

The Third PART of the
R E P O R T S
O F
EDWARD COKE,
Her Majesty's ATTORNEY GENERAL,
O F

Divers Resolutions and Judgments given with great Deliberation, by the most Reverend Judges and Sages of the Law, of Cases and Matters in Law which were never resolved or adjudged before: And the Reasons and Causes of the said Resolutions and Judgments, during the most happy Reign of the most Illustrious and Renowned Queen *ELIZABETH*, the Fountain of all JUSTICE and the LIFE of the LAW.

With REFERENCES to all the BOOKS of the *COMMON LAW*, as well Antient as Modern: And the PLEADINGS in *ENGLISH*, carefully Revised and Corrected.

In Memoria aeterna erit justus, & non timebit ab auditione mala.

PSALM. 105

Justitia omnium virtutum princeps est, tuta & sola comes humana vita; ea enim imperia, regna, populi, civitates reguntur, quae si de medio tollatur, nec constare possit hominum societas.

ISIDORUS.

Justitia in sese virtutes continet omnes.

In the SAVOY:

Printed by E. and R. NUTT, and R. GOSLING, (Assigns of Edward Sayer Esq;) for H. Gosling, W. Pears, W. Innes and R. Panby, T. Woodward, J. Clay, A. Ward, J. and D. Bampton, T. Motton, E. Longman, D. Browne, T. Osborne, W. Lintot, and T. Waller. M.DCC.XXXVIII.

T O T H E

R E A D E R .

QUAM non utiles modo, sed necessariæ plane fuerint judiciorum & causarum Relationes olim editæ, facile vel ex duobus hisce argumentis, in aliorum magna copia, æquo Lectori constare potest: Primo quod Reges nostri *Edwardus* videlicet *Ed. 3. Hen. 4. Hen. 5. Hen. 6. Ed. 4. Rich. 3. & Hen. 7.* prudentes quatuor & doctos legum Professores selegerint & constituerunt, qui reverendissimorum Judicum sententias ac decreta mandarent literis, tum ut solverentur quæstiones dubiæ ex opinionum discre-

PART III.

HOW profitable and necessary the Reports of the Judgments and Cases in Law published in former Ages have been, may unto the learned Reader, by these two Considerations amongst others evidently appear. First, that the Kings of this Realm, that is to say, *E. 3. H. 4. H. 5. H. 6. E. 4. R. 3. and H. 7.* did select and appoint *four discreet and learned Professors of Law to report the Judgments and Opinions of the Reverend Judges, as well for resolving of such Doubts and Questions wherein there was

(as in all other Arts and

Sciences

* These four Reporters I take to be those who have since been nam'd Readers, and elected to that Office by the respective Inns of Court.

To the R E A D E R.

Science there often falls out, Diversity of Opinions, as also for the true and genuine Sense and Construction of such Statutes and Acts of Parliament, as were from Time to Time made and enacted. To the End that all the Judges and Justices in all the several Parts of the Realm, might, as it were, with one Mouth in all Mens Cases pronounce one and the same Sentence; whose learned Works are extant, and digested into nine several Volumes, wherein if you observe the Unity and Consent of so many several Judges and Courts in so many Successions of Ages, and the Coherence and Concordance of such infinite, several, and divers Cases, (one as it were with sweet Consent and Amity proving and approving another) it may be questioned whether the Matter be worthy of greater Admiration or Commendation: For as in Nature we see the infinite Distinction of Things proceed from some Unity, as many Flowers from one Root, many Rivers from one Fountain, many Arteries in the Body of Man from one Heart, many Veins from one Liver, and many Sinews from the Brain: So without Question Lex orta est cum mente divina,

pantia (id qd' in aliis fere artibus & scientiis usu venit) ortæ; Tum ut de vero ac genuino sensu eorum statutorum legumq; in comitiis fixarum constaret, quæ de tempore in tempus latæ & sancitæ fuerant; Idque eo fine quo Judices ac Justitiæ Præsides universi, in singulis regni partibus, uno quasi ore idem jus in omnibus omnium hominum causis dicerent. Horum igitur docta sane opera, extant digesta etiamdum in justa novem volumina: in quibus siquidem conspirantem unitatem & consensum tot tamq; adeo diverforum Judicum ac Curiarum in tanta successionum & seculorum varietate observaveris, una etiam & cohærentiam atq; concordantiam causarum pene infinitarum numero natura plane disjunctarum animadverteris, quo modo una aliam dulci quasi harmonia & affinitate amplexetur, probet atq; approbet; Profecto in dubium vocari poterit, sit ne res admiratione potius, an commendatione majori digna. Quod enim in Natura videmus infinitam rerum distinctionem ab unitate aliqua provenire, ut ab eadem radice multos flores,

Now Ten, by
adding May-
nard's Ed. 2.

flores, ab eodem fonte plures rivulos, & in humano corpore ab eodem corde multas arterias, ex uno jecore multas venas, nervos omnes ab uno cerebro, ita proculdubio lex orta est ex mente divina, atq; unitas hæc consensus plane admirabilis in tanta rerum diversitate, non nisi a Deo bonarum legum & constitutionum authore ac fonte dimanavit. Huic Argumento accedit & secundum illud ductum a multiplici & jucundo fructu quem ex iisdem hisce libris in æqua Justitiæ executione, & tranquilla ac pacifica regni hujus administratione jam inde percepimus.

Sunt præterea & Relationes aliæ majoribus ingeniis aptæ, paris sane auctoritatis, sed perspicuitatis forte minoris; quales sunt causarum formulæ judiciorumq; in curiis regiis datorum monumenta, in quibus graves sane ac difficiles quæstiones, (diligenti prius adhibita deliberatione) maturo consilio diffudicantur & definiuntur: Ita tamen ut non exprimantur judiciorum sententiarumq; causæ ac rationes, quandoquidem soleant prudentes & docti viri priusquam judicant qui-

and this admirable Unity and Consent in such Diversity of Things, proceeds only from God the Fountain and Founder of all Good Laws and Constitutions. Secondly, in Consideration of the sweet and delectable Fruit that hath been reaped by those Works for the due Administration of Justice, and the Government of the Realm in Peace and Tranquility.

Besides these there be Reports fit for stronger Capacities of equal Authority, but of less Perspicuity than the other; and these be the judicial Records of the King's Courts, wherein Cases of Importance and Difficulty are upon great Consultation and Advise ment adjudged and determined, in which Records the Reasons or Causes of the Judgment are not expressed; for wise and learned Men do before they judge, labour to reach to the Depth of all the Reasons of the Case in Question, but in their Judgments*

* Yet, see Placita Parliam. Temp. E. 1, 2, and 3 where the Reasons, and Causes of the Judgment are frequently express'd in the Record. A Method highly commendable.

To the READER.

ments express not any: And in Troth if Judges should set down the Reasons and Causes of their Judgments within every Record, that immense Labour should withdraw them from the necessary Services of the Common-wealth, and their Records should grow to be like Elephantini libri of infinite Length, and in mine Opinion lose somewhat of their present Authority and Reverence; And this is also worthy for learned and grave Men to imitate. But mine Advice is, that whensoever a Man is enforced to yield a Reason of his Opinion or Judgment, that then be set down all Authorities, Precedents, Reasons, Arguments and Inferences whatsoever that may be probably applyed to the Case in Question; for some will be perswaded or drawn by one, and some by another, according as the Capacity or Understanding of the Hearer or Reader is. These Records, for that they contain great and hidden Treasure, are faithfully and safely kept (as they well deserve) in the King's Treasury. And yet not so kept but that any Subject may for his necessary Use and Benefit have Access thereunto, which was the ancient Law

dem rationum momenta ponderare, & in omnes rei controversæ latebras ac recessus inquirere, verum inter judicandum sententiam nudam non causas dicere. Et certe siquidem sententiarum suarum rationes singulis edictis Judices apponerent, & avocaret eos immensus ille labor a necessariis Reipub⁹ negotiis, fierentque adeo Elephantinorum librorum similes eorum sententiæ in infinitam molem excrecentes, denique auctoritatis atque reverentiæ pristinæ (mea quidem opinione) nonnihil amitterent: Dignum atque hoc est qd' imitentur viri docti & graves; utcunque suaferim, quod si quando contigerit ut opinionis iudicij; sui rationem quispiam cogatur reddere, omnes tum demum afferat & accumulæ auctoritates, omnia exempla, rationes item, argumenta & illationes quascunque quæ causæ controversæ probabiliter possint applicari; ita multiplex ratio, aliâ alium pro cujusvis lectoris aut auditoris captu trahet & persuadebit. Atq; istæ quidem iudicij sententiæ, quia plurimum continent thesauri quasi reconditi, tuto ac fideliter, in Archivo Regio
(idque

(idque merito suo maximo) asservantur: Ita tamen interea ut cuius subdito liceat in usum & commodum suum illas adire & consulere, idque antiqua lege Angliæ cautum fuit, posteaq; declaratum & sancitum in magnis Comitibus Anno 46 Edw. 3. habitis, in hæc verba: *Item pria les Commons que come recorde & quecunque chose en la court le Roy de reason devoient demurr ilonques pur perpetual evidence, & eide de tous parties a icelly, & de tous ceux a queux en nul maner illis atteignent, quant mestier leur fuit. Et ja de novell refusent en la court n're dit Seign' de serche ou evidence en contr' le Roy ou disadvantage de ly; que pleise ordeiner per estatute, que serche & exemplification soit faitz as toutz gentz, de queconque recorde que les touche en asc' maner auxibien de ce que chiet encountre le Roy come autres gentz. Le Roy le voet.*

Perutiles etiam sunt & antiqui legum nostrarum libri qui hodie extant, quales Glanvillus, Bracton, Britton, Fleta, Ingham, & Novæ Narrationes, necnon & recentiores alii, utpote *Vetus liber Tenorum, Natura Brevium, Littleton, Dia-*

of England, and so is declared by an Act of Parliament in 46 E. 3. in these Words: Item pria les Commons que come recorde & quecunque chose en la court le Roy de reason devoient demurr ilonques pur perpetual evidence, & eide de tous parties a icelly, & de tous ceux a queux en nul maner' illis atteignent, quant mestier leur fuit. Et ja de novell refusent en la court n're dit Seign. de serche ou evidence encount' le Roy ou disadvantage de ly; que pleise ordeiner per estatute, que serche & exemplification soit faitz as toutz gentz, de queconque recorde que les touche en asc' maner' auxibien de ce que chiet encountre le Roy come autres gentz. Le Roy le voet.

Right profitable also are the ancient Books of the Common Laws yet extant, as Glanvil, Bracton, Britton, Fleta, Ingham, and Novæ Narrationes, and those also of later Times, as the old Tenures, old Natura Brevium, Littleton,

To the READER.

Doctor and Student, Perkins, Fitzh. Nat. Br. and Stamford; of which the Register, Littleton, Fitzherbert, and Stamford are most necessary and of greatest Authority and Excellency; and yet the other also are not without their Fruit. In reading of the Cases in the Books at large, which sometimes are obscure and misprinted, if the Reader, after the diligent reading of the Case, shall observe how the Case is abridged in these two great Abridgments of Justice Fitzherbert, and Sir Robert Brooke, it will both illustrate the Case and delight the Reader; and yet neither that of Statham, nor that of the Book of Assises is to be rejected: And for Pleading, the great Book of Entries is of singular Use and Utility. To the former Reports you may add the exquisite and elaborate Commentaries at large of Master Plowden, a grave Man, and singularly well learned, and the summary and fruitful Observations of that famous and most Reverend Judge and Sage of the Law, Sir James Dyer, Knt. late Chief Justice of the Court of Common Pleas, and mine own simple Labours: Then have you 15 Books or Treatises, and as

logus inter Doctorem & Studiosum, Perkins, Fitzh. Natura Brevium, & Stamford: in quibus utcumque Registrum, Littleton, Fitzh. & Stamford, facile primas partes cum usus, tum auctoritatis & dignitatis vendicent; reliqui tamen omnes fructu suo nequaquam carent. Prolioxiores vero caufarum relationes quod attinet, in quibus obscuritatis aliquid, erroris item nonnihil Typographi vitio occurrit, siquidem studiosus Lector post accuratam majorum librorum perfectionem, magna illa duo compendia, Fitzherberti Judicis alterum, alterum Roberti Brooke Militis in eadem quaestione consuluerit, afferet profecto Methodus hæc multum & lucis causæ, & Lectori delectationis. Hiis accedant & Stathamique Assisarum, ut loquimur, duo alii non contemnendi libri. Denique ad agendas causas, Intrationum ille, ut dicimus, magnus liber, usum habet atque utilitatem singularem; istis si lubet addas Magistri Plowden gravis sane, doctiq; imprimis viri, enucleata prorsus & elaborata commentaria majora: Compendiosas insuper atque utiles observationes illustrissimi

To the READER:

v

triffimi illius reverendiffimique Judicis ac Jurisperiti Jacobi Dyer Militis Communis (ut loquimur) Banci, sive actionum communium Curia Capitalis non ita pridem Justitiarum: Adjicias denique & labores meos qualescunque; atque quindecim invenies sive libros sive tractatus, totidemque etiam (præter compendia) Relationum justa volumina de communi nostro jure scripta; ut de Statutis interim ac decretis comitalibus, quorum magni aliqui habentur libri, penitus taceam. Et quia difficile est illius artis aut scientiæ quam non profiteris, membrum aliquod vere atque limitate tractare, quinimo impossibile ut quod non capit intellectus, lingua juste referat; caveas imprimis moneo, ab Annalium nostrorum ementita Jurisprudencia, Legumque vel ficta vel erronea relatione, quæ incauto alias facile imponat. Exempli gratia, referunt Annales Gulielm', quem appellant Conquestorem, Vicecomitum munus in singulis Provinciis decrevisse atque ordinasse, itemque & justitiæ Præsides, qui paci conservandæ prospicerent & delinquentes punirent, statuisse, ubi no-

many Volumes of the Reports, besides the Abridgments of the Common Laws; for I speak not of the Statutes and Acts of Parliament, whereof there be divers great Volumes. And for that it is hard for a Man to report any Part or Branch of any Art or Science justly and truly, which he professeth not, and impossible to make a just and true Relation of any thing that he understands not; I pray thee beware of Chronicle Law reported in our Annals, for that will undoubtedly lead thee to Error: For Example, they say that William the Conqueror decreed that there should be Sheriffs in every Shire, and Justices of Peace to keep the Countries in Quiet, and to see Offenders punished; whereas the learned know that Sheriffs were great Officers and Ministers of Justice, as now they are, long before the Conquest, and Justices of Peace had not their Being until almost three hundred Years after, viz. in the first Year of Edward the Third.

Note.

But

To the READER.

But the Module of a Preface will not suffer me to enter into that Matter, whereat my Mind began to kindle: I will only (to incite the studious Reader to the diligent Observation of the Books, wherein be hid- den infinite Treasures of Knowledge) note unto thee, divers excellent Things worthy thy Observation out of the Book Case in 26 lib. Assis. pl. 24. for a Prece- dent for thee to follow in many other Cases: There it appeareth that in a Writ of Assise the Abbot of B. claim- ed to have Comusans of Pleas, and Writs of Assise, and other Original Writs out of the King's Courts by Pre- scription, Time out of Mind of Man, in the Times of Saint Edmund, and Saint Edward the Confessor, Kings of this Realm before the Conquest, and shewed divers Allowances thereof, and that King Hen. I. con- firmed their Usages, and that they should have Com-

runt docti, & fuisse olim quales nunc sunt, vel ante victoris illius temp' Vice- comites, primarios justitiæ ministros; neque extitisse etiam, nisi post trecentos fere exinde annos, munus illud Justiciariorum (ut loquimur) videlicet anno primo Edwardi Tertii.

Verum non me finet præfationis istæ modulus argumentum hoc ulterius profequi, quo tamen cæpit mihi animus aliquan- tum incallescere: Ideoq; ut studiosum potius Lecto- rem incitem ad eorum li- brorum diligentem obser- vationem, in quibus infi- niti plane scientiæ thesau- ri sunt reconditi, adnota- bo quædam e quæstione disputata libro Assisarum .26. pla. 24. digna profecto cum in se, tum præsertim opera & attentione tua, utpote quæ in aliis multis causis pro exemplo tibi ad imitandum inserviant. Ibi apparet quod in re- scripto Assisæ, ut loqui- mur, Abbas de B. cogni- tionem atq; determinatio- nem vendicavit actionum & rescriptor' tam Assisæ quam originalium aliorum e curiis Regis datorum, idq; ex usu & præscriptio- ne ultra memor' hominum ducta, videlicet, a temporibus S. Edmundi, & S. Edw. Confessoris

Confessoris, quorum utriq; Reges Angliæ extiterunt priusquam a Normanno Duce vinceretur. Ad hanc rem confirmandam variæ præterea allatæ sunt allocutiones; atq; quod Henricus primus eorum consuetudines confirmasset, & nominatim illam de causarum ac quæstionum decisione, adeo ut neutrius banci sive Curia Judicibus liceret aut interponere illic auctoritatem suam, aut jus dicere: Ex hoc rescripto annos abhinc supra trecentos dato, facile liquet quod Abbates etiam superiores, qui præcesserant, rescripta Assisæ atq; originalia rescripta alia e curiis Regiis petita habuerunt: Idque ab antiquis usque temporibus sub iisdem illis Regibus, ultra hominum recordationem, ita ut nemo tum extaret qui secus aliquando factum sciret, sive ex memoria & cognitione propria, sive ex rescripto aut argumento quocunq; alio. Jam utcunque apud doctos constat originalia rescripta ad Vicecomitem illius Provinciae mitti ac dirigi in qua lis orta est; tamen non abs erit, ad majorem dilucidationem diversarum rerum observatione dignarum, formulam ipsam rescripti Assisæ hoc loco apponere.

sance of Pleas, so that the Justices of the one Bench, or the other, should not intermeddle; out of which Record (being now above Three Hundred Years past) it appeareth that the Predecessors of that Abbot had Time out of Mind of Man in those King's Reigns, (that is whereof no Man then knew the contrary, either out of his own Memory, or by any Record or other Proof,) Writs of Assise, and other original Writs out of the King's Courts. Now albeit that the Learned do know that original Writs are directed to the Sheriff of the County where the Land doth lie, yet it is not Impertinent to set down the Form of the Writ of Assise for the better Manifestation of divers Things worthy of Observation. Rex vicecomiti Salutem: Questus est nobis A. quod B. injuste & sine judicio disseisivit eum de libero tenemento suo in E. &c. & ideo tibi præcipimus quod si prædict' A. fecerit te securum de clamore suo prosequendo, tunc facias tenementum illud reseisire de catallis quæ in ipso capt' fuer', & ipsum tenementum cum catallis esse in pace usque ad primam assis. cum Justiciarii nostri

To the READER.

nostri in partes illas venerint, & interim fac' 12 liberos & legales homines de vicineto illo videre tenementum illud, & nomina eorum imbreviar', &c. *And this Form of Writ appeareth in Braçton, lib. 4. cap. 16. and in Glanville, in his 13th Book, who wrote not long after the Conquest: Out of which I gather Four Things. 1. That Time out of Mind of Man before the Conquest there had been Sheriffs; for the Writ of Assise, and every other original Writ is directed to the Sheriff, and cannot be directed to any other, unless it be in special Cases to the Coroner, who then stands in the Place of the Sheriff. 2. That likewise by all that Time there were Trials by the Oath of Twelve Men, for the Words of the Writ of Assise are, & interim fac' 12 liberos & legales homines, &c. 3. That by like Time there had been Writs of Assise and other original Writs returnable into the King's Courts, which (seeing they be, as Justice Fitzherbert saith in his Preface to his Book of Natura Brevium, the Rules and Principles of the Science of the Common Law) do manifestly prove that the Common Law of England had been*

Rex Vicecomiti salutem: Questus est nobis A. quod B. injuste & sine judicio disseisivit eum de libero ten'to suo in E. &c. Et ideo tibi præcipimus quod si prædict' A. fecerit te securum de clamore suo prosequendo, tunc facias ten'tum illud re-seisire de catallis quæ in ipso capt' fuer', & ipsum ten'tum cum catallis esse in pace usque ad primam Assisam, cum Justiciarii nostri in partes illas venerint, & interim fac' 12 liberos & legales homines de vicineto illo videre ten'tum illud, & nomina eorum imbreviar'. Atque hæc rescripti formula habetur tum apud Braçton. lib. 4. c. 16. tum apud Glanvillum, lib. 13. qui a devicta natione nostra non ita multo post scripsit; hinc ergo quatuor colligo. 1. Quod nondum subjugata hac Insula, ultra omnem hominum memoriam Vicecom' hic extiterant: Quandoquidem rescript' Assisæ, ut & alia singula rescripta originalia Vicecomiti soli mittuntur, nec ad alium quenquam possunt dirigi, nisi forte ad Coronatorem, ut appellant, idq; in speciali aliqua causa, quando is etiam Vicecomitis locum obtinet. 2. Quod toto illo tempore ex duodecim hominum juratorum fide definiebantur
caus

causæ : Ita enim rescripti Assisæ verba habent, *Et interim fac' 12 liberòs & legales homines, &c.* 3. Qd' per idem tempus extiterant rescripta Assisæ aliaque rescripta Originalia in Curias Regias releganda ac remittenda. Quæ sane (quandoquidem sunt ut inquit *Fitzberb.* in præfatione ad Librum suum de Natura brevium, Juris nost' communis regulæ & principia) evincunt manifesto, & fuisse hoc antiquitus ante devictam Regionem istam, ultra omnem omnium hominum recordationem, jus commune Angliæ, neq; a victore Normanno alterationem aut immutationem passum esse. 4. Quod per totum illud tempus Curia fuerat, quam Cancellariam dicimus, utpote ex qua sola, neq; alicunde alias, petita sint originalia rescripta universa. Quin & ex Libris nostris liquido constat, q'd omnes fundi (quos maneria vocamus) qui erant Sancti Edwardi Confessoris, vel in hunc usq; diem Antiquar' possession' nomen obtinent, quodque omnes colentes & occupantes ead' Edw. Confessoris possession' in Assis, juratis seu recognitionibus poni non debent; qua quidem immunitate ac privi-

Time out of Mind of Man before the Conquest, and was not altered or changed by the Conqueror. 4. That by all that Time there had been a Court of Chancery; for all Originals do issue out of that Court, and none other: And in our Books it appeareth, that all those Manors that were in the Hands of Saint Edward the Confessor, are to this Day called Antient Demesne; and that all King Edward the Confessor's Tenants in Assis, Juratis, seu recognitionibus poni non debent; which Immunity and Privilege remains to the Tenants of those Manors, to whose Hands soever the same be come to this Day; and this appeareth by the Book of Domesday now remaining in the Exchequer, which was made in the Reign of Saint Edward the Confessor, as it appeareth in Fitzh. Natura Brevium, fol. 16. So as without Controversy the Trial by Juries, who ever were returned by Sheriffs, was before the Conquest. In the Book of Domesday you shall also read, that Ecclesia Sanctæ Mariæ de Worcester habet Hundred' vocat' Oswaldeflaw, in

To the R E A D E R.

in qua jacent 300 hidæ, de quibus Episcopus ipſius Eccleſiæ a conſtitutione antiquorum tempor' habet omnes Redditiones Socharum, & omnes conſuetudines inibi pertinentes ad dominicum victum, & Regis ſervicium & ſuum: Ita ut nullus Vicecomes ullam ibi habere poſſit querelam, nec in aliquo placito, nec in aliqua qualibet cauſa. *And it appeareth by the Charter it ſelf, that King Edward, long before the Conqueſt, granted to the Church of Worceſter the ſaid Franchiſes and Hereditaments, whereby it is evident that then there were Sheriffs; and that the Sheriffs had then a Court, and determined Cauſes, held Pleas by plaint as to this Day they do, and that there were Redditiones Socharum, which prove Socage Tenure, and Regis ſervicium Knight's Service; then called Regis ſervicium, becauſe it was done to or for the King, and the Realm: The ſame King granted the like Charter to the Monastery of St. Andrew in Ely, viz. Two Hundreds within the Iſle, and Five and a Half without, together with Views of Frank-Pledge, and by expreſs Words that no Sheriff*

legio gaudent omnes etiam in quorum manus ii ipſi fundi hodie deveniunt. Atq; hoc apparet ex Libro qui inſcribitur *Domus Dei*, & in Scaccario aſſervatur: Qui ſane Liber (ut refert *Fitzherb. de Natura Brevium*, f. 16.) regnante St. Edwardo Confeſſore ſcriptus & conditus fuit. Quapropter extra controverſiam plane eſt, morem atque conſuetudinem experiundi lege per homines juratos, qui ſolum & ſemper a Vicecomite citabantur, gentis hujus ſubjugationem præceſſiſſe. Quin & in libro illo *Domus Dei* dicto ſcript' Leges, quod Eccleſia S. Mariæ de Worceſter habet Hundredum vocatum Ofwaldeſlaw, in qua jacent 300 hidæ, de quibus Episcopus ipſius Eccleſiæ a conſtitutione antiquorum temporum habet omnes redditiones Socharum, & omnes conſuetudines inibi pertinentes ad dominicum victum & Regis ſervicium & ſuum: Ita ut nullus Vicecomes ullam ibi habere poſſit querelam, nec in aliquo placito nec in aliqua qualibet cauſa. Denique quod *Edgarus* Rex, diu ante devictam hanc gentem, prædictas immunitates atq; poſſeſſiones Eccleſiæ

fiæ Worcestrensi concesserit, vel ex illa ipsa sive Charta, (ut loquimur) sive donatione satis constat: Ideoq; & Vicecomites tum fuisse, eosdemq; olim velut hodie, in curia sua causas determinasse, extitisse item tunc temporis redditiones focarum, servitium focæ, hoc est aratri, servitium Regis (dictum *Knights Service*) vel meridiana luce apparet clarius. Consimilem prorsus donationem & Monasterio Sanctæ *Etheldred Elyensis* concessit idem Rex: Videl't duas Hundred', id est Centurias infra insulam, & quinque ac dimidiam extra eandem, una cum cognitione franciplegiarum, ut dicimus, hoc est vadium liberorum: Denique disertis verbis cavet ne quis inibi Vicecomes auctoritatem suam ullo modo interponerit; atq; hæc satis profecto in re tam clara, fortassis etiam nimis multa. Si quam ergo Annalium scriptoribus fidem adhibere velitis, in illis rebus detur quæ pro juris communis honore atque antiquitate tradiderunt; quale imprimis memorant de *Bruto gentis hujus* (ut aiunt) primo Rege: Quod is ubi imperium suum confir-

should intermeddle within the same; but thus much (if in a Case so evident it be not too much) shall suffice. But if you will give any Faith to them, let it be in those Things they have published concerning the Antiquity and Honour of the Common Laws: First they say that Brutus the first King of this Land, as soon as he had settled himself in his Kingdom, for the safe and peaceable Government of his People, wrote a Book in the Greek Tongue, calling it the Laws of the Brittons, and he collected the same out of the Laws of the Trojans: This King, say they, died after the Creation of the World 2860 Years, and before the Incarnation of Christ 1103 Years, Samuel then being Judge of Israel. I will not examine these Things in a Quo warranto, the Ground thereof I think was best known to the Authors and Writers of them; but that the Laws of the antient Brittain, their Contracts and other Instruments, and the Records and judicial Proceedings of their Judges were wrote and sentenced in the Greek Tongue, it is plain and evident by Proofs luculent and uncontrollable: For Proof whereof I shall be

To the READER.

be enforced only to Point out the Heads of some few Reasons, yet so as you may prosecute the same from the Fountains themselves at your good Pleasure, and greater Leisure. And first take a just Testimony out of the Commentaries of Julius Cæsar, (whose Relations are as true, as his Style and Phrase is Perfect.) He in his 6th Book of the Wars of France saith, that in ancient Time the Nobility of France were all of two Sorts, Druides or Equites; the one for Matters of Government at home, the other for Martial Employments abroad: To the Druides appertained the ordering as well of Matters Ecclesiastical, as the Administration of the Laws and Government of the Commonwealth; for so he saith, De omnibus controversiis publicis privatisque constituunt, &c. & si quod est admissum facinus, si cædes facta, si de hæreditate, de finibus controversia est, decernunt; præmia, pœnasque constituunt. Concerning the Mysteries of their Religion, they neither did, nor might commit them to Writing, but for the dispatching and deciding of Causes, as well publick as private, saith he,

masset ad tutam & tranquillam populi sui gubernationem, Græca lingua librum composuit, quem inscripsit Leges Britonum, collectum e legib' Trojanorum. Atq; Rex iste, inquit, mortuus est Ann. ab orbe condito 2860. & ante incarnationem Christi 1103. quo tempore Israellem Samuel judicabat. Non est instituti mei istarum rerum fidem atq; auctoritatem excutere aut examinare; viderint hoc Authores atque scriptores ipsi: Illud tamen interea luculentis admodum & necessariis rationibus constat, solere apud veteres olim Britannos, leges, pæta, & quæcunq; contractuum instrumenta alia, actiones item caufarum, ac sententiarum formulas & monumenta, Græca lingua conscribi & transigi universa. Quod dum probo, cogor profecto digitum quasi ad capita & fontes rationum aliquot intendere, earumq; hinc inde justam prosecutionem, sive ardenti tuo studio, sive majori forte otio relinquere. Atque primum habeas tibi e Julii Cæsaris Commentariis expressum testimonium: Cujus sane authoris non minus veræ sunt narrationes, quam est

est perfecta stylus, phrasis elegans ; is lib. 6. de Bello Gallico, Optimatum inquit apud Gallos duo olim genera, Druidum & Equitum : Quorum illi res domi administrabant, hii negotia militaria foras procurabant : Atq; Druidum sane officium duplex, facrorum procuratio, & reipub. ac legum administratio, ita enim diserte loquitur ; de omnibus controversiis publicis privatisq; constituunt, &c. Et si qd? est admissum facinus, si cædes facta, si de hæreditate de finibus controversia est, decernunt, præmia, pœnasq; constituunt : Disciplinam religiosam aut philosophicam literis non mandant, nec fas putant ; in reliquis vero rebus publicis privatisq; rationibus Græcis literis utuntur inquit, ne disciplina illa efferreretur in vulgus. Jam hoc posito, quod Druides Græca lingua jus ex more dixerunt, & negotia tam publica quam privata transegerint, facile sequitur fuisse idem & in Britannia usitatum : Quia omnis disciplina & tota cohors Gallicorum Druidum, Druidum Britannicorum Colonia quædam fuit, vel ipso ibidem teste Cæsare, qui inquit : Disci-

Græcis literis utuntur *they used to do it in the Greek Tongue, to the End that their Discipline might not be made common among the Vulgar : Now then this being granted that the Druides did customarily sentence Causes, and order Matters publick and private in the Greek Language, it will easily follow, that the very same was likewise used here in Britain ; and the Consequence is evident and necessary, for that the whole Society, and all the Discipline of the Druides in France, was nothing else but a very Colony taken out from our British Druides, as Cæsar himself in the same Place affirmeth, from whence they learned and received all their Discipline for managing of Causes whatsoever.* Disciplina Druidum (*saihb*) in Britannia reperta, atque inde in Galliam translata : Et nunc qui diligentius illam disciplinam cognoscere volunt, in Britanniam discendi causa proficiscuntur. *The very same witnesseth Pliny also, lib. 13. cap. 1. towards the End. Nay their very Name and Appellation may serve for a Proof of the Use of the Greek Tongue, they being called Druides of ὄρεσ an Oak, because, saith*

To the R E A D E R.

Pliny, they frequent Woods where Oaks are, and in all their Sacrifices use the Leaves of those Trees. Add secondly to this, the daily Commerce and Traffick betwixt those Britains and French so much spoken of by Cæsar, Strabo, and Pliny: And therefore no doubt but they used one and the same Form of covenants by Writing; which that it was in Greek, Strabo plainly affirmeth, lib. 4. Geographiæ, for that the Massilienses a Greek Colony, and, as Histories report, the chiefest Merchants then in the World next the Phœnicians, so spread abroad the desire of Learning their Language, that even vulgarly instancing therein the French Nation, they did τὰ συμβόλαια Ἑλληνιστὶ γράφειν, write, saith he, their Deeds and Obligations in Greek; and that there passed continual Traffick likewise betwixt these very Massiliens and the Britains; Strabo in the same Place directly affirmeth in that saith he they used to fetch Tin from the British Islands to Massilia, ἐκ τῶν Βρεταννικῶν νήσων εἰς τὴν Μασσαλίαν κομισέσθαι, and for this it is that Juvenal, who wrote above 1500 Years past in his 15th Satyr saith, Gallia caussidi-

plina Druidum in Britannia reperta, atque inde in Galliam translata; & nunc qui diligentius illam disciplinam cognoscere volunt, in Britanniam dicendi causa profiscuntur. Hoc ipsum etiam testatur Plinius, lib. 13. c. 1. ad finem: Quin & nomen ipsum Druidum pro sermonis Græci usu argumento nobis esse potest; quandoquidem a Græco δρῦς appellationem & nomen traxerint, ob hanc causam inquit Plinius quia per se roborum eligunt lincos, nec ulla sacra sine ea fronde conficiunt. Adicias secundo loco affdua commercia Britanorum cum Gallis a Cæsare, Strabone, Plinio tantopere decantata: Ergo & iisdem pactorum conventorumq; formulis fuisse usus proculdubio est necesse, quod totum Græca lingua factitatum esse affirmat disertè Strabo, lib. 4. Geographiæ, quia Massilienses colonia Græca (atque, ut historiæ referunt, præcipuum post Phœnices mercatores) studium discendi Græca in tantum passim excitarunt, ut solerent etiam vulgo, (de Gallis ibi loquitur) τὰ συμβόλαια Ἑλληνιστὶ γράφειν, hoc est contractuum formulas Græce

To the READER.

Græce scribere : Quin & intercessisse item assidua commercia Massiliensibus ipsis cum Britannis, ibidem directe Strabo indicat : Olim enim ait solibant Stannum ἐν τῷ Βρετανικῶν νήσων εἰς τὴν Μασσαλίαν κομισθέντα e Britannicis insulis in Massaliam asportare : Unde Juvenalis illud Sat. 15. qui Mille supra & quingentos abhinc annos scripsit, respectu usus Græcæ linguæ in Jurisprudencia, *Gallia canssidicos docuit facunda Britannos* : Non quod a Gallis Jurisperiti nostri eloquentiam didicerint, id quod Cæsar Author certissimus negat, sed quia leges nostræ græce conscribebantur, idcirco Gallia quæ coloniam Græcam (ut ait Strabo) receperat, juris nostri professores dicitur docuisse. Porro disciplinam religiosam quod attinet, refert idem Author, lib. 4. Coluisse Britannos Cererem & Proserpinam, iisque sacra fecisse eorum plane ritu, qui in Σαμοθρακίῃ, Samo vixerunt. Deniq; cum exercuisse Græcos hic commercia, tum non incognitam modo, sed familiarem fuisse veteribus Britannis eorum linguam probant ipsa hujus Insulæ nomina. Nam Bret (un-

cos docuit facunda Britannos : *Not that the Frenchmen did teach the Lawyers of England to be Eloquent, (which Cæsar a most certain Author denieth) but that a Colony of Græcians residing in France, as Strabo saith, Gallia was said to teach the Professors of the Laws of England, being written in the Greek Tongue, Eloquence. Now for Matters of Religion, Strabo in his 4th Book obserueth, that the Britains worshipped Ceres, and Proserpina, and sacrificed unto them according to the Greek Form of Superstition as they did ἐν τῷ Σαμοθρακίῃ, in Samos. Lastly, that as well the Grecians had Traffick here, as that their Language was not unknown to the antient Britains, the very Names given unto this our County, do declare and prove. For Bret (from whence our Writers as from an old British Word derive the Apellation of this Island and Inhabitants, because the ancient Britains were wont to paint their Bodies, and in Juvenal are called picti Britanni, which was, saith Cæf. lib. 3. to make them seem fearful in fight to their Enemies, the same Word in*

To the R E A D E R.

that very Signification is Greek, and τὸ βρετανία in Æschylus & Lycophron signifies a Picture; now the other Part of the Word, *navia*, it is in Greek as much as Land or Country: I omit the Name Albion, at the first Olbion, or the happy Island in Greek, together with a great Multitude of English Words, as Cyrographer Prothono, Ideote, &c. yet tasting of a Greek beginning: For that hereby as I think it is sufficiently proved that the Laws of England are of much greater Antiquity than they are reported to be, and than any the Constitutions or Laws imperial of Roman Emperors. Now therefore to return to our Chronologers, they farther say, that 441 Years before the Incarnation of Christ, Mulumucius of some called Dunvallo M. of some Dovebant, did write Two Books of the Laws of the Britons, the one called Stat' Municipalia, and the other Leges Judiciariæ, for so the same do signify in the British Tongue, wherein he wrote the same, which is as much as to say, the Statute Law, and the Common Law: And 356 Years before the Birth of Christ, Mercia Proba, Queen and Wife of King Gwintelin,

de scriptores nostri tanquam ab antiquo verbo Britannico, regionis istius atque incolarum appellationem deducunt, eo nimirum quod soliti sint veteres olim Britanni, corpora pingere, unde *picti Britanni* apud Juvenalem, cujus ratio fuit inquit Cæsar, lib. 5. quo fierent in prælio aspectu horribiliori) illud ipsum verbum in ipsissima eadem significatione, purum putum Græcum est; & τὸ βρετανία apud Æschylum ac Lycophronem, picturam significat: Quod ad alterum vero vocabuli illius membrum, *navia* græca vox est, idemque plane quod apud Latinos Regio sonat: Mitto hic nomen alterum *Albion*, primo *Olbion* græce beata insula, una cum Britannicorum vocabulorum ingenti quasi exercitu, quæ in hunc usq; diem Græcam originem profus sapiunt; quandoquidem vet ex hiisce fatis (ut opinor) liquet, antiquius multo fuisse jus nostrum quam fertur, quamque ullæ sint cujuscunque tandem Romani Imperatoris leges aut constitutiones imperiales: Quare ut aliquando ad Chronicos nostros redeam, inquit porro Mulumucium ab aliis Dunvallo

vallo M. dict', ab aliis Doves' duos libros de Britannum legibus annos ante Christum natum 441. conscripsisse, alterum statuta municipalia dictum, leges Judiciaræ alterum, ita enim Britannice sonant verba antiqua, idemque valent quod jus nostrum municipale, jusque commune. Porro annis ante Christum 356. Mercia, proba Regina & uxor Regis Swinthe lini, Britonum lingua de legibus Angliæ librum scripsit, quem eundem Marchenleg, nominavit. Ad hæc Alfredus five Alvedus Saxonum occidentalium Rex, annos post Christum 872. de iisdem legibus Angliæ librum composuit, quem inscripsit Breviarium quoddam, qd' composuit ex diversis legibus Trojanorum, Græcorum, Britannorum, Saxonum, & Dacorum. Anno vero a Christi incarnatione 635. Sigabert five Sigesbert Orientalium Anglorum Rex, librum scripsit de legibus Angliæ, quem vocavit Instituta legum: Edw. Rex ejus nominis ante devictam hanc gentem tertius, ex immensa legum congerie, quas Britanni, Romani, Angli & Daci condiderunt, optima quæque selegit, ac in

wrote a Book of the Laws of England in the British Tongue, calling it Marchenleg: King Alfred, or Alved King of the West Saxons, 872 Years after Christ wrote a Book of the Laws of England, and called the same, Breviarium quoddam, quod composuit ex diversis legibus Trojanorum, Græcorum, Britannorum, Saxonum, & Dacorum: In the Year after the Incarnation of Christ 635. Sigabert, or Sigesbert orientalium Anglorum Rex, wrote a Book of the Laws of England, calling it legum Instituta: King Edward of that Name before the Conquest the 3. Ex immensa leg' congerie, quas Britanni, Rom', Angli & Daci condiderunt, optima quæq; selegit, ac in unam coegit quam vocari voluit leg' communem; these and much more to like purpose shall you read in Gildas, Gervasius Tilburienf. Galfr. of Monmouth, Will' of Mamfbury, Hovend, Matthew of Westminster, Polidore Virgil, Harding, Caxton, Fabian, Balæus and others. So as it appeareth by them that before the Conquest there were, amongst others, Seven Volumes or Books intituled Leges Britannorum,

To the READER.

rum, Statuta Municipalia, Leges Judiciariæ, Merchenleg, Breviarium Legum, Legum Instituta, & Communis Lex. Cum insignis subactor Angliæ Rex Will^o ultiores insulæ fines suo subjugasset imperio, & rebellium mentes terribilium perdomuisset exemplis, ne libera de cætero daretur erroris facultas, decrevit subjectum sibi populum Juri scripto legibusque subdicere: Propositis igitur legibus Anglicanis secundum tripartitam eor^o distinctionem, hoc est *Marchenleg, Daneleg, & West-Saxonleg*, quosdam reprobavit, quosdam autem approbans transmarinas Newstriæ leges, quæ ad Regni pacem tuendam efficacissimæ videbantur adjecit; *this saith Gervasius Tilburiensis, one that wrote in the Conqueror's Time, or shortly after him: Whereby, if the same were admitted, it appeareth that some of the English Laws be allowed, and such of his own as he added were efficacissimæ ad Regni pacem tuendam, and therefore if such Laws as he added of his own had continued, (as in Troth they did not) they were not so shamefully and falsely to be stan-*

unam coegit, quam vocari voluit legem communem; hæc atq; consimilia plura leges apud Gildam, Gervasium Tilburiensem, Galfridum Monumathensem, Guilielmum Mamsburiensem, Hovendenum, Mathæum Westmonasteriensem, Polidorum Virgilium, Hardingum, Caxtonum, Fabianum, Balæum, atque alios: Ex quibus apparet quod ante subjugatam Angliam, septem profecto sive libri sive volumina extiterunt, inscripta leges Britonum, statuta Municipalia, leges judiciariæ, Marchenleg, Breviarium legum, legum Instituta, & communis Lex. Cum insignis subactor Angliæ Rex Willielmus ultiores insulæ fines suo subjugasset imperio, & rebellium mentes terribilium perdomuisset exemplis, ne libera de cætero daretur erroris facultas, decrevit subjectum sibi populum Juri scripto legibusque subdicere, propositis igitur legibus Anglicanis secundum tripartitam eorum distinctionem, hoc est *Marchenleg, Daneleg & West-saxonleg*, quosdam reprobavit, quosdam autem approbans transmarinas Newstriæ leges, quæ ad Regni pacem tuendam effica-

efficacissime videbantur, adjecit; hæc habet Gervasius Tilburienſis, qui aut victoris ipſius temporibus, aut non ita multo poſt ſcripſit: Ex quo conſtat (ſiquidem fidei quid hic Author habeat) & approbaſſe illum leges Angliæ nonnullas, & fuiſſe illas quas de ſuo addidit ad regni pacem tuendam efficaciffimas; ideoque ſi perſtitiffent, etiam atq; permanſiffent leges illæ adjectitiæ (id quod neutiſquam videmus factum) at contumelia illa tamen tam impudenti, tamque adeo falſa nequaquam dignæ fuiſſent, qua eas nonnulli malicioſe, ne dicam an ignoranter magis affererunt. De quibus illum tantum dico;

*Aut hæc in noſtros fabricata eſt machina muros;
Aut aliquis latet error, equo ne credite Teucrici.*

Interea tamen ut habeas lector in quo acquieſcas, audi quid Job. Forteſcue miles, capitalis Angliæ juſticiarius, ſingulari cum doctrina tum autoritate vir de hac ipſa re ſcripſerit. Is libro primo c. 17. de legibus Angliæ agens; quæ ſi optimæ inquit non extitiffent, aliqua regum

dered, as ſome maliciously and ignorantly have done; of whom I only ſay,

Aut hæc in noſtros fabricata eſt machina muros;
Aut aliquis latet error, equo ne credite Teucrici.

For thy Satisfaction herein, bear what Sir Jo. Forteſcue, Kt. Chief Juſtice of England, a Man of excellent Learning and Authority, wrote of this Matter, lib. 1. cap. 17. ſpeaking of the Laws of England; Quæ ſi optimæ non extitiffent, aliqui regum illorum juſtitia, ratione, ſeu affectione concitati eas mutaffent, aut omnino deleviſſent, & maxime Romani qui legibus ſuis quaſi totum orbis reliquum judicabant. After the Conqueſt King Henry the Firſt, the Conqueror's Son, ſurnamed Beauclarke, a Man excellently Learned, becauſe he aboliſhed ſuch Customs of Normandy as his Father added to our Common Laws, is ſaid to have reſtored the antient Laws of England: King Henry the Second wrote a Book of the Common Laws and Statutes of England, divided into two Tomes, and according to the ſame Diviſion, intituled the one pro Republica leges,

To the READER.

* See the Laws of H. 2. in Wilkins's Saxon Laws, p. 318 to 338.

*and the other Statuta Regalia, whereof not any Fragment doth now remain *. And yet by the Way, I could but Smile when I read in some of them, that when Cardinal Woolsey at the last perceived untrue Surmises, and feigned Complaints for the most part of such poor People as laded him with Petitions, he then waxed weary of hearing their Causes, and ordained by the King's Commission divers Under-Courts to hear Complaints by Bill of poor People; the one was kept in the Whitehall, the other before the K.'s Almoner Dr. Stokesley, a Man that had more Learning than Discretion to be a Judge; the Third was kept in the Lord Treasurer's Chamber, beside the Star-Chamber, and the Fourth at the Rolls at the Afternoon: These Courts were greatly haunted for a Time, but at the last the People perceived that much Delay was used in these Courts, and few Matters ended, and when they were ended, they bound no Man by the Law, then every Man was weary of them, and resorted to the Common Law; but Tractent fabrica fabri; and yet it were to be wished that they had kept themselves within their*

illorum justitia, ratione, vel affectione conceitati eas mutassent, aut omnino delevissent, & maxime Romani qui legibus suis quasi totum orbis reliquum indicabant. Post subactionem nostram Henricus ejus nominis primus, victoris filius cognomento Beauclarke, præstanti vir doctrina, ob id antiquas leges Angliæ restituisse dicitur, quod consuetudines Normannicas a patre ipsius superinductas penitus abolverit: Henricus vero secundus Librum etiam de legibus & statutis Angliæ composuit, quem in duos Tomos sectum, alterum pro Republica leges, alterum statuta regalia, secundum divisionem illam inscripsit, quorum ne fragmentum extat hodie reliquum. (Q.) Nequeo tamen obiter abstinere risu interea, cum apud Annalium hocce scriptores quosdam lego, quod ubi Cardinalis Woolseius persensisset in supplicationibus vulgi, quibus indies onerabatur, aut suspiciones falsas, aut fictas queremonias ut plurimum contineri, labore illo audiendi causas defatigatus, ex concessione & edicto Regis, minores aliquot Curias substituit, quæ audiendis populi querelis per

li-

libellos supplices infervirent, harum unam constituit in ædibus dictis *Whitehall*, alteram coram Eleemosynario regio Doctore *Stockesley*, viro utique docto, certe ad officium & munus Judicis minus apto & discreto: Tertiam in cubiculo magistri Angliæ Thesaurarii juxta cameram stellatam: Et quartam apud Rotulorum custodem post meridiem; atque ad has quidem curias frequens populus aliquandiu confluit, verum earum tædiodemum victi, ubi causas plurimas de die in diem vidissent dilatas, paucas vero compositas, neque quenquam denique teneri lege latæ illic sententiæ utcunque stare, ad Jus commune omnes inde convolarunt: *Sed trahent fabricilia fabri.* Et optandum sane esset ut intra metas suas se continuissent, quando eorum forte aliqui apud viros prudentes illorum tandem reportarunt præmium, quibus ne tum quidem creditur, etiam cum verum dicunt. Doctis vero & prudentibus historiographis consilium illud do, ne immisceant sese temere alienis studiis, neve in mysteria cujuscunque tandem artis aut scientiæ, imprimis vero legum hujus Regni

proper Element, for Peradventure with wise Men, some of them have reaped the Reward of those that are not believed, when they say the Truth. To the grave and learned Writers of Histories, my Advice is, that they meddle not with any Point or Secret of any Art or Science, especially with the Laws of this Realm, before they confer with some Learned in that Profession.

To the R E A D E R:

And where it is reported, that it was not lawful for any common Person to use any Seal to any Deed, Charter, or other Instrument in the Reign of Hen. 2. nor long after, and therefore Richard Lacy Chief Justice of England, in the Reign of Hen. 2. is said to have reprehended a common Person, for that he used a Patent Seal, when as that pertained, as he said, to the King and Nobility only; against which, Ingulphus Abbot of Croyland, who is said to have come in with the Conqueror saith, Ante Normannorum ingressum Cirographa firma erant cum crucibus aureis, aliisque signaculis, sed Normannos cum cerea impressione uniuscujusque speciale sigillum sub intitulatione trium vel quatuor Testium conficere Cirographa instituere, by which it appeareth, that in the Conqueror's Time, every Man might Seal with a private Seal: But letting these pass, and to believe neither till both of them be agreed, in Troth it was ever unlawful for a Gentleman to

non consulto prius docto aliquo ejus professore, irruant aut invadant.

Atq; quod fertur non licuisse publicitus, regnante Henrico secundo & multo post, in pactis, donationibus aliisque instrumentis plebeio homini sigillo privato uti (quo nomine *Rich. Lacy* capitalis totius Angliæ justitiarius temporibus *Henrici secundi* hominem plebeium reprehenderit, qd' sigillo patenti ut loquuntur usus sit, id quod Regis tantum ac procerum fuisse dicitur :) Contrarium plane habet *Ingulphus Abbas de Croyland*, qui cum gentis hujus victore una huc devenit, “ atq; quod
 “ ante Normannorum in-
 “ gres. Cirographa fir-
 “ ma erant cum crucibus
 “ aureis aliis signaculis,
 “ sed Norman' cum cerea
 “ impressione uniuscujus-
 “ que speciale sigillum,
 “ sub intitulatione trium
 “ vel quatuor testium con-
 “ ficere Cirographa insti-
 “ tuere: Unde constat cu-
 “ ivis e plebe licuisse tem-
 “ poribus illius Victoris
 “ privato sigillo suo Ciro-
 “ graphum signare. Ve-
 rum ut rem hanc aliquan-
 do missam faciamus, atq;
 neutri parti tantisper cre-
 damus, dum inter sese mu-
 tuo convenerint, illud pro-

profecto certum est, nunquam licuisse sive homini generoso alterius insignia aut sigillum usurpare, sive cuicumq; alii cujusvis signaculum affingere aut imitari; alias vero semper cuivis subdito licuit, sigillum suum cuicumque tandem instrumento apponere; atq; hoc infinitis prope constat exemplis; ego tamen unico instar omnium contentus ero, quod a Magistro *Josepho Hollandio* Interioris Templi socio accepi, Antiquario sane perito & bonarum literarum amantissimo; Datum vero fuit *An. 33 H. 2.* & vel in hunc usq; diem vetera duo pulcherrima sigilla gestat, alterum *Gualteri de Fridastorpe*, alterum *Heliae ipsius filii*: Et quia multa notatu digna continet, opere pretium putavi in lectoris gratiam, de verbo ad verbum huc transferre. *Hæc est concordia facta in Comitatu Ebor' die Lunæ proxime post festum Sancti Hillarii Anno Regni Regis Henrici secundi 33, inter Walterum de Fridastorpe & Heliam filium ejus, & inter Johannem de Beverlaco, scil't, de una carucata terræ in Fridastorpe, quam præd' Joh. clamavit versus eos in eod' com' sicut jus & hæreditagium suum, per breve Dom'*

usurp the Arms or Seals of another; and to forge or counterfeit the Seal of any other was unlawful for any: But otherwise it was never unlawful for any Subject to put his own Seal to any Instrument, as may appear by infinite Precedents, amongst which for an Instance, I thought good here to remember one for all, which Master Joseph Holland of the Inner Temple, a good Antiquary, and a Lover of Learning delivered unto me, and beareth Date Anno 33 H. 2. and is sealed at this present with 2 fair ancient Seals, viz. of Walter of Fridastorpe, and Helias his Son, and for that it containeth divers Matters worthy Observation; I thought good to exemplify it to the Reader de verbo in verbum. Hæc est concordia facta in Comitatu Eborum die Lunæ proxime post festum Sancti Hillarii Anno Regni Regis Henrici secundi 33, inter Walterum de Fridastorpe & Heliam filium ejus, & inter Johan. de Beverlaco, scil. de una carucata terræ in Fridastorpe, quam præd' Joh. clamavit versus eos in eodem comitatu sicut jus & hæreditagium suum per breve Dom' Reg' scil. quod præd' Walt.
&

To the READER.

& Helias filius ejus dederunt, & reddiderunt præd' Joh. pro clameo & recto suo quod in ipsa terra habuit, unam dimid' carucatam terræ in eadem villa, & unum toftum, scil' illam dimid' carucatam terræ quæ jacet inter terram Galfrid' Wanlin & inter præd' carucatam terræ quam clamavit, & illud toftum qd' jacet inter terram Adæ filiæ Norman' de Sezevall' & terram Hen' filii Thom' plenarie cum omnibus pertinentiis suis infra villam & extra sine ullo retenemento; hanc vero dimid' carucatam terræ & toftum plenarie cum omnibus pertinentiis suis tenebit præd' Joh' & hæred' sui de præd' Helia & hæredib' suis, Reddendo inde annuatim præd' Helix & hæredib' suis 12 d. ad termin' Pentecost, pro omnibus servitiis quæ ad terram illam pertinent: Et præd' Walterus & Helias & hæred' sui warrantizabunt præd' Joh' & hæredibus suis præfat' dimid' carucatam terræ & toftum, cum omnib' pertinen' contra omnes homines: Hanc vero concordiam ex utraq; parte affidaverunt firmiter & sine dolo tenend', sicut præsens Cirographum testatur; & sæpe dictus Walterus atturnavit prædict'

Reg', scil' qd' præd' Walt' & Helias filius ejus dederunt, & reddiderunt præd' Jo' pro clameo & recto suo quod in ipsa terra habuit, unam dimid' carucat' terræ in eadem villa, & unum toftum, scil' illam dimid' carucatam terræ quæ jacet int' terr' Galfrid' Wanlin & int' præd' carucatam terræ quam clamavit, & illud toft' qd' jacet inter terr' Adæ filiæ Norm' de Sezevall' & terram Henr' filii Thom' plenarie cum omnibus pertinen' suis infra villa' & extra sine ullo retenemento; hanc vero dimid' carucatam terr' & toft' plenarie cum omnib' pertinen' suis tenebit præd' Joh' & hæred' sui de præd' Helia & hæred' suis, Reddendo inde annuatim præd' Helix & hæredib' suis 12 d. ad termin' Pentecost', pro omnib' servitiis quæ ad terram illam pertinent: Et præd' Walterus & Helias & hæred' sui warrantizabunt præd' Joh' & hæred' suis præfat' dimid' carucatam terræ & toft', cum omnib' pertinen' contra omnes homines: Hanc vero concordiam ex utraque parte affidaverunt firmiter & sine dolo tenend', sicut præsens Cirographum testatur; & sæpe dictus Walterus atturnavit præd' Johan' in eodem com' ad faciend' præd' Helix filio suo, & hæred' suis; hiis testibus Remigio Dapi-

To the R E A D E R:

227

Dapifero, Ranulp' de Glanvil' tunc Vicec' Ebor', Ranulp' filio Walteri, Roger de Badnut, Warino de Rollesby, Alano de Sinderby, Radulp' filio Radul. Will' de Aton', Nich' de Warham, Rob' de Mara, Alano filio Helix, Roberto de Melfa, Thom' filio Jodlani, Walram' filio Will' Walter' de Bomadnum, Alano Malebanke, Adamo de Killum, Robert' de Malteby, Gilbert' de Torini, Willmo Agullum, Gilbert' filio Ric' Willmo de Backestorpe, Helia Latimer; Quo quidem rescripto sive brevi rex domino mandavit, quod sine dilatione plenum rectum teneat Joh' de Beverlaco de una carucata terræ cum pertinen' in Fridastorp quam clamavit, & quam Wal' de Fridastorp, & Helias filius ejus ei deforc', & nisi fecerit Vicecomes Ebor' faciat, ne amplius inde clamorem audiamus pro defectu recti. Ad pleniorum vero hujus rei intelligentiam, tenendum imprimis quod Joh' de Beverlaco rescript' seu breve addux' pro jure suo recuperando contra Walterum de Fridastorpe & Heliam filium ejus, idq; de una carucata terræ in Fridastorpe, quod quidem breve Domini

Johan' in eodem comitatu ad faciendum præd' servitium præd' Helix filio suo, & hæredibus suis; hiis testibus Remigio Dapifero, Ranulpho de Glanvil' tunc Vicecomite Eborum, Ranulpho filio Walteri, Rogero de Badnut, Warino de Rollesby, Alano de Sinderby, Radulpho filio Radul' Will' de Aton', Nich' de Warham, Robert' de Mara, Alano filio Helix, Roberto de Melfa, Thom' filio Jodlani, Walram filio Will' Waltero de Bomadnum, Alano Malebanke, Adamo de Killum, Roberto de Malteby, Gilberto de Torini, Will' Agullum, Gilberto filio Richardi, Willmo de Backestorpe, Helia Latimer; *by which Writ the King commanded the Lord,* Quod sine dilatione plenum rectum teneat Johan' de Beverlaco de uno carucata terræ cum pertinentiis in Fridastorpe quam clamat, & quam Walterus de Fridastorpe, & Helias filius ejus ei deforc', & nisi fecerit Vicecomes Eborum faciat, ne amplius inde clamorem audiamus pro defectu recti. *For thy better Understanding, hereby it appeareth that J. de Beverlaco brought a Writ of Right against Walter of Fri-*

To the R E A D E R.

Fridastorpe, and Helias his Son, of one plough Land in Fridastorpe, directed to the Lord of the Manor of whom the said plough Land was holden, which Writ was after by a Precept made by the Sheriff called a Tolt, (because it doth tollere loquelam from the Court Baron to the County Court) removed into the County Court, where before Ranulph de Glanvilla then Sheriff of York, this Concord was by Consent of Parties made in the County Court, by Force of the Commission given to the Sheriff in Default of the Lord, by the said Writ, (viz.) That the Sheriff in his County Court should see that the Demandant should without delay have his full Right in the said plough Land, upon which Writ so brought in that Court this Concord was made, and not only entred into the Rolls of the County Court, but by way of Instrument indented, mutually sealed by either Party; so as by this Concord the perclose of the Writ, Ne amplius inde clamorem audiamus pro defectu recti was satisfied, and to the End that this Concord might be the more firmly kept, each Party bound himself to the other by an Affidavit:

no illius fundi missum fuit, a quo carucata illa terræ tenebatur: Inde vero hoc ipsum breve ad Comitatus curiam vi præcepti per Vicecomitem facti (quod ideo apud nos vocatur a Tolt quia tollit atq; eximit causam e curia Baronis ad illam comitatus) removebatur, ubi coram Ranulpho de Glanvilla Ebor' tunc tempor' Vicecomite mutuo partium consensu facta est in curia comitatus concordia hæc, Idque vi præcepti per breve illud vicecomiti dat', ut si Dom' ipse officio in hanc parte deesset, tum curaret vicecomes in Comitatus curia ut plenum jus suum in carucata illa terræ actor possit recuperare. Rescripti igitur, seu brevis illius virtute, facta est illa Curia concordia hæc, & relata ac inscripta non solum in rotulis curiæ Comitatus, sed in instrumento etiam qd' Indenturam vocant, utrinq; ex utraq; parte mutuo consignato; atque sic adimpletum fuit rescripti illius ἐπιφύρα. Ne amplius clamorem audiamus pro defectu recti. Deniq; quo firmior staret atq; inviolabilior hæc concordia, utraque pars se alteri per breve illud devinxit, quod fortassis hinc inde dictum est affidavit:

affidavit: Quod sane ex antiquo hoc & docto instrumento necessario colligitur; nam per literas Dom' Reg' intelligitur rescript' seu breve de re sua recuperanda in hiis verbis clamavit, &c. jus suum, Verum infra diserte ubi dicitur pro clameo & recto suo; ad hæc constat quod concordia hæc facta fuit in Comit' Ebor', & clamavit versus eos in eod' comitatu, &c. per breve Domini Reg', hocq; totum factum fuit coram Ranulpho de Glanvilla tunc Vicecomite: Jam vero doctos quosq; non latet, qd' breve de jure suo recuperando in Curiam comitatus mitti ac dirigi non potest, verum eo per præcept', vocatum Tolt, debet necessario removeri: illud bone Lector audacter tibi aufferim affirmare qd' concordiam hanc adeo præstantem, adeo scriptam bene, nullus sive Abbas, sive Monachus, sive clericus alius, qui Annales nostros aut earum forte partem aliquam conscripsit, intelligere potuisset. Verum redeamus aliquando ad antiquor' tempor' graves sane & doctos Legum scriptores, qui defecerunt (ut conjicio) ad finem regni Hen' septimi, inter quor' Relationes ac

All this is necessarily collected out of this ancient and learned Instrument; for per breve Domini Regis is intended a Writ of Right by these Words clamavit, &c. jus suum; and afterwards expressly, when it is said pro clameo & recto suo: Also it appeareth that this Concord was made in comit' Eborum, and clamavit versus eos in eodem, comit', &c. per breve Domini Regis, and all this was done coram Ranulpho de Glanvilla tunc vicec': And the Learned do know that a Writ of Right cannot be returnable in the County Court, but must of Necessity be removed thither by Tolt. Good Reader I dare confidently affirm unto thee, that never any Abbot, Monk, or Churchman, that wrote any of our Annals, could have understood this excellent and well indicted Concord. But to return again to these grave and learned Reporters of the Laws in former Times, who (as I take it) about the End of the Reign of K. H. 7. ceased, between which and the Cases reported in the Reign of Hen. 8. you may observe no small Difference: So as about the End of the Reign of Hen. 7. it was thought*

* Rather the Beginning; the Policy of the Reign being, to leave as few Memorials of it to Posterity as possible.

To the R E A D E R.

thought by the Sages of the Law, that at that Time the Reports of the Law were sufficient; wherefore it may seem both unnecessary and unprofitable to have any more Reports of the Law: But the same Causes that moved the former, do require also to have some more added unto them for two special Ends and Purposes. First to explain and expound those Statutes and Acts of Parliament which either have been enacted since those Reports, or were not (no Occasion falling out) in any former Reports expounded at all.

2. To reconcile the Doubts in former Reports, rising either upon Diversity of Opinions or Questions moved and left undecided, for that it cannot be, but in so many Books written in so many several Ages, there must be (as the like in all Sciences and Arts both divine and human it falleth out) some Diversity of Opinions and many Doubts left unresolved: For which only Purposes I have published the for-

scripta, atque eorum qui temporibus Hen. 8. subsecuti sunt, quantum intersit facile potes observare; unde fuit quod circa finem Hen. 7. consultissimis jurisperitis persuasum erat, librorum tum atque relationum juris abunde satis extitisse: Quid ergo an supervacaneum prorsus & inutile putabimus plura etiam illis adjicere? Certe quæ res duæ imprimis superiores illas relationes & libros causabant ac procurabant, illæ ambæ plures etiam hodie requirunt flagitantque. Primo ad ea statuta atque decreta comitialia explicanda atque exponenda quæ inde a scriptis illis in hunc diem aut sancita fuerunt, aut (nulla interveniente occasione) non exposita.

2. Ad concilianda quædam dubia in iisdem libris orta vel ex opinionum varietate, vel ex motis quidem nec solutis postea quæstionibus: Fieri enim non potest quin in tot libris, tamq; adeo diversis sæculis scriptis (id quod in aliis scientiis & artibus universis tam divin' quam humanis usu venit) opinionum varietas aliqua contingat, dubiisq; plurimis non satisfiat. Quare

ob has causas elucidationum mearum partes priores duas, hancq; postremo ultimam in lucem edidi, quæ legum studiosos (id quod spero; ac cupio) ad illorum veterum præstantissimorum utilissimorumque librorum frequentem magis ac diligentem revolutionem excitare & movere possint. Atq; sane Relationes istæ meæ (siquidem meas dicere liceat quæ aliorum sunt conscriptæ sententiæ) Commentariorum naturam fortuntur, & faciunt vel ad fœliciores apprehensionem genuinæ ac veræ Interpretationis quorundam generalium statutorum, quæ licet universum hoc regnum respiciunt, tamen quoad præcipuas quasdam partes, nunquam prius fuerunt exposita aut explicata; vel ad saniores intellectum germani sensus, ac rationis iudiciorum atque sententiarum antehac relatarum; vel deniq; ad dubiarum quæstionum (quales multæ in illis non solutæ adhuc reperiuntur) plenam certamque determinationem. Hinc ergo prioribus duobus libris ad explicandum & exponendum Statutum illud in 23 Hen. 8. c. 10. actam dedi *Porteri* Causam: Pro tam

mer two, and this last Part of my Reports, which I trust will be a Mean (for so I intended them) to cause the studious to peruse and peruse again with greater Diligence, those former excellent and most fruitful Reports: And in troth these of mine (if so I may call them, being the Judgments of others) are but in Nature of Commentaries, either for the better apprehending of the true Construction of certain general Acts of Parliament concerning the whole Realm, in certain principal Points never expounded before; or for the better Understanding of the true Sense and Reason of the Judgments and Resolutions formerly reported; or for Resolution of such Doubts as therein remain undecided: For which Purposes, in my former Reports I have reported and published for the Explanation and Exposition of the Statute of 23 H. 8. c. 10. Porter's Case; Of the broad spreading Stat. of 27 H. 8. c. 10. of Uses, the Cases of Chudleigh, Corbet, Shelley, Albany, and the Lord Cromwel's Case: Of the Statute of 34 H. 8. cap. 20. of Recoveries, Wiseman's Case; of the Statute of 13 Eliz. cap. 7. of Bankrupts,

To the READER.

the Case of Bankrupts ; of the Statute of 34 H. 8. cap. 21. of Confirmation of Letters Patents, Dodington's Case ; of the Statute of 31 H. 8. of Dissolution of Monasteries, and of the Statute of 1 Ed. 6. of Chauntries, the Archbishop of Canterbury's Case ; and of one Branch of the great and general Statutes of 32 and 34 H. 8. of Wills, Bingham's Case : I have reported the Lord Buckhurst's Case, for the true Understanding and expounding of the ancient and former Book Cases concerning Charters and Evidences, and to that End the Residue of the Cases in those two former Parts are published. And seeing the End of these Laws is to have Justice duly administered, and that Justice distributed is, Jus suum cuique; tribuere, to give to every one his own : Let all the Professors of the Law give to these Books that Justice which these Books have in them, That is, to give to every Book and Case, his own true Understanding: And not by wresting or racking, or Inference. of Wit to draw them (no not for approving a Truth) from their proper and natural Sense ; for that were a Point of great Injustice. For Truth and Falshood are so opposite, as

late patenti statuto illo in 27 H. 8. de usibus retuli causas hasce *Chudleigh, Corbet, Shelley, Albany* : Illam item *Domini Cromwell*, de statuto 34 Hen. 8. c. de Recuperationibus : Causam *Wisemanni*, de statuto in 13 *Eliz. c. 7.* de obstrictis ære alieno qui fidem ac tesseram ruperunt, eorum item causam in particulari, ex statuto in 34 Hen. 8. c. 21. De confirmatione literarum patentium causam *Dodingtoni*, ex statuto 31 Hen. 8. de dissolutione Monasteriorum : Item in 1 *Eliz.* de Canteriis (ut loquimur) causam *Episcopi Cantuariensis*, præterea membrum unum magnorum illor' ad generalium statutorum in 32 & 34 H. 8. de Testamentis, causam *Binghami* : Retuli etiam causam *Domini de Buckhurst*, pro vero intellectu Chartarum & antiquarum relationum de concessionibus seu chartis & evidentiis ut loquimur, atque huc fere spectant reliquæ causæ duobus illis superioribus libris a me editæ. Cum igitur eo tendant leges istæ ut justitia administraretur, sitque hoc justitiæ distributiæ suum cuique tribuere, illud demum tribuant *Jurisperiti omnes libris*

libris hifce, quod ipsis hii libri dederunt prius, hoc est fingulis tam libris quam caufis proprium futim ac genuinum intellectum, neque a germano suo fenfu, vel ad veritatem aliquam confirmandum argutis illationibus inflectendo, extendendo, depravando torqueant, quod effet summæ prorfus injuftitiæ.

Jam ex omnibus hifce libris ac relationibus juris communis illud obfervavi, quod utcunque aliquando ex ftatutis comitialibus, quandoq; etiam ex acumine atq; inventione humana quædam juris hujus partes five immutatæ fuerint, five a curfu fuo inverfæ atq; diftractæ, tamen de curfu ac revolutione temporis idem femper jus, tanquam tutiffimum fideliffimumque Reip. firmamentum ac præfidium, magno fane applaufu ad incommoda multa devitanda obtinuit & reftitutum fuit. Exempli caufa, dictavit communis juris prudentia ut hæreditatum jus omne per feudum simplex (ut loquimur) tranfiret, adeo ut tuto poffent inter fe homines alienare, allocare, & contrahere; verum Statutum *Westmon. 2. cap. 1.* aliud tulit jus limitatum;

Truth itfelf ought not to be proved by any Glofs or Application, that the true Senfe will not bear.

*Out of all thefe Books and Reports of the Common Law, I have obferved, that albeit fometime by Acts of Parliament, and fometime by Invention and Wit of Man, fome Points of the ancient Common Law have been alter'd or diverted from his due Courfe, yet in Revolution of Time, the fame (as a moft skilful and faithful Supporter of the Commonwealth) have been with great applaufe, for avoiding of many Inconveniencies, reftored again: As for Example, the Wifdom of the Common Law was, that all Eftates of Inheritance fhould be Fee-fimple, fo as one Man might fafely alien, demife, and contract to and with another: But the Statute of *Westm. 2. cap. 1.* created an Eftate-Tail, and made a Perpetuity by Act of Parliament, reftaining Tenant in Tail from aliening or demifing but only for the Life*

Co. Lit. 282.

6 Co. 40. Præf. 1. 4. & 1. 9.

To the READER.

of Tenant in Tail, which in Process of Time brought in such Troubles and Inconveniencies, that after two hundred Years, Necessity found out a Way by Law for a Tenant in Tail to alien. Also by the ancient Common Laws, Freeholds should not pass from one to another but by Matter of Record, or solemn Livery of Seisin; but against this were uses invented, and grew common and almost universal through the Realm, in Destruction of the ancient common Law in that Point: But in Time the manifold Inconveniencies hereof being by Experience found, the Statute of 27 Hen. 8. c. 10. was made for restoring of the ancient Common Law again, as it expressly appeareth by the Preamble of that Statute; and hereof an infinite more of Examples might be added, but hereof this shall suffice: And thus much of the Books and Treatises, and of the Reporters and Reports of the Laws of England.

illud quasiq; incifum quod nostri vocant *an Estate-Tail*, & decreto comitorum perpetuitatem quandam statuit, quæ tenentem *in Tail* ut loquuntur, hoc est illiusmodi possessiones incolentem & occupantem restringeret, quo minus alienare quid aut allocare possit, nisi tantum pro tenentis ipsius vita naturali: Quod quidem statutum tantas turbas totque incommoda de cursu temporis invexit, ut post 200 tandem annos, necessitas ipsa rationem ac viam per legem inire atque excogitare docuerit, qualiceret possessiones sic tenenti abalienare; cavitt præterea jus commune, ne tenementa libera ut dicimus de manu in manum irent, nisi vel transactionis illius extaret scripto monumentum, vel solenni more possessio atq; jus in re traderetur: Contra hoc adinventi sunt usus, ut appellant, qui in tantum creverunt ut obtinerent etiam ad antiqui juris in illa parte destructionem, non solum vulgo sed fere per totum hoc regnum universum: Verum aliquando ubi experientia docuisset quam multifaria hinc incommoda pullularent: Latum est statutum illud

illud in 27 H. 8. cap. 10. de Revocando atque restituendo antiquo Jure communi, ut ex ill' proœmio diserte patet, infinita plane sunt in hoc genere exempla, verum nobis hæc sufficient: Atq; de libris & tractatibus, deq; Relationibus ac scriptoribus Legum Angliæ hæc hæctenus.

Sequitur nunc de gradibus qui illarum Legum studiosis sunt proprii; sicut enim in utraque Academia Cantabrigiensi atq; Oxoniensi varii gradus sunt, quales sophistæ generales, Baccalaurei, Artium Magistri, Doctores, ex quibus viri ad eminentia loca & sedem Judicii in Ecclesia Curiisq; Ecclesiasticis apti eliguntur: Ita sunt & in Jurisprudentia nostra primo quos vocamus *Mootemen* *Inceptor*, qui quæstion' a Lectoribus propositas in ædibus Cancell', tam in terminis quam magnis vacationibus arguunt & disputant: Ex hiis post studium octo annorum aut circiter, eliguntur Jurisconsulti, nobis *Utterbarristers* dicti; ex quibus constituuntur Lectores in Hospitaliis Cancellariæ, qui post expletos duodecim ad minim' annos, a suscepto illo gradu in senatorum sive patrum ac seniorum classifierum numerum quos

Now for the Degrees of the Law, as there be in the Universities of Cambridge and Oxf. diverse Degrees, as general Sophisters, Bachelors, Masters, Doctors, of whom be chosen Men for eminent and judicial Places, both in the Church and Ecclesiastical Courts; so in the Profession of the Law, there are Mootemen (which are those that argue Readers Cases in Houses of Chancery, both in Terms and grand Vacations.) Of Mootemen after eight Tears Study or thereabouts, are chosen Utterbarristers; of these are chosen Readers in Inns of Chancery: Of Utterbarristers, after they have been of that Degree twelve Tears at least, are chosen Benchers, or Antients; of which one, that is of the Puisne Sort, reads yearly in Summer Vacation, and is called a single Reader; and one of the Antients, that had formerly read, reads in Lent Vacat. and is

To the R E A D E R.

Readers. *called a double Reader, and commonly it is between his first and second Reading, about nine or ten Tears. And out of these the King makes Choice of his Attorney, and*

Attorney Gen. &c. *Solicitor General, his Attorney of the Court of Wards and Liveries, and Attorney of the Dutchy: And of these Readers, are Serjeants e-*

Serjeants. See the Preface to 10 Co. *lected by the King, and are, by the King's Writ, called Ad statum & gradum fervientis ad legem; and out of these the King electeth one, two, or three as please him, to be his Serjeants, which are called the King's Serjeants: Of Serjeants are by the King also constituted the Honourable and Reverend Judges, and Sages of the Law. For the young Student, which most commonly cometh from one of the Universities, for his Entrance or Beginning were first instituted, and erected eight Houses of Chancery, to learn there the Elements of the Law, that is to say, Clifford's - Inn, Lyon's - Inn, Clement's - Inn, Barnard's - Inn, Staple's - Inn, Furnival's - Inn, Davie's - Inn, and New - Inn; and each of these Houses consist of forty or thereabouts: For the Readers, Utterbarriers, Mootemen, and inferior Students, are 4 famous*

King's Serj.

Judges.

Inns of Chan- cery.

Benchers dicimus co-operandur; ex hac classe singulis annis novissimus quisque recentissimusq; in æstiva vacatione prælegit, dictus Lector primo; in Quadragesimali autem vacatione senior alius, qui Lector secundo nominatur: Inter primam vero atque secundam cujusque prælectionem intercedunt fere anni novem aut decem; atque ex hiis quidem eligit Rex Procuratorem suum & Solicitatorem (ut loquimur) generalem Attornatum in curia pupillorum & liberationum, & in curia Ducatus: Insuper ex hiis per breve Regis vocantur alii ad statum & gradum Servientium ad Legem, inter quos Rex qui ipsi sibi inserviant duos aut tres pro arbitrio elegit: Denique ex hiis, honoratos ac reverendos Judices atq; Præsides juris Rex constituit. Tyrones quod attinet qui huc fere ab Academiis accedunt, habent illi in quibus rudimenta atque elementa juris perdiscant ædes Cancellariæ octo, vocata Clifford's - Inn, Lyon's - Inn, Clement's - Inn, Barnard's - Inn, Staple's - Inn, Furnival's - Inn, Davie's - Inn, New - Inn; harum singulæ quadraginta plus minus legum studiosos continent.

nent. Pro Prælectoribus vero & Jurisconsultis, atq; inceptoribus aliisque; inferioris ordinis studiosis extant amplissima quatuor illustrissimaq; Collegia, vocata *Inns of Court*, quæ sunt Templum interius, ad quod pertinent priores tres Cancellariæ ædes; Hospitium Graii, cui subsunt duæ proximæ sequentes; Hospitium Lincolnienſe, cui duæ aliæ; denique Templum Medium, cui ædes postremæ inserviunt. Constant autem singula hæc Collegia lectoribus supra viginti, Jurisconsultis plusquam 60, Tyronibus circiter 160, aut 180, qui omnes inibi tempus suum in Jurisprudentiæ studio aliisque exercitiis dignis laude, & hominibus liberis ac generosis impendunt. Judices & Servientes ad Legem quod attinet, qui fere numerum vicenum expleant aut excedunt, in ædes duas quæ dicuntur Hospitia Servientium ad Legem, suntq; majoris eminentiæ & Dignitatis, equaliter distribuuntur: Atque universa hæc Hospitia, ut neque inter se longe sunt distita, ita conjunctim omnia conficiunt sane præ omnibus in toto terrarum orbe cujuscuq; scientiæ huma-

and renowned Colleges, or Inns of Court. Houses of Court, called *The Inner Temple*, to which the first three Houses of *Chanc.* appertain; *Gray's-Inn*, to which the next two belong; *Lincoln's-Inn*, which enjoyeth the last two saving one; and the *Middle Temple*, which hath only the last: Each of the Houses of Court consist of Readers * Or Benchers. above twenty; of *Utterbar-risters* above thrice so many; of young Gentlemen about the Number of eight or nine-score, who there spend their Time in Study of Law, and in commendable Exercises fit for Gentlemen: The Judges of the Law and Serjeants being commonly above the Number of 20, are equally distinguish'd into two higher and more eminent Houses, called *Serjeants Inn*. All these are not far distant one from another, and all together do make the most famous University for Profession of Law only, or of any one human Science that is in the World, and advanceth itself above all others, Quantum inter *viburna cupressus*. In which Houses of Court and Chancery, the Readings and other Exercises of the Laws therein continually used, are most excellent

To the R E A D E R:

excellent and behoofful for attaining to the knowledge of these Laws: And of these Things this Taste shall suffice, for they would require, if they should be treated of, a Treatise by itself. Of the Antiquity of these Houses, and how they have been changed from one Place to another, I may say as one said of ancient Cities; Perpaucae antiquae civitates authores suos norunt. Now what Arts and Sciences are necessary for the Knowledge and Understanding of these Laws; I say, that seeing these Laws do limit, bound and determine of all other human Laws, Arts, and Sciences; I cannot exclude the Knowledge of any of them from the Professor of these Laws, the Knowledge of any of them is necessary and profitable. But forasmuch as if a Man should spend his whole Life in the Study of these Laws, yet he might still add somewhat to his Understanding of them: Therefore the Judges of the Law in Matters of Difficulty do use to confer with the learned in that Art or Science, whose Resolution is requisite to the true deciding of the Case in Question. Concerning the Lang. or Tongue

næ aliis illustrissimam unius jurisprudentiæ Academicam, quæ sese supra alias effert; Quantum inter viburna cupressus. Porro in Collegiis atq; ædibus hinc singulis lectiones aliaque Jurisprudentiæ exercitia assidue habita, præstantissima profecto sunt, & ad legum scientiam consequendam summopere conducibilia; Atque de hisce rebus gustum hunc dedisse sufficiat; quas si fusius persequeretur, integrum per se tractatum requirerent: Antiquitatem vero ædium harum quod attinet, & quomodo de loco in locum translatae fuerint, idem dicam quod de antiquis civitatibus quidam: Perpaucae antiquae civitates Authores suos norunt. Jam si quærat quæ artes & scientiæ necessariae sint ad istarum legum cognitionem atq; Intelligentiam, respondeo quod quandoquidem Jurisprudentia hæc definit ac statuit de aliis non solum humanis legibus, verum artibus & scientiis universis, profecto earum cujuslibet cognitionem a juris nostri professo non modo non excludo, sed utilem prorsus atque necessariam judico;
Cum

Arts and Sciences.

Cum vero ut quis ætatem suam omnem in studiis hifce legum conterat, aliquid semper addendum restaret quod ad plenam earum intelligentiam faceret, idcirco Judices in difficilioribus causis eorum fere consilium in illa arte aut scientia adhibent, quorum requiri iudicium ad veram quæstionis controversæ decisionem videatur. Quod ad linguam attinet in qua conscriptæ sunt leges nostræ, Judiciorum imprimis sententiarumque formulæ ac monumenta scripta & asserta sunt Latine omnia, id quod cum ex statuto apparet lato in comitiis An. 36 E.3. c.15. tum e scriptis Glanville, Bractonis, Fleta, e Novis item Narrationibus, Lib. Intrationum, & variis denique statutis ipsis quæ sermone Latino conscripta atq; edita sunt: Ante imperium illustrissimi illius Regis Ed. I. tam rescripta omnia originalia ac Judicialia, quam universi legis libri Glanville, Bractonis, &c. denique & statuta quæ in hunc usque diem extant omnia, lingua Latina conscripta atque edita fuerunt; Postea vero in ipsius atq; fi-

wherein these Laws are written, for all judicial Records are entred and enrolled in the Latin Tongue: As it appeareth by an Act of Parliament in anno 36 Ed. 3. c. 15. and the Works of Glanville, Bracton, and Fleta, Novæ Narrationes, and the Book of Entries, and divers of our Statutes are set forth in the Latin Tongue. Before the Reign of that famous King Edward I. as well all Writs original and judicial, as all the Books of the Law, as Glanville, Bracton, &c. and all the Statutes yet extant, were published in the Latin Tongue; In the Reign of him and his Son many Statutes are indicted in the Latin: (as some also of the Statutes of Rich. II. be.) And divers also be enacted in French; for that they had divers Territories and Seignories that spake French within their Dominion, and in Respect thereof the better Sort learned that Language. But forasmuch as the former Reports of the Law, and the rest of the Authors of the Law (the Doctor and Student, who wrote in the English Tongue excepted) are written in French; I have

Law Language. See the late Stat. 4 Geo. 2. cap. 261. and Bohun's Preface to the English Lawyer.

Q. The 1st Edition was in Latin.

To the R E A D E R.

Q. de hoc?

Præf. ad L. 6.

have likewise publish'd these in the same Language : And the Reason that the former Reports were in the French Tongue, was, for that they begun in the Reign of K. Edw. 3. who, as the World knows had lawful Right in the Kingdom of France, and had divers Provinces and Territories thereof in Possession ; it was not thought fit nor convenient, to publish either those or any of the Statutes enacted in those Days in the Vulgar Tongue, lest the unlearned by bare reading without right understanding, might suck out Errors, and trusting to their own Conceit, might endamage themselves, and sometimes fall into Destruction. And it is verily thought that William the Conqueror, finding the Excellency and Equity of the Laws of England, did transport some of them into Normandy, and taught the former Laws, written, as they say, in Greek, Latin, British and Saxon Tongues (for the better Use of Normans) in the Norman Langu. and which are at this Day, (though in Process of Time much altered) called the Customs of Normandy : So taught the Englishmen the Nor-

lii ejus regno multæ leges (sicut & Rich. 2. statuta nonnulla) Latine scriptæ sunt, Gallice item variæ, eoque multas possessiones magnumq; adeo dominium infra regnum hoc sub imperio suo tenuit, in quibus Gallice sunt loquuti, quo respectu superioris fere Ordinis viri eam linguam dixerunt : Quandoquidem tamen juris nostri scriptores tam qui causas ac sententias retuler', quam authores fere alii (excepto uno qui Doctoris ac Studiosi librum Anglice composuit) lingua Gallica scripserunt, & Elucubrationes hæc meas eadem lingua edendas duxi: Jam quod Gallice habeantur relationes illæ veteres, in causa fuit coeperunt scribi sub Imperio Edw. 3. qui ut omnes norunt, in regno Gallix plenum jus habuit ; variasque ejusdem Provincias ac territoria in ditioe ac possessione sua tenuit ; neque sane conducere aut convenire putabatur, siue Relationes illas, siue statuta alia tum sancita sermone vernaculo edere, ne imperiti homines ex nuda lectione absque vero intellectu errores inde sugerent,

rent, suisque adeo confisi ingeniis, aut damnum aliquod, aut certam aliquando perniciem incurrerent: Creditur etiam (nec vana fides) Gulielmum gentis hujus subactorem, postquam legum Angliæ excellentiam atque æquitatem percepisset, earum nonnullas in Normanniam transtulisse, legesque illas veteres (scriptas (ut aiunt) Græce, Latine, Britanice, & Saxonice) ad commodiorem usum Normannorum, Normannice loqui docuisse. Quæ sane licet longo temporis intervallo fuerint immutatæ, tamen vel in Hodiernum usque diem consuetudinem Normanniæ nomen atque appellationem retinent: Consimili plane modo & Anglos nostros, venationis, aucupii, & cæterorum fere ludorum atque exercitiorum omnium vocabula docuit, quæ vel hodie usque manent: Et tamen nemo dubitat quin intra regnum hoc, ante victoris illius tempora, ludi illi animique relaxationes extiterint.

Verum consule quæso præfationem illam Gulielmi de Rouil de Alen-

man Terms of Hunting, Hawking, and, in Effect, of all other Plays and Pastimes, which continue to this Day; and yet no Man maketh Question but these Recreations and Disports were used within this Realm before the Conqueror's Time.

But see the Preface of William de Rouell of Alençon to his Commentary written

To the R E A D E R.

written in Latin, upon the Book, called Le graund Custumier de Normandie, entitled in Latin, Descriptio Normanniæ: where he sheweth and proveth by other Authors, that most of the Customs of Normandy were derived out of the Laws of England, in or before the Time of the said King Edward the Confessor, from whom William Duke of Normandy did derive the Title, by Colour whereof he first entred into the Crown of England. If the Language or Stile do not please thee, let the Excellency and the Importance of the Matter delight and satisfy thee, thereby thou shalt wholly addicte thyself to the admirable Sweetness of Knowledge and Understanding: In lectione non verba sed veritas est amanda, sæpe autem reperitur simplicitas veredica, & falsitas composita, quæ hominem suis erroribus allicit, & per linguæ ornamentum laqueos dulces aspergit: Et doctrina in multis est, quibus deest Oratio. *Certainly the fair Outfides of enamel'd Words and Sentences, do sometimes so be-dazzle the Eye of the Reader's Mind with their glit-*

son in commentarium suum Latine scriptum ad librum, Gallice *Le graund Costumier de Normandy*, latine descriptio Normanniæ appellatum: ubi ex aliis authoribus probat & demonstrat consuetudines illas Normannicas e legibus Angliæ fuisse petitas, sive ante sive non multo post Ed. Confessoris tempora, a quo Gulielmus Normanniæ dux jus suum & titulum duxit, cujus colore regnum hoc Angliæ primo invasit. Si quidem igitur Relationum istarum phrasis aut stilius tibi minus arrideat, at rei ipsius subjectæ præstantia atque utilitas & delectet & satisfaciat; unde fiet ut totum te admirabili plane dulcedini cognitionis atque scientiæ dedas & addices. In lectione non verba sed veritas est amanda, sæpe autem reperitur simplicitas veridica, & falsitas composita, quæ hominem suis erroribus allicit, & per linguæ ornamentum laqueos dulces aspergit; Et doctrina in multis est, quibus deest oratio. Certe quidem species & pulchritudo exterior politorum verborum sententiarumque fucatarum, ita quan-

Isidorus de summo bono. lib. 3. Valer. lib. 8.

quandoque lectori aciem mentis splendore suo perstringit, ut in rei ipsius viscera quasi ac medullam, penetrare atque introspicere nequeat; qui enim fructorum verborum lepores & festivitates avidè venantur, phrasiumq; tragicarum ac tument' luxuriantè quasi odore abripitur, sæpenumero fit ut dum ad inanem ostentationem verba conquirunt, rem amittant: Et sic Projicit ampullas & sesquipedalia verba.; verum Jurisperiti nostri gravitati imprimis convenit sermone apto, noto, conciso uti: atque de hiis hæc sufficiant.

Fecit, Benevole Lector, superiorum elucubration' mearum singularis sane approbatio, novis tuis insuper associata votis, ut pauca hæc reverendissimorum judicum atque Præsidum juris præstantissima sane Judicia ac decreta prælo committam: Quæ quidem omnia tendunt vel ad veram quorundam generalium statutorum expositionem, vel ad librorum nostror' in quibus discrepantes opiniones occurrunt, sensum ac sententiam genuinam explican-

tering Shew, as they cause them not to see or not to pierce into the Inside of the Matter; and he that busily hunteth after affected Words, and followeth the strong Scent of great swelling Phrases, is many Times (in winding of them in, to shew a little verbal Pride) at a dead Loss of the Matter itself, and so Projicit ampullas & sesquipedalia verba: To speak effectually, plainly, and shortly, it becometh the Gravity of this Profession: And of these Things this little Taste shall suffice.

Your extraordinary Allowance of my last Reports, being freshly accompany'd with new Desires, have overcome me to publish these few excellent Judgments and Resolutions of the Reverend Judges and Sages of the Law, tending either to the true Exposition of certain general Acts of Parliament, or to the true Understanding and Sense of our Books, wherein there seemeth some Diversity of Opinion; and albeit they be few in Number, yet many of them consist of divers several Points, and comprehend in them many other Judgments and Resolutions, which never

To the READER.

never before were reported. If by these Labours the Commonwealth shall receive any Good, and the Reader reap the Benefit that for his Reading and Study he deserveth; I shall have all the Reward that for my Writings and Pains I desire.

Vale.

dam. Et licet exiguus prorsus sit relatarum hic a me causarum numerus, late tamen patent earum plurimæ, quæ & diversis constant membris, & multas alias sententias atque conclusiones nunquam antehac in lucem editas complectuntur: Ex quibus laboribus siquidem Respub. emolumentum, Lector studiorum suorum condignum fructum perceperit, existimabo me Elucubrationum mearum amplum sane præmium consequutum.

Vale.

The Marq. of Winchester's Case.

Trin. 25 Eliz. *Between the Queen and the Marquis of Winchester, which began Mich. 21 & 22 Eliz.*

THE Queen brought a Writ of (a) Error against the Marquis of *Winchester*, the Effect of the Writ was, That *John Horne*, and others, by their Deed bearing Date the 10th Day of *July*, An. 6. H. 8. gave to *Lionel Norreis* Esq; and to one *Anne Milles*, the Manor of *Merleston*, with the Appurtenances in *Merleston* in the County of *Berks*, To have and to hold to the said *Lionel* and *Anne*; and to the Heirs of the Body of the said *Lionel*; and for Default of such Issue, the Remainder to *Henry Norreis*, and to the Heirs Male of his Body: And that Term. *Pasch.* 19 H. 8. the Marquis of *Winchester* and divers others did recover (in the Life of the said *Anne*) the said Manor of *Merleston*, in *Merleston*, against the said *Lionel*, in a Writ of Entry in the *Post*, in which the said *Lionel* did vouch one *Thomas* (b) *Chappian*, then the common Vouchee, and Judgment was given and Execution had according to the usual Form of common Recoveries: And afterwards the said *Henry Norreis* having Issue *Henry*, now Lord *Norreis* of *Ricote* (who is now living) *Pasch.* 28 H. 8. was attainted of High Treason; and afterwards, the 22d of *May* in the same Year, was executed. And afterwards, at a Parliament held the 18th Day of *June* in the same Year, it was enacted, That the said *Henry Norreis* the Father, for divers Treasons by him committed, should forfeit to the said King *Henry VIII.* his Heirs and Successors, all such Manors, Messuages, Lands, Tenements, Rents, Reversions, Remainders,

(a) 1 Leon. 270.
Moor 95, 125,
323. Co. Ent.
240. nu. 5.

(b) Cro. El. 2.

Uses, Possessions, Offices, Rights, Conditions, and all other his Hereditam. which he, or any other Person to his Use, then had or ought to have, of any Estate of Inheritance in Fee-simple, Fee-tail, in Use or in Posses. the Day of his Treasons committed, or at any Time after: And afterw. the said *Lionel* died without Issue of his Body; and afterwards the said *Anne* died; and thereupon the Q. brought a Writ of Error against the Marq. of *Winchester*, the Heir of the Survivor of the Recoverors; and the Error which was assigned was, Because the original Writ of Entry in the *Poſt* failed, and the Record, which was removed out of the Common Pleas, was of the Manor of *Merleston*, *cum pertin'* in general, and not restrained to any Town. And the said Marquis, in bar of the said Writ of Error, pleaded, That after the Attainder of the said *H. N.* the Father, the Q. that now is (if she had any Right to any Writ of Error in the Case aforesaid) by her Letters Patents, bearing Date in the 14th Year of her Reign, of her special Grace, certain Knowledge, and meer Motion, did give, grant, and restore, for her and her Successors, to the said *Henry* Lord *Norreis* the said Manor, *cum pertinentiis*; and also all her Right, Estate, Title, Claim, Interest and Demand in the said Manor, to have and to hold to him and his Heirs. And upon this Plea *Popham* the Q.'s Attorney did demur in Law. And this Case was argued by *Popham* the Queen's Attorney, and *Egerton* the Queen's Solicitor, in Maintenance of the Writ of Error; and by *Ploxden* and *Coke* for the Defendant. And the Defendant's Counsel took five Exceptions to the Writ of Error.

1. That the Writ of Error was brought to reverse a Judgment for all the Manor, where it should be but of a Moiety, for it appears by the Writ, that the Recovery was void for a Moiety, because *Anne Milles* (a) the other Joint-tenant was not named with *Lionel* in the Writ, by which one Moiety was forfeited to the Queen by his Attainder, which the Queen by her Letters Patents hath granted over to the said Lord *Norreis*, and so forasmuch as the (b) Register hath one Form of Writ for the whole, and another Form for the Moiety, three Parts, &c. this Writ brought of the whole, where it should be brought of the Moiety, ought to be abated. And principally, as it was said, by one of the Defendant's Counsel, because it comes of the Plaintiff's own shewing, and not by the shewing of the other Side, nor by the finding of a Jury, as in (c) 36 *H.* 6. 27. b. it is agreed, That in Maintenance if it appear by the (d) shewing of the Party himself, that the Maintenance be several, the Writ shall abate; otherwise, if it be found by Verdict. *Visd.* 10 *E.* 4. 8. 11 *H.* 7. 6. 11 *Aſſ.* 9. 19 *Aſſ.* 14. 22 *Aſſ.* 86.

The second Exception was, Because it was not shew ed That *Henry Norreis*, to whom the Remainder was entailed, had the Remainder at the Time of the Recovery for the Gift in Tail was made in 6 *H.* 8. and the Recovery

(a) Cro. Jac. 333.

(b) 1 Roll. Rep. 306.

(c) 11 Co. 5. b.

Fitz. Maint. 15.

Br. Maint. 26.

Br. Br. 245.

(d) 10. El. 30,

325. Cro. Jac.

72, 104. Hob.

164, 199, 251,

279. Yelv. 71.

Styl. 15. 1 Leon.

41. 3 Leon. 77.

11 Co. 45. a.

1 Roll. Rep 35,

77. Palm. 524

1 Brownl. 68.

2 Sand. 285.

was in 19 H. 8. and no Continuance of Estate, either of the Estate-Tail in Possession, or of the Remainder, is alledged; and that the said Estates shall not be intended to continue, the Books in 7 H. 7. 3. (a) *Stradling's Case*. *Plowd. fol. 199*, (a) *Plowd.*
(b) § 10 H. 7. 28. in *Henbade's Avowry*, were cited. 199. b.

The third Exception was, Because the (c) Record of the Recovery was of the Manor of *Merleston, cum pertinentiis*, (c) *Br. Pleading 167.*
and the Writ of Error was to remove a Recovery of the Manor of *Merleston, cum pertinentiis*, and so the true Record was not removed by the said Writ of Error, as in the like Case is agreed in (d) 9 H. 6. i. a. b. where it is said, That in all Cases where a Man is to execute a Record, or to defeat a Record, there no Variance ought to be between the Writ and the Record, and with that agree the Books in 7 (e) 1 Roll. Rep. 162
(f) *Aff. 5.* and (g) 26 *Aff. 31.* in Case of Attaint. 162 Bulstr. 169. 3 H. 6. 16. a. Br. Variance 3.

The fourth Exception was, Because the Act of 28 H. 8. upon which the Writ of Error was founded, gave to the King all the Manors, &c. which the said *Hen. Norreis* then before attainted had the Day of his Treason committed, or at any Time after; and it is not shewed when the Treasons were committed, nor that then he had any Thing in the Manor, which ought to have been averred precisely, as it is agreed in *Nichol's Case*, in (b) *Plow. Com. 485. b.* (d) Fitz. Variance 6. Br. Variance 6. 2 Bulstr. 169. (e) 1 Roll. Rep. 162 Bulstr. 169. 3 H. 6. 16. a. Br. Variance 3. Godb. 249. (f) 2 Bulstr. 169. Br. Variance 92. (g) Br. Brief, 288.

The last Exception was, Because altho' all the Rights, &c. Hereditaments, &c. which the said *Henry Norreis* had, &c. were given to the King, yet it doth not appear without Office, whether he had any Right to this Manor: And note, That altho' after the said *Henry Norreis* was executed, so as by Reason of his Attainder he died without Heir, yet this Writ of Error cannot be in the King without Office, for by the Common Law such Hereditam. as a Writ of Error shall not be forfeited, nor can escheat, and therefore this Case is out of the Reason of the Book in 19 H. 7. for there the Land escheated, and a Freehold cannot be in (i) suspence. But the Court did not deliver any Opinion touching any of these Exceptions to the Writ of Error, but only that it was unanimously agreed, That by this Writ of Error the Record of the Recovery was removed into the King's Bench, for Judgment was given against the Queen upon the Substance of the Matter. And in this Case two Points were unanimously resolved by Sir *Christopher Wray*, Chief Justice, and Sir *Tho. Gawdy* Knt. and the whole Court of King's Bench. (b) *Plowd.* (i) *Postea, 10. b.*

First, That this Writ of (k) Error was not given to the King by any of the Words of the said Act of 28 H. 8. for three Causes, First, Because in this Case, the Tenant is in by Title, and the Entry of the Person attaint is not congeable; and therefore this Right of Action, if he had any, was not given to the King by any of the said Words. So if the said *Henry Norreis* the Father had Right of *Formedon* in the *Defender*, after (k) 2 Roll. Rep. 374. 7 Co. 13. a. 1 Leon. 271, 272. Moor 125, 323. Lit. Rep. 120. Cro. El. 389. Owen 21.

WINCHESTER'S Case. PART III.

Discontinuance made by his Father; or if *Henry* the Father had been disseised, and the Disseisor had died seised before the said Act, such (a) Right of Action was not given to the King by any of the said Words; but if the Act had been made after the Disseisin, and before the Descent, such Right had been given to the King by the said Act: For the Justices said, That such Right, for which the Party had no Remedy but by Action only to recover the Land, is a Thing which consists only in (b) Privity, and which cannot escheat, nor be forfeited by the Common Law, (c) 3 R. 2. *Entre congeable* 38. 32 H. 6. 27. 2 H. 4. 8. 7 H. 4. 6. & 17. 7 H. 5. 9. 21 E. 3. 47. a. 27 Aff. 32. 49 E. 3. 13. 49 Aff. 4. F. N. B. 144. Stamford 188. So that by the (d) general Words of an Act of Attainder all (e) Rights, &c. and Hereditaments, &c. (altho' in Truth the Party attained had a Right, which also is an Hereditament) shall not be given to the King; for it would be very vexatious and inconvenient, that Estates of Purchasers and others, after many Descents and long Possession, should be impeached at the King's Suit, by such general Words, against the Reason and Rule of the Common Law, where all the Words may by reasonable Intendment be well satisfied, *scil.* such Rights, &c. which may lawfully escheat, or be forfeited. And it was observed by the Justices, That by no Act of Attainder that ever hath been made, Actions were given, but (f) Rights of Entries, &c. Also the Statutes of 27 H. 8. & 31 H. 8. (g) of Monasteries, and the Statute of 1 E. 6. of Chauntries, give to the King all Rights, Entries, &c. which give not Actions to the King. And therefore it was agreed by the Court, That a (b) Right of Action after Discontinuance, Descent, &c. where the Entry was not lawful, was not given to the King by the general Words of any of the said Acts. And so, it was said, have the said Statutes always been expounded. The same Construction hath been made upon the Statute of 33 H. 8. cap. 28. by which it is enacted, *That the King's Majesty, his Heirs and Successors, shall have as much Benefit and Advantage by such Attainders of Treason, as well of Uses, Rights, Entries, Conditions, as Possessions, Reversions, Remainders, and all other Things, as if it had been done and declared by Parliament.* That a (i) Right which consists only in Action, where the Entry is tolled, is not given to the King by that Act. It was also agreed by them, That before this Act of (k) 33 H. 8. by the general Words of any Act of Attainder of all Hereditaments, a Condition was not given to the King; and therefore by the same Act, by express Words, Conditions are given to the King, and yet without Question a (l) Condition is an Hereditam. Also altho' an Use were an Hereditam. (for there shall be a (m) *possessio fratris* of it) yet by the general Words of all Hereditaments, an (n) Use was not given to the K. by any Act of Attaind. but neither the Condition

(a) Hob 242, 341.

(b) Co Lit 368
2 Rol Rep
229. 507.

(c) 1 Rol. 816.
41m. 353.

(d) 3 Keb. 244.
271. 81.

(e) 2 Rol. Rep.
314. Postea 11 a.

Hob. 242. 243
10 Co. 48. a.

Cro. Car. 428
429.

Rep. Q. A. 84.

(f) Hob. 341.

(g) 31 H. 8. c. 13.

(h) Cro. Car.
428.

(i) 3 Inst. 19
Palm. 356, 439

1 Jones 76
2 Rol. Rep 319.

324, 325, 420,
581. Hob. 241.

10 Co. 48. a.
(k) 1 Jones 77.

Postea 11. a.
(l) Palm. 439
3 Inst. 19.

(m) 1 Co. 121. b

(n) Palm. 439.
3 Inst. 19.

dition, nor the Use, were Things forfeitable by the Com. Law; and therefore by the general Words of all Hereditaments, they were not given to the K. by any Act of Attaind. *Note* a Diversity between *Inheritances* and *Chattels*; for as it hath been said, a Right of Action concerning Inheritances is not (a) forfeited by Attaind. but Obligations, Statutes, Recognifances, &c. and other such Things in Action are forfeited to the K. by Attainder or Outlawry. And it was agreed by the whole Court, that if *Lionel* had made a Feoffment in Fee, without Warranty, that had been a Discontinuance for a Moiety, for by the Feoffment the Jointure was severed. And note, that in this Case at Bar, Conditions and Uses are given by express Words, for the Makers of the Act knew, that they would not be given by the general Word of Hereditaments.

The second Resolution was, That in this Case, *Henry Norreis* had not any Right in the Moiety of the said Manor, for altho' the Recovery were erroneous for want of an Original (for it was agreed it was not void, but voidable by Error) yet notwithstanding, as long as the Recovery stood in its Force, he in Remainder had not any Right to the Remainder in respect of the intended Recompence, but till the Recovery be reversed by Writ of Error, the Remainder is barred for one Moiety, and he in Remainder hath not any Right in it. And therefore, if Tenant (b) in Tail suffer a common Recovery erroneously, and afterwards disseises the Recoveror, and dies, his Issue shall not be remitted, for as long as the Recovery remains in its Force, the Estate Tail is barred, *quod fuit concessum per totam Curiam*. And it was said by one of the Defendant's Counsel, That neither (c) Action without Right, nor Right without Action, with a Descent, &c. shall make a Remitter; the first is apparent, and resolved by the Court in the Case at Bar. As to the second it was said, That a Man shall never be (d) remitted, but where if the Right and Possess. were in several Persons, he who had the Right might have an Action to recover the Possession. And that appears by (e) *Litleton* 147. for he saith, That one of the principal Causes for which the Estate in Tail shall be remitted is, because there is no Person against whom he can bring his Writ of *Formedon*, &c. and for this Cause the Law adjudges him in his Remitter, in such Plight as if he had lawfully recovered the same Land against another, 5 *H.* 7. 38. a. (f) A Man shall not be remitted to an Advowson appendant (altho' he hath Right to it before he hath recontinued the Manor to which, &c. because before he hath recovered the Manor he hath no Action to recover the Advowf. So if a Man purchase an Advowf. in Fee, and suffers an Usurp. and 6 Months to pass, now he hath Right, but forasm. as he hath no Remedy for it, he shall never be remit. to it, altho' the Advowf. be cast upon him, either by Descent, or any other Act in Law, & sic de similibus. And it was resolv'd by the Court, That inasm. as in the princip. Case *Hen.* had no Right,

Hardr. 488, 490.
Stamf. 188.

(a) Cro. Jac. 513.
Hob. 214 2 Rol.
807 Godb. 315,
216. Palm. 353,
Stamf. Cor.
188. a.

(b) Co. Inf.
349. b. 10 Co.
38. a.

(c) Co. Lit. 349.
b.

(d) 6 Co. 58. b.
Co. Lit. 349. a. b.

(e) Co. Lit.
Sect. 661. Co.
Init. 349. a. b.

(f) 2 Rol. Rep.
417. Co. Lit.
307. a. 333. b.

WINCHESTER'S Case. PART III.

(a) 2 Roll Rep. 374. 1 Leon. 271, 272. Mo. 125, 323. Lit. Rep. 100. Cro. Eliz. 389. Owen 21. 7 Co. 13. a. (b) Mo. 312, 322, 530, 531: Fitz. Grant 70.

a fortiori this Writ of (a) Error being a bare Action, which consists more in Privy than an Action which is accompanied with a Right, is not given to the King by the general Words of the said Act. It is adjudged in *Pasch. 3 E. 3. (47) 74.* that whereas all the Possessions of the (b) Templers were by Act of Parliament, *Anno 17 E. 2.* given and transmitted to the Hospitallers, to hold them in the same Manner as the Templers held them, yet they had not by the said general Words a Rectory which was appropriate to the Templers; for that was an Inheritance inseparably in Privy annexed to them. So it is held in 35 *H. 6. 56.* that upon the said Words of the said Act, To hold them as the Templers held them, they shall not hold by (c) Frankalmoigne, because that Tenure consists only in Privy, and for that Cause without special Words, it shall not against the Rule and Reason of the Common Law be created. The same Law of a Writ of Error. And altho' *Anne Milles* was jointly seised with the said *Lionel* for her Life, so that as well *Lionel* as the Vouchee might have abated the Writ, yet when the Vouchee, without Demand of any Lien, enters generally into the Warranty, and thereby admits the Writ good, and *Lionel* recovers in Value against the Vouchee, who enters according to the Estate of him who voucheth, with the Remainder over: For this Cause it was resolved, That for one Moiety the Recovery shall be a Bar to the Estate-tail, and to the Remainder also, because by the Recovery against *Lionel*, the Jointure was severed. And it was said, That common Recoveries, as much as any benign Interpretation of the Law will permit, ought to be maintain'd, because they are the common Assurances of the Land. But it was agreed, That for the other Moiety whereof *Anne Milles* was Tenant for Life, the Recovery was not any Bar either to the Estate-tail, which *Lionel* had expectant upon the Estate of *Anne Milles*, or to the Remainder of *Henry*, because for this Moiety *Lionel* was not Tenant to the *Præcipe*; but the Recovery had its Operation against him by Estoppel and Conclusion, which shall not bind the Issue in Tail who claims *per formam doni*.

(c) 7 Co. 13. a. Mo. 312, 322.

Co. Lit. 187.

Co. Lit. 186.

(d) 1 Roll Rep. 301. Bridgm. 71. Lam. 237, 345. Dy. 188. pl. 8.

The third Cause was, Because *Henry* at the Time of his Attainder was not intitled to have any Writ of Error. And as to that, it was agreed, That he, who has a Remainder expectant upon an Estate-tail, shall have a Writ of (d) Error upon a Judgment given against Tenant in Tail, altho' there were no such Remainder at the Common Law; for when the Statute *de Donis conditionalibus* doth enable the Donor to limit a Remainder upon an Estate-tail, all Actions, which the Common Law gave to Privies in Estate, are by the same Act as Incidents *tacite* given also, according to the Rule of the Common Law; and therefore as those in Reversion or Remainder expectant upon an Estate

Estate for Life, had a Writ of Error by the Common Law, upon a Judgment given against Tenant for Life, altho' they were not made Parties by Aid Prayer, Voucher, or Receipt: So, after the Statute *de Donis conditionalibus*, shall he have, who hath a Reversion or Remainder expectant upon an Estate-tail. 2. It was agreed by them, That in none of the said Cases, he in Reversion or Remainder, who was not Party to the first Record by Voucher, &c. shall have any Writ of (a) Error by the Common Law, 'till after the particular Estate determined, for then he in Reversion or Remainder ought to have the Land in Possession, and take the Profits; but if he in Reversion or Remainder be made a (b) Party to the Record by Aid Prayer, Receipt, or Voucher, then he shall have a Writ of Error presently, during the Life of the Tenant for Life, in respect that he was made Party to the Record. *Vide 4 Aff. 7. 17 Aff. 24. 18 E. 3. 25. 20 (30) E. 3. Error 2. 32 E. 3. Error 73. 43 Aff. 41. 8 H. 4. 4. 21 H. 6. 29. 22 E. 4. 31. F. N. B. 21. c. 99. e.* And by the said Differences you may reconcile all the said Books, and many other, betwixt which, to some who observe not the said Distinctions, seems to be Contrariety; but when an erroneous Judgment was given against Tenant for Life, by that his Reversion or Remainder was devested, so that he could not grant or transfer it by any Means to another. And it was doubted he could not punish any Waste committed after the Recovery, and divers other Mischiefs, and yet he had no Remedy to reverse it during the Life of the Tenant for Life. *45 E. 3. 21. b. 8 H. 6. 13. b. F. N. B. 60. b. for Waste.* For Remedy of which Mischiefs, the Statute of (c) *9 R. 2. cap. 3.* was made, by which it is provided, That if Tenant for Life, Tenant in Dower, Tenant by the Curtesy, or Tenant in Tail after Possibility of Issue extinct, lose in a *Præcipe*, &c. that he in Reversion, his Heirs or Successors, shall have an (d) Attaint or a Writ of Error, as well in the Life of such Tenants, as after their Deaths, and that the Tenant for Life, if the Judgment be reversed, shall be restored to his Possession of the Tenements so lost, with the Profits in the mean Time, &c. Provided always, That if the Party suing will alledge that the Tenant was of Covin and Assent with the Demandant, who recovered, that such Tenements should be lost, that then, altho' such Tenants be living, yet Restitution shall be made to the Party suing of the Possession, with the mean Profits, &c. upon which Act two Points were resolved by the Court.

First, That although the Statute speaks only of Reversions, yet Remainders are also taken to be within the Purview thereof.

A 4

Secondly,

(a) Dyer 188.
pl. 1. Cr. Eliz.
289. Cr. Jac.
333. F. N. B.
99. e. 21. m. c.
22 E. 4. 31. a.
2 E. 4. 27. b.
Palm. 253.
(b) Roll. 748.

(c) 4 Inst. 51.
Dyer 2. pl. 5.
90. pl. 5.
Bridgm. 71.
Cr. Eliz 289.
F. N. B. 99. e.
Owen 149.
2 Bullst. 15.
10 Co. 44. b.
Palm. 251, 253.
(d) F. N. B. 108.
a. Post. fol. 61. a.
Reg. 122.

WINCHESTER'S Case. PART III.

- (*) 1 Leon. 172.
10 Co. 45. b. Secondly, That a Reversion or Remainder (a) expectant upon an Estate-tail is out of the Words, and also out of the Meaning of the said Act. For in as much as the
- (b) 1 Jones 423; Makers of the Act, by (b) special Words, have provided Remedy for those in Reversion expectant upon Estates for Life, or in Dower, or by the Curtesy, or in Tail after Possibility of Issue extinct, by this precise Enumeration of those four particular Estates for Life (*Vide* 33 H. 6. 22. the like Point in Case of Receipt) their Meaning appears to (c) exclude Reversions and Remainders expectant upon Estates-tail, and they had good Reason for it; for an Estate-tail is an Estate of Inheritance, and therefore it was not reasonable to give him in Reversion or Remainder expectant on such Estate, a (d) Writ of Error during the Continuance of such Estate, which by Possibility may continue for ever. Note Reader, upon the Proviso of the said Act, That if Tenant for Life suffers a Recovery in a *Præcipe* by Covin and Assent, if he in Reversion or Remainder reverses the Recovery, he shall be restored to the Possession and mean Profits: *Unde colligo*, that the Parliament did adjudge such
- (c) Cr. Car. 335.
- (d) Post. fol. 61. a. 10 Co. 44. b.
- (e) 1 Co. 15. b. Recovery by Covin and Assent (e) a Forfeiture. For otherwise it would be hard to restore him not only to the Possession, but also to the mean Profits; and with that agree the Books in 5 *Aff.* 3. 14 *E.* 3. *Receipt* 135. 22 *Aff.* 31. 9 *H.* 5. 14. Now forasmuch as it appears in the Case at Bar, that *Lionel* survived *Henry*, who was in Remainder, it was resolved, That *Henry* had but a Possibility to have a Writ of Error, that is to say, If *Lionel* had died without Issue in the Life of the said *Henry*, and because *Lionel* survived him, that Possibility was destroyed. Also no Word of the said Act doth extend to give a Possibility to the King. Secondly, Admitting in this Case the Writ of Error had been given to the Queen, yet it was resolved, That by the general Grant of the said Manor of *Merleston*, and of all her Interest, Claim, and Demand in it, altho' it were made *de gratia speciali*, & *ex certa scientia*, & *mero motu*; that the Writ of (f) Error did not pass, because if the King could grant it, it must be by his Prerogative, for no common Person can do it; and therefore it ought to be granted by express and precise Words. And it was said, it was adjudged in (g) *Cromer's Case*, 8 *Eliz.* That where by the Attainder of a Disseisee, a Right to certain Land escheated, and was forfeited to the Queen, and after the Death of the Disseisee, the Queen, by her Letters Patents *de gratia speciali*, *ex certa scientia*, & *mero motu*, granted all the Lands, Tenements, Rights and Hereditaments which she had by the Attainder of the Disseisee, that in that Case such a bare Right should not pass by the said general Words of the King; but if it could be granted at all, it ought
- (e) 2 Co. 74. a.
2 Leon. 60, 63,
66. 4 Leon. 124,
126, 128, 131.
Co. Lit. 356. a.
262. a. Br. entre
congeable 49.
Br. forfeiture
de terre 29.
1 Roll's 853.
10 Co. 44. a.
Moor 271.
1 Apd. 227.
- (f) 7 Co. 13. a.
Post. 11. a.
1 Jones 370.
Godb. 378.
- (g) 1 Leon. 271.
Hub. 243. Cro-
mer's Case.
8 Reg. Eliz.

to have been granted with a special Recital by express and special Words; which Case was affirmed to be good Law by the whole Court. And therewith agrees 33 *H. 8. Br. (a)* ^{(a) 1 Leon. 271.} *Chose in Action* 14. If an Abbot before the Dissolution was disseised, and the King after the Dissolution granteth over the Land by general Words, this Right shall not pass. And Sir *Christo. Wray* said, That he had conferred with the L. *Anderfon*, Chief Justice of the Common Pleas, and Sir *Roger Manwood*, Chief Baron of the Exchequer, and divers other Justices, and they were unanimously of their Opinion. And afterwards, forasmuch as it appeared to the Court, That the said common Recovery was erroneous for want of an Original; for that Cause a special Judgment was entred, that is to say, because upon the Matter, no Writ of Error in this Case was given to the Q. *Ideo Domina Regina nihil capiat per breve.*

Note Reader, for the said Point of com. Recovery there was a Case in the Common Pleas, *Trin. 27 Eliz. Rot. 276.* between *(b) Owen* and *Morgan*, and the Case was such; *George Owen* brought a *Scire facias* against *Edw. Morgan*, to execute a Remainder of certain Land limited to him by Fine, and shewed, That *Rice Owen* was seised of the said Land in Fee, and levied a Fine thereof to *Rich. Owen* and *Tho. Momington*, and to the Heirs of *Richard*, who granted and rendred it to the said *Rice* and *Letice* his Wife, (who was not Party to the Writ or Conufance) and to the Heirs of the Body of the said *Rice*; and *Letice* died, and afterward *Rice* died without Issue, wherefore he prayed to have Execution. The Defendant pleaded in Bar a common Recovery had against the said *Rice* as Tenant, with Voucher over of the common Vouchee, which Recovery was to the Use of the Defendant and his Heirs, and that *Rice* survived *Letice*: The Plaintiff replied and said, That the said *Letice* was alive at the Time of the said Recovery, upon which the Defendant did demur in Law. And it was adjudged for the Plaintiff. And in that Case two Points were resolved.

1. Altho' *Letice* was not *(c)* Party, either to the Writ or to the Conufance, and altho' it appeared in the same Record that she was a Stranger, and not Party; yet the Grant and Rend. by Fine to her was not *(d)* void, but voidable by Error. ^{(c) Co. Lit. 353. a. 373.} ^{(d) Kelw. 19. b.}

2. That this Recov. against the Husband only, should not bind the Remaind. for between Husb. and Wife there are no Moieties, and the Husb. hath not Power to sever the Jointure, nor to dispose of any Part of the Land; and he during the Wife's Life is not seised by Force of the Tail, and by no Act that he can do, can he execute it for any Part; so that the *Præcipe* being brought against him alone, the Recompence cannot for any Part enure to the Estate-tail, or to the Remainder, for to the whole Estate it cannot enure, because the Wife had a joint Estate with him in Possession at the Time

of

Owen and
Morgan's Case.
Frin. 27 Reg.
Eliz.
(b) 2 Rol. 395.
Moor 210.
10 Co. 6 b.
1 And. 162.
Gould. 26.
1 Jones 324.
10 Co. 46. a.
Cr. Car. 321.
4 Leon 26, 93,
222.

Cr. Car. 321.
1 Siderf. 83.
Folt. 6.
Co. Lit. 26.
Wing. Max.
767.

CUPPLEDIKE'S Case. PART III.

Co. Lit. 187.

of the Recov. who was not Party to it, and for a Moiety it cannot be good, for there are no Moieties between Husb. and Wife, and the Estate of him in Remaind. doth depend upon the entire Estate made to the Husband and Wife, and not upon any Estate made to the Husb. alone, or which rests in the Disposal of the Husb. for any Part; and therefore the Recompence recovered only by the Husb. in this Case, cannot enure to him who hath the Remaind. which depends upon a joint and undivided Estate made to the Husb. and Wife, and the Jointenancy between the Husb. and Wife cannot be severed by the Judgment against the Husb. and altho' the Husb. hath the sole Estate of Inheritance, yet because by no Possibility it can be executed, nor the Jointure severed during the Wife's Life, for this Cause it is as much as if the Husb. had had a Remainder in Tail expectant upon an Estate for Life; in which Case a common Recovery had against him shall not bind, because he was not Tenant to the *Præcipe*, nor seised by Force of the Tail, but the Recov. as to the Estate-tail of the Husb. took its Effect by Estoppel and (a) Conclusion: And therewith agrees (b) 12 E. 14. b. that against a common Recovery against the Ancestor in Tail, the Issue may say, That the Ancestor was not Tenant *tempore brevis*.

(a) Postea 51. a.
(b) Fitz. fau-
xier de Reco-
very 19. Br.
Fauxerier de
Recovery 30.
Br. Brief 374.
Moor 256, 634.

(c) Br. Remit-
ter 35.
(d) Br. Tail 36.
Cr. El. 670,
828.
(e) 1 And. 44.
2 Roll. 395.
3 Lev. 108.

Also if Tenant in Tail do discontinue the Tail, and take back a new Estate-tail to himself, and afterwards a Writ of Right is brought against him, and he vouch the com. Vouchee, and Judgment be given accordingly; in this Case it is adjudged, *scil.* in (c) 12 E. 4. 19. (d) 14 E. 4. 1. a. that the Issue in Tail shall not be barred for the first Intail, because his Father was not at the Time of the Recov. seised by Force of that Intail, in lieu whereof Recompence can enure: So (e) if Land be given to the Husb. and Wife, and the Heirs of their two Bodies begotten, and the Husb. alone suffers a com. Recovery, it shall not bind the Estate-tail *causa qua supra*. And altho' the Husband, who suffered the com. Recovery in the principal Case of *Morgan and Owen*, survived the Wife, it is not material, for the Law will adjudge upon the Case, as it was at the Time of the Recovery.

CUPPLEDIKE'S Case.

Note Reader, for this Point of a common Recovery, there was another Case resolved, Pasch. 44 Eliz. in the Court of Wards, between Thomas Cuppledike the Queen's Ward, Plaintiff, and Edward Cuppledike, Defendant; And the Case was such, &c.

Cuppledike's
Case, P. 44
Reg. Eliz.
2 Roll. 395.
Co. Lit. 372. b.

FRancis Cuppledike and Elizabeth his Wife were seised of the Manor of *Harrington* in the County of *Lincoln*, to them and to the Heirs Males of the Body of *Francis*, the Remainder to *Thomas Cuppledike*, Father of

Thomas Cuppledike, now Ward to the Queen, in Tail, with a Remainder over in Tail, the Reversion to *Francis* and his Heirs: *Francis* levied a Fine, *Oft. Mich. 36 & 37 Eliz. to Tho. Seaton*, and *Rob. Becket*, and to the Heirs of *Thomas*, to the Use of them and their Heirs: *Hill. 37 Eliz. Curtise* and *Dudley*, by Writ of Entry in the Post, recovered against *Seaton* and *Becket* the said Manor, who vouched *Francis* only, who (a) vouched over the common Vouchee, and Judgment (a) Co. Lit. 372. b. and Seisin had accordingly, the said *Eliz.* then being alive, which Recovery was to the Use of *Francis* for Life, and after to the Use of *Eliz.* for Life, and after to the Use of *Francis* and his Heirs: *Francis* by his Will in Writing devised the said Manor to the said *Edward Cuppledike*, and died without Issue Male: And now the Question was, whether by this common Recovery, the Remainder in Tail were barred or not, forasmuch as the Wife, who had an Estate with *Francis*, was not vouched. And after Argument before the two Chief Justices, *Popham* and *Anderson*, *Pepper* Surveyor, and *Hesket* Attorney of the Court of Wards, it was resolved, That this Recovery should bind the Remainder for here was a lawful (b) Tenant to the *Præcipe*; and altho' (b) 6 Co. 32. a. 2 Rol. 295. *Francis* who had the Estate-Tail be only vouched; and not *Eliz.* who had a joint Estate with him, yet *Francis* coming in as Vouchee, he comes in in Privy of the Estate-Tail, and not of any other Estate, and the Recoveror in Value gave Recompence to the Tail which *Francis* had, and to the Remainders over: So it was held, If *A.* (c) Tenant in Tail, (c) Hob. 338. Fletl. 136. 2 Rol. Rep. 506. Raym. 29. the Remainder to *B.* in Tail, the Remainder to *C.* in Tail, the Remainder to *D.* in Fee, *A.* makes a Feoffment in Fee, the Feoffee suffers a common Recovery in which *B.* is vouched, and he vouches the common Vouchee, in this Case *A.* is not bound, but *B.* and all the Remainders over are barred: For altho' by the Feoffment of *A.* all the Remainders were discontinued, and the Estates which *B. C.* and *D.* had, became converted to meer Rights; and altho' the Remainders can never be remitted before the Estate-Tail in Possession be recontinued; yet in Case of a com. Recovery, which is the com. Assurance of the Land, he who comes in as Vouch. shall be in Judgm. of Law in in Privy of the Estate which he had, altho' the precedent Estate, upon which the Estate of the Vouchee depends, be devested or discontinued.

So in the Case at Bar, altho' the Estate of the Wife be not recontinued, yet the Husb. as Vouchee, shall be in Judgm. of the Law in of his Estate-Tail; and the Case is the stronger, forasmuch as the Estate of the Wife was put to a Right, so that now the Husb. comes in as sole Tenant in Tail, and cannot be jointly seized with his Wife, forasmuch as she is not Vouchee, and cannot be in of another Estate, because once he had an Estate-Tail, and now comes in as Vouchee: But if the Husb. and

Wife had had a joint Estate to them, and to the Heirs of their Bodies, with the Remainders over, and the Husband only had been vouched, there it may be doubted if the Estate-Tail shall be barred, because the Wife had a joint Estate of Inheritance with him; but here the Inheritance was only to the Husband. And the Case which *Plow.* puts *arguendo*, in *Manxel's Case*, fol. 8 b. That if a (a) Gift be made to *J.* and to the Heirs Males of the Body of his Wife begotten, and he hath Issue a Son, and afterwards the wife dies, and he discontinues, and takes an Estate to him and to the Heirs Females of the Body of his second Wife, and afterwards discontinues again, and taketh an Estate back to him, and to the Heirs Females of his Body, and afterwards discontinues again, against which last Discontinuee a common Recovery is had, in which the Tenant in Tail is vouched, and vouches over the common Vouchee, and afterwards dies, and his three Issues bring several *Formedons* in the Descender, they shall be all barred by the said Recovery; for in Judgment of Law, when he generally enters into Warranty, he comes in of all his several Estates, which shall be all barred in respect of one and the (b) same Recompence, was agreed to be good Law by the two Chief Justices; but the Opinion of *Plowden* in the other Point, If Tenant for Life be, the Remainder or Reversion over in Tail, that if a common Recovery be had against him in Remainder or Reversion, it shall bar the Estate-Tail, was (c) denied by them all; for there is no Tenant to the *Præcipe*, but only by Admittance and Conclusion, which shall not bind the Issue in Tail. And this Case at the Bar is not to be likened to the said Case of (d) *Owen* and *Morgan*, for in this Case those, against whom the *Præcipe* is brought, are lawful Tenants to the *Præcipe*; and when the Husband, who hath the Estate-Tail only is vouched, he comes in as sole Tenant in Tail, and all the Estate is in him, and nothing then remains in the Wife but a Right, and when he who hath the Estate-Tail is vouched, he cannot be in of another Estate, being Vouchee, as it appears before. *Vide* 8 *Eliz. Dyer* 252. b. (e) *Kniveton's Case*, which in Effect was, That Tenant for Life, and he in Remainder in Tail suffered a common Recovery, in which they both vouched the common Vouchee, it shall not bind the Tail, for he in Remainder in Tail is not Tenant to the *Præcipe*, but the Tenant for Life, and in Truth the Land is recovered against the Tenant for Life only, and the Recompence cannot vest in him in Remainder only, forasmuch as the Land is in Truth recovered against the Tenant for Life, and he in Remainder was never seized by Force of the Tail. And according to this it was adjudged in the Common Pleas, between (f) *Leach* and *Cole*, in *Replevin*, M. 41 & 42 *Eliz. Rot.* 1703.

(a) *Hetl.* 156.
Hob. 338.

(b) *Hetl.* 156.
Hob. 338.

(c) *Cio. El.* 21.
670.

(d) *Antea* 5. a.
2 *Roll.* 395.
Moor 210.
1 *Anderf.* 162.
Goldsb. 26.
1 *Jones* 324.
10 *Co.* 46. 3.
Cro. Car. 321.
4 *Leon* 26, 93.
222.

(e) 10 *Co.* 46. 2.
2 *Roll* 395. *Dy.*
252. pl. 97, 98.
Postea 60. b.

(f) 2 *Roll.* 395.
Cro. Eliz. 670.

HEYDON'S Case.

Pasch. 26 Eliz. *But the Plea began Pasche*
20 Eliz. Rot. 140. *in the Exchequer.*

(a) IN an Information upon an Intrusion in the Exchequer, against *Heydon*, for intruding into certain Lands, &c. in the County of *Devon*: Upon the general Issue, the Jurors gave a special Verdict to this Effect:

First, They found that Parcel of the Lands in the Information was ancient Copyholds of the Manor of *Ottery*, whereof the Warden and Canons regular of the late College of *Ottery* were seized in the Right of the said College; and that the Warden and Canons of the said College, 22 *H. 7.* at a Court of the said Manor, granted the same Parcel by Copy, to *Ware* the Father and *Ware* the Son, for their Lives, at the Will of the Lord, according to the Custom of the said Manor; and that the rest of the Land in the Information was occupied by *S.* and *G.* at the Will of the Warden and Canons of the said College for the Time being, in the Time of *H. 8.* And further, That the said *S.* and *G.* so possessed, and the said *Ware* and *Ware* so seized as aforesaid, the said Warden and Canons by their Deed indented, *dat. 12 Jan. Anno 30 H. 8.* did lease the same to *Heydon* the Defendant, for 80 Years, rendering certain Rents severally for several Parcels; and found that the said several Rents in *Heydon's* Lease reserved, were the ancient and accustomed Rents of the several Parcels of the Lands, and found, that after the said Lease they did surrender their College, and all the Possession thereof, to King *H. 8.* And further found the Stat. of (b) 31 *H. 8. c. 13.* 31 *H. 8.* and the Branch of it, *scil.* by which it is enacted, *That if any Abbot, &c. or other Relig. and Eccles. House or Place,*

(a) Moor 128.
C). Ent. 372.
nu. 10. 1 Leon.
4, 333. 4 Leon.
117. Sav. 66.
9 Co. 105. a.
2 Inst. 505.

Place, within one Year next before the first Day of this present Parliament, hath made, or hereafter shall make any Lease or Grant for Life, or for Term of Years, of any Mannors, Messuages, Lands, &c. and in the which any Estate or Interest for Life, Year or Years, at the Time of the making of such Grant or Lease, then had his Being or Continuance, or hereafter shall have his Being or Continuance, and not determined at the making of such Lease, &c. Or if the usual and old Rents and Farms accustomed to be yielded and reserved by the Space of twenty Years next before the first Day of this present Parliament, is not, or be not, or hereafter shall not be thereupon reserved or yielded, &c. that all and every such Lease, &c. shall be utterly void. And further found, that the particular Estates aforesaid were determined, and before the Intrusion Heydon's Lease began; and that Heydon entred, &c. And the great Doubt which was often debated at the Bar and Bench on this Verdict, was, Whether the Copyhold Estate of Ware and Ware for their Lives, at the Will of the Lords, according to the Custom of the said Manor, should in Judgment of Law be called an Estate and Interest for Lives, within the said general Words and Meaning of the said Act. And after all the Barons openly argued in Court in the same Term, *scil. Pasch. 26 Eliz.* And it was unanimously resolved by Sir Roger Manwood, Chief Baron, and the other Barons of the Exchequer, That the said Lease made to Heydon of the said Parcels, whereof Ware and Ware were seised for Life by Copy of Court-Roll, was void; for it was agreed by them, That the said Copyhold Estate was an Estate for Life, within the Words and Meaning of the said Act. And it was resolved by them, That for the sure and true (a) Interpretation of all Statutes in general (be they penal or beneficial, restrictive or enlarging of the Com. Law,) four Things are to be discerned and considered.

(b) 1. What was the Common Law before the making of the Act.

(a) Moor 128.
Sav. 66. 6 Co.
37. b. Cro. Car.
45. 83.
(b) Poph. 74.

(c) 2 Rol. Rep.
99.

(c) 2. What was the Mischief and Defect for which the Common Law did not provide.

3. What Remedy the Parliament hath resolved and appointed to cure the Disease of the Commonwealth.

(d) Hard. 27.
2 Rol. Rep. 314.
Cro. Car. 83.
533. Co. Lit.
381. b. 1 Co.
123. a. 11 Co.
73. b. 2 Sidert.
41. 2 Bullt. 187.
Hob. 97. 1 Rol.
Rep. 162, 166.
Cro. Argument
40.

And 4. The true Reason of the Remedy; and then the Office of all the Judges is always to make such (d) Construction as shall suppress the Mischief, and advance the Remedy, and to suppress subtile Inventions and Evasions for Continuance of the Mischief, and *pro privato commodo*, and to add Force and Life to the Cure and Remedy, according to the true Intent of the Makers of the Act, *pro bono publico*. And it was said, that in this Case the Common Law was, That Religious and Ecclesiastical Persons

sons might have made Leases for as many Years as they pleased, the Mischief was, that when they perceived their Houses would be dissolved, they made long and unreasonable Leases: Now the Stat. of 31 H. 8. doth provide the Remedy, and principally for such Religious and Ecclesiastical Houses which should be dissolved after the Act (as the said College in our Case was) that all Leases of any Land, whereof any Estate or Interest for Life or Years was then in Being, should be void; and their Reason was, That it was not necessary for them to make a new Lease so long as a former had Continuance; and therefore the Intent of the Act was to avoid doubling of Estates, and to have but one single Estate in Being at a Time: For doubling of Estates implies in it self Deceit, and private Respect, to prevent the Intention of the Parliament. And if the Copyhold Estate for two Lives, and the Lease for 80 Years shall stand together, here will be doubling of Estates *simul & semel*, which will be against the true Meaning of Parliament.

Co. Lit. 44. a.
31 H. 8. c. 13.
3 Bulst. 152.
Moor 60.
1 Leon. 333.

And in this Case it was debated at large, in what Cases the general Words of Acts of Parliam. shall extend to Copyhold or Customary Estates, and in what not; and therefore this Rule was taken and agreed by the whole Court, That when an Act of Parliament doth (a) alter the Service, Tenure, Interest of the Land, or other Thing in prejudice of the Lord, or of the Custom of the Manor, or in Prejudice of the Tenant, there the general Words of such Act of Parliam. shall not extend to Copyholds: But when an Act of Parliam. is generally made for the (b) good of the Weal publick, and no Prejudice can accrue by Reason of Alteration of any Interest, Service, Tenure, or Custom of the Manor, there many Times Copyhold and customary Estates are within the general Purview of such Acts. And upon these Grounds the Chief Baron put many Cases, where he held, That the Statute of (c) *West. 2. De donis conditionalibus* did not extend to Copyholds; for if the Statute alters the Estate of the Land, it will be also an Alteration of the Tenure, which would be prejudicial to the Lord; for of Necessity the Donee in Tail of Land ought to (d) hold of his Donor, and do him such Services (without special Reservation) as his Donor doth to his Lord.

(a) Cro. Car.
41, 43, 44.
Moor 128.
Godb. 369. O.
Benl. 163.
3 Bulst. 152.
Hard. 433.
Cawly 106
(b) Moor 128.
Cro. Car. 42.
43. O Benl. 163;
1 Rol. Rep. 48.

2. *Littleton* saith, *Lib. 1. cap. 9.* That although some Tenants by Copy of Court-Roll have an Estate of Inheritance, yet they have it but at the (e) Will of the Lord, according to the Course of the Common Law. For it is said, That if the Lord put them out, they have no other Remedy but to sue to their Lord by Petition, and so the Intent of the Stat. *de Donis Conditional.* was not to extend (in Prejud. of Lords) to such base Estates, which as the Law was then taken, was but at

(c) Moor 188,
189. Sav. 67.
Cro. Eliz. 391,
307, 149. 1 Le-
on. 175. Poph.
34, 128. 2 Sand.
422. Hard. 433.
1 Rol. 838. Lit.
Sect. 76. 9 Co.
105. a. Co. Lit.
60. a. b. 4 Co.
22. a.
(d) Cr. Car. 43,
44.
(e) Lit. Sect. 77.
2 Co. 17. a.
6 Co. 37. b. Co.
Lit. 60. b. Cro.
Car. 45. 4 Co.
21. a. Heil. 6.
9 Co. 105. a.

the

the Will of the Lord. And the Statute saith, *Quod voluntas donatoris in carta doni sui manifeste express. de cætero observetur* : So that, which shall be entailed, ought to be such an Hereditament, which is given, or at least might be given by Deed or Charter in Tail.

3. Forasmuch as great Part of the Land within the Realm; is in Grant by Copy, it will be a Thing inconvenient, and occasion great Suit and Contention, that Copyholds should be

(a) Moor 189.
Sav. 67. Cro. El.
149, 307, 391.
1 Leon. 175.
Poph. 34. 128
2 Sand. 422.
Haid. 433. a.
9 Co. 105. a.
1 Rol. 838. Co.
Lit. 60. a. b.
1 Rol Rep. 48.
4 Co. 22. a.
Moor 188.
(b) Cro. Car.
43, 45. Godb.
368. O Benl.
165. Poph. 35.
Cro. Eliz. 391.
Car. 238. Cro.
Car. 45.
(c) 1 Rol 838.
Co. Lit. 60. b.
(d) 1 ir. Sect. 77.
Co. Lit. 60 b

(a) entailed, and yet neither Fine nor common (b) Recovery bar them; so as he who hath such Estate can't (without the Assent of the Lord by committing a Forfeiture, and taking a new Estate) of himself dispose of it, either for Payment of his Debts, or Advancement of his Wife, or his younger Children; wherefore he conceived that the Statute de *Donis Conditionalibus* did not extend to Copyholds, *quod fuit concessum per totam Curiam*. But it was said that the Statute without special Custom, doth not extend to Copyholds; but if the (c) Custom of the Manor doth warrant such Estates, and a Remainder hath been limited over and enjoyed, or Plaints in the Nature of a *Formedon* in the Descender brought in the Court of the Manor, and Land so entailed by Copy recovered thereby, then the Custom co-operating with the Statute makes it an Estate-Tail; so that neither the Statute without the Custom, nor the Custom without the Statute, can create an Estate-Tail,

And to this Purpose is (d) *Littleton, Lib. 1. cap. 8.* for he saith, That if a Man seised of a Manor, within which Manor there hath been a Custom which hath been used Time out of Memory, that certain Tenants within the same Manor have used to have Lands and Tenements, to hold to them and their Heirs in Fee-simple, or Fee-tail, or for Term of Life, &c. at the Will of the Lord, according to the Custom of the same Manor; and a little after, That *Formedon* in Descender lies of such Tenements, which Writ, as it was said, was not at the Common Law.

To which it was answered by the Chief Baron, That if the Statute (without Custom) shall not extend to Copyholds, without Question the Custom of the Manor cannot make it extend to them: For before the Statute, all Estates of (e) Inheritance, as *Littleton* saith, *Lib. 1. cap. 2.* were Fee-simple, and after the Statute, no Custom can begin, because the Statute being made in 13 E. 1. is made within Time of Memory; Ergo, the Estate-Tail cannot be created by Custom; and therefore *Littleton* is to be intended (inasmuch as he grounds his Opinion upon the Custom, That Copyholds may be granted in Fee-simple, or Fee-tail) of a Fee-simple conditional at the Common Law: For *Littleton* well knew, That no Custom

Rep. Q. A. 98
160.
Skin. 269, 297.
(e) Co. Lit. 19. a.
Cro. Car. 45
Poph. 34. 1 Co.
103 b. 6 Co.
40. a.
(f) Co. Lit. 45.
114. b. 115. a.

could commence after the Stat. of *Westm. 2.* as appears in his own Book, *lib. 2. c. 10.* and *34 H. 6. 36.* And where he saith, that *Formedon* in (a) Descender lies, he also saith that it lies at the Com. Law. And it appears in our Books, that in special Cases a *Formedon* in the Descend. lay at the Com. Law, before the Stat. of *Westm. 2.* which see *4 E. 2. Formedon 50.* (b) *10 E. 2. Formedon 55. 21 E. 3. 47. Ploxd. Com. 246. b. & c.*

And where it was further objected, That the Statute of *Westm. 2.* cannot without Custom make an Estate-Tail of Copyholds, because without Custom, such Estate cannot be granted by Copy, for it was said, If Estates had been always granted to one and his Heirs by Copy, that a Grant to one and the Heirs of his Body, is another Estate not warranted by the Custom: So that in such Manors where such Estates of Inheritance have been allowed by Custom, the Statute doth extend to them, and makes them, which before were Fee conditional, now by the Statute Estates in Tail, and that the Statute cannot, as hath been agreed before, alter the Custom, or create a new Estate, not warranted by the Custom.

To that it was answered by the Chief Baron, That where the Custom of the Manor is to grant Lands by Copy *in feodo simplici* (as the usual Pleading is) without Question, by the same Custom Lands may be (c) granted to one, and the Heirs of his Body, or upon any other Limitation or Condition; for these are Estates in Fee-simple, & *eo potius*, that they are not so large and ample as the general and absolute Fee-simple is, and therefore the Generality of the Custom doth include them, but not *e converso, ad quod non fuit responsum.* But it was agreed by the whole Court, that another Act made at the same Parliament, *cap. 18.* which gave the *Elegit* (d) doth not extend to Copyholds, for that would be prejudicial to the Lord, and against the Custom of the Manor, that a Stranger should have Interest in the Land held of him by Copy, where by the Custom it cannot be transferred to any without a Surrender made to him, and by the Lord allowed and admitted. But it was agreed by them, That other Statutes made at the same Parl. which are beneficial for the Copyholder, and not prejud. to the Lord, may be by a favourable Interp. extended to Copyholds, as *cap. 3.* which gives the Wife a *Cui* (e) *in vi-ta*, and Receipt, and *cap. 4.* which gives the particular Tenant a *Quod ei deforceat*; and therewith agrees *10 E. 4. 2. b.*

And in this Case it was also resolved, That altho' it was not found (g) that the said Rents were the usual Rents, accustomed to be reserved within twenty Years before the Parliament, yet inasmuch as they have found, that the accustomed Rent was reserved, and a Custom goes at all Times before, for this Cause it shall be intended, that it was the accustomed Rent within the twenty Years, and so it should be intended, if the contrary be not shewed of the other Side. And Judgment was entred for the Queen.

(a) Co. Lit. 60. b.
280. b. 19. a.
Lit. Sect. 481.
F. N. B. 217. D.
Foph. 34.
(b) O. Benl. 165.
1 Rol. Rep. 4.
Co. Lit. 60. b.

(c) Godb. 20.
Poph. 35.
1 Leon. 56.
Cro. Eliz. 323.
373. 4 Leon. 64.
1 Rol. 511.
4 Co. 23. a. Co.
Lit. 52. b.

(d) 1 Rol. 888.
Cro. Car. 44.
Hard, 433.
O. Benl. 163.
Sav. 67.

(e) Cro. Car. 43.
2 Inlt. 343. Sav.
67. 4 Co. 23. a.

(g) 4 Co. 65. b.
Hob. 55. 262.
1 Leon 333.
2 Rol. 700.
9 Co. 74. a.
Cr. Jac. 413.
Post. 42. b.

DOWTIE'S Case.

Trin. 26 Eliz.

Adjudg'd in the Exchequer.

(a) 1 Leon. 21. (a) IN an Information upon an Intrusion in the Exchequer
 3 Leon. 187. against *John Dowie*, who intruded into five Messuages
 Hob. 171. 9Co or Cottages in the Parish of *St. Sepulchres* in *London*; upon
 95. b. 96. a. 7Co. Not guilty pleaded, the Jurors gave a special Verdict to this
 20. a. Effect; That *John* late Viscount *Lisle* (who was afterwards
 Duke of *Northumberland*) was seised of the said Messuages
 in Fee, and so seised, by his Deed indented and inrolled
 within six Months, &c. in Consideration of Money, did bargain
 and sell to the Lady *Johan Lea* all his Tenements
 and Cottages situate in the Parish of *St. Andrew* in *Holborn*,
 in the Occupation and Tenure of *William Gardiner*; To have
 and to hold to the said Lady *Johan* for her Life, the Remainder
 to *Katharine* her Daughter, and to her Heirs: And further found,
 That by Force of the said Bargain and Sale, the said Lady
Johan did enter into the said five Messuages or Cottages, and
 was thereof seised, *prout lex postulat*, and took to Husband
Sir Thomas Chaloner: And afterwards she said *Sir Tho.* and
 Dame *Johan* 28 Aprilis 5 E. 6. demised the said five Messuages
 to one *Paben* for 21 Years, by Force of which the said *Paben*
 entred, and took the Profits. And afterwards, *scil.* the first
 Year of Queen *Mary*, the said Duke was attainted of High
 Treason, &c. And afterwards Queen *Mary* died; and afterwards,
scil. 20 Julii 18 Eliz. the Queen, by her Letters Patents
 under the Great Seal, granted the said 5 Messuages to
John Farneham and his Heirs, with a Proviso in the same
 Letters Patents, That if the said Tenements, Rents,
 and

and Profits were (not) from the Queen that now is, or from her Sister Queen *Mary*, or her Brother *E. 6.* or Father *H. 8.* concealed, subtracted, or unjustly detained, and so remain'd till the first Inquisition or Certificate, that then the Letters Patents shall be void; and the Defendant claimed in under the said Letters Patents: And further found, that the said five Messuages or Cottages lay in the Parish of *St. Sepulch.* and that at the Time of the said Bargain and Sale they were in the Occupation of the said *William Gardiner*. And if upon the whole Matter the Queen granted by the said Letters Patents the Tenements aforesaid to the said *Ferneham*, then they found the Defendant not guilty; and if the Queen did not grant the said 5 Messuages or Cottages by the said Letters Patents, then they found the Defendant guilty. And upon many Arguments at the Bar and Bench, Judgment was given for the Queen by Sir *Roger Manwood* Chief Baron, and the whole Court of Exchequer. And in this Case three Points were unanimously resolved.

First, That nothing pass'd by the said Bargain and Sale, for notwithstanding the later (a) Certainty, *scil.* in the Tenure of *William Gardiner*, was true; yet because the first Certainty, *scil.* in the Parish of *St. Andrew* in *Holbourn*, was false, for this Cause the Bargain and Sale was utterly void. But otherwise had it been, (b) if a true Certainty had been in the first place; as if he had bargained and sold, (the Tenements, &c. in the Tenure of *William Gardiner* in the Parish of *St. Andrew, Holbourn*) there it was agreed that the Tenements shall pass well enough, notwithstanding the Addition of the Falsity, for (c) *utile pro inutiles non vitatur*: But in the Case at Bar, it was agreed, that the Bargain and Sale was void, and that the said Lady *Lea* was a Disseisor: But the great Doubt of the Case was, when the Disseisor is attain'd of High Treason, if the Land it self should be presently in the actual Possess. of the K. by Force of the Stat. of (d) 33 *H. 8. c. 20.* or if the King until seizure, &c. should have only a mere Right: And the Doubt arose upon the Purview and Words of the Act; for by the same Act, all Rights, &c. are given to the K. And further it is enacted; that the King shall be in actual Possession without any Office found thereof, &c. saving to all Strangers all such Rights, &c. Possession, &c. as if the Act had not been made: And it was declar'd, that there were three Causes for making the said Branch of the Act of (e) 33 *H. 8.* First, That by the Com. Law for Lands in Fee-simple, and by the Stat. of 26 *H. 8. c. 13.* for Lands in Tail, the actual Possession was not in the K. by Attaind. before Office, for the Words of the Act are, *That every Offender shall lose and forfeit to the King all such Lands, &c.* by which Words the Lands shall not be in the actual Possession of the K. until Office; and with that

(a) 3 Leon. 21.
Hob. 171. Cro.
Jac 22, 473.
3 Keb. 413, 414.
2 Co. 23. a. b. 33.
a. b. 4 Co. 35. a.
b. 50. a. Plowd.
191. b.
(b) 1 Leon. 21.
3 Leon. 235.
Hob. 171. Con.
Moor 881. Cr.
Eliz. 39, 299.
Cart. 154.
(c) Co. Lit. 3. a.
227. a. 2 Syd. 63.
70. 2 Roll. Rep.
422. Cart. 154.
155. 2 Sand.
469. Hob. 171.
(d) 3 Inst. 19.
(e) 1 Leon. 21.
3 Leon. 187.
4 Leon. 169, 172.
2 Rol. Rep. 318,
321, 324, 375.
421, 503. 3 Inst.
19. Godb. 301,
304, 305, 312,
315 Stamf. Cor.
398. a. Stamf.
Præ. 53. a. b.
1 Co. 4. 2. a. 48. a.
3 Co. 2. b. 5 Co.
52. b. 7 Co. 12.
b. 15. b. 422 b.
Kelw. 17. b. Co.
Lit. 372. b. 392.
b. Poph. 19.
Dyer 45 pl. 55.
344 a. 1 Anderf.
293. Palm. 439.
1 Jones 70, 71.
75, 76, 77, 80.
Cro. Car. 428,
461. Moor 307,
311, 312, 320,
323, 327, 329.
Hob. 231, 335,
341, 344, 345.

(a) Dyer 325 pl. agrees the Judgment in *Plow. Comm.* 486. 15 *Eliz.* (a) *Dyer*
 38. 1 Co. 42. a. 325. Sir *Will. Say's Case*, 28 *H. 8. Br.* (b) *Office* 17. 4 *E. 4.*
 2 Rol. Rep. 497. 22. 29 *H. 8. Br. Charter de Pardon* 52. But when Tenant
 Cro. Car. 173. in Fee-simple is attainted of High Treason, and dies, there
 2 Ander. 34. the Fee and Freehold, without any Office found, is cast up-
 (b) 2 Rol. Rep. on the King for Necessity, that the Freehold shall not be in
 321. Cro. Car. 173. Godb 312. Sufpence, and therewith agrees (c) 9 *H. 7. 1.* And it was
 Br. N. C. 103. also agreed in the same Case, that altho' the Land, which
 4 Co. 58. a. such Person so attainted had in Fee-simple, be not held of
 3 Jones 71. the K. but of a Subject, yet presently, by the Death of the
 (c) 3 Leon. 187. Person attainted, and without any Office, the Fee and Free-
 9 Co 95. b. 96. a. hold shall be presently vested in the King, and shall not
 9 H. 7 2. b. Br. escheat to the Lord of whom the Land is held till Office
 Office Antrea found (as *obiter* it is said in *Nichol's Case*) for the Escheat of
 Escheater 34. all Lands for H. Treason belongs to the K. only, and to no
 Br Pre. 91. Br. other, as well of Lands held of others as of himself, as it is
 Escheat 25. 33. declared and adjudged in Parliament *Anno* 25 *E. 3. cap. 2.*
 Plowd. 229. b. so that the Land can neither escheat to the Lord, for an Es-
 Moor 293. cheat in such Case is not by the Law given to him, nor de-
 Nichols's Case. scend to the Heir, because the Blood is corrupted; and in
 See Cases in Abeyance it cannot be; *Ergo* it shall vest in the King:
 1 aw, &c. 361. But it was agreed, if Tenant in Tail be attainted of High
 Et post. 61. b. Treason, and dies, the Land shall not vest in the K. before
 (d) 2 Rol. Rep. Office, but it shall descend to the (d) Issue in Tail till Office
 325, 340, 375, found, for the Act of 26 *H. 8.* gives the Forfeiture of it: But
 421. 1 Jones 71. neither the Act nor the Attainder makes any Corruption of
 Lucas 361. Blood as to the Descent of Land in Tail: For *Popham*, At-
 (e) Godb. 305, torney General, said, That so it was agreed in the Case of
 416. 2 Rol. Rep. the L. (e) *Lumley*, that where there was Grandfather, Fa-
 321, 325, 418, ther, and Son, and the Grandfather was Tenant in Tail, and
 428, 496, 504, the Father was attainted of H. Treason, and died in the Life
 508 2 Anderf. of the Grandfather, and afterwards the Grandfather died,
 34. 8 Co. 166. a. that the Land should descend to the Son notwithstanding
 Hob. 343 Cr. the Attainder of the Father; which Case was affirmed for
 El. 28. 1 Jones good Law by the whole Court; for the Father had not the
 81. 1 Syd. 199. Land, neither in Possession nor in Use, in which two Cases
 (f) 26 H. 8. c. the Act of 25 *H. 8.* gave the Forfeiture only, and his Attain-
 1 Leon. 21. der is not any Corruption of Blood for the Land in Tail:
 Le S. Lumley's that the Land should descend to the Son notwithstanding
 Case, 1 Rol. Rep. the Attainder of the Father; which Case was affirmed for
 162. 2 Rol. Rep. good Law by the whole Court; for the Father had not the
 314, 315, 318, Land, neither in Possession nor in Use, in which two Cases
 319, 320, 321, the Act of 25 *H. 8.* gave the Forfeiture only, and his Attain-
 323, 324, 325, der is not any Corruption of Blood for the Land in Tail:
 340, 374, 416, But now the Stat. of 35 *H. 8.* in all the said Cases doth trans-
 418, 420, 501, fer, and vest the actual Possession in the K. presently, by the
 503, 507, 508. Attainder as well in the Life, as after the Death of the Per-
 1 Jones 70, 71, son attainted, and as well of Lands in Tail as of Land in
 75, 76, 77, 80. Fee-simple, which was one of the Causes of making the
 Cro. Car. 428. said Act. Another Cause was, that the Act of (f) 26 *H. 8.*
 1 Co. 22. a. 7 Co. extended only to Lands, &c. which the Person attainted had
 33. a. 34. b. 9 Co. in Possession or in Use, and did not extend to (g) Rights,
 140. a. 12 Co. 6. Conditions &c. And lastly, the Act of 26 *H. 8.* extended
 3 Inst. 19. 4 Inst. only to Attainders of Treason by Confession, Verdict or
 42. 2 Ander. 34. Co. Lit. 372. b. 392. b. Plowd. 552. b. Hob 334, 339, 340, 341, 343, 344,
 Palm. 439. Dyer 532. pl. 27. 343 pl. 56, Co. Ent. 422. a. (g) Hob, 341. 3 Inst. 19.
 Herl. 151, 157. 346, 347, 348.

Process of Utlagary, and therefore Attainders by Parliament, or when the Party stood mute, (in which Case such Judgment shall be given as if he had confess'd the Treason, or that he had been found guilty by Verdict, &c.) were out of that Act. But the Act of 33 H. 8. extends to all Manner of Attainders of Treason.

Secondly, It was resolv'd, That altho' it be provided by the Statute of 33 H. 8. that the King shall be in actual Possession without any Office (a) found thereof, &c. yet when the Disseisee is attainted of High Treason, presently by his Attainder, the King had only a Right, for the said Words shall have such Construction, *scil.* That the King shall be in actual Possess. without Office, *idest*, as if an Office had been found thereof. And at Common Law, if the Disseisee had been attainted of Treason, and the Seisin and Disseisin had been found by Office, the Possession should not be in the King till a (b) *Scire facias* sued, &c. or a Seisurè at the least; because, when a Stranger is seised at the Time of the Office found, the King shall not be in Possession till Seisurè; and therewith agrees *Stamf. Prærog.* 54. (c) 17 E. 3. 10. 29 *Aff.* 30. 21 E. 4. 1. Also all Possessions, &c. are (d) saved by the said Act, as if the said Act had not been made; and therefore the Possession of the Disseisor is saved thereby in the same Manner as if a special Office had been found by the Common Law.

Thirdly, It was resolv'd, That the Queen having but a (e) Right, that it should not pass by the Grant of the said five Messuages, as in the like Case it was adjudg'd in the Marquis of *Winchester's* Case in the King's Bench. And *Popham* the Attorney General, *Coke* and others, were of Counsel with the Queen. And *Robert Atkinson*, *Henry Beaumont*, and others, with the Defendant. And afterwards (f) a special Office was found, setting forth the Seisin and Disseisin aforesaid; and thereupon a *Scire facias* was brought against him who was found Tenant; and thereupon Judgment was given, and the Tenements seised into the Queen's Hands: And afterwards the Queen, by new Letters Patents, granted the said Tenements to one *Saxie* and his Heirs, who had purchased the Estate of the said *Katharine*, and had newly built the said Tenements, and was expelled by the said *Dowrie*, by Colour of the said Letters Patents made to *Farneham*. And after this Judgment and the said Letters Patents, *Saxie* peaceably enjoy'd the Tenements.

Sir WILL. HARBERT'S Case.

Mich. 26 & 27 Eliz.

In the Exchequer.

Popham 154.
Moor 169.

*M*atthew Harbert, 4 E. 6. acknowledged a Recognizance of 3000*l.* to the King in the Court of Augmentation; and after his Death a *Scire facias* issued 18 Eliz. out of the Court of Exchequer against the Executors *testamenti & ultimæ voluntatis præd' Matthæi & hæred' terrarum & tenementorum quæ sua fuerunt, &c.* And upon that the Sheriff returned, that the said Matthew Harbert had no Executors within his Bailiwick; and further *quod Scire fecit Will. Harbert militi, filio & hæredi dicti Matthæi Harbert per I. D. & D. R. quod sit coram Baronibus, &c.* And at the Day of Return, the said Sir William Harbert made Default, upon which the Barons gave Judgment, *Quod dicta domina Regina recuperet versus dict' Will. Harbert dicta tria millia lib. & quod ipse idem Willielmus de eisdem 3000*l.* erga dictam dominam reginam nunc oneretur, & ei inde satisfaciat.* And thereupon the said Sir William Harbert brought a Writ of Error, and assign'd three Errors: 1. In the *Scire facias*. 2. In the Return. The 3d in the Judgment. And this Term the Errors were mov'd by *Ploviden* being of Counsel with Sir *William Harbert* before Sir *Tho. Bromley* Lord Chancellor of *England*, and the Baron of *Burleigh* Lord Treasurer of *England*, and the two Chief Justices, *Wray* and *Anderson*, in the Exchequer Chamber. And in this Case divers Points were resolved.

(a) Cro. Jac. 450.
2 Inst. 394.
2 Bullst. 63, 99.
2 Rol. Rep. 295.
Co. Lit. 290. b.
Cart. 20. 5 Co. 88. a.
(b) 2 Inst. 394.
2 Bullstr. 63, 99.

First, That, (a) at the Common Law, where a common Person sues a Recognizance or a Judgment for Debt or Damages, he shall not have the Body of the Defendant, nor his Lands (unless in special Case) in Execution: But at the (b) Common Law he shall have Execution in such Case only of his Goods and Chattels, and of Corn, and the like present Profit which shall grow upon the Land, to which Purpose the Com. Law gave him two several Writs:

for Debt, for the Reason and Cause aforesaid; but it was resolv'd, that (a) at the Com. Law, the Body, the Land, and the Goods of the Accountant, or the King's Debtor, were liable to the King's Execution, for (b) *Theſaurus Regis eſt pacis vinculum, & bellorum nervi*. And therefore the Law gave the King full Remedy for it; and therewith agrees; *Eliz. Dyer* (c) 224. and *Plow. Com.* (d) 321. Sir *Will. Cavendiſh's* Caſe, who was Treafurer of the Chamber, 24 E. 3. (e) *Walter de Chirton's* Caſe, and infinite Precedents in the Exchequer, to prove, that for the King's Debt, the Body and the Land of the Debtor ſhall be liable by the Common Law before the Statute of (f) 35 H. 8. cap. 39.

Secondly, (g) It was resolv'd, That in Caſe of a common Perſon, the Heir of the Conuſor, or he, againſt whom the Judgment is given in Debt, ſhall be only charged, and ſhall not have Contribution againſt the Terre-tenant in ſome Caſes, and in ſome Caſes he ſhall have Contribution, and ſhall not be only charged. For if a Man be ſeiſed of three Acres of Land, and acknowledges a Recognizance or a Statute, &c. and enfeoffs A. of one Acre, B. of another, and the third deſcends to his Heir; in this Caſe, if Execution be ſued (b) only againſt the Heir, he ſhall not have Contribution, for he comes to the Land without Conſideration, and the Heir ſits, in the (i) Seat of his Anceſtor. *Et heres eſt alter ipſe, & filius eſt pars patris*, and as it is ſaid, *Mortuus eſt pater, & queſi non eſt mortuus, quia reliquit ſimilem ſibi*; and therefore the Heir ſhall not have Contribution againſt any Purchaſor, altho' *in rei Veritate* the Purchaſor came to the Land without any valuable Conſideration, for the Conſideration of the Purchaſe is not material in ſuch Caſe. And ſo it was of late resolv'd in the Caſe of *Thomas* (k) *Gawdie* late Maſhal of the King's Bench, that the Heir may be ſolely charged, and ſhall not have Contribution againſt Purchaſors. For altho' in Caſe of Recognizance, Statute, or Judgment, the Heir is charged as Terre-tenant, and not as Heir, as appears by 27 H. 6. *Execution* (l) 135. (m) 15 E. 3. (n) *Age* 95. and the Reason is, becauſe by Recognizance or Statute the Heir is not bound, but the Conuſor *concedit quod dicitur pecunie ſumma de terris, &c. levetur*; yet he ſhall not have Contribution againſt a Purchaſor, againſt the Opinion of *Finchden* 48 E. 3. 5. b. But yet in ſome Caſes the Heir ſhall have Contribution, and ſhall not be only charged; and therefore if a Man be ſeiſed of two Acres, one of the Nature of Borough *Engliſh*, and binds himſelf in a Stat. or Recognizance: Or if Judgm. in Debt be given againſt him, and he dies, having Iſſue two Daughters, who make Partition; in this Caſe, if one only be charged, ſhe ſhall have Contribution; for as one Purchaſ. ſhall have Contrib. againſt another, and againſt the Heir of the Conuſee alſo, ſo one Heir ſhall have

Contribution against another Heir, for they are *in æquali jure*, *Trin.* (a) 24 E. 3. 28. a. in a *Scire facias* to have Execution of Damages recovered in a Writ of Intrusion of a Ward, the Sheriff returned, that the Defendant, against whom the Judgment was given, is dead; whereupon a Writ issued to warn the Tenants of the Land, who were Tenants to the Defendant at the Time of the Judgment, who were returned warned; one of the Tenants said, that his Cousin (who was another than him, against whom the Judgment was had) died seised, whose Heir he is, and is (b) within Age, and prayed his Age, and that the Parol might demur against all the other Terre-tenants till he was of Age. *Unde colligo*, That if there be Grandfather; Father, and two Daughters, and Judgment is given for Debt or Damages against the Grandfather, and he dies, and the Father dies, one of the Daughters within Age, and the other of full Age, Partition is made, the elder Sister shall not be charged alone, but shall take Advantage of the Infancy of her Sister, for both Heirs are in the same Degree. The same Law if a Man be bound in a (c) Recognizance, and hath Issue two Daughters, and dies, they make Partition; one alone shall not be charged, but shall have Contribution; and if one be within Age, the other shall take Benefit thereof; for, in such Case, altho' she be charged as Terre-tenant, yet she shall have her Age. (d) See for this 11 E. 3. Age 4. 15 E. 3. Age 95. 29 Aff. 37. 29 E. 3. 50. 47 Aff. 4. in Sir Rich. Walgrave's Case. So if a Man be bound in a Stat. or Recognizance, and after his Death some of his Land descends to the Heir on the Part of the Father, and some to the Heir on the Part of the Mother; in this Case one alone shall not be charged; and if he be, he shall have Contribution against the other. So and with this agrees 11 H. 7. 22. Br. (f) in Dower, If the Tenant vouch the Heir in three several Wards, every one shall be equally charged, as it is agreed in 48 E. 3. 5. a. b. But it was resolv'd in the Case at Bar, that altho' the Heir in this Case was charged as Terre-tenant, yet for the Causes aforesaid, the Writ which issued against him only, and not against the other Tenants, was good enough by the Rule of the Court. Note, Reader, if two, four, (g) or more Men, be severally seised of Land, and they all join in a Recognizance, in this Case the Conusee cannot extend the Land of any of the Conufors only, but all ought equally to be charged; for altho' the Land of the Conufor himself may be only charged, when divers Men have purchased any of the Land subject to the Recognizance, because the Purchaser is in other Degree (b) than the Conufor himself, yet one of the Conufors shall not be only charged, for he stands in equal Degree with the other Conufors, and that appears by 29 Aff. 37. and 29 E. 3. 50. Sir John Langford's Case; where the Case was, That four

(a) 1 Roll. 147.
Fitz. Age 102.

(b) Cro. Car.
297.

(c) Co. Lit.
290. a.
(d) 1 Rol. Rep.
140. Co. Lit.
fo 290. a.
(e) 2 Co. 25. b.
5 Co. 100. a.
Dy. 239. pl. 39.
Mo. 74. 1 Ander.
19 Co. Lit.
376. b.
Hob. 25. 11 H. 7.
12. b. 11 E. 3.
Det. 7. Br.
Joinder in
Action 119.
Br. Dow. 18.
Srath. Dow. 18.
Postea 14. a.
Fitz. Vouch. 76.
Br. Voucher 38.
(g) 2 Inst. 396.
2 Bullstr. 15.

(b) 1 Roll. 147.
Br. Age 36. Br.
Confession 28.
Br. Charge 27.
Br. Parol demur
16. Fitz. Age 73.

were

were bound in a Recognizance of Debt acknowledged in the Court of *Chester* to Sir *John Langford*, and afterwards one of the Conufors died, his Heir within Age; Sir *John Langford* brought a *Scire facias* against the three Survivors to have Execution, who pleaded, that the Heir of the Conufor, who was dead, was within Age, and in as much as during his Minority he cannot be charged, and the Survivors only ought not to be charged, they demanded Judgment, &c. And because Sir *Job. Langford* did not deny it, it was awarded that the Parol should demur; upon which Sir *John Langford* brought a Writ of Error in the K.'s Bench, which Judgment was there affirmed. Out of which Judgment I observe, 1. That amongst the Conufors themselves there shall be an (a) equal Charge, and the Land of any of them shall not be only extended. 2. That the Heir of any of them shall not have greater Privilege in Law than the Conufor himself; for it appears by this Judgment, that he shall be equally charged with the Conufors themselves, which agrees well with the said Résolut. that he shall not have Contrib. against a Purchasor. The 3d Thing that I observe is, That the Heir is not charged only as (b) Terre-tenant, but in some Respect as Heir, for otherwise he should not have his Age, as it was adjudged in that Case. It is ruled in (c) 17 E. 3. 43. a. that the (d) Heir of the Conufor shall have *Audita querela* before Execut. sued, as well as the Conufor himself, and shall (e) have a *Superfedas*; but so shall not have a (f) strange Purchasor till he be ousted by Execution, and therewith agrees (g) 17 Aff. 24. & 18 E. 3. 25. And with the said Judgment in 29 Aff. agrees 19 E. 3. (h) Execut. 81. that if Judgment be given against two Disseisors in Affise for the Land and Damages, and one Disseisor dies, the Execution shall not be awarded against the surviving Disseisor, who was Party to the Wrong; but as well the Heir as the Disseisor shall be equally charged: Now for as much as no Land was subject to Execution for the Debt of a common Person at Com. Law, but only by the said Stat. It is worthy Consideration what should be the Reason of the said Differences concerning Contribution, and by what Law the Purchasor should have greater Privilege than the Conufor himself, or his Heir, and that one Heir only should not be charged, but all the Heirs together, & sic de cæteris. As to that, it is to be known, that the Judges and Sages of the Law have always expounded general Stat. according to the Rule of the Com. Law, which is built on the Perfection of Reason, and not according to any private and sudden Conceit or Opinion: And because in as much as the said Stat. have subjected the Land to Execution for his Debt, the Judges and Sages of the Law considered the Rule and Reason of the Law in Case of the Heir of an Obligor; in which Case the Land was subject to Execution for Debt by the Common Law. And it appears to them, that if a Man bound

(a) 5 Co. 100. a.
2 Co. 25. b.

(b) 1 Rol. 140.
(c) Audita Querela 8.

(d) 1 Roll. 306.
2 Rol. Rep. 54.
2 Bullstr. 17.

(e) F. N. B. 240. a.

(f) 1 Rol. 305.
Cro Jac. 507.
2 Rol. Rep. 54.

2 Bullstr. 17.
(g) 2 Bullstr. 14, 16.

(h) 2 Rol. 87.
Antea 12. a.

bound himself and his (a) Heirs in an Obligation, and died seized of Land as well on the Part of the Mother as on the Part of the Father, in that Case the Law required Equality; and neither the Heir on the Part of the Father, nor the Heir on the Part of the Mother should be only charged; and there-with agrees 11 H. 7. 12. b.

So in the Case in 48 E. 3. when the Heir is (b) vouched in the Ward of three several Heirs, every one shall be equally charged *pro rata*. So if two Men (c) alien Lands with (d) Warranty, the Lands of one only shall not be rendred in Value; neither if one dies, shall the Land, of the Survivor be only rendred in Value, but the Charge shall be equal on them. For a joint (e) lien, which binds the Land, shall not survive, or lie only on the Survivor, as in Case of a Joint Warranty, where two for them and their Heirs warrant Lands to another and his Heirs, the Survivor shall not be only vouched: And the Sheriff cannot deliver the Land of the one or the other at his Pleasure; for in (e) Executions, which concern the Realty, and charge the Lands, the Sheriff cannot do Execution on the Land of one only. And so if two (f) are bound to warrant, and both die, both their Heirs ought to be vouched, and they shall be equally charged: But, against this, two Objections were made:

1. That because each of them warrants the whole, that both their Lands, or the Lands of the one or the other may be put in execution: And so it is *obiter* said in (g) 16 H. 7. 13. a. But to that it was answer'd and resolv'd, that altho' each be bound to warrant the whole, yet *non sequitur*, that the Recompence in Value shall be made by one of them only; for if the Heir be vouched in the Ward of several Persons, one alone shall not be charged, but all equally, as is held in 48 E. 3. and yet the Ancestor did warrant the whole. And where two or more are bound in a (b) Recogn. or Stat. now is each of them bound in the whole, yet the Land of one only shall not be extended. But it was also objected, that the Case of a Recogn. or Stat. was not like the Case of Warranty: For by the Stat. or Recogn. the Land is presently bound in whose Hand soever it shall come; but so it is not in Case of a Warranty: To which it was answered and resolv'd, That for as much as by the said Book of 16 H. 7. and all other Books, it appears, That the Survivor and the Heir ought to be vouched together, and so of the Heirs of both: And Littleton, Chapter *Homage Ancestrel* saith, that the Land which the (i) Vouchee had at the Time of the Voucher shall be liable to render in Value; from thence it follows that the Charge shall be equal; and that is a stronger Case than the Case of the Statute or Recognizance, for the Warranty extends to render in Value Lands of Inheritance; but if (k) Husb. and Wife and the Heirs of the Wife be bound to

War

(a) 3 Bulstr. 318.
2 Co. 25. b.
Co. Lit. 376. b.
386. b. Dy. 239.
pl. 39. Mo. 74.
1 Ander. 10.
Hob. 25. 11 E. 3.
Det 7. Ant. 13.
a. Br. Joinder
en Action 119.
(b) 2 Co. 25. b.
Br. Dowder 98.
Stath. Dow. 18.
Fitzh. Vouch.
76. Br. Vouch.
38.
(c) Mo. 20. d.
17 E. 3. 41. b.
2 Rol. 87. Fitz.
Voucher 90.
(d) Co. Lit. 386.
b. 19 H. 6. 55. a.
12 H. 7. 3. a.
17 E. 3. 8. b.
2 Brownl. 99.
(e) Cro. Jac. 507.
(f) 8 Co. 52. a.
(g) Co. Lit.
386. b.
2 Bronl. 99.
Br. Recover en
value 63. Br.
Voucher 165.
(b) 2 Rol. 87.
29 E. 3. 39. a.
Fitzh. Exec.
256 29 Aff. 27.
Br. Charge 27.
Br. Join-ten-
nants 27. Br.
Age 36. Br.
Error 191. Br.
Parol demur
16. Fitz. 73.
Fitz Execution
100.
(i) Co. Lit. 102.
a. Lit Sect.
145.
(k) 2 Rol. 87.
Carr. 242.
3 Keb. 187.

Warranty, and the Wife dies, the Lands of the Husband may be alone put in Execution, because there are no (a) Moieties between Husband and Wife; and thus are divers Opinions in our Books, some whereof being ill-reported are well reconciled. 17 E. 3. 41. b. 29 E. 3. 46. a. 12 H. 7. 3. b. 16 H. 7. 13. a. 22 E. 3. 1. a. b. 17 E. 3. 8. 30 E. 3. 40. 19 H. 6. 55. a. But in a personal Lien it is otherwise.----As if two be bound in an (b) Obligation, there the Charge shall survive: So it appears by these Cases, that when Land shall be charged by any Lien, the Charge ought to be equal, and one alone shall not bear all the Burthen; and the Law in this Point is grounded on great Equity: But in all the Cases at the Common Law, if the Party who should be charged had aliened the Land *bona fide* before any Action brought, the Land in the Hands of the Purchasor was not subject to any Charge or Execution; and this was the Reason why the Judges and Sages of the Law in Construction of the said Statutes, altho' the Lands of Purchasors, after the Judgment, Recognizance or Statute, were subject to Execution, yet gave greater Privilege to them, than to the Conusor himself or to his Heir.

Also the Statute of *West. 2. cap. 18.* provides, *Quod vicecomes liberet ei medietatem terre sue*, which ought to be intended of all his Land; so the Statute of 13 E. 1. enacts, That all the Lands of the Conusor shall be delivered to Merchants, &c. and that is another Reason why the (c) Land of one Terre-tenant only shall not be charged with the whole Debt, for as much as by the Statute all the Land is liable. And the Reason why the Conusor himself, at the Will of the Conusee, may be only charged, is because he himself is the Person who was the Debtor, and who was bound; and therefore he is subject to Execution, and it is but reasonable that he may be only charged; the same Law of his Heir for the Reasons before rehearsed.

Note, Reader, when it is said before and often in our Books, That if one Purchasor be (d) only extended for the whole Debt, that he shall have Contribution; it is not thereby intended that the others shall give or allow to him any Thing by way of Contribution; but it ought to be intended that the Parry, who is only extended for the whole, may by *Audita querela* or *Scire fac'*, as the Case requires, defeat the Execution, and thereby he shall be restored to all the mean Profits, and compel the Conusee to sue Execution of the whole Land; so in this Manner every one shall be Contributory, *hoc est*, the Land of every Ter-tenant shall be equally extended: And afterwards the Counsel of Sir *Will. Harbert* moyed three Errors in the Record.

(a) 1 Co. 102. b.
2 Co. 68. a.
3 Co. 5. a. b.
25. a. 30. b.
8 Co. 71. b. 72.
a. Lit. Sect.
291. Co. Lit.
117. b.
(b) 2 Brownl.
99. Co. Lit.
376. b. 386. b.
2 Rol. 87.

(c) 1 Rol. 314.

(d) Dyer 333.
pl. 23, 24.
2 Intt. 396.
Mo. 524, 536.
F. N. B. 103. b.
Cro. Car. 443.
1 Rol. 311.
1 Jones 90.
O. Bendl. 133.
2 Bulstr. 17.
3 Bulstr. 306.
23 E. 3. Execution 127.

The first was, That the Writ of *Scire facias* was *Scire facias hered' terrarum & tenementorum*, &c. which was improper and against Law; for one is always called Heir to his Ancestor, and not Heir to the Land, for Ancestor and Heir are *relativa*, and it cannot be said that one is *filius*, or *consanguineus* & *heres manerii de Dale*; but that *A.* was seized of the Manor of *Dale* in Fee, and died seized, after whose Death the Manor of *Dale* descended to *B.* as *consanguineo* & *heredi predicti A.* (and shew how) and not *predicti manerii*.

The second Error was, admitting the Writ good, then for as much as the Writ requires *quod Scire fac' hered' terrarum & tenementorum*, &c. the Return of the Sheriff, *quod Scire fecit Willielmo Herbert Militi, fil' & hered' predict' Matthæi*, is not good, because he doth not return him Heir of any Lands or Tenements, as the Writ requires; for his Warrant is not to summon the Heir of the said *Matthew*, but the Heir of the Lands and Tenements of the said *Matthew*, and every Return ought to answer the Point of the Writ.

The third Error, admitting the Writ and the Return good, was, That the Judgment itself was erroneous. For the Judgment is given generally against Sir *Will. Herbert*, *Quod dicta Domina Regina recuperet versus præd' Will. Herbert dicta tria millia librarum; Et quod ipse idem Willielmus de eisdem tribus millibus librar' erga dictam dominam Reginam nunc oneretur, & ei inde satisfaciatur.* And it was

moved by the Defendant's Counsel, that the Judgment ought to have been special; for by this general Judgment his own Land will be liable, where by the Law, the Land only which came to him by his Father should be liable; and, as hath been said, he is charged as *Terre-tenant*, for the *Cognussee* cannot have an Action of Debt on the Recognizance against the Heir, for the Recognizance is, *Quod tunc vult & concedit quod dicta pecuniæ summa de bonis & catallis, terris & tenementis, &c. levetur*: So that the Charge is imposed on his Goods and Lands; so that Debt doth not lie on it against the Heir, no more than on a Recovery in Debt, for there a *Scire facias* lies against the Heir, but no Action of Debt: Then although the Heir makes a Default, yet the Judgment ought to have been special; and it was said in this Case, if the Heir had appeared and pleaded a false Plea, yet the Judgment ought to have been special; for he is not charged merely as Heir, but rather as *Terre-Tenant*. And with that agrees 33 *E. 3. Execution* 162. in (a) Debt the Plaintiff recovered, and before Execution sued, the Defendant died, the Plaintiff prayed a *Scire fac'* against *A.* who is Tenant of the Defendant's Lands, and had it,

who

Plowd. 440. a.
b. 21 E. 3. 9. b.
2. Rol. 70. 71.
Cro. El. 692.
Cro. Car. 296.
Co. Lit. 102.
a. b. Fitzh. 76.
b. Moor 522.
5 Co. 60. Dyer
373. pl. 14.
Poph. 153, 154.
3 Bulst. 317.
318, 320. Palm.
419. 1 Jones
87, 88.

(a) 3 Bulst. 318.
321, 322. Poph.
154. 1 Jones 88.

7 Co. 20. a.

who came and counter-pleaded the Execution, and they were at Issue, and afterwards did not follow it; wherefore Execution was awarded against him, and the Plaintiff prayed Execution as well of his own Lands, which he had the Day he pleaded, as of the Debtor's Lands in his Hands, because he pleaded a false Plea. But by the Rule of the Court, he could have only the Lands of the Debtor. *Vide* 16 E. 3. 15. But these Points were not resolved by the Court, but afterwards, on a Petition made to the Queen, Sir *William* compounded with her. *Plowden* and *Coke* were of Counsel with Sir *William Herbert*; And note well, the new Writ of Error, after the Entry of the first, was not brought, *quod coram vobis residet*, because the record is not removed out of the Keeping of him who had the Custody thereof before; but it remained in the same Custody after the Writ of Error purchased, as it was before.

BORASTON'S Case.

Hillary 29 Eliz. in B. R. Rot. 790.

Memorandum, That at another time, that is to say, in Hertf. ff. Mich. Term last past, before the Lady the Queen at Westminster, came *Richard Hynde* by *James Tong* his Attorney, and brought here in the Court of the said Lady the Queen then there, his Bill against *William Ambrye*, in the Custody of the Marshal, &c. of a Plea of Trespass and Ejectment of his Farm, and there are Pledges of Suit, that is to say *John Doe* and *Richard Roe*, which Bill followeth in these Words. ff. *Hartf.* ff. *Richard Hynde* complaineth of *William Ambrye* in the Custody of the Marshal of the Marshalsey of the Lady the Queen, before the Lady the Queen her self being, for that, that is to say, That whereas one *Thomas Brand* and *Constance* his Wife, and *William Davies* and *Margaret* his Wife, the 9th Day of *July* in the 28th Year of the Reign of the said Lady *Elizabeth* now Queen of *England* at *Aldenbam* in the County aforesaid, demised, and granted, and to farm let to the aforesaid *Richard Hynde*, among other Things, 10 Acres of Land, with the Appurtenances, called the Upper Part of a close named *Reddings*, in *Aldenbam* aforesaid in the County aforesaid; To have and to hold the aforesaid 10 Acres, with the Appurtenances, to the aforesaid *Richard Hynde* and his Assigns, from the Feast of *St. John* the Baptist then last past, until the End and Term of 7 Years from thence next ensuing, and fully to be compleat and ended: By Virtue of which Demise the said *Richard Hynde* entred into the aforesaid 10 Acres of Land, with the Appurtenances, the aforesaid 9th Day of *July* in the 28th Year aforesaid, and was thereof possess'd until the aforesaid *William Ambrye* afterwards, to wit, the aforesaid 9th Day of *July* in the 28th Year aforesaid, with Force and Arms, &c. into the aforesaid 10 Acres of Lands, with the Appurtenances, upon the Possession of the said *Richard* entred, and him the said

Pleadings in Boraston's Case. PART III.

Rich. from his Farm afores. the Term thereof not yet ended, did eject, expel, and amove, and then the said *Rich.* from his possession thereof held out, and as yet holdeth out, and other harms to him did, against the Peace of the said *Q.* to the Damage of the said *Rich.* of 10 *l.* and thereof he bringeth Suit, &c. And now at this Day, that is to say, *Monday* after 8 Days of *St. Hillary* in this Term, until which Day the said *Will.* had License to the Bill aforesaid to imparl, and then to answer, &c. before the *L. the Q.* at *Westm.* come as well the afores. *Rich.* by his Attorney afores. as the said *Will.* by *Rich. Belfield* his Attorney, and the same *Will.* doth defend the Force and Injury when, &c. And saith, that he is not Guilty thereof, and of that he puts himself upon the Country; and the said *Rich.* likewise. Therefore let a Jury thereof come before the *L. the Q.* at *West. Wednesday* next after 15 Days of *Easter*, who neither, &c. to recognise, &c. because as well, &c. the same Day is given to the Parties afores. there, &c. Afterwards the Process thereof was continued between the Parties afores. of the Plea afores. by a Jury put between them in respite before the said Lady the *Q.* at *Westm.* until *Wednesday* next after 8 Days of *St. Mich.* then next following: Unless the Justices of the said *L. the Q.* to take Assises in the County afores. assigned, shall first upon *Friday* the 12th Day of *July* at *Hartford* in the County afores. by Form of the Stat. &c. come for Default of Jurors, &c. At which *Wednesday* next after 8 Days of *St. Mich.* before the *L. the Q.* at *Westm.* came the afores. *R. Hynde* by his Attorney afores. &c. and the afores. Justices of Assises, before whom, &c. sent here their Record before them had, in these Words: *ss.* Afterwards, the Day and Place within contained, before *Thom. Gawdy* Knt. one of the Justices of the *L. the Q.* of Pleas, before the *L. the Q.* her self to be holden, assigned, and *Rob. Clark*, one of the Barons of the said Lady the *Q.* of her Excheq. Justices of the said Lady the *Q.* to take Assises in the County of *Hertford* assigned by Form of the Stat. &c. came as well the within named *Rich. Hynde*, by *H. Brantwayte* his Attorn. as the within written *Will. Ambrye* by his Attor. within mentioned, and the Jurors of the Jury whereof within mention is made, some of them, that is to say, *Rich. Penifather*, *Th. Glascock*, *Jo. Harmer*, and *Stephen Nebbes* came, and in the said Jury are sworn. And because the rest of the Jurors of the said Jury did not appear, therefore others of the Standers-by, chosen by the Sheriff at the Request of the said *Rich. Hynde*, and by the Command of the Justices aforesaid, are of new put, whose Names to the Panel within written are filed, according to the Form of the Statute in such Case late made and provided; and some of the Jurors so a new put, that is to say, *Edward Vyall*, *Thom. Cooker*, *Thom. Browe*, *Edw. Asser*, *John Dermer*, *Will. Triverton*, *Ed. Jordan*, and *Rob. Carpenter* came, who to say the Truth

of the Matters within contained; (together with the Jurors aforesaid first impanelled, and sworn,) chosen, tried and sworn, say upon their Oath, that long before the Trespass and Ejectment of Farm within supposed to be done, one *T. Boraston* was seised of and in the within written 10 Acres of Lands with the Appurtenances, called the Upper Part of a Close called *Reddings*, in *Aldenham* within written, in his Demesne as of Fee, and the said 10 Acres of Lands with the Appurtenances held of one *Robert Stepnigh* Esq; as of his Manor of *Aldenham* in free Socage. And further the Jurors aforesaid say upon their Oath, that the aforesaid *Th. Boraston* had Issue of his Body lawfully begotten *Humphrey Boraston* his elder Son, and *Henry Boraston* his younger Son: And the aforesaid *Humphrey Boraston* had Issue of his Body lawfully begotten *Constance Boraston*; now the Wife of the within named *Thomas Brand*; and the within named *Margaret* Wife of the within named *William Davies*: And that afterwards *Humphrey Boraston* died; living the said *Thomas Boraston*, and that the aforesaid *Constance* and *Margaret* were and are Daughters and Co heirs of the aforesaid *Humphrey Boraston*. And farther the Jurors aforesaid say upon their Oath, that the aforesaid *Tho. Boraston* so of and in the aforesaid 10 Acres of Land with the Appurtenances being seised as aforesaid, afterwards; that is to say, the 12th Day of the Month of *August* in the Year of our Lord 1559. in the first Year of the Reign of the said Lady the now Queen, made his Testament and last Will in writing, in these *English* Words following. *In the Name of God Amen, &c. I give unto Thomas Amerie and Amphillis his Wife, all that my upper Part of my Close called Redding, for the Term of eight Years next after my Decease, in Recompence of one yearly Annuity of 46 s. 8 d. due unto the said Thomas Amerie, upon one Obligation of certain Years yet during, and upon further Condition that the said Thomas Amerie shall bring in the said Obligation to my Executors, to be cancelled, and utterly discharged, upon this Consideration, before such Time as the said Thomas Amerie shall make any Entry upon the Premisses, and that the said Thomas Amerie, neither his Assigns; shall not, during the said eight Years, sell none of the Woods, Timber, nor Uderwoods, in, nor upon the said Upper Part, but shall preserve the Woods, Hawts and Springs, to the Behoof of the Heir in Remainder, and after the Term of the said eight Years, the said upper Part to remain to my Executors, until such Time as Hugh Boraston shall accomplish his full Age of twenty-one Years, and the mean Profit to be employed by*

Pleadings in Boraston's Case. PART III.

my Executors towards the Performance of this my last Will and Testam. And when the said Hugh cometh unto 21 Years of Age, then I will that he shall enjoy the said upper Part to him and his Heirs for ever. Provided always, that if the said Tho. Amerie do refuse to bring in his Obligation, or to preserve the Woods upon the said upper Part, then my Execut. to enjoy the Premisses during the said Term of 8 Years, paying the said Amerie his Annuity of 46s. 8 d. during the said Term of 8 Years; as by the Testament and last Will afores. amongst other things, it more fully appeareth: And farther the Jurors afores. say upon their Oath afores. That the afores. Tho. Boraston so of the aforesaid 10 Acres of Land with' the Appurt. being seised, afterward, that is to say, the 14th Day of the afores. Month of August in the 1st Year of the Reign of the said L. the now Q. at Aldenham afores. of such his Estate died seised; and farther the Jurors afores. say upon their Oath, that the aforesaid Hugh Boraston in the said Testam. and last Will named, was Son and Heir of the said Henry Boraston, and that the said Hugh Boraston died before he came to the Age of 21 Years, that is to say, about the Age of 9 Years. And farther the Jurors afores. say upon their Oath afores. that the Interest of the Premisses afores. in the said Testam. and last Will mention'd and devised, as well to the said Thomas Amerie and Amphillis his Wife, as to the Executors of the said Testam. before the Day of the bringing of the Bill within written ended and determin'd. And further the Jurors afores. say upon their Oath, that Philip Boraston was and is Brother and next Heir of the said Hugh Boraston, by colour whereof the said Philip Boraston, after the afores. Interest of the Premisses to the said Tho. Amerie and Amphillis his Wife, and the Executors afores. by the afores. Testam. and last Will given and devised, was ended and determin'd, into the afores. 10 Acres of Land with the Appurt. as Brother and next Heir of the said Hugh, entred, and was thereof seised as the Law requireth, and being so thereof seised, the said Philip Boraston afterwards and before the Time of the Exhibiting of the Bill afores. that is to say, the 20th Day of June in the 28th Year of the Reign of the L. the now Q. demised, granted, and to Farm let to the aforesaid William Ambrye now Defendant, the Tenements aforesaid with the Appurtenances in which, &c. to have and to hold to the said Will. Ambrye and his Assigns, for a whole Year from thence next following to be fully compleat and ended, and so from Year to Year as long as both Parties should please; By Virtue of which Demise, the aforesaid Will. Ambrye into the aforesaid 10 Acres of Land with the Appurt. entred and was thereof possessed, and so thereof being possessed, the afores. Tho. Brand and
Constance

Constance his Wife, *William Davis* and *Margaret* his Wife, as in the Right of the said *Constance* and *Margaret*, afterwards, that is to say, the 9th Day of *July* in the 28th Year of the Reign of the Lady the now Queen aforesaid, into the aforesaid 10 Acres of Land with the Appurtenances in and upon the Possession of the said *William Ambrye* entred, and were thereof seised as the Law requireth; and so thereof being seised at *Aldenham* aforesaid, the said *Thomas Brand* and *Constance* his Wife, *William Davies* and *Margaret* his Wife, afterwards, that is to say, the said 9th Day of *July* in the 28th Year aforesaid, by their Indenture bearing Date the same Day and Year, demised, granted, and to Farm let, the aforesaid 10 Acres of Land with the Appurtenances to the aforesaid *Richard Hynde*, to have and to hold the aforesaid 10 Acres of Land with the Appurtenances unto the said *Richard Hynde* and his Assigns, from the Feast of *Saint John* the Baptist then last past, until the End and Term of 7 Years from thence next ensuing, and fully to be compleat and ended; by Virtue of which Demise, the said *Richard Hynde* into the aforesaid 10 Acres of Lands with the Appurtenances, the aforesaid 9th Day of *July* in the 28th Year of the Reign of the said Lady the now Queen aforesaid entred, and was thereof possessed until the aforesaid *William Ambrye*, afterwards, that is to say, the aforesaid 9th Day of *July* in the 28 Year aforesaid, with Force and Arms, &c. into the aforesaid 10 Acres of Land with the Appurtenances, upon the Possession of the said *Rich. Hynde*, thereof, by the Precept and Command of the aforesaid *Philip Boraston* re-entred, and him the said *Richard Hynde* from his Possession thereof held out, and yet doth hold out: But whether upon the whole Matter aforesaid, in Form aforesaid found, the re-entry of the aforesaid *William Ambrye* into the aforesaid 10 Acres of Land with the Appurtenances, be, or in Law ought to be adjudged, a good or lawful re-entry, the Jurors aforesaid are utterly ignorant, and thereof pray the Advice of the Court of the Lady the Queen; And if upon the whole Matter aforesaid, in Form aforesaid found, it shall seem to the Court of the said Lady the Queen, that the Re entry of the aforesaid *William Ambrye* into the aforesaid 10 Acres of Land with the Appurtenances, in and upon the Possession of the said *Richard Hynde*, be not nor in Law ought to be adjudged a good and lawful Re-entry; then the Jurors aforesaid say upon their Oath aforesaid, that the aforesaid *William Ambrye* is guilty of the Trespass and Ejectment within specified, in Manner and Form as the aforesaid *Richard Hynde* within against him complaineth, and then they Assess the Damages of the said *Richard Hynde*, by occasion of the Trespass and Ejectment out of his Farm, besides his Charges and Costs by him about his Suit in this behalf expended to 8 s. and for his Charges and Costs to 30 s. and 4 d. But if upon the whole Matter aforesaid, in Form aforesaid found, it shall seem to the Court of the L. the Q. that the re-entry of the aforesaid *William Ambrye* into the aforesaid 10 Acres of Land with the Appurtenances in and upon the Possession of the said *Richard Hynde* be, or in Law ought to be adjudged a good and lawful Re-entry, then the Jurors aforesaid

Pleadings in Boraston's Case. PART III.

say upon their Oath, that the said *Will. Ambrye* is not guilty of the Trespass and Ejectment of the Farm aforesaid, as he before for himself hath alledged: And because the Court of the Lady the Q. here of giving their Judgment of and upon the Premises is not yet advised, Day thereof is given to the Parties afores. in the State that now it is, before the L. the Q. at *Westm.* until *Thursday* next after 8 Days of Saint *Hillary* to hear their Judgment thereof, because the Court of the L. the Q. here thereof not yet have advised, &c. At which Day before the Lady the Q. at *Westm.* come the Parties afores. by their Attornies afores. and because the Court of the Lady the Q. here of giving their Judgment of and upon the Premises is not yet advised, further Day thereof is given to the Parties afores. in the State it now is, before the Lady the Q. at *Westminst.* until *Wednesday* next after 15 Days of *Easter*, to hear their Judgment thereof, &c. because, &c. and so from Term to Term, until the Plaint aforesaid was farther adjourned by another Writ of the said L. the Q. of common Adjournment before the Q. until the morrow of *All Souls*, at the Castle of *Hartford* in the County of *Hartford*, at which Day before the L. the Q. at the Castle of *Hartford* came the Parties afores. by their Attorn. afores. And because the Court of the L. the Q. here of giving their Judgment of and upon the Premises is not yet advised, Day thereof is farther given to the Parties afores. in State as it is now before the L. the Q. at the Castle of *Hartford*, until *Tuesday* next after 8 Days of Saint *Hillary* to hear their Judgment, &c. because, &c. before which Day the Plaint afores. was adjourned by a Writ of the L. the Q. by common Adjournment before the said L. the Q. until 8 Days of Saint *Hillary* at *Westm.* At which Day before the L. the Q. at *Westm.* come the Parties afores. by their Attornies afores. and because the Court of the L. the Q. here of giving their Judgment of and upon the Premises is not yet advised, Day is further given to the Parties afores. in State as now, before the Lady the Q. at *Westm.* until *Wednesday* next after 15 Days of *Easter*, to hear their Judgment thereof, &c. because, &c. At which Day before the L. the Q. at *Westm.* come the Parties aforesaid by their Attornies afores. Upon which the Premises being seen by the Court of the L. the Q. here, and diligently looked into, and mature Deliberation being thereof had, because it seemeth to the Court of the said L. the Q. here, that the entry of the afores. *Will. Ambrye* above specified into the afores. 10 Acres of Land with the Appurt. in and upon the Possession of the afores. *Rich. Hynde*, was a good and lawful Re-entry; therefore it is granted that the aforesaid *Rich. Hynde* take nothing by his Bill afores. but that he for his false Clamour be taken, &c. and the aforesaid *William Ambrye* go thereof without Day, &c.

BORASTON'S Case.

Hill. 29 Eliz. in B. R. Rot. 790. *between* Hinde and Ambrye. *be-* Of contingent
Remainders,
the Devisor's
Intent, &c.

Between *Richard Hinde*, Plaintiff and *William Ambrye*, Defendant, in an *Ejectione firmæ* in the King's Bench, of Lands in *Aldenham* in the County of *Hertford*, on a Lease made by *Thomas Brand* and *Constance* his Wife, and *William Davies* and *Margaret* his Wife, to the Plaintiff for seven Years. The Defendant pleaded, *Not guilty*, and the Jury gave a special Verdict to this Effect: *Tho. Boraston* was seised in Fee of the Lands afores. and held them in So- cage, and had Issue *Humphrey Boraston* his elder Son, *Henry Boraston* his younger Son; and *Humphrey* had Issue the said *Constance*, Wife of the said *Brand*, and the said *Margaret*, Wife of the said *Davies*; and the said *Henry Boraston* had Issue *Hugh*. And afterwards the said *Thomas Boraston*, August 12. 1559. by his Will in Writing, devised the said Lands in these Words, *viz.* Item, *I give to Thomas Amery and Amphillis his Wife, all that my upper Part of my Close called Reading, for eight Years next after my Decease. And that the said Thomas Amery, nor his Assigns, shall, during the said Term, sell none of the said Wood or Timber in or upon the said upper Part, but shall preserve the Woods to the Use and Behalf of the Heir in Remainder: And after the Term of the said 8 Years, the said upper Part to remain to my Exec. until such Time as Hugh Boraston shall accomplish his full Age of 21 Years, and the mean*

2 Bulstr. 123.
124. 2 Rol. Rep.
223, 427. Cro.
Jac 510.
Swinb. 135.
See Taylor and
Wharton's
Case. Carter's
Rep. 182.
See Lane's
Rep. 56.

2 Bulstr. 124. 25.
Lit. Rep. 310.

Profits to be employed by my Executors towards the Performance of this my last Will and Testament: And when the said Hugh shall come to his Age of Twenty-one Years, then I will he shall enjoy the said upper Part to him and to his Heirs for ever.

And afterwards the said *Tho. Boraston*, 14 Augusti anno 1 Eliz. died, and the said *Hugh* died before his full Age of Twenty-one Years, about the Age of Nine Years. And that *Philip Boraston* was Brother and Heir of *Hugh Boraston*; and the said *Philip*, after the End and Expiration of the said Terms, that is to say, of *Thomas Amery* and *Amphillis* his Wife, and of the said Executors, entered into the Lands, as Brother and Heir of the said *Hugh Boraston*, and demised the said Lands to the said *William Ambrye*, &c. by Force whereof he was possessed, upon whom the said Lessors of the Plaintiff, in Right of their said Wives, entered in the said Lands; And by Indenture, bearing Date the same Day and Year mentioned in the Declaration, demised to the Plaintiff, *prout* in the Declaration, by Force whereof he was possessed, 'till the Defendant, by the Commandment of the said *Philip*, entered upon him, &c. And whether the said Entry of the Defendant was lawful or not, was the Doubt which was referred to the Court. And this Case was argued by the Counsel of the Plaintiff. And it seemed to them, that no Remainder was vested in the said *Hugh Boraston*, 'till he attained his Age of Twenty-one Years; and in the mean Time, that the Lands did descend to the Daughters of the elder Son, who are general Heirs to the Devisor; and forasmuch as *Hugh* did never accomplish his said Age, for this Cause the Land never vested in him, but remained in the Heirs general; and in Proof that the Remainder did not vest in *Hugh* before his said Age, they said, it appeared by the Words of the Will, that he should not have it till his said Age of Twenty-one Years. For the Words are, *And when the said Hugh shall accomplish his said Age of Twenty-one Years, then I will he shall enjoy the said upper Part to him and to his Heirs for ever*: So that it fully appears, that this Devise to *Hugh* doth depend on a Contingent, that is to say, on the Accomplishment of *Hugh's* full Age of Twenty-one Years, and that ought to precede before the Remainder can begin, and whether *Hugh* shall attain to his Age is so uncertain, that no Man can know, but it depends solely on the Providence of God. And it was said, If *Thomas Boraston* in this Case had made a Lease till *Hugh* attain his full Age, *Hugh* then being of the Age of Nine Years, the Lessee should not have an absolute Lease for Twelve Years: for if *Hugh* should die before his full Age, the Lease would be ended, *Quod fuit concessum per totam curiam*.

110. Jac. 510.

It was also said, That when a (a) particular Estate (which doth support a Remainder) may determine before the Remainder can begin, there the Remainder shall not presently vest, but shall depend in (b) Contingency: As if one makes a Lease to *J. S.* for his Life, and after the Death of *J. D.* to remain to another in Fee, this Remainder doth depend in Contingency; for if *J. S.* dies before *J. D.* the particular Estate is determined before the Remainder can begin. So and on the same Reason it is adjudged, in *Colthurst and Bejushing's Case*, in *Plow. Com.* where the Case in Effect is, That a Lease is made to *A.* (c) for Life, the Remainder to *B.* for Life, and if *B.* dies before *A.* that it shall remain to *C.* for Life, this is a good Remainder on contingent, if *A.* survives *B.* which Case is all one in Reason with the common Case which is often agreed in our Books. A Lease is made to one for Life, the Remainder to the right Heirs of *J. S.* this Remainder is good upon a (d) Contingent, that is to say, if the Lessee for Life survives *J. S.* otherwise not. So, and for the same Reason, if a Man having Issue a Son of the Age of Nine Years, makes a Lease until his Son shall attain to his full Age, and after he shall accomplish his full Age, that it shall remain over to another in Fee, nothing vests (without Question presently) in him in Remainder, which was granted by the whole Court. And it was said by the Plaintiff's Counsel, That such Remainder is utterly void, and yet may take Effect: For inasmuch as the Remainder ought to pass out of the Lessor presently, either to him in Remainder, or to be in Abeyance and Custody of the Law, and a Freehold cannot in such Case be in (e) Abeyance, for this Cause the Remainder is utterly void: As if a (f) Man makes a Lease to *A.* for Twenty-one Years, if *B.* shall live so long, and after the Death of *B.* that it shall remain over in Fee, this Remainder is void: So if a (g) Lease for Years be made, the Remainder to the right Heirs of *J. S.* this Remainder is void, *Quod fuit concessum per totam curiam.*

Also it was said, That when a Remainder is limited to take Effect on the doing of an Act, which Act will be the Determination of the particular Estate, yet if the Act depends on a Casualty and meer Uncertainty, whether it will ever happen or not, there also the Remainder doth depend in Contingency, and shall not presently vest: As if (h) *A.* makes a Feoffment to the Use of *B.* till *C.* come from *Rome* to *England*, and after such Return from *Rome* to *England*, to remain over in Fee, this Remainder doth depend in Contingency; for it is uncertain whether *C.* will ever return into *England* or not, which was granted by

(c) 2 Rol. 419.
Raym. 144.(b) 10 Co. 85.
a. b. 2 Rol. 419.
Lit. Rep. 219.

Co. Lit. 378.

(c) Plow. 22. a.
24. b. Raym,
144. Lane 22.(d) Raym 144.
2 Co. 51. b.
Co. Lit. 343. a.
10 Co. 50. b.(e) Co. Lit.
342. b. 9 H. 7.
2. b. Br. extin-
guishment 53.
Plow. 229. b.
280. a. 436.
Lit. Sect. 647.
Plow. 557. b.
558. a. Dy. 71.pl. 43. 190.
pl. 18. pl. 20.
Hob. 153, 281.
1 Co. 130. a.
135. b. 3 Co.
2. a. 10. b.
Hob. 94, 171,
257, 335, 336,
338.

(f) Raym. 144.

(g) Hob. 153.

(h) Lit. Rep.

316.

eldest Son is admitted, and doth not pay the Money within two Years, the youngest Son, now Plaintiff, entred into the Land; and it was adjudged that his Entry was lawful: And in that Case two Points were resolved.

1. Altho' the yearly Profits of the Lands for two Years exceed the Money to be paid to his Sons and Daughter, yet the eldest Son had a Fee-simple; for the Recompence and Consideration, altho' it be not to the Value of the Land, in Case of a Will, doth make it in Construction a Fee-simple: And in the Books of (a) 4 E. 6. *Estates Br.* 78. & 29 H. 8. *Testaments* 18. 22 *Eliz. Dyer* 371. (b) no mention is made of the Value of the Land, no more than in the Case of Bargain and Sale of Land in 4 E. 6. *Estates* 78. yet the Fee-simple of the Use shall pass.

2. It was resolved, Altho' in the Case of a Will, this Word (paying) makes a Condition; yet in that Case the Law would construe this unapt Word (paying) to a Limitation, for if it should be a Condition, then it would descend on the eldest Son, and then it would be at his Pleasure whether his Brothers or Sister should be paid or not; and therefore it was adjudged, That in that Case the Law would construe it for a (c) Limitation, of which the youngest Son in Borough English might take Advantage, and to amount to as much as if he had made the Devise of the Land to his eldest Son, till he shall make Default of Payment, &c. and so the Doubt in 14 *Eliz. Dyer* 317. (d) moved by *Manwood*. is well resolved. Upon which it was concluded in the Case at Bar by the Defendant's Counsel, That the Executors had a good (e) Term for Twelve Years, which was not determined by the Death of *Hugh Boraston*; which was granted by the whole Court. And the general Rule put by the Counsel of the other Side was well agreed, That the Remainder ought to commence in Possession, when the (f) particular Estate ends, as well in Wills as in Grants, and there cannot be a mean Time between them; but that doth not concern the Case at Bar, for here inasmuch as the Term did not end by the Death of *Hugh Boraston*, the Remainder did begin in Possession (g) at the End of the Term. And as to the Incertainty, it was said, That the Case at Bar is no other in Effect, but that a Man devises his Lands to his Executors (for the Payment of his Debts) until (h) his Son shall or should have come to his full Age (of Twenty-one Years) the Remainder to his Son in Fee; for altho' these are Adverbs of Time, *when*, &c. and *then*, &c. yet they do not amount to make any Thing to precede the settling of the Remainder, no more than in the common Case. (i) A Man leases Land for Life or Years, and after the Decease of the Lessee, or the Term ended, the Remainder to another, yet it shall remain presently; for when these Adverbs refer to a Thing, which must of Necessity happen,

(a) Cro. Jac. 527. 416.
Co. Lit. 9. b.
6 Co. 16. a.
Swinb. 118.
Cro. Eliz. 205.
29 H. 8. Br.
Testam. ent 18.
(b) Dyer 371.
Pl. 5. Cro. Jac. 527. Co. Lit. 9 b.
(c) Dyer 74. pl. 16. Plowd. 413. a. 10 Co. 41. a. Cart. 93. 171. Cro. Jac. 57. 2 Rol. Rep. 219. 425. Cro. Eliz. 205. 833. 920. Goldsb. 134. Noy 51. Owen 112. Plowd. Queries 108. 1 Leon. 283. 1 Rol. 411.
(d) Dyer 316. pl. 5. 2 Leon. 114. 1 Mod. Rep. 86.
(e) Cro. Jac. 510. Hard. 80.
(f) 1 Co. 66. b. 129. b. 130. a. 134. b. 135. b. 138. a. 2 Co. 51. a. Plowd 25. b. 29. a. b. 35. a. Raym. 54. 2 And. 37. Moor 104. Perk. 12. Raym. 413. Palm. 139. Poph. 82. 83. 84.
(g) 10 Co. 85. b.
(h) Sty. 204. Dall. 58. Moor 48.
(i) 10 Co. 85. b. Cro. Jac. 510. Palm. 141.

Hard. 80.

happen, there they make no Contingency, and it is certain that every Man must die, for *Statutum est hominibus semel mori*; and every Term will end; for *Tempus edax rerum*: And in the Case at Bar, certain it is, that *Hugh* would or might have accomplished his Age of Twenty-one Years, which are in this Case of a Will, all one in Construction of Law. So that these Adverbs (*Then* and *When*) in our Case, are Demonstrations of the Time, when the Remainder to *Hugh* shall take Effect in Possession, as in the said Cases of a Lease for Life, and Lease for Years, and not when the Remainder shall vest; *quod fuit concessum per totam Curiam*. And Judgment was given, That the Plaintiff should take nothing by his Bill.

Doct. pla. 176.
2 Co. 61. b.
Cro. Car. 527.
Dyer 93.

Egerton, the Queen's Solicitor, *Thomas Forster*, and others, were of Counsel with the Plaintiff, and *Coke* and others with the Defendant; and note in the Declaration it doth not appear that the Husbands and Wives made the Lease to the Plaintiff by Deed; and no Exception was taken to it.

WALKER'S Case.

Pasch. 29 Eliz. *Between Walker and Harris in the King's Bench.*

W. leases to H. for Years, H. assigns his Interest to J. S. Then W. brings Debt against H. and held Well.

THE Case was in Effect; *Walker* leased certain Lands to *Harris* for Years, the Lessee assigned all his Interest to another, *Walker* brought an Action of Debt against *Harris* for Rent behind, after the Assignment, and whether the Action were maintainable or not, was the Question. And it was objected against the Action, that the Land was Debtor, and not the Person, but in Respect of the Land; and a Difference was taken between a personal and a real Contract, for if a Man lets a Stock of Cattle or other Goods for Years, rendering Rent at several Days, he shall not have an Action of Debt till all the Days be incurred. So if a Man makes an Obligation or other (a) Contract to pay several Sums at several Days, he shall not have an Action of Debt till all the Days are past. But in the Case of a Lease for Years, which is a real Contract, the Lessor shall have an Action of Debt after every Day, as appears by 15 E. 3. 8. 2 E. 4. 11. which proves that the Lessee is not charged in respect of any personal Contract, but in respect of the Realty. And therefore, when the Lessee assigns over all his Interest, all the Realty, which always follows the Land, is gone. Also, if a Man sells Goods for Money to be paid at several Days, in such Case, although the Goods be taken by one who hath Right before the Day, yet the Seller shall have an Action of Debt in respect of the Contract: But if a Man makes a Lease for Years rendering Rent, if before the Day incurred the Lands be (b) evicted by Title Paramount, the Lessor shall not have an Action of Debt in respect of the Contract, because it is a real Contract, and follows the Estate of the Land, and the Rent issues out of the Land, and the Person is not the

Moor 351. Cro. El. 556. 713. 1 Syd. 266. 402. Poph. 120.

(a) Co. Lit. 47. b. 292. h. 1 Rol. Rep. 29, 30, 221, 601. 2 Rol. Rep. 47. F. N. B. 130. h. 267. b. 4 Co. 94. b. 5 Co. 81. b. 8 Co. 153. a. 10 Co. 128. b. Moor 13. 3 Leon. 4. Blendl. in Kel. 208, 209. Blendl. in Ash. 10. O. Blendl. 3. pl. 8. N. Bendl. El. 118, 776. 807. Cro. Jac. 504. Cro. Car. 241. 2 Leon. 107, 108. 4 Leon. 13. Owen 42. Yelv. 67. Br. Action sur le Cafe in fine. 2 Inst. 395. 2 Sand. 337. (b) Cro. Jac. 310. 10 Co. 128. a. a. Dy. 56. pl. 15. B. N. C. 52. 2 Roll. 255.

See 1 Salk. 81,
82.

(a) Co. Lit.
143. a. Dy. 5.
pl. 6.
(b) Cro. Eliz.
793. Mo. 114.
1 Rol. 235.
2 Inst. 504.

(c) Fitz. Det.
42. Br. Obliga-
tion 6. Br. Ap-
portionment 1.
Br. Condition
207.
(d) 9 Co. 135.
Br. Apporion-
ment 7. Br. Bar.
39. Co. Lit.
148. b.

(e) 2 Rol. 346.
Dyer 55. pl. 5.
Kel. 49. pl. 5.

(f) Br. Quare
Imp. 62. Br.
Brief al Evefq;
29. Fitz. Pre-
sentment al Ef-
gylese 2.
*Co. Lit. 166. b
186. b. F. N. B.
33. m. 34. v.
Cro. El. 18, 19.
2 Inst. 364, 365.
(g) Lit. Sect.
352. Co. Lit.
169. b.
(h) Fitz. Det.
101. Br. Det.
140.
(i) Ventr. 99.
(k) 1 Syd. 266.
Moor 351.
Poph. 120.
2 Sand 182.
Cr. El. 715.
Cro. Jac. 523.

the Debtor but in respect of the Land; for if the Lessee grants over all his Interest, the Lessor may have an Action of Debt against the Assignee, with whom there was no Contract by Deed. But forasmuch as the Rent issues out of Land, the Assignee who hath the Land, and is privy in Estate, is Debtor in respect to the Land: So if a (a) Man leases three Acres, rendring Rent, and the Lessor ousts the Lessee of one Acre, he shall have an Action of Debt for no Part; (b) but if the Lessor recovers Part in an Action of Waste, or enters into Part for a Forfeiture, or by Surrender, or by special Condition for Entry into Part; or if Part of the Land be evicted by Title Paramount; in all these Cases the Rent reserved on the Lease for Years, which is a Rent-Service; shall be apportioned. Ergo, the Contract follows the Land, for otherwise the Lessor might in all those Cases have an Action of Debt for the whole Rent in respect of the Contract, as he shall have on a Sale of Goods; for which Matter see (c) 20 H. 6. 23. a. (d) 9 E. 4. 1. a. 21 E. 4. 29. a. b. which Book is to be intended of a lawful Entry, as for a Forfeiture, or by Surrender, and not of a tortious Entry, 4 H. 7. 6. 7 E. 6. *Tit. Apportionment*, Br. 26. 25 H. 8. 36. 13 H. 8. 30 H. 8. *Apportionment*, Br. 7. 3 H. 7. 17. And so all the Books are well reconciled. So it appears, that altho' in every Lease for Years there is a Contract between the Lessor and Lessee, yet that Contract is annexed to an Estate, and follows the Land. So on the other Side, if the Lessor grants over his Revers. now the Contr. runneth with the Estate, and therefore the Grantor shall not have any Action of Debt for Rent due after his Assignment, but the Grantee shall have it, for the Privy of the Contract follows the Estate of the Land, and is not annexed to the Person, but in respect of the Estate: As where there be divers (e) Parceners of an Advowson, the eldest hath Prerogative to make the first Presentment; but it is not in respect of her Person only, but as it is annexed to her Estate. For as (f) 5 H. 5. 10. b. it is agreed, her Husband, who is Tenant by the Courtesy, shall have it: So if one Coparcener hath a Rent granted her for (g) Owelty of Partition, she may distrain for it of common Right, without any Words of Distress; and so shall her Grantee, for it was not annexed to her Person only, but to the Estate also, as it is held in 21 H. 6. 11. So the Grantee of a Revers. and the Lord by Escheat shall have an Action of Debt for the Rent, as it is held in (b) 5 H. 7. 18. b. for the Contr. is incidental to the Estate: And it was (i) said, That it was held by Sir Ro. Catlin, late Chief Justice, that the Lessee shall not be charged for Rent due after the Assignment. But on great Deliberation and Conference with others, it was adjudged by Wray, L. C. J. Sir Tho. Gawdie, and the whole Court of K.'s B. That the (k) Action would lie (after such Assignment).

And first for the apprehending of the true Reason of this Case,

Case, and of all the other Cases, which have been urged on the other Side, (for the Law always, and in all Cases, is consonant to itself) It is to be known, that as to the Matter now in Quest. there are three Manner of (a) Privities, *scil.* Privity in (a) Lit. Sect. Respect of Estate only, Privity in Respect of Contr. only, and 454. Co. Lit. Privity in Respect of Estate and Contr. together: Privity of 271. a. 4 Co. Estate only; as if the Lessor grants over his (b) Reversion 123. b. 124. a. (or if the Reversion escheat) between the Grantee (or the 8 Co. 42. b. Lord by Escheat) and the Lessee is privy in Estate only, so between the Lessor and the Assignee of the Lessee, for no Contr. 1 Jones 32. was made between them. Privity of Contract only is personal 184. Cro. Car. Privity, and extends only to the Person of the Lessor and to the Person of the Lessee, as in the Case at Bar, when (c) the Lessee assigned over his Interest, notwithstanding his (c) Cro. Car. Assignment the Privity of Contract remained between them, 222. although the Privity of Estate be removed by the Act of the Lessee himself; and the Reason thereof is,

First, Because the Lessee himself shall not prevent by his own Act such Remedy which the Lessor hath against him by his own Contract, but when the Lessor grants over his (d) Lit. Sect. Reversion, there, against his own Grant, he cannot have 229. Co. Lit. Remedy, because he hath granted the Reversion to another, 152. a. to which the Rent is incident. Q. the late; Stat.

Secondly, The Lessee may grant the Term to a poor Man, who shall not be able to manure the Land, and who will, for Need or for Malice, suffer the Land to lie fresh, and then the Lessor will be without Remedy either by Distress or by Action of Debt, which would be inconvenient, and in Effect concern every Man; (for, for the most part, every Man is a Lessor or a Lessee) and for these two Reasons, all the Cases of Entry by Wrong, Eviction, Suspension and Apportionment of Rent are answered: For in such Cases either it is the Act of the Lessor himself, or the Act of a Stranger; and in none of the said Cases the sole Act of the Lessee himself shall prevent the Lessor of his Remedy, and introduce such Inconveniencies, as hath been said.

The third Privity is of Contract and Estate together, as between the Lessor and the Lessee himself; And *Wray*, Ch. Just. and *Sir Tho. Garwy* said, That as he who is a Bastard born hath no Cousin, so every Case imports Suspicion of its *Skin. 610.* Legitimation, unless it has another Case which shall be as a Cousin-German, to support and prove it. And therefore it was agreed by the whole Court, that if there be Lord and Tenant, and the Tenant makes a Feoffment in Fee, in this Case betwixt them for the (e) Arrearages due as well before the (e) 2 Rol. Rep. Feoffment as after, till Notice, &c. it is only Privity as to 247. Cro. Jac. Avowry, and not any Privity in Estate or in Tenure, which 334. Priv. shall not go with the Estate, and yet it is more in the Realty than the Case at Bar; *a fortiori* in the Case at Bar, when the Lessee assigns his Int. yet Privity of Contr. between (f) Cro. Car. the Lessor and Lessee, as to the Action of (f) Debt remains. 222

(a) 2 Inst. 500.
501. 4 H. 6. 20.

And at the Com. Law, before the Stat. of (a) *Quia emptores terrarum*, if the Tenant made a Feoffment in Fee to hold of the Chief Lord, the Feoffee could not by any Tender that he could make, compel the Lord to avow on him, but the Lord always might avow on the Feoffor, as appears in 33 E. 3. *Avowry* 255. For by his own Act he cannot change the Avowry of his Lord; which is a stronger Case than the Case at Bar: And in the same Case, if the Lord granted over his Seigniorie, or if the Feoffor died, there the Privy, as to Avowry, is destroyed; for it is personal, and holds only between the Lord himself and the Feoffee himself: So, if after the Assignment of the Lease, the Lessor grants over his Reversion, the Grantee shall not have an Action of Debt against the Lessee, for the Privy of Contract, as to the Action of (b) Debt, holds only betwixt the Lessor himself and the Lessee himself: So in such Case, if the Lessee dies, the Lessor shall not have an Action of Debt against his (c) Executors; for the Privy consists only between the Lessor and the Lessee. See for the Case of Avowry, *Litt. Chap. Releases* 106, (d) 107. 4 E. 3. 22. 2 E. 4. 6. 34 H. 6. 46. 37 H.

(b) 2 Sand. 181,
182.

(c) Yelv. 103.
Styl. 52. 61, 118,
119. Cro. Jac.

549. 2 Rol. Rep.
131. Palm. 116,
117. All. 34.

(d) Co. Lit.
268. a. Lit.

Secl. 454. Post.
35. a.

(e) Cr. El. 169.
(f) Co. Lit.

54. a. 316. a.
273. a. 9 Co.

142. a. 2 Rol.
Abr. 828. 30 E.

3. 16. a. b. F.
N. B. 55. e. 56. f.

Cro. El. 358.
Fitz. Waste

122. 2 Inst. 301.
11 H. 4. 19. a.

Br. Waste 66.
Reg. 72. a.

(g) Co. Lit. 54.
a. 2 Inst. 301.

2 Rol. Abr.
828. F. N. B.

56. f.
(b) Post. 65. a.

4 Co. 49. a.
F. N. B. 120. h.

122. a. Br. Ar-
rearages 11.

Br. Entre cong.
90. Br. Rent

15. Kelw. 153.
b. 112. b.

(i) Mo. 351.
Cr. El. 328.

596, 633. Poph
55, 120 Goldb.

182. 1 Jones 44,
244. Ungle and

Glover. Hut.
69. 1 Brownl.

56.

6. 33. 7 E. 4. 28. 24 H. 8. Dy. 4. (e) 29 H. 8. tit. *Avow. Br.* 111. So if Tenant in (f) Dower, or Ten. by the Curtesy, grants over their Estate, yet the Privy of Action remains between the (g) Heir and them, and he shall have an Action of Waste against them for Waste committed after the Assignment: But if the Heir grants over the Reversion, then the Privy of the Action is destroyed, and the Grantee cannot have any Action of Waste, but only against the Assignee; for between them is Privy in Estate, and between the Grantee and the Tenant in Dower, or Tenant by the Curtesy, is no privy at all. See *F. N. B. 56. f. Temp. E. 1. Waste* 122. 18 E. 3. 3. 30 E. 3. 16. 36 or 38 E. 3. 23. 11 H. 4. 18. And it was agreed, that if the Lessor enters for Condit. broken, or if the Lessee surrenders to the Lessor, now the Estate and Term is determined, and yet the Lessor shall have an Action of Debt for the (b) Arrearages due before the Condition broken, or the Surrender made, as it appears by *F. N. B. 120. 30 E. 3. 7. 6 H. 7. 3. b. F. N. B. 122.* (against the Book of 32 E. 3. *Bar.* 262. which is not Law) and that in Respect of the Contract between the Lessor and the Lessee. Note, Reader, So great was the Authority and Consequence of this Judgment, that after this Time, not only the Point adjudged hath been always affirmed, but also all the Differences in this Case taken by *Wray, Ch. J.* and the Court have been adjudged, as you may learn by the Cases following *Hil. 36. Eliz.* in the K.'s B. *Rot.* 420. between (i) *Ungle and Glover* it was adjudg'd, That if the Lessee for Ys. assigns over his Int. and the Lessor by Deed indent. and

and enrolled according to the Stat. bargains and sells the Re-
 vers. to another; that the Bargainee shall not have an Action
 of Debt against the Lessee, for there is no Privity betwixt
 them. But it was unanimously agreed by *Popham* Ch. Just.
Clench, Gawdy and Fenner Justices, that after the Assignm.
 the Lessor himself might have an Action of Debt against
 the Lessee for Rent due after the Assignm. *Trin. 37 Eliz.*
 in the K.'s Bench, *Rot. 1042.* between (a) *Overton* and *Sydball*
 two Points were resolv'd by *Popham* C. J. and the wole Court.
 1. That if the Executor of a Lessee for Years assigns over
 his Interest, that an Action of Debt doth not lie against him
 for Rent due after the Assignment.
 2. If the Lessee for Years assigns over his Interest, and
 dies, the Executor shall not be charged for Rent due after
 his Death; for, by the Death of the Lessee, the personal
 Privity of Contract, as to the Action of Debt in both
 Cases, was determined. And *Mich. 40 & 41 Eliz.* between
 (b) *George Browne* Esq; Pl. and *Hore* Def, the Case, in effect
 was such: *A.* leased to *C.* 3 Acres of Land for Years rendring
 Rent, the said *C.* assign'd all his Estate in one Acre to ano-
 ther, *A.* suffer'd a com. Recov'ry to the Use of *B.* in Fee; who
 brought an Action of Debt against the first Lessee, and it was
 adjudg'd by *Popham*, C. J. and the whole Court, that the
 Action did lie; for in as much as the Lessee had assign'd
 his Interest but in Parr, and remain'd possess'd of the Re-
 sidue, that not only the Lessor, but also his Assignee, or
 he who claimeth under him shall have an Action of (c)
 Debt for the whole Rent against the Lessee, for there was
 not Privity of Contract only, but also Privity in Estate and
 Contract together; and therefore the Action in this Case
 shall go with the Estate; as at Com. Law, if before the Stat.
 of (d) *Quia emptores terrarum* the Ten. had made a Feoff-
 ment in Fee of Part of the Tenancy, there was not any
 Apportionment, but the Lord, or his Grantee, should avow
 on the Feoffor for as much as he remained Tenant in
 Respect of the Residue: But if he had made a Feoffment
 of the whole, then the Grantee of the Lord should not avow
 on him, as it hath been said before: See 22 *Aff. 52.* 24 *H. 8.*
 4. b. 32 *H. 8. Br. Accept.* for this Matter. And *Popham* C. J.
 in this Case said, That in Case when Rent reserv'd on a
 Lease for Years shall be (e) apportioned, if in an Action of
 Debt the Lessor demands more *quam oportet*; yet on *Nihil*
debet the Lessor shall recover as much as shall be apportion'd
 and assessed by the Jury, and shall be barred for the Residue.
 And *Pasch. 41 Eliz. Rot. 2485.* in the Com. Pleas, (f) *Samuel*
Marrow brought an Action of Debt against *Fr. Turpin*, and
W. Turpin, Administ' of *Geo. Turpin*, and declar'd on a De-
 mise made by the Pl. by Deed indent' of certain Land to the
 Intestate for Years rendring Rent, and for Rent behind after
 the Death of the Intestate, the Action was brought; The
 Defendants pleaded, That before the Rent behind, one

Poph. 137.

Dyer 4. b. Cro.
El. 328.Overton and
Sydhal's Case.

1 Sand. 240.

2 Sand. 304.

(a) Poph. 120.

Gould. 120.

Moor 351.

1 Syd. 240, 266.

Swinb 329.

(c. Ent. 122. a.

Cro. El. 555.

Cro. Car. 188.

2 Sand. 304.

2 Ventr. 209.

Latch 260, 261,

262. Stry. 407.

Godb. 277.

Palm. 117.

3 Bullst. 311.

Brome and

Horne's Case.

(b) Cro. El. 633.

Godb. 277.

(c) Cro. Car.

222.

(d) Dyer 4. pl 4.

3 Keb 583.

2 Inif. 500, 508

(e) 2 Inst. 504.

1 Brownl. 186.

1 Rol. 237. 1 Syd.

6 Yelv. 114.

Marrow and

Turpin's Case.

(f) Moor 600.

2 Anderf. 133.

2 Bullst. 152.

Cro. El. 715.

Latch 260, 261,

262. 2 Ventr.

209, 210.

(a) 2 Bulstr. 151, of the Defendants had (a) assigned all his Interest to Thomas
 152. 2 R. & L. Rep. Boorde, of which Assignment the Plaintiff had Notice,
 366. and accepted the Rent by the Hands of the Assignee, due
 at a Day after the Assignment, and before the Day on which
 the Rent was due which is now demanded, upon which the
 Plaintiff did demur. And it was adjudg'd against the Plain-
 tiff, because the Privity of the Contract, as to the Action of
 Debt, was determined by the Death of the Lessee; and
 therefore, after Assignment made by the (b) Administra-
 tor, Debt did not lie against the Administrator for Rent
 due after the Assignment, according to the Judgment given
 in (c) *Overton* and *Sydball's* Case before.

Also it was said, if the Lessee assigns over his Term, the
 Lessor may charge the Lessee or his Assignee at his Election;
 and therefore if the Lessor (d) accepts the Rent of the Af-
 signee, he hath determined his Election, and shall not have
 an Action against the Lessee afterwards for Rent due after
 the Assignment, no more than if the (e) Lord once accepts
 the Rent of the Feoffee, he shall not avow on the Feoffor:
 And by these Judgments and Resolutions you will the bet-
 ter understand your Books; betwixt which *prima facie*
 seems to be some Diversity of Opinions. *Vide* 44 E. 3. 5. &
 44 Aff. 18. 9 H. 6. 52. by *Paston*, which agree with the
 Judgment of Sir *Christopher Wray*. See 8 Eliz. *Dyer* 247.
 and the *Quere* there made, is now well resolv'd.

(b) 1 Jones 223.
 Cro. Car. 188.
 (c) Antea 24. a.
 (d) 3 Bulstr. 152,
 153. Cro. Car.
 334. 1 Sand. 240.
 (e) Co. Lit. 269.
 b. Postea 65, b.
 66. a. 6 Co. 58.
 a.

BUTLER and BAKER's Case. * Rep. Q. A.
106, 121, 122,
160, &c.
Fitzg. 231.

Mich. 33 & 34 Eliz.

In the King's Bench.

IN an Action of Trespass brought by *John Butler* against *Thomas Baker* and *Thomas Delves* Defendants, for a Trespass in Parcel of the Manor of *Tboby*, in the County of *Effex*, and Not guilty pleaded; the Jury gave a special Verdict to this Effect; *William Barners* seised of the Manor of *Hyn-ton* in the County of *Gloucester*, had Issue *William* his eldest Son, *Thomas* and *Leonard Barners*; and that *William* the Son, married *Elizabeth Eden*; and afterwards 2 & 3 *Phil. & Mar. William*, the Father, in Consideration of the said Marriage, and for a Jointure for the said *Elizabeth*, did enfeoff of the said Manor of *Hyn-ton*, *Robert Rochester*, Knt. and others, to the Use of the said *William* the Son, and *Elizabeth* his Wife, and the Heirs of their two Bodies begotten; and afterwards *William*, the Father, died, whereby the Réversion of *Hyn-ton* descended to *William* the Son; and that *William* the Son was also seised of the Manor of *Tboby*, (whereof the Place in which is Parcel) and of certain Lands in *Fobbing* in the County of *Effex* in Fee, and had Issue *Thomas* and *Grifild*, now the Wife of *Baker*, one of the Defendants; and afterwards *William* the Son, by his last Will in Writing devised, that *Elizabeth* his Wife should have and hold during her Life the Manor of *Tboby*, in Consideration of her Jointure and Dower in all his other Manors and Lands; provided always, that if the said *Elizabeth* should take herself to any former Jointure of any Lands of *William* the Son, that then the said Will of the Manor of *Tboby*, as to the said *Elizabeth*, should be void: And after the Death of the said *Elizabeth*, the said Manor of *Tboby* should remain to *Thomas* his Son, and to the Heirs Males of his Body; the Remainder to the Heirs Males of the Body of the said *William* the Son; the Remainder to *Thomas* his Brother for Life; the Remainder to his eldest Son, in Tail, &c. the Remainder

D to

to Leonard Barners, and to the Heirs Males of his Body; the Remainder to Richard Barners in Tail; the Remainder to the Right Heirs of William Barners the Son. And that William the Son so as aforesaid seised of all the Premises, died thereof seised; and that the Manors of *Toby* and *Hyn-ton* were held of the Queen in *Capite* by Knights Service, and that after the Death of William the Son, his Wife by Word in *Pais* did waive her Estate in *Hyn-ton*, and agreed to the Manor of *Toby*, and entred into it; and that the Manor of *Hyn-ton* and the Lands in *Fobbing* were an entire third Part of all the Lands and Tenements whereof William the Son died seised. And that Thomas the Son of William the Son, and Thomas the Son of the Father, were dead without Issue, by which Leonard entred into the Manor of *Toby*, and took to Wife Mary Gedges, and died; Anthony his Son assign- ed the said Manor of *Toby* to the said Mary for her Dower, now the Wife of Butler the Plaintiff. And that Thomas Delves, one of the Defendants, by the Command of Baker, the other of the Defendants, entred, &c. And if the Entry of the said Delves were lawful, is the Doubt; and the Case in Effect is such: William seised of *Toby* and *Fobbing*, and William and Elizabeth his Wife seised of *Hyn-ton*, to them and to the Heirs of their two Bodies begotten, by Estate made to them, during the Coverture, for the Jointure of the Wife; the Reversion to William in Fee; *Toby* amounting to the Value of two Parts, and *Fobbing* and *Hyn-ton* to a full third Part. *Toby* and *Hyn-ton* are held in *Capite*; William by his Will devised in Writing *Toby* to his Wife for her Life, on Condition that she should not take her former Jointure, with divers Remainders over, and died; the Wife in *Pais* refused her former Jointure in *Hyn-ton*. If the Will be good for the whole of *Toby*, or but for Part. And two Questions were moved in this Case: 1. If the Refusal in *Pais* should devest the Estate-Tail which was vested in the Wife? 2. Admitting the Refusal in *Pais* should devest it, if the Refusal should have such Relation that the Will should be good for the whole Manor of *Toby* by the Sta- tutes of (a) 32 & 34 H. 8. or should be void for Part? And this Case was argued in the King's Bench by Egerton, the Queen's Solicitor, and Thomas Buckley for the Plaintiff, and by Popham, the Queen's Attor, and Coke for the Def. And afterwards Mich. 33 & 34 Eliz. the Case was argued by the Court. (b) and Fenner and Cleph argued for the Plaintiff, and Wray C. J. and Garedy for the Defend. And afterwards, the same Term, the Case was argued by the Counsel on both Sides in the Excheq. Chamb. before all the Justices of Engl. and Wray Ch. Just. told me, that Anderson Ch. Just. of the Common Pleas, and Manwood Chief Baron did agree with him: And afterwards Wray Ch. Just. the last Day of Easter Term

(a) 32 H. 8 cap. 1. 34 H. 8. cap. 5. 12 Car. 2. cap. 24. Co. Lit. 76 a. b.

(b) Poph. 88. Moor 254.

Term 34 *Eliz.* died, who was a most Reverend Judge, of profound and judicial Knowledge; accompanied with a ready and singular Capacity, grave and sensible Elocution, and continual and admirable Patience; and Sir *Jo. Popham*, the Queen's late Attorney General, did succeed him. And afterwards, in *Michaelmas* Term 34 & 35 *Eliz.* Sir *Roger Manwood* Chief Baron died, who was also a Reverend Judge of great and excellent Knowledge in the Law, and accompanied with a ready Invention and good Elocution; and Sir *William Periam* Knt. late one of the Justices of the Common Pleas, succeeded him. And afterwards the Case was oftentimes argued, as well in the Exchequer-Chamber as at Serjeants Inn, before all the Justices of *England* and Barons of the Exchequer; and after many Conferences between themselves, Judgment was order'd to be entred for the Defendant; which was done in the King's Bench accordingly; and in this Case divers Points were resolved.

First, That at the Common Law, if Lands be given to Husband and Wife in Tail, or in Fee, and the Husband dies, there the Wife cannot devest the Freehold out of her by any verbal Waver or Disagreement *in Pais*. As if before any Entry made by her, she saith that she utterly waves and disagrees to the said Estate, and will never take or accept thereof; yet the Freehold remains in her, and she may enter when she pleases: So if before her Entry, she reciting her Estate, had said by Word *in Pais* that she doth assent and agree to the said Estate, or Words *tantamount*, yet she might afterwards wave it in a Court of Record; for a verbal Assent and Agreement *in Pais*, as it was held by divers in such Case, is not of any Effect in Law; for the Law doth more respect an Act without Words, than Words without an Act; and therefore if she enters into the Land and takes the Profits, altho' she saith nothing, it is a good Agreement in Law, for the Law doth respect Deeds; but Words without an Act are not in this Case regarded in Law, as it is adjudg'd in *M. 34 E. 1 Avowry* 232. That if a Man takes a Distress for one Thing, yet when he comes into a Court of Record, he may avow for what Thing he pleases; *a multo fortiori* when a Freehold is vested in him it cannot be devest'd by bare Words *in Pais*; and therewith agrees 17 *Poph. 89. 17 Aff. pl. 3 4 Co. 5. o.* *E. 3. 6. & 17 Aff.* where the Husb. aliened his Land, and took back an Estate to him and his Wife in Tail, the Husb. died, the Lord of whom the Land was held, by Knights Service, supposing the Husb. died sole seised, by Word assigned Dower to the Wife, which she accepted; yet it was adjudg'd that this Refusal of the Estate of Inheritance and Acceptance

BUTLER and BAKER'S Case. PART III.

of her Dower *in Pais* should not devert the Freehold out of her. Also in 13 R. 2. *Joint-tenancy*, the Case was, a Charter of Feoffment was made to four, and Seisin was deliver'd to Three in the Name of all; and after the Seisin was given, the Fourth came and saw the Deed, and said by Word that he would have nothing in the Land nor agree to the Deed, but disagree; and it was adjudg'd that this Disagreement by Word *in Pais* should not devert the Freehold out of him. And *Thorpe* in 35 E. 3. tit. *Disclaimer* saith, that in such Case the Tenancy doth remain *in toto* till Disagreement in a Court of Record. Another Reason was alledged, That a Freehold should not so easily be deverted by bare Word *in Pais*, to the End that the Tenant to the *Præcipe* should be the better known: But as an Act *in Pais* may amount to an Agreement, so an Act *in Pais* may amount to a Disagreement, but that is always of one and the same Thing: As if Lord and Tenant be, and the Tenant by Deed doth enfeoff the Lord and a Stranger, and makes Livery to the Stranger in the Name of both; in this Case, if the Lord, by Word, disagree to the Estate, it is nothing worth; and on the other Side, if he enters into the Land generally, and takes the Profits, this Act will amount to an Agreement to the Feoffment; but if he enters into the Land, and distrains for his Seigniority, this Act amounts to a Disagreement to the Feoffment; and will devert the Freehold out of him; and therewith agrees 10 E. 4. 12. (b) by all the Justices: And yet in some Case a Claim by Word will direct an Entry to be an Agreement to one Estate, and a Disagreement to another. As if Lands be given to Husband and Wife in Tail; and after the Stat. of (c) 32 H. 8. the (d) Husband aliens the Land to the Use of him and his Heirs, and afterwards devifes it to his Wife for Life, and dies, the Wife enters, claiming by Word the Estate for Life; this is a good Disagreement to the Estate of Inheritance, and a good Agreement to the Estate for Life; and therewith agrees 18 Eliz. *Dyer* 351. b. for there is not any Doubt of the Tenant to the *Præcipe*, and the Act and the Words work together. But if the Wife, before her Entry, agrees by Word to one Estate, and disagrees to the other, it is nothing worth; But if A. makes an Obligation to B. and delivers it to C. to the Use of B. this is the Deed of A. presently: But if C. offers it to B. there B. may refuse it *in pais*, and thereby the Obligation will lose its Force, (but perhaps in such Case A. in an Action brought on this Obligation cannot plead *non est factum*, (e) because it was once his Deed;) and therewith agrees *Hill. 1 Eliz. Rot. 442. in Taxe's* (f) Case, reported by Serjeant *Bendloes*, and by the Lord (f) *N. Benl. 75. Dyer, Hill. 1. Eliz. 167.* The same Law of a Gift of Goods and Chattels, if the Deed be deliver'd to the Use of the Donee, (g) the Goods and Chattels are in the Donee presently

13 R. 2 Joint-tenancy 9. Rep. Q. A. 39.

(b); *Leorn* 372. Br. extinguishment 33.

(c) 32 H. 8. cap. 28
(d) *Dyer* 351. pl. 24. 8 Co. 72. b. 1 Co. 87. b. Co. Lit. 357. a. Hob. 71. Cro. Jac. 490. 1 Brownl. 140. 1 Leon 84. Moor 493. a. Roll's Rep. 36.

(e) 5 Co. 119. b. Cr. Eliz. 54. 627. 2 Leon 110. 111. 1 Doct. pl. 260. 261. (f) *N. Benl. 75. Dyer, Hill. 1. Eliz. 167.* Co. Ent. 145. nu. 24. (g) *Dyer* 49. a.

presently, before Notice or Agreement; but the Donee may make Refusal *in Pais*, and by that the Property and Interest will be de vested, and such Disagreement need not to be in a Court of Record. Note, Reader, By this Resolution you will not be drawn to Error by certain Opinions deliver'd by the Way, without Premeditation, in 7 *E. 4. 7. a. b. & 19. b. 8 E. 4. 29. a. 8 H. 7. 13. (a) 39 H. 6. 44. b.* and other Books *obiter*.

Secondly, It was resolv'd, that tho' the Estate is created by Limitation of Use, by Limitation made after the Stat. of 27 *H. 8.* which Statute hath transferred the Use into Possession, for so is the usual Pleading *de usibus in possessionem transferendis*, and altho' an *(b)* Use might have been waved by Word *in Pais* before the said Stat. yet now the Statute doth incorporate the Use and Possession of the Land, and hath coupled them together with an indissoluble Conjunction, and therefore no more than an Estate created by Feoffment, Gift, or Grant can be waved *in Pais*, no more can such Estate created by Limitation of Use; which Matter, on these Words of the said Act, (*in such Manner, Form, and Condition*) is well and at large explain'd and resolv'd in *(c)* *Dillon and Frein's Case*, and in *(d)* *Corbet's Case*: And therefore it was resolv'd in this Case at Bar, the Refusal *in (e) Pais* to have the Manor of *Hyn-ton*, and the Entry and Agreement to the Manor of *Toby* was a good Agreement to one, and a Refusal to the other, and thereby the Inheritance was de vested, and that by Force of the Statute of 27 *H. 8. cap. 10. versus finem*, concerning Jointures of Wives; by which it is provided, *That if any Wife shall have any Manors, &c. unto her given, or assured, after Marriage, for Term of her Life, &c. that then such Wife over-living her Husband, shall and may at her Liberty, after the Death of her said Husband, refuse to have, and take the Lands, &c. and to have, demand, and take her Dowry; any Thing in this Act to the contrary notwithstanding.* By which Words, it was unanimously agreed by all the Justices and Barons of the Exchequer, that the Wife might refuse *(f)* her Jointure *in Pais*, and be endow'd by Consent *in Pais*, or by Writ of Dowry. And therewith agrees *(g)* *Whorewood's Case*, 38 *H. 8. Dyer 61. b.*

The third Point, and the great Doubt of the Case was on a Branch of the Stat. of *(b)* 34 & 35 *H. 8.* of Wills, by which it is enacted, That the Act of 32 *H. 8.* of Wills, *shall be extended, expounded,* and taken, as hereafter ensueth, that is to say, "That the King shall have and take for his full third Part of all such Manors, Lands, &c. whereunto he is or shall be entitled by the said former Act, and by this present Act, such Manors, Lands and Tenements, as shall

(a) Fitz. Release 17, 54.
Br. Release 45.
Br. Agreement.
3. Br. Faits 80.

(b) 6 Co. 34. a.
2 Co. 53. b.

(c) 1 Co. 120, &c.
Poph. 70. 1 And.
309. Jenk. Cent.
276.
(d) 1 Co. 83. b.
84, 85. Moor
601, 633.
2 And. 134.
(e) Poph. 88.
Moor 254.
Goldb. 84.

(f) 4 Co. 3. a.
Plowd 396. b.
Co. Lit. f. 36. b.
(g) Dyer 61.
pl. 31. Postea
28. a. b.
(b) Co. Lit. 76.
a. b. 111. b. 6 Co.
75. b. 76. a. b.
1 Sidef. 36.

“ by any Means descend, or come by Descent, as well of
 “ Estate of Inheritance in Fee-tail as Fee-simple, or in Fee-
 “ tail only, &c. immediately after the Death of the same
 “ Devisor or Owner thereof. And that the Will, &c. shall
 “ stand good and effectual in the Law, albeit the same Will,
 “ &c. be had and made of all his Fee-simple Lands, &c. or
 “ of the more Part thereof.’ And if in this Case the Refusal
 of *Hynton* hath such Relation or Operation in Law, that
 now on the Matter, *Hynton* and *Fobbing* do descend im-
 mediately after the Death of the Devisor? And it was strongly
 objected, that now on the Matter *Hynton* and *Fobbing* do
 descend immediately after the Death of the Devisor, within
 the Intention and Meaning of the said Branch of the Act of
 34 & 35 H. 8. and that for divers Reasons and Causes.

(2) Poph. 89.
 1 Anderf. 350.
 1 Leon. 204.
 1 Co. 85. b.
 3 Co. 20. b.

1. Because this Case doth consist on (a) Construction of
 an Act of Parliament, and of a Will or Testament, both
 which are always construed and expounded according to
 the Intent and Meaning of the Parties thereto, and not by
 any strict or strained Construction.

2. This Refusal shall have such Relation and Operation
 in Law, that now, on the Matter *Hynton* immediately de-
 scends, and now *ab initio* the Husband was sole seised of the
 Manor of *Hynton*. And many Cases were put on the gene-
 ral Ground of Relations. But I will report those only, which
 I conceive to be most material. It was said, that of a Joint
 Estate a Woman shall not be endow'd: But if (b) Lands be
 given to Husband and Wife, and the Heirs of the Husband,
 or the Heirs of their two Bodies, or to their Heirs, and
 afterwards the Husband dies; now if she will wave and
 refuse the Joint Estate, the Wife may bring her Writ of
 Dower, and thereby, in Judgment of Law, the Husband
 shall be said sole seised *ab initio*; for otherwise the Wife
 cannot be endowed, and yet in Truth the Husband and Wife
 were Joint-tenants during all the Coverture; but now the
 Refusal shall have such Relation, that in Judgment of Law
 the Husband was *ab initio* sole seised: And therewith agrees

(2) 1 Anderf.
 350.

the Book in (c) 11 E. 3. tit. *Dower* 63, where the Case was,
 Lord and Tenant of a House held by Homage and 10 s. Rent,
 the Tenant enfeoffed *W.* the Lord granted the Seigniority to
 Husband and Wife in Tail, *W.* attorned, the Husband died,
 the Seigniority survived to the Wife, and she brought a Writ
 of Dower, in Bar of which the Lord pleaded Acceptance
 of Homage, by which it was admitted, that the Writ of
 Dower did lie. In an Action of Waste brought by *Rob.* (d)
Thetford against *Andrew Thetford*, Pasch. 28 Eliz. Rot. 122.
 in the Com. Pleas, the Plaintiff counted that *J. A.* gave to
John Thetford and *Thomasin* his Wife, and to the Heirs of
 their Bodies, (whose Heir the Plaintiff is) and that *John* and
Thomasin, 3 & 4 Phil. & M. made a Demise to the Def-
 for

(2) 3 Leon. 272.
 Postea 28. b.
 Perk. 29. b.
 sect. 352.

(2) Co. Ent.
 710. nu. 12.
 1 Ander. 220.
 350. Sav. 109.
 2 Leon. 204.
 Lane 7.

for 21 Years, and that the Donees were dead, and that the Plaintiff was Heir in Tail, and that the Defendant had done Waste: The Defendant pleaded *quod predictus Johannes & Thomasina non dimiserunt*, &c. on which they were at Issue: The Jury found, that the said John and Thomasin, by their Deed indented, made the Lease to the Defend. for 21 Years, *ut supra*, and that John died, and after his Death Thomasin entred and disagreed to the said Lease; and whether the Issue was found for the Plaintiff, for as much as it was found that both made the Lease, as the Plaintiff had counted, which was the Point of the Issue; or whether it was found for the Defendant by Matter *ex post facto*, that is to say, by the Disagreement of the Wife, was the Question.

And after great Consideration, and many Arguments at the Bar and Bench, the Justices of the Common Pleas gave Judgment for the Defendant; for now by the Disagreement of the Wife, in Judgment of Law it was the Lease of the Husband only; and yet in Truth, during the Life of the Husband, it was the Lease of both, as it appears by 7 H. 4. 13, *in Waste*. 3 H. 6. 53. (a) *in Waste*. 22 H. 6. 24. (b) But now by the Disagreement subsequent, and by Relation and Operation of Law, it was *ab initio* the Lease of the Husband only; for if it *ab initio* had not been the Lease of the Husband only, the Issue had been found for the Plaintiff: And the Case of *Whorewood* 3 H. 8. Dy. 61. b. was strongly urged, where the Case was, That *W. Whorewood*, the King's late Attorney General, being seised of the Inheritance of Lands of the Value of 630 l. in which the Wife was a Joint Purchasor with her Husband of 60 l. by his last Will in Writing declared, That his Wife should have, during her Life, the third Part of all his Lands and Tenements, with the said Lands which she had in Jointure, the said Part to be assigned by his Executors, and died; the Wife refused her Jointure, and demanded a third Part of the whole Land, that is to say, 120 l. as a Legacy, and 80 l. *per Ann.* as a third Part of the Residue, for her Dower: And it was ordered and decreed in the Court of Wards, that she should have her Legacy, *scil.* the third Part of the whole; by which it appears, that the Refusal of the Wife should have Relation *ab initio* to make the Husband sole seised of the whole, or otherwise the said Devise could not extend to that whereof she was before jointly seised. And so in the Case at Bar, the Refusal of the Wife hath such Relation and Operation in Law, that now on the Matter the Husband was *ab initio* sole seised of the Manor of *Hyn-ton*, and by Consequence the same doth descend after the Death of the Husband; and so the Devise of the whole Manor of *Thoby* good and effectual in Law; for now it is tantamount as if the Use had been limited only to *William*, and to his Heirs on the Body of *Elizabeth* begotten; and where the Statute of 34 H. 8. speaks of

(a) Br. Lease 11.
3: Br. Baron
and Feme 4, 48.
Br. Agreem. 6.
Fitz. Waste 36.
Br. Waste 120.
(b) Antea 27 a.
Dyer 61. pl. 1.
Postea 28. b.

BUTLER and BAKER'S Case. PART III.

a Descent *immediately after the Decease*, &c. that is true, for now upon the Matter the Manor of *Hyn-ton* descended immediately; for now the Impediment, that is to say, the Estate of the Wife, is remov'd *ab initio*; and yet it was said, that this Word (*immediately*) should not have such a strict Construction, that it ought to be made *in ipso articulo temporis*, but would be satisfy'd if it be made in convenient Time: As in 18 E. 4. 22. a Man is bound to make an Obligation immediately, yet he shall have convenient Time to make it: But it was answer'd and *Resolv'd*, That the said Refusal in the Case at Bar, should not have such Relation or Operation in Law, that the Devise should be good for the whole Manor of *T'hoby*, and that for two general Causes:

1. Upon the Reason of the Com. Law, and 2. upon the Statutes of 32 & 34 H. 8. As to the first it was resolv'd, That Relation is a Fiction of Law, (not) to make a Nullity of a Thing *ab initio*, (to a certain Intent) which *in rei veritate* had Essence, and the rather for Necessity, *ut res magis valeat quam pereat*: And therefore in the said Case of Dower in (a) 11 E. 3. to this Intent, that the Wife should have Dower, which it is not possible for her to have, unless her Refusal should have Relation *ab initio*; for this Cause, and for Necessity, the Law will make a Nullity of it; but as to any other collateral Intent, the Law will not make any Nullity thereof; as if a Man makes a Gift in Tail to Husband and Wife, and afterward grants the Reversion of the Lands and Tenements which the Husband and Wife hold in Tail, and afterwards the Husband dies, and the Wife to have her Dower, waves and disagrees to the Estate-tail; now, as to her, there is a Nullity of the Estate *ab initio*; and to such Intent the Law feigns that the Estate was made only to the Husband; but as to the Grant of the Reversion, which is a collateral Act, the Refusal shall not have any such Relation, for she may be endowed altho' that Act stand, and so no Necessity; and therefore, without Necessity, *ut res magis valeat*, the Law will not feign any Nullity; but in Destruction of a lawful Estate vested, the Law will never make any Fiction. So in the Case at Bar, for the Manor of *Hyn-ton* only, the Law will make such a Fiction; but for the Manor of *T'hoby*, which is a collateral Thing, no such Fiction shall be made; for (b) *relatio est fictio juris, & est intenta ad unum*, and that was the first Reason. And as to (c) *Whorewood's Case*, it was said, that the Decree was made by Agreement, as it appears by the said Case; and the Scope of the Case was, that she would have the third Part as a Legacy, and her Dower also; and, by Agreement, she took Composition for the whole: And it doth not appear by the said Case, whether the Wife were Joint Purchasor for Life, in Tail, or in Fee, nor whether any Part of the Land were held *in Capite*, or by Knights-Service,

Finch's Law. 65.

(a) Antea 27. b.
3 Leon. 272.
1 erk. 29. sect.
352.

(b) Godb. 317.

(c) Antea 27. a.
3. a.
Dyer 61. pl. 31.

The second Reason was, that Relations in many Cases shall help Acts in Law, as in the Case of (a) Dower, &c. but shall never help Acts of the Parties; that is to say, to make void Acts of the Parties good, by Relation, or Fiction of Law; and therefore if a Man enfeoffs an Infant, or a Feme covert, and afterwards gives or grants or devises the Land, or any other Thing out of the Land to another, and afterwards the Infant or the Husband disagrees, that without Question shall have Relation between the Parties *ab initio*, to this Intent, that the Infant or Husband shall not be charged in Damages, or receive any Prejudice; but as to the void Grant or Devise of the Party, it shall never make the void Grant, Gift, or Devise, good. Also if one demises Land to one by Deed for Life, the Remainder to the King in Fee, and the King grants the Remainder over in Fee, and afterwards the Deed is enrolled; in this Case the (b) Enrolment shall have Relation for Necessity, and *ut res magis valeat*, that the Remainder *quasi ab initio* shall pass by Fiction of Law; for otherwise it would never pass; and therefore, to this Intent only, it shall have Relation: But to make the Patent (which was void at the Time of the Grant) good, it shall have no Relation. So if a Disseisor makes a Feoffment in Fee by Deed to A. and B. and makes Livery to A. in the Name of both, and afterwards A. dies, in this Case B. to discharge himself of Damages, may refuse it, as hath been said, and it shall have Relation *ab initio* as to discharge him of Damages; but to make any Lease, Gift, or Grant, or Devise, or any other Act of the Party good, it shall not have Relation.

And it was said, That as Relations shall extend only to the same Thing, and to one and the same Intent, so they shall extend only between the same Parties, and shall never be strain'd to the Prejudice of a (c) third Person who is not Party, or privy to the said Act. And therefore if a Man makes a Feoffment of a Manor by Deed, or (d) without Deed, and long Time after the Livery the Tenants attorn to the Feoffee, in this Case the Attornment for Necessity, and *ut res magis valeat*, shall have Relation by Fiction of Law to pass *ab initio*, for otherwise they can never pass. And if they should not pass (e) *ab initio* by a Fiction of Law, they would not be Parcel of the Manor according to the Intent and Purpose of the Feoffment, if they should pass at several Times: But yet this Relation shall not (f) charge the Tenants for the Arrearages in the mean Time: So if Feoffee, upon Condition, grants a Rent-Charge out of the Land, and afterwards the Grantee brings a Writ of Annuity, now *ab initio* it was an Annuity between the Grantor and Grantee; but as to the Feoffor, who by the Grant was entitled to enter for the Condition broken, it shall not have

(a) Co. Lit. 150. a.
9 Co. 135. b.
5 E. 2. Avowry 206.

(b) Fitz. Feoffments and Fairs 30.
Plowd. 31. b.
1 H. 7. 31. a.
Hob. 222.
Moor 676.
Godb. 218.
2 And. 167.
Br. Prærogative 57.
2 Roll's 399, 400.
Cr. Jac. 52, 53, 409.
Skin. 74, 75.

(c) Co. Lit. 150. a.
Cr. Car. 423.
(d) 2 Roll's 111.
20 H. 6. 7. a.

(e) Co. Lit. 310. b.

(f) Co. Lit. 310. b.

(a) Fitz. Age
58. 1 Roll's
143, 144, 145.

have any Relation to his Prejudice. So it is adjudg'd in (a) 30 E. 3. 17. in a *Dum fuit infra etatem* against Richard Spellow, the Tenant said, that his Father was seized, and died seized, and pray'd his Age; the Demandant Counter-pleaded the Age, because his Father and he himself were jointly enfeoffed, and to the Heirs of the Father: And it was adjudg'd, that he should not have his Age; for altho' this Refusal should have Relation as to himself, yet, as to the Demandant, who is a Stranger, it should not have Relation to delay his Action, when in Truth he had the Freehold by Purchase. Further, it was said, That no Relation shall make that tortious which was lawful; for, as it hath been said, Relations are Fictions in Law, which will never do Wrong: Upon all which Matter it was concluded, That this Refusal should have Relation only as to the Manor of *Hynton*, and not as to the Manor of *T'boby*, and to the Intent only that the Wife should not be prejudic'd for any Thing concerning the Manor of *Hynton*; and this Relation doth not prejudice the Heir, who is a third Person, upon whom, by the Death of the Devisor, Part of the Manor of *T'boby* did descend; and it will not devest that which the Law by Descent had lawfully vested by the Death of the Devisor in the Manor of *T'boby*: But as the Will took Effect at the Time of his Death, it shall remain; for (b) *omne Testamentum morte consummatum est*; and the Refusal of the Wife, as to the Manor of *Hynton*, cannot make the Devise as to the third Part of the Manor of *T'boby* good, which was void when the Devise took Effect, *scil.* at the Time of the Death of the Devisor.

(b) Postea 34. a.
4 Co. 61. b.
6 Co. 76. a.
Postea 32. a.

Note, Reader, Not only in this Case of Relation, which is a Fiction of Law, but also in all other Fictions of Law, they are to certain Respects and Purposes, and extend only to certain Persons. As the Law supposes, that the Vouchee is Tenant of the Land, whereas in Truth he is not, but that is as to the Demandant himself, and to enable him to do all Things as to the Demandant, and which the Demandant may do to him; and therefore a Fine levied by the Vouchee to the Demandant, or a Fine or Release from the Demandant to the (c) Vouchee, is good; but a Fine levied by the Vouchee to a Stranger, or a Release made to him by a Stranger is void, and therewith agrees 7 E. 4. 13. b. So if the Tenant, hanging a *Præcipe* against him, makes a Feoffment as to the Demandant, the Law doth suppose him Tenant of the Land, and he shall plead all Pleas which the Tenant of the Land may plead; but *in rei veritate* the Feoffee is Tenant of the Land as to all Strangers: So if (d) Donee in Tail makes a Feoffment in Fee, *in rei veritate* the Donee hath *neque jus in re, neque jus ad rem*, and yet the Donor may extinguish or diminish his Rent by Release or Confirmation made to him; as it is agreed 14 H. 4. 38. a. b. 1 H. 5. Grants 43.

(c) Hob. 222.
1 Co. 87. b.
8 Co. 151. b.
Lit. Sect. 491.
10 Co. 48. b.
Co. Lit. 265. b.
9 H. 7. 26. a.
7 E. 4. 13. b.
2 Roll's Rep.
323.
(d) Co. Lit.
269. a.
Hob. 337.
2 Roll's Rep.
322, 417, 429.
Godb. 313, 314.
10 Co. 48. b.
1 Jones 73.

in all which Cases, and other the like, the Law will never make any Fiction, but for Necessity, and in Avoidance of a Mischief; for if the Vouchee should not be Tenant as to the Demandant, or that the Tenant after the Feoffment should not be, as to the Demandant, Tenant of the Land, the Demandant in the one Case and the other could never have the Effect of his Suit, but would be forever delayed; And in the latter Case, notwithstanding the Feoffment, the Donee shall remain Tenant as to him, and of Necessity he shall (a) avow for his Rent upon him, for he cannot avow upon the Discontinuee, for then upon his own shewing, the Reversion, to which the Rent is incident, would be devested out of him by the Feoffment, and by Consequence he cannot maintain his Avowry for the Rent; and therefore for Necessity he shall avow upon the Donee; and his Feoffment, which is his own Act, and by which wrong is done, shall not avail him to bar the Donor of his Rent, for a Man shall never take Benefit of his own Wrong, and that is (as to this Point) as it seems to me the better Opinion of the Books. As to the Statutes of 32 & 34 H. 8. it was resolved, That after the Statute of 27 H. 8. and before the Statute of 32 H. 8. the Manor of *Toby* was not deviseable; and because the said *Will. Barners* the Devisor had not followed the Power and Authority (which the Statute of 32 H. 8. and the Statute of 34 H. 8. which explains it) gave him, it was resolved, That the Will was void for Part of the Manor of *Toby*. And that was collected on four Parts of the said Acts, the Effect of which I have abridged as follows.

The first Branch of the Act of 34 H. 8.

“ 1. That all and every Person, having a sole Estate in Fee-
 “ simple of any Manors holden in chief, &c. shall have full
 “ and free Liberty, Power and Authority, to give or dispose,
 “ by his last Will in Writing, as much as in him of Right,
 “ as much of, &c. as shall amount to the full and clear year-
 “ ly Value of two Parts in three Parts to be divided.

The second Branch.

“ 2. The same Division to be set out by the Devisor,
 “ Owner, or in Default thereof, by Commission to be grant-
 “ ed out of the Court of Wards.

The third Branch.

“ 3. And that the King shall take for his full third Part,
 “ such Manors, &c. of Estate of Inheritance, as well in Fee-
 “ Tail as in Fee simple, as shall descend, or come by Descent,
 “ &c. immediately after the Death of such Devisor.

The fourth Branch.

“ 4. And in Case the Manors, &c. which shall imme-
 “ diately after his Death descend, &c. shall not extend to the
 “ Value of a full 3d Part, the K. may take, &c. to make up, &c.
 “ And on these four Parts it was concluded for divers notable

Reasons,

(a) Hob. 337.
 Co. Lit. 269. a.
 Cro. Car. 428.
 430. Plow. 561.
 a. 48 E. 3. 8. b.

Co. Lit. 76. a.
 b. 78. a.

The first
 Branch of the
 Act 34 H. 8.

The second
 Branch.

The third
 Branch.

The fourth
 Branch.

BUTLER and BAKER's Case. PART III.

Reasons, that the Devisor had not Power to devise the whole Manor of *Tboby* by Force of the said Statutes: And to this Purpose four Reasons were collected on the said first Branch.

1. First, on this Word (*having*); and therefore if it be asked, *Quis potest legare?* The Makers of the Act answer, *Every Person having Manors, &c.* so that it is not said *every Person* generally, but *every Person having, &c.* And this Word (*having*) imports two Things, *scil.* Ownership, and Time of Ownership, for he ought to have the Land at the Time of the making of his Will, and the Statute gives such Person *having, &c.* Authority to devise two Parts of his Lands which he hath, and more he cannot devise, for his Authority doth not extend to more: And in our Case the Devisor had not the Manor of *Hinton*, for he and his Wife were Joint-tenants of it during the Coverture, between whom are no Moieties: so that he and his Wife had it, but he himself had it not; and he is not Owner thereof, nor is it to be accounted any of his Lands: And every Devisor ought to be a *Person having, &c.* at the Time of the making his Will within the Purview of this Act. This appears 4 & 5 *Phil. & M. Dyer* 158. A Man (*a*) seised in Fee of Land of Socage Tenure assured it to his Wife in Jointure, *Anno* 32 *H. 8.* and eight Years after, in 2 *E. 6.* he purchased Lands in Fee, held *in Capite*, in Knight's Service, and of two Parts thereof made his Will, and died, his Heir within Age; and it was resolved, That the Queen should not have any Part of the Jointure of the Wife, and that by Force of these Words in the Act of Explanation of 34 *H. 8.* and *having no Lands holden by Knight's Service*, because he was not a *Person having* any Lands held of the King by Knight's Service *in Capite*, at the Time of the Jointure made. It was resolved on the same Reason, in the Court of Wards, and in *Trinit. 29 Eliz. (b)* *Carre's Case* was resolved by the Chief Justices (*4*) 3 *Leon.* 276. *Wray* and *Anderson*; on conference with divers other Justices, 2 *Rol. Rep.* 361. and the Case was such: King *E. 6.* by his Letters Patents, Godb. 309, 425. granted the Manor of *Congresbury* to *G. Owen*, in Fee-Farm, 416. 2 *Brownl.* to hold of the Manor of *East Greenwich* in Socage; and rendring the annual Rent of 95 *l. per Ann.* And afterwards Queen *Mary*, in the first Year of her Reign, granted divers Manors, which came to the Crown by the Attainder of *Margaret* Countess of *Salisbury*, and also 54 *l. per Ann.* Parcel of the said Rent of 95 *l.* to *Francis* Earl of *Huntington*, and *Katharine* his Wife, and to the Heirs Males of the Body of the said *Katharine*, the Rem'r to *Winifred Hastings*, in Tail, to hold in Socage; the Reversion of the Fee being in the Crown: And afterwards King *Philip* and Queen *Mary* reciting the said Grant made by the same Queen, as to the said Rent, granted the Reversion of the said Rent to the said Earl of *Huntington* and *Katharine* his Wife, and to the Heirs

Rep. Q. A. 105.
122, 123, &c.

(*) 10 Co. 83.
b. *Dyer* 158.
pl. 33. 6 Co.
76. a. 2 *Brownl.*
105. Co. Lit.
78. a.

Carre's Case.
(4) 3 *Leon.* 276.
2 *Rol. Rep.* 361.
Godb. 309, 425.
416. 2 *Brownl.*
105.

Heirs of the said *Katharine*, to hold in chief by the 20th Co. Lit. 374. Parts of a Knight's Fee; and afterwards *G. Owen* being seized of the Manor of *Congresbury*, purchased the said Rent of 54*l.* per Ann. by which it was extinct; and afterwards *G. Owen* died seized of the said Manor, and it descended to *Richard* his Son in Fee, who by his Will in Writing devised it to divers Persons for Payment of his Debts, and died, his Heir of full Age; and although the said Rent was extinct between the Parties, yet it was said that in Consideration of Law, it was in being as to the King, for the Benefit of his Tenure: As in 26 *Aff.* there the King was seized of the Honour of (a) *Pikering*, and granted the Bailiwick thereof in Fee, rendring Rent, and afterwards granted the Honour over to another, and afterwards the Baili forfeited his Office of Bailiwick, whercof the Patentee took Advantage, whereby it was utterly void: But as to the King for the Preservation of the Rent, it had Continuance: 11 *H.* 7. (b) a Mesnalty descends to the Tenant paravail; and although it be extinct, yet the Lord Paramount shall have the Ward. 31 *E.* 3. (c) *tit. Affets*, a Rent extinct shall be Affets, 10 *E.* 3. *Mortmain* 17. 38 *Aff.* 17. (d) *F. N. B.* 223. A Rent extinct by Release to an Abbor, is *Mortmain*; yet it was resolved, and so decreed, That the Devise was good for all the Land in Respect of this Word (*having*); for *Richard Owen* was not a Person *having the Rent* at the Time of the making of his Will, but he was a Person *having the Manor*, and therefore he might devise it; and forasmuch as it was held in Socage, the Devise was good *in toto*; and that was the Reason of the Lord *Dyer* in *Bret's Case*, *Plow.* (e) *Com.* That the Devise there in the principal Case, was not good, because the Devisor had not the Land at the Time of the Devise, and grounded his Reason on this Word (*having*). And *Wray* Chief Justice, in his Argument (which was the last Argum. that ever he made) held that this Word (*having*) imports, That the Devisor ought to have the Land, either at the Time of the making of his Will, or at the Publication thereof, which amounts in Law to a making.

And that the Statute of 34 *H.* 8. which is but an Act of (f) Explanation of the Act of 32 *H.* 8. should not be construed by any strained Sense against the Letter of the Act; for if any Exposition should be made against the direct Letter of the Exposition made by Parliament, there would be no end of expounding; and therefore he said he had not seen any Case adjudged, that the Act of 34 hath been interpreted against the (g) Letter by other Construction than the makers of the Act have made; and therefore he said, That if a Man (h) hath Lands held *in Capite* of the yearly Value of 20*l.* and Land in Socage of the yearly Value of 10*l.*

(a) 26 *Aff.* 60.
6 *Co.* 79. a.
Moor 161. Br.
Incidents 11.
Br. Patents 35.

(b) 11 *H.* 7. 12.
a. 6 *Co.* 79. a.
Br. Descent 67.
Br. Gard. 123.
(c) 31 *Ed.* 3.
Affets 5. *Co.*
Lit. 374. b.
(d) *F. N. B.* 223.
l. 7 *Co.* 38. a.

Vide infra.

(e) *Plow.* 344. b.
Rep. Q. A. 122,
123, &c.

Rep. Q. A. 106.

(f) 8 *Co.* 163. b.
Cr. Car. 34. b.

(g) *Post.* 34. b.
10 *Co.* 83. a.

(h) *Dy.* 366. pl.
38. 1 *Ke.* 97.

BUTLER and BAKER's Case. PART III.

that he may devise all the Land held *in Capite*, for that is within the express Words of the Act, and therefore he denied the Opinion of the Justices of the Common Pleas conceived *ex improviso*, in 21 *Eliz.* (a) *Dyer* 366.

(a) *Dyer* 366.
pl. 38.
1 *Keb.* 97.

Note Reader, The Reason of such Opinion (as I conceive) was because if the Devise should be good for all the Land held *in Capite*, the King, as it seems *prima facie*, would in such Case have neither Wardship, nor Primer Seisin, because the Heir had not any Land held *in Capite*, whereof he could sue Livery, for only the Land in Socage descended to him. And therefore the Judges there said; That if the Devise should be good for all the Land held *in Capite*, the Statutes of 32 & 34 *H. 8.* would be frustrated and defrauded.

(b) 10 *Co.* 80.
83. a.

But as I conceive, the Opinion of (b) *Wray*, Chief Justice, is good Law; for although the Devise be good for all the Lands held *in Capite*, yet the Queen shall have Wardship or Primer Seisin, as the Case requires, by Force of the Savings of the said Acts: For if in such Cases the Tenant by Act executed had conveyed all the Land held *in Capite*, to the Use of his Wife, or for the Preferment of any of his Children, or for Payment of his Debts, in this Case the Heir shall sue Livery for one Acre of Land held *in Capite*, and yet none of the Land held *in Capite* descended to the Heir: And so it was resolved and decreed in the Court of

(c) *Swint.* 28

Wards, in (c) *Caltbrop's Case*, 20 *Eliz.*

(d) 4 *Co.* 4. a.
5 *Co.* 68. a.
Plow. 343. b.
Jenk. Cent. 115.
Allen. 54.

And he said, That two Things are requisite to the Perfection of a Will by which Land shall pass; that is to say, (d) the Writing, and that is *initium*; and the Death of the Devisor, and that is *finis vel consummatio*; and he said, That the *initium* ought to be *plenum & perfectum*, or otherwise it is worth nought: And therefore if one commands another to make his Will, and thereby to devise *W.* Acre to *J. S.* and his Heirs, and *B.* Acre to *J. N.* and his Heirs, and he writes the Devise to *J. S.* in the Life of the Devisor, and before the other is written, the Devisor dies, yet it is a good Will to *J. S.* But if he commands one to make his Will, and to devise *W.* Acre to *J. S.* and his Heirs, upon Condition, and he writes the Devise to *J. S.* and his Heirs, and before he writes the Condition, the Devisor Dies; this Devise is void; for in the one Case the Devises are several and distinct, and in such Case the Devise to *J. S.* is full and perfect; but in the latter Case the Devise is not full, but maimed and imperfect; for the whole Devise as to *J. S.* was not fully put in Writing, and so *initium* in such Case *non fuit plenum*.

Skin. 72.

So it was resolved in the Case at Bar, That neither the Beginning nor the End of the Will was full or perfect; For at the Time of the Writing of it, and at the Time of the Death of the Devisor, he had not Power in respect of the joint Estate in *Hynton* to dispose of all the Manor of *Toby*,

which

which amounted to the Value of two Parts of the whole, (a) *Et omne Testamentum morte consummatum est*, and because by the Death of the Devisor *Hyn-ton* survived to the Wife, part of *Toby* presently by the Death of the Devisor descended to the Heir; and as the Devise in this Case took Effect by the Death of the Devisor, so it shall continue.

The second Reason out of the first Branch was on the Word (*sole*); for the Testator ought to have a sole Estate, as well in the Land which he leaves to descend to the Heir, as in the Land which he devises: But in the Case at Bar, the Devisor neither at the Time of the making of his Will, nor at the Time of his Death, had any sole Estate in the Manor of *Hyn-ton*, which he did intend should descend to his Heir, but he had a joint Estate in Tail with his Wife, and the Wife had not any Power to disagree during the Coverture; but her Time of Disagreement came after the Death of her Husband, as it is held 19 *Eliz. Dyer* (b) 358. so that without Question the Devisor had not a sole Estate in the Manor of *Hyn-ton*, neither at the Time of making of his Will, nor at the Time of his Death; and therefore the Devisor had Power by the Act, to devise but two Parts of the Residue, that is to say, whereof he was sole seised, either at the Time of the making of his Will, or at least of his Death.

The third Reason on the first Branch was upon these Words (*shall have full and free Liberty, Power and Authority, by Will, to devise or dispose of two Parts of the said Manors*); by which Words it appears, that the Intent of the Makers of the Act was, to give Liberty and Authority to the Party (who peradventure had not Time to make Disposition by Act executed in his Life) to devise it by his Will: But without Question, that which he cannot dispose of by any Act in his Life, shall not be taken for any of his Manors, &c. whereof he may devise two Parts by Authority given him by this Statute: But here in our Case, the Devisor by Reason of his undivided Estate with his Wife, cannot make any Disposition of the Manor of *Hyn-ton*, but only during the Coverture.

The fourth Reason on the first Branch, on Consideration of both Statutes, the Devisor had Liberty to devise two Parts of the clear yearly Value, and the third Part of the clear yearly Value is saved to the King, &c. In which it was noted, that the Words as to two Parts, and as to the third Part, are all one as to the clear yearly Value; so that it appears fully by the Letter and Intention of the Act, that the King should have equal and equal Benefit for his third Part, as the Devisee should have for his two Parts; yea, the Statute adds more special Words for the Value of the third Part, than for the two Parts; for he shall

(a) Antea 19.
b. Postea 34. a.
2 Co. 61. b.
6 Co. 76. a.

2.

(b) Dyer 358.
Pl. 49. Co. Lit.
36. b. 1 Leon.
285.

3.

(c) 1 Co. 85. b.

4.

shall have *the clear yearly Value* of the third Part, without any Diminution, &c. or Substraction of the third Part of *the full, &c. Profits thereof*, as the Words of 32 H. 8. are. But in our Case, the King will not have equal Benefit; yea, the King will be in a worse Case, for the Devisee will have his two Parts absolutely, and the King will have but a Possibility for his third Part, and that will depend upon the Will of the Wife, whose will and Pleasure is not restrain'd to any Time, so that against the express Letter and Intent of the Acts, and against all Reason, the Devisee will have two Parts presently of the *clear yearly Value*, and the King will not have the Possession of *Hyn-ton*, but will have a Possibility to have it, if the Wife will disagree, and that would be an injurious and unequal Construction; for *Cato* saith, *(a) Ipsæ etenim Leges cupiunt ut jure regantur*; and this very Statute hath been so construed, that Equity and Equality shall be observed, and inequality avoided, 35 H. 8. *tit. Testaments Br. 19.* If *(b)* a Man holds three Manors of three several Lords by Knight's Service, he cannot devise two Manors, leaving the third, for that would not be equal to the two other Lords, but two Parts of each Manor. And on these Words (*clear yearly Value*) it was said, That of Inheritances which are not of any annual Value, some are devisable, and some are not devisable within this Statute. And therefore, if the Queen grants to one and his Heirs *(c) bona & catalla felonum & fugitivorum, or utlagatorum, fines, amerciamenta, &c.* within such a Town or Manor, in this Case he cannot devise them to another, nor leave them to descend for a third Part, because they are not of any annual Value, and therefore the said Statutes do not extend to them.

But if a Man be seised of a Manor to which a Leet, or Waif and Stray, or any other Hereditament which is not of any annual Value, is appendant or appurtenant, there by the Devise of the Manor, with the Appurtenances, these shall pass as Incidents to the Manor, for inasmuch as the Statute enables him by express Words to devise the Manor; by Consequence it enables him to devise the Manor, with all Incidents and Appurtenances to it: And it was never the Intent or Meaning of the Parliament, that when the Devisor had Power to devise the Principal, that he should not have Power to devise that which was incident and appendant to it, or that the Manor, &c. should be dismembred, and Fractions made of Things which by lawful Prescription have been united and annexed together. And it was said, That all this was resolved by *Anderson* Chief Justice of the Common Pleas, and *Periam*, then one of the Justices of the same Court; on a Conference had with divers other Justices, *Pasch; 25 Eliz.* in *Baker's Case*, concern-

(a) 2 Co. 25. b.
5 Co. 100. a.
8 Co. 152. a.
9 Co. 123. b.
Co. Lit. 10. a.
143. a. 116. b.
174. b. 271. b.
(b) 9 Co. 133. b.
2 Co. 25. b.
5 Co. 100. a.
2 Bulst. 15. Br.
N. C. 275.

(c) 10 Co. 81. a.
Co. Lit. 111. a.

ing divers Franchises and Liberties within the Manor of *Cansford*, which Report was made to the Lords of the Council, in the said Term, after Dinner, in the Star-Chamber. And with their Resolution agrees the Opinion of (a) *Prifot* in 32 H. 8. 22. in the like Case: It is enacted by the Statute of (b) 1 H. 4. cap. 6. that those who ask of the King Lands or Tenements, Offices, &c. make exprefs mention of the Value of them: But *Prifot* there held, That if the Office be of a certain Value, there he ought to make mention of the Value; but if it be of a casual Thing; there he need not; As if the King grants me a Market, I need not to set the Value thereof, because it is not yearly certain; so when the Law requires that the Value be mentioned, it is to be intended of a Thing which is of a certain yearly Value: But if a Man hath a Hundred, with the Goods of Felons, Outlaws, Fines, Amerciaments, return of Writs; and such like casual Hereditaments within the Hundred, and such Hundred, with the said casual Hereditaments, have been accustomedly let to Farm for a yearly Rent, then it may be devised within the Purview of the said Acts, because the Incertainty hath been reduced to an annual Value, according to the Purview of the said Acts; on which Differences it was concluded, That the third Part of the clear yearly Value ought to be left to the Heir, and not any Thing which depends on an Incertainty. For if the Franchise to have the Goods and Chattels of Felons or Persons outlawed, which were never demised for a certain Rent, are left to the Heir for his third Part, in that the Statute is not pursued, and yet it may be they may happen every Year; *a fortiori* in the Case at Bar; As to the Manor of *Hinton*, it depends on an Incertainty, for it may be the Wife will refuse, and it may be she will not refuse, and no Time is limited when she shall refuse, and therefore the Statute is not pursued by Reason of the Incertainty.

Also it was said, That if a Man is seised of 3 Acres, each of the yearly Value of 12 *d.* and he devises a Rent of 3 *s.* out of all the three Acres, this Devise is void for the whole, and shall not be good for two Parts, because he hath not pursued the Statute of 34 H. 8. by which it is enacted, *That he may devise any Rent; Common or other Profit, out of the same two Parts, viz. of his Mannors, Lands, Tenem. and Hereditam. or out of any Part thereof, as much thereof as shall amount to the full clear yearly Value of two Parts thereof.* So when he devises a Rent out of the whole, he doth not pursue the Power and Authority which the Stat. prescribes, but in such Case, if he devises a Rent of 3 *s.* which is to the Value of the whole, out of two Parts, it is good, for in this Branch the Value extends to the Land, and not to the Rent, for the Words are, *any Rent*, without any Restr. And it

(a) 32 H. 6. 2. 2. a.
10 Co. 81. a.(b) 10 Co. 81. a.
Co. Lit. 133. a.
Rastal Patent

5 Co. 6. a.

Hob. 80. Co.
Lit. 111. 8 Co.
85. a.

8 Co. 34. 3 85. a.

(a) N. Benl. 49
 pl. 88. 8 Co.
 85. a. Dyer 150
 pl. 86. 1 Leon.
 76. 3 Leon. 29.
 1 And. 3. 4.
 Jenk. Cent. 215.

was observed on the Stat. of 32 H. 8. That if a Man had devised all his Land, it had been good for a third Part, as it was adjudged in (a) *Unton* and *Hyde's Case*, *Dyer* 150. because the Land was severable, and might be divided either by the Devisor during his Life, or by Commission after his Death. But a Rent devised out of Land, is an entire Thing, and Power to devise that, is given only by the Statute of 34 H. 8. for the Act of 32 H. 8. doth not extend to it; and therefore when the Statute enables him to devise a Rent out of two Parts, if he devises it out of the whole, he doth not pursue the Statute: And so it was concluded on the first Branch, That the Devisor in the Case at Bar, at the Time of the making his Will, was not a Person *having*, and *having sole Estate*, and who had Power and Authority to dispose two Parts of the same Lands of *clear yearly Value*, and that the King, &c. should have the third Part of *clear yearly Value*, without any Diminution, &c. but as to the Manor of *Hynton*, he was jointly seised with his Wife, as is before said.

Their Reason on the second Branch was, That the Devisor, by any Thing in his Life, could not assign the Manor of *Hynton* for the third Part, nor could it after his Death, by Commission, be assigned for the third Part; for during all the Coverture, the Wife was jointly seised with him, and after his Death it survived to his Wife; and the Words of the Act are, *The same Division to be set out by the Devisor or Owner, &c. and in Default thereof by Commission*; in which Branch this Word (*Owner*) is also to be observed, which is added, to shew that every Devisor ought to be *Owner*, and he who shall make any Division of the three Parts, &c. ought to be *Owner*, which he is not in our Case of the Manor of *Hynton*, and therefore he cannot assign it to the King for his third Part.

Their Reason upon the third and fourth Branches, was on this Word (*immediately*) which for the enforcing of the Intent of the Makers of the Act, is twice inserted. And by the Words of the third Branch it is enacted, That the third Part ought (in two several Clauses) *immediately* to descend after the Death of the Devisor or Owner; *immediately* is as much as to say, without any mean Time: But in our Case, the Manor of *Hynton* survived to the Wife, and till Disagreement, nothing thereof did descend, &c. *Ergo*, it did not descend *immediately*. And herein the Judgment of the Law on this Will, and of the Estate of these Manors and Lands after the Death of the Devisor, and before the Disagreement is to be considered; and without Question in the mean Time, the Manor of *Hynton* survived to the Wife, and therefore of Necessity in the mean Time, a Part of the Manor of *Tkoby* shall descend, for if before this Disagreement an Office had been found of all this Matter, without Question the Q. should have had Part of the Manor of *Tkoby*, &c. then

then forasmuch as every Devise ought to take Effect by the Death of the Devisor; as it is held in 9 H. 6. and many other Books, because (a) *omne Testamentum morte consummatur est*, for this Cause the Devise being void at the Time of the Death, for Part of *Toby*, and lawfully vested in the Heir by Descent, it cannot be made good and devested out of the Heir by the subsequent Disagreement of the Wife: But this Word (*immediately*) makes it clear, for add it to the Words precedent, *viz.* that the King shall have a third Part of the *clear yearly Value*, immediately after the Death of the Devisor or Owner, all these Words, and principally this Word (*immediately*) directly prove that the K. ought to have the third Part presently by the Death, and shall not stay or expect on any Incertainty, as in our Case he shall do; if he shall expect it on the Refusal of the Wife, for peradventure she will not refuse in a Year, peradventure two Years, &c. *Littleton* saith, if a Woman (b) Disseisors takes a Husband, and hath Issue and dies, and afterwards the Tenant by the Curtesy dies, this dying seised shall not toll an Entry, for the Issue came not to his Lands immediately after the Death of his Mother.

And it was agreed, That if a Man be seised of three Acres by Knight's Service *in Capite*, and makes a Lease of one Acre for Life, and afterwards devises the other two Acres, and dies, and afterwards Tenant for Life dies, yet the Devise is void for the third Part of the two Acres, because the third Acre did not descend immediately after the Death of the Devisor to the Heir, as the Stat. saith; that is to say, *by Descent, immediately after the Death of the Devisor*. In 17 *El. Dyer*, the Earl of (c) *Arundel's Case*, where a Gift in Tail was made on Condition, that if the Donee, or his Issues, *aliquam rem facerent, &c. quo minus predict' maner' prefato Comiti & heredibus suis, &c. immediate reverti debeat, &c.* In that Case they held clearly, That if the Donee do any Act by which, when he dies without Issue, the Donor shall be put to Suit, or to Entry, so that the Manor doth not immediately revert, *hoc est*, without any mean Time, &c. that the Donor may re-enter: And as to the Case in 18 *E. 4.* that was affirmed for good Law, when a (d) Man is to do an Act immediately after an Award, in that Case, inasmuch as the Party is bound to do an Act of Necessity, he ought to have such Time for the doing thereof, as the doing of the Act requires, and therefore there of Necessity, there ought to be a mean Time between the Award and the Performance of the Act; but here in the Case at Bar, immediately by the Death of the Devisor, Land without any mean Time may descend, and that was the Intent of the Makers of the Act: For as the Devisee shall have the two Parts (e) immediately, so the Heir shall have

(a) Antea 29 b.
of 32. a. 4. Co. 61. b.
6 Co. 76. a.

(b) Co. Lit.
241. b. Co. Lit.
Sect. 394. 37 H.
6. 1.

(c) 10 Co. 37. d.
40. a. Dyer
342, 343. pl.
55, 56 6 Co.
41. Jenk. Cent.
242.

(d) Antea 28. b.
18. E. 4. 22. b.
Br. Arbitre-
ment 51.

(e) Co. Lit. 111.
his b.

BUTLER and BAKER'S Case. PART III.

his third Part immediately; 8 E.4.71. and 21 E.3.27. it appears that he who is immediate Heir, excludes all mean Heirs; the same Law of an immediate Tenant.

(a) Antea 31 a. And against the Opinion of (a) *Wray*, Ch. Justice, it was afterwards objected in the Excheq. Chamber, that the Statute of 34 H. 8. hath been construed by Equity, against the Letter of it. And to that Purpose a Case, *Trin. 26 Eliz.* in

(b) Co. Ent. 663. the Com. Pleas, *Rot. 1916.* between (b) *Ive* and *Stacie* was cited; the Effect of which Case was, That a Man seised of Lands, Part held *in Capite*, and Part in Socage, made a Feoffment of the Lands held *in Capite*, to the Use of himself and his Wife for Life, with divers Remainds over, and afterwards (the said Lands *in Capite*, being full two Parts) devised the Socage Land: It was adjudged that the Devise was void; and yet it was said, it is against the Letter of the Act. To which it was answered and resolved, That the Reason of the said Case was, because it appears by the Words of the said Act, That the Stat. gives Authority to one to make Disposition, either by Act executed, or by his Will, of two Parts, so that if he hath executed his Authority by Act executed of two Parts to the Use of his Wife, he hath no

(c) Cr. El. 878. Authority by the Stat. to make any Devise of the third Part, for by the Conveyance in his Life, he hath executed his Power and Authority which the Statute gave him, and therefore he cannot make any Devise of the Residue, which was applied to prove that he ought to pursue the Authority which the Statute gave him, &c.

Another Case was cited out of a Reading, That the King granted certain Lands to one and his Heirs (d) during the Life of the Grantee by Knight's Service *in Capite*, and after his Death in Socage, in that Case he might devise all that Land; and yet it was said, it was against the Letter of the said Act, for at the Time of the making of his Will and Day of his Death, he held by Knight's Service, which Case was agreed to be good Law. For altho' the Stat. speaks at the Beginning generally of Lands held by Knight's Service, yet there is a saving of Ward, &c. to the Lord; so that it appears fully by the Letter of the Act, that there ought to be such a Tenure by Knight's Service, whereby the Lord shall have Ward, &c. or otherwise it is not any Tenure within the Act: But in the said Case the Lord was not to have any Wardship, because the Tenure determin'd by his Death, and the Reason of Wardship failed, *scil.* that an (e) Infant within Age cannot do Knight's Service, as *Littleton* saith, *fol. 22.* (a) So *e converso*, if Land be given to hold in Socage during his Life, and after his Death by Knight's Service, there shall not be any Wardship, because the Tenure by Knight's Service beginneth in the Son, and the Father during his Life held in Socage.

And another Case was cited out of a Reading also, *scil.*

A Man (a) seized of Lands held *in Capite*, and of other Lands held in Socage, devised the Land in Socage, and afterwards aliened the Land held *in Capite in bona fide*, this Devise is good for all the Land holden in Socage; which Case was also agreed for good Law for all the Land in Socage, when no Title of the Wardship, &c. doth accrue to the Lord in respect of other Land. And it was objected, That if the Stat. of 34 H. 8. should not be taken by Equity, then the Stat. might be easily defrauded: For if a Man held one Acre of Land by Knight's Service *in Capite*, and 1000 Acres in Socage, and is disseised of the Acre held in chief, and then makes his Will of all the Land held in Socage, and dies, in that Case according to the Let. of the Act, the Devise will be good for all the Land in Socage: And thereupon they did infer on these Words, *Every Person having a sole Estate in Fee simple, &c. holden by Knight's Service in chief*, and in that Case he had not any Land held in chief, either at the Time of the making of his Will, or at the Time of his Death but only a Right to the Land, and so out of the Letter of the Act, of which he could not make any Disposition or Devise; and yet if that Case should not be taken by Equity, the whole Act would be to little or no Purpose: to which it was answered, That the said Case was within the Letter of the said Act, for the (b) Disseisee in the Judgm. of Law hath the Land to many Purposes.

a) 10 Co. 84.
a. Co. Lit. 111.
b.

For first, he hath the Land to forfeit, and therefore if he be attainted of Treason or Felony, he shall forfeit the Land.

2. If he dies without Heir, the Land shall escheat to the Lord. 37 H. 6. 1. a. 6 H. 7. 9. a. 32 H. 6. 27. a. 2 H. 4. 13.

(b) Fitz Escheat 3
Br. Escheat 11, 16, 22.
Gard. 36. Br. Travelse 133.
Br. Entre Congeable 129.

3. The Disseisee shall compel the Lord to (c) avow on him as his very Tenant, *Lit. Releases* 106. b. And *Littleton* there saith, That the Disseisee is Tenant in Law.

(c) Anre 23. b. Co. Lit. 268. c. Co. Lit. Sect. 454. 9 Co. 21. a.

4. If he dies, his Heir within Age, the Lord shall have the Wardship; and the Lord shall have a Writ of Right of Ward, and the Writ shall say *Terram illam Tenuit*; and therewith agrees *F. N. B.* in Writ of Escheat (d) 144. and (e) *Lit. Chap. Releases*, 107. a. b. 36 E. 3. *Garde* 10. And so in Judgment in Law, the Disseisee had the Land held *in Capite*, so that he cannot devise all his Socage Land. And as to the Case in 4 E. 5 *Phil. & Mar. Dyer* 155. that if Lands in (f) *London*, or Lands which are devisable by Custom, are held *in Capite*, yet the whole may be devised. To that it was answered, That was not by Force of the Statute, but because the Lands were devisable by Custom before the Statute, and the Statute is in the affirmative, and doth not (g) take away any Custom: But it was agreed, That in the said Case, the Saving in the Act gave in such Case the third Part of the King for Wardship, &c. and yet the Heir should be barred by the Custom.

(d) F. N. B. 144. c. (e) Lit. Sect. 458. Co. Lit. 270. a. (f) 9 Co. 133. b. Dy. 155. pl. 21. Dall. in Kelw. 205. b. 206. a. Dall. in Ash. pl. 9. Dall. 75. pl. 60. Styl. 476. Mo. 70. Dall. 64. pl. 24. Co. Lit. 111. b. 1 And 52, 53, 147. Benl. in Kelw. 214. B. nl. in Ash. 32. N. Benl. 317 pl. 300. (g) Co. Lit. 111. b. 115. a. 2 Ro. 266. Mo. 70.

BUTLER and BAKER's Case. PART III.

And after the Case had been argued Twenty-one Times severally, *scil. Pasch. 37 Eliz.* Judgment was given according to the said Resolutions, against the Plaintiff. You have (good Reader) many notable Rules and Cases of Relations put in this Case, whereby you will the better understand you; Books which treat of Relations, to which I will add one Case now lately, *scil. Trin. 37 Eliz.* adjudged in the Common Pleas, in an *Ejectione firmæ*, between (a) *Fenings* and *Bragge*, where on a Special Verdict found, the Case was shortly thus: A Disseisee made an Indenture purporting a Lease for Years, and delivered it to a Stranger off of the Land, as an Escroll, and commanded him to enter on the Land, and to deliver it on the Land, as his Deed, to the Lessee, which he did accordingly; it was adjudged it was a good Lease. And in that Case,

(a) Cr. El. 446,
447. Co. Lit.
48. b. Lane 99.
3 Bullst. 215.
Halm: 498, 499.
Bridgm. 51.
2 Ro. 830.

1. This Difference was agreed, when the Person at the first Delivery hath not Power or Ability in Law to make the Lease and Contract, and before the second Delivery he attains to it, there the Lease or Contract is void: But when the Person at the first Delivery hath Power and Ability in Law to contract, but cannot perfect it till an Impediment be removed; there if the Impediment be removed before the second Delivery, the Contract is good. As if at the Time of the first Delivery the Lessor be an Infant, or Feme (b) Covert, and at the Time of his second Delivery he is of full Age, or sole, in both these Cases the Deed shall not bind; for at the Time of the first Delivery he was not a Person who had Ability in Law to make a Contract: But in the Case at Bar, the Lessor was able to make a Contract, as well in respect of his Person, as of his Right and Interest in the Land, but was hindred only by the Disseisin, which being removed before the second Delivery, the Lease is good.

(b) Cro Car.
165. Cr. Jac.
617. 2 Leon.
200. Yelv. 1.
1 Benl. 134, 135.
Cr. Car. 388.
2 Sand. 313.

2. It was resolved, that to some Intent, the second Delivery hath (c) Relation to the first Delivery, and to some not, and yet in Truth, the second Delivery hath all its Force by the first Delivery; and the second is but an Execution and Consummation of the first: And therefore in Case of Necessity, *Et ut res magis valeat quam pereat*, it shall have Relation by Fiction to be his Deed *ab initio*, by Force of the first Delivery; and therefore, if at the Time of the first Delivery, the Lessor be a Feme Sole, and before the second Delivery she takes Husband; or if before the second Delivery she dies, (d) in that Case, if the second Delivery should not have Relation to this Intent, to make it the Deed of the Lessor *ab initio*, but only from the second Delivery, the Deed in both Cases would be (e) void; and therefore in such Case for Necessity, and *ut res magis valeat*, to this Intent by Fiction of Law, it shall be a Deed *ab initio*, and yet in Truth it was

(c) Dyer 57. pl.
23. Cr. El. 447.

(d) Styl. 423.

(e) Cr. El. 447.

not his Deed till the second Delivery: But in the Case at Bar, if it should have Relation by Fiction of Law (a) to the first Delivery, then that would avoid the Lease, for then it would be made by one who was out of Possession, and as one said, (b) *fictio legis inique operatur alicui damnum vel injuriam*; and therefore to this Intent it shall not have Relation but according to the Truth to be a Deed from the Time of the second Delivery, *ut res magis valeat quam pereat*; and hereby it appears, that the Reason of the Law (that to some Intent the second Delivery shall have Relation, and to other Intent no Relation) is all one, *scil.* for Necessity, and *ut res magis valeat quam pereat*.

3. It was resolved, That as to (c) collateral Acts, there shall be no Relation at all; for if the Obligee do release before the second Delivery, such Release is void, *vide 18 H. 6. (9.) 91. & 27 H. 6. 7. a.* Note Reader, That if in the Case of the Infant after the second Delivery, full Age should make the Deed good, then it would be in the Power of him to whom it was delivered, to make it bind or not at his Pleasure: For if he would deliver it during the Minority, it would not bind; and if he should deliver it after full Age, it should bind; which would be inconvenient that he, who to this Purpose was but a Servant, should have (d) *ligandi & non ligandi potestatem*.

And touching (e) Wills, whereof you have much good Matter in the said Case of *Butler and Baker*, my Advice to all who have Lands, is, That you take Care by the Advice of learned Counsel, by Act executed, to make Assurances of your Lands according to your true Intent, in full Health and Memory; to which Assurances you may add such Conditions or Provisoes of Revocation as you please. For I find great Doubts and Controversies daily arise on Devises made by last Wills; sometimes in respect of Tenures of Lands, sometimes by Pretences of Revocations, which may be made easily by Word; also in respect of obscure and insensible Words, and repugnant Sentences, the Will being made in haste: And some pretend that the Testator, in respect of extream Pain, was not *Compos mentis*, and divers other Scruples and Questions are moved upon Wills. But if you please to devise your Lands by Will,

1. Make it by good Advice in your perfect Memory, and inform your Counsel truly of the Estates and Tenures of your Lands, and by God's Grace the Resolution of the Judges in this Case will be a good Direction to learned Counsel to make your Will according to Law, and thereby prevent Questions and Controversies.

2. It is good, if your Will concern Inheritance, to make it

(a) Cr. Jac. 451.
(b) Co. Lit. 150. a. 2 Rol. Rep. 502. Ant. fol. 29. b. 11 Co. 51. a. Godb. 317. Palm. 354. 13 Co. 21.

(c) 2 Rol. 410. Perk. sect. 127. Br. Relation. Br. non est Factum 5.

1 Salk. 301.

(d) Hard. 33.

(e) Co. Lit. 111. b. 12 Car. 2. cap. 24. Swinb. 31.

Swinb. 31.

Of Gifts of Goods. Post. 81. a. b.

BUTLER *and* BAKER'S *Case*. PART III.

indented, and to leave one Part with a Friend, left after your Death it be suppressed.

3. At the Time of the Publication of the Will, call credible Witnesses to subscribe their Names to it.

4. If it may be, let all the Will be written with one and the same Hand, and in one and the same Parchment or Paper, for fear of Alteration, Addition or Diminution.

5. Let the Hand and Seal of the Devisor be set to it.

6. If it be in several Parts, let his Hand and Seal be put, and the Names of the Witnesses subscribed to each Part.

7. If there be any Interlining or Rasure in the Will, let a *Memorandum* be made of it (*and sign'd by the Testator*).

Hob. 30.

8. If you make any Revocation of your Will, or of any Part of it, make it by Writing, by good Advice, for on a Revocation by Word, follow Controversies, some of the Witnesses affirming it to be in one Manner, some in another Manner.

[See the Statutes of Frauds and Perjuries, 29 Car. 2. c. 3. & 3 & 4 W. & M. c. 14, &c.]

RATCLIFF'S Case.

Hill. 34 Eliz.

In the King's Bench

LUKE Norton brought an *Ejectione firmæ* against William Rowland, on a Demise made by Edward Ratcliff, 10 December 31 Eliz. of the Moiety of a House, Four Hundred Acres of Land, Forty Acres of Meadow, One Hundred Acres of Pasture, and Forty Acres of Wood, in Wye and Braborn in the County of Kent, &c. And on special Pleading of the Act of 4 & 5 Phil. & Mar. cap. 8. &c. (a) the Issue was, Whether Elizabeth Ratcliff, Wife of Ralph Ratcliff, had the Custody of Martha, Wife of the said Edward Ratcliff the Lessor, at the Time of the Contract and Marriage between the said Edward and Martha; for if the said Elizabeth then had the Custody of the said Martha within the said Act, then by the Pretence of the Defendant, Martha by Force of the said Act had lost the Inheritance of the said Lands, and then Judgment ought to be given against the Plaintiff. And on the said Issue the Jurors gave a special Verdict to this Effect: William Wilcocks, Esq; took to Wife Eliz. Edolf, Daughter and Heir apparent of John Edolf, and Alice his Wife, which William Wilcocks had Issue on the Body of the said Elizabeth, John, Elizabeth, and the said Martha: And afterwards, *scil. ultimo Martii* 16 Eliz. Will. Wilcocks, by his Will in Writing, devised and appointed the Order, Custody, Education and Government of the said John his Son, and of the said Eliz. and Martha his Daughters, to the said John Edolf and Alice his Wife, *durante vita eorumdem Johannis & Aliciæ*, and died. After whose Death the said Elizabeth, the Relict of the said William Wilcocks, took to Husband the said Ralph Ratcliff; and afterwards John Edolf died, and that the said Alice was seised of the said Tenements in Fee, and held them in Socage; and 20 Eliz.

by

(a) Co. Lit. 88. b.
Cr. Car. 465.
3 Inst. 62.
Vaugh. 181.

by her Will in Writing, devised the Tenements aforesaid to the said *John Wilcocks* in Tail, the Remainder to the said *Elizabeth* and *Martha*, Daughters of the said *Will. Wilcocks*, and to the Heirs of their two Bodies begotten, by equal Portions, equally to be divided; the Remainder to the said *Eliz.* the Mother, Daughter and Heir apparent of the said *Alice*, and to her Heirs. And afterwards, *Anno 26 Eliz.* the said *Alice* died, and afterwards the said *John Wilcocks*, 1 *August 28 Eliz.* died without Issue; and that the said *Eliz.* Daughter of the said *Will. Wilcocks*, 10 *July 28 Eliz.* took to Husband *Will. Androwes*, by Force whereof the said *William* and *Elizabeth* his Wife, and the said *Martha*, did enter into the Tenements aforesaid, and were thereof seised accordingly; and afterwards the said *Martha*, 8 *October, 29 Eliz.* then dwelling in the House of the said *Ralph Ratcliff*, at *Hitcham* in the County of *Hertford*, with the said *Ralph*, and *Eliz.* his Wife, and then being above the Age of Fourteen Years, and within the Age of Sixteen Years, with the Consent and Good-will of the said *Ralph Ratcliff*, voluntarily and of her good Accord, between the 6th and 7th Hours of the same Day, before Noon, departed from the House of the said *Ralph Ratcliff*, for the Space of Eight Miles, to *Bramfield* in the said County of *Hertford*, where at the Twelfth Hour of the same Day, she was espoused and married to the said *Edw. Ratcliff*; and that the said *Edw. Ratcliff* entred, and made the Lease to the Plaintiff, *prout, &c.* But whether upon the whole Matter, the said *Elizabeth Ratcliff* had the Custody and Governace of the said *Martha* at the Time of the Contract and Marriage aforesaid, or not, the Jurors pray the Advice of the Court. And it was unanimously agreed by Sir *Christopher Wray*, Chief Justice, and the whole Court. That the said *Eliz.* had the Custody and Governace of the said *Martha* at the Time of the said Contract and Marriage, within the Intent and Meaning of the said Act. And in this Case six Points were unanimously resolved by the whole Court,

3 Inst. 62.

Co. Lit. 88. b.
Lit. Sect. 103.

1. That there were two Manner of Custodies or Guardianships, one by the Common Law, the other by the Statute; and also that at the Common Law there are four Manner of Guardians, *scil.* Guardian in Knights-Service, Guardian in Socage, Guardian in Nature, and Guardian in Nurture. The first two and the last are fully described in our Books: But as to Guardian in Nature, great Controversy was betwixt those who have argued in this Case at Bar, and all rose through the ill Understanding of our Books on both Sides. For some held that the Father only should have the Custody of his Son and Heir apparent within Age, and not the Mother, nor the Grandfather, nor any other Ancestor, should have any Custody of his Heir apparent: Also that the Father should not have the Wardship of his Daughter and Heir apparent;

for according to them, it ought to be such an Heir as ought to continue Heir and sole Heir apparent, and that a *(a)* Daughter is not, for a Son may be born, and then the Daughter is not Heir, or another Daughter may be born, and then she is not sole Heir. As if Lands in Borough English be held by Knight's Service, the Father shall not have the Custody of his younger Son, because he may have a younger Son, no more than the *(b)* younger Son can endow his Wife of Land in Borough English, *ex assensu patris*, for he ought in such Case to be Heir apparent, who in Judgment of Law shall continue Heir apparent, and not Heir apparent who by Accident is Heir, and by *(c)* Accident may not be Heir; And in Respect the sole Cause which gives the Wardship in the one Case, and enables the Heirs to make the Endowment in the other Case, is, because he is Heir apparent, the same shall be intended in Law (which abhors Incertainty) of a certain and perdurable Heir apparent: And they relied principally on the Words of *Littleton (d)* in his Chapter of Knight's Service, who speaks only of Father and Son in such Case, and not of Daughter, or any other Heir: And on the other Side, it was affirmed, That the Father should have the Wardship not only of his Son and his Daughter also, as it is agreed *8 E. 2. Trespass 235. 31 E. 1. Garde 154. & F. N. B. 143. o.* But also every Ancestor, Male, or Female, should have the Wardship of his Heir apparent, Male or Female; and all this, it was said, appears not only by the Register of Writs, on which *(f)* Foundation (as *Just. Fitzher.* in his Preface to his Book called *Natura Brevium* saith) the whole Law depends, but also in our Books, by the Judgments and Opinions of the Sages and Judges of the Law, *31 E. 3. tit. (g) Garde 32. in Trespass, Quare F. (h) con- sanguineum & heredem (the Plaintiff) cujus maritajium ad ipsum pertinet, tali loco rapuit & abduxit contra pacem. 31 E. 3. Barre 257. And (i) 31 E. 3. Brief 327. The Mother, although she had no Land, brought a Writ of Ravishment of Ward of F. her eldest Son and Heir ravished, against the Grandfather of F. who had Land which might descend to F. And it was said, That where it was objected, that the Father should not have the Wardship of his Daughter and Heir apparent, because peradventure she might not continue Heir, or at least sole Heir: The same Reason may be objected against the Wardship of his eldest Son, for peradventure he will not remain Heir apparent, for if the Father be *(k)* attainted of Felony or Treason, in such Case his Son is not his Heir apparent, and then the Lord of whom the Land is held shall have the Wardship of the Son in the said Case that *Littleton* puts: For then the Son is not Heir apparent to the Father, and therefore the Father shall not have the Wardsh. of him, and by Consequence the L. shall have it;*

for

(a) Moor 738.
2 And. 207.
6 Co. 22. a.

(b) 6 Co. 22. a.
Co. Lit. 35. b.

(c) Cr. Car. 412.

(d) Co. Lit.
sect. 114.

(e) Co. Lit. 84
a. 6 Co. 22. a. b.

(f) Co. Lit. 73. b.

(g) Moor 739.
(h) Co. Lit. 84. a.

(i) F. N. B. 143.
g. Moor 738.
2 And. 207.

(k) Co. Lit. 84. b.

for it appears by *Littleton*, and all the Books, That he ought to be his Heir apparent: And the Court resolved, That both Sides had erred by mistaking the true Sense of the Books; for it is true, that every Ancestor, Male or Female, shall have Trespass, or a Writ of (a) Ravishment of Ward, against any Stranger, who of his own Wrong ravishes the Heir apparent of any Person, be the Heir Male or Female, and the Writ shall say, *Cujus maritagium ad ipsum pertinet*, and the Law in that hath great Reason; for whereas in Truth the whole Estate of the Ancestor, and the (b) establishing of his Inheritance, principally consists in providing of a suitable Marriage for his Heir apparent, the Law therefore gives him a Remedy against him who wrongfully deprives him by their tortious Ravishment of the Means to accomplish it: And therefore it is not material of what Age the Heir apparent in such Case is, as appears by the said Book, in (c) 32 E. 3. but such Action lieth not against the (d) Guardian in Chivalry by any Ancestor, but only for the Father, and for him the Action lieth against the Lord of whom Land is held by Knight's Service, where his Son and Heir apparent is ravished by him, as appears by (e) *Littleton*, and 18 E. 3. 25. 30 E. 3. 17. 29 E. 3. 7 & 19. And the Book in 9 E. 4. 53. a. That a Wom. shall not have a Ravishment of Ward of her Daughter and Heir apparent taken and ravished, is to be intended against the Guardian in Chivalry, and on this Difference the said Books are well reconciled: But as to the Case of the Daughter and Heir apparent, the Court gave no Resolution: So in this Case, the Court resolved that the Mother could not be Guardian in Socage, if the Land had descended to the Daughter, nor for Nurture, because the Daughter was above the Age of fourteen Years; but the Common Law gave her Remedy against every Stranger who took and ravished her of his own Wrong, as is aforesaid.

2. It was resolved, That in this Case the Mother had the Custody of the said *Martha* within the Provision of the said Act; for now the said Act hath ordained two Sorts of new Custodies, *scil.* by Reason of Nature, and by Assignment: By Reason of Nature the Father, and after the Death of the Father the Mother, having the Governance of such Daughter by Assignment made by the Father, either by his Will, or by any Act in his Life: And to this Purpose three Branches of the said Act were considered: The first Branch doth prohibit the taking of any Damself under the Age of Sixteen Years out of the Possession, Custody and Governance, and against the Will of the Father, or of such Person to whom her Fath. by his Will, or by any Act in his Life, shall devise, assign, or give the Order, Custody, Educat. or Governance of her

(a) Co. Lit. 84. a. b.

(b) Co. Lit. 84. b.

(c) 32 E. 3. Gard 32. Antea 38. a. (a) Co. Lit. 84. b.

(e) Lit. Sect 114. Br. Gard. 55. Br. Ravishment de gard. 23. Co. Lit. 84. b. F. N. B. 144. o.

4 & 5 P. & M. cap. 8.

her; which first Branch contains only a Prohibition; but it is thereby proved, that the Father may appoint the Custody of any of his Daughters under the Age of Sixteen Years, by his Will, or by any Act in his Life, to this Purpose only, that he who takes such Damsel out of such Custody, shall incur the Penalty of this Act. The second Branch doth inflict a Punishment by Fine and Imprisonment, on him who takes such Damsel unmarried out of the Possession, and against the Will of the Father and Mother, or of such Person who then shall have by any lawful Means, the Order, Custody, &c. of such Damsel. And it was agreed, That these Words (Father and Mother) should be understood Father or Mother, after the Death of the Father, which is well expounded by the subsequent Clause. The third Branch, on which this Case depended, imposes the Punishment and Forfeiture, as well on him who takes such Damsel and defours her, either against the Will, or without the Knowledge of the Father, if he be alive, or of the Mother having the Custody of such Damsel, contracts Matrimony with her; as on the Damsel if she exceeds the Age of Twelve Years, if she assents to such Contract, by Forfeiture of her Land during her Life: Note; this latter Branch extends only to the Custody of the Father and Mother having the Custody of her, that is to say, If the Father had not disposed the Custody of her to others; and it extends to him who takes any Damsel, altho' she were not Heir, or Heir apparent, and altho' she departs with her own Assent after the Age of 12 Years, for which the Common Law gave no Remedy: And it is to be observed, That the Clause, which gives Forfeiture to such Damsel which consents, refers only to the third Branch, and not to the first or second; so that forasmuch as the Father in this Case on the Matter had not disposed of the Custody of the Daughter, the Daughter was in the Custody and Governance of the Mother within the Provision of this Act; and also she was, at the Time of the said taking, Heir apparent to the said *Elizabeth*.

3. It was resolved, That the Assent of *Ralph Ratcliff* the Husband was not material, for the Statute hath annexed the Custody to the Person of the Mother *Jure naturæ*, which is inseparable, and cannot by the Marriage be transferred to the Husband, but remains after the Marriage only in the Mother; for as it is agreed in 33 *H. 6. 55. b.* the Father who hath the Wardship of his Son and Heir apparent *Jure naturæ*, cannot forfeit it by Outlawry, neither shall his Executors or Administrators have such Wardship: And it was said, if there be Lord and Feme Tenant by Knight's Service, and the Tenant makes a Lease for Life, and afterwards the Lord and Tenant intermarry and have Issue between them

cf. ex p. Barford
9 *M. 99*

Co. Lit. 84. b.
Br. Gard. 6. Br.
Forfeiture 70.
7 Co. 12. b.
13. b. Calvin's
Case. 2 Inst.
234.

them a Son; and the Wife dies, and afterwards the Father dies, the son within Age, that his Executors should not have the Wardship by Reason of the Seigniorie, for the Father has the Wardship of the eldest Son *Jure nature*, which is inseparable, and cannot be waved, and he cannot have the Wardship of his Son by the Death of his Wife, by Reason of his Seigniorie, for that was inseparably vested in him as Father presently, by the Birth of his Son *Jure nature*: And *Littleton* (a) saith, That the Father during his Life shall have the Marriage of his Son and Heir apparent, and not the Lord.

4. It was resolved, That altho' the Issue was, Whether the said *Elizabeth* had the Custody of the said *Martha* at the Time of the Contract; and it appears by the Verdict, that she did depart out of the House of the said *Elizabeth* 6 Hours before the Contract; yet in Judgment of Law, the said *Elizabeth* had the Custody of her at the Time of the Contract, for as hath been said, this Custody is inseparably annexed to the Person of the Mother.

5. It was resolved, That in this Case *Martha* and *Elizabeth* were Tenants in common in Tail, the Reversion to *Elizabeth* the Mother and her Heirs: for these Words in a Will, (b) (equally to be divided) make a Tenancy in common, accord. to the Intent of the Devisor, altho' they never make any Partition *in facto*, for his Intent appears, that it shall be divided, and by Consequence, that there shall be no Survivor, and so hath it divers Times been adjudged before this Time.

6. It was resolved, That on this Verdict it appears, That *Edward Ratcliff* and *Martha* his Wife had a good Title to the Land against *Andrewes* and *Elizabeth* his Wife; and that one Daugh. as this Case is, should not take Benefit of the Forfeiture of the other. For the Stat. gives the Forfeiture to the next of Kin, to whom the Inheritance should descend; or come after her Decease, &c. during the Life of such Person that so shall contract Matrimony. So that first, he ought to be of Blood, and, 2d. he ought to be next of Blood to whom the Inheritance should descend or come, &c. And altho' *Eliz.* the Daugh. be of Blood, yet in this Case by the Death of *Mar.* the Land, if she hath Issue, shall descend to her Issue, and if she hath no Issue, it shall revert to *Eliz.* the Mother, (c) 5 E. 4. 5. *Assise* 27. in the like Case on the Stat. of (d) 6 R. 2. agrees with this Resolution. Then it was moved, If the Mother in this Case should enter for the Forfeiture; and it was objected, That she could not enter, for she is not of the Blood of the Daughter, for the Daughter derives her Blood from her Mother, and not the Mother from her. And there- with agrees (e) 5 E. 6. *Administration Br.* 47. where it is held, That the Father or Mother are not next of Blood, to whom Administration of the Goods of their Son or Daugh.

shall

(a) Lit. Sect. 114. Co. Lit. 84. a.
 (b) Lit. Rep. 47. Moor 594, 578, 667.
 Goldsb. 182, 88, 183. Cr. El. 729. I Brownl. 82. Yelv. 23, 24. Owen 127. 1 Leon. 113. Style 434.
 3 Lea 19. 1 Bullt. 113. Cr. Eliz. 443, 444, 695, 696. 2 Roll. 89.
 O. Benl. 19. Dy. 25. pl. 158. Cr. Car. 75. N. Benl. 36. pl. 63. Dall. 9. 39, 44, 45, 90. Cr. Eliz. 330. 2 Sidert. 78, 53. Swinb. 282. Heil. 64.
 (c) 1 Co. 95. a. 3 Co. 61. b. 5 Ed. 4. 6. a. Plowd. 43. a. 55. b. Br. Done 28. 9 H. 7. 25. b. Br. Entric con geable 94. 1 Co. 98. b. 137. b. (d) 6 R. 2. cap. 6. 1 Co. 95. a. Plowd. 42. b. 45. b. 2 Inst. 434. Long. 510 Ed. 4. 58. a. 1 H. 6. 1. a. Fitz. Corone 1. Br. Rape 4. Br. Appeal 48. Br. Parliam. 89. Stamf. Cor. 82. (e) Co. Lit. 10. b. Raym. 23. Swinb. 398. Cawly 224, 225. B. N. C. 415. Post. 404. a.

shall be granted; and there it is said, *Quod pueri sunt de sanguine parentum, sed pater & mater non sunt de sanguine puerorum*, and that is the Reason that no Land can (a) descend from the Son to the Father or Mother, but shall rather escheat to the Lord, because the Father or Mother is not of the Blood of their Son. Against which it was argued, That the Mother should take Advant. of this Forfeiture. And the said Book of (b) 5 E. 6. was utterly denied to be Law, and that it had oftentimes been resolved against it, *scil.* That Administration may be granted of the Goods of the Son or Daughter, to the Father or Mother, as to the next of Blood, and that is well proved by *Littleton* in his first Chapter of his Book, where it appears, that if there be Father, Uncle and Son, and the Son dies, that the Uncle shall be Heir to the Son, and not the Father, and yet the (c) Father is more near of Blood, which are *Littleton's* Words, which, as was said, decide the Point now in Question. And on the Words of *Littleton* it was concluded, That in the said Case of Father, Uncle and Son, if a Lease be made to the Son, the Remainder to the next of his Blood, that the Father in Case of Purchase, shall have the (d) Remaind. for by the Judgment of *Littleton* he is the next of Blood. And although in every Art and Science there are *principia & postulata*, of which it is said, *Altiora ne quaesiveris, & principia probant, & non probantur*, because every Pro^s ought to be by a more high and supream Cause, and nothing can be more high and supream than the Principles (e) themselves, and therefore ought to be approved, because they cannot be proved. And *Littleton* saith, that it is a Maxim in Law, That an Inheritance may lineally descend, and not ascend; and that appears by *Glanvile*, who wrote in the Time of H. 2. lib. 7. cap. 1. fol. 44. b. (f) *Quelibet hereditas, naturaliter quidem ad heredes hereditabiliter descendit, nunquam autem naturaliter ascendit*: And by *Bracton* also, who wrote in the Time of Hen. 3. lib. 2. cap. 29. (g) *Descendit itaque jus quasi ponderosum, quod cadens deorsum recta linea vel transversali, & nunquam reascendit ea via, qua descendit post mortem antecessorum*. And therewith agrees *Britton*, who wrote in the Time of E. 1. cap. 119. *de Successione*. Yet because the Common Law doth differ in this Point from the Civil Law, these Reasons of this Principle of the Common Law were alledged, *scil.* That in this Point, as almost in all others, the Common Law was grounded on the Law of God, which was said, was *causa causarum*, as appears in the 27th Chap. of (h) *Numbers*, where the Case which was in Judgment before *Moses* was, That *Salphaad* had Issue five Daughters, and having divers Brothers, died, to whom his Inheritance should descend was the Quest. the Daughters claiming

(a) Lit. Sect. 3.
Co. Lit. 10. b.
D. & Stud. 13. a.

(b) Br. Administration 47.
Antea 39. b.

(c) Lit. Sect. 3.
Co. Lit. 10. b.

(d) Co. Lit.
10. b.

(e) F. N. B. præf.
Inst. 11. a.

(f) Co. Lit. 11. a.

(g) Co. Lit. 11. a.

(h) Co. Lit. 11. a.
Noy 161.

claiming it *jure propinquitatis*, as their Birthright, and next Heirs to their Father; the Brothers claiming it as Heirs Male *jure honoris*, to celebrate and continue the Name of their Ancestors: And this Case seemed of great Difficulty to Moses, and therefore, for the deciding of that Question, Moses consulted with God; for the Text saith, *Retulitque Moses causam earum ad judicium Domini, qui dixit ad eum, justam rem postulant filie Salphaad. Da eis possessionem inter cognatos patris sui, & ei in hereditatem succedant: Ad filios autem Israel loqueris hæc: Homo cum mortuus fuerit absque filio, ad filiam ejus transibit hereditas, si filiam non habuerit, habebit successores fratres suos, quod si fratres non fuerint, dabitur hereditas fratribus patris ejus; sin autem nec patruos habuerit, dabitur hereditas his qui ei proximi sunt, eritque hoc filiis Israel sanctum lege perpetua, sicut præcepit Dominus Mose.* By which general Law (which extends not only to the said particular Case, but to all other Inheritances, to all Persons, and at all Times) it appears that the Father himself, and all lineal Ascension, is excluded.

Another Reason of the said Principle was alledged, for avoiding of Confusion in Case of Descents, if not only lineal and collateral Descent should be allowed, but lineal Ascension also, which is one of the Causes of such Diversity of Opinions in Cases of Descents in the Civil Law; and the contrary is one of the Causes of the Certainty of the Rules of the Common Law in Case of Descents and Inheritance, being *ponderosum quoddam*, as *Bracton* said, *jure nature descendit*, and not *ascendit*, for *omne grave fertur deorsum*. And it was said at the Bar, If in this Case he in the Reversion had been Brother of the half Blood to the Daughter that consented, &c. he might enter as *proximus de sanguine*, and yet he could not inherit Lands in Fee-simple, as Heir to his Sister in such Case, in which Point also the Common Law doth differ from the Civil Law; for by the Common Law of *England*, if a common Person hath (a) Issue a Son and a Daughter by one Venter, and a Son by another Venter, and died seised of Lands in Fee-simple, and the elder Son enters into the Land, and dies without Issue, the Sister of the whole Blood shall inherit to him, and not the Brother of the half Blood. And that was the ancient Common Law of this Land, and always continued, as appears by *Glanvil, lib. 7. cap. 1. Bracton, lib. 2. cap. 30.* and by *Britton, cap. 119.*

(a) Lit. Sect. 8.
Co. Lit. 14. b.

And the Reason of the Com. Law is notable, and may be collected by the said ancient Authors of the Law, that every one who is Heir to another, *aut est hæres jure* (b) *proprietatis*, as the eldest Son, who alone shall inherit before all his

(b) Co. Lit. 10. b.

Brothers, *aut jure (a) representationis*, as where the eldest Son dies in the Life of his Father, his Issue shall inherit before the younger Son; for altho' the youngest Son is *magis propinquus*, yet *Jure representationis* the Issue of the eldest Son shall inherit, for he represents the Person of his Father, and, as *Bracton* saith, *Jus proprietatis*, which his Father had by Birth-right, descends to him, *aut jure propinquitatis*, (b) as *propinquus excludit remotum, & remotus remotiorem*; *aut jure sanguinis*, and by Force thereof, in the said Case, the Daughter shall inherit before the Son, and that for divers Causes; in as much as the Blood which is betwixt every Heir and his Ancestor makes him Heir, for without Blood none can inherit: And therefore it is great Reason, that he who hath full and whole Blood, should inherit before another who had but a Part of the Blood of his Ancestor, for *ordine nature totum presertur unicuique parti*. And therefore *Bracton* saith, *Quod propter jus sanguinis (c) duplicatum, tam ex parte patris, quam ex parte matris, dicitur heres propinquior soror, quam frater de alia uxore*. And *Britton* saith, That the Right of Blood in this Case causes the Female to foreclose the Male.

2. As none can be-begotten but of a Father and Mother, and ought to have in him two Bloods, that is to say, the Blood of his Father and the Blood of his Mother; these Bloods commixt in him by lawful Marriage constitute and make him (d) Heir; so that none can be Heir to any, unless he hath in him both the Bloods of him to whom he will make himself Heir, and therefore the Heir of the half Blood cannot inherit, because he wants one of the Bloods which should make him heritable, as *Aristotle lib. Topicorum; Parte quacunque integrante sublata, tollitur totum, quod verum est si accipias partem integram pro parte necessaria*: As in this Case, the Blood of the Father and of the Mother are but one inheritable Blood, and both are necessary to the Procreation of an Heir, and therefore *deficiente uno, non potest esse heres*. And on this Reason it seems to *Britton, cap. 5.* if a Man be attainted of Felony by Judgment, that the Heirs begotten after the Attainder are excluded of all Manner of Succession of Heritage, as well on the Part of the Mother, as on the Part of the Father; and the Reason thereof was, that the Son begotten after the Judgm. had not two heritable Bloods in him; for, at the Time of the Begetting of him, the Blood of the Father was corrupted; for *ex leproso parente leprosus generatur filius*; and when the Father is attainted of Felony, the Blood, in Respect of which

(a) Co. Lit. 10. b.
(b) Co. Lit. 10. b.
(c) Co. Lit. 14. a.
(d) Co. Lit. 14. a.
(e) Co. I. it 12. a.
1 sid. 200. Jenks.
Cent. 3.

he should be heritable, being corrupted, the Son, as seem'd to him, had but half Blood; that is to say, the Blood of the Mother in him uncorrupted; and therefore he held, that such Son should not inherit to his Mother. And with him agrees *Bracton, lib. 3. cap. 13. Non valebit felonis generatio, nec ad hæreditatem paternam, vel maternam; si autem ante feloniam generationem fecerit, talis generatio succedit in hæreditatem patris, vel matris, a quo non fuerit feloniam perpetrata*; because at the Time of his Birth he had two lawful Bloods commixt in him, which cannot be corrupted by the subsequent Attainder, but only as to him who offended.

The third Reason was for avoiding of Confusion; for if as well the half Blood as the whole Blood should be equally heritable, then in many Cases Confusion and Uncertainty will ensue who should be the next Heir; and if a Man would advance any that is of half Blood to him, he might easily convey some of his Inheritance to him at his Pleasure: And therefore it was concluded, that the Common Law, which prefers the whole Blood before the half Blood, was grounded on greater Reason than the Civil Law in this Point: (yet in some Cases the half Blood may inherit by our Law, *i. e.*) *Aut jure sive ratione doni*, and in that the Common Law doth admit the half Blood to inherit. As if a Man makes a Gift to one and his Heirs of his Body, and he hath Issue a Son and a Daughter by one *Venter*, and a Son by another *Venter*, and the Father dies, and the elder Son enters and dies, the younger Son shall inherit *per formam doni*, for he claims as Heir of the Body of the Donee, and not generally as Heir to his Brother; and this is the Reason that *Littleton* saith, *(a) Quod possessio fratris de feodo simplici facit sororem esse hæredem*: In which Rule every Word is to be observ'd.

(a) *ir. Sect. 8. Co. Lit. 15. c. 5.*

1. That the Brother ought to be in actual Possession of the Fee and Freehold, either by his own Act, (*b*) or by the actual Possession of another; but if neither by his own Act, nor by the Possession of another, he gains more than descends to him, the Brother of the half Blood shall inherit; and therefore if Land, Rent, (*c*) Advowson, &c. descends to the elder Brother, and he dies before any Entry by him made into the Land, or receive the Rent, or present to the Church, the younger Brother shall inherit: And the Reason thereof is, that of all Hereditaments in Possess, he who claims such

(b) *Co. Lit. 15 b. 1 Ro. 628.*

(c) *Co. Lit. 15 b. 1 Ro. 628.*

such

such Hereditaments as Heir, ought to make himself Heir to him who was last actually seised, as it is held 11 H. 4. 11. 10 Aff. 27. 34 Aff. 10. 19 E. 2. *Quare imp.* 177. 45 E. 3. 13. and *Littleton, cap. 1.* For if there be Father, Uncle, and Son, Co. Lit. 11. b. and the Son purchases Land, and dies without Issue, and the Land descends to the Uncle, if the Uncle dies before Entry, the Land shall not descend to the Father, for then he ought to make himself Heir to him who was last actually seised, and that was the Son; and therefore *Littleton* saith in such Case, if the Uncle enter, &c. then the Father shall have the Land as Heir to the Uncle; and in this Case the Q. Carth. 128. Father was last actually seised, and the Sister cannot claim the Land as Heir to the Father, for the younger Son is Heir to him: But if the elder Son enters, and by his own Act hath gained the Actual Possession, or if the Lands were leased for Years, or in the Hands of a Guardian, and the Lessee or Co. Lit. 15. b. Guardian possess the Land, there the Possession of the Lessee or Guardian doth vest the actual Fee and Freehold in the elder Brother; and in such Case the Sister shall inherit as Heir to her Brother, who was last actually seised: But of a Reversion, or a Remainder expectant on an Estate for Life or in Tail, there he who claims the Reversion as Heir, ought to make himself Heir to him who made the Gift, or Lease, if the Reversion or Remainder descend from him: Or if a Man purchase such Reversion or Remainder, he who Co. Lit. 12. a. claims as Heir ought to make himself Heir to the first Purchaser; and all this appears, 24 E. 3. 24. 37 Aff. 4. 40 E. 3. 9. 42 E. 3. 10. 45 E. 3. *Releases* 28. 49 E. 3. 12. 7 H. 5. 3 & 4. 8 Aff. 6. 35 Aff. 2. 5 E. 4. 7. 3 H. 7. 5. 40 Aff. 6. 21 H. 7. 33. And by these Rules (good Reader) you will well Co. Lit. 15. b. understand your Books, and the true Reason of them; and by that which hath been said it appears, That if the King, by his Letters Patents, create one a Baron, and gives the Dignity to him and his Heirs, and he hath Issue a Son and a Daughter by one *Venter*, and a Son by another, and dies, and afterwards the elder Son dies without Issue, in this Case the Dignity shall descend to the younger; for it can- Cro. Car. 601. not be said that the elder Son was in Possession of the Dignity, no more than of his Blood, for the Dignity is inherent to his Blood; and neither by his own Act, nor by the Act of any other doth he gain more actual Possession (if it may be so termed) than by the Law descended to him; and then the younger Brother shall make himself Heir to his Father, and not to his Brother; so that this Word (*possessio*) Co. Lit. 15. b. which is but *pedis positio*, extends only to Things of which a Man by his Entry or other Act may get the actual Possession.

2. *Littleton* saith, *Possessio fratris de feodo simplici*, and these Words, *feodum simplex*, exclude Estates-Tail. Co. Lit. 15. b.

3. *Facit sororem heredem*, by which is implied, that in this Case, *soror est hæres factus*, and that the Law without other Act doth not make the Sister Heir; but the younger Brother is after the Death of the elder Brother *hæres natus* to his Father. But the Act by which the elder Brother gains actual Possession *facit sororem heredem*; so that when the elder Son hath not actual Possession, or if it be such an Inheritance of which an actual Possession can't be gain'd *per pedis positionem*, or by some other Act, it shall by Law descend to the Brother of the half Blood: And so it was concluded by the Plaintiff's Counsel, That the Father, or Mother, or Brother of the half Blood, might be next of Blood within the Purview of the said Act; and that in this Case it appears by the Verdict, that the Mother, and not the other Sister, ought to take Advantage of this Forfeiture: But the Court resolv'd, that the said Points on the Statute who should be next of Blood to enter for the Forfeiture, could not come in Judgment in this Case, because the Issue was join'd upon a collateral Point, *scil.* Whether *Elizabeth* the Mother had the Custody of the said *Mart.* at the Time of the said Contract; and therefore all the other Matter concerning the Forfeiture, and who should take Benefit thereof, was out of the Issue; and the finding of the Jury (as to that) was without Warrant, and not material: And for this Cause, altho' in Truth the Plaintiff, as it here appears, had good Right against the Defendant, yet for as much as the Issue was found against him, Judgment was given that the Plaintiff *Nil capiat per billam*.

Q. 2 Salk. 663.

Coke and others were of Counsel with the Plaintiff, and *Godfrey* and others with the Defendant.

[Where a Verdict, finding more than is in Issue, shall be good for what was in Issue, and the Surplus rejected, see 3 Co. 9. 4 Co. 43, 46. 5 Co. 2. Part 30. 6 Co. 47. 9 Co. 12. 34. 11 Co. 11, 13, 20.]

BOYTON'S Case.

Mich. 34 & 35 Eliz.

In the King's Bench.

Thomas Boyton Clerk, Parson of *Hesset* in *Suffolk*, Moor 259.
 brought an *Audita querela* against *William Andrews*
 and *Lewis Sympson*, setting forth how the Defendants in
 the King's Bench had recover'd against the Plaintiff 50 l.
 Debt and Damages, and that after the Judgment, *scil.* 2
Julii, 31 *Eliz.* at *Bury St. Edmunds* in the County of
Suffolk, within the Liberty and Franchise of Sir *Roger*
Townshend Knt. and *William Dixe* Esq; by *Purdey* and
Dey, *Virtute cujusdam warranti nuper antea eisdem præ-*
dictis Rogero & Will' fact', *virtute cujusdam Warranti eis-*
dem Roger' & Will' per Philippum Tilney Armig' tunc Vi-
cecom' prædict' Com' Suff. sub sigill. officii sui confect', *de*
& super quoddam breve de Capias ad satisfaciendum præ-
fat' Willielmo Andrews & Lodovico Sympson de debit' &
damnis præd', *ad prosecutionem ipsius Will' Andrews &*
Lodovic' a præd' curia nostra coram nobis emanant', *& Vic'*
prædict' Suff. nuper direct', &c. the said *Thomas Boyton*
 was taken and arrested in Execut. till the said *Roger* and
Will. Dixe, the said *Tho. Boyton* at *Lambeth* in the County
 of *Surrey*, the said Debt and Damages not satisfied, *extra*
prisonam prædict' evadere & ad largum quo voluit ire per-
miserunt. The Defendants pleaded, That the said *Roger*
 and *Will. Dixe* non *permiserunt eundem Tho. Boyton extra*
prisonam præd' evadere, & ad largum ire quo voluit, modo
& forma prout, &c. And thereupon the Jury gave a special
 Verdict to this Effect; That the Plaintiff was in Execution
prout, &c. and that the said *Roger* and *Will. Dixe*, Bailiffs

of the said Franchise *adduxerunt* him to *Westminster* within the County of *Middlesex*, *die Lunæ ante return' brevis de Capias ad satisfaciend'*, (the Day of the Return being *die Lunæ post crastin' animarum*) so that the Bailiffs mistook the Day of the Return; and that the said Bailiffs, in the Interim, before the Return of the Writ, at the Request of the Plaintiff, carried him to *Lambeth* within the County of *Surry*; which Town of *Lambeth* is next adjoining to *Westminster*, but out of the Way, and not in the Way from the County of *Suffolk* to *Westminster*. And that at the Return of the said Writ the Bailiffs did deliver the said *Boyton* to the Prison of the King's Bench by Virtue of the said Writ; and that the Plaintiff, from the Time of the Arrest, until the Return of the said Writ and Delivery of him to the Prison aforesaid, did remain and continue with the Bailiffs by Virtue or Colour of their Warrant. And whether on the whole Matter the Plaintiff were at large and out of Prison, was the Question: And Judgment was against the Plaintiff; and in this Case these Points were unanimously resolv'd by the Court.

First, it was objected, That the Command of the Writ of *Capias ad faciendum* was to have the Body of the Plaintiff at the Court of King's Bench, which then was at *Westminster*; and for as much as they carried the Prisoner beyond *Westminster*, that is to say, to *Lambeth* in another County, which was not warranted by the Writ, it must of Necessity be an Escape: For the Writ gave them Authority to bring him to *Westminster*, for there was the King's Bench; and therefore when they carry him farther, to *Lambeth* in another County, it is without Warrant, and by Consequence an Escape; for the Bailiffs could not have the Custody of him there as Bailiffs of the Franchise, for that was out of the Franchise; and by Force of the Writ they could not have the Custody of him, because they have not pursued the Writ; and if the Bailiffs should be suffered to carry him to *Lambeth*, by the same Reason they may carry him to *York*, or to any other remote Part of the Realm, at their Pleasure.

Secondly, it was said, That in as much as the Writ, which is their Warrant, was to have his Body at the Court of King's Bench such a Day, they ought to bring the Body the usual way to *Westminster*, where the King's Bench then was, for so much is implied by the Writ; and therefore the carrying of his Body to *Lambeth* in another County, was without Warrant, and by Consequence an Escape, and the Plaintiff thereby out of their Custody.

To which it was answer'd, and resolv'd by the Court, That, first, there was a Difference between the Custody of one in Execution within the Franchise or County where the common Gaol is, or the Office of the Sheriff or Bailiffs extends, and where the Sheriff or Bailiff hath the Custody

of one in Execution out of their Franchise or County, as in the Case at Bar by Force of a Writ: For if the Sheriff or Bailiff of a Liberty assent that one who is in (a) Execution and under his Custody go out of the Gaol for a Time, and then to return, altho' he return at the Time, yet it is an Escape. So if the Sheriff, &c. suffer him to go by Bail or (b) Baston, for the Sheriff or Bailiff ought to keep him in (c) *salva & arcta custodia*. And t^h Stat. of West. 2. cap. 11. saith, *Quod carceri mancipentur in (d) ferris*, so as the Sheriff may keep them who are in Execution in Fetters and Irons, to the End they may the sooner satisfy their Creditors. And with that agrees a Resolution, Trin. 24 H. 8. by the Advice of Fitz-James and (e) Norwich, C. Justices, and Fitzherbert and Spilman Justices, that by the Law, those who are in Execution shall not go at their Liberty within the Prison, nor out of the Prison with the Keeper, but shall be kept in strict Ward, *vide Dyer (f)* 249. b. and the Statutes of 2 R. 2. cap. 12. & Westm. 2. cap. 11. But it was adjudg'd, where the Sheriff hath one in Execution for Debt, and a (g) *Habeas Corpus* issues out of the King's Bench to have the Body of him who is in Execution in the same Court at a certain Day, by Force of which Writ, the Sheriff, before the Return of the Writ, brings his Body to an Inn in *Smithfield* towards *Westminster*, and the Prisoner of his own Head goes without any Keeper to *Southwark*, in the County of *Surrey*, and the next Morning comes again to the Sheriff to *Smithfield*, and at the Return of the *Habeas Corpus* the Sheriff delivers his Body in Court; this was no Escape.

And so it was adjudg'd in this Court 31 Eliz. in *Charnock's Case*, who was Sheriff of *Bedford*, for the Effect of the Command of the Writ was perform'd, *scil.* to have his Body in the K.'s Bench such a Day; and this stands with great Reason, for the Sheriff, &c. may more strongly guard his Gaol, than every Inn or other Place thro' which he travels; *a fortiori* in the Case at Bar, for he was always under the Custody of the Bailiffs. And the Writ doth not command the Sheriff to bring him the direct or usual Way to *Westm.* &c. but only to have his Body in the K.'s Bench, &c. such a Day. And therefore if one be Sheriff of two Counties, and hath arrested and taken several Persons in Execution in the several Counties by Force of several *Capias ad Satis*. directed to him; he may in that Case bring one Prisoner out of the one County into the other, to carry them both together to the King's Court at *Westm.* without any Escape; and what Way or Place the Sheriff thinks most sure for him, he may take.

And some conceived that the Case at Bar was stronger, because the Prisoner went to *Lambeth* at his own Request; and therefore he shall not discharge himself by *Audita Querela* in this Case. And for as much as Escapes are so (b) penal to Sheriffs, Bailiffs of Liberties, and Gaolers, the Judges

(a) 1 Roll's 806.
Hob. 202. Dalt.
Sher. 140.
Cr. Car. 14.

(b) 1 Roll's 806.
Plowd. 36. b.
37. a. b.
Hob. 202.

Co. Lit. 206. a.
Dalt. Sher. 140.
Cr. Eliz. 5 Benl.
in Kelw. 214.
N. Benl. pl. 267.
Benl. in Ash. 29.
(c) Hard. 30.

2 Inst. 381.
8 Co. 100. a. b.
Dalt. Sher. 140.
Cr. Car. 466.

Co. Lit. 260. a.
1 Roll's 807.
3 Inst. 35.

(d) 1 Bullt. 145,
146. Dalt. Sher.
140. 1 Roll's
807. 2 Inst. 381.

(e) 1 Roll's 807.
Dy. 249. pl. 84.
(f) 1 Roll's 807.
Dy. 249. pl. 84.
Poltea 78. b.

(g) Dalt. Sher.
141. Moo. 257.

of the Law have always made as kind and favourable Constructions as the Law would suffer, in Favour of Sheriffs, Bailiffs of Liberties, and Keepers of Prisons, who are Officers and Ministers of Justice. And to the Intent that every one should bear his own (a) Burthen, the Judges would never adjudge one to make an Escape by any strict Construction.

And therefore if one in Execution escapes out of Prison, and flies into another County, it may be argu'd that this shall be an Escape, altho' he be re-taken on fresh Suit, because the Sheriff cannot have the Custody of him in (b) another County, in Regard he is not Sheriff there, neither doth his Authority extend thither. But the Judges, nevertheless, will adjudge it no Escape, because the Sheriff did all he could, and by his (c) fresh Suit hath re-taken him before any Action brought. So in the Case at Bar, when the Prisoner is once out of the proper County, altho' he goes into another County which is not in the way to *Westm.* where the K.'s Bench sits; this, by a favourable Construction in Law, is not an Escape, if at the Day of the Return he have the Body of the Defendant in Court. And if the Sheriff, &c. should be compelled to bring his Prisoners to the K.'s Court as *in recta linea*, it would be too full of Hazard and very dangerous for Sheriffs, &c.

Secondly, it was resolv'd, That if one in Execution escape of his own Wrong, and be re-taken, he should never have an *Audita (d) Querela* to discharge himself of the Imprisonment, because he shall not take Advantage of his own Wrong; and in such Case it is lawful for the Gaoler to re-take him, as it more fully appears in the following Case: And where it was objected, That the Writ was not good, because it doth not appear that the Warrant made by the Bailiffs was in Writing; for the Words of the Writ are, *Virtute cujusdam warrantii*, and doth not say in Writing, as hath been said. But that Exception was disallow'd by the Court; for the Sentence is, (f) *Virtute cujusdam warrantii per prelati R. & W. facti & directi*, &c. by which Words (*facti & directi*) is implied that it was in Writing.

Another Exception to the Writ was taken, That it doth not appear thereby when the Judgment was given, nor when the *Capias ad satisfaciendum* issued, nor when it was return'd, so that it might appear that the Defendant was arrested by Force of it after the *Teste* of the Writ, and before the Return of it; but that Exception was also disallow'd by the Court; for as much as it (g) appears by the Writ, That the said *Thomas Boyton* the Plaintiff, *virtute brevis prelati captus & arrestatus fuit in executione*, by these Words it is implied, that it was lawfully and duly done. And it was agreed, that Writs are more compendious than Counts, and Counts than other Pleadings, for Writs comprehend the Effect and Substance without Circumstance of Time or Place, and other Circumstances. *Et ideo dicuntur brevia, propter eorum brevitatein,*

Sir

(a) Hard. 31.

(b) Plowd. 37. a.

(c) Post. fol. 52. b. 1 Roll's 808. Moor 660. Cr. Eliz. 44. 102, 439, 555. Cr. Car. 240. Postea 52. b. Styl's 117. Ridgway's Calc.

(d) Postea 53. a. Poph. 41. Moor 660. Cr. Eliz. 318, 439. Goldsb. 180.

(f) 2 Jones 197.

(g) Yelv. 201.

Sir GEORGE BROWN'S Case.

Hill. Term, 39 Eliz. in B. R. Rot. 440.

*W*illiam Spencer, late of *Swindon* in the County afore-^{Wilts, ff.} said, Yeoman, and *Thomas Spencer*, late of *Swindon* in the County afore-^{ff.} said, Yeomen, were attached to answer to *James Lynch* of a Plea; wherefore with Force and Arms one Messuage, one Barn, one Garden, eighty Acres of Land, eighty Acres of Meadow, and eighty Acres of Pasture, with the Appurtenances, in *Swindon*, which *George Brown*, Knt. to the afore-^{ff.} said *James* demised for a Term which is not yet ended, they entred, and him from his Farm afore-^{ff.} said did eject, and other Harms did unto him, to the grievous Damage of the said *James*, and against the Peace of the Lady the now Queen, &c. and whereupon the said *James*, by *Thomas Cowper* his Attorney, complaineth, That whereas the afore-^{ff.} said *George Brown* the 22d Day of *October* in the 35th Year of the Reign of the now Queen, at *Swindon* afore-^{ff.} said, had demised to the said *James* the Tenements afore-^{ff.} said, with the Appurtenances, to have and to hold the same Tenements, with the Appurtenances, to the said *James* and his Assigns, from the Feast of *St. Michael* the Archangel then last past, until the End and Term of four Years from thence next ensuing, and fully to be compleated: By Virtue of which Demise, the said *James* into the Tenements afore-^{ff.} with the Appurtenances, entred, and was thereof possessed; and so thereof being possessed, the afore-^{ff.} *Will.* and *Tho.* afterwards, that is to say, the

Pleadings in Brown's Case. PART III.

the 22d Day of *October* aforesaid in the 35th Year aforesaid, with Force and Arms, &c. the Tenements aforesaid, with the Appurtenances, which the said *George Brown* to the said *James* in Form aforesaid demised for the Term aforesaid, which is not yet ended, entred, and him the said *James* from his Farm aforesaid held out, and other Harms, &c. to the grievous Damage, &c. and against the Peace, &c. whereupon he saith that he is the worse, and hath Damage to the Value of 20*l.* and thereof bringeth Suit, &c. And the aforesaid *William* and *Thomas* by *John Paxton*, their Attorney come, and defend the Force and Injury, when, &c. and say that they in nothing are guilty of the Trespass and Ejectment aforesaid, as the aforesaid *James* above against them complaineth: And of this put themselves upon the Country; and the aforesaid *James* likewise, &c. Therefore it is commanded to the Sheriff, that he have here in eight Days of the Purification of the blessed *Mary* 12 good and lawful Men, &c. by whom the Truth, &c. and who neither, &c. to recognise, &c. because as well, &c. Afterward the Procefs between the Parties afores. was continued of the Plea afores. by Jurors between them put in respite here until this Day, that is to say, in eight Days of *St. Mich.* in the 37th Year of the Reign of the L. the now Q. unless the Justic. of the L. the Q. for Assises in the County afores. to be taken assigned, by the Form of the Stat. upon *Thursd.* the 17th Day of *July* last past, at *New Sarum* in County afores. first came. And now here at this Day come as well the afores. *James Lynche*, as the afores. *Will. Spencer* and *Tho. Spencer* by their Attorney afores. and the aforesaid Justices of Assise before whom, &c. sent here their Record in these Words; Afterw. the Day and Place within contained before *Tho. Walmesley*, one of the Justices of the Lady the Q. of the Bench, and *Ed. Fenner* one of the Justices of the said Lady the Q. of Pleas before the Q. herself to be holden assigned, Justices of the Assise of the Lady the Q. in the County of *Wilts.* to be taken, assigned by the Form of the Statute, came as well the within named *James Lynche* as the said within written *Will. Spencer*, and *Tho. Spencer* by their Attornies within mentioned; and the Jurors of the Jury whereof within mention is made being called, some of them, that is to say, *William Garret* of *Shaw* Gent. *William Bury* of *Crickland*, *Thomas Buckley* of *Nether-Haven* Gent. *William Morse* of *Haydon*, *John Noyse* of *Grafton*, *Richard Legge* of *Nether-Haven*, *Thomas Smith* of *Kennet*, *Thomas Sloper* of *Mounnton*, and *Will. Gouldesborough* of the same came, and are sworn of the same Jury, and because that the rest of the Jurors of the Jury did not appear, therefore others of the Standers-by, chosen by the Sheriff of the County afores. at the request of the said *James*,

James, and by the Command of the Justices afores. were new put, whose Names to the Panel within written are filed, according to the Stat. in such Case lately made and provided: And the Jurors so of new put, that is to say, *Thomas Sringer*, *Will. Bundy*, and *Will. Hascall*, being likewise called came, who to the Truth of the Matter within contained, together with the other Jurors aforesaid first impanelled, being chosen, tried and sworn, say upon their Oath, That the afores. *Will. Spencer* is not guilty of the Trespass and Ejectm. within written, as the said *Will.* hath within alledged; and further the said Jurors, as to all the Trespass and Ejectm. afores. within written, besides the Trespass and Ejectm. in the Messuage within contained, and 26 Acres of the Tenements within written by the aforesaid *Thomas Spencer* within supposed to be done, they say upon their Oath, That the said *Tho.* is not thereof guilty, as the said *Tho.* likewise thereof within alledgeth; and as to the Trespass and Ejectment within written, into the aforesaid Messuage and 26 Acres of Land within supposed to be done, the same Jurors say upon their Oath, That long before the within written Time, in which it is supposed the Trespass and Ejectment aforesaid to be done, one *Rich. Bridges*, Knt. was seized as well of the aforesaid Messuage and 26 Acres of Land with the Appurtenances, as of the other Tenements within written, residue, with the Appurtenances, in his Demesn as of Fee; and so thereof being seized the said *Rich.* long before the Time aforesaid, in which, &c. by his certain writing of Feoffment indented, in Consideration of a certain Jointure of one *Johanna his Wife, Daughter of Will. Spencer*, Knt. deceased, from thence after to be had and ended, gave and granted, and in his said writing Indented confirmed to *John Winchcombe* the Elder, of *Newbery*, in the County of *Berks*, and *John Knight* of *Newbery* aforesaid, the aforesaid Messuage and 26 Acres of Land, in which, &c. amongst other things, to have and to hold the said Messuage and 26 Acres of Land, in which, &c. amongst other Things, to the said *John Winchcombe* and *John Knight*, their Heirs and Assigns for ever, under this Condition following, that is to say, That the said *John Winchcombe* and *John Knight*, within one Month next ensuing after the Date of the said Writing, by their sufficient Writing in Law, as by the learned Counsel in the Law of the said *Richard Bridges* it should be advised, should give, grant, and deliver the aforesaid Messuage and 26 Acres of Land, in which, &c. amongst other Things, to the said *Richard* and the said *Johanna* his Wife; to have and to hold the said Messuage and 26 Acres of Land, in which, &c. amongst other Things, to the said *Richard* and the said *Johanna*, and to the Heirs of the Bodies of the said *Richard* and the said *Johanna* his Wife, betwixt the said *Richard* and the said *Johanna* lawfully begotten; and for

Default

Pleadings in Brown's Case. PART III.

Default of such Issue, the Remainder thereof to the Right Heirs of the aforesaid *Richard* for ever, of the chief Lords of the Fee by the Services thereof due and of Right accustomed, as by the said Writing of Feoffment indented, sealed with the Seal of the said *Richard Bridges*, and bearing Date the 23d Day of *January* in the 32d Year of the Reign of the Lord *Henry* the 8th, late King of *England*, to the Jurors aforesaid, in Evidence shewed, more fully appeared; and that by Virtue of the said Feoffment, the aforesaid *John Winchcombe* and *John Knight* were seized of the aforesaid Messuage and 26 Acres of Land, in which, &c. amongst other, in their Demesn as of Fee, upon the condition aforesaid: And farther the Jurors aforesaid say upon their Oath, That the said *John Winchcombe* and *John Knight* being so thereof seized, long before the aforesaid Time, in which, &c. and within the said one Month next ensuing, after the Date of the said Writing of Feoffment indented at *Walcot* aforesaid, in Performance of the Condition aforesaid, and at the Request of said *Richard Bridges*, by their certain Writing indented of Feoffment, conveyed, enclosed and delivered, and by the said their Writing indented, they did confirm to the aforesaid *Richard Bridges* and *Johanna* his Wife the aforesaid Messuage and 26 Acres of Land, in which, &c. amongst other Things; to have and to hold the afores. Messuages, and 26 Acres of Lands, in which, &c. amongst other to the afores. *R. Bridges* and *Johanna* his Wife, and the Heirs of the Bodies of them the said *Richard* and *Johanna* betwixt them lawfully begotten; and for Default of such Issue, the Remainder thereof to the right Heirs of the said *Richard Bridges* for ever, of the chief Lords of the Fee by the Services thereof due, and of right accustomed, as by the said Writing of Feoffment indented, with the Seals of the said *John Winchcombe* and *John Knight* sealed, and bearing Date the 6th Day of *February* in the 32d Year of the Reign of the aforesaid late King *Henry* the 8th aforesaid, and to the Jurors aforesaid in evidence shewed, it more fully appeareth: And that by Virtue of the said Feoffment, the aforesaid *Richard Bridges* and *Johanna* were seized of the aforesaid Messuage of 26 Acres of Land, in which, &c. amongst other Things, in their Demesn as of Fee-tail, that is to say, to the said *Richard* and *Johanna*, and the Heirs of their Bodies between them lawfully begotten; the Remainder thereof to the right Heirs of the said *Richard* as above is said: And the said *Richard* and the said *Johanna* so thereof being seized had Issue of their Bodies between them lawfully begotten, one *Anthony Bridges* their Son yet living and in full Life being, that is to say, at *West-Sbefford* in the County of *Berks*; and that afterwards, and before the Time, in which, &c. the aforesaid *Richard Bridges* and *Johanna* of the aforesaid Messuage and 26 Acres of Land, in which, &c. amongst other, in Form aforesaid being seized, the said *Richard* before the aforesaid Time in which, &c. at *Ludgarsvall* in the said County of *Wilts*, of such his Estate died thereof seized: And the aforesaid *Johanna* him over-lived, and held herself in the aforesaid Messuage and 26 Acres of Land, in which, &c. amongst other, and was thereof sole seized in her Demesn as of Fee-

Fee-tail in Form afores. the Remainder thereof over, as before is said : And farther the Jurors afores. say upon their Oath, That the said *Johanna* being thereof so seized, the afores. *Anthony Bridges* the 4th Day of *December* in the 32d Year of the Reign of the said Lady the now Q. at *Walcot* afores. by his Indenture made between the afores. *Anthony Bridges*, Son of the afores. *Richard* and *Johanna*, and *Barbara* then Wife of the said *Anthony*, and one *Edward Langford*, Gent. by the Name of *Anthony Bridges* of *West-Shefford*, otherwise great *Shefford*, in the County of *Berks*, Esq; and *Barbara* his Wife, and *Edward Langford* of *Lincolns-Inn*, Gent. of the one Part, and one *George Brown*, Knt. by the name of *George Brown*, Esq; second Son of the Right Hon. *Anthony* Viscount *Brown*, Knt. of the most noble Order of the Garter, of the other Part ; which other Part with the Seals of the said *Anthony Bridges*, *Barbara*, and *Edward Langford* sealed, bearing Date the same Day and Year, to the Jurors afores. in Evidence shewed, it was covenanted, granted, condescended unto, concluded and fully agreed by and between the said Parties to the said Indenture, in Manner and Form following ; that is to say, that the said *Anthony Bridges*, Son of the said *Richard Bridges* and *Johanna*, and *Edward Langford*, covenanted and granted for them, their Heirs and Assigns, to and with the aforesaid *George Brown*, his Heirs and assigns, by the same Indenture, that they the said *Anthony Bridges*, Son of the aforesaid *Richard Bridges* and *Johanna* and *Barbara*, together with the aforesaid *Edward Langford* before *Easter* Term then next following, would levy, and acknowledge before the Justices of the said Lady the Q. of the Bench at *Westm.* one Fine or diverse Fines with Proclamations, according to the Course of Fines in the said Court used, to the afores. *George Brown*, of all that Manor of *Kintbury*, with all an singular the Rights, Members and Appurt. in the afores. County of *Berks* ; and of all the Messuages, Lands and Tenem. Rents, Services, Advowsons, Patronages, Liberties, Privileges, Profits and Hereditaments, with all and singular their Appurt. to the said Manor belonging or appertaining, and also of the afores. whole Tenem. within specified, by the Name of Lands, Tenem. and Hereditaments with the Appurt. called or known by the Name of *Walcot*, lying in *Swindon* within written, whereof the afores. Messuage and 26 Acres of Lands then were and yet are parcel : As also of all Messuages, Cottages, Lands, Tenem. Rents, Services and Hereditaments whatsoever to the same belonging, occupied, reputed, demised or taken as Part or Parcel thereof, by the name of 40 Messuages, 20 Tofts, 1 Dove-house, 30 Gardens, 20 Orchards, 1000 Acres of Land, 300 Acres of Meadow, 1000 Acres of Pasture, 1000 Acres of Wood, 500 Acres

Pleadings in Brown's Case. PART. III.

Acres of Furz and Heath, and 40 s. of free Rent; with the Apputenances in *Kintbury, Holt, Hungerford, Walcot, and Swindon*, in the Counties of *Berks* and *Wilts*, or by whatsoever Number of Acres, either sole or together with any other Manors, Lands, Tenements and Hereditaments, and that the afores. Fine, or the afores. Fines, concerning the Premisses in the said Indenture before mentioned, and the Execution of the Fine or Fines should be, and be to Use of the said *George Brown* and his Heirs and Assigns for ever, and to no other Use, Intent or Purpose: And the said Jurors farther say, That in Performance and Accomplishment of a Covenant and Agreement in the said Indenture, between the said *Anthony Bridges* the Son of the said *Richard* and *Johanna, Barbara* and *Edward Langford*, and the said *George Brown* in Form afores. mentioned, afterwards, and before the aforesaid *Easter Term*, that is to say, in the Term of *St. Hillary* in the 32d Year of the Reign of the said L. the now Q. afores. a certain Fine was levied in the Court of the said Lady the Q. at *Westm.* in the County of *Middlesex*, before *Edmund Anderson, Francis Windbam, William Periam, and Thomas Walmesly*, then Justices of the said Lady the Q. and other the Lady the Q.'s faithful People then there present, between the afores. *George Brown* Plaintiff, and the aforesaid *Anibony Bridges* Son of the said *Richard* and *Johanna*, and *Barbara* his Wife, and *Edward Langford*, Gent. Deforceants, of the whole Tenements in the said Indenture specified, whereof the said Messuage and 26 Acres of Land are, and at the Time of the levying of the said Fine were Parcel amongst other, by the Names of the Manors of *Kintbury* and *Fally*, otherwise *Great Fally*, with the Appurtenances, and 60 Messuages, 20 Tofts, 3 Dove-houses, 40 Gardens, 50 Orchards, 4000 Acres of Lands, 300 Acres of Meadow, 4000 Acres of Pasture, 300 Acres of Wood, 1000 Acres of Furz and Heath, and 6 l. 13 s. and 4 d. of Rent, with the Appurtenances, in *Kintbury, Holt, Fally*, otherwise *Great Fally, Hungerford* and *West-Shefford*, otherwise *Great Shefford*: as also of the Rectory of *Great Fally* with the Appurtenances, and Free Warren and Liberty of a Park in *West-Shefford*, otherwise *Great Shefford*; and also the Free Fishings in *Kennet* in the County of *Berks*, and of the Manor of *Baddesden*, with the Appurtenances, and 20 Messuages, 10 Tofts, 12 Gardens, 8 Orchards, 1000 Acres of Land, 100 Acres of Meadow, 1000 Acres of Pasture, 200 Acres of Wood, 500 Acres of Furz and Heath, and 40 s. Rent with the Appurtenances in *Baddesden, Ludgershall, Walcot, and Swindon* in the County of *Wilts*; whereupon a Plea of Covenant was summoned between them in the said Court, that is to say, That the said *Anthony, Barbara* and *Edward*, acknowledge the said Manors, Tenem. Hereditam. Rents, Rectory, Warren, Liberty and

and Fishing, with the Appurtenances, in the said Fine contained, to be the Right of the said *George*, as those which the said *George* had of the Gift of the said *Anthony*, and the same remised and quit-claimed from the said *Anthony*, *Barbara*, and *Edward*, and their Heirs, to the aforesaid *George* and his Heirs for ever. And besides the said *Anthony* and *Barbara* granted for them and the Heirs of the said *Anthony*, that they warrant to the afores. *George*, his Heirs and Assigns, the aforesaid Manors, Tenements, Rents, Rectory, Warren, and Liberties, and Fishing, with the Appurtenances in the same Fine contained, against the said *Edward* and his Heirs for ever; and for this Recognition, Release, Quit-Claim, Warranty, Fine and Concord, the said *George* granted to the afores. *Edward* a certain yearly Rent of 100 Pounds, to be going out of and in the afores. Manors, Tenements, Rents, Rectory, Warren, Liberties and Fishing, with the Appurtenances in the same contained; and the same to him did render in the same Court, to have, and to hold, and perceive the said yearly Rent of 100 *l.* to the said *Edward*, the whole Life of the said *Johanna*, by the Name of the Lady *Johanna Bridges*, Mother of the said *Anthony*, at the Feast of the *Annunciation* of the blessed *Mary* the Virgin, the Nativity of *St. John the Baptist*, *St. Michael the Archangel*, and the Birth of the Lord, by equal Portions yearly to be paid the whole Life of the said *Johanna*; the first Payment whereof to begin at the first of the Feasts afores. which next after the Decease of the said *Anthony* should happen to be: And if it should happen the said yearly Rent of 100 *l.* or any Part thereof to be behind in Part or in all after any of the afores. Feasts, in which (as before is said) it ought to be paid, not paid by the Space of 30 Days; that then and so often the said *George* and his Heirs should forfeit to the said *Edward* 4 *l.* 15 *s.* *Nomine Pænæ*, as often as the said yearly Rent of 100 *l.* or any Parcel thereof so to be behind should happen, and that then and so often it should be well lawful to the said *Edward*, all the Life-time of the said *Johanna*, into the afores. Manors, Tenements, Rents, Rectory, Warren, Liberty, and Fishing, with the Appurtenances in the said Fine contained, and every Part and Parcel thereof, to enter and distrein, and the Distresses so there taken and had, lawfully to lead, carry away, and drive, and the same to keep, until as well of the afores. yearly Rent of 100 *l.* with the Arrearages thereof, if any should be, as of the afores. 4 *l.* 15 *s.* *Nomine Pænæ*, as before is said, he should be fully satisfied and paid. Also the afores. *George* granted to the afores. *Anth.* and *Barbara* the afores. Manors of *Baddesden* and *Fally*, otherwise *Great Fally*, with the Appurt. and 20 Messuages, 10 Tofts, 10 Gardens, 6 Orchards, 1000 Acres of Land, 100 Acres of Meadow, 1000 Acres of Pasture, 100 Acres of Wood, 500 Acres

Pleadings in Brown's Case. PART III.

of Furz and Heath, and 50 s. Rent, with the Appurtenances in *Baddesden, Ludgershall, Fally*, otherwise great *Fally*, and *West Shefford*, and the Rectory of great *Fally*, with the Appurtenances, and free Warren, and Liberty of a Park in *West Shefford*, otherwise *Great Shefford* aforesaid, Parcel of the Manors, Tenements and Rents aforesaid, with the Appurtenances in the said Fine contained; and then did render in the same Court; to have and to hold to the said *Anthony* and *Barbara*, of the chief Lords of the Fee, by the Services, which to those Manors, Tenements, Rents, Rectories, Warren, and Liberty of Park do belong, the whole Life of the said *Anthony* and *Barbara*, and to the longest liver of them, without Impeachment of any Waste, the whole Life of the said *Anthony*: And after the Decease of the said *Anthony* and *Barbara*, the same Manors, Tenements, Rents, Rectories, Warren, and Liberties of Park, with the Appurtenances, wholly to return to the said *George* and his Heirs; to be holden of the Lords of the Fee, by the Services which to those Manors, Tenem. Rents, Rectory, Warren and Liberties of Park belonging, for ever: And the afores. Jurors further say upon their Oath aforesaid, that the said *Johanna* in the said Messuage and 26 Acres of Land, amongst other, with the Appurt. in Form aforesaid being seized, the said *Johanna* afterwards, and before the within written time in which, &c. the 7th Day of *Octob.* in the 32d Year of the Reign of the said Lady the now Queen, at *Swindon* aforesaid, by her certain Indenture of Demise, between the same *Johanna*, by the Name of *Jane Harcourt* of *Ludgershall* in the County of *Wilts*, Widow, otherwise named the Lady *Johanna Bridges* of *Ludgershall* in the County of *Wilts*, Widow, of the one Party, and *Edward Bridges* Esq; *Will. Bridges*, and *Anthony Bridges*, Sons of the said *Edmund*, and their Assignees, of the other Party made; which Indent. is dated the 21st Day of *Aug.* in the 32d Year of the Reign of the said Lady the now Q. afores. as well for and in consideration of the Surrender of one Indenture of Demise before then granted, of all and singular the Premises in the said Indenture, to the aforesaid *Johanna* then after demised, or to be demised of 19 Years and more then to come and not expired, which the aforesaid *Edmund* before that time had and enjoyed, as of a former Indenture of Demise, at or before the Sealing and Delivery of the said Indenture now in Evidence shewed, the aforesaid *Edw. Bridges* had surrendred and delivered into the Hands and Possession of the said *Johanna*, as for divers other good Causes and Considerations the same *Johanna* specially moving, demised, granted and to Farm let to the said *Edw. Bridges*, *Will. Bridges*, and *Anthony Bridges*, Sons of the said *Edmund*, the aforesaid Messuage and 26 Acres of Land with the Appurten. amongst other things;

to have and to hold the aforesaid Messuage, and 26 Acres of Land amongst other to the aforesaid *Edmund, William,* and *Anthony Bridges,* the afores. two Sons of the said *Edmund Bridges,* for the Term of their natural Lives, and for the Term of the Life of the longest Liver of them, and every of them successively to be enjoyed, yielding and paying therefore yearly, during the said Term, to the afores. *Johanna,* under and by the Name of *Jane Harcourt,* her Heirs and Assigns, 4*l.* and 2 *d.* of good and lawful Money of *England,* at two usual Feasts or Terms of the Year, that is to say, at the Feast of the Annunciation of the blessed *Mary* the Virgin, 40 *s.* and 1 *d.* and at the Feast of *St. Michael* the Archangel the like Sum of 40 *s.* and 1 *d.* residue of the aforesaid 4 *l.* and 2 *d.* as by the said Indenture of Demise to the said Jurors in Evidence shewed more fully appear'd, by Virtue of which Demise the said *Edmund Bridges, William Bridges,* and *Anthony Bridges,* Sons of the said *Edmund,* were seised of the aforesaid Messuage and 26 Acres of Land within written, as the Law requireth : And farther the said Jurors say upon their Oath, that the aforesaid Messuage, and 26 Acres within written, and the rest of the Tenements in the said Indenture of Demise, by the said *Johanna* under and by the Name of *Jane Harcourt,* to the said *Edmund, William* and *Anthony,* Sons of the said *Edmund,* in Form aforesaid demised, were not usually demised for the greater Part of 20 Years next before the same Demise (as before is said) made for so little Rent, as by the aforesaid Indenture thereof now in evidence shewed, in Form aforesaid was reserved : And the aforesaid Jurors farther say upon their Oath, that the aforesaid *Johanna* afterwards, and before the Time in which, &c. that is to say, the 29th Day of *September* in the 35th Year of the Reign of the said Lady the now *Q.* at *Ludgershal* aforesaid died : After whose death the said *George Brown* into the Tenements within written, with the Appurtenances in which, &c. upon the Possession of the said *Edmund Bridges, William* and *Anthony Bridges,* Sons of the said *Edmund,* thereof entered, and was thereof seised as the Law requireth : and so being thereof seised, afterwards and before the aforesaid Time in which, &c. that is to say, the within written 22d Day of *October* in the 35th Year aforesaid, demised to the said *James* the said whole Tenements within written, with the Appurtenances in which, &c. to have and to hold to the said *James* and his Assigns, from the within written Feast of *St. Mich.* the Archangel, until the End and Term within mentioned of 4 Years from thence next ensuing and fully to be compleated ; by Virtue of which Demise the said *James* into the said Tenements within written with the Appurt. entred, and was thereof possessed as the Law requireth ; upon whose Possess. of the said *James*

Pleadings in Brown's Case. PART III.

the aforesaid *Thomas Spencer* as Servant of the said *Edm. Bridges*, and by his Commandment, the within written Time in which, &c. into the said Messuage and 26 Acres of Land entered, and the said *James* from his Farm aforesaid thereof did eject: But whether upon the whole Matter aforesaid by the aforesaid Jurors in Form aforesaid found, the entry of the said *Tho. Spencer* into the aforesaid Messuage and 26 Acres of Land, with the Appurtenances upon the Possession of the said *James* thereof, be a good and lawful Entry in Law or not, the said Jurors are altogether ignorant, and pray thereof the Advice and Discretion of the Justices here, &c. And if upon the whole Matter aforesaid it shall seem to the Justices and Court here, that the aforesaid Entry of the aforesaid *Tho. Spencer* upon the Possession of the said *James Linche* be not a good and lawful Entry in Law, then the said Jurors say upon their Oath, that the said *Tho. Spencer* is guilty of the Trespass and Ejectment aforesaid, in the aforesaid Messuage and 26 Acres of Land, as the aforesaid *James* against him within complaineth, and then they assess the Damages of the said *James*, by the Occasion of the said Trespass and Ejectment, above his Charges and Costs by him about his Suit in this Behalf expended to 4 *d.* and for his Charges and Costs to 12 *d.* And if upon the whole Matter aforesaid, it shall seem to the Justices and Court here, that the aforesaid Entry of the said *Tho. Spencer* upon the Possession of the said *James* be a good and lawful Entry in Law, then the said Jurors say upon their Oath, that the said *Tho. Spencer* is not guilty of the Trespass and Ejectment aforesaid in the said Messuage, &c. within alledged: And because the Justices here will advise themselves of and upon the Premises before they give their Judgment thereof, Day is given to the Parties aforesaid here, until in 8 Days of *St. Hill.* to hear their Judgment thereof, because that the said Justices here thereof are not yet, &c. At which Day come as well the aforesaid *James* as the aforesaid *Will.* and *Tho.* by their Attornies aforesaid: And because the Justices here will further advise themselves of and upon the Premises, before they give their Judgment thereof, Day farther is given to the Parties aforesaid here, until from *Easter* in 15 Days to hear their Judgment thereof, because the said Justices here not thereof yet, &c. At which Day here come as well the said *James* by *George Duncombe* his Attorney, as the said *Will.* and *Tho.* by their Attornies aforesaid: And because the Justices here will farther advise of and upon the Premises, before they give their Judgment thereof, further Day is given to the Parties aforesaid here, until the Morrow of *Holy Trinity* to hear their Judgment thereof, because the said Justices here thereof not yet, &c. At which

which Day here come as well the aforesaid *James* by the aforesaid *George Duncombe* his Attorney, as the said *Will.* and *Tbo.* by their Attorney aforesaid: And because the Justices here will further advise of and upon the Premises, before they give their Judgment thereof, further Day is given to the Parties here, until in eight Days of St. *Michael*, to hear their Judgment thereof, because the said Justices here thereof not yet, &c. At which Day here come as well the said *James Linch*, by the afores. *George Duncombe* his Attorney. as the afores. *Will. Spencer* and *Tbo. Spencer* by their Attorney: And upon this the Verdict and Premises afores. being seen, and by the Justices here fully understood, it seemeth to the Justices here, that the aforesaid entry of the aforesaid *Tbo. Spencer* into the aforesaid Messuage and 26 Acres of Land, upon the Possession of the said *James Linch*, is not a good and lawful entry in Law; Therefore it is granted, that the aforesaid *James Linch* recover against the aforesaid *Thomas Spencer* his Term aforesaid yet to come, of and in the aforesaid Messuage, and 26 Acres of Land with the Appurtenances, and his Damages aforesaid, to 16 *d.* by the Jurors aforesaid in Form aforesaid assessed, as also 26 *l.* 9 *s.* to the said *James* at his Request, for his Charges and Costs aforesaid by the Court here of increase adjudged; which Damages in the whole do amount to 26 *l.* 10 *s.* 4 *d.* and the aforesaid *Thomas* be taken, &c. And also the said *James* in mercy for his false clamour against the aforesaid *Will. Spencer*, of the whole Trespass and Ejectment aforesaid, and against the aforesaid *Tbo. Spencer* of the Residue of the Trespass and Ejectment aforesaid, thereof the said *Will.* and *Tbo.* and the Jurors aforesaid above be acquitted; therefore the said *Will.* and *Tbo.* go thereof without Day, &c. And hereupon the said *James* prayeth the Writ of the Lady the Queen, to the Sheriff of the County aforesaid to be directed, to give him Possession of his Term yet to come of and in the aforesaid Messuage and 26 Acres of Land with the Appurtenances, and it is granted unto him returnable here from the Day of St. *Martin* in 15 Days, &c. Afterwards, that is to say, the 26th Day of *Novemb.* in the 40th Year of the Reign of the said Lady the now Queen, come here into Court the aforesaid *James*, by the aforesaid *George* his Attorney, and by a special Warrant to him in that behalf made, confessed himself to be satisfied of the Damages aforesaid; therefore the aforesaid *Thomas* of the said Damages be acquitted, &c.

See the Case of
Symonds and
Cudmore in
Skinner, &c.
and in Carth.
258, &c. and
Post. 77, &c. 84.

Sir GEORGE BROWN'S Case.

Hill. 36 Eliz. in B. R. Rot. 445.

(a) Cr. Eliz.
513, 9 Co. 140.
b. 1 Roll's 878.
Hob. 253, Moor
455, 2 And. 44
Cro. Car. 473,
479, 525.
Winch 43, 44

IN an *Ejectione firmæ* by (a) *Thomas Lynch*, on a Demise made by *Sir George Brown*, against *William Spencer*, on Not guilty pleaded, the Jurors gave a special Verdict to this Effect: *Sir Richard Bridges*, seised of certain Lands in Fee, did thereof enfeoff *Winscombe* and others, on Condition that they should give back the same to him and his Wife, and to the Heirs of their two Bodies begotten; the Remainder to the right Heirs of *Sir Richard*; which was done accordingly; *Sir Richard* had Issue on the Body of his Wife

(b) 9 Co. 141. b.
142. a.

Anthony Bridges, and died. *Anthony Bridges*, in the (b) Life of his Mother, levied a Fine (which in Truth was

(c) Co. Lit. 326
b. 305. b. Hob.
262. Cr. Jac.
689, 474, 475,
175. Cr. El.
514. 2 And. 44,
45. Hard. 91.
Winch 43.
Lane 101.
Bridg. 28.
3 Keb. 133, 333

with (c) Proclamations, altho' the Proclamations were not found) to *Sir George Brown* in Fee; the Wife, living the said *Anthony*, made a Lease of the said Land for three Lives (which Lease was not warranted by the Statute of 52 H. 8. cap. 28.) whereupon *Sir George Brown* entred, and made the Lease to the Plaintiff: And whether the Entry of *Sir George Brown* were lawful or not, was the Question; and after many Arguments at the Bar and Bench, Judgment was given for the Plaintiff; And in this Case three Points were resolved.

1. That the Lease made by the Wife for three Lives, altho' the Lease were without Warranty, was within the Statute of 11 H. 7. cap. 20. the Letter of which Act is, *If any Woman, &c. have or shall hereafter, being sole or with any other after taken Husband, discontinued or discontinued, aliened, released or confirmed, alien, release or confirm with Warranty, &c.* For these Words, *with Warranty*, (d) refer to Releases and Confirmations, which make no Discontinuance without Warranty; for the Intent of the Act was to prohibit not only every Bar, but every Manner

(d) Cr. Eliz.
514. Bridg. 29.

Manner of Discontinuance also, which puts the Heir to his real Action, by which sometimes the Heir was disinherited, and always greatly delay'd; and for as much as a Release or Confirmation makes no Discontinuance without Warranty, for this Cause the Warranty shall be referred to them, to make them equivalent to such Estate which passeth by Livery, which of it self, without Warranty, is a Discontinuance. Note; the Title of the Act is, *Discontinuance of Right or Estate*; and afterwards in the Act it is said, *Lands, Tenements or Hereditaments being discontinued, aliened or suffered to be recovered*. And afterwards, *as if no such Discontinuance, Warranty nor Recovery had been had*; by which it appears that Discontinuance stood in equal Degree with Warranty or Recovery. *Vide Dyer, Pasch. 4. Mar. 148. Beaumont and Viller's Case, and Plow. 50. b.*

2. It was resolved, if *Anthony* had granted over his Remainder in Fee only, he might have entred for this Forfeiture by the exprefs Purview of the Act, the Effect of which is; "That it shall be lawful for every Person and Persons, to whom the Interest, Title or Inheritance, after the Decease of the said Wom. of the said Manor, &c. being discontinued, aliened or suffered to be recovered in the Form aforesaid, should appertain, to enter into all and every the Premises, and peaceably to possess and enjoy the same in such Manner and Form, as he or they should have done, if no such Discontinuance, Warranty nor Recovery had been had nor made": And if no Discontinuance had been made, the Land should descend to the Issue. And therefore by the exprefs Letter of the Act, he should enter on the Discontinuee, and not the Grantee of the Remainder.

3. It was resolved, That in this Case *Sir George Brown* (a) should enter on the Discontinuee, for if no Discontinuance had been made, he should enjoy the Land against the said *Anthony*, and all the Heirs of his Body; for when the (b) Issue in Tail levies a Fine with Proclamations, in the Life of the Tenant in Tail, to another; and afterwards Tenant in Tail dies, this Fine shall bar the Tail. For the Words of the Statute of (c) 32 H. 8. cap. 36. are, *in any wise intailed to the Person or Persons so levying the same Fine, or to any of the Ancestor or Ancestors of the same Person or Persons*. But it was objected, that the Fine in the Life of the Wife doth operate in Part by (d) Conclusion; for after that the Wife doth remain Tenant in Tail, and in Part, by Conveyance of an Estate as to the Remainder in Fee; and he, who hath nothing but by Conclusion or Estoppel, shall not take Benefit of this Act, because the Words are, *To whom the Interest, Title, or Inheritance, after the Decease of the said Woman should appertain*: And in this Case, as to the Estate-Tail, the Wife being alive, the Conusee had nothing but by Conclusion, and Right or Title of Entry

in this Case, could not be given to a Stranger. But it was resolved, That Sir *George Brown* should in this Case take (a) Advantage thereof; for he, who hath the immediate Title, Interest or Inheritance at the Time of the Forfeiture, shall enter by Force of this Statute: And now by the Fine with Proclamations, the Estate-tail was barred and (b) extinct, and against that *Anthony*, nor any Issue heritable by Force of the Estate-tail, can enter; and by Consequence he, who hath the Remainder in Fee, shall enter, for he is the Person to whom the Interest, Title and Inheritance, after the Decease of the said Woman, do appertain. And now, on the Matter, the Case is no other, but that a Woman Tenant in Tail within the Statute of (c) 11 H. 7. the Reversion or Remainder in Fee, the Woman makes a Discontinuance, he in the Reversion or Remainder shall enter for this Forfeiture; for he is the Person to whom the Interest, Title and Inheritance, after the Decease of the said Woman, do appertain. The same Law in the Case at Bar, altho' the Fine were without Proclamations; yet after the Death of the Woman, *Anthony* himself, against his Fine, cannot enter; but the Entry of the Conusee is lawful, and therefore he shall take the Benefit of this Act, by the express Words thereof.

And it was said by *Anderson*, Chief Justice of the Common Pleas, That where it was invented to make Evasion out of this Act, that such Woman Tenant in Tail should accept a Fine *Sur consensu de droit come ceo, &c.* and thereby grant and render the Land for (d) 1000 Years, pretending that that was not within the Words of the Act, *scil.* which prohibits Discontinuance, Alienation, Release, &c. That that was an Alienation within the Intent of this Act, or otherwise the Statute would serve for little or nothing. And so was it, on Conference with other Judges, resolved by *Wray* Chief Justice, and himself in the Court of Wards, and declared accordingly. And so it was held in the Common Pleas 18 *Eliz.* by Sir *James Dyer*, *Manwood* and *Mounson* Justices, as I my self heard. *Vide Dyer Trin. 3 & 4 Phil. & Mar. (e) 148. Penicock's Case.*

[See the Case of *Symonds and Cudmore in Carthew, Skinner, &c.* also *Fermor's Case*, and the Case of *Fines post.*]

(a) 2 Bulst. 45.
1 Jones 33.
Cr. Jac. 175.

(b) 2 Bulst. 45.
1 Jones 33.
Cr. Jac. 175.
(c) 11 H. 7.
cap. 20.
10 Co. 37. a.
Winch 43.
1 Leon. 261.
2 Leon. 168.
3 Leon. 78.
Cr. El. 2. 24.
513. 514.
Godb. 6.
Moor 93, 250.
455. 4 Co. 3. b.
5 Co. 80. a.
2 And. 31, 44.
57. 1 Rol. 878.
Cr. Jac. 174.
474, 624.
Cr. Car. 244.
1 Jones 13, 254.
Co. Lit. 326. b.
365. b.
Hob. 166, 341.
Bridgm. 136.

(d) 2 Leon. 168.
Godb. 6.
3 Leon. 78.
2 And. 150.
2 Rol. Rep. 491.
3 Keb. 496.
Cr. Jac. 689.
2 And. 57.
Cr. El. 514.
Jenk. Centr. 276.
Cr. Car. 234.
1 Jones 60.
(e) *Dyer* 148.
pl. 79. Co. Lit.
252. a.
1 Rol. 852.

RIGEWAY'S Case.

Pasch. 36 Eliz.

In the King's Bench.

IN Debt by *William Grils*, against *Thomas Rigeway*, late Sheriff of *Dev.* for 309 *l.* 6 *s.* 8 *d.* which he had recovered in the same Court, in *Trespas*, for taking of his Goods, against *Thomas Chawner*, alias *Chaundeler*, and that the Body of *Chawner* was taken in Execution 20 *April* 33 *Eliz.* by the Defendant, then Sheriff at *Stoke Cannon* in the said County; and afterwards the Defendant, 10 *Decem.* 34 *Eliz.* then Sheriff of the same County, suffered him to escape in (a) *Parochia S. Mariæ de Arcubus in Warda de Cheape, London, & ad largum quo voluit ire permisit, &c.* The Defendant pleaded and confessed, That *Chawner* was taken in Execution the said 20th of *April* 33 *Eliz.* and so continued in his Custody till the 8th Day of *December* following; at which Day, at *Stoke Cannon* aforesaid, he broke the Prison, & a custodia ipsius *Th. Rigeway contra voluntatem ipsius Th. evasit, super quo præd' Thomas ad-tunc & ibidem recenter infecutus est præd' Johannem, & in recenti infecutione ipsius Johannis in forma præd', præd' Thomas Rigeway 11 Die Decemb. tunc proxime sequent' apud (b) Stoke Cannon præd', racione & virtute executionis præd' & prioris captionis & executionis prædict', cepit & arrestavit præd' Johannem, &c.* The Plaintiff by way of (c) Replication, by Protestation that the Defendant did not make fresh Suit, for Plea said, *Quod post evasionem præd' & antequam præd' Johannes Chawner recaptus fuit, idem Johannes per totum unum diem & unam noctem, viz. apud London in parochia & Warda præd' fuit extra visum ipsius Thomæ, &c.* And thereupon the Defendant did demur in Law.

1. In this Case it was unanimously agreed by the whole Court, That although the Prisoner who escaped be out of Sight, yet if fresh Suit be made, and he be retaken in *recenti infecutione*, he should be in Execution; for otherwise, at the Turn of a Corner, or by Entry into a House, or by such

Moor 666.
Cr. El. 318, 439.
Poph. 41.
Gould. 180.

(a) Doct. pl. 55.

(b) Doct. pl. 55.

(c) Poph. 41.

Cr. El. 439.
Moor 660.
1 Rol. 901.
Kelw. 2. b.
1 Jones 145.
Latch 200.
Pop. 41.

Means the Prisoner might be out of Sight; and altho' the Prisoner flieth into other Counties where the Sheriff hath no Power, and where it may be objected, the Sheriff cannot have the Custody of him; yet forasmuch as the Escape was of his (a) own Wrong, (whereof he shall not take Advantage) the Sheriff might on fresh Suit take him in any other County, and he should be said in Execution. But if the Plaintiff bring an Action against the Sheriff for an Escape (b) before that the Sheriff can retake him; or if the Sheriff doth not make fresh Suit; yet in both these Cases the Sheriff may retake (c) him, and keep his Body under his Custody, till he hath agreed with him, or may have an Action on the Case for his tortious Escape. And altho' the Defendant be taken on a *Capias ad satisfaciendum*, and escapes, yet if the Writ be never returned and filed, the Plaintiff may have a new *Capias ad satisfaciendum* against him, and take him again, and he shall not take Advantage of his own Wrong; but if the Plaintiff will, he may charge the Sheriff for the Escape, if he hath not retaken him on fresh Suit before the Action brought: And when the Prisoner escapes of his own Wrong, and is retaken, he shall never have an (d) *Audita Querela* against the Sheriff. But otherwise it is, when he escapes with the Consent of the Gaoler, for then he cannot retake him; and in such Case for his Discharge he shall have an *Audita Querela*. And on these Differences are all the Books, *scil.* 8 E. 2. *Corone* 40. 6 E. 2. *Escape* Br. 49. 41 *Aff.* 15. 45 E. 3. 9. 2 E. 4. 6. 10 E. 4. 10. 11 E. 4. 4. 13 E. 4. 8. 21 E. 4. 67. 6 H. 7. 11. 10 H. 7. 25. 28. 13 H. 7. 2. 14 H. 7. 1. 16 H. 7. 2. 12 H. 8. 90. *Br. Escape* 45. *Plow. Com.* 56. *Plat's Case.* F. N. B. 130. b. 10 *Eliz. Dyer* 275.

2. It was resolved, That the Bar was (e) insufficient, for the Plaintiff hath declared of an Escape in *London*, and the Defendant justifieth the retaking of him at *Stoke Cannon*, and so the Escape at *London* is not answered; but forasmuch as the Plaintiff not denying the fresh Suit, but by Protestation hath only relied upon that Matter, that the Prisoner was out of his Sight, the Court will not intend other Matter to maintain his Action, than he himself hath shewed; and now on (f) the whole Record it doth not appear to the Court, that the Plaintiff hath Cause of Action; wherefore the Plaintiff perceiving the Opinion of the Court, did discontinue his Suit: But it was agreed, that if the Plaintiff had demurred upon the Bar, he should have had Judgment.

3. It was resolved, That after Demurrer there should be no Repleading; for the Parties have by their mutual Assent put themselves upon the Judgment of the Court, and therefore without their Assent they could not replead. And so was it adjudged in Debt between (g) *Kendal* and (h) *Heyer* in the King's Bench, *Mich.* 25 & 26 *El.* by *Wray* Chief Justice, Sir *Thomas Gawdy*, and the whole Court of the King's Bench. And in the same Court in Debt between *Gallis* and *Burbry*, *Mich.* 29 & 30 *El.* against the Opinion of 9 H. 6. 35. in an Avowry, which Record had been seen, and did not warrant the Report of the Book.

(a) Cr. El. 555.
 Godb. 126. Cr.
 Car. 249, 235.
 1 Ro. 901.
 Hob. 60.
 Cr. El. 53,
 439. Kelw. 3. a.
 Antea 44. b.
 (b) 1 Jones 145.
 Cr. Jac. 657,
 658. Cr. El. 439.
 (c) Cr. El. 53,
 124, 264.
 Godb. 126.
 Hard. 31.
 F. N. B. 130. b.
 (d) Cr. El. 44,
 439. 555.
 Moor. 660.
 Cr. Car. 240.
 Style 117.
 Cr. El. 102.
 Antea 44. b.
 1 Rol. 307.
 1 Lev. 211.
 1 Show. 177.
 Lutw. 1266.
 1 Mod. 194.
 Comb. 396.
 (e) Poph. 42.
 Doct. pla. 55.
 (f) 1 Sand. 285.
 8 Co. 120. b.
 133. b. 163. a.
 Hob. 199.
 Cr. Car. 5.
 Cr. Jac. 133,
 221, 312.
 2 Bult. 94.
 Style 354.
 Palm. 287.
 Lit. R. 172.
 (g) Cr. El. 318.
 Cr. Jac. 127.
 Moor 461.
 Poph. 42.
 1 Ro. R. 271.
 2 Bult. 37.
 9 H. 6. 35. b.
 Fitz. Replead-
 er 8. Br. Re-
 pleader 39.
 Moor 867.
 Doct. pl. 311.
 1 And. 168.
 1 Ro. Rep. 363.
 Lit. R. 252.
 (h) 8 Co. 120. b.
 Cr. El. 62.
 8 Co. 120. b.
 1 Leon. 342.
 35 H. 6. 19. a.
 con, see 1 Leo.
 79.

LINCOLN COLLEGE'S Case.

*Michaelmas Term, 37 & 38 Eliz. in
C. B. Rot. 82.*

Robert Chamberlain Esq; by Apollo Plaine his Attorney, Formedon. demandeth against the Warden or Rector and Scholars of the Blessed *Mary* and *All Saints Lincoln* in the University of *Oxford* the Manors of *Pettesbo* and *Eckney*, with the Appurtenances, except 120 Acres of Pasture in *Pettesbo* aforesaid, and 30 Acres of Pasture in *Eckney* aforesaid, which *Alvered Cornburgh*, Esq; *Richard Danvers*, Esq; *Nicholas Statbum* and *William Callow* gave to *Richard Chamberlain*, Esq; and *Sibil Fowler*, and the Heirs Males of the Body of the said *Richard Chamberlain* begotten; and which after the Death of the said *Richard* and *Sibil*, and of *Edward* Son and Heir of the said *Edward Chamberlain*, and of *Leonard* Son and Heir of the last said *Edward*, and of *Francis* Son and Heir of the said *Leonard*, to the aforesaid *Robert* Son and Heir of the said *Francis*, ought to descend by the Form of the Gift aforesaid, &c. Whereupon he saith, That the aforesaid *Alvered Cornburgh*, *Richard Danvers*, *Nicholas* and *William* gave the Manors aforesaid, with the Appurtenances, to the aforesaid *Richard Chamberlain* and *Sibil*, and to the Heirs Males of the Body of the said *Richard Chamberlain* begotten, in the Form aforesaid, &c. By which Gift the said *Richard* and *Sibil* were seised of the said Manors, with the Appurtenances, that is to say, the said *Richard* in his Demesne as of Fee and Right, and the said *Sibil* in her Demesne as of Freehold, by the Form, &c. In the Time of Peace in the Time of the Lord *Edward* late King of *England* (the 4th of that Name after the Conquest) taking thereof the Profits to the yearly Value, &c. And from him the said *Rich.* the Right descended by the Form, &c. to one *Edw.* as Son and Heir, &c. And from him the said *Edw.* the Right descended
by

Plead. in the C. of Lincoln College. PART III.

*Ex Ante cleri
hac.*

by the Form, &c. to one *Leonard*, as Son and Heir, &c. And from him the said *Leonard* the Right descended by the Form, &c. to one *Francis*, as Son and Heir, &c. And from the said *Francis*, Son of the said *Leonard*, the Right descended by the Form, &c. to this *Robert*, who now demandeth as Son and Heir, &c. And which after the Death, &c. And thereof bringeth Suit, &c. And the aforesaid Warden, or Rector, and Scholars, by *William Plaine* their Attorney come and defend their Right when, &c. and say, that the aforesaid *Robert Chamberlain*, his Action aforesaid against them ought not to have; by Protestation taking it, that the aforesaid *Alvered Cornburgh*, *Richard Danvers*, *Nicholas Stathum*, and *William Callow*, did not give the Manors aforesaid, with the Appurtenances, to the aforesaid *Richard Chamberlain*, and *Sibil Fowler*, in Manner and Form as in the Declaration aforesaid is alledged; for Plea they say, That long after the Time in which the Gift aforesaid is supposed to be made, *Richard Lyster*, Gent. *Martin Linsley*, *John Cottesford*, *John Clayton*, *William Hogeson*, and *Robert Taylor*, Clerks, were seised of the Manors aforesaid, with the Appurtenances, in their Demesne as of Fee; and so being thereof seised, the aforesaid *Sibill*, Great Grandmother of the said *Robert Chamberlain*, whose Heir the same *Robert* is, the 5th Day of *May* in the 11th Year of the Reign of the Lord *Henry* late King of *Engl.* the 8th, at *Pettesho* aforesaid, by her certain Writing of Release, which the said Warden, or Rector, and Scholars, with the Seal of the aforesaid *Sibil* sealed, here bring into Court, whose Date is the same Day and Year, remised, released, and altogether for her and her Heirs for ever quit-claimed to the aforesaid *Richard Lyster*, *Martin Linsley*, *John Cottesford*, *John Clayton*, *William Hogeson*, and *Robert Taylor*, then of the Manors aforesaid, with the Appurtenances, in Form aforesaid being seised, in their full and peaceable Possession then being, their Heirs and Assigns for ever, all her Right, Claim, Title, Estate, Use, Interest, and Demand, which ever she had, now hath, or at any Time after might have, of and in the Manors aforesaid, with the Appurtenances: And further, the said *Sibill*, by her aforesaid Writing, granted for her and her Heirs, that she the said *Sibil*, and her Heirs, the Manors aforesaid, with the Appurtenances, to the said *Richard Lyster*, *Martin Linsley*, *John Cottesford*, *John Clayton*, *William Hogeson*, and *Robert Taylor*, their Heirs and Assigns, against the then Abbot of *Westminster*; and his Successors would warrant, and for ever defend, as by the said Writing of Release more fully appeareth: And this the said Warden, or Rector, and Scholars are ready to aver: Whereupon they demand Judgment, if the aforesaid *Robert Chamberlain*, against the aforesaid Writing of Release, the said

Warranty

Warranty of the said *Sibil* his Ancestor, whose Heir the said *Robert* is, in it contained, his Action aforesaid against them ought to have, &c. And the aforesaid *Rob. Chamberlain* saith, that he for any Thing before alledged, to have his Action aforesaid ought not to be barred, because he saith, That long before the aforesaid Gift made, and before the aforesaid *Alvered, Richard Danvers, Nicholas Statbum,* and *William Callow*, had any Thing in the Manors aforesaid, with the Appurtenances, the aforesaid *Richard Chamberlain*, was seized of the aforesaid Manors with the Appurtenances, in his Demesne as of Fee, and the said *Richard* so thereof being seized before the Gift aforesaid, that is to say the 12th Day of *June* in the 11th Year of the Lord *Edward*, late King of *England*, the 4th after the Conquest, the aforesaid *Richard Danvers, Alvered Corneburgh, Nicholas Statbum,* and *William Callow*, out of the Court of the *Chancery* of the said late King *Edward* the 4th, at *Westminster* in the County of *Middlesex* then being, brought and prosecuted a certain Writ of the said late King *Edward* the 4th of Right, against the said *Richard Chamberlain*, then being Tenant of the Freehold, of the Manors aforesaid with the Appurtenances, amongst other Things, to the then Sheriff of the County of *Buckingham* directed: By which Writ, the said late King then and there commanded the said Sheriff, that he should command the said *Richard Chamberlain*, by the Name of *Richard Chamberlain*, Esq; that justly, and without Delay, he render to the said *Richard Danvers, Alvered, Nicholas,* and *William* by the Names of *Richard Danvers, Alvered Corneburgh*, Esq; *Nicholas Statbum,* and *William Callow*, the Manors aforesaid with the Appurtenances, (amongst other) by the Names of the Manors of *Pettesbo* and *Eckney*, with the Appurtenances, and 6 Messuages, 200 Acres of Land, 20 Acres of Meadow, 200 Acres of Pasture, and 100 s. Rent, with the Appurtenances in *Pettesbo, Eckney* and *Emberton*, which he claimed to be his Right and Inheritance: And whereupon they complained that the said *Richard Chamberlain* them unjustly deforced, and unless he do, and if the aforesaid *Richard Danvers, Alvered, Nicholas* and *William Callow*, should secure him the said Sheriff to prosecute their Claim, that then he summon by good Summoners the aforesaid *Richard Chamberlain*, that he be before the then Justices of the said late King *Edward* the 4th here, that is to say, at *Westminster* aforesaid, from the 15th Day of *St. John the Baptist* then next following, to shew wherefore he should not do, and that he have then here the Summoners and that Writ; because *Thomas Rokes*, Esq; Chief Lord of the same Fee, remised thereof his Court to the said late King *Edward* the 4th. At which 15 Days of *John* the

Plead. in the C. of Lincoln College. PART III.

the *Baptist*, before *Thomas Brian*, Knt. and his Companions, then Justices of the said late King *Edward* the 4th of the Bench here, that is to say at *Westminestr* aforesaid, came as well the aforesaid *Richard Danvers*, *Alvered*, *Nicholas Stathum*, and *William Callow*, by *Thomas Gurney* their Attorney, as the aforesaid *Richard Chamberlain*, by *John Widestale* then his Attorney, and then the Sheriff of the aforesaid County of *Buckingham*, that is to say, *Reginald Gray*, Esq; then there returned the Writ aforesaid, to him in Form aforesaid directed, in all Things served and executed, and sent, (*i. e.* Returned) that the aforesaid *Richard Danvers*, *Alvered*, *Nicholas*, and *William Callow*, found to the said then Sheriff Sureties to prosecute his Writ aforesaid, that is to say, *Richard Doe* and *John Roe*; and that the said *Richard Chamberlain* was summoned by *James Tye* and *John Baker*, good Summoners, &c. And hereupon the said *Richard Danvers*, *Alvered*, *Nicholas Stathum*, and *William Callow*, by the said *Thomas Gurney* their Attorney, in the said Court of the aforesaid late King *Edward* the 4th of the Bench here, that is to say, at *Westminster* aforesaid at the 15 Days aforesaid of *St. John Baptist*, declared against the said *Richard Chamberlain*, of and upon their Writ aforesaid, and upon their Writ aforesaid, by the same *Thomas Gurney*, demanded against the aforesaid *Richard Chamberlain*, the Manors, Tenements, and Rents aforesaid, with the Appurtenances, in the said Writ of Right specified, as their Right and Inheritance, by the aforesaid Writ of the said late King *Edward* the 4th; because the aforesaid *Thomas Rokes*, Chief Lord of the same Fee, released thereof his Court to the said late King: And whereupon then they said, that they themselves were seized of the Manors, Tenements, and Rents aforesaid, with the Appurtenances, in the said Writ of Right specified, in their Demesn as of Fee and Right, in the Time of Peace, in the Time of the said late King *Edward* the 4th, taking the Profits thereof to the Value, &c. and that such is their Right then, they did offer, &c. And the afores. *Rich. Chamberlain*, by the aforesaid *John Widestale* his Attorney, came and defended the Right of the said *Richard Danvers*, *Alvered*, *Nicholas*, and *William*, when, &c. And their Seisin, of which Seisin, &c. as of Fee and Right, &c. And all, &c. And chiefly of the Manors, Tenements, and Rents aforesaid, with the Appurtenances, in the said Writ of Right specified, and then vouched thereof to warranty *Robert King*, who was present in the same Court in his proper Person, and willingly, the Manors, and Tenements, and Rents aforesaid, with the Appurtenances, in the said Writ of Right specified, to them then did warrant, &c. Whereupon the aforesaid *Richard Danvers*, *Alvered*, *Nicholas*, and

William

William then demanded against the aforesaid *Robert*, Tenant by his Warranty, the Manors, Tenements, and Hereditaments aforesaid, in the said Writ of Right specified, in Form aforesaid, &c. And whereupon then they said, that they themselves were seized of the Manors, Tenements, and Rents aforesaid, with the Appurtenances in their Demesne as of Fee and Right, in the Time of Peace, in the Time of the said late King *Edw.* the 4th, taking thereof the profits to the Value, &c. And that such was his Right, he then offered, &c. And the aforesaid *Robert*, Tenant by his Warranty aforesaid, defended the Right of the said *Richard Danvers*, *Alvered*, *Nicholas*, and *William*, when, &c. and their Seisin, of which Seisin, &c. as of Fee and Right; and all, &c. and chiefly of the Manors, Tenements, and Rents aforesaid with the Appurtenances, in the said Writ of Right specified; and then put himself on the grand Assize of the said late King *Edward* the 4th, and then demanded Recognition to be made, whether he more Right then had to hold the Manors, Tenements, and Rents aforesaid, with the Appurtenances, to him and his Heirs, as Tenant thereof by his Warranty as he then held, or the aforesaid *Richard Danvers*, *Alvered*, *Nicholas*, and *William*, to have the Manors, Tenements, and Rents aforesaid, with the Appurtenances, in the Writ of Right specified, as they above them demanded, &c. And the aforesaid *Richard Danvers*, *Alvered*, *Nicholas*, and *William*, then returned back in the same Court, the very same Term of *Holy Trinity* in the 11th Year of the Reign of the said late King *Edward*, the 4th after the Conquest, by their then Attorney aforesaid and the aforesaid *Robert*, being then solemnly called, did not come back, but departed in despite of the Court, and made Default; wherefore it was then granted by the same Court, that the aforesaid *Richard Danvers*, *Alvered*, *Nicholas*, and *William*, should recover their Seisin, against the aforesaid *Richard Chamberlain*, of the Manors, Tenements, and Rents aforesaid, with the Appurtenances, in the said Writ of Right specified; to hold to them and their Heirs quietly from the aforesaid *Richard Chamberlain* and his Heirs; And that the aforesaid *Richard Chamberlain*, then should have of the Lands of the said *Robert* to the Value, &c. And that the said *Robert* should then be in Mercy, &c. as by the Record and Process thereof here in Court remaining, it manifestly appeareth. Which Recovery in Form aforesaid had, was had to the Use and Intent, that the aforesaid *Alvered*, *Richard Danvers*, *Nicholas Statbum*, and *William Callow*, should give the Manors aforesaid, with the Appurtenances, to the aforesaid *Richard Chamberlain*, and *Sibil*, and the Heirs Males of the Body of the said *Richard Chamberlain* issuing: By Colour of which Recovery,

Plead. in the C. of Lincoln College. PART III.

covery, the aforesaid *Alvered, Richard Danvers, Nicholas,* and *William Callow*, into the Manors and Tenements aforesaid with the Appurtenances entred, and were thereof seized in their Demesn as of Fee, to the Use and Intent aforesaid: And so thereof to the Use and Intent aforesaid being seized, the said *Alvered, Richard Danvers, William Statbom,* and *William Callow*, give the aforesaid Manors with the Appurtenances, to the aforesaid *Richard Chamberlain* and *Sibil* by the Names of *Richard Chamberlain, Esq;* and *Sibil Fowler*, and the Heirs Male of the Body of the said *Richard Chamberlain* issuing, as the said *Robert Chamberlain* by his Writ and Declaration aforesaid above supposeth: By which Gift the aforesaid *Richard Chamberlain* and *Sibil* were seized of the Manors aforesaid, with the Appurtenances, that is to say, the said *Richard Chamberlain*, in his Demesn as of Fee-tail, that is to say, to him and the Heirs Males of his Body issuing, and the aforesaid *Sibil*, in her Demesn as of Freehold, for the Term of her Life, by the Form of the Gift aforesaid: And afterwards the said *Richard Chamberlain*, at *Pettesbo* aforesaid, took to Wife the aforesaid *Sibil*, Great Grandmother of the aforesaid *Robert Chamberlain*, and had Issue Male of his Body issuing, the aforesaid *Edward Chamberlain*, and afterwards the said *Richard Chamberlain* at *Pettesbo* aforesaid died, and the aforesaid *Sibil* him over-lived, and held herself in the Manors aforesaid, with the Appurtenances, and was thereof sole seized in her Demesn as of Freehold, for the Term of her Life by Right of Survivor, &c. by the Form of the Gift aforesaid; and afterwards the said *Sibil* by her aforesaid Writing of Release remised, and released to the aforesaid *Richard Lyster, Martin Linsey, John Cottessford, John Clayton,* and *William Hogeson,* and *Robert Taylor*, all her Right, Claim, Title, Estate, Use, Interest, and Demand, at and in the Manors aforesaid, with the Appurtenances, in Manner and Form, as in the aforesaid Bar above is specified; and that afterwards the said *Sibil* at *Pettesbo* aforesaid died: And from the aforesaid *Richard* the Right descended by the Form, &c. to the aforesaid *Edward*, as Son and Heir, &c. And from the said *Ed.* the Right descended by the Form, &c. to the aforesaid *Leonard*, as Son and Heir, &c. And from the aforesaid *Leonard* the Right descended by the Form, &c. to the aforesaid *Francis*, as Son and Heir, &c. And from the said *Francis* the Right descended by the Form, &c. to the said *Robert*, who now demandeth as Son and Heir, &c. as he by his Writ and Declaration aforesaid supposeth: And this he is ready to aver: Wherefore, soasmuch as by Force of a certain Act of Parliam. made in the Parliam. of the late K. *Henry* the 7th, at *Westm.* aforesaid.

in the County of *Middlesex* afores. holden in the 11th Year of his Reign, the afores. Warranty of the aforesaid *Sibil*, in Form afores. made is altogether void, he demandeth Judgm. and his Seisin of the Manors afores. with the Appurtenances, to be to him adjudged: And the aforesaid Warden, or Rector, and Scholars say, that by the afores. Act made in the afores. Parliament of the late K. *Henry* the 7th, at *Westm.* afores. holden in the 11th Year of his Reign abc. f. it is provided, That the Act afores. should not extend to any such Recovery or Discontinuance in which the Heirs next inheritable to such Woman, or where he or they who next after the Death of the said Woman, had or should have the Estate of Inheritance in the said Manors, Lands and Tenements, should be assenting or agreeing to the afores. Recoveries, where the same Assent or Agreem. are of Record or inrolled, as by the said Act amongst other things it appeareth: And the said Warden, or Rector, and Scholars further say, That before the making of the said Writing of Release of the aforesaid *Sibil*, and after the Death of the aforesaid *Richard Chamberlain*, *Nicholas Ewan* Clerk, and *Thomas Hartop* Clerk, the 2d Day of *June* in the 4th Year of the Reign of the said late King *Henry* 8th, after the Conquest, out of the Court of the *Chancery* of the said late King then being at *Westminster* aforesaid, sued forth an Original Writ of the said late King of Entry upon Disseisin in the *Post*, against the aforesaid *Edward Chamberlain*, of the Manors afores. with the Appurtenances, to the then Sheriffs of the County of *Buckingham* directed, the said *Edward* then being Tenant of the Freehold of the said Manors with the Appurtenances; by which Writ the said late K. commanded the said Sheriff, that the said Sheriff should command the said *Edward Chamberlain*, by the Name of *Edward Chamberlain* Esq; that justly and without Delay he render to the aforesaid *Nicholas Ewan* and *Thomas Hartop* Clerks the Manors afores. with the Appurtenances amongst other, by the Names of the Manors of *Pettesbo* and *Eckney* with the Appurtenances, and of 6 Messuages, 200 Acres of Land, 20 Acres of Meadow, 200 Acres of Pasture, and 100 Shillings of Rent, with the Appurtenances in *Pettesbo*, *Eckney* and *Emberton*, which the said *Nicholas* and *Thomas* then claimed to be their Right and Inheritance, and into which the said *Edward Chamberlain* had no entry; but after the Disseisin which *Hugh Hunt* thereof unjustly, and without Judgment did to the aforesaid *Nicholas Ewan* and *Thomas Hartop*, after the first Passage of the Lord King *Henry*, Son of King *John*, into *Gascoign*, as they said, and whereupon they complained, That the said *Edward Chamberlain* did them disseise; and if the aforesaid *Nicholas*,
and

Plead. in the C. of Lincoln College. PART III.

and *Thomas Hartop* secure him the said Sheriff to prosecute their Claim; then he summon by good Summoners the aforesaid *Edward Chamberlain*, that he should be before the Justices of the said late *K. Henry* the 8th here, that is to say, at *Westminster* aforesaid, in the Morrow of *St. John* the Baptist then next following, to shew why he did not do it; and that he have then here the Summons and that Writ. At which Morrow of *St. John* the Baptist, before *Robert Read* Knt. and his Companions, then Justices of the said late *K. Henry* the 8th of the Bench here, that is to say, at *Westminster* aforesaid, came as well the aforesaid *Nicholas Evan* and *Thomas Hartop*, by *John Cowper* then their Attorney, as the afores. *Edw. Chamberlain*, by *Thomas Palmer* then his Attorney. And the Sheriff, that is to say, *Ralph Verney* Esq; then return'd here the Writ aforesaid, in all things served and executed, that is to say, that the afores. *Nicholas* and *Thomas* found to the then Sheriff sureties to prosecute his Suit aforesaid, that is to say, *John Doe* and *Richard Roe*; and that the afores. *Edward Chamberlain* was summoned by *John Den* and *Richard Fen*: And upon this the said *Nicholas Evan* and *Thomas Hartop*, by declaring against the said *Edw. Chamberlain*, upon the Writ aforesaid, demanded against the said *Edward Chamberlain* the Manors, Tenements and Rents aforesaid with the Appurtenances, as their Right and Inheritance, and in which the said *Edward Chamberlain* had not Entry, but after the first Passage of the Lord King *Henry*, Son of King *John*, into *Gascoign*, &c. And whereupon then they said that they themselves were seised of the Manors, Tenements and Rents aforesaid, in their Demesne as of Fee and Right, in the Time of Peace, in the Time of the said late *K. Henry* the 8th, taking thereof the Profits to the Value, &c. and into which, &c. And thereof then they brought Suit, &c. And the aforesaid *Ed. Chamberlain*, by the aforesaid *Tho. Palmer* his Attorn. then defended his Right, when, &c. and then vouched thereof to Warranty *Thomas Fish*, who was then present in Court in his proper Person, and willingly the Manors, Tenements and Rents aforesaid to him did warrant; and thereupon, the said *Nicholas Evan* and *Thomas Hartop* demanded against him the said *Thomas Fish*, then Tenant by his Warranty, the Manors, Tenements and Rents aforesaid, with the Appurtenances in Form aforesaid, &c. And whereupon they then said, that they were seised of the Manors, Tenements and Rents aforesaid, with the Appurtenances amongst other things in their Demesne as of Fee and Right, in the Time of Peace, in the Time of the afores. late *K. H.* the 8th, taking the Profits thereof to the Value,

Value, &c. And the aforesaid *Thomas Fish*, Tenant by his Warranty aforesaid, then defended his Right, when; &c. and then prayed Licence thereof to imparl; and had it, &c. And afterwards, the very same Term, the aforesaid *Nicholas Evan* and *Thomas Hartop* returned back here into the Court aforesaid of the said late *K. Henry* the 8th, by their Attorney aforesaid; and the aforesaid *Thomas Fish*, Tenant by his Warranty aforesaid, did not come back, but in despite of the Court departed and made Default; therefore then it was granted by the aforesaid Court here, that the aforesaid *Nicholas Evan* and *Thomas Hartop* should recover their Seisin against the said *Edward Chamberlain*, of the Manors, Tenements and Rents afores. with the Appurtenances, and that the said *Edward* should have of the Lands of the aforesaid *Thomas Fish*, to the Value of, &c. and that the said *Thomas Fish* should be in mercy, &c. as by the Record and Process thereof here in Court remaining more fully appeareth. Which Recovery, in Form aforesaid had, was had to the Use and to the Intent, that the aforesaid *Nicholas Evan* and *Thomas Hartop*, of the Manors aforesaid with the Appurtenances, should enfeof the aforesaid *Richard Lyster*, *Martin*, *John Cottesford*, *John Clayton*, *William Hogeson* and *Robert Taylor*, to have and to hold to them, and their Heirs for ever: By Colour of which Recovery, the aforesaid *Nicholas Evan* and *Thomas Hartop* into the Manors aforesaid with their Appurtenances entred, and were thereof seised in their Demesne as of Fee; and so being thereof seised the said *Nicholas* and *Thomas Hartop* of the said Manors with the Appurtenances did enfeof the aforesaid *Richard Lyster*, *Martin Lindsey*, *John Cottesford*, *John Clayton*, *William Hogeson* and *Robert Taylor*, to have and to hold to them and their Heirs for ever: By Virtue of which Feoffment the said *Richard Lyster*, *Martin*, *John Cottesford*, *John Clayton*, *William Hogeson* and *Robert Taylor* were seised of the Manors with the Appurtenances, in their Demesne as of Fee; and so thereof being seised, the aforesaid *Sibil*, in the Life of the said *Edward*, for the better Security of the said *Richard Lyster*, *Martin*, *John Cottesford*, *John Clayton*, *William Hogeson* and *Robert Taylor*, the Manors aforesaid with the Appurtenances, according to Agreement between the same *Edward* and *Sibil*, first before the aforesaid Recovery had, by her Writing aforesaid of Release Remised, and Released to the aforesaid *Richard Lyster*, *Martin*, *John Cottesford*, *John Clayton*, *William Hogeson* and *R. Taylor*, and all her Right, Claim, Title, Estate, Use, Interest, and demand of and in the Manors aforesaid, with the Appurtenances in Manner and Form as they have above alleged.

Plead. in the C. of Lincoln College. PART III.

ledged; And this they are ready to aver: Whereupon they demand Judgment; and that the said *Robert Chamberlain*, from having his Action aforesaid against them be barred. And the aforesaid *Robert Chamberlain*, by Protestation taking it, that the recovery aforesaid was not had to the Use and intent, that the aforesaid *Nicholas Evan* and *Thomas Hartop* should infeoff the said *Richard Lyster*, *Martin*, *John Cottesford*, *John Clayton*, *William Hogeson* and *Robert Taylor* of the Manors aforesaid with the Appurtenances; by Protestation also, that the aforesaid *Nicholas Evan* and *Thomas Hartop* did not infeoff the aforesaid *Richard Lyster*, *Martin*, *John Cottesford*, *John Clayton*, *William Hogeson* and *Robert Taylor*, of the Manor aforesaid with the Appurtenances; by Protestation also; that the aforesaid *Sibil*, for the better Security of the aforesaid *Richard Lyster*, *Martin*, *John Cottesford*, *John Clayton*, *William Hogeson* and *Robert Taylor*, in the Manors aforesaid with the Appurtenances, according to Agreement between them the said *Edward* and *Sibil*, first before the aforesaid Recovery above supposed to be had, by her Writing of Release aforesaid, did not remise and release to the aforesaid *Richard Lyster*, *Martin*, *John Cottesford*, *John Clayton*, *William Hogeson* and *Robert Taylor*, as the aforesaid Warden or Rector and Scholars above in their Rejoinder have alledged; By Protestation also, That the aforesaid *Edw. Chamberlain*, at the Day of the bringing of the Original Writ of the said *Nicholas Evan* and *Thomas Hartop*, out of the Court of Chancery of the aforesaid late *K. Henry* the 8th, that is to say, the 2d Day of *June* in the 4th Year of the Reign of the same late *K.* or ever after was Tenant of the Freehold of the Manors aforesaid with the Appurtenances: For Plea, the said *Robert Chamberlain* saith, that the aforesaid Plea of the aforesaid Warden, or Rector, and Scholars, above by rejoinder pretended, is not sufficient in Law to bar him the said *Robert* from having his Action aforesaid against the aforesaid Warden, or Rector and Scholars, as well for that, that the Rejoinder is a Departure from the aforesaid Bar of them the Warden, or Rector and Scholars, as for want of sufficient Matter in the said Rejoinder contained; and this he is ready to aver: Wherefore, for want of a sufficient Rejoinder of the said Warden, or Rector and Scholars, in this Part, the said *Robert Chamberlain*, as at first, demandeth Judgment and Seisin of the Manors aforesaid with the Appurtenances to him to be adjudged, &c. And the Warden, or Rector and Scholars, in as much as they sufficient Matter in Law to bar the aforesaid *Robert* from having his Action aforesaid against them the Warden, or Rector and Scholars, above by rejoinder have alledged, which they are ready to aver, which Matter
the

PART III. *Plead. in the C. of Lincoln College.*

38

the aforesaid *Robert* doth not deny, nor to the same any ways answereth, but to admit of the same Averment doth altogether refuse, as at first demand Judgment; and that the aforesaid *Robert Chamberlain* to have his Action aforesaid be barred. And because the Justices here will advise themselves of and upon the Premises, before they give their Judgment thereof, Day is given to the Parties aforesaid here, until in 8 Days of *St. Hillary*, to hear their Judgment, because that the said Justices here thereof not yet, &c. At which Day come as well the aforesaid *Robert*, as the aforesaid Warden, or Rector and Scholars, by their Attornies aforesaid: And because the Justices here will further advise themselves of and upon the Premises, before they give their Judgment thereof, Day further is given to the Parties aforesaid here until from the Day of *Easter* in 15 Days, to hear their Judgment thereof, because the said Justices here thereof not yet, &c. At which Day here come as well the aforesaid *Robert*, as the said Warden, or Rector and Scholars, by their Attornies aforesaid. And because the Justices here will further advise themselves of and upon the Premises, before they give their Judgment thereof, further Day is given to the Parties aforesaid here, until in the Morrow of the *Holy Trinity*, to hear their Judgment thereof, because the said Justices here thereof not yet, &c. At which Day here come as well the aforesaid *Robert*, as the aforesaid Warden, or Rector and Scholars by their Attornies aforesaid: And because the Justices here will further advise themselves of and upon the Premises, before they give their Judgment thereof, further Day is given to the Parties aforesaid here, until in 8 Days of *St. Michael*, to hear their Judgment thereof, because the same Justices here thereof not yet, &c. At which Day here come as well the aforesaid *Robert*, as the aforesaid Warden, or Rector and Scholars, by their Attornies aforesaid; and upon this, the Premises being seen, and by the Justices here fully understood, it seemeth to the same Justices here, that the Plea of the aforesaid Warden, or Rector and Scholars, above by Rejoinder pleaded, is sufficient in Law to bar the said *Robert* to have his Action aforesaid, against the aforesaid Warden or Rector and Scholars: Therefore it is granted, that the aforesaid *Robert* take nothing by his Writ aforesaid, and that he be in Mercy for his false Clamour; and that the aforesaid Warden, or Rector and Scholars go thereof without Day, &c.

Judgment;
Nota bene.

 LINCOLN COLLEGE'S Case.

Mich. 37 & 38 Eliz.

*In the Common Pleas. Rot. 82.*2 And. 31. Moor
255.

IN a *Formedon* in Descender by *Rob. Chamberlain*, Cousin and Heir Male of the Body of *Rich. Chamberlain*, against the Rector and Scholars of *Lincoln College* in the University of *Oxford* for the Manors of *Petsfore* and *Ekeney* in the County of *Buckingham*: On the Pleading the Case was such: *Rich. Chamberlain* did enfeoff *Corneborough* and others of the said Manors, to the Intent that they should give back the same to the said *Richard Chamberlain* and *Sibil Fowler* whom he intended to marry, and to the Heirs Male of the Body of *Richard*, which was done accordingly. *Richard* and *Sibil* intermarry'd and had Issue *Edward*; *Rich.* died, *Edward* in the Life of *Sibil* *adunc tenens liberi tenementi, &c.* (which was intended by *Diffeisin*) *an. 4 H. 8.* suffer'd a common Recovery with single Voucher by Agreement amongst other things, to the Intent that the Recoverors should enfeoff *Lister* and others to divers Uses; and that *Sibil* (for better Assurance) should release to them with Warranty; which Feoffment and Release with Warranty were made accordingly *an. 11 H. 8.* And afterwards *Sibil* died, *Edward* then being alive; and whether this collateral Warranty should bar the Demandant or not, or should be void by the Stat. of *11 H. 7. cap. 20.* was the Question; for in as much as the common Recovery was had against *Edward* in the Life of Tenant for Life, it cannot be intended, that *Sibil* had surrender'd her Estate, or that *Edward* had entred for a Forfeiture, and thereby seised by Force of the Tail, unless it had been alledged in Pleading: But for as much as it was generally alledged that then he was Tenant of the Freehold, it shall be intended more strong against him who

Co. Lit. 326. b.
365. b.Co. Lit. 72. a.
303. b.

who (a) pleads it, that is to say, that it was by Disseisin, or by Feoffm. of a Disseisor, so that he was in of another Estate, and then the Recovery with (b) single Voucher will not bind it: And therefore the sole Question was, on the collateral Warranty. And it was strongly objected, That this Warranty should be void, not only within the Express Letter, but also within the Meaning of the Act.

For first, There is no Doubt but the Heir Male of the Body of *Edward* is a Person to whom the Inheritance, after the Death of *Sibil*, shall appertain: And the Words of the Act are, That such Person shall enter, and peaceably possess the Land as he ought if no such Warranty had been made. And the Meaning of the Act was not to save the Estate-Tail for him only who is Heir apparent at the Time of the Forfeiture, but to preserve it for the Benefit of all the Issues inheritable by Force of the Tail. As if *A.* makes a Feoffment in Fee to the Use of himself and his Wife, and to the Heirs of the Body of *A.* *A.* hath Issue *B.* and dies, the Wife is disseised, the Heir in Tail by Deed releases to the Disseisor, and afterwards the Wife releases also with Warranty and dies, *B.* hath Issue *C.* and dies; altho' *B.* hath by his Release disabled himself to take Advantage of the Forfeiture during his Life, yet it shall not prejudice his Issue; for he is the Person to whom the Inheritance of the Estate Tail doth appertain, and now by the Statute he shall enter as if no Warranty had been made.

2. It is to be observ'd, That by the Statute, not only Entry is given to him to whom the Interest, Title, or Inheritance shall appertain, as if no Warranty had been made; but by the Branch next before, it is provided, That every (c) Discontinuance, Alienation, Release, or Confirmation with Warranty, and Recovery made or suffered by such Woman shall be utterly void and of none Effect: And if the Warranty in this Case, by the express Letter of the Act, be utterly void and of none Effect, it is void as to all, and by Consequence against every Issue in Tail, and it is void also as to *Edward* himself, but in Respect of the said Recovery he hath barred himself that he cannot enter into the Land; and the Opinion of *Doctor* and *Student* was strongly urg'd, That if a (d) Woman, Tenant in Tail, suffers a common Recovery, and the Issue in Tail releases to the Recoveror, yet his Issue may enter; which proves, that altho' he, who hath the immediate Right to the Estate-Tail, will, by his own Act, exclude himself from the Benefit that the Statute would have given him; yet his Issue shall not be prejudic'd by it.

And it was further objected, That if any (e) Error had been in the Recovery that *Edw.* suffered, and he had brought a Writ of Error, the collateral Warranty of the Woman would not be a Bar to him, for the Stat. by express Words hath

(a) Co. Lit. 303.
b. Cr. Car. 50.
1 Co. 46. a.
(b) 2 Roll's 394.
395. Yelv. 51.

(c) Co. Lit. 366.
b. 325. b.
Antea 50. b.

(d) Postea 61. a.
Dr. & Stud.
Lib. 1. cap. 31.

Q. Lucas 124.

(e) Postea 61. a.

LINCOLN COLLEGE'S Case. PART III.

made the Warranty utterly void, and of no Effect; *a fortiori* the Warranty shall not bind the Demandant, who claims as Cousin and Heir Male of his Body *per formam domi*. To which it was answer'd and resolv'd, as to the first Branch, That the Office of a good Expositor of an Act of Parliament is to make Construction (a) on all the Parts together, and not of one Part only by itself; *Nemo enim aliquam partem recte intelligere possit, antequam totum iterum atque iterum perlegerit*: And altho' the first Branch makes the Discontinuance, Alienation, Warranty, and Recovery, utterly void, and of no Effect; yet the Clause following being connected to it with this Conjunction, *And that it shall be lawful*, expounds the Generality of the Words of the precedent Branch; and therefore the (b) Sense of both together is, that they shall be utterly void and of no Effect by the Entry of him to whom the Interest, Title, or Inheritance, after the Woman, doth appertain; but the Discontinuance, Alienation, Warranty, or Recovery, are not void between the Parties, but stand in Force between themselves, and against all others, but only against such to whom the Interest, Title, or Inheritance, after the Death of such Woman doth appertain, and they only can make it void and of no Effect by their Entry: And so before this Time have other Statutes been expounded by the ancient Judges and Sages of the Law. As the Statute of (c) 8 H. 6. cap. 10. by which it is provided, that all Outlawries shall be held for null and void, and that the Party, &c. be not damaged nor put to Loss of his Goods and Chattels, &c. unless a *Capias* be awarded against the Party in the County, in which by the Indictment or Appeal he is expressed to be dwelling; yet it ought to be avoided by the Means which the Law hath appointed, and that is by Writ of Error.

In the same Manner in the Case at Bar, Estates of Freehold or Inheritance cannot be defeated without an Entry, and therefore by Entry they ought to be made void. So the Statute of (d) 23 H. 6. cap. 10. makes an Obligation, taken in other Manner than the Statute prescribes, void; yet it is held in (e) 7 E. 4. 5. b. that the Party cannot plead, (f) *non est factum*, but it is voidable by Plea, with such apt Conclusion as the Law doth appoint. So on the Stat. of (g) 1 Eliz. which provides, That all Grants, Leases, &c. made by Bishops in other Manner than is mention'd in the Act shall be utterly void, and of no Effect to all Intents and Purposes: Notwithstanding these precise Words, it was adjudg'd in the Common Pleas, M. 32 & 33 Eliz. in a *Quare Impedit* between (b) *Sale* and the Bishop of *Coventry and Litchfield*, That a Grant of the next Avoidance of an Advowson, (e) Br. non est factum 14. 10 Co. 100. b. 110. d. 66. b. 68. a. Fitz. 80. § Co. 119. b. Dyer 120. pl. 8. (f) Hob. 72, 166. 5 Co. 119. Doct. pl. 262. Br. non est factum 14. (g) Co. Lit. 45. a. Winch 47. Moor 107, 108, 109. Degg. 109, 110, 111. Cr. El. 141. 1 Leon. 59. 5 Co. 2. a. 1 And. 65, 193. Moor 253. Bridg. 29, 30. (h) 10 Co. 59. a. Cr. El. 141, 267. 2 Roll's 350. Sav. 94, 95. Owen 99. 1 And. 241, 242, 243, 244.

- (a) 2 Co. 55. a. Godb. 324.
- Co. Lit. 381. a.
- 5 Co. 99. a.
- (b) 5 Co. 5. a.
- (c) March 84.
- 3 Inst. 31.
- 4 Inst. 51.
- Kelw. 21. b.
- (d) 10 Co. 99. b. Hard. 121.
- Cr. Car. 287, 309, 361, 448.
- Hob. 13. Cr. El. 66, 76, 178, 190, 199, 200, 271, 800.
- Dyer 25. pl. 157, 118. pl. 1. 119. pl. 1, 2, 3, 4, 324. pl. 32, 33, 364. pl. 22.
- Styl. 234.
- 2 Bulst. 13, 213
- 37 H. 6. 1. a.
- Fitz. Obligat.
- 4. Br. Obligat.
- 37. Plowd. 62.
- b. 63. a. 65. a.
- Raffal Sher. 25.
- 1 Leon. 132.
- 2 Leon. 78, 107, 118.
- 3 Leon. 228.
- 1 Roll's Rep. 40, 169. 2 Roll's Rep. 207. Sav. 81. Larch 23, 54, 55, 143.
- O. Benl. 110.
- 1 Jones 65.
- Hut. 70. 3 Inst. 194. 1 Roll's 537. Moor 247.
- Owen 90.
- Godb. 136.
- Goldsb. 54, 66
- 1 Keb. 391.
- Noy 33, 76, 172, 173.
- 3 Keb. 191.
- 1 An. 267.
- 2 And. 122.
- 1 Sand. 161, 162.
- Herly 25, 175.
- F. N. B. 25. b.
- 2 Co. 119. b. Herl. 23. (e) Br. non est factum 14. 10 Co. 100. b. 110. d. 66. b. 68. a. Fitz. 80. § Co. 119. b. Dyer 120. pl. 8. (f) Hob. 72, 166. 5 Co. 119. Doct. pl. 262. Br. non est factum 14. (g) Co. Lit. 45. a. Winch 47. Moor 107, 108, 109. Degg. 109, 110, 111. Cr. El. 141. 1 Leon. 59. 5 Co. 2. a. 1 And. 65, 193. Moor 253. Bridg. 29, 30. (h) 10 Co. 59. a. Cr. El. 141, 267. 2 Roll's 350. Sav. 94, 95. Owen 99. 1 And. 241, 242, 243, 244.

which is not warranted by the said Act, is not void as to the Grantor himself, but as to the Successor; for so was the Intent of the Act, to provide for the Successor and not for the Party himself. So and on the same Reason was it resolv'd in the Com. Pleas, *per totam curiam*, Pasch. 39 Eliz. between *Hunt* and (a) *Singleton* for a House in *Foster-lane* in *London*, whereof the Inheritance was in the Dean and Chapter of *Paul's*, That if the Dean and Chapter make a Lease not warranted by the Statutes of 13 & 14 Eliz. in which Case it is provided by the said Acts, that such Lease shall be absolutely void, and of no Effect to all Intents and Purposes; in this Case of a Corporation aggregate of many Persons, which never dies, it was greatly doubted, if the Lease should not be utterly void presently according to the express Letter of the Act; but it was at last resolv'd, Forasmuch as the Act was made for the Benefit of the Successors, that the Lease should not be void 'till after the Death of the Dean, who was Party to the Lease: And altho' the Successor of the Dean is not Successor to the whole Corporation who made the Lease, but only the principal Member of it; yet because the whole Corporation never (b) dies, such Lease, by Construction, shall be void after the Death of the Dean, who is the principal Member of the Corporation, and his Successor, with the Chapter, shall avoid it. So in the principal Case, altho' it be provided by the Statute of 11 H. 7. That the Discontinuance, Alienation, Warranty, and Recovery, shall be void; yet they are not void presently, but are to be made void by such Persons to whom after the Death of the Woman the Interest, Title or Inheritance appertains. And with this Resolution agrees 27 H. 8. 23. b. on this very Statute of 11 H. 7.

2. It was answer'd and resolv'd, That this Case was out of the (c) Intention of the said Act for several Reasons:

First, Because the Intent of the Act was to restrain Women from making a Discontinuance, Warranty, and Recovery, in Bar, or Prejudice of the Heir in Tail, or of them in Remainder, &c. But when the Heir in Tail, in the Case at Bar, doth convey and assure the Land to others, and the Release or Confirmation of the Woman with Warranty, is but to perfect and corroborate the Estate which the Heir in Tail hath made, such Warranty is not restrained by this Act; for it shall be intended for the Benefit of the Heir, and not to his Prejudice: And this was the Reason that a common Recovery, in Respect of the intended Recompence, was not restrained by the Statute of *West. 2.* And therefore when the Issue in Tail, in the Case at Bar, hath suffer'd a common Recovery, and the Warranty of the Woman extends only to strengthen it, this Warranty is not restrained by the said Act of (d) 11 H. 7.

(a) Co. Lit. 52.
Cr. El. 473,
474, 561.
10 Co. 59. a.
3 Keb. 109.
1 Med. Rep. 205.
Carr. 13, 16.
1 Vent. 247.
1 Roll's Rep.
152, 154, 159,
169.

(b) Treby Argument in Quo Warranto 4. Co. Lit. 9. b. 94. b. 1 Roll's 833. 2 Bulltr. 233. 21 Ed. 4. 13. a. 11 H. 7. cap. 20. Lucas 124.

(c) Cr. Jac. 475.

(d) 11 H. 7. 20. Cro. Jac. 474. 475.

LINCOLN COLLEGE'S Case. PART III.

The second Reason was, That the Wife, with the Heir in Tail, might have join'd in a Fine, and so barred the Estate-tail; or if the Wife had (a) surrender'd to the Heir in Tail, he might have suffer'd a Recovery, and by that the Estate-tail would be docked, and so they both had Power to bar the Estate-tail, and the Remainder or Reversion expectant thereupon: Then it was not the Intention of the Act to restrain the Warranty the Wife made to him who had the Land by Conveyance of the Heir in Tail. And on the same Reason it was adjudg'd *M. 38 & 39 Eliz.* in the King's Bench, between *Jennings* and *Wiseman*; and *Hill. 39.* in the Common Pleas, but the Plea began *Trin. 38 Eliz. Rot. 2302.* between (b) *Wiseman* and *Crow*, and the Case in both Courts was the same, *scil. Thomas Wiseman* had Issue *William*, his elder Son by one *venter*, and *Thomas* and a Daughter by another *venter*, and seised of Land in *Essex* held in Socage, devised to *Dorothy* his Wife for Life, the Remainder to *T.* in Tail, and died; whereby the Wife was Tenant for Life, the Remainder to *T.* in Tail, and the Reversion of the Fee descended to *William*; *Dorothy*, after the Statute of 14 *Eliz.* suffer'd a common Recovery, in which *Thomas* was vouched, who vouched over the common Vouchee, which Recovery was to the Use of *Thomas* and his Heirs; the Daughter married *Jennings*, *Thomas* died without Issue: Now between *Jennings* and *Crow* his Fermor, and *Wiseman*, Son and Heir of *William*, was the Question for the said Land: *Wiseman* objected, That the said common Recovery was void by the express Letter of the Statute of 14 (c) *Eliz. cap. 8.* "Where divers Persons being
" seised, &c. of any Lands, &c. only for Life or Lives, or
" of Estates determinable upon Life or Lives, have suffer'd
" other Persons by Agreement or Covin to recover the
" same Lands against the same particular Tenants, &c. or
" as Vouchees, to the great Prejudice of those to whom
" the Reversion or Remainder hath appertained, or ought
" to appertain: Be it enacted, That every such Recovery,
" as of such Person in Reversion or Remainder thereof, &c.
" be clearly and utterly void and of none Effect: Provided
" that every such Recovery had by the Assent of any
" Person in Reversion or Remainder, so the same Assent
" appear of Record in any Court, &c. shall stand in Force
" against such Person so assenting." And the said Recovery was had against *Dorothy*, being Tenant for Life; and the said *William Wiseman*, who had the Reversion in Fee, never assented to the said Recovery according to the said Proviso: And therefore the said Recovery should not bind him by the express Letter of the Act: But it was adjudged in both Courts, that the Reversion in Fee was barred by the said Recovery. And the said Act of 14 (d) *Eliz.* did not extend to it; And the principal Reason was, because it

(a) Co. Lit.
362. a.
Postea 61. a.

(b) 10 Co. 39. b.
43. b. Co. Lit.
362. a. Cr. El.
562, 570.
Co. Ent. 667.
nu. 16.
Moor 690.
1 And. 275.
Winch 43.
1 And. 276.

(c) Co. Lit.
356. a. b.
1 Co. 15. a.
10 Co. 37. a.
43. b. Cr. El.
562, 570.
Co. Ent. 655.
Moor 690.
1 And. 275.
Winch 43.
Co. Lit. 262. a.
362. a.
1 Leon. 62.

(d) Co. Lit.
356. a. 362. a.
1 Co. 15. 3. b.

was

was not the Intent of the Act to extend to such Recovery in which he in Remainder in Tail was vouched, because Tenant in Tail hath an Estate of Inheritance which may continue (a) for ever; and he hath Power by a common Recovery to dock all Remainders and Reversions expectant on his Estate; and if *Dorothy* had (b) surrender'd to him, then without Question the Recovery shall bar the Reversion in Fee: So *Dorothy* and *Thomas* had Power to bar the Reversion in Fee, and neither the Stat. of *West. 2. cap. 3. (c)* which gives Receipt, extends to him in Reversion or Remainder expectant on an Estate-tail, 33 *H. 6. 22. 41 E. 3. 12.* nor the Stat. of (d) 9 *R. 2. cap. 3.* which gives the Writ of Error to him in Reversion; nor doth the Stat. of (e) 32 *H. 8. cap. 31.* made against common Recoveries had against Tenants for Life, extend to Remainders or Reversions expectant on an Estate-tail. So in the Case at Bar, forasmuch as he who had the Estate-tail hath suffer'd a common Recovery, and the Wife hath released to the Recoverors with Warranty, the Act of 11 *H. 7. cap. 20.* doth not extend to it, because the Heir in Tail hath Power of himself to bar the Tail, and the Warranty of the Wife is but to perfect his Conveyance.

Thirdly, it was resolv'd, That in the Case at Bar when the said *Edward*, by the common Recovery had against him by his own Agreement, had disabled himself to take Benefit of the Forfeiture given by the Statute, after the Death of *Edward*, his Issue should not take Benefit thereof, because his Father was in Being at the Time of the Forfeiture, and could not enter; and a Person who is not *in rerum natura*, or who hath not the immediate Interest, Title, or Inheritance, at the Time of the Forfeiture, shall never take Benefit of this Act, when another was in Being at the Time of the Forfeiture, and could not enter, and yet had Power to bar by Fine or Recovery him who would claim the Benefit of the Act? And this was in Effect adjudg'd in (f) *Sir Geo. Brown's Case*, where the Issue in Tail, in the Life of his Mother, having the Reversion in Fee, levied a Fine without Proclamations. And if Error was in the said Recovery, the Warranty of the Wife would bar *Edward* of his Writ of Error, because by his own Act he hath barred himself from the Remedy of Entry, which the Act prescribes. And the Case of *Doctor* and (g) *Student* was affirmed to be good Law; for there presently, by the Recovery, the Issue had Title of Entry on the Recoveror; and therefore by his Release by Deed he could not bar his Issue; but in the Case at Bar, the Issue in Tail first suffer'd the Recovery, and so disabled himself before the Warranty made; as also it was done in *Sir George Brown's Case*, by the Fine levied in the Life of the Mother; and therefore in those Cases Title of Entry was never given to the Issue in Tail, as it was in the Case of *Doctor* and

(b) 1 Co. 63. a.

6 Co. 42. a.

10 Co. 37. b.

39 a. 46. a.

Cr. El. 718.

1 Roll's 418.

2 Roll's 396.

2 Brownl. 67.

(c) Co. Lit.

362. a. Ant.

60. b.

(d) Plowd. 57. b.

10 Co. 44. b.

Reg. 122. a.

Ver. N. B. 112.

a. b. F. N. B.

108. a. 3 Co. 4.

a. b. 2 Bullst. 15.

Palm. 251, 253.

Dyer 1. pl. 5.

90. pl. 5.

Cr. El. 289.

4 Inst. 51. 9R.

2. cap. 3.

(e) 10 Co. 44. b.

Cr. El. 562.

Co. Lit. 362. a.

1 And. 38.

2 Leon. 61, 62.

4 Leon. 126,

127, 128, 129.

Rastal Recov. 3.

(f) Antea 50. b.

Cr. El. 513.

Moor 455.

2 And. 44.

1 Rol. 878.

Jenk. Cent. 275.

(g) Antea 59. a.

Dr. Stud. Lib. 1.

cap. 31.

LINCOLN COLLEGE'S Case. PART III.

and *Student*; and so manifest Diversity: But if *Sibil* had released after the Death of *Edward*, then the Issue of *Edw.* might have avoided the Warranty by Force of this Act.

Note, Reader, I conceive, that if a Man makes a Feoffment in Fee, to the Use of him and his Wife in Tail, and to the Use of the Husband in Fee, and hath Issue a Daughter, and dies, his Wife with Child with a Son, whereby the Reversion in Fee descends to the Daughter; the Wife, before the Birth of the Son, levies a Fine, or suffers a common Recovery; in this Case, altho' the Daughter doth or doth not enter, or altho' the (a) Daughter had joined in the Fine, or was vouched in the Recovery, or by any other Act had disabled herself from taking Benefit of this Act, yet the Son, after born, should take Benefit of this Act; and that well agrees with this Resolution. For by no Act that the Daughter could do, could she bar the Son of the Estate-tail, as *Edward* might in the Case at Bar; and therefore it stands with Reason and Equity, that no Act which she could do should be prejudicial to the Son, who was *in utero matris*. And this Case is not to be compared

(a) Hob. 333.

(b) 1 Co. 95. a.
3 Co. 39. b.
Fitz. Affize 27.
Flowd. 43. a.
56. a. b. Br.
Done 28. 1 Co.
98. b. 137. b.
(c) 1 Co. 95. a.
Br. Entry. con-
geable 94.
Plow. 42. b.
45. b. 2 Inst.
434. Long. 50.
Ed. 4. 58. a.
1 H. 6. 1. a. Br.
Rape 4. Br. Ap-
peal 48. Br.
Parliament 89.
Stam. Corone
82. Hob. 74.
(d) 1 Co. 95. a.
99. a. Moor
140. 8 Co. 76 a.
Hob. 3. Cr.
Car. 87.
(e) 1 Co. 137. b.

to the Case in (b) 5 E. 4. 6. a. For by the Stat. of (c) 6 R. 2. cap. 6. it is provided, *Quod proximus de sanguine eorundem rapientium & raptorum, cui hereditas descendere, remanere, vel accidere deberet post mortem rapientis vel rapti, habeat titulum immediate statim scilicet post raptum intrandi super rapientem vel raptum, &c. & tenere de statu hereditario*; in that Case, if the Daughter enters, she shall keep it for ever against the Son after born: But altho' the Daughter enters by Force of the Statute of 11 H. 7. yet the Son born after shall enter upon her; and the Cause and Reason of this Difference is, that the Daughter, by the Statute of 6 R. 2. hath the Land merely as a Perquisite in Fee-simple: And by the express Words of the Act, she shall enter and keep the Land, for the Statute saith, *intrabit, &c. & tenebit de jure hereditario*. And it is like the Case of 9 (d) H. 7. 25. b. if a Remainder be limited to the right Heirs of *J. S.* and he dies, having a Daughter, the (e) Daughter shall have it as a Purchaser, and shall keep the Land against the Son born after. But when the Daughter enters by Force of the Act of 11 H. 7. she is in of an Estate-tail *per formam doni*, and so in Nature of a Descent, and not merely as a Purchaser; and that by the express Words of the Stat. of 11 H. 7. which are, That the Person to whom the Lands appertain, after the Decease of such Woman, shall enter into the Tenements, and possess and enjoy them according to such Title and Interest as they shall have, if such Woman had been dead, and no Discontinuance, Warranty, or Recovery had; so that the Daughter in this Case doth not claim the Land merely as a Perquisite, as she doth upon the Statute of 6 R. 2. but by Force of this

Act

Act of 11 H. 7. she claims according to her Title, as if the Woman had been dead, and no Act done against the Stat. and that is *per formam doni*, and *per formam doni* the Son after born is to be preferred before the Daughter: And therefore this Case is to be compared to (a) *Shelley's Case*; (a) 1 Co. 106. b. 155. b. Mo. 140. 141. 482. 1 Jones 59. Jenk. Cent. 249. 1 Co. 94. b. 2 Leon. 27.

in which Case, although the Use vested first in *Richard* the younger Son, yet the Son of the elder Son, after born, shall enter on him, because *Richard* in that Case was in the Nature of a Descent, and not merely as a Purchasor. And if the Makers of the Act of 11 H. 7. had been asked if in such Case the Wife might prejudice the Son, where-with she is big, of the Benefit which they by the said Act had provided, they would have answered, *absit quod licitum fuerit matri nocere filio qui in utero suo est.* And with this *Montague* Chief Justice of the Common Pleas, *Plow. Comm.* 56. well agrees. And the Opinion of the Court of Wards, 20 *Eliz. Dy.* 362. a. (b) is not repugnant to it; for there the Opinion is, That if the Issue in Tail, within Age, enters by Force of this Act on a Fine levied by his Mother, and her second Husband, he shall not be in Ward, which may well be, for in such Case he hath the Land but during the Coverture, and therefore is in Manner a Purchasor; Also, altho' he should have the Land in Nature of a Descent, yet it doth not follow that he shall be in Ward; for in the Case of Wardship, there ought to be Death, either natural or civil; it is necessary also, that the Ancestor die in the Lord's Homage: And by this Difference you will better understood your Books in 9 H. 6. 25. 9 H. 7. 25. & (c) Cr. Car. 370. 2 Rol. 776. 777. Co. Lit. 385. a. 1 Jones 200.

Doctor and Student.

4. It was resolv'd *per totam curiam*, That if Tenant in Tail being in of another Estate suffers a common Recovery, and a collateral Ancestor of the Tenant in Tail releases with Warranty to the Recoveror, and afterwards the Recoveror makes a Feoffment to Uses, which are executed by the Statute of 27 H. 8. and afterwards the collateral Ancestor dies, in that Case, altho' the Estate of the Land be transferred in the *Post* before the Descent of the Warranty, yet the Warranty should bind, and the Terre-tenants might take Advantage thereof by Way of Rebutter: So if he to whom the Warranty is made suffers a common Recovery, and afterwards the Ancestor dies, the Recoveror might rebut by this Warranty; and yet it is adjudged in (d) 22 *Aff.* 37. that if Tenant in Dower doth enfeoff a Villain with Warranty, and the Lord of the Villain enters into the Land before the Descent of the Warranty, and afterwards the Wife dies, this Warranty should not bind the right Heir: So it is agreed by the Justices in (e) 29 *Aff.* 34. if a collateral Warranty be made to a Bastard and his Heirs, and living the Ancestor, the Bastard dies without Issue, and the Lord by Escheat enters, and afterward the Ancestor dies,

LINCOLN COLLEGE'S Case. PART III.

Co. Lit. 385. a. dies, this Warranty shall not bind. And although as well in the Case at Bar, as in those two Cases, before the Warranty descends, the Estate of the Land is transferred in the *Post*, yet there is a great Difference between those two Cases, and the Case at Bar; for he who hath the Land by the Limitation of an Use, or by a common Recovery, comes to the Land by the Limitation and Act of the Party; and therefore he who hath a Reversion by (a) the Limitation of an Use, or by common Recovery, although he be in the *Post* in both Cases; yet he shall take Benefit of a Condition as an Assignee within the Statute of 32 H. 8. cap. 34. But when the (b) Lord of a Villein enters, he comes to the Land in Respect of a Title Paramount, that is to say, in Respect of Villeinage, and the Lord by Escheat in Respect of the Seigniority which was a Title Paramount, and both those are in merely in the (c) *Post*, and not by any Limitation or Act of the Party, and so a manifest Difference. And although some presume to say that those Books are erroneous, and against Law, and their Reasons are *scil.* because it was held in the Time of E. 3. *scil.* in (d) 8 E. 3. 10. a. That the Tenant shall not take Advantage of a Warranty by Way of rebutter, without shewing how the Warranty extends to him; which is as much as to say, to make him Assignee to the Land; so that one who comes in in the *Post*, shall not Rebut. And in (e) 10 E. 3. 42. 10 Aff. 5. in an Affize the Tenant pleaded a Warranty made to one W. &c. and concluded on the Warranty as Assignee to W. and demanded Judgment, &c. and was charged by the Party, and by the Court, to shew how he was Assignee, and so he did: And in (f) 22 Aff. 88. in the same Year, when one of the said Cases was adjudged, it was held that one should not take Advantage of a Warranty made to another and his Assigns by Way of Rebutter, although he be an Assignee without Deed, and that in Case of Rebutter, as well as in Case of Voucher he ought to shew as well the Deed which comprehends the Warranty, as the Deed which proves the Assignment: And these Errors were the Cause, as they said, that it was then held, that neither the Lord of the Villein, nor the Lord by Escheat should take Advantage of a Warranty by Way of Rebutter: But I conceive, the true Reason of the said Books is utterly mistaken. For true it is, that some Judges in those Times thought, that none should take Advantage by Rebutter of a Warranty made to one, his Heirs and Assignees, but he who was Heir or Assignee: And that the Terre-tenant ought in Case of Voucher and Rebutter, to shew Deeds of the mean Assignments; which Opinion hath great Semblance of Reason, because the Warranty extends only to the Feoffee, his Heirs and Assigns. And a Thing, which of its own Nature cannot be created without Deed, cannot be assigned without Deed:

(a) Co. Lit. 315. b. 1 Mod. Rep. 192. Mo. 98.

(b) Co. Lit. 215. b.

(c) 2. Rol. 776. Cr. Car. 370.

(d) Vaugh. 386.

(e) Vaugh. 386, 387.

(f) Vaugh. 386.

Deed: But I well agree that such Opinions were against the true Sense and Judgment of the Law in both Points: For (a) he, who hath the Possession of the Land, shall Rebut the Demandant himself, without shewing how he came to the Possession of it; for it is sufficient for him to defend his Possession, and to bar the Demandant; and the Demand. against the Warranty cannot recover the Land: And so was it held 35 *Aff.* 9. that Tenant by the Curtesy might Rebut. And in (b) 45 *E.* 3. 18. it is adjudged, That the Donee in Tail, altho' he be in of another Estate, might Rebut the Demand. And in (c) 38 *E.* 3. 26. it is adjudged, That the Assignee might Rebut by Force of a Warranty, made to one and his Heirs: And (d) 7 *E.* 3. 34. & 46 *E.* 3. 4. Feoffee of the Donee in Tail might (e) Rebut: I likewise well agree, That if *A.* enfeoffs *B.* his Heirs and Assigns with Warranty, and *B.* enfeoffs *C.* without Deed, *C.* shall (f) vouch *A.* as Assignee, for the Warranty extends to *B.* and his Assigns of the Land, and *C.* is his Assignee thereof, and the Assignment is not made of the Warranty, for then it ought to be by Deed, but the Warranty cannot be assigned, but extends to the Assignees of the Land; and if the Feoffment to *C.* was by Deed, it is only of the Land, and not of the Warranty; and *C.* shall vouch *A.* as Assignee of the Land, because the War. by exprefs Words extends to him, that is to say, to *B.* and his Assignees of the Land; and if the Feoffee without Deed shall not vouch as Assignee, truly the Feoffee by Deed shall not vouch, for one of them is as well Assignee of the Land as the other, and none of them hath or can have Assignment of the Warranty.

And so it was resolved, *Passb.* 30 *Eliz.* in the K.'s B. between (g) *Auder* and *Noke*, on a Writ of Error on a Judgment given in a Writ of Covenant in *C. B.* by *Popham C. J. Garwedy*, and the whole Court, on Conference had with divers other Justices; That if a Man makes a Lease for Years, and covenants with him and his (h) Assignees, his Assignee by Parol shall have an Action of Covenant: So Feoffee by Parol shall (i) vouch as Assignee; and therefore the Books which speak of shewing the Deed comprehending the Warranty, and of the Deed of Assignment, are to be intended of Things which lie in (k) Grant, which cannot be assigned without (l) Deed. And so may all the Books be well reconciled. 8 *Aff.* 33. 9 *Aff.* 11. 10 *Aff.* 5. 10 *E.* 3. 42. 11 *E.* 3. *Br. Monstrans de faits* 164. 13 *E.* 3. *Voucher* 17. 14 *E.* 3. *Garr.* 33. 12 *E.* 3. *Condition* 11. 17 *E.* 3. 68. 22 *Aff.* 88. 40 *E.* 3. 22, 23. 40 *Aff.* 30. 42 *E.* 3. 19. 3 *H.* 7. 13 *§* 14. b. 3 *H.* 6. 21. *Statbam Assignee* 1.

But Note Reader, That these were not the Reasons of the said Books in 22 *Aff.* 37. and 29 *Aff.* 34. which have been alledged, for it appears by both the Books, That if the Warranty had bound, and had been a Bar in Right in the one Case, *viz.* of the Villein, *hoc est*,
if

(a) *Vaugh.* 384,
385. *Cr. Car.*
371.

(b) *Co. Lit.* 385.
Vaugh. 387,
389, 398.

(c) 38 *E.* 3. 21.
Vaugh. 384,
388. *Co. Lit.*
385. a.

(d) *Vaugh.* 388.
389.

(e) *Co. Lit.*
385. a. 10 *Co.*
76. a. 1 *Bullst.*
166. *Br. Forme-*
don 57. *Plowd.*
436. b. *Fitz.*
Garranty 18.
Statbam Gar-
ranty 4.

(f) *Co. Lit.* 385.
b. 1. *Co.* 1. b.

(g) *Cr. El.* 373.
436. *Mo.* 419.

(h) 4 *Co.* 80. b.
5 *Co.* 17, 18.

(i) 2 *Rol.* 754.

(k) *Co. Lit.*
172. a. 9. b.

(l) *Cr. El.* 373.

LINCOLN COLLEGE'S *Case*. PART III.

if the Warranty had descended before the Lord of the Villein had entred; and in the other Case, if the Ancestor had died before the Tenant (so that the Warranty had descended before the Escheat) the Lord by Escheat in the one Case, and the Lord of the Villein in the other Case, should take Advantage of the Warranty by Way of Rebutter; and that appears by the Rule of both Books. And therefore those, who condemned the said Books, were not well apprised of the true Reason of them. And I dare not take upon me, or presume to oppose the Authority of the said Books. For Master *Littleton* seems to agree with the Reason of them in his Chapter of *Discontinuance* 143. for he saith, *Nota*, If there be Lord and Tenant, and the Tenant gives the Tenements to another in Tail, the Remainder to another in Fee; and afterwards the Donee makes a Lease for Life, and grants the Reversion to another in Fee, and the Tenant for Life attorns, and afterwards the Grantee dies without Heir, whereby the Reversion escheats to the Lord; in this Case, if the Tenant for Term of Life dies, and the Lord by Escheat enters in the Life of the Tenant in Tail, it is no Discontinuance, because the Lord is in by Way of Escheat, and not by the Tenant in Tail (and therefore the Entry of the Issue in Tail, in such Case was lawful, because the Lord by Escheat cannot take Advantage of any Warranty which Tenant in Tail, as was intended, had made.) But *Littleton* saith, *secus esset*, if the Reversion had been executed in the Grantee, in the Life of Tenant in Tail, for he was in by the Tenant in Tail.

Co. Lit. 340. b.
Sect. 642. Co.
Lit. 144. a.

PENNANT'S Case.

3 Salk. 3.

Trin. 38 Eliz. *In the King's Bench,*
between Thomas Harvy and Walter
Oswald.

IN an *Ejectione firmæ*, between *Harvy* Plaintiff, and *Oswald* Defendant, on a Demise made 37 *Eliz.* by *John Pennant* to the Plaintiff, of certain Land in *Ardeley* in the County of *Essex*, for three Years, from the Feast of *All Saints, Ann. 37.* The Defendant pleaded, That the said *John Pennant* was seized of the said Land in Fee, and *Anno 35.* demised it to the Defendant for 10 Years, yielding the yearly Rent of 33 *l.* 10 *s.* at the Feast of *St. Michael*, and the Annunciation of our Lady; and that he was possessed till *Pennant* ousted him, and demised to the Plaintiff, and he re-entred, &c. The Plaintiff replied, and confessed the said Lease, but further said, That the said Lease was on Condition, That if the Defendant, his Executors or Administrators, at any Time without the Assent of the said *John Pennant*, his Heirs or Assigns, grant, alien, or assign the said Land, or any Part thereof, that then it should be lawful for the said *Pennant* and his Heirs to re-enter: And that the Defendant, *Anno 35.* granted to one *Taylor* Parcel of the said Land for six Years, without the Assent of *Pennant*, for which he re-entred, and made the Lease to the Plaintiff, *prout, &c.*

The Defendant by Way of Rejoinder, said, That before the Re-entry *Pennant* accepted the Rent due at the Feast of the Annunciation of our Lady, after the Assignment by the Hands of the Defend. *Walter Oswald.* To which the Plaintiff by Way of Sur-rejoinder said, That *Pennant* before the Receipt of the Rent had no Notice of the said Demise to *Taylor*, on which Plea the Defendant did demur in Law: And *Trin 39 Eliz.* it was adjudged for the Plaintiff. And in this Case these Points were resolved:

1. That the Condit. being (a) collateral, the Breach of it might be so secretly contrived, as to be impossible for the Lessor

Moore 426, 456.
 Cro. Eliz. 553.
 572. 2 Anderl.
 90.

3 Salk. 3, 4.
 Skin. 31.

(a) Cro. El. 528.
 553, 572. Moore
 426, 456. 8 Co.
 92. 8.

(a) Palm 433. Lessor to come to the Knowledge of it, and therefore (a)
 8 Co. 92. a. Cr. Notice in this Case is material and (b) iffuable, for otherwise
 El. 572. Har- the Lessee would take Advantage of his own Fraud, for he
 drefs 48. might make the Grant or Demife so secretly, and so near the
 (b) Doct. pla. Day on which the Rent is to be paid, as to be impossible for
 189. the Lessor to have Notice of it: But if a Man makes a Lease
 (c) Cr. El. 3. for Years rendring Rent, on Condition that if the (c) Rent
 528, 529, 553. be behind, that it shall be lawful for him to re-enter; in that
 Moor 426. 1 Le Case, if the Lessor demands the Rent, and it is not paid, and
 on. 262. Godb. afterwards he accepts the Rent (before the Re-entry made)
 47. Co. Lit. 211. a. b. at a Day after, he hath (d) dispensed with the Condition,
 (d) Cr. El. 572. for there the Condition being annexed to the Rent, and he
 Cr. Car. 512. having made a Demand for the Rent, he well knew that the
 Co. Lit. 211. b Condition was broke: But altho' in such a Case, he (e) ac-
 (e) 1 Leon. 262. cepts the Rent (due at the Day for which the Demand was
 Cr. El. 3. made) yet he may enter, for as well before as after his Re-en-
 try, he may have an Action of Debt for the Rent, on the
 Contract between the Lessor and Lessee, and that was the
 first Difference between a Collateral Condition and a Condi-
 tion annexed to Rent. *Vide (f) 45 Aff. 5.*

(f) Moor 426. The second Difference was, That in Case of a Condition
 (g) 1 Rolls 475. annexed to Rent, if the Lessor (g) distrains for the same
 14 E. 3. Entre Rents for which the Demand was made, he hath thereby al-
 congeable 41. so affirmed the Lease, for his Distress for the Rent hath af-
 Co. Lit. 211. b. firmed the Lease to have Continuance after the Rent re-
 Cr. El. 3. ceived; for after the Lease determined he cannot distrain
 Plowd. 113. b. for the Rent. 14 *Aff. II. Accord.*

The third was, That as well in Case of a Condition annex-
 ed to Rent, as in Case of a Condition annexed to any collate-
 ral Act, if the Conclusion of the Condition be, that then
 the Lease for Years shall be void, there no Acceptance of
 Rent (due at any Day after the Breach of the Condition)
 will make the void Lease good. And so a Difference between
 (b) Cr. Car. 512. a Lease which is (*ipso facto*) void without any Re-entry, and
 Cr. El. 167, 221. a Lease which is voidable by Re-entry; for a Lease which
 1 Roll's 475. is *ipso facto* void by the Breach of the Condition, cannot be
 1 And. 304, 305, made good by any Acceptance afterwards. *Plow. Com. in*
 306. Godb. 47. *Browning and Beston's Case* 133.

The fourth was, As the Affirmation of a voidable Lease
 by Parol for Money (or other Consideration) will not avail
 the Lessee; so the Acceptance of a Rent (which is not in
esse, nor due to him who accepts it) will not bind him: As
 if Land be given to Husband and Wife, and to the Heirs
 of the Body of the Husband, the Husband makes a Lease for
 40 Years and dies, the Issue in Tail accepts the Rent in the
 Life of the Wife, and afterw. the Wife dies; yet the Issue shall
 (i) 32 H. 8. Br. avoid the Lease; for at the Time of the Accept. no Rent
 Acceptance 13. was in *esse*, or due to him. *Vide 32 H. 8. Br. (i) Acceptance.*
 (k) 8 Co. 95. b. The Fifth was (k) between a Lease for Life and a Lease for
 Co. Lit. 214. b. Years, for in the Case of a Lease for Life, if the Conclus. of a
 Plowd. 135. b. Condit. annex. to the Rent (or other col. Act) be, that then
 Dyer 239 pl. 42.

the Lease shall be void, there (because an Estate of Freehold being created by Livery, cannot be determined before

(a) Entry) in such Case Acceptance of Rent due at a Day after, shall bar the Lessor of his Re-entry, for this voidable Lease may well be affirmed by Acceptance of Rent: And therefore, if a Man makes a Lease for Years, on Condition that if the Lessee do not go to Rome, or any other collateral Condition, with Conclusion that the Lease shall be void, in that Case, if the Lessor grants over the Reversion; and afterwards the Condition is broke, the Grantee (b) shall take Benefit thereof; for the Lease is void, and not voidable by Re-entry; and therefore the Grantee who is a Stranger, may take Benefit thereof; but if the Lease be made for Life (c) with such Condition, there the Grantee shall never take Benefit of it, for the Estate for Life doth not determine before Entry, and Entry or Re-entry in no Case (by the Common Law) can be given to a Stranger, 11 H. 7. 17. a. Br. Cond. 245. 10 E. 3. 52. per Stone, 21 H. 7. 12. a. So if a (d) Parson, Vicar, or Prebend, makes a Lease for Years, rendring Rent, and dies, the Successor accepts the Rent, it is nothing worth, for the Lease was void by his Death, (e) otherwise is it of a Lease for Life: But if a (f) Bishop, Abbot, Prior, or such like, makes a Lease for Years and dies, if the Successor accepts the Rent, he shall never avoid the Lease, for the Lease was only voidable, 11 E. 3. Abbot 9. 8 H. 5. 19. 37 H. 6. b. 24 H. 8. Br. Leases 19. F. N. B. 50. C.

But note, Reader, I conceive, that in the Case of a Lease for Life, if the Lessor accepts the same Rent which was demanded, he hath affirmed the Lease, for he cannot receive it as due on any Contract, as in the Case of a Lease for Years, but he ought to receive it as his Rent, and then he doth affirm the Lease to continue; for when he accepted the Rent, he could not have an Action of Debt for it, but his Remedy then was by Assise, if he had Seisin, or by Distress. And therefore I conceive in such Case, the Acceptance of the Rent shall bar him of his Re-entry: And it appears by Littleton, cap. Conditions, fol. 79. a. That in such Case, if the Lessor brings an (g) Assise for the Rent, he relinquishes, and waves the Benefit of his Re-entry, although it be for the Rent due at the same Day; but if he (b) re-enters first, then he may have an Action of Debt for the Rent behind, 17 E. 3. 73. 18 E. 3. 10. 30 E. 3. 7. 38 E. 3. 10. And afterwards, Mich. 39 & 40 Eliz. in the Common Pleas, which Plea began Hill. 38 Eliz. Rot. 1302. in Trespass between (i) March and Curtis, for Land in Essex, the like Judgment was given by Anderson, (Chief Justice there) Walmsley Justice, and the whole Court, Where a Lease for Years was made, rendring Rent, and with Condition that if the Lessee should assign his Term, that the Lessor might re-enter, and

(a) Roll. 408. Br. N. C. 265. Moor 292. 21 H. 7. 12. a. Mo. 345 346. 11ow. 413. a. 135. b. 142. b. Br. Condition 245. 2 Co. 53. b. 3 Co. 59. b. Co. Lit. 218. a. (b) Co. Lit. 215. a. Cr. El. 649. 650. 1 Leon. 61. 1 Roll's 473. n. 6. 8 Co. 95. b. 10 Co. 48. b. Co. Lit. 214. b. Lit. Sect. 347. (c) 8 Co. 95. b. 10 Co. 48. b. Co. Lit. 215. a. Perk. Sect. 831. F. N. B. 201. C. Dyer 127. pl. 56. Lit. Sect. 347. Plowd. 34. 4. (d) Cro. El. 18. 1 Roll's 331. Co. Lit. 45. b. Dyer 239. b. pl. 41. 42. 8 H. 5. 10. b. B. N. C. 381. (e) Co. Lit. 45. b. 1 Roll's 821. (f) Co. Lit. 44. b. B. N. C. 380. 2 E. 6. Br. Acceptance 20. Cr. Jac. 173. Dyer 46. pl. 9. Cro. Car. 96. 1 Roll's 476. 2 Roll Rep. 161. (g) Co. Lit. 211. b. Co. Lit. Sect. 341. (h) F. N. B. 120. H. 3 Co. 23. b. Kelw. 112. b. Br. Arrearages, 11. (i) Moor 425. Co. Lit. 215. n. 13. 1 Brownl. 78. Noy 7. Anderf. 42. 90. Cro. El. 528. 1 Roll's 427.

the Lessee assigned his Term, that altho' the Lessor had accepted the Rent by the Hands of the Lessee, yet forasmuch as the Lessor had not Notice of the Assignm. the Acceptance of the Rent did not (a) conclude him of his Entry: So this Point hath been adjudg'd by both Courts. See for the said Differences (which lie obscurely in our Books) 45 *Aff.* 5. the Case of Waste, 22 *H.* 6. 57. 6 *H.* 7. 3. *b.* *F.N.B.* 120, 122. *Plow. Com. Browning and Beston's Case* 133, 545. 14 *Aff.* 11. 40 *E.* 3. *Entry Congeable* 41. 11 *H.* 7. 17. 10 *E.* 3. 52. 21 *H.* 7. 12. 21 *H.* 6. 24. 39 *H.* 6. 27. 26 *H.* 8.

And in these two Cases many good Cases and Diff. were taken, when Acceptance of Rent (or other Thing) shall bar him who accepts it of the Arrearages of the Rent, of Reentry, of Action, or of Execution, and the Reason of the old Books briefly reported, and in an obscure Manner, well expl. If he who hath a Rent-Service or a Rent-Charge, accept the Rent due at the last Day, and thereof makes an Acquittance, all the (b) Arrearages due before are thereby discharged: And so was it adjudg'd between *Hopkins* and *Morton* in the Com. Pleas, *Hill. Rot.* 350. *Vide* 10 *Eliz. Dyer* 271. but there the Case is left at large; and therew. agrees 11 *H.* 4. 24. and 1 *H.* 5. 7. *b.* But note, it appears by the said Record of 10 *El.* that the Bar to the Avowry ought to be in such Case, with Conclus. of Judgm. if against this Deed of Acquittance he ought to make Avowry; so that it appears that the Acquittance is the Cause of the Bar of Estoppel in such Case. For it appears by 8 *Aff. pl. ult.* 9 *E.* 3. 9. 29 *E.* 3. 34. that if a Man makes a Lease for Life rendring Rent, or if there be Lord and Tenant by Fealty and Rent, and the Rent is behind for two Years; and afterwards the Lessor or the Lord disseises the Terre-tenant, and afterwards the Ten't recovers against him in Assise, and the Rent, which incurred during the Disseisin, is recoup'd in Damages, yet the Lord or Lessor shall recover in the Assise the Arrearages before the Disseisin; and the Bar of the latter Years, is no Bar of the Arrearages before. *Vide* 39 *H.* 6. *Bar.* 79. where the principal Case of Annuity may be good Law, either because there the Defendant pleaded the Acquittance for the last Day, and demanded Judgment of Action, where he ought to have relied upon the Acquittance. Or because in the Case of Annuity he is not bound to pay the Annuity without Acquittance: But in the Case of Rent-Service, or Rent-Charge, he who receives it is not compellable to make an Acquittance, but the making thereof is his voluntary Act, to which the Law doth not compel him.

If there be (e) Lord and Tenant, and the Rent is behind, and the Tenant makes a Feoffment in Fee, if the Lord accepts the Rent or Service of the Feoffee, he shall lose the Arrearages in the Time of the Feoffor, although

(a) Moor 426.

(b) 1 Syd. 44. Co. Lit. 373. a. 1 Keb. 95. pl. 84. 113. pl. 15. Raym. 21. 11 H. 4. 55. Moor 426. 87, 88. 1 And. 14. pl. 30. 2 And. 91. 178. N. Bendl. 188. pl. 228. Co. Ent. 539. pl. 8. 39 H. 6. Bar. 79. 1 H. 5. 7. b. Dy. 271. pl. 26.

(e) Co. Lit. 269.

though he makes no Acquittance; for after such Acceptance he shall not avow on the Feoffor at all, nor on the Feoffee, but for the Services which incurred in his Time, as appears in 4. E. 3. 22. 7 E. 3. 8. 7 E. 4. 27. 29 H. 8. *Br. Avowry* 111. But in such Case, if the Feoffor dies, altho' the Lord (a) accepts the Rent or Service by the Hand of the Feoffee, (a) 1 Roll's 317. Co. Lit. 269. b. he shall not lose the Arrearages, for now the Lord cannot avow on other, but only on the Feoffee; and that, to which the Law compels a Man, shall not prejudice him.

So and for the same Reason, if there be (b) Lord, Mesn, (b) Co. Lit. 269. and Tenant, and the Rent due by the Mesn is behind, and afterwards the Tenant doth forejudge the Mesn, and the Lord receives the Services of the Mesn, which now issue immediately out of the Tenancy, yet he shall not be barred of the Arrearages which issued out of the Mesnalty: So if the Rent be behind, and the Tenant dies, the Acceptance of the Services by the Hands of the (c) Heir shall not bar him (c) Co. Lit. 269. of the Arrearages; for in these Cases, altho' the Person be altered, yet the Lord doth accept the Rent and Services of him who only ought to do them; and all this appears in 4 E. 3. 22. 7 E. 3. 4. 7 E. 4. 27. 29 H. 8. *Avowry Br. III.* But Acceptance of Rent or Services by the Hands of the Feoffee shall not bar the Lord of the (d) Relief before due; (d) 2 And. 178. Cro. Eliz. 885. Moor 643. (e) 2 Roll's 514. 515. Co. Lit. 83. of Services; for if it were Part of the Services, then an Action of (f) Debt would not lie for it so long as the Rent continues, but it is as a Blossom of Fruit fallen from the Tree; and for Relief, it is not necessary to avow on any Person certain: And the Book in 4 E. 3. 22. is to be intended, that the Father made a Feoffment in Fee by (g) Collusion and died: And there it is held, that if the Lord had accepted the Services by the Hands of the Feoffee in the Life of the Father, he should lose his Relief. (f) Dall. 17 pl 6. Co. Lit. 83. a. b. 1 Roll's 596, 665. (g) Co. Lit. 84 a.

But note, Reader, Relief was not taken within the Equity of the Statute of *Marlebridge*, as it is adjudg'd in 17 [27] E. 3. 83: but now it is remedied by the Stat. of 32 & 34 H. 8. of Wills. But in the Case before, the Lord (before Acceptance of the Rent or Service by the Hands of the Feoffee) might have (b) avowed on the Feoffee for all the Arrearages incurred, as well in the Time of the Feoffor, as in the Time of the Feoffee, as it is adjudg'd in 7 H. 4. 14. 19 E. 2. *Avow.* 222. And by what hath been said it appears, that the Acceptance of Homage or any other Service of the Heir, shall not bar the Lord of Relief, *vid. temp. E. 1. Relief* 13. 15 E. 3. *ib.* 5. 16 E. 3. *ib.* 10. 3 E. 2. *Avow.* 190.

And it was further said, That if there be Lord and Tenant by Knights Service, and the Tenant enfeoffs his Son and Heir apparent within Age by Collus. if the Lord accepts the Services by the Hands of the Feoffee, he shall lose the (i) Wardship: But against that it was objected, (i) F. N. B. 142. E. 1 Co. 122. a. 2 Co. 94. a.

1. That the Feoffee might compel the Lord to avow on him, by giving Notice and tendring the Arrearages, and that which the Law compels one to do, shall not prejudice or estop him.

2. That Acceptance doth not conclude before Title accrued, and no Title of Wardship in this Case was accrued to the Lord at the Time of the Acceptance, but it accrued after the Death of the Feoffor.

As to the first, it was answer'd, That the Feoffee by no Tender that he could make, could compel the Lord to avow on him; for the Lord might shew, that the Feoffm. was by Collusion, against the Stat. of *Marlebridge*, cap. 6. and therefore he might maintain his Avowry on the Feoffor; for the Law will not compel him to avow on the Feoffee to his Prejudice.

As to the Second, it was answer'd, That the Statute of *Marlebridge* hath made such Feoffment, made by Collusion, as void and of no Effect as to the Lord: And therefore, if the Lord will affirm the Feoffment, and wave the Benefit of the Act, by accepting the Feoffee for his Tenant, he shall purge the Collusion, and lose the Wardship. And the Reason of *Prisot*, 33 H. 6. 16. that such Acceptance should not conclude the Lord was, because the Feoffee in such Case might compel the Lord to avow on him, but that is not Law; and against the Opinion of *Prisot*, see 31 E. 3. *Garde* 154. 33 E. 3. *Garde* 33. F. N. B. 142. And now the Doubt in 36 E. 3. *Garde* 11. is well explained.

WESTBY'S Case.

Hillary Term, 34 Eliz. in B. R. Rot.
169.

Memorandum, That at another Time, that is to say, London. ff. in the Term of St. Michael last past, before the Declaration in Lady the Q. at *Westm.* came *Titus Westby*, by *Tho. Cook* Debr on an his Attorney, and brought here into the Court of the said Escape. Lady the Q. then here, his Bill against *Tho. Skinner* and *John Catcher*, late Sheriffs of *London*, in Custody of the Marshal, &c. of a Plea of Debt, and are Pledges of Suit *John Doe* and *Rich. Roe*, which said Bill follows in these Words; *ff. London, ff. Titus Westby* complains of *Thomas Skinner* and *John Catcher*, late Sheriffs of *London*, in Custody of the Marshal of the Marshalsea, before the Queen herself, of a Plea, that they render to him 40 l. of lawful Money of *England*, which they owe to him and do unjustly detain. For that, to wit, That whereas *Tho. Smith*, Gent. *Edw. Winter*, Gent. and *Anthony Bustard*, Gent. by the Names of *Tho. Smith* of *Camden* in the County of *Gloucester*, Gent. *Edw. Winter* of *Worthington* in the County of *Leicester*, Gent. and *Anthony Bustard* of *Alderbury* in the County of *Oxford*, Gent. the 20th Day of *January* in the 29th Year of the Reign of the Lady the now Queen at *Westminster*, in the County of *Middlesex*, before Sir *Christopher Wray*, Knt. then Chief Justice of the said Lady the Queen of Pleas, before the said Queen holden assign'd, by their certain Writing obligatory, sealed with their Seals, had granted themselves to be bounded, and did acknowledge themselves to owe to the aforesaid *Titus*, by the Name of *Titus Westby*, Citizen and Merchant Taylor of *London*, in the Sum of 440 Pounds, to be paid to the said *Titus*, or to his certain Attorney, on shewing the said Writing) his Heirs, or Executors, in the Feast of the Annunciat. of the blessed *Mary* the Virgin

Pleadings in Westby's Case. PART III.

then next following; and if they should make default in the payment of the debt afores. then the said *Tho. Smith, Edw. Winter*, and *Anthony Bustard*, they willed and granted, that then there should run upon the said *Tho. Edw.* and *Anthony*, and every of them, their heirs and executors, the penalty in the Statute staple of debts for merchandizes in the same bought to be recovered, ordained and provided; and whereas also the said *Tho. Edw.* and *Anthony*, the said 440*l.* by them, in the form afores. acknowledged in the feast afores. to the afores. *Titus* had not paid, nor any of them had paid; by which afterwards, that is to say, the 11th day of *April* in the 30th year of the reign of the said Lady the now Queen, one *John Chomley*, Esq; the Clerk of the said Lady the now Q. the recognizance for debts, to be recovered according to the form of the Stat. in the like case provided, deputed by his writing, sealed with his seal, the recognizance afores. in the Chancery of the said L. the now Q. at *Westm.* afores. then being; at the request of the said *Titus*, did certify; and the said *Titus* thereupon afterwards, that is to say, the 31st Day of *August* in the 30th year of the reign of the said Lady the now Q. afores. sued forth out of the said Court of Chancery at *Westm.* afores. then being, a certain writ of the said Lady the Q. to the then Sheriffs of *London* directed; by which writ reciting, because the afores. *Tho. Smith, Edw. Winter*, and *Anthony Bustard*, the 20th day of *Jan.* in the 29th year of the reign of the said Lady the now Q. before *Christopher Wray*, Knt. Chief Justice of the said Lady the Q. of Pleas before the said Q. to be holden assigned, did acknowledge themselves, to owe to the abovesaid *Titus* 440*l.* which to the same *Titus* they ought to have paid in the feast of the Annunciation of the blessed *Mary* the Virgin then next following, and the same day of issuing out of this writ had not paid, nor any of them then had paid, as was said; the said L. the Q. by the said writ, the then Sheriffs of *London* commanded, that the bodies of the said *Tho. Smith, Edw. Winter*, and *Anthony Bustard*, if they were Laymen, to take, and in the prison of the said Lady the now Queen, until the said *Tho. Westby* be fully satisfied of the debt afores. safely to be kept; and all the lands and chattels of the said *Thomas, Edward*, and *Anthony*, in the Bailiwick of the said Sheriffs, by the Oaths of honest and lawful Men of their Bailiwick, they should diligently extend and apprise, and seise into the hands of the said Lady the Queen, that the same to the beforesaid *Titus*, until to him of his debt aforesaid he should be fully satisfied, they should make delivery, according to the form of the Statute at *Westm.* for the like debts to be recovered the 20f made and provided; and how the Sheriffs aforesaid should execute the same they should make known to the said Lady the Queen in her Chancery in 15 days of *St. Martin* then next coming where-

wherefoever it should then be by their Letters sealed; and that they should have there the said Writ; which said Writ the said *Titus Westby* afterwards, and before the said 15th Day of *St. Martin*, that is to say, the 8th Day of *Septemb.* in the 30th Year of the Reign of the said Lady the now Queen aforesaid at *London*, that is to say, in the Parish of *Christ-Church*, in the Ward of *Farrington* within delivered, to the said *Thomas Skinner* and *John Catcher*, then being Sheriffs of *London* in Form of Law to be executed: And the said *Titus* further saith, that the said *Anthony Bustard*, at the same Time of the Delivery of the said Writ to the said *Tho. Skinner* and *John Catcher*, as before is said, made, was a Layman, and yet is a Layman; and that by Virtue of the said Writ after and before the Return thereof, that is to say, the said 8th Day of *September* in the 30th Year of the Reign of the said Lady the now Queen aforesaid, the aforesaid *Thomas Skinner* and *John Catcher* then being Sheriffs of *London*, the aforesaid *Anthony Bustard* at *London*, in the Parish and Ward aforesaid, by Virtue of the Writ aforesaid, took and arrested, and the said *Anthony* in Execution for the said aforesaid 440*l.* then and there had accordi.g to the Exigency of the said Writ; and the said *Anthony*, under the Custody of the said *Tho. Skinner* and *John Catcher* Sheriffs, in Execution in the Form aforesaid being, the said *Tho. Skinner* and *John Catcher* Sheriffs, him the said *Anthony Bustard* afterwards, that is to say, the 20th Day of *Octob.* in the 30th Year aforesaid at *London*, in the Parish and Ward aforesaid, from the Custody of the said *Thomas Skinner* and *John Catcher* Sheriffs, to go at large, where he would, did suffer, the said *Titus* the aforesaid 440*l.* not being satisfied; by which an Action accrued to the said *Titus*, to require and have of the said *Thomas Skinner* and *John Catcher* the aforesaid 440*l.* for his Debt aforesaid, by the said *Anthony* in Form aforesaid acknowledged; yet the said *Thomas Skinner* and *John Catcher*, altho' often requested, &c. the aforesaid 440*l.* to the said *Titus* have not yet rendred, but have hitherto denied to render the same unto him, and do yet deny so to do; whereupon the said *Titus* saith, that he is the worse, and hath Damage to the Value of 440*l.* and thereof he bringeth his Suit, &c. And now at this Day, that is to say, *Monday* next after 8 Days of *St. Hillary* in the self same Term, until which Day the said *Thomas Skinner* and *John Catcher* had license to imparl to the said Bill, and then to answer, &c. before the Lady the Q. at *Westm.* come as well the said *Titus Westby*, by his Attorney aforesaid, as the said *Tho. Skinner* and *John Catcher*, by *Christo. Rust* their Attorney; and the said *Tho. Skinner* and *John Catcher* defend the Force and Injury when, &c. and say, that they do not owe

Pleadings in Westby's Case. PART III.

to the afores. *Titus* the afores. 440 *l.* or any Penny thereof, in Manner and Form as the said *Titus* above against them hath declared; and of this they put themselves upon the Country; and the said *Titus* likewise, &c. Therefore let a Jury come before the Lady the Queen at *Westminster* upon *Saturday* next after 15 Days of *Easter*; and who neither, &c. to recognize, &c. because as well, &c. The same Day is given to the Parties afores. here, &c. Afterwards the Proceeding thereof was continued between the Parties afores. of the Plea afores. by Jurors put thereof between them in Respite, before the Lady the Queen at *Westm.* until *Monday* next after three Weeks of *Holy Trinity* then next following; unless the beloved and faithful of the said Lady the Queen *John Popham*, Knt. Chief Justice of the said Lady the Queen of Pleas, in the Court of the said Lady the Queen to be holden, assigned, first, upon *Saturday* next after 15 Days of *Holy Trinity*, at *Guild-hall London*, by Form of the Stat. &c. should come for Default of Jurors, &c. At which *Monday* next after three Weeks of *Holy Trinity*, before the Lady the Queen at *Westm.* come the Parties afores. by their Attornies aforesaid; and the before said Chief Justice before whom, &c. sent here his Record before him had, in these Words, that is to say, Afterwards the Day and Place within contained, before *John Popham*, Knt. Chief Justice, within written, associating to him *Tho. Povey*, by the Form of the Statute, &c. came as well the within named *Titus Westby*, as the within written *Tho. Skinner* and *John Catcher*, by their Attornies within contained; and the Jurors sworn, whereof within mention is made, some of them came, and some of them did not come, as it appeareth in the Panel; and some of the said Jurors now appeared, that is to say, *John Sly*, *Tho. Worsnip*, *Arthur Parkins*, *Will. Tegoe*, and *John Wiggenton* appeared, and were sworn in the Jury afores. and because the rest of the Jurors of the said Jury did not appear; therefore others of the Standers-by, chosen by the Sheriffs of *Lond.* at the Request of the said *Titus Westby*, and by the Command of the Chief Justice afores. were anew put, whose Names are filed to the Panel within written, according to the Form of the Stat. in that case made and provided; which Jurors so anew put appeared, that is to say, *John Patison*, *Geo. Clarke*, *Alex. Sharpe*, *Edw. Flory*, *Tho. Chapman*, *Emmanuel Trumbel*, and *Henry Field* appeared, who being sworn to the Truth of the Matters within contained, with the other Jurors chosen, tried and sworn, say upon their Oath, That the within written *Thomas Smith*, *Edward Winter*, and *Anthony Bustard*, the within written 21st Day of *January* in the 29th Year within written, at *Westm.* in the County of *Middlesex* within written, before the within named *Christopher Wray*, Knt. then Ch. Justice of the Lady the Queen of Pleas before the Queen holden, assigned, by their Writing Obligatory within written,

sealed with their seals, granted themselves to be bounden, and acknowledged that they did owe to the afores. *Titus* the within written 440*l.* to be paid to the same *Titus*, or his certain Attorn. (on shewing that writing) their heirs or executors, on the within written feast of the Annunciation of the blessed *Mary* the Virgin then next following; and if they should make default in payment of the said debt, that then the said *Tbo. Smith*, *Edw. Winter*, and *Anthony Bustard*, willed and granted that then should run upon them the said *Tbo. Edw.* and *Anthony*, and every of them, their heirs and executors, the penalty in the Stat. of debts for merchandizes in the same bought to be recovered, ordained, and provided, in manner and form as the said *Titus* likewise within against them declared; and that the said Stat. which the said *Tbo. sueth*, *Edw. Winter*, and *Anthony Bustard* in form afores. acknowledged, afterwards, that is to say, the within written 11th day of *April* in the 30th year of the reign of the said Lady the now *Q.* within written, by the within named *John Chomley*, Esq; then Clerk of the said Lady the now *Q.* of recognizances of debts to be recovered, according to the form of the Stat. in the like case provided, deputed, by his writing within written, sealed with his seal, into the Chancery of the said Lady the *Q.* within written, it was certified in manner and form, as the said *Titus* within likewise against the said *Thomas Skinner* and *John Catcher* hath alledged: And that thereupon the said *Titus* afterwards, that is to say, the within written 31st day of *August* in the 30th year within written, sued forth out of the said Court of Chancery within written, the writ afores. within specified, of the said Lady the now *Q.* to the Sheriffs of *London* directed; by which writ the said Lady the now *Q.* then commanded the Sheriffs of *Lond.* that the bodies of the within named *Tbo. Smith*, *Edward Winter*, and *Anthony Bustard*, if they were Laymen, to be taken, and in the Prison of the said Lady the *Q.* until the said *Tit. Westby* of the debt afores. was fully satisfied, they should cause safely to be kept; and all the lands and chattels of the said *Tbo. Edw.* and *Anthony*, in the Bailiwick of the said Sheriffs, by the oath of honest and lawful men of the said Bailiwick, by whom the truth of the matter may best be known, according to the true value thereof, they diligently cause to be extended and apprized, and into the hands of the said *L. the Q.* they cause to be seised, that the same to the afores. *Titus*, until he should be fully satisfied of the debt afores. they might be delivered according to the form of the Stat. at *Westm.* for the like debts to be recovered, thereof made and provided; and how the said Sheriffs have executed the said command, that they make known to the said Lady the *Q.* in the Chancery, within 15 days of *St. Martin* then next, wheresoever it should then be, by their letters sealed, and that they should have then there that Writ. Which said writ, the said

Pleadings in Westby's Case. PART III.

said Jurors say upon their Oath aforesaid, That the said *Titus Westby* afterwards, that is to say, the within written 8th Day of *Septemb.* in the 30th Year aforesaid, at *London* afores. that is to say, in the within written Parish of *Christ-Church* in the Ward of *Farrington* within, delivered to the said *Tho. Skinner* and *John Catcher*, then being Sheriffs of *London*, in Form of Law, to be executed in Manner and Form as the afores. *Titus* within likewise against them declared: And further the Jurors afores. say upon their Oath aforesaid, that the said *Anthony Bustard* then, that is to say, the aforesaid 8th Day of *Septemb.* in the 30th Year afores. was in the Gaol of the said Lady the Q. that now is of *Newgate*, under the Custody of the said *Tho. Skinner* and *J. Catcher*, then Sheriffs of *London* afores. in Execution at the Suit of one *Rob. Dighton*, for the Debt of 240 l. and the said *Anthony Bustard* so there in Execution being, the said *Thomas Skinner* and *John Catcher*, then being Sheriffs of *London* within written, the 8th Day of *Septemb.* in the 30th Year aforesaid, by Virtue of the Writ aforesaid, at *London* aforesaid, took and arrested the within named *Anthony Bustard*, in Manner and Form as the said *Titus* within likewise against the said *Thomas Skinner* and *John Catcher* declared; and that the said *Anthony Bustard* so taken and arrested, under the Custody of the said *Thomas Skinner* and *John Catcher*, then Sheriffs of *London* aforesaid, in Form aforesaid being, the said then Sheriffs of *London*, the said *Anthony Bustard*, in Execution for the aforesaid 440 l. then and there had, according to the Command of the said Writ: And moreover, the Jurors aforesaid say upon their Oath aforesaid, that the said *Anthony Bustard*, so in Custody of the said *Thomas Skinner* and *John Catcher*, for the aforesaid 440 l. for the aforesaid other Debt of 240 l. to the said *Robert Dighton*, in Form aforesaid being, the said *Thomas Skinner* and *John Catcher* afterwards, that is to say, the within written 20th Day of *October* in the 30th Year aforesaid, in the going out of their Office aforesaid, the said *Anthony Bustard*, by Indenture delivered to *Hugh Offeley* and *Richard Saltonstall*, in Execution for the aforesaid Debt of the said *Robert Dighton*, without any other Mention of the said Execution of 440 l. made to the aforesaid *Hugh Offeley* and *Richard Saltonstall*, or to any of them given or notified. And further, the said Jurors say upon their Oath afores. that then, that is to say, the 20th Day of *October* in the 30th Year aforesaid, the said *Thomas Skinner* and *John Catcher* from their Office aforesaid were discharged. And further, the said Jurors say upon their Oath aforesaid, that after that the said *Thomas Skinner* and *John Catcher*,
from

from their Office aforesaid, in Form aforesaid, were discharged, that the said *Anth. Bustard*, without Payment of any of the aforesaid Debts, in the Custody of the afores. *Hugh Offeley*, and *Rich. Saltonstall*, in Form aforesaid being for the said 240 l. the said *Hugh Offeley* and *Rich. Saltonstall*, the said *Anth. Bustard*, having none, nor any of them ever having any Notice to them, or either of them given of the said Execution of the aforesaid 440 l. at *London* aforesaid, out of the Prison aforesaid, suffered to go at large where he would: But whether upon the whole Matter aforesaid, in Form aforesaid found, the said *Thomas Skinner* and *John Catcher* ought to be charged for the aforesaid Debt of 440 l. in Law, or not, the Jurors aforesaid are altogether ignorant. And they pray the Advice of the Court of the said Lady the Q. before the Q. herself being. And if it shall seem to the said Court, That the said *Tho. Skinner* and *John Catcher*, ought to be charged for the said 440 l. in Law, upon the whole Matter above found, the said Jurors say upon their Oath aforesaid, that the said *Tho. Skinner* and *John Catcher* do owe to the said *Titus Westby* the said 440 l. in Manner and Form as the said *Titus* within against them declared: And they do also assess the Damages of the said *Titus Westby* by Occasion of detaining of the said Debt, besides his Charges and Costs by him about his Suit in this Part expended, to 20 l. and for his Charges and Costs to 53 s. and 4 d. And if it shall seem to the Court aforesaid, that the said *Thomas Skinner* and *John Catcher* do not owe to the said *Titus Westby* the said 440 l. in Manner and Form, as the said *Thomas Skinner* and *John Catcher* within by pleading have alledged, Then, &c. And because the Court of the Lady the Queen that now is, here of their Judgment of and upon the Premises to be given, are not yet advised; Day is given to the Parties aforesaid, before the Lady the Q. at *Westm.* until *Tuesday* next after 8 Days of *St. Michael*, to hear their Judgment thereof, &c. because the Court of the Lady the Q. here thereof not yet, &c. Before which Day, the Plaint aforesaid was adjourned, by the Writ of the Lady the Q. of Common Adjournment, before the Q. at *West.* until from the Day of *St. Mich.* in a Month; at which Day the Plaint aforesaid was further adjourned by another Writ of the said Lady the Q. of Common Adjournment, before the Q. until the Morrow of *All Souls* then next following, at the Castle of *Hartford* in the County of *Hartford*. At which Day, before the Lady the Queen at the Castle of *Hertford*, came the Parties aforesaid, by their Attornies aforesaid: And because the Court of the L. the Q. here, of giving their Judgm. of and upon the Premises is not yet advised, further Day is given to the Parties aforesaid, before the Lady the Queen at the
 Castle

Pleadings in Westby's Case. PART III.

Castle of *Hertford* afores. until *Tuesday* next after 8 Days of *St. Hill.* to hear their Judgment thereof, because the Court of the L. the Q. here thereof not yet, &c. And so from Term to Term until *Thursday* next after 8 Days of *St. Hill.* to hear their Judgment, &c. because the Court of the Lady the Q. here, &c. At which Day, before the Q. at *Westm.* came the the Parties afores. by their Attorn. afores. upon which here all and singular the Premises being seen, and by the Court of the Lady the Q. looked into and understood, and mature Deliberation thereof had, because that it seems to the Court of the said Lady the now Q. here, that the said *Tho. Skinner* and *John Catcher* ought to be charged for the said 440 l. It is granted, That the said *Titus Westby* shall recover against the afores. *Tho. Skinner* and *John Catcher* his Debt afores. and his Damages afores. by the Jurors afores. in Form afores. assessed, as also 10 l. 13 s. and 4 d. for his Charges and Costs afores. to the said *Titus*, by the Court of the said Lady the Q. here, with his Assent of Increase, adjudged, which Damages in the whole do amount to 33 l. 6. and 8 d. and the said *Tho. Skinner* and *John Catcher*, in Mercy, &c. Afterwards, that is to say, upon *Monday* the 10th Day of *Febr.* in the 37th Year of the Reign of the said L. the now Q. the Transcript of the Record, and Proceedings between the Parties afores. with all Things touching the same, by a certain Writ of the L. the Q. of correcting Errors, by the said *Tho. Skinner* and *John Catcher*, in the Premises, was brought to the Justices of the Lady the Q. of the Common Pleas, and the Barons of the *Exchequer*, of the L. the Q. in the chamber of the *Exchequer* afores. according to the Form of a Stat. made in the Parliam. of the said L. the Q. held at *Westm.* the 23d Day of *Nov.* in the 37th Year of her Reign, in the same Court of the said L. the Q. here before the Q. herself were sent, the afores. *Tho. Skinner* and *John Catcher*, into the Court of the *Exchequer* afores. divers Matters for Errors, in the Record and Proceedings afores. for the revoking and annulling of the Judgment aforesaid assigned: To which the said *Titus*, in the said Court appearing pleaded, that neither in the Record, nor in the Proceedings afores. for the revoking and annulling of the Judgment afores. in any Thing was there Error: After which, that is to say, on *Monday* the 20th Day of *Oct.* in the 37th Year of the Reign of the said now Q. the Prem. seen, and by the Court of the said L. the Q. there dilligently examined and fully understood, as well the Record and Proceedings afores. and the Judgment upon the same given, as the Cause afores. for Error by the said *Thomas* and *John* above assigned and alledged, it seemed to the Court there, that the Record aforesaid was in nothing vitious or defective, and that in the Record aforesaid, is Error

in nothing ; therefore then and there in the same Court it was granted, that the Judgment aforesaid should be affirmed in all Things, and stand in all its Force and Effect, the said Causes for Error here assigned in any Thing notwithstanding : And farther it was granted that the said *Titus* should recover against the said *Thomas* and *John* 80 s. to the said *Titus*, by his Assent, by the said Court of the Lady the Q. there adjudged, according to the Form of the Stat. lately made and provided for his Costs and Charges, which he hath had by the Reason of the Delay of the Execution of the Judgment aforesaid, by Colour of prosecuting the said Writ of Error, &c. And thereupon the Record aforesaid, as also the Proceedings thereon before the Justices of the Com. Pleas and the Barons of the *Exchequer* aforesaid, in the Premises, had before the Queen, wheresoever, &c. were by the Justices and Barons aforesaid sent back, according to the Form of the Stat. aforesaid, &c. And in the same Court of the said Lady, now here before the Queen, they remain, &c.

WESTBY'S

WESTBY'S Case.

Michaelmas 39 and 40 Eliz.

*Adjudged in the King's Bench, but the
Plea began Hill. 34 Eliz. Rot. 169.*

Cro. El. 685.
1 of h. 85 Moor
683.

John Westby brought an Action of Debt against *Skinner* and *Catcher*, late Sheriffs of *London*, for an Escape; and the Case was, One *Bustard* was severally in Execution under the Custody of the Defendants, then Sheriffs of *Lond.* as well at the Suit of one *Dighton*, as at the Plaintiff's Suit, and the Defendants, at the End of their Year, deliver'd over the Body of *Bustard*, amongst others, to the new Sheriffs by Indenture, in which Indenture the Execution at the Suit of *Dighton* was mentioned, but the Execution at the Suit of the Plaintiff was omitted: And afterwards *Bustard* (always being in the Gaol) in the Time of the new Sheriffs, escaped. And if the Defendants should be charged with this Escape was the Question. And it was strongly objected on the Defendants Part, that they could not be charged. For they had delivered the Body of the Defendant then being in the Gaol to the new Sheriffs, and therefore the Escape did begin in their Time, for which they ought to be charged, and not the old Sheriffs; and for as much as the new Sheriffs had the Party in their Custodies, they ought at their Peril to take Notice of all Executions (being Matters of Record) against him, and ought to keep him till all are satisfied. But it was adjudged that the Defendants being the old Sheriffs should be charged; and in this Case four Points were resolv'd unanimously *per totam curiam*.

1 Sid. 335.
2 Leon. 54.

Cro. Jac. 588.

1. That when the Body of *Bustard* was delivered to the new Sheriffs as in Execution at the Suit of *Dighton* only, he was thereby out of Custody of the old Sheriffs; and he could not be in the Custody of the new Sheriffs for the Plaintiff's Execution, because he was not delivered to them, nor they charged with him for the Plaintiff's Execution; and

and altho' he was within the Walls of the Prison, yet it was an Escape in Law as to the Plaintiff: For the Plaintiff, in whom was no Default, ought not to be without Remedy in this Case; but because the Default was in the Defendants, in as much as they omitted the Plaintiff's Execution in (a) their Indenture, for this Cause it is Reason that they should be charged: And as to that which was asked, When the Escape began in this Case? It was answered and resolved, That *eo instante*, that the old Sheriffs delivered their Prisoners to the new Sheriffs, they Cease to have the Custody of any of them; and *eo instante* doth the Escape begin as to the Plaintiff. So, Reader, you may observe, that the Law doth adjudge one, who remains in Prison, to escape. See *Plow. Comm. 37. Plat's Case, the Opinion of (b) Chomley Chief Baron.*

(a) Cr. Jac. 588.
2 Rol. Rep. 146.

2. It was resolv'd, That the old Sheriffs ought to give Notice to the new Sheriffs of all the Executions which are against any who are in their Custody; altho' the Executions be of Record, yet the new Sheriffs should not take Notice of them at their Peril, but should be charged only with such whereof the old Sheriffs gave them Notice: For it was observed, that in the general Case of Sheriffs of *England*, when the King makes a (c) new Patent to another to be Sheriff, altho' the old Sheriff had his Office but *durante bene placito*, yet it appears by the Register, that presently after the Patent a Writ *de Comitatu Commiss.* which is commonly called a Writ of Discharge, issueth: The Effect of which Writ is, *Rex omnibus ad quos, &c. salutem: Sciatis quod commisimus dilecto nobis S. (who is now Sheriff) Com' nostr' N. cum pertinentiis custodiend', quamdiu nobis placuerit, &c. In cuius rei, &c. And then is another Writ directed to the old Sheriff, and the Effect thereof is, Et mandatum est N. nuper Vic' Com' predict' quod eidem S. Com. predict' cum pertinen', una cum rotulis, brevibus, memorandis, & omnibus aliis officium illud tangent' quæ in custodia sua existunt, per indent' inde modo debet' conficiend' liberet custodiend' in forma predict', Teste, &c. And all this appears in the Register (d) 295. a. & (d) Cr. El. 366. b. by which appears the great Care the Law hath of Executions, which are the Fruit of every Suit. But it was resolv'd, that till the Prisoners are (e) delivered to the new Sheriffs, they remain in the Custody of the old Sheriffs, notwithstanding the new Letters Patents, the Writ of Discharge, and the Writ of Delivery. And although it was said by some in the Case at Bar, That if the old Sheriffs had given Notice to the new Sheriffs by (f) Parol of the Plaintiff's Execution, it had been sufficient; yet it appears by the (g) Register, that the new Sheriff may compel the old Sheriff to make the Delivery by Indenture. Note; in *London*, the Mayor and Com-*

(b) Plowd. 37. a.
2 Ventris 19.

(c) Cr. El. 12.

(d) Cr. El. 366.
9 Co. 98. a.

(e) Cr. Jac. 588.
1 Bullstr. 70, 75:

(f) Moor 689.
(g) Cr. El. 366.

Commonalty have the Office of Sheriffs of *London* and *Middlesex* by Charter, and two Sheriffs are yearly chosen: So that it was agreed, that after their Election, and before the Delivery over of the Prisoners to the new Sheriffs, they remain in Custody of the old Sheriffs.

3. It was resolv'd, That if the Sheriff hath in his Custody divers Persons in Execution, and dies, and afterwards a new Sheriff is made, he must take Notice at his (a) Peril of all the Executions which are against any Person which he finds in the Gaol; and that for Necessity; for there is no Person to make Delivery of them to him, or to give him Notice: And there is no Mischief to the Sheriff if he keep them well, until he have perfect Notice of all the Executions; but if he might with Impunity suffer those who are in Execution to escape, great Inconvenience would from thence ensue.

4. It was resolv'd, If the Sheriff (b) dies, and before another is made, one who is in Execution breaks the Prison and goes at Liberty, it is no Escape; for when the Sheriff dies, all the Prisoners are in the (c) Custody of the Law till a new Sheriff be made; and therefore, altho' they were in the *interim* out of the Walls of the Prison, yet the Law hath the Custody of them, and keeps them in Execution without any (d) fresh Suit, in what Place soever they are, and they may be taken in Execution at any Time after. For no Escape can be in Prejudice of the Plaintiff, but when some Person may be charged for it, and the Law deceives no Man.

(a) Cro. El. 365,
366. Poph. 85.
Winch 108.

(b) 1 Mod. Rep
14. Hardres 35

(c) Hardres 35

(d) Antea 52. b.

The Case of the Dean and Chapter of NORWICH.

Mich. 40 & 41 Eliz. in Chancery.

KING H. 8. by his Letters Patents bearing Date 2 *Maii*, Anno Regni sui 30. *Authoritate sua regia, ac auctoritate sua in terra supremi capitis Ecclesiæ Anglicanæ, qua tunc fungebatur, de gratia sua speciali, &c. Cænobium de Priore & Conventu Ecclesiæ Cathedralis sanctæ Trin. Norwici in Decanum & Capitulum Ecclesiæ Cathedralis Sanctæ Trin. Norwici transposuit & mutavit.* And by the said Letters Patents the King discharged the Prior and Convent by their special and particular Names, *tam de habitu suo, quam de regula,* (the said Priory being of the Order of St. Bennet :) *ipsisque Decanum, Præbendarios, & Canonicos in Ecclesia prædictæ realiter posuit & constituit, & concessit eisdem Decano, Præbendariis & Canonicis, Quod ipsi & successores sui sub nomine, & per nomen Decani & Capituli Ecclesiæ Cathedralis Sanctæ Trin. Norwici, sint de cætero imperpetuum unum corpus corporatæ in re & nomine; Ac eosdem Decanum & capitul. perpetuis temporibus duratur. incorporavit, &c. Et ulterius concessit quod idem Decanus & Capitulum, & successores sui omnia & singula dominia, maneria, terras, & hereditament. quæcunque, &c. quæ ad prædictum nuper Priorem in jure Ecclesiæ Cathedralis prædictæ spectabant & pertinebant, habere, tenere, gaudere, & possidere sibi & successoribus suis imperpetuum, &c. possint & valeant, &c.* And further granted, that they should be the Chapter of the Bishop of Nor-

K

wich,

2 And. 120, 165.
4 Inst. 257.
1 Jones 166, 167.
1 Co. 126. a.
Palm. 491.
Treby's Argument in quo Warranto 8.
Winch 38.
Ley 74.

Dean and Chap. of Norwich's Case. PART III.

wich, and his Successors. And on the Sight of the Foundation, and divers other ancient Instruments of the said Priory, it was a great Question who was Founder, *scil.* the King or *Herbert*, formerly Bishop of *Norwich*. But it was admitted without any Prejudice to any Party, that *Herbert* was Founder; and afterwards the said Dean and Chapter by their Deed enrolled surrender'd to King *Ed. 6.* in the second Year of his Reign their Church and all their Possessions: And afterwards the King in the same Year incorporated them again, *per nomen Decani & Capituli Ecclesie Cathedralis Sancte & individue Trinitat' Norwici, ex fundatione Regis Edw. 6.* And afterwards the King in the same Year regranted their Church and all their Possessions (except certain Manors, &c.) to them by the Name of Dean and Chap. *Ecclesie Cathedralis Sancte & individue Trinitatis Norwici*, (omitting these Words, *ex fundatione Regis Edw. 6.*) and to their Successors. And one *William Downing* and other needy and indigent Persons, who endeavour'd to repair their poor declining Estates by the Dissolution of the said Cathedral Church, and of all the Possessions of the said Dean and Chapter, did pretend, That the said Cathedral Church and all the said Possessions were concealed from the Queen: And that they were (in the Queen's great Deceit under general and obscure Words) passed by Letters Patents of Concealment. And they did pretend that these Possessions were concealed for two Causes:

See Arthur
Legat's Case
10 Co. 109, &c.

First, That the said Translation was void, and then the old Corporation of Prior and Covent remained till the Death of all the Monks (which happen'd *cor' anno 18 El.*) And that by the Death of all the Monks, the said Possessions came to the Q. by the Stat. of 31 *H. 8.* of Monasteries.

Secondly, Admitting that the Translation was good, yet, by the said Surrender made to King *Edw. 6.* the King was seized of all their Possessions, and the Re-grant aforesaid was void; for the said Misnomer of the Corporation of the Dean and Chapter, *scil.* by Reason of the omitting the said Words (*Ex fundatione Regis Edw. 6.*) And this great Cause concerning a Cathedral Church and all the Possessions thereof, and concerning the Interest mediately and immediately of a great Number of the Farmers and Lessees, was by the Command of the Q. (who was very greatly offended that she was so deceived, and especially concerning a Cathedral Church which was of the Erection of her most happy Father) she herself also much favouring the said Cathedral Church, referred it to Sir *Tbo. Egerton*, Keep. of the Great Seal, *Popbam* and *Anderjon* Ch. Justices, and *Periam* Chief Baron. And now *Mich. 40 & 41 El.* at the L. Keeper of the G. Seal's House, called *York-house*, before them this Cause was argued

2 And. 120, 121,
165, 166, 167.
1 Jones 166 167,
170. Palm 472,
494, 495, 503.
Cr. Car. 17c.
Postea 75 a 76.
a. Hob. 124.

argued by the Counsel of the said Concealers: And the Effect of their Objections and Arguments here follow.

First, They did admit that the said Priory was of the Foundation of *Herbert* formerly Bp. of *Norwich*; and then they said, That for as much as the Founder was not Party to the said Translation, the said Translation was void. And to prove that the Founder of a Priory hath such Interest that he ought to join, divers Books were cited; that is to say, 39 *H. 6. 14.* 50 *Ed. 3. 27. a.* 11 *Ed. 3. Qu. Impedit 157.* 22 *H. 6. 25. b.* 9 *H. 6. 33.* 24 *Ed. 3. 77. b.* 30 *Ed. 3. 21. b.* 6 *E. 3. 34.* A *Quare Impedit* brought by the Founder of a Priory. But as to this Point, it was answered by *Coke* Attorney Gen. That first, if the K. was Founder, as he affirmed on the Sight of the Foundation and other Records he was, notwithstanding the Admittance in (a) 3 *H. 7.* then the Case is without Question. But admitting the Bishop were Founder, yet the Translation was good; for it appears by the old Books, that the *Pope might have discharged a Monk, or other dead Person in Law of his Profession, as appears in (b) 3 *H. 6. 23.* by *Martin*, 26 *H. 6.* (c) *Nonability*; (d) 14 *H. 8. 16. b. &c.* And by Consequence by the Stat. of 25 *H. 8. cap. 21.* King *H. 8.* might do it; and accordingly he hath discharged the said Prior and Monks of their Order and Profession, and translated them into Dean and Chapter by the said Letters Patents, and so none of the said Books, which were cited by the adverse Party, can be applied to this Case. Also there is not any Prejudice to the Founder, for he remains Founder notwithstanding this Translation; and nothing is altered but only the Order and Profession; and where the Prior and Covent was, the Chapter of the Bishop, now the Dean and Chapter supply it; and this Priory was eligible and not presentable, as it was agreed on both Sides: And that this Translation was good, the Case of 11 *Eliz. Dyer 280.* (e) *Corbei's Case* proves it; where the Case was of the Translat. of this same Deanery; and by the Judgment of the Parliament in 33 *H. 8. cap. 29.* it appears, that such (f) Translations made by King *H. 8.* from Prior and Covent into Dean and Chapter were good. Further it appears, (g) 17 *E. 3. 40.* & 10 *E. 3. 1. a.* that all Chapters were Monks, and notwithstanding the (h) Translat. of them into Prebendaries or Canons, and Change of their Habit, the Advowson did remain as it was before; and for such Translations, see 36 (39) *H. 5. 13.* 38 *Aff. 22.* 49 *Aff. 8.* 49 *E. 3. 14.* 20 *E. 3. Nonability 9.* 22 *R. 2. Breve 936.* 14 *H. 4. 10.* 7 *E. 4. 32.* But admitting this Translat. were imperfect or void for this or any other Cause, yet it is made good by the Stat. of (i) 35 *El. c. 3.* in which the Preamble which declares the Mischiefs, and the Parts of the Purview and Body of the Act are to be considered. It appears by the Preamble, that divers Doubts and Ambiguities had been moved concerning two Things:

(a) 3 *H. 7. 6. b.*
Br. Return de
Brief 116.

* 1 Jones 160.

(b) 3 *H. 6. 24. a.*

per *Martin.*

(c) 26 *H. 6. Non-*

ability 13.

(d) 14 *H. 8. 17. a.*

(e) *Falm. 493.*

495. *Dyer 280.*

pl. 11, 12.

(f) 4 *Co. 87. b.*

1 *Sand. 344.*

6 *Co. 65. a.*

Moor 581.

(g) 17 *E. 3. 40. b.*

per *Pa. n.*

(h) *Co. Lit.*

102. b.

(i) 11 *Co. 11. a.*

2 *And. 121.*

Dean and Chap. of Norwich's Case. PART III.

1. Touching Surrenders, Grants and Conveyances made to King *Hen. 8.* by Abbots, Priors and other Religious and Ecclesiastical Persons, after the 4th Day of *Feb. 27 H. 8.*

2. Touching the Validity of Erections of such Deans and Chapters, and Colleges, which were erected, ordain'd, made or founded, by *H. 8.* after the said 4th Day of *Feb.* to explain and remove which Doubts, two Remedies were provided by the said Act. First, To settle and establish all the Possessions of such religious Persons in the King. Secondly, To perfect and establish the Deans and Chapters and Colleges, erected, founded, incorporated or endowed by *K. H. 8.* as is aforesaid. And this Case is within the latter Clause; For first, when the King created them into Dean and Chapter, *viz.* from Regular to Secular, here is a Dean and Chapter newly erected and created by the King: Also it is within this Word *incorporate*; for without Question, the King by his said Letters Patent hath incorporated them *per nomen Decani & Capituli, &c.* And in two Places of the said Foundation the King uses the Word of the said Act, *viz. (Corporamus)*; and it is to be well observ'd, how beneficially and learnedly the Statute of 35 *Eliz.* is indited, for the Remedy of the said Doubts and Ambiguities. For the Act doth not make all Erections, Foundations, &c. made by King *H. 8. &c.* good, &c. for then it might have been objected, that there was not any Erection, Foundation, &c. in Law, *Et quod contra legem factum est, pro infecto habetur*: And yet such Objection (if the Act had been so penned) had been material: But in our Case to take away all Objections, the Words of the Act are, " All Letters Patents made, &c. for the Erection, Foundation, Incorporation or Endowment of any Dean and Chapter, or College, were and shall be reputed, taken and adjudg'd to have been good, perfect and effectual in Law, for all Things therein contained, according to the true Intent and Meaning of the same: Any Thing, Matter or Cause to the contrary thereof in any wise notwithstanding.

And without Quest. the said Lett. Pat. of 30 *H. 8.* were made for the *Erect. and Incorporat. of the Dean and Chapt.* And the said Letters Pat. are by the Act adjudged to be good for all Things therein contained; and in them is contained not only their Incorporation into a Dean and Chapter, but also a Grant to them and their Successors, that they shall enjoy and have all Lordships, Manors, Lands, &c. as appears by the Charter before: So that the Incorporation, and all that is contained in the said Lett. Pat. is adjudg'd good: And it is also adjudg'd by Parliam. that they shall enjoy all the
Lord.

Co Lit. 250.

4 Co. 31. a.

Lordship, Manors, Lands, &c. which were Parcel of the Possessions of the Priory aforefaid; and there is no Saving but only for Rights, &c. before the 4th Day of *Feb. an. 27 H. 8.* So it is manifest that this Act of 35 *Eliz.* being an Act of Explanation (which always is bene. cially to be interpreted) adjudges the Corporation good, and establishes their Possessions in them against all Titles which might accrue to the King or any other after the 4th Day of *Feb. an. 27 H. 8.* And therefore all those, who pretend any Title by any Letters Patents of Concealment, are for ever barred of all Preferences and Claims which they can make to any of these Possessions.

Secondly, it was objected, That altho' the Translation was good, yet the said Dean and Chap. had not any Estate or Right in the said Possessions; for by their Surrender to *K. Ed. 6.* of their said Church, and all their Manors, Lands, and Possessions, the King was seized of them in Fee; then the King new incorporated them, *Per nomen Decani & Capituli Ecclesie Cathedralis Sancte & individue Trinitat' Norwicensi. ex fundatione Regis Ed. 6.* And afterwards the said King re-granted their Possessions to them by the Name of Dean and Chap. *Sancte & individue Trinit' Norwici,* omitting these Words, *(a) ex fundatione Regis Edw. 6.* which Grant was void, as it was objected, by Reason of Misnomer of the Corporation, in as much as the Name of the Founder being material, Part of the Name of the Corporation was omitted.

To which it was answer'd by the Attorney General, That the said Dean and Chapter had good Estate and Right in the said Possession for divers Causes.

1. Altho' they had *(b)* surrendred their Church and Possessions, yet their Corporation continued, and they remained the Chapter of the Bishop; For altho' there cannot be a Warden of a Chapel, if the Chapel and all the Possessions be aliened as seems by *(d) 15 Aff. 8.* because he cannot be Warden of nothing, yet that is not like, nor can be applied to the Case now in Question. And for the better apprehending thereof, it was said, That inasmuch as it was impossible that the Church of God should continue without Sects and Heresies, it was in Christian Policy thought and re-thought necessary, that every Bishop should be *(e)* assisted with a Council, *scil.* with a Chapter, and that for two Reasons:

1. To consult with them in Matters of Difficulty, and to assist him in deciding of Controversies concerning Religion, to which Purpose every Bishop *habet Cathedram.*

2. To consent to every Grant, &c. which the Bishop should make to bind his Successor. For it was not reasonable to impose so great a Charge, or to repose such Confidence in any single Person, or to give Power to one Person,

(a) Hob. 124.
Cr. Car. 170.
Antea 73. b.
2. And. 120, 121,
165, 166, 167.
1 Jones 166, 167.
170. Palm. 92.
494, 495, 503.
Postea 76. a.

(b) Davis 1. b.
1 Jones 168.
2 Roll's Rep.
453. Palm. 492.
Treby's Argu-
ment in Quo
Warranto 10.
Pollexfen's Argu-
ment in Quo
Warranto 99.
Foltea 76. b.
(c) Palm. 493.
(d) Palm. 493.
494, 495, 500,
501, 502. 2 Rol.
Rep. 453. Davis
1. b. 10 Co. 29.
a.

(e) Co. Lit. 94. a.
2 Roll's Rep.
453.

Dean and Chap. of Norwich's Case. PART III.

only to prejudice his Successor. And therefore it appears in 25 *Aff.* 8. 17 *E.* 3. 40. 10 *E.* 3. 10. 50 *E.* 3. 16. that at the first all the Possessions were to the (a) Bishop, afterwards a certain Portion was assigned to the Chapter; *Ergo*, the Chapter was before they had any Possessions. And of common Right the Bishop is (b) Patron of all the Prebendaries, because their Possessions are deriv'd from him; *Et Præbenda dicitur a præbendo, quia præberet auxilium Episcopo*; so that altho' the Dean and Chapter depart with their Possessions, yet, for Necessity, the Corporation doth remain as well to assist the Bishop in his Office, as to give their Assent to the Estates, &c. which he shall make, &c. of his Temporalities; and so long as the Bishoprick remains, they being his Chapter and Council, they may well remain, altho' they have not any Possessions, and shall be now as they were at the first, without any Possessions; and especially when the Bishoprick may consist wholly of Spirituality, as *Stouf* saith in 10 *E.* 3. 1. b. in the Case of the Bishop of *Norwich*, and 25 *Aff.* 8. by *Fisber*. And in 17 *E.* 3. 59. the Prior and Friars Carmelites had not any Place nor Possessions: And *Br. tit. (c) Corporation* 78. *ann.* 32 *H.* 8. *Fitz.* held, that if an Abbot or Prior and Convent sold all their Possessions, yet the Corporation remain'd, which, without Question, is good Law, if they were the Chapter to a Bishop. And in 15 *Aff.* 8. it is held, That if the Body of a Prebend be a Manor, and no more, and the Manor be recovered from him by Title paramount, yet his Corporation doth remain; for he hath *Stallum in (d) choro, & vocem in Capitulo*, and he is a Prebendary, altho' he have not Possessions; which is all one with our Case, for all the Chapter are Prebendaries.

(a) 10 Co. 28. b.
Palm. 495.
Cr. El. 79.

(b) Dy. 61. pl.
30. Cr. El. 79.

(c) Palm. 501.
502, 493, 494.
Dav. 1. b.
B. N. C. 170.

(d) 1 Jones 168.
Palm. 494, 501,
502. 2 Roll's
Rep. 453.

Also the Attorney said, that it appeared in this very Case; that after the Dean and Chapter had granted and surrendered their Church and Possessions to King *E. 6.* the King by his Letters Patents incorporated them *per nomen Decani & Capituli Ecclesie Cathedralis Sancte & individue Trinitatis Norwicensis. ex fundatione Regis E. 6.* And three Days after, the King by other Letters Patents granted their Church and Possessions as is aforesaid; by which and many other like Foundations it appears, That there may be a Dean and Chapter without a Church or any Possessions; and if the Law should not be so, many great Inconveniencies would ensue. And in 10 *Eliz.* *Dyer* (e) 273. altho' the Dean and Chapter of *Wells*, by express Words, granted and surrendered *Diaconatum de Wells, &c.* yet it was not thought sure till the Grant and Surrender was establish'd and confirmed by Act of Parliament.

(e) *Dyer* 273.
pl. 35, 36, 8cc.
2 *Keb.* 167.
2 *Roll's Rep.*
103. *Dav.* 46. b.
3. a. *Styl.* 181.
Treby's Argu-
ment in Quo
Warranto 10.
(f) 5 Co. 14. b.
Caudry's Case.
Co. Lit. 134. a.
Day. 81. a.

And altho' all Bishopricks were of the (f) Foundation of the Kings of *England*, and therefore in ancient Time they were donative, and given by the Kings, as appears in 17 *E.* 3.

40. and by the Stat. of 25 E. 3. (a) *de Provisoribus*, yet afterwards (as it appears by the said Book and the said (b) Act) the Bishopricks became, by the Grants of the Kings, (c) eligible by their Chapters: And therefore, if by the Surrender of the Dean and Chapter, their Corporation should be dissolv'd, it would introduce three Inconveniencies; 1. To the Bishop concerning his Assistance in his Episcopal Function: 2. To the Bishop and others, touching the Confirmation of his Grants: 3. To all the Church in general; for how shall the Bishop be chosen in such Case? And therefore, to shun such and many other Inconveniencies, it was concluded that the Corporation made by King H. 8. did remain, and so the Grant made to them by such Name was good. *Vide* (c) *F. N. B.* 195. that the Bishop and Chapter are but one Body, altho' their Possessions be several. But to make this Case clear, the Attorney moved, that admitting the Corporation newly made by King E. 6. was good, and that their old Corporation was surrendered, and that the said Words which were omitted, *scil.* (d) *ex fundatione Regis E. 6.* were material, and not Words of Ornament only; yet, the King's Grant to them, was good; notwithstanding this Misnomer, by the Stat. of 1 E. 6. cap. 8. of Confirmations; which Stat. recites, That where King E. 6. had made divers Grants, *as well to Bodies Politick and Corporate, as to divers and sundry of his loving and obedient Subjects, &c. in avoiding of which sundry and many Ambiguities, &c. have or might be moved, &c. for Lack of true naming of the same Bodies, Politick or Corporate;* it is enacted, That all such Grants made, or during his Life to be made, shall be good, notwithstanding any of the Causes above-mention'd: *So that the Lack of the true naming of the same Corporation, viz. to which the King had made, or after should make any Grant, is remedied by the express Words of the said Act.*

And after these Arguments, on Conference between the Lord Keeper of the Great Seal, and the said Justices, and after great Consideration had by them of the said Points, it was unanimously agreed and resolv'd by them, That if any Imperfection were in the said Translation, that the said Act of 35 Eliz. had made it without Question good. And so was it resolv'd, as to this Point, in this very Case of the Dean and Chapter of *Norwich, Mich. 35 & 36 Eliz.* at *St. Albans*, by all the Judges of *England*.

2. If the Corporation of the Dean and Chapter made by K. H. 8. were gone by the said Surrender made to K. E. 6. And if the Misnomer were material, and not an additional Ornament; yet it was unanimously resolv'd and agreed, That the said Act of Confirmation of 1 E. 6. had made it good, notwithstanding the said Misnomer; and on these two Points they resolv'd without any Question,

(a) 1 Jones 160.
5 Co. 17. a.
Caudrey's
Case.
(b) 25 H. 8.
c. 22. Co. Lit:
134. a.

(c) Fitz. N. B.
194, 195. L.

(d) Antea 73. b.
75. a. Hob 124.
Cr. Car. 170.
1 Jon. 166, 167.
170. Palm. 492,
494, 495, 503.
2 And. 120, 121,
165, 166, 167.

2 And. 121.

Dean and Chap. of Norwich's Case, PART III.

(a) Pollexfen's
Argument in
Quo Warranto
99. Dav. 1. b.
Antea 75. a.
2 Roll. Rep. 453.
Palm. 492.
Treby's Argu-
ment in Quo
Warranto 10.

3. It was held by the Lord Keeper of the Great Seal and the Justices, That the old Corporation of Dean and Chapter did remain, notwithstanding the said Surrender (a) of their Church and of all their Possessions.

Note, Reader, The great Assurance and Establishment which is made by the good and strong Act of Parliament of the said most Illustrious and most Noble Queen *Elizabeth*, in the said 35th Year of her Reign, not only of all Foundations of Cathedral Churches and Colleges in any Manner founded or translated, or mention'd to be founded or translated by King *H. 8.* but also to all Subjects who have any Estate or Interest in any of the Possessions of any Abbot, Prior, or any other such religious Persons, notwithstanding they made not any Surrender to King *H. 8.* or that their Surrender was insufficient; or that the Record thereof be now imbeziled or lost; and notwithstanding divers other such like Defects; all which are remedied by the said most excellent Act of Parliament, the fatal Plea to all Concealments as to these Possessions. And altho' these Resolutions properly concern the Meridian of the Cathedral Church of *Norwich*; yet they will very well serve as well for many other Cathedral Churches, as for divers Colleges in the Universities of *Cambridge* and *Oxford*.

FERMOR'S

FERMOR'S Case.

Hill. 44 Eliz.

In the Chancery.

IN a Case depending in Chancery, between *Richard Fermor*, Esq; Plaintiff, and *Thomas Smith* Defendant, on the Hearing the Cause before Sir *Thomas Egerton*, Knt. Lord Keeper of the Great Seal, the Case was such: *Richard Fermor* the Plaintiff being seised of the Manor of *Somerton* in Fee, by Indenture 6 Junii 20 Eliz. demised a Messuage, Parcel of the same Manor, to *Thomas Smith*, the Defendant, for 21 Years, rendring the yearly Rent of 3 l. during the Term, by Force of which the Defendant entred and was thereof possessed: He was also possessed of divers other Parcels of the said Manor at the Will of the Plaintiff, rendring 20 s. per Ann. and held divers other Parcels of the said Manor by Copy of Court-Roll according to the Custom of the said Manor, rendring 40 s. Rent per Ann. all which lay in *Somerton*: And the said *Thomas Smith* was seised in his Demesne as of Fee of divers Lands in the same Town which were his proper Inheritance. And afterwards by his Deed 15 Octob. 25 Eliz. demised the said House and all the said Land which he held for Years, at Will, and by Copy to one *Chappel* for his Life, Pasch. 35 Eliz. *Smith* levied a Fine with Proclamations of as many Messuages and Lands, as comprehended as well all the Lands which he held for Years, at Will, and by Copy, as his own Inheritance, by Covin and Practice, to bar the Plaintiff of his Inheritance; the Proclamations and five Years passed, *Smith* at all Times, before and after the Fine, continu'd in Possession, and paid the said several Rents to the Plaintiff. *Chappel* died, the 21 Years expired, and

Carth. 102,
 415.
 2 Andersf. 176.
 Jenk Cent. 253.
 Cary's Rep. 20.
 Lit. 127.
 1 Jones 35.
 2 Bulst. 139.
 Winch 116,
 117, 118.
 2 Bulst. 318.
 9 Co. 105. b.
 Raym. 149.
 1 Jones 317.

Covin.

and now *Smith* claimed the Inheritance of the Land which he held by Lease, at Will, and by Copy, and would have barred the Plaintiff by Force of the said Fine with the Proclamations, and five Years past. And the Lord Keeper of the Great Seal thinking and considering of the great Mischiefs which might ensue by such Practices, and on the other Side considering that Fines with Proclamations are the general Assurances of the Realm, referred this Case (being a Thing of great Importance and Consequence) to the Consideration of the two Chief Justices *Popham* and *Anderson*; and after Conference between them, they thought it necessary that all the Justices of *England* and Barons of the Exchequer should be assembled for the Resolution of this Great Case. And accordingly in this same Term, all the Judges of *England* and the Barons of the Exchequer met at *Serjeants Inn* in *Fleetstreet*, at two several Days, where the Case was debated among them. And at length it was resolv'd, by the two Chief Justices, *Popham* and *Anderson*, and by *Gawdy* and *Walmesley*, and all the other Justices of *England* and Barons of the Exchequer, (except two) that the Plaintiff was not (a) barred by the said Fine with Proclamations, and that for four Reasons:

(a) 2 And. 176.
Jenk. Cent. 253.
1 Jones 35:
Winch 116.
9 Co. 105. b.
1 Jones 317.
(b) 3 Co. 86. b.
87. a. b. 88. a.
b. 89. a. 90. a. b.
91. a. 1 And.
170. 2 And.
176. Co. Lit.
262. a. 9 Co.
105. b: 13 Co.
20. Sav. 85, 88,
106, 107.

1. The Makers of the Act of (b) 4 H. 7. cap. 24. did never intend that such Fine levied by Fraud and Practice of Lessee for Years, Tenant at Will, or Tenant by Copy of Court-Roll, who pretend no Title to the Inheritance, but intend the Disinheritance of their Lessors or Lords, should bar them of their Inheritance, and that appears by the Preamble of the Act of 4 H. 7. where it is said, *That Fines ought to be of greatest Strength to avoid Strifes and Debates, &c.* But when Lessee for Years, or at Will, or Tenant by Copy of Court-Roll, makes a Feoffment by Assent and Covin, that a Fine shall be levied, this is not to avoid Strife and Debate, but by Assent and Covin to begin Strife and Debate where none was; and therefore the Act doth not extend to establish such Estate made and created by such Fraud and Practice.

Carth. 415.

2. It was never the Intent of the Makers of the Act, that those, who could not levy a Fine, should by making of an Estate by Wrong and Fraud be enabled by Force of the said Act of 14 H. 7. to bar those who had Right by levying of a Fine: For if they themselves without such fraudulent Estate could not levy a Fine to bar them who had the Freehold and Inheritance, certainly the Makers of the Act did not intend that by making of an Estate by Fraud and Practice they should have Power to bar them; and such fraudulent Estate is as no Estate in the Judgment of the Law.

3. As it is said in *Delamer's Case*, *Plowd. Com. 352. b.* if any Doubt be conceived on the Words or Meaning of an

an Act of Parliament, it is good to (a) construe it according to the Reason of the Common Law; but the Common Law doth so abhor (b) Fraud and Covin, that all Acts as well judicial as others, and which of themselves are just and lawful, yet being mixt with Fraud and Deceit, are in Judgment of Law wrongful and unlawful: *Quod alias bonum & justum est, si per vim vel fraudem petatur, malum & injustum efficitur*: And therefore if a Woman hath Title of Dower, which is one of the Things favoured in Law, and by (c) Covin between her and another, causes a Stranger to disseise the Tenant of the Land, to the Intent that she may bring a Writ of Dower against him, which is done accordingly, and the Woman recovers against him on a just and good Title, yet the whole is void, and of no Force to bind the Terre-tenant; *a fortiori* in the principal Case when the Lessee for Years makes a Feoffment by Covin, which amounts to a Wrong and Disseisin, a Fine levied by him who is (d) *particeps criminis*, and who had not, nor pretended to have any Right to the Land, shall not be a Bar to the Lessor. And that Recoveries in Dower, or any other real Action, upon a good Title against the Tenant who comes to the Land by Wrong and Covin, are void and of no Force, appears by 41 *Aff.* 28. 44 *E.* 3. 46. *a.* 25 *Aff.* 1. 22 *Aff.* 92. 11 *E.* 4. 2. *a.* 15 *E.* 4. 4. *b.* 7 *H.* 7. 11. *b.* 18 *H.* 8. 5. *a.* 12 *Eliz. Dyer* (f) 295. For altho' his Right be lawful, and he hath pursued his Recovery by Judgment in the King's Court, yet his Covin makes all that unlawful and wrongful, and yet Recoveries, and especially on a good Title, are much favoured in Law: Also the Right and Inheritance of Feme Coverts and Infants, are much favoured in Law; and yet if a Feme Covert, or an Infant be of (g) Covin and Consent, that the Discontinuee shall be disseised, and that the Disseisor shall enfeoff them; and all this is done accordingly, they are not remitted, as appears by *Littleton*, Chap. *Remitter* 151 & 19 *H.* 8. 12. *b.* And there it is held by six Justices, that in such Case, if the (h) Disseisor enters by Covin to the Intent to enfeoff the Infant, altho' the Infant be not of Covin, &c. yet he shall not be remitted, because he who is in by him who makes the Covin shall be in the same Plight as he who did the covenous Act. And it is agreed in 19 *H.* 8. 12. *b.* that if a Man makes a Disseisin to the (i) Intent to make a Feoffment with Warranty, altho' he makes the Feoffment 20 Months after, yet it is a Warranty which commences by Disseisin.

So if one (k) makes a Gift in Tail to another, and the Uncle of the Donor disseises the Donee, and makes a Feoffment with Warranty, the Uncle dies, and the Warranty descends on the Donor, and afterwards the Donee dies without Issue, the Donor brings a *Formedon* in the Reverter, and the Tenant pleads the Feoffm. with War'ty, the Demandant shall avoid

(a) Postea fo. 85. b.

(b) Postea fo. 82. a.

(c) Co. Lit. 35. 2.

5 Co. 30. b.

11 E. 4. 2. a.

1 Sid. 21. 6 Co.

58. a. 8 Co.

132. b. 15 E.

44. b. Co. Lit.

357. b. Br.

Dower 15. 44.

E. 3. 46. a. Br.

Collusion 20.

Lane 44. Fitz.

Dower 42. 1 Rol.

549. 44 Aff. 29.

2 Rol. Rep. 17.

7 H. 2. 11. b.

Perk. Sect. 396.

Plowd. 51. a.

18 H. 8. 5. a.

Plowd. 54. b.

Poph. 64. 100.

(d) 5 Co. 79. b.

Co. 1 it. 366. b.

14 H. 8. 8. a.

(f) Postea 78. b.

82. a. Dy. 295.

pl. 8, 9, 10, &c.

Lane 44.

(g) Co. L. 357. a.

18 E. 4. 2. b.

Lit. Sect. 678.

Lit. 152. b.

5 Co. 80. b.

(h) Plowd. 48. b.

Br. Remitter 1.

Lane 44.

(i) 5 Co. 79. b.

Cr. Car. 483,

484. 1 Jones

397, 398.

(k) Co. Lit.

366. b. 5 Co.

80. a. 31 E. 3.

Garranty 28.

avoid it, because it began by Disseisin, and yet the Disseisin was not immediately done to the Donor, but to the Donee; but by it his Reversion was devested; and yet Warranties are much favoured in Law. And it appears in 8 *Eliz.* 249.

(a) *Dyer* 249. pl. 84. 1 *Roll's* 207. 2 *Inst.* 215.

(b) *Plow.* 46. a. 55. a. *Post fo.* 33. a. *Br. Titul. pass* 26. *Br. Collusion* 1. *Br. Property* 6. *Fitz. Replicat.* 15. 2 *Inst.* 713. 14 *H. 8.* 8. b.

(c) *Co. Lit.* 120. b. 262. a. 3 *Bulst.* 144. *Hardres* 121.

(d) 1 *Roll. Rep.* 169. *Dy.* 295. pl. 16. (e) *Lane* 47.

(f) *Dy.* 339. pl. 47. 6 *Co.* 29. b. 1 *Anderf.* 38. 2 *Roll.* 183, 188. 190 354. 1 *Roll. Rep.* 236, 467. *Lane* 104.

(g) 1 *Siderfin* 21. 6 *Co.* 19. a. 8 *Co.* 135. b. 143. b. *Cr. El.* 460. 2 *Keb.* 12.

(h) *Antea* 78. a.

* *Palm.* 158.

(i) 1 *Jones* 35. *Cr. El.* 220, 254. *Cr. Car.* 157. 1 *Jones* 211. *Moor* 71. 1 *Leon.* 40. *Plowd.* 373. b.

Dyer, that a *Vacat* was made of a (a) Recovery in the Common Pleas had by Covin. The Law hath ordained, That he, who will be assured of his Goods, shall buy them in open Market, and that Sale will bind all Strangers, as well as the Seller, and yet it is agreed in 33 *H. 6.* 5. a. b. That a Sale in (b) Market overt shall not bind him who hath a Right to the Goods, if the Sale be by Fraud, or the Vendee hath Notice that the Property of the Goods was another's. So the Law hath ordained the Court of Com. Pleas as a Market Overt for assurances of Land by Fine, so that he who will be assured of his Land not only against the Seller, but all Strangers, it is good for him to pass it in this Market overt by Fine; for as it is said, (c) *finis finem litibus imponit*: And yet Covin and Deceit in the Case at Bar will void it. In 4 *E. 2.* *Cui in vita* 22. it is held, That a Resignation made by an Abbot by Covin should not abate the Writ. 34 *E. 1.* *Warranty* 88. & 19 *E. 2.* *Assets* 3. & 31 *E. 1.* *Voucher* 301. a covenous Conveyance that (d) *Assets* should not descend, is nothing worth. And it appears in (e) 17 *E. 3.* 59. & 21 *E. 3.* 3. 46. that an Estate made to the K. and by his Let. Patent granted over, and all this by Covin between him who granted to the King and the Patentee, to make an Evaf. out of the Stat. of Mortm. shall not bind, but shall be repealed. And 17 *Eliz.* *Dy.* 339.

(f) a Presentat. obtained by Colluf. is void. And 17 *Eliz.* *Dy.* 339. Letters of (g) Administration obtained by Colluf. are void, and shall not repeal a former Administration: See 13 *Eliz.* *Dyer* (b) 295. many Cases there put concerning Covin.

And thereupon it was concluded, That if a Recovery in Dower, or other real Action, if a Remitter, to a Feme Covert, or an Infant, if a Warranty, if a Sale in Market overt, if the King's Let. Patents, if a Presentat. Administrat. &c. *scil.* Acts Temporal and Ecclesiastical, shall be avoided by Covin; by the same Reason a Fine in the principal Case levied by Fraud and Covin, as is aforesaid, shall not bind; for * *fraus & dolus nemini patrocinari debent.*

Note, Reader, in 33 & 34 *Eliz.* in the K.'s B. betw. *Rob. Laune* Plaintiff. and *Will. Toker* Defend. in *Ejectione firmæ*, of Lands in *Ilfordcoom* in the County of *Devon*, it was adjud. that where (i) Tenant for Life levied a Fine with Proclamat. and 5 Years pass in his Life, that the Lessor should have 5 Years to make his Claim after the Death of the Lessee; for altho this Statute of 4. *H. 7.* hath a saving for the Lessor in such Case, yet the saving is of such Right (*as first shall grow, remain, &c.*) and the Right first accrued to the Lessor

Lessor after the Fine and the Forfeiture; but notwithstanding that, in as much as by the Covin of the Lessee, he in Reversion or Remainder might be barred of his Reversion or Remainder (for they do not expect to enter till after the Death of the Lessee) and especially when the Lessee hath Lands of his own Inheritance in the same Town, (as in the Case at Bar he had) there the Lessor shall have 5 Years after the Death of the Lessee.

So it was agreed in the same Case, if * Tenant for Life makes a Feoffment in Fee to one who hath Lands in the same Town, and the Feoffee levies a Fine with Proclamations, it shall not bind the Lessor, but he shall have 5 Years after the Death of the Lessee, for the Lessor cannot know of what Land the Fine is levied, for he is not Party to the Indent. or Agreement between the Conusor and Conusee: So in the said Case, the Judges make Construction (a) against the Letter of the Statute in Salvation of the State and Inheritance of him in the Reversion. And so it hath been adjudged before in (b) *Some's Case* in the Com. Pleas, in Sir *James Dyer's Time*, as *Plowden* told me. Also it was said That if Lessee for Years makes a Feoffment in Fee by Practice and Covin, that the Feoffee should levy a Fine with Proclamations to another (the Feoffee having other Lands in the same Town) and all this done accordingly; and yet the Lessee doth continually pay the Rent to the Lessor, it shall not bind the Lessor for the Reasons aforesaid.

Lastly, The Judges in this Resolution did greatly respect the general Mischief which would ensue if such Fines levied by Practice and Covin of those who had the particular Interests, should bar those who had the Inheritance, and especially in the Case at Bar, when after the Fine levied, the Conusor continually payed the Rent to the Lessor, which made the Fraud and Practice apparent, and therefore the Lessor was secure, and had no Cause of any Fear or Doubt of such Fraud. But it was resolved, That if *A.* purchases Land of *B.* by Feoffment, or Bargain and Sale, and enrolls it, and afterwards perceiving that *B.* had but a defeasible Title, and that *C.* had Right to it, *B.* levies a Fine with Proclamations, to a Stranger, or takes a Fine from another with Proclamations, to the Intent to bar the Right of *C.* this Fine so levied by Consent should bind, for nothing was done in this Case which was not lawful; and the Intent of the Makers of the Act of 4 *H.* 7. was to avoid Strifes and Debates, and by express Purview should bind all Strangers who do not pursue their Right by Action, or Entry within five Years. So if one pretending Title to Land enters, and disseises another, and afterwards with Intent to bind the (c) Disseisee, levies a Fine with Proclamations, this Fine shall bind the Disseisee by the express Purview of the Act, if he neither enters nor

* 1 Brownl. 230.
2 Rol. Rep. 17.

(a) 2 And. 176.

(b) 1 Leon. 214.
Cro. El. 254.

(c) Jenk. Cent.
254. Post. 87. b.
1 Brownl. 230.
Cro. El. 896.

pursues

pursues his Action within five Years; and this cannot be called levying by Covin, because the levying of the Fine is lawful, and the Disseisee may re-enter, or bring his Action within the five Years.

The fourth Reason was, Because the Lessee had contriv'd his Fraud and Deceit in so secret a Manner, that he had deprived the Lessor of the Remedy which the Stat. gave him, that is to say, to make his Entry, or bring his Action within the five Years: For how could he make his Entry or bring his Action, when he knew not of the Feoffment which did the Wrong? And as to the Fine, inasmuch as the Lessee had Lands in Fee-simple, in the same Town, every one will presume that the Fine would be levied of that whereof it might be lawfully levied. And although it contained more Acres than his own Land, that is usual almost in all Fines, and peradventure the Lessor did not know the just Quantity of the Lessee's proper Land, for that doth not appertain to him; and therefore it would be unreasonable to give him Benefit in this Case of the Non-claim of the Lessor, when the Wrong and Cov. of the Lessee is the Cause of his Non-claim, and a Man shall not take Advantage of his own Wrong or Covin. The Possess. of the Lessee is not any mean for the Lessor to take any Notice of this Wrong, for he comes to the Possess. of the Land by Grant or Demise lawfully; and after the Feoffment he continues in the Possession as Lessee, for he pays his Rent as a Lessee ought, yea, the Possession of the Lessee, and the Payment of the Rent, was the Cause that the Lessor neither knew nor suspected the Fraud.

Also it was said, That the Fraud and Covin in this Case made it the more odious, because between the Lessor and Lessee, and the Lord and his Copyholder, there is a Trust and Confidence, and therefore a Lessee for Years, and a Copyholder shall do Fealty, which is a great Obligat. of Trust and Confidence, and Fraud and Deceit by him who is trusted, is most odious in Law. And if the Makers of this Act had been asked, If their Intent was, that such a Fine so levied by such Practice and Covin, should bind the Lessors, they would have answered, God forbid that they should intend to patronize any such Iniquity practised and compassed by those in whom there was Trust and Confidence reposed. But when a Disseisor (altho' he gains the Possession by Wrong) levies a Fine with Proclamation, yet it shall bind as is aforesaid, for a Disseisor *venit tanquam in arena*, and it is not possible but that the Disseisee to whom the Wrong is done, and who hath lost his Possession, should be Consuant of it; and therefore it will be his own Folly if he makes not his Claim, and not accompany'd with Fraud and Practice by one who came to the Possession lawfully, by Grant or Demise, and who had a Trust reposed in him by his Lessor or Grantor, which Fraud and Practice is so secretly (a) contriv'd, that the Les-

for by common Presumption could not have Notice to make his Claim, because his Lessee continued in Possession, and payed his Rent, as a Lessee ought. And as to that which was objected, That it would be mischievous to avoid Fines on such bare Averments: It was answered, That it would be a greater Mischief, and principally in these Days (in which as the Poet saith,

————— *Fugere pudor, rectumque, fidesque,
In quorum subiere locum fraudesque, dolique,
Insidiaeque, & vis, & amor sceleratus habendi.*)

if Fines levied by such Covin and Practice should bind; (a) Plowd. 49. b. such Objection may well be made; (a) so if a Fine be levied to secret Uses to deceive a Purchaser, an Averment of Fraud may be taken against it by the Stat. of 27 Eliz. cap. 4. So if a Fine be levied on an usurious Contract, it may be avoided by (b) Averment by the Statute of 13 Eliz. cap. 8. And (b) Jenk. Cent. Sir Tho. Egerton Lord Keeper of the Great Seal, com- 254. 9 Co. 26. b. mended this Resolution of the Justices, and agreed in Opinion with them.

[Note also, No Fine can avoid an antecedent Charge, but the Estate will be bound notwithstanding such Fine, &c.]

T W Y N E ' s C a s e .

Mich. 44 Eliz.

In the Star-Chamber.

Moor 638.
Lane 44, 45,
47. Co. Lit. 3.
b. 76. a. 290. a.
3 Keb. 259.
See the Stat.
27. Eliz. cap. 4.
post. 2. b.

IN an Information by *Coke* the Queen's Attorney General, against *Twyne* of *Hampshire*, in the Star-Chamber, for making and publishing of a fraudulent Gift of Goods: The Case on the Stat. of 13 *Eliz. cap. 5.* was such; *Pierce* was indebted to *Twyne* in 400 *l.* and was indebted also to *C.* in 200 *l.* *C.* brought an Action of Debt against *Pierce*, and pending the Writ, *Pierce* being possessed of Goods and Chattels of the Value of 300 *l.* in Secret made a general Deed of Gift of all his Goods and Chattels real and personal whatsoever to *Twyne*, in Satisfaction of his Debt; and notwithstanding that *Pierce* continued in Possession of the said Goods, and some of them he sold; and he shored the Sheep, and marked them with his own Mark: And afterwards *C.* had Judgment against *Pierce*, and had a *Fieri Facias* directed to the Sheriff of *Southampton*, who by Force of the said Writ came to make Execution of the said Goods; But divers Persons, by the Command of the said *Twyne*, did with Force resist the said Sheriff, claiming them to be the Goods of the said *Twyne* by Force of the said Gift; and openly declared by the Commandment of *Twyne*, That it was a good Gift, and made on a good and lawful Consideration. And whether this Gift on the whole Matter, was fraudulent and of no Effect by the said Act of (a) 13 *Eliz.* or not, was the Question. And it was resolved by Sir *Thomas Egerton* Lord Keeper of the Great Seal, and by the Chief Justices *Popham* and *Anderson*, and the whole Court of Star-Chamber, That this Gift was fraudulent, within the Statute of 13 *Eliz.* And in this Case divers Points were resolv'd:

1. That this Gift had the Signs and Marks of Fraud.

2. Because

(a) 5 Co. 60. a.
b. 6 Co. 18. b.
10 Co. 56. b.
3 Inst. 152. Co.
Lit. 3. b. 76. a.
290. a. b. 13 El.
c. 5. 2 Leon. 89.
47. 223. 308.
309. 3 Leon 57.
Latch 222.
2 Rol. Rep. 493. Palm. 415. Cr El. 233, 234, 645, 810. Cro. Jac. 270, 271. Dy. 295. pl. 17.
351. pl. 23. 2 Bullf. 226. Rastal. Entries 207. b. Lane 47. 103. Hob. 72. 166. Moor 638.
Doct. pla. 200. Yelv. 196, 197. 1 Brownl. 111. Co. Ent. 162. a.

1. Because the Gift is general, without Exception of his
(a) Apparel, or any Thing of necessity ; for it is commonly (a) Godb. 398.
said, *quod (b) dolus versatur in generalibus.* (b) 2 Bulfr.

2. The Donor continued in Possession, and used them as
his own ; and by reason thereof he traded and trafficked
with others, and defrauded and deceived them. 226. 2 Co. 34. a.
1 Rol Rep. 157.
Moor 321.

3. It was made in secret, *Et dona claud' sunt semp' suspiciosa.*

4. It was made pending the Writ.

5. Here was a Trust between the Parties, for the Donor
possessed all, and used them as his proper Goods, and Fraud
is always apparelled and clad with a Trust, and a Trust is
the Cover of Fraud.

6. The Deed contains, That the Gift was made honestly,
truly, and *bona fide* ; *Et clausulae inconsuet' semper inducunt
suspicionem.*

Secondly, It was resolved, That notwithstanding here was
a true Debt due to *Twyne*, and good Considerat. of the Gift,
yet it was not within the Proviso of the said Act of 13 *Eliz.*
by which it is provided, That the said Act shall not extend
to any Estate or Interest in Lands, &c. Goods or Chattels made
on a good Consideration, and *bona fide* ; for altho' it is on a
true and good Consideration, yet it is not *bona fide*, for no
Gift shall be deem'd to be *bona fide* within the said Proviso
which is accompany'd with any Trust : As if a Man be in-
debted to five several Persons, in the several Sums of 20 *l.*
and hath Goods of the Value of 20 *l.* and makes a Gift of
all his Goods to one of them in Satisfaction of his Debt, but
there is a trust between them, that the Donee shall deal (c) (c) Goldsb. 161.

favourably with him in regard of his poor Estate, either to
permit the Donor, or some other for him, or for his Benefit,
to use or have Possession of them, and is contented that he
shall pay him his Debt when he is able ; this shall not be cal-
led *bona fide* within the said Proviso ; for the Proviso saith
on a good Consideration, and *bona fide* ; so a good Considera-
tion doth not suffice, if it be not also *bona fide* : And there-
fore, Reader, when any Gift shall be to you in Satisfaction of
a Debt, by one who is indebted to others also ; 1. Let it be
made in a publick Manner, and before the Neighbours, and
not in Private, for Secrecy is a Mark of Fraud. 2. Let the
Goods and Chattels be appraised by good People to the very
Value, and take a Gift in Particular in Satisfaction of your
Debt. 3. Presently after the Gift, take the Possession of them,
for continuance of the Possession in the Donor, is a Sign of
Trust. And know, Reader, that the said Words of the Pro-
viso, on a good Consideration, and *bona fide*, do not extend to
every Gift made *bona fide* ; and therefore there are two Man-
ners of Gifts on a good Consideration, *scil.* Considerat. of Na-
ture of Blood, and a valuable Considerat. As to the first in the
Case before put, if he who is indebted to five several Persons,
to each Party in 20 *l.* in Considerat. of natural Affection, gives
Vide ante 36 a.

all
Cr. Jac. 127.
Palm. 214.

all his Goods to his Son, or Cousin, in that Case, forasmuch as others shou'd lose their Debts, &c. which are Things of Value, the Intent of the Act was, that the Confid. in such Case should be valuable ; for Equity requires, that such Gift, which defeats others, should be made on as high and good Considerat. as the Things which are thereby defeated are ; and it is to be presumed, that the Father, if he had not been indebted to others, would not have dispossessed himself of all his Goods, and subjected himself to his Cradle ; and therefore it shall be intended, that it was made to defeat his Creditors : And if Consideration of Nature or Blood should be a good Considerat. within this Proviso, the Stat. would serve for little or nothing, and no Creditor would be sure of his Debt. And as to Gifts made *bona fide*, it is to be known, that every Gift made *bona fide*, either is on a Trust between the Parties, or without any Trust ; every Gift made on a Trust is out of this Proviso ; for that which is betwixt the Donor and

(a) 6 Co. 72. b. Donee, called (a) a Trust *per nomen speciosum*, is in Truth, as to all the Creditors, a Fraud, for they are thereby defeated and defrauded of their true and due Debts. And every Trust is either expressed, or implied : An express Trust is, when in the Gift, or upon the Gift, the Trust by Word or Writing is expressed : A Trust implied is, when a Man makes a Gift without any Considerat. or on a Consideration of Nature, or Blood only : And therefore, if a Man before the Stat. of 27 H. 8. had bargained his Land for a valuable Considerat. to one and his Heirs, by which he was seised to the Use of the Bargainee ; and afterwards the Bargainor, without a Considerat. infeoffed others, who had no Notice of the said Bargain ; in this Case the Law implies a Trust and Confidence, and they shall be seised to the Use of the Bargainee : So in the same Case, if the Feoffees, in Consideration of Nature, or Blood, had, without a valuable Considerat. infeoffed their Sons, or any of their Blood who had no Notice of the first Bargain, yet that shall not toll the Use raised on a valuable Consideration ; for a Feoffm. made only on Considerat. of Nature or Blood, shall not toll an Use raised on a valuable Considerat. but shall toll an Use raised on Considerat. of Nature, for both considerat. are *in equali jure*, and of one and the same Nature.

And when a Man, being greatly indebted to sundry Persons, makes a Gift to his Son, or any of his Blood, without Consideration, but only of Nature, the Law intends a Trust betwixt them, *scil.* that the Donee would, in Consideration of such Gift being voluntarily and freely made to him, and also in Considerat. of Nature, relieve his Father, or Cousin and not see him want who had made such Gift to him, *Vide* 33 H. 6. 33. by *Prisot*, if the Father infeoffs his Son and Heir apparent within Age *bona fide*, yet the Lord shall have the Wardship of him : So note, valuable Consideration is a good Consideration within this Proviso ; and a Gift made *bona fide*, is a Gift made without any Trust either expressed or implied :

2 Ro.l. 779.

2 Roll. 779.

33 H. 6. 16.
7 Co 32. b.

By which it appears, that as a Gift made on a good Consideration, if it be not also *bona fide*, is not within the Proviso; so a Gift made *bona fide*, if it be not on a good Consideration is not within the Proviso; but it ought to be on a good Consideration, and also *bona fide*.

To one who marvelled what should be the Reason that Acts and Stat. are continually made at every Parliam. without Intermiſſion; and without End; a wise Man made a good and short Answer, both which are well composed in Verse.

Queritur, ut crescunt tot magna volumina Legis?

In promptu causa est; crescit in orbe dolus.

And because Fraud and Deceit abound in these Days more than in former Times, it was resolved in this Case by the whole Court, that all Statutes made against Fraud should be liberally and beneficially expounded to suppress the Fraud: Note, Reader, according to their Opinions, divers Resolutions have been made.

Between *Pauncefoot* and *Blunt*, in the Excheq. Chamber, *Mich. 35 & 36 El.* the Case was: *Pauncefoot* being indicted for Recufancy, for not coming to Divine Service, and having an Intent to flee beyond Sea, and to defeat the Queen of all that might accrue to her for his Recufancy or Flight; made a Gift of all his Leases and Goods of great Value; coloured with feigned Considerat. and afterwards he fled beyond Sea, and afterwards was Outlaw'd on the same Indictment: And whether this Gift should be void to defeat the Queen of her Forfeiture; either by the Com. Law, or by any Stat. was the Question: And some conceived, that the Com. Law, which (a) abhors all Fraud, would make void this Gift as to the Queen, *vide Mich. 12 & 13 El. Dyer (b) 295. 4 & 5 P. & M. 180.* And the Stat. of (c) 50 E. 3. cap. 6. was consider'd; but that extends only in Relief of Creditors, and extends only to such Debtors as flee to Sanctuaries, or other privileg'd Places: But some conceived, that the Stat. of (d) 3 H. 7. cap. 4. extends to this Case. For altho' the Preamble speaks only of Creditors; yet it is provided by the Body of the Act generally, that all Gifts of Goods and Chattels made, or to be made on Trust to the Use of the Donor, shall be void and of no Effect, but that is to be intended as to all Strangers who are to have Prejudice by such Gift; but between the Parties themselves it stands good: But it was resolved by all the Barons, that the Stat. 13 Eliz. c. 5. (e) extends to it, for thereby it is enacted and declared, that all Feoffm. Gifts, Grants, &c. to delay, hinder or defraud Creditors, and others, of their just and lawful Actions, Suits, Debts, Accounts, Damages, Penalties, Forfeitures, Heriots, Mortuaries and Reliefs, shall be void, &c. So that this Act doth not extend only to Creditors, but to all others who had Cause of Action, or Suit, or any Penalty, or Forfeiture, &c.

Lane 44, 45.
Pauncefoot's
Case.

(a) Antea 78. d.
(b) Antea 78. a. b.
Dyer 295. pl. 8;
9, 10, &c. Lane
44.
(c) Co. Lit. 76. a.
(d) Cro. El. 291;
292. Lane 45.
(e) Co. Lit. 3. b.
76. a. 290. a. b.
3 Inst 152. 5 Co.
60 a. b. 6 Co.
18. b. 10 Co.
56. b. Co. Ent.
162. a. 1 Leon.
47, 308, 309.
2 Leon. 8, 9, 223.
3 Leon. 57.
Latch 222.
2 Roll. Rep. 493.
Palm 415. Cr.
El. 233, 234,
645, 810. Cr.
Jac. 270. 2 Bullf.
226. Hob. 72.
166 Yelv. 195.
197. 1 Brownl.
11. Dyer 295.
pl. 17, 351. pl.
23. Rastal's
Fraudulent
Deeds. 1 Rast.
Ent. 207. b.
Lane 47, 103.
Moor 638.
Doct. pl. 200.

And it was resolved, that this Word (Forfeiture) should not be intended only of a Forfeiture of an Obligation, Recognizance, or such like (as it was objected by some, that it should, in respect that it comes after Damage and Penalty) but also to every thing which shall by Law be forfeit. to the King or Subj. And therof. if a Man, to prevent a Forf. for Felony, or by Outlaw. makes a Gift of all his Goods, and afterwards is attainted or outlaw'd, these Goods are (a) forfeit. notwithstanding this Gift: The same Law of Recufants, and so the Stat. expounded beneficially to suppress Fraud. Note well this Word (b) (declare) in the Act of 13 *Eliz.* by which the Parliament expounded, that this was the (c) Com. Law before. And according to this Resolution it was decreed, *Hill. 36 Eliz.* in the Exchequer Chamber.

(a) Co. Lit. 290. b.

(b) Co. Lit. 76. a. 290. b.

(c) Haid. 397.

Mich. 24 & 43 Eliz. in the Com. Pleas, on Evidence to a Jury, between *Standen (d)* and *Bullock*, these Points were resolv'd by the whole Court on the Stat. of 27 *El. c. 4. Walm- sley J. said, That Sir Christ. Wray, late C.J. of Eng. reported to him, that he, and all his Comp. of the K.'s B. were resolv'd, and so directed a Jury on Evidence before them; that where a Man had convey'd his Land to the Use of himself for Life, and afterw. to the Use of divers others of his Blood, with a future Power of Revocation, as after sucl. Feast, or after the Death of such one; and afterwards, and before the Power of Revocation began, he, for valuable Considerat. bargained and sold the Land to another and his Heirs; this Bargain and Sale is within the (e) Remedy of the said Stat. For although the Stat. saith, The said first Conveyance not by him revoked, according to the Power by him reserved, which seems by the literal Sense to be intended of a present Power of Revocat. for no Revocation can be made by Force of a future Power 'till it comes in esse: Yet it was held that the Intent of the Act was, that such voluntary Convey. which was originally subject to a Power of Revocat. be it *in presenti*, or *in futuro*, should not stand against a Purchasor *bona fide* for a valuable Consideration; and if other Construction should be made, the said Act would serve for little or no Purpose, and it would be no difficult Matter to evade it: So if *A.* had reserved to himself a Power of Revocat. with the assent of *B.* and afterwards *A.* bargained and sold the Land to another, this Bargain and Sale is good, and within the Remedy of the said Act; for otherwise the good Provision of the Act, by a small Addition, and evil Invention, would be defeated.*

Standen and Bullock's Case (d) Moor 605, 617. Bridgm. 23 5 Co. 60. b. Palm 217. Lane 22. 2 Jones 95.

(e) 1 Sid. 133.

And on the same Reason it was adjudg'd, 38 *Eliz.* in the Common Pleas, between *Lee* and his Wife, Executrix of one (f) 2 And. 55, *Smith* Plaintiff, and *Mary (f) Colshil*, Executrix of *Tho. Colshil*, Defend. in Debt on an Obligation of 1000 Marks, *Rot. Cro. El. 529. Moor 857 Ley 1707.* the Case was, *Colshil* had the Office of the Queen's Customer, by Letters Patents, to him and his Deputies; and by Indenture between him and *Smith*, the Testator of the Plaintiff. and for 600 *l.* paid, and 100 *l. per Ann.*

Colshil's Case. (f) 2 And. 55, Moor 857 Ley 1707.

to be paid during the Life of *Colshil*, made a Deputation of the said Office to *Smith*; and *Colshil* covenanted with *Smith*, that if *Colshil* should die before him, that then his Executors should repay him 300 *l.* And divers Covenants were in the said Indenture concerning the said Office, and the enjoying of it: And *Colshil* was bound to the said *Smith* in the said Obligation to perform the Covenants; and the Breach was alledged in the Non-payment of the said 300 *l.* forasmuch as *Smith* survived *Colshil*: And although the said Covenant to repay the 300 *l.* was lawful, yet forasmuch as the rest of the Covenants were against the Statute of (a) 5 E. 6. cap. 16. and if the Addition of a lawful Covenant should make the Obligation of Force as to that, (b) the Statute would serve for little or no Purpose; for this Cause it was adjudged, that the Obligation was utterly void.

2. It was resolved, That if a Man hath Power of Revocation and afterwards, to the Intent to defraud a Purchasor, he levies a (c) Fine, or makes a Feoffment, or other Conveyance to a Stranger, by which he extinguishes his Power, and afterwards bargains and sells the Land to another for a valuable Consideration, the Bargainee shall enjoy the Land, for as to him, the Fine, Feoffment, or other Conveyances by which the Condition was extinct, was void by the said Act; and so the first Clause, by which all fraudulent and covenous Conveyances are made void as to Purchasers, extends to the last Clause of the Act, *scil.* when he who makes the Bargain and Sale had Power of Revocation. And it was said, that the Statute of 27 E. 1. hath made voluntary Estates made with Power of Revocation as to Purchas. in equal Degree with Conveyances made by Fraud and Covin to defraud Purchasers.

Between (d) *Upton* and *Basset* in *Trespas*, *Trin.* 37. *El.* in the Common Pleas, it was adjudg'd, That if a Man makes a Lease for Years, by Fraud and Covin, and afterwards make other Lease *bona fide*, but without Fine or Rent reserved, that the second Lessee should not avoid the first Lease.

For first it was agreed, That by the Common Law an Estate made by Fraud should be avoided only by him who had a former Right, Title, Interest, Debt or Demand, as 33 H. 6. a Sale in open (e) Market by Covin shall not Bar a Right which is more ancient; nor a covenous Gift shall not defeat Execution in respect of a former Debt, as it is agreed in 22 *Aff.* 72. but he who hath Right, Title, Interest, Debt or Demand, more puiſne shall not avoid a Gift or Estate precedent by Fraud by the Common Law.

2. It was resolv'd, That no Purch. should avoid a preced. Cov. made by Fraud and Covin, but he who is a (f) Purchaser for Money or other valuable Considerat. for altho' in the Preamb. it is said (for Money or other good Considerat.) and likewise in the Body of the Act (for Money or other good Considerat.) yet theſe Words (good Considerat.) are to be intended only of valuable Considerat. and that appears by the Clause which concerns those who had Power of Revoc. for there it is said, for Money or other good Considerat. paid, or given, and this Word (paid) is to be refer'd to (Money) and (given) is to be refer'd to (good Considerat) so the Sense is for Money paid, or other good Confid. given, which Words exclude

(a) Style 29.
Cro. El. 529.
Cro. Jac. 269.
Hob. 75. Co. Lit.
234. a. 12 Co. 78.
3 Inst. 148, 154.
3 Keb. 26, 659.
660, 717, 718.
1 Brownl. 70, 71.
2 And. 55, 107.
5 Bull. 91.
3 Leon. 33.
1 Rol. Rep. 157.
236. Goldsb.
189.
(b) 2 And. 56,
37, 108. 1 Mod.
Rep. 35, 36.
Hob. 14. 11 Co.
27. b. 2 Roll's
28. Co. Lit.
214. a. 2 Jones
92, 91. Cro. El.
529, 530. Cro.
Car 338. Godb.
212, 213.
1 Brownl. 64.
Plowd. 68. b.
Moor 856, 857.
Ley 75, 79.
(c) 1 Co. 112. b.
174. a. Co. Lit.
237. a. Hob. 337.
338. Moor 605.
2 Rol. Rep. 337.
496. Winch 65.
(d) Co. Ent.
676. b. nu. 19.
Cro. El. 444,
445. Lane 45.
Upton and
Basset's Case.
(e) Antea 78. b.
Plow. 46. b. 55.
a. Fitz. Replic.
15. Br. Tref.
pass 26. Br.
Collusion 4.
B. Property 6.
2 Inst. 713.
14 H. 8. b.
33 H. 6. 5. a. b.
(f) Cro. El.
445.
all

all Consideration of Nature or Blood, or the like, and are to be intended only of valuable Considerations which may be given; and therefore he who makes a Purchase of Land for a valuable Consideration, is only a Purchaser within this Statute. And this latter Clause doth well expound these Words (*other good Consideration*) mentioned before in the Preamble and Body of the Act.

2 And. 233.
Nedham and
Beaumont's
Case.

And so it was resolv'd, *Pasch. 32 El.* in a Case refer'd out of the Chancery to the Consideration of *Windham* and *Periam*, Justices; between *John Nedham* Plaintiff, and *Beaumont*, Serjeant at Law, Defendant; where the Case was, *Hen. Babington* seised in Fee of the Manor of *Lit-Church* in the County of *Derby*, by Indent. 10 *Feb. 8 El.* covenanted with the Lord *Darcy*, for the Advancement of such Heirs Males, as well those he had begot, as those he should afterwards beget on the Body of *Mary* then his Wife (Sister to the said *L. Darcy*) before the Feast of *S. John Bapt.* then next following, to levy a Fine of the said Manor to the Use of the said *Hen.* for his Life, and afterwards to the Use of the eldest Issue Male of the Bodies of the said *Hen.* and *Mary* begot. in Tail, &c. and so to three Issues of their Bodies, &c. with the Remainder to his right Heirs. And afterw. 8 *May An. 8 El.* *Hen. Babington*, by Fraud and Covin, to defeat the said Covenant, made a Lease of the said Manor for a great Number of Years, to *Rob. Heys*; and afterwards levied the Fine accordingly: And on Conference had with the other Justices, it was resolv'd, That altho' the Issue was a Purchaser, yet he was not a Purchaser in vulgar and common Intendment: Also Considerat. of Blood, natural Affection is a good Considerat. but not such a good Considerat. which is intended by the Stat. of 27 *El.* for (a) a valuable Considerat. is only a good Considerat. within that Act: In this Case *Anderfson C. J.* of the Com. Pleas, said, That a Man who was of small Understanding, and not able to (b) govern the Lands which descended to him, and being given to riot and disorder, by mediation of his Friends, openly conveyed his Lands to them, on Trust and Confidence that he should take the Profits for his Maintenance, and that he should not have Power to waste and consume the same; and afterwards, he being seduc'd by deceitful and covinous Persons, for a small Sum of Money bargained and sold his Land, being of a great Value: This Bargain, altho' it was for Money, was holden to be (c) out of this Stat. for this Act is made against all Fraud and Deceit, and doth not help any Purchaser, who doth not come to the Land for a good Considerat. lawfully and without Fraud or Deceit; and such Conveyance made on Trust is void as to him who Purchases the Land for a valuable Consideration *bona fide*, without Deceit or Cunning.

(a) 2 Roll. Rep.
305, 306.

(b) Cro. El. 445.

(c) Cro. El. 445.

And by the Judgment of the whole Court *Twyne* was convicted of Fraud, and he and all the others of a Riot.

The Case of FINES.

See Fermor's
Case ante 77.
and Sir Geo.
Brown's 50, 51.

The Resolution of the Justices, after hearing many Arguments of Counsel learned on both Sides, and divers Conferences amongst themselves upon the Statute of Fines. Pasch. 44 Eliz.

A. Tenant for Life of certain Land, the Remainder to *B.* in Tail, the Reversion to *B.* and his Heirs expectant; *B.* levies a Fine to *C.* and *D.* and to the Heirs of *C.* to the Use of them and their Heirs, and hath Issue, and dies before all the Proclamations are past, the Issue in Tail then being beyond the Seas; the Proclamations are made, and afterwards the Issue in Tail returns, and immediately makes Claim on the Land to the Remainder in Tail; and if, in this Case, the Estate-tail were barred, or not, was the Question; and in this Case four Points were resolved.

I. That the Estate which passes by the Fine, as to the Estate-tail, was not determined by the Death of *B.* for it was said, If one be Tenant in Tail of a (a) Rent, (b) Advowson, Tithes, Common, or other such Things which lie in Grant, and by Deed grants them in Fee, and dies, the Grant is not absolutely determined by his Death, but it is at the Election of the Issue in Tail to make it voidable, or void at his Pleasure. For if he brings a *Formedon* for the Rent, &c. he makes the Grant voidable; but if he distrains for the Rent, or claims it on the Land, he thereby determines his Election to make it void; & *fit de ceteris*. But until he makes his Election, the Grant is not determined, for then it would prevent his Election; and true it is, as

Moor 628.
Jenk. Cent. 274.
2 And. 177.
Rep. Q. A. 20.
Carth. 260.

(a) Bridg. 97.
(b) Leon. 111.
3 Leon. 210.
Bridgm. 98

The Case of FINES. PART III.

- (a) Lit. Sect. 598, 600, 606, 607, 608, 618. *Littleton* (a) saith, That of such Things which pass from Tenant in Tail by way of Grant, or by Confirmation, or by Release, nothing can pass to make an Estate to him to whom such Grant, Confirmat. or Release is made, but that which the Tenant in Tail may lawfully make, and that is but for the Term of his Life. And if Tenant in Tail be of an (b) Advowf. &c. and he by Deed makes a Grant of the Advowf. to another in Fee, it is no Discontinuance; for in such Cases the Grantees have but an Estate for the Life of Ten. in Tail, and that (as it was said) is as much as to say, the Grant is no Discontinuance, but is determinable by the Issue, after the Death of the Ten. in Tail, at his Election, either by Claim or by Action. And *Littleton* is not to be understood literally, viz. that the Grantee, in such Case, hath only an Estate for the (c) Life of Ten. in Tail, for then the Ten. in Tail in such Case would have the Reversion in Tail, and should have an Action of Waste, or enter for the Forfeiture on Alienation made by such Grantee: Or if Ten. in Tail of a Revers. expectant on an Estate for Years, or Life, grants it in Fee, and the Lessee Attorns, and afterw. the particular Estate determines, and the Grantee commits Waste, or makes a Feoffment, &c. that the Ten. in Tail in such Case shall punish the (d) Waste, or enter for the Forfeiture; for *Littleton* himself, 145. is against that, for he saith, If (e) Ten. in Tail grants all his Estate over to another, in this Case the Grantee hath an Estate but for the Life of Ten. in Tail, and the Revers. of the Tail is not in the Ten. in Tail, because he hath granted all his Estate and his Right, &c. And if the Grantee commits Waste, the Ten. in Tail shall never have an Action of (f) Waste, because no Reversion is in him, but the Revers. and Inheritance of the Tail, during the Life of the Ten. in Tail, is in (g) abeyance.
- Note, Reader, The Office of an Interpreter is to make such Construction, not only that one and the same Author be not against himself, but also that the Resolutions or Judgments reported in one Book, be not by any literal Interpretation expounded against any Resolut. or Judgm. reported in any other, but that all (*si fieri possit*) may stand together. So here the Intent of *Littleton* was not that the Grantee had but an Estate for Life, and that his Estate should be absolutely determined by the Death of the Ten. in Tail, but that it was not a Discontinuance, nor had the Grantee any durable or fix'd Estate but for the Life of the Ten. in Tail, but that the Issue after his Death might at his Pleasure determine it: And if the Grantee in such Case shall have but an Estate for Life of Tenant in Tail, then the Wife of such Grantee shall not be (h) endowed, against which it is adjudg'd in (i) 24 E. 3. 28. b. Also if the Estate of the Grantee should be absolutely in Judgment of Law determined by the Death of Tenant in Tail, then the Issue in Tail, after the Death of the Father, could not have a *Forfeidon* against such Grantee; for altho'
- (b) Lit. Sect. 617. Co. Lit. 332. a.
- (c) Plowd. Com. 556. a. Hob. 338.
- (d) Plowd. 556. a. Co. Lit. 331. a. 345. b. (e) Lit. 146. a. b. Lit. Sect. 650. Cro. Car. 429. Carth. 260.
- (f) 10 Co. 98. a.
- (g) 2 Co. 52. a. Hob. 338, 339. Lit. Sect. 649. Lit. 146, a.
- (h) 10 Co. 96. a. 98. a. Plowd. 557. b.
- (i) 10 Co. 96. a. 1 Sand. 261. Fitz. Dower 98. Carth. 210.

altho' the Demandant and the Tenant would admit the Estate which passed by the Grant to continue, yet the Court, who ought to judge according to Law, and is not concluded by the Admittance of the Parties, of any Thing which judicially appears to the contrary, ought *ex officio* in such Case to abate the Writ. But it is agreed in 13 *H. 7. 10. pl. 8.* by all the Justices, If Tenant in Tail of a (a) Rent grants it with Warranty, it is no Discontinuance, altho' Affets descend, but he may distrain; but if he brings a (b) *Formedon* in the Descender, he shall be barred, 33 *E. 3. Formedon 47. acc.* By which it appears, that in such Case the Grant of Tenant in Tail is determinable by his Death, by claim on the Land, or by Action: So when Tenant in Tail grants a Rent, Reversion, Common, &c. in Fee, he hath an indefeasible Estate during the Life of Tenant in Tail (and that *Littleton* intends) and after the Death of Tenant in Tail, it is defeasible at his Election; for if he comes on the Land and distrains, or by claim on the Land determines his Election, then on the Matter it is void by the Death of Tenant in Tail; for otherwise the Warranty in such Case would make a Discontinuance: As if Tenant in Tail be (c) disseised, and releases to the Disseisor with Warranty, and dies, it is a Discontinuance, because the Estate, on which the Warranty enures, continues after the Death of Tenant in Tail: But when Tenant in Tail of a Rent, Reversion, &c. grants it in Fee with Warranty, and dies, now if the Issue in Tail determines his Election to have it void, it is absolutely determined by his Death, and by consequence the Warranty also: But if the Issue brings a *Formedon* and determines his Election to make the Estate to have Continuance, and not to be determined by the Death of the Tenant in Tail, then the Estate doth continue, and by consequence the Warranty doth remain, and if Affets descend, the Issue shall be barred; *vide 19 E. 3. Brief 468. 24 E. 3. 28. 36 Aff. 8. 22 R. 2. Discontinutance 50. 8 H. 4. 9. 33 E. 3. Formedon 47. 48 E. 3. 23. 32 E. 3. Discontinutance 2. 23 Aff. 8. 16 H. 7. 4. a. 21 H. 7. 40. a. 38 H. 8. Br. Discontinutance 55.* for this Matter: And there is no Difference between a Grant of Tenant in Tail of a Rent, Advowson, Common, Tithes, &c. in Possession, and a Grant of Tenant in Tail of a Reversion or Remainder expectant on an Estate for Life: For altho' in the first Case, the Issue may have his *Formedon* presently by the Death of the Tenant in Tail, and in the other Case not till after the Death of the Tenant for Life; yet it is all one; for by the Death of the Tenant in Tail the Grant is not determined till Election made by the Issue in Tail; for after the Death of Tenant for Life he may bring a *Formedon* if he will.

Note, Reader, if you be desirous to know the Reason, why on the

(a) 26 Aff. 8.
Kelw. 79.
Co. Lit. 332. b.
4 H. 7. 17. b.
21 H. 7. 40. a.
3 H. 7. 12. b.
13. a. 9 E. 4.
18. b. 22 E. 4.
4. b.
(b) 16 H. 7. 4. a.
21 H. 7. 40. a.
Carth. 260.

(c) Lit. Sect.
601. a. Co. Lit.
328. a. b.
Politea 85. b.

Co. Lit. 322. b.

* Lucas 360.

the Words of the Act of West. 2. cap. 1. de Donis * *conditionalibus*, that is to say, (*Non habeant illi, quibus tenementum sic fuerit datum sub conditione, potestatem alienandi tenementum sic datum, quo minus ad exitum illorum, quibus tenementum sic fuerit datum, remaneat post illorum obitum, vel ad Donatorem, &c. revertatur.*) These sundry Constructions have been made: viz. If Tenant in Tail makes a

(a) Co. Lit.

327. b.

(b) Hob. 45.

Co. Lit. 332. a.

Lit. Sect. 618.

(a) Feoffment in Fee, it is a Discontinuance, and avoidable by Action only: If Tenant in Tail of a Rent, or other (b) Thing which lies in Grant, grants it in Fee, it is no Discontinuance, but is voidable by Claim or by Action: If Tenant in Tail of Land grants a Rent out of it, the Rent is absolutely determined by his Death: If Tenant in Tail be

(c) Antea 85. a.

Lit. Sect. 601.

Co. Lit. 328.

a. b.

(c) disseised, and Releases to his Disseisor, it is no Discontinuance, but the Estate is avoidable by Entry or Action of the Issue of the Tenant in Tail: But if the Release be with Warranty it is a Discontinuance, if the Issue in Tail be Heir to the Warranty: But if Tenant in Tail makes a Lease for the Term of his own Life, or for Years, and releases to the Lessee and his Heirs, it is no (d) Discontinuance, altho' it be with Warranty: So if Tenant in Tail makes a Lease for Life, and afterwards grants the Reversion in Fee, it is no Discontinuance of the Fee, unless it be executed in the Life of the Grantor. And all these (and many other) different Constructions have been made on the Words aforesaid, *scil. Non habeant illi, quibus tenementum sic fuerit datum sub conditione, potestatem alienandi, &c.* this is the Reason which is worthy of Observation; for the Judges have construed the said Words according to the Rule and Reason of

(e) Antea 78. a.

the (e) Com. Law (which always is *optimus interpretandi modus*;) For at the Common Law, if a Bishop, Abbot, &c. or Husband seised in the Right of his Wife, make a Feoffment in Fee, it is by the Common Law a Discontinuance, and doth put the Successor, or the Wife, to her Action, for the Favour which the Law gives to an Estate which passes by Livery and Seisin, because it is publick and notorious, and in ancient Times was the common and usual Assurance of

(f) Co. Lit.

327. b.

the Land; but if a (f) Bishop, &c. or Husband seised of a Rent, or any Thing which lay in Grant, by Deed grants it in Fee, it is no Discontinuance, and yet it is not absolutely determined by the Death of the Bishop, &c. or Husband,

(g) Co. Lit.

327. b.

for the Successor, or the Wife, hath (g) Election to determine it and make it void, or by bringing of his Writ to make it voidable, or by claim on the Land to make it void:

(h) Co. Lit.

327. b.

But if a (h) Bishop, &c. or Husband grants a Rent in Fee out of the Wife's Land, or Bishoprick, it is absolutely void by the Death of the Bishop, &c. or of the Husband: Also if a Bishop, or the Husband and Wife be disseised, and the Bishop, or Husband, releases to the Disseisor, it is no Discontinuance; if the Bishop, or Husband makes a Lease for Years, and releases to the Lessee, and his Heirs, it is not absolutely

determined by the Death of the Bishop, or Husband; but it is void or voidable at the Election of the Successor, or of the Wife. But if a Bishop, or the Husband, makes a Lease for Life, and afterwards grants the Reversion in Fee, and the Lessee for Life dies in the Life of the Bishop or of the Husband, it is a Discontinuance; otherwise if the Lessee survives the Bishop, or the Husband; *Vir bonus est quis? Qui consulta patrum, qui leges, juraque servat.*

And it was held by *Popham*, C. J. and divers other of the Justices, that the Stat. of 32 H. 8. cap. 36. hath (a) enforced (a) Co. Lit. 318. a. the Case; that the Estate which passes by the Fine shall not be determined by the Death of the Tenant in Tail, for inasmuch as the Stat. hath provided, that Fines levied of any Land; Tenement, or Hereditament entailed, &c. in Possession, Reversion or Remainder, immediately after the Fine engrossed, and Proclamations past, shall be a Bar, &c. if the Fine with Proclamation cannot bar the Estate-Tail, unless the Estate given by the Fine continues, the same Statute which provides, that the Fine with Proclamations shall be a Bar, provides also for all Things which are necessary and incident to the Perfection and Consummation thereof: And

therefore in 28 El. in a *Quid juris clamat* brought by *Francis (b) Windbam*, then one of the Justices of the Common Pleas, against the Lady *Gresham*, in the Common Pleas; the Case was thus in Effect; That the Lady *Gresham* was Tenant for Life of the Manor of *West Bradenham* in *Norfolk*, the Remainder to *Richard Read* in Tail, the Reversion to *Will. Read* in Fee. *Rich. Read* levied a Fine of his Remainder to Just. *Windbam*, and his Heirs, and before the engrossing thereof (as oportet) Just. *Windbam* brought a *Quid juris clamat* against the Lady *Gresham*, who pleaded, That *Richard Read* the Conusor, at the Time of the Fine levied, had but an Estate in Tail in Remainder; and shewed how; and demanded Judgment if she should be compelled to attorn; upon which the Plaintiff demurred. And although before the Statutes of Fines of (c) 4 H. 7. & 32 H. 8. it was a (d) good Plea, as appears 37 H. 6. 33 b. 2 E. 2. Age 77. 2 E. 3. 23. & 22 E. 3. 18. yet it was adjudged, that now after the said Statutes which make the Fine (after the engrossing and Proclamations past) a Bar of the Estate-Tail; although the *Quid juris clamat* ought to be brought (e) before the Engrossing, and by Consequence, before the Estate-Tail be barred; and that non constat at the Time of the *Quid juris clamat* brought, that it shall be a Fine at the Common Law, or a Fine levied with Proclamations: Yet it was adjudged that she should (f) attorn.

See, Reader, 17 E. 3. 7. pl. 20. If an Alienation be made in (g) Mortmain; and 31 E. 3. *Ancient Demesne* 16. If a Fine be levied of a Reversion of Land in (h) *Ancient Demesne*, 36 H. 6. 24. pl. 19.

Justice Windham's Case, 28 Reg. Eliz. (b) Gould 4. Popham 63. 2 And. r. 112. Co. Lit. 318. a. 356. a.

(c) 4 H. 7. c. 24. 32 H. 8. c. 36. (d) 1 Rol. 297. Raymond 347.

(e) 5 Co. 39. a. b. Plowd. 431. b. 6 Co. 68. a.

(f) Co. Lit. 318. a.

(g) Co. Lit. 318. a. 1 Rol. 297. (h) Co. Lit. 318. a.

(a) Co. Lit.
318. a.
(b) Co. Lit.
318. a.

pl. 19. If an (a) Infant levies a Fine, 45 E. 3. 6. if a Fine be levied of a Reversion of Land held in (b) Capite without Licence; or, as in our Case, if Tenant in Tail of a Reversion or Remainder, levies a Fine thereof, in all these Cases, and other like, the Tenant was not compellable to attorn, because the Estate which passed by the Fine was not lawful, but either prohibited by the Common Law, or by some Statute, and for the greater Part was voidable: But now the Statutes have made a Fine levied by Tenant in Tail to be lawful, and after the Engrossing thereof, and Proclamations past, hath made the Estate, which passes by the Fine, unavoidable: And in the said Case two Points were resolved for good Law.

1. That every Fine levied should be intended to be levied with Proclamations according to the said Statutes, for it is most beneficial for the Conusee; and all Fines being the general Assurance of the Land, are levied accordingly.

Co. Lit. 356. a.
C. El. 603.

2. That the Statutes which make the Fine, after the Engrossing thereof, and Proclamations past, a Bar to the Estate Tail, gives all Things incident thereto; and in as much as the Conusee cannot have a *Quid juris clamat* after the Engrossing, from thence it follows, that he shall have it before: And now the Statutes have altered the Reason of the Common Law, and given greater Force and Strength to a Fine than it had before. For the Reason of the Common Law was, That the particular Tenant should never be compelled to attorn to an unlawful, tortious, or voidable Grant; which Judgment, in the Case of Justice *Windham*, was affirmed for good Law by all the Judges in this Case. So it was resolved by all the Judges of *England*, and Barons of the Exchequer, for the one Cause or for the other, that the Estate which passed by the Fine, as to the Estate-Tail, was not absolutely determined by the Death of the Tenant in Tail.

Popham 66.
Dall. 51. Dall.
in Kelw. 205. b.
Dall. in Ash.
pl. 8. Mo. 629.
Dy. 254. pl. 104.
Postea 91. a.

2. It was resolved by all the Justices and Barons of the Exchequer *nullo contradicente*, that although by the Death of Tenant in Tail, a Right of Estate-Tail did descend to the Issue, in as much as he died before all the Proclamations were past; yet when the Proclamations pass without any Claim made by the Issue in Tail on the Land, this Right which descended to him is barred by the Statutes of 4 H. 7. & 32 H. 8. For altho' the Fine without the Proclamations, not the Proclamations without the Fine cannot Bar an Estate Tail; and altho' after the Fine levied, and before all the Proclamations be past, a Right is descended to the Issue in Tail *per formam doni*, which is paramount the Fine, and there is no Fine with Proclamations levied after the Death of Tenant in Tail.

PART III. *The Case of FINES.*

Tail, to bar this Right so descended to the Issue in Tail; yet forasmuch as it is provided by the Statute of 32 H. 8. "That all Fines levied with Proclamations of any Lands, Tenements, or Hereditaments intailed to the Person so levying the same, or to any of his Ancestors in Possession, Reversion, Remainder, or in Use, shall be immediately after the Fine levied, ingrossed, and Proclamations made, adjudged a sufficient Bar against the said Persons and their Heirs, claiming the same only by Force of any such Entail:" And the Issue in Tail, in this Case, claims as Heir by Force of the said Estate-Tail; therefore by the express Letter of the said Act he is barred: With this agrees the Judgment in *Smith and Stapleton's Case*, *Plow. Com.* 430.

3. It was resolved by *Popham* and *Anderson* Ch. Justices, and all the other Justices and Barons of the Exchequer but three, that in this Case the Issue in Tail being Heir and Privy, could not by any Claim that he could make save the Right of the (a) Estate-Tail which descended to him; but that after the Proclamations are past, the Estate-Tail should be barred by the Statutes of 4 H. 7. & 32 H. 8. notwithstanding any Claim that could be made by him; For it is enacted by the Statutes of 4 H. 7. *That every Fine after the engrossing of it, and Proclamations had and made, shall be a final End, and conclude as well Privies as Strangers:* And if no Saving or Exception had been after in the Act, the Right of all, as well Strangers as Privies, had been bound and barred by this Act. And therewith agrees the Opinion of five Justices, (b) 19 H. 8. 2. *scil. Fitz-James, Brudnel, Fitzherbert, Brook, and Moor.* Then if all the Exceptions and Savings in the Act do extend to Strangers to the Fine, and not to Parties or Privies, from thence it will follow, that the Heir, or other Privy, cannot by any Claim avoid the Fine of his Ancestor. The first Exception as to Feme Coverts, &c. doth not extend to this Case: The second Saving by express Words extends only to Strangers: The third Saving extends also to Strangers who are not Parties or Privies to the Fine; for the Words are, *And also saving to all other Persons all such Action, Right, &c. as first shall grow, remain, descend, or come after the said Fine ingrossed, &c. by Force of any Gift in Tail, &c.* so that it appears by these Words, (*saving to all other Persons, &c.*) that he, who shall take Benefit of this Saving, ought to be *another Person*, and not Party or Privy to the Fine: And therewith agrees the Opinion of the said five Justices in (c) 19 H. 8. But if Tenant in Tail makes a Feoffment, and the Feoffee levies a Fine with

(a) 1 Co. 96. b.
2 Rol. Rep. 342.

(b) 19 H. 6. b.
Br. Fine levy,
&c. 1. Br. Tail 2.
Dyer 3. pl. 3.
Mo. 251. 9. Co.
105. a.

(c) 19 H. 6. b.
Moor 301.

(a) Pro-

(a) Moor 431. (a) Proclamations, the Issue in Tail after the Death of his Father, as it is there held, shall have five Years within this third Saving, for he is the first to whom the Right doth accrue and descend after the Fine levied. But if Tenant in Tail be (b) disseised, and the Disseisor levies a Fine with Proclamations, and five Years pass, and afterwards Tenant in Tail dies, there the Issue in Tail is barred, as it is there also held: For there after the Fine levied, the Tenant in Tail himself had Right, so that the Issue in Tail was not the first to whom the Right did accrue and descend after the Fine levied, but after the Feoffment in Fee he himself had not any Right: And with this Diversity agrees the Opinion in *Stowel's Case* in *Plowden's Commentaries*; but in none of those Cases the Issue was Privy, but a Stranger to him who levied the Fine. Also the Stat. of 32 H. 8. which is but an Explanation and Interpretation of the Act of 4 H. 7. (as appears by the Preamble thereof) expounds the said Act in such Manner, that is to say, "That all Fines levied with Proclamations according to the Stat. of 4 H. 7. of any Lands, &c. in any wise entailed to the Person so levying the same Fine, or to any of his Ancestors, shall be immediately after the same Fine levied, ingrossed, and Proclamation made, adjudged a sufficient Bar, &c. And in all this Act there is no Saving for the Issue in Tail. Ergo, after the Proclamations pass, by the express Provision of this Stat. the Issue in Tail is barred, and no Power is left him to make Claim, for the Intent of the Act was (as appears by the Preamble) to bar him by the Fine; and therefore it was never intended to save his Right: But against this it was objected, that altho' the Letter of the said Acts, and chiefly of the Act of 32 H. 8. were against the Issue in Tail in this Case, yet he might make his Claim by the Equity and Intent and Meaning of the said Acts; for otherwise to what Purpose should the Proclamations be made, unless those who had lawful Action, Entry, or Claim, might pursue it; for Proclamations are made to this End and Purpose, and the making of them with such Solemnity as they are made would be utterly vain, if the Issue in Tail after the Death of his Father might not pursue his Action, Entry, or Claim before all the Proclamations incur; For the Act of 32 H. 8. doth not bar any Stranger, but him who levies the Fine, and the Issue of his Body. And therefore if the Issue after the Death of his Father cannot pursue his Action, Entry, or Claim, before the Proclamations incur, the Proclamations on such fine will be utterly idle and vain.

To which it was answered, That the Act of 32 H. 8. being an Act which explains and expounds the Act of 4 H. 7. as to the Fine by the Tenant in Tail, should not be taken by any

strained

strained Construction against the Letter, for then it would be requisite to have another new Act to make an Explanation and Exposition on the Explanation and Exposition which was made by the former Act, and so *in infinitum*.

2. It appears by the Stat. of 4 H. 7. the last Clause, that every Person hath Liberty to pursue a Fine according to the said Act, *scil.* with Proclamations in four several Terms after the Fine engrossed, or without Proclamations, for so was the Use (a) before the said Act; and therefore the Act of (b) 32 H. 8. which explains the said Act of 4 H. 7. of Necessity doth prescribe that Proclamations shall be made according to the Act of 4 H. 7. to distinguish it from a Fine at the Common Law, which was not a Bar to the Estate in Tail, and not to enable the Issue to make a Claim. For, as it hath been said, it would be against the Intention of the Act expressed in the Preamble, and against the express Purview of the Body of the Act; so that it was material that the Fine should be levied with Proclamations, otherwise it would not be levied according to the Statute of 4 H. 7. which was interpreted and expounded by the said Act of 32 H. 8.

3. It would be greatly inconvenient, that when Tenant in Tail levies a Fine of a Reversion or Remainder, &c. expectant on an Estate for Life, or on a Bargain and Sale on a valuable Consideration, or for the Advancement of his Children, or for the Payment of his Debts, &c. and dies before Proclamations pass'd, that all this should be avoided by the Claim of the Heir in Tail, when the Conusee could not have better Assurance, either by common Recovery (in as much as he was not Tenant to the *Præcipe*) or otherwise.

4. It is prov'd by divers Judgments and Resolutions given before this Time, that the Issue in Tail in such Case shall not make Claim to save the Estate-tail, but that after Proclamations had, it shall be barred, *Pasch.* 28 *Eliz. Rot.* 13. *Edward Lord (c) Zouch* brought a *Formedon* in the *Descender* of the Moiety of a Manor, &c. against *Bampfild*, who pleaded in Bar, that *John*, Great Grandfather of the Demandant, levied a Fine *sur conusans de droit come ceo*, &c. with Proclamations of the said Moiety, *Pasch.* 30 H. 8. which was by the same Fine granted and rendred to the said *John* and his Heirs, whose Estate the Tenant had; the Demandant replied, and said, That at the Time of the Fine levied, and at all Times after (and shew'd how) the said *Rich. Bampfild* now Tenant, was seised of the Land in Demand in his Demesne as of Fee: And on solemn Argument it was agreed by *Anderson, Periam, Windham* and *Rhodes* Justices, That the Demandant being Heir in Tail against such Fine levied by his Ancestor, whose Heir he is, was estopped to aver his Seisin and Continuance thereof in a Stranger at the Time of the said Fine levied; nor to aver, *Quod partes finis nihil habuer*: And in the same Case the Justices did consider,

(a) Co. Lit. 262. a.
Poit. fo. 90. b.
(b) 10 Co. 50. a.
1 Leon. 224.
2 Leon. 62, 322.
3 Leon. 10.
Moor 115, 146.
1 And. 46.
Sav. 85, 88.
Co. Lit. 262. a.
372. a. 1 Bullt.
33. Goldsb. 11.
3 Co. 51. a.
Hob. 258.
7 Co. 32. a. b.
9 Co. 140. b.
11 Co. 75. a.

(c) Moor 250.
1 And. 165.
1 Leon. 75.
1 Jones 33, 35.
Goldsb. 107.
Winch 43.
Hob. 333.
Sav. 84.
2 Leon. 36.
3 Leon. 211.
Lane 103.
Noy 59.
Cr. El. 610.

If before the Statutes of 4 H. 7. & 32 H. 8. such Averments were allowable in Law. And it seems by the better Opinion of the Books, that before the Stat. of 4 H. 7. & 32 H. 8. that the Issue in Tail was not admitted to such Averments against a Fine levied by his Ancestor. And this appears by the Stat. of (a) 27 E. 1. cap. 1. *de Finibus levatis*, which recites, *Quod per aliquod tempus præteritum, &c. partes finium, & earum partium hæredes, (contra leges & consuetudines Regni nostri antiquius usitat) super hujusmodi finibus adnullandis & evacuandis, admittebantur proponere quod ante finem levatum & tempore levationis ejusdem, & postea petentes seu querentes, aut eorum antecessores de tenementis in finibus contenti, aut de aliqua parte earundem semper fuerunt seifiti, & sic fines hujusmodi rite levatos per Jurat patriæ falsè, subordinate, & maliciose procurat multotiens evacuabant & adnihilabant, & hæc minus justè: Statuimus quod dictæ exceptiones, seu responsiones, vel inquisitiones patriæ super hujusmodi exceptionibus seu responsionibus, nullo modo contra hujusmodi recognitiones & fines de cætero admittantur.* But against this, three Exceptions were made.

1. That it is provided by the Stat. *de Donis Conditionalibus*, quod (as to the Issue in Tail) *finis ipso jure sit nullus.*

2. That the said Act of 27 E. 1. doth not extend to Heirs in Tail, but only to Heirs in Fee-simple, as *dicitur arguendo* in 8 H. 4. 7. for the Issues in Tail are not bound by Fines, or any other Record which enures by way of Estoppel or Conclusion.

3. The said Act of 27 E. 1. speaks *de finibus rite levatis*, and a Fine is not said *rite levatus*, when there wants Seifin in the one Part or the other, so that *partes finis nihil habuerunt*; and so hath the said Act by the Judges in ancient Times been interpreted, as appears by the Book in 46 E.

(b) 2 Inst. § 17. 3. 14. (b) pl. 20. where in a (c) *Formedon* in the *Descender* the Tenant pleaded a Fine with Warranty in Bar, the Demandant replied, *quod partes finis nihil habuerunt*. And (d) 13 Aff. 8. it is said, that it hath been adjudged a good Plea for the Issue in Tail against a Fine on Grant and Render of his Father, to alledge the Continuance in his Father, and that he died seifed, and that he entred as Son and Heir. And *Br. tit. Fines* 109. a (e) Fine levied with Proclamation by Tenant in Tail may be avoided by the Issue, *si partes finis nihil habuerunt*, for the Statute is intended *de finibus rite levatis*; and therefore the Issue in Tail may plead *quod partes finis nihil habuerunt*, for then it is but a Fine by Conclusion between the Parties: And in (f) 13 E. 3. *Replicat.* 62. and 17 E. 3. 53. some held that a Fine is not *rite levatus*, when *partes finis nihil habuerunt*, for Seifin in one of the Parties is of the Essence of a Fine rightfully levied.

(c) 1 Leon. 78. Postea 89. a.
(d) Br. Fines levies 74. Postea 89. b.

(e) Sav. 88. Postea 91. b.

(f) Postea 89. b.

As to the first Objection, it was answer'd, That the Stat. *de Donis Conditionalibus* was made 13 E. 1. and the Stat. *de Finibus* was made 27 E. 1. in which the Issue in Tail is not excepted: Ergo, he shall be bound by the latter Act, and therewith agrees a good Opinion in 8 H. 4. 3, 8.

As to (a) the 2d Object. altho' the Issue in Tail was not (a) Cr Car 124, barred by any Fine by his Ancestor before the Stat. of 4 H. 7. ^{525. 1 Jones} yet, as it hath been said, he was ousted to aver in such Case, ^{458. 9 Co 141.} *quod partes finis nihil habuerunt*, and being Privy and Heir to him who levied the Fine, was by the Stat. of 27 E. 1. estopped and concluded to annihilate the Fine of his Ancestor by such Plea; and altho' it is provided by the Stat. *de Donis Conditionalibus*, *Quod finis ipso jure sit nullus*, that is to say, to bar the Right of the Issue in Tail, yet it is an Estoppel to him to say, *Quod partes finis nihil habuerunt*. And therefore the Case is remarkable in 33 E. 3. *Estop.* 280. (b) where the Case in Effect was; Grandfather, Father and Son, the Son brought a Formedon of a Gift (in Tail) made to the Grandfather, the Tenant vouched to Warranty one T. as Cousin and Heir of F. within Age, and prayed that the Parol might demur; the Demandant said, that the Vouchee nor any of his Ancestors, &c. were seised after the Seisin of his Grandfather, of which Seisin, &c. The Tenant said, that the Grandfather of the Demandant levied a Fine of the said Tenements in Demand to E. and demanded Judgm. if against the Fine levied by his Grandfather whose Heir he is, he should to such Averment be receiv'd: The Demandant said that the Stat. *De donis conditionalibus*, voided the Fine levied by the Ancestor in Tail; and yet by Judgment of the Court he was ousted of the said Averm. for there it is said that tho' the Stat. voided the Fine as to bar the Heir in Tail of Action; nevertheless the Fine remain'd in Force to restrain the Heir in tail from averring a thing contrary to the Fine, as well as the Heir in Fee-simple; and therewith agrees 22 E. 3. 17. and (c) 33 H. 6. 18. a. b. by *Telverton* and others. ^{(c) Fitz. Estop- pel 53. Br. Fines 9. Postea 89. b.}

As to the third Objection, a Fine may be said *rite levatus*, altho' *partes finis nihil habuer'*, for *rite levat'* is as much as to say within the Intention of the said Act, as duly levied, that is to say, in due Form of Law: For the same Act doth oust the Parties of such Averment, and therefore *rite levatus* ought to be so expounded; and a Fine may be said levied in due Form of Law, altho' it be a Fine merely by Conclusion: And as to the said Case in (d) 46 E. 5. it ought to be intended of a Fine levied by a collateral Ancestor, from whom the Demandant did not claim the Land, and then the Averment is good, for *heres dicitur ab hereditate*, vide 19 H. 8. 6. b. by *Inglefield* and others; and 38 E. 3. 10. 36 H. 6. *View* 30. And in the said Act it is said *earum partium heredes*, which is to be intended

of such an Heir as claims the Inheritance from the Ancestor who levied the Fine: As if in a *Formedon* of a Gift made to the Demandant's Father, the Tenant pleads the Fine of the Demandant's Grandfather with Warranty, &c. the Demandant may plead, *Quod partes finis nihil habuerunt*, but that such a one was seised and gave to his Father in Tail: So in an Assise, if the Fine of the Demandant's Father be pleaded whose Heir he is, it is a good Plea to say, *Quod partes finis nihil habuerunt*, but that he himself was seised at the Time,

(a) Antea 89 a. &c. and therewith agrees (a) 33 H. 6. 18. (b) 13 Aff. 8. (c) 13 E. 3. Replie. 62. 22 E. 3. 17. (d) 17 E. 3. 53. And as to the said Book in 13 Aff. 8. it was affirmed for good Law; for there is a Difference when Tenant in Tail levies a Fine

sur consans de droit come ceo, &c. and when he accepts such a Fine, and makes a Grant and Render, for against a Fine levied by Tenant in Tail *sur consans de droit come ceo*, &c. his Heir cannot aver Continuance, &c. in his Ancestor, for that would be contrary to the Fine, which is restrained by the Stat. *de Finibus*, as *Fairfax*, *Littleton*, and *Brian* held in 12 E. 4. 15. a. 8 H. 4. 8, & 9. And so *Shard* said in the same Book of 13 Aff. 8. But when Tenant in Tail accepts a

(e) Flowd. 437. Fine, and (e) grants and renders the Land by the same Fine, (which is but Executory) there, if no Execution be sued in the Life of the Tenant in Tail, his Issue may aver Continuance of Possession, &c. in his Father, for that well stands with the Fine, for the Acceptance of the Fine *sur consans de droit come ceo*, &c. which presupposeth a Gift precedent, doth not alter the Estate, and the Grant and Render, until it be executed, doth not devert any Estate out of the Tenant in Tail, and by Consequence, he continues Tenant in Tail; and therewith agree 41 E. 3. 14. 42 E. 3. 9. 8 Aff. 33. 11 H. 4. 85. a. And so and according to this Difference was it adjudged *M. 3 & 4 Eliz.* in the Com. Pleas, *Rot.* 1483.

Conisby's Case M. 3 & 4. Reg. Eliz.

(f) Benl in Kel. 210. pl. 15. Benl. in Ash. 15. Dyer 213. pl. 41. 1 And. 6. pl. 11.

Conisby's Case, where the principal Case was such; (f) *Palmer* and *Mary* his Wife seised for the Life of the Wife as in her Right, the Remainder to *Elizabeth Conisby* in Tail, the Remainder to the said *Elizabeth* in Fee; *Palmer* and *Mary* his Wife levied a Fine *sur consans de droit come ceo*, &c. to the said *Elizabeth* with Proclamations, who granted and rendered a Rent of 27 l. 10 s. to the Consors, for the Term of their Lives, with Clause of Distress; and afterwards *Elizabeth* died, and the Land descended to *Henry Conisby*, her Son and Heir in Tail, who leased the Land to one *Parker* for Years; and afterwards *Mary* died; *Palmer* distrained for the Rent, and he brought a Replevin: And in that Case two Points were resolv'd and adjudg'd.

1. That against such Fine accepted by Tenant in Tail, the Issue might aver Continuance of Scisin by Force of the Tail

Tail, and the Issue in Tail is not estopped by the Admittance and Acceptance of his Ancestor.

2. That the Grant and Render of the Rent was not within the Statute of 4 H. 7. or 32 H. 8. because the Fine was not levied of the Land (a) itself, which was entailed but of a Rent newly created out of the Land: But in the said Case of the Lord Zouch, it was resolv'd by all the Judges of the Com. Pleas, that the Statutes of 4 H. 7. and 32 H. 8. extended to Fines levied by Conclusion, and should bind the Estate-Tail, altho' *partes finis nihil habuerunt*; As if Ten. in Tail makes a Feoffment in Fee, or be (c) disseised, and afterwards levies a Fine with Proclamations to a Stranger, it shall bind the Estate-Tail, and the Issues in Tail are barred for ever. And it is to be observ'd, that the Stat. of 32 H. 8. saith, *All Fines levied of any Lands, Tenements, or Hereditaments, in any wise entailed to the Person so levying the same, or to any of his Ancestors, &c.* and the Land is entailed to the Person who levied the Fine, altho' he was not seised thereof at the Time. And in the Statute of 4 H. 7. (which is expounded by the Act of 32 H. 8.) is a Saving to every Person or Persons not Party or Privy to the said Fine, their Exception, *quod partes finis nihil habuerunt*; and the Issue in Tail is Privy, for he claims as Heir and by Descent; Ergo, he should not have such (d) Averm. And afterwards, *scil. M. 29 & 30 El.* Judgm. was given accordingly, *scil.* that the Demandant should be barred; which Case I have reported more at large, because it is remarkable, and the first Judgment which was given in the said Point on the said Statutes. And it was said, that the said Judgment did rule the Point now in Debate, for thereby it appears, if Tenant in Tail be disseised, and levies a Fine and dies before all the Proclamations are past, altho' the Issue enters into the Land, yet after the Proclamations are made he shall be barred, for he cannot say, *quod partes finis nihil habuerunt*: And it was said, if in Case when Tenant in Tail hath nothing at the Time of the Fine levied, that the Issue shall be barred by the said Statutes; *a fortiori*, when Tenant in Tail at the Time of the Fine levied is seised of an Estate-Tail (be it in Possession, Reversion or Remainder) which may in Truth (and not by Conclusion only) pass by the said Fine, the Issue shall be barred by the said Statutes.

Also in *an. 20 El.* in the Case of one (e) Archer, in the Com. Pleas, it was resolv'd by Sir James Dyer, Manwood, Mounson and Mead, that where Lands were given to the Grandfather and his Wife in special Tail, the Grandfather died, the Father disseised the Grandmother, and levied a Fine with Proclamations; the Grandmother died, the Father died, that the Son (f) was barred; and yet the Father at the Time of the Fine levied had but a Possibility (the Grandmother living) to the Estate-Tail. Yet the Judges did expound

(a) Flowd. 435.
a. b. Car. Jac.
699, 700.2 Lev. 36.
(b) Cr Eliz.
610. 1 Jones
33.
c) Plowd. 434.(d) 1 Jones 35.
Archer's Case
20.(e) 9 Co. 141. a.
Hob. 258, 333.
1 Jones 33, 37.
39, 40, 81. Cr.
Car. 435. 2 Ro.
Rep. 374.
Winch 110.(f) 10 Co. 50 a.
Cr. Car. 435.
Cr. Jac 591.
9 Co. 141. a.
Cr. El. 122, 610:
Hob. 258, 333.
Dyer 3 pl. 3.
Moor 252.

The Case of FINES. PART III.

the Stat. of 32 H. 8. (being an Act of Explanat.) according to the Letter, *scil.* that forasmuch as the Land was entailed to his Ancestor, altho' his Ancestor was alive; so that no Estate or Right was descended to him which he could pass or extinguish; yet because the Stat. saith, *intailed to the Person* (a) *Antea*, fol. 51. a. *so levying or to any of his Ancestors in the (a) Disjunctive*, it was held that the Fine with Proclamat. did bar the Right, which after the Fine should descend to him, not only as to himself, but as to all the Heirs in Tail; *pari ratione* it was said that in the Case in Question, forasmuch as it is provided, That after the Proclamations pass'd, the Estate-Tail shall be barred without any Saving for the Issue; the Issue should be barred notwithstanding any Claim by him, according to the Letter and Purview of the said Act. And on these two Cases of the Lord *Zouch* and *Arbocer*, it follows, That if the (b) Grandfather be Tenant in Tail, and the Father in his Life having nothing in the Land, levies a Fine with Proclamations, and afterwards the Grandfather dies, and afterwards the Father dies; That this Fine shall bind the Son, which, as was said, was a stronger Case than the Case now in Question.

(b) Mo. 146, 147.
Antea 51. a. 61.
a 1 Jones 34.
Hob. 258, 353.
Cr. Car. 435.

In *Mich.* 23 & 24 *Eliz.* in the Com. Pleas, the Case was such; Sir *George Blunt* was Tenant in Tail of divers Manors in the Counties of *Salop* and *Stafford*, and had Issue a Daughter, who was married to one *Purflowe*: And afterwards in *Easter* and *Trin.* Term, 23 *El.* Sir *George* levied a Fine of the said Manors to one *Lacon* of *Worcestershire*, and died in *August* following. *Purflowe* and his Wife brought a *Formedon*, and pending the Plea Proclamations pass'd; it was agreed by the whole Court, that the Tenant (c) should plead the Fine and (d) Proclamations which pass'd pending the Writ, and bar the Demandant; and yet in the said Case a Right of Intail descended to the Wife of *Purflowe*, and presently they sued their *Formedon in le Descender*, which was all they could do; for the Fine is the Conveyance which pass'd the Estate, and the Proclamations are but a short Repetition of the Fine, and are by the Stat. of 32 H. 8. only added (as hath been said) to declare that it is a Fine levied according to the Stat. of 4 H. 7. which bars the Estate-Tail, and not a Fine at the (e) Com. Law: *Ex hoc* four Things are to be observ'd:

Purflow's Case,
Mich. 23 & 24
Reg. Eliz.

(c) 1 Jones 145.
(d) Cr. El. 362.

(e) Antea 88. a.
Co. Lit. 262. a.

1. That tho' after the Fine levied, a Right of Intail descended to the Wife of *Purflowe*, yet after the Proclamat. pass'd, the Right which descended is barred by Force of the (f) Fine.

(f) Cr. El. 589.

2. That altho' a *Formedon* be brought and pursued, yet when after the Proclamations pass, the Fine is a Bar; and what Reason should there be that the Issue should be more barred of his Right which descends to him, and of his rightful Action, which he hath pursued for the Recovery of his Right,

Right, than the Issue should be barred in the Case in Question, after the Proclamations pass'd, notwithstanding his Entry or Claim *in pais*.

3. That when Tenant in Tail levies a Fine and dies before Proclamations, the Issue in Tail is not within any of the *Savings* of 4 H. 7. for if he should be, then the bringing of his *Formedon* before all are pass'd, and the pursuing of it would avoid the Bar which the Statute would make after the Proclamations pass.

4. That in such Case the Proclamations serve to no Purpose, but only to distinguish that it is a Fine levied according to the Statute of 4 H. 7. For altho' the Issue, having Notice by the Proclamations, brings his *Formedon* accordingly, yet it shall not avail him.

Trin. 4. *Eliz.* a Case was in the Com. Pleas, (as *Benlow* Serj. at Law reports) to this Effect; (a) Tenant in Tail dis- continues in Fee, and disseises the Discontinuee, and levies a Fine *sur conusans de droit come ceo*, with Proclamations to a Stranger, and takes an Estate by Render in the same Fine; and the Discontinuee, before all the Proclamations pass, enters, and claims the Land, and voids the Estate which pass'd by the Fine, and afterwards Proclamations pass'd, Tenant in Tail continues his Possession and dies seised within the Year after the Entry and Claim; the Question was, if the Heir in Tail be (b) remitted, or if the Entry of the Discontinuee were lawful? And the unanimous Opinion of the Justices was, That the Heir in Tail was not remitted, but that he was barred by the Stat. of 32 H. 8. altho' the Estate which pass'd by the Fine was utterly avoided before the Proclamations pass'd. By which it appears, that altho' the Estate which Passes by the Fine be utterly defeated before the Procl. yet when afterward the Proclam. pass, the Estate-Tail shall be barred. And so the Doubt which was conceived in the K.'s Bench, *M.* 38 & 39 *Eliz.* in an *Ejectione firmæ*, between (c) *Harvy* Pl. and *Facy* Def. on a Demise made by *Robert Bret*, Esq; of Land in *Northpedderton*, where the same Point was in Question, and not adjudg'd; (for the said *Robert Bret* and *Arthur Acclam*, Esq; who was the Def. Lessor did agree, and *Bret*, who claimed by the Fine, had good Part of the Inheritance) was well resolved.

5. It was resolv'd That altho' the Issue in Tail be beyond the Sea, yet forasmuch as he is Privy, and out of all the *Savings* of the Stat. of 4 H. 7. he is bound, altho' he be beyond the Sea, in the same Manner as if the Issue in Tail was within Age, or under Coverture, or *Non compos mentis*, or in Prison; and this was agreed by all the Justices *nullo contradicente*. *Ex hoc sequitur*, that the Entry or Claim of the Issue in Tail, before all the Proclamations are pass'd, is not material; for if the Entry or Claim of the Issue

(a) 1 And. 43.
172. 2 And. 177.
Benl. in Ash. 17.
N. Benl. 122.
p. 156. O. Benl.
30. Cr. El. 589.
Benl. in Kelw.
210. b. Ow. 75.
Moor 115.
1 Brownl. 139.

(b) Moor 115.

(c) Poph. 61.
2 Anderf. 109.

The Case of FINES. PART III.

in Tail would be of any Force, then it would be hard to bind them for Want of Claim, who have not Power or Intelligence to make an Entry or Claim, and their Non-claim was not prejudicial to them by the Rule and Reason of the Common Law. And if the Infancy, Coverture, *Non sane memorie*, or Imprisonment of the Heir in Tail, in such Case should give him Power to avoid the Fine, in that Case no Man would be assured of Lands conveyed to him by Fine.

Note, Reader, There was never any Judgment or Resolution of any Court against the third Point resolved in this Case; but the Opinion of Counsellors *arguendo* in *Smith* and *Stapleton's Case*, *Plow. Comm.* 430. And the Opinion of *Brook*, *tit. Assurance* 6. and *Fines* 109. (a) But those Opinions are not only contrary to the said Judgments and Resolutions of the Courts aforesaid, but would introduce great Inconvenience in weakening of the general Assurance of Lands: And observe well all these Points now resolv'd, and the said former Judgments and Resolutions cited in this Case; for as I conceive they are grounded on profound and pregnant Reason, tending to the Repose and Quiet of infinite Inheritances.

(a) Ant. 88. b.
Sav. 88.

The Order of the Cases in this third Book: Shewing in what Court they were transacted, when Recorded, and in what *Folio* of this Book they respectively begin.

		Page
1	The Marquess of Winchester's Case.	<i>Trinit.</i> 25 <i>Eliz.</i> in <i>Banco Regis.</i> 1
2	Cuppledike's Case	<i>Paschæ</i> 44 <i>El.</i> in the Court of Wards 5
3	Heydon's Case	<i>Paschæ</i> 26 <i>Eliz.</i> in <i>Scaccario</i> 7
4	Dowty's Case	<i>Trinitat.</i> 26 <i>Eliz.</i> in <i>Scaccario</i> 9
5	Sir William Harbert's Case	<i>Mich.</i> 26 & 27 <i>Eliz.</i> in <i>Scaccar.</i> 11
6	Boraston's Case	<i>Hill.</i> 29 <i>Eliz.</i> in <i>Banco Reg.</i> 19
7	Walker's Case	<i>Hill.</i> 29 <i>Eliz.</i> in <i>Banco Reg.</i> 22
8	Butler and Baker's Case	<i>Mich.</i> 33 & 34 <i>El.</i> in <i>Banco Reg.</i> 25
9	Ratcliff's Case.	<i>Hill.</i> 34 <i>Eliz.</i> in <i>Banco Reg.</i> 37
10	Boyton's Case	<i>Mich.</i> 34 & 35 <i>El.</i> in <i>Banco Reg.</i> 47
11	Sir George Brown's Case	<i>Hill.</i> 36 <i>Eliz.</i> in <i>Com. Banco</i> 50
12	Rigeway's Case	<i>Paschæ</i> 36 <i>Eliz.</i> in <i>Banco Reg.</i> 52
13	Lincoln College's Case	<i>Mich.</i> 37 & 38 <i>El.</i> in <i>Com. Banco</i> 58
14	Pennant's Case	<i>Trinit.</i> 38 <i>Eliz.</i> in <i>Banco Reg.</i> 64
15	Westby's Case	<i>Mich.</i> 39 & 40 <i>El.</i> in <i>Ban. Reg.</i> 71
16	Case of the Dean and Chapter of Norwich	<i>Mich.</i> 40 & 41 <i>Eliz.</i> <i>Reg.</i> 73
17	Fermor's Case	<i>Hill.</i> 40 <i>Eliz.</i> in <i>Cancell.</i> 77
18	Twyne's Case	<i>Paschæ</i> 44 <i>Eliz.</i> in <i>Cam. stell.</i> 80
19	The Case of Fines.	<i>Paschæ</i> 44 <i>Eliz.</i> <i>Reginæ.</i> 84

F I N I S.

