Since then this building was undoubtedly very ancient, Though the as ancient as the Scripture makes it, and yet could not be $\begin{gathered}\text { might atter- } \\ \text { wards }\end{gathered}$ effected by any feparate fociety, in the period affigned for it, there.
the only probable opinion is, that it was (as we faid before) underrook and executed by the united labours of all the people that were then on the face of the earth. It is not unlikely, however, that after the difperfion of the people, and their living the place unfinifhed, $(n)$ Nimrod and his fubjects, coming out of Arabia, or fome other neighbouring country, might, after their fright was over, fettle at Babel, and there building the city of Babylon, and repairing the tower, make it the metropolis (as afterwards it was) of all the Afyrian empire.

To this purpofe, there is a very remarkable paffage (o) in Diodorus Siculus, where he tells us; "That on " the walls of one of the Babylonian palaces was pourtrayed " a general hunting of all forts of wild beafts, with the "figure of a woman on horfeback piercing a leopard, " and a man fighting with a lion; and that on the walls " of the other palace were armies in battalia, and hunt" ings of feveral kinds." Now of this Nimrod, the facred hiftorian informs us, that he was a great and remarkable hunter, fo as to pafs into a proverb; and this occupation he might the rather purfue, as the beft means of training up his companions to exploits of war, and of making himfelf popular, by the glory he gained, and the public good he did, in deftroying thofe wild beafts, which at that time

[^47]A. M. infefted the world. And as this was a part of his charac-

1757, \&cc. Ant. Chrif, 2247, 8c. from Gen. xi. to ver. 10.

Fo. what purpofes it was built. ter, the moft rational account that we can give of the re ornaments on the Babylonian palaces, is, that they were fet up by fome of Nimrod's defcendents, in their anceftor's imperial city, in memory of the great forader of their family, and of an empire which afterwards grew fo famous.
( $p$ ) Eutychius, patriarch of Alexandria, will needs have it, that Nimrod was the firft author of the religion of the Magians, the worfhippers of fire: And from hence, very probably, ( $q$ ) a late archbilhop of our own has thought, that this tower of Babel (whofe form was pyramidical, as he fays, and fo refembling fire, whofe flame afcends in a conic fhape) was a monument defigned for the honour of the fun, as the moft probable caufe of drying up the waters of the flood. For, " though the fun," fays he, "was " not merely a god of the hills, yet the heathens thought "it fuitable to his advanced itation, to worflip him upoin " afcents, either natural, or, where the country was flat, "s artificial, that they might approach, as near as poffibly "they conld, the deity they'adored." This certainly accounts for God's difpleafare againft the builders, and why he was concerned to defeat their undertaking; but as there is no foundation for this conjecture in Scripture, and the date of this kind of idolatry was not perhaps fo early as is pretended, the two ends which Mofes declares the buildeis had in view, in forming their projed, will be motives fufficient for their undertaking it.

For if we confider that they were now in the midf of a, vaft plain, undiftinguifhed by roads, buildings, or boundaries of any kind, except rivers; that the provifion of pafture, and other neceffaries, obliged them to feparate; and that, when they were feparated, there was a neceffity of fome land-mark to bring them together again upon occafion, otherwife all communication, and with it, all the pleafures of life muft be cut off; we can hardly imagine any thing more natural and fit for this purpofe, than the ercetion of a tower, large and lofty enough to be feen at great diftances, and confequently fufficient to guide them from all quarters of that immenfe region; and when they had occation to correfpond, or come together, nothing certainly could be more proper, than the contiguous buildings

[^48]of a city, for their reception, and convenient commumi-: $A: M$. cation.

- If we confider likewife, that all the pride and magnif. cence of their anceftors were now defaced, and utterly deftroyed by the deluge, without the leaft remains, or memorial of their grandeur; that confequently the earth was

1757, \& © Ant. Chrif. 2247, \&8c. from Gen. xi. to ver. 10. a clear ftage, whereon to erect new and unrivaked monuments of glory and renown to themfelves; and that at this juncture, they wanted neither art nor abilities, neither numbers nor materials, to make themfelves mafters of what their vanity projected; we may reafonably fuppofe, that the affectation of renown was another motive to their undertaking ; fince it is very well known, that this is the very. principle which has all along governed the whole race of mankind, in all the works and monuments of magnificence, the maufoleums, pillars, palaces; pyramids, and whatever has been erected of any pompous kind, from the foundation of the world to this very day.. So that, taking their refolution under the united light of thefe twa motives, the reafoning of the builders will: run thus; "We " are here in a vaft plain: + our difperfon is incvitable : " our increafe, and the neceffaries of life demand it. We " are ftrong and happy, when united; but when divided, " we fhall be:weak and wretched, Let us then contrive " fome means of union and friendly fociety, which may, at " the fame time, perpetuate our fame and memory. And " what means fo proper for thefe purpofes, as a magnificent " city, and a mighty tower, whofe top may touch the " fkies? The tower will be a land-mark to us, through " the whole extent of this plain, and a centre of unity, " to prevent our being difperfed; and the city, which may " prove the metropolis of the whole earth, will, at all " times, afford us a commodious habitation. Since then ". we need fear no diffolution of our works by any future "deluge, let us erect fomething that may immortalize " our names, and outvie the labours of our antediluvian "fathers." And that this feems to have been the reafon$\uparrow$ Here they fpeak as if they feared a difeerfion; but it is hard to tell for what caure, unlefs it was this:-TThat Noah having projected a divifion of the earth among his pofterity, (for it was a deliberate bufteefs, as we noted before), the people had no mind to fubmit toit ; and therefore built a fortrefs to defend themfelves in their refolution of not yielding to his defign; but what they dreaded, they broughtupon themelves by their own vain attempt to avoid it. $\dot{\forall}$ id. Patrick's Comment. and Uher ad A. M. 1757.

Yof. I.:
3 H
ing
A. M. ing of their minds, will further appear; if we come now $1757, \& \mathrm{cc}$.
Ant.Chrif. to take a fhort furvey of the dimenfions of the building, Ant.Cnriit. according to the account which the beft hiftorians have gi2247, \&c. from Gen. ven us of it.
xi. to ver. 10.

The dimenfions of the tower.

It is the opinion of the learned ( $r$ ) Bochart, that whatever we read of the tower, inclofed in the temple of BeIds, may very properly be applied to the tower of Babel; becaufe, upon due fearch and examination, he conceives them to be one and the fame ftructure. Now; of this tower (s) Herodotus tells us, that it was a fquare of a furlong on each fide, i.e. half a mile in the whole circumference, whofe head, being equal to its bafis, was divided into eight towers,' built one upon another; but what made it look as divided into eight towers, was very probably the manner of its afcent. The paffage to go up it, continues aur author, was a circular, or winding way, carried round the outfide of the building to its bigheft paint: ( $t$ ) From whence it feems moft likely, that the whole afcent was, by the benching in, drawn in a -llopping line from the bottom to the top, eight times "round it, which would make the appearance of eight towers one above another. This way was fo exceeding broad, that it afforded fpace for horfes and carts, and other means of carriage to meet and turn ; and the towers, which looked like fo many ftorries upon one another, were each of them feventy-five feet high, in which were many ftately rooms, with arched roofs, fupported by pillars, which were made parts of the temple, after the tower became confecrated to that idolatrous ufe ; and, on the uppermoft of the towers, which was held more facred, and where their moft folemn devotions were performed, there was an obfervatory, by the benefit of which it was, that the Babylonians advanced their fkill in aftronomy beyond all other nations.

Some authors, $\dagger$ following a miftake in the Latin verfion of Herodous, wherein, the loweft of thefe towers is
(r) Vid. Phaleg. part r. 1. i. c. $9 . \quad$ (s) Lib. I. (t) Prideaux's Comection," part 1 .


 Now, tho' it be allowed, that the word $\mu$ ñeos may fignify height, as well as length, yet it is much better to take Herodotus in the latter fenfe here; otherwife the tower (if every foty anfwers the loweft) will rife to a prodigions height, though nothing near
faid to be a furlong thick, and a furlong high, will have A. M. each of the other towers to be of a proportionate height, ${ }^{\text {i } 757, ~ \& c . ~}$ which amounts to a mile in the whole: But the Greek of 2244, , $x$. . Herodotus (which is the genuine text of that author, fays from Gen. no fuch thing, but only, that it was a furlong long, anda xi. to ver, firlong broad, without mentioning any thing of its height; and ( $u$ ) Strabo, in his defcription of ir, (calling it a pyramid, becaufe of its decreafing, or benching-in at every tower), fays of the whole, that it was a furlong high, and a furlong on every fide: For to reckon every tower a furlong high, would make the thing incredible, even though the authority of borh thefe hiftorians were for, as they are againft it. Taking it only as it is defcribed by Strabo, it wasprodigious enough; fince, according to his dimenfions only, without adding any farther, it was one of the moft wonderful works in the world, and much exceeded the greateft of the pyramids of Egypt.

In this condition continued the tower of Babel, or the Its subretemple of Belus, until the time of Nebuchadnezzar ; butquent hifto, he enlarged it by vaft buildings, which were erected round ${ }^{\text {ry }}$. it, in a quare of two furlongs on every fide, or a mile in circumferencé; and inclofed the whole with a wall of two miles and a halif in compafs, in which were feveral gates leading to the temple, all of folid brafs, which very probably were made of the brazen fea, the brazen pillars, and the other brazen veffels, which were carried to Babylon from the temple of Jerufalem : for fo we are told, that all the facred vellels, which Nebuchadnezzar carried from thence, he put ( $x$ ) into the boufe of his God in Babylon, i. e. into the houfe or temple of Bel, (for that was the name of the great god of the Babylonians, furrounding it with the pomp
to what Jerom (1. 5. Comment. in Efaiam) affirms, from the teftimony of Eye-witneffes, as he fays, who examined the remains of it very carefully, oiz. that it was:no lefs than four miles high; Univerfal hif. l. 1. c. 2.

## ( u) Lib. 16 . <br> 

+ Bel is fuppofed to have been the fame with Nimrod, and to have been called Bel from his dominion, and Nimrod from his rebellion; for Bel , or Baal (which is the fame) fignifies Lerdv and Nimrod, Rebel, in the Jewifh and Chaldean language; the former was his Babylonifh name, by reafon of his empire in that place; and the latter his Scripture name, by reafon of his rebellion, in revolting from God, to follow his own'wicked defigns; Pridenux's Connefion, part i: l.2.
A. M. of thefe additional buildings, and adorning it with the fpoils 1757, bec. Ant: Chrit.' 2247, \&c. from Gen. xi. to ver. 10.


##  <br> 

 of the semple of Jerufalem. This tower did not fubfint much äbove an hundred years, when Xerxes, coming from his Grecian expedition, wherein he had fuffered a vaft lofs of men and money, out of pretence of religion, ( $\dagger$ as being himfelf a Magian, and confequently detefting the worfhip of God by images), ( $y$ ) but in reality with a defign to repair the damages he had fuftained, demolifhed it, and laid it all in rubbilh; having firft plundered it of all its immenfe riches, among which were feveral images, or Stanges of maffy gold, and ( $z$ ) one particularly of forty feet high, which very probably was + that. which Nebuchadinezzar (a) confecrated-in the plains of Dura., Thus

+ The two great fects of religion among the Perfians, were the Magians and Sabians. The Sabians worthipped God thro' fenfible images, or rather worfhipped the images themfelves, The Babylomians were the firt founders of this.fect; for they firl brought in the worflip of the planets, and afterwards that of images, and from thence propagated it to all other nations where it prevailed. The Magians, on the contrary, worthipped no images of any kind ; but God only, together with two fubordinate principles, the one, the author and director of all good, and the other, the author and director of all evil. Thefe two fects always had a mortal entity' to each other; and therefore it is no wonder, that Xerxes, who had always the Archimagus attending him in his expeditions, with feveral other inferion Magi, in the capacity of his chaplains, fhould by them be pre vailed on to take Babylon in his way to Sufa, in order to defroy all the idolatrous temples there:
(y) Prideatux's Conhection, part I. (z) Diodorus Siculus, 1. 2. $\dagger$ Nebuchadnezzar's golden image is faid indeed in Scripture to have been 60 cubits, i.e. ninety feet high, but that mult be underfood of the image and pedeftal all together: for that image being faid to have been but fix cubits broad or thick, it is impoffible that the image could have been fixty cubits high; for that makes its height to be ten times its breadth or thicknefs, whick exceeds all the proportions of a man, forafmuch as no man's height is above: fix times his thicknefs, meafuring the flendereft man livimg at the wait., Rut where the breadth of this image was meafured, it is not faid : perhaps it was from Thoulder to fhoulder, and then the proportion of fix cubits breadth will bring down the height exactly to the meafure which Diodorus has mentioned. For the ufual height of a man being four and an half of his brsadth between the fhoulders,

Thus fell this great monument of antiquity, and was neA. M. ver repaired any more : For though Alexander, at his re- ${ }^{1757 . ~ \& c .}$. turn to Babylon, after his Indian expedition, expreffed his intentions of rebuilding it, and accordingly fet ten thoufand men on work to rid the place of its rubbifh ; yet, before they had made any progrefs therein, that great conquerer died on a fudden, and has ever fince left both the city and tower fo far defaced, that the very people of the country are at a lofs to tell where their ancient fituation was. Since fome late travellers however have, in their opinions, found our the true ruins and, remains of this once renowned ftructure, we fhall not be averfe to gratify our reader's curiofity (b) with an account of what one of the beft authority among them has thought fit to communicate to the public.
"In the midde of a vaft and level plain; (fays he), a- The pre" bout a quarter of a league from the Euphrates, (which " in that place runs weftward), appears an heap of ruined «s buildings, like a buge mountain, the materials of which " are fo confounded together, that one knows not what to ${ }^{s}$ make of it. Its figure is fquare, and rifes in form of a ". pyramid, with four fronts, which anfwer to the four " quarters of the compafs, but it feems longer from north " to fouth than from eaft to weft, and is (as far as I could " judge by my pacing it) a large quarter of a league. Its : firuation and form correfpond with that pyramid which "Strabo calls the tower of Belus; but even in his time it " had nothing remaining of the ftairs, and other orna"، ments mentioned by Herodotus, for the greateft part of " it was ruined by Xerxes and Alexander, who defigned "to have reftored it to its fomer luftre, but was prevent" ed by death.
it muft, according to this proportion, have been twenty-feven cubits high, which is forty feet and an half. Nor mult it be forgot what Diodorus further teils us, viz. That this image contained a thoufand Babylonifh talents of gold, which, upon a moderate computation, amounts to three millions and an half of our money. But now, if we advance the height of the fatue to ninety feet without the pedeftal, it willincreafe the value to a fum incredible; and therefore it is neceflary to take the pedeAtal likewife into the height mentioned by Daniel ; Prideaus's Comeftion, part 1. l.2.
(b) Vid. Pictro della Valle, part 2.1.17.
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$\because$ or bitumen, but the greater number were fuch as were "dried in the fun."

This is the moft of what this fedulous traveller could difcover; and yet, upon the foot of thefe remarks, he makes no doubr to declare, "That this ruin was the an". cient Babel, or the tower of Nimrod, (as he calls it): "For befides the evidence of its fituation, it is fo acknow" ledged to be, and fo called by the inhabitants of the " country to this very day:" Notwithtranding fome others are of a contrary opinion, uiz. (c) That this, and fome other ruins not far diftant from it, are not the remains of the original tower, bat rather fome later ftructures of the Arabs.

We cannot difmifs this fubject however, without making A moral fome reflections on the vanity and tranfitorinefs of all fub-refletion bereupon. lunary thing's, as well as the veracity of all God's predictions; ; fince that goodly city, which was once the pride of all Alia, and the defigned metropolis of the whole univerfe, according to the words ( $d$ ) of the prophets, is fallen, is fallen low, very low, and becoine a dwelling-place for dragons, an aftonif/bment, and an bifing without an inhabitant; and that ftately tower, which once reared its head on high, and feemed to menace the ftars, is brought down to the ground, even to the duft; infomuch that the place of it is to be feen no more; or, if by chance found out by fome inquifitive traveller, the whole is now become only a confufed heap of rubbifh, according to the word of God, by the fame prophet; (e) I will roll thee down from the racks, and make thee as a burnt mountain, and they flall not take of thee a fone for a corner, nor a fone for foundations, but thou Joalt be an everlafting defolation, faith the Lord.
(c) Univerf. hift. 1. I. c. 2.
(d) Ifa. xxi. 9.; and Jer. Hi.
37. (e) Jer. li. 25, 26.

Y CHAP. III.
Of the difperfion, and firft fettiement of the Nations.

## The Hystory.

IN what manner the children of Noah were admitted to the poffeffion of the feveral countries they afterwards came to inhabit, the faceed hiforian thas net informed us
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A. M. . but this we may depend on, that (a) this great divifion of the earth was not the refult of chance, but of mature deliberation; not a confufed, irregular difperfion, wherein every one weht where he pleafed, and fettled himfelf where he liked beft, but a proper affignment of fuch and fuch places for every divifion and fubdivifion of each nation and family to dwell in. Japhet, as we faid before, though ufually mentioned laft, yet was in reality the eldeft fon of Noah, and accordingly has his defcendents here placed in the front of the genealogy. He had + feven fons: Gomer, who feated himfelf in Phrygia; Majog, in Scythia; Madai, in Media; Javan, in Ionia, or part of Greece; Tubal, in Tibarene; Mafhech, in Mofchia, (which lies in the north: eaft parts of Cappadocia) ; and Tiras, in Thrace, Myfia, and the reft of turope towards the north.

The fons of Gomer were Aifkanaz, who took poffeffion of Afcania, (which is part of Leffer Phrygia), Riphah, of the Riphran mountains; and Togarmagh, of part of Cappadócia and Galatio.

The fons of Javan were Elifhah, who feated himfelf in Peloponnefus; Yarfhifh, in Spain; Kittim, in Italy; and Dodanim (b) (otherwile called Rhodanim) in France, not far from the banks of the river Rtione, to which he feems to have given the name. By thefe, and the colonies which in fome face of time proceeded from them, not only a confiderable part of Afia, but all Europe, and the inlands adjacent, were focked with: iahabitants; and the feveral inhabitants were fo fettled and difpofed of, that each tribe or family who fpake the fame language kept together in one body; and (how diftant foever in their fituation) continued, for fome time at leaft, their relation to the people or nation from whom originally they frung;
(a) Mede's Difc. 49, 50. I. 1.
$\dagger$ The following account of the plantations of the three fons of Noah and their defcendents is extracted from Bochart's Phaleg.; Heidegger's Hiftoria patriarcharum, vol. I. exercit. 22.; Wells's Sacred geography, vol. I ; Bedford's Scripture chronology, 1. 2.; Shuckford's Connection, vol. I.; Parker's Bibliotheea Biblica, vol: I.; the authors of the Univertal hiftory, 1. 1.; Le Clerc's and Patrick's Commeniaries; Pool and Ainfworth's Annotations; with other authors of the like nature; from whom we have made ufe of the moft probable conjectures; and to whom we refer the reader, rather than encumber him with a multitude of explanatory notes.
(b) I Chron. i. 7 .


Shem, the fecond fon of Noah, (and from whom the A. M. Hebrew nation did defcend), had himfelf five fons; where- ${ }^{1759,}$ \&c. of Elam took poffeffion of a country in Perfia, called after 2245 , \&cc. himfelf at firft, but in the time of Daniel, it obtained the from Gen. name of Sufiana; Afur, of Aflyria; Arphaxad, of Cbaldea; end ; the Lud, of Lydia, and Aram, of Syria, as far as the Mediter- from ch xi. ranean fea.

The fons of Aram were $\mathrm{U} z$, who feated himfelf in the the end. country of Damafcus; Hull, near Cholobatene in Arme- of Shem. nia; Mafh, near the mountain Mafius; and Gether, in part of Mefopotamia.

Arphaxad had a fon named Salah, who fettled near Sufiana, and begat Eber, (the father of the Hebrew nation), who had likewife two fons; Peleg, whofe name imports divifion, becaufe in his days mankind was divided into feveral colonies; and Jocktan, who had a large offspring, to the number of thirteen fons, all feated in Arabia Felix, and who, in all probability, were the progenitors of fuch people and nations as in thofe parts, in after-ages, had fome affinity to their feveral names. For here it was that the Allumoote, who took their name from Almodad, the Selapeni from Sheleph, and the Abalitæ from Obal, ©jc. lived, viz. from that part of Arabia which lies between Mufa, (a famous fea-port in the red-fea), and the mountain Climax, which was formerly called Sephar, from a city of that name built at the bottom of it, and then the metropolis of the whole country.

Ham, the youngeft fon of Noah, had four fons; where- And of of Cufh fettled his abode in that part of Arabia which lies Ham. towards Egypt ; Mizraim, in both Upper and Lower Egypt; Phut, in part of Lybia; and Canaan, in the land which was afterwards called by his name, and in other adjacent countries.

The fons of Cuilh were Seba, who fettled on the fouthweft part of Arabia; Havilah, who gave name to a country upon the river Pifon, where it parts with Euphrates, to run into the Arabian gulf; Sabtah, who lived on the fame fhore (but a little more northward) of the Arabian gulf; Raamah, who, with his two fons Sheba and Dedan, occupied the fame coaft, but a listle more eaftward; and Sabtecha, who (we need not doubt) placed himfelf among the reft of his brethren. But among all the fons of Cufh , Nimrod was the perfon who, in thefe early days, diftinguifhed himfelf by his bravery and courage. His lot chanced to fall into a place that was not a little infefted with

VoL.I. 3 I wild
A. M. wild beafts; and therefore he betook himfelf to the exer${ }^{3} 759$, sic, , cife of hunting, and drawing together a company of fout ${ }_{2245}$ Ans. 8 . 8 c. . young fellows, not only cleared the country of fuch danfrom Gen. gerous creatures, but procuring himfelf likewife great ho$x$, to the end ; and fremch. xirat length to the dignity of a king, (the firft king that is ver. ro. to fuppofed to have been in the world), and having made Bathe ext. bylon the feat of his empire, laid the foundation of three other cities, viz. Erech, Accad, and Calneth, in the neighbouring provinces; and fo palfing into Affyria, and enlarging his territories thare, he built Nineveh, Rehoboth, Calah, and Mefen, (which was afterwards called Larifa), fruate upon the 'Tigris. Bat to return to the remainder of Ham's pofterity.

Mizraim, his fecond fon, became king, of Egypt, which, after his death, was divided into three kingdoms by three of his fons; Ananim, who was king of Tanis, or Lower Egypt, called afterwards Delta; Naphtulim, who was king of Naph, or Memphis, in Upper Egypt; and Pathrufim, who fet up the kingdom of Pathros, or Thebes, in Thebais. Ludim and Lehabim peopled Eybia: Caflubim fixed himfelf at Cafiotis, in the entrance of Egypt from Palefline ; and having two forss, Philiftim and Caphterim, the latter he left to fucceed him at Cafrotis, and the former planted the country of the Philiftines between the borders of Canaan and the Mediterranean fea. The fons of Ca naan were Sidon, the father of the Sidonians, who lived in Phœenicia; Heth, the father of the Hittites, who lived near Hebron; Emor, the father of the Amorites, who lived in the mountains of Judea; and Arvad, the father of the Arvadites, not far from Sidon. But whether the other fons of Canaan fettled in this comntry, cannot be determined with any certainty and exactnefs; only we muft take care to place them fomewhere between Sidon and Gerar, and Admah and Zeboim; for thefe were the boundaries of their land.

Upon the whole then we may obferve, that the pofterity of Japhet came into the poffelion, not only of all Europe? but of a confiderable portion of Afia: (c) For two of his fons, Tiras and Javan, together with cheir defcendents, had all thofe countries which, from the Mediteranean fea, reach as far as Scandinavia northward; and his other - fons, from the Mediterranean, extended themfelves eaftward over almoft all Afia Minor, and part of Armenia;
(c) Heidegger's Hif, patriar. vol: 1. exercit. 22. fect. 1.

Chap. III. from the Flood to the Call of Abraham.
over Media, Iberia, Albania, and thofe vaft regions to- A.M. wards the north, where formerly the Scyttians, but now ${ }^{17599}$, $C$ c. the 'Tartars, dwell. That the pofterity of Ham held in 2245 , 8 cc . their poffefion all Africa, and no fmall part of Afia ; (d.) from Gein. Mizraim, both the Upper, Lower, and Middle Egypt, end to and Marmarica, and Ethiopia, both eaft and weft ; lhut, the from ch. ani remainder of Africa, Lybia, Interior and Exterior, Nu-ver. to. to midia, Mauritania, Getulia, $\delta c$.; Cufh, all Arabia that lies the tend. between the Ked-fea and the Gulf, beyond the Gulf, Carmania, and no fmall part of Perfia, and towards the north of Arabia, (till expelied by Nimrod), Babylonia, and part of Chaldea; and Canaan, Paleftine, Phonicia, part of Cappadocia, and that large tract of ground along the Euxine fea, even as far as Colchis; and that the pofterity of Shem had in their poffeffion part both of the Greater, and Leffer Afia; (e) in the Leffer, Lydia, Myfia, and Caria; and in the Greater, Affyria, Syria, Mefopotamia, Armenia, Sufiana, Arabia Felix, $\dot{c} c$. and perlaps eaftward, all the countries as far as China.
There are the plantations $(f)$ of the families of the fons of Noab in their generations, and after this manner were the nations divided in the earth after the flood. And now to defcend to a more particular account of the pofterity of his fon Shem, from whom the Hebrews (who are the proper fubjects of our hiftory) were defcended.

Two years after the flood, when Shem was 100 years A.M. $165^{5}$. old, he had a fon named Arphaxad; after which time he lived 500 years; fo that the whole of his life was 600.
Arphaxad, when 35, had a fon named Salah; after A.m.r.693. which he lived 403 years; in all $43^{8}$.
Salah, when 30, had a fon named Eber, (from whom $A$. M. . $_{723}$. his defcendents were called Hebrews), after which he lived 403 years ; in all 433.

Eber, when 34, had a fon named Peleg, in whofe time A. M.175. (as we faid) the earth came to be divided; after which he lived 430 years; in all 464.
Peleg, when 30 , had a fon named Reu, after which he A.M. 1787 . lived 209 years; in all 239.

Reui, when 32 , had a fon named Serug; after which he A. M. 88 rg . lived 207 years; in all 239 .
Serug, when 30 , had a fon named Nahor; after which A. M. 8849 . he lived 200 years; in all 230.

Nahor, when 29, had a fon named Terach; after which A. AT. 18 8s, he lived 119 years; in all 148 . But of all thefe perfons,
(d) Ibid. fect. 2. (e) Ibid. fect. 3. (f) Gen. x. 32.
A. M. it muft be remarked, that they had feveral other children X997, \&c. ${ }^{\text {Ant.Chrit, of both fexes, though not recorded in this hiftory. }}$
2007, \&c.
'Terah, when $7^{\circ}$, (for he was not bleffed with children from Gen. $x$. to the end ; and from ch. xi ver. to. to the end.
A. M. $194{ }^{8}$. fooner), had three fons, one after another, Abram, Nahor, and Haran ; whereof Haran, the eldeft, died before his father, in his native country of Ur, leaving behind him one fon, whofe name was Lot, and two daughters, whereof the elder, viz. Milcah, was married to her uncle Nahor, and the younger ( $\dagger$ whofe name was Sarai) was married to her uncle Abram ; but at this time fhe was barren, and had no children.
A.M.r997. The corruption of mankind was now become general ; and idolatry and polytheifm began to fpread like a contagion, * the people of Ur, in particular, ( $g$ ) (as is fuppofed by the fignification of the name) worfhipped the element of fire, which was always thought a proper fymbol of the fun, that univerfal God of the eaft. Terah, the father of Abram, ( $b$ ) was certainly a companion (fome fay a prieft) of thofe who adored fuch ftrange gods; nor was Abram himfelf (as it is generally imagined) uninfected. But God being minded to felect this family out of the reft of mankind, and in them to eftablifh his church, ordered Terah to leave the place of his habitation, which was then cor-
$\dagger$ It is very probable, that Sarai was called Ifcab before fhe left Ur; becaufe, in the 29th verfe, we read that Haran had a daughter of that name, and yet we cannot fuppofe, but that, had fhe been a diftinct perfon, Mofes would have given us an account of her defcent, becaufe it fo much concerned his nation to know from whom they came both by the father and mother's fide; Patrick's Commentary.

* The city of Ur was in Chaldee, as the Scripture affuresus in more places than one; but fill its true fituation is not fo well known. For fome think it to be the fame as Camarina in Babylonia; others confound it with Orcha, or Orche, in Chaldea; while others again take it to be Ura, or Sura, upon the banks of the river Euphrates. Bochart and Gro:ius maintain, that it is Ura, in the eaftern part of Mefopotamia, which was fometimcs (as it appears from Acts vii. 2, 4.) included under the name Chaldea; and this fituation feems the more probable, not only becaufe it agrees with the words of St. Stephen in the above cited place, but with the writings of Ammianus Marcellinus likewife, who himfelf travelled this country, and mentions a city of this name in the place where Bochart fuppofes it, about two days journey from Nifibis; Well's Geografly, vill. 1.
-(g) Vid. Calmet's Dictionary on the word U'r. (b) Jof. xxiv. 2, 14.

Chap. III. from the Flood to the Call of Abraham. rupted in this manner ; which accordingly he did, and ta- A. M. king with him his fon Abram and his wife, together with ${ }^{1997 \text {, } 8 \mathrm{c} \text {. }}$ his grandfon Lot, left Ur, with an intent to go into $\mathrm{Ca}-2007$, \&c. naan ; but in his journey fell fick at * Haran, a city of from Gep. Mefopotamia, where being forced to make his abode for ${ }^{x}$. to the fome time, $\|$ in the $145^{\text {th }}$ year of his age he there died. : $\quad \begin{gathered}\text { enc } \text { f and } \\ \text { from ch. } \mathrm{xi} .\end{gathered}$

## The Objection.

" $\mathrm{B}^{\text {UT how well foever we may think it comports }}$ with the character of a good hiftorian, to enter" tain us with a dry catalogue of names, and of names " which never once more appear upon the ftage of action; " to tell us, that fuch an one, at fuch a time, begat fuch " an one, and then died, aged fo and fo, without enter-

* Haran, which is likewife called Charan, according to the Hebrew, and Cbarran, according to the Greek pronunciation, was a city fituated in the welt, or north-welt part of Mefopotamia, on a river of the fame name, which very probably runs into the river Chaboras, as that does into the Euphrates. It is taken notice of by Latin writers, on account of the great overthrow which the Parthians gave the Roman army, under the command of Craffus, and, as fome think, had its name given by Terah, in memory of Haran his decafed fon. But others think it is much better derived from the word Hharar, which denotes its foil to be bot and aduff, as it appears to be from a paffage out of Plutarch, in the life of Craffas, and feveral other ancient teftimonies. Vid. Calmet's Dictionary; Well's Geography ; and Le Clerc's Commentary in locum.
$\|$ St. Stephen (in Acts vii. 4.) tells us, that after the death of his father, Abraham removed from Haran, or, as he calls it, Charran, to the land of Canaan. In Gen. xii. 4. we are told, that Abraham was feventy-five years old, weben be departed out of Cbarran. In Gen.xi.26. it is faid, that Terah was feventy years old when be begat Abrabam; and yet, in ver. 32. of the fame chapter, it is affirmed, that he died, being two 'unudred and five years old. But at this rate Terah muft have lived fixty years after Abram's going from Haran: For 75 (the number of $\mathbb{A}$ bram's years when he left Haran) being added to 70 , the number of Terah's years, when he begat Abram, male 14; years only; whereas the account in Genefis is, that he lived 205. This therefore munt certainly proceed from a fault crept into the text of Mofes; becaufe of the two hundred and five years which are given to Te rah, when he died at Haron, he only lived an hundred and fortyfive, according to the Samaritan verfion, and the Samaritan chonicle, which, without doubt, do arree wirh the Hebrew copy,

A. M. " ing any further into his fory, or acquainting us with one.

3997, \&:c. Ant. Chrift. 2007, \&c. from Gen. $x$. to the end; and from ch, $x i$. ver. 10. to 's the end.
"s plantation of mankind over the face of the earth, can be
" either rational or confiftent. In little more than the " fpace of an hundred years, to fuppofe mankind fo fay increafed, as to be able to fend out colonies, from the centre of their difperfion, to all parts of the then known world, is fomewhat unaccountable: But then to make infants, mere infants, or perfons, who perhaps, at that time, were unborn, the chiefs and leaders of thefe colonies; to give them countries which they never faw, and
" thefe countries names which they never could deferve, is
"a thing vaftly abfurd, and what argues, at leaft, a ftrange
" forgetfulnefs in our author.
" Peleg, for inftance, could not have been long born,
" and Jocktan, hls younger brother, (much more Jock-
"tan's fons), can fcarce be fuppofed to have been born
"s when the difperfion happened; and yet they are repre-
"fented both as heads and princes of families; one
"conducting his people to the fouthern parts of Mefopo-
" tamia, and the other, with his numerous family, taking
" ponfeflion of $\dagger$ a good part of Arabia Felix. And
" whereas it is faid of the fons of Japhet, that by them
" were the ifles of the Gentiles divided into their lands,
" it is manifeft, from the account of Mofes himfelf, that
" the places which he affigns for their habitation, were all
" upon the continent; nor were the iflands of Europe
" peopled, till many generations after this period were paft
" and gone.
" The delign of Mofes, no doubt, is to evince, that " all the prefent inhabitants of the world defcended origi" mally from the three fons of Noah ; but befides the great
$\dagger$ It is not unlikely, that cither Peleg, or fome of his pofterity, grename to a town upon Euphrates, called Phalga, not far from the place where the river Chaboras runs into it; Patrick's Comin.
$\dagger$ The Arabians, it is certain, do avowedly derive their original from Jocktan; and herein they may as well be credited, as the Europeans, who pretend to be fprung from Japetus, or Japhet; or the Africans, who will have Ham, or Jupiter Hammon, for their founder. There is moreover, in the territories of Mecha, a ciry which even to this day is called Baifath fecktan, i. e. the joet and babitation of Fecktan, very remarable for the clegancy of its buildings, the pleafure of its fituation, and plenty of its fountains; Patrick and Le Clerc's Comment.
"" difficulty of fettling the feveral nations in any tolerable A. M.
" manner, according to the chartel which he has given us,
" there muft of neceffity have been people in the world,
" either efcaped from
" this æra of their difperfion.
" Between the flood and this difperfion, the face is lit- from and ch $x_{i=}$
" le more than a hundred years : Ninus is placed by many ver. io. to
"chronologers in this firft century: But fuppofe him con- $\underbrace{\text { the end.- }}$
" fiderably later, he is far from being the firft founder of
" the Affyrian monarchy. Belus preceded him, and feve-
"" ral kings there were before Belus: But now, how can
" this agree with the propagation of mankind, from the
" fons of Noah ? Some petty ftates might perhaps be erect-
" ed ; but it is impoffible to conceive, that the foundation
" of fo great an empire fhould be laid, in fo fmall a com-
" pafs of time, by the pofterity of three perfons.
" The records, and aftronomical obfervations of fome
"countries, reaching much lower than the Mofaic date of
" the flood; the hiftory of China, and the ftate and gran-
"deur of other eaftern nations, in times as ancient as any
" mentioned in profane hiffory, together with the maturi-
" ty of civil dilicipline and government, of learning and
" inventions of all kinds, before ever Greece or Italy, or
" any other weftern people, grew to be at all confiderable,
"" are a fufficient argument that thefe people were no de-
" fcendents of Noah; or that if they were, that there
" muft be a grofs miftake in point of computation. For
" (to take one argument more from Mofes himfelf) from
"the flood to the time of Abraham, (according to the
" Hebrew account), were much about 305 years; and yet,
" in that patriarch's days, the world was fo well replenifh-
" ed, and dominions fo well eftablifhed, that we read of
" feveral kings encountering one another; by which it is
" evident, that the earth had been peopled fome time be-
"fore, or otherwife there could not have been fuch po-
" tent princes as fome of them are reprefented to be at
" that time.
"The difficulties then, in the Mofaic account of the
" origin of nations, being fo many, and fo infuperable,
" it may not perhaps be deemed fo abfurd a thing, that
" feveral other nations (as well as the Greeks and Egyp-
" tians) have owned no founder, but profeffed themfelves
" Aborigines, or the firft inhabitants of the countries
" where they lived. And without fome fuch fuppofition,
" what can we fay for the natives of America, a large conti-
" nent,
A. M. "s nent, which Mofes makes no mention of; and yet, up-

1997; \& 6,6 Ant. Chrif: 6 2007, \&c. from Gen. 6 $x$. to the end; and os from ch. $x i$. ver. IO. to 66 the end.

Aniwered, why Mofes rets down zenealogies. on its firft difcovery, was found flocked with a competent number of inhabitants, though it apparently has no connection, and confequently could have no communication with any other parts of the globe? Who was their great progenitor? What chief, of all the race of Noah, firft difcovered the paffages that have ever fince been loft, and carried a colony into this new world, which could none of them find their way back again? Thefe queftions we expect to be refolved in, or otherwife we may be permitted to conclude, that the inhabitants of this part of the world had better fate than thofe of the other, in efcaping the rage of the waters, and fo furviving the flood."
It may feem not a little ftrange to fome perhaps, why Mofes, in his account of the times both preceding and fubfequent to the flood, fhould be fo particular in fetting down the genealogies of the patriarchs; but he who confiders that this was the common method of recording hiftory in thofe days, will foon perceive, that he had reafon fufficient for what he did ; namely, to give content and fatisfaction to the age wherein he wrote. We indeed, according to the prefent tafte, think thefe genealogies but heavy reading; nor are we at all concerned who begat whom, in a period that ftands at fo diftant a profpect; but the people, for whom Mofes wrote, had the things either before their eyes, or recent in their memories. They faw a great variety of nations around them, different in their manners and cuftoms, as well as their denominations. The names whereby they were then called, were not to them fo antique and obfolete, as they are to us. They knew their meaning, and were acquainted with their derivation. And therefore it was no fmall pleafure to them, to obferve as they read along, the gradual increafe of mankind; how the ftem of Noah fpread itfelf into branches almoft innumerable, and how, from fuch and fuch a progenitor, fuch and fuch a nation, whofe hiftory and adventures they were no ftrangers to, did arife. Nor can it be lefs than fome fatisfaction to us, even at this mighty diftance, to perceive, that after fo many ages, the change of languages, and the alteration of names, brought in by variety of conquefts, we are ftill able to trace the footfteps of the names recorded by Mofes; by the help of thefe can ${ }^{\text {. }}$ difcover

* Thofe who have undertaken to give us an account of the feveral
 uifcover thole ancient nations which defended from them, and, with a little care and application, the particular regions which they once inhabited; whereof the bet hathen geographers, without the affiftance of the fe facred records, were never in a capacity fo much as to give us a tolerable guefs.

But there is a farther reafon for our hiftorian's writting in this manner. God had promifed to Adam, and in the end. him to all his pofterity, a reftoration in the perfon of the Mefliah. This promife was renewed to (i) Noah, and afterwards confirmed to Abrahain, the great founder of the Jewish nation. Fit therefore it was, in this regard, that he fhould record exact genealogies, and that all other factored historians Should fucceffively do the fame: Nor can we fugficiently admire the divine wifdom, in fettling fuck a me $\rightarrow$ thad, in the beginning of the world, by Moles, and carrying it on by the prophets, as might be of general ufe as
feveral countries aligned to Noah's pofterity, have laid down certain rules, as land-marks, to direct our inquiry into the origina of each particular nation. They tell us, that wherever we find the feripture affigning any portion or tract of land, to any branch of Noah's polterity, we may reft affured, that that particular branch, or at leaf the major part of it, fettle itself. there: that the families, or tribes of any nation, are continueally ranked in that nation; fo that wherever we find the naion, there we may expect to find the family likewife, unless there be apparent evidence of their tranfplantation: That when two or more of there nations are mentioned together, it is highly probable, that they were either both fated together, or lay in a very near neighbourhood to each other: That when two nations or tribes happen to be incorporated into one, the name of one of them is generally fallowed up by the other, and alpays goes along with the greater: that all original plantations ought to be fought for within a reafonable compass of earth, from the centre of their difperfion, from whence they might, in colonies, afterwards extend themfelves into till remoter parts: that the origin of nations, and their cognation and affinity to one another, aneto be judged of by the agreement of languages, the remainders of ancient names, the hiflory of nations, monumental infcriptions, and a conformity of manners and cuftoms; and that, laftly, according to the fe criteria, we hall find that the race of Shim fettled chiefly in Afia; thole of Ham, part in Afia, and part in Africa; and the greater part or thole of Japhet in Europe: So that Shem was fituate in the eat with Japhet on the north, and Ham on the fouth.
(i) Yid. Bp. Sherlock's Use and intent of prophecy. Vole
A. M. 1997, \&c. Ant. Chi $2007,8 \mathrm{cc}$. from Gen. x. to the fr and from xi. yer 10. to $\underbrace{\text { the end. }}$ $\square$ $\square$ -
 .





A. M. long as the world fhould laft. For as the expectation of. $1597,8 c$ Ant. Chrift 2007 , ent. 1 from ces. world, it was evident, beyond difpure, that he was of the $\mathbf{x}$. to the feed of Alraham, of the tribe of $\mathfrak{F} u$ tah, and of the lineage
cna from ch.xi. of David, according to the promifes which had, from ver. se. to time to time, been recorded of him.
the end. It is well worth our obfervation however, that in the That the catalogue which Mofes gives us of the defcendents of Juads of all Noah, he makes mention of no more than fixteen fons of then exift- the three brothers, or principal founders of fo many origiing, are iot nal mations; nor of any more than feven of thefe fixteen, fet down. of whom it is recorded, that they had any children ; and even of thefe feven, there is one (we may obferve) whofe children are not numbered. ( $k$ ) But it is not to be imagined, that in two or three hundred years, upon a moderate calculation, or even but in an hundred years, at the loweit account, Noah fhould have had no more than fixteen grandfons ; and that of thefe too, the majority thould go childlefs to the grave: it is much more likely, or rather felf-evident, that the nine grandfons, of whom werind nothing in Scripture, were neverthelefs father of nations, as well as any of the reft, and not only of original nations, called after their names, but of leffer and fubordinate tribes, called after their fons names: and (what makes the amount to feem much lefs) there is reafon to fuppofe, that how maty foever the grandchildren of Noah where, we have in this tenth chapter of Genefis, the names of thofe only who were patriarchs of great nations, and only of fuch nations as were in the days of Rofes known to the Hebrews. For if we read it attentively, we thall perceive, (l) that the defign of the holy penman, is not to prefent us with an exact enumeration of all Noah's defcendents, (which would have been infinite), no, nor to determine who were the leading men above all the reft; but only to give us a catalogue, or general account, of the names of fome certain perfons, defeended of each of Nòah's children, who became famous in their generations; and fo pafs them by, as having not face enough in his hiftory to parfue them move minutely. For we may obferve, that the confant practice of our author (as it is indeed of all other good authors,) is to cut things thort that do not properly relate to
(k) Piblioth. Bibl. vol. I. Occaf, annot. 17. (l) Shuckford's Connect. J. 3 .
his purpofe; and when he is haftening to his main point, to mention curforily fuch perfons as were remarkable (though not cubject he is to handle) in the of he treats.
form Gen.

- Thus, in the entrance of his hifory, his bufinefs was to attend to the line of Seth; and therefore, when he from; and $x$. comes to mention the oppofite family of Cain, ( $m$ ) he ver 1o. to. only reckons up eight of them, and thefe the rather be- tie end. caufe they were the real inventors of fome particular arts, which the Egyptians vainly laid claim to 'And; in like manner, when he comes to the life of Ifac, Jacob's was the next line wherein his hiftory was to run'; and therefore he contents himfelf with giving us a caralogue of fome of Efau's race, but fuch of them"only as there weire in after ages, (n).The Dukes of Etom, accorcling to their babivations in the land of their poffefion, as lie expreffes it. Unlefs therefore, we would defire it in an author, that he would be luxuriant, and run wild, we cannot; with any colour of reafon, blame the divine hittorian for ftopping fhort upon proper occafions; for had hé purfued all the families defcended from Noah; into their feveral plantations, and there given us the hiftory of all their various adyentures, the world, we may alnof fay, would not have contained the books which he muft have written.

What grounds there may be for the fuppofition, I The world cannot tell; but to me there feems no reafon why we fhould be obliged to maintain, that all the parts of the habitable world were peopled at once, immediately after the confufion of languages. The hiftorian, indeed, fpeaking of the perfons he had juit enumerated, gives us to know, that ( 0 ) by thefe were the nations dividled after the flood; but how long after the flood, he does not intimate: So that there is no occafion to underfand the words, as though he meant, that either by thefe only or by thefe immediately, or by thefe all at once, was the earth replenifhed; but only, that among others, (ummentioned, beeaufe not fo well known to the Jews), there were fo many perfons of figure defcended from the fons of Noah, who, fome at one time, and fome at another. became heads of nations, and had, by their delcendenis, countries called after their names; fo that ( $p$ ) by them
(m) Gen. iv,
(n) Ch, xxxvi. 43 .
(a) Cin. X. 32 , ( $p$ ) Shuckford's Comettion, vol. i.t. t.
A. M. the nations were divided, i. e. people were broken inta ${ }^{1997, ~ 8 c c}$. different nations on the earth, not all at once, or immeAnt. Chrif. diately upon the confufion, but at feveral times, as their
2007 , \&c. from Gen. families increafed and feparated after the flood.
$x$. to the end; and romch ver. 10. to the end.

For, confidering that the number of mankind was then comparatively fimall, and the diftance of thefe countries, from the place of their difperfion, immenfely wide; it is more reafonable to think, that thefe feveral plantations were made at different times, and by a gradual progreflion, Mofes indeed informs us, that the earth was portioned out among the children of Noah, after their tongues: fuppofing then, that the number of languages was, according to the number of the heads of nations, fixteen, thefe fixteen companies iffued out of Babel at feparate times, and by feparate routs, and fo took poffeflion of the next adjacent country, whereunto they were to go. Here they had not fettled long, before the daily increafe of the people made the bounds of their habitation too narrow; whereupon the fucceeding generation, under the conduct of fone other leader, leaving the place in poffefion of fuch as cared not to move, penetrated farther into the country, and there fettling again, and again becoming too numerous, fent forth frefh colonies into the places they found unoccupied ; till, by this way of progreffion on each fide, from the centre to every point of the circumference, the whole world came in time to be inhabited, in the manner that we now find it. If then the feweral parts of the globe were by the fons of Noah gradually, and at fundry times, peopled, there wanted not, all at once, fo many; and if feveral of the fons of Noah who had their fhare in peopling the globe, are not taken notice of by Mofes, there might poffibly be many more to plant and replenifh the earth than we are aware of. Let us then fee what their number, upon a moderate computation, might, at this time, be fuppofed to be.
What the To this purpofe we are to remember, that we are not number of to make our computation according to the prefent ftandard the people then in the world might poffibly be. of human life, which *, fince the time of the flood, is

[^49]saftly abbreviated; that the ftrength of conftitution neceffary to the procreation of children, which, by a con- ${ }^{\text {re97, }}$, تic. tinued courfe of temperance, and fimplicity of diet, then 2007, Gi: prevailed, is now, by an induction of all manner of riot from Gen. and excefs, fadly impaired; and that the divine benedic- $x$. to the tion, which in a particular manner, was then poured end; and. upon the children of Noah, could not but prove effcetual ver. so, to to the more than ordinary multiplication of mankind; fo $\underbrace{\text { t. end. }}$ that length of days, affifted by the bleffing of God, and attended with a confirmed ftate of health, could not but make a manifeftly great difference between their cafe and ours.

* Various are the ways which have been attempted by learned men to fhew the probable increafe of man-
flood, lived above 500 after it. The three next generations, Peleg, Reu, and Serug, lived not much above 230 years; and from their time only Terah lived above 200. All the others after him were below that number. Mofes came not to be above 120; and in his days he complains that the age of man was fhortened to about feventy or eighty years; and near this fandard it has continued everfince ; Millar's Church-bifory, p. 35.
* Petavius [de Doct. Temp. 1 9. c. 14.] fuppofes, that the potterity of Noah might beget children at feventeen; that each of Noah's fons might have eight children in eight years after the flood; and that every one of thefe eight might beget eight more: by this means, in one family (as in that of Japhet, 238 years after the flood) he makes a diagram, confifting of almort an innumerable company of men. Temporarius (as the learn ed Ufher, in his Chron. Sacra, ch. 5. tells us) fuppofes that all the pofterity of Noah, when they attained twenty years of age, had every year twins; and hereupon he undertakes to make it appear, that in 102 years after the flood, there would be in all $: 534,400$; but without this fuppofition of twins, there would in that time be 388,605 males, befides females. Others fup: pofe, thateach of the fors of Noah had ten fons, and by that proportion, in a few gemerations, the amouat will arife to many thoufands within a centary. And others again infift on the parellel between their increafe and the multiplication of the children of Ifrael in Egypt, and thereupon compute, that if from 72 men, in the fpace of 215 years, there were procrcated 600,000 , how many will be born to three men in the fpace of 100 years? But what method foever wetake to get a probable conjecture, we fill have caule to believe, that there was a more than ordinary multiplication in the pofterity of Noah after the flood; Stillingffect's Orig. Sacr. l. 3. c. 4 .
A. M. x997, $\mathfrak{E G}^{2} c$. Ant. Chrift 2007, 家c. from Gen. 3 . to the end; and x. to the by a gradual increafe of ten pair for each couple in forty
end ; and
from ch. xi. years time, till the three hundred and fortieth year after the from ch. xi. years time, till the three hundred and fortieth year after the
yer. to. to flood, in which Peleg died, there might rife a fufficient $\underbrace{\text { the end. }}$
kind, in that period of time : But for our prefent purpofe it will be fufficient to fuppofe, ( $q$ ) that the firit three couples, i.e. Noah's three fons, and their wives, in twenty years time after the flood, might have thirty pair, and, number (* as appears by the table under the page) to fpread colonies over the face of the whole earth. And if to thefe the feveral collateral defcendents of Noah's pafterity were taken in, if the children which Noah himfelf might poflibly have in the 350 years he lived after the flood; which Shem and his two brothers might have in the laft 160 ; which Salah and his contemporaries might have in the laft 160 ; and which Heber and his contemporaries might have in the laft 191 years of their lives, (which are not reckoned on the account), together with the many more grandfons of Noah and their progeny, which, in all probability, (as we obferved before), are not fo much as mentioned in it ; it is not to be imagined how much thefe additions will fwell the number of mankind to a prodigious amount above the ordinary calculation.
That king- But allowing the number at this time to be not doms athis near fo large as even the common computation makes it ; time were bupt ima:l. yet we are to remember, that at the firft planting of any country, an handful of men (as it were) took up a large tract of ground. ( $r$ ) At their firt divifion, they were fcattered into fmaller bodies, and feated themfelves at a coniderable diftance from one another, the better to prevent the increafe of the beafts of the field upon them. Thefe fmall companies had each of them one governor
(n) Bifhop Cumberland's Origines gentium; tract. 4.; and Millar's Church-hiltory, ch. I: part. 2.

| * Years of the worid. | Years after the flood. | Pairs of men and women, |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1675 | 20 | 30 |
| 1715 | 60 | 300 |
| 1756 | 100 | 3,000 |
| 1796 | 140 | 30,000 |
| 1835 | 180 | 300,000 |
| 1876 | 220 | 3,000,000 |
| 1915 | 260 | 30,000,000 |
| 1956 | 300 | 300,000,000 |
| 1996 | 340 | 3,000,000,000 |

who, in Edom, feems to be called (s) a duke, and in Ca-
A. M.
 country than one and thirty at one time) : But of what 2007, \& 8 c. power or military force thefe feveral princes were, we may fivi ©en. learn from this one paffage in Abraham's life, viz. that end the ( $u$ ) when Chedorlaomer, in conjunition with three other from ch. xi. kings, had defeated the kings of Sodom and Gomorrah, ver. 10. to with three kings more that came to their affiltance, plunt the end, dered their country, and taken away Lot and his family, who at this time fojourned in thefe parts ; Abraham, with no more than 318 of his own domeftics, purfues the conquerors, engages them, beats them, and, together with his nephew Lot, and all his fubitance, recovers; the fpoil of the country which thefe confederate kings were carrying away. A plain proof this, one would think, that this multitude of kings which were now in the world were ctitular, rather than real; and that they had none of them any great number of fubjects under their command. For though Canaan was certainly a very fruitful land, and may therefore be prefumed to be better flored with inhabitants than any of irs neighbouring provinces; yet we find, that when Abraham and Lot firft came into it, though $(x)$ they bad focks. and herds, and tents, that the land was not able to bear them, that they might dwell together; yet, as foon as they were feparated, they found no dififculty to fetle in any part thereof with the reft of its inhabitants.
How great foever the growth of the Affyrian monarchy ${ }^{\text {The }}$ kingbecame at laft, yet we have too little certainty of the tine dom of Afwhen it began, ever to queftion, upon that account, the fyria in partruth of the propagation of the world by the fons of ticulare Noah. Ninus (whom profane hitory generally accounts the firft founder of it) is placed ( $y$ ) by one of our greateit chronologers, in the 2737 th year of the world, according to the Hebrew computation; fo that, living in the time of the Judges, he is fuppofed to have been contemporary with Deborah; but ( $z$ ) others think this a date much too early. Nimrod, we muft allow, founded a. kingdom at Babylon, and perhaps extended it into Affyria; but this kingdom was but of fmall exient, if com-
(s) Gen.. xxxvi, to the end. ( $t$ ) Jof. xii. 9. to the cnd. (u) Gen. xiv. (x) Gen. xiii. 5, 6. (y) Ufher's Anunt. Vet. Teft. A. M. $2737^{(z)}$ Stillingfleet's Orig. Sacr. I. 3. c. 4.; and SirIfaac Newton's Chron.
A. M. pared with the empires which arofe afterwards; and yet ${ }_{5}$

1997, ஞr. Ant. Chrift, 2007, ic. x. ta the end: and from ch. xi. ver, so. to the end.
had it been ever fo much greater, it could not have been of any long continuance, becaufe the cuftom in thofe early days was for the father to divide his territories among his fons. After the days of Nimrod, we hear no more in the facred records of the Affyrian empire, till about the year 3234, when we find Pul invading the territories of Ifrael, and making Menahem tributary to him. It is granted indeed, that the four kings, who, in the days of Abraham, invaded the fouthern coaft of Canaan, came from the countries where Nimrod had reigned, and perhaps were fome of his pofterity who had thared his conquefts ; but of what fmall fignificance fuch kings as thefe were, we are juft now come from relating. Sefac and Memnon, two kings of Egypt. were great conquerors, and reignsd over Chaldea, Affyria and Perfia; and yet; in all their hiftories, there is not one word of any oppo fition they received from the Affyrian monarchy then franding: And though Nineveh, in the time of Joaflu, king of Ifrael, was become a large city; yet it had not yet acquired that ftrength as not to be afraid (according to the preaching of Jonah) of being invaded by its neighbours, and deftroyed within forty days. Not long before this, it had freed itfelf indeed from the dominion of Egypt, and had got a king of its own, but (what is very remarkable) (a) its king was not as yet called the king of A(fyria, but only (b) the king of Nineveb; nor was his proclamation for a faft publifhed in feveral nations, no nor in all Affyria, but only in Nineveh, and perhaps the villages adjacent: Whereas, when once they had eftablifhed their dominion at home, fecured all Affyria properly fo called, and began now to nake war upon their neighbouring nations, their kings were no longer called the kings of Nineveh, but began to affume the title of the kings of Alfyria. Thefe, and feveral more inftances, which the author I have juft now cited has produced, are fufficient arguments to prove that the Affyrians were not the great people fome have imagined in the early times of the world; and that if they made any figure in Nimrod's days, it was all extinguifhed in the reigns of his fucceffors, and never revived, until God, for the punifhment of the wickednefs of

> (a) Sir Ifaac Newton's Chronology, ch. iii. (b) Jonah iii.
his own people, was pleafed to raife them from obfcurity, A. M. and, as the Scripture expreffes it, (c) fitred up the Ant, Chrif. fpirit of Pul, and the fpirit of Tiglath-Pilnefer, king of 2007 , ©ri. AIJyria.
And in like manner we may obferve, that whatever noife ${ }^{\lambda}$, to the has been made in the world with the aftronomical obfer- from ch. xi. vations of the Chaldeans, which Ariftote is faid to have vet. 10. to fent into Greece, and according to which Alexander is $\underbrace{\text { the end, }}$, thought to have taken at Babylon, the whole is a mere fic- That the tion and romance. There is nothing extant (as ( $d$ ) a very antiquity of good judge of ancient and modern learning tells us) in the mical oberChaldaic aftrology of older date than the æra of Nabonaffar, which begins but 747 years before Chrif. By this æra mong he the Chaldeans computed their aftronomical obfervations, the Chaldes is firft of which falls about the 27 th year of Nabonaflar; and all that we have of them are only feven eclipfes of the moon, and even thefe but very coarfily fet down, and the oldeft not above 700 years before Chrift. And to make fhort of the matter, the fame author informs us farther, that the Greeks were the firft practical aftronomers who endeavoured in earneft to make themfelves mafters of the fciences; that Thales was the firft who could predict an eclipfe in Greece, not 600 years, and that Hipparchus made the firft catalogue of the fixed ftars, not above 650 years before Chrift.
What the hiftory of the Egyptians and Chinefe, and And that their boafted antiquity, is, we have had occafion to take the hitories notice (e) more than once; and need only here to add, gofprians E that, bating that ftrange affectation wherein they bothand chiagree, of being thought fo many thoufand years older nefe accord than they have any authentic teftimonies to produce; there is a manifeft analogy between the Scripture-hifory, and what Berofus has told us of the one, and Martinius of the other: For (to refer the reader to what we have obferved from Berofus concerning the Egyptians) $(f)$ the genealogy which the Chinefe give us of the family of their firft man, Puoncuus, feems to carry a near refemblance to Mofes's patriarchal genealogies; Thienhoang their fecond king's civilizing the world, anfwers very well to Seth's fetting the principles, and reforming the lives of
(c) 1 Chron. v. 26.
(d) Wotten's Reflections, ch. xxiii.
(e) Vid. Apparatus, p. 78, 79. ; and the Hittory, 1. 1. c. 50 (f) Biblioth. Bib. in the introduEtion, P. 77.

## 1997, 84.

 Ant.Chrit. 2007, \&c. fromi Gen, $x$. to the end; and fromen. xi ver. to. to the end.men; and Fohi's fourth fucceffor, whom they accute bt deftroying their antient religion, and introducing idolatry, is plainly copied from the hiffory of Nimrod, who was probably the firtt eftablinher of idol-worfhip. So that from thefe, and fome other particulars in their hiftory, wè ming be allowed to conclude, that the ancient Chinefe (as al! other nations did) agreed, in the main, with Mofes in their antiquities; and that the true reafon of their chronological difference is, that the reigns of the Chinefe kings (in the very fame manner as the Egyptian dynafties) were nor fucceffive, ( $g$ ) but of feveral contemporary princes, who, at one and the fame time, had different and diftinct dominions.

The witd prerences of felf origination confuted.

The want of certain records of ancient times, and confequently the grofs ignorance which fome nations laboured under as to their original, has thrown feveral into a wild notion and conceit, that they were felf originated, came never from any other pláce, and had never any primordial founder or progenitor. But now, whatever hypothefis they are minded to take; whether they fuppofe a beginning or no beginning of human generation; whether they fuppofe men to have fprung out of the fea, or out of the land; to have been produced from eggs caft into the matrix of the earth, or out of certain little puffulte or fungofities on its furface; to have been begotten by the anima mundi in the fun, or by an anima terre pervading the body of this terraqueous globe; to have been fent forth into the world filently, and without noife, or to have opened the womb of their common mother with loud claps of thander : Take they which of thefe hypothefes they will, I fay, and when they once come to reafon upon it, they will foons find themfelves hampered and entangled with abfurdities, and impoffibilities almoft innumerable.
All nations to whom the philofophers in fearch after knowledge reforted, had memorials, we find, left among them, of the firft origin of things; but the univerfal rradition of the firft ages was far better preferved among the eaftern than weftern nations, and thefe memorials were kept with greater care by the Phœenicians and Egyptians than by the Greeks and Romans. (b) Among the Greeks however, when they firt undertook to philofophize, the beginning of the world, with the gradual progreflion of its inhabitants, was no matter of difpute; but that being (g) M. de Loubere's Hift. of Siam. (b) Bibliotheca BiWlica, vol, x. occaf. annot. c. iz.
taken for granted, the inquiry was, Out of what material A. M. principles the cofmical fŷtem was formed? and Ariftotle, Ant. Chrif, arrogating to himfelf the opinion of the world's eternity as 2007, $\varepsilon_{0}^{\circ}$. a nofirum, declared that all mankind before him afferted from Gen. the world's creation.

From this wild notion of Ariforle in oppofition to an ${ }^{\text {end } ; ~ a n d ~}$ univerfal tradition, and the confent of all ages, the poets ver io. 10 took occafion to turn the hiftories of the oldeft times into the end. fables; and the hiftorians, in requital and courtefy to them, converted the fables which the poets had invented into hiftories, or rather popular narratives; and moft of the famous nations of the earth, that they might not be thought more modern than any of their neighbours, took occafion too of forging certain antiquities, foolifh genealogies, extravagant calculations, and the fabulous actions and ex. ploits of gods and heroes, that they might thus add to their nobility by an imaginary anticipation of time, beyond the poffible limits that could be made known by any pretence of certainty.

The wifer fort of men however faw into this; and, from the ordinary increafe and propagation of mankind, the invention and growth of arts and fiences, and the advancements carried on in civil difcipline and government, could difcern the folly and fuperftition of all fuch romantic pretenfions; but then, having loft the true ancient tradition, they were drove to the neceffiry of a perpetual vicifitude, cither of general or particular deluges ; by which, when things were come to their crifis and perfection, they were made to begin again, and all preceding memoirs were fup. pofed to be loft in thefe inundations. But this is all a groundlefs conjecture, a mere begging of the queftion, and a kind of prophefying backwards of fuch alterations and revolutions, as it is morally impoffible for them to know any thing of.

Since therefore an eternal fucceffion of generations is loaded with a multitude of infuperable difficulties, and no valid arguments are to be found for making the wonld oldor than our facred books do make it; fince the prefumed grandeur of the Alfyrian, and other monarchies, too foon after the flood to be peopled by Noah's children, is a grofs miftake, and the computations of the Chaldeans and other nations, from their obfervations of the celeftial bodies, groundlefs and extravagant; fince all the pretenfions of the feveral Aborigines are found to be ridiculous, and the more plaugble inveptions of furcefive revolutions entirely ima-
A. M. 1997, \&c. Ant.Chrift. 2007, \&c. from Gen. (whet is find $x$. to the end ; and from ch, $x i$. ver. Io. to the end.

And that we have certain knowledge how fome particular pations were peopled.
ginary; fince neither the felf-originifts, nor the revolutionifts, even upon their own principles, can account for what is molt eaiily accounted for by the writings of Mofes; and (what is a farther confideration) fince $\dagger$ there are many cuftoms and ufages, both civil and religious, which have prevailed in all parts of the world, and can owe their original to nothing elfe but a general inftitution; which inftitution could never have been, had not all mankind been of the fame blood originally, and inftructed in the fame common notices, before they were divided in the earth: Since the matter ftands thus, $I$ fay, we have all the reafon in the world to believe, that this whole narration of Mofes concerning the origination of mankind, their deftruction by the flood, their renovation by the fons of Noah, their: fpeedy multiplication to a great number, their difperfion upon the confufion of languages, and their fettling' themfelves in different parts of the world, according to their allotments, is true in fact; becaufe it is rational, and confiftent with every event ; confonant to the notions we have of God's attributes; and not repugnant to any fyftem of either ancient or modern geography that we know of.

Time indeed; and the uncertain fate of languages; the different pronunciation of the fame word; according to the dialect of different nations; the alterations of names in feveral places, and fubftitution of others of the like importance in the vernacular tongue ; the difguifing of ancient ftories in fables, and frequently miftaking the idiom of os riental languages; the inundation of barbarifm in many countries, and the conquefts and revolutions generally in:
$\dagger$ Such are, I. The numbering by decads. 2. The computing time by a cycle of feven days. 3. The facrednefs of the feventh number, and obfervation of a feventh day as holy: 4. The ufe of facrifices, propitiatory, and euchariftical. 5. The confecration of temples and altars. The inftitution of fanctuaries, and their privileges. 7. Separation of tenths and firf fruits to the fervice of the altar. 8. The cultom of worfhipping the Deity difcalceated or bare-footed. 9. Ablinence of 1umands from their wives before facrifice. $\mathbf{1 0}$. The order of priefthood, and the maintenance of it. 11. Moft of the expiations and pollutions mentioned by Mofes, in ufe among all famous nations. 12: An univerfal tradition of two protoplafts, deluges, and renewing mankind afterwards; Bioliotheca Biblicit, vol. I. p. 296.
troductive of new names, which have happened almoft in
A. M. all; thefe, and feveral other caufes, create fome perplexity ${ }^{1997}$, \& $\mathrm{Cr}_{i}$ in determining the places recorded by Mofes, and afcertain- 2007, 8c. ing the founder of each particular nation: But ftill, not- from Gen. withftanding thefe difadvantages, we may, in fome mea- ${ }^{x}$ end ; the fure trace the footiteps of the fons of Noah, iffuing out from ch. xi. from Babel into the different quarters of the world, and in ver. 1o. to feveral countries, perceive the original names of their foun- $\underbrace{\text { the end. }}$ ders preferved in that of their own.

For though the analogy of names be not, at all times, a certain way of coming to the knowledge of things; yet, in this cafe, I think it can hardly be denied, but that the Affyrians defcended from Affur; the Canaanites, from Canaan: the Sidonians, from Sidon; the Lydians, from Lud; the Medes, from Madai : the Thracians, from Tiras; the Elamites from Elam ; the Ionians, from Javan ; with feveral others produced by ( $k$ ) Grotius, ( $l$ ) Montanus, ( $m$ ) Junius, ( $n$ ) Pererius, and more efpecially (o) Bochart, that moft fplendid far of France, (as ( $p$ ) one calls him upon this occafion), whe, with wonderful learning and induftry, has cleared all this part of facred hiftory, and given a full and fatisfactory account of the feveral places where the pofterity of Noah feated themfelves after the deluge.

How the large continent of America came to be peo-By what pled (fince no mention is made of it in the writings of ways and Mofes, and fo vaft a fea feparates it from any other part of tions, Amethe known world) is a queftion that has exercifed the wit rica might of every age, fince its firft difcovery. It is worthy our ob- be peopied. fervation, however, that though all the great quarters of the world are, for the moft part, feparated from each other; by fome vaft extenfive ocean; (g) yet there is always fome place or other where fome ifthmus, or finall neck of land, is found to conjoin then, or fome narrow fea is made to diftinguilh and divide them. Afia and Africa, fer inftancé, are joined together by an ifthmus, which lies between the Mediterranean fea and Arabian gulf. Upon the coafts of Spain and Mauritania, Europe and Africa are divided by no largér a fea than the Fretum Herculis, or ftraits of Gibraltar ; and above the Palus Mrootis, Europe has nothing to part it from A fia, but the fmall river Tanais. America, as it is divided into North and South, is joined

> (k) Vid. Annot. 1. I. De Verit. (l) Paleg. (m) In

Gen. x (n) Ibid. (o) Phaleg. ( $p$ ) Heidegger. (q) Heidegger's Hilt patrizcharum, vol. y. exer. 22.
A.M. together by a neck of land, which, from fea to fea, is not

1897, \&ic. Ant.Chria 2007, \&c. zoov, \&c. from the northern parts of Afia, is only the ftraits of A-
from Gen. nien ; or South-America from the moft fouthern parts of zoor, \&c. from the northern parts of Afia, is only the ftraits of A.
fromm. Gen. nien ; or South-America from the moft fouthern parts of
x. to the $x$. to the end; and from ch. xi. ver. 10. to the end. above 18 leagues over: What feparates North-America Afia, is only the ftraits of Magellan. And therefore, fince providence, in the formation of the earth, has fo ordered the matter, that the principal continents are, at fome place or other, always joined together by fome little ifthmus, and generally feparated by fome narrow fea; and (what is further to be obferved) fince moft of the capital iflands in our part of the hemifphere, fuch as Sumatra in Afia, Madagatear in Africa, and England in Europe, are generally at no great diftance from the continent; we have fome reafon to prefume, that there may poffibly be a certain neck of land (though not as yet difcovered) which may join fome part of Afia, or perhaps fome part of Europe, to the main continent of America. Or, if we may not be allowed the fuppofition, yet ( $r$ ) why might there not formerly have been fuch a bridge (as we may call it) between the fouth eaft part of China, and the moft fouthern continent of this new world, though now broken off (as ( $s$ ) fome fuppofe England to have been from France) by the violent concuffions of the fea; as indeed the vait number of inands which lie between the continent of China and Nova Guinea, (which are the moft contiguous to each other), would induce one to think, that once they were all one continued tract of land, though by the irruption of the fea, they are now crumbled into fo many litte iflands?

The difference however between the inhabitants of South and North America, is fo remarkably great, that there is reafon to imagine, they received colonies at firft from different countries ; and therefore fome are of opinion, that as the children of Shem, being now well verfed in navigation, might, from the coafts of China, take poffeffion of the fouthern parts; fo might the children of Japhet, either from Tartary, pafs over the fraits of Anient, or out of Europe, firf pals into Norway, thence into Iceland, thence into Greenland, and fo into the northern parts of America: And this they think the more probable, becaufe of the great variety of languages which are obferved among the natives of this great continent; a good indication, as one would imagine, of their coming thither at different times, and from different places.
(r) Patrick's Commentary. (s) Vid. The new general Atlas.

We indeed, according to the common forms of fpeech, call thofe places iflands, which are, on every fide, furround ed by the fea; but the Hebrews were wont to give that name to all maritime countries, fuch as either had feveral iflands belonging to them, or fuch as had no inlands at all, provided they were divided from Paleftine or from Egypt by the fea, and could not conveniently be gone to any other ver. 10 . to way. ( $t$ ) Such are the countries of the Leffer Afia, and the countries of Europe, where the defcendents of Japhet The ines of were feated; and that thefe are denoted by the Ifles of the th.Gnatles Gentiles *, might be evinced from feveral parallel paflages in Scripture. At prefent we need only take notice, that as the Lefler Afia was from Babel, the neareft place of Japhet's allotment, it is very probable, that he and his fons continued there for fome time, till the increafe of their progeny made them fend out colonies, which not only peopled the illes of the Mediterranean and Ægean feas, but paffing into Europe, fpread themfelves farther and farther, till at length they came to take poffeffion of the very inland wherein we now live.

To this purpofe the writers on this fubject have made and that of it appear, that from their original country, which was England. Afia Minor, they fent a colony to the Mœotic Lake, on the north of the Euxine fea; and as they were called
( $t$ ) Well's Geography of the Old Teftament, vol. 1.

* Thus the prophet Ifaiah, (ch. xi. 10, 11.) fpeaking of the calling of the Gentiles, and of the reftoration of the Jews, has thefe words: The Lord fhall recover the remnant of bis people from Alfyria, Egypt, Patbros, Cufh, Elan, Shinar, Hamah, and from the illes of the fea: where, by the ifles of the fea (whick is the fame with the ifles of the Gentiles) we muft neceffarily anderftand fuch countries as are diftinct from the countries which are here exprefsly named, viz. Aljyria, Egypt, \&c. and therefore moft likely the countries of Leffer Afia, and Europe. The fame prophet, in ordcr to fhew God's omnipotency, fpeaks in this manner; Bebold the nations are as a drop of the bucket, and are counted as the finall duft of the balance; bebold be takes ${ }^{\text {acp }}$ the ifles as a very little thing, ch. xl. 15. Where; if by illes we mean thofe which we call frictly fe, the comparifon of the difparity is lolt, Becaufe thofe which we call ifes, are indeed very little things; and therefore the proper fignification of the word, in this place, mult be thofe large countries which were beyond the fea in regard to Egypt whence Mofes came, or PaIeftine whither he was how going; Well's Geography, vol. 1. p. 113.
A. M. Cimmerii in Afia, fo they gave the name of Bofphorus Cim1997, \&c. Ant. Chrif, 2007, 8cc. merius to the Straits we there meet with ; that after this, fpreading farther, they fell down the Danabe, and fettled in from Gen. a country, which + from them was called Germany; that from $x$. to the end; and from ch. xi. France, for the inhabitants of France, (as ( $u$ ) Jofephus ver. 10. to tells us) were anciently called Comorites; and that from $\underbrace{\text { the end. }}$ France they came into the fouth part of Briton; and therefore we find that the Welh (the ancient inhabitants of this ifle) call themfelves Kumero, or Cymro, call a woman Kumeraes, and the language they fpeak Kumeraeg; which feveral words carry in them fuch plain marks of the original name from whence they are derived, that if any regard is to be had to Etymologies in cafes of this nature, we cannot forbear concluding, that the true old Britons, or Wellh, are the genuine defcendents of Gomer. And fince it is obferved, that the Germans were likewife the defcendents of Gomer, particularly the Cymbri, to whom the Saxons, and efpecially the Angles, were near neighbours, it will hence likewife follow, that our anceftors, who fucceeded the old Britons *
$\dagger$ The people of this country are called Germans, and they call themfelves Germen, which is but a fmall variation, and eafy contraction for Gomeren, i. e. Gomerians: For the termination en is a plural termination in the German language; and from the fingle number Gomer is formed Gemren, by the fame analogy, that from brotber we form bretbren; Well's Geography, vol. I. p.127.; and Bedford's Scripture-Gbronology, l. 2. c. 4.
(u) Antiq. 1. 1.
* To fhow how the weitern part of our inland came likewife to be peopled, the above-cited author of Scripture-chronology fuppofes, that when Joflua made his conquefts in the land of Ca naan, feveral of the inhabitants of Tyre, being ftruck with the terror of his arms, left their country; and being fkilled in the art of navigation, failed into Africa, and there built a city, called Carthage, or the city of the suanderers, as he interprets the word; that the Syrians and Phcenicians being always confiderable merchants, and now fettling in a place convenient for their purpofe, began to enlarge their trade; and coafing the fea-1hore of Spain, Portugal, and France, happened at length to chop upon the iflands called Cafiterides, now the illands of Scilly, whereof he gives us a defcription from Strabo; that having here fallen inṭo a trade for tin and lead, it was not long before they difcovered
in the eaftern part of this ille, were in a manner defcended from Gomer, the firft fon of Japhet. the fe fee, $(x)$ that the plantations of the world by $_{2007}$, \&c. in thi of Noah, and their offspring, recorded by Mores from Gen. ons tenth chapter of Genefis, and by the infpired author ${ }_{\text {end }}$; and of the firft book of Chronicles, are not unprofitable fables fromch. 11 . or endlefs genealogies, but a moft valuable piece of hiftory, ver. 10. to which diftinguifhes, from all other people, that particular the end. nation of which Chrift was to come; gives light to feveral predictions, and other paffages in the prophets; fhews us the firft rife and origin of all nations, their gradual increafe, and fucceffive migrations, cities building, lands cultivating, kingdoms rifing, governments fetting, and all to the accomplifhment of the divine benediction: $(y)$ Be fruitful, and multiply, and replenifh the earth; and the fear of you, and the dread of you, Joall be upon every other creature.


## DISSERTATION III.

Of the facred Chronology, and profane Hiftory, Letters, Learning, Religion, and Idolatry, \&c. during this period.

BEfore we enter upon the hiftory of the world, as it is The differdelivered in fome Heathen authors, from the time ence that is of the flood, to the calling of Abraham, it may not be found in the improper to fettle the facred chronology; and that the ra- nologyther becaufe the difference is very confiderable, (as appears by the fubfequent table), according as we follow the computation of the Hebrew text, of the Samaritan copies, or of the Greek interpreters. But before we come, to this, we muft obferve, that in the catalogue which we refer to, Mofes takes notice of no other branch of Noah's family, but only that of Shem, and his defcendents in a direct line to Abraham, and the different computations ( $z$ ) relating to them, may be beft perceived by the following table.
difcovered the Lands-end on the weft fide of Cornwall, and finding the country much more commodious than Scilly, removed from thence, and here made their fettlement. . And this conjecture he accounts more feafible, by reafon of the great affinity between the Cornilh language, and the ancient Hebrew or Phønician; 1. 2.c. 4. P. 195.
(x) Millar's Church hiftory, ch. 1. per. 2. (y) Gen. ix. 1. (z) Ufher's Chron. fac. cap. 2.

VoL. I.
3 M
Now,
A. M. 1997, \& 5. Ant.Carit. 250; , evic: from Gen. $x$. to the end; and from ch. il. ver. Io. to the end.
: Now, whoever cafts his eye into this table, may eafily perceive, that except the variations which may poffibly have been occafioned by the negligence bf tranficibers, ( $a$ ) the difference between the Samaritan and Septuagint chronology, is fo very fmall, that one may jufly fufpect, that the former has been tranferibèd from the latter, ôn purpofe to fupply fome defect in its copy; but that the difference between the Greek and Hebrew chronology, is fo very great, that the oneor other of them mult be egregioully wrong; becaufe the Septuagint does not only add a patriarch, named Cainan, never mentioned in the Hebrew, and fo make eleven igenerations from Shem to Abraham, inftead of ten; but in the lives of moft of thefe patriarchs, they infert 100 years before they came to have children, i. e. they make them fathers 100 years later than the Hebrew text does, though (to bring the matter to a compromife) they generally deduct them again in the courfe of their lives.
The argu: On both fides have appeared men of great learning ; but ments for they who affert the caufe of the Septuagint, are not unand againt mindful to urge the teftimory of St Luke, who, (b) bethe LXX.
computation.
(a) Shuckford's Connestion, vol, I. 1. 3 .
(b) Chap. iii. 36. nan,

Chap. III. from the Flood to the Call of Abraham.
nan, which (as he was an infpired writer) he could never have done, had not the Septuagint been right, in correcting the Hebrew Scripture : befides that, the numbers in the Septuagint give time for the propagation of mankind, and feem to agree better with the hiftory of the firft kingdoms of the world.
A. M.
${ }^{3997, ~} 8 \mathrm{c}$.
Ant. Chirif. 2007, \& c ,
trom Gen.
$x$. to the
end; and
from ch. xi.
On the other hand, they who abide by the Hebrew text, cannot think, that the authority of the Septuagint is fo facred, as their addverfaries imagine. Upon examination, they find many things added, many things omitted, and, through the whole, fo many faults almoft every where occuring, "that were a man to recount them all," as (f) St. Jerom exprefles it, " he would be obliged not only "to write one, but many books:" " nor need we feek for "diftant examples of this kind," (d) fays Bochart, "fince "this very genealogy is all full of anachronifms, vaftly dif"ferent both from the Hebrew and the vulgar verfion."

Editions moreover there were of an ancient date, which in imitation of the Alexandrian manufcript, preferved by Origen in his Hexapla, had none of this infertion. Both Philo and Jofephus, though they make ufe of the Septuagint verfion, know nothing of Cainan ; and Eufebius and Africanus, though they toök their accounts of thefe times from it, have no fuch perfon among their poftdiluvians; and therefore (e) it is highly reafonable to believe, that this name crept into the Septuagint through the carelefnefs of fome tranfcriber, who, inattentive to what he was about, inferted an antediluvian name (for fuch a perfon there was before the flood) among the poftiluvians; and haying no numbers for his name, wrote the numbers belonging to Salah twice over.
Since therefore the Hebrew text, in all places where we find Noah's pofterity enumerated, takes not the leaft notice of Cainann, but always delares Salah to be the immediate fon and fucceffor of Arphaxad; (f) we muft either fay, that Mofes did, or that he did not know of the birth of this pretended patriarch : If he did not, how came the LXX interpreters by the knowledge of what Mofes, who lived much nearer the time, was a diligent fearcher into antiquity, and had the affiftance of a divine fpirit in every thing he wrote, was confefledly ignorant of? If he did know it, what poffible reafon can be afligned for his con-
(c) On Jeremiah. xvii. (d) Plaleg. 1. 2. c. 2 (e) Heideg. gers hit. patriar. vol. 2. exer. I. (f) Shuckford's Connection vol. i. 1. 2.
A. M. 1997, \&c. Ant. Chrifh. 200\%, \&c. from Gen. $x$. to the end; and from ch. xi. ver. 10. to the end.
cealing it, efpecially when his infertion or omiffion of it makes fuch a remarkable variation in the account of time from the flood to the Call of Abraham, unlefs he was minded to impofe upon us by a falfe or confufed chronology, which his diftinct obfervation of the feries of the other generations, and his juft affignment of the time which belonged to each, will not fuffer us to think ?
Rather therefore than impeach this fervant of God, (who has this teftimony upon record, that ( $g$ ) be was faithful in all bis boufe), either of ignorance or ill-intent, we may affirm (with Bochart and his followers) that St. Luke never put Cainan into his genealogy, (for as much as $t$ it is not to be found in fome of the beft manufcripts of the New Teftament), but that fome tranfcriber, finding it in the Septuagint, and not in St. Luke, marked it down in the margin of their copies, as on omiffion in the copies of St. Luke, and fo later copiers and editors finding it thus in the margin, took it at laft into the body of the text, as thinking perhaps that this augmentation of years might give a greater fcope to the rife of kingdoms, which otherwife might be thought too fudden : whereas (if we will believe a very competent judge of this matter) " (b) Thofe " who contend for the numbers of the Septuagint muft " either reject (as fome do) the concurrent teftimony of " the Heathen Greeks and the Chriftian fathers, concernes ing the ancient kingdoms of Affyria and Egypt, or muft "remove all thefe monarchies farther from the flood. " Nor muft the teftimony of Varro be overlooked, which " tells us, that there were but 1600 years between the firft "flood and the Olympiads; whereas this number is ex" ceeded feven or eight hundred years by the Septuagint's " account. 'Thefe, and feveral other confiderations, (fays " he) incline me to the Hebrew numbers of the patriarchs " generating, rather than to the Seventy's; becaufe, by " the numbers of the Seventy, there mult be about 900 " years between the flood and the firt year of Ninus, " which certainly is too much diftance between a grand"father and a grandchild beginning to reign."
$\dagger$ The ancient manufcripts of the gofpels and Acts, both in Greek and Latin, which Beza prefented to the univerfity of Cambridge, wants it ; nor is it to befound in fome manufcripts which Archbilhop UTher, in his Chron Sacr. p. 32. makes mention of; Millar's Hiffory of the chutch. ch. 1. period 2.
(b) Bifhop Cumberland's Origin antiquif. p. 177, © ©c.

Thus it feems reafonable to fuppofe, that the interpolation of the name of Cainan in the LXX's verfion might b
A. M. the work of fome ignorant and pragmatical tranfcriber : 2007, sce. and in like manner, the addition and fubtraction of feve-from Gen. ral hundred years in the. lives of the fathers before men- $x$, to the tioned might be effected by fuch another inftrument, (i) from ch. xi. who thinking perhaps that the years of the antediluvian ver. 10. to lives were but lunar ones, and computing, that at this rate $\underbrace{\text { the end. }}$ the fix fathers (whofe lives are thus altered) muft have had their children at $5,6,7,8$ vears old, (which could not but look incredible), might be induced to add the 100 years, in order to make them of a more probable age of manhood at the birth of their refpective children. Or, if he thought the years of their lives to be folar, yet fill he might imagine that infancy and childhood were proportionably longer in men who were to live 7,8 , or 900 years, than they are in us; and that it was too early in their lives for them to be fathers at 60,70 , or 80 years of age; for which reafon he might add the 100 yeays to make their advance to manhood (which is commonly not till one fourth part of our days is near over) proportionable to what was to be the ultimate term of their lives.

This feems to be the only method of reconciling the difference between the LXX verfion and the Hebrew text, in point of chronology; and now to proceed to what we find recorded in profane hiftory during this period.

After the difperfion of nations, the only form of go- The provernment that was in ufe for fome time was paternal, when fane hifory fathers of nations were as kings, and the eldeft of families period. as princes. But as mankind increafed, and their ambition grew higher, the dominion which was founded in nature gave place to that which was acquired and eftablifhed by power.
In early ages, a fuperiority of frength or ftature was the The crecmoft engaging qualification to raife men to be kings and rulers. The Ethiopians, ( $k$ ) as Ariftotle informs us, made choice of the talleft perfons to be their princes, and though Saul was made king of Ifrael by the fpecial appointment of God, yet it appears to have been a circumitance not inconfiderable in the eyes of the people, (l) that be was a choice young man, and goodly; and that there was not among the children of Ifrael a goodier man than be. But
(i) Shuckford's Connection, vol. 1. lib. 5. ex Lud. Capelli, Chron. facr. in apparatu Walton ad Bibl. Polyglot. (k) De Repub. 1. 4. c. 4. (l) I Sam. ir. 2.
A. M. 1997, \&c. Ant. Chrift 2007, \&c. from Gen. x. to the from ch $x i$ ver. 10, to the end.
$\qquad$
when experience came to convince men, that other qualifications, befides fature and frength, were neceffary for the people's happinefs, they then chofe perfons of the greateft wifdom and prudence for their governors. ( $m$ ) Some wife and underftanding man, who knew befthow to till and cultivate the ground, to manage cattle, to prune and plant fruit-trees, bc. took into their families, and promifed to provide for fuch as would become their fervants, and fubmit to their directions. And thus, in continuance of time, heads of families became kings; their houfes, togerher with the near habitations of their domeftics, became cities, their fervants, in their feveral ocçupations and employments, became wealihy and confiderable fubjects; and the infpectors and overfeers of them became minifters of fate, and managers of the public affairs of the ftingdom.

In the firft beginning of political focieties, almof every town (as we may fuppofe) had its own king, ( $n$ ) who, more attentive to preferve his dominions than to extend them, reftrained his ambition within the bounds of his nacive country; till difputes with neighbours, (which were fometimes unavoidable), jealoufy of a more powerful prince, an enterprifing genius, or martial inclipation, occafioned thofe wars which often ended in the abfolute fubjection of the vanquifhed, whofe poffeffions falling into the power of the conqueror, enlarged his dominions, and both encouraged and enabled him to pufh on his conquefts by new enterprizes.
The reign Nimrod was the firf man we meet with in Scripture who of Nimzod made invafions upon the territories of others: For he difpoffeffed Athur, the fon of Shem, who had fettled himfelf in Shinar, and obliged him to remove into Affyria, whilt himfelf feized on Babylon, and having repaired, and not a little enlarged it, made it the capital of his kingdom.
(o) This city was fituate on both fides of the river A defrrip. .
tion of Ba . Euphrates, having ftreets running from north to fouth, pa1.jow. rallel with the river, and others from eaft to weft. †The

> (n) Shuckford's Connection, vol. 2.1.6. (n) Juttin, 1. .. c. I .
(o) Prideaux's Connection.

+ It mult be obferved however, that all this compafs of ground was not really built upon ; for the houfes ftood at a confiderable diftance, with gardens and fields interfperfed ; fo that it was a large city in fcheme, ratherthan in reality; Prideaux's Connecion, part 1. l. 2.
compafs of the wall, which was furrounded with a valt ditch filled with water, was 480 furlongs, i.e. about 60 miles; the height of it 350 feet, and the breadth fo vaftly great Ant.Chrit, the height of it 350 feet, and the breadth fo vattly great, 2007 , \&c.
that pals one another without danger. Over the Euphrates ${ }_{\text {end }}$ to and (which cut the city into two equal parts from north to from ch. xi. fouth) there was a ftately bridge, and at each end of the ver. 10. to bridge $\dagger$ a magnificent palace, the one of 4 , and the other $\underbrace{\text { the end. }}$ of eight miles circumference; and belonging to the larger palace were thefe hanging gardens which had fo celebrated a name among the Greeks. They were made in form of a fquare of 400 feet on every fide, and were carried up aloft into the air in the manner of feveral large terraffes, one above another, till they came up to the height of the walls of the city. They were fuftained by vaft arches built upon arches, one above another, and ftrengthened by a wall on every fide that was 22 feet thick; and as they wanted no plants or flowers fit for a garden of pleafure, fo there are faid to have grown in them trees, which were no lefs than eight cubits thick in the body, and 50 feet in lieight. But this, among other pompous things appertaining to this city, was the work of ages fubfequent to Nimrod, and built by Nebuchadnezzar, to gratify his wife Amytis, who being the daughter of Aftyages king of Media, and much pleafed with the mountainous and woody parts of her own country, was defirous of having fomething like it in Babylon.

From the Affyrians this great and noble city came into the hands of the Perfians, and from them into the hands of the Macedonians. Here it was that Alexander the Great died : But not long after his death, the city began to decline apace, by the building of Seleucia, about 40 miles above it, by Seleucus Nicanor, who is faid to have erected this new city in fpleen to the Babylonians, and to have drawn out of Babylon $500,0 c \circ$ perfons to people it; fo that the ancient city was, in the time of Curtius the hiftorian, leffened a fourth part; in the ime of Pliny, reduced to defolation; in the days of St. Jerom turned into a park, wherein the kings of Perfia did ufe to hunt; and, accord-
$\dagger$ The old palace (which was probably built by Nimrod) flood on the eaft fide of the river and the new cne (which was built by Nebuchadnezzar) exacty over againit it, on the weft fide ; Prideaux, ibid.
A. M. ing to the relation * of fome late travellers, is now reduced $1999, \% \mathrm{c}$.
Ant.Chrif. to 2007, \&c. whence may be feen all the ruins of this once vaft and from Gen. fplendid city.
x. to the end ; and

It can hardly be imagined, that the firf kings were able, from ch. xi. either to make or execute laws with that ftrictnefs and ver. yol to rigour which is neceffary in a body of men, fo large as to the end. afford numerous offenders: And for this reafon it feems to have been a prudent inftitution in Nimrod, when his city of Babylon began to be too populous to be regulated by his infpection, or governed by his influence, to $\dagger$ lay

* Mr. Reuwolf, who in 1574 paffed through the place where this once famous city food, fpeaks of the ruins of it in the following manner. "The village of Elugo (fays he) is now fi" tuatee where heretofore Babylon of Chaldea ftóod. The har": bour, where people go athore, in order to proceed by land to the "c city of Bagdad, is a quarter of a league diftant fromit. The "f foil is fo dry and barren, that they cannot till it; and fo na" ked, that I could never have believed that this powerful city, " once the moft fately and renowned in all the world, and fituat© ed in the fruitful country of Shinar, could have ftood there, had "I not feen, by the fituation of the place, by many antiquities ". of great beauty which are to be feen round about, and efpeci" ally by the old bridge over the Euphrates, whereof fome piles "، and arches of incredible frength are ftill remaining, that it " certainly did ftand there. - The whole front of the village E"lugo is the hill upon which the caftle ftood, and the ruins of "its fortifications are fill vifible, though demolifhed. Behind, " and fome little way beyond, is the tower of Babylon, which is " half a league diameter, but fo rainous, fo low, and fo full of " venomous creatures, which lodge in the holes they makein the " rubbilh, that no one durft approach nearer to it than within half "a league, except during two months in the winter, when thefe ". animals neveritir out of their holes;" Calmet's Dictionary.
+ The cities which he founded are faid to be Erec, Accad, and Calne. Erec was the fame that occurs in Ptolemy, under the name of Arecca, and which is placed by him at the taft, or moll fouthern turning of the common channel of the Tygris and Euphrates. Accad lay northward of Erec, and very probably at the common joining of the Tygris and Euphrates. And Calne (which is faid to be the fame with Ctefiphon) upon the Tygris, abont 3 miles diftant from Seleucia, and was for fome time the capitalcity of the Parthians: For that it was the fame with Ctefiphon, feems to be confirmed by the country, which lies about

lay the foundations of other cities; by which means he dif- A. M. pofed of great numbers of his people, and, putting them ${ }^{1997}$, Gic. under the direction of fuch deputies as he might appoint, 2007 , $\mathcal{E}_{\text {it. }}$. brought their minds by degrees to a fenfe of government, from Gen. until the beneficial ufe of it came to be experienced, and end ${ }^{x .}$ the and the force and power of laws fettled and confirmed. He is from ch.xi. fuppofed to have begun his reign A. M. 1757, to have ver. no. to reigned about 148 years, and to have died A. M. 1905. the end.

About the beginning of Nimrod's reign, Afhur, * one Of Allur. of the defcendents of Shem, being driven from Babel (as moft fuppofe) by the invafion of Nimrod, led his company on the Tygris, and fo fettling in Affyria, laid the firft foundation of Nineveh, which, in procefs of time, equalled A defripeven Babylon itfelf in bignefs. For, whereas we obferved tion of Niof Babylon, that it was in circuit 480 furlongs, $(p)$ the defcription which Diodorus gives us of Nineveh, is, that it was 150 furlongs, i.e. near 19 miles in length; 90 furlongs, i.e. fomewhat above II miles in breadth; and 480 furlongs, i.e. juft 60 miles in circumference; and for this
about it, being Cbalonitis, which is evidently derived from Cbalne or Chalno, whereby we find it called in different parts of Scripture; Wells's Geograpby, vol. I. c. 5 .

* Many authors have imagined that Nineveh was not built by Afhur, but by Nimrod himfelf, becaufe they think it not llkely that Mofes fhould give an account of the fettlement of one of the fons of Shem, where he is exprefsly difcourfing of Ham's family; and therefore they interpret (as the marginal note directs) Gen. x. II. Out of that land went forth Albur, he, i.e. Nimrod, went forth into Affria, which is the explanation that I have in fome meafure followed. But others imagine, that Mofes is not fo exaclly methodical, but that upon mentioning Nimrod and his people, he might hint at a colony which departed from under his government, though it happened to be led by a perfon of another family: That the land of Afhur and the land of Nimrod are mentioned as two diftinct countries in Micah v. 6.; and that, if Nimrod had built Nineveh, and planted Affyria, Babylon and Affyria would have been but one empire, nor could the one be faid to have conquered the other with any propriety: Whereas we are exprefsly told by Diodorus, that the Affyrians conquered the Babylonians; and may thence infer, that before Ninus united them, Babylonia and Affyria were two diftinct kingdoms, and not the plantation of one and the fome founder; Shuckford's Conneftion, vol. I.
-4.

> (p) Wells's Geography.
A. M. reafon it is (i) called an excecding great city of three days 1997, icc. journey; according to the common eltimation of 20 finiles to 2007 , $\varepsilon$ c. a day's journey. And equal to the greatnefs was the from Gen. ftrength of this city: For its walls were 100 feet high, and $x$, to the end; and from chi.xi. top of them; whereon were raifed 1 goo turrets, and each ver. so. 10 of them 200 feet high, and fo vety frong, that the place the end. was deemed impregnable, ( $r$ ) till Nabopollafar, king of Babylon, having made an A年inty with Aftyages king of Medra, entered into a confederacy with him againft the Affyrians, and hereupon, joining their forces together, they befieged Nineveh; and after having taken the place, and flain the king thereof, to gratify the Medes, they utterly deffroyed that ancient city, and from that time Babylon became the metropolis of the Aflyrian empire.

Such was the rife and fall of this great city, where Aftur governed his fubjects much in the fame manner as Nimrod did his in Babylon : For as they increafed, he difperfed them in the country, and, $\dagger$ having built fome other cities
(q) Jonah, iii. 3. (r) Prideaux's Connection, vol. I.
$t$ The cities which Afthur is faid to have built, were RehoBoth, Refen', and Calah. The word Reboboth in the Hebrew tongue fignifies freets, and the facred hiftorian feems to have added the word city, on purpofe to flew that it was here to be taken as a proper name Now, as there are no footfteps of this Hame in thefe parts, but a town there is, by Ptolemy called Birtha, which in thie Chaldee tongue denotes the fame as does Rhehoboth in the Hebrew, in an appellative or common acceptation ; it is hence probably conjectured, that Rehoboth and Birtha are only two different names of one and the fame city, which was feated on the Tygris, about the mouth of the river Lycus. Refen is fuppofed by moft learned men to be the fame city which Xenophon mentions under the name of Lariffa, and that, not only becaufe the fituation of this Larifla wellenough agrees with the fituation of Refen, as it is defribed by Mofes lying between Nineveh and Calah; but becaufe Mofes obferves, in the fame text, that Refen was a great city; in like manner, as Xenophon tells us, that Lariffa, tho' then ruinated, had been a large city of eight miles circumference, with walls 100 feet high, and is feet broad. And whereas Lariffa is a Greek name, and in the days of Xenophon there were no Greek citiesin Affyria; for this they account, by fuppofing, that when the Greeks might afk, What city thofe were the ruins of; the Affyrians might anfiwer, Larefen, or of Refen, which Xenophon expreffed
cities along the Tygris, he there fettled them under the go- A. M. vernment of deputies or viceroys.

Whilft Nimrod and Afhur were fetting their people in Ant.Chnft. their refpective countries, Mizraim the feco * and who, by Hen fand hi, blf feated himfelf at firft near the entrance of Egypt, and there from ch. xi. perhaps built the city of Zoan, which was anciently the ver. yo. to habitation of the kings of Egypt; but from Zoan he re-the end. moved farther into the country, and took poffeffion of of Menes, thofe parts which were afterwards called Thebais, where he built the city of Thebes, and (as Herodotus will have it) the city of Memphis likewife. He reigned 62 years, and died A. M. 1943.

Belus fucceeded Nimrod, and was the fecond King of of Belus. Babylon; but whether he was related to his predeceffor or not, is a thing uncertain. It feems mot likely, that as Nimrod, thaugh a young man in comparifon of ma. ny then alive, was advanced, for fome merit or other, to the regal dignity; fo when he died, Belus might appear to be the moft proper peffon, and for that reafon was appointed to fucceed him: For he is reprefented as a prince of fudy, the inventor of the Chaldean aftronomy, and one who fpent his time in cultivating his country, and improving his people. He reigned 60 years, and died A. M. 1969 .

Afhur, king of Nineveh, dying much about this time, Ninus became the fecond king of Affyria, and proved a man of an ambitious and enterprizing feirit. Ba-
by Lariffa, a name not unlike feveral cities in Greece. And laftly, as to Calah, or Calach, fince we find in Strabo a country, about the head of the river Lycus called Calachene, it is very probable that the faid country took this name from Calach, which was one of the capital cities of it. Ptolemy makes mention likewife of a country called Calacins, in thefe parts: And whereas Pliny mentions a people called Clafita, thro' whofe country the Licus runs, there is fome reafon to fuppofe, that Claffitw is a corruption of Calackitæ; Wells's Geography, vol. I.

* The perfon whom Mofes calls Mizrain, is, by Diodorus and other Heathen writers, commonly called Menes; by Syncellus, Meftrain. Menes is fuppofed to be the firt king of Egypt by Herodotus, 1. i.; by Diodorus, 1. 1.; by Eratofhenes and Africanus from Manetho; ; by Eufebius and Syncellus in Chro. Eufeb.; and the time of Menes coincides very well with that of Mofes's Mizraim, as Sir John Martham [in his Can. Chron. p. 2.] has pretty clearly evinced; Sb:uckford's Connettion, vol. 1. 1. 4.
A. M. bylonia lay too near him, not to become the object of his $3997,8 \mathrm{cc}$.
Ant.Chrif.
defire ; and therefore, making all military preparations for Ant. Chrit.
2007, ec. fram Gen. great fkill in war, foon vanquifhed them, and laid them un$x$. to the end; and from ch. xi. ver, Io. to the end. der tribute. His fuccefs in this attempt made him begin to think of fubjecting other nations: And as one conqueft paved the way for another, in a few years he over-ran many of the infant ftates of Afia, and fo, by uniting kingdom to kingdom, made a great acceffion to the Affyrian empire. His laft attempt was upon Oxyartes, or, Zoroaftres, king of Bactria, where he met with a brifker oppofition than he had hitherto experienced; but at length, by the contrivance and conduct of Semiramis, the wife of one Memmon, a captaif in his army, he took the capital, and reduced the kingdom : But being hereupon charmed with the fpirit and bravery of the woman, he fell in love with her, and prevailed with her hufband (by giving him his own daughter in lieu of Semiramis in marriage) to confent to his having her for his wife. By her he had a fon named Ninyas; and after a reign of $5^{2}$ years, he died A. M. 2017.

Ninyas was but a minor when his father died; and therefore his mother, who all along had a great fway in the adminiftration of public affairs during her hufband's lifetime, continued in the government with the $\dagger$ confent and approbation of her fubjects. She removed her court from Nineveh to Babylon, which the encompaffed with the wall we mentioned before, and adorned with many public and magnificent buildings; and having thus finifhed
$\dagger$ Juftin, in his hiftory of this waman, informs us, that upon the death of her hurband, the made ufe of the ftratagem of perfonating her fon, to obtain the empire to herfelf: But Diodorus, with more probability, afcribes her advancement to her conduct, bravery, and magnanimous behaviour. When fhe took upon her to be queen, the public affairs were put in the hands, to which Ninus, when alive, ufed generally to commit them; and it is not likely that the people fhould be uneafy at her governing, who had, for feveral years together, by a feries of actions, gained herfelf a great credit and afcendant over them; efpecially if we confider, that when fhe took up the fovereignty, fhe fill preffed forward in a courfe of actions which continually exceeded the expectations of her people, and left no room for any to be willing to difpute her authority; Shuckford's ConicClioin, vol. 1. 1. 4.
the feat of her empire, and fettled all the neighbouring kingdoms under her authority, fhe raifed an army, with an intent to conquer India; but after a long and dangerous $2007,8 c$. war, being tired out with defeats, fhe was obliged, with from Gen. the finall remainder of her forces, to return home; where, ${ }^{\mathrm{x}}$. to the finding herfelf in difgrace with her people, fhe refigned the from ch xi . crown and authority to her fon, after fhe had reigned 42 ver. x. to years; and foon after died, A. M. 2050.

Her fon Ninyas began his reign, full of a fenfe of the Ninyas. errors of his mother's adminiftration, and engaged in none of the wars and dangerous expeditions wherein the had harrafied and fatigued her people: But though he was not ambitious to enlarge his empire, ( $s$ ) yet he took all due care to regulate, and fettle upon a good foundation the extenfive dominions which his parents had left him. By a wife contrivance of annual deputies over his provinces, he prevented many revolts of diftant countries, which might otherwife have happened; and his taking up that fate of being difficult of accefs, (which was afterwards much improved by eaftern nonarchs), might perhaps procure him a greater veneration from his fubjects. However this be, it is certain, that moft authors have reprefented him as a weak and effeminate prince, which might naturally arife (without any other foundation) from his fucceeding a father and mother who were rather too active to enlarge their dominions, as well from the difpofition in moft writers, to think a turbulent and warlike reign, if victorious, a glorious one, and to overlook an adminiftration that is employed in the filent, but more happy arts of peace and good government.

In Egypt, Mizraim, after his death, had three fons, The kings who became the kings of the feveral parts thereof. Ana- of Egypt. nim, or rather Anan, was king of the Lower Egypt, or Delta; Naphtuhim, or Naph, of Middle Egypt, or the country about Memphis; and Pathrufium, or Patrus, of the Upper-Egypt, or the country of Thebais: And, agreeably hereunto, from thefe three kings did thefe feveral countries take their ancient denominations. Of the firft of thefe, viz. Ananim, we have nothing remaining but only his name and the time of his death : For after he had reigned 63 years, according to Syncellus, he died A. M. 2006.
(s) Diodorus Siculus, 1, 2.
A. M. 1997, \&c. Ant. Chrif: 2007, 88c. from Gen. $x$. to the end; and from ch. $x i$. ver. 1o. to the end.

Of the fecond, viz. Naphtuhim, we are told, that he was the author of the architecture of thefe ages; had fome ufeful knowledge of phyfic and anatomy; and taught his fubjects (as he learned it from his brother Pathrufium) the ufe of letters: For to this Pathrufium, (whom they call Fbyoth) the Egyptians indeed afcribe the invention of all arts and fciences whatever. The Greeks called him Hermes, and Latins Mercurius; and while his father Mizraim lived, he is fuppofed to have been his fecretary, and greatly affiftant to him in all his undertakings. When his father died, he inftructed his brothers in all the knowledge he was mafter of; and as for his own people, he made wholefome laws for their government, fettled their religion and form of worthip, and enriched their language by the addition of feveral words, to exprefs feveral things which before they had no names for.

This is the beft account that we can give of the Babylonian or Affyrian empires, and of the kings that ruled Egypt, for fome ages next after the difperfion of mankind. Other nations, no doubt, were fettled into regular governments in thefe times: Canaan was inhabited rather fooner than Egypt ; and, $(t)$ according to Mofes, Hebron, in Canaan, was built feven years before Zoan in Egypt; but as none of thefe nations made any confiderable figure in the firft ages, their actions lie in obfcurity, and mut be buried in oblivion. 'The few men of extraordinary note, that were then in the world, lived in Egypt and Affyria; and, for this reafon, we find litule or no mention of any other countries, until one of thefe two nations came to fend out colonies, which by degrees polithed the people they travelled to, and inftructed them in fuch arts and fciences, as made them appear with credit in their own age, and (as foon as the ufe of letters was made public) tranimitted their names with honour to pofterity.
Theufeand The knowledge of letters cannot have been of any invention of long flanding among us Europeans, who are fettled far from the firft feats of mankind, and far from the places which the defcendents of Noah firft planted, "None of the "s ancient Thracians," (u) fays 乍lian, " knew any thing " of letters: Nay, the Europeans in general, thought it dif"s reputable to learn them, though in Afia they were held "s in greater requeft." The Goths, according the ex-

[^50]prefs teftimony $(x)$ of Socrates, had their letters and writings fromUlphila, their bifhop, anno Dom. 370. The Sclavonians received theirs from Methodius, a philofopher, about Ant. Chrift. an. Dom. 856. The people of Dalmatia had theirs not till St. Jerom's, and thofe of Illyria, not till St. Cyril's days. x. to the

The Latins (who were more early) received their letters from and (as molt authors agree) from the Greeks, and were taught ver. so. to the ufe of them, either from fome of the followers of $\underbrace{\text { the end. }}$ Pelafgus, who came into Italy, about 158 years after that Cadmus came into Greece, or from the Arcadians, whom Evander led into thofe parts, about 60 years after Pelafgus.

Among the Greeks, the Ionians were the firft who had any knowledge of letters; and they, in all probability, had them from the Phœenicians, who were the followers of Cadmus, when he came into Greece; but from whom the Phœenicians had them, has been matter of fome difpute, Many confiderable writers have derived them directly from Egypt, and are generally agreed, that Thyoth, or Mercury, was the inventor of them. In the early ages, when mankind were but few, and thefe few employed in the feveral contrivances for life, it could be but here and there one that had leifure, or perhaps inclination, to ftudy letters. Though companies that removed from Babel, were moft of them rude and uncultivated people; they followed fome perfons of figure and eminence, who had gained an afcendent over them; and thefe perfons, when they had fettled them in diftant places, and came to teach them fuch arts as they were mafters of, had every thing they taught them imputed to their own invention, becaufe the poor ignorant people knew no other perfon that was verfed and fkilled in them.

Though therefore the Egfptians had confeffedly the ufe of letters very early among them; and though their Thyoth, or Mercury, might be the firft who taught others their ufe, and for that reaion be reputed the inventor of them; yet I cannot but think, that Noah and his fons, who had learned them in the old world, taught them to their pofterity in the new. For, fince mankind fubfifted 1600 years before the flood, it is not very probable, that they lived all this while without the ufe of letters. If they did, how came we by the fhort annals which we have of the antediluvian ages?
(x) Hift. Ecclef. 1. 4. c. 33.
A. M. But if they did not, it is not unlikely; that Noah, being well

1997, \&e: Ant. Chrift. 2007, \&c. to h. in the knowledge and ule of them, might teach them from to his children. and if we purfue the inquiry, and alk from $x$. to the end; and from ch. xi. ply will be, that he had it from the inftruction of his paver. ro. to from Adam, and as Adam might have it from God. the end. which was not originally frum Cod. prifing as that of writing is, fhould be found out in an age fo near the beginning of the world. (y) Nature may eafily be fuppofed to have prompted men to fpeak, to try to exprefs their minds to one another by founds and noifes; but that the wit of man fhould, among its firft attempts. find out a way to exprefs words in figures or letters, and to form a method, by which they might expofe to view all that can be faid or thought, and that within the compafs of 16,20 , or 24 characters, varioufly placed, fo as to form fyllables and words ; that the wit of man, I fay, could immediately and directly fall upon a project of this nature, is what exceeds the moft exalred notions we can poffibly form of his capacity ; and muft therefore remit us to God (in whom are hid all the treafures of infinite wifdom) for the firf invention and contrivance of it.

As foon as the ufe of letters, whether of divine or huThe learn- man invention, came generally to be known, it is reafonable ing arts and to think, that all arts and fciences would from thence recommerce. ceive a powerful affiftance, and in procefs of time begin to take root and flourifh; but this was a period a little too early to bring them to any great perfection. (z) For though Noah and his fons had doubtlefs fome knowledge of the inventions of the antediluvians, and probably acquainted their defcendents with fuch of them as were moft obvious and ufeful in common life; yet it cannot be imagined, that any of the more curious arts, or fpeculative fciences, were improved to any degree (fuppofing them to be known and invented) till fome confiderable time after the difperfion. On the contrary, one confequence of that event feems to have been this -- that feveral inventions, known to their anceitors, were loft, and mankind gradually degenerated into ignorance and barbarity, till eafe and plenty had given
(y) Shuckford's Connection, vol. 1.1.4. (z) Univerfal hintory, l. x. c. 2.
them deifure to polifh their manness, and to apply themfelves to fuch parts of knowledge as are feldom brought to perfection under other circumftances.
A. M. 1997, \&c. 2007, \&e.
The inhabitants of Babylon indeed are fuppofed to have from. Gen. had a great knowledge in aftronomical matters, much about ${ }^{x}$. 10 the the this time; (a) for when Alexander the Great took poffef-from ch. xi. fion of that city, Califthenes the philofopher, who accom- ver. ,o. to panied him, upon fearching into the treafures of the Baby- the end. lonian learning, found that the Chaldeans had a feries of obfervations for 1903 years backwards from that time; i. e. from the 1771ft year of the world's creation forwards. But this is a notion that we have already confuted; as indeed the nature of the thing will teach us, that upon the firft fettlement in any country, a mation could not but find employment enough (at leaft for fome ages) in cultivating their lands, and providing themfelves houfes, and other neceffaries, for their mutual comfort and fubfiftence. . st

Ninus and Semiramis are fuppofed to have improved vathly the arts of war and navigation about this period': for * we read of armies, confiling of fome milions or horfe
(a) Simplicius de Colo, 1. 3. com. $4^{66}$.

* The hiftory of the Affyrian empire, as we have it in Diodorus Siculus, 1. 2. c. 1-22. and in Juftin, 1: r. c. 1, 2 . is in the fubfance of it, to this effect. - The firt who extended this empire was Ninus, who being a warlike prince, and defiring to do great things, gathered together the flouteft men in the country, and having trained them up to thei ufe of arms", entered into an alliance with Arixus King of Arabia, by whofe affitance be-fibdued the Babylonians, and impofed a tribute on them, after he had taken their King captive, and killed him; with his childenen. Then having entered Armenia with a great army, and deikroyed feveral cities, he fo terrified the reff, that King Barzanes fubmitted to him. After this, he vanquifhed Pharnus King of Media in battle; crucified him and his wife and feven children; and, in the fpace of feventeen years, overcame all Afia, except India and Bactria; but no author declares the particulars of his victories. Of the maritime provinces, he fubdued, according to, Ctefias, whom we follow, (fays Diodorus) Egypt, Phœnicia, the Lower Syria, Cilicia, Pamphylia, Lycia; and befides thefe, Caria, the Phrygias, Lydia, Myfia, Troas, togechet with the Yropontis, Bithynia, Cappadocia, and all the barbarous nations, as far as the Tanais; with Perfia, Sufana, Cafpiana, and many other nations that we need not here enumerate. From this hat expedition, as foon as he returned, he built a city, which he called by his own name, Ninus, not far from the river Euphra'tes; and being afterwards cnamoured with the beauty and wa-

[^51]A. M. horfe and foot; and of fleets, and gallies, with brazen 1997, \&c. beaks, to tranfport the forces over a river only, to the 2007, \&c. from Gen. $x$. to the end ; and fromeh, xi. ver. ro. to the end.

## $\underbrace{\text { He m. }}$

lour of a woman of uncertain birth, named Scmiramis, he took her to wife, and by her advice and direction governed all things with fuccefs. For having gathered together an army of feventeen hundred thoufand foot, and two hundred and ten thoufand horic, and fix hundred thoufand chariots, (numbers incredible in thofe days !) with thefe he advanced againft Oxyartes, King of Bactria, who met him with an army of four hundred thoufand men: But the Bactrians being defeated, and their capital, by the valour and direction of Semiramis taken, the was thereupon advanced to the honour of being made queen, which occalioned her hufband to hang himfelf. After Ninus had thus fettled his affairs in Bactria, his wife Semiramis had a fon (whom he named Ninyas) and not long after died, leaving the adminiftration of thekingdom in his wife'shands; who, to raife her own glory, built a ftately monument for herdeceafed hußand; built the city of Babylon, and other remarkable places; and then, having brought Egypt, Ethiopia, and Libya, all the way to the temple of Jupiter Hams mon, under her jurifdiction, returned into Afia; where fhe had not been long, before hearing that Stabrobates, or Staubrobates, King of India, governed arich country, the refolved to take it from him. Tothis purpofe the prepared a great army and fleet: but bcing told what mighty elephants there were in India, in order to have fomething like them, fhe caufed threc hundred thoufand hides of oxen to be drefled, and fluffed with ftraw, under which there was a camel to bear the machine, and a man to guide it, whighat a diftance made a kind of refemblance of thefe valt creatures. Her army confilted of three millions of foot, one million of horfe, and an hundred thoufand chariots; of in hundred thoufand of thofe that fought on camels; of two hundred thoufand camels for the baggage; and two thoufand gallies, with brafen heads, to tranfport her army over the river Indus.-But all this inult be falfe and fabulous; becaufe it is incredible to think, cither that her own country fhould fupply, or that the country whereinto the was marching, thould be able to fultain fuch an immenfe number of men, and other creatures, as are here related: befides that, it is falfe in fast, that the kings of Affria ever governed all Afa, or ftretched their conquelts over Egypt and LyDia; Millar's kifiury of the cturch, ch. 1 . part 3.
$\dagger$ This Ctefias was a native of Cnidus, and phyfician to Artaxcraes Mnemnon. He wrote a lerfian hifory in three and twenty bcoks, of which there remain only a few fragments, pre-
author deferving no credit), may very juftly be accounted falfe and fabulous. And though it cannot be denied, that the invention of thipping, which was not before the flood, (for had it been before, more than Noah and his family might have faved themfelves from the waters), is a great ftep towards the improvement of commerce; yet, as the difper-from ; and fion of mankind made it more difficult to trade with na-ver. 10. to tions who fpake a different language, fo the method $\underbrace{\text { the end. }}$ whereinto we may fuppofe they entered at firf, extended no farther than this -That the colonies, who planted new countries, not only perceiving their own wants, from the conveniencies they had left behind them, but finding likewife fomething ufeful in their fettlements, which were before unknown to them or their founders, fetched what they wanted from the parts where they formerly dwelt, and, in exchange for that, carried what they had difcovered in their new plantations thither; and this feems to have given the firft rife to traffic and foreign trade, whofe gradual advances we may have occafion to take notice of hereafter. In the mean time, we fhall conclude this book, and this chapter together, with an account of the religion which at this time obtained in the mof famous nations of the world ; and obferve withal, by what means it came to degenerate into idolatry, and other wicked and fuperftitious practices.

Now, befides the common notion of a God, which The religimen might either learn from tradition, or collect by their ancients. own reflection, the very hiftory of the deluge, which had not fo long ago befallen the world, could not but inftruct and confirm the generations we are now treating of in feveral articles of their religion. If they had the account of this remarkable judgment tranfmitted to them in all its circumftances, they could not but entertain thefe conceptions of God: - That he takes cognizance of the things
ferved by Photius; but very valuable authors, who have feen Ctefias, when perfeet give him no commendable charatter. Plutarch (in Artaxerxes) calls him a fabulous vain man, and a great Har. A. Gellius (Noctes Attice, 1. 9. c. 4.) reckons him among che fabulous writers; and Ariftotle (in his Hiftoria animalium) days, that he was an author who deferves no credit ; as indeed, if we will judge either by the incredible things in his fory, or by what he fays of the Indian and Perfian affairs, in his fragments that remain, we flall have reafon to conclude, that thefe great men have not given him this character without good grounds ; Miller's Hiftory, ibid.
A. M. which are done here on earth; that he is a lover of virtue

1997 cc Anc.clirift 2007 , icc . from Gen. $x$. 10 the end ; and from ch. xi. ver. 10 : :o the end. and a fevere punifher of vice; that he is infinite in power, by commanding the winds and rains, feas and elements, to execute his will; that he is likewife infinite in mercy, in forewarning the wicked of their ruin (as he did the oldworld)' feveral years before its execution ; and that therefore a being of fuch a nature and difpofition was to be ferved, and worfhipped, and feared, and obeyed. So that the Jum of religion, in the ages fubfequent to the flood, even to the promulgation of the law, muft have conffited in the belief of a God, and his facred attributes; in the devout. worflip of him, by the oblation of prayers and praifes, and fuch facrifices as he himfelf had inftituted; and in the obdervance of thofe etemal rules of righteoufnefs, of juftice and mercy, of fobriety and temperance, bc. which, if not exprefsty delivered to the fons of Noah, were neverthelefs deducibite from the mature of things, and the relations wherein mankind frood toward one another.

And now, if we fook into the principal nations which were at this time exifting, we fhall find, that (b) the Perfians, above all other people, were remarkable for having amongft them a true account of the creation of the world, and its deftruction by water; which they ftrietly adhered to, and made the foundation of their religion; nor have we any reafon to think, but that they were for fome time very zealous profeffors of it, though by degrees they came to corrupt it, by introducing novelties, and fancies of their own, into both their faith and practice: We fhall find, (c) that many of the Arabians preferved the true worfhip of God for feveral ages, whereof Job (who perhaps lived in the days now under confideration) was a meanorable inftance; as was likewife Jethro, the prieft of Mr dian, in the days of Mores: We fhall find, that the Canaanites of old were of the fame religion with Abraham : for tho' he travelled up and down many years in their countuy, yet was he refpected by the inhabitants of it, as a perfon in great favour with God; and Melchifedeck, the king. of Salem, who was the prieft of the Moft High God, and confequently of the fame religion, received him with this addrels; (d) Bleffed be Abrabam, fervant of the Moft High God, poffeffor of beaven and earth: We fhall find, from Abimelech's prayer, upon his receiving intimation, that Sarah was Abraham's wife, that among the Philiftines there
(b) Hydc's Relig. vet. Perfarum, c. 3.
(c) Shuekford's Connection, vol. 1.1. 5. (d) Gen. xiv. 19.
were fome true worfhippers of the God of heaven; (e) Lord, Wilt thou lay a righteous nation? Said be not unto me, Jhe is my fifter; and Jie, even Jbe berjelf, faid, he is my brother: in the integrity of $m y$ beart and innocency of my bands from $\sigma_{c}$ c. have I done this: We fhall find that the Egyptians allowed no mortal creature to be a god; profeffed to worthip no- from ch. xi. thing but their god Gneph, $(f)$ whom they affirmed to be ver 10. to without beginning, and without end; and though, in the mythologic times, $(g)$ they reprefented this deity by the figure of a ferpent, with the head of an hawk in the middle of a circle, yet they affirmed at the fame time, that the God whom they thus reprefented was the creator of all things, a being incorruptible and eternal, with feveral other attributes becoming the divine nature: In fhort, we fhall find, that all the nations then known in the world, not only worfhipped the fame God, whom they called the maker and creator of the univerje, but worfhipped him likewife in the fame form and manner ; that they had all the like facrifices, either expiatory, to make atonement for their fins; precatory, to obtain favours from Almighty God ; propitiatory, to avert his judgments; or euchariftical, to return thanks for his extraordinary mercies; and that all thefe facrifices were every-where offered upon altars, with fome previous parifications, and other ceremonies to be obferved by the offerer : So that religion, in every nation, for fome time after the flood, both in principle and practice, was the fame, till fome bufy and pragmatical heads, being minded to make fome improvements, (as they thought), added their own fpeculations to it, and to both deftroyed its uniformity, and introduced its corruption.

When this corruption of religion was firft introduced, And idolais not fo eafy a matter to determine, becaufe neither facred try of there nor profane hiftory have taken any notice of it. Thofetimes, wher. (b) who account idolatry one of the fins of the antediluvian world, fuppofe that Ham, being married into the wicked race of Lamech, retained a ftrong inclination for fuch a falfe worhip; and that after he was curfed by his father Noah, and feparated from the pofterity of Shem, he foon fet it up. Thofe ( $i$ ) who imagine that the tower of Babel was a monument intended for the honour of the fun, which had dried up the waters from off the face of
(e) Gen. xx. 5. (f) Plutarch de Ifide et Offide, p. 359. (g) Eufebius's Prep. Evan. 1. i. c. ıo. (b) Bedford's Scrip-ture-chronology, l. 2. ©. 6 . (i) Vid. Tennifon of idolatry.
A. M. 1997, \&c. Ant.Chrift. 2007, \&c. mens minds: But thofe $(k)$ who are of opinion that from Gen. the difference of mens dialects, and the difference of $x$. to the end; and from ch. xi. commence ther muit ver. io. to latry not a grea dion the end.
the earth, muft fuppofe, that the worfhip of that planet began whilft the rembrance of the deluge was frefh in their fentiments concerning God, might not improperly latry not a great deal lower than the time of the dif- perfion.
(l) The generality of Chrifian fathers, as well as oriental writers, are pofitive in their affertions, that the firft appearance of idolatry was in the days of Serug, " Becaufe, " as Enoch, fay they, was the feventh from Adam, in " whofe time the general impiety, before the flood, is faid " to have began; fo Serug being in like manner the fe" venth from Noah, lived at a proper diftance for fuch " a corruption of religious worfhip to be introduced and " grow." But this is a reafon too trifling to be taken notice of: Nor can I fee (fays our learned Selden) ( $m$ ) how they can be able to maintain their opinion, who determine fo peremptorily concerning a matter of io diftant and uncertain a nature.

But whatever the date of idolatry might be, it is certain that it had its firft birth, not in Egypt, (as fome have maintained), but in Chaldea, as the Moft Reverend author of the Treatife of Idolatry has evinced; ( $n$ ) and that, becaufe in the days of Abraham we find all other nations and countries adhering to the true account of the creation and deluge, and worfhipping the God of heaven, according to what had been revealed to them; whereas the Chaldeans had fo far departed from his worfhip, and were fo zealous in their errors and corruptions, that upon Abrahan's family refufing to join with them, they expelled them their country, and (o) caft them out from the face of their gods.

Celeftial bodics the firt idols in every country.

The Chaldeans indeed, by reafon of the plain and eafy fituation of their country, which gave them a larger profpect of the heavenly bodies than thole who inhabited mountainous places, had a great conveniency for aftronomical oblervations, and accordingly, were the firft people who took any great pains to improve them. And as they

> (k) Cyril. Alex. contra Julian, I. I. (l) Heidegger's Hift. partiar. vol. 2. exer. 1. (in) De Diis Syris, proleg. 3. (n) Shuckford's Connegtion, vol. 1.1:5. (o) Judith v. 8.
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were the firft aftrologers; ( $p$ ) fo learned men have obfer- A. M. ved, that lying on the ground, or elfe on flat roofs, all $\frac{19 n 7}{\text { Ant, }}$ Chrif night, to make their obfervations, they fell in love with 2007, 8 cc . the lights of heaven, which, in the clear firmament of from Gen. thofe countries, appeared fo often, and with fo much eno to and luftre; and perceiving the conftant and regular order of from ch. xi. their motions and revolutions, they thence began to imagine, that they were animated will fome fuperior fouls, the end. and therefore deferved their adoration; and as the fun excelled all the reft, fo the generality of learned men have, with good reafon, imagined, that this bright luminary was the firft idol in the world.

Among the Egyptians, (q) Syphis, king of Memphis, was the firit who began to fpeculate upon fuch fubjects. He examined what influence the fun and moon had upon the terreftrial globe; how they nourifhed and gave life and vigour to all things; and thereupon, forgettong what his anceftors had taught him, viz. that in the beginning God created the beavens, as well as the earth, the fun and moon, as well as the creatures of this lower world, he concluded, that they were two great and mighty deities, and accordingly, commanded them to be worfhipped.

The Perfians perhaps ( $r$ ) were never fo for corrupted, as to lofe entirely the knowledge of the Supreme God. They faw thofe celeftial bodies running their courfes, as they thought, day and night, over all the world, and reriving and invigorating all the parts and products of the carth; and though they kept themfelves fo far right, as not to miftake them for the true God, yet they imagined them to be his moft glorious minifters; and not taking care to keep frrictly to what their forefathers had taught them, they were led away by their own imaginations to appoint an idolatrous worthip for beings that had been created, and by nature were not gods.

What kind of idolatry was current among the Camaxnites, Mofes fufficiently intimates in the caution he gives the Ifraelites, juft going to take pofiefion of $\mathrm{it}, v: z$. that (s) whben they lifted up their eyes to beaven, and faw the fan, and moon, and flars, cyea all the boft of heaven, they thould not, as the inhabitants of the country were,

[^52]A. M. be driven to worflip, and to ferve them : And that this was

1997, \& cc . Ant. Chrif. 2007, \&c. from If $I$ hich $x$. to the end; and from ch. xi, wer. Io. tc the end. the cuftomary worfhip among the Arabians, the juftification which Job makes of himfelf is a fufficient proof; ( $t$ ) If I beheld the fun, when it Jbined, or the neon walking in brightnefs. and mine beart bath been fecretly enticed, or my mouth bath kifed my band; i. e. if with devotion of foul, or profefliop of outward refpect, I have worthipped thofe heavenly bodies, which, by their height, motion, and
their great multiplicity oi inols. luftre, attract the eye, and ravifh the fenfes, this alfo wiere an iniquity to:be punilbed by the judges; for then Ifbould bave denied the God that is above. And therefore the account (u) which the Greek hiftorian gives us of the origin of this kind of idolatry, is more than probable, viz that the moft ancient inhabitants of the earth (meaning thofe who lived not long after the flood, and particularly the Egyptians), contemplating on the world above them, and being aftonilhed with high admiration at the nature of the uniwerfe, believed that there were two eternal gods, the fun and the noon; the former of which they called Qfiris, and the latter Iffs' Since, of later years, upon the difcovery of A. merica, though nany different idols were found in different places, yet as for the fun, it was the univerfal deity, both in Meyico and Peru.
But whatever the firf idol might be, it foon multiplied into fuch a prodigious number, as to fill both heaven and earth with its progeny; infomuch that there are not three parts of the creation, but what, in sone nation or other, had their worthippers. ( $x$ ) They woritipped univerfal nature, the foul of the world; angels, devils, and the fouls of men departed, either feparate and alone, or in anion with fome flar, or other body. They worthipped the heavens; and in them both particular luminaries and connellations; the atmofphere, and in it the meteors and fowls of the air; the earch, and in it beafts, birds, infeets, plants, groves, and hills, together with divers fogils and terreftrial fire. They worfhipped the water; and in it the fea and rivers; and in them fifhes, ferpents, and infects, together with fuch creatures as live in either element. They worfhipped men, both living and dead; and in them the faculties and endowments of
(t) Job wxxi. 26, 27. (u) Diodorus Siculus, 1. I. (x) Tennifon of iuolatry.

Chap. HI. from the Flood to the Call of Abraham.
the foul, as well as the feveral accidents and conditions of A. M. life. Nay, they worfhipped the images of animals, even ${ }^{\text {1997, } \& \mathrm{c} \text {. }}$. the moft hateful, fuch as ferpents, dragons, crocodiles, 2007, \&cc. Gic. and defcended at laft fo low, as to pay a religious re-from Gen. gard to things inanimate, herbs and plants, and the moff end and ftinking vegetables.

How men came to part with the religion of their ancef- ver 10 . to tors for fuch traih, and $(y)$ to change the glory of the incor- $\underbrace{\text { the end. }}$ ruptible God into the image of corruptible. man, and birds How the and four-footed beafts, and creeping things, the Apoftle world came who remomftrates to the indignity, has in fome meafure to fall into fupplied as with a reafon, when he tells; that this ftate of things, how grofs and frange foever it was, was introduced under the pretences of widdom, or by men profefling to be wife.

It was the wife amongft them that formed the defign ; and, addreffing to the multitude, with a grave appearance, prevailed (as we may conceive) by fome fuch form of arguing as this, (z) " We are all aware, ye fons of Noah, " that religion is our chief concern ; and therefore it well " becomes us to improve and advance it as much as pof-
" fible. We have indeed received appointments from God ". for the worfhip which he requires; but if thefe ap" pointments may be altered for his greater glory, there is " no doubt but that it will be a commendable piety fo to " alter them. Now our father Noah has inftructed us int " a retigion, which, in truth, is too fimple, and too " unaffecting: It directs us to the worfhip of God, ab" ftractedly from all fenfe, and under a confufed notion; " under the formality of attributes, as power, goodnefs, " juftice, wifdom, eternity, and the like; an idea fo"reign to our affections, as well as our comprehenfions: " whereas, in all reafon, we ought to worhip; God " more pompoully, and more extenfively, and not only to *s adore his porfonal and effential attributes, but likewife " all the emanations of them, and all thofe creatures by " which they are eminently reprefented. Nor can this " be any derogation from his honour, fince his honour if "certainly more amply expreffed, when in this manner " we acknowledge, that not only himfelf, but all his crea"c tures likewife, are adorable. We ought therefoie (if " we will be wife, to worfhip the hoft of heaten, be-
$\begin{array}{ll}\text { (y) Rom. i. 22, 23. } & \text { (i) Young's Sermons, vol. in }\end{array}$ ferm. 1.

Vol. I..
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A．N．＂caufe they are eminent reprefentations of his glory and

2997，厄゙ゥ い Anc．Chrift． sooj，sec． from Gen＂ F．to the $/$ end；and fromich．xi．＇ ver．ì to to＂ the end．

This feems to be a fair opening of the project；and，by fome fuch cunning harangue at this，we may fuppofe is was that the firft contrivers of idolatay drew in the ignoramit and admiring multitude．And indeed，confidering the na－ tural habitưdé of vulgar minds，and the firong inclinations they have，in matters of an abftrufe confideration，to help themfelves by fenfible objects，it feems not fo difficult a taik to have drawn them in．
The mo Thofe who worflipped univerfal nature，or the fy－ tives which ftem of the material world，perceived firft，that there was men in it．excellency in the feveral parts of it，and then（to make up the grandeur and perfection of the idea）they joined them altogether in one divine being．Thofe who kaboured under a weaknefs and narrownels of imagination，diftributed na－ ture into its feveral parts，and worfhipped that portion of it which was accounted of moft general whe and benefit． Urefulnefs was the common motive，but it was not the only motive which inclined the world to idolatry；for，upon far－ ther inquiry，we fhall find，that whatever ravifhed with its tranfcendent Beavity，whatever affightened with its malig－ nant power，whatever aftonfhed with its uncommon great－ riefs ：whatever，in fhort，was beautiful，hurtful，or majef ic，became a deity，as well as what was profitable for itsufe． （a）The fun，men foon perceived，had all thefe powers and properties united in it：Its beauty was glorious to behold ； its motion wonderful to confider；its heat occafioned dif－ ferent effects；barrennefs in forme places，and fruitfulnefs in others；and the immenfe globe of its hight appeared highly exalted，and：riding in triumphy as it were，round
（a）Tennifori of idolatry．
the world. The moon, they faw, fupplied the abfence of A. M. the fun by night; gave a friendly light to the earth; and Ant, 86. befides the great variety of its phafes, had a wonderful in- Ant. Chrif 2007 , \&cc. fluence over the fea, and other humid bodies. The ftarsfiom Gen. they admired for their heights and magnitude, the order of $x$. to the their pofitions, and celerity of their motions, and thence from. an and were purfuaded, either that fome celeftial vigour or other ver., io. to refided in them, or that the fouls of their heroes and great the end. men were tranflated into them when they died ; and upon thefe, and fuch like prefumptions, they accounted all celeftial bodies to be deities. (b) The force of fire, the ferenity of air, the ufefulnefs of water, as well as the terror and dreadfulnefs of thunder and lightning, gave rife to the confecration of the meteors and elements. The fer, fwel. ling with its proud furface, and roaring with its mighty billows, was fuch an awful fight, and the earth, bedecked with all its plants, flowers, and fruits, fuch a lovely one, as might well affect a Pagan's veneration; when, for the like motives, viz. their beneficial, hurtfui, delightful, or aftonifhing properties, beafts, birds, filhes, infects, and even vegetables themfelves, came to be adored.

The pride and pomp of the great, and the low and ab- The tife of ject firits of the mean, occafioned firft the flattery, and then the worthip of kings and princes as gods upon earth. Men famous for their adventures, and exploits, the founders of nations or cities, or the inventors of ufeful arts and fciences, were reverenced while they lived, and, after death, canonized. 'The prevailing notion of the foul's immortality made them imagine, that the firits of fuch excellent perfons, either immediately afcended up into heaven, and fetcled there in fome orb or other ; or that they hovered in the air ; whence, by folemn invocations, and by making fome ftatue or image refemblant of them, they might be prevailed with to come down and inhabit it.

Whether the idolatry of image-worthip was firt begun in Chaldea or in Egypt, we have no grounds from hiftory to determine; but wherever it had its origin, the defign of making ftatues and images, at firf, was certainly fuch as the author of the book of Wifdom (c) has reprefented it, viz. to commemorate an abfent or deceafed friend, or to do honour to fome great man or fovereign prince; which (whether fo intended or no at firft) the ignorance
(b) Herbert's ancient religion of the gentiles, (c) Ch, xiv. 5. 26.
A. M. and fuperftition of the people turned in time into an obIng7, Chrit. ject of religious adoration ; " the fingular diligence of the Ant. Caritt. 2007, ఆ゙i. from Gen. " artificer, as our author expreties it, hepphg tofet for $x$ to the "venture, willing to pleafe one in authority, forced all his end ; and from ch. xi. ver. to. to "
the end.


The grofs folly and fupidity of it:
"، a man.'
We cannot but obferve, however, with what elegance and fine fatire it is, that the Scripture fets off the fupidity and grofs infatuation, borb of the artificer and adorer. The carpenter heweth down cedars, and taketh the cyprefs and the oak. He fretcheth out bis rule; be marketh it out with a line; be fitteth it with planes; be marketh it out with the compafs, and maketh it after the figure of a man, according to the beauty of a man.—He burneth part tbercof in the fire; with part thereof he eateth flefh, ' be roafteth. roaft, and is fatisfed; yea be warmeth bimfelf, and faith $A$ ba! I am warm, I have feen the fire; and the refidue thereof he maketh a God, even his graven image. He falleth down unto it, and worfbippeth it, and prayeth, unto it, and faith, Deliver me, for thou art my god; never confidering in bis heart, nor having knowledge or underftanding to fay, I have burnt part of it in the fire; yea alfo I bave baked bread upon the boals thereof: Thave roafted flefh, and eaten it; and /ball I make the refidue thereof an abomination? Shall I fall down to the fock of a tree?
The infuff- That rational creatures fhould be capable of fo wretchciency of ed a degeneracy as this amounts to, may juftly provoke realin to guide us in mat:ers of religion. our wonder and amazement : And yet we may remember, that thefe people (who may poffibly be the object of our fcorn and contempt) had the boafted light of nature to be their guide in matters of religion. Nay, they had fome advantages that we apparently want : They lived much nearer the beginning of the world ; had the terrors of the Lord in the late judgment of the deluge, frefh in their minds : Had the articles of their religion comprifed in a fmall compafs; and (what is no bad friend to reafon and fober recollection) lived in more fimplicity, and lefs luxury, than thefe later ages can pretend to ; and yet, notwithftanding thefe advantages, fo fadly, fo thamefully did they mifcarry, that the wit of a man would be at a lofs to 'devife "a redidon for their conduct, had not the divine wifdom
wifdom informed us, that (d) they alienated themfelves from A.M. the light of God, and lightly regarded the Counfels of the I 997 , \&ec. Moft High; that they forfook the guide of their youth; and ${ }_{2007, \text {, } 8 \mathrm{cc} \text {. }}^{\mathrm{Ant}}$ rejected thofe revelations, withich at fundry times, and in from Gen. divers manners were made to their forefathers, for the ${ }^{\mathrm{x} . \text { to the }}$ rule and meafure of their faith and practice. We indeed, from ch. xi. had we lived in thofe days, may be apt to think, that we ver. 10. to would not have been carried away with the common cor- $\underbrace{\text { the end. }}$ ruption; that the light of nature would have taught us better, than to pay our devotions to brute beafts, or to look upon their images as our gods. But, alas ! we little confider, what the power of reafon, of mere unaffifted reafon, is againft the force of education, and the preva. lence of cuftom, engaged on the fide of a falle, but flathy and popular religion. Ariftotle, Plato, and Cicero, were in after ages fome of the greateft reafoners that the world has produced; and yer we find them complying with the eftablighed worfhip of their country? What grounds have we then to imagine, that in cafe we had been contemporaries with them, we had acquitted ourfelves any better ? Our reafon indeed now tells us, that we would have died, rather than have fubmitted to thefe impious modes of worfhip; but then we are to remember, that reafon is now affirited by the light and authority of a divine revelation; that therefore we are not competent judges, how we fhould act without this fuperior aid; but that, in all probability, ( $e$ ) taking away the direction and reftraint of this reafon, would relapfe into the fame extravagancies, the fame impiety, the fame folly and fuperftition, which prevailed over it-before. And therefore, (to conclude in the words of our bleffed Saviour, 〔poken indeed upon another, but yery applicable upon this occafion), (f) Bleffed are the eyes which fee the things which ye fee, a full and perfect rule of faith and manners contained in that Holy Bible which is in every one's hands; for I tell you, that many prophets and kings bave defired to foe thofe things, which ye fee, and bave not feen them; and to hear thofe things, which ye bear, and have not heard them.
(d) Eph. iv. $18 . \quad$ (e) Roger's Neceffity of a divine reve!ation,
(f) Luke, x. 23, 24,
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