

The Eleventh PART of the
R E P O R T S
O F

Sir Edward Coke, Kt.

Chief Justice of ENGLAND (of Pleas assign'd to be holden before the King himself) and *one* of the Privy Council of State.

O F

Divers Resolutions and Judgments given ion solemn Arguments, and with great Deliberation and Conference of the most Reverend Judges and Sages of the LAW, of Cafes in LAW, which were never resolved or adjudged before: And the Reasons and Causes of the said Resolutions and Judgments.

Publish'd in the Thirteenth Year of the Most High and Most Illustrious *JAMES* King of *England, France and Ireland,* and of *Scotland* the 49th, the Fountain of all Piety and Justice, and the Life of the LAW.

With REFERENCES to all the BOOKS of the COMMON LAW, as well Antient as Modern: And the PLEADINGS in ENGLISH, carefully Revised and Corrected.

Simplicitas Jusforum dirigit eos; & supplantatio perverforum vastabit eos. PROV. cap. 11. ver. 3.

Non roborabiter homo ex impietate; radix autem Jusforum non commovebitur. PROV. cap. 12. ver. 3.

Compendaria res improbitas, virtus longa.

Compendia, sunt dispendia.

In the SAVOY:

Printed by E. and R. NUTT, and R. GOSLING, (Assigns of *Edward Sayer, Esq;*) for *B. Gosling, W. Mears, W. Junys and B. Manby, T. Woodward, J. Clay, A. Ward, J. and D. Knapton, T. Cotton, T. Longman, D. Browne, T. Osborne, H. Lintot, and C. Waller.* M.DCC.XXXVIII.

Deo, Patriæ, Tibi.

Multos scribendi Libros, dicit Solomon, nullus est finis; quod intelligitur de hiis, qui nec metam sibi, nec finem proponunt aliquem: Loco igitur Præfationis (Docte Lector) substantiam Casuum in hoc undecimo opere meo emissorum, paucis edocere decrevi; quo facile eorundem finem & intentionem colligere possis.

In primo loco, casum Baronis *la Ware*, decretum in Parlamento Anno 39 *Eliz.* tento retuli; ubi constat de inhabilitatibus personalibus & temporariis, quæ

OF writing many Books, saith Solomon, there is no End; which is understood of such as are written to no End: I mean therefore, Learned Reader, by Way of Preface, to propose unto you in a few Words, the Substance of the Cases in this Eleventh Work, whereby you will easily collect the End and Scope of the same.

1. In the first Place, I report the Case of the Lord *la Ware*, resolved in Parliament holden in the 39th Year of the Reign of Queen Elizabeth; wherein appeareth what

To the READER.

Disabilities are personal and temporary, and barretb not the Heir to claim Honour and Dignity from that Ancestor so disabled, or from any other Ancestor paramount him; and also what Disabilities are in Law absolute and perpetual.

2. *In the second Place, followeth Auditor Curle's Case, resolved in the 7th Year of the most happy Reign of King James: In this Case is resolved, that judicial Offices cannot be granted in Reversion, but that generally such Grants by the Common Law of England, are utterly void, and therefore, though this Case be calculated for the Meridian of the Court of Wards, yet by Computation, it may serve for all the Judicial Courts of England: A necessary Case, I assure you, to be published, and the Law to be put in Use in these Days: In which Case are also handled some other particular Points concerning the Office of the said Auditorship in the Court of Wards.*

hæredem a vindican-
do titulos & dignitates
ab antecessore sic inha-
bilitato, seu ab aliquo
alio superiore antecessore,
non impediunt; &
de Inhabilitatibus in le-
ge absolutis & perpe-
tuis.

2. *Sequitur in secun-
do loco casus Auditoris
Curle, Anno septimo fæ-
licissimi regni Regis no-
stri Jacobi adjudicatus: In hoc casu Judicialia
Officia in reversione con-
cedi non posse, immo
omnes hujusmodi con-
cessionones generaliter per
communem legem Angliæ
penitus esse irritas
determinatur, ideoq; li-
cet casus iste ad Meridia-
num Curia Pupillorum
calceletur, per compu-
tationem tamen, omni-
bus Angliæ Curis Judi-
cialibus inserviat: Casus
proculdubio in lucem
proferri necessarius, &
Lex hisce temporibus
debitæ executioni de-
mandanda: ubi etiam
multa tractantur parti-
culariter de Officio dicti
Auditoris*

Auditoris Curia Pupillorum.

3. Casus deinde accedit *Johannis Heydon*, equitis, Termino Trinitatis anno decimo *Jacobi* Regis determinatus; in quo perspicue ostenditur, ubi damna separaliter taxabuntur per Juratores, & ubi primus duodecemviratus inter quærentem & unum defendantium, taxabit damna, pro omnibus defendantibus, & ubi non: Unde libri inter se pugnantés optime reconciliantur; qui dum minus recte intelligebant, multa arrestata fuerunt Judicia, & multa quæ lata fuerunt, per Breve de Errore subversa fuerunt, ad immensum dispendium, moram, & vexationem partis gravatæ.

4. Post hunc se apperit casus de *Priddle & Napper* de Termino *Michaelis* anno decimo *Jacobi* Regis: in quo edocetur quæ unitas juxta Statutum de anno tricesimo primo *Hen. Octavi*, ad exoneramdam terram

3. *Then cometh in Sir John Heydon's Case, adjudged in Trinity Term 10 Regis Jacobi; wherein is perspicuously expressed, where Damages shall be severally assessed by the Jurors; and where the first Jury between the Plaintiff, and one of the Defendants shall assess Damages for all the Defendants, and where not; whereby all the Books are well reconciled; for want of right Understanding whereof, many Judgments have been arrested, many that have been given, have been overthrown by Writ of Error, to the great Charge, Delay, and Vexation of the Party grieved.*

4. *After this appeareth the Case of Priddle and Napper, in Mich. 10 Jacobi Regis; and therein is set down what Unity is sufficient within the Statute of 31 H. 8. to discharge the Land of Tithe, with divers other*
A 4 Points

Points concerning the same.

5. *Next after, Doctor Graunt's Case presenteth itself, adjudg'd Mich. II Jacobi Regis, where-by you may see where Parsons and Vicars may have certain Tithes for Houses in Cities, Boroughs, &c.*

6. *Then you shall read the Case of Sir Henry Nevill; adjudged Mich. II Jacobi Regis: And understand that a customary Manor may be holden by Copy, and that such a Lord may hold Courts and grant Copies.*

7. *Now cast your Eye upon Doctor Ayraye's Case, adjudged Mich. II Regis Jacobi; wherein you shall perceive what be material Misnamings of Corporations, either to avoid their own Grants by mistaking their own Name, or Grants made to*

de Decimis fatis est, cum diversis aliis de eadem re Articulis.

5. Proxime se representat casus Doctoris Grant, Termino Michaelis anno undecimo Regis Jacobi decretus; unde videre est, in quo casu Rectores & Vicarii habere possunt quasdam decimas pro domibus in Civitatibus, Burgis, &c.

6. Casum deinde evolvit Henrici Nevil, Equitis, Termino Michaelis anno undecimo Jacobi Regis adjudicatum, unde manerium Customarium per transcriptum Apographum sive (ut loquimur) per copiam teneri posse, tum & hujusmodi Dominum Curias tenere, & transcripta concedere posse intelliges.

7. In Doctoris Ayraye casum, de Termino Michaelis anno undecimo Regis Jacobi, jam oculos intendas: In quo, quæ sunt materiales male nominationes Corporationum, tam ad proprias suas concessionem, quam ad concessionem in

eas collatas ob errorem proprii nominis enervandas. Casus sane, qui non solum Collegiorum & aliarum Corporationum, sed etiam Firmariorum aliorumq; sub iis rem sibi vendicantium commodum spectat & tranquillitatem.

8. Dehinc oculis vestrum subjicitur *Henrici Harpur* casus, Termino Trinitatis anno duodecimo *Jacobi* Regis, judicatus: in quo viri edocentur, Quomodo, hii qui tenent de Rege per servitium militare in capite, duas partes terrarum suarum &c. pro debitis suis solvendis, uxore efferenda, & filiis natu minoribus promovendis vel aliter secundum lege possunt disponere, nullam omnino post obitum suum inter hæredem & Legatarios inquietationem seu questionem relicturi: Ignorantia cujus, si non destructionis, magni tamen familiar' multarum damni in causa hucusque fuit.

9. Perinde *Henrici Pigot* casum retuli, ad Le-

them; a Case that concerns the Good and Quiet, not only of Colleges and other Corporations, but of their Farmers, Lessees, and others that claim under them.

8. *Then is offered to your View Henry Harpur's Case, resolved, Trin^r 12 Jacobi Regis, wherein Men are directed how the King's Tenant that holdeth by Knights Service in capite, may dispose two Parts of his Lands, &c. for the Payment of his Debts, Advancement of his Wife, Preferment of his younger Children, or otherwise according to Law, and leave no Trouble or Question, after his Death, between his Heir and the Devisees; the Want of Knowledge whereof hath tended, if not to the undoing, yet to the great Hindrance of many Families.*

9. *Next to this, have I reported Henry Pigot's Case,*

To the READER.

Case, adjudged Trin. 12 Jacobi Regis, to instruct the Reader what Alteration of any Deed after the Ensealing and Delivery, and by whom, avoideth the Deed.

10. *By this Time I presume you have expected, and desired to see the Case of Alexander Poulter, that most wickedly and feloniously burnt the good Town of Newmarket, who upon Consideration of many intricate and ill-penned Statutes, in the End was clearly (as you shall perceive) ousted of his Clergy: Wherein many notable and observable Points concerning Clergy, which in some Sort concern the Life of Man, are resolved, Mich. 12 Jacobi Regis.*

11. *And lest there should be Error in bringing of a Writ of Error, Metcalfe's Case, Mich. 12 Jac. hath gotten the next Place: Wherein is plainly discussed, upon what Judgment or Award a Writ of Error doth lie, and upon what*

ctorem instruendum, qualis immutatio alicujus scripti post sigillationem & deliberationem, & per quem vacuum reddit scriptum.

10. Te demum expectaturum & optaturum opinor, casum *Alexandri Poulter*, qui sceleratissime & felonice oppid' illud lautum *Newmarket* incendebat; qui post considerationem variorum Statutorum perplexorum & male compositorum tandem (ut observes) a beneficio clericatus penitus fuit exclusus: Quo etiam multa imprimis notanda de Clericatu, ad vitam hominis quodammodo spectant' determinantur. *Mich. 12 Jac. Regis.*

11. Ac ne erratum esset in ferendo Breve de *Errore*, casus *Metcalfe* de *Termino Michaelis* anno duodecimo *Jacobi* Regis proximam sibi sedem fortitus est; ubi plene discussum est, super quo judicio sive arbitrio Breve de *Errore* emanare

manare potest, & e contra.

12. Quin &, ut declinetur error in arrogandis mulctis pro contemptib' in Letis & aliis curiis de recordo, in casu *Ricardi Godfrey* armigeri, dilucide decernitur, ubi oportet mulctam esse separalem & ubi conjunctam, & quomodo mulcta illegitime imposita evitetur, & quando Dominus pro certo Leti distringere potest. *Mich. 12 Jac.*

13. Casus *Ricardi Liford* locum sequentem merito sibi obtinuit; quia in eo adjudicatur, quid interesse Firmarius habet in arboribus structuræ idoneis quando non sunt exceptæ; & quid interesse in eodem casu & Locatori, & quid & quale interesse Firmarius habet in arboribus exceptis, & utrum in eodem casu per generalem concessionem reversionis transferuntur illi cui concessio facta fuit, cum multa eruditione de hac re necessaria. *Mich. 12 Jacobi.*

Judgment or Award it lieth not.

12. *And to avoid Error in imposing of Fines upon Contempts in Leets, and other Courts of Record, in the Case of Richard Godfrey, Esq; is clearly resolved, when the Fine ought to be several, and when joint, and when and how a Fine unlawfully imposed, may be avoided, and when the Lord may distrein for Court Leets. Mich. 12 Jac.*

13. *The next Room Richard Liford's Case hath justly gotten, for therein is resolved, what Interest the Lessee hath in Timber Trees, when they are not excepted, and what Interest in that Case the Lessor hath: What and what Manner of Interest the Lessor hath in Trees excepted, and whether, in that Case by a general Grant of the Reversion, they pass to the Grantee, and much necessary Learning concerning that Matter. Mich. 12 Jac.*

14. *Then*

14. *Then have you the Case of the Taylors of Ipswich, a necessary Case for poor Tradesmen, that many Times are by Ordinances made by incorporations (whereby the public Good is pretended, and private Respects intended) barred or hindered of the Freedoms of their Trade. Mich. 12 Jac.*

15. *Edward Saul's Case taketh up a very little Standing, and shortly sheweth that an Ejectione firmæ, (that now is grown so common) lieth not for a Place known, but of certain Acres of Land, Meadow or Pasture, &c. Mich. 12 Jac.*

16. *And Bentham's Case in as few Words as the other, sheweth how in some Cases the Omission of Matter material in a Verdict may be saved. Mich. 12 Jac.*

17. *I could not keep back Dr. Foster's Case, wherein, upon mature*

14. *Deinceps operatorum pannorum Givvicensium habes casum, valde necessarium pauperibus Mechanicis, qui multoties colore ordinationum constitutarum per incorporationes (in quibus bonum publicum prætenditur, privatam vero intenditur) a libero usu artium suarum excludentur vel saltem impediuntur, Mich. 12 Jac.*

15. *Edwardi Saul casus limites non ita latos occupat, sed breviter ostendit, quod breve de Ejectione firmæ (quod in usum frequentiore jam accrevit) de loco certo nomine tantum denotato usurpari non potest, sed de jugeribus fundi, prati, pasturæ, &c. Mich. 12 Jac.*

16. *Porro Benthami casus adeo paucis declaratis verbis, quomodo omissio rei materialis in veredicto nonnunquam suppleri potest. Mich. 12 Jac.*

17. *Nec casum Doctoris Foster, retinere potui, in quo, maturam post*

post considerationem habitam de omnibus statutis in Sacrifuges editis, via aperta recluditur pro eorum merita & festina juxta leges convictione. Et hic sane casus enarrat gloriam Dei & Religionis nostræ honor'. Mich. 12 Jacobi.

18. Insuper casus Magdalenensis Colleg' in Cantabrigia, proximum ex merito sibi locum vendicat, qui tendit ad sustentationem veræ Dei Religionis, elevationem artium liberalium & Scientiarum, supportationem status Ecclesiastici, præservationem & Prosperitatem ambarum illarum sororum celeberrimarum Academiarum Cantabrigiæ & Oxoniæ, ac singulorum hujus regni Collegiorum, necnon ad Xenodochiorum & Provisionum pro pauperibus firmamentum: Et adjudicatus fuit Termin' Paschæ 13 Jac.

19. In temporis ferie accedit casus Ludovici Bowles, in quo, vera operatio ac sensus clausulæ in Dimissionibus, absque

Consideration had of all the Statutes of Recusants, a clear Way is opened, for their just and speedy Conviction according to the Laws; a Case that concerneth the Glory of God, and the Honour of our Religion. Mich. 12 Jac.

18. And justly doth the Case of Magdalen College in Cambridge challenge the next Place: Which tendeth to the Maintenance of God's true Religion, the Advancement of liberal Arts and Sciences, the Support of the Ecclesiastical State, the Preservation and Prosperity of those two famous Sisters, the Universities of Cambridge and Oxford, and of all the Colleges within the Realm, and the Establishment of Hospitals, and Provisions for the Poor: Adjudged Paschæ 13 Jac.

19. And in Course of Time doth L. Bowles's Case come, wherein, is clearly resolved the true Operation and Sense of the

the Clause in Leases, Without Impeachment of Waste; and what Interest the Lessee hath in the Timber of an House prostrated by Tempest: Adjudged Paschæ, 13 Jac.

20. *And though it cometh not in Sequence of Time, yet the Case of Monopolies cannot come out of Time, wherein divers Things concerning Monopolies, are clearly resolved, and worthy to be published. Trin. 44 Eliz.*

21. *And I could not keep back the Earl of Devonshire's Case resolved Hill. 4 Jac. whereby the Prerogative of the King appeareth; That his Right of Restitution dieth not by the Death of the Party that doth him Wrong; the End whereof is, that the King's Toll may come to the right Mill.*

22. *And lastly, the Case of James Bagge, adjudged Trin. 13 Jac. wherein is resolved, where a Writ of Restitution for a Freeman of an Incorporation being disfranchised, doth*

impetitione vasti & quid interesse Firmarius habet in Maeremio domus a tempestate plene discernitur prostratæ. Pasch. 13 Jac.

20. Et quanquam ordine temporis non sequitur casus Monopoliorum, intempestive tamen adesse non potest; ubi plurima de Monopolis liquide determinantur, quæ in medium proferri digna sunt. *Trinitat. 44 Eliz.*

21. Nec Comitibus Devon' casum adjudicatum *Hill. 4 Jacobi*, ubi prærogativa Regis in hoc apparet manifeste, Quod jus ejus restitutionis non moritur, per mortem personæ qui injuriam sibi inferebat, celare nequeo; cujus quidem finis est, quod vectigalia Regia ad proprium molendinum dirigantur.

22. Casus denique *Jacobi Bagge*, determinatus *Trinitatis 13 Jac.* edocet in quo casu Breve *Restitutionis* pro municipe alicujus incorporationis exorbitatio acquiri potest,

potest, & incidenter qui habent potestatem exorbitare, & quæ sunt causæ sufficientes exorbitationis.

Hoc undecimum opus (erudite Lector) in hac tempestate multorum aliorum arduorum & instantium negotiorum emisit; Ideoque (ut in votis mihi fuit) perpolire non potui.

Si mihi in hac re fore Judex liceret, casuum hujusce libri materiam nulli superiorum secundam esse affirmarem. Denique ut sit Deo gloria, Regis Majestati honor, bono publico incrementum, Docto stabiliment' & Studenti instructio, scopus est, quem mihi in hac editione proposui.

lie: And incidently, who have Power to disfranchise, and what be sufficient Causes of Disfranchisement.

This Eleventh Work (learned Reader) I have published in the Tempest of many other important and pressing Business; and therefore could not polish them as I desired.

If I might judge, I should say, that the Matter of these are not inferior to any of the other. The End of this Edition is, that God may be glorified, his Majesty honour'd, the common Good encreased, the Learned confirmed, and the Student instructed.

The Lord *De La Warre's* Case.

See Lucas's
R. 116, 122,
283, &c. and
407. 91. 356.

Anno 39 Eliz.

AT the Parliament held in *anno 39 Regina Eliz.* the Case was such: *Thomas la Warre*, Kt. Lord *la Warre*, Son and Heir of *William*, Son and Heir of *George*, Brother and Heir of *Thomas*, Son and Heir of *Thomas* Lord *la Ware*, exhibited his Petition to the Queen to this Effect, That whereas the said *Thomas* his Great Grand-father was called to Parliament by Writ of Summons, *An. (a) 3 H. 8.* and afterwards the said *Thomas* the Great Grand-father died; after whose Death *Thomas* his Son was called to divers Parliaments by Writ of Summons, and afterwards by Act of Parliament, *Anno 3 E. 6.* for divers Causes mentioned in the said Act, it was enacted, That the said *William*, during his Life, should be disabled to claim or enjoy any Dignity or Lordship in any Right, Estate, &c. by Descent, Remainder, or otherwise, and afterwards the said *Thomas*, Son of *Thomas*, died; after whose Death, the said *William* being so disabled, was not called to any Parliament by Writ of Summons, till Queen *Elizabeth* called him to Parliament by Writ of Summons, and he sat as Pusine Lord of the Parliament, and afterwards died: And now the said *Thomas* his Son being called to this Parliament by Writ of Summons, sued to the Queen, that he might have the Place in Parliament of his said Great Grand-father, *viz.* between the Lord *Berkeley* and the Lord *Willoughby* of *Eresby*: And the said Petition was endorsed in these Words, *Her Majesty hath*

D'ewes Journal
526, 527, 528,
529. Cambden's
Eliz. 541, 542.
Dugdale's Barons
Tom. 2. fo. 141,
142. Hughs Abr.
1337.

(a) Dugdale's
Summ. ad Par-
liament. 488.

Raymond 354.
2 Rol. Rep. 418.

Dugdale's Summ.
ad Parliament.
536.

The Lord De La Warre's Case. PART XI.

D'ewes Journal
526.

commanded me to signify to your Lordships, That upon the humble Suit of the Lord la Warre, she is pleased that the Matter shall be considered and determined in the House, Rob. Cecil: Which Petition being read in the Upper House of Parliament, the Consideration thereof was committed to the Lord Burghley, Lord Treasurer, and divers other Committees; who at his Chamber in Whitehall, heard the Counsel Learned on both Parties, in the Presence of the two Chief Justices, and divers other Justices; and two Objections were made against the Claim of the said Lord la Warre. 1. Forasmuch as his Father was disabled by Act of Parliament to claim the Dignity, the Petitioner could not convey by him who was disabled, as Heir to his Great Grand-father, and by Consequence he could not have the Place of his Great Grand-father, but his Father's Place.

1 Ventris 416.
Co. Lit. f. 25. b.

But it was resolved by the Justices, That there was a Difference betwixt a Disability personal and temporary, and a Disability absolute and perpetual: As where one is attainted of Treason or Felony, that is an absolute and perpetual Disability by Corruption of Blood, for any of his Posterity to claim any Inheritance in Fee-simple, either as Heir to him, or to any Ancestor above him; but when one is but disabled by Parliament (without any Attainder) to claim the Dignity for his Life, it is a personal Disability for his Life only, and his Heir after his Death may claim as Heir to him, or to any Ancestors above him. The second Objection was, That the said William had accepted of a new Creation of the Queen, which Dignity newly gained, did descend to the Petitioner, which he could not wave, and therefore the Petitioner could not have other Place than his Father had.

2 Roll. Rep.
418.

Camden's
Eliz. 542.

To which it was answered and resolved, That the Acceptance of a new Creation by the said William, could not hurt the Petitioner, because the said William was at that Time disabled, and in Truth, was not a Baron, but only an Esquire; so that when the old and new Dignity descend together, the old shall be preferred. Which Resolution was well approved by all the Lords Committees, which was accordingly reported to the Lords of the Parliament, and allowed by them all: Whereupon it was ordered by the Lords, That the Queen should be acquainted with it by the Lord Keeper of the Great Seal, which was done accordingly, and the Queen likewise confirmed it: All which was ordered and entered accordingly: Whereupon, at the same Parliament the Lord De La Warre in his Parliament Robes, was by the Lord Zouch (supplying the

PART XI. *The Lord De La Warre's Case.*

the Place of the Lord *Willoughby*, then within Age) and the Lord *Berkeley* also in their Robes, brought into the House, and placed in his said Place, *viz.* next after the Lord *Berkeley*; Garter King of Arms attending upon them, and doing his Office: And I was of Counsel with the Lord *De la Warre*.

Dugdale's Summ^d
ad Parliament,
538.

B 2

Auditor

Fitzgib. 95

*Auditor CURLE'S Case.**Hill. 7 Jac. I.*4 Inst. 188.
Co. Lit. 77. a. b.
12 Car. 2. cap. 24.

4 Inst. 202.

32 H. 8. cap. 46.
33 H. 8. cap. 22.
12 Car. 2. cap. 24.
Hughes's Abr.
1388, 1389.

IT is enacted by the Statute of 32 H. 8. cap. 46. *That there shall be two Persons to be named by the King's Highness, which shall be called the Auditors of the Lands of his Grace's Wards, and shall be called the Fourth Officer of the same Court: Which Office is in Part Ministerial, as to the auditing of Accompts, and in Part Judicial; for he is sworn by Force of the said Act, That you shall not take nor receive of Poor nor Rich, any Gift or Reward in any Matter or Cause depending or to be discussed in the same Court, &c. ever since which Statute the Auditors of the said Court had an Office judicial, sc. a Voice in every Cause depending in the same Court: And in the same Statute there is a Proviso, That John Peryn which by the King's Letters Patents hath been heretofore, and now is Auditor of his Grace's Wards Lands, shall continue and be one of the two Auditors mentioned in this Act, during the Term of his natural Life. King H. 8. An. 32. of his Reign, by his Letters Patents under the Great Seal, nominated and constituted John Peryent unum Auditorum curia sue Wardorum & liberationum, habendum to him for the Term of his Life, with a Fee of forty Marks per Annum. John Peryent, An. 36 H. 8. surrender'd his Estate and Patent to the King; and H. 8. by his Letters Patents under the Great Seal, in complementum tam prioris quam posterioris actus (viz. of 32 & 33 Hen. 8.) granted to J. Peryent and W. Tooke officium unius Auditorum curia sue Ward', habendum dictis J. Peryent & W. Tooke conjunctim & divisim pro termino vitarum suarum & eorum alterius diutius vivent', cum feodo 40 Martarum.*

Marcarum. Peryent died An. 6 E. 6. and William Tooke exercised and enjoyed the Office alone until 30 Eliz. and then died. Queen Elizabeth, An. 31. of her Reign, by her Letters Patents in complementum, &c. ut supra, granted to Walter Tooke and William Curle officium unius Auditorum curie sue Wardorum, &c. habend' dict' Waltero & Willielmo & alteri eorum conjunctim & divisim pro termino vitarum suarum & eorum alterius diutius viventis. Our Lord the King that now is, Anno 4, during the Lives of Walter Tooke and William Curle, by his Letters Patents (in which is recited the Grant made of the said Office by Queen Elizabeth to Walter Tooke and William Curle) & in complementum, &c. ut supra, granted to J. Churchil and J. Tooke, Officium unius Auditorum curie sue predictae, habend' eisdem J. Churchil & J. Tooke immediate post mortem predict' Walteri Tooke & Wil. Curle, vel eorum alterius diutius viventis, vel a tempore quo officium illud per forisfacturam, sursum redditionem, sive quemcunque alium modum primo & proxime vacaverit, aut ad manus nostras devenire contigerit. And afterwards John Churchil died, and the King reciting both the Letters Patents, the one made to Walter Tooke and William Curle, and the other to John Churchil and John Tooke in complementum, &c. ut supra, & ea intentione ut sint due persona post mortem aliquorum predict' Walteri, Willielmi & Johannis qui sint vocat' Auditores terrarum Wardorum suorum, secundum vim & intentionem Actus predict', granted to Richard Percival Officium unius Auditorum curie sue predictae, &c. habendum post mortem predict' Walteri Tooke, Willielmi Curle, & Johannis Tooke, vel aliquorum duorum eorum qui citius mori contigerint, vel a tempore quo, &c. ut supra: And afterwards Walter Tooke died, and William Curle, and John Tooke, and Richard Percival, are alive. In this Case divers Questions were made and argued by Learned Council, on both Parts, at divers Days, as well in Michaelmas Term now last past, as this Term: And upon good Consideration and Conference between the two Chief Justices, and the Chief Baron, these Points were unanimously resolv'd.

1. That the Letters Patents made to John Peryent and William Tooke de officio unius Auditorum curie sue Wardorum, fuer' bona, For altho' the Statute Enacts, That there shall be two Persons which shall be called the Auditors of the Lands, &c. so that there shall be two Persons and called two Auditors, yet it is but unum officium, and they both are but unus Officiarius, and so the Statute itself speaks, these two Persons called Auditors, shall be called the Fourth Officer of the same Court: So that the Grant de

Auditor CURLE's Case. PART. XI.

(a) 9 Co. 48. a.
Co. Lit. 146. b.
6 Co. 39. b.
10 Co. 133. a.

(b) 6 E. 4. 8. b.
9 E. 4. 11. a.
7 E. 4. 29. b.
Dyer 149. pl. 81.
3 Jones 127.

(c) 2 Rol. 152.
18 E. 4. 7. b.
Br. Grant 170.
Br. Patent 69.
9 E. 4. 11. a.

2 Rol. 152.

Dyer 150. pl. 1.
2 Rol. 86.
5 Co. 9. a. b.

Hob. 214. Jenk.
Cent. fol. 292.

Officio unius Auditoris, or *unius Auditorum*, is good enough; for (a) *mala grammatica non vitiat concessionem*, and if the Grant had been in *English*, viz. *The Office of one Auditor, or one of the Auditors of the Lands, &c.* it had been good: And so is the Book adjudged, by the Advice of all the Justices in the like Case, in (b) 9 Ed. 4. fol. 2. a. b. where King E. 4. by his Letters Patents, Anno 4. of his Reign, granted to *William Swyrenden* and *John Bagot*, *Officium unius Clericorum de Corona in Cancellaria dicti Domini Regis*, for Term of their Lives, &c. And in Assise brought in the King's Bench, *Catesby* took Exception to that Grant, because it appears that *Officium unius Clericorum* is granted to two, and it is impossible that two can have the Office of one, no more than if the (c) Chief Justice of this Place, is granted to two, it is void; for the Matter itself proves, That two can't have it in Common, for none can be Chief Justice but one. But *si Officium Clerici de Corona* be granted to two, it is good enough; and many other Exceptions were taken by him: And the Book saith, That the Justices said, that they had talked of all these Points with the Justices of the *Common Pleas*, and it seemed to them, that these Matters were to no Purpose to arrest the Judgment, and we are of the same Opinion; wherefore it was awarded, That the said *Swyrenden* and *Bagot* should recover the Office, and their Damages taxed by the Assise, &c. By which Resolution of all the Justices, it appears, That when there is an Office of Clerk of the Crown in *Chancery*, that it is all one to grant *Officium unius Clericorum de Corona*, &c. and to grant *Officium Clerici de Corona*, &c. to two, because there is but one Office: So in this Case at the Bar there is but one Office, and two Persons to supply it, and therefore the Grant is good; and in this Case, this Word *unius* is not numerative, but to note the Unity, Particularity, and Identity of the Office.

2. It was resolved, That altho' these two Persons called *Auditors*, are but one Officer, yet the Words in the Grant, viz. *Conjunctim & divisim, & alterius eorum diutius viventis*, are material; for if an Office be granted to two, *Pro termino vitarum suarum*, (without more) by the Death of the one of them, the Grant will be void: For being an Office of Trust there shall be no Survivor of it. And in this Case no Survivor can be: For inasmuch as it is enacted by the Act of Parliament, by which this new Court was erected, That there shall be two Persons, &c. who have (as is aforesaid) a Judicial Voice, the K. can't constitute one only, for the Subject by the Act has Interest in it, *Et securius expediuntur*

expediuntur negotia commissa pluribus: But the King may constitute one at one Time by one Patent, and another at another Time by another Patent; and altho' he may so do, yet he who is first constituted has not any Judicial Voice till the other is constituted; for it is enacted by the Statute, That two Persons, &c. shall be one Officer; and therefore it was resolved, That theſe Words *Conjunctim & diviſim, & alterius eorum diutius viventis*, shall ſerve to this Purpoſe, That the Survivor ſhall be one of the Perſons, to whom another ſhall be added.

3. It was reſolved, That this Nomination by the King ought to be under the Great Seal of *England*, and not by Word, nor by the Privy Seal, nor Signet, &c.

4. It was reſolved, That the Grant made by the King to *John Churchill* and *John Tooke* in Reverſion, after the Death of *Walter Tooke* and *William Curle*, was void for three Reaſons. 1. Becauſe he is, as it has been ſaid, a Judicial Officer; for theſe Auditors are one of the Judges of the Court: And as none can give any Judgment of Things which will happen *in futuro*, ſo none can be a Judge *in futuro*; and the Rule is, That *Officia Judiciale non concedantur antequam vacent*: And great Inconvenience would thence enſue: For he who at the Time of the Grant in Reverſion, may be able and ſufficient to ſupply the Office of Judicature, and to adminiſter Juſtice to the King's People, before that the Office falls, may become unable and inſufficient to perform it. And it was reſolved, That neither the Office of Maſter of the Wards, nor of the Surveyor, nor of the Attorney of the ſame Court, can be granted in Reverſion, becauſe they are Judicial Offices. 2. Altho' the Office is in Part Judicial, and in Part Miniſterial, and Offices Miniſterial may be granted in Reverſion; yet for aſmuch as two Perſons ſhall have both theſe as one Office and one Officer, it is by the Act of Parliament ſo entire, that it can't be divided; for the King can't make two Auditors of the Miniſterial Office, and two as to the Judicial, for then there wou'd be four Perſons, and the Act reſtrains it to two; neither can the King make one Perſon to have the Judicial Voice, and the other the Miniſterial Office; for then there wou'd be two Officers, and two Offices, and the Act makes but one Officer; and then the one wou'd have a diſtinct Office and Voice, whereas the Act conjoins them in two Perſons. 3. Theſe Words in the ſaid Grant in Reverſion, *Vel a tempore quo, &c. officium illud per foriſfactur, ſuſum redditionem, ſeu quemcunque alium modum, &c. vacari contigerit*, cannot (if the Miniſterial Office might be only granted in Reverſion) take Effect by the Death of

4 Co. 46. a.

2 Rol. 86.

Fitzgib. 291.

March. Rep. 47.

Cro. Car. 279.

Dyer 80. pl. 58.

8 Co. 55. b.

Dyer 239. pl. 18.

2 Rol. 154.

2 Jones 126. Co.

Lit. fol. 3. b.

* Hob. 150, 151.

4 Inſt. 202.

2 Rol. 154.

2 Rol. 154.

2 Jones 126. Cro.

Car. 279. Cro.

Eliz. 336. Dyer

80. pl. 58.

10 Co. 61. b.

Hardr. 12.

Auditor CURLE's Case. PART XI.

Walter Tooke, to make another to exercise the Office with the Survivor, because granted to two; and then if *Walter Tooke* had died during their two Lives, there would be three Officers, where the Act restrains it to two only; and altho' one dies, that doth not make the Grant, (a) which is void, at the Time of the making of it, good. Also the Words are, *Quando Officium illud, &c. vacare contigerit*; and that in this Case shall be intended the whole Office; and that is not void till after the Death of both the Patentees in Possession.

5. When *Walter Tooke* died, then *William Curle* remain'd one of the Persons, &c. and the King might add another to him; and until another is added, his Voice is suspended, as in the Case of (b) 14 H. 4. 35. a. If a Writ issue to the Sheriffs of *London*, and one of them dies, the other can't execute the Writ, because his Power is suspended until he has a Companion chosen to him.

6. It was resolved, That the Grant made to *Richard Percival*, is void: First, because it is a Judicial Office, and (as is aforesaid) can't be granted in Reversion: Secondly, Admitting it might be granted in Reversion, it recites the Grant made to *John Tooke* and *John Churchil*, as a good Grant, whereas it was void; and the Grant of *Percival* is to begin after that, and so the King is deceived in his Grant.

[See *Skin.* 104. where two Persons make but one Officer.]

Sir

(a) 10 Co. 62. a.
4 Co. 2. b. 90. a.

(b) Br. Return.
de Brief 42. Br.
Office & Officer
11. Fitz. Return
de Vicount 56.
Hob. 70.

Co. Lit. f. 3. b.
2 Roll. 188.
5 Co. 94. a.

Yelverton 48.

Sir JOHN HEYDON'S Case.

Trin. 10 Jac. I.

SIR *John Heydon*, Knight, brought an Action of Trespass of Battery, and wounding (which in Truth was in a cruel and barbarous Manner) at *Fakenham* in *Norfolk*, against *Froxmere Cocket*, *Thomas Cocket*, and *Jeffrey Cobbe*; *Froxmere Cocket* appear'd, against whom the Plaintiff declared with *Simul cum*, &c. And *Froxmere Cocket* pleaded Not Guilty, and thereupon *Venire facias* issued, &c. And afterwards *T. Cocket* appeared, against whom the Plaintiff also declared with *Simul cum*, &c. who pleaded also Not Guilty, upon which, another *Venire facias* issued; and both these Issues came to Trial at the Assizes at *Thetford* in *Norfolk*, Anno 8 Jac. Regis, before the Chief Justice of the *Common Pleas*, and in Truth the Issue against *Froxmere* was first tried, and the Jury assessed Two hundred Pound Damages; and at the same Assizes the Issue against *Thomas Cocket* was tried, and *de bene esse* Damages were assessed to Fifty Pounds; and the Cause which moved the Jury to extenuate the Damages against the others, was, That altho' they were Parties, and of one Quarrel, yet *Froxmere Cocket* was the most malicious and cruel, and his Hand gave the said barbarous and grievous Wounds: *Jeffrey Cobbe* appeared, and confessed the Action, and a Writ of Enquiry of Damages awarded upon the Roll, but none issued. And a great Question was moved and depended for divers Terms, how, and against whom, and for what Damages, Judgment should be entred. And at the last, upon Consideration

1 Brownl. 233.
1 Rol. Rep. 30.
Cr. Jac. 348.
Jenk. Cent. 269.
Hughs Abr. 557.
1405, 2001.

Q. Fitzgib. 98.

Sir JOHN HEYDON's Case. PART XI.

deration had of the Precedents, and of our Books, it was resolved *per totam Curiam*, as follows.

The 1st Point resolved.

(a) Jenk. Cent. 269. 10 Co. 117. a. Postea fol. 7. a.
Hob. 66. 1 Br. 233. 1 Bulfr. 257. Cro. Jac. 118. 349. 384. 385. Cro. Car. 54. 243. 1 Rol. Rep. 30. 31. Cro. El. 860.
(b) 4 Co. 42. b. 3 Inst. 138. Plowd. 98. a. 34 H. 8. Br. Coron. 171. 1 Rol. Rep. 31.
(c) 1 Brownl. 253. 10 Co. 117. a. Cro. Car. 55. Jenk. Cent. 269. 1 Rol. Rep. 30.
(d) Cr. El. 30. Hob. 164. 199. Styl. 15. 1 Leon. 41. 3 Leon. 77. Post. 45. a. Hob. 279. 3 Co. 1. b. 26 H. 6. 28. a.
(e) Br. Confession 414

The 2d Point resolved.
(f) 3 Leon. 122. 39 H. 6. 1. 2. Cro. Jac. 349. F. N. B. 107. E. 1 Brownl. 233. 10 Co. 119. a. 26 H. 6. Enquest 16. 1 Rol. Rep. 31

(g) 10 Co. 119. a. Cro. Jac. 349. F. N. B. 107. E. 39 H. 6. 1. a. 46 F. 3. 21. b. 48 E. 3. 14. b.

1. When in Trespass against divers Defendants, they plead Not Guilty, or several Pleas, and the Jury find for the Plaintiff in all, (a) the Jurors can't assess several Damages against the Defendants, because all is one Trespass, and made joint by the Plaintiff, by his Writ and Declaration; and altho' one of them is more malicious, and *de facto* doth more and greater Wrong than the others, yet all coming to do an unlawful Act, and of one Party, the Act of one is the Act of all of the same Party being present. And (b) therefore in such Case, if the Hand of one only gives a mortal Wound, whereupon Death ensues, it is Murder in all who are present, and of the same Party, altho' the others did not intend to give a Wound so mortal, as appears in *Mackellie's Case*, 9 Part of my *Reports*, fol. 67. b. But (c) in Trespass against two, if the Jury find one Guilty at one Time, and the other at another Time, there several Damages may be taxed, (d) but if the Plaintiff himself confesses, that they committed the Trespass severally, there the Writ shall abate; and so there is a Difference between finding by Verdict, and Confession of the Party. *Vide* 36 H. 6. 27. b. in *Maintenance*, 2 H. 7. 16. b. (e) Also there is a Difference betwixt an exprefs Confession, and not Gain saying, 8 H. 6. 13. a. 10 E. 4. 8. b. 11 H. 7. 6. a. by *Mordant*, 8 H. 5. 5. 8 E. 3. 8. b. 17 E. 3. 43. a. 21 E. 3. 13. a. 18 E. 3. 49.

2. It was resolved, That (f) in Trespass against two, where one comes and appears, &c. against whom the Plaintiff declares *Simul cum*, &c. who pleads and is found guilty by the Enquest to Damages, and afterwards the other comes and pleads, and is found guilty; the Defendant who pleaded last shall be charged with the Damages taxed by the former Enquest; for the Trespass which the Plaintiff has made joint by his Writ and Declaration, and done at one Time, can't be severed by the Jury, if the Jury find the Trespass to be done by all at one and the same Time, as the Plaintiff has supposed. Against which it was objected, That it might be mischievous to the Defendant who last pleads; for excessive Damages, by Consent between the Plaintiff and the first Defendant, may be found, with which the second Defendant shall be charged; and he shall have no Remedy to relieve himself by Attaint, inasmuch as he is a meer Stranger to the Issue, upon the Trial whereof the Damages were assessed. But it was resolved, (g) That in such Case he should have Attaint; for altho' he is a Stranger to the Issue, yet because by the Law he is privy in Charge, he shall have Attaint; and

35 H. 6. 21. b. Br. attaint. 44. 1 Rol. Rep. 31. Hob. 66.

and therewith agree 44 E. 3. 7. b. adjudged in the Point; and F. N. B. 107. E. accord. and in 44 E. 3. 6. b. in Trespafs of Battery there is a Maxim taken, That in every Case where the Enquest is taken by the Issue of the Parties, by the same Enquest shall the Damages be taxed for all. *Vide Pascha*, 9 Hen. 6. Rot. 345. in *Communi Banco*, *Exoneratio Juratorum de damnis assidendis in transgressione super veredicto suo, co quod prius assessa fuerunt per aliam Jurat' versus alios in le simul cum*: But in *Mich.* 39 Hen. 6. 1. a. in an Action of Trespafs against many (who had pleaded in Bar the last Term) and one of them made Default, which was recorded, there it is resolved by the whole Court, That for saving of a Discontinuance, a Writ of Enquiry of Damages shou'd be awarded; but none shou'd issue, because he shou'd be contributory to the Damages taxed by the Enquest on the Issue of the Parties, if they shou'd find for the Plainriff; and if they shou'd find against the Plaintiff, then the Writ of Enquiry of Damages shou'd issue forth. And the Reason that no Writ shou'd issue forth at first to enquire of the Damages, until, &c. is, because if a Writ shou'd issue, and Damages be found, it is but an Enquest of Office, and not on the Issue of the Parties; and yet the Enquiry (if it shou'd be lawful) ought to serve for all the Damages; for the Enquiry of them shall not be twice, and the others who have pleaded to the Enquest, if the Issue be found against them, wou'd be chargeable with those Damages which are found by Enquest of Office; and if they are excessive, they shou'd have no Remedy, and yet no Default in them; for they can't have *Attaint*, because it is but an Enquest of Office: But in Case when in Trespafs against two, they plead Not Guilty severally, and several *Venire facias* are awarded; the Enquest which first passed, shall assess Damages for all, and the second Enquest shall not assess Damages; but he shall be contributory to the Damages assessed by the first, notwithstanding he is not Party to it; and yet if the Damages are excessive, he shall have *Attaint*, and so no Damage or Mischiefe will accrue to him in such Case. *Vide* 21 E. 3. 57. a. And there in the said Book of 39 Hen. 6. 1. a. *Winslade* the Prothonotary saith, It had been a common Course here, that so soon (*tantosi*) as one had made Default, to award a Writ of Enquiry of Damages, against him: To which *Priscot*, C. J. answer'd, it is not a good Use: And according to the Saying in *Winslade* in 19 Hen. 6. 8. a. b. a Writ of Enquiry of Damages was awarded in such Case, but against Law*, as before appears. And further, in Arrest of

it was moved, and alledg'd, That there was a

st (f) *J. Cobbe*, and the Discontinuance

Cro. Car. 54.
10 Co. 119. a.
2 Siderf. 93.
Br. Attaint 17.
1 Rol. Rep. 30.

1 Rol. Rep. 33.

10 Co. 119. a.
19 H. 6. 8. a.
2 Rol. 722.
1 Rol. 280.
39 H. 6. 1. a.
1 Rol. Rep. 30.
Br. Attaint 44.

Cro. Jac. 149.
10 Co. 119. a.
Hob. 66.
F. N. B. 107. E.
39 H. 6. 1. a.
46 E. 3. 21. b.
48 E. 3. 14. b.
35 H. 6. 21. b.
1 Rol. Rep. 30.
31. Br. Default
38.

* Q.

1 Rol. Rep. 31.

Sir JOHN HEYDON's Case. PART. XI.

* 32 H. 8. cap. 30. is not aided by the Statute of * 32 Hen. 8. nor any other Statute; for the Judgment is given upon Confession, and not upon Verdict. Also *Jeffery Cobbe* is not Party to the Issue or the Enquest which assessed the Damages. (a) *Vide Mich. 1 & 2 Ph. & Mar.* Issue joined betwixt the Demandant and the Vouchee, is out of the Statute of 32 Hen. 8. c. 30. and yet he was Party to the Issue. But it was resolved, That the said Act extends to the Case at Bar, because *Jeffery Cobbe* was Party to the Original, and one of the Defendants in the Action; for the Words of the Statute of 32 Hen. 8. are, *If any Issue be tried by Oath of twelve or more indifferent Men for the Plaintiff or Demandant, or for the Party of the Tenant or Defendant, &c.* So that the Vouchee is out of these Words; but *Jeffery Cobbe* in this Case is one of the Defendants, and so within the Words of the Act, and a Verdict in this Case is given, (b) to which he is so privy, that he may have *Attaint*. (c) Also it was affirmed by all the Prothonotaries, and so resolved, That after the Writ of Enquiry of Damages awarded, there is no Continuance taken after in the Common Pleas, betwixt the Plaintiff and the Defendant, against whom the Writ of Enquiry, &c. is awarded; but it was said the Course is otherwise in the *King's Bench*: And so it was adjudged between (d) *Tooly* Plaintiff, and *Prieston* Defendant, *Mich. 29 and 30 Eliz.* in the Common Pleas, and afterwards affirmed in a Writ of Error in the Court of King's Bench: But there are divers Precedents in this Court, that in such Cases Continuances have been taken, which is a sure Way, *Et (e) abundans cautela non nocet.* And afterwards in the Case at Bar, Judgment was given for Sir *John Heydon* the Plaintiff, for the Two hundred Pounds assessed, &c. against all the Defendants: (f) Upon which Judgment a Writ of Error was brought, and all the said Points were moved and debated again at the Bar, and at the Bench in the King's Bench, and upon good Consideration the Judgment before given, was unanimously affirmed by the whole Court. Note Reader, in *Mich. 28 & 29 Eliz.* in the King's Bench, (g) *Richard Gomersal* brought an Action of Accompt against *J. Gomersal* of divers Receipts and Parcels; to all which, except one, the Defendant pleaded to Issues, (and to one Parcel pleaded nothing) and the Issues were found for the Plaintiff. And it was moved in Arrest of Judgment, That the Plea was discontinued, because he did not answer to Parcel, as it is agreed in 7 E. 4. 24. b. and 7 H. 6. 5. a. &c. And it was objected, That that Discontinuance was not remedied by the Statute of 32 H. 8. because no Answer is given to one Parcel, and of Parcel the Plaintiff could not have Judgment according to his Declaration; for of the Parcel to which no Answer was made, no Judgment could be given.

(a) 1 Anderf. 26.
27. 5 Co. 36. b.
8 Co. 162. b.
O. Bendl. 12.
N. Bendl. 37.
Bendl. in Kelw.
207. b. Bendl. in
Aih. pl. 5. Hob.
281.

(b) 10 Co. 119. a.
Cro. Jac. 349.
Hob. 66. 39 H. 6.
1. a. 46 E. 3.
21. b. 48 E. 3.
14. b. 35 H. 6.
21. b. F. N. B.
f. 107. E.
(c) Jenk. Cent.
269. 1 Roll. Rep.
31. 408. Cro. El.
75. 144. 3 Bulst.
208. 209. 1 Sid.
16. 1 Rol. 485.
Yelv. 97. Noy
120. 17 E. 3. 58. b.
pl. 50. Dyer 196.
pl. 39.

(d) 1 Leon. 297.
Cro. El. 74. 75.
1 Rol. Rep. 31.
(e) Raymond.
273.

(f) Cro. Jac.
348. 1 Rol. Rep.
30.

(g) 2 Leon.
194. 118.
March 21.
Godb. 55.

PART XI. Sir JOHN HEYDON's Case.

7

3. But it was resolved, and so affirmed in the King's Bench, That the Statute of 32 H. 8. c. 30. did extend to it; for it is thereby Enacted, That after Verdict found, Judgment shall be given, any Discontinuance, &c. notwithstanding: And accordingly Judgment was given of so much as was found by the Verdict, *Vide Herlakinden's Case in the Fourth Part of my Reports, fol. 62. a.*

The 3d Point resolved.
Hardr. 331. Hob.
187. 1 Roll. Rep.
31. Cro. Jac.
353.
Lucas 410.
Post. 34.

4. In the Case at Bar; forasmuch as in Judgment of Law, the several Juries gave a Verdict all at one and the same Time, the Plaintiff may have Election to have Judgment *De melioribus Damnis* by any of the Enquests, and it shall bind all; but *fiat nisi unica executio. Vide Mich. 10 & 11 Eliz. Rot. 758. Hill. 17 Eliz. Rot. 1042. lib. intrat. 589. sect. 12.* But in the Case at Bar, in Truth the greater Damages were first assessed. *Vide 19 H. 6. 8. a. by Hody.*

The 4th Point resolved.
Jenk. Cent. 269.
Dyer 131. b.
1 Roll. Rep. 395.
Cro. Jac. 118,
349. Cro. Car.
54, 55. 193, 243.
Hob. 66. Yelv.
68.

5. It was resolved, That where in Trespafs, the Defendants plead several Pleas all triable by one and the same Jury, and both the Issues are found for the Plaintiff, the Jury can't sever the Damages; and if they do, the whole Verdict is vicious, as appears, *Hill. 43 Eliz. Rot. 1694. in Communi Banco inter Aussen Plaintiff, and Williard and two others Defendants in Battery; one pleaded Not Guilty, and the others pleaded of his own Assault; all triable by one Inquest, and both the Issues found for the Plaintiff, and several Damages given against them, & male per totam Curiam.*

The 5th Point resolved.
Jenk. Cent. 269.
1 Brownl. 233.
10 Co. 119. a.
1 Bullstr. 157.
Cro. El. 860. 1
Cro. Jac. 118.
349, 384, 385.
Cro. Car. 54,
243.
1 Roll. Rep. 30.
Antea fol. 5. b.
Hob. 66.

And in this Case a Record was cited, by which it appears, That *Edward Miles* brought Trespafs (which began in the King's Bench, 7 Jac. Regis, Rot. 413.) against *Richard Prat, Thomas Richardson* and *Nicholas Babbs*, for breaking and entring his Clofe and House at *Nedebam Market*; and for taking and carrying away a Cupboard of the Value of Forty Shillings, with divers Deeds, Evidences, and Muniments in the said Cupboard contained; a Copper of the Value of Forty Shillings; a Lead of the Value of Ten Pounds, and Forty Yards of Wainscot, of the Value of 5 l. to the Damages of the said *Edward* of Two hundred Pounds, *Nicholas Babbs* pleaded Not Guilty generally, *Tho. Richardson* to all the Trespafs, (except the breaking and entring the Clofe and House) pleaded Not Guilty: *Richard Prat* to all the Trespafs (except the breaking and entring the Clofe and House, and taking and carrying away the said Cupboard and Lead) pleaded Not Guilty. As to the breaking the Clofe and House, *Richardson* said, and as to the breaking the Clofe and House, and taking and carrying away

Cro. Jac. 305.
1 Bullstr. 50.
Hughes Abr. 1465.

Sir JOHN HEYDÖN'S Case. PART XI.

the said Cupboard and Lead, *Prat* said *actio non*, and pleaded in Bar a Statute-Staple of One hundred and fifty Pound, acknowledged by *Miles* to *Thomas Prat*, and that the said House and Close, and the said Copper and Lead *inter alia* were extended by Force of the said Statute, and by *Liberate* delivered to the said *Thomas Prat*, who died possessed and intestate; and the Administration of the Goods and Chattels of the said *Thomas Prat* was committed to the said *Richard Prat*; wherefore, the said *Richard Prat* in his own Right, and *Richardson* as his Servrnt entred into the said Close and House, and took the said Copper and Lead, as the Goods and Chattels of the said *Richard Prat*, by Reason of the said Administration: *Miles* replied, That there was no such Record of the said Extent and *Liberate* remaining in the *Chancery*. *Prat* and *Richardson* rejoined, That there was such a Record of the Extent and *Liberate* remaining in *Chancery*. All these Pleas were entred in *Mich. Anno 7 Jac. Regis*, and Day was then given to have the Record *apud Westm' die Mercurii proxime post Crasin' Purification' beatae Mariae sub suo periculo*; and also a *Venire fac.* was theri awarded for Trial of the said Issues retornable *ad presat' Diem*. At which Day *Prat* and *Richardson* failed of the Record, whereupon it was awarded that *Miles* should recover Damages, and upon that it was then awarded *Venire facias tam ad triand' exitus predict' quam ad inquirend' de damnis*, retornable *Die Mercurii proxime post quinden' Pascha*, and then it is entred in such Manner, *Postea continuat' inde Processu inter partes pred' de predict' placito per Jurat' post' inde inter eas in respect' coram domino Rege apud Westm. usque diem Martis prox. post Octab' sancti Mich. tunc prox. sequen', Nisi Justic' domini Regis ad assisas in Com' predicto capiend' assign' prius die Martis 24 die Julii apud Bury St. Edmundi in Com' predicto per formam Statuti, &c. Venerint pro defect' Jurat', &c.* At which Assises all the Issues were tried for *Miles*, and several Damages assessed as well for the Trespas put in Issue triable *per patriam*, as for the Trespas tried by the Record; the which Verdict afterwards at the Day in Bank *per totam Curiam* was quashed, because the Jury had assessed the said Damages severally. *Et quia Juratores predict' male se gesserunt in verdicto suo reddendo, a Venire facias de novo* was awarded; and upon the second Trial had, all the Issues were found for the Plaintiff, and entire Damages assessed for the whole Trespas and Costs of Suit, in the whole amounting to One hundred and fifteen Pound, twelve Pence: And it was moved in Arrest of Judgm. that there was not any Continuance *a termino Pascha, anno 8 Jac. Regis, usque term' Trinit'* then following; *Nec ab eodem termino usque diem Mart' proxime post Octabas Sancti Michaelis tunc proxime sequentis.*
 And

1 Brownl. 233.
 1 Bullstr. 50.

Cro. Jac. 304.

PART XI. *Sir JOHN HEYDON's Case.*

8

And altho' there was a full Discontinuance in that Case, and altho' the Issue of *Nul tiel Record*, is not within the Statute of 32 H. 8. which speaks of the Verdict of twelve or more indifferent Men: Yet for the Reasons before rehearsed, Judgment was given for the Plaintiff, and afterwards a Writ of Error was brought upon that Judgment, and the only Error assigned, was the said Discontinuance; but for other Errors not assigned, (as it was openly spoken in the Argument in the Case at Bar) the Judgment given in the said Case of *Miles*, was reversed. Cro. Jac. 304

[*En quo Discordia Legis Perduces Miseros!*]

PRIDDLE

PRIDDLE *and* NAPPER'S Case.

Mich. 10 Jac. I.

2 Brownl. 25.
Hardres 69.
1 Jones 189.

IN an Attachment upon a Prohibition in the Common Pleas by *John Priddle, quæ tam, &c.* against *Thomas Napper, Gent.* Proprietor of the Rectory of *Tintenhul* in the County of *Somerset*: The Plaintiff declares, That whereas one *Robert Shirburne* alias *Whitlocke*, late Prior of the Priory of *St. Peter and Paul* the Apostles, of *Mountacute* in the County of *Somerset, ordinis Cluniacensis*, was seised of 22 Acres of Land, called *Perin's-hill* alias *Guilbert's-hill* in *Tintenhul* in the said County, and of the Rectory of *Tintenhul, Eidem Prioratûri pertin' & spectan', ac parcel' eisdem Prioratû' existen'* in his Demesn as of Fee in the Right of the Priory, and that the said Prior and all his Predecessors, Priors of the said Priory before the Dissolution of the said Priory, and at the Time of the said Dissolution of the said Priory, were Rectors of *Tintenhul* aforesaid, and had, and held the Rectory aforesaid *Simul & semel* with the said 22 Acres of Land, *In manibus suis propriis in Jure Prioratus sui prædicti, ratione cujus idem nuper Prior & omnes prædict' alii Priores ejusdem nuper Prioratus per totum tempus prædictum ante prædictum tempus dissolutionis Prioratus illius, usque ad tempus dissolutionis, &c. Habuerunt & tenuerunt, ac idem nuper Prior tempore dissolutionis, &c. Habuit & tenuit prædictas viginti & duas acras terræ exonerat', acquietat', & immunes de omnibus & omnim' decimis, &c.* and that 20 *Martii, an. 30.* the said Prior and Convent by their Deed enrolled in *Chancery*, gave

gave, granted, and surrender'd the said Priory, the said Rectory, Land, and all the Possessions thereof, to King Hen. 8. his Heirs and Successors; and that by Force thereof, and of the Statute of 31 Hen. 8. of Dissolutions, King Hen. 8. was seised of the said Rectory; and of the said Land in his Demesne as of Fee, as in the Right of his Crown; and shewed the Clause of the Statute of 31 H. 8. of Discharge of Payment of Tithes; by Force whereof, King H. 8. was seised of the said 22 Acres of Land, &c. discharged of Payment of Tithes, and conveyed the Inheritance of the said 22 Acres to Sir Thomas Freke, and others; who, Anno 38 Eliz. demised the same to the said John Priddle for 99 Years, if three of his Sons, or any of them, should so long live; and averr'd their Lives; and that the Defendant Proprietarius Rectorie predictæ, &c. before the Bishop of Bath and Wells sued the Plaintiff for Tithes of Corn growing in the 22 Acres of Land, &c. Et præd' Thomas Napper pro Consultatione habenda, alledged a Grant by Letters Patents of Queen Elizabeth, Anno Regni sui secundo, of the said Rectory to Rive and Evelyn, and to their Heirs; and by mean Conveyance, conveyed the said Rectory to the said Thomas Napper in Fee, and that he libelled for the said Tithes, as he lawfully might; Absque hoc quod prædictus Prior & omnes prædecessores sui Priores præd' nuper Prioratus a tempore cujus contr' memoria hominum non extitit ante tempus dissolutionis, &c. necnon usque ad tempus dissolutionis, &c. Habuerunt & tenuerunt prædict' viginti & duas acras terra exonerat', acquietat' & immunes de omnibus & omnimodis decimis quibuscunque super prædictas viginti & duas acras terra quovismodo provenientes, &c. prout, &c. & hoc, &c. unde petit Judicium, & breve dicti domini Regis de consultatione sibi in hac parte concedi, &c. Upon which Issue was joined, and the Jury, before the Justices of Nisi Prius, gave a Special Verdict; That the Prior and his Predecessors, a tempore cujus, &c. until the Time of the Dissolution, were seised of the said 22 Acres of Land in their Demesne, as of Fee, as in the Right of the said Priory; and that one Thomas, late Prior of the said Priory, was seised of the Advowson of the said Church of Tintenbul in Fee, in the Right of his Priory: And he being so seised Hen. 8. the 8th Day of May, in the 20th Year of his Reign, by his Letters Patents (the Exemplification of the Enrolment of which, under the Great Seal, they set forth) De gratia sua speciali ac certa scientia & mero motu suis licentiam dedit præfat' Tho' tunc Priori nuper Prioratus, & ejusd' loci Conventui & Successoribus suis, quod ipsi & Successores sui dictam Ecclesiam Parochialem de Tinten' præd', improprizare, consolidare, incorporare;

31 H. 8. cap. 13.

Hardres 762

Hugh's Abz.
1009:

PRIDDLE and NAPPER's Case. PART XI.

incorporare, annectere, & unire, & eam sic appropriat, consolidat incorporat, & unitam, in proprios suos usus tenere possent; with Proviso to endow a Vicarage, and that a competent annual Sum should be distributed to the Poor, with usual non obstante: And that John, Bishop of Bath and Wells, Ordinary of the said Place, 4th Sept. 1529, by Indentures tripartite, viz. One Part sealed with the Seal of the said Bishop, the other Part sealed with the Seal of the Prior and Convent of Bath, (which confirmed the said Indenture) and the third Part sealed with the Seal of the Dean and Chapter of Wells (which also confirmed the said Indenture) Ecclesiam parochialem de Tintenhul dicta nostra Diocesis & sui Patronatus (ut asserunt) dictis Priori & Conventui & Successoribus suis & domui sive Prioratui suo predicti cum consensu pariter & assensu metuendissimi in Christo Principis & Domini Henrici Octavi Dei gratia, &c. Autoritate nostra ordinaria annectimus, appropriamus & unimus per presentes, ita quod cedente vel decedente Rectore ejusdem Ecclesie Parochialis qui nunc est, seu aliter ipsa Ecclesia quovismodo vacari contigerit, liceat ipsis Priori Conventui suisque Successoribus per se vel per alium seu alios ipsorum nomine possessionem dicta Ecclesie Parochialis autoritate propria intrare, &c. & in proprios usus convertere & imperpetuum retinere: With Endowment of a Vicarage, and Provision for an annual Sum to the Poor; And afterwards the then Parson of the said Rectory died, after whose Death the said Thomas, Prior of the said Priory, into the said Rectory enter'd, and was as well of the said Rectory, as of the said 22 Acres of Land seised in his Demesn, as of Fee in Right of the said Priory: And afterwards the said Prior Thomas died, and Prior Robert succeeded him: And that the said Prior Thomas, and Prior Robert, ever after the said Approbation, held the said Rectory, with the said 22 Acres of Land, in their own Hands, simul & semel, in the Right of his Priory, and found the Surrender of the said Priory; and that the said King H. 8. 24 die Julii, Anno 36 Hen. 8. by Indenture under the Seal of the Court of Augmentation, demised the said Rectory to William Petre, Doctor of Law, for 21 Years, who assigned it over to Edward Napper, and that no Tithes were paid until the second Year of Queen Mary, and then the said Edward Napper had a Sentence in the Court of Audience against one Thomas Guil, then Farmer of the said 22 Acres; and that after the said Sentence, until the 8th Year of Queen Elizabeth, Tithes were paid of the said 22 Acres, and conveyed the said Rectory from King Hen. 8. by mean Descents to Queen Elizabeth, and by the said Letters Patents, and by divers mean Conveyances to Napper:

Et

Et utrum super tota materia, &c. Præd' Robertus nuper Prior & omnes prædecessores sui Priores ejusdem a tempore cujus contrar', &c. ante tempus dissolutionis, &c. necnon usque ad tempus dissolutionis, &c. habuerunt & tenuerunt prædict' 22 acras terr' exonerat', acquietat', & immunes de omnibus & omnimodis decimis quibuscunque, &c. Furatores penitus ignorant, & petunt advisamentum Curia in præmissis, & si, &c. And this Case was oftentimes argued at the Bar by the Serjeants, and now this Term it was argued at the Bench. And in this Case these Points were resolved.

1. That the Information upon which the Prohibition was granted, was sufficient in Matter: For altho' every Parish Church is supposed to be Presentative, and the Incumbent ought to come in by Admission, Institution, and Induction; yet the Plaintiff in this Case may prescribe, That the Prior and his Predecessors, *a tempore cujus, &c.* have been Rectors of the said Church; for that amounts that it was improper, *&c.* and the Beginning of a Thing done before Time of Memory, can't be known, *viz.* Whether it came by Union or Impropriation, and therewith agrees (a) 21 Ed. 4. 65. a. Where in Trespafs for certain Cart-loads of Oats taken at *Bodman* against the Prior of *Bodman*, the Defendant said, That the Corn was growing in a certain Place in *B.* in the Parish of *B.* of which Parish he is *persona impersonata, i.* Incumbent; and he was driven to shew how he came to the same Parsonage, wherefore he alledged Title by the Prescription, and how the Corn was severed from the nine Parts, and that he took it, and that was allowed for a good Title to the Rectory: Wherefore, as to this Point, the Information was resolved to be good; but the Addition of the Impropriation, *&c.* had made it without Question. It was also held, That the Conclusion of the Prescription of the Unity, *viz. Ratione cujus,* the Prior held the said Land discharged of Tithes, was not formal; for in Truth, by the (b) Unity (as it shall appear after) the Land was not discharged of Tithes, but of Payment of Tithes; and so are the Words of the Statute of 31 Hen. 8. (as also shall be after shewed:) But yet it seems, that forasmuch as the Prescription it self is well alledged in Substance, so as the Foundation of the Prohibition is good, that the Misprision of the Conclusion, and Consequence thereupon, shall not be a Cause to grant a Consultation.

2. That the Defendant's Plea, *Pro consultatione habenda,* (for he is in a Manner an Actor) was insufficient, because he has traversed a Thing not traversable, for the Prescription of the Unity ought to have been traversed; and not the Conclusion, *viz. (c) Ratione cujus,* and that for divers Reasons; one as in Logick, the Conclusion of a Syllogism can't be denied, but the Major or Minor Pro-

C 2

position;

No Travers can be after a Confessing, &c. Fitzgib. 33.

(a) 10 Co. 88. b.
Br. Travers per
sans cco 267.(b) 2 Co. 47. b.
Postea 14. b.
Hob. 298. 44.
309. 32 H. 8.
Br. Dimes 17.
B. N. C. 178.
Dalison 50. Mo.
219. 532, 533,
534. 2 Bullst. 184.
Noy 35. 132.
1 Leon. 248, 332,
334, 335.
Cro. Jac. 452,
453, 608. 1 Jones
3. 4 Leon. 47.
Savil 62.
Dav. 6. a.(c) Hard. 70.
Hob. 298. 2 Co.
48. a. 1 Leon.
333. Cro. Eliz.
29. 584, 585.
Moor 530, 534.
Doctrin. placit.
351.

PRIDDLE and NAPPER's Case. PART XI.

position; so it holds in Law, which is the Perfection of Reason: (a) And therefore in a *Præcipe*, if one pleads, That the Manor of Dale is ancient Demesn, and the Land in demand is Parcel of the Manor, and so ancient Demesn; the Demandant can't say, That the Land in demand is not ancient Demesn, for that is the *Conclusion* * upon the two precedent Propositions. The first, That the Manor is ancient Demesn; the second, That the Land in Demand is Parcel of the Manor, for *Sequitur conclusio super præmissis*, and therefore it can't be denied; and therewith agree (b) 41 E. 3. 22. a. 48 E. 3. 11. a. b. and many other Books: So in the Case at Bar, the *Major*, (c) where there is a perpetual Unity of a Rectory and Land therein, until the Dissolution, there the Land is discharged of Tithes; but here has been a perpetual Unity of the Rectory of T. and the 22 Acres, Ergo, the 22 Acres are discharged of Tithes, this Conclusion can't be deny'd: 2. It is not only a Conclusion, but a Conclusion of Law, and Matter in Law shall not be put in Issue to be tried by the Country, for the Rule is, (d) *Quod quisque novit in hoc se exerceat*, and therefore (e) *Sicut ad questionem facti non respondent Judices, ita ad questionem Juris non respondent Juratores*: (f) And if the Jury take upon them to know the Law, and find the Special Matter, and mistake the Law, the Judges of the Law shall give Judgment on the Special Matter according to Law, without having regard to the Conclusion of the Jury, who ought not to take upon them the Judgment of the Law, and therewith agree *Pl. Com. Amy Townsend's Case, fol. 112. b. 114. b. Vide 5 H. 7. Carew's Case, 12, 13, 14, 15. 9 H. 6. 38. a. 13 H. 7. 22, &c.* and the Lord Barkley's Case, *Plow. Com. 230. b.* One pleads a Gift to King Hen. 7. and to the Heirs Males of his Body, *virtute cujus* he was seised in Fee; the other confessed the Gift, *virtute cujus* he was seised in Tail, and no Traverse to the *virtute cujus*, for the Conclusion is the Conclusion of the Law. 3. The (g) Issue is not well joined, 1. Because the Matter of the Discharge is, by Reason of the Unity, which is by Force of the Statute of 31 Hen. 8. and not by the Common Law, and the Issue is joined upon a Discharge by the Common Law, *viz.* Prescription in the Prior and his Predecessors to hold the said 22 Acres of Land discharged of Tithes, which is a Discharge by the Common Law: 2. (h) Every Issue ought to consist upon an Affirmative and a Negative, and here is not any Affirmative, for that which comes after the *ratione cujus* is not *Affirmative*, or *Positive* alledg'd, but as a Consequence upon the precedent Matter, *Vide 8 Hen. 6. 6. a. 36 H. 6. 15. a. b. 9 Ed. 4. 36. 6 Hen. 7. 5. b.* and therewith agrees the Resolution of the Judges in the Bishop of Canterbury's Case, in the Second Part of my Reports, fol. 48. so that here

(a) Doctrin. Placi- 351, 352, 370.

* Fitzgib. 33, 88.

(b) Br. Ancient Demesne 6. Fitz. Ancient Demesne 9. (c) Hob. 298.

(d) 12 Co. 66. 13 Co. 12. Co. Lit. 125. a. 8 Co. 130. a. (e) 4 Co. 42. a. b. 2 Bullst. 204, 254, 305, 344. 1 Sid. 127. 9 Co. 13. a. 25. a. 8 Co. 155. a. Co. Lit. 125. a. 155. b. 226. a. Plowd. 114. b. 1 Roll. Rep. 132. (f) Moor 105, 269. 4 Co. 42. b. Cro. El. 41, 481, 482. Hob. 53. Plowd. 112. b. 114. b. Cro. Car. 75, 76, 212. Cro. Eliz. 481. 12 Co. 15. Hutt. 121. Dyer 361. pl. 15. Hard. 347. 2 Roll. 701, 702. (g) Doctrin. plac. t. 192. 2 Co. 47. a. b.

(h) Co. Lit. 110. a. Cro. Car. 80, 94. Dyer 174. pl. 21, 22. Doctrin. pl. 187, 188, 190, 192. Fr. Issues joines, 86.

here is not any Issue joined, of any Matter alledged in Fact in the Information.

4. Upon the Verdict divers Points were moved at the Bar, 1. If the said Impropriation (as it was found) was good, or not. 2. If it was not good by the Common Law, if the Statute of 35 *Eliz. Regina, cap. 3.* has supplied the Imperfection of it, or not. 3. When the Jury find Matter sufficient to bar the Parson of the Tithes, which was not Parcel of their Charge, nor within the Issue, if without Regard to that Matter a Consultation shall be granted. 4. If by the said Impropriation and Unity, so short a Time before the Dissolution, which could not be above nine or ten Years, it should be such a Discharge of Tithes as is intended within the Statute of 31 *Hen. 8.*

As to the first it was objected, That the said Impropriation was void for two Reasons: 1. Because the King has made a Licence of Impropriation of the Church of *T. per verba de presenti tempore*, where it appears, that at the Time of the Licence made, there was an Incumbent then of the same Church; so that no Appropriation could be made *in presenti*, but in *futuro*, by special Words, to take Effect after the Death of the present Incumbent, for as no Appropriation can be made of a Church which is full of an Incumbent, but in a special Manner to take Effect after the Death of the Incumbent, so the King's Licence (without which the Appropriation can't be made) ought to be Special also, or otherwise the King is deceived in his Grant, and by Consequence the Appropriation is void; and that no Appropriation can be made without the King's Licence, *vide Sir William Ethingham's Case*, in 17 *Ed. 3. 39. a.* and *Plow. Com. in Grendon's Case, fol. 495. b.* And that in such Case the Appropriation ought to be made in such special Manner, appears in *Grendon's Case*; and in 8 *Eliz. Dyer 244. pl. 60.* The second Reason was, That the Appropriation in the Case at Bar, was made to take Effect in Possession, and not in such special Manner after the Death of the Incumbent, as it appears before it ought by the Law.

But it was resolved, That that Appropriation was sufficient in Law, for it is true, that the Licence is general, and therefore it shall be taken in such Sense, that it may take Effect, and that is, to be appropriated after the Death of the Incumbent: And when the Letters Patents may be taken to two Intents good, in many Cases they shall be taken to such Intent as is most beneficial for the King; but if the Letters Patents may be taken to one Intent good, and to another Intent void; then for the King's Honour, and

Postea 13. a.

1 Roll. 239.
Plowd. 499. b.Godolph. Abr.
222.
Plowd. 449. b.Plowd. 499. b.
10 Co. 48. a.
1 Co. 155. a.
Co. Lit. 352. b.
6 H. 7. 13. b.
Br. Appropri. 5.

1 Roll. 239.

8 Co. 56. a. 167. a.
10 Co. 67. b.
Kew. 175. a.
198. a.
3 Leon. 243.
2 Siderf. 141.
2 Roll. 200.

PRIDDLE *and* NAPPER's Case. PART XI.

(a) 1 Co. 45. a.
8 Co. 56. a. 167. a.
Plowd. 32. a.
126. a. 143. b.
Hardr. 500.
Fitz. Grant 29.
Br. Exempt. 9.
2 R. 3. 4. a. b.

for the Benefit of the Subject, they shall be taken in such Manner, that the King's Grant shall take Effect; for it was not the King's Intention to make a void Grant, and therewith agree (a) 21 Ed. 4. 44. b. and Roger Earl of Rutland's Case, in the Eighth Part of my Reports, fol. 56. a. which is proper to be perused; and in the Lord Stafford's Case, in the same Part, fol. 77. a. and the Case of Sir J. Molins, in the 6th Part of my Reports, 6. a. and the Lord Chandos's Case, in the same Part, fol. 55. b. and the Earl of Cumberland's Case, in the 8th Part of my Reports, fol. 167. a. And so it was resolved in the principal Case, That the Licence shall be taken to this Intent, to make the Appropriation to take Effect after the Death of the present Incumbent; and *eo potius*, because the Letters Patents were *Ex certa scientia & mero motu*, and therewith agrees a Record in the Book of Entries, Tit. *Quare Impedit, divisio' Appropriation*, Where the Licence of Appropriation was general, and the Appropriation after the Death of the Incumbent in these Words, *Volens & concedens ut cedente vel decedente ipsius Ecclesie nunc Rectore, quod predictus Abbas & Conventus ejusdem Ecclesie corporalem possessionem apprehenderent, ac fructus, proventus & obventiones perciperent & libere haberent*. And *vide in eodem libro, Tit. Droit 1*. As to the second Reason, that is mistaken, for it appears by the Instrument of Approbation found within the Record, That it was by express Words to take Effect after the Death of the then Incumbent, *Ita quod cedente vel decedente Rectore dicte Ecclesie qui nunc est, &c.* Another Reason was added, That inasmuch as always the King's Licence of Approbation is made to the Body Spiritual, to which the Church shall be appropriated, and not to the Bishop, &c. and therefore it shall be presumed, that they would obtain it in such Form that it should avail them. Also the Licence of Appropriation is always general, and so are all the Precedents; for altho' the Rector be alive at the Time of the making of the Licence, he may die or resign, &c. before the Appropriation.

1 Roll. 239.

35 Eliz. cap. 3.

As to the second Point, admitting the said Appropriation had been void, it was objected, that the said Act of 35 Eliz. has made it good, for thereby it is enacted and declared, *That all Manors, Lands, Tenements and Hereditaments, which at any Time heretofore were the Possessions of any Abby, Monastery, Priory, &c. which after the said fourth Day of February, in the 27th Year of Hen. 8. were granted or conveyed, or mentioned to be granted or conveyed, in, or by any Letters Patents whatsoever, made by the said late King Hen. 8. to any Person, &c. were and shall be reputed, taken and adjudged to have been lawfully, and perfectly in the actual*
and

and real Possession of the said late King, and of his Heirs and Successors, at such Time as the same were granted by the said late King. And where it was answered by the Plaintiff's Counsel, That the said Act of 35 Eliz. extended only to Letters Patents made by King Hen. 8. and the Letters Patents in the Case at Bar, were made by Queen Elizabeth, and so out of the said Act of 35 Eliz. it was resolved, That in Truth the said Act of 35 Eliz. did not extend to this Case, ^{35. Eliz. ca. 5. 3.} but not for the Cause alledged by the Plaintiff's Counsel; for altho' it is true, that Queen Elizabeth granted the Inheritance of the said Rectory, yet it appears by the special Verdict, That King H. 8. by his Letters Patents indented, had demised the said Rectory to William Petre, Doctor of Law, for 21 Years; and the Act of 35 Eliz. enacts, That all Manors, Lands, Tenements and Hereditaments, mentioned to be granted or conveyed in or by any Letters Patents whatsoever, made by King H. 8. to any Person or Persons, Bodies Politick or Corporate, shall be reputed, taken and adjudged to have been lawfully and perfectly in the actual and real Possession of the said late King, and his Heirs and Successors; in which Purview four Things were observed; 1. The favourable Penning thereof, *sc.* mentioned to be granted, altho' in Effect nothing passed by the Grant. 2. The Generality of the Words, first, concerning the Quality of the Letters Patents, *scil.* In or by any Letters Patents whatsoever, be they under the Great Seal, ^{2 Roll. 182.} the Exchequer Seal, the Court of Augmentation Seal, the Dutchy Seal, &c. Secondly, Concerning the Estate or Interest which is mentioned to pass by the Letters Patents, which is left at large, and not restrained to any in certain, and therefore if the Letters Patents purport a Grant for Life, or for Years, the Statute hath as great Operation, as to the Purview of the Act, as if the Letters Patents had purported a Grant of an Estate Tail, or a Fee. 3. The Generality of the Purview, for it extends not only to make the Grant good, but to vest the Manors, Lands, Tenements and Hereditaments of the late Abbots, &c. in the actual and real Possession of King Hen. 8. 4. And not only in King Hen. 8. but to him, his Heirs and Successors, so that the Lands shall be as well vested in the King, his Heirs and Successors, when the King grants the Land for Life or Years, as where he grants it in Fee-Tail or Fee-simple, and so the Purview extends to three other Cases. 1. Where any such Lands, Tenements or Hereditaments, came to the Hands or Possession of the said late King Hen. 8. 2. Or which were put in charge to, or for his Highness in his Court of Exchequer, or any other Courts of his Majesty's Revenue. 3. Or by any Auditor, or other

PRIDDLE and NAPPER's Case. PART XI.

other Officer of the said late King; and in every of these Cases the Purview hath so great Operation, as in Cases of Letters Patents, as to vest such Lands, Tenements or Hereditaments, in the King, his Heirs and Successors: But yet it was resolved, That the said Act of 35 Eliz. cap. 3. did not extend to this Case; for the Purview has a Qualification or Restraint which has not been mentioned before at the Bar; and that is, That in the said four Cases, such Lands, Tenements and Hereditaments, shall be reputed, taken, and adjudged, in the actual and real Possession of the said late King, his Heirs and Successors, at such Time as the same did so come to his Majesty's Hands or Possession, or were so put in charge or granted, or conveyed by the said late King Hen. 8. as aforesaid; (then comes the Qualification or Restraint) notwithstanding, 1. Any Defect, Want, or Insufficiency of or in any Surrender, Grant, or Conveyance of the said Manors, Lands, Tenements or Hereditaments, or any Part thereof, to the said late King Hen. 8. 2. Or any other Matter or Cause whatsoever, by which his Highness was or might have been entitled to the same: So that the Scope and Purpose of the Act was, to vest in King Hen. 8. all the Lands, Tenements, and Hereditaments, which the Abbots, &c. had, notwithstanding the Defects aforesaid: But if the said Appropriation was void, and was not given the King by the Statute of Monasteries, then the Prior of *Montacute*, in the Case at Bar, had nothing in the said Rectory, but the Advowson only, and *jus presentandi*: But yet the said Act of 35 Eliz. is of great Use and Effect, for inasmuch as the Statute of 31 H. 8. gave not the King any Monasteries, Priors, &c. but only which had been surrendered, granted to the King, &c. or were dissolved; or which should be surrendered, granted, &c. or dissolved, this Act, in the said four Cases, has supplied the Defect or Want of a Surrender, Grant, or Conveyance; also of an insufficient Surrender, Grant, or Conveyance, so that be there any Conveyance to the King, or not; and if any be, altho' it be insufficient, the said Lands, Tenements and Hereditaments, are actually vested in the King, his Heirs and Successors. 2. If the Abbot, Prior, &c. had been disseised, or in any other Case, where an Office, *Scire facias*, Seifure, &c. was requisite to vest the Possession in the King; there the latter Words, *viz. Or any other Matter or Cause whatsoever, by which his Highness was or might have been entitled to the same*, supply all such Means by which the King might have been lawfully entituled, and put in actual Possession. *Vide 33 H. 8. Brook, Tit. Chose in Action 14.* The Question there made, where an Abbot, &c. was disseised, well explained and resolved. But altho' there be a Defect in the

Appro-

35 Eliz. cap. 3.

31 H. 8. cap. 13.

Appropriation, yet (if the Rectory be in (a) Reputation Appropriate, and so has been used) it is given the King by the Statute of 27 H. 8. c. 38. or 31 H. 8. c. 13. and therefore in 19 Eliz. (b) in the Dean of Paul's Case, it was adjudged in the King's Bench, That a Chantry, or College in Reputation, and not in Law, was given to King Ed. 6. by the Statute of 1 E. 6. within the Words, *All and all manner of Chanteries, Colleges, &c.* 27 Junii, Anno (c) 29 Eliz. in *Cancellaria*, upon an Aid prior of the King, by the Course of the Common Law, the Case was between the Lord St. John, Plaintiff, and the Dean and Chapter of Gloucester, Defendant, for the Parsonage Improprate of Penmark in the County of Glamorgan, because the Patron (who, before the Appropriation, had granted the Advowson to the Body Ecclesiastical, to which the Appropriation was made) in Anno 18 R. 2. was but Tenant in Tail, and yet it always continued as a Church Appropriate, it was resolved by Sir Thomas Bromley, Lord Chancellor of England, Gilbert Gerrard, Master of the Rolls, Shute and Windham, Justices, (whom the Lord Chancellor in that Case associated unto him) that this Rectory in Reputation was given to the King by the Statute of Monasteries. (d) Another Case was, *Tr. Eliz. in Camera Scacc' inter T. Grimes and H. Smith* for the Parsonage of Bulbenham in the County of Leiceſter, which, Anno 22 Ed. 4. was appropriated to the Abby of Sulby, and no Vicar endowed there, &c. according to the Purview of the Acts of 4 H. 4. 12. 15 R. 2. 6. But there had continued a Vicar in Reputation, and the Rectory continued also as appropriated; and it was resolved, That that Rectory was given to the King by the Statute of Monasteries. (e) *Hill, 4 Jac. Reg. in Cancellar' inter Bedel and Bear*, for the Church of Kumbalton, which was appropriated in Anno 40 Ed. 3. and the Defect was, That *Humphrey de Bohun*, Earl of Hereford (who granted the Advowson of the said Church to the Body Ecclesiastical, to which the Appropriation was made) was but Tenant in Tail; and resolved clearly, That it was given to King Hen. 8. by the Statute of Monasteries. *Nota* Reader, in the Statute of Monasteries there is a (f) saving of Rights, &c. but the Founders, Donors, &c. are excepted out of the Saving; so they are bound by the Body of the Act.

As to the third Point upon the Verdict, it was resolved, That forasmuch as the (g) special Matter found by the Jury, was not Parcel of their Charge, nor pertinent to the Issue, (admitting that the special Matter had been sufficient to have barred the Plaintiff of the Tithes) it should not be regarded; for the Party griev'd thereby can't have (h) Attaint, nor the Witnesses punish'd for Perjury * by the Statute of (i) 5 Eliz. because the Saying of the Jury, nor the Testimony of the Witnesses was not material to the Issue; so that inasmuch as the Issue is joined upon Prescription in the Prior and his Predecessors, to hold the said

22 Acres

(a) Hob. 308.
Goldib. 93.
Godbolt 315.
2 Roll. Rep. 127.
Cro. Jac. 608.
1 Jones 2, 3.
4 Leon. 159, 160.
(b) Dyer 368.
pl. 47.
Jenk. Cent. 245.
1 Roll. Rep. 417.
10 Co. 83. b.
Hugh's Abr.
1717. 1 E. 6.
cap. 14.
4 Co. 106. b.
108. a. 109. a.
110. b.
(c) Hugh's Abr.
1717.
Hardr. 51.

(d) Hardr. 516.
Hugh's Abr.
1717, 1718.

(e) 12 Co. 4.
Palm. Rep. 222.

(f) Cro. Jac. 608.
1 Jones 2, 3.

(g) Hob. 53.
12 Co. 15.
9 Co. 14. a.
2 Roll. 701, 702.
Hardr. 347.
Dyer 362. pl. 15.
Hurt. 121.
Cr. Pl. 481.
Cro. Car. 75, 76,
212.
Plowd. 112. b.
114. b. 1 Sid. 96.
(h) 1 Roll. 281.
3 Inst. 167.
* See Carth. 422.
(i) 5 El. cap. 19

PRIDDLE *and* NAPPER's Case. PART XI.

22 Acres discharged of Tithes, *a tempore cuius*, they can't give in Evidence an Unity of the Rectory and Land for ten Years only; that if any Colour should be, that the same should be a Discharge, it is not a Discharge by Prescription *a tempore cuius*, &c. by the Common Law, but by the Statute of 31 H. 8. So that for the Insufficiency and Impertinency of the Points and Parts of this Prolix Record, the other Justices did not speak to the fourth Point of the Verdict: But the Chief Justice (for the better Direction of this and such other Cases) did declare that the Point had been resolved before, and the Causes and Reasons of the Resolution thereof. It was a long Time in all the Courts at Westminster, a great Question upon the said Branch of the Statute of 31 H. 8. and the Cause of the Doubt thereof stood upon two Considerations: 1. Upon Consideration of the Nature and Quality of Tithes before the said Act. 2. Upon the Words and Purview of the said Branch of 31 H. 8. And as to the first, *Quota pars*, i. *Decima pars*, which we call Tithes, (a) is an Ecclesiastical Inheritance collateral to the Estate of the Land, and of their proper Nature, due only to an Ecclesiastical Person by the Ecclesiastical Law, and therefore no Unity of Possession can either extinguish or suspend them; but they, notwithstanding any Unity, remain *in esse*, so that they may be demised or granted to any Spiritual Man, notwithstanding any such Suspension. Tithes are more collateral to Land than a Warren, which the Owner of the Land has in it; for by Feoffment of the Land, without excepting the Warren, the Warren is extinct, as it is held in (b) 35 H. 6. 56. a. But (c) if a Prior who has a Parsonage Improprite, enfeoffs another of Part of the Glebe, yet he shall have Tithes against his own Feoffment, as it is held in 42 E. 3. 13. a. and they are not like a Leet; and yet if the Lord of a Leet purchases Land within it, his Leet is not suspended, nor (if he makes a Feoffment of the said Land) is his Leet in it extinct, as it is held in 7 Ed. 2. *Tit. Avowry* 211. and 8 Ed. 2. *ibid* 212. But he has an Inheritance by the Common Law in the Leet, which is descendable, and which he may grant over to whom he pleases: But such Inheritance a Lay-man can't have in Tithes by the Common Law, neither shall they pass by such Words as Temporal Inheritances shall pass, and therefore *Mich.* 31 & 32 *El.* in a Prohibition betwixt John (d) Parkins and Thomas Hinde, Parson of Babington in the County of Somerset, the Case was, That the said Parson by Deed indented, leased his Glebe, *Cum proficuis & commoditatib' eidem spectantib'* for 95 Years, rendring Rent *pro omnibus exactionib' & demandis quibuscunque dicta Rectoria pro clauso predicto spectantibus*; and the Question was, If the Lessee should have the said Close discharged of Tithes during the Term: And it was resolv'd *per*

Note.

(a) 1 Jones 3.
Godolph. Abr.
354.
Cro. Jac. 452.
Degges Parson's
Counsellor 214.

(b) 7 Co. 23. b.
Dyer 30. pl. 209.
But's Case.
Dav. 5. b.
(c) Cro. Jac. 362.
2 Bulst. 183, 184.
1 Roll. 655.
Cro. Jac. 452.
2 Co. 49. a.
1 Co. 111. a.
Moor 50.
Dyer 43. pl. 21.
Br. Dilmes 17.
Moor 47, 532.
Dav. 6. a.
Noy 35, 132.
Hughs Abr. 94.
Dall. 50.

(d) Noy. 35.
Cro. El. 161.
479. Degges Par-
son's Counsellor
226. Hetly 31.
Owen 39.
2 Roll. 57.
Godb. 398.
2 Bulst. 184.

totam Curiam, That the Tithes should not pass by such general Words; and as they are Tithes not served, they are meer Ecclesiastical; for the Substraction of which, no Remedy lies by the Common Law. If a Parson purchases Land within his Rectory, and leases this Rectory, the Lessee shall have Tithes of the Land purchased; and therewith agrees 30 H. 8. Dyer 43. pl. 21. Vide 32 Hen. 8. Brook, Tit. Dismes 17. Then, inasmuch as if Tithes be considered of themselves, before the Severance of them, they are mere Ecclesiastical, and so collateral to the Estate of the Land, that no Unity can extinguish or suspend them; but notwithstanding any Unity, they remain *in esse*; now the Words of the Act are to be considered, which are, *That as well the King, his Heirs and Successors, as all and every such Person and Persons, their Heirs and Assigns, which have, or hereafter shall have any Monasteries, Parsonages appropriate, or other Hereditaments, &c. shall have, hold, retain, keep and enjoy, as well the said Parsonages appropriated, &c. Meses, Lands, Tenements, and other Hereditaments, &c. discharged and acquitted of Payment of Tithes, as feely, and in as large and ample Manner as the said late Abbots, Priors, &c. had held, occupied, possessed, used, retained or enjoyed the same, at the Days of their Dissolution:* And upon these Words, forasmuch as the Unity doth not discharge nor suspend the Tithes, but that they were *in esse* at the Time of the Dissolution: And forasmuch also as these Words (discharge and acquit) imply actual Immunity and Freedom; and that the King and his Patentees shall not have them discharged and acquitted absolutely, but *sub modo*, that is to say, *In as large and ample Manner, &c. as the said late Abbots, &c.* And the late Abbots held not the said Lands in Case of Unity discharged, but charged with the Payment of them; for these Reasons, in short, it was doubted, Whether the said Act should extend to the Case of a perpetual Unity; and it was also urged, That if the said Act of 31 Hen. 8. in Case of perpetual Unity should, in respect thereof, discharge the Land of Tithes, it would do a Wrong; and as it is said in *Plowd. Com.* in the Earl of Leicester's Case, 398. b. The Parliament is a Court of the greatest Honour and Justice, of which none ought to imagine a dishonourable Thing, and the *Doctor and Student*, fol. 165. cap. 55. It can't be thought, that a Statute that is made by Authority of the whole Realm, as well of the King, and of the Lords Spiritual and Temporal, as of all the Commons, will do a Thing against the Truth, &c. And *Fortescue*, cap. 18. *Prudentia etiam & sapientia necessario statuta hujus regni referta putandum est, dum non unius aut centum solum consultorum virorum, sed plusquam trecentorum electorum hominum, quali numero olim Senatus Romanorum regebatur, ipsa sunt edita.*

Moor 47, 50.
Noy 35, 132.
2 Bulltr. 183.
184.

Moor 219, 532.
Davis 6. a.
Dall. 50.
B. N. C. 178.

Note.

Co. Li. sic. a.

PRIDDLE and NAPPER's Case. PART XI.

But at length, upon great Consideration, it was resolved and adjudged, That a (a) perpetual Unity, *a tempore cujus, &c.* till the Dissolution, should be *prima facie* a Discharge of the Land of Payment of Tithes, by Force of the said Branch of 31 H. 8. cap. 13. for divers Reasons. 1. The (b) Statute doth not say discharged of Tithes, but discharged of Payment of Tithes, and divers other Reasons, the chief of which was, for the (c) infinite Impossibility, and impossible Infiniteness, so that such Immunities and Discharges which Religious Houses had before Time of Memory, cannot be known. And it was expressly resolved, That the general Allegation of Unity at the Time of the Dissolution, &c. without an Averment that it was perpetual, was not sufficient: And altho' it had been a perpetual Unity, (d) yet if the Farmers of the Lands of the Rectory had paid Tithes before the Dissolution, then the Intendment and Presumption of Law, upon the perpetual Unity, failed: And all this you may see in the *Archbishop of Canterbury's Case*, in the second Part of my Reports, and divers Judgments and Resolutions there cited, fol. 48, and 49. So that such Unity, which is within the said Branch of the Act of 31 Hen. 8. ought to have (e) four Qualities.

1. *Talis unitas* ought to be *justa*, rightful and not by wrong.
2. It ought to be *equalis*, *sc.* Fee in the one and the other; for if the Abbots, Priors, &c. have held by Lease, *a tempore cujus, &c.* that is not an Unity within the Statute.
3. It ought to be *perpetua tempore cujus, &c.*
4. It ought to be *libera*, free of Payment of any Tithes: But if their Farmers at Will, for Years, &c. have paid Tithes to them (as hath been said) the Unity perpetual will not serve. But it was asked, What if the Appropriation was made in the Times of E. 4. H. 6. H. 4. R. 2. E. 3. &c. and yet in Law within Time of Memory, and Unity had continued from the Time of the Appropriation until the Dissolution, and Tithes were never paid, neither by the Abbots, &c. or their Farmers: Should not the Statute extend to those Causes? And it was answered, No, upon the Point of Unity; for if he will take the Aid of the Act of 32 H. 8. the Unity, as hath been said, ought to be perpetual. But in such Case he may alledge the said Branch of the Act of 31 H. 8. concerning the discharge of Payment of Tithes, &c. (f) and that the Abbots, &c. *a tempore cujus, &c.* until the Dissolution, have held the Land discharged of Tithes (as he may well prescribe by the Common Law) and give such Evidence that he may approve it: And so if in Truth, the Land be discharged, he has sufficient Remedy to relieve himself. *Vide the Bishop of Winchester's Case*, in the second Part of my Reports, fol. 44. b. 45. a. But if the Abby, or Priory, &c. was founded within

(a) Moor 50.
Hob. 311.

(b) Hob. 297.

(c) 2 Co. 48. a.
Hob. 298.

(d) Cro. Jac. 559.
2 Co. 48. a.

(e) Cro. Jac. 453.
Hob. 311.
Degg's Parson's
Counsellor 334.
Godolph. Abr.
454.

(f) 2 Co. 44. b.
45. a.
Cro. Car. 423.
Hob. 300, 311.

within Time of Memory, then he can't prescribe *omnino*,
 and forasmuch as in the principal Case, the Appropriation
 was made in 20 H. 8. so that it appeared to the Court, that
 before that, the 22 Acres were charged with Tithes; for of
 Common Right all Lands ought to pay Tithes; for that
 Reason the Chief Justice concluded, That the said 22 Acres
 were as this Case is, chargeable with Tithes; but if the
 Parties are not satisfied with it, they may begin again: For
 inasmuch as the Information, as it is resolved, is good, and
 the Plea, *Pro consultatione habenda*, altogether insufficient,
 and the Verdict impertinent to the Issue, they would not
 grant a Consultation; and thereunto the whole Court a-
 greed.

[See *Fitzgib.* 38. in *Dr. Bentley's Case.*]

Dr. GRAUNT's

Dr. GRAUNT'S Case.

Mich. II Jacobi I.

Which is Entred in C. B. *Paschæ II Jac.*
Regis. Rot. 2559.

THE Case was, That *Gabriel Graunt*, Doctor in Divinity, Parson of the Parish of *St. Leonard* in *Foster-Lane*, *Infra præcinctum Sancti Martini le Grand*, libell'd in the Spiritual Court before *Dr. Master*, Official of the Dean and Chapter of *Westminster*, against *Edward Taylor*, Farmer, of a great and ancient House, called the *Dean's House*, within the Precinct of *St. Martins le Grand*, late Parcel of the Possessions of the Abbot of *Westminster*, and alledged, That every Par-
 ishioner, or Inhabitant having, or occupying a Mansion-House, Shops, Ware-Houses, Cellars or Stables, within the said Parish of *St. Leonard*, within *St. Martins le Grand*, yearly, every Quarter of the Year, at the Feasts of *Easter*, the Nativity of *St. John* the Baptist, *St. Michael* the Arch-Angel, and the Birth of Christ, *a tempore cujus, &c.* or at least from the Foundation, Donation, and Erection of the said Rectory of *St. Leonard*, by equal Portions, to the Parsons of the said Rectory for the Time being, *Nomine & loco decimar' suar', juxta ratam cujuslibet viginti solidat' redditus per an. ex qualibet*
hujusmodi

hujusmodi domo, shopa, follar', cellar', five stabulo sic tent' five occupat' in predicta parochia, duos solidos legalis moneta Anglie, &c. and that the said Edward Taylor and his Family, did dwell in the said House three Years, and had, and possessed it for the same Time, *Sub annuali redditu sexdecim librarum seu saltem 12 librarum, &c.* and so demanded two Shillings in the Pound, &c. The said Edward Taylor exhibited an Information and Suggestion to the Court, That the late Abbot of *Westminster*, and all his Predecessors, 'till the Dissolution of the said Monastery, which was Anno 30 Hen. 8. had held the said House discharged of Tithes, and alledged the Statute of 31 Hen. 8. concerning the Discharge of Payment of Tithes, and conveyed to himself a Lease for Years, and thereupon had a Prohibition; to which the said Doctor Graunt appeared, and Taylor declared against him to the Effect aforesaid, and Doctor Graunt traversed the said Prescription of Discharge of Tithes; whereupon Issue was joined, and tried before me in London for Doctor Graunt: And now it was moved by Taylor's Counsel, That upon the said Libel, no Consultation ought to be granted; for *de communi jure*, no Tithes ought to be paid for Houses of Habitation, nor for any Rent reserved upon any Lease made of them; for Tithes ought to be paid of Things which grow and renew from Year to Year, by the Act of God. *Vide Register 54 b. F. N. B. 53. E. Br. Tit. Dismes 16.* and not for dwelling in Houses, or of Rents issuing out of Lands, reserved and created only and meerly by the Act of the Party: And therefore in the City of London, the Parsons have two Shillings and eight Pence in the Pound, &c. in Name of Tithes; but that is by Decree made Anno 1535, which is enacted and confirmed by Authority of Parliament, Anno 37 Hen. 8. cap. 12. But St. Martin's le Grand is not included within the said Decree, and Act, for it is within London, and not of it; and therefore remains at the Common Law. And in 30 Ed. 3. fol. 1. a. & 38 Ed. 3. fol. 13. a. by *Finchden* it is said, That the Profits of the Churches in London, are the Oblations and Obventions.

But it was resolved *per totam Curiam*, 1. That a Consultation should be granted, for it may have a lawful Beginning; for it *may be*, that for all the Tithes of the Land, upon which the Houses are built, this *Modus Decimandi* has been *a tempore cujus, &c.* paid, and altho' it is after built, that shall not take away the Right of the Parson in such Case. And because it might have a lawful Beginning, and that it has been used *a tempore cujus, &c.* it was therefore resolved, that a Consultation should be granted.

It was likewise resolved, 2. That for these Monies he might sue in the Ecclesiastical Court, because they are in the Nature

Degg's Parsons
Counsellor 264.
1 Roll. 636.
Hob. 11.
Cro. Car. 596.
Moor 912.
Godolph. Abr.
349.
Cro. El. 276.
2 Inst. 651.
2 Rol. 284.

Hob. 11.
Moor 912.
Cro. Car. 596.
Cro. Eliz. 276.
Hugh's Abr. 676.

Hob. 11.
Rol. 642.
Degg's Parson's
Counsellor 254.

Hob. 11.

2 Rol. 283, 284.
Litch. 210.

Dr. GRAUNT's Case. PART XI.

Hob. 247.
1 Rol. Rep. 419.
3 Bulst. 241, 242.

40 E. 3. 1. 2.
38 E. 3. 13. 2.

of Tithes, viz. *Modus decimandi*; and every ancient City and Borough has for the most Part such Custom, *De modo decimandi* for their Houses, for the Maintenance of their Parson. And as to the Opinions in 30 Ed. 3. & 38 Ed. 3. it was said, That *Obventio dicitur ab obveniendo*, and includes Oblations, Rents, or other Revenues, which may well agree with the Resolution before; and afterwards a Consultation was granted.

[*Note*, The *may be*, &c. in the first Resolution, *ante*, seems a weak Reason to support that Resolution.]

Sir

Sir HENRY NEVIL'S Case.

Mich. 10 Jacobi I.

PAscha, 9 Jac. Regis, Rot. 925. In a second Deliverance between Alexander Goodcrome Plaintiff, and H. Moor Defendant, in the Common Pleas, upon the long and intricate Record, the Case was briefly such. Sir Henry Nevil Knight, was seised of the Manor of Wargrave, which extended itself into Warfield and divers other Towns, in his Demesn as of Fee; whereof one House, one Yard of Land, and eighteen Acres of Land were Parcel: And Alexander Goodcrome alledged a Custom in this Manor, viz. quod infra præd' Manerium de Wargrave est & tempore cujus contrar' memoria Hom' non exist', fuit un' Manerium customar' s'ca manerium de Warfield, quod quidem manerium de Warfield per totum idem Temp' consistebat de Terris dominicalib' & servitiis customariis, viz. de præd' messuagio & virgata terra & de 18 acr' terra, ac omnibus redditib' & aliis pertinen' customar' in Warfield, eidem Manerio customar' pertinen': quodque præd' tempore quo, &c. necnon a toto tempore cuj' contrar', &c. diversa parcella præd' messuagii & virgat' terra præd' & de præd. 18 acris terra, fuer' terra customar' ejusdem manerii de Warfield, & dimiss'. & dimissibil' per copiam Rot' Cur' ejusdem manerii de Warfield per Dom' ejusdem manerii vel per seneschallum Domini ejusdem manerii pro tempore existen', diversis personis ea capere, volenti seu volentibus in feodo simplici, ad termin' vite, vel Annorum, ad Voluntas' Dom' secundum Consuetudin' ejusdem manerii; &c. quod quidem manerium de Warfield est, & a toto temp' supradicto fuit parcel' manerii de Wargrave ten' de

D

eodem

Cr. Jac. 3273
2 Bulltr. 135

Sir HENRY NEVIL's Case. PART XI.

eodem manerio de Wargrave per copiam Rot' Curia ejusdem manerii de Wargrave, ac dimiss. & dimissibil' per copiam Rot' Cur' ejusdem manerii, per Dom' ejusdem manerii vel per seneschallum suum ejusdem manerii pro tempore existen' cuicunq; persona sive personis ill' capere volenti vel volentib' in feodo simplici, ad termin' vite vel annorum, ad voluntatem Domini secundum consuetudinem ejusdem manerii de Wargrave, per nomen unius messuagii & unius virgate terra & 18 acr' terra & omnium reddituum & aliorum pertin' in Warfield: And Sir Henry Nevil at the Court of his Manor of Wargrave, Anno 28 Eliz. granted to Robert Albany the Manor of Warfield, per nomen Unius Messuagii, unius virgate terra & 18 Acr' terra & omnium reddituum & aliorum pertin' in Warfield, To have and to hold to the said Robert Albany and his Heirs, at the Will of the Lord of the said Manor of Wargrave, according to the Custom of the same Manor: Et idem Alexander ulterius dicit, quod infra præd' manerium de Wargrave talis habetur, & a toto tempore cuj' contrar' memoria Hom' non existit habebatur consuetudo, quod quilibet Domin' custumar' dicti manerii de Warfield per seneschallum suum usus fuit tenere Cur' infra dictum manerium de Warfield pro & concernen' custumarios tenentes suos præd' manerii de Warfield præd' diversar' parcell' terra per copiam Rot' Cur' ejusdem manerii dimissibil' secundum Consuetudinem ejusdem manerii de Warfield, ut præfertur, quodque præd' 2 acr' terra cum pertinen' in quibus &c. are & a tempore cuj', &c. were Parcel of the said customary Manor of Warfield, & dimiss. & dimissibil', &c. And the said Goodcrome claim'd by Grant by Copy of the said two Acres made by the Steward of the Lord of the said customary Manor of Warfield: And Issue was taken, whether infra præd' Manerium de Wargrave est & a toto tempore, &c. fuit un' manerium custumar', viz. manerium de Warfield, dimiss. & dimissibil' per copiam Rot' Cur' præd' manerii de Wargrave prout, &c. And the Verdict was De vicineto manerii de Wargrave, and the Trial was at the Bar, and the Issue was found for Goodcrome the Plaintiff, viz. That there was such a Customary Manor; and it was moved in Arrest of Judgment, That there could not be such a Customary Manor by Law; for of a Copyhold (which is but a Tenure at Will) there cannot be Lord, Mesne and Tenant; but of a Freehold at the Common Law only.

But it was clearly resolved *per totam Curiam*, That a Customary Manor may be held by Copy, and such Customary
Lord

Lord may hold Courts, and grant Copies, and such Customary Manor shall pass by Surrender and Admittance, and Fines shall be paid upon Admittance as well upon Alienation as Descent. And there may be Lord Customary Mesn, and Customary Tenant, as well in Case where the Mesnalty is a Tenancy at Will according to the Custom of the Manor, as where there is a Tenancy at Will at the Common Law, of a Manor. And if such Customary Manor is forfeited, the Lord shall have the Customs and Services appertaining to it: As if Tenant at Will of a Manor grants Copies, and reserves Rents and Services, these Rents and Services are annexed to the Manor, and shall attend upon the Owner of the Manor after the Will determined, altho' the Lord of the Manor doth not claim by or under, but above him and without any Privy in Estate: So in Case of him who is Tenant for Life or Years of a Manor, the Rents and Services reserved by them, shall go to them in the Reversion: And so note a Difference betwixt Reservations at the Common Law, and by the Custom of the Manor. And afterwards a Writ of Error was brought, and the same Matter assigned for Error, which was clearly overruled by the whole Court of King's Bench; and Exception was also taken to the Visne, that it should be (a) also of *Warfield*, *sed non allocatur*, for the Issue rises upon the Custom within the Manor of *Wargrave*, and it appears that the Tenements in *Warfield* are Parcel of the Manor of *Wargrave*; and thereupon the Judgment was affirmed: And it was said that the Manor of *Ailesham* in the County of *Norfolk*, is held by Copy, and others in several other Places.

1 Bulstr. 56, 57d

1 Co. 96. 2d

(a) 2 Rol. 613j
619, 620.
2 Bulstr. 135.
Cr. Jac. 327.
Cro. Car. 313.
1 Jones 320.
16, 17 Car. 2o
c. 8.

Dr. AYRAY'S Case.

Hill. 11 Jacobi 1. in B. R.

Lanc 15. 33.

John Alcock, Gentleman, brought an Action of Trespafs against Henry Ayray Doctor of Divinity, William Witham, William Parsons, and Thomas Priest, (which Plea began in the King's Bench, Trin. 9 Jac. Regis Rot. 413.) and declared of a Trespafs 1 Maii, Anno 8 Regis Jacobi in an House of the Plaintiff's, call'd the Parsonage House, and the Plaintiff's Close, viz. One called the Parsonage Close, and another containing ten Acres of Glebe Land at Charleton *super Otemore*, in the County of Oxford. The Defendants pleaded Not guilty, and a Special Verdict was given to this Effect, That the Places in which, &c. were Parcel of the Glebe of the Rectory of Charleton *super Otemore*; whereof the said Henry Ayray at the Time of the Trespafs, &c. was, and yet is Parson, and that King E. 3. 18 Jan. in the Fourteenth Year of his Reign, by his Letters Patents under the Great Seal, *Ad honorem Dei, & augmentation' cultus Divini, de gratia sua speciali concessit & licentiam dedit Roberto de Eglesfield Clerico ipsius nuper Regis, quod ipse in quodam Mesuagio suo cum pertin' in Oxon' in Parochia Sancti Petri in Oriente, quandam Aulam Collegialem de Scholaribus, Capellanis, & aliis, perpetuis Temporibus duratur' sub Nomine Aula Scholar' Reginae de Oxon', que per unum Prapositum de dictis Scholar' juxta ordinationem prafati Roberti inde faciend' gubernabitur, construere & de novo fundare, ac mesuagium illud cum pertin' prafatis Prapositio & Scholaribus dare possit & assignare, Habendum & tenendum sibi & Successoribus*

Fu'l. Ch. Hist.
113.
1 Bullstr. 91.

foribus suis Præpositis & Scholaribus Aula illius pro eorum inhabitatione imperpetuum. Et eisdem Præposito & Scholaribus, quod ipsi mesuagium prædictum a præfato Roberto recipere possint & tenere sibi & successoribus suis prædictis, sicut prædictum est, tenore præsentium licentiam similiter dedimus specialem. Et memoratam Aulam cum Præposito & ceteris sociis per electionem in futurum habitantibus & morantibus in eadem, quos ad verum Collegium erigimus & existere extunc præponimus, & ut Collegium licitum & approbatum agnoscamus, auctoritate nostra plena qua possumus, acceptamus, ratificamus, & confirmamus: Statui de terris & tenementis ad manum mortuam non ponendis edit, aut quocunque alio statuto vel ordinatione in contrarium facti non obstante. Nolentes quod prædictus Robertus vel heredes sui, seu præfatus Præpositus & Scholares aut Successores sui ratione præmissorum, &c. occasione, molestentur in aliquo, seu graventur, &c.

They further found, That King James that now is, 11 Oct. Anno 8. of his Reign, had exemplified under the Great Seal the said Charter in the Records of the Chancery, enrolled in the Tower of London, and in the Exemplification, the Clause of *sub nomine*, was, *sub nomine Aula Reginae de Oxon'*, whereas in Truth the Charter was, *sub nomine Aula Scholarium Reginae*; so that this Word (*Scholarium*) was in that Clause omitted. And they further found, That the said Robert de Eglesfield, *Postea virtute licentie prædictæ fundavit Collegium prædict' in Oxon' prædict'*, & condidit *diversas leges & statuta pro regimine Collegii prædicti & Scholarium in eo allocat' & allocand' prout patet ex Rotulo patentium de Anno 1 Regis Rich. 2. Juratoribus prædictis in evidenc' ostens'*, the Tenor of which is entred in the special Verdict in *hæc verba*: By which it appears, that the said Robert de Eglesfield by his Charter nominated the said College, *Aula Reginae æternaliter nominand'*, & Scholares also he nominated in it in divers Places *Socii*; and by the same Deed constituted divers Ordinances and Statutes, for the better Government of the said College. And the Jury further found, That King H. 8. was seized of the Advowson of the Church of *Charleton super Otmore prædict'* in Fee in the Right of his Crown, and 4 Julij Anno 35 Regni sui, by his Charter under the Great Seal, granted the said Advowson to *Richard Andrews* and *Nicholas Temple*, and their Heirs, who by their Deed 8 Julij, 35, granted the said Advowson to *William De-vendishæ*, and *Francis Shawe*, and their Heirs. And that 8

Dr. AYRAY's Case. PART XI.

Julii, 1509. quidam Hugh Hodgeſon tunc Præpoſitus Aula præd' & Scholares ejuſdem Aula, per nomina Hugonis præpoſiti Collegii Regina in Univerſitate Oxonia & Sociorum & Scholarium ejuſdem Collegii, by their Deed under their Common Seal, preſented one Allen Scot to the ſaid Church, then void, who was admitted, inſtituted and inducted; and that the ſaid Allen Scot, 20 Maii, Anno 10 Domina Elizabethæ, nuper Regina Angliæ (the ſaid Allen then being Parſon of the ſaid Church, and Provost of the ſaid Hall) by his Deed demised the ſaid Rectory of Charlton ſuper Otmore to William Shillingford for the Term of eighty-one Years, and afterwards, viz. the 30th Day of the ſame Month of May, Præpoſitus Aula ſive Collegii præd' & Scholares ejuſdem Aula, per nomina Præpoſiti Sociorum & Scholarium Aula vel Collegii Regina in Univerſitat' Oxon' Rectoria Eccleſiæ de Charlton ſuper Otmore Patroni, per Scriptum ſuum ſigillo ſuo communi Sigillat', confirmed the ſaid Demise; and that Hugh Biſhop of Oxford, Ordinary of the ſaid Place, confirmed it alſo in the ſaid tenth Year, in the Life of the ſaid Allen Scot; and that Allen Scot died: After whoſe Death, the ſaid Henry Ayray to the ſaid Church then void was lawfully preſented, admitted, inſtituted, and inducted; and that the ſaid John Alcock had the Eſtate and Intereſt of the ſaid William Shillingford, who entred into the ſaid Rectory, and was thereof poſſeſſed. And that the ſaid Henry Ayray then being Parſon, and the other Defendants, by his Commandment entred into the Tenements, in which, &c. upon the Poſſeſſion of the ſaid John Alcock, &c. and the Doubt which the Jury referred to the Court, was, Whether the ſaid Demise of the ſaid Rectory was well confirmed or not, &c. And it was objected, That as well the ſaid Confirmation, as the ſaid Preſentation, were utterly void, by Reaſon of the Miſpriſion of the true Name of the Corporation: And therefore the firſt Queſtion which was made, was, What was the true Name of the Corporation; and they conceived, That the true Name of the Corporation was Præpoſitus & Scholares Aula Scholarium Regina de Oxon'; and this Name they collected out of the Words of the Charter itſelf, That the King licenſed the ſaid Robert Eglesfield to Found *Quandam Aulam Collegialem de Scholaribus, &c. ſub nomine Aula Scholarium Regina de Oxon', quæ per unum Præpoſit' de dictis Scholar', &c. gubernab.* Then this being the true Name, betwixt the true Name of the Corporation and the ſaid Confirmation, five Differences were obſerved,

ſc. three

Co. Lit. 300. b.

3 Roil. Rep. 415.
 Moor 865.

sc. three in Addition, one in Alteration, and one in Omif-
 sion. In Addition, first of the Word (*Sociorum*) for the
 Confirmation is, *Præpositus, Socii & Scholares*, where it should
 be *Præpositus & Scholares*. Secondly, of these Words, (*vel*
Collegii.) Thirdly, of this Word (*Univerfite.*) In Alte-
 ration, *sc. (de)* for (*in*) for the true Name of the Corpo-
 ration was *de Oxon'*, and the Confirmation was *in Univer-*
fite Oxon'. In Omifision of this Word (*Scholarium*) in a
 material Place, where it should be *Aula Scholarium Regina*,
 it is *Aula Regina*. And in the Presentation, divers Vari-
 ances were observed; one Alteration, *sc. Collegii* for *Aula*;
 and the other Misprifions in Addition, Alteration and O-
 mifision. And all these were argued at the Bar by *Coventry*
 and *George Croke*, on the Plaintiff's Part, and by *Thomas*
Crew and *Telverton* Solicitor for the Defendant; and all
 the said Variances, but one, were unanimously resolved by
 all the Justices to be without Question, and not worthy of
 any Argument; and were not of any Force to impeach the
 said Confirmation or the said Presentation: And the only
 Point which had any Scruple, was the said Variance of the
 Omifision of (*Scholarium*) after this Word (*Aula.*) And
 that depends only upon the Consideration of the true
 Name of the Corporation; and what Diversity was be-
 twixt this Case, and the Case of *Fisher and Boys*, reported
 by me in the tenth Part of my *Reports*, in the Case of the
 Mayor and Burgesses of *Lynne*, which you may see there;
 which Case was affirmed by all the Justices to be good
 Law.

2 Roll. 42.
 Cr. El. 167, 338.

Hob. 125. 2.
 10 Co. 125.
 Mo. 266.
 1 Anderf. 196.
 Lane. 15, 34.

And yet it was resolved, That in the Case at Bar, as
 well the Confirmation as the Presentation, was good enough,
 notwithstanding the Omifision of the Iteration of this Word
 (*Scholarium.*) For it was resolved, That upon Consideration
 of the said Charter of King *E. 3.* and of the Instru-
 ment of the said *Robert de Eglesfield*, the true Name of
 the Corporation was *Præpositus & Scholares Aula Regina de*
Oxon'; for it appears by the Charter itself, that the Name
 of the Corporation required only once *Scholares*, and not
 any double Iteration of it (which, as it was said, was *Ocu-*
lus questionis) and that for divers Reasons: 1. That in the
 Clause of *sub nomine*, this Word was but once mentioned:
 2. Altho' in the *sub nomine* it is said *Aula Scholarium Re-*
gina, yet in Construction, as in many Cases is used, it
 ought to precede these Words *Aula Regina*; and that for
 three Reasons: 1. Otherwise it would be a sole Corpora-
 tion confisting upon a Provost only; for then the Corpora-
 tion would be *per Nomen Præpositi Aula Scholarium Regina*,

Hob. 124.
 Moor 865.

and not a Corporation aggregate of many, as every one has agreed it was: 2. Immediately after these Words, *Sub nomine Aula Scholarium Regina*, these Words are added, *Qua per unum Praepositum de dictis Scholaribus, &c. gubernabitur*: So that it clearly appears, that this Word (*Scholares*) should be but once mention'd in the Corporation: 3. Such Construction is directly approv'd by three Interpreters *Omni exceptione majores*, sc. King E. 3. in his Charter, the said Founder Robert Eglesfield in his Instrument of Foundation, and the Incorporation itself: 1. By the Charter in the next subsequent Words, it is said, *Ac Mesuagium illud cum pertinentiis Praepositi & Scholaribus dare possit, &c.* Where these Words, *Praepositi & Scholares* are joined together; also there the *Habendum* is, *Habendum & tenendum sibi & successoribus suis, Praepositis & Scholaribus Aula illius*: In which Words the King not only conjoins the said Words together, but also the King gives Precedence to this Word *Scholaribus*, before *Aula illius*, and no mention of them after, also *Notentes quod praefat' Praepositi & Scholares aut successores sui, &c.* 2. In the Instrument of the said Robert de Eglesfield, the Founder ordains, That the said College shall be always called *Aula Regina*, (and not *Aula Scholarium Regina*) and he saith, *Aula Regina eternaliter nominanda*: 3. The Corporation itself, from the said Time of Incorporation, never accepted any Grant, or made any Grant with double Iteration of this Word (*Scholares*) but with a single mention of it only, as appears by many, and almost infinite Precedents; Also it was never called in Vulgar Appellation, *Queen's Scholars College*; neither doth any one know it by such Name, but every one knows it by the Name of *Queen's College*. And by this Determination of the true Name, it appears, That there is not any Affinity betwixt the said Case of (a) *Fisher and Boys*, and the Case at the Bar; for there is a double Iteration of this Word (*Scholares*) in two material Places, and in the Case at Bar but one single mention of it only.

(a) 10 Co. 125. a.
Moor 266.
Lane 15, 34.
x Andcril. 196.

And as to the other Variances, it was resolv'd, That none of them were material; for first, *Nomen est quasi rei notamen*, and *Nomina sunt nota rerum*, and were invented to make a Distinction between Person and Person, &c. and in the Case at Bar, the College was called by such Name that it might be well distinguished from every other College in the same University: 2dly, Altho' it is said in 21 E. 4. 55. and other Books, that the Name of an Incorporation is like the Name

Name of Baptism : Yet if the Person be so (a) described, that he may be certainly known from other Persons, the Omission, or in some Case the Misprision of the Name of Baptism shall not avoid the Grant ; as a Gift (b) *Omnibus filiis J. S. or primogenito filio J. S. or (c) uxori de J. S. or filia de J. S.* when there is but one, &c. 37 H. 6. (10.) 30. b. 11 E. 4. 2. a. 18 E. 3. 30 E. 3. 18. b. 12 Ass. 16. and in (d) 27 E. 3. 85. a. b. The Name of Baptism of the Abbot of *W. was Richerus*, and he by the Name of *Richardus, Abbot de W.* made a Grant ; and altho' his Name of Baptism was mistaken, yet because the other Words, *sc. Abbas de W.* did certainly describe the Person ; for this Cause the Grant, notwithstanding the Misprision of the Name of Baptism, was good. So if a Grant be made to *J. S. & Margareta uxori sua*, where the Wife's Name is *Margeria*, or to *J. S. & Mariotta uxori sua*, where the Wife's Name is *Marion*, yet the Grant is good ; altho' the Name of Baptism be mistaken, because *uxori sua* is a certain Description of the Person, 1 H. 5. 8. a. 46 E. 3. 22. a. b. 1 Ass. 11. 12 Ass. 16. 9 H. 7. 9. 3 H. 6. 25. b. 12 R. 2. *Feoffments & Fairs* 58. 22 E. 3. *Brief* 936. 9 E. 3. 14. 46 E. 3. 22. a. b. 14 H. 7. 21. So (King) is a Name of Incorporation ; yet a Grant made to the King, by the Name of (Sovereign Lord *James*) omitting this Word *King*, is good enough : For, *Nihil facit error nominis cum de corpore constat* ; and *hac fuit vetus & constans Opinio* in the Case of Corporations. And therefore in 26 E. 3. 66. 67. a. *Isabel*, Queen of *England*, brought a Writ of Covenant against *William*, Prior of *Coventry* ; for that *Hugh*, Prior of *Coventry*, his Predecessor, and his Covent, did submit themselves to the Award of the said Queen and her Council, for the Heritage which was of *R. N.* in *Coventry*, *Et partibus adjacentibus* ; and of the Queen's Tenements in *Coventry*, and of those which were in Aid of her, and also of the Tenements of the Prior of *Coventry*, and which were in Aid of him ; and the Deed of Covenant was, *Hugh* Prior of our Lady of *Coventry*. *Mutford* who was of Council with the Defendant, you have in your Writ and Count omitted (Our Lady) Judgment of the Variance betwixt the Corporation and the Specialty : To which *Green* of the Plaintiff's Council said, That the Prior, and also the Church of *Coventry*, is founded by the Name of *St. Michael*, and so I cannot have a Writ according to the Specialty ; for you may abate the Writ, notwithstanding the Deed shall be good ; and *Mutford* by the Rule of the Court was put to Answer ; wherefore he pleaded other Matter. So that in those Days the Misprision in a Deed of the Saint, to whom the Corporation was dedicated, was not sufficient to avoid their Deed ;

because

(a) Co. Lit. 32.
Hob. 32, 36.
2 Roll. 43.

(b) Br. nosme 9.
2 H. 4. 25. a. b.
Br. done & remainder 17.
Co. Lit. 3. a.
Br. Graunt 54.
(c) Br. Graunt 65.

1 Ass. 111.
Br. Graunt 63.
(d) Fitz. Grant, 67.

Co. Lit. 3. a.
Cr. El. 111.

Fitz. Feoffment & Fairs 37.
2 Roll. 43.
Br. Graunt 63.
65.
Br. nosme 36.
Co. Lit. 3. a.
Br. Graunt 22.
Br. Fait 82.
1 H. 7. 29. a.
6 Co. 65. b.

(a) 6 Co. 65. b.
10 Co. 126. a.
133. a.
5 Co. 12. a.

because *Hugh*, Prior of *Coventry*, was a certain Description of the Corporation. But it might (a) abate the Writ, because he might purchase another Writ. *Vide F. N. B.* in the Writ *de Corodio habendo*, the Name of the Saint omitted: And where there was a Prior of our Lady of *Southwark*, and a Prior of the *Trinity* of *London*; and the said Prior of Our Lady of *Southwark* of old Time by the Name of the *Canons* of *Southwark*, granted by their Deed to the other Prior, by the Name of *Canons* of *London*, an Annuity, &c. and it was adjudged good in 17 E. 3. 32. a. For although the precise Name of the Corporation was not pursued, and the Saint to whom the House was dedicated, omitted; yet because in Truth the Prior of each of the Houses was one of the *Canons* thereof; for that Cause (soasmuch as *satis constabat de personis*) prudent and grave Antiquity did adjudge such Grant good: It likewise appears in our Books, that the Name of the Corporation of *Templers*, was, *Magister Militia Templi de Jerusalem in Anglia & confratres sui*, 3 E. 3. 11. 5 E. 3. 36. 31 E. 1. Tryal 99. And the Name of the Corporation of the Priory of *St. John's* of *Jerusalem*, *Prior Hospitalis Sancti Johannis de Jerusalem in Anglia & confratres sui*, as appears in 44 E. 3. Then the Statute *de Templariis* is worthy of Consideration, made Anno 17 E. 2. in the Preamble of which, mention is made, *De adnullat' ordin' Militia Templi & de fratribus ejusdem ordinis*; and in the Body of the Act, *Ordo Militia Templi de fratribus ejusdem ordinis*, and it is enacted by the same Act, *Quod omnia terra tementa, &c. qua fuerunt dictorum Templariorum, assignentur & liberentur ordini fratrum Hospitalis Sancti Johannis Jerusalem, Habend' & tenend' eisdem Priori & fratribus & successoribus suis, de Domino Rege & aliis Dominis feodorum predict', per illa eadem servitia per qua fratres ordinis Militia Templi ea tenuerunt*: In which Act, altho' the Makers of the Act do not follow the precise Words of the Corporation, either of the *Templers* or *Hospitalers*; yet because they were so certainly described, *Quod constat de personis*, the Words of the said Act were sufficient to transfer the Possessions, *Magistri Militia Templi Jerusalem in Anglia & confratrum suorum, Priori Hospitalis Sancti Johannis Jerusalem in Anglia & confratribus suis*, and so has it been always allowed in our Books, 1 Edm. 3. 9. 3 E. 3. 11. 5 E. 3. 36. 35 H. 6. 46. *Vide* 2 (4) E. 4. 24. b. *John* Abbot of *D.* made a Bond by the Name of *J. C. Clericus de D.* and held good; and yet the Abbot is a dead Person, as to all Respects, but to such Acts which he

Br. Abbey &
Prior 31.
Fitz. Obligation
6.

he doth as Abbot: And a Man may have a Writ of Right of Advowson, *De Advocatione Ecclesie de D*; and then if there be two Churches in one Town, the Tenant shall have the View; but if there be two Churches in one Town dedicated to two several Saints; for Example to St. Mary and St. Peter, then if a Writ be brought *De Advocatione Ecclesie Sancte Mariæ de D*. the Tenant shall not have the View; so by that it appears, That in a Writ of Right of Advowson, wherein the Advowson of the Church shall be recovered, the Demandant may in his Writ, add or omit the Name of the Saint at his Pleasure. 48 E. 3. 31. a. 21 E. 3. 57. 36 H. 6. 16. a. Registr. 33. a. & F. N. B. 49. o. Si *Præbendarius Præbendæ de N. in Ecclesia Sancti Petri Eborum*, without saying *in Ecclesia Cathedrali*, which is in Truth its right Name; so *ibid in Ecclesia Sancti Pauli London'*, and *in Ecclesia beate Mariæ Lincoln'*, &c. in 18 E. 3. fol. 10. b. & 11. a. the Case was, That in the Town of *Toft-Newton* there were two Churches, one of St. Michael, the other of St. Peter and St. Paul, and the Truth was, That *Toft-Newton* was one Town, and in that was a Church known by the Name of the Church of *Toft-Newton*; and in *Newton*, which was an Hamlet of *Toft-Newton*, was another Church known by the Name of *Newton tantum*, and there a *Quare impedit* was brought *ad Ecclesiam de Toft-Newton*, without more, & bene, although the Saint was omitted; because there was a sufficient Difference by which the Church, whereof the Writ is brought, may be known, and therefore *Wilby* Chief Justice, who gave the Rule, said, the Church is certain enough; wherefore Answer, and there Issue was taken not of the Name of the Town, but of the Surname of the Church, *sc.* That there were two Churches in *Toft-Newton*, *absque hoc* that either of the Churches bears the Name of the Church of *Newton*: and in 17 E. 3. 48. a. b. one was named *Burgensis de novo Castro super Tinam*, and Exception was taken, That *Burgess* ought to be of a Town certain, and not of a Castle, *Sed non allocatur*; for the antient Judges of the Law did reject such Niceties concerning Appellations or Names. And as to the Addition of this Word (*Sociorum*) in the Presentation, that doth not impeach the Presentation, for notwithstanding this Addition, the College is certainly enough described, that it may be distinguished from every other; and for any Thing that appears in the Case, *Socii* & *Scholares* may be *Synonima*, and in the said Charter, the King names *Scholares* by the Name of *Socii*, *Vide* 20 E. 4. 20. according to this Resolution.

And for the Addition of *Universtate*, it was clearly overruled, as before it was in the said Case between *Fisher* and *Boys*, and for the Reasons and Causes there reported.

Hughs Abr. 2046.

Fitz. View. 22.

62.

Pr. View 70.

33 E. 3.

View 183.

19 E. 3.

View 105.

Lane 15, 34.

1 Anderl. 196.

10 Co. 125. a.

Moor 266.

Dr. AYRAY's Case. PART. XI.

Cro. El. 167.
2 Roll. 42.
Hob. 124.

So for the Addition of these Words (*vel Collegii*) after this Word (*Aula*) and for putting this Word (*Collegii*) in Lieu of (*Aula*) none of these have any Colour of material Variance, but are *eadem re & sensu*. And King E. 3. in his Charter called the said Hall a College, as in Truth it is. And I have made a briefer Report of this Case, because I have published the Case of the Major, &c. of *Lynne*, in the last Part of my *Commentaries*, which stands in Effect upon the same Argument, and divers Judgments cited there tend to the same End.

HENRY.

HENRY HARPUR'S Case.

Trin. 12 Jac. I. in B. R.

IN an *Ejectione firma* between *John Wirral* Plaintiff, and *Henry Harpur*, Esquire, and *Barbara* his Wife, Defendants, which began *Trin. 11 Jac. Regis, Rot. 553.* in the King's Bench. The Plaintiff declared, That whereas *Thomas Seylard*, Esquire, and *Elizabeth* his Wife, 24 *Maii*, Anno Regni Domini Jacobi nunc 11. apud *Bredon* in Com' *Leicestr'* by their Indenture, &c. shewed forth, demised to the said *John*, an House, thirty Acres of Land, ten Acres of Meadow, and twelve Acres of Pasture, with their Appurtenances in *Workington* in *Parochia* de *Bredon* in Com' *præd'*, ac etiam unam capellam cum pertin' in *Workington* in *Parochia* de *Bredon* *prædict'*, necnon omnes & omnimodas decimas quas-cunque annuatim provenient' in *Workington* & *Willesdon* *prædict'* in *Parochia* de *Bredon* *prædict'* in Com' *prædict'* per nomina totius illius Mesuagii, cum omnibus domibus, &c. clausis, terris, pratis, pastur', communiis, & hereditamentis ill' pertinent' in campis seu hamlet' de *Workington* in *Parochia* de *Bredon* in Com' *prædict'*, ac etiam per nomina unius Capella in *Workington* *præd'*, ac etiam per nomina omnium & omnimodarum decimarum quarumcunque annuatim crescen' in hamlet' de *Workington* & *Willesdon* in *prædict'* *Parochia* de *Bredon*, cum pertin', habend' & tenend' tenementa & decimas *prædict'* cum pertin', from the Feast of the Annunciation of our Lady, then last past, for seven Years; by Force whereof *Idem Johannes Wirral* in tenementa & decimas *prædicta*, 24 die *Maii*, Anno 11. *supradict'o*, intravit, & fuit inde possess. quousque *præd' Hen. & Barbara* postea, sc. eodem 24 die *Maii*, An. 11. *supradict'o*

Moör 837.
Raymond 248.
1 Roll. Rep. 65.

Sir HENRY HARPUR's Case. PART XI.

*apud Bredon prædicti, vi & armis in tenementa & decimas prædictæ cum pertinentiæ super possessionem ipsius Johannis inde intraverunt, and did eject him, &c. to his Damages of forty Pound, &c. And upon Not guilty pleaded, the Jury gave a Special Verdict to this Effect. Henry Beaumont Knight, was seised in Fee of the Manor of Gracedieu in the County of Leicester, and held it of the King by Knights-service in Capite, and was also seised in Fee of the Manor of Normanton in the County of Derby, and held that of the King by Knights-service in Capite, and the first Day of October, Anno Regni Regis Jacobi nunc Anglⁱ 2. by Indenture, bearing Date the same Day and Year, in Consideration of a Marriage to be solemnized between him and Barbara Faunt; and for the Advancement and Preferment in living of such Issue which he and the said Barbara should have, did Covenant and Grant with Andrew Noel and Henry Hastings, Knights, and their Heirs, That the said Henry Beaumont and his Heirs, would stand seised of the said Manors, to the Uses following, viz. Of the said Manor of Gracedieu to the Use of the said Henry Beaumont, and the Heirs Males of the Body of the said Henry, upon the Body of the said Barbara to be begotten; and afterwards to the Use of John Beaumont, his second Brother and the Heirs Males of his Body; and afterwards to the Use of Francis Beaumont his younger Brother, and the Heirs Males of his Body; and afterwards to the Use of the right Heirs of the said Sir Henry. And of the Manor of Normanton to the Use of the said Henry and Barbara for the Jointure of the said Barbara, and to the Heirs of the Body of the said Henry; and afterwards to the Use of the said John Beaumont, and to the Heirs Males of his Body, and afterwards to the Use of the said Francis Beaumont, and to the Heirs Males of his Body, and afterwards to the Use of the right Heirs of the said Sir Henry: And afterwards the said Henry married the said Barbara. And the Jury further found, That one Edward Sharpe was seised in Fee of the Tenements and Tithes specified in the Declaration, in which, &c. and held them of our Lord the King, as of his Manor of East-Greenwich in Free-Socage by Fealty only; and 7 Martii, Anno 3. Domini Regis nunc, by his Indenture, bearing Date the same Day and Year, and enrolled according to the Statute, in Consideration of 1220*l.* bargained and sold to the said Sir Henry Beaumont and his Heirs, the said Tenements and Tithes, in which, &c. by Force whercof he enter'd, and was seised thereof in his Demesne as of Fee. And afterwards the said Sir Henry, 7 Julii, Anno 3. made his last Will in Writing, and thereby devised that his Executors should sell the said Tenements and Tithes, in which, &c. and made his Sister Eliz. John Towne, and*

Edward

Edward Sharp, his Executors. And the said Sir *Henry* so being seised of the said Manors, Tenements, and Tithes, as is aforesaid, 7 *Julii*, Anno 3. *supradicto*, died thereof seised, having Issue on the Body of the said *Barbara*, one *Barbara* his Daughter and Heir. And that the said Manor of *Gracedieu*, at the Time of the making of the said Indenture, and at the Time of the Death of the said Sir *Henry*, was of the yearly Value of 30*l.* and that the Manor of *Normanton* was then of the yearly Value of eighteen Pound; and that the Tenements and Tithes, in which, &c. were then of the yearly Value of three Pounds: And that the said Executors for Money, sold the Tenements and Tithes, in which, &c. to *Thomas Wroth*, Esquire, and *William Towse* Esquire, and their Heirs, who conveyed them to the said *Elizabeth*, one of the said Executors, and her Heirs, who married the said *Thomas Seylyard*, who made the Lease specified in the Declaration to the Plaintiff, *Prout*, &c. by Force whereof the said *John Wirral* entred into the said Tenements and Tithes, in which, &c. and was possessed thereof, till the Defendants *Vi & armis in Tenementa & decimas* did enter and eject the Plaintiff, and so ejected and put out of his Possession *extratenuer' & adhuc extratenuer'*: And if upon the whole Matter the Defendants were guilty, or not, the Jury prayed the Advice of the Court, &c. And after this Case had been argued in several Terms at the Bar, and this Term by the Justices at the Bench, It received the same Resolution which was in *Lovie's Case*, which I have published in the tenth Part of my *Reports*, fol. 80 & 81. and therefore I will now make a more Summary Report of this Case.

In this Case it was first unanimously resolved, That if the King's Tenant by Knights-service *in Capite*, conveys his Land held *in Capite*, To one of his Sons in Fee, or to the Use of his Wife in Fee, &c. and afterwards purchases Land held in Socage, That in this Case he may by his Will in Writing, devise all the Land in Socage for the Reasons and Causes in the said *Lovie's Case*. 10 Co. 82. b.
Co. Lit. 111. b.

Secondly, That the Reversion of the Fee which the said Sir *Henry* had expectant upon the Estates in Tail, shall hinder the Devise of the other Land for a third Part of the other Land held in Socage; and although upon the Creation of the Estate of the Manor of *Normanton*, to him and his Wife, and the Heirs of his Body; the King is to have a third Part in Ward during the Life of the Wife, by the Statute, for the same Estate, upon which the Reversion depends, and altho' the Reversion is Seck without Rent, or any Profit. 10 Co. 80. b.
Co. Lit. 111. b.
Moor 837.

Thirdly,

10 Co. 80. b.

Sir HENRY HARPUR's Case. PART XI.

20 Co. 84. a.
Co. Lit. 816. b.

Thirdly, That altho' the Reversion of the Fee continued in him, yet he might devise two Parts of the Land newly purchased; and if he had granted over the Reversion in Fee, he might have devised all the Land held in Socage purchased after.

Fourthly, That altho' he had executed his Power for more than two Parts, to the Use of his Wife; yet for the Land in Socage which he purchased after (if the Reversion had not continued in him) he might have devised the whole, notwithstanding the Execution of his Power before, and forasmuch as the Reversion of the Fee continued, in the Case at Bar, the Devise was good for two Parts; and the same Reasons were given for all these Resolutions in this Case at Bar, which are reported in *Lovie's Case*.

Fifthly, It was objected, That nothing passed to *John* and *Francis*, Brothers of the said *Sir Henry*, for two Reasons: 1. Because they were not within the Considerations expressed, *viz.* For the Advancement of the said *Barbara*; and of the Issues which he shall beget on the Body of the said *Barbara*; so that the Brothers are out of the Consideration; for (a) *expressum facit cessare tacitum*; and therefore (b) if I covenant by Deed indented, that in Consideration of 100*l.* paid me by my Son, I will stand seised to the Use of him and his Heirs; if the Deed be not enrolled according to the Statute, nothing passes, because the express valuable Consideration takes away the tacite and implied Consideration of Blood; and no other Consideration can be averred, than is contained in the Deed, because the Substance of the Agreement is by Assent of the Parties referred to the Deed. 2. It is not found *in facto*, that the said *John* and *Francis* were his Brothers, and so by the Covenant nothing vests in them in Remainder; and then when *Sir Henry* died without Issue Male, the Manor of *Gracedieu* descended to *Barbara* in Fee-simple; so that a full third Part, and more descended to her; wherefore the Devise shall be good for all the said Land and Tithes, in which, &c. held in Socage; and so Judgment shall be given for the Plaintiff for the whole. It was resolved, That the Uses were well raised to the Brothers in Remainder, and a Judgment was cited in this Court in Debt between *Elizabeth* (c) *Bedel* Plaintiff, and *Michael Bedel* Defendant, which began *Hill. 1 Jac. Rot. 375.* and is in the 7th Part of my *Reports*, fol. 39. where on the Record the Case was such: *Robert Bedel* seised in Fee of a House, &c. in *Juer* and *Langley*, in the County of *Buckingham*, had Issue by *Eliz.* his Wife, three Sons, whereof *James* was the second, and the said *Michael* the Defendant the third; by Deed indented tripartite between the said *Robert* and his Wife of the 1st Part, the said *James* his Son of the 2d Part, and the said *Mich.* his Son on the 3d Part, in Consideration of

(a) Co. Lit. 83. b.
Godb. 449.
Litch. 265.
Raymond 46.
5 Co. 97. a.
Carter 146.
7 Co. 39. b.
(b) Carter 138, 146.
Cr. Eliz. 394.
1 Ventris 138.
Palm. 214, 215.
8 Co. 94. a.

(c) Jenk. Cent. 289.
Cro. Jac. 168.
2 Roll. 782, 785.
Raymond 50, 248.
8 Co. 94. a.
Raymond 46.
Cr. Jac. 624, 625.
2 Litch. 172.
1 Roll. Rep. 68.
1 Jones 419.
2 Jones 101.
2 Ventris 318.
March 50, 51.

natural

natural Affection, and paternal Love which he had to the said *James* and *Michael*, and for their better Preferment and Advancement, the said *Rob.* covenanted with the said *J.* and *Michael*, That he and his Heirs would stand seised of the said House, &c. to the Use of himself for Life, and afterwards to the Use of the said *Elizabeth* his Wife for her Life; and afterwards one Moiety to the Use of the said *J.* in Tail, and of the other Moiety to the Use of the said *Mich.* in Tail; and afterwards *Robert* died; and all this Matter was found by special Verdict; and the sole Question of that Case was, If any Use was raised to *Elizabeth* his Wife? And the two Objections were moved against the Wife, which have been made against the Brothers in the Case at Bar.

And it was resolved, as to the first, That the Consideration that she was his Wife, was apparent in the Deed, and no other Consideration was expressed to raise an Use to her, but that she was his Wife, and therefore if the Case which has been put, should be admitted, where (a) the Father covenants by Deed indented with his Son, in Consideration of 100 *l.* that he will stand seised to the Use of him and his Heirs; that in that Case the Deed ought to be enrolled according to the Statute, or nothing shall pass: Yet it is not to be likened to the Case at Bar, because there is a valuable Consideration expressed in the Deed to be given by the Son; but so it is not in the Case at Bar, and a (b) Consideration which stands with the Deed, may be averr'd, notwithstanding it is not contained in the Deed, as it is adjudged in 3 & 4 *Ph. & Ma. Dyer* 146. (c) *Viller's Case*, and so resolved in the first Part of my *Reports*, fol. 176. a. in *Mildmay's Case*. *Br. N. C.* 182.

As to the second, it was resolved, That (d) it was not necessary to aver that she was his Wife, for that is apparent in the Deed, Et (e) *manifesta probatione non indigent. Vide (f)* 13 *H.* 4. 17. a. in *Affise of Mortdauncester*. 46 *Ed.* 3. 33 *H.* 6. 13. 3 *H.* 6. 32. *Plow. Com.* in *Talbois's Case*, &c. That Things apparent need not be averr'd, and if in Truth she was not his Wife, (g) that shall come in of the other Part; and for these Reasons it was adjudged, That the Use was well raised to the said Wife: On which Judgment, a Writ of Error was brought, and in the *Exchequer Chamber*, *Mich. 5 Jac. Regis*, after divers Arguments heard at the Bar, the Judgment was affirmed for the Reasons and Causes aforesaid, *una voce* by all the Justices of the *Common Pleas*, and Barons of the *Exchequer*.

Sixthly, It was resolved, That for the Manor of *Gracedieu*, the Estate-tail vanished by the Death of Sir *H.* without Issue-male; and therefore such Estate-tail which so vanished by his Death, is not any Cause to restrain the Devise for any Part, as oftentimes it has been resolved; but the Reversion in Fee in this Case, is the Cause to restrain the Devise for the 3d Part:

(a) 7 Co. 39. b.
1 Ventris 138.
Cro. El. 394.
Antea 24. b.
Carter 138, 146.
Palm. 214. 215.
8 Co. 94. a.
Raym. 46. Jenk.
Cent. 289.
Winch. 59. 60.

(b) 7 Co. 39. 2.
2 Inst. 672. 4 Co.
3. a. 9 Co. 26. a. b.
Owen 33. Hob.
124. 2 Rol. 790.
5 Co. 26. b.
2 Co. 76. a.

Palm. 217. 506.
2 Anderf. 46, 47.
(c) 2 Anderf. 47.
N. Benl. 39.
2 Rol. 781.

2 Inst. 672. 4 Co.
3. b. Owen 33.
Raymond 47.
Benl. in Kelw.
208. 7 Co. 39. 2.

Carter 140.
Palm. 214, 215.
Raymond 50.
Moor 93, 505.
Palm. 506, 507.

2 Rol. Rep. 68.
(d) Hob. 124.
1 Anderf. 79.
Moor 504.
7 Co. 39. a. b.

Cro. Car. 530.
2 Jones 105.
Cro. Jac. 168.
1 Rol. Rep. 69.

(e) 7 Co. 39. a. b.
(f) Br. Affise
60. Br. Mort-
dauncester 8.
7 Co. 39. b.
(g) Hob. 124.
228.

HENRY HARPUR's Case. PART XI.

So that it was resolved upon the whole Matter, That the Pl. should have Judgment for two Parts against the Defendants. But the Chief Justice well observing the Declaration, and the Visne, in the Conclusion of his Argument, moved these Exceptions: 1. That the *Ejectione firma* is brought *De (a) omnibus & omnimodis decimis in Workington, &c.* without saying, *garbarum feni, lana agnellorum*, or any Certainty of the Nature or Quality of the Tithes, whereof a certain Judgment may be given, or Execution by *Habere facias possessionem* had, and that appears in the Assise brought *De quadam portione Decimarum, &c.* in 7 E. 6. Dyer 84. for altho' the Measure or certain Number of them shall not be expressed, for the Fruitfulness or Barrenness may encrease or diminish them; yet the several Kinds ought to be expressed. Also it may be, That all the Tithing consists *in modo decimandi*, by Payment of a yearly Sum in Satisfaction of Tithes, whereof no *Ejectione firma* lies. And the Statute of 32 H. 8. c. 7. which gives the Action for Tithes, gives it *as they should or might do for Lands, &c.* but in Action for Lands, the Plaintiff ought to shew the Quality or Nature of them, as Land, Meadow, Pasture, Wood, &c. *Pasche 5 Jac. Regis*, The Countess of Oxford brought a Writ of Dower to be endowed of Predial (b) Tithes, and counted of the Certainty of the Kinds, as of Garbs, &c. within such a Town; and in the same Case it was held, That the most equal Assignment is of the third Sheaf; for if the Garbs of the third Part of the Arable Land be assigned, it is in the Election of the Terre-Tenant, whether he will sow it or not. So *Mich. 3 & 4 Eliz.* reported by *Benlows* Serjeant of Law, in Dower of a (c) Mill in *Wadesmil* in the County of *Hertford*, the Assignment was of the third Part *Molendini, viz. De integro Molendino per quemlibet tertium mensum, &c.*

(a) 1 Rol. Rep. 68. Moor 837. Hardr. 59. Palm. 101. 2 Rol. Rep. 166. Doctrin. placit. 85 Styl. 77, 101, 238. Cro. Car. 301. 1 Jones 321, 322. 11 Co. 55. a. 2 Inst. 641. Dyer 116. pl. 17. 16 H. 7. 7. a.

(b) Styles 77. 1 Rol. Rep. 68. Co. Lit. 32. a.

(c) N. Ben. 120. Co. Lit. 32. a.

(d) Doctrin. placit. 291. Styles 101.

(e) Moor 837. 1 Rol. Rep. 68. Co. Lit. 125. b. Noy 17. Cr. Car. 151. 6 H. 7. 3. b. 11 H. 7. 23. a. 6 Co. 14. b. Cro. Jac. 120. 263, 274. 676. Cr. Eliz. 117, 837.

2. It is not formal to bring an *Ejectione firma de una (d) capella*, but it ought to be by the Name of an House.

3. The *Venire facias* was *de vicineto Parochia de Bredon*, which was ill awarded: For first, the Lease and the Ejectionment also are alledged to be made at *Bredon*, which shall be intended to be a (e) Town, and the House and Lands are alledged to be in *Workington* (which also shall be taken for a Town) in the Parish of *Bredon*; so that now it appears to the Court, That there is Town called *Bredon*, a Parish called *Bredon*, and *Workington* a Town in the Parish of *Bredon*, and the Tithes are alledged to be in *Workington* and *Willesdon* (which also shall be intended a Town) in *Parochia de Bredon*: So that the Visne ought not to be out of the Parish of *Bredon*, but out of *Bredon, Workington, and Willesdon*, for the Visne shall be always awarded out of the Place, which contains the most Certainty, and

and altho' *Workington* and *Willefdon* are called Hamlets in the *pernomens*, yet the Court ought to adjudge upon that which is alledged by the Plaintiff in his Declaration. And the Chief Justice shewed the Reason that moved him to take these Exceptions, which was, That if they should give Judgment, it might be reversed by a Writ of Error for these Causes, which might be a Blemish in Time to come to their Resolution concerning the Matter in Law: Wherefore, by Consent of the whole Court, for the Cause aforesaid, no Judgment was entred. But it was said at the Bar, Moer 837.
x Roll. Rep. 683 That the Court of Wards, where a Bill depended for this Matter (and by Order of which Court, the Matter in Law was to be adjudged by the Common Law) would take Order for the Possession accordingly.

E 2

HENRY

HENRY PIGOT'S Case.

Trin. 12 Jac. I.

2 Bulstr. 246.
Moor 835.
1 Roll. Rep. 39.

Benedict Winchcombe, Esq; brought an Action of Debt against Henry Pigot, which was entered Trin. 11 Jac. Regis, Rot. 566. in Banco Regis, on a Bond made to the Plaintiff in 60 l. 2 Martii, Anno 8 Jac. Regis. The Defendant without demanding Oyer of the Bond or Condition, pleaded *Non est factum*. And the Jury gave a Special Verdict to this Effect, That the Bond was made to the Plaintiff in the same Manner as he had declared, and found the Bond in these Words, *Noverint universi per presentes nos Georgium Watkins Generos. Henricum Pigot de Civitate Oxon' Draper, & Johannem Fyme de eadem Civitate, Cordwayner, teneri & firmiter obligari Benedicto Winchcombe armig' in 60 libris, &c.* And in Truth the Plaintiff was Sheriff of the County of Oxford, and the Condition of the Bond was, That the said George Watkins should appear in the King's Bench Mense Pascha to answer to George Cottle in a Plea of Trespass; and that the said Bond was delivered by the said Henry Pigot as his Deed to the Use of the Plaintiff; and that after the Delivery of the said Deed *hac verba sequentia, videlicet (vicecom' Comitatus Oxon') inseri & interlineat' fuerunt in eodem Scripto post predicta verba (Benedicto Winchcombe Armig') & ante predicta verba (in sexaginta libris) superius in Obligatione predicta mentionat', sine noticia, Anglice the Privity, seu*

1 Roll. Rep. 39.
2 Bulstr. 246.
Moor 835.

seu mandat' predict' Benedicti, & utrum super tota materia, &c. videbitur Justic' & Cur' hic qd' Scriptum prad' sit factum prad' Henrici necne iidem Jur' penitus ignorant, & petunt advisamentum Cur' hic, &c.

And in this Case these Points were resolv'd: 1. (a) When a lawful Deed is rased, whereby it becomes void, the Obligor may plead, *Non est Factum*, and give the Matter in Evidence, because at the Time of the Plea pleaded, it is not his Deed.

Secondly, It was resolved, That (b) when any Deed is altered in a Point material, by the Plaintiff himself, or by any Stranger, without the Privity of the Obligee, be it by Interlineation, Addition, Rasing, or by drawing of a Pen thro' a Line, or thro' the midst of any material Word, that the Deed thereby becomes void: (c) As if a Bond is to be made to the Sheriff for Appearance, &c. and in the Bond the Sheriff's Name is omitted, and after the Delivery thereof, his Name is interlin'd, either by the Obligee or a Stranger, without his Privity, the Deed is void: So if one make a Bond of 10 *l.* and after the Sealing of it another 10 *l.* is added, which makes it 20 *l.* the Deed is void: So if a Bond is rased, by which the first Word can't be seen, or if it is drawn with a Pen and Ink thro' the Word, altho' the first Word is legible, yet the Deed is void, and shall never make an Issue, whether it was in any of these Cases altered by the Obligee himself, or by a Stranger without his Privity. (d) So if the Obligee himself alters the Deed by any of the said Ways, altho' it is in Words not material, yet the Deed is void: But (e) if a Stranger, without his Privity, alters the Deed by any of the said Ways in any Point not material, it shall not avoid the Deed. *Vide (f) Dyer, 9 Eliz. fol. 261. b.* And therefore in the principal Case, the Addition made by a Stranger, without the Privity of the Plaintiff, being in a Point (g) not material for any Thing that appears to the Court; for this Cause, Judgment was given for the Plaintiff; and so you will the better understand the Book in 14 *H. 8. fol. 25. b.* And in this Case it was moved at the Bar, when a Deed shall be good in Part, and void in Part: As to that, I conceive, there is a Difference when a Deed is void *ab initio*, and when it becomes void by Misfeasance *ex post facto*. Also there is a Difference when the Deed, which is void in Part *ab initio*, doth consist upon the Entierty, and when upon divers several Clauses; and in these also there is a Difference, when the several Clauses are absolute and distinct, and when they are several, and yet the one has Dependency upon the other.

(a) 5 Co. 119. b. Sav. 71. contra. Dall. 33. pl. 21. 105. pl. 50. Doct. placit. 260. Cro. El. 120. Owrn 8. 2 Bulstr. 247. Dyer 112. pl. 50. Cro. El. 627. 800. (b) 2 Roll. 29. 1 Roll. Rep. 40. 2 Bulstr. 247. Cro. El. 620. 627. Dyer 27. b. pl. 175. 44 E. 3. 42. b. 1 Ventris 185. Cr. Car. 399. Moor 10. 28. 35. 36. 230. 301. 547. 619. 835. (c) 2 Roll. 29. b.

(d) 2 Roll. 29. Cent. Cent. 234. (e) 2 Roll. 29. Godb. 166. Moor 230. 835. 1 Roll. Rep. 39. 40. 2 Bulstr. 247. Bridg. 102. 103. 1 Leon. 199. (f) 1 Roll. Rep. 40. 2 Bulstr. 247. Cr. El. 627. Cr. Car. 399. Jenk. Cent. 234. (g) 1 Roll. Rep. 40. 41. Br. non est factum, 11.

HENRY PIGOT's Case. PART XI.

Br. non est factum, 11.

2 Rol. 28.

2 Co. 3. b. & 9. b.

Br. non est factum, 8.

2 Co. 9. a. b.

30 E. 3. 31. b.
32. a. 2 Roll. 28.

2 Roll. 28. 30 E.
3. 31. b. 32. a.

As to the first, it is unanimously agreed in 14 H. 8. 25, 26, &c. That if some of the Covenants of an Indenture, or of the Conditions endorsed upon a Bond, are against Law, and some good and lawful; that in this Case, the Covenants or Conditions which are against Law are void *ab initio*, and the others stand good. So if three distinct Bonds are wrote upon one Piece of Parchment, and one of them only is read to the Obligor, and he being a Man not letter'd, seals and delivers this Deed, it is good for that which was read, and *ab initio* void for the others: And this Case is agreed by *Brudnel* and *Pollard*, in 14 H. 8. 26. a. In 9 H. 5. fol. 15. a. One brought a Writ of Debt of 20*l.* against another, and declared upon a Bond of the same Sum; the Defendant pleaded, That he was a Layman, and could not read, and that he acknowledged to be bound to the Plaintiff by the same Deed in 20*s.* which he has paid, and shewed an Acquittance thereof; and as to the Remnant of the Sum in the said Bond, it was not his Deed; and it was held a good Plea: Which Case being of one entire Sum proves without Question, That if there are two absolute and distinct Clauses in a Deed, and the one is read to the Party not lettered, and the other not, that the Deed is good for the Clause which was read, and *ab initio* void for the Residue: And altho' the Deed consisting upon an entire Sum was void for the whole, as it is agreed in 14 H. 8. & 30 E. 3. 31. b. yet it was wisely done by the Defendant's Counsel, in 9 H. 5. 15. a. to plead the Truth of his Client's Case, and not to leave the Matter upon any Question in Law, when the Truth of the Matter would take away all Questions. In 30 E. 3. *casu ultimo*, in Assise before *Stouffe* and others in the Country, the Defendant pleaded a Feoffment from the Plaintiff to him by Deed of the Land in Bar, to have and to hold to him and his Heirs, comprehending a Letter of Attorney to deliver Seisin, &c. And in Truth, the Plaintiff was a Layman not lettered, and that the Deed with the Warrant of Attorney was read to him, according to the Form of an Estate-Tail, and that upon the same Intent, he sealed and delivered the Deed, with the Letter of Attorney in it, to deliver Seisin: In that Case, altho' the Clause of the Feoffment in Fee, and the Warrant of Attorney, are two several Clauses, yet forasmuch as the Warrant of Attorney depended upon the Feoffment, and had Relation to an Estate in Fee, altho' that was well and truly read, the whole was adjudged to be void. And there *Thorpe* Justice said, That every Deed ought to have Writing, Sealing and Delivery, and when any Thing shall pass from them who had not Understanding but by hearing only, it ought to be read also: And it is true,

true, that he who is not lettered, is reputed in Law as he who can't see, but hear only, and all his Understanding is by his hearing. And so a Man who is letter'd and can't see, is, as to this Purpose, taken in Law as a Man not lettered: And therefore if a Man is lettered, and is blind, if the Deed is read to him in other Manner, he shall avoid the Deed, because all his Understanding in such Case is by his hearing, as it was resolved in the Case of *John Shuter*, of the County of *Wilts*, in the Star-Chamber, *Mich. 9 Jac. Regis*, who was a Man of 115 Years at the Time of his Death. In 47 E. 3. 3. a. *John Pinschon* brought an Action of Debt against *Thomas Gerves* and others, Executors of *John Northgate*, upon a Bond made by the Testator of 100 l. the Defendants pleaded a Release from the Plaintiff of all Manner of Actions; and also the Plaintiff, by that Deed, had received 20 l. of the Testator, &c. and the Deed was read, which expressed, that he had received 20 l. in *solutione de 100 l. & etiam remis. omnimodas actiones*, &c. To which the Plaintiff said, That the Testator was indebted to him in 20 l. for other Contracts; and it was agreed betwixt them, that the Plaintiff should release that 20 l. and because the Plaintiff was a Layman, and knew not what was written in the Deed, and what not, by Agreement between them, the Deed was delivered to the said *Thomas Gerves*, now one of the Executors to keep, upon such Condition, That if the Deed mentioned only the 20 l. in which he was bound to him by Reason of the Contracts; that then the Deed should be delivered to *John Northgate*; and if not, that it should be delivered to the Plaintiff: And there *Finchden* Chief Justice said, If what you say is true, you may safely deny the Deed; for as to Parcel which was made according to the Agreement, the Deed is good; and as to the other Parcel, that a Thing is written, of which you know nothing: So that as to Parcel you may acknowledge a Deed of Parcel, and as to Parcel which was not read to him, deny the Deed. And the same is in a Manner affirmed by *Persay*, but he said, it wou'd be absur'd to Lay-people to plead *Non est Factum* as to Parcel: But afterwards it seemed, That the said Bailment to *Thomas Gerves*, was not any Delivery of the Deed, unless the Conditions were performed: Wherefore the Defendants shewed, That the Conditions were performed, and that the Deed, by the Plaintiff's Assent, was delivered to the Testator; upon which Issue was taken, *viz.* That the Deed was not delivered to him, in his Life-time, with the Plaintiff's Assent, which Case is cited in 14 H. 8. 26. a. to be adjudged, but is there ill reported by the Reporter.

Hob. 226.

2 Roll. 28. 18 E.
4. 28. a. 12 Co.
89. 15 E. 4. 18. b.
14 H. 8. 26. a.
9 H. 6. 59. a. b.
Br. Estoppel 6.
9 H. 6. 5. b.
Fitz. Estoppel
23. 2 Roll. 28.
44 E. 3. 23. a.
44 Ass. 30. 14 H.
8. 26. a. 1 H. 7.
15. b. Plowd.
66. b. Br. Non
est Factum 10.
2 Co. 9. b.

HENRY PIGOT's Case. PART XI.

(a) 2 Roll. 30. But (a) if a Deed contains divers distinct and absolute Covenants, if any of the Covenants are altered by Addition, Interlineation or * Rasure, this Misfeazance *Ex post facto*, avoids the whole Deed, as it is held in 14 H. 8. 25, 26. For although they are several Covenants, yet it is but one Deed. (b) 3 H. 7. fol. 5. a. If two are bound in a Bond, and afterwards the Seal of one of them is broken off, this Misfeazance *Ex post facto*, avoids the whole Deed against both. (c) 1 Roll. Rep. 40. Doctrin. placit. 259, 262. 3 Bulstr. 248. Mar. 152. 2 Show. 28. 2 Lev. 220.

[Note, the Difference where the Bond is *jointly*, and where *severally*.]

ALEX-

ALEXANDER POWLTER'S Case.

Trin. 12 Jac. I.

ONE *Alexander Powlter*, of *New-Market* in the County of *Cambridge*, of extreme Malice and Ill-will, *Felleo animo* did burn an House in the said Town, upon which the greatest Part of the said Town was burnt and consumed: For which Offence, at the Assises of *Cambridge* in Autumn last past, he was indicted and convicted by Verdict, and prayed his Clergy; and it he should have his Clergy, or not, was the Question? Upon which the Justices of Assise before whom he was arraign'd, wou'd take Advice, and now this Term, all the Judges of *England* met together for the Resolution of this Point; and upon the Consideration of divers intricate, ill-compiled and composed Statutes, they were in Doubt whether he should have his Clergy, or not: But it was agreed by them all, that it was (a) Felony by the Common Law, as appears by *Britton*, fol. 16. & *Bracton*, fol. 146. b. & 11 H. 7. fol. 1. b. and it was accounted in Law an heinous and exorbitant Felony; for by the Statute of (b) *Westminster* 1. cap. 15. it is declared, That such as are taken for House-burning Feloniously done, or for counterfeiting the King's Seal, &c. or for Treason touching the King himself, shall in no ways be replevifable, By which it appears, first, That it was Felony at the Common Law; and secondly, That it was so heinous, that he was not bailable no more than for High-Treason.

(a) Hales's Pl. Cor. 85. 3 H. 7. 10. 2. 2 Inst. 188. Cro. Car. 376, 377. Br. Coron. 225. 1 Jones 351. Stamf. Pl. Cr. 36. a. 3 Inst. 66. 4 Co. 20. a. (b) 2 Inst. 185, 186, 187, &c. Stamf. Pl. Cr. 36. a. 3 Inst. 66.

But

ALEXANDER POWLTER's Case. PART XI.

But yet it was resolved, That for the great Favour and Respect which the Law doth attribute to Men of Holy Church, for this Felony at Common Law, the Offender (who (a) was not so disabled, that he could not be enabled by any Dispensation which might be made to be a Member of Holy Church, as if he was (b) blind, &c. or in Respect of Sex, *sc.* a Woman, as appears in 22 E. 3. *Corone* 461.) should have the Privilege of his Clergy by the Common Law; for the Common Law doth not deny *beneficium clericatus*, the Benefit of his Clergy, but in certain Cases: As if a Man be convicted of any Heresy, he shall not have his Clergy for any Felony, &c. the same Law of a (c) *Saracen*, *Jew*, or other *Infidel*, (d) *Gravius est enim divinam quam temporalem ledere Majestatem*; the same Law (e) in Case of High-Treason against the King; and of Petit-Treason also, before the Statute of 25 E. 3. 4. *Vide* (f) 19 H. 6. 47. b. for High Treason accordingly. But a Man excommunicated by Holy Church for any Ecclesiastical Cause, or outlawed by the Common Law for any Felony, for which he might have his Clergy, shall have his Clergy. Also in Case of Sacrilege a Man shall be ousted of his Clergy, as appears in (g) 20 E. 2. *Tit. Corone* 193. (h) 12 *Aff. pl.* 39. 12 E. 3. *Tit. Corone* 120. 22 E. 3. *ibid.* 357. (i) 26 *Aff.* 19. (k) 27 *Aff.* 42. at the Common Law, (l) *Insidiatores viarum, & depopulatores agrorum*, had not their Clergy, as appear by the Statute of 4 H. 4. 2. And before the Statute of (m) *Articuli Cleri, cap. 15.* he who (n) confessed the Felony, could not have the Benefit of the Clergy, because he could not make his Purgation, and altho' the Statute speaks only in Case of Abjuration, and of an Approver, yet the Judges *in favorem Ecclesie* did extend it to all other Confessions, upon the Arraignment of the Offender, 10 E. 3. *Corone* 147. 12 E. 3. *Corone* 117. (o) 27 H. 6. 7. b. (p) 34 H. 6. 49. b. (q) 7 E. 4. 29. a. (r) 8 E. 4. 26. (s) 9 E. 4. 28. a. (t) 13 E. 4. 3. b. 22 E. 4. *Corone* 44. (u) 15 H. 7. 9. a. And Clergy, not only at the Suit of the King upon Indictment, but upon Approvement, and at the Suit of the Party in Appeal, (w) 40 E. 3. 42. a. (x) 40 *Aff.* 17. (y) 11 H. 4. 93. a. And generally in all Cases, when the Life, or any Member of the Offender, as cutting off his Hand, &c. is not in Jeopardy, the Offender shall have his Clergy, as in Case of Petit Larceny, *Stanford* 124. a. So that it was resolved, That at the Common Law, for this Felony for burning of Houses, the Offender shall have the Privilege of his Clergy. (*Quere de hoc?*)

(z) Then it is to be consider'd, Whether this Privilege be taken away by any Statute: And therefore it must be known, That by the Statute of 23 Hen. 8. 1. it is enacted, *That no Person or Persons, which shall be found guilty of any Manner of Petit Treason, or for Wilful Murder of Malice prepensed,*

(a) *Stamf. Pl. Cr.* 123. b.
 (b) *Hales's Pl. Cr.* 229. 3 *Inst.* 114. *Stamf. Pl. Cr.* 123. b.
 (c) *Hales's Pl. Cr.* 229.
 (d) *Wingat Max.* fol. 8.
 (e) *Hales's Pl. Cr.* 230.
 (f) *Stamf. Pl. Cr.* 3. a. 44. 2. 124. a. *Br. Clergy* 6.
 (g) *Stamf. Pl. Cr.* 123. b.
 (h) 26 *Aff.* 27. *Br. Clergy* 12. *Cont.*
 (i) *Br. Clergy* 10.
 (j) *Br. Cler.* 11. *Br. Coroue* 99.
 (k) *Br. Clergy* 13.
 (l) *Stamf. Pl. Cr.* 124. a.
 (m) 3 *Inst.* 5. 204.
 (n) 2 *Inst.* 633, 634, 635, &c.
 (o) *Poltea* 30. a.
 (p) *Fitz. Coron.* 16. *Br. Coron.* 4.
 (q) *Fitz. Coron.* 20. *Br. Clergy* 19.
 (r) *Br. Clergy* 17. *Br. Ordina-ry* 16. *Fitz. Fine* 24.
 (s) *That Year* has but 25. *folia.*
 (t) *Fitz. Coron.* 32. *Br. Coron.* 55. *Fitz. Chart.* 23. *Br. Chart. de Pardon* 23.
 (u) *Fitz. Coron.* 38. *Br. Coron.* 157.
 (v) *Br. Coron.* 53.
 (w) *Br. Ordinary* 11. *Br. Charter de Pardon.* 21.
 (x) *Fitz. Coron.* 91. *Br. Clergy* 23.
 (y) *Br. Clergy* 14. *Br. Certificate de Evgique* 18. (y) *Fitz. Coron.* 143. *Br. Coron.* 31. *Br. Clergy* 5.
 (z) *Hales's Pl. Cr.* 232. *Stamf. Pl. Cr.* 124. b.

penſed, or for robbing of any Churches, Chapels, or other Holy Places; or for robbing of any Perſon or Perſons in their Dwelling-Houſe, or Dwelling-Place, &c. or for robbing of any Perſon or Perſons in or near the Highway; wilful burning of any Dwelling-Houſes, or Barns wherein any Corn is, or the Procurers or Abettors of the ſame, be admitted to the Benefit of his or their Clergy (ſuch as be within Holy Orders, that is to ſay, of the Orders of Sub-Deacon, or above, only excepted.) So that this Statute ouſts the Principal Offenders aforeſaid, of the Privilege of Clergy, and their Acceſſories before. And it is to be obſerv'd, That the Statute doth not ſay, *That no Perſon or Perſons that ſhall, upon his or their Arraignment, plead not guilty, and ſhall be found guilty;* for then that muſt of Neceſſity be taken for found guilty by Verdict: But the Words are, *That no Perſon ſhall be found guilty of Petit Treason, &c.* and that may extend as well to confeſſion of Record (for the Court finds him guilty upon his own Confeſſion before them) as to a finding by Verdict of Twelve Men, when the Offender denies the Fact, and pleads not guilty; and the Caſe of Confeſſion is the ſtronger Caſe; for altho' he is found guilty by Verdict, yet he may be innocent, and therefore he might have his Clergy at Common Law, and make his Purgation; but if he has confeſſed the Offence upon Record, he ſhall not have his Clergy by the Common Law, becauſe he can't make Purgation when the Court finds his Confeſſion on Record; for in Intendment of Law he can't (againſt his expreſs and voluntary Confeſſion in Court) be innocent: *Confeſſus in judicio pro judicato habetur, & quodammodo ſuo ſententia damnatur.* And therewith agrees a Proviſo in the ſaid Act of 23 H. 8. which has made him who confeſſes the Felony, in Equipage with him who is adjudged for Felony, the Words of which are, *Provided, That this Act extend not to give any Benefit to any ſuch Perſons, which after their Confeſſion, or Judgment given againſt them, of or for Felony, &c.* Vide 25 E. 3. 42. b. & *Stamf.* 122. c. Attainder by Confeſſion is the ſtrongeſt Attainder that can be, for the vehement Preſumption that the Law has of the Truth, for it would be abſurd to ſay, that he has not done ſuch a Felony, when the Party himſelf has confeſſed it, to the Deſtruction (a) of him and all his Offspring. And where the Statute of 8 H. 6. 9. enacts, *That if the Party grieved recovers by Aſſiſe, or by Action of Treſpaſs, and it is found by Verdict, or in other Manner, in due Form of Law, That the Defendant entred with Force, &c. that the Plaintiff ſhall recover treble Damages; in ſuch Caſe, if the Defendant confeſſes the Action, or makes Default, or Nihil dicit, or pleads an inſufficient Plea, and upon Demurrer Judgment is given againſt him, in all theſe Caſes it is a finding within the Statute; for there is one finding by the Jury, and another by the Judges; and when the*

All this ſeems only declaratory of the Common Law.

Antea 29. b.

Stamf. Pl. Cr. 122. b.

(a) Yet ſuch a Caſe happen'd, 8 E. 2. Fitz. Co. rone 425.

Poſtea 60. a. b.

ALEXANDER POWLTER's Case. PART XI.

the Defendant confesses it, &c. the Judges find sufficient Matter before them to give Judgment: *Vide* for this, Serjeant *Bendlow's Reports*, that it was so taken in 6 *H. 8.* and in 4 & 5 *Phil. & Mary*, in a Writ of Error; and so I heard the Lord *Dyer* vouch a Judgment accordingly, in divers of the said Cases, upon the Statute of 8 *H. 6. c. 9.* And therefore the Opinion of *Stamford*, fol. 125. That in Case of Confession, the Offenders in these great and heinous Offences, shall have their Clergy, is not taken for Law; and the constant and continual Experience of the Judges in their Circuits has been to the contrary. Also this Act of 23 *H. 8. c. 1.* extends as well to Appeals and Approvements, as to Indictments: But yet in this Statute there were found divers great Defects; for the said Offenders, and their Accessories before, might by an easy Means and Equivocation have had their Clergy, notwithstanding this Statute: For if the Offender had upon his Arraignment stood Mute, or would not directly Answer (which is all one) or would have challenged Peremptorily above the Number of Twenty, he should have the Benefit of the Clergy, notwithstanding the Purview of this Statute; for in these Cases they are not found guilty of the Felony, as the Statute speaks, but shall have Judgment *Fort* and *Dure* for their Contumacy, because they will not answer according to Law, nor put themselves upon their Country: Also if the Offender had not appeared, but had been outlawed for any of these Offences, yet notwithstanding this Statute, he should have his Clergy; for he was not found guilty of the Felony, but outlawed for his Default. Also altho' the Offender had committed Burglary, yet if it was without Robbery, he should have his Clergy notwithstanding this Statute; and so should the Accessories as well before as after: And so as to oust the Accessories before of their Clergy in all the said Offences, the Words are (*be found guilty*) so that the same Defects are also in this Clause as in the former.

Benl. in Kelw.
207. 1 Anderf.
25. pl. 57. 2 An-
derf. 150. pl. 82.
Dyer 214. pl. 45.
N. Bendl. 11.
pl. 6. Benl. in
Ash. pl. 1.
Postea 60. a.

Q.

Stamf. Pl. Cr.
124. b.

It was afterwards ordain'd by the Statute of 25 *H. 8. cap. 3.* which recites the Act of 23 *H. 8.* and further enacted, *That if in those Cases the Person arraigned stand Mute, or will not directly Answer, or challenge above the Number of Twenty, shall lose the Benefit of his Clergy in like Manner and Form as if he had directly pleaded, &c. and thereupon had been found guilty according to the Laws of the Land.* By which Words, the Intention of the Makers of the Act appears, That he who is found guilty of any of the said Offices (which extends as well to Confession as to Verdict) shall lose his Clergy, and therefore altho' the Act of 23 *H. 8. cap. 1.* is not revived, yet there are sufficient Words in the Act of 25 *H. 8. c. 3.* to oust him who is found guilty, of his Clergy: And this also appears was the Intention of the Makers of the Act of 5 & 6 *E. 6.* for if the Act of 25 *H. 8.* had not extended to him who is found guilty by Verdict or Confession, they would not have revived it to oust the

the Offender of the Privilege of his Clergy only in Case when he stood Mute, or would not Answer, or challenge above the Number of Twenty; and not where he is found guilty by Verdict, or Confession. Also the Words of the Act of 5 & 6 E. 6. are, *That the said Act of 25 H. 8. shall remain and be in full Strength and Virtue, in such Manner and Form as it did before the making of the Act of 1 E. 6.* and before the same Act, without Question; he who was found guilty by Verdict, or Confession, was ousted of his Clergy. But this Act of 25 H. 8. had not, by any Words, in any Case taken away Clergy from the Accessory before, which was a great Defect, for if he was found guilty, according to 23 H. 8. as well the Accessory before, as the Principal before, was ousted of his Clergy; but if he stood Mute, or answered not directly, or challenged above Twenty, in which Cases the Principal was ousted of his Clergy by 25 H. 8. yet in the same Cases the Accessory before was not ousted of his Clergy: And this Act of 25 H. 8. doth not extend to Appeals or Approvements, but only to Indictments; for the Words are, *If any Person be indicted, &c.* It was enacted by the same Statute, That if any be indicted for Felony for stealing any Goods in any County, and thereupon arraigned, be found guilty, or upon Malice stand Mute, or challenge peremptorily above the Number of Twenty, or will not directly answer to the Law; they shall lose the Benefit of their Clergy, in the same Manner and Form as they should, if they had been indicted, arraigned, and found guilty in the same County where the Robbery or Burglary was done or committed. *If it shall appear to the Justices, before whom any such Felons or Robbers be arraigned, by Evidence given before them, or by Examination, that the same Felonies, whereupon they be so arraigned, had been such Robberies or Burglaries, &c. Wherefore by the said Statute they had lost the Benefit of their Clergy, if they had been found guilty thereof in the same Shire.* And there is also Defect in this Branch; for it doth not extend to the Case, when the Offenders for any of the said Offences are outlawed, &c. also it doth not extend to Accessories before in such Cases: And it is to be known, That when the Offender confesses the Indictment, or stands Mute, or challenges above the Number of Twenty, altho' no Evidence can be given against him, yet the Words of the Stat. are (*or by Examination*) which Words have Relation when the Offender doth confess the Offence, or stand Mute, or challenges above the Number of 20. Then was the Stat. of 1 E. 6. 12. by which it is enacted, *That no Person that shall be in due Form of Law, attainted or convicted of Murder of Malice prepensed, Poysoning of Malice prepensed, break-*

ing

3 Inst. 114.

3 Inst. 114, 115.

3 Inst. 114.

Dalt. Inst. 523.
Hales's Pl. Cr.
229. Stamf. Pl.
Cr. 125. a.

ALEXANDER POWLTER's Case. PART XI.

ing of any House by Day or Night, any Person being then in the same House and put in Fear or Dread; or for robbing of any Person in or near the Highway; or for felonious stealing of Horses, Geldings or Mares; or for felonious taking of any Goods out of any Church, &c. or being thereof indicted or appealed, and thereupon found guilty by Verdict of Twelve Men, or shall confess the same upon his or their Arraignment, or will not answer directly, or stand Mute, shall not be admitted to have the Benefit of Clergy, or Sanctuary (a). And that in all other Cases of Felony, other than such as are beforementioned; all Persons that shall be arraigned and found Guilty, or shall confess the same, or stand Mute in Form aforesaid, or will not directly Answer, shall have the Privilege of Clergy, or Sanctuary, as they might have had before the first Year of King Henry 8. And this Act of 1 E. 6. has made divers great Alterations: For 1. By this (b) general Clause, Clergy was restored to him who offended in burning of Houses, and to his Accessories before. 2. (c) All Accessories before, in Case of Petit Treason, Murder, Burglary, or other Offences mentioned in the Act of 23 H. 8. were restored to their Clergy by the said general Clause; and therefore they are who hold, That the Accessory to a Burglary, should be ousted of his Clergy, for at that Time, as well every Accessory in Burglary, as well before as after, should have his Clergy; and that appears by the Judgment of the whole Parliament of 2 & 3 Phil. & Mar. by which it is enacted, That the Benefit of Clergy should be taken from *Benedict Smith*, &c. for the Murder of *Rufford*, if the said *Benedict* should be found Guilty, as Accessory to the Murder, &c. *Nota*, the said Murder was so barbarous and so heinous, that Clergy was taken from him, and others, being but Accessories before, after the Offence committed. *Vide* 3 & 4 Phil. & Mar. *Dyer* 133. pl. 4. where mention is made that he was ousted of his Clergy by the same Act of 2 & 3 Phil. & Ma. which proves, That if the same Act had not been made, he might have his Clergy. 3. By this general Clause, Clergy was restored to heinous Offenders in Piracy upon the Seas, which was taken away by the Statute of 28 Hen. 8. cap. 15. and in divers other Cases. 4. The Words concerning Attainder of breaking of Houses only, would be repugnant and very absurd, unless they are supplied by a reasonable Intendment, and good Construction; For as *Stamford* 126. a. well observes, If any break any House by Night, without Intent to commit Felony, it is not Burglary nor Felony (and therefore these Words, with felonious Intent, are wanting) also if he breaks an House in the Day, although he has a felonious Intent, if

(a) Fitz. 2 Inft. 89. a. Stamford. Pl. Cr. 125. a.

(b) Stamford. Pl. Cr. 125. a.
(c) Stamford. Pl. Cr. 125. b.

Hales's Pl. Cr. 233. 4.

2 & 3 P. & M. c. 17. 4 & 5 P. & M. c. 4.

Dyer 133. pl. 4. *Boul.* 3.

Postea 36. b. Stamford. Pl. Cr. 126. a.

if he carries away nothing it is not Felony; and therefore in that Case these Words, *and steal Goods Feloniously*, are * wanting, and yet *Stamford* holds, That these general and uncertain Words ought to be supplied with an (a) Intendment, viz. Where he is attainted and convicted of breaking the House in the Night (b) *Burglariter*, or of breaking the House in the Day, and stealing the Goods there within; but as to the Case of Burglary, this Act has made an Alteration more strict than 23 *H. 8.* had, for this Act takes away Clergy in Case of Burglary, without any stealing of Goods. 5. This Act of 1 *E. 6.* adds a Thing not material, and omits a Thing much material, which was comprised in the Acts of 23 & 25 *H. 8.* for it takes away Clergy from him who (c) poysons another of Malice prepense, which without Question is wilful Murder; and for that the Offender was ousted of his Clergy by the Acts of 23 & 25 *H. 8.* and it omits the heinous Offence of burning Houses, and yet takes away Clergy from him who commits Burglary, altho' he impairs the House little or nothing, and *implicite* allows Clergy to him who burns the whole House; and not only one House, but the greater Part of a Town, as it was in the Case now in Question. 6. There is a great Defect in this Act in the Clause of ousting Offenders of their Clergy; for it doth not extend where the Offender challenges above the Number (d) of Twenty, which was included in the Act of 25 *H. 8.* But that is remedied by the reviving of the Act of 25 *H. 8.* by the Statute of 5 & 6 *E. 6.* as shall herein after appear. 7. There is a great Repugnancy in the said general Clause; for notwithstanding that, If any Offender who is to be restored to the Benefit of his Clergy, whereof he was ousted by any former Statute, challenges above the Number of Twenty, or if he is outlawed for the same Felony, he shall not be restored to his Clergy; for this Clause doth not extend but where the Offender is found guilty by Verdict or Confession, or stands Mute, or will not directly Answer. 8. He who commits (e) Robbery or Burglary in one County, and carries the Goods stol'n into another County, &c. who was ousted of his Clergy by 25 *H. 8.* was restored to his Clergy by these general Words. 9. This Act extends to all Persons, viz. as well to those who are within Holy Orders, who were excepted out of 23 *H. 8.* as to other Lay People. 10. This Act of 1 *E. 6.* in other Points has supplied some of the Defects which were in the former Statutes, concerning the Offences mentioned in the same Act; for 1. The other Statutes did not extend, as appears before, where the Offender was outlawed for any of the Offences mentioned in them;

* The Original is desired.

(a) *Stamf. Pl. Cr. 125. b. 126. a.*

(b) 4 *Co. 39. b. Doctrin. placit. 84. Cr. El. 920. 5 Co. 121. a. 3 Inst. 63. Stamf. Pl. Cr. 126. a.*

(c) *Stamf. Pl. Cr. 126. a.*

Negatur, For 'twas ousted of Clergy by the Common Law.

(d) *Stamf. Pl. Cr. 126. a.*

(e) *Stamf. pl. Cr. 126. a.*

ALEXANDER POWLTER's Case. PART XI.

Postea 60. a.
F. N. B. 73.
Stamf. Pl. Cr.
125. b. 126. a.

Stamf. Pl. Cr.
126. a.

them ; but this Act extends to Men Outlawed, Attainted by Battel, Abjured, Attainted by Parliament; for the Words are, *If any hereafter shall in due Form of Law be attainted*, which Words extend to every Manner of Attainder. 2. This Act extends by exprefs Words to the Case of Confession; for the next Words of this Act are, *or Convict*, and that is by Verdict or Confession: So the Clause for ousting of Clergy, is better penned as to these Points, than the general Clause for Restitution of Clergy, as appears before. And it is to be observ'd, That this Act of 1 E. 6. extends as well to Appeals and Approvements, as to Indictments. And afterwards the Statute of 5 & 6 E. 6. cap. 10. was made, the Title of which was, *That such as rob in one Shire, and fly into another shall not have the Benefit of Clergy*. This Act first recites the said Act of 25 H. 8. which recites the other Act of 23 H. 8. and in which, Mention is made of burning of Houses, and further, the Addition which the Act of 25 H. 8. makes, and also the Branch concerning the stealing of Goods in one County, and carrying the Theft into another County: And the said Act of 5 & 6 E. 6. recites also the Purview of the said Act of 1 E. 6. *de Verbo in Verbum*, (in which the Omission of burning of Houses appears) and also the said general and beneficial Branch for Clergy of the Act of 1 E. 6. and then after the Recital of these three Statutes, the Words of the Act of 5 & 6 E. 6. are, *By Reason whereof divers and many Persons, since the said first Year, have committed such Robberies and Burglaries, and after have been taken with the Manner in another County, and there indicted, arraigned, and found guilty, have had and enjoyed their Clergy; which they could not have had, if the said Statute of 25 H. 8. had stood in Force: For Redress whereof, be it enacted, That the said Act made in the said Five and twentieth Year, touching putting of such Offenders from their Clergy; and every Article, Clause, and Sentence contained in the same, touching Clergy, shall from henceforth, touching such Offences from henceforth to be committed and done, stand, remain, and be in full Strength and Virtue, in such Manner and Form, as it did before the making of the said Act of 1 E. 6. any Clause, Article, or Sentence comprised in the said Act, made in the said first Year, to the contrary notwithstanding*. And the whole Scruple of this Case doth consist upon these Words of the Body of the Act of 5 & 6 E. 6. and of a *Quere* which Stanford makes, lib. 2. cap. 43. fol. 128. a. That the Act of 25 H. 8. is not revived in all, but only in that Part which concerns the stealing

of Goods in one County, and the carrying of them afterwards into another, by Reason of these Words (*such Offenders, &c. and such Offences, &c.*) which have Relation only to that Offender, which is expressed before in the same Act: Hereunto others added two other Objections, 1. That the Title or Style of this Act is particular, *viz. That such as rob in one County, and fly into another, shall not have Benefit of Clergy*; whereby the Intent of the Makers of the Act appears to what Thing the said Act shall extend, and this the Case of *Stradling and Morgan, Plowd. Com. 203. b.* proves, where the particular Stile of the Act of 7 *Ed. 6.* concerning the Revenues of the King, limits and qualifies the general Words of the Body of the Act, *viz. (Any Receiver)* to extend only to the King's Receivers, according to the Title of the Act. Another Objection was made by some, That admitting the said Act of 5 & 6 *Ed. 6.* has revived the whole Act of 25 *Hen. 8.* yet forasmuch as the Act of 23 *Hen. 8.* was not revived, the said *Alexander Powlter* in the Case now in Question, shall have his Clergy; for as it has been said, the Act of 23 *Hen. 8.* extends only when the Offender is found guilty (which is our Case) and the Act of 25 *Hen. 8.* recites the said Act of 23 *Hen. 8.* and makes Addition when the Offender stands mute, or will not answer, or challenges above the Number of Twenty, so that the Act of 25 *Hen. 8.* extends not to the Case where the Offender is found guilty by Verdict or Confession, because the Act of 23 *Hen. 8.* has provided for that, and in as much as the general Words of the said Act of 1 *Ed. 6.* have taken away the Force (but in one special Case as aforesaid) of both the Statutes of 23 *H. 8.* and 25 *H. 8.* and the Act of 5 & 6 *Ed. 6.* has revived only the Act of 25 *Hen. 8.* for this Reason in the Case now in Question, the Benefit of Clergy is not taken away. Against which it was argued by other Justices: First, that the Statute of 5 & 6 *Ed. 6.* has revived the whole Act of 25 *H. 8.* concerning Clergy. 2dly, That the Act of 25 *Hen. 8.* has taken away the Benefit of Clergy from him who is found guilty of burning Houses by Verdict or Confession. 3dly, They cited a Judgment in Parliament to prove it. 4thly, they confirmed it by a constant Opinion and Proceeding of the Justices of Assises in their Circuits.

As to the first, it was said, That this Relative (*such*) refers rather to the precedent Matter, than to the particular Form of Words, and to the End that the Remedy intended by the Makers of the Act, should be of as great Extent as the Malady and Mischief was, (*such*) shall be taken (*such in Mischief, and such in Inconvenience*) and to *such as in Form of Words*

Fitz. Inst. 87. a. b.
Stamf. Pl. Cr.
128. a.

Stamf. Pl. Cr.
128. a.
Palm: 221, 213
2 Inst: 357, 481

ALEXAND. POWLTER'S Case. PART XI

(a) 2 Inst. 353,
354, 355, 356,
357, &c. 481.

is mentioned before. And therefore the Statute of (a) *W. 2. cap. 5.* is, *Cum quis jus presentandi non habens presentaverit ad aliquam Ecclesiam, &c. per quod hæredes infra aetatem existentes per fraudem & negligentiam custodum, &c. Statutum est quod hujusmodi presentationes, &c. non sint hujusmodi rectis heredibus, &c. ita præjudiciales;* and the Case in

(b) 3 Inst. 357.
Statham Quare
Impedit 14.
(c) 2 Inst. 481.

(b) 44 *Ed. 3. 21. a. b.* That an Infant had an Advowson by Descent, and avoided an Usurpation, without Averment, that he was in Ward; because this Word (c) (*hujusmodi*) *i. e. such*, shall be taken *such in Mischief*, *viz.* to provide for all the Mischief; and having an Advowson by Descent (which was the Substance) it matters not, whether he was

(d) 2 Inst. 383,
384, 385, 386.
Stamf. Pl. Cr.
162, 169, &c.

in Ward, or not. So the Statute of (d) *W. 2. cap. 12.* the Preamble saith, *Per appellatores nihil habentes, &c.* and the Body of the Act is, *Statutum est quod cum aliquis sic appellatus, &c.* yet, without Question, If the Appellor is sufficient; notwithstanding this Word (*Sic*) the Statute extends to it:

(e) 2 Inst. 321,
322, 323.
6 Co. 57. a.
F. N. B. 220. E.
198. E.
9 Co. 135. 2.
Co. Lit. 6. 46. 2.

And where the Statute of (e) 21 *Hen. 8. 15.* speaks in the Preamble of Leases made for great Fines *for the Incomes, &c.* and the Purview is, *That all such Termors shall or may falsly*, it has been always taken, That the Statute extends to Leases made, either for a small Fine, or for no Fine:

(f) 13 Co. 5, 6.
Co. Lit. 218. 2.
256. a.
Plowd. 47. a.
Hob. 242, 243.
1 Keble 609.

So the Preamble of the Statute of (f) 32 *Hen. 8. cap. 33.* speaks of Disseisins with Strength (Force) and the Body is, *That the dying seised of any such Disseisor, &c.* it extends to a Disseisin without Force; for, that is *such in Mischief*; and so it is held in 3 & 4 *Phil. & Mary, Dyer 219. pl. 7.* and so in many other Cases. And as to the Style or Title of the Act,

* 2 Salk. 609.

that is no Parcel * of the Act, and ancient Statutes were without any Title, and many Acts are of greater Extent than the Titles are, as the Statute of *Uses, Anno 27 H. 8. cap. 10.* the Title is, *An Act expressing an Order for Uses and Wills*, and yet the Body of the Act extends to Jointures and Dowers of Women. And so in this Case they argued, That the Body of the Act of 5 & 6 *Ed. 6.* was more spacious than the Title, but not more spacious than the Preamble; for the Preamble extends to two Mischiefs, one *implicite* by Recital, and the other *explicite* by express Words; *Implicite* by the Recital of 23 & 25 *Hen. 8.* which extend to burning of Houses, and by Recital of 1 *Ed. 6.* in which was the Omission of burning of Houses (which was thought to be by the Negligence of the Writer; for it is a more heinous Offence, than many others which are there mentioned) *Explicite* of Robbery, &c. in one County, and carrying into another County; then when the Words are, *For Redress whereof be it enacted, &c.* It refers not only to the latter, which was the Offence of Commission, but also to the Omission of the Offence of burning of Houses in the Statute of 1 *Ed. 6.*

and

and then this Word (*such*) shall have Reference to burning of Houses, as well in the Statute of 23 Hen. 8. as in the said Act of 25 Hen. 8. both which are before recited in the same Act of 5 & 6 Ed. 6. Another Reason was added, That the Purview of the Act of 5 & 6 Ed. 6. has a double Sentence, *sc. That the said Act of 25 H. 8. touching the putting of such Offenders from their Clergy, if that should be admitted to extend only to Robbery in one County, and carrying into another; yet there is another Sentence in the same Act, and every Article, Clause and Sentence, contained in the same, touching Clergy, shall from henceforth touching such Offences, remain, and be in full Strength and Virtue.* And it was argued, That this latter Clause should extend to the whole Act of 25 Hen. 8. for divers Reasons: 1. Because the first Sentence had been sufficient for the Robbery in one County, and carrying into another, and then this latter Sentence, which has more general Words, *sc. And every Article, Clause, and Sentence, &c.* would be vain and superfluous, *Et (a) viperini est expositio qua corrodit viscera textus.* 2. There was but one Clause or Sentence, concerning Robbery in one County, and carrying into another, and this Branch of 5 & 6 Ed. 6. saith, *All and every Article, Clause and Sentence, concerning Clergy,* so that it would be hard that these general Words should be restrained to one particular Clause and Sentence; but the good Expositor makes every Sentence have its Operation to suppress all the Mischiefs before the said Act, and chiefly those which are specified in the Act itself (as it is in the Case in Question) and altho' the latter Words of the Sentence are, *Shall from henceforth concerning such Offences, remain in Force,* in good Construction these Word (*such Offences*) ought to have Reference to such Offences as are contained in any Article, Clause, or Sentence of the Act of 23 Hen. 8. touching Clergy. And by this Construction, such heinous Offence will not pass in Effect with Impunity, and Malefactors will not be encouraged to burn, not only Houses, but Towns and Cities, and pass with a little burning in the Hand, and all the Statutes will, by this Construction, stand together, and be well reconciled, and agree with the constant and continual Experience of the Judges. And (b) it is frequent in our Books, That Penal Statutes have been taken by Intendment, to the End they should not be illusory, but should take Effect according to the express Intention of the Makers of the Act: And for that it was enacted by the Statute of (c) 27 E. 3. cap. 1. That he who draws any to the Court of Rome, in a Plea which might be determined in the King's Court, or of Things, whereof Judgment is given in the King's Court,

The Courts usually stretch Acts in favour of Clergy. See Lucas 410. Ant 70

(a) 2 Bulstr. 179.
Hawks Max. 424.

(b) Plowd. Com.
10. a. 46. b.
46 E. 3. 17. a.
Vide supra.

(c) 3 Inst. 119,
120, 121, 122
&c.
12 Co. 37.

ALEXAND. POWLTER's Case. PART XI.

Court, or who sues in any other Court, to defeat or impeach the Judgments given in the King's Courts, should have Day (a) containing the Space of two Months, &c. and if they come not at the same Day in proper Person, they should be put out of Protection, &c. A Question was moved in (b) 30 Ed. 3. 11. b. (which was within three Years after the making of this Act.) If the Offender does not make Default, but appears, and pleads, and is condemned, if he should have the high and penal Judgment of *Premunire* given by the said Act. But afterwards in (c) 39 E. 3. 7. a. b. Judgment was given against the Bishop of *Chichester*, who appeared, That he should be put out of Protection, &c. and yet the Letter of the Statute is, and if they do not come at the same Day, &c. they shall be put out of Protection, *a fortiori*, when he appears and says nothing, such Judgment shall be given, for in equal Mischief, *a multo fortiori* when the Defendant in such Case appears, and pleads, and is found guilty, he shall have Judgment on the said Statute, as it is adjudged in *Fereby's Case*, in 44 Ed. 3. 36. a. & b. and yet it is out of the Words of the Act, which speaks only of Default; and infinite Judgments on the Statute of 27 E. 3. have been given accordingly, and therefore (d) *Qui haret in litera haret in Cortice*; which Case, 'twas said, had greater Defect of Words than the Case now in Question: By the Statute of (e) 8 H. 6. cap. 12. it is ordained, that if any Record, or any Parcel thereof, &c. is voluntarily carried away, withdrawn, &c. by Reason whereof any Judgment is reversed, that such Stealer, Carrier-away, Withdrawer, and Avoider, &c. are adjudged for Felons. And in (f) 2 R. 3. 10. a. an Action of Debt was brought against *J. B.* whereas in Truth his Name was *W. B.* Process continued till he was Outlawed, and the Original was rased, and the third *Capias*, and made *W. B.* and the Rolls rased and made accordingly, this Act was resolved to be Felony by all the Justices; and yet by that the Outlawry was made good, &c. So by the Statute of 25 Ed. 3. the killing of his Master is adjudged Treason, and this extends by Construction to (g) the Mistress, as it is held in 19 H. 6. 47. a. and in many other Cases, Penal Statutes have been taken by Intendment to remedy the Mischief, in Advancement of Justice, and in Suppression of Crimes and heinous Offences.

As to the second, The Statute of 25 Hen. 8. has taken away Clergy from him, who is found guilty of burning of an House; for the said Act of 25 Hen. 8. takes away Clergy from him, who in such Case, upon his Arraignment, stands mute, or will not plead, or challenges above the Number of twenty, *in like Manner and Form*,

(a) 3 Inst. 125.

(b) 9 Co. 74. a.

(c) 3 Inst. 125.

(d) 5 Co. 4. b.
Co. Lit. 54. b.
283. b.
3 Bulfr. 65.
Wing. Max. 19.
Hawks Max. 425.
(e) 3 Inst. 70.
71. 72. 73.
Dalk. Just. 386.
Keb. Just. 245.
246. Stamf. Pl.
Cr. 36. b.
Fitz. Just. 41. b.
(f) Br. Coron.
173. Br. Treason
31. Stamf.
Pl. Cr. 36. b.

(g) Hales Pl.
Cr. 23.
Plow. 86. b.
Stamf. Pl. Cr.
40. b.
3 Inst. 20.
12 Ass. 30. Fitz.
Just. 14. b. Dalk.
Just. 336. Br.
Coron. 74. Br.
Treason 8. 12.
Fitz. Cor. 7.
170.

as if he were found guilty after the Laws of the Land, which are affirmative Words, and take away the Clergy from him, who is found Guilty according to the Laws of the Land.

As to the third Point, The Makers of the Statute of (a) 4 & 5 Phil. & Mar. c. 4. knowing that by the said Statute of 25 H. 8. which was revived by the Statute of 5 & 6 E. 6. Clergy was taken from the principal Offender in the said Case of burning of an House, and not from any Accessory, have provided that the Accessories before, in such Case, shall be ousted of their Clergy; which was taken by divers of the Justices to be a good Interpretation by the whole Parliament, of all the said Acts concerning this Matter, for if the Principal should have his Clergy, it would be absurd, and never seen in the whole Law, that the Clergy should be taken from the Accessory only, and leave the principal Offender at large to have his Clergy. Secondly, It would be in vain by the said Act of (b) 4 & 5 Phil. & Mar. to take away Clergy from the Accessory before, and leave the Principal to have his Clergy; for if the (c) Principal has his Clergy before Judgment, the Accessory shall not be arraigned, as it is held in the 4th Part of my Reports fol. 43. b. 44. a. Nota bene Reader, The said Act of 4 & 5 Phil. & Mar. takes away Clergy from him who is Accessory before the Offence of burning of an House, &c. extends where the Accessory is outlawed, or otherwise attainted or convicted, or stands mute, or denies to answer directly, or challenges above the Number of twenty, which is well and perfect as to that; but this Act doth not extend to every Burglary, or breaking of Houses, &c. but only when Robbery is committed.

As to the fourth Point, upon Conference had with divers Clerks of Assise, and other ancient Clerks, and upon the Sight of divers and many Records, it appears, That the Principals and Accessories before have been (d) ousted of their Clergy, in the Case of burning of Houses: For their Manner of Entry, when Clergy doth not lie, is to say *Cul. sus. per coll.* But when Clergy lies, then the Entry is, *Petit librum*, &c. And all the Precedents but one (and that was before Sir John Puckering, and his Companion, Justices of Assise in the County of *Essex*) were, *Cul. sus. per Collum*, without the Words, *Petit librum*. Upon all which Matter, and upon View of the said Precedents, it was resolved, That the said Offender in the Case now in Question, should be ousted of his Clergy; and accordingly at the last Assises in the County of *Cumbridge* (altho' the Offender could read well) Judgment was given upon him, and Execution done accordingly, and Order given, that he should be hanged in Chains near the Place where he offended, & ita fuit.

(a) Jenk. Cent.
221.
Dalt. Just. 524.
Hales Pl. Cr.
232, 233.
Fitz. Just. 86. b.

(b) Savil 46.

(c) Cr. El. 541.
3 H. 7. 1. b.
Br. Corone 131.
Br. Clergy 15.
Fitz. Corone 58.
9 H. 7. 19. b.
Plow. 99. b.
2 R. 3. 21. b.
Stamf. Pl. Cr.
47. 2. b.
Moor 461.
13 E. 4. 3. b.
Br. Corone 157.
2 Inst. 183, 184.
3 Inst. 114, 139.
9 Co. 117. a.
Cr. Car. 586.
7 H. 7. 12. b.
Fitz. Cr. 53.
Br. Corone 137.
Br. Clergy 16.
Hales Pl. Cr.
221, 222. Bl.
Dalt. Just. 401.
Fitz. Just. 32. b.
33. 2. vet. N. B.
117. b. 18 E. 4.
9. b. Br. Coron.
164.
9 Co. 119. b.
1 Roll. 777.
Hales Pl. Cr.
233.
(d) 3 Inst. 66.
Cr. Car. 372.
378.
1 Jones 351.
Fitz. Just. 88. b.
Stamf. Pl. Cr.
126. 2.

ALEXAND. POWLTER's Case. PART XI.

Nota Reader, As to Burglary and Robbery in Houses, &c. forasmuch as Doubts and Questions may arise upon what has been said, I have thought it necessary (by Way of Appendix) to make a short Explanation of it, and of some other Things, to the Intent, That of the one Side, great Offences should not pass unpunished, nor of the other Side, the Subject be deprived of the Privilege which the Law gives him.

Jenk, Cent. 222.
Hob. 291.

By the Statute of 18 *Eliz. cap. 7.* it is enacted, *That if any Person shall commit any felonious Burglary, and shall be found guilty by Verdict, or shall be outlawed, or upon his Arraignment shall confess the same; in every such Case he shall be ousted of his Clergy:* By this Act it is commonly held, and so published in some printed Books, That none shall have Clergy who commits any felonious Burglary. And that is true, if it is well understood, and the Secret thereof is worthy your Apprehension and Knowledge, for this Statute of 18 *Eliz.* extends only to three Cases, *viz.* where the Offender is outlawed, or is found Guilty by Verdict, or confesses it: And therefore if any one is indicted of Burglary generally at the Common Law, (without something Special, and without any Allegation, according to certain Statutes in such Case provided) if the Offender is outlawed, or if upon Not-Guilty pleaded, he is found Guilty by Verdict; or if he confesses the same, he shall be ousted of the Privilege of Clergy by this Statute; but if he is arraigned upon such general Indictment, and stands mute, or will not answer, or challenges above the Number of twenty; in such Cases, upon such Indictment, he shall have his Clergy. And therefore it would be wisely done, for the Indictment to say according to the Statutes of 23 *H. 8. 1.* & 1 *E. 6. 12.* that some Person was in the House and put in Fear, &c. for in such Case the same Act ousts him of his Clergy; or, according to the Statute of 5 *Edw. 6. 9.* the Owner, his Wife, or Children, being sleeping or waking; for if any such Special Matter is contained in the Indictment, then if the Offender stands mute, or will not directly answer, or challenges above the Number of twenty, he shall be ousted of his Clergy. But also the said Acts of 1 & 5 *E. 6.* are necessary to be explained, *viz.* The Act of 1 *Ed. 6. 12.* ought to be expounded, as it has been before; and although this Act extends to a single Burglary without Robbery, yet it requires, that some Person be then in the House that is put in Fear; for if the Party was there, and not put in Fear; as if he be sleeping, or awake, or in another Part of the House, and not put in Fear, then, notwithstanding such Burglary, he shall have his Clergy,

Quere:

Hales Pl. Cr.
233.

Clergy, notwithstanding the Statute of 23 H. 8. joins Robbery with Burglary in such Case, and the Statute of 1 Ed. 6. 12. which extends to single Burglary; but both agree, That the Person ought to be put in Fear. And the said Act also of 5 Ed. 6. 9. is worthy of Exposition; for first, it joins Robbery with Burglary, so that if the Offender breaks the House in the Night, with a felonious Intent, without taking any Thing, although the Person be put in Fear, yet he shall have his Clergy. Secondly, This Act extends only when the Offender is found guilty of the Felony, and not when the Offender is outlawed, or stands mute, or will not answer, or challenges above the Number of twenty; and therefore the surest Way is, in the Indictment, to follow the Statute of 1 Ed. 6. 12. For that as to Burglary is the most sure and compleat Law, as to ground an Indictment of Burglary.

But what has been said extends to the principal Offenders in Burglary, and it is requisite that something should be said in what Cases the Accessories, in this Offence, shall have their Clergy, and in what not. The Statute which takes away the Privilege of Clergy, in this and divers other Cases, is the said Statute of 4 & 5 Phil. & Mar. for the Act of 23 H. 8. which denies Clergy to the Accessory before, is (as has been said) taken away as to that, by the said general Clause of 1 Ed. 6. and the Act of 18 Eliz. extends but to the Principal: And therefore the Words of the said Act of 4 & 5 Phil. & Mar. are to be considered, and they as to this Purpose are, *All and every Person and Persons, that shall maliciously command, hire, or counsel any Person or Persons, to do any Robbery, in any Dwelling-House, or Houses,* shall lose the Benefit of his Clergy; and of such Effect was the Statute of 23 H. 8. 1. and the Statute of 25 H. 8. extends only to the Principal; by which it appears, That if the Commandment is to do any Felony and Robbery of Goods, that such Accessory before, shall have his Clergy, *Vide Stamford, lib. 1. cap. 24.* by what other Felonies Burglary may be committed.

But let us leave Burglary which ought to be done in the Night, and let us see in what Cases a Man shall be ousted of his Clergy, when he breaks any Dwelling-House in the Day. 1. Upon the Statutes of 23 H. 8. 25 H. 8. 1 Ed. 6. cap. 12. & 5 & 6 E. 6. 9. it is clear, That there ought to be an actual Felony done, besides the breaking of the House in the Day, for the breaking of the House only in the

ALEXAND. POWLTER's Case. PART XI.

Antea 31. b.
Stamf. Pl. Cr.
126. a.

the Day, altho' it was with a felonious Intent, without taking away something, is not Felony; and therefore no Clergy is necessary in the Case. 2. Upon the said Statutes of 23 & 25 Hen. 8. there ought to be the Owner, his Wife, or some of his Children, or Servants then there, and put in Fear; and by 1 Ed. 6. 12. some Person ought to be in the House and put in Fear, by 5 & 6 Ed. 6. cap. 9. If the Owner, Wife, or some of his Children or Servants be in any Part of the House, sleeping or waking, and all the other Points concerning the Attainder, Conviction, standing Mute, &c. in Case of Burglary, extend also to Robbery in a Dwelling-House in the Day-time: And he who robs any Person in (a) any Booth or Tent, in any Fair or Market, the Owner, his Wife, Children, or Servants then there being sleeping or awake, shall be ousted of his Clergy by the Statute of 1 Ed. 6. cap. 12. & 56 Ed. 6. cap. 9. But two Things in the Case of Robbery in a Dwelling-House in the Day, are to be observed. None of these Statutes ousts the Party of his Clergy in Case of Robbery in a Dwelling-House in the Day, but in two Cases. 1. If any Person be put in Fear upon the Statute of 1 Ed. 6. cap. 12. and other Statutes before. 2. (b) If the Owner, his Wife, his Children, or Servants, be then in another Part of the same House, altho' they be not put in Fear, the Offender shall be ousted of his Clergy by the Statute of 5 & 6 Ed. 6. cap. 9. but if a Stranger be there by the Owner's Leave, the Offender shall have his Clergy, for it is out of the Words; and of such Opinion is *Stamf.* 129. b. who vouches the Opinion of all the Justices accordingly: But a Doubt was conceived upon these Statutes, If any in the Day-time had broken an Out-house, as a Barn, Stable, &c. if that should be said, as to oust the Offender of his Clergy, a Dwelling-House, and afterwards the Statute of 39 Eliz. cap. 15. was made, by the which it is enacted, That he who robbeth to the Value of five Shillings in any Dwelling-House or Out-house in the Day-time, altho' no Person was there within it, shall be ousted of his Clergy. And it is to be known, That none of the said Statutes extends to any Accessory before the Robbery in an House in the Day-time, but only (as it has been often said) the Statute of 23 Hen. 8. and the Statute of 4 & 5 Phil. & Mar. and the Statute of 23 H. 8. as to this Point, is taken away by the Stat. of 1 Ed. 6. cap. 12. Then let us see in what Cases the Accessory before shall have his Clergy, and in what not. And therefore the said Act of

(a) Stamf. Pl.
Cr. 128, 129. a.
3 Inst. 64.
Fitz. Just. 89. a.
Dalt. Just. 524.

(b) Godbolt 315.
Stamf. Pl. Cr.
129. a.
Fitz. Just. 89. a.

4 Co. 40. a.

4 & 5 P. & M. is to be again review'd and consider'd as to this Point. For in Case where the Clergy is taken away from the Principal, in Case of robbing in any Dwelling-House in the Day, before the said Act of (a) 4 & 5 Phil. & Mar. in such Case the Clergy is taken from the Accessory before, by the Stat. of 4 & 5 Phil. & Mar. But 1. This Act doth not extend to Accessories before, in Case of robbing in a Booth or Tent, be it in the Day or in the Night; for these are not esteem'd in Law for a Dwelling-House, as the Statute of 4 & 5 P. & M. speaks, and as well appears in the Recital of 5 & 6 Ed. 6. cap. 9. Also the Statute of 4 & 5 P. & M. doth not extend to the Offence within the Act of 39 Eliz. which was made long Time after the Act of 4 & 5 Ph. & M. and the Act itself of (b) 39 Eliz. takes away Clergy from the principal Offender only. And you may take this for a general Rule, That (c) every Act which takes away Clergy from the Principal, and speaks nothing of the Accessory; that the Accessories, as well before as after, shall have their Clergy, as it was held by all the Justices, 1 Mar. fol. 99. a. (d) Dyer. Another general Rule is, (e) In all Cases where a Man is ousted by any Statute for any Offence from the Benefit of his Clergy, the same Offence ought to be contained in the Indictment or Appeal, in such Manner and Form, and with the same (f) Circumstances as is contained in the Statute, or otherwise the Offender shall have his Clergy, because the Statute which ousts him of his Clergy, not being pursued, the Offender is left to the Common Law: For Example, upon the said Act of 4 & 5 Phil. & Mar. the Words are, *All and every Person and Persons that shall maliciously command, hire, or counsel any Person to commit or do any Petit Treason, wilful Murder, or to do any Robbery in any Dwelling-House, &c. or wilfully to burn a Dwelling-House, &c.* And thereupon the Case in Anno 2 Regina Eliz. Dyer (h) 183. was, That a Man is indicted of Robbery of another in his Mansion-house, he being in the said House, and put in Fear; and another is indicted, because he feloniously, before the said Robbery, procured and counselled the Principal to commit that Robbery; in which Indictment of the Accessory this Word (i) (Maliciously) was omitted: And by the Opinion of all the Justices of Assize in their Assembly, except the Chief Justice, and A. Brown, for want of this Word (maliciously) in the Indictment, the Party had his Clergy, because the Words of the Statute of 4 & 5 Phil. & Mar. were not pursued. Also in 18 Eliz. a Servant of the Lady

(a) Sav. 46.
4 & 5 P. & M.
cap. 4.
Dalt. Ju7. 524.

(b) 39 El. c. 15.
3 Inst. 65.
Cro. Car. 474.
Jenk. Cent. 221.
cap. 72.
(c) Hales Pl. Cr.
231.

(d) Dy. 99. pl. 59.
Stamf. Pl. Cr.
125. a.

(e) Stamf. Pl. Cr.
130. a.
Fitz. Just. 89. b.

(f) Dyer 363.
pl. 25.
11 Co. 58. a.
1 And. 49. c. 123.
Doct. pl. 332, 333.
Dyer 312. pl. 86.

(g) Cro. Eliz. 749.
2 Keb. 289.
(h) Hales Pl.
Cr. 232.

Savil 46.
Dyer 186. pl. 3.
Fitz. Just. 86. b.
Dalt. Just. 524.

(i) Jenk. Cent.
221. cap. 72.
Dyer 183. pl. 59.

(j) Hales Pl. Cr.
231.

ALEXAND. POWLTER's Case. PART XI.

Dyer 286. pl. 3. Lady *Laxton* of *London*, was indicted for feloniously procuring the Robbery of his Mistress by one *Crompton*: But in the Indictment there wanted *Consuluit, Conduxit, vel precepit*, and also Maliciously; and therefore Clergy was allowed him after Judgment *per Opinionem Justiciariorum*.

MET-

METCALFE'S Case.

Q. Carth. 46. 87.

Mich. 12 Jacobi 1.

WOOD, and others, brought a Writ of (a) Accompt in the Common Pleas against *Metcalf*, and upon Issue found against him, Judgment was given *Quod computet*, & (b) *ideo in misericordia quia non prius computavit*. Upon which Judgment *Metcalf* brought a Writ of Error; and now two Questions were moved. 1. If after this Judgment, the Writ of Error lies or not? The 2d, If the Writ of Error does not lie, if the Record be removed or not? And it was argued on the Plaintiff's Side, that the Writ of Error well lies: For after this Verdict and Judgment, if the Plaintiff dies, or if the Plaintiff be a Woman, and after this Judgment takes Husband, the Writ shall not abate; and so it is adjudg'd in (c) 27 Ed. 3. 87. a. and therewith agrees (d) 14 H. 4. 1. a. where in a Writ of Accompt against one as Bailiff, and *ne unque son bailie*, &c. pleaded; and after Trial against the Defendant, Judgment was given *quod Def. computet*, and afterwards the Plaintiff dies, his Executors had a *Scire facias* against the Defendant, which was served, and he appeared not; for which a *Capias ad computand'* issued against him, returnable at a certain Day; and the Plaintiffs prayed an *Exigent* against him, and had it. *Vide* (e) 21 Ed. 3. 32. a. and in 21 Ed. 3. 7. a. b. in *Robert de Holywel's Case*, it is adjudged, That after such Judgment, the Plaintiff can't be (f) Nonfuit; but notwithstanding his Default, he may have a *Capias ad computand'* within the Year, and a *Scire facias* after the Year; and therewith agrees (h) 3 H. 4. 7. a. b. And there it is said, That by the Judgment, that the Defendant shall Accompt, that the (i) Original is determined, (k) 21 H. 6. 26. a. b. in *J. Ferrer's Case*; but

(a) Godbolt 258.
1 Rol. Rep. 84.
Cr. Jac. 356, 357.
1 Roll. 750.
3 Keb. 384.
Hugh's Abr. 165.
1130, 1622.
(b) 2 Roll. 2188.

(c) 27 E. 3. 86. b.
87. a. Fitz. Accompt. 88.
(d) 14 H. 4. 1. a.
Fitz. Exec. 59.
Br. Accompt 33.
Br. Excom. 39.
Br. Exigent 21.
1 Roll. Rep. 84.
Br. Process 41.
Br. Scire fac' 81.
Cr. Jac. 356.
contra.

(e) 1 Rol. Rep. 84.

(f) Fitz. Accompt 65.
(g) 1 H. 7. 2. b.
contra 3 H. 4.
7. b. Fitz. Accompt 15. contra
Br. Accompt 63.
Br. Nonfuit 41.
Co. Lit. 139. b.
cont. Cro. Eliz.
19. 2 Roll. 131.
Cro. Jac. 356.
Br. Nonfuit 27.

cont. Styl. Praet. Regist. 359. contra. 1 Rol. Rep. 84, 85, contra. (h) Br. Accompt 27. (i) Postea 40. contra. (k) Fitz. Accompt 16. Br. Accompt 45. Br. Nonfuit 25.

but there it is said, That altho' in such Case the Plaintiff can't be (a) Nonsuit, yet the Default of the Plaintiff in such Case shall bar him for ever; and so is a Judgment there cited in (b) 19 Ed. 3. by Wilby, Vide 18 Ed. 2. Accompt 123. (c) 21 Ed. 3. 7. a. b. (d) 1 Hen. 7. 2. b. (e) 3 H. 4. 7. a. b. (f) 41 Ed. 3. 3. a. It is held, That if two be adjudged to Accompt, and the one dies, the other shall Accompt alone, and the Writ shall not abate, Vide 31 Ed. 3. Accompt, Satham. Vide 34 Ed. 1. Brief 854. 1 Ed. 5. fol. 1. a. In a Writ of Accompt, the Defendant was awarded to Accompt, and a *Capias ad computandum* awarded; and the Defendant came in by *Cepi corpus*, and the Auditors were assigned to him, &c. wherefore the Party entered in Accompt, and pleaded a Payment by the Command of the Plaintiff, the Plaintiff traversed the Command, and upon that they were at Issue; and after Issue joined, the Defendant was let to Mainprise by Recognisance; and afterwards the Issue was discontinued by the Demise of King Ed. 4. before Verdict given. And in that Case two Points were resolved. 1. That the Mainperners were discharged by the Demise of the King. 2. That after such Judgment given, that the Defendant *computet*, always the Entry is, *Ideo consideratum est quod predicti M. computet, & idem M. in misericordia, quia prius non computavit*, which proves that it is a Judgment, and by Consequence a Writ of Error lies upon it.

But it was resolv'd by the whole Court, That the (g) Writ of Error upon this Judgment *Quod computet, &c.* before the final Judgment given, lay not, and that appears by the Words of the Writ of Error, *scil. Quia in records & processu ac etiam in redditione iudicii loquela que fuit in curia nostra coram vobis, &c. per breve nostrum inter W. & M. qd' id' M. redderet presat' W. rationabilem computum suum de quo fuit Receptor denariorum, &c. Error intervenit manifestus ad grave damnum iphus M. &c. Nos errorem si quis fuerit modo debito corripi, & partibus predictis plenam & celerem Justiciam fieri volentes in hac parte, vobis mandamus; qd' si iudicium inde reddit' sit, tunc recordum & processum loquela pred' cum omnibus ea tangentibus, &c. nobis, &c. mittatis & hoc breve, &c.* And all the Question of this Case was, What Judgment was intended in the Writ of Error? *scil.* That *quod defendent computet*, or the latter Judgment? And it was resolved, That (h) no Writ of Error lies till the last Judgment be given; and that for divers Reasons. 1. When a Thing (whereof there are divers Degrees and Qualities) is indefinitely mentioned in a Writ, Count, or other Record, the principal Thing, and the most worthy, shall be intended; as 6 Eliz. Dyer 236. a. A Penalty inflicted by Act of Parliament to be recover'd in any of the Courts of Record of the Qu. shall be intended of the principal Courts

(a) Co. Lit. 123. b. contra.
Cro. Jac. 356.
2 Roll. 131.
Styl. Pract. Regist. 359. contra.
Cr. El. 19.
1 Roll. Rep. 84.
85. contra.
(b) 21 H. 6. 26. b.
(c) Fitz. Ac-compr 65.
(d) Fitz. Ac-compr 15.
Cr. El. 636.
Br. Accompt 63.
Postea 40. b.
Br. Nonsuit 41.
(e) Fitz. Ac-compr 27.
Br. Nonsuit 53.
(f) Fitz. Ac-compr 23.
Br. Accompt 10.
Fitz. Respond. 29.
1 Roll. Rep. 84.

(g) Co. Lit. 288. b.
Palm. 2. 4.
Cro. El. 636.
1 Roll. 750.
Godb. 238.
Cr. Jac. 324.
356.
1 Roll. Rep. 85.
2 Bullstr. 119, 120.
Hughes Abr. 16.
1 Leon. 193, 194.
62p. 178.

(h) Co. Lit. 288. b.

(i) Dy. 236. pl. 24.
6 Co. 19. b.
20. a. Moor 421.
Cro. Car. 113.
146. 1 Jones 193.
Hetly 101.
Hurt. 99. Cro.
Jac. 53. 85. 179.
Styl. Rep. 430.
6 Co. 19. b.

Cr. El. 737. 1 Ventris 8. Palm. 386. Cawly 82. Moor 600. Styl. 383. Jenk. Cent. 288. Plowd. 208. a. b. 1 Roll. Rep. 51. 4 Inst. 65, 104, 105. 12 Co. 98. 2 Roll. Rep. 331. Lit. 16p. 170.

Courts at *Westminster*, (a) 20 *Hen. 6. 23. a.* in an Accompt, supposing the Defendant to be his Receiver from the Feast of *St. Michael*, shall be intended the principal Feast of *St. Michael* the Archangel, and not *St. Michael de Monte Tumba.* So (b) 13 *H. 4. 4. b.* 21 *H. 6. 8.* 37 *H. 6. 29. b.* If the Father and Son are of one Name, viz. *J. S.* if *J. S.* is named generally in a Writ, Count, or other Record, it shall be intended of the Father, for he is the more worthy. So 10 *Ed. 4. 11. a. b.* 7 *R. 2. Tit. Bar. 241.* A (c) Man is bound to prove a Thing, he ought to prove it by the most principal Proof in Law, and that is by Jury: So (d) if Fee is mentioned, it shall be intended Fee-simple; and if Escuage, it shall be intended the principal Escuage, and that is Escuage incertain, *Lit. fol. 21. a.* And see a notable Case to this Purpose, in 5 *Ed. 2. Resceit 165.* Where the Case was, That in Admeasurement of Pasture against a Man and his Wife, Judgment was given that Admeasurement should be made; and afterwards it was made in the Country, and returned to the Common Pleas, 15 *Hill.* at which Day the Husband made Default, and the Wife came into Court before the Judgment given in the Principal, and prayed to be received, and was received, notwithstanding *quod dictum fuit a parte*, That she was come too late after the Admeasurement awarded, which is a Judgment, *ad quod dictum fuit per Herle*, that it was not a Judgment upon the Principal. And where the Statute of *W. 2. cap. 3.* is, *Si uxor ante iudicium venerit, &c. Statutum debet intelligi de principali Iudicio:* So in 22 *E. 3. Tit. Resceit 139.* Assise of Mortdancester against the Husband and Wife, the Assise was awarded by Default, and the Assise remained all the while *pro defectu juratorum*; and now the Wife prayed to be received, and it was objected, That Judgment was given, that the Assise should be taken; and afterwards the Wife, who came before the final Judgment, was received; and therewith agrees 17 *Ed. 2. ibid. 173.* & 22 *Ass. pl. 22.* after the Assise awarded, the Wife was received, 24 *Ed. 3. 29. b.* and divers other Books agree.

2. The said Words, *Si iudicium inde redditum sit, &c.* are intended not only *de principali iudicio*, as appears before, but also *de integro iudicio, sc.* When all the Matter within the Original is determined, as in 34 *H. 6. (18.) 41. a.* in *Humphrey Bohun's Case*, in a *Quare Imped'* brought against two; one pleads to Issue, and the other confessed the Action; upon which Confession Judgment is given; and he against whom Judgment was given, sued a Writ of Error to remove the Record into the King's Bench: *Prisot & tota Curia*, that can't be, for the Writ of Error rehearses all those who are Parties to the Original Writ; and then the Writ saith, *Et si iudicium inde redditum sit, tunc recordum illud habeatis*; wherefore it proves that

(a) 5 Co. 20. 2.
Fitz. Count 31.
Br. Count 13.
Br. Exposition
20. Br. Jour. 5.
(b) 5 Co. 20. a.
Fitz. Brief 118,
137, 586.
Br. Addition 12,
16, 18, 43.
Br. Nomin. 30.
33 H. 6. 53. b.
54. a.
44 E. 3. 34. b.
Br. Brief 471.
7 H. 7. 11. b.
(c) 4 Co. 74. b.
3 Bullstr. 55.
6 Co. 20. a.
2 Brownl. 57.
Cr. Jac. 188,
232, 381. 1 Rol.
Rep. 222. Hob.
93, 217. 1 Sid.
313. Moor 113.
Num. 253, 181.
Nu. 322, 845.
Nu. 1140, 888.
Nu. 1250. Perk.
Sect. 791. 2 Roll.
595. 1 Roll. Rep.
261. 3 Inst. 98.
Br. Condit. 151.
Hugh's Abr.
1541.
(d) 5 Co. 20. a.
9 Co. 27. a.
Cro. Eliz. 57.
(e) 6 Co. 19. b.
20. a.
Lit. Sect. 99.
Co. Lit. 73. a.
2 Inst. 342, 343.

Resceit 173.
Resceit 25.

Palm. 4.
Bridg. 57, 58.
2 Roll. Rep. 125.
34 H. 6. 11. a. b.
38, 39, 40.
Fitz. Error 35.
Palm. 4.
33 H. 6. 23. b.
34 H. 6. 41. a.

34 H. 6. 41. a.

that can't be before the whole Matter is determined: To which *Littleton* said, If a Writ of Trespass is brought against two, and one appears and pleads, so that he is attainted of the Trespass, and Judgment given against him, notwithstanding the Matter is not determined against the other, yet he against whom the Judgment was given, shall have a Writ of Error, and the Plea shall be removed. *Prifot*, No truly, and the contrary to what you say was here lately adjudged, in the Case of the Lord *Cromwel*, against *Cawary* and others,

Fitz. Judgm. 37.
Br. Demurrer 23.

32 H. 6. 5. a. b. & 6. b. In Trespass by the Lord of S. against one for taking his Cattle; as to Parcel, the Defendant pleaded Not-guilty; and as to the other, he pleaded another Plea, upon which the Plaintiff demurr'd, and afterwards the Issue was found for the Plaintiff, upon which he had Judgment: Yet he shall not have a Writ of Error, 'till the whole Matter is determined. And the Reason of the said Case is, that if the Record should be removed 'till the whole Matter is determined, there would be a Failure of Right: For the Judges of the King's Bench can't proceed upon the Matter which is not determined, and upon which no Judgment is given; and the whole Record ought to be either in the Common Pleas or in the King's Bench, also the Original is entire, and can't be there and here likewise. 39 H. 6. Tit. Error 11.

Postea 41. a.

A Man cast in a Writ of Error of a Judgment given (where the Judgment was given of the Principal, and of the Damages and not of the Costs; for which Cause it was rejected, because the Writ is conditional, *Si Judicium inde redditum sit*, 12 Eliz. *Dyer* 291. b. In a Formedon brought by *Fitz-Williams*, against *Copley*, the Demandant has Judgment for Part, &c. and afterwards the Tenant brought a general Writ of Error before the Discussion of the Residue, and earnestly prayed, that the Record might be removed *in Banco Regis*, sed *Curia noluit hoc concedere* before the whole Matter demanded was determined; for otherwise they should proceed in a Plea without a Warrant; and also the Writ of Error saith, *Si judicium inde redditum sit*, and this Word *inde* goes to the whole Demand. So in the Case at Bar, the Record shall not be removed 'till the whole Matter of the Accompt is determined, & *judicium detur de integro*, and that can't be till the Judgment is given of the Arrearages and Damages, &c. *Ne curia Domini Regis desciceret in justitia exhibenda*, The Record shall not be removed 'till the whole Matter is determined.

Dyer 291. b.
Pl. 67.
Co. Lit. 288. b.
34 H. 6. 41. a.
Palm. 4. 6.
39 H. 6.
Error 40.
33 H. 6. 23. b.
2 Roll. Rep. 125.
32 H. 6. 5. a.

1 Roll. Rep. 86.
5 Co-111. 2.

Co. Lit. 74. a.

3. The Writ of Error is to be intended not only *De principali & integro*, but also *De judicio graviter damnofo*: And

as to that, it is to be known, That the Original Writ of Accompt, notwithstanding the said Award, doth remain undetermined, and upon that the Judgment in the End shall be given; for the Original is, that the Defendant *Computet, &c.* and then the Defendant enters in Accompt before Auditors, &c. before whom he pleads to Issue, which is found by Verdict, or in other Manner, that he is in Arrearages of a certain Sum; and then the Plaintiff, by Force of the said Original Writ of Accompt, shall have final or definitive Judgment, *Ideo consideratum est quod præd' W. recuperet versus præfat' M.* so much as is found in arrearages, & *damna occasione interplacitationis, &c.* and that is the Judgment by which the Defendant is charged with the Account, which is the Effect of his Suit; and the other Award is but Accessory to it: For by the Award *quod computet*, no Sum is recovered, nor doth it make an End of the Original, but is only a Means to bring it to the End: But the Judgment, by which he shall recover not only the Arrearages of the Account, but Damages also, as is aforesaid, is the End and Determination of the Original: And therefore the Writ of Error may well say *ad grave damnum* of him who was Defendant in the Accompt; for by the Judgment he has Loss, but not by the Award; and therefore the Judgment intended in the Writ of Error is *Judicium graviter damnosum* to the Defendant.

The fourth Reason was, That the Award *quod computet*, is but as an Award; as an Award that the Assise shall be taken, an Award in Waste, a Writ of Enquiry of Waste, in Trespafs, &c. a Writ of Enquiry of Damages *in partitione facienda*, an Award *quod partitio fiat*, in a Writ of Admeasurement, an Award *quod Admensuratio fiat*, an Award that one shall be ousted of Aid, and the like, which are but Awards of the Court, and are but interlocutory and not definitive; whereupon no Writ of Error lies 'till the last Judgment is given: And therewith agrees 7 R. 2. *Tit. Error 68.* by *Belknap, Skipwith*, and the whole Court; That if a Man prays in Aid, and is ousted by Award, he shall not have a Writ of Error of that Award, before the principal Plea is determined. *Vide 17 Ed. 3. 5. in Darrein Presentment: Et a Sententia interlocutoria non appellatur jure Civili.*

5. 'Till the latter Judgment, the Parties have Day by the (a) Roll, which proves that the Plea remains undetermined. And *Hill. 39 Eliz. Rot. 327.* (b) *Anne Countess of Warwick* brought a Writ of Partition against *Henry Lord Berkley*, where

Judgment

Antea 38. a
contra.

Cro. Jac. 356

1 Rol. Rep. 85.
Palm. 2.
Cro. Jac. 324.
2 Bulstr. 104.
119, 120.
1 Rol. 750.
Cro. El. 235.
1 Leon. 193.
cap. 178.

(a) Co. Lit. 135. a.
(b) 1 Rol. Rep.
84, 85.
2 Bulstr. 104.
1 Rol. 750.
Hugh's Abr.
1446. Noy 71.
Cr. El. 635, 643.
2 Rol. Rep. 125,
126. Moor 643.

Judgment was given upon a Special Verdict, *Quod partitio fieret*, and before the last Judgment, *viz.* (after Partition made)

(a) Cro. El. 65.
 (b) 1 Roll. Rep. 85. There was no Resolution.
 Co. Lit. 168. a.
 169. a.
 Cro. El. 636.
 Cro. Jac. 324.
 2 Bulstr. 119.

(a) *Quod partitio firma & stabilis imperpetuum teneatur*; the Lord Berkley brought a Writ of Error, and it was (b) resolved, That the Writ of Error lay not till the principal Judgment given, which determines the Plea: As in a Writ of Dower, when Judgment is given that she shall recover her Dower, there the Original is determined; and the Sheriff shall make Execution of the third Part by Meets and Bounds, which Process need not be returned.

(c) 1 Roll. Rep. 84.
 1 Roll. 750.
 Palm. 2.
 2 Bulstr. 104.
 1 Leon. 194.

And for direct Authority in the Point *in terminis terminantibus*, in (c) 21 E. 3. fol. 9. a. Thorp came to the Bar, and said, How that A. brought a Writ of Accompt against B. who was awarded to Accompt, and a *Capias ad computandum* issued against him: And now the said B. has brought a Writ of Error to disturb the Accompt; and prayed, that the Record might not be sent till he had accompted: *Stowse*, it shall not; for the Plea is not ended until he hath accompted; & *ea de causa* the Court granted him, that the Record should not be removed: Et 21 E. 3. Tit. Accompt, Statham. Vide 6 E. 4. 2 & 3.

(d) Fitz. Accompt 15.
 Antea 38. b.
 Br. Accompt 63.
 Br. Nonsuit 41.
 Cro. El. 19. 636.
 Co. Lit. 139. b.
 2 Roll. 131.
 1 Roll. Rep. 84.
 85. Cro. Jac. 356.
 3 H. 1. 7. b.
 Scyl. Pract. Re-
 sist. 359.

And it is held in (d) 1 H. 7. 2. b. in these Words, If the Defendant is adjudged to Accompt, and they are at Issue before Auditors, and the Enquest is ready to pass, and the Plaintiff makes Default; now shall the Plaintiff be Nonsuit, and shall not be received after: And if the Plaintiff is present, and will not sue further, he shall be barred in the principal Action; for they said, That tho' the Party is adjudged to Accompt, yet the Action is not clearly determined until the Accompt is determined; for the Accompt depends upon the Original, and all is but one; and so the Nonsuit or Discontinuance now upon the Process upon the Accompt, is a Discontinuance of the whole Action; and it is not like other Actions, where the Plaintiff has once Judgment to recover; then the Action is clearly determined to all Intents: And when he sues a *Scire facias* to have Execution, he may be Nonsuit in it; but that makes nothing to the Original Judgment.

And yet upon Consideration of these, and all the other Books, it may well be, that to divers Intents and Purposes (as in the said Books appear) the said Award *quod computet* is a Judgment, but not such a Judgment (for the Causes and Reasons before) as is intended within the Words of the Writ of Error, *Si iudicium inde redditum fit*; and for the most Part, every particular Case which has been ruled in the said Books, may well stand upon a several and particular Reason.

Know Reader that where it is said in this Case, That a Writ of Error lies not upon an Award, till the principal Judgment is given; and where it is also said, That no Writ of Error lies till the whole Matter in the Original is determined: Both these Rules are regularly true; but yet each of them has Exceptions; for as to the first, in *Trin.* 18 *H. 7.* in the King's Bench, *Rot. 3.* the Case was, That one *Eaton* was indicted of the Death of *John M.* before Justices of Peace in the County of *Lincoln*; upon which a *Capias* was awarded, and upon that an Exigent; after which, *Eaton* died before any Attainder, upon which Award of the Exigent, his Administrators brought a Writ of Error; and it was adjudged, That the Writ of Error did lie; and the Reason was, because by the Award of the Exigent, his Goods and Chattels were forfeited; and of such Awards which tend *ad tale grave damnum* of the Party, a Writ of Error lies, altho' the principal Judgment was never given; and in this Case, *Exceptio probat regulam, & sic de similibus.* As to the 2d, you will find in 36 *H. 6. Tit. Fieri facias* 3. it is held, That in Debt against divers, by several *Præcipes*, if there be Error in the Judgment against one, he shall have a Writ of Error. For in those Originals in which there are several Declarations, and Error is against one, he shall have a Writ of Error, and the Record of his Declaration and the Pleading, &c. shall be severed from the Original, and removed into the King's Bench; and yet the Original remains here, (as well because the Court of Common Pleas is in Possession of it, as because otherwise the Common Pleas could not proceed to determine the Residue without the Original) and in such Case, as I conceive, If there is Error in the Original upon a *Certiorari* the Chief Justice shall certify but the Tenor of it: But where the Original is one, and one Declaration, he cannot have a Writ of Error till the whole is determined: For the Record cannot be in the King's Bench and here too.

It is likewise to be observed, That in the principal Case of 36 *H. 6. ubi supra*, That the Writ of *Entre sur Disseisin* was brought of Land, and of Rent; as to the Land, they were at Issue, and it was found for the Demandant; and the Rent depended yet in Plea; wherefore for the Land he shall have Judgment, &c. and there *Prisot* said, Bring us a special Writ of Error, if you will, and we will advise (when we see the Writ) if it shall be allowed, or not: And in the same Case the Opinion of the Court was, That the Party in the principal Case should not have Judgment of his Costs of Suit, till the

Cro. Jac. 357^d
Palm. 2.
1 Rol. Rep. 85.
Hughes Abr. 960.
5 Co. 111. a.
2 Sid. 93.
1 Rol. 912.
Cro. El. 225, 273.
Owen 147.
1 Leon. 325.
Godb. 377, 380.

Godb. 304.
Hardres 192^d

Antea. 39. b^d

METCALF'S Case. PART XI.

Original (*i. e.* all the Matter in the Original) was determined; for he cannot know what Damage he shall sustain before the Suit is determined, *Vide (a) Dyer 12 El. 291. b. Vide 36 H. 6. 13. a. b.*

(a) Palm. 4. c.
Dyer 291. b. pl.
67.

34 H. 6. 41. a.

39 H. 6.

Error 40.

33 H. 6. 23. b.

2 Rol. Rep. 125.

42 H. 6. 5. a.

As to the second Point it was unanimously resolved, That the Record is not removed, because 'till such Judgment given as intended in the Writ, the Chief Justice of the Common Pleas has no Authority to send it; for the Words are, *Si iudicium inde redditum sit, tunc recordum & processum, &c. mittatis, &c.* and therefore the Record doth yet remain in the Common Pleas, upon which they may proceed, notwithstanding the Roll is mark'd, *Mittitur, &c.*

Richard

Richard Godfrey's Case.

Mich. 12 *Jac.* 1.

Robert Bullen Plaintiff, in Replevin against Richard Godfrey Esquire, Owen Godfrey and Jo. Haynes, which began *Mich.* 11 *Jac. Regis Rot.* ... and declared, That they took his Cattle, *scil.* Two Cows, &c. at *Bathele* in a Place called the Common, &c. The said Richard avow'd, and the said Owen and John as Bailiffs to Richard made Conufance of taking the said Cattle, because the said Richard Godfrey was, and yet is seised of the Manor of *Bathele* in the County of *Norfolk*, (whereof the Place where was Parcel) &c. in Fee, and that the said R. and all those whose Estate he has in the said Manor *a tempore cujus*, &c. have had a Leet once *per annum*, *scil.* Within a Month after *Michaelmas*, before his Steward to be held, as to the said Manor appertaining; and that such Steward for the Time being, Time whereof of, &c. hath used to swear twelve or more of the Inhabitants and Resiants within the Leet aforesaid to be chief Pledges of the Leet, to enquire of all the Articles concerning the Leet, and to present them; and that within the said Manor, Time whereof, &c. there has been such Custom, that the said chief Pledges of the said Leet for the Time being so sworn, have used *a tempore cujus*, &c. at every Leet to present (*inter alia*) that they themselves the said chief Pledges should pay to the Lord of the Manor for the Time being, *Pro capital' argent' five pro certo Lete* ten Shillings, and have paid it at the same Leet, and that at the Leet held at the said Manor, within a Month after the Feast of *St. Mich. an.* 10 *Jac. Reg'* before *T. King* then Steward of the said

See Carthew.
235.
1 Rol. Rep. 226
73.

6 Co. 7. b.
Yelv. 186.
1 Brownl. 196.
1 Rol. Rep. 726
73.

RICHARD GODFREY's Case. PART. XI.

ſaid *Rich. Godfrey* of the ſaid Leet, the ſaid Steward ſwore the ſaid *Robert Bullen, John Shaxton, Robert Daniel* and others to the Number of twelve chief Pledges of the Leet, and to enquire of the Articles of the Leet; and they being ſo ſworn, at the ſaid Leet, *Contemptuoſe recuſaverunt preſentare quod ipſi iidem capitales plegii ſolverunt preſato Richardo Godfrey, tunc domino Manerii prædicti ad illum eandem Letam pro capitali argento ſive certo Letæ x s. Necnon adtunc & ibidem contemptuoſe recuſaverunt ſolvere Richardo ad eandem Letam,* the ſaid chief Silver or Certainty of Leet *ob quod prædicti Thomas King Seneschallus, &c. ad illam eandem Letam finem ſex Librarum ſuper euſdem capitales plegios adtunc & ibidem impoſuit,* And becauſe the ſaid ten Shillings for chief Silver, or Certainty of Leet, and the ſaid Fine of 6l. to the ſaid *Richard Godfrey* were behind and not paid, the ſaid *Richard Godfrey* avow'd, and the ſaid *Owen* and *John,* as Bailiffs of the ſaid *Richard,* acknowledged the taking of the ſaid Cattle in the Place, where, *&c. pro præd' ſeperalibus ſummis decem Solidorum & ſex Librarum, &c.* Upon which Avowry the Plaintiff demurr'd in Law. And in this Caſe four Points were moved and argued at the Bar. 1. Whether the ſaid Fine being jointly impoſed was lawful? 2. If it was not duly impoſed, whether it was void, or voidable? 3. Whether the Avowant might diſtrain for the ſaid chief Silver, or Certainty of Leet? 4. When the Defendant avows the taking for two diſtinct Cauſes, and it appears of his own ſhewing, that one of them is not any Cauſe in Law, and that for the other he has a juſt Cauſe, whether he ſhall have a Return?

Co. Lit. 126. b.
127. a.
1 Rol. Rep. 359
73.
Haghs Abr. 167.

1 Rol. Rep. 73.

And this Caſe was argued ſeveral Times at the Bar, and this Term at the Bench. And as to the firſt Queſtion, it was unanimouſly reſolved, That the Fine impoſed upon the Jurors jointly, was not lawfully impoſed, but it ought to have been aſſeſſed upon them ſeverally, and eſpecially in this Caſe, becauſe what produced the Fine was ſeveral, for the Refuſal of every of them was ſeveral and perſonal, and the Refuſal of the one is not the Refuſal of the other; and therefore it was reſolved, That if ſome reſuſe, and the others are ready to preſent, *&c.* Thoſe who reſuſe, ſhall be only fined. And therefore the Caſe which *Prifot* puts in 35 H. 6. Tit. Examination 17. That if one of the Enqueſt eſcapes after they are ſworn, ſo that they cannot give their Verdict, although the others were not aſſenting to it, that yet they ſhall be all fined, was utterly denied to be Law; for *Nemo debet puniri pro alieno Delicto,* to which he is not party, privy, conſenting nor aſſenting, for then it might be ſaid *Rutilius fecit,*

Emilius plectitur; and it was said, That the said Case was either ill reported, or ill printed. *Vide Pl. Com.* 519. b. *Weldon's Case*. One Juror who (a) misdemeaned himself, was only imprisoned and fined. *Vide* 36 H. 6. 28. And with this Resolution agrees (b) 10 E. 3. fol. 9. 10. where *William Freeman* brought a Replevin against the Abbot of *Ramsley* and others, of taking of his Cattle; the Abbot avowed the taking, by Reason that he is Lord of the Hundred of F. within which Hundred he had several Leets to hold once *per ann.* in the Town of M. within the same Hundred, &c. and that the said *William Freeman* is Resiant, &c. and that at such a Leet held within the Hundred, twelve were sworn to present Things presentable which belonged to the Oath, and this *William* was one of them, and after they had received the Articles, they were commanded to answer to the Articles, and to present, &c. and they refusing, that this *William* and the others were amerced, and the Amercement of *William* was offered to half a Mark, and for the half Mark he avowed. To which *Abbot* of Council with the Plaintiff took Exception against the Avowry, because the Avowant supposed they were amerced in common; and afterwards he said, That the Amercement of W. was offered, and so was the Afferance several, and the Amercement in common, Judgment of the Avowry? To which it was answered and resolved, That so shall the Law be, for (c) because all refused, all shall be amerced; but when the Sum shall be imposed or offered, it shall be upon every one severally *Secundum (d) quantitatem delicti salvo contenmento suo*; and afterwards the Plaintiff was commanded to say further. And in 4 Reg. El. *Dyer* 211. (e) the Jurors of a Leet refusing to present the Articles of the Leet according to their Oath, the Steward may assess a Fine upon each of them.

It was further resolved, That in a stronger Case than the Case at Bar, where the Foundation is joint, yet the Fine shall be several: As in Assise against two, the Disseisin is found with Force, altho' the Disseisin is joint, yet the Fine shall be several, and therewith agrees 10 E. 3. 10. a. So in 30 E. 3. 1, 2. 30 (f) *Aff. pl.* 49. two were jointly convicted in the King's Bench, in a Bill of Trespas of Refcous done in *Middlesex*, to the Damages of 40*l.* who join'd in Attaint, and there it is held, That though the Fine and Imprisonment is several, yet forasmuch as the Plaintiff has joined them in one Action, they may well join in an Attaint against him. And so (g) *F. N. B.* 75. g. in a Court-Baron, if two are amerced for one Trespas outragiouly, they shall not join in a Writ *De moderata Misericordia*, for they should be severally amerced, altho' the Trespas is committed jointly. (h) So in a Plaint sued by two, if they are Nonsuit, the Amerciament shall be several. And it must be known when

(a) *Plow.* 519. b.
212. a. 520. a.
1 *Rol. Rep.* 73.
Palm. 380.
Owen 38.
Co. Lit. 227. b.
2 *Rol.* 713.
1 *Anderf.* 183.
c. 119.
1 *Leon.* 132, 133.
c. 181.
Dyer 37. pl. 45.
55. pl. 10. 218.
pl. 4. 78. pl. 48.
Cro. Jac. 21.
Goldsb. 93.
Dr. & Stud. lib. 2.
c. 52.
Br. Juror 2, 12,
13, 41, 51.
14 H. 7. 29, 30,
31.
Br. Verdict 199,
108.
14 H. 7. 1. b.
20 H. 7. 3. a.
15 H. 7. 1. b.
Br. Repleader 47.
35 H. 6.
Br. Examin. 17.
39 *Aff.* 19.
Br. decies tantum 15.
Br. Imprison. 92.
(b) 8 *Co.* 39. a.
40. b.
1 *Rol. Rep.* 73.
(c) *Cr. Car.* 55,
158.
(d) *Ma. Chart.*
c. 14.
2 *Inst.* 27.
F. N. B. 75. e.
8 *Co.* 38. b.
Seid. Table-talk.
Fines 61.
(e) 1 *Rol. Rep.*
33, 74, 506.
8 *Co.* 38. b.
Dyer 211. pl. 32.
Br. Lect. 36.

(f) *Fitz. Joinder*
in Action 7.
1 *Rol.* 74, 75.
Br. Joinder in
Action 80.
Hughes Abr. 1108.
C. Car. 55, 178.

(g) *Hughes Abr.*
1108.
1 *Rol. Rep.* 74.

(h) *F. N. B.* 75. g.
1 *Rol. Rep.* 74.

RICHARD GODFREY's Case. PART XI.

Judgment is given in the King's Bench or in the Common Pleas, &c. against two & *ideo in Misericordia*, yet when it is offered by the Coroners in the County, the Amercement shall be set upon them severally. *Vide (a) 1 H. 6. 7. b.* and *Gresly's Case in the eighth Part of my Reports 39.* But if a Jury appear at the Bar, and the Plaintiff is Nonsuit, the Judges may amerce the Plaintiff; and the Jury who are of the same Country may affere it, as it is held *(b)* in *18 E. 3. 13. a.* And *(c)* there is a Difference as to this Purpose, betwixt a Fine and an Amercement: For the Fine is assess'd by the Court, and therefore need not be offered; but an Amercement ought to be offered by the Country, and therewith agree *(d) 7 H. 6. 12. b. (e) 10 H. 6. 7. a. Vide Gresly's Case, and Plowd. Com. Weldon's Case, 519.* And when there are divers Defendants, and they are by Law to pay a Fine, then the Judgment is, *ideo capiantur*, and that is for the Fine: For the Imprisonment is but till the Fine is paid, and therewith agree *17 E. 3. 73. a. 9 E. 3. 6. Vide 34 H. 6. 24. a.* and that is the Reason, that when the Entry is *ideo capiatur*, that he shall not be amerced, because he is to pay a Fine. And although the Entry is *ideo capiantur*, yet it shall be taken *reddendo singula singulis*; for the Damages of the Party they shall be taken by a joint *Capias ad satisfaciendum*, but for the Fine due to the King, they shall be taken severally by *Capias pro Fine*, as it appears before, that they shall be severally imprisoned, and severally fined, for it is not reasonable that the one should be imprison'd, till the other has paid his Fine. And in all Cases, when the Means to attain to the Fine is several, the Fine itself ought to be several; and in some Cases the Fine or Amercement shall be imposed upon divers jointly, sometimes upon a Country, sometimes upon an Hundred, and also upon a Town, &c. As for the Escape of a Murderer, &c. *Vide (f) 22 E. 3. Corone 238. 2 E. 3. Ibid. 147. 3 E. 3. Ibid. 302, 316, &c. and 10 E. 3. 10. a.* and that is for the Incertainty of the Persons, and for the Infiniteness of the Number.

(g) And it was observed, That of Courts, some may fine and not imprison, as the Court-Leet; some can neither fine nor imprison, but amerce, as the Court of the County, Hundred, Court-Baron, &c. For no Court can fine or imprison which is not a Court of Record, as *F. N. B. 73. b.* If a Man is convicted before the Sheriff in a Writ of *(h)* Recaption, the Defendant shall be but amerced; but if he is convicted in a Writ of Recaption before the Justices, *s.* in a Court of Record, the Defendant shall be fined and imprison'd; but then he shall not be amerced, and therewith agree *9 H. 5. 1. b.* Some may imprison and not fine,

as

(a) 1 H. 6. 7.
8 Co. 40. a.

(b) 8 Co. 39. b.
(c) Co. Lit. 126. b.
Br. Amerciam.
23, 65.
Kelw. 65. a.
Palin. 7.
8 Co. 39. a.
Cr. Car. 275.
Cart. 28.
2 Inst. 196.
10 H. 6. 7. b.
Cr. El. 241,
1 Rol. Rep. 74.
(d) 8 Co. 38. b.
2 Rol. Rep. 3.
7 H. 6. 12. b.
Br. Det. 85.
Br. Lect 143, 36.
1 Rol. 218, 219.
(e) Br. Ley.
Gager 99.
Br. Amercia. 50.

(f) 1 Rol. Rep.
342, 353, 75.

(g) 1 Rol. Rep.
35, 74.
8 Co. 38. b.
Hughs Abr.
1071.

(h) 8 Co. 60. b.
41. a.
F. N. B. 73. d.
122. a.

as the Constables at the Petit Sessions, for any Affray made in Disturbance of the Court, may imprison but not fine. Some Courts can neither imprison, fine, nor amerce, as Ecclesiastical Courts held before the Ordinary, Archdeacon, &c. or their Commissaries, and such as proceed according to the Canon or Civil Law. *Vide Brook, Tit. Error 177.* And some Courts may fine, imprison, and amerce, as the Case requires, as the Courts of Record at *Westminster*, and elsewhere.

It was also resolved, That the (a) Reasonableness of the Fine shall be judged by the Justices; and if it appears to them to be excessive, it is against Law, and shall not bind; for *excessus in re qualibet jure reprobatum communi*, as excessive Distress is prohibited by the (b) Common Law, 41 E. 3. (c) 26. a. (For the Act of (d) *Articuli super Chartas*, cap. 12. *Non capietur gravis districtio*, extends to the King only.) (e) *Vide 27 Ass. 51.* (f) 28 *Ass. 50.* (g) 11 H. 4. 2. a. b. (h) 8 H. 4. 16. And it appears by the Stat. of W. (i) 1. cap. 35. That excessive or outrageous Aid is against Law, and therewith agree *Glanvil, lib. 9. fol. 70. F. N. B. 82. 75.* and *Magna Charta, cap. (k) 14.* Excessive Amercement is against Law. (l) *Nullus liber homo amercietur. &c. Nisi secundum quantitatem delicti*; 10 E. 4. 10. a. acc. The same Law (m) of excessive Distress, in Respect of the Multiplicity, is against Law. (n) 27 *Ass. 50, 51. F. N. B. 178.* a. b. (o) 9 H. 7. 3. a. b. An Assise lies of outrageous Distress. 14 *Hen. 4. 9. a.* An excessive Fine at the Will of the Lord, shall be said Oppression of the People. And if (p) Tenant in Dower has Villeins or Tenants at Will, who are rich, and she by excessive Distress and Fines makes them poor and Beggars; it is by the Law adjudged to be against Law, and to be waste, as appears in 16 H. 3. *Tit. Waste 135.* 16 H. 7. & *F. N. B. 60. b. & Registr' Judic' 25.* (q) Waste lies in *exulando Henricum & Harmanum, &c. Nativos, quorum quilibet tenuit unum Mesuagium & unam virgatam terre in villa de T. per graves & intolerabiles districtiones*: By which it appears, That such intolerable Oppression of poor Villeins, and Tenants at Will, is *ad exheredationem* of him in Reversion, and against the Common Law of the Land. And in the fourth Part of my Reports, fo. 27. b. (r) If Fines of Copyholders of a Manor are uncertain, the Lord cannot demand, or exact excessive and unreasonable Fines; and the Copyholder may deny to pay it, and the Reasonableness of the Fine shall be determined by the Justices, &c. *Quam rationabilis debet esse finis non definitur, sed omnibus circumstantiis inspectis pendet ex Jussicariorum discretione.* And so it was adjudged in *Com' Banco* between

G 4

(a) Stallon

13 Co. 3. (q) 13 Co. 3. (r) 1 Rol. Rep. 75. Co. Lit. 59. b. 60. a. Cr. Car. 196. 13 Co. 3. Trebles Argument in Quo Warranto, 34. 1 Brownl. 186. Moor 623. Cr. Eliz. 351, 779. 2 Rol. 528. Hob. 135. 1 Rol. 523.

(a) Hughs Abr. 107. Cr. Car. 196. Co. Lit. 59. b. 4 Co. 27. b. Cr. El. 351, 779. Moor 623. 1 Rol. Rep. 33. 1 Rol. 523. 2 Rol. 578. 13 Co. 3. 1 Brownl. 186. (b) 13 Co. 2. 2 Inst. 232. Hughs Abr. 167. Co. Lit. 60. a. 1 Rol. 674. (c) Br. Amerciament 8. Br. Avow. 19. Fitz. Avow. 65. 13 Co. 2. (d) 2 Inst. 565. 13 Co. 2. (e) Br. Distress. 33. Br. Avow. 85. 13 Co. 2. (f) Br. Distress 35. Br. Assise 291. 13 Co. 2. (g) Br. Avow. 42. Br. Fees & Extortion 3. Br. Distress 94. Fitz. Avow. 52. 13 Co. 2. (h) Br. Distress 15. 13 Co. 2. (i) 2 Inst. 229. (k) 2 Inst. 27. (l) 8 Co. 38. b. 3 Co. 2. (m) 4 Co. 11. b. F. N. B. 178. 3. 8 Co. 50. 2. 2 Inst. 414. (n) Br. Condition 109. Br. Cont. consequence 114. Br. Reversion 16. Fitz. Assise 256. 13 Co. 3. (o) Br. Relicous 16, 28. (p) Co. Lit. 53. b. 13 Co. 3. Trebles

RICHARD GODFREY's Case. PART XI.

(2) 13 Co. 1.
1 Rol. Rep. 75.

(a) *Stallon* Plaintiff and *Brady* Servant of *Thomas Willows* Lord of the Manor of *Fenditton* in the County of *Cambridge*, *Pascha*, 9 *Jac. Rot.* 1845.

(6) 1 Rol. Rep. 75.
Hughs Abr. 167.

As to the second Point, it was resolved, That when a Fine is imposed against Law, as joint, where it should be several, as in the Case at Bar; or if it is unreasonable, it may be avoided by Plea, and Judgment of the Court in which the Suit depends, for other Remedy is not given to him.

(c) Hughs Abr. 167.
1 Jones 133.
1 Rol. Rep. 33.
35, 55, 76.
Raym. 204.
Litch. 95, 120.
1 Vent. 105.
3 Bulstr. 323.
Hughs Abr. 1910.

As to the third Point, it (c) was resolved, That the Avowant could not distrain for this Certainty of Leet, because it was against Common Right, and for the private Advantage of the Lord of the Leet, which the Lord could not have without Prescription; and therefore as he ought to prescribe in the Principal, so he ought to prescribe in the Distress. In 6 E. 3. 10. a. the Case was, That *William* brought a Replevin against *John*, of taking of his Cattle wrongfully, the Defendant avowed, that the said *John* was chief Deciner of the Hundred (which is intended of the Leet) of *F.* And said, That the Lord of the Hundred had every Year two Marks, to receive the Moiety at the Hundred held next after the Feast of *Easter*, and the other Moiety at the Hundred held next after the Feast of *St. Michael*, and that the Lords had always been seised of the said Prestation, to receive it by the Hands of the chief Deciners; and further said, that they levied the said two Marks of all the Resiants within the Precinct of the Hundred, according as they had Lands and Goods; and he and all the chief Deciners had so levied it Time out of Mind, and to one Mark, that the Plaintiff, &c. was assessed according to his Lands and Goods which he had, in eight Pence; and for the eight Pence behind, he avowed: In which it was observed, That the said two Marks being against common Right, he prescribed to levy it, and there *Sir William Herle* said, That in many Places of *England*, those which are in Dozein, shall make the Prestation, and the Freeholders not. In 11 H. 4. fol. 89. a. b. & Resid' 13 H. 4. 9. a. b. in a Replevin, the Defendant as Bailiff of the Abbot of *Cerve*, because the Abbot is seised of the Hundred of *Totcombe* in the County of *Dorset*, and has there an Hundred (Court) from three Weeks to three Weeks, and has three Leets every Year; one to be held 15 *Mich.* the second the Morrow of *Hillary*, and the third at *Hockday*; to which Leets come three Deciners with their Dozein, and present Things presentable, whereof one is called the first Dozein, the second, the second Dozein, and the third, the third Dozein; who with their Deciners yield *de certo Letæ*, a certain Rent at the Leet-Days; and because the Dozeiners came not the Morrow after *Hil-*
lary,

1 Rol. Rep. 76.
2 Inst. 71.
Err. Avowry 57.
Br. Distress 18.
87 que Estate 9.

lary, Anno 10 Regis nunc, the same Dozein was amerced 6*d.*: and also that the Rent *de certo Leta* was not paid at the Leet held after *Easter*, the Deciner was amerced with all the Dozein, and so for the two Causes he avowed: There *Terwit* took Exception to the Avowry, that the Lord should not amerce the Dozein for Non-payment of the Rent: To which Sir *William Therninge*, Chief Justice, who gave the Rule, answered, That it should be amerced in that Case where the Sum is payable at the Day of the Leet: 2. The Court there held clearly, that where a Man of a Dozein is amerced in the Hundred, or Leet, that his Cattle shall be taken, *i. distrained* well enough in what Place soever they are found within the Hundred, altho' it is in another Dozein. *Vide 15 Eliz.*

(a) *Dyer 322. a.* For an Amercement in a Court-Baron, the Lord shall not distrain without Prescription. *Vide (b) 44 E. 3. 13. a.* But (c) for a Fine, and all Amercements in a Leet, a Distress is incident of Common Right. *Vide Grefley's Case* afore said, *fo. 41. a. b.*

As to the fourth Point, admitting that he may distrain for the Certainty of the Leet, and that the Imposition of the Fine is void; and he has avowed the taking of the same Beasts for both Causes, and (d) it appears of his own shewing, that he has no Cause for one, if he should have a Return, or nor, was the Question. And it was objected, That in such Case he should not, because the Avowant is an Actor, and the Avowry is in Lieu of an Action: And (e) if a Writ is brought for two Things, and it appears by the Plaintiff's own shewing, that he has no Cause for one, the whole Writ shall abate; for they said, That the Writ which is the Foundation of the Action, ought to comprehend Truth; and if it is apparent, that there wants Truth in the Writ, the Writ shall abate; and therefore some took a Difference, when one brings an Action for two Things, and it appears in the Writ that he mistakes the Truth of the Matter of one of them, there the whole Writ shall abate; as if a Man brings an Action of Debt, or avows for Rent at two Days, and one Day is not come, it was said, the whole Writ or Avowry shall abate: But when the Demandant mistakes the Law for one of them; as if Waste is assign'd in Oaks and Blackthorn, there is a Misprision of the Law. Others took a Difference betwixt General Writs, as Dower, *Unde nihil habet*, Affise, Waste, &c. and therefore if the Demandant in Dower, makes her Demand to be endowed of Land and of (f) Common *sans* Number; or if he brings an Affise of Land and of an Annuity; or if he assigns Waste in Timber and Blackthorn, in such Cases, forasmuch as the Writs are general,

(a) 1 Rol. 366,
367, 666.
Kel. 66 pl. 8.
3 Leon. 38.
1 Leon. 190.
Cr. Eliz. 748.
Dyer 322. pl. 23.
Dr. & Stud. 74. a.
Hughes Abr. 167.
1910.
Hob. 206.
(b) *Fitz. Avowry*
73.
Br. Avowry 25.
(c) *Cro. Jac. 382.*
1 Rol. Rep. 201,
665.
Cr. Eliz. 414.
Moor 356.
Dr. & Stud. 74. a.
(d) 1 Rol. Rep.
77.
Hob. 133.
(e) *Antea 5. b.*
1 Rol. Rep. 34.
77.
36 H. 6. 28. 2.
Hob. 164, 178,
199.
Yelv. 71, 148.
Palm. 524.
Cr. Jac. 70, 104.
Cr. Car. 575.
Cr. El. 325.
1 *Brownl. 68.*
1 *Sand. 285.*

(f) *Cr. Car. 300.*
1 *Jones 315.*
1 *Rol. 675.*
Co. Lit. 30, 32. a.
Perk. Sect. 381.

RICHARD GODFREY's Case. PART XI.

general, it shall stand for so much as may be maintained by Law, for the Writ remains true, but in such Case the Count, Plaint, or Assignment shall abate for the rest; but it is otherwise when the Writ comprehends Certainty, and it appears that the Writ doth not lie for part, there the whole Writ shall abate. Know Reader, That the Law doth not warrant these Differences in the whole, but the common and true Rule and Difference is; where a Man brings an Action, be the Writ general, or certain and particular; and he demands (a) two Things, and it appears of his own shewing, that he cannot have an Action, or better Writ for one of them; there the Writ shall not abate for the whole, but shall stand for that which is good: But when a Man brings an Action for two Things, and it appears, that he cannot have this Writ for one Thing, but may have another in another Form, there the Writ shall abate for all, and shall not stand for that which is good. And therefore, if Executors bring a special Writ upon the Statute of (b) 4 E. 3. c. 7. for breaking the Testator's Close, and carrying away a certain Sum of Money *in vita Testatoris*; and altho' it is certain, and appears of their own shewing, yet forasmuch as for the Breaking of the Close, they cannot have an Action, the Defendant was ruled to answer to the Money in (c) 11 H. 4. 3. b. (d) 38 H. 6. (8.) 24. 25. In Detinue of a Box sealed, with Charters and Munniments concerning the Plaintiffs Inheritance, the Plaintiff declar'd of four Charters come to the Defendant's Hands by Trover, and entituled himself well to three, and it appeared by his Declaration that the fourth concern'd Land, whereof the Plaintiff and his Wife were jointly seised, as appeared by his own shewing, but because that went to the Action as to the Husband (for he alone, in such Case, cannot have other Action;) for this Cause it was adjudged, That the Writ should stand good for the Remnant, (e) 9 H. 6. 54. a. (f) 16 H. 7. (5.) 15. a. If a Man brings a Formedon of Land, and of an Advowson, altho' the Writ is certain, and it appears of his own shewing, that a Formedon does not lie for the Advowson, yet forasmuch as it goes to the Action of the Writ, as to that the Writ shall stand good for the Land. 9 H. 7. 4. b. and 16 H. 7. 5. a. 37 H. 6. 25. b. So if a Man makes Avowry of the taking of Distress for divers Rents arrear, and it appears upon his own shewing, that Parcel is not yet due, yet the Avowry is good for the Remnant, and shall not abate in the whole. *Vide* 44 E. 3. 13. a. 48 E. 3. 4. b. and 5. a. 22 Elix. Dyer 369. 370. A Man brought a Writ of *Ejectione custodie terre & heredis*, and the Parties pleaded to Issue, and it was found for the Plaintiff, and the Plaintiff had Judgment of the Land only, for it lies not of the Heir.

Vide

(a) 1 Sand. 285.
Moor 281.
Cr. Jac. 104.
pl. 40.
1 Roll. Rep. 11.
Hob. 178, 279.
Stiles 175. a.
Kel. 31. b.
Plow. 424. b.

(b) Raft. Ent.
640.
Savil. 118.
Latch. 167.
Poph. 189.
Cr. El. 377, 384.
387.
Own. 156.
Moor 267.
(c) Fitz. Brief
229.
Br. Brief 118.
Br. Executors
120.
Br. Joinder in
Action 20.
(d) 38 H. 6. 24. b.
25. a.
Fitz. Detinue 21.
Br. Charters de
Ter. 47.
Br. faits 51.
Hard. 166.
Br. Reserv. 18.
(e) Fitz. Detinue
6.
Hob. 279.
Br. Brief 18.
Br. Charter de
Ter. 6.
9 H. 6. 46. a.
(f) 16 H. 7. 15. a.
Hob. 280.
1 Roll. Rep. 77.
Hob. 133.
Dyer 369, 370.
pl. 56.
2 Bullst. 28.
5 Co. 108. a.
1 Roll. 784.
10 Co. 130. b.
132. a.
11 Co. 56. a.
F. N. B. 139.
Cr. Jac. 104.
2 E. 2.
4 Barr. 4.
24 E. 3. 166.

Vide 8 E. 2. *Brief*. 847. 41 E. 3. *Brief* 543. 26 E. 3. 64.
9 H. 6. 10. 46. 11 H. 6. 5. 22 H. 6. 14. 26 H. 6. *Attaint* 4.
6 E. 4. 7. 8 E. 4. 3. 18 E. 4. 27. 21 E. 4. 24. But if a Man
brings a Writ of Entry in the Nature of an Assise of two
Acres, where of his own shewing for one Acre he ought to
have a Writ of Entry in the *Per*, or in the like Cases; there
the whole Writ shall abate, because he may have a better
Writ as to one Acre; and that doth not extend to the Action,
but to the Writ only, 16 H. 7. 5. *acc.* *Vide* 20 H. 7. *p. ul-*
time. And Judgment was given for the Plaintiff against the
Avowant.

Richard

RICHARD LIFORD'S Case.

Mich. 12 Jac. I.

See Skinner 532,
629.
2 Roll. Rep. 95.
Hard. 49.
3 Keble 412.
Hughes Abr.
1026, 1569.

Cr. Car. 437.

IN Trespass by *Thomas Stamp*; Gentleman, Plaintiff, against *John Clinton* Defendant, which began *Trin. 12 Jac. Regis. Rot. 343.* and declared (*inter alia*) for breaking his Closes, called *Wittenham's* in *Peasmere*, in the County of *Berks*; the Defendant pleaded, *quod quidam Johan' Liford defunct' pater Richardi Liford*, was seised of a Capital Messuage, called *Peasmere Farm*, and of divers Lands and Tenements thereunto belonging, in *Peasmere* aforesaid, whereof the said Closes called *Wittenham's* were Parcel, &c. in his Demesne as of Fee, & ultimo Julii, anno 4 Reg. nunc, by his Deed indented demised to the said *Thomas Stamp*, and one *Mary Parker* the Tenements aforesaid, in which (*Excepto uno cotagio vocat' the Forge, ac omnibus arboribus, quercubus, ulmis, & fraxinis atunc crescent', ultra crescentiam 21 annorum (arboribus decas' non existen' macremium tantummodo reservat', &c.) habend' & tenend' tenementa prad' cum pertin' unde, &c. (except' pra-except') prasat' Thomæ Stamp & Mariæ Parker pro durante vita naturali ipsius Thomæ & Mariæ & eorum diutius viven', virtute cujus dimissionis videm Thomæ & Mariæ postea, & ante prad' tempus quo, &c. in Tenementa prad' cum pertin' in quibus, &c. intraver' & fuer' & adhuc existunt inde Seisiti in Dominico suo ut de libero tenemento pro Termino vitarum suarum.* And the said *John Liford* being seised of the Reversion, 8 Jan. anno Reg' nunc Sexto, by his Deed indented for him and his Heirs, covenanted with *J. Winchecomb* and *Vincent Smith*, as well in Consideration of a Marriage to be solemnised *inter prad' Rich' filium & hered' apparent' prad' Johan' Liford & Johan' Shepreve*

as in Consideration of paternal Love and Affection to the said Richard, and his other Sons; that he and his Heirs *Extunc in posterum starent & essent seisti de Tenem. præd' cum pertin' superius dimissis*, to the Use of the said Rich. and the Heirs Males of his Body; and afterwards to the Use of Thomas his Son, and the Heirs Males of his Body; and with the like Remainders to Daniel and Nath. his Sons, leaving the Reversion of the Fee-simple in himself: By Force whereof, and of the Statute of Uses, the said Rich. was seised of the Reversion of the Tenement in Tail, and the said J. Clinton by his Command enter'd into the said Clofes call'd *Wittenhams*, to shew to one Henry Lawrence and William Lawrence certain Oaks then *crescen' in Claus. præd'*, which at the Time of the Demise were above the Age of 21 Years & *quæ ad præd' R. Liford de jure pertinebant*, and there then sold to them six Oaks, &c. *prout ei bene licuit, quæ est eadem fractio, &c.* and demanded Judgment *si Actio, &c.* Upon which Plea the Plaintiff demurr'd in Law: And this Case was divided into two general Questions, the 1st, What Thing was excepted by the Exception of the Trees? 2d, What Thing pass by the said Conveyance of the Reversion?

And that the Trees should not pass to R. Liford, four Objections were made: 1st, That by the Exception, the Trees remain as Chattels in the Lessor: The 2d, admitting, that by the Exception the Trees remain in him as an Inheritance; then by the Exception the Soil itself is excepted to the Lessor: The 3d, That a Freehold or Inheritance in Possession cannot be by the Rules of the Law, Parcel of the Reversion expectant upon the Freehold: The 4th, That by the said Covenant, J. Liford covenanted to stand seised *de Tenem' præd' cum pertin' superius dimissis*, and therefore R. Liford could not have more than were demised, and the Trees were not demised. As to the first they conceived, That by the Exception the Trees were Chattels in the Lessor, divided in Law from the Freehold and Inheritance of the Land; for when a Man demises Land for Life, the Property of the Trees is in the Lessee, and the Lessor has but a Possibility to have them again, *sc.* If they remain annex'd to his Inheritance when the Lessee for Life dies, and therefore it is resolved by the whole Court in the Abbot of *Tores* Case, in 21 H. 6. 40. b. That if a Man makes a Lease for Life of his Land, he cannot give the Trees to a Stranger, because he has but a Possibility, and by Consequence when he excepts them to himself, they remain in him as Chattels: And it is held in 12 E. 4. 8. a. That if a Man makes a Lease for Years, and the Lessee sells the Trees, the Lessor cannot take them. *Vide* 13 H. 7. 9. b. (12.) 21 E. 4. 52 l. and if the Lessor sells the Trees, the Lessee shall have them as it is held in 44 E. 3. 44. b. Which Books and many others prove, That the Property of the Trees is in the Lessee for Years, *a fortiori* in the Lessee

Br. done & remainder 13.
4 Co. 62. b.
Palm. 328.
Cr. Car. 274.
2 H. 7. 14. b.
1 Rol. Rep. 97.
Moor 9.

Br. Tresp. 430.

11 Co. 82. a.

for

RICHARD LIFORD'S *Case*. PART. XI.

for Life; and therefore when the Lessor excepts them, he shall have them as Chattels severed from the Land. And they rely'd much upon the Book in 2 *El. Dyer* (a) 184. in *Daunsey's Case*, where Question is made, when Trees are excepted in Case of Lessee for Years, whether they should be Chattels in the Lessor; of which they would never have made a Doubt, in Case of Lessee for Life; for the Law makes a great (b) Difference between an Exception in Case of a Lease for Years, and in the Case of a Lease for Life; and therefore if a Man makes a Lease for Life of a Manor, excepting one Acre, this Acre, during the Lease, is not Parcel of the Manor; for in such Case, in a real Action brought of the Manor, Exception ought to be made; otherwise it is in Case of a Lease for Years, as appears (c) 38 *H. 6.* 38. a. *Plo. Com.* 103. b. in *Fulmerston's Case* (d). So if the King makes a Lease for Life of a Manor, without speaking of the Advowson, the Advowson remains in the King as in *Gross*, *quod omnes concesserunt*, as appears in (e) 38 *H. 6.* 34. b. And there it is adjudg'd, That by Grant of the Reversion, *habendum* the Reversion with the Advowson, the Advowson shall not pass to the Patentee; for the Advowson in such Case was severed and become in gross as to the Fee, which it was said has great Affinity with the Case at Bar. 2. Admitting that the Trees are reserved as an Inheritance in the Lessor; then the Land itself, upon which the Trees grow, is thereby excepted, as it is resolved in *Ives's Case*, in the fifth Part of my Reports, fol. 11. b. *Vide* 44 *E. 3.* 22. 46 *E. 3.* 22. b. 27 *Ass.* 49. (f) 3 *H. 6.* 45. a. (g) 16 *E. 4.* 2. a. (h) 14 *H. 8.* 1. a. b. 33 *H. 8.* *Br. Reservation* 39. 6 & 7 *E. 6.* (i) *Dy.* 79. a. and then it cannot pass by the Conveyance of the Reversion, for it was not any Part of the Demise, and therefore if a Man makes a Lease for Life of a Manor, excepting one Acre, and afterwards grants the Reversion of the Manor to another in Fee, the Acre in Possession shall not pass, but is severed from the Manor for ever: As if *A.* is disseised of an Acre, Parcel of his Manor, altho' the Acre in right is Parcel of the Manor, yet if *A.* enfeoffs another of his Manor, the right of that Acre shall not pass, but is severed from the Manor for ever, as it is held in (k) 38 *H. 6.* 38. a. So if a Man is disseised of a Common Appendant, notwithstanding the Disseisin, it in Right is appendant to the Manor; and yet if during the Time of the Disseisin, a Feoffment in Fee is made of the Manor, the Common is severed and extinct for ever, as it is held in 4 *E. 3.* 46. a. and *F.N.B.* 180. f. So in the Case at Bar, by the Exception of the Trees, the Soil itself is excepted, wherefore it cannot pass by the Grant of the Reversion. 3. It was objected, That a Reversion expectant upon a Freehold, may be Parcel or Appendant to a Freehold and Inheritance in Possession; but a Freehold or Inheritance in Possession, cannot be Parcel or Appendant to a Reversion expectant upon a Freehold, as it is held in 38 *H. 6.* 38. a.

(a) 4 *Co.* 63. a
1 *Roll. Rep.* 96.
Palmer 328.

(b) *Co. Lit.*
324. b. 325. a.

(c) *Br. Comprise, &c.* 28.
5 *Co.* 11. b.
Cr. El. 522.
(d) *Co. Lit.* 307. a.

(e) *Br. Patents*
29.
5 *Co.* 11. b.
Plowd. 152. b.
399. a. b.

(f) 1 *Roll. Rep.*
94. 95.
Co. Lit. 4. b.
Perk. sect. 643.
Br. Reversiv. 1.
(g) *Br. General Issue* 43.
Br. Leases 44.
5 *Co.* 11. a.
(h) *Br. Trespass*
167.
Br. Property 17.
Br. Exception 2.
Br. Reserv. 30.
3 *Bull.* 290.
(i) 5 *Co.* 11. a.
9 *Dyer* 39.
(k) 5 *Co.* 11. b.
Cr. El. 522.
Br. Comprise, &c. 28.
Plowd. 103. b.

Palmer 497.

4. The Conveyance of the Reversion doth not touch the Trees, and the Soil under them; for the said Conveyance recites the said Demise of the Land, with the Exception of the Trees, and conveys *Tenementa præd' cum pertin' superius dimiss.* and the Exception is not any Parcel of the Demise, as it is agreed in 3 *H. 6. 45. a.* and therefore the said Conveyance doth not extend to the Trees excepted, and by Consequence they cannot pass with the Reversion; and for these Reasons the Plaintiff ought to recover.

Perk. Sect. 643.
Br. Reservat. 1.

On the other Side it was argued by the Defendant's Council, and unanimously agreed by the whole Court, that the Plaintiff should be barred.

And as to the first, it was answered and resolved, that the Trees, notwithstanding the Exception, remain Parcel, and grow out of the Inheritance of the Land, and are not Chattels, nor should go to his Executors, but should descend to his Heir, if no Conveyance had been made of the Reversion, and that for divers Reasons. 1. The Law doth not favour Fractions and Severances of Trees from the Freehold and Inheritance of the Land, because the Trees would be thereby often wasted and destroyed: And therefore if a Man by Deed indented, bargains and sells, gives and grants his Manor of *D.* and all his Trees growing thereon to another, and the Deed is not enrolled according to the Statute, forasmuch as the Manor will not pass, the Trees shall not pass to the Bargainee, and so to be severed from the Manor, altho' they are granted by express Words, and the Grant of every one shall be taken most strong against himself, as it was resolved in 9 *Eliz. Reg.* and so was it held in 15 *Eliz.* in *Andrew's Case* in the Common Pleas, which I myself heard. In 23 *Eliz. Dyer 374. a* a Man demiseth, granteth, and to Farm letteth a Farm, &c. together with all Manner of Timber, Wood, Underwood and Hedge Rows, therunto appertaining (except all great Oaks growing in one certain Close about the Farm-house) to have and to hold the Farm for the Term of 21 Years rendring Rent; and the Doubt was, Whether the Lessee might fell and sell the Timber-Trees not excepted, without being impeach'd for the Waste, and the Lord *Dyer* conceived that he might by this Word Grant, and by the Meaning of the Exception of great Oaks, &c. Also the *Habendum* which conveys the Limitation for Years, doth not mention Timber, &c. But *Periam, Windham* and *Mead*, gave Judgment against the Defendant, That he could not fell the Timber, for it was not sever'd from the Inheritance, nor passed by the Grant. The second Reason was, That when a Man demises his Land for Life or Years, the Lessee has but a particular Interest in the Trees, but the general Interest of the Trees remains in the Lessor; For the Lessee shall have the Mast and Fruit of the Trees, and Shadow for his Cattle, &c. but the Interest of the Body of the Trees is in the Lessor as Parcel of

Vide ante 24.
Cr. Car. 243.

Dyer 374. pl. 18.
1 Rol. Rep. 100.
2 Bulstr. 6.
Mo. 831, 881.
Palm. 32.
Hughes Abr.
1741.
Hob. 234.

Hard. 48.
1 Rol. Rep. 100.

Postea fol. 81. b.

RICHARD LIFORD's Case. PART XI.

Dyer 36. pl. 36.
1 Rol. Rep. 182.

his Inheritance; and this appears in 29 H. 8. Dyer 36. where it is held in express Words, That it cannot be denied that the Property of great Trees, *scil.* The Timber, is reserved by the Law to the Lessor, but he cannot grant it without the Termor's Licence, for the Termor has an Interest in it, *scil.* To have the Mast and Fruit growing upon it, and the Loppings thereof for Fewel, but the very Property of the Tree is in the Lessor as annexed to his Inheritance, and all this Word for Word appears in the said Book: And in 1 Mar. Dy. 90.

Dyer 90. pl. 8.
10 H. 7. 2. b. 32a.

it is also held, That the Lessee shall have the Fruit of the Trees, and the Branches for Fewel and Inclosure of Fences. And in 10 H. 7. 3. a. the Lessee has no Interest in the Trees, but to lop them, or for Shadow for his Cattle; so that there it is said, That the Lessee has Interest in the Tree, and the Lessor also. *Vide Herlakenden's Case, in the fourth Part of my Reports* 62. And upon Consideration of these and many

Postea 81. b.
Cr. Jac. 459.
Cr. El. 17.

other Books, it was resolved, That when no Exception is in the Lease of the Trees, the Lessee has such particular Interest in the Tree as is aforesaid, and the Inheritance of the Tree is in the Lessor: And by this Difference all our Books are well reconciled; which Difference also appears in the Books themselves, for in Wast against Lessee for Life or Years in Case of felling of Trees, it is said in the Writ to be *Ad exharedationem* of the Lessor, and the Lessor after he has made a Lease for Life, may by Deed grant the Trees or reasonable Estovers out of them to another and his Heirs, and it shall take Effect after the Death of the Lessee, and such Grant by the Lessor is good in Respect of the Inheritance which he has in such Case in the Trees, and the Lessor may by Word command (licence) the Lessee to fell the Trees,

Dyer 91. a.
4 Co. 62. b.
Cr. Car. 274.
10 H. 7. 2. b.
3. a.
Moor 9.
2 Inst. 642.
1 Ro. Rep. 100.
Plow. 470. b.
Cr. El. 1.
Moor 907.

as it is held in 18 E. 3. 54. & 2 H. 7. 14. b. But what is said in the said Book of 21 H. 6. 46. b. is true, That such Gift to a Stranger is void, during the Estate for Life, for the particular Prejudice that may accrue to him who has the Estate for Life: And in 50 E. 3. 10. a. b. in *Frankley's Case* it is said, That at the Common Law it was never seen that any Tithes should be paid of great Trees, because, they are Parcel of the Inheritance, and it is provided by the Statute of 45 E. 3. 3. that in such Case a Prohibition lies, as before has been used, which proves that the Common Law was so, before the making of the Stat. So it was held, *Pasche* 42 *El. Reg. inter Sampson & Worthington* in C. B. That if Timber Trees have been usually topped and lopped, no Tithes shall be paid of them; for as the Law privileges the Body of the Tree, being Parcel of the Inheritance, so it privileges the Branches also: And therewith agrees *Doct. & Stud.* 175. So if a Man sells his Timber Trees, Tithes shall not be paid, for the Germens which are growing *ex radicibus seu stipitibus*, in Respect that the Root is Parcel of the

1 Rol. 640.
Cr. El. 478.
Plow. 470. b.
Moor 762.

Postea 81. a.
1 Rol. 100, 640.
Cr. El. 55.

Inheritance

Inheritance, as it was held, *Pascha* 29 *Eliz.* in this Court. So if a Timber Tree becomes *arida, sicca, & non portans folia nec Fructus in aestate, nec existens Macerium*, and the Owner sells it, no Tithes shall be paid thereof, for the Inheritance which was once in him; which Privilege extends to it when it becomes Dotard, as it was adjudged in *C. B. Hill. 2 Jac. Rot. 229. inter (a) Brook and Rogers.* So for the

(b) Bark of Oaken Timber, no Tithes shall be paid, for the Reason aforesaid, and therewith agrees *Doct. and Stud. 175.* But for (c) Acorns Tithes shall be paid, because they grow yearly, as appears in the *Regist' fol. 49.* And a Man may have an Inheritance in Fee-simple in Lands, as long as such a Tree shall grow (d) 27 *H. 8. 29. b.* because a Man may have an Inheritance in the Tree itself. *Vide 46 E. 3. t. b. in Trespass, 32 H. 6. 2. 20 H. 6. 22. b. 5 H. 4. 2.* It is enacted by the Stat. of (e) *W. 2. cap. 22. Cum duo vel tres*

tenent boscum, &c. That if one (f) Tenant in Common in Fee-simple commits Waste in the Trees, the other shall have an Action of Waste, and the Writ shall say *ad exhæredationem*, as in 29 *Ed. 3. fol. 19.* if one Coparcener before Partition, makes a Feoffment to another, and one of them commits Waste in the Trees, an Action of Waste lies, and that was provided for the Preservation of Trees, and *F. N. B. 49.*

(g) If a Parson of a Church and one *A.* are Tenants in Common of a Wood, and *A.* endeavours to commit Waste, the Parson, for the Preservation of the Timber Trees, shall have (h) a Prohibition against him, that he shall not commit Waste; and the Reason thereof, as the *C. J.* said, was, That if the Parson of a Church will waste the Inheritance of his Church to his private Use in felling Trees, the Patron may have a Prohibition against him; for the Parson is seized as in the Right of his Church, and his Glebe is the Dower of his Church, for of it he was endowed; and so speaks many ancient Records; and so forasmuch as a Prohibition lies against him, Reason requires that he should have the like Remedy against him who holds in Common with him, and thereupon a notable Resolution in the Parliament held at *Carlisle* (i) 35 *Ed. 1.* was cited to this Effect; for there, upon Complaint made (in these Words) Will our Lord the King understand, That Sir *Anthony*, Bp. of *Durham*, wastes and destroys all the Wood appertaining to his Church in the Bishoprick of *Durham*, by giving, and selling, and ill keeping, and by erecting Forges of Iron and Lead, and burning of Coals, &c. wherefore if our Lord the King, who is Patron of the Church, puts not Remedy thereto, the Church aforesaid will be disinherited and impoverished, in Prejudice of our Lord the King in his Crown, and of the Chapter of *Durham*: *Ita responsum est; Inhibeatur per breve de Cantuar' Episcopo & Ministr' suis ne faciant vastum de content' su' p'rit'*; by which it appears, That the Parliament refer'd

(a) Hugh's Abri
691. Moor 208.
cap. 1273.
2 Inst. 643.
1 Rol. Rep. 1602
Cr. Eb. 477.

Cro. Jac. 100.
1 Rol. 640.
Postea 81. a.
(b) 1 Rol. Rep.
100. 1 Rol. 640.
2 Inst. 643. Doct.
& Sr. d. 174. b.
(c) 1 Rol. 640.
1 R. I. R. 100.

2 Inst. 643.
(d) P. owd. 557.
1 Rol. Rep. 101.
(e) 2 Inst. 403.
(f) Co. Lit.
200. b. 247. b.
Moor 1.
21 E. 3. 29. a. b.
2 Inst. 403.

(g) 1 Rol. Rep.
100. F. N. B.
49. J. 59. D.

(h) Hob. 36.
2 Bulltr. 279.
2 Rol. 813.
1 Rol. Rep. 86
Godb. 259.

(i) Ryley's p'la-
cir. Parliam. 335.
1 Rol. Rep. 86.
100, 167, 335.
2 Rol. 813.
2 Bulltr. 279.
11 Co. 72. B.

Postea fol. 72. B.

it

RICHARD LIFORD's Case. PART XI.

it to the ordinary Remedy of the Common Law by Writ of Prohibition in such Case: And *Mich. 23 Ed. 1. inter adjudicata coram Rege*, Hunt. fol. 83. in *Theſaur' Scaccarii ſic adjudicatur, quod Eccleſia eſt infra atatem & in cuſtodia domini Regis, qui tenetur jura & hereditates ejuſdem manutencere & defendere: Et Rot' Patent' Anno 14 H. 3. M. 8. Archiepiſcopus Dublin fecit ſinem de 300 marcis pro deaforeſtatione foreſte Archiepiſcopatus ſui. Vide 2 Hen. 4. 3. b.* If a Biſhop or Archdeacon abates, or ſells all the Wood that he has, as Biſhop, he ſhall be depoſed as a (a) Dilapidator of his Houſe, 29 E. 3. 16. a. acc' *Vide 27 Aff. pl. 10. 20 H. 6. 46. a. 10 E. 4. 19.* And the Treatiſe entituled, *Ne rectores proſternant arbores in cœmeterio*, which is but a Declaration of the Common Law, in theſe Words, *Prohibemus ne Eccleſiarum rectores arbores in cœmeterio creſcent' preſumant proſternere indiſcrete, niſi cum Cancellus Eccleſie neceſſaria indiget reſedione, &c.* And it is regularly true, (b) *Meliorẽ conditionem Eccleſia facere poteſt Prælatuſ, deteriorẽ nequaquam.*

As to the 2d Objection, a Difference was taken betwixt a (c) Wood which may be demanded in a *Præcipe* by the Name of ſo many Acres of Wood, and Trees growing out of any Wood which can't be demanded in a *Præcipe* by any Name but by the Name of Land or Paſture, &c. where they grow: For if ſuch a Wood, whereof a *Præcipe* lies, is Parcel of my Manor of G. and I leaſe my Manor, excepting Woods, thereby the Soil itſelf is excepted, and in a *Præcipe* brought of the Manor, an Exception ought to be made of ſo many Acres of Wood; but in ſuch Caſe (d) if I except all my Trees which grow out of any Wood, but upon Land or Paſture, there, by the Exception of the Trees, the Soil itſelf is not excepted, but ſufficient Nutriment out of the Land is reſerved to ſuſtain the Vegetative Life of the Trees, for without that, the Trees which are excepted, can't ſubſiſt; but if the Leſſor ſells them, or by the Leſſee's Licence grubs them up, in ſuch Caſe the Leſſee ſhall have the Soil; for (e) *ceſſante cauſa ceſſat effectuſ*. And this Difference may be collected out of *Ive's Caſe, in the 5th Part of my Reports, fol. 111. Vide 14 Hen. 8. 1. a. b.* If I (f) by Deed, grant all my Trees within my Manor of G. to one and his Heirs, the Grantee ſhall have an Inheritance in them, without any Livery and Seiſin. *Vide Sir (g) Francis Barrington's Caſe, in the 8th Part of my Reports, fol. 137. (h)* And in a *Præcipe* brought againſt Leſſee for Life, where the Trees are excepted, you need not in ſuch Caſe, except the Trees, becauſe no *Præcipe* lies of them, but they ſhall be recovered by him who has Right Paramount by the Recovery of the Land: *Vide for the ſaid Rule of Exception, (i) 4 Ed. 3. 48. 17 E. 3. 62. 10. Hen. 7. 17. F. N. B. 201, &c.* And by this Difference, apparent in our Books, they all are well reconciled.

See 1 Sa'k. 135.
That a Biſhop
may be deprived
for Dilapidations.
Poſt. 98. b.
(a) Godbolt 259.
Poſtea 98.
1 Rol. Rep. 86.
167. b.
Br. Depoſition 1.
9 E. 4. 34. a.
11 Co. 72. b.
Skin. 236.

(b) Co. Lit. 2. b.
102. b.
Hawk. Max. 4.
Wing. Max. 4.

(c) 1 Leon. 49.
Cro. Jac. 487,
488.
1 Rol. Rep. 97, 99.
Co. Lit. 4. b.
Dyer 19. a.

(d) 44 E. 3. 34. b.
Perk. Sect. 642,
643.
1 Rol. Rep. 98.
Cro. Jac. 487, 488.
5 Co. 11. a.

(e) 13 Co. 38.
Co. Lit. 76. a.
78. b. March. 9.
2 Inſt. 103.
Dav. 3.
Moer 181.
Hawks Max. 75.
Wing. Max. 29.
(f) 2 Anderſ. 51.
Br. Treſpaſs 167.
Br. Leaſe 44.
Br. Reſerv. 30.
Br. Except. 2.
Br. Property 17,
48. 1 Rol. Rep.
96, 100.
Cr. El. 521, 522.
14 H. 8. 2. b.
(g) 1 Rol. Rep.
96, 99.
(h) Plowd. 104. a.
5 Co. 11. b.
(i) 1 Rol. Rep.
96, 99.
F. N. B. 201. g.

And in the said Exception five Things were observed :

1. That notwithstanding the Exception, they remain as Parcel of the Inheritance: 2. That the Soil itself is not excepted; but sufficient Nutriment for the Growth of the Tree: Cro. Jac. 459.
2 Rol. 455.

3. That the Lessee shall have the Pasture under the Tree, as in *4 El. 6. Tit. Waste, Br. 136.* Nothing shall be recovered in Waste, but the Circuit of the Root, and not the Latitude of the Branches: 4. That the Lessee shall have all the Benefits of the Trees: And 5thly, The Young of all Birds that breed in the Trees, and the Fruits. And it was resolved, That altho' *fictione juris quoad* the Lessee, the Tree is divided from the Freehold, yet *in facto* and Truth, as to all others, it is Parcel of the Lessor's Inheritance: For it was said, That Timber Trees can't be fell'd with a Goose-quill, as if Tenant in Tail sells the Trees to another, now they are a Chattel in the Vendee, and his Executors shall have them, and in such Case *fictione juris* they are severed from the Land; but if Tenant in Tail dies before actual Severance, as to the Issue in Tail, they are Parcel of his Inheritance, and shall go with it, and the Vendee can't take them, and yet *quoad* the Tenant in Tail himself, they were severed for a Time, *18 Ed. 4. 6. a. 11 H. 4. 32. Plow. Com. 259. a. & 438. 27 Hen. 8. 5. b.* So in the Case at Bar *quoad*, the Lessee *fictione juris* they are divided for a Time; but *quoad* the Lessor, and all others, they remain Parcel of the Inheritance. It was also resolved, That there is a Difference betwixt the Cases which have been put, and the Case at Bar: For it is true, if a Man makes a Lease for Life of a Manor, to which an Advowson is appendant, and excepts one Acre with the Advowson, in such Case, if he grants over the Reversion, the Acre with the Advowson shall not pass to the Grantee, but they are severed and disunited from the Manor for ever, as an Arm, or other Member, divided from the Body: But the Trees, notwithstanding the Exception, are growing out of the Land, and have their Nutriment from it, and are not *in rei veritate* divided from it. And therefore if a Man makes a Feoffment in Fee of a Manor, excepting the Trees, and afterwards the Feoffee buys the Trees, they are again made Parcel of the Inheritance, altho' they were absolutely divided for a Time; and that appears in *Herlakenden's Case*, in the 4th Part of my Reports, fol. 63. b. But in the same Case, if an Acre or an House had been excepted, and the Feoffee afterwards purchases the Acre or the House, none of them shall be Parcel of it again: And so the Difference appears *inter partem integram, similem, & dissimilem, & inter partem dissimilem solo annexam sive adhaerentem* (as it is said in *9 E. 3. 2. a. b. Rob. de Vanlore's Case*) *ut domus, & partem dissimilem excrescentem, ut arbor.* 1 Rol. Rep. 103.
Positea 51. b.

As to the 3d Objection, it is true, That an integral Part, or Thing appendant in Possession, can't be Parcel, &c. of a Re-

RICHARD LIFORD'S Case. PART XI.

version expectant on an Estate for Life, as has been said; but the Trees (as has been often said) are growing out of the Inheritance, and attendant upon it, as by a Grant of the Reversion, the Charters and Evidences shall pass as Things attendant upon the Inheritance, and in Truth they are the

(a) 5 Co. 74. b.
9 Co. 17. b.
1 Co. 1. b.

(a) Sinews of the Inheritance. So if I have a Manor in which there is a Park and Fish-Ponds, and I lease the Manor, except the Game of Deer, and the Fish, and afterwards I grant over the Reversion, the Grantee shall have the Deer and the Fish, as Things attendant upon the Inheritance, so not only those which have vegetative Life, but also those which have sensitive Life, shall go with the Inheritance. And it is resolved in (b) 14 H. 8. 25. b. in *Wistowe's Case of Grays-Inn*, That if a Man has an Horse-mill, and the Miller takes the Millstone out of the Mill, to the Intent to pick it to grind the better, altho' it is actually severed from the Mill, yet it remains Parcel of the Mill, as if it had been always lying upon the other Stone, and by Consequence by the Lease or Conveyance of the Mill it shall pass with it: So of Doors, Windows, Rings, &c. the same Law of Keys, altho' they are distinct Things, yet they shall pass with the House; *a fortiori* in the Case at the Bar, the Trees which are growing out of the Inheritance, shall pass with it. And in the Case at the Bar, it would be a great Inconvenience if the Trees should not pass with the Inheritance, for in all the Leases for Life or Years, made by the King, either of Land within the Survey of the *Exchequer*, or of the *Dutchy*, the Trees are excepted, and if they should be reputed as Chattels, or if they should not pass with the Reversion as Parcel of the Inheritance, great Inconvenience would ensue, altho' the Trees are particularly granted. *Vide Swain's Case, in the 8th Part of my Reports, fol. 63. quod nota bene.*

(b) Br. Distress
23. Co. Lit. 47. a.

2 Rol. 50.

8 Co. 65. a.

1 Rol. Rep. 26.

2 Rol. 59.

As to the 4th and last Objection, it was resolved, 1. That if the Reversion had been conveyed over by the Name of Tenements, or of his Reversion generally, without Question the Trees would pass; and altho' he covenanted to stand seised *de Tenemen' pra'li cum pertin' superius dimissis, &c.* yet thereby the Inheritance of the whole Land passed, and by Consequence the Trees being Parcel of the Inheritance, shall pass with it, and the Trees shall not pass as Things demised, but as Things annexed to the Inheritance, notwithstanding that they are not demised. And in the Argument of this Point these Cases were cited, *Pascha 41 Eliz.* in this Court, *inter (c) the Lady Russel, Plaintiff, and Gullwell, Defendant, in an Action of Debt on a Bond, where the Case was, That the Plaintiff by Deed indented, leased to the Defendant a Farm called D. except one Close by Name, and the Lessee by the same Indenture covenanted with the Lessor to do divers Things concerning the Premises, and was bound in the said Bond to perform all the Covenants and Agreements in the said Indenture;*

(c) Cr. El. 657,
658.
1 Rol. 431.
1 Rol. Rep. 102.
Moor 553.
Hob. 276.

and

and if these Words, *the Premises*, should extend to the Close excepted, was the Question, (a) (and *Mountague in Dico* (a) Plowd. 67. a. and *Manningham's Case*, held that *the Premises* should extend to the Thing excepted.) But it was (b) resolved, That in (b) Cr. El. 657. the principal Case *premissa* should not extend to the Thing excepted, but are as much in Effect as *præmissa*. And (c) (c) Hob. 276. *Pasch.* 10 *Eliz.* one by Deed indented demised certain Land between *Pond-Meadow* of the one Part, and *Todes-Yard* of the other Part, and the Lessee covenanted to repair the Hedges about the Premises, and (d) it was adjudged, that (d) Hob. 276. it should not extend to the Abuttals, but *premissa* should be taken in Law as *præmissa*; so in the Case at Bar, *Tenementa præd.* & *Tenementa prædiel' superius dimissa*, and *premissa*, and (e) *præmissa*, or *præconcessa*, are all one in Judgment of Law. But *Paschæ* 36 *Eliz.* in this Court, inter the (f) (f) Cr. El. 781, 782. Hob. 276. 1 Rol. Rep. 102. 1 Rol. 422. the Case was, That the Father of the Plaintiff had granted to Sir *H. Barkley* the keeping of *Staffordale-Walk*, and of *Brewcombe-Walk*, in the Forest of *Fromesfelwood*, for the Term of his Life: The Pl. by his Deed did confirm the Estate of the said Sir *H.* in *Brewcombe-Walk*, and granted *Staffordale-Walk* to him and the Heirs-Males of his Body, with a Proviso, That if he fell'd any Trees in the Premises, that then his Estate should cease: And afterwards Sir *H.* fell'd Trees in *Brewcombe-Walk*; and it was resolved that these Words, *the Premises*, should extend to it, for the Deed had Operation in it by Way of Confirmation, and therefore there *premissa* should be taken as well for (g) *præconfirmata* as *præconcessa*, but (g) Cr. Car. 222. Lit. Rep. 145. 1 Andersf. 236. should not extend to any other Part of the Forest, altho' it was not named before, of which the Deed has no Operation. And the C. J. said, That as to cutting down of Trees, Grass, Corn, and other Things annexed to the Soil, there are great Variances of Opinions in our Books, not only against whom the Action of *Trespas Vi & Armis* shall be brought for Recovery of Damages, but also concerning the Property of them. And therefore (h) if one disseises me, and during the Disseisin he cuts down the Trees, or Grass, or the Corn growing upon the Land, and afterwards I re-enter, I shall have an Action of *Trespas* against him *Vi & Armis*, for the Trees, Grass, Corn, &c. for after my Regress, the Law, as to the Disseisor and his Servants, supposes the Freehold always continued in me; but if my (i) Disseisor makes a Feoffment in Fee, Gift in Tail, Lease for Life or Years, &c. and afterwards I re-enter, I shall not have *Trespas Vi & Armis* against those who came in by Title; for this Fiction of the Law, that the Freehold continued always in me, shall not have Relation to make him, who comes in by Title, a wrong Doer *Vi & Armis*, for *in fitione juris semper æquitas existit*: But in such Case I shall recover all the mean Profits against my Disseisor, in the same Manner as the Disseisee in such Cases should recover in an Assise at the Com-

RICHARD LIFORD's Case. PART XI.

mon Law before the Statute of Gloucester, *cap.* 1. Damages only against the Disseisor. Also it is to be presumed, That the Feoffee has given Consideration or Recompence to the Disseisor, and that the Lessee has paid Rent to him, or other Consideration, and therefore in Reason the Disseisor is to be charged with the Whole. (a) The same Law, if my Disseisor is disseised, and afterwards I re-enter, I shall not have an Action of Trespass against the 2d Disseisor, because the said Fiction of Law, as to Action, extends only to my Disseisor; and if I should punish the 2d Disseisor, he would be twice charged; and therefore I shall recover all the mean Profits against my Disseisor, his Servants, and others who have committed the Trespass by his Command, and in his Right; and so has the Law been often taken upon Consideration of all the Books in 9 E. 3. 2. a. b. *Peter de Vanelore's Case*, 10 H. 6. 14. 19 H. 6. 27. 22 H. 6. 21. 32 H. 6. 32. 33 H. 6. 46. 34 Hen. 6. 30. 37 Hen. 6. 35. 38 Hen. 6. 28. 2 E. 4. 18. 9 E. 4. 39. 11 E. 4. 4. 20 E. 4. 18. 21 E. 4. 5. & 74. 22 E. 4. 21. 6 H. 7. 9. 10 H. 7. 27. 12 H. 7. 25. 13 H. 7. 15. b. And all this is true *quoad actionem*, *sed quoad proprietatem* the Regress of the Disseisee reverts the Property in him, as well for the Corn, as for the Grass and Trees, &c. and as well against the Feoffee, Lessee, &c. and the second Disseisor, as against the Disseisor himself; for the Act of my Disseisor may alter my Action, but his Act can't take away my Action, Property or Right. And in this also there is great Variety of Opinions in our Books; for some, as to the Disseisor himself, have taken a Difference between Things which come by the Act and Operation of the Disseisor himself (as if he sows the Land, and afterwards reaps and carries the Corn away, the Disseisee, after his Re-entry, can't take it, for if he had not sowed the Land, no Corn had been there, and it is for the Advancement of Tillage that the Land should not lie fresh) and Things which come by the Act of God, as Grass, Trees, &c.

But upon Consideration of all the Books, it has been resolved and adjudged, That it is all one, and there is no Diversity betwixt them; for the Rule and Reason of the Law, as has been said, is, That after the Regress of the Disseisee, the Law adjudges as to the Disseisor himself, that the Freehold has continued in the Disseisee, which Rule and Reason doth extend as well to Corn, as to Trees or Grass, &c. The same Law, if the Feoffee, or Lessee, or the 2d Disseisor sows the Land, or cuts down Trees or Grass, and severs, and carries away, or sells them to another, yet after the Regress of the Disseisee, he may take as well the (b) Corn as the Trees and Grass, to what Place soever they are carried; for the Regress of the Disseisee has Relation as to the Property, to continue the Freehold against them, all in the Disseisee *ab initio*, and the carrying them out of the Land cannot alter the Property, and if the Disseisee takes

(a) 2 Rol. 554.
Contra. Owen
112. Cr. Pl. 540.
Contra. Hob. 98.

(b) Dyer 37.
p. 219. b. 6. 34.
Noy 120. Co.
Lit. 55. b. Hob.
132. 5 Co.
110. 2. b. 85. a.
Wmch. 51.
2 Rol. 726, 727.
128. Cr. Pl. 61.
104. 27 H. 6. 1. a.
Goldb. 143, 144.
146. 12 H. 7. 25. a.
2 Rol. Rep. 100.
107.
Br. Emblements
10, 17, 18, 20.
1. F. 4. 5. a.
Perkins f. 100.
5 H. 7. 16. b.
Br. Chancels 8.
20. 2 H. 7. 1. b.
Dyer 173. p. 15.
Blacks Abr. 794.
5 H. 6. 7. b.
5 L. 7. 31. a. b.

takes them, they shall be (a) recouped in Damages against the Disseisor, and so has it been often resolved and put in Experience upon Consideration of the Books in 27 H. 6. 1. a. 37 H. 6. 7. b. 12. E. 4. 5. a. 14 E. 4. 6. b. 15 E. 4. 31. a. b. 2 H. 7. 1. b. 3 H. 7. 1. C. 6. 5 H. 7. 16. 12 H. 7. 25. a. 28 H. 8. Dyer 31 b. 1 Eliz. Dyer 173. a.

Lastly, It was resolved by the whole Court in the principal Case, That (c) when the Lessor excepted the Trees, and afterwards had an Intention to sell them, the Law gave him, and them who would buy, Power, as incident to the Exception, to enter and shew the Trees to those who would have them; for without Sight none would buy, and without Entry they could not see them. As in 9 H. 6. 29. b.

(d) A Man seised of an House in a Borough, &c. deviseable, devised it to a Woman in Tail; and if the Woman died without Issue, that his Executor might sell and dispose of it for his Soul; in that Case the Executor might, by the Law, enter into the House, to see if it was well repaired or not, to the Intent to know at what Value the Reversion is to be sold, *Quod fuit concessum per totam Curiam*, 43 Aff. pl. 7. The Law gives Power to him who ought to repair a Bridge to enter into the Land, and to him who has a Conduit in the Land of another, to enter into the Land to mend it, when Occasion requires, as it is resolved in (e) 9 Ed. 4. 35. a. So it is agreed in 2 R. 2. Bar. (f) 237. If I grant you my Trees in my Wood, you may come with Carts over my Land to carry the Wood, *Temp. Ed. 1. Grants* 41. *Lex est (g) cuicumque aliquis quid concedit, concedere videtur & id sine quo res ipsa esse non potuit*, and this is a Maxim in Law. *Vide* 5 E. 3. *Transf.* 13. 20 E. 3. *Avowory* 124. 8 E. 4. 5. 12 E. 4. 10. 18 E. 4. 14. b. 20 H. 6. 37. 21 H. 7. 14. b. 14 H. 8. 2 *Plow. Com. in Manxel's Case. fol. 13. b. Vide in my Reports, lib. 4. fol. 62. & lib. 5. Part 2. fol. 11.* And as to the Plea in Bar, it was held, That it was without Form, and without Knowledge of good Pleading, and that for four Reasons: 1. The Defendant pleads a Lease for Life of the Tenements in which, to the Plaintiff, and one *Mary Parker*, by Force whereof they entred, and were, and yet are seised, &c. which is an Averment of the Life of *Mary Parker*, which upon the Matter is, that the Plaintiff has nothing in the Tenements in which, &c. but jointly with *M. Parker* who is alive, not named in the Writ, but concludes and demands Judgment *Si actio*, which is not well pleaded, for every Plea ought to have an apt Conclusion, and therewith agree 40 Ed. 3. 9. b. 43 Ed. 3. 27. 36 H. 6. 18. a. *Vide* 22 Aff. pl. 53. 14 H. 4. 7. 4 H. 6. 27. 18 H. 6. 32. 9 H. 7. 2. So if a Man pleads an Estopple, he ought to rely upon it, and not demand Judgment *Si actio*.

(a) 5 Co. 30. b.

(b) 1 Rol. Rep. 101.

Dyer 31. pl. 219.

Dyer 173. pl. 15.

(c) 2 Rol. 74.

Plowd. 15. b.

16. a. Hob. 234.

2 Roll. 60.

5 Co. 12. a.

Cr. El. 18.

(d) Br. Tresp. 16.

Plowd. 13. b.

Manxel's Case.

(e) 1 Saund. 323.

Br. Nufans 14.

Br. Incident 8.

Fitz. Action fur

Case 18.

(f) Perk. Sect.

110. Hob. 234.

Cro. Jac. 170, 190.

2 Roll. 60.

(g) 5 Co. 12. a.

47. a. 115. b.

2 Bullstr. 252.

12 Co. 13, 130.

Co. Lit. 56. a.

153. a.

2 Inst. 306.

Moor 218.

Cawly 246.

Hawks Max. 258.

2 Sid. 39.

Statham Avow-

ry 2.

Br. Pleading 53.

Br. Brief 243.

Doct. p. 158.

4 Co. 53. a.

2 Jones 8.

Br. Waiver de

chose 31.

RICHARD LIFORD's *Case*. PART XI.

Doct. pl. 138,
146.

2. The Plea contains double Matter, one to the Writ by Jointenancy, the other in Bar, by the Exception and the Covenant: 3. In pleading of a Lease for Life which passes by Livery and Seisin, it is meer Surplusage to plead an Entry of the Lessees. 4. He don't aver, That the Trees which were sold, were not Dotards, which are excluded out of the Exception, but he avers that they, *de jure pertinebant* to *Richard Liford*, which is not formal, for he ought in good Pleading to have averr'd, That they were not Dotards. But upon the whole Matter, sufficient Matter appears to the Court to give Judgment against the Plaintiff; wherefore by the Rule of the Court, the Plaintiff took nothing by his Bill.

The

The Case of the Taylors, &c. of Ipswich.

Mich. 12 Jacobi I.

TRin. II Jac. Regis in the King's Bench, Magistri Gardiani, & Communitas Scissorum & operatorum pannorum ville *Gipwic' in Com' Suff.* brought an Action of Debt for 13 l. 13 s. 4 d. again William Sheninge, and declared, That whereas the King by his Letters Patents had incorporated the Plaintiffs by the said Name, and granted, That they should have *plenam potestat' & auctoritat' facere & constituere rationabiles leges, ordinationes & constitutiones, in Script' qua eis viderentur bon', Salubr', util', honest' & necessar' secundum eorum discretiones pro bono regimine & gubernatione, &c. Societatis præd', &c.* and to impose Fines and Amercements for Breach of the said Laws, &c. and recited the Statute of 19 H. 7. 7. by which it is enacted, That no Master, Wardens, and Society of Crafts and Mysteries, take upon them to make any Acts or Ordinances, nor to execute any Acts or Ordinances, *in exheredationem seu diminutionem prerogative vel aliorum aliquorum, nec contra commune profic' populi, nisi iidem actus & ordinationes examinati & approbati forent per Cancellar', Thesaur' Angliæ, Capital' Justic' utriusque Banci, vel tres eorum vel aliter coram Justic' Assise in eorum itineribus, &c. sub pœna forisfacti 40 l. pro quolibet tempore quo ipsi in contr' facerent.* And afterwards the said Corporation in the same four Years, made divers Constitutions, and (amongst others) that no Person exercising any of the said Trades within the Town of *Ipswich præd'* should keep any Shop or Chamber, or exercise the said Faculties, or any of them,

or

Skin: 133, 171,
376, 380, to 384.
Post. 88.
Lucas 131.
8 Co. 121, 122,
&c.
1 Rol. 364, 365.
1 Rol. Rep. 4.
Godb. 252.
2 Roll. Rep. 97.
3 Keb. 225.
Hutt. 5, 6.
1 Brownl. 48.
Hob. 210, 211.
Moor 869.

Hutt. 5.
1 Brownl. 48.
Hob. 210, 211.

Sawers Argu-
ment in Que.
War. 44.

or take an Apprentice or Journeyman, 'till he had presented himself to the Master and Wardens of the said Society, for the Time being, or some three of them, and should prove that he had served 7 Years at the least, as an Apprentice, and before he should be admitted by them to be a sufficient Workman; and if any should offend in any Part thereof, That he should forfeit and pay to the said Masters, Wardens, and Society aforesaid, for every such Offence, five Marks, and to levy it by Way of Distress, or by Action of Debt, &c. which (amongst others) was allowed by the Justices of Assise of the same County, according to the said Act of 19 H. 7. And that the said *William Sheninge*, Taylor, using the Trade of a Taylor, after the said Orders made and ratified as aforesaid, *scil.* 10 Octob. anno Regni Regis nunc 10, came to the said Town of Ipswich, and there then used the Trade of a Taylor by the Space of 20 Days, before he had presented himself to the said Master and Wardens, or any three of them, or had made Proof that he had served as an Apprentice for 7 Years in the said Trade, and before he was admitted by the said Master and Wardens, or three of them, to be a sufficient Workman, *Per quod actio accrevit eis d' Magistr' Guardian' & Com'* to have of the said H. the said 3 l. 13 s. 4 d. &c.

(a) 1 Rol. Rep. 4.
Godb. 253.

(b) Palm. 544.
Kelw. 50. b.
Cart. 115.

(c) Owen 143.
Folter 86. a.
Hob. 211.
1 Sand. 312.
Raymond 292.
Cr. El. 872.
Palm. 396, 397.
Cart. 118.
2 Rol. Rep. 392.
2 Keb. 125.
1 Sid. 303.

(d) Br. Oblig. 85.
Godb. 253.
March 193.
Mo. 115, 242-576.
Owen 143.
Cr. Jac. 596.
Co. Lit. 206. b.
1 Jones 13.
Cro. El. 872.
O. Ben. 46.
2 Rol. Rep. 114,
201, 204.
1 Show. 2.
Comb. 121.
2 Show. 145, &c.
Rep. Q. A. 2, 24.

(a) The Def. pleaded that he was an Apprentice by the Space of 7 Years, *viz. a 1 die Septemb' Anno Reg. nunc 1 usque 2 diem Septemb' an. 8.* to one *H. Backet* in the Art of a Taylor, &c. and that 9 Septemb' an. 10 Jac. Reg. *Anthony Penny*, Esq; Inhabitant in Ipswich, retained him to be his (b) domestick Servant to serve him for a Year, and that he within the same Time, by the Command of the said *A.* made divers Cloaths and Garments for him, his Wife and Children, as he well might, which is the same Use and Exercise of the Trade of a Taylor, whereof the Pls. have declared: Upon which the Pls. demurr'd in Law. And in this Case, upon Argument at the Bar and Bench, divers Points were resolved. 1. That (c) at the Common Law, no Man could be prohibited from working in any lawful Trade, for the Law abhors Idleness, the Mother of all Evil, *Otium omnium vitiorum mater*, and especially in young Men, who ought in their Youth, (which is their Seed Time) to learn lawful Sciences and Trades, which are profitable to the Common Wealth, and whereof they might reap the Fruit in their old Age, for idle in Youth, poor in Age; and therefore the Common Law abhors all Monopolies, which prohibit any from working in any lawful Trade; and that appears in (d) 2 H. 5. 5. b. a Dyer was bound that he should not use the Dyer's Craft for two Years, and there *Hull* held, that the Bond was against the Common Law, and by *G--d* if the Plaintiff was here, he should go to Prison till he paid a Fine to the King; So, and for the same Reason, If an Husbandman is bound that he shall not sow his Land, the Bond is against the Common Law. And *vide* 7 Ed. 3. 65. b. and if he who takes upon him to work is unskilful, his Ignorance

is a sufficient Punishment to him; for (a) *imperitia est maxima mechanicorum pœna, & quilibet querit in qualibet arte peritos*: And if any one takes upon him to work, and * spoils it, an Action on the Case lies against him. And the Statute of 5 Eliz. 4. which prohibits every Person from using or exercising any Craft, Mystery, or Occupation, unless he has been an Apprentice by the Space of seven Years, was not enacted only to the Intent that Workmen should be skilful, but also that Youth should not be nourished in Idleness, but brought up and educated in lawful Sciences and Trades: And thereby it appears, that (b) without an Act of Parliament, none can be in any Manner restrain'd from working in any lawful Trade. Also the Common Law doth not prohibit any Person from using several Arts or Mysteries at his Pleasure, *Nemo prohibetur plures negotiationes sive artes exercere*, until it was prohibited by Act of Parliament of 37 Ed. 3. cap. 6. *scil.* That the Artificers and People of Mystery, hold themselves every one to one Mystery, and that none use other Mystery than that which he has chosen; but this Restraint of Trade and Traffick was immediately found prejudicial to the Common-wealth, and therefore (c) at the next Parliament it was enacted, That all People should be as free as they were at any Time before the said Ordinance. 2. That the said Restraint of the Defendant, for more than the said Act of (d) 5 Eliz. has made, was against Law, and therefore sofarasmuch as the Statute has not restrained him who has served as an Apprentice for seven Years from exercising the Trade of a Taylor, the said Ordinance can't prohibit him from exercising his Trade, 'till he has presented himself before them, or 'till they allow him to be a Workman; for these are against the Liberty and Freedom of the Subject, and are a Means of Extortion in drawing Money from them, either by Delay, or some other subtil Device, or of Oppression of young Tradesmen, by the old and rich of the same Trade, not permitting them to work in their Trade freely; and all this is against the Common Law, and the Commonwealth: But Ordinances for the good Order and Government of Men of Trades and Mysteries are good, but not to restrain any one in his lawful Mystery.

3. It was resolved, That the said Branch of the Act of 5 Eliz. is intended of (e) a publick Use and Exercise of a Trade to all who will come, and not of him who is a private Cook, Taylor, Brewer, Baker, &c. in the House of any for the Use of a Family; and therefore if the said Ordinance had been good and consonant to Law, such a private Exercise and Use had not been within it, for every one may work in such a private Manner, altho' he has never been an Apprentice in the Trade.

(a) Hawks Max. 332.
* 1 Vent. 268, 269.

(b) 8 Co. 125. 2.
Bridgman 140.
Postea 87. b.
Cart. 115.

(c) 4 Inst. 31.

(d) Moor 869.
Godb. 253.
Hard. 56.
Carter 119.
Palm. 396.
Hob. 183, 211.
2 Rol. Rep. 391.
1 Syd. 303.
2 Keb. 125.
2 Bullstr. 186.
1 Rol. Rep. 10.
Calthorp. 9.
3 Bullstr. 179.
Styl. 223, 383, 479.
Cro. Jac. 85. 179.
Cro. Eliz. 737.
Cro. Car. 316, 347, 499, 516.
2 Rol. 579.
1 Jones 412.
Noy. 5.
Hutt. 99, 132.
5 Co. fol. 63. b.
Lutw. 562, 564.

(e) Hob. 183, 211.
Moor 886.
Cro. Jac. 178.
8 Co. fol. 129. b.
Cr. Car. 499.
Jenk. Cent. 284.
13 Co. 11.
Palm. 542, 544.
Lit. Rep. 251.
Bridgman 111.

Hob. 210, 211.
1 Brownl. 48.
Hutton 5.

4. It was resolved, That the Statute of 19 *H. 7. 7.* doth not corroborate any of the Ordinances made by any Corporation, which are so allowed and approved as the Statute speaks, but leaves them to be affirmed as good, or disaffirmed as unlawful by the Law; the sole Benefit which the Incorporation obtains by such Allowance is, that they shall not incur the Penalty of 40 *l.* mentioned in the Act, if they put in Use any Ordinances which are against the King's Prerogative, or the common Profit of the People, &c. And Judgment was given, *Quod querentes nihil caperent per billam.*

[Where a Bond given in Restraint of Trade may be good or not. See *Lucas R.* 27 to 30, and 130 to 140. *Q.* If a Custom may be in Restraint of Trade? See *Rep. Q. A.* 48. 49. 1 *Salk.* 203.]

EDWARD

EDWARD SAVEL'S Case. See Carth. 204.

Mich. 12 Jacobi I.

EDward Haymond brought *Ejectione firme* against Edward Savel and W. Bowes, *Pro eo, viz. quod cum quidam Jacobus Smith, primo die Aprilis, Anno Regni Regis Jacobi Anglie 11. apud Leeds in Com' Ebor' dimisit presat' Edw. Haymond unum Messuag' cum pertin' in Leeds prad' & unum Claus. vocat' Dovecot-Close cont' 3 Acr' eidem messuag' Spectan' seu pertin' in Leeds prad' for Term of three Years, &c. and declar'd of an Ejectment, &c. The Defendant pleaded Non culp'; and the Jury found, as to the House, that the Defendant was not guilty; and as to the said Close called Dovecot-Close, the Defendant was found guilty.*

In Arrest of Judgment it was moved, That an *Ejectione firme* doth not lie of a Close, altho' it has a certain Name, but it ought to be of so many Acres; and altho' he saith in this Case, containing three Acres, yet he doth not shew of what Nature the Acres are, as Land, Meadow, Pasture, Wood, &c. and the Certainty ought to be comprised in the Declaration, because he shall recover the Possession by *Habere fac' possessionem*, and that shall pursue the Form of other Writs of the like Nature, as *Right of Ward*, or *Ejectment of Ward*, or the like, shall not be brought of a Close by certain Name, but it ought to be by the Certainty of the Acres containing the Quality of the Land, as of Land, Meadow, Pasture, Wood, &c.

And

1 Roll. Rep. 55.
Hugh's Abr.
771. n. 5.

1 Roll. Rep. 135.

Cr. El. 458.

1 Roll. Rep. 55.

Cr. Car. 555.

Contra. Hard.

59, 76, 133.

Bridgm. 56.

Moor 422, 702.

March. 96.

1 Jones 454.

Cr. Jac. 124, 125.

435, 436, 574.

621. Contra. Cr.

El. 235. contra.

339. Godb. 71.

Owen 18.

Stile 30.

1 Roll. Rep. 2.

1 Roll. 775.

2 Bullstr. 214.

Allen 74. Yelv.

114, 118, 166.

Poph. 197, 203.

1 Brownl. 145.

Owen 93, 133.

1 Syd. 229, 293.

Cr. El. 116.

1 Leon. 188.

Palmer 101.

2 Roll. Rep. 166.

Doct. pl. 86.

Stiles 194.

EDWARD SAVEL's *Case*. PART XI.

*F. N. E. f. 2. C. And altho' by * good Order, the most Worthy shall have the Precedency, and shall be preferred before the less Worthy; and a Thing entire shall be preferred before a Part, &c. yet if the said Order be not precisely followed, the Judges will not abate the Writ, or Count for it. *Vide* 6 *Ed.* 3. 4. & 9 *Ed.* 3. 3. And for the aforesaid || Exception Judgment was arrested.

|| 1 Show. 338.
4 Mod. 97.
Salk. 254.
Comb. 198.

[Ejectment for five Clofes of Land, Arable, Meadow, and Pasture, not good without distinguishing how much of each. *Carthew* 204.]

BEN-

BENTHAM'S Case.

Mich. 12 Jacobi I.

MARSH brought a Writ of Annuity against *Bentham*, and the Parties came to Issue, which was tried for the Plaintiff, and found the Arrearages, &c. but the Jury did not assess any Damages or Costs; which Verdict was imperfect, and could * not be supplied by Writ of Enquiry of Damages; but the Plaintiff released his Damages and Costs, and thereupon had Judgment: Upon which the Defendant brought a Writ of Error, and assigned the Error aforesaid, *scil.* The Insufficiency of the Verdict; *sed judicium affirmatur*, because the Plaintiff has released his Damages and Costs, which is for the Defendant's Benefit. *Vide* 22 Eliz. *Dyer* 369, 370. Where in a Writ of *Ejectione Custodia terra & heredis*, the Jury assessed Damages entirely, which was insufficient; for, for the Heir it doth not lie, yet he released his Damages, and had Judgment for the Land. *Nota*, an insufficient Assessment of Damages, and no Assessment, is all one.

2 Bulstr. 279.
1 Roll. Rep. 88.
Jenk. Cent. 286.
1 Roll. 760.
2 Roll. 722.

* 10 Co. 118. b.
Hob. 178.
10 Co. 115. b.

1 Roll. 784.

5 Co. 108. a.
1 Roll. 784.
11 Co. 45. b.
Hard. 166.
10 Co. 130. b.
Sty. 399.
2 Bulstr. 28.
10 Co. 130. a.
1 Leon. 92. pl. 118.

Dr.

Dr. FOSTER'S Case, concerning Recusants.

Mich. 12 Jacobi I.

Lucas 118.
2 Bulstr. 324.
1 Roll. Rep. 88.
3 Inst. 198.
23 El. cap. 1.
Palm. 542.
Cr. Jac. 480.
Bridgm. 120.
2 Roll. Rep. 108.

Cawly 129.
1 Leon. 241.
Co. Ent. 569.
1 Ander. 138.
2 Leon. 5.

Cawly 71, 72.
1 Roll. Rep. 88.
Cr. Jac. 480.
Bridgm. 120.

x Eliz. cap. 2.

William Shoyle exhibited an Information against *Richard Foster*, Doctor of Physick, That the said *Richard Foster* of the Parish of *St. Anthony*, in the Ward of *Cordweyner*, *London*, 20 Junii, Anno Domini Regis nunc 11. was of the Age of 16 Years and upwards, and from the said 20th Day of *June*, till the 10th Day of *May* then next following, viz. by the Space of 11 entire Months and more, did not repair to his Parish Church aforesaid, nor to any other Church, Chapel, or usual Place of Common Prayer or Divine Service, but per totum tempus predictum voluntarie & obstinate absque aliqua causa rationabili abstinuit ab eisdem, contra formam statutum in hujusmodi casu editi & provisum, per quod actio accrevit eidem domino Regi ac prefato *Willielmo Shoyle* qui tam pro Domino Rege quam pro seipso sequitur ad habendum & exigendum de prefato *Richardo Foster* 220 l. legalis moneta Anglia, viz. pro quolibet mense, &c. 20 l. &c. unde idem *Willielmus Shoyle* petit inde tertiam partem, juxta formam statuti predicti. To which *Dr. Foster* pleaded, That the said *William Shoyle* qui tam, &c. pro predictis 220 l. in eadem informatione contenti seu aliqua inde parcella prosequi non debet; for he said, That by an Act of Parliament, Anno 23 Regina *Eliz.* it was enacted, That every Person above the Age of 16 Years, which should not repair to any Church, &c. but should absent himself against the Statute de Anno 1 *Eliz.* for the Uniformity of Common

Common Prayer, & existens inde legitime convictus, should forfeit to the said late Queen *pro quolibet mense post finem* of the said Session of Parliament, &c. 20 l. &c. and further, that all the Forfeitures of any Sum of Money limited by the said Act, should be divided into three equal Parts, that is to say, One third Part to the said late Queen, to her own Use, and another third Part to the said late Queen, for the Relief of the Poor of the Parish, &c. and the other third Part to such Person who would sue for it in any Court of Record *per Actio' Debiti, Billam, Querelam vel Informationem, &c.* And afterwards by another Act of Parliament, Anno 28 of the said late Queen, it was enacted, That every Offender in not repairing to Church to Divine Service, &c. against the Form of the said Act *de Anno 23.* which should then after happen to be once convicted, in such Terms of *Pasch. & St. Michaeli Archangeli,* which should be next after such Conviction, should pay into the Receipt of the Exchequer, according to the Rate of 20 l. for every Month as should be contained in the Indictment upon which such Conviction was, and also for every Month after such Conviction, without any other Indictment, should pay into the Receipt of the Exchequer, &c. and if any Default should be in any Part of Payment, &c. that then and so often the said late Queen might by Process out of the Exchequer, take, seise and enjoy all their Goods, and two Parts of their Lands, Tenements and Hereditaments, Leases and Farms, &c. And where by the said Act *de Anno 23 Eliz.* one third Part of the Forfeitures for not coming to Divine Service, was limited to the Poor, &c. by the said Act *de Anno 28 Eliz.* it is ordained, That it shall be lawful for the Lord Treasurer, Chancellor, and Chief Baron of the Exchequer, &c. to assign and dispose of it, &c. And further, by the Act of 35 *Eliz.* that *pro magis festina (Anglice, more speedy) levatione,* and recovery for and by the said Queen *omnium & singularum pœnarum, debitorum, forisfactur'*, and Payments that then after should become payable *virtute ejusdem Actus de Anno 35 Eliz. vel dict' Statut' fact' in Anno 23. (inter alia) inactitat' fuit autoritate ejusdem Parlamenti de Anno 35. quod omnia & singula dicta pœna, debiti, forisfactur', & solutiones forent & potuissent esse recuperat' & levat' ad usum dictæ Domine Regine per actionem debiti, billam, querelam, sive informationem, vel aliter, in Curia de Banco Regis, Communi Banco, vel Scaccar', in tali modo & in omnibus respectibus prout per ordinarium cursum communium legum aliquod aliud debitum solubile (Anglice, due) per aliquam*

29 El. c. 6.
Bridgm. 120.
Moor 523. Cr.
Jac. 480. Lanc
60. 1 Rol. Rep.
75, 92, 93. 12 Co.
1, 2.

23 El. c. 1.

29 El. c. 6.

35 El. c. 1.
Cr. Jac. 481.
1 Rol. Rep. 90.

Dr. FOSTER's Case. PART XI.

aliquam talem personam, in aliquo alio casu, foret vel potuisset esse recuperat' sive levat', &c. and further by the same Act de Anno 35 El. it was enacted, That one third Part of the Penalties to be recovered by the same Act should be disposed of to the Poor, according to the Act of 28 Eliz. aforesaid : *Et idem Richardus ulterius dicit quod per præd' Statut' de Anno 35 supradictio, apparet quod omnia & Singula pœna. Debita, Forisfactur', & solutiones, quæ post præd' Actum de Anno 35 supradictio accrescerent vel solubil' forent virtute ejusdem Actus vel præd' Statuti de Anno 23, concerning Recusants, forent & possent recuperari & levari ad usum præd' Regiæ Majestatis, &c. quodq; nulla tertia pars panarum, debitorum, forisfactur' & solutionum præd' per præd' Act' de An. 35, alicui persona que pro ead' sequi voluerit limitat' vel provis. existit, & hoc idem Richardus paratus est verificare, unde petit judicium si prædict' W. Shoyle, qui tam, &c. pro prædict' 220 l. &c. prosequi debeat :* Upon which Plea the Attorney General demurr'd in Law, and six Objections were made by the Defendant's Counsel against this Information. 1. That the Defendant is not such a Person as is within the said Act of 23 Eliz. 2. That the Informer is not such a Person that may exhibit any such Information upon the said Act of 23 Eliz. 3. That the Judgment which shall be given in this Case, is not within the said Act of 23 Eliz. 4. Admitting all these Points against the Defendant, it was further objected, that by the said Act of 28 Eliz. the Branch which gives a Popular Action is taken away. 5. If the said Act of 28 Eliz. doth not take it away, that the Statute of 35 El. c. 1. has abrogated it. 6. That if the Defendant should be charged at the Suit of the Informer, he may be charged again at the King's Suit, and so twice charged.

To the first, The Person Delinquent is described by the Act, by an Attribute which the Defendant wants, for the Words of the said Act of 23 Eliz. are, *Every Person above the Age of Sixteen Years, which shall not repair to some Church, Chappel, or usual Place of Common Prayer, but forbear the same, contrary to the Tenor of a Statute made in the first Year of her Majesty's Reign, for Uniformity of Common Prayer, and being thereof lawfully convicted, shall forfeit to the Queen's Majesty for every Month, &c. 20 l. &c.* By which it appears, That no Person shall incur this Forfeiture, unless he be before lawfully convicted, so that a Recusant convicted is only within the Purview of this Act, and it doth not appear in the whole Record, that the said Richard Foster has been convicted, and therefore he is not a Person within this Act. And Penal Statutes are to be pursu'd (especially in Informations)

35 El. c. 1.

1 Roll. Rep. 88.

1 Roll. Rep. 88.

35 El. c. 1.

35 El. c. 6.

23 El. c. 1.
Postea f. 59. b.

1 Roll. Rep. 89.

mations) strictly, and *in terminis* according to the Purview of the Act, and therefore *Pascha* 20 *Eliz.* a Case was adjudg'd in the Exchequer, That where an Information was exhibited and shewed the Usurious Contract in certain, upon which it appeared, that above the Sum of 10 *l.* was reserved and received for the Loan of 100 *l.* against the Form of the Statute, &c. and altho' it appeared that it was corrupt, and that he concluded *contra formam Statuti*, yet because he did not expressly say, that it was *per corruptam accommodationem* according to the Words of the Penal Statute, the Information was adjudged insufficient: So in the Case at Bar, forasmuch as the Statute saith, That every Person, &c. being lawfully convicted shall forfeit, he ought of Necessity to have shewed, that the said Dr. Foster was lawfully convicted: *Vide* 3 *Mar. Dyer* 131. 2 *Eliz.* 183. 20 *Eliz.* 367. *pl.* 43.

2. That none can inform upon the Statute of 23 *El.* but for the King only, for in the former Part of that Act it is provided, *That every Person that shall say or sing Mass, &c. shall forfeit the Sum of 200 Marks, and that every Person that shall willingly bear Mass, shall forfeit the Sum of 100 Marks;* and afterwards comes in the same Act the Clause of Forfeiture of 20 *l.* by the Month to the Queen, and afterwards inflicts 10 *l.* by the Month for him who keeps a School-Master, and then follows the Clause of Distribution of the Forfeitures, *And that all Forfeitures of any Sums of Money limited by this Act, shall be divided into three equal Parts, &c.* And it was objected, That this Clause extends only to the said Forfeitures of 200 Marks, and 100 Marks, &c. which Penalties were not given to any Person in certain, but indefinitely and generally, that they shall be forfeited, and therefore this Clause of Distribution refers to them; but the Forfeiture of 20 *l.* by the Month for Recusancy was expressly given to the Queen, and so was not any of the other Forfeitures, and therefore this Clause of Distribution shall not extend to that which was before to the Queen, but to those Penalties which were left indefinitely, and given to Nobody.

3. The Words of the said Act of 23 *Eliz.* are, *being there- of lawfully convicted*, and Conviction ought to be either by Verdict or Confession, &c. and can't extend to a Judgment upon a Demurrer as in our Case, for there is not any Conviction, for always Conviction ought to precede Judgment; and therefore in our Books, the Difference between a Clerk convicted and a Clerk attainted is, That he who is convicted by Verdict or Confession, &c. and takes his Clergy before Judgment, is called a Clerk convicted, and he who

1 *Anderson* 49.
pl. 123. *Doctrin.*
placit. 332, 333.
Antea 37. a.
18 E. 4. 10. b.
4 *Co.* 39. b. *Br.*
Indicment 36.

Dyer 363. pl. 25.
183. pl. 59. *Jenk.*
Cent. 221. *Hales*
Pl. Cr. 231. *Dy.*
131, 132. pl. 75.
1 *Rol. Rep.* 92.

1 *Rol. Rep.* 89.
Antea 60. a.

1 *Rol. Rep.* 89.

1 *Rol. Rep.* 89.
Postea 60. a.

1 *Rol. Rep.* 89.

Dr. FOSTER's Case. PART XI.

takes his Clergy after Judgment, is a Clerk attainted, and therewith agrees *Stamford*, fol. 138. C. who holds, That there are two Manner of Clerks, viz. Clerk convicted, and Clerk attainted: A Clerk convicted, is he who prays his Clergy before Judgment given against him of the Felony, and has his Clergy allowed to him, &c. A Clerk attainted, is he who prays his Clergy after Judgment upon him for the Felony: But in the Case at Bar, Dr. Foster is a Recufant (if Judgment shall be given against him) attainted, and not convicted; and *Stamford*, f. 185. B. saith, So it is clear in my Judgment that he can't be called Clerk convicted till upon Issue join'd a Verdict has pass'd against him; and thereupon it was said, if the Defendant will not answer to the Indictment, so that he is condemned by *Nihil dicit*, that it is out of this Statute which speaks only of Conviction, and therefore upon the Statute of 1 E. 6. c. 12. which takes away Clergy in divers Cases, the Words of which are, *No Person or Persons that shall be hereafter in due Form of Law, Attaint, or Convict*, that these Words do not extend to him who will not answer, *Vide Stamford*, fol. 126. a. So that the Case at Bar is *casus omiffus* out of the said Act, for here, upon the Demurrer upon the Defendant's Plea, no Conviction can be before Judgment, but, if the Law should serve for the Informer, Judgment would be given without any Conviction whereof the Statute speaks, and such Penal Act shall not be taken by Intendment or Equity.

4. But admitting it shall be a Conviction within the Statute, then by the said Act of 28 Eliz. the whole Penalty of 20 l. by the Month is given to the Queen, for the Words are, *That every such Offender, in not repairing to Divine Service, &c. as hereafter shall fortune to be once convicted, shall, in such of the Terms of Easter or Michaelmas as shall next happen after such Conviction, pay into the Receipt of the Exchequer, after the Rate of 20 l. for every Month which shall be contained in the Indictment, whereupon such Conviction shall be; and shall for every Month after such Conviction, without any other Indictment or Conviction, pay into the Receipt of the Exchequer, &c. after the Rate of 20 l. the Month, &c. and if Default be made, &c. the Queen's Majesty shall and may, by Process out of the Exchequer, seize all the Goods, and two Parts of the Land, &c. by which it appears, That the whole Penalty for Recufancy is given to the Queen, and by Consequence the Informer is excluded.*

5. The Stat. of 35 El. is stronger, for that by express Terms gives

1 Rol. Rep. 90.
Postea 60. b.
29 El. c. 6.

Postea 60. a.
35 El. c. 1.

gives the whole Penalty given by the said Act of 23, for Recusancy to the Queen, the Letter of which Act is, *And for the more speedy levying and recovering for and by the Queen's Majesty, of all and singular the Pains, Duties, Forfeitures and Payments, which at any Time hereafter shall accrue, grow, or be payable by Virtue of this Act, or of the Statute of the 23d Year, &c. Be it enacted, That all and every the said Pains, Duties, Forfeitures and Payments, shall and may be recovered and levied to her Majesty's Use, by Action of Debt, Bill, Plaint, Information or otherwise, in any of the Courts commonly called the King's Bench, Common Pleas, or Exchequer, in such Sort, and in all Respects, as by the ordinary Course of the Common Laws of this Realm, any other Debt due by any such Person in any other Case should or may be recovered or levied: In which, these Words in the Preamble are to be observed. 1. For the more speedy recovering and levying for and by the Queen's Majesty; here is the Person expressed who shall recover. 2. Of all and singular the Pains, which Words contain what Things the Queen shall recover, viz. All and singular the Pains, &c. & generale dictum generaliter est intelligendum. 3. The Body of the Act, Be it enacted, that all and every the said Pains, &c. shall and may be recovered and levied to her Majesty's Use; and if all the Pains, and every of them shall be recovered to the Queen's Use, then it follows, That the Informer shall recover nothing; and many Cases were put, where a latter Act shall take away a former, and the Ground was taken, that (a) *leges posteriores priores contrarias abrogant*; but more particularly and more to the Purpose, two Reasons were alleged, why the latter Statutes of (b) 28 & 35 Eliz. took away and abrogated the Distribution of the Act of (c) 23 Eliz. &c. the first, That when an Act of Parliament gives Power or Interest to one Person certain, by that (d) express Designation of one, all others are excluded, altho' such Statute be in the Affirmative; and so it is held in *Plow. Com.* in *Stradling's Case* (e) 106. b. that where the Statute of (f) 31 E. 3. 12. enacts, That Error in the Exchequer shall be corrected and amended before the Chancellor and Treasurer, and therefore it can't be corrected before any other; and there a general Rule is put, That when a Thing is to be done before one Person certain by any Stat. it can't be done bef. any other; and yet the Stat. of 31 E. 3. is in the Affirmative: So in the Case at Bar, the certain Designation of the Queen, is an absolute Exclusion of all others, *Quia* (g) *inclusio unius est exclusio alterius*: And a Case was cited out of Justice *Dallison's Reports*, in *An. 3 El.* that forasmuch as the Stat. of (h) 8 H. 6. c. 9.*

(a) 1 Jones 186;
1 Co. 25. b.
11 Co. 62. b.
64. b. Cr. Jac.
121. 8 Co. 137. b.
Stamf. Prae. 69. b.
12 Co. 8. 2 Rol.
Rep. 410, 423.
Hawk. Max.
452. 2 Inst. 685.
Godb. 169.
(b) 29 El. c. 6.
35 El. c. 1.
(c) 23 El. c. 1.
(d) Carter 36.
(e) Plowd. 206. b.
(f) 1 Co. 11. a.
Savil. 36.

(g) Hawk. Max.
466.
(h) 1 Rol. Rep.
92. Dall. 25. pl. 8.
Dall. in Kel. 204.
pl. 2. Dall. in
Alh. pl. 2. Po-
st. 65. a. 9 Co.
116. b.

of Forcible Entry, designeth Justices of Peace to make Re-stitution thereby (altho' the Statute was in the Affirmative) others are excluded, and therefore (a) neither Justices of Oyer and Terminer, or Gaol-delivery, &c. shall do it: Vide 27 H.8. 13. b. in *Wast*, 23 *Aff.* 17. in *Redisseisin*, 4 *Eliz.* 211. Commission upon (b) 28 *Hen.* 8. of the *Admiralty*, &c. The second Reason was, in respect of the Generality of the Words, viz. *All and singular the Pains, &c. and all and every the Pains, &c.* which Words imply a Negative, for if the Queen shall recover *all and singular, and all and every the Pains, &c.* then no other Person shall recover any of them, Et (c) *qui omne dicit nihil excludit, & generale tantum valet in omnibus, quantum singulare in singulis*; and thereupon they cited the Case in (d) 33 *H.8.* 50. that where the Words of 27 *H.8. c. 27.* are, *That all Grants by Letters Patents, to be made for Term of Life, or Years, of any Office concerning the Lands within the Survey of the Court of Augmentation, &c. shall be sealed with the Great Seal of that Court;* and it seems there that they imply a Negative, so that if the Grant be under the Great Seal of *England*, it shall be void; and *Amy Townsend's Case*, *Plow. Com.* 113. and many other Cases were put to such Effect, which I here have purposely omitted.

6. It was objected, That if the Defendant should be charged at the Suit of the Informer, he may be by Force of the said two Statutes, and especially of the Statute of 35 *Eliz.* charged again, and so twice charged, and (e) *Nemo debet bis puniri pro uno delicto*; and the Case has the greater Mischief, because by the Statute of 3 *Jac. Reg. c. 4.* No Traverse to any Indictment of Recusancy shall be allowed, but to the direct Point of not coming to Church, or that the Party has conformed himself, so that he can't plead; that another Information is depending; or that he is *auter fois convict*, &c. at the Suit of the Informer.

As to the first Objection, it was answered and resolved by the whole Court, That he may be convicted in the same Indictment or Information preferred or exhibited against him; and so was it held by all the Judges of *England* assembled at *Russel-house*, where Sir *John Puckering*, then Keeper of the Great Seal, then dwelt. Vide 10 *E.4.* 11. l. & 7 *R.2.* *Barr* 241. and that stands well with the Words of the Statute, for he shall forfeit nothing before Conviction, and so has the Law been always taken upon the Statute of 3 *H.6.* 3. which enacts, That if a Customer, &c. be duly attainted or convicted, &c. he shall forfeit to the King, &c. and all other Statutes which have such Form of Penning, and it is impossible that he can be convicted without Suit, and convicted in this

Case

(a) *Hardres* 116.

(b) *Dyer* 211. pl. 33. *Hob.* 146. *Jenk. Cent.* 225. *Cr. El.* 542, 571. *Moor* 462, 403. 902.

(c) 2 *Inst.* 81.

(d) *D. er* 50. pl. 1. *Palm.* 495. 542. *Hob.* 173. *Postea* 64. b.

Postea 65. a.

(e) *Cr. Jac.* 481. 4 *O.* 43. a. 8 *Co.* 118. b. *Cawly* 78. *Noy* 82. 1 *Roll. Rep.* 95. *Bridgman* 122.

Antea f. 57. b. 1 *Roll. Rep.* 90. 203. 234. *Cawley* 61. 3 *Bulstr.* 85.

1 *Roll. Rep.* 90.

1 *Roll. Rep.* 89.

Case shall be taken for attainted, for he shall forfeit nothing till Judgment, *Vide F. N. B. 73. d. 30 E. 3. 1. b.* in Attaint, and many Books, where convicted is taken for Attainted, *vide* before in the Case of *Alex. Fowler* of Clergy, *fol. 32. b.*

As to the 2d (a) Point, it was answered and resolved, That (a) Antea 51 a. 1 Rol. Rep. 89. the said Branch of 23 *Eliz.* of Distribution, should extend as well to the Clause of the Penalty for Recufancy, as to the Clause of saying and hearing Mass, &c. 1. Because it is all one to (b) say, shall (c) forfeit generally, and shall forfeit (b) 2 Vent. 188, 267. Co. Lit. 159. a. Moor 238. 2 Ander. 128. Cawly 61. 1 Anderf. 139, 140. (c) 4 Co. 74. b. 8 Co. 56. b. 145. a. 30 Co. 39. a. 2 Rol. Rep. 393. Co. Lit. 191. a. 205. a. 2 Inst. 365. 2 Sand. 357. Latch. 25. 2 Bullf. 131. 1 Mod. Rep. 190. Hardres 92. 1 Rol. Rep. 310. Palmer 433, 437. 5 Co. 11. a. Lit. Rep. 111. (d) Cawly 71. 1 Anderf. 139. 140. Antea f. 58. a. 2 Rol. Rep. 89, 90. Cawly 61.

to the Queen; for the Queen shall have them in both Cases without saying more, *Et expressio eorum quæ tacite insunt nihil operatur.* 2. (d) Divers Acts of Parliaments give the Penalty forfeited to the King, and yet afterwards make Distribution of the Penalty to another, *viz.* to him who will sue, as the Statutes of 3 *H. 6. 3. 3 H. 7. 7. &c.* 3. By two Judgments in Parliament, *viz.* in the said Acts of 28 & 35 *Eliz.* For by the same Acts, the Distribution made by the Act of 23. of the Penalty of 20 *l.* by the Month for Recufancy, is *quodam modo* altered, which proves, That the said Clause of Distribution in 23 *El.* extends to Recufancy, &c.

As to the 3d, it was unanimously resolved, That he, against whom any Judgment is given, either upon *Nihil dicit*, or an insufficient Plea pleaded and a Demurrer upon it, is convicted within the Purview of this Act, for it is true that *non sequitur*, that one is convicted *ergo* he is attainted or adjudged, but it is a good Consequence that one is attainted or adjudged, *ergo* he is convicted, for he who is attainted or adjudged is convicted and more, & *ex vi termini* (that) extendeth to him who is condemned, as *Cicero 7 Verr'*, *Convincere adversum testibus. Idem 2 in Catilinam, Conscientia convictus reticuit.* And where by the Statute of 8 *Hen. 6. 9.* it is provided, That if the Party grieved shall recover by Assise, or by Action of Trespafs, &c. and it be found by Verdict, or in other Manner in due Form of Law, that the Party Def. entred with Force into Lands and Tenements, &c. That the Party shall recover his Damages treble against the Def. And in 6 *H. 8.* the Case was, That in such Action brought by the Party grieved, if the Defendant's Attorney pleads *Non sum informatus*, the Plaintiff shall recover his treble Damages, for this Word (*found*) has two Significations, *scil.* one by a Jury, and the other by the Judges; and the finding of the Judges, *scil.* Their Judgment upon *Non sum informatus*, or upon *Nihil dicit*, is within the said Act, and so was it adjudged in a Writ of Error, *Anno 4 & 5 Ph. & Ma.* and all this is reported by *Bendloes*, Sergeant at Law. So I myself heard the Lord *Dyer*, *Mich. 14*

Antea 30. s. Benlow in Kelw. 207. 1 Anderf. 25. 2 Anderf. 150. Benlow in Ash. 1. 1 Rol. Rep. 90. Dyer 214. pl. 45. N. Benl. 11. pl. 6. Q. if so in criminal Cases?

5 Co. 109. b. § 15 Eliz. say, in the Court of Common Pleas, That fo Judgment was given in such Cafe for the treble Damages upon the Defendant's Default, *Quia fatetur facinus qui judicium fugit*; and the same Law he said, If the Defendant pleads an insufficient Bar, which upon Demurrer is adjudged against him.

1 Rol. Rep. 90, 91, 93. Hob. 205. 29 El. c. 6. Antea f. 58. a. As to the 4th Point it was resolved, That the Act of 28 El. has not taken away the Liberty which the Informer had by the Statute of 23 Eliz. for divers Reasons. 1. The Title is, *For the speedy Execution of certain Branches of the Statute made in the 23d Year, &c.* So that the Makers of the Act of 28 do not intend any Abrogation, but the more speedy Execution of divers Branches of the Act of 23.

Hob. 205.

1 Jones 193. 1 Rol. Rep. 93. 94.

Hob. 205. Cawly 61.

Cawly 166. Owen 27. 1 Leo. 97. 1 Rol. Rep. 93.

2. The Act of 28 gives more speedy Execution only at the Queen's Suit, *sc.* Upon Indictment, For the Queen for the whole Penalty had not Remedy by the Statute of 23 Eliz. but only by Indictment; for the Statute makes it inquirable, &c. before divers Justices named in the Statute, and such Inquiry is always by Indictment. Also in the same Act there is a Proviso, *That every Person guilty of any Offence against this Statute, which shall, before he be thereof indicted, or at his Arraignment or Trial before Judgment, submit and conform himself before the Bishop of the Diocese, &c. or before the Justices, where he shall be indicted, arraigned, or tried, &c. shall be discharged, &c.* By which it appears, That in this new Cafe of Forfeiture, the Parliament gave the Queen none other Remedy to recover the whole Penalty, but by Way of Indictm. And the Statute of 28 El. extends only to the Queen's Suit by the Way of Indictm. as appears by the Words of the same Act, *viz. after the Rate of 20 l. for every Month contained in the Indictment*, and by divers other Branches in the Act, and namely, that Proclamation shall be made upon the Indictment, &c. to appear, &c. so that this Act gives the Queen none other Remedy than she had before by Way of Indictment, but for the more speedy Proceeding upon the first Fundamental Remedy: But does not give the Informer a more speedy Proceeding, but leaves him to his former Proceeding. 3. This Act of 28 Eliz. gives not the Penalty to any new Person, to whom it was not given before, for the Act of 23. gave the Forfeiture to the Queen, &c. 4. The Words of this Act are, *Every Offender that shall hereafter fortune to be once convicted, shall, &c. pay 20 l. for every Month contained in the Indictment*, so that the Sense is, *Every Offender that shall fortune to be once convicted upon any Indictment, &c. shall pay 20 l. for every Month contained in such Indictment*: And thereby it appears, that it extends only

only to the Case of Indictment for the Queen, and not to the Action Popular, or Information. 5. Upon the same Words, the Defendant shall not take the Benefit of this Clause to bar the Informer, unless he avers that he was convicted at the Queen's Suit, for the Words are, *That shall hereafter fortune to be convicted*, so that if his Fortune is not to be convicted at the Queen's Suit, he is not within this Act, but is left to the Informer. 6. The Statute is in the Affirmative, and regularly Statutes in the Affirmative do not take away precedent Acts Affirmative, unless it is in certain special Cases, as shall be after said: But the Statute of 28 *Eliz.* (as was well observed) has altered the Statute of 23 *Eliz.* in one material Point; *viz.* as to Jurisdiction in these Words, *That every Conviction hereafter for any Offence before mentioned, shall be in the Court commonly called the King's Bench, or at the Assises, or general Gaol Delivery, and not elsewhere, &c.* Which Clause without Question, being general, extends not only to the Queen's Suit, but also to the Suit of the Informer: And the said Act of 35. extends not only to the Queen's Suit, but gives her other Remedy than by Indictment, *sc.* by Action of Debt, Bill, Plaint, Information, and in other Courts, as in the *Common Pleas* and *Exchequer*, and so as to the Queen's Suit in other Courts the Statute of 28. is altered, but it doth not touch the Popular Suit of the Informer, nor alter the Statute of 28. concerning the Restriction to Courts, as to the Informer, neither does the Act of 3 *Jac. c. 4.* which gives Jurisdiction to the other Justices, as Justices of Peace alter it as to the Informer, for that Act extends only to the King's Suit by Way of Indictment, *sc.* by Enquiry only, and doth not mention the Suit of the Informer, but leaves it as it was before: So that this Act of 28 *Eliz.* confines the Informer only to the Court of *King's Bench*, or of Justices of Assise or General Gaol-Delivery, and with Negative Words, *sc.* and not elsewhere.

As to the 5th Objection, The Act of 35. doth not abrogate the Stat. of 23. as to the Popular Actions, for four Reasons. 1. Before that Act (as hath been said before) Remedy was given to the Queen by Way of Indictment, and to the Informer, by Bill, Plaint, or Information; now that Act intended to give more speedy Remedy to the Queen only, and not to the Informer, and so are the Words of the Preamble, *For the more speedy recovering for and by the Queen's Majesty, of all and singular, the Pains, &c.* So that the Purpose of that Act was not to oust the Stat. of 23. but to oust Delay, and to give

29 El. cap. 6.

Hob. 204, 205.
Cawly 100, 101,
122. 3 Keb. 535

Cawly 100, 122

Antea fol. 58. b.

give to the Queen a more speedy Recovery than she had before. 2. The Words of the Body of the Act are, *That every of the said Pains, &c. shall and may be recovered to her Majesty's Use, &c.* This Act has made three Alterations. 1. In the Manner of her Remedy, viz. where her Remedy was by Indictment before, now the Queen may have an Action of Debt, Bill, Complaint, Information, or otherwise. The 2d Alteration is concerning Courts, the Queen is not now confined to the *King's Bench*, or to Justices of Assize, or general Gaol-delivery, but may now sue in the *Common Pleas*, or in the *Exchequer*, at her Pleasure. The 3d Alteration was, concerning the charging of one Person at the Queen's Suit, who was not charged before, for at the said Assembly of Justices at *Russel House, Hill.* 35 Eliz. three Points were resolved by all the Judges. 1. (a) That a Feme-Covert was within the Act of 1 Eliz. cap. 2. and shou'd forfeit 12d. for not repairing to Church every Sunday and Holiday.

(a) 3 Bulstr. 87.
Hob. 97. 1 Rol.
Rep. 93, 233,
234. Cawly 26.
Sav. 25. Dalt.
Just. 183.
(b) Cawly 86.
Hob. 97.

2. (b) That the Act of 23. altho' it is more penal, and inflicts Imprisonment for this Non-feasance on him who is not able to pay, yet that a Feme-Covert was within this Statute of 23. 1. Because this Act refers to the said Act of 1 Eliz. and without Question she was within the

(c) Bridg. 122,
123.

Act of 23. 2. (c) Femmes-Covert were a great Part of the Realm and very dangerous, because they have the Education of their Children, and the governing of their Servants. 3. That forasmuch as the Remedy of the Queen,

Savil 25. 1 Rol.
Rep. 93.

was by Indictment, and the Feme-Covert was only indicted, and the Husband was not Party to it, he was not subject to the Wife's Forfeiture of 20l. by the Month, for the Husband shall never be charged for the Act or Default of his Wife, but when he is made a Party to the Action, and Judgment is given against him and his Wife, as for the Debt of the Wife, or for Scandal publish'd by the Wife, or for Trespas done by her, &c. there the Action of Debt, upon the Case, Trespas, &c. shall be brought against the Husband and Wife, and the Husband shall plead, &c. and shall be Party to the Judgment: But if a Feme-Covert be indicted of Trespas, Riot, or any other Wrong, there the Wife shall answer, and shall be Party to the Judgment only, and therefore the Fine set upon the Wife in such Cases, shall not be levied upon the Husband, and therefore the Informer was as to a Feme-Covert in a better Case than the Queen; for inasmuch as Remedy was given to him for the said Forfeiture, by Action of Debt, Bill, Complaint, or Information, the Informer for the said Forfeiture of the Feme-Covert, might have an Action of Debt, Bill, Complaint, or Information against the Husband and Wife for

Cawly 123.

Note.

for the Recovery thereof, and so make the Husband Party, but so could not the Queen only by Way of Indictment, and therefore the Preamble of the Act was true, *That for the more speedy levying and recovering for and by the Queen's Majesty, of all and singular the Pains, Forfeitures, &c. by Virtue of the Stat. of the 23d Year, &c.* The Act gave Remedy to the Queen by Action of Debt, Bill, Complaint, or Information, so that where the Queen for the said Forfeitures of Females Coverts ought, before this Act, to have stayed till the Death of the Husband, to levy or recover it against the Wife, and if the Wife had died before her Husband, in many Cases the Forfeiture was in Danger of being lost, now this Act in adding Remedy for the Queen by Action of Debt, Bill, &c. has given the Queen present Remedy to recover it against the Husband and Wife, and to this Purpose were the subsequent Words added, *viz. In such Sort, and in all Respects, as by the ordinary Course of the Common Laws any other Debt, due by any such Person in any other Cause, shall or may be recovered, but for the Debt, or Duty due by a Female-Covert in an Action, &c. brought against the Husband and Wife, the Husband shall be charged for it: And thereupon many Informations upon this Stat. for the Queen, were exhibited against Husbands and Wives, for the Wives Forfeitures upon the Statute of 23 Eliz. in the King's Bench, &c. and that was the chief Intention of this Branch of 35 Eliz. to make the Husbands of Female-Coverts Recusants, to be charged at the Suit of the Queen for the said Forfeitures of their Wives: So that now the Husbands and Wives may be charged in that Case, as well at the Queen's Suit by Action of Debt, Bill, Complaint, &c. as at the Informer's Suit. But if the King takes his Remedy by Action of Debt, Bill, Complaint, or Information, then no Proclamation can be made thereupon, for that is only upon Indictment, and only upon Indictment before the Justices of Assize, or General Gaol-Delivery by the Act of 28 Eliz. and now before Justices of Peace upon Indictment also by the Statute of 3 Jac. Reg. cap. 4. But the King in Case where he proceeds by Action of Debt, Bill, Complaint, or Information, shall have Execution according to the Common Law, as he should have upon the said Statute of 23 Eliz. The Words of the said Act of 35 Eliz. are not penned *simpliciter, sc. That all and singular the Pains shall be recovered to her Majesty's Use, For, as hath been said, that was not the Intent of the Makers, but secundum quid & sub modo, sc. In such Sort, and in all Respects, as by the ordinary Course of the Common Laws, any other**

Cawly 122.
Cro. Jac. 481.Cro. Jac. 481.
Cawly 123.

Cawly 123.

Cawly 122.

Cawly 122.

Debt due by such Person, should or might be recovered or levied, by which it appears, that this Act alters the Remedy only at the Queen's Suit, that where before she proceeded upon the Indictment according to the Statute, (in which Case the Husband of the Wife Recusant was not charged) now she proceeds by Action, &c. as any other Debt may be recovered at the Common Law; in which Case, for the Debt of the Wife at the Common Law the Husband was charged. And in other Statutes which have the like Reference, no Alteration is made of the Law before, but only as to the Point to which the Reference is made, as it is held in 14 H. 7. 17, 18. in *Everard Digby's Case*, where it is enacted by the Statute of 1 H. 7. cap. 1. That the Demandant may maintain a Formedon in the Descender or Remainder against the Pernor of the Profits, and the same Pernors to vouch, &c. as if they were Tenants indeed: The Case was, That *Everard Digby* brought a *Scire facias* against the Pernor of the Profits, and it is there held, That the Pernor should not vouch in a *Scire facias*, for it shall be intended in such Action in which he may vouch, and the said Words do not alter the Law of Voucher in any other Point than in that to which the Reference is made, viz. that notwithstanding he is not Tenant of the Land, but Pernor of the Profits, that yet he shall vouch; but gives him no new Voucher to other Respects, and therefore he shall not vouch in an Action brought against him, in which no Voucher lay before: So in the Case at Bar, the Act of 35 Eliz. shall be intended to extend to that only to which it is referred, and shall not alter or abrogate any Law before. So the Statute of *Westm. 2. cap. 4.* which gives the *quod ei desorceat*, &c. to him who has lost by Default, and gives also to the Demandant to vouch in it, but not *simpliciter*, but *secundum quid*, viz. *Ac si esset tenens in priori brevi si warrantum habuit*, extends only to the Point to which it is referred, viz. Notwithstanding he is Demandant, but it doth not alter or abrogate the Law in other Cases; and therefore if the Tenant pleads another Bar, and doth not maintain the first Recovery, he shall not vouch at all; also he shall not vouch other than him in the Reversion; also he shall not vouch in any Action, in which no Voucher lies, *Vide 9 E. 3. 22. 33 E. 3. Counterplea de Voucher 101. 33 H. 6. 16. 14 H. 7. 18.* 4. This Act of 35 Eliz. is all in the Affirmative, and therefore shall not repeal or abrogate a Precedent Affirmative Law before; and the said Rule that *Leges posteriores priores contrarias abrogant*, was well agreed, but as to this Purpose, *Contrarium est multiplex.* 1. In Quality, sc. If one is

an

Cro. Jac. 481.
Hob. 113.
Br. Pernor de
Profits 10.
Br. Parliament
21.

Cro. Jac. 481.

Plowd. 113. a.
206. b. Hob. 299.
Br. Parliament
21. Br. Pernor
de Profits 10.
2 Inst. 349, 350,
351, 352.

Hob. 299. 2 Inst.
352. Cro. Jac.
121, 481. Hob.
173. Moor 412.
1 Co. 25. b.
Antea 59. a.
Postea 64. b.
8 Co. 137. b.
Stamf. Prærog.
69. b. 12 Co. 8.
2 Rol. Rep. 410,
423. Hawk. Max.
452. 1 Jones 186.
2 Inst. 685.
Godb. 169.

an exprefs and material Negative, and the laft is an exprefs and material Affirmative; or if the firft is Affirmative and the latter Negative. 2. In Matter, altho' both are Affirmative, as by the Statute of (a) 33 H. 8. cap. 23. it is enacted, That if any Perfon being examined before the King's Council, or three of them, fhall confefs any Treafon, Mifprifion, or Murder, or be by them vehemently fufpected, he fhall be tried in any County where the King pleafes, by his Commiffion, &c. and afterwards another Law was made, 1 & 2 (b) P. & M. cap. 10. in thefe Words, *That all Trials hereafter to be had for any Treafon, fhall be had according to the Courfe of the Common Law, and not otherwife*: This latter Act (altho' the latter Words had not been) hath abrogated the former, becaufe they were contrary in Matter: But it doth not abrogate the Statue of 35 H. 8. cap. 2. of Trial of Treafons beyond the Seas, notwithstanding the Negative Words, becaufe it was not contrary in Matter, for that was not triable by the Common Law, Vide 3 (c) Mar. Dyer 132. acc'. Vide Stamford, fol. (d) 89, 90. So the Statute of (e) 1 E. 6. of Chaunteries, being in the Affirmative, abrogated the Statute of W. 2. cap. 41. which gave the *Ceffavit de Cantaria* alfo in the Affirmative, for the one is contrary to the other in Matter. 3. Contrariety in Refpect of the Form prefcrib'd, as in *Amy Townfend's* Cafe in *Plow. Com.* and many other Contrarieties there are, which are not neceffary to be recited: Only it muft be known, That forafmuch as Acts of Parliaments are eftablifhed with fuch Gravity, Wifdom and univerfal Confent of the whole Realm, for the Advancement of the Commonwealth, they ought not by any conftained Conftitution out of the general and ambiguous Words of a fubfequent Act, to be abrogated; *Sed hujusmodi ftatuta tanta folemnitate & prudentia edita* (as Fortefcue fpeaks, cap. 18. fol. 21.) ought to be maintained and fupported with a benign and favourable Conftitution; for Fortefcue there faith, *Quod Anglia Statuta non Principis voluntate, fed totius Regni affenfu condantur, quo populi lefuram illa efficere nequeunt, vel non eorum commodum procurare, prudentia enim & fapientia ipfa effe referta putandum eft, dum non unus, aut centum folum confultorum virorum prudentia, fed plusquam trecentorum electorum hominum, quali numero olim Senatus Romanorum regebatur, edita funt.* And therewith agrees the Cafe in 4 E. 4. 3. b. 4. a. & 12. a. b. and the Cafe of *Chefter Mills in the Tenth Part of my Reports*, fol. 137. b. 138. a. 6 E. 6. Dyer 72. pl. 3. And where the Statute of 16 R. 2. cap. 5. enacts, That
all

(a) 3 Inft. 27.
Sav. 57. 1 Anderf. 195. 1 Rol. Rep. 91, 92.
Stamf. Cor. 89. a

(b) 3 Inft. 25, 27.
1 Anderf. 107, 195. 1 Rol. Rep. 91.

(c) 3 Inft. 11.
1 Rol. Rep. 92, 92. Dyer 131, 132. Pl. 75.
(d) Stamf. Cr. 89. b. 90. a. b.
(e) 1 Rol. Rep. 91. 2 Inft. 457, 458, &c.

2 Rol. Rep. 410.

Bar. 304, 309.
Br. Surmife 29.
Br. Parliam. 52.
8 Co. 72. b.
Co. Lit. 326. a.
1 Rol. Rep. 91.

all the Lands and Tenements of one attainted in a Premunire shall be forfeited to the King, the Case in *Pasch. 21 El.* was, That one (*a*) *Trudgin* being Tenant in Tail of certain Lands and Tenements was attainted in a Premunire: And the Question before all the Judges of *England* was, If the Estate Tail was barred or not, and it was resolved by all the Judges, That those general Words had not repealed the Statute of *Donis Conditionalibus*, but that he should forfeit only for his Life, and the Issue in Tail should inherit. And for the same Reason it was resolved in this Case. 1. First, that this Statute of 23 *Eliz.* which has inflicted the Penalty of 20 *l.* by the Month, has not taken away the Statute of 1 *El.* which has given the Forfeiture of 12 *d.* for every *Sunday* and *Holiday*, but that both shall be paid; for the one may well stand with the other: For the Forfeiture of 12 *d.* is forfeited as soon as the *Sunday* or *Holiday* is past, but the 20 *l.* is not forfeited till the End of the Month; so that the 12 *d.* is forfeited by Way of Prevention: Also the Forfeiture of the 12 *d.* is given only to the Poor, and the 20 *l.* to the Queen, &c. And the Neglect of Divine Service on the Sabbath and Holidays deserves greater Punishment. And that the Statute of 23 *Eliz.* has not taken away the Statute of 1. as to the said Forfeiture of 12 *d.* appears by the Statute 3 *Jac. Regis, cap. 4.* for by the same Act a more speedy Remedy is given for the said Forfeiture for the Sabbath. 2. The said Branch of the Act of 28 *Eliz. viz.* That every Conviction hereafter shall be in the Court commonly called the Kings Bench, or before the Justices of Assise, &c. and not elsewhere, doth not abrogate the whole Power of Justices of the Peace, and of other Justices to whom Authority was given by 23. for yet any of them may take an Indictment, and that by benign Interpretation to abrogate as little as may be, Forasmuch as the said Act of 28 *Eliz.* restrains only the Conviction, so that the Power to take the Indictment remains: And so it was held by all the Judges and Barons of the *Exchequer* in *Edw. Plowden's Case*: Also in the same Case it was held by them, That whereas the said *Edw.* was indicted before Justices of Peace, and proclaimed before the Justices of Assise, it was (as to the Proclamation) against the express Letter of the Act: But such Indictment ought to have been removed into the Court of *King's Bench*, and upon that Process to be made, &c. 3. That the Statute of 7 *Jac. cap. 6.* provides, That if a Feme-Covert be convicted, that she shall be committed to Prison until, &c. that this Affirmative

(a) 1 Rol. Rep. 92. Godb. 308. Co. Lit. 130. a. 391. a. 3 Inst. 126. 2 Rol. Rep. 117, 420, 496, 503. Hob. 340. Cawly 46. Moor 523. 1 Jones 22.

1 Rol. Rep. 94. Dalt. Just. 183. Cawly 27.

29 Eliz. cap. 6. Cawley 101.

1 Rol. Rep. 94. Cawley 108.

2 Rol. Rep. 108.

1 Rol. Rep. 94.

firmative Law doth not take away the Remedy which was given to the King for the Forfeiture of a Feme-Covert by the Statute of 35 *El.* or to the Informer by the Statute of 23 *El.* because all the said Acts are affirmative, but the shall be punished but upon one of them. Also the Negative Clause in the Act of 3 *Jac. viz. That no Person shall be charged for his Wife's Offence, &c.* doth not extend to a Feme-Covert to be charged either upon the Stat. of 35 *Eliz.* at the Queen's Suit, or upon the Act of 23. at the Informer's Suit; for the Words are expressly penned, *That no Person shall be charged for his Wife's Offence, by Force of this Act, viz. of the Act of 3 Jac.*

And where it is objected, That the express Designation of one Person is the Exclusion of all other, that is true in all Acts which are introductive of a new Law, as the said Acts of 31 *E. 3.* & 8 *H. 6.* but here are two Acts of Parliament, and the Act of 35. doth not give it to a new Person, but to the same Person that 23. has given it, *viz.* to the Queen; and it is but an Act of Addition to give a more speedy Remedy than was given by the Act of 23. As in a Writ of Mesn, the Process at Common Law was Distress infinite; and altho' the Statute of *W. 2. cap. 9.* gives more speedy Process, and in the End forejudger; yet the Plaintiff may take which Process he will, either at the Common Law, or upon the said Statute, because both are in the Affirmative, and therewith agree *F. N. B. 137. a. 14 Hen. 7. 10. b. 36 Hen. 6. 3. a. 3 E. 4. 27. 48 E. 3. 14. 15 Hen. 7. 16. Stradling's Case, Pl. Com. 207. a. b. 17 Eliz. Dyer 243. 46 E. 3. 4. 21 E. 3. 11. 30 E. 3. 11. 20 Hen. 6. 11. 29 Aff. pl. 35. 29 E. 3. 24. 8 E. 3. 52. 22 R. 2. Damages 130.*

And it was observed, That in many Cases the Designation of a new Person in a latter Act of Parliament shall not exclude another Person who was authorized to do the same Thing by a precedent Act. It is enacted by the Statute of 8 *Hen. 6. cap. 16.* that after Office found, &c. he who finds himself grieved, may within the Month offer a Traverse, and to take the Lands and Tenements to Farm, and that then the Chancellor, Treasurer, and other Officer shall let them to him to Farm until, &c. *Vide 13 E. 4. 8. a.* And now by the Statute of 1 *Hen. 8. 16.* he has Liberty by the Space of three Months; and afterwards the Statute of 32 *H. 8. cap. 40.* gave Authority to the Master of the Wards, with the Advice of one of the Council, to make a Lease of the Lands of a Ward or of an Ideot, during the Time they shall remain in the King's Hands; altho' the latter Act designs another Person, yet it doth not utterly take away the first: For if before any Lease made by the Master of the Wards, the

35 *Eliz. cap. 1.*23 *Eliz. cap. 1.*2 *Inst. 371, 372.*
8cc.Br. Scire fac.
146. 1 *Roll. Rep.*
91, 243. *Dyer*
343. pl. 55. *Benl.*
in *Kelw. 211. pl.*
20. *N. Benl. 152.*
pl. 210. *O Benl.*
20. pl. 21.

Moor 245. 1

the Chancellor and Treasurere make one according to the Statute of 8 H. 6. then the said Master can't demise it; and so if the Master makes it first to another, the Chancellor and Treasurere can't demise it to the Party grieved, as *Stamford* holds; *Præc. Regis*, fol. 69. a. b. Where he mentions the said Rule, *Quod (a) leges posteriores priores contrarias abrogant*, 43 *Aff. pl. 9.* the Statute of 13 E. 3. *de Mercatoribus*, which gives the Assise to Tenant by Statute Merchant, shall not take away the Assise which the Tenant of the Freehold had before, but both well stand together. So in 33 H. 8. *Dyer* (b) 50. If it was enacted, that the younger Son should have an Appeal of the Death of his Father, that should not exclude the elder of his Suit, because there are not any Words of Restraint. As to the other Objection, That the Generality of the Words, *All and every, &c.* imply a Negative, because (c) *qui omne dicit nihil excludit.* To that it was answered and resolved, 1. That the said Words are not *simpliciter* general, but *secundum quid*, as has been said, which is a full Answer to this Objection. 2. That in the Principal Case in (d) 33 H. 8. *Dyer* 50. that a Demise made under the Great Seal, of Land within the Survey of the Court of *Augmentation*, by the Authority of the same Book, is not void. (e) *Vide Porter's Case in the first Part of my Reports*, fol. 25. and *Gregorie's Case in the sixth Part of my Reports*, fol. 19. b. and the Statute of (f) 23 Hen. 8. cap. 3. provides generally, *That all Attaints hereafter to be taken, shall be taken in the K's B. or Common Pleas* (but the Makers of the Act do not stay there, but add these Words) *and in none other Court*, *Vide Dyer* 202. b. So it was enacted by the Statute of 6 (g) E. 6. That the Quarter Sessions in the Counties of *Anglesey, &c.* should be always held at *Beaumaris* only, *Et non alibi infra Comitatus Anglesey, &c.* and Sessions were held at *Newburgh* in the same County, and divers Persons there indicted, &c. and 4 & 5 Ph. & Mar. it was resolved by all the Judges of *England*, that all was *Coram non iudice* and void, by Reason of the said Negative Prohibition: By which it appears, That the General and Affirmative Words were not the Cause of the Resolution.

And the Chief Justice said, That altho' there be Negative Words in an Act of Parliament, yet in many Cases they shall not bind the *King's Bench*, because the Pleas there are *Coram ipso Rege*; and thereupon he put the Case in 21 E. 3. 55. b. & 21 *Aff. pl. 12.* the Abbot of *Westminster's Case*, That where it is enacted by the Statute of *Westminster* 1. cap. 3. That from henceforth nothing be demanded,

(a) 1 Co. 25. b.
11 Co. 59. a. 62. b.
Cro. Jac. 121.
8 Co. 137. b.
12 Co. 8. 2 Rol.
Rep. 410, 423.
Hawk. Max.
452. 2 Inst. 685.
Godb. 169.
1 Jones 186.
(b) Cro. Car.
172. 1 Rol. Rep.
91. *Dyer* 50.
pl. 3.

(c) 2 Inst. 81.

(d) *Dyer* 50. pl. 1.
Palm. 495, 542.
Antea 59. b.
Heb. 173.
(e) 1 Rol. Rep.
91, 92, 163. Cro.
Jac. 481. Moor
599, 600.
(f) *Benl. in Kel.*
210. pl. 16.
Benl. in Ash. pl.
16. N. *Benl.* 98. 3
pl. 144. *Dyer*
202. pl. 70.
Co. Lit. 294. b.
(g) *Dyer* 135.
pl. 14. 10 Co.
77. a. 2 Rol.
Rep. 100.

Raymond 113.
Hardres 391. Br.
Escape 19. 1 Rol.
Rep. 92. Br.
Sanctuary 12. Br.
Refeiser pro
Rege 17.

manded, not taken, nor levied by the Sheriff, nor by other, for the Escape of a Thief or Felon, till the Escape be adjudged before Justices Errants; the Case was, That it was presented in the King's Bench, that the Abbot of *Westminster* had suffered certain Clerks attainted before the King, which were in the Abbot's Prison, delivered to him out of the *Marshalsey*, to escape, and there *Pole* who was of Council with the Abbot, moved the Court, That by Force of the said Statute, till the Eire, the Abbot ought not to be impeached, by which he should be put to answer, & *non allocatur*, wherefore *Pole* pleaded, that they did not escape. So when a Statute makes a new Law, and assigns certain Justices to execute it; although the Justices of the King's Bench are not by express Words authorized by the Act, yet they may execute it, as the said Statute of 8 H. 6. 9. gave Power to Justices of Peace to make Restitution, and therefore Justices of *Oyer and Terminer*, or Gaol-delivery, &c. shall not make Restitution, and so it was resolved as has been said; yet if the Indictment is removed into the King's Bench *Coram Rege*, they shall award Restitution, and so upon Argument it was resolved in the King's Bench in 4 H. 7. 18. b. and thereupon a Writ of Restitution was awarded, and therewith agree 15 H. 7. 5. b. *vide* 7 E. 4. 18. And the Chief Justice cited a Resolution of the Judges, *Mich. 37 & 38 Eliz.* in this Case, in the General Pardon *de Anno 35 Eliz.* there is an Exception of all Penalties or Forfeitures converted to a Debt by Judgment, Order, Decree, or Agreement; now the Question moved to the Justices was, If a Recusant convicted upon Proclamation made according to the Statute of 28 El. should be within this Exception? and it was resolved, not; for the Words of the Statute are, *Shall be convicted, as if he had ben found guilty by Verdict*, and doth not speak of any Judgment: Also, altho' the Parliament upon such Conviction ordains (which is in the Nature of a Judgment) that he shall pay the Forfeitures, &c. yet that is not such a Judgment as is intended within the said General Pardon: But it was resolved, that if he had been convicted and Judgment given thereupon according to the Statute of 23, that such Judgment is within the said Exception; and it was well observed, that the Statute of 1 *Jac. Regis, cap. 4.* enacts, *That all Statutes made against Recusants in the Reign of Queen Elizabeth, shall be put in due and exact Execution.*

As to the last Objection, it was resolved, That no such double Charge can accrue to him, but that he may plead, That he was *auter foits* convicted, &c. and so by Plea avoid the double

1 Rol. Rep. 92.
Dall. 25. pl. 8.
Dall. in Kelw.
204. pl. 2.
Dall. in Ash.
pl. 2.
Antea 59. 2. b.
9 Co. 118. b.

9 Co. 118. b.
Br. Forcib. Ent.
27.
Fitz. Ent. 44.
Dyer 187. b.
Br. Restitut. 11.
1 Rol. Rep. 92,
94.
Palm. 41.
Cawly 69, 108,
165.

Antea 59. b.
Bridgman 122.
Palm. 40.

Dr. FOSTER'S Case. PART XI.

double Charge. And where by the Statute of 3 Jac. c. 4. it is enacted, That no Indictment, &c. nor any Proclamation, Outlawry, or other Proceeding thereupon, shall be avoided, by Reason of any Default of Form, or Lack of Form or other Defect whatsoever, (other than by direct Traverse to the Point of not coming to Church, or not receiving the Sacrament, whereof such Person shall be indicted) but that the same Indictment shall stand in Force; any such Default in Form, or other Defect whatsoever notwithstanding, the Recusant may well plead any Collateral Bar, as Pardon, Submission, autre foits convict, or other Bar dehors, for this Act extends only to Defects within the Indictment, or other Proceedings.

And that the Informer cannot charge any who is convicted before at the Queen's Suit, upon the Statutes of 23 Eliz. 35 Eliz. or 3 Jac. Regis. But those who are concealed, and not charged at the King's Suit, the Informer may exhibit Informations against them upon the Statute of 23 El. in the King's Bench, or before Justices of Assise, or General Gaol-delivery, and so charge them, who peradventure otherwise would never be charged, for by the Statute of 23 Eliz. he ought to be charged within a Year and a Day: So that for any Forfeiture before the Year and the Day, no Remedy can be taken, either by the King or by the Informer, because the Time is limited in certain by the said Act of 23 El. &c. and therefore it was said, that in this Case the Informer was *neque falcator, neque messor*, but *spicilegus*, viz. a Gleaner. And that those Recusants, Feme-Coverts, or others, who have not been convicted at the King's Suit, that the Informer may find them, and charge them, otherwise they might escape unpunished: And in the principal Case, for a great Part of the Time, If the Informer had not exhibited his Information, the King would have lost all for so much of the Time as was before the Year, whereas in this Case he shall have two Parts, whereof one shall be to the Use of the Poor, and afterwards Judgment was given against the Defendant.

Nota Reader, Trin. 31 El. in this Court *inter Stretton qui tam, &c.* and *Tayler*, it was adjudg'd, That after a popular Action brought, altho' the King's Attorney will enter *ulterius non vult prosequi*, or if the Defendant pleads a special Plea, altho' the Use is that the Attorney-General replies only, yet if he will not reply, or prosecute for the King, the Informer may prosecute for his Part, for by the Suit of the Informer commenc'd, he has made the Popular Action his Private Action, which the King nor any

other

1 Rol. Rep. 95.
Cawley 180.
Doctr. placit.
277.
1 Salk. 384.

Cro. Eliz. 261.
Cro. Jac. 482.
Bridg. 121, 122.
Cawley 78.
Stat. Limit.
Carth. 234.

Cawley 73.

Cawley 78.

Cawley 79, 80.
Cr. El. 138, 183.
3 Inst. 194.
1 Leon. 119.
Vaugh. 243.
1 Rol. Rep. 95.
Godb. 158.
1 H. 7. 3-2.
Dr. Keleafe 41.
Hart 82.
Cawley 76.

other can release as to his Interest, and the Condemnation or Acquittal of the Party at his Suit is a Bar against all others and against the King : And yet the King in all these Cases before any Action commenced by an Informer may pardon and release it, and that shall be a Bar against all People; and this Difference was granted, and denied by none. 1 *H. 7. 3. a.* and therewith agree 37 *H. 6. 4. a.* 5 *E. 4. 3. a.* 2 *R. 3. 12.* Also *Mich. 39 & 40 El.* it was adjudged in this Court, That if pending the Information, the Informer, *qui tam, &c.* dies, that yet the King's Attorney may prosecute the Suit for the King, for the Information by the Parry, shall serve for the King after his Death.

3 *Inst. 194.*
 5 *Co. 48. b. 50. b.*
 51. a.
 Sav. 23.
 Cawly 78, 80.
 Moor 58.
 Br. Release 41.
 Br. Action popular 7.
 12 *Co. 29.*
 2 *Rol. Rep. 33.*
 Cro. Jac. 481.
 Cr. El. 583.
 Moor 541.
 2 *Bullfr. 261.*
 262.
 3 *Inst. 194.*

[Whether a Conviction of Deer-stealing is pardoned by an Act of General Pardon. See 1 *Salk. 384. Rep. Q. A. 235.*]

The CASE of the Ma- ster and Fellows of *Magdalen* College in *Cambridge.*

Pasch. 13 Jacobi 1.

2 Rol. Rep. 151,
277.
Cr. Jac. 164.
Cr. Car. 175.
2 Bulstr. 146.

John Warren brought an *Ejectione firma* against John Smith Master of Arts, which began in the King's Bench, *Pascha* 9 Jac. Regis, Rot. 288. and declared on a Lease made by Francis Castillion Knight, 20 Decemb. anno 8 Jac. of an House in London in *Parochia Sancti Botolphi extra Aldgate in Warda de Aldgate*, from the Feast of St. Michael the Archangel then last past for two Years; by Force of which the Pl. entred, and was possessed till ejected by the Defen. The Def. pleaded Not guilty; and the Jury gave a special Verdict, viz. That long before the Trespass and Ejection, *Rogerus Kelke Sacrae Theologiae Professor, Magister, & Socii Collegii Sanctae Mariae Magdalena in alma Academia Cantabrigiae, Seisui fuer' de infrascripto Mesuagio cum pertinen' in Dominico suo ut de feodo in jure Collegii sui pred'*, and so being thereof seised, 13 Decemb' anno nuper Regina El. 17. by their Indenture in English, between the said Queen El. of the one Part, and the said Master and Fellows of the said College of the other Part, and enrolled in the Chancery of Record, the said Master and Fellows for divers Considerations them thereunto especially moving, did give and grant to our Sovereign Lady the Queen, All that their Messuage (which was the Messuage mentioned in the Declaration) with the Appurtenances, lying in the Parish of St. Botolph without Aldgate, London, To have and

to hold the said Messuage, with the Appurtenances to our said Sovereign Lady the Queen, her Heirs and Successors for ever; yielding and paying therefore yearly to the said Master and Fellows, and their Successors, at the Feast of St. Michael the Archangel, 15*l.* with Clause of Distress, and under this Condition or Proviso following, viz. *Provided nevertheless, That if our said Sovereign Lady the Queen, her Heirs and Successors, shall not sufficiently convey, and assure by Letters Patents under the Great Seal of England, the said Messuage with the Appurtenances unto one Benedict Spinola, Merchant of Genoa, and his Heirs, before the First Day of April next ensuing, That then this present Indenture, and every Gift, Grant, and Article therein contained, shall cease and be utterly void, and of none Effect, as by the said Indenture, whereof one Part was sealed with the Seal of the said Master and Fellows, and the other with the Great Seal of England appears: And the Jury further found the Act of 13 Eliz. c. 10.* by which it is enacted by Authority of Parliament, That from thenceforth, all Leases, Gifts, Grants, Feoffments, Conveyances or Estates, to be made, had, or suffered by any Master and Fellows of any College, Dean and Chapter of any Cathedral or Collegiate Church, Master or Warden of any Hospital, Parson, Vicar, or any other, having any Spiritual or Ecclesiastical Living, or any Houses, Lands, Tithes, Tenements, or other Hereditaments, being Parcel of any such College, Church, Cathedral, Hospital, Rectory, Vicarage, or any other Spiritual Living, &c. to any Person or Persons, Bodies Politick or Corporate, other than for the Term of 21 Years, or three Lives, shall be utterly void and of none Effect to all Intents, Constructions and Purposes, &c. and they found likewise the Act of Confirmation of Letters Patents made 18 *Eliz. cap. 2.* by which it is re-

To or for our Sovereign Lady the Queen's Majesty, by or from any Person or Persons, Bodies Politick or Corporate, of any Honours, Castles, Manors, Lands, Tenements, &c.

Co. Lit. 43. a.
44. a. 301. a.
342. a.
6 Co. 37. a.
1 Rol. Rep. 157.
Moor 459, 593.
Cr. El. 430.
Vaugh. 204.
Savil. 129.
Goldf. 171.
1 Brownl. 132.
5 Co. 14. a. b.

1 Rol. Rep. 152,
154, 159, 169,
170.
1 Jones 217.
Cr. Car. 172.
Cr. Jac. 364.
Postea 76. a.
Moor 338.

Magdalen College Case. PART XI.

See the Prince's
Case, 8 Co.

for any Debt, Sum or Sums of Money, or other Consideration whatsoever, shall stand, remain, and be good and available in Law to all Intentis, Constructions and Purposes, according to the true Meaning, Intent and Purport of the same, Saving to all and every Person and Persons, &c. And further it was enacted, That all Letters Patents, Indentures and other Writings, sealed with the Great Seal of England, or the Seal of the Dutchy of Lancaster, or the Seal of the County Palatine of Lancaster, then made and granted by the said Queen for any Sum of Money, or for any other Consideration, *essent bona, perfecta*, and effectual in Law, &c. against the said Queen, her Heirs and Successors, according to the Tenor and Effect of the same Letters Patent, &c. And they further found, That the said Queen *Eliz. 29 Jan.* in the said 17th Year of her Reign, by her Letters Patent under the Great Seal, granted unto the said *Benedict Spinola* (who was then a Free Denizen) the said Messuage with the Appurtenances, to have and to hold to him, his Heirs and Assigns for ever: Which *Benedict Spinola*, *15 Junii, Anno 22 Eliz.* by his Deed indented and inrolled within six Months in the Court of Chancery, did, for Money, bargain and sell the said Messuage, with the Appurtenances, to *Edward Earl of Oxford*, and his Heirs; by Force whereof the said Earl entred, and was thereof seised in his Demesn as of Fee, *prout lex postulat*, and he being thereof so seised, *Rowland Broughton*, Gent. and *Elizabeth* his Wife, *Craft. Trin. Anno 24 Eliz.* levied a Fine of the said House, with the Appurtenances, to the said Earl of *Oxford* and his Heirs, with Proclamations, which were found at large according to the Stat. and afterwards *9 Maii, Anno 25 El.* the said Earl demised the said House to *Edw. Hamond* for 51 Years, who *9 Novemb. Anno 26 Eliz.* assign'd all his Interest and Term for Years in the said House, to one *W. Masham*, who *4 Octob. Anno. 2 Jac.* died thereof possessed Intestate, after whose Death *Alice* his Wife took Administration of his Goods, &c. and *1 Feb. Anno 4 Regis nunc*, took to Husband the said *Francis Castillion*, Knight: And that the said *Roger Kelke*, Master of the said College, *8 Jan. Anno Domini 1602.* (which was *Anno 44 Regni Reginae Eliz.*) died; and after his Death *Barnaby Gooche*, Doctor of the Civil Law, was elected and made Master of the said College, and that the said *Edw. Hamond*, in the Name and Stead of the said Earl then Tenant of the said House, paid to the said *Barnaby Gooche*, then Master of the said College, *15 l.* of the Rent aforesaid, to the said Master and Fellows of the said College due at the Feast of *St. Michael, Anno Domini 1606*; which *15 l.* the said *Barnaby Gooche*, then Master, received, and by Writing under

under his Hand, without a Seal, acknowledged that he had received it; and that the said *Barnaby Gooche*, within five Years after he was chosen Master, and after the Receipt of the said Rent, viz. 5 Feb. Anno 4 Regis nunc, into the said House, with the Appurtenances, upon the Possession of the said *Francis Castillion* and *Alice* his Wife, did enter in *jure Collegii sui prad'*, and the said Master and Fellows of the said College, 5 Feb. Anno 4 Regis nunc, by their Indenture under their Common Seal, demised the said House with the Appurtenances, to the said *J. Smith* the Defendant, for six Years; and that the said *Francis Castillion*, Knight, upon the Possession of the said *John Smith*, re-enter'd and made the Lease to the said *John Warren*, prout in the Declaration, who was ejected by the said *J. Smith*, prout in the Declaration: And the Question which the Jury referred to the Court was, Whether upon the whole Matter, the Entry of the said *J. Smith* was lawful or not, &c.

And this Case was argued at the Bar by *Hobart*, then Attorney General, *Montague* the King's Serjeant, *George Croke* — for the Plaintiff; and *Yelverton* the King's Solicitor, *Thomas Crew* — for the Defendant.

And in this Case four Points were moved and argued at Four Points the Bar.

1. If the said Conveyance made to Queen *Elizabeth* by the Master and Fellows of the said College, of the said House, Parcel of the Possessions of the said College, after the said Act of 13 *Eliz. Regina*, was restrained by the said Act? 1.

2. Admitting the said Conveyance was restrained by the said Act of 13; if the said Act of 18 *Eliz.* has supplied the Defect thereof, and has made it perfect and effectual? 2.

3. Admitting also, That the Act of 18 *Eliz.* doth not extend, nor give any Force to it, If the said Fine levied, and five Years passed, shall bind the Right of the Master and Fellows of the said College for ever? 3.

4. If the said Acceptance of the Rent aforesaid, by the said Master of the said College, should disable or conclude him from entering into the said House? And if any of the said Points should be adjudged against the Defendant, then his Entry was not lawful, and by Consequence Judgment should be given for the Plaintiff, *Bonum defendentis ex integra causa, malum ex quolibet defectu.* 4.

As to the first it was objected, That by the Rule of the Law, the King not being named in the Act, is by the Law exempted out of the Act, for the Law gives the King this Prerogative, That for the Dignity of his Royal Person, he is not by Construction of the Law included within these common Words, *Person or Persons, Bodies Politick or Corporate*; and be the Stat. Affirmative, or be it Negative, which is stronger,

Postea 69. b.
Kelw. 35. a.
1 Rol. Rep. 151.
155, 156, 164.
Plow. 240. a. b.
Co. Lit. 43. b.
98. b. 99. a.
120. a.
1 Jones 21.
Lit. Sect. 140.
Hard. 302.

Magdalen College Case. PART XI.

it shall not bind the King unless he is specially named, but he shall take Benefit of a Statute altho' he be not named, as the Statute of *W. 1* (*a*) *cap. 36.* which settles reasonable aid (as well to make the eldest Son Knight, as to marry the eldest Daughter) in certain, enacts, That from henceforth of a whole Knight's Fee be given only 20*s.* and of 20*l.* Land held in Socage, 20*s.* and of more, more, and of less, less: But it was held, That forasmuch as the King was not named, he was not bound by that Law, and to settle that in certainty was the Act of (*b*) 25 *E. 3. cap. 11.* made, in which Act the King was specially named. *Vide F. N. B. 82. f. acc.* Also the Statutes of Limitations, *scil.* of *Merton, cap. 8. W. 1. cap. 3.* and 32 *H. 8. cap. 2.* have never bound the King. So the Statute of *W. 2. cap. 5.* which gives the Plea of Plenarty by six Months, doth not bind the King, because the Act is general, and doth not name the King. The Statute of 27 *E. 1.* which gives Trial in the Country by *Nisi prius,* shall not bind the King, *F. N. B. 241. b. 24 E. 3. 23, &c.* So by the Statute of 18 *E. 1.* of *Quia emptores terrarum,* the King is not bound, as it is held in 10 *H. 7. 23. a. &c.* The Statute of *Magna Charta, cap. 11.* enacts in the Negative, (*c*) *Quod communia placita non sequuntur curiam nostram, sed teneantur in aliquo loco certo,* but that doth not bind the King, as it is adjudged in 23 *H. 3. Tit. Brief,* and 31 *E. 1. Tit. Prerogative 28.* for he may have a *Quare Impedit* in the King's Bench; and many other Cases were cited upon this large and common Ground, which you may find in our Books, and especially in *Plow. Com. (d) 240. b. in the Lord Barkley's Case. Vide 11 H. 4. Quare Impedit 120. 11 H. 4. 87. Br. Prerogative 57. (c) 12 H. 7. 21. a. in Stoner's Case, 4 E. 3. 34. 30 E. 3. 5. (f) 7 H. 4. 32. b. 4 Mar. Dyer 145.* So in the Case at Bar, forasmuch as the Queen was not named in the said Act of 13 *Eliz.* she was not bound thereby, but was at Liberty to take the said Grant as she was before the said Act of 13 *Eliz.*

It was likewise urged, That always after the Act of 13 *El.* divers Masters and Fellows of Colleges, Deans and Chapters, Masters or Wardens of Hospitals, and others having Spiritual or Ecclesiastical Livings, have made many Estates and Leases to Queen *Elizabeth,* and to the King that now is, which are granted over and transferred to many Persons, and all these were made by the Advice of Men well learned in the Law, and of the Counsel learned of the said late Queen, and of the King also, and the change of such a common and constant Opinion, upon which the Estates and Interests of so many Men depend, will be the Occasion of great Vexations, Suits in Law, and the Ruin of many, who have not only spent their Substance,

or

(a) 13 Co. 27, 28.
Postea 74. b.
1 Roll. Rep. 157, 165.
12 Car. 2. c. 24.
2 Inst. 231, 232.
Co. Lit. 162. b.
Regist. 87. a.

(b) 13 Co. 27.

1 Roll. Rep. 151.
Plow. 244. a.

Q.

(c) 1 Roll. Rep. 164.
Pl. Cr. 244. a.
Plow. 210. b.
236. b.
Postea 75. a.
11 Co. 75. a.

(d) 1 Roll. Rep. 151.

(e) 1 Roll. Rep. 151, 164.
Postea 72. b.
(f) Br. Treas. 101 4.

or the greatest Part of it, upon such Estates and Leases, but also have spent much upon new Buildings, and other Charges upon them, all which will be utterly lost by the Change of the said continual Practice; and in such Changes, (a) *Rerum progressus ostendunt multa quæ initio præcaveri aut prævideri non possunt*: And another saith, *Quod in edificiis lapis male positus non est removendus*; and the Law saith, (b) *Interest Reipublica ut sit finis litium*.

(a) 6 Co. 40. b.
12 Co. 48.
2 Inft. 26.
Hawks Max. 311,
452.
(b) 6 Co. 45. a.
3 Bullstr. 98,
Godb. 242.
Hard. 128.
1 Roll. Rep. 152,
154, 161.

As to the 2d Point, it was argued by the Plaintiff's Counsel, That admitting the Queen was bound by the said Act of 13 Eliz. yet the said Act of 18 Eliz. has made the Grant to the Queen good and effectual; for admitting the said Grant to the Queen was voidable afterwards, or immediately void and of none Effect, yet the Act has made it unavoidable, good and effectual; for the Words are, For the perfect Assurance, Confirmation, and further Security of such Assurances, Conveyances and Estates, &c. it is enacted, That they shall stand, remain, and be good and available in Law to all Intents, Constructions and Purposes, according to the true Meaning Intent and Purport of the same. So that it appears by the Words of the Act, that the full Intent of the Makers of it, was to make that perfect which was imperfect, and to make that assured which was not sure, and to add greater Force to that (by Addition of further Security) which was defective before; and to this Purpose the Makers of the Act, not only in the said precedent Words, but in those which are subsequent, are very Provident, and in a Manner curious to take away all Evasion which might be made out of it, and therefore first it is enacted, That they shall stand, remain, and be good and available in Law. And to answer a secret Objection which might be made upon the Words of the Act, *sc.* All Feoffments, Fines, Assurances, Conveyances, Estates, &c. that they ought to be sufficient, for an insufficient Thing is reputed in Law for nothing, to oust that, these Words are added, To all Intents, Constructions and Purposes, according to the true Meaning, Intent and Purport thereof; so that the Act doth not say, That they shall be good and available, according to the strict Construction and Operation of the Law (for if they were good and available in Law, then there needed not any Act to make them perfect or sure, or to add further Security) but the Words are, according to the true Meaning, Intent and Purport of them; and without Question in the Case at Bar, the true Intent and Meaning of the Master and Fellows, and of Queen Elizabeth also, and the Purport of the Indenture was to convey the said House to the Queen, her Heirs and Successors, for so much the said Indenture purports, altho' it be not of Force to convey it.

Magdalen College Case. PART XI.

And it was said, That if a Bishop had made an Estate or Lease to Queen *Eliz.* and afterwards the Act of 18 *Eliz.* was made, that had made good the Estate or Lease to the Queen, altho' it was never confirmed by the Dean and Chapter. So if an Infant had levied a Fine to the Queen, or to others for the Queen's Behoof, that after the Act of 18 *Eliz.* the Infant should never reverse the said Fine for Nonage. And many other Cases out of their own Invention were put, which I purposely omit.

1 Roll. Rep. 153,
155, 160, 162.
4 H. 7. c. 24.

1 Roll. Rep. 160.
1 Jones 46.
Postea 71. a.
2 Rol. Rep. 162.
Dyer 83, pl. 77.

1 Roll. Rep. 152,
155, 158, 160,
164, 166, 171.
Postea 75. b.
Hob. 97.

1 Roll. Rep. 153,
155, 160, 162.

Antea 68. a. b.
1 Roll. Rep. 153,
161, 163, 164.

As to the 3d Point, they argued, That the said Master and Fellows of the said College were a Corporation aggregate of many and had the entire Fee in them, and by the Stat. of 4 *H. 7.* should be bound by Fine and Non-claim by five Years, as it is adjudged in *Croft and Howel's Case, Plow. Com. 538.* and in *Stowel's Case, Plow. Com. 376. Vide 7 E. 6. 83. Dyer. Vide 24 E. 3. 58.* and that the Stat. of 13 *Eliz.* doth not aid them in this Case, because it extends only to Leases, Gifts, Grants, or other Assurances or Conveyances made or suffered, and notwithstanding the Word (suffered) yet there ought to be an Assurance or Conveyance suffered, to which they shall be Party, as by a common Recovery of Lands had against them, or Recovery of an Annuity by Consent against them, as appears in *Eytrene's Case, 14 El. in the fifth Part of my Reports, fol. 14. b.* But this Case of a Fine levied between Strangers and Non-claim by five Years, was never questioned or doubted; and it would be a great weakning of the general Assurance of the Realm, if this Act should be construed against the Letter, to exempt all those named in the Act, so that they should not be bound by any Fine and Non claim.

As to the 4th Point, Forasmuch as the Master, who is the Head of the Corporation, has accepted of the Rent, and made an Acquittance thereof under his Hand, he has concluded himself from entring during the Time that he is Master, and forasmuch as he is concluded, the Fellows, without their Head cannot enter; and thereupon they concluded, That for all these four Points, or for some of them, for if any of them should be adjudged for the Plaintiff, Judgment ought to be given against the Defendant. Against which it was argued by the Defendant's Counsel, and they concluded that Judgment should be given for the Defendant.

And as to the first, which was the principal Point of the Case, it was argu'd for the Defendant and unanimously resolv'd by the Justices, *Coke Ch. Just. Sir John Croke, Sir John Dodderidge,* and *Sir Robert Houghton* Justices, upon solemn Argument in Court, that the said Act of 13 *El.* extends to restrain the

the Master and Fellows of the said College from conveying the said House, Parcel of the Possessions of the same College, to the Queen, altho' the Queen was not expressly named in the said Act. And first it was resolved, (a) That the general Words of the Act extend to the Queen, for the Words are, *To any Person or Persons, Body Politick or Corporate*, and without Question the Queen was a Person, as it is said in 10 H. 7. 18. a. (b) *Rex est persona mixta*, and that she was a Body Politick appears in *Plow. Com. in the Case of the Dutchy of Lancaster*, 213. and in the *Lord Barkley's Case*, 234. and in many other Books. Then if the Act be general, and the Queen be clearly included within the Words, if she shall be exempt out of the Act, it ought to be by Construction of Law, and as this Case is, the Law will not make such Construction for Reasons apparent in the Act itself, *scil.* 1. The Queen, Lords Spiritual and Temporal, and the Commons who made the said Act, have adjudged, as in the Preamble appears, long Leases made by Colleges, &c. to be unreasonable, and against Reason (*a fortiori*, an Estate in Fee-simple, &c.) and the Law which is the Perfection of Reason, will never expound the Words of the Act against Reason. 2. The Parliament has adjudged them Causes of Dilapidations. 3. To be the Decay of all Spiritual Livings. 4. The Decay of Hospitality. And 5. The utter Impoverishment of Successors Incumbents in the same: And upon all these follows a Consequent fearful and dangerous, *scil.* decay of the True Religion and Spiritual Worship of God; for it is recorded in History, that there were (amongst others) two grievous Persecutions, the one under *Dioclesian*, the other under *Julian* surnamed the *Apostate*; one of them intending to have extirpated all the Professors and Preachers of the Word of God, and therefore the Record saith, *Occidit omnes Presbyteros*, but notwithstanding that Religion flourished, for, *sanguis Martyrum est semen Ecclesie*, and yet that was a dreadful and grievous Persecution; but the Persecution under the other was more grievous and dangerous, *quia* (as the History saith) *occidit Presbyterium*, for he robbed the Church, and spoiled Spiritual Persons of their Revenues, and took from them whatever they might live on, and thence in short Time ensu'd great Ignorance of the True Religion and Service of God, and thereby great Decay of the Christian Profession; for none would apply himself, or his Sons, or any other he had in Charge, to the Study of Divinity, when they should have, after a long and painful Study, nothing to live on. *Vide* all this Word for Word in the *Bishop of Winchester's Case*, in the second Part of my Reports, *fol.* 44. b. And therefore it was unanimously resolved, That general Statutes,

(a) Hard. 302,
445.
1 Jones 21.
1 Rol. Rep. 236.
5 Co. 14 & 15.
Eccle^s Case, Hob.
97.
(b) 13 Co. 17.
2 Co. 44. a.
Cawley 6.

2 Co. 44. b.

1 Roll. Rep. 164.

5 Co. 14. b.
Hob. 157.
Cawley 64.
2 Buller. 155.

Magdalen College Case. PART XI.

tutes, which provide necessary and profitable Remedy for the Maintenance of Religion, the Advancement of good Learning, and for the Relief of the Poor, shall be extended generally according to their Words; and God forbid that by any Construction, the Queen, who made the Act with the Assent of the Lords and Commons, should be exempted out of this Act of 13 *Eliz.* which provides necessary and profitable Remedy for the Maintenance of Religion, the Advancement of good Literature, and the Relief of the Poor; and out of these Colleges, Deans and Chapters, &c. as well the Church is furnished with grave and learned Divines, for the Instruction of Christians in the True Religion, as the Commonwealth with learned Men for the better Administration of Justice, as well Temporal as Ecclesiastical, which (*sc.* Religion and Justice) are the main Pillars which support the King's Crown; and therefore of all others, the King, who as hath been said, is *persona mixta, Medicus Regni, Pater Patrie, & (a) Sponsus Regni*, who *per annum* is wedded to the Realm at his Coronation, should not be exempted out of this Act by Construction of Law, which would be against Reason, and the Cause of Dilapidations, the Decay of Spiritual Livings, of Hospitality, of the utter Impoverishment of Successors, and by Consequence the Decay of Religion and Justice would ensue, and therefore it is true, (*b*) *quod summa ratio est qua pro Religione facit: And W. I. (c) cap. ult. summa Charitas est facere Justiciam singulis, & omni tempore quando necesse fuerit.* And it is to be known, That the Law never presumes that any one will do a Thing either against Religion, or any Religious Duty: And therefore it is resolv'd in *Cholmley's Case, in the second Part of my Reports, f. 51. a.* where a Reversion expectant on an Estate Tail is granted to one for the Life of Tenant in Tail, and it was said, That by Possibility this Grant for Life might take Effect, for the Tenant in Tail having no Issue might become a Monk, and enter into Religion, and then the Grantee might have it during his natural Life: But there it is resolv'd, That such superstitious and irreligious Profession should not be presumed in Law, *Mic. (d) 10 H. 6. 8. a. J. S.* brought an Action of Debt against *Johan' Rector' de T. in Com. B.* The Defendant said, That he was, before the Day of the Writ purchased, dwelling and conversant at *B.* in the County of *N.* & *non allocatur*, for a Parson shall be intended by Law to be Resident upon his Benefice, for the Cure of Souls which he has there; for a Parson who has Cure of Souls, and is Non-resident, *non est dispensator sed dissipator, non speculator sed spicalator*, and therefore no such Thing shall be presumed. So in the Case at Bar, the Law will never make Construction against the Maintenance of Religion, Advancement of Learning, and Sustenance of the Poor;

It

Hob. 97.

(a) 1 Roll. Rep. 165, 166.
Raym. 155.

(b) 1 Roll. Rep. 167.
10 Co. 55. 2.
5 Co. 14. b.
Co. Lit. 341. a.
2 Bulstr. 53.
Hob. 295.
Hawks. Max. 2.
Wing. Max. 3.
(c) 2 Inst. 264.
1 Roll. Rep. 167.
Postea 74. b.

(d) 1 Roll. Rep. 167.
Doctrin. placit. 2.
Fitz. Brief. 268.
Jus. Brev. 401.

It is enacted by the Statute of 1 & 2 Ph. & Mar. cap. 8. That it should be lawful, &c. to give Lands, Tenements, &c. by Feoffment, Grant, or other Assurance, or by last Will and Testament in Writing, to any Spiritual Body Politick or Corporate, notwithstanding the Statute of Mortmain. (a) One Allaine Clarke seised of certain Lands in London in Fee, 4 & 5 Ph. & Mar. by his last Will in Writing devised them to the Master, Fellows and Scholars of Trinity College in Cambridge, and to their Successors for ever, for the finding of certain poor Grammar Scholars, &c. And Mich. 8 & 9 Eliz. a great Question was moved. 1. That the said College consisted not only of Divines, but of others also. 2. That the Intent was to find Grammar Scholars, &c. 3. That in the Statute of 34 & 35 H. 8. Of Explanation of Wills, Bodies Politick and Corporate are excepted out of it, yet per opinionem omnium Justiciariorum utriusq; Banci & Capitalis Baronis Scaccarii; the Devise was held good, and the Statute of 1 & 2 Ph. & Ma. (being made for the Maintenance of Religion, Advancement of Learning, and Exhibition of poor Scholars) ought to be favourably expounded, and altho' the Lands were held of the King, yet in such Case the said Act was expounded to bind the King. So the Words of the Statute of 4 H. 7. of Fines are general; yet the Successor of a Bishop, Parson, Vicar, or any other sole Corporation, shall not be bound by Construction of Law, as a Corporation aggregate of many shall be, for then the Bishop without the Dean and Chapter, the Parson or Vicar without the Patron and Ordinary, &c. might by their Sufferance bind their Successors, which would be the Cause of Dilapidation and Diminution of Spiritual Livings, and therefore by Construction of the general Law are excepted, as it is held in Stowel's Case, in Plow. Com. 376. and Howel's Case, Plow. Com. 538. By the Statute of 23 H. 8. cap. 10. it is enacted, That all Conveyances, &c. to the Use of Parish Churches, Churchwardens, Guilds, Companies erected of Devotion, or common assent, &c. and all other like Uses and Intents, should be void: And one Nicholas Gibson being seised of an House and Wharf in London, after the said Act devised them to Avice his Wife, and her Heirs, upon Condition to found a Free-School, and for the Sustainance of certain poor Men and Women, and it was questioned if this Condition was against the said Act of 23 H. 8. Mich. 34 & 35 Eliz. Reg^s, as it appears in the first Part of my Reports, f. 22. b. and it is there adjudged, that the said general Words of the Act of 23 H. 8. do not extend to take away the said good and charitable Uses, for

Instruction

(a) 1 Co. 25. b.
1 Rol. Rep. 166,
418.
Jenk. Cent. 233.
3 Keb. 554.
Co. Lit. 99. a.
Dyer 255. pl. 7.
Hob. 122.
2 Brownl. 246.
1 Rol. 556.
Raym. 112.
Stiles 391.
Godb. 394.
2 Kclw. 66, 168.

1 Co. 25. b.

Antea 69. b.

1 Co. 23. a.
1 Rol. Rep. 166

1 Co. 25. b.
Cr. El. 288.
Poph. 6, 7, 8.
2 Bulst. 34.
1 Rol. Rep. 163.

Magdalen College Case. PART XI.

Instruction of Youth in good Literature, and for Sustainance of the Poor; for there it is said, That no Age was so barbarous as to abolish Learning and Knowledge, not so uncharitable as to prohibit relieving the poor People: So that it appears by these Cases, That by Construction of Law, Lands conveyed for the Advancement of Learning, or Sustainance of the Poor, have been preserved and maintained against the general Words of the said Acts: But it was never seen, That a general Act, made for the Maintenance of Religion, Advancement of Learning, and Relief of the Poor, should be by Construction of Law so expounded, that a By-way should be left open, by which the said great and dangerous Mischiefs should remain, and the necessary and profitable Remedy suppressed; for the Office of the Judge is, to make such Construction as will suppress the Mischiefs, and advance the Remedy, and to suppress all Evasions for the Continuance of the Mischiefs; and such By-way shall never be left open by Construction, altho' it be for the King's Benefit; and that appears by the Act of 1 *El.* by which Archbishops and Bishops are restrained from making any Conveyance, &c. other than for three Lives, or twenty-one Years, to any Person or Persons, Bodies Politick or Corporate, other than to the Queen, her Heirs and Successors; out of which there are three material Things to be observed: 1. That the Queen had been included within the said Words of Person and Persons, Bodies Politick, &c. if the said Exclusion or Exception had not been made: 2. That if the said By-way had not been contained in the same Act, it never had been raised by Construction of Law: 3. That forasmuch as the same Words are used in the said Act of 13 *El. sc.* to any Person or Persons, Bodies Politick or Corporate, and no Exception or Exclusion made of the Queen; by Consequence it appears, that it was the Intention of the Makers of the Act, that the Queen should be bound thereby, and *eo potius* because they had so good a Pattern before them, as the Act of 1 *Eliz.* was; and altho' the true Intent of the said Exception in the said Act of 1 *Eliz.* was for the Support of the Crown; yet by Importunity of Suitors, many Estates and Leases were made to the Queen by Archbishops and Bishops, with Intent to grant them over to Subjects to private Uses; which the King, that is now, perceiving, of his pious and religious Care, That the Possessions of the Archbishops and Bishops should not be diminished by the said By-way, by an Act of Parliament in the first Year of his Reign, and in the first Session thereof, c. 3. reciting, That where Archbishops and Bishops by the Law could not convey any of their Possessions to other Subjects, his most excellent Majesty knowing, That divers with great

1 Co. 24. a. b.

1 Ventris 311.

5 Co. 14. b.
The Queen
bound, though
not named.

Note the Craft
of the Bishops
to evade the
Law.

great Suit had endeavoured to frustrate the true Intent of the Law, of his Christian and Princely Piety and Care intending to protect the said Possessions from Alienation or Diminution, for the better Maintenance of God's true Religion and Hospitality, and for avoiding of Dilapidations, and thereby for ever after avoid all Suits and Importunities concerning any of the said Possessions had of his meer and pious Motion vouchsafed, that it should be enacted, that every Archbishop and Bishop and their Successors should, after the End of the same Session of Parliament, be utterly disabled in Law, to make, levy or suffer any Alienation, Assurance, Demise, Charge or Conveyance of their Possessions to the King, his Heirs or Successors: And that every such Alienation, &c. should be utterly void and of none Effect to all Intents, Constructions and Purposes.

The 2d Reason is, That the King shall not be exempted by Construction of Law out of the general Words of Acts made to suppress Wrong, because he is the Fountain of Justice and Common Right, and the King being God's Lieutenant cannot do a Wrong, *Solum Rex hoc non potest facere, quod non potest injuste agere*, and therewith agree 13 E. 4. 8. a. and the Case of *Alton Woods, in the first Part of my Reports, fol. 44. b. 48. a. &c.* And altho' a Right was remediless, yet the Act which provides a necessary and profitable Remedy for the Preservation of it, and to suppress Wrong, shall bind the King, as appears in the Lord *Berkley's Case, Pl. Com. 246.* If Tenant in Tail before the Statute *de Donis Conditionalibus* had aliened, either before Issue to bar the Issue in Tail, or after Issue to bar as well the Donor as the Issue in Tail, it was tortious; but no Remedy was given for it till the Statute *de Donis Conditionalibus, Anno 13 E. 1.* was made, which Act saith, *Dominus Rex perpendens, quod necessarium & utile est in præd' casibus apponere remedium, &c. statuit, quod non habeant illi, quibus tenementum sic fuit datum sub conditione, potestatem alienandi, &c.* and the Lord *Berkley's Case* was, That Land was given to King *H. 7.* and to the Heirs Males of his Body; and the Question was, Whether the King, forasmuch as he was not expressly restrained by the Act *post prolem masculum suscitatum*, might alien or not? And it was adjudged, That he could not alien, but that he is restrained by the said Act, for three Reasons. 1. Because such Alienation before the Statute was wrongful, altho' such Wrong wanted Remedy; for there it is said, it would be a hard Argument to grant, that the Statute which restrains Men from doing Wrong and Ill, should permit the King to do it. 2. Forasmuch as the said Act is *statutum remediale*, and provides a Remedy for this

2 Inst. 358, 681.

Antea f. 70. a.

Lib. 5. f. 14. b.

Cr. Car. 60.

1 Rol. Rep. 166,

167.

Plow. 246. b.

Noy 182.

That Kings

have done

wrong, see Bacon

of Government,

lib. 1. p.

199, 200.

1 Co. 44. b. 48. a.

1 Rol. Rep. 153.

lib. 7. f. 22. a.

Lord Ander-

son's Case.

Magdalen College Case. PART XI.

(a) 1 Rol. Rep. 167.
Co. Lit. 392. b.

(b) 1 Rol. Rep. 167.

Co. Lit. f. 392. b.
7 H. 4. 32. b.
Br. Treason 4.
Antea 68. b.

(c) Godolph. Abr. 174, 176, 307.
Antea 49.
Postea 98. b.
1 Rol. Rep. 86, 164, 167.
Br. deponitioner 1.

(d) 11 Co. 49. a.
Ryley's Pla. Par. 335.
2 Rol. 813.
1 Rol. Rep. 86, 100, 335.
2 Bulltr. 279.

2 Inst. 353.

this remediless Wrong, and that it was necessary and profitable to provide such Remedy, it was adjudged, That it should bind the King. 3. Because it was an Act of Preservation of the Possessions of (a) Noblemen, Gentlemen and others, it should also bind the King; and the said Act shall not bind the King only when he took an Estate in his natural Capacity, as to him and the Heirs Males of his Body, but also when he claims an Inheritance as King by his Prerogative: And therefore (b) if Tenant in Tail, after the said Act be attainted of High Treason, altho' the King claims the Forfeiture, as King, by his Prerogative, Yet the King is bound by the said Act, for there shall be no Forfeiture to bar the Issue in Tail, as it was at the Common Law, as it is held in 7 H. 4. 31. 8 H. 4. 9. a. b. 7 R. 2. *Tit. Aide del Roy*. So in the Case at Bar, the said Act of 13 El. has all the said three Qualities. For, 1st, it was to suppress Wrong: For Dilapidations and Diminution of Spiritual Livings, &c. as appears before, are Wrongs, and such Wrongs as are *quodam modo* punished by the Law, for the Master, Dean, &c. (c) for Dilapidations or Wasting, or Diminution of the Revenues of their House, might be deprived, as appears in 29 E. 3. 16. a. 2 H. 4. 3. b. 11 H. 6. 20 H. 6. 46. a. 9 E. 4. 34. a. 35 E. 1. (d) Resolved in a Parliament held at *Carlisle*, *quod vide* before in *Richard Lifford's Case*, f. 49. a. and a notable Record in 19 E. 3. *Rex amovit custodem hospitalis de suo Patronatu, qui male dispendit proficua domus*, &c. because it is against their Office and Duty to waste the Possessions of their Houses, which are committed to them *pro bono publico*. 2. This Act of 13 El. is *actus remedialis*, and was necessary and profitable to provide such Remedy for the publick Good of the whole Ecclesiastical Estate, &c. 3. This Act is an Act of Preservation, *sc.* to preserve the Possessions of Colleges, Deans and Chapters, Hospitals, &c. and therefore for all these three Causes shall bind the Queen. And where it is enacted by the Statute of W. 2. cap. 5. *Quod quotiescunque aliquis jus non habens tempore hujusmodi custodiar' presentaverit*, &c. it was resolved by Coke Chief Justice, Croke, Dodderidge and Houghton Justices, That that Act being made to suppress Wrong should bind the King, and so the Law is well resolved in a Case which was left doubtful in 35 H. 6. 60. a. b. *Ratcliff's Case*. And to conclude this Reason, it is notably said in 24 E. 3. 41. a. That the Law is Reason and Equity, to do Right to all, and to keep Men from Wrong and Mischief, and therefore the Law will never make Construction against Law, Equity and Right.

The 3d Reason is, That the general Words of a Stat. which tend to perform the Will of the Founder or Donor, shall bind the

the King, altho' he be not named, and that appears in *Statuto Templariorum, Anno 17 Ed. 2.* where it is said, *Ita semper quod pia & celeberrima voluntas Donatoris in omnibus teneatur & expleatur, & perpetuo sanctissime perseveret.* And the said Act *de Donis condic'* is notable to this Purpose, for there it appears, that it was necessary and profitable that the Will of the Donor should be observ'd; the Words of which Act to this Purpose are; *Propter quod Dominus Rex perpensens quod necessarium & utile est, &c. apponere remedium* (and what was such Remedy) *statuit quod voluntas donatoris in caria doni sui manifeste expressa de cetero observetur;* which bound the King, as it is adjudg'd in the said Lord Berkley's Case, where, *fol. 247.* it is said, That Men ought to observe the Intent or Will of other Men, and to violate it is ill. And in the Case at Bar, the Intent of the Founder of the said College, was for the Maintenance of Divines, the Advancement of liberal Arts and Sciences, and to educate poor young Persons in Virtue and Learning, which God forbid should not be performed; and therefore it is a stronger Case, and in a more pious and publick Degree than the said Act *De Donis condic'* which was enacted for the Preservation of private Estate-tailes to particular Families.

The fourth Reason is, That the (a) Master and Fellows of the said College, are by the said Act disabled to grant; and then, if they be disabled to grant, the Queen can't take from them who are so disabled; the Words of the Act are, *All Leases, Gifts, Grants, &c. to be made, &c. or suffered by any Master and Fellows of any College, &c. shall be utterly void and of none Effect, to all Intents, Constructions, and Purposes, any Law, Custom or Usage to the contrary notwithstanding;* which is as much as to say, That every Master and Fellows of a College shall be disabled to make any *Lease, Gift, Grant, &c.* but that every such *Lease, Gift, Grant, &c.* shall be utterly void to every Intent and Purpose; for when, by Authority of Parliament, the Grants to be made by any Master and Fellows of a College, are made utterly void to all Intents, &c. thereby the Master and Fellows are disabled by Parliament to make any Grant; for in every Grant there ought to be (b) Grantor, Grantee, and Thing to be granted; and when the Grant of the Thing is made void, thereby the Grantor is disabled to grant it. But (c) this Disability is not *simpliciter, sed secundum quid,* for if the Master and Fellows of a College make such Grant, it shall not be avoided by the Master himself, but by his Successor, as it was resolv'd in this Case, and oftentimes has been resolv'd before now.

King bound,
tho not named.

(a) 5 Co. 14. a.
Carter 13, 16.

(b) Perkins
sect. 1.
27 H. 8. 27. b.
(c) Herley 23.
Godb. 302.
3 Co. 60. a.
1 Co. 51. a.
Co. Lit. 45. a.
Cr. El. 207, 816.
Cr. Jac. 173.
1 Mod. Rep. 204,
205.
Carter 13, 16.
1 Leon. 307.
10 Co. 59. a.
1 Rol. Rep. 152,
154, 159, 163,
169.

Magdalen College Case. PART XI.

The fifth Reason is, in Acts of Parliament which are to be construed according to the Intent and Meaning of the Makers of them, the original Intent and Meaning is to be observed; and it appears that the Intent of the Master and Fellows was, That they would convey the said House to *Benedict Spinola* and his Heirs; and because they could not do it *de directo*, they attempted to do it *ex obliquo*, to grant it to the Queen and her Successors, but upon Condition contained in the same Grant, That the Queen, within three Months, should grant the said House to the said *Benedict Spinola* and his Heirs: So that it was endeavoured, That the Queen, who was the Fountain of Justice, should thereby be made the Instrument of Injury and Wrong, and of a Violation of a pious and excellent Law, which she herself, for the Maintenance of Religion, Advancement of Liberal Arts and Sciences, and for Sustainance of the Poor, had made. And where the Master and Fellows were seised of the said House to them and their Successors for ever, *in jure Collegii pro bono publico*, and to pious and charitable Uses, now it should be converted for the private Use of *Spinola* and his Heirs for ever, *scilicet* (as the Statute of *Carlisle*, Anno (a) 35 Ed. I. speaks) *Quod olim in usus pios ad divini cultus augmentum & cetera opera pietatis charitatisve fuit erogatum, nunc in sensum reprobum est conversum*: And the Poet doth well reprehend that.

(a) 35 E. 1. c. 1.
1 Rol Rep. 167.
Hobert 148.
2 Inst. 585.

— *Fuit hæc sapientia quondam,
Publica privatis secernere, sacra profanis.*

And it was resolved, That the Law will never make an Interpretation to advance a Private and to destroy the Publick, but always to advance the Publick, and to prevent every Private, which is odious in Law in such Cases. And therefore it is well said in *Heydon's Case*, in the third Part of *my Reports*, fol. 7. b. The Office (b) of Judges is always to make such Construction as to suppress the Mischief and advance the Remedy; and to suppress subtle Inventions and Evasions for the Continuance of the Mischief, & *pro privato Commodo*, and to add Force and Life to the Cure and Remedy, according to the true Intention of the Makers of the Act *pro bono publico*. And if it should be lawful for Masters and Fellows of Colleges, Deans and Chapters, &c. to convey to the Queen with such Condition to grant to a Subject, without Question such Construction would tend to the Continuance of the Mischief & *pro privato Commodo*: And therefore in 17 Edw. 3. 59. b. The Friars Carmelites, who then had no Place of Habitation, obtained of one *John Merinc*, who was seised of ten Acres of Mea-

(b) Cr. Car. 537.
Cra. Argum. 40.
1 Co. 123. 2.
2 Syd. 41.
2 Bullstr. 187.
Hob. 97. 1 Rol.
Rep. 162, 166.
Co. Lit. 381. b.

Postea 74. b.

dow, held of the Bishop of *Winchester*, to have the said Acres of Meadow for a Place of Habitation for them; and because *John Merite* could not grant them the said ten Acres by Reason of the Statute of Mortmain, by Covin betwixt the said *John Merite* and the Friers Carmelites to toll the Bishop of his Seigniorie (which was the Impediment.) The said *John Merite* (to make an Evasion out of the Statute of Mortmain) granted the said ten Acres to the King, his Heirs and Successors, whereby the Bishop's Seigniorie would be extinct, to the Intent that the King should grant over the same to the Friers Carmelites, which he did accordingly; and because it was by Covin betwixt them, as aforesaid, to toll the Bishop's Seigniorie, it was adjudged that the said Charter should be repealed, and that the Friers Carmelites should be distrained to deliver the Charter to be cancelled: Out of which Case five Things were observed. 1. That he who makes the King, who is the Fountain of Justice, to be the Instrument of Fraud and Covin, and thereupon obtains Letters Patents, that they are void, *quia dolus circuitu non tollitur*. 1 Rol. Rep. 169. 2. If the King is endeavoured to be made an Instrument to toll the Right of another, and to that End a Man obtains Letters Patents, that such Letters Patents shall be repealed. 3. Although such Covin and Fraud was not contained in the Grant made to the King, but appears only by Averment *dehors*, yet the Patent shall be repealed. 4. Altho' the Friers Carmelites were of a Profession of Religion, and had not any Habitation before, so that it seemed to be a Work of Piety and Charity to provide an Habitation for them, yet *Non facias malum, ut inde fiat bonum*. 5. That such Charter, so obtained, was adjudged to be repealed by the Common Law; and the like Case, 21 Ed. 3. 46. b. The Master and Scholars of *Merton's Case*. Vide *Bracton*, Postca 74. b. in the Beginning of his second Book, *Nihil aliud potest Rex in terris, cum sit Dei Minister & Vicarius quam quod de jure potest; & paulo post, Itaque potestas juris sua est, non injuria, & (observe well) cum sit author juris, non debet inde injuriarum nasci occasio, unde jura nascuntur*. And the C. J. Postca 74. b. said, That if one who intends to sell his Land, had by Fraud conveyed it by Deed inroll'd to the Queen, to the Intent to deceive the Purchaser, and afterwards he sells the Land to another for a valuable Consideration, and makes a Conveyance accordingly, in that Case the Purchaser shall enjoy the Land against the Queen by the Statute of 27 Eliz. cap. 4. for altho' the Queen is not excepted, yet the Act being general and made to suppress Fraud, shall bind the Queen. So, he said, if Tenant in Tail be seised of Land, the Remainder over in Tail or in Fee, and he in Remainder knowing the Tenant in Tail will alien the Land, and by Recovery bar his Remainder, to the Intent to deprive the Tenant in Tail of his

Magdalen College Case. PART XI.

Birth-right, and the Power which the Law gives him to bar the Remainder, and on Purpose and with Intent to deceive the Purchaser, grants his Remainder to the Queen by Deed inrolled, and afterwards Tenant in Tail, for a valuable Consideration, aliens the Land by a Common Recovery, and dies without Issue, the Purchaser shall enjoy the Land against the Queen, by the said Statute of (a) 27 Eliz. the Words of which are, *That every Conveyance, &c. made, &c. to the Intent and of Purpose to defraud and deceive any Purchasers, &c. shall be deemed only against such Purchaser, &c. to be utterly void*: In which Words it is to be observed, that such former fraudulent Conveyance made by the Vendor himself, is not only restrained, but generally, That every Conveyance made of Purpose and Intent to deceive a Purchaser, shall be void; and therefore the Conveyance of the Remainder to the Queen, of Purpose and Intent to deceive a Purchaser, is directly within the Words and Purview of the Act; and of such Opinion was Popham, Chief Justice, openly in the Exchequer Chamber. And the said Cases of (b) 17 Ed. 3. 59. b. & (c) 21 Ed. 3. 46. b. are stronger than this is, Where the Party grieved was relieved by the Common Law, forasmuch as the King can't be an Instrument of Fraud and Deceit, & *cum sit auctor juris, non debet inde injuriarum nasci occasio, unde jura nascuntur*. Vide (d) Cholmeley's Case, in the second Part of my Reports, fol. 51, 52. And it was said, That the Law has given the King a great Prerogative above any of his Subjects, that where by (e) Fraud or false Suggestion he is deceived, that he himself, in such Cases, shall avoid his own Grant, *jure Regio*, and that appears, Anno 21 E. 3. 47. b. in the Earl of Kent's Case, & Stamford prerog' Regis 84. a.

The sixth Reason, That the Statute has made void all Leases, Grants, &c. other than for 21 Years, or three Lives, whereupon the accustomed Rent or more is reserved, which being express and demonstrative of these two particular Cases, excludes all others.

And as to all the Cases which have been put on the other Side, it was resolved, 1. That none of them impugn any of these Reasons or Grounds. 2. That where the King has any Prerogative, Estate, Right, Title, or Interest, that by the general Words of an Act he shall not be barred of them, as in the said Case of reasonable Aid, the King has an Estate and Interest in it, and therefore the general Words of the Act of W. 1. (f) cap. (36) 46, shall not extend to it. Also the King has a Prerogative (g) *quod nullum tempus occurrit Regi*, and therefore the general Acts of Limitations, or of Pleading, shall not extend to him; so the King, by his Prerogative,

(a) Co. Lit. 3. b.

(b) Antea 73. b.

74. a.

(c) 1 Rol. Rep.

154.

Co. Inst. 44. a.

10 Co. 113. b.

11 Co. 87. a.

Antea 74. a.

(d) Antea 70. b.

1 Rol. Rep. 167.

(e) Br. Patent 14.

Br. Peticion 11.

Dyer 87. pl. 100.

1 Co. 44. a. 52. a.

2 Rol. 196.

(f) Antea 68. b.

1 Rol. 157.

(g) Co. Lit. 41. b.

90. b. 118. a.

294. b.

Hob. 14^o. 152.

Lit. Rep. 99. 140.

2 Inst. 273. 360.

Godb. 207; 305, 312, 317.

Plowd. 321. a. Hard. 24, 25. 1 Rol. Rep. 165. Palm. 354, 357. 2 Rol. Rep. 422. 7 Co. 28. a.

Prerogative may sue in what Court he pleases, and of this Prerogative he is not barred by the general Purview of the Act of *Magna Charta*, cap. 11. & sic de ceteris. But in the Case at Bar, the King is not excluded of any Estate, Right, Title, Interest or Prerogative, that he had before the Act in the said House; and therefore for all these Reasons it was concluded, That this Act of 13 *Eliz.* should bind the Queen. *Nota* Reader, That the general Statute of 32 *Hen.* 8. cap. 36. of Fines for avoiding of Controversies shall bind the King, as appears in the 7th Part of my Reports, fol. 22.

As to the Number of the Leafes which have been made since the Statutes of 13 *Eliz.* by Masters and Fellows of Colleges, Deans and Chapters, Masters of Hospitals, &c. to that it was answered, 1. That it was more *ex consuetudine Clericorum*, who imitated Precedents of Leafes made before 13, than of any sage Advice of Men learned in the Law. 2. *Multitudo errantium non parit errori patrocinium.* 3. The Inconvenience is greater, and concerns more Persons, and in a more high Degree on this Side than on the other; for in the famous Universities of *Cambridge* and *Oxford* there are 42 Colleges, besides the Colleges of *Westminster*, *Winchester*, of *Eaton*, *Sion*, *Gresham*, &c. 2. There are 24 Deans and Chapters; Archdeaonries 60; Dignities and Prebends in Cathedral Churches 400; Parsonages and Vicarages 8803, and Hospitals an exceeding great Number; so that to give all these, and their Successors, Power from Time to Time for ever, by a Mean, or By-way, to alien the Possessions of the Colleges, Deans and Chapters, Archdeaonries, Prebends, Parsonages, Vicarages, Hospitals, &c. which were given to Religious, Pious, Charitable and Publick Uses, would be of greater Inconvenience and Consequence than the Destruction of certain Estates and Leafes made since the Act of 13 *Eliz.* of the Possessions, either of Ecclesiastical Persons, or of the Poor, originally given for the Maintenance of Works of Piety and Charity, and now transferred to private Persons, and converted to private Uses; and the restoring of these Possessions to their original Foundation or Endowment, *sc.* for the Maintenance of Religion, the Advancement of liberal Arts and Sciences, Sustenance of the Poor, and other Works of Charity, *pro bono publico.* 4. It would tend to the great Prejudice of Archbishopricks and Bishopricks, for if Deans and Chapters should have Power to alien not only their Possessions, but their Cathedral Churches, where would then be *Cathedra Episcopi*? and where would be his Prebendaries *qui praberent auxilium Episcopo*, in Consultation for the suppressing of Heresies and Errors, and in the true Instruction of Men in Religion, and the Spiritual Worship of God committed to their Charge, and in the Celebration

11 Co. 68. b.
1 Roll. Rep. 164.
Plowd. 236. b.
240. b. 244. a.

Cro. Arg. 75.
Hardr. 98. 345.
4 Co. 94. a.

1 Roll. Rep. 170.

Magdalen College Case. PART XI.

of Divine Service, &c. So of Arch-deacons, &c. 5. There never was any judicial Opinion in any Court, That the Queen was not bound by the Act of 13 *Eliz.* but on the other Side, it has been often resolved in the highest Court of Justice, That Queen *Elizabeth* was bound by the said Act, and therefore it was resolved in the Parliament held *Anno* 43 *El.* by *Popham* and *Anderson*, Chief Justices, and divers other Justices, Assistants to the Lords in Parliament, That the Queen was bound by the said Act of 13 *Eliz.* which I have reported in my 5th Book, fol. 14. in the Case of Ecclesiastical Persons, which Resolution of the Judges the Lords and Commons in Parliament well allowed of, and therefore in the Act of Confirmations, at the same Parliament of Grants, &c. made to the Queen, and of Grants made by the Queen (*sc.* 43 *Eliz. cap.* 1.) in the Clause of Grants, &c. made to the Queen, there is an Exception in these Words, *other than Conveyances or Estates heretofore had or made by any Ecclesiastical Person or Persons, Bodies Politick or Corporate, not having Power or Ability by the Laws of the Realm, to make the same;* by which Words the whole Parliament well approv'd of the said Resolution of the Judges as to this Point.

Also at the Parliament held 1 *Jac.* when the Bishops Bill was read, to restrain them from conveying to the King, &c. it was moved by the Right Reverend Archbishop *Whitgift*, That Deans and Chapters, and others, having Ecclesiastical Living, &c. should be restrained and inserted in the said Bill also, as well as Archbishops and Bishops: And it was again resolved by the Justices, Assistants at the same Time, That they were restrained by the Statute of 13 *Eliz.* from making any Conveyance to the King of any Part of their Possessions; and so it was said it had been resolved before that Time, and therefore they were omitted in the said Bill, concerning the Disability of Archbishops and Bishops at the same Parliament, *de Anno* 1 *Jac. Regis.* And of what Authority the Resolution of the Judges Assistants in Parliament is, appears in 39 *Ed.* 3. 1. *a. b.* The Duke of *Lancaster*, and *Blanch* his Wife, brought a *Scire facias* against the Lady *Latimer*, and a Question was moved concerning the Abatement of the said judicial Writ; and there *Thorpe*, Chief Justice, informed the Court, That he was in Parliament when such a Question was debated; and there it was resolved, That the Writ should not abate: To which *Cavendish*, Serjeant, said, My Lords, you are our Judges, and we have none other Judges but you, in this Place; also that which was resolved in Parliament is not of Record, and

Antea 69. b.
1 Roll. Rep. 152,
155, 158, 160,
164, 166.
Hob. 97.
Carter 13.

and if you will adjudge that we shall answer, we will readily answer: To which *Thorpe*, Chief Justice (who gave the Rule of the Court) said, We who are Judges, may remember it as well as if it was in Writing, and we will not adjudge the Reverse of what is adjudg'd there, wherefore answer; upon which Book the Chief Justice strongly rely'd, as well for the Authority of the Resolution of the Judges in Parliament, as for the Credit of the Report of the Judges. *Nota Reader*, As it is observed in the *Case de Anno 43 Eliz. fol. 14.* the said Act of 13 *Eliz.* has been always construed beneficially, to prevent all Inventions and Evasions against the true Intention of the same Act, as appears there by divers Resolutions there reported: And also that it has been often held, that where the Statute says Master and Fellows of any College, be the College incorporated by the same Name, or by the Name of Warden and Fellows, or Warden and Scholars, or Warden, Fellows and Scholars, or by the Name of Master, Fellows and Scholars, or Master and Scholars, or Provost, Fellows and Scholars, or by any other Name of Corporation, and be the College Temporal for the Advancement of Liberal Arts and Sciences, or to educate Youth in good Literature, or meer Ecclesiastical, or mixt, every such College is within the Provision of this Act; the same Law where the Statute says, Master or Warden of any Hospital, be the Hospital incorporated by any other Name, or be it a sole Corporation, or a Corporation aggregate of many, the Statute extends to all Manner of Hospitals, & *sic de ceteris*, for this Act has always had a benign and favourable Construction.

5 Co. 14. b.
Palm. 216.

As to the 2d Point, it was resolved, That the Statute of 18 *Eliz. cap. 2.* has not given any Force or Effect to the said Grant made to the Queen, but that after this Act the Grant remain'd of the same Force as it was before this Act; and that for three Reasons: 1. This Conveyance to the Queen is out of the Words of the Act of 18 *Eliz.* for two Reasons: 1. Because the Words of the Statute are, *Where since the 18th of November, in the first Year of her Majesty's Reign, divers and sundry Manors, Lands, Tenements, &c. have been conveyed and assured to her Highness, her Heirs and Successors, by and from divers and sundry Persons and Bodies Politick, as well for Satisfaction of great Debts and Sums of Money, as for other good Considerations; for the perfect Assurance, Confirmation, and further Surety whereof, Be it enacted, That all Feoffments,*

1 Roll. Rep. 154.
Antea 67. a.
Hard. 72.

L. 4.

Fines,

Fines, Surrenders, Assurances, Conveyances and Estates, &c. to or for our Sovereign Lady the Queen, her Heirs and Successors, by or from any Person or Persons, Bodies Politick or Corporate of any Manors, Lands, Tenements, &c. for any Debt, Sum or Sums of Money, or other Consideration whatsoever, shall stand, &c. good, &c. so that it appears, That only such Conveyances are established by this Act, which are made for Satisfaction of Debts and Sums of Money, or other good Consideration, which Words in the Preamble are joined to the Body of the Act, for immediately after the same Words, the Statute says, for the perfect Assurance, &c. whereof, Be it enacted, and therefore, altho' the Words are in the Body of the Act, For any Debt, Sum of Money, or other Consideration whatsoever, omitting (good) before Consideration, yet it is necessarily implied, not only by the said Connection of the Preamble to the Purview, but also is implied in the Word (Consideration) for Anno 16 Eliz. Dyer 336. b. Consideration is described to be a Cause or Occasion meritorious, requiring a mutual Recompence in Fact or in Law, and inasmuch as the said Grant to Queen Elizabeth by the said Master and Fellows of the said College, of the said House, was not for any Debt, Sum of Money, nor other good Consideration; for this Cause the said Grant was out of the Letter of the said Act: And it was observed, that the Queen should never pay the said Rent reserved on the said Grant, for the Rent is payable only at the Feast of St. Michael the Archangel, and by Force of the said Condition, which is Compulsory, the Queen ought to grant it over by the first Day of April, or forfeit her Estate.

2. There was not only an Omission of a good Consideration, but also an Addition of an ill and fraudulent Practice, to make the Queen, who was the Fountain of Justice, to be an Instrument, and that constructively by Condition (which in Truth was against the Honour and Dignity of the Queen) to convey it to a Subject, the said *Benedict Spinola*, and all this to make an Evasion (if possible) out of the said Act of 13 Eliz.

3. Admitting the said Grant had been for the Satisfaction of a Debt, Sum of Money, or other good Consideration, yet the said Act of 18 Eliz. shall not be extended to this Case; for the better Apprehension of which, and for the true Understanding and Construction of the said Act, it must be known, that as to this Purpose there are five Kinds of Disabilities or Imperfections, whereby Deeds, or other Instruments and Conveyances to the Queen, may be impeached. 1. In Respect of the Disability of the Person of the Grantor. 2. By Reason of the Nature of the Thing granted. 3. Of the Estate of the Grantee. 4. Of the Manner of the Grant which has not legal Foundation. 5. In Respect of the Omission of some Circumstance requir'd by Law, but having a firm Commencement or Foundation. As to the

Hard. 72.
 Carter 139, 143.
 Dyer 336. b.
 pl. 24.

first, Persons are disabled either by Common Law, or by Act of Parliament; by Common Law, as by Reason of Infancy, Profession, Ideocy, *non sana memoria*, Coverture, &c. Also of these Disabilities by Common Law, some are absolute, as Infants or Monks, who can't do any Act which shall bind them, but that by Law it may be in Time avoided; and some Disabilities are *secundum quid* and not *simpliciter*, and therefore if an Ideot, *non compos mentis*, Feme Covert, makes any Conveyance, unless it be by Fine or Recovery, they are avoidable: So a Bishop without the Dean and Chapter, a Parson or Vicar without the Patron or Ordinary, a Prebendary without the Bishop, Dean and Chapter, &c. and such like, have Power to dispose of their Possessions during their Incumbency, but not to prejudice their Successors. Disability by Parliament, as Master and Fellows of Colleges, Deans and Chapters, and others named in the said Act of 13 *Eliz.* and now Archbishops and Bishops by the Statute of 1 *Jac. Regis, cap. 3.* all which are disabled to do any Thing in Prejudice of their Successors: As to the second, in Respect of the Nature of the Thing granted, as if the Donee in Tail holds of his Donor by Fealty, and the Donor by Deed enrolled grants Fealty to the King, this Grant is meerly void, because it is an Incident inseparable to the Reversion, as it is held in 26 *Ass. p. 66.* So if a Founder of a College, &c. will grant his Foundership to the King by Deed enrolled, it is void, for it is inseparable to the Blood, as it is held *temp. Hen. 8. Brook, Tit.* — As to the third, in Respect of the Estate, as if Tenant in Tail of Land by Deed enrolled, grants the Land to the Queen in Fee, it shall not bind his Issue in Tail in Respect of his Estate Tail. 4. As to the Manner of the Grant, which has not a legal Foundation, as if a Man seised of Land in Fee, grants the Land after his Death to the Queen, her Heirs and Successors, or such like, which are against the Rules of the Law. 5. As to the Omission of some Circumstance, as if a Man is seised of Lands in Fee, and by Deed, in Satisfaction of a Debt or Sum of Money, or other good Consideration, grants it to the Queen, her Heirs and Successors, and this Deed was never enrolled, here was a good Commencement, but it wanted Enrollment.

For the first it must be known, That the general Words of the said Act of 18 *Eliz.* shall not enable any Person to make any Conveyance, who was disabled by the Common Law; as if an Infant had conveyed Land to the Queen by Deed enrolled, that is not established by the said Act, because the Person of the Infant, during his Minority, was utterly disabled: So if an Infant had levied a Fine to the Queen before the said Act of 18 *El.* and afterwards the said Act was made,

yet

Co. Lit. 2, 3.

Br. Reservation
32. Br. Frank-
Marriage 5. Br.
Grant 74, 140.
Br. Incident 10,
33. Br. Tenure
28, 95.

Postea 78. a.

Cr. Jac. 364.

yet the Infant, notwithstanding the Statute, might reverse the Fine by Writ of Error; and so it was resolved *M. 32 & 33 Eliz.* in *B. R.* by *Wray*, Chief Justice, & *totam Curiam*, in *Vaughan's Case*. And the Reason thereof is proved by the Rule of our ancient Books, *sc.* in *22 E. 3. Corone 276*. And it is enacted by the Statute of *W. 2. cap. 12. Quod si appellatus de felonis, &c. se acquietaverit, &c. restituant hujusmodi appellatores damna appellatis*. And the Case was, That an Appeal of Death was brought against a Monk, who was acquitted, and thereupon he prayed his Damages according to the said Act, but (because a Monk was a Person disabled by the Common Law to recover any Damages, and the general Words of the Act do not enable any Person who was disabled by the Law) for this Cause it is there held, That he should not have any Damages: The same Law as there *tenetur*, if an Appeal be brought against a Feme-Covert, and she be acquitted, she shall not have Damages, for she is disabled by the Law to sue alone. It is enacted by the Statute of *Marlebridge, cap. 6*. That the Lord by Knights Service, shall not lose the Wardship by Feoffment made by Collusion, *Veruntamen non licet eis hujusmodi feoffatos sine judicio disseisire, sed brevia habeant de hujusmodi Custodia sibi reddenda*; yet if the Tenant enfeoffs the Villain of the Lord upon Collusion, the Lord may enter and expel him, and shall not be put to an Action, as *tenetur 33 H. 6. 16*. for the general Words of the Act shall not enable the Villain who is disabled against his Lord by the Common Law, and therefore if the Lord brings an Action against him, according to the Letter of the Law, he shall be enfranchised; *a fortiori* in the Case at Bar, when the Master and Fellows of the said College are disabled by the Act of Parliament *de anno 13 Eliz.* to make a Grant to bind their Successors, the general Words of the Act of *18 Eliz.* shall not enable them to make any Estate against the said Act of *13 Eliz.* So if a Bishop, without the Assent of the Dean and Chapter, by Deed inrolled, had granted Land to the Queen, her Heirs and Successors, and afterwards the Stat. of *18 Eliz.* is made, this Grant is not made good against the Successor, for the Person of the Bishop is disabled to grant it without the Assent of the Dean and Chapter, to bind his Successor; and so it was resolved in *23 Eliz.* as the Lord *Dyer* reports, which I have under his Hand, but the same is left out of the printed Book: The same Law of a Prebendary, Parson, &c. But know, Reader, That there is a Difference between a general Act, *sc.* by or from any Person or Persons, Bodies Politick or Corporate, as the said Act of *18 Eliz.* and an Act which specifies and mentions particular Kinds of Bodies Politick and Corporate, as the Statute of

1 Rol. Rep. 265.
2 Inst. 363, 364,
385.

2 Inst. 638.
22 E. 3.
Coron. 276.
9 Co. 73. a.

2 Inst. 109, 110.

1 Ed. 6. cap. 14. of Chuntries *vers. finem*, by which it is enacted, That every Gift and Grant heretofore made to the late King, and to his Heirs, or to our Sovereign Lord the King that now is, and to his Heirs, by any Archbishop, Dean, Archdeacon, Treasurer, Prebendary, &c. of any Manors, Lands, Tene-ments, &c. to any of the said Benefices, Prebends, &c. belong- ing, shall be good and effectual in the Law, to all Intents and Purposes. And in Pasch. 7 Regine Eliz. inter Wharton & Morby in the Exchequer, the Case was, That a Prebendary of York, by Deed indented, granted Parcel of the Possessions of his Prebend to King Hen. 8. his Heirs and Successors, and altho' the Deed was inrolled, and the Grant was made without Assent of the Bishop, Dean and Chapter, That yet the said Grant was adjudged good, because the Prebendary was expressly named in the Act. 2. If a Grant had been made to the Queen of an inseparable Incident, as of a Founderhip, or of the said Services of the Donee in Tail, the Act of 18 Eliz. would never make such Grant good, because such Things are not grantable. 3. If Tenant in Tail by Deed grants his Land to the Queen, such Grant is made good against the Issue in Tail by the said Act of 18 Eliz. for the Person of the Tenant in Tail is able, and he has Power over the Land; and so was it held in *Vaughan's Case*: But if Husband and Wife, by Deed, Grant the Land of the Wife to the Queen, that is not made good by the said Act to bind the Wife after the Coverture, or her Heirs, for there the Person of the Feme-Covert is disabled to convey her Land, unless it be by Fine upon due Examination, and so also was it held in *Vaughan's Case*: 4. When the Manner and Com- position of the Deed is void in Law, as in the Case which has been put, If a Man seised of Lands in Fee by Deed, for a good Consideration, grants the Land (a) after his Death to the Queen, her Heirs and Successors, such Grant is not made good by the general Words of the Act of 18 Eliz. and therewith agree 38 Hen. 6. 33. b. the Abbets of *Syon's Case*, and the *Earl of Leicester's Case*, *Plow. Com.* 400. &c. a stronger Case than this is, (b) *Que malo sunt inchoata principio, vix est ut bono paragentur exitu. Vide* 4 Ed. 4. 31. 12 Hen. 4. *Formedon* 15. 5. When the Person is able, and has Power over the Land, and the Deed is good and legal, but wants Circumstance, as Inrollment, or the like, there such Deed is established, and such Omission supplied by the said Act of 18 Eliz. for the Act makes the Conveyance good, according to the true Intent and Purport thereof; and in such Case the Purport of the Deed is sufficient enough, altho' it was not of any Effect to pass the Thing.

Cro. Eliz. 24.
1 Leon. 332.
1 Rol. Rep. 152,
170.

Cro. Jac. 264.

(a) Antea 77. a.
Br. Grant 60.
Br. Patent 29.
Co. Lit. 48. b.
Cro. Eliz. 254.
Hob. 171. 2 Rol.
10. 66. Palm. 30.
Moor 394, 423,
881. 2 And. 29.
2 Co. 55. b.
5 Co. 94. b.
1 Rol. Rep. 109,
138, 253, 254,
261.
1 Rol. 828.
2 Bullr. 274, 303.
Cr. Jac. 376, 363.
Godb. 264, 265.
(b) 4 Co. 2. b.
10 Co. 61. b. 62. a.
2 Bullr. 45, 192.
4 Co. 90. a.
Cawley 214.

3. The said Act of 18 *Eliz.* might have some Operation, as this Case is upon the said Grant to the Queen, insomuch as the said Dr. *Kelke*, the Master of the said College, was then alive, and the Act of 13 *Eliz.* has disabled the said Master and Fellows, as to the Successors of the said Master. And this Point was so concluded, *Quod ubi quid generalitur conceditur, inest hac exceptio, si non aliquid sit contra jus fasque.* And the said Act of 43 *Eliz. cap. 1.* has explained and expounded this Act of 18 *Eliz.* as appears before. And *nota* Reader, If the Act of 18 *Eliz.* should make good Conveyances made by Persons disabled, it would not make effectual Estates made before the Act, but give Liberty within seven Years after to make them, which the Statute never intended, for thereupon great Prejudice and Mischief would ensue.

Co. Lit. 262. a.

As to the third general Point, it was resolved, That the said Fine and Non-claim by five Years, should not bar the Right of the said College for two Reasons. 1. The Words of the Act of 13 *Eliz.* are, *That all Leases, Gifts, &c. Conveyances and Estates, had, made, done, or suffered by any Master and Fellows, &c.* So that in the Case at Bar, there is a Conveyance and Estate *permitted or suffered by the Master and Fellows of the said College*; and that these Words shall not be extended only when the Master and Fellows suffer a Recovery, &c. against themselves, as Party thereunto, but generally according to the Letter, when they suffer others to levy a Fine with Proclamation, and suffer also five Years to pass without Claim; and altho' the Conclusion of the Purview of the Act is, *shall be utterly void, and of none Effect, to all Intent, Constructions and Purposes*; yet by Construction it shall be so taken, that the said Fine levied with Proclamation, &c. shall be void and of none Effect, to bind the Right of the Master and Fellows of the said House; and it would have been of none Effect to have prohibited them to bar the Right of their Colleges by Conveyances made by the Master and Fellows themselves, and to have left them Power by their Permission, or Sufferance, and Non-claim to bar it; and to that Purpose these Words, *permitted or suffered*, were added. The second Reason was, That forasmuch as the said Estate conveyed to Queen *Elizabeth* was of Force, during the Life of Dr. *Kelke*, then Master, and that he was alive at the Time of the Fine levied, and all the Proclamations passed in his Time, so that none could have made an Entry, or Claim, during his Life; and that Dr. *Gooch*, within five Years after his Death, did enter into the said House, claiming it to be the Right of him, and of the Fellows of the said College; for these Causes also it was resolved, That this Entry has avoided the Fine. *Vide 19 Hen. 8. 6. Stowel's Case. Plow. Com. 374, 376 Croft & Howel's Case, Plow. Com. 538.*

Antea 69. b.

As to the fourth general Point, that was not thought worthy of any Question, for inasmuch as the said Body Politick, in this Case, is a Body Aggregate of many, the Master alone can't, by his (a) Acceptance, devest any Right or Interest which is in him and his Fellows, or conclude him (especially it being without (b) Deed) to enter into the said House. *Vide* 7 H. 7. 9. b. 9 Ed. (3) 4. 39. 18 Ed. 4. 8. b. *Plowd. Com.* 91. b. &c.

And according to these Resolutions Judgment was given, *quod querens nihil caperit per billam.*

(a) Raym. 195.
 1 Rol. Rep. 172.
 (b) Br. Tresp. 288.
 Br. Corporation
 47, 50, 51, 52, 96.
 4 H. 7. 6. b.
 16 H. 7. 2. b.
 7 H. 7. 16. b.
 26 H. 8. 8. b.
 Br. Bailly 1.
 12 H. 7. 26. b.

LEWIS,

LEWIS BOWLES'S Case.

Pasch. 13 Jacobi i.

1 Rol. Rep. 177.
Raymond 284.

LEWIS BOWLES, Esq; brought an Action upon the Case upon Trover, against *Hafeldine Bury* the younger, (which began in the King's Bench, *Hill. 10 Jacobi Regis, Rot. 1319.*) and declared, That he was possessed of 30 Cartloads of Timber, and lost them, and that they came into the Hands of the Defendant, and that he, 20 Feb. Anno 9 Jac. Regis, at *Norton* in the County of *Heriford*, converted them to his own Use; and upon Not Guilty pleaded, the Jury gave a Special Verdict to this Effect. *Thomas Bowles*, Esq; Grandfather of the said *Lewis*, was seised of the Manor of *Norton-bury* in the said County in Fee, and, 1 Sept. Anno 12. by Indenture, betwixt him on the one Part, and *William Hide* and *Leonard Hide* of the other Part, in Consideration of a Marriage to be had betwixt the said *Thomas Bowles* and *Anne*, Daughter of the said *William Hide*, &c. covenanted, that after the said Marriage had and solemnized, That the said *Thomas*, his Heirs and Assigns, would stand seised of the said Manor of *Norton-bury*, to the Use of the said *Thomas* and *Anne*, for the Term of their Lives, without Impeachment of Waste, and after their Deceases, to the Use of their first Issue Male, and to the Heirs Males of such Issue lawfully begotten, and so over to the 2d, 3d, and 4th Issue Male, &c. and for want of such Issue, to the Use of the Heirs Males of the Body of the said *Thomas* and *Anne* lawfully begotten; and for want of such Issue, to the Use of *Thomas Bowles*, Son and Heir apparent of *Thomas Bowles* the Grandfather, and the Heirs Males of his Body issuing, and for want of such Issue, to the Use of the Heirs of the Body of the said *Thomas* and *Anne* lawfully issuing. Which Marriage was solemnized accordingly, and the said *Thomas* the Grandfather, and *Anne*, had Issue *John*; and afterwards
the

the said *Thomas* the Grandfather died without any Issue on the Body of *Anne*, but the said *John*; after whose Death the said *Anne* entred into the said Manor, and was thereof seised, with the said Remainder over, as aforesaid, and afterwards the said *John Bowles* died, and afterwards *Thomas* the Son conveyed by Fine his Remainder to the Use of *Lewis Bowles* the Pl. and *Diana* his Wife, and the Heirs Males of his Body; and the said *Anne* being so seised of the said Manor, with the Remainder over as aforesaid, viz. 20 Feb. An. Reg' Jac. Reg.

9. (a) a Barn, Parcel of the said Manor *per vim ventorum & Tempestat' punitus subvers. & ad terram deject. fuit*, and that the said 30 Cart-loads of Timber, in the Declaration mentioned, were Parcel of the said Barn, and that the said Timber was found and fit for Building, wherefore the Def. as Servant of the said *Anne*, and by her Command took the said Timber and carried it out of the Limits of the said Manor to *Radial*, in the same County; and afterwards the said *Anne*, 24 Feb. Anno 9 Jac. Reg. made her Last Will, and thereof made *Robert Osborne* and *Leon. Hide*, Knts. her Executors, and died, after whose Death the Pl. seised the said Timber, and afterwards the Def. by the Command of the said Executors, converted it to his Use, and if upon the whole Matter the Def. was guilty or not, the Jury prayed the Opinion of the Court.

And in this Case two Questions were moved. 1. If upon the whole Matter the Wife should be Tenant in Tail after Possibility, or that she should have the Privilege of a Tenant in Tail after Possibility, *sc.* to do Waste, &c. 2. Admitting that she should not have the Privilege, &c. If the Clause of without Impeachment of Waste, should give her Property in the Timber so blown down by the Wind.

And in this Case eight Points were resolved by the whole Court. 1. That (b) till Issue, *Thomas* the Grandfather and *Anne*, were seised of an Estate Tail executed *sub modo, sc.* 'till the Birth of the Issue Male, and then by the Operation of Law the Estates are divided, *sc.* *Thomas* and *Anne* become Tenants for their Lives, the Remainder to the Issue Male in Tail, the Reversion to the Heirs Males of *Thomas* and *Anne*, the Remainder over as aforesaid; for the Estate for their Lives is not absolutely merg'd, but (exists) with this implied Limitation 'till they have Issue Male. *Vide Chudleigh's Case, in the first Part of my Reports, fol. 120. and Archer's Case, fol. 66. b.*

2. That Tenant in Tail, after Possibility, has a greater Preheminence and Privilege, in Respect of the Quality of his Estate, than Tenant for Life, but he has not a greater Quantity of Estate than Tenant for Life; in Respect of the Quality of his Estate, it tastes much of the Quality of an Estate in Tail, out of which it is derived; and therefore, 1. (c) She shall not be * punished for Waste. 2. She (d) shall not be compelled to Attorn. 3. She (e) shall not have

(a) 1 Rol. Rep. 177.
 (b) 1 Venr. 306, 307, 345. 1 Rol. Rep. 177, 178.
 2 Jones 2. 77.
 3 Keble 177, 178, 244, 501.
 2 Sand. 383, 387.
 Co. Lit. 28. a.
 Raym. 38, 249.
 1 Rol. Rep. 178.
 (c) Doct. & Stud. lib. 2. c. 1. Lit. Sect. 34. 12 H. 4. 3. b. 4. a.
 10 H. 6. 1. b.
 45 E. 3. 25. a.
 18 E. 3. 32. b.
 11 H. 4. 14. b. 15. a.
 21 H. 6. 1. b.
 2 Rol. 826, 828.
 1 Rol. Rep. 100, 179, 184. West. Symb. 180. b.
 9 Co. 139. a.
 6 Co. 41. a.
 2 Inst. 306, 302.
 * 4 Co. 63. a.
 Co. Lit. 27. b.
 1 Rol. Rep. 179.
 F. N. B. 59. b.
 39 E. 3. 16. a. b.
 (d) Co. Lit. 27. b.
 1 Rol. Rep. 179.
 1 Rol. 296. 39 E. 3. 16. a. b. 11 H. 6. 1. b. 43 E. 3. 1. a. Br. Attorn. 10. Br. quid juris clamat 1, 6.
 46 E. 3. 13. a. Fitz. quid juris clamat 14, 19.
 38 E. 3. 20. a. b. 3 E. 4. 11. a. 12 E. 4. 3. a. 2 H. 5. 1. b. 29 E. 3. 1. b.
 (e) 31 E. 3. Aid 35. 8 H. 6. 25. a. 10 H. 6. 1. b. Fitz. Aid 67. 7 E. 3. 7. a. b. Fitz. monstrans de faits 9. 26 H. 6. Aid 77. Co. Lit. 27. b. 1 Rol. 167. 39 E. 3. 16. a. b. 2 H. 4. 17. b. Br. Aid 37. 11 H. 4. 15. a. 1 Rol. Rep. 184.

Aid.

LEWIS BOWLES'S Case. PART XI.

- (a) 1 Rol. Rep. 179. Aid. 4. On (a) her Alienation no *Consimili causa* lies.
- (b) 1 Rol. Rep. 179. Co. Lit. 27. b. (c) may join the Wife in a Writ of Right in a special Manner, *Temp. E. 1. Wast. 125. 39 E. 3. 16. a. b. 31 E. 3. Aid. 35. 43 E. 3. 1. a. 45 E. 3. 22. 46 E. 3. 13. a. 27. 11 H. 4. 15. a. 7 H. 4. 10. b. 2 H. 4. 17. b. 42 E. 3. 22. 3 E. 4. 11. a. 21 H. 6. 56. 10 H. 6. 1. b. 13 E. 2. Entre Congeable 56. 28 E. 3. 96. b. 26 H. 6. Aid 77. F. N. B. 203. 7. In (d) an Action brought by her, she shall not name herself Tenant for Life, 18 E. 3. 27. a. a Woman brought a *Cui in via, quod clamat tenere ad vitam*, and maintained it in her Count by a Gift in special Tail to her and her Husband, and that her Husband is dead without Issue, and the Writ for Variance of the Title abated.*
- (d) 1 Rol. Rep. 179. Co. Lit. 27. b. Doct. pl. 241. 8. In an Action brought against her, she shall not be named Tenant for Life. *sc. Quod tenet ad terminum vite. Mich. 39 & 40 Eliz. Rott. 3316. in Communi Banco, (c) inter Veal & alios quer' & Read def. in quid juris clamat*, and the Note of the Fine supposed that the Defendant *tenet ad terminum vite*, the Def. demanded Oyer of the Writ, and of the Note of the Fine, and had it, and pleaded that he was seised in Fee, *absque hoc quod*, the Day of the Note levied *tenuit pro termino vite*, and the Jury found that he held as Tenant in Tail after Possibility of Issue extinct; and it was adjudged *pro Defendente*, for Tenant in Tail, after Possibility, shall not be in Judgment of Law included in a Writ or Fine, &c. within the general Allegation of a Tenant for Life. *Vide 19 E. 3. 1. b.*
- (e) 1 Rol. Rep. 179. 46 E. 3. 27. a. b. Cr. El. 671. Noy 74. But as to the Quantity, he has but an Estate for Life, and therefore, if he makes a Feoffment in Fee, (f) it is a Forfeiture of his Estate, 13 E. 2. *Entre Cong. 56. 45 Ed. 3. 22. 28 E. 3. 96. b. 27 Ass. 60. F. N. B. 159.* (g) So if Fee or Tail general descends or remains to Tenant in Tail after Possibility, &c. the Fee or Estate Tail is executed, 32 E. 3. age 55. 50 E. 3. 4. 9 E. 4. 17. b. And by the Stat. of W. 2. (h) he in Reversion shall be received upon his Default, 2 E. 2. *Resceit 147. 41 E. 3. 12. 20 E. 3. Resceit —. 38 Ed. 3. 33. Vide 28 E. 3. 96. b. 39 E. 3. 16. a. b.* (i) And an Exchange betwixt Tenant for Life, and Tenant in Tail, after Possibility, is good, for their Estates are equal. See 3 *Salk. 158.*
- (f) 10 H. 6. 2. b. 11 H. 4. 15. a. 43 Al. 24. Br. Forfeiture 88. Br. Aid 37. 1 Rol. Rep. 179. 1 Rol. 851. 45 E. 3. 25. a. Co. Lit. 28. a. Br. Wast. 43. Br. entre congeable 12. Lit. Sect. 34. 39 E. 3. 16. a. 3 H. 6. 52. a. (g) Co. Lit. 28. a. 1 Rol. Rep. 179. 9 E. 4. 18. a. Br. Estate 25. Br. Tenant per Curtesy 4. (h) 1 Rol. Rep. 179. Co. Lit. 28. a. (i) Co. Lit. 28. a. 1 Rol. Rep. 179. (k) 1 Rol. Rep. 185. Co. Lit. 28. a. 3. It was resolved, That the Estate of a Tenant in Tail, after Possibility, ought to be a Remnant and Residue of an Estate Tail, and that by the Act of God, and not by the Limitation of the Party, (k) *dispositione legis*, and not *ex provisione hominis*: And therefore, if a Man makes a Gift in Tail upon Condition, That if he does such an Act, that he shall have but for Life, he is not Tenant in Tail after Possibility of Issue extinct, for that is *ex provisione hominis*, and not *ex dispositione legis*; but it ought to be the Remnant and Residue of an Estate Tail, and that by the Act of God and the Law, *sc.* by the Death of one Donee without Issue, *Litt. 6. b. Doct. & Stud. lib. 2. cap. 1. fol. 61. 2 H. 4.*

2 H. 4. 17. b. 26 H. 6. Aid 77. If Tenants in special Tail recover in Assise, and afterwards one dies without Issue, and afterwards he who survives (who is Tenant in Tail after Possibility) is re-disseised, he shall have a re-disseisin, for it is the same Freehold he had before, for it is Parcel of the Estate Tail: And because the Wife in the Case at Bar had the Estate for Life by Limitation of the Party, and the Estate which she had in the Remainder, *s. Of the Tenancy in Tail after Possibility*, was not a larger Estate in Quantity, and therefore could not merge the Estate for Life, as has been said before, for this Cause the Wife was not Tenant in Tail after Possibility.

Co. Lit. 154. b.

4. It was resolved, That in this Case the Wife should have the (a) Privilege of a Tenant in Tail after Possibility for the Inheritance which was once in her; for now when *John* the Issue Male is dead, the Privilege which she had in Respect of the Inheritance which was in her in Remainder shall not be lost. And there is no Question but a Woman may be Tenant in Tail after Possibility of a Remainder as well as of a Possession; and therefore if a Lease for Life is made, the Remainder to Husband and Wife in special Tail, the Husband dies without Issue, now is the Wife Tenant in Tail after Possibility of this Remainder; and if the Tenant for Life surrenders to her, as he may (for the Life of him in the Remainder is higher than the other Life) now is she Tenant in Tail after Possibility in Possession: And like this Case (b) if the Father is enfeoffed to him and his Heirs with Warranty, and the Father enfeoffs the Son, &c. and dies; in this Case the Son, altho' he has the Land by Purchase, yet he shall take the Benefit of the Warranty as Heir, for he can't vouch as Assignee, and the Warranty betwixt the Father and him is lost, as it is adjudged in 43 E. 3. 23. b. So here, altho' the Wife can't claim the Estate of Tenant in Tail after Possibility, yet she may claim the Privilege and Benefit of it. And it was observ'd, That Tenant in special Tail at the Common Law had a limited Fee-simple, and when their Estate was changed by the Statute *de Donis conditional'*, yet there was not any Change of their Interest in doing of Waste: So when by the Death of one Donee without Issue the Estate is changed, yet the Power to commit Waste, and to convert it to his own Use, is not alter'd nor chang'd for the Inheritance which was once in him, *Vide Hill. 2. Jac. Rot. 229. inter (c) Brooke & Rogers, in Communi Banco*, if a Timber Tree becomes *arida, sicca, non portans fructus, nec folia in estate, nec existens. mæremium*, yet because it was once an Inheritance, &c. no Tithes shall be paid for it, for that the Quality remains, altho' the State of the Tree is altered.

(a) Co. Lit. 128. a.
1 Rol. Rep. 178.(b) 2 Rol. 742.
1 Co. 98.
1 Rol. Rep. 180.
Co. Lit. 384. b.(c) Moor 908.
2 Inst. 645.
1 Rol. Rep. 100.
1 Rol. 640.
Cr. Jac. 100.
Antea 48. b.
49. a.
Doct. & Stud.
175. b.

LEWIS BOWLES's Case. PART XI.

(a) Cr. Car. 242,
274.
2 Rol. 119.

(b) Antea 48. a.

(c) Cr. Car.
243.
Antea 48. b.
Cr. Car. 242.
Moor 19.
Palmer 327.
5 Co. 76. b.
4 Co. 62, 63.
1 Rol. Rep. 181.

4 Co. 62. b.
Dr. & Stud. 1. 2.
c. 1.

(d) 1 Rol. Rep.
282.
2 Inst. 144, 145,
302.

(e) 2 Rol. 833.
Co. Lit. 53. b.
2 Inst. 145.
4 Co. 62. b.
14 H. 8. 6. 2.
13 H. 7. 20. b.

5. That if (a) Tenant for Life or for Years fells Timber, or pulls down the Houses, the Lessor shall have the Timber; and because this Point was resolved in this Court upon a solemn Argument in (b) *Lisford's Case* last Mich. Term, which *vide* before in this Book, I will make the shorter Report. 1. It is apparent in Reason, That the Lessee had them but as Things annex'd to the Soil, and therefore it would be absurd in Reason, that when by his Act and Wrong he severs them from the Land, that he should gain a greater Property in them than he had by the Demise. 2. It (c) is without Question (as it is resolved in the said Case) That the Lessor has the general Ownership and Right of Inheritance in the Houses and Timber-Trees, and the Lessee has but a particular Interest, and therefore be they pull'd down or fell'd by the Lessee or any other, or by Wind or Tempest blown down, or by any other Means disjoined from the Inheritance, the Lessor shall have them in Respect of his general Ownership, and because they were his Inheritance; and as to that the Resolutions in *Herlakenden's Case*, in the 4th Part of my Reports, fol. 62. a. were affirmed for good Law, and *Pager's Case* in the fifth Part of my Reports, fol. 76. b. for altho' he can't punish them in an Action of Waste at the Common Law because it was his own Act, and in his Lease he has not made Provision by Covenant or Condition; yet the Inheritance and general Ownership remains in the Lessor, and the Lessee (as hath been said) has but a special Interest in the Houses and Timber-Trees so long as they are annex'd to the Land, and this appears by the Statute of (d) *Marlebridge*, c. 23. *Item firmarii vastum, &c. non facient, nisi specialem inde habuerint concessionem per scriptum conventionis, mentionem faciens quod hoc facere possint*, whereby it appears, That the Lessees for Life or Years, which then were, could not rightfully fell the Trees, or pull down the Houses unless the Lessor had granted by Deed to do it. In which it was also observed, That at the Time of the making of the same Act, the said Clause of *without Impeachment of Waste* was in Use, which proves that it was to such Purpose that the Lessee might commit Waste, and dispose it to his own Use, which he could not do without such Clause. 3. Every Lessee for Life and Years ought by the Law to do Fealty upon his Oath, and it would be against his Oath to waste the Houses and Timber-Trees. And *nota* Reader, upon this Stat. of *Marlebridge* lies a Prohibition of Waste against the Lessee for Life, and Lessee for Years, to prohibit them that they shall not do Waste before any Waste was done, as it was (e) against Tenant in Dower, and Tenant by the Courtesy at the Common Law.

Vide

Vide Bract. 316. the Judgment in Waste at the Common Law. Tenant in Dower or by the Courtesy have as high an Estate as Lessee for Life, and it appears that it was not lawful for Tenant by the Courtesy or in Dower to do Waste, *Ergo* no more for Tenant for Life: The only Difference was, That a Prohibition of Waste laying against Tenant in Dower, and by the Courtesy, at the Common Law, and not against the Lessees 'till the said Statute of *Marl.* And to prove what Interest the Lessee for Life has in the Trees at the Common Law, it appears by *Bracton*, (who wrote before the Stat. of *Glouc'*) *lib. 4. tract. de assisa nove dis. c. 4. f. 217. Si quis vastum fecerit, vel destructionem in tenemento quod tenet ad vitam suam, in eo quod modum excedit, & raionem, cum tantum conceditur ei rationabile estoverium, facit transgressionem, & si talis impediatur, ille tenens assisam non habebit, intentio talis liberabit a disseisina, quia in eo quod tenens abutitur male utendo, & debitum usum & modum debitum excedendo, non potest dicere quod disseisitus est, quia tantum rationabilis usus ei conceditur;* which proves directly, That it was a Wrong in the Lessee for Life to do Waste, or Destruction at the Common Law. And it was resolved, if an House falls down (a) *per vim Venti* in the Time of such Lessee for Life or for Years, or in the Time of the Tenant in Dower, or Tenant by the Courtesy, &c. that such particular Tenants have a special Property in the Timber to rebuild the like House as the other was for his Habitation: As if they fell a Tree for Reparation, they have a special Property to that Purpose in it, and therewith agree (b) 44 *E. 3. 5. b.* 44 *E. 3. 44. b.* 29 *E. 3. 3.* and 10 *E. 4. 3. a.* But the said particular Tenants cannot give or sell the Tree so fell'd, for the general Property is in the Lessor; and therefore, *Lit. f. 15. (c)* holds, That if I bail Goods to another to manure his Land, now he has a special Property in them to that Purpose; and in that Case, if he kills them, a general Action of Trespafs lies against him. See 11 *H. 4. 17. a. & 23. b.*

(a) 1 Co. 67. a.
Co. Lit. 53. a.

(b) Cr. El. 784.
11 Co. 47. a.
1 Rol. Rep. 184.
2 Rol. 556.
5 Co. 13. b.
Cr. Car. 274.
(c) Sect. 71.
Co. Lit. 57. a.

6. The Preheminence and Privilege * which the Law gives to Houses which are for Men's Habitation was observed. First an House ought to have the Priority and Precedency in a *Præcipe quod reddat* before Land, Meadow, Pasture, Wood, &c. *F. N. B. 2. &c.* for his House is his Castle, & (d) *domus sua est unicuique tutissimum refugium.* 2. (e) The House of a Man has Privilege to protect him against Arrest by Virtue of Process of Law at the Suit of a Subject, *Vide Semaine's Case, in the fifth Part of my Reports, fo. 91. b.* 3. It has Privilege against the King's Prerogative, for it was resolv'd by all the Judges, *Mic. (f)* 4 *Jac.* That those who dig for Salt-peter, shall not dig in the Mansion-house of any Subject

* See 6 Mod. 105.
&c. *ibid.*

(d) 5 Co. 31. b.
Co. Lit. 4. a.
1 Rol. Rep. 182.
3 Inst. 162.
(e) 5 Co. 92. b.
4 Inst. 177.
Hob. 62, 263,
264.
Cr. Jac. 486, 556.
1 Jones 429.
Cr. Car. 437.
478.
March. 3, 4.

M 2

without

1 Brownl. 50. Yelv. 28, 29. Cr. El. 908. Moor 663. 18 E. 2. Execution 252. contra. 4 Leon 42.
11 E. 4. 9. a. 18 E. 4. 4. a. Br. Excursion 200. Br. Trespafe 370. 1 Bul. str. 146. Ben. 121. (1)
1 Rol. Rep. 182. 12 Co. 13.

LEWIS BOWLES'S *Case*. PART XI.

without his Assent, for then he, or his Wife or Children, cannot be in Safety in the Night, nor his Goods in his House preserv'd from Thieves and other Mis-doers. 4. He who kills a Man *se defendendo* (a) or a Thief who would rob him in the Highway, by the Common Law shall forfeit his Goods, but he who kills one that would rob and spoil him in his House, shall forfeit nothing. 3 E. 3. *Corone* 330. & 26 *Aff.* 23. &c. 5. If there be two Joint Tenants of a Wood, or Arable Land, the one has no Remedy against the other to make Inclosure or Reparations for Safeguard of the Wood, or Corn, but if there be two Joint Tenants of an House, the one shall have a Writ *de reparatione facienda*, against the other, and the Words of the Writ are *ad reparationem* & (b) *sustentationem ejusdem domus tenetur*, F. N. B. 127. a. b. (c) If a Man is in his House, and hears that others will come to his House to beat him, he may call together his Friends, &c. into his House to aid him in Safety of his Person; for as it has been said, A Man's House is his Castle and his Defence, and where he properly ought to remain: But if a Man be (d) threaten'd if he comes to such a Fair or Market that he shall be beaten, in that Case he cannot make such Assembly, but he ought to have Remedy by Surety of the Peace. 21 H. 7. 39. a.

(e) 7. The Clause of *without Impeachment of Waste* gives a Power to the Lessee, which will produce an Interest in him, if he executes his Power during the Privity of his Estate; and therefore to examine it in Reason. 1. These (f) Words *absque impetitione vasti*, are as much as to say, without any Demand for Waste, for *impetio* is derived from *in* and *peto*, and *petere* is to demand, and *petitio* is a Demand, and *sine impetitione* is without any Manner of Demand or Impeachment: Then this Word *Demand* is of a large Extent, (g) for if a Man disseises me of my Land, or takes my Goods, if I release to him all Actions, yet I may enter into the Land, or take my Goods, as *Lit.* holds, f. 115. and therewith agree 19 *Aff.* 3. 19 H. 6. 4. b. 21 H. 7. 23. b. 30 E. 3. 19. for by the Release of the Action, the Right or Interest is not released, but if in such Case I release (h) all Demands, that will bar me, not only of my Action, but also of my Entry and Seifure, and of the Right of my Land, and of the Property of my Goods; as it was resolv'd in *Chauncy's Case*, 34 H. 8. *Br. Release* 90. (i) 2 H. 7. 6. b. the King made one Sheriff *sine computo*, thereby he shall have the Revenues which belong to his Office to collect to his own Use. But if the Words had been (k) *absque impetui vasti per aliquod breve de vasto*, then the Action only would be discharged, and not the Property in the Trees, but that the Lessor after the Fall

(a) 5 Co. 91. b.
Coron. 192.
3 E. 3.
Coron. 205; 330.
Br. Cor. 100.
1 Rol. Rep. 182.
5 Co. 91.
Stamf. pl.
Cr. 14. a.
3 Inst. 56.
Exod. 22.
v. 2.
22 H. 8. c. 5.
6 Mod. 312.
1 Salk. 360.
(b) Co. Lit. 54. b.
1 Rol. Rep. 182.
5 Co. 91. b.
(c) 21 H. 7. 39. a.
Br. Riots, &c. 1.
Fitz. Trifp. 246.
6 Mod. 210.

(d) 1 Rol. Rep.
182.
5 Co. 91. b.

(e) 1 Rol. Rep.
182.
Moor 18, 227.
2 Inst. 146.
Hob. 132. lib. 4.
f. 63. a.
Poph. 193; 194,
105.
(f) Latch. 269,
270.
Brigam. 102.
Dyer 27. pl. 11. b.
2 Co. 23. a.
73. a. pl. 135. b.
Cr. Jac. 216.
2 Rol. 835.
2 Inst. 146.
9 Co. 9. a.
2 Rol. Rep. 325.
Hutl. 77.
Moor 317.
(g) Lit. f. 119.
S. 496, 497.
Co. Lit. 386. a. b.
4 Co. 63. a.
1 Rol. Rep. 183.
(h) 1 Rol. Rep.
184.
8 Co. 154. a.
(i) 1 Rol. Rep.
183.
Br. Parent. 45,
129.
(k) Co. Lit. 220. a.
2 Inst. 146.
1 Rol. Rep. 184.
Cr. Car. 274.

Fall of them might seise them: And this Difference appears in 3 E. 3. 44. a. b. in (a) *Walter Idle's Case*, where a Lease was made without being impeached, or impleaded for Waste, upon which it was collected that these Words *without being impleaded*, without these Words *without (b) being impeached for Waste*, were not sufficient to bar the Lessor of his Property, and that if the Lessor had granted that the Lessee might do Waste, he thereby had Power not only to do Waste, but also to convert it to his own Use; and that the Words of the said Act of *Marlebridge*, and the Statute de (c) *prærogativa Regis*, c. 16. do prove, where it is said, That the King shall have *annum, diem, & vastum*, *sc.* which is as much as to say, that he shall have the Trees, &c. at his own Disposition.

(a) 8 Co. 76. b. Poph. 124.

(b) 1 Rol. Rep. 184. Hob. 132.

(c) 1 Rol. Rep. 182.

2. It was said, that the continual and constant Opinion of all Ages was, That those Words gave (d) Power to the Lessee to do Waste to his own Use, and it would be dangerous now to recede from it, and as it is said in 38 E. 3. 1. a. by the Judges (so we say in this Case) we will not change the Law which has been always used; and it is well said in 2 H. 4. 18. b. It is better that there should be a Defect, than that the Law should be changed; (e) and the Opinion of *Wray Ch. Just.* and *Manwood*, cited in *Herlakenden's Case*, was not Judicial but *prima facie* upon an Arbitrament without any Argument, and perhaps upon the Sight of (f) 27 H. 6. Waste 8. and therefore, altho' the C. J. argued in this Case, against their Opinions, yet it was with great Reverence to them, saying with *Aristotle* in the like Case, *Amicus Plato, amicus Socrates, sed magis amica veritas*; and *Qui non libere veritatem pronunciat, proditor veritatis est*. And the Truth of this Case appears by *Littleton in his Chapter of Conditions*, f. 82. (g) where he puts this Case, If a Feoffment be made upon such Condition, That the Feoffee shall give the Land to the Feoffor, and to the Wife of the Feoffor, To have and to hold to them and to the Heirs of their two Bodies begotten, the Remainder to the right Heirs of the Feoffor; in that Case if the Husband dies, living the Wife, before any Estate in Tail made to them, then ought the Feoffee by the Law to make an Estate to the Wife as near the Condition and as near the Intent of the Condition as he can make it, *sc.* to Lease the Land to the Wife for Term of her Life without Impeachment of Waste, the Remainder to the Heirs of the Body of her Husband on her begotten, the Remainder to the right Heirs of the Husband; and the Reason why the Lease shall be made in this Case to the Wife without Impeachment of Waste, is (as *Littleton* there says) because the Condition is, That the Estate shall be to the Husband and his Wife in Tail, and if such Estate had been made

(d) Dyer 124. pl. 63.

(e) 1 Rol. Rep. 183. Co. Lit. 220. a. Hob. 132.

(f) 1 Rol. Rep. 183.

(g) 4 Co. 63. a. Dyer 148. pl. 63.

(h) Poph. 124.

(i) Sect. 352. Co. Lit. 218. b. 219. a. 1 Rol. Rep. 183.

LEWIS BOWLES's Case. PART XI.

made in the Life of the Husband, then after the Death of the Husband she had had an Estate in Tail, which Estate is without Impeachment of Waste, and so it is reasonable that a Man should make an Estate as near the Intent of the Condition as he can, which Case directly proves, That Tenant for Life without Impeachment of Waste has as great a Power to do Waste and to convert it at his own Pleasure, as Tenant in Tail had. That these Words without Impeachment of Waste, are sufficient Words to give Tenant for Life such Power, *Vide (a)* 2 H. 4. 5. b. and the L. Cromwell's Case in the 2d Part of my Reports, f. 81. a. b. 82. a. and for this Clause of without (b) Impeachment of Waste, 3 E. 3. 44. 8 E. 3. 34. a. b. 35. a. 24 E. 3. 32. 43 E. 3. 5. a. 5 H. 5. 8. 27 H. 6. Wast. 8. 4 E. 4. 36. a. 20 H. 7. 10. 28 H. 8. Dyer 10. and so the *Quere* in the said Book of 27 H. 6. well resolved. And see the Opinion of *Statham* in abridging the said Book against it. (c) But the said Privilege of without Impeachment of Waste, is annexed to the Privity of Estate, 3 E. 3. 44. by *Shard* and *Stone*: If one who has a particular Estate without Impeachment of Waste, changes his Estate, he loses his Advantage, 5 H. 5. 9. a. If a Man makes a Lease for Years, without Impeachment of Waste, and afterwards he confirms the Land to him for his Life, now he shall be charged for Waste, 28 H. 8. Dyer (d) 10. b. If a Lease is made to one for the Term of another's Life, without Impeachment of Waste, the Remainder to him for his own Life, now he is punishable for Waste, for the first Estate is gone and drowned, so of a Confirmation. It was adjudged in *Ewen's* (c) Case, *Mich.* 28 & 29 *Eliz.* That where Tenant in Tail after Possibility of Issue extinct granted over his Estate, that the Grantee was compell'd in a *Quid juris clamat* to attorn, for by the Assignment such Privilege is lost; and that Judgment was affirmed in the King's Bench, in a Writ of Error, and therewith agrees (f) 27 H. 6. *Aid* in *Statham*. *Vide* 29 E. 3. 1. b. The Heir at Common Law should have a Prohibition of Waste against Tenant in Dower, but if the Heir granted over his Reversion, his Grantee should not have a Prohibition of Waste; for it appears in the *Register* 72, that such Assignee in an Action of Waste against Tenant in Dower shall recite the Statute of *Glouc'*, ergo, he shall not have a Prohibition of Waste at Common Law, for then he should not recite the Stat. *vide F. N. B.* 55. c. 14 H. 4. 3. 5 H. 5. (7.) 17. b.

Lastly it was resolved, That the said Woman by Force of the said Clause of without Impeachment of Waste, had such Power and Privilege, That tho' in the Case at Bar no Waste be done,

- (a) Fitz. Con-
dition 5.
Br. Condit. 33.
(b) 1 H. 7. 25. 2.
Plov. 141. a.
21 H. 6. 47. 2.
28 H. 8.
Dyer 10. pl. 37.
20 H. 7. 4. 2.
21 H. 7. 24. a.
Perk. Sect. 721.
22 H. 7. 31. a.
2 Co. 23. b.
9 Co. 9. a.
Co. Lit. 220. a.
3 Bulltr. 136.
9 H. 6. 35. a.
Fitz. Wast. 39.
Plov. 135. b.
19 H. 6. 62. b.
10 H. 7. 31. a.
16 H. 7. 24. a.
26 H. 7. 4. b.
2 Rol. Rep. 325.
Popham 193.
194. 195.
(c) 1 Rol. Rep.
183.
Co. Lit. 28. a.
Moor 327.
8 Co. 76. b.
Br. Wast. 71.
Larch. 269.
(d) Dyer 10.
pl. 37.
5 Co. 13. a.
1 Bulltr. 136.
8 Co. 76. b.
19 H. 6. 23. 2.
Poph. 194.
Larch. 269.
(e) 1 Rol. Rep.
173.
Co. Lit. 316. 2.
28. a.
2 Inst. 302.
(f) Co. Lit. 28. a.
Dyer 184. pl. 63.
Moor 321.
Poph. 194.
Larch. 269.
1 Rol. Rep. 183.

done, because the House was blown down *per vim ventis* without her Fault, yet she should have the Timber which was Parcel of the House, and also the Timber Trees which are blown down with the Wind, and when they are severed from the Inheritance either by the Act of the Party, or of the Law, and become Chattels, the whole Property of them is in the Tenant for Life, by Force of the said Clause of, without Impeachment of Waste. And for this Cause Judgment was given *per omnes Justiciarios una voce, Quod Quereus nihil caperet per billam.*

Moor 321, 327.
Poph. 193.
1 Rol. Rep. 182,
184.
Co. Lit. 220. 2.

[*Ante* 82. *b.* where an Officer, &c. may break a House to execute Process, &c. and where not. See 6 *Mod.* 105. and the Cases there cited.]

The CASE of the
MONOPOLIES.

Trin. 44 Eliz.

See Skin. 133,
169, &c.
Carthew. 270.
Lucas 231.
Moor 671.
Noy 273.
3 Inst. 281.
8 Co. 225. 2.
2 Inst. 47.
3 Keb. 269.
Hob. 212.

Edward Darcy, Esq; a Groom of the Privy Chamber to Queen *El.* brought an Action on the Case against *T. Allein Haberdafter* of London, and declar'd, That Queen *Elizabeth*, 13 Junii, Anno 30 *Eliz.* intending that her Subjects being able Men to exercise Husbandry, should apply themselves thereunto, and that they should not employ themselves in making playing Cards, which had not been any antient manual Occupation within this Realm, and that by making such a Multitude of Cards, Card-playing was become more frequent, and especially among Servants and Apprentices, and poor Artificers; and to the End her Subjects might apply themselves to more lawful and necessary Trades; by her Letters Patents under the Great Seal of the same Date granted to *Ralph Bowes*, Esquire, full Power, Licence and Authority, by himself, his Servants, Factors and Deputies, to provide and buy in any Parts beyond the Sea, all such playing Cards as he thought good, and to import them into this Realm, and to sell and utter them within the same, and that he, his Servants, Factors and Deputies, should have and enjoy the whole Trade, Traffick and Merchandize of all playing Cards: And by the same Letters Patents further granted,

granted, That the said *Ralph Bowes*, his Servants, Factors and Deputies, and none other should have the making of Playing Cards within the Realm, to have and to hold for twelve Years; and by the same Letters Patents, the Queen charged and commanded, That no Person or Persons besides the said *Ralph Bowes*, &c. should bring any Cards within the Realm during those twelve Years; nor should buy, sell, or offer to be sold within the said Realm, within the said Term, any Playing Cards, nor should make, or cause to be made any Playing Cards within the said Realm, upon Pain of the Queen's highest Displeasure, and of such Fine and Punishment as Offenders in the Case of voluntary Contempt deserve. And afterwards the said Queen, 11 *Aug. Anno 40 Eliz.* by her Letters Patents reciting the former Grants made to *Ralph Bowes*, granted the Plaintiff, his Executors and Administrators, and their Deputies, &c. the same Privileges, Authorities, and other the said Premises, for twenty-one Years after the End of the former Term, rendering to the Queen 100 Marks *per Annum*; and further granted to him a Seal to mark the Cards. And further declared, That after the End of the said Term of twelve Years, *s. 30 Junii, An. 42 Eliz.* the Plaintiff caused to be made 400 Grosses of Cards for the necessary Uses of the Subjects, to be sold within this Realm, and had expended in making them 5000 *l.* and that the Defendant knowing of the said Grant and Prohibition in the Plaintiff's Letters Patents, and other the Premises, 15 *Martii, Anno 44 Eliz.* without the Queen's Licence, or the Plaintiff's, &c. at *Westminster* caused to be made 80 Grosses of Playing Cards, and as well those, as 100 other Grosses of Playing Cards, none of which were made within the Realm, or imported within the Realm by the Plaintiff, or his Servants, Factors or Deputies, &c. nor marked with his Seal, he had imported within the Realm, and them had sold and uttered to sundry Persons unknown, and shewed some in certain, wherefore the Plaintiff could not utter his Playing Cards, &c. *Contra formam predictarum litterarum patentium, & in contemptum dictae Dominae Reginae, (a)* where-

(a) 1 Roll. 106.

(b) Moor 62.
Noy 173.

by the Plaintiff was disabled to pay his Farm, to the Plaintiff's Damages. The (b) Defendant, except to one Half Gros pleaded Not guilty, and as to that pleaded, That the City of *London* is an ancient City, and that within the same, from Time, whereof, &c. there has been a Society of Haberdashers, and that within the said City there was a Custom, *Quod qualibet persona de Societate illa, usus fuit & consuevit emere, vendere,*

The Case of the Monopolies. PART XI.

(a) Doctrin.
placit. 56.

vendere, & libere (a) merchandizare omnem rem & omnes res merchandizabiles infra hoc Regnum Angliæ de quocunque, vel quibuscunque personis, &c. and pleaded, That he was *Civis & liber homo de Civitate & Societate illa*, and sold the said Half Gros of Playing Cards, being made within the Realm, &c. as he lawfully might; (b) upon which the Plaintiff demur'd in Law.

(b) Moor 671.
Noy 174.

And this Case was argued at the Bar by *Dodderidge, Fuller, Fleming* Solicitor, and *Coke* Attorney General, for the Plaintiff, and by *Crook, G. Altham* and *Tanfield* for the Defendant: And in this Case two general Questions were moved and argued at the Bar, arising upon the two distinct Grants in the said Letters Patents, *viz.* 1. If the said Grant to the Plaintiff of the sole making of Cards within the Realm was good or not? 2. If the Licence or Dispensation to have the sole Importation of Foreign Cards granted to the Plaintiff, was available or not in Law? To the Bar, no Regard was had,

(c) Doctrin.
placit. 56.
Q. Carth. 270.

(c) because it was no more than the Common Law would have said, and then no such *Particular Custom* ought to have been alledged, for *In hiis quæ de jure communi omnibus conceduntur, consuetudo alicujus patriæ vel loci non est alleganda*, and therewith agrees (d) 8 E. 4. 5. a. &c. And altho' (e) the Bar was held superfluous, yet that shall not turn the Defendant to any Prejudice, but that he may well take Advantage of the Insufficiency of the Declaration.

(d) Br. Prescrip-
tion 71.

(e) Doctrin. pla-
cit. 69.

Hob. 14.
Cro. Car. 5.
Cro. Jac. 133,
221, 312.
8 Co. 120. b.
133. b.
Palm. 287.
Lit. Rep. 172,
252.
2 Bulstr. 94.

As to the first Question it was argued on the Plaintiff's Side, That the said Grant of the sole making of Playing Cards within the Realm, was good for three Reasons. 1. Because the said Playing Cards were not any Merchandize, or Thing concerning Trade of any necessary Use, but Things of Vanity, and the Occasion of Loss of Time, and Decrease of the Substance of many, the Loss of the Service and Work of Servants, Causes of Want, which is the Mother of Woe and Destruction, and therefore it belongs to the Queen (who is *Patens patriæ, & paterfamilias totius Regni*, and as it is said (f) in 20 H. 7. fol. 4. *Capitalis Justiciarius Angliæ*) to take away the great Abuse, and to take Order for the moderate and convenient Use of them. 2. In Matters of Recreation and Pleasure, the Queen has a Prerogative given her by the Law to take such Order for such moderate Use of them as seems good to her. 3. The Queen, in Regard of the great Abuse of them, and of the Cheat put upon her Subjects by Reason of them, might utterly suppress them, and by

(f) 20 H. 7. 7. a.

Con-

Consequence without Injury done to any one, might moderate and tolerate them at her Pleasure. And the Reason of the Law which gives the King these Prerogatives in Matters of Recreation and Pleasure was, because the greatest Part of Mankind are inclinable to exceed in them; and upon these Grounds divers Cafes were put, *sc.* That no Subject can make a (a) Park, Chase or Warren within his own Land, for his Recreation or Pleasure, without the King's Grant or Licence; and if he does it of his own Head, in a *Quo Warranto*, they shall be seized into the King's Hands, as it is held in 3 E. 2. *Action sur le Statute Br.* 48. & 30 E. 3. *Rot. Pat.* The King granted to another all the Wild Swans betwixt *London Bridge* and *Oxford*.

As to the 2d, it was argu'd, and strongly urg'd, That the (b) Queen by her Prerogative may dispense with a Penal Law, when the Forfeiture is Popular, or given to the King, and the Forfeiture given by the Statute of 3 E. 4. *cap.* 4. in Case of Importation of Cards is Popular, 2 H. 7. 6. b. 11 H. 7. 11 b. 18 H. 7. 8. b. 2 R. 3. 12. a. *Plow. Com. Greidon's Cafe*, 502. a. b. 6 *Eliz. Dyer* 225. 13 *El.* 393. 18 *Eliz.* 352. 33 H. 8. *Dyer* 52. 11 H. 4. 76. 13 E. 3. *Release* 36. 43 *Ass. pl.* 19. 5 E. 3. 29. 2 E. 3. 6. & 7. *F. N. B.* 211. b.

As to the first, it was argued to the contrary by the Defendant's Counsel, and resolved by *Popham* Chief Justice, & *per totam Curiam*, that the said (c) Grant to the Plaintiff of the sole making of Cards within the Realm was utterly void, and that for two Reasons: 1. That it is a Monopoly, and against the Common Law. 2. That it is against divers Acts of Parliament. Against the Common Law for four Reasons: 1. All (d) Trades, as well Mechanical as others, which prevent Idleness (the Bane of the Commonwealth) and exercise Men and Youth in Labour, for the Maintenance of themselves and their Families, and for the Increase of their Substance, to serve the Queen when Occasion shall require, are profitable for the Commonwealth, and therefore the Grant to the Plaintiff to have the sole making of them is against the Common Law, and the Benefit and Liberty of the Subject; and therewith agrees *Fortescue in laudibus Legum Angliae*, *cap.* 26. And a Case was adjudged in this Court in an Action of Trespass (e) *inter Davenant & Hurdis*, *Trin.* 41 *Eliz. Rot.* 92. where the Case was, That the Company of Merchant Taylors in *London*, having Power by Charter to make Ordinances for the better Rule and Government of the Company, so that they are consonant to Law and Reason, made an Ordinance, That every Brother of the same Society, who should put any Cloth to be dressed by any Clothworker, not being a Brother

(a) *Postea* 87. b.
2 *Inst.* 199.
2 *Roll.* 83, 812.
Moor 675.
2 *Roll. Rep.* 4.

(b) 3 E. 4. c. 4.
Br. Patent 109.
Br. Prerogative
37, 141.
Chart. de Par-
don 76.
Br. License 24.
Fitz. Grant 33.
12 Co. 18, 19.
Jenk. Cent. 292.
Hob. 75, 146,
214, 229.
3 *Keb.* 145, 233,
236.
Dyer 52. pl. 1, 2,
352. pl. 25.
3 *Bullstr.* 5.
Dav. 14. b. 75. b.
1 *Sid.* 6.
4 Co. 35. b.
Co. *Lit.* 120. a.
8 Co. 29. b.
Hardr. 110, 232,
445, 449.
2 *Roll. Rep.* 115,
117.
Cro. Car. 198.
3 *Inst.* 237.
2 *Keb.* 426.
(c) *Hardr.* 55.
2 *Roll.* 214.
Cumberl. 53 to
56.
Lucas 131.
2 *Inst.* 47.
8 Co. 125. a.
(d) *Antea* 53. b.
Raymond 292.
Palm. 396, 397.
Hob. 211.
Carter 118.
2 *Keb.* 125.
2 *Roll. Rep.* 392.
Cro. El. 872.
(e) *Moor* 576,
ad 591, 672.
2 *Inst.* 47.
3 *Inst.* 182.
1 *Roll.* 364.
Hob. 212.
Raym. 292.
Carter 116.

of

The Case of Monopolies. PART XI.

of the same Society, should put one Half of his Cloths to some Brother of the same Society, who exercised the Art of a Clothworker, upon Pain of forfeiting ten Shillings, &c. and to distrain for it, &c. and it was adjudged, That that Ordinance, altho' it had the Countenance of a Charter, was against the Common Law, because it was against the Liberty of the Subject; for every Subject, by the Law, has Freedom and Liberty to put his Cloth to be dressed by what Clothworker he pleases, and cannot be restrained to certain Persons; for that, in Effect would be a Monopoly; and therefore such Ordinance by Colour of a Charter, or any Grant by Charter to such Effect, would be void. 2. The sole Trade of any Mechanical Artifice, or any other Monopoly, is not only a Damage and Prejudice to those who exercise the same Trade, but also to all other Subjects, for the End of all these Monopolies is for the private Gain of the Patentees; and altho' Provisions and Cautions are added to moderate them, yet (a) *res profecto stulta est nequitia modus*, It is meer Folly to think that there is any Measure in Mischief or Wickedness: And therefore there are three inseparable Incidents to every Monopoly against the Commonwealth, *sc.* 1. That (b) the Price of the same Commodity will be raised, for he who has the sole selling of any Commodity, may and will make the Price as he pleases: And this Word (c) *Monopolium*, dicitur *ἄνθρωπος ὁ ἐπιπρωλείω*, quod est, cum unus solus aliquod genus mercaturæ universum emit, pretium ad suum libitum statuens. And the Poet saith; *Omnia Castor emit, sic fit ut omnia vendat*. And it appears by the Writ of *Ad quod damnum*. F. N. B. 222. a. (d) That every Gift or Grant from the King has this Condition, either expressly or tacitly annexed to it, *Ita quod patria per donationem illam magis solido non oneretur seu gravetur*, and therefore every Grant made in Grievance or Prejudice of the Subjects is void; and 13 H. 4. 14. b. the King's Grant which tends to the Charge and Prejudice of the Subject is void. The 2d (e) Incident to a Monopoly is, That after the Monopoly granted, the Commodity is not so good and merchantable as it was before; for the Patentee having the sole Trade, regards only his private Benefit, and not the Commonwealth. 3. It (f) tends to the Impoverishment of divers Artificers and others, who before, by the Labour of their Hands in their Art or Trade had maintained themselves and their Families, who now will of Necessity be constrained to live in Idleness and Beggary; *Vide Fortescue ubi supra*: And the Common Law, in this Point, agrees with the Equity of the Law of God, as appears in *Deut. Cap. xxiv. ver. 6. Non accipies loco*

(a) 2 Inst. 507.

(b) Moor 673.
Hard. 55.
Noy 179.

(c) 3 Inst. 181.

(d) Palm. 79.
Cro. Arg. 23,
61.
2 Rol. 172.

(e) Noy 179.

(f) Moor 673.
Noy 179.

(a) fig-

(a) *pignoris inferiorem & superiorem molam, quia animam suam apposuit tibi*; you shall not take in Pledge the neather and upper Millstone, for that is his Life; by which it appears, That every Man's Trade maintains his Life, and therefore he ought not to be deprived or dispossed of it, no more than of his Life: And it agrees also with the Civil Law; *Apud Justinianum enim legimus, Monopolia non esse intromittenda, quoniam non ad commodum Reipublica sed ad labem detrimentaque pertinent.* Monopolia interdixerunt leges Civiles, cap. de Monopoliis lege unica. *Zeno imperator statuit, ut exercentes Monopolia bonis omnibus spoliarentur.* Adjectit Zeno, *Ipsa rescripta imperialia non esse audienda, si cuiquam Monopolia concedant.* 3. The Queen was (b) deceived in her Grant; for the Queen, as by the Preamble appears, intended it to be for the Weal Publick, and it will be employed for the private Gain of the Patentee, and for the Prejudice of the Weal Publick; moreover the Queen meant that the Abuse should be taken away, which shall never be by this Patent, but *potius* the Abuse will be increased for the private Benefit of the Patentee, and therefore as it is said in (c) 21 E. 3. 47. in the *Earl of Kent's Case*, this Grant is void *jure Regio*. 4. This Grant is *prima impressio*, for no such was ever seen to pass by Letters Patents under the Great Seal before these Days, and therefore it is a dangerous Innovation, as well without any President or Example as without Authority of Law, or Reason. And it was observ'd, that this Grant to the Plaintiff was for twelve Years, so that his Executors, Administrators, Wife or Children, or others inexpert in the Art and Trade, will have this Monopoly. And it cannot be intended, that *Edward Darcy* an Esquire, and a Groom of the Queen's Privy Chamber, has any Skill in this mechanical Trade of making of Cards; and then it was said, that the Patent made to him was void, for to forbid others to make Cards who have the Art and Skill, and to give him the sole making of them who has no (d) Skill to make them, will make the Patent utterly void. *Vide* 9 E. 4. 5. b. And although the Grant extends to his Deputies, and it may be said, he may appoint Deputies who are expert, yet if the Grantee himself is not expert, and the Grant is void as to him, he cannot make any Deputy to supply his Place, *quia* (e) *quod per me non possum, nec per alium.* And as to what has been said, that Playing Cards is a Vanity, it is true, if it is abused, but the making of them is neither a Vanity nor a Pleasure, but Labour and Pains.

And,

(a) Moor 674.
Noy 181.
3 Inst. 181.

Note.
(b) 10 Co. 113. b.

(c) Hob. 115.
10 Co. 113. b.
21 E. 3. 47. a. b.
1 Co. 44. a.
Antea 74. a. b.

(d) Hob. 148.
Br. Office & Officer 16, 48.
Br. Patent 108.

(e) 4 Co. 24. b.
Hawks Max. 55.

The Case of Monopolies. PART XI.

(a) Moor 675.
Antea 86. a.
2 Inst. 199.
2 Rol. 33, 812.
2 Rol. Rep. 4.

And it is true, that none can make a (a) Park, Chase or Warren, without the King's Licence, for that is *quodam modo* to appropriate those which are *fera natura*, & *nullius in bonis* to himself, and to restrain them of their natural Liberty, which he cannot do without the King's Licence; but for Hawking, Hunting, &c. which are Matters of Pastime, Pleasure and Recreation, there needs no Licence, but every one may, in his own Land, use them at his Pleasure, without any Restraint to be made, unless by Parliament, as appears by the Statutes of 11 H. 7. c. 17. 23 Eliz. c. 10. 3 Jac. Regis c. 13. And it is evident by the Preamble of the said

(b) 3 E. 4. c. 4.

Act of (b) 3 E. 4. c. 4. That the Importation of Foreign Cards was prohibited at the grievous Complaint of the poor Artificers Cardmakers, who were not able to live of their Trades, if foreign Cards should be imported; as appears by the Preamble, by which it appears, That the said Act provides Remedy for the Maintenance of the said Trade of making of Cards, forasmuch as it maintained divers Families by their Labour and Industry; and the like Act is made in 1 R. 3. cap. 12. And therefore it was resolved, that the Queen could not suppress the making of Cards within the Realm, no more than the making of Dice, Bowls, Balls, Hawks Hoods, Bells, Lures, Dog-couples, and other the like, which are Works of Labour and Art, altho' they serve for Pleasure, Recreation and Pastime,

(c) Antea 54. a.

and cannot be suppressed but by Parliament, nor a (c) Man restrained from exercising any Trade, but by Parliament, 37 E. 3. cap. 16. 5 Eliz. cap. 4. And the playing at Dice and Cards is not prohibited by the Common Law, as appears *Mic. 8. & 9 El. (d) Dyer 254.* (unless a Man is deceiv'd by false (e) Dice or Cards, for then he who is deceiv'd, shall have an Action upon his Case for the Deceit) and therefore playing at Cards, Dice, &c. is not *malum in se*, for then the (f) Queen could not tolerate nor licence it to be done. And where King E. 3. in the 39th Year of his Reign, by his Proclamation, commanded the Exercise of Archery and Artillery, and prohibited the Exercise of casting of Stones and Bars, and the Hand and Foot-Balls, Cock-fighting, & *alios ludos vanos*, as appears *in dors. claus. de An. 39 E. 3. nu. 23.* yet no Effect thereof followed, till divers of them were prohibited upon a Penalty, by divers Acts of Parliament, *vix. 12 R. 2. cap. 6. 11 H. 4. cap. 4. 17 E. 4. cap. 3. 23 H. 8. cap. 9.*

(d) Dyer 254.
pl. 2.
Hob 296.
Goldfl. 35.
(e) Cr. Car. 234.
F. N. B. 95. d.
1 Jones 249.
Cro. Eliz. 90.
Cro. Jac. 497.
498.
2 Rol. 549.
(f) Hob. 149.
Hard. 448.

Also such Charter of a Monopoly, against the Freedom of Trade and Traffick, is against divers Acts of Parliament, *sc. 9 E. 3. c. 1 & 2.* which for the Advancement of the Freedom of Trade

Trade and Traffick extends to all Things vendible, notwithstanding any Charter of Franchise granted to the contrary, or Usage, or Custom, or Judgment given upon such Charters, which Charters are adjudged by the same Parliament to be of no Force or Effect, and made to the Derogation of the Prelates, Earls, Barons, and Grandees of the Realm, and to the Oppression of the Commons: And by the Statute of 25 E. 3. cap. 2. it is enacted, That the said Act of 9 E. 3. shall be observed, holden, and maintained in all Points. And it is further by the same Act provided, That if any Statute, Charter, Letters Patents, Proclamation, Command, Usage, Allowance, or Judgment be made to the contrary, that it shall be utterly void, *Vide Magna Charta, cap. 18. 27 E. 3. cap. 11, &c.*

As to the 2^d Question it was resolved, that the (a) Dispensation or Licence to have the sole Importation and Merchandizing of Cards (without any Limitation or Stint) notwithstanding the said Act of (b) 3 E. 4. is utterly against Law: For it is true, That forasmuch as an Act of Parliament which generally prohibits a Thing upon a Penalty, which is popular, or only given to the King, may be inconvenient to divers particular Persons, in Respect of Person, Place, Time, &c. for this Reason the Law has given Power to the King, to dispense with particular Persons; *Dispensatio mali prohibiti est de jure Domino Regi concessa, propter impossibilitat' providen' de omnibus particular'*, & (c) *dispensatio est mali prohib' provida relaxatio, utilitate seu necessitate pensata.* (d) But when the Wisdom of the Parliament has made an Act to restrain *pro bono publico* the Importation of many Foreign Manufactures, to the Intent that the Subjects of the Realm might apply themselves to the making of the said Manufactures, &c. and thereby maintain themselves and their Family with the Labour of their Hands; now for a private Gain to grant the sole Importation of them to one, or diverse (without any Limitation) notwithstanding the said Act, is a Monopoly against the Common Law, and against the End and Scope of the Act itself; for this is not to maintain and encrease the Labours of the poor Card-makers within the Realm, at whose Petition the Act was made, but utterly to take away and destroy their Trade and Labours, and that without any Reason of Necessity, or Inconvenience in Respect of Person, Place or Time, and *eo potius*, because it was granted in Reversion for Years, as hath been said, but only for the Benefit of a private Man, his Executors and Administrators, for his particular Commodity, and in Prejudice of the Commonwealth. And King E. 3. (e) by his Letters Patents, granted to one *John Peche* the sole Importation of Sweet Wine into London, and

Answer to the
2^d Question.

(a) 2 Rol. 179,
214.

(b) 3 E. 4. c. 4.

(c) Co. Lit. 99. a.

Palm. 476.

3 Keb. 236.

Godolph.

Abridg. 112.

(d) 2 Rol. 179,

214.

Hardr. 110.

(e) Moor 672.

The Case of the Monopolies PART XI.

* Cotton's Records.
1 Roll. 106.

and at a Parliament held 50 E. 3. * this Grant was adjudged void, as appears in *Rot. Parl. An. 50 E. 3. M. 33.* Also admitting that such Grant or Dispensation was good, yet the Plaintiff cannot maintain an Action on the Case against those who import any Foreign Cards, but the Remedy which the Act of 3 E. 4. in such Case gives ought to be pursued. And Judgment was given and entred, *quod querens nihil caperet per billam.*

And *Nota* Reader, and well observe the glorious Preamble and Pretence of this odious Monopoly. And it is true *quod Privilegia que revera sunt in prejudicium Reipublica, magis tamen specioso habent frontispicia, & boni publici pretextum, quam bona & legales concessiones, sed pretextu, liciti non debet admitti illicitum.* And our Lord the King that now is, in a Book which he in Zeal to the Law and Justice commanded to be printed Anno 1610. intituled, *A Declaration of his Majesty's Pleasure, &c. p. 13.* has published, That Monopolies are Things against the Laws of this Realm; and therefore expressly Commands, that no Suitor presume to move him to grant any of them, &c.

[See the Case of *Sandys* and the *East India Company*. *Skin. 132 to 137.* also *ib. 169, 170, 173.*

The Earl of DEVONSHIRE'S See Skin. 656.
Case.

Hill. 4 Jacobi 1.

Charles Earl of Devonshire, Master of the Ordnance general, obtained of the King a Privy Seal, bearing Date *ultimo Octobris, Anno 2 Regis Jac.* in these Words, *James, by the Grace of God, &c. To our right trusty and right well beloved Cousin and Counsellor Charles Earl of Devonshire, our Lieutenant of our Realm of Ireland, and Master of the Ordnance general, greeting, &c. Forasmuch as we are given to understand that such Munitions as are utterly decayed and unserviceable, have been heretofore claimed, taken and enjoyed by the Master of the Ordnance for the Time being, as Fees and Avails to them, by Reason or in Respect of the said Office, belonging ;* 2 Rol. Rep. 275^a
296.
2 Rol. 161.
Our Will and Pleasure therefore is, and we do hereby give unto you full Power and Authority, that you may, at your Pleasure, receive and take out of the Store within the Tower of London, all such broken and other unserviceable Iron Ordnance, Shot, and other Munitions whatsoever, as are particularly expressed, mentioned, or set down in a Book, &c. and the same to receive, retain, employ, and convert to your own Use, &c. By Virtue whereof the said Earl took out of the King's Store, within the Tower, divers Pieces of Iron Ordnance, Shot, and other Munition mention'd in the said Book, and sold them to divers Persons for Money, and so converted them to his
 N OWN

Hardr. 353.

1 Rol. Rep. 469.

The E. of DEVONSHIRE's Case. PART XI.

own Use, and afterwards made his Will, and thereof made an Executor and died: And now the Question was, If the Executor of the said Earl might be charged to the King, for the said Conversion of the said Ordnance and Munition: And the King referred the Examination and Consideration of this Case to the two Chief Justices and Chief Baron: And the Counsel of the said Executor objected, That the Executor should not be charged in this Case for three Reasons.

1. Because in Truth, broken, cast, and unserviceable Iron Ordnance, Shot, and other Munition, belong to the Master of the Ordnance, as Fees and Avails belonging to his Office, and offered to produce divers Witnesses to prove, That the Masters of the Ordnance for the Time being, for sixty Years past, have taken the broken, cast, and unserviceable Iron Ordnance, Shot, and other Munition, as their Fees and Avails due to their Offices.

2. Admitting, that they were not Fees belonging to their Offices, yet the King, by his Privy Seal, has given those especially expressed in the said Book, to the said Earl, by Force of which, he may lawfully take and convert them to his own Use, altho' they were not due to him as Fees and Avails, in Respect of his Office.

3. It was objected, That in this Case the Executor can't be charged in Detinue, for none of the said King's Goods came to his Hands, nor in Accompt, for the Testator was never bound to the King to render Accompt, neither as Bailiff, nor as Receiver; for (a) no Man shall be charged in Accompt but as Guardian in Soccage, Bailiff or Receiver: And there are not other Original Writs in the Register to charge any in Accompt, except in the said three Cases. *Vide Regist.* 135. 19 *Hen.* 6. 5. a. b. 29 *Hcn.* 6. *Account* 6. And that is the Reason that an Apprentice, by the Name of an Apprentice, is not chargeable in Accompt, 8 *E.* 3. 46. *F. N. B.* 119. d. 7 *H.* 4. 14. b. And although the King has the Prerogative to charge the Executors of an Accomptant, yet he ought to charge the Executor only where the Testator was chargeable in Law, in one of the said three Cases.

Also when any one is charged as Bailiff or Receiver; there ought to be Privity to charge him; but when one claims any Thing to his own Use, there he shall be never charged in Accompt, because he may plead, never his Bailiff, never his Receiver to render Accompt; and therewith agree 2 *Marie Br. Accompt* 89. 2 *Hen.* 4. 12. a. 39 *Ed.* 3. 27. So in the Case at Bar, the Earl claimed them to his

(a) Co. Lit. 90. b.
172. 2.
Owen 36.
1 Rol. 118, 119.
2 Inst. 379.

1 Rol. 119.
Co. Lit. 172. a.
Owen 36.
Fitz. Accom. 19.
Winch. 64.
Br Action sur
Case 34.
Br. Accompt 24.

his own Use, for which no Accompt lies against him, but the personal Wrong, if there was any, dies with his Person.

As to the first, It was answered and resolved, That the Earl could not claim the said Iron Ordnance as Fees or Avails belonging to his Office, for the said Office was erected of late Time; for King *Hen. 8. Anno 35.* of his Reign, by his Letters Patents newly erected the said Office of Master of the Ordnance, and granted it to *Thomas Lord Seymor*, and after his Death in *1 Ed. 6.* it was granted to Sir *Philip Hobby*, and after his Death, *sc. 1 Marie*, it was granted to Sir *Richard Southwell*, and after his Death it was granted to *Ambrose Lord Dudley*, so that the said Earl, without Question, can't claim them as ancient Fees by Prescription to a new Office.

As to the second, It was resolved, That the said Privy Seal was made upon a false Suggestion, and that the King was therein deceived; for in the King's Case these Words (*heretofore claimed, taken and enjoyed by the Masters of the Ordnance for the Time being*) shall be intended to be lawfully claimed, taken, and enjoyed, and not by Wrong, or Usurpation: And also this Word (*belonging*) implies a Right to take them, and therefore the said Privy Seal being founded upon a false Suggestion contained in the said Privy Seal, and so the King deceived by Matter apparent in the same Privy Seal, by Consequence the Privy Seal is utterly void.

And as to the third Objection, It was answered and resolved by the Court, That although the said Earl claimed them to his own Use, yet he shall be bound to the King to accompt, because in the King's Case the Law makes a Privy; for if any one takes the King's Goods, or enters into his Lands wrongfully, yet the King may charge him in Accompt, *33 Hen. 6. 2. b. 4 Hen. 7. 6. b. 7 H. 7. 10. a. 15 Hen. 7. 17. a. 8 Eliz. Dyer 249. Brereton's Case, & 40 Ass. pl. 75.* If Goods be devised to the King, in whose Hands soever they shall come, the Possessor shall be charged in Accompt to the King, and the King is not driven to his Action of Trepass, for then, by the Death of the Party, the King would be without Remedy; but the King may, by his Prerogative, have an Action of Accompt against the Executors of the Party, as appears in *Lit. fol. 28.* And the King is not bound to charge the Defendant as Bailiff or Receiver, as a common Person ought; but the King may alledge in his Information generally, That he, *ad computum Domino Regi reddend' tempore mortis sue tenebatur*, in so many Sums

Q. Skin. 656

Godb. 291, 292.

1 Rol. Rep. 469.

1 Co. 46. a.

2 Rol. 188.

Hob. 155.

10 Co. 113. b.

21 E. 3. 47. a. b.

Antea 74. a. b.

2 Rol. 161.

10 Co. 114. b.

Cr. El. 224. 508.

2 Rol. 161. Br.

Accompt 8, 65.

Br. Bailly 25.

Dyer 249. pl. 83.

Moor 476.

2 Rol. 161.

Co. Lit. 90. b.

Scit. 125.

2 Rol. 161.

The E. of DEVONSHIRE's Case. PART. XI.

of Money due to the King, &c. as appears by many Precedents in the Exchequer, and in the King's Bench, and therefore, if upon Evidence, it appears that he is accomptable to the King in any Manner, he shall be charged, as if one by Letters Patents, or by Virtue of his Office, has Power to assess Fines upon Grants or Admittances made to Copyholders within such of the King's Manors, and he assesses small Fines for the King, and underhand takes great Sums, or other Rewards of the Copyholders, to his own Use, in Deceit and Prejudice of the King, in that Case he may be charged to the King in Accompt for the Whole, for in Truth the Whole was due to the King: And the King, who is God's Lieutenant, shall say to such false Stewards, *Redde rationem villicationis & vellicationis tue*: And if he dies, his Executors, in the King's Case, shall be charged; for as Sir William Herle, Chief Justice of the Bench in 3 Ed. 3. 10. saith, Accompt ought to be brought (rendred) by Equity and good Faith, *Vide 2 R. 2. Tit. Accompt 47. & 3 E. 3. 10.* and in 39 Ass. pl. 18. it is held, That the King's Officers or Ministers can do nothing to the King's Disadvantage, but all to his Advantage.

2 Rol. 161.

But it was said that is was without Precedent, That the Executors of any such great Officer should be charged after his Death, because he himself could have better discharged himself than his Executors, who are Strangers to his Accompts and Business; and therefore it would be convenient, that as his Office ceased by his Death, so the Charge, in Respect of any Deceit or Wrong concerning his Office, whereof he was not detected in his Life, should cease also by his Death as a personal Wrong. To which it was said and resolved, That the Reason makes against the Prerogative which the Law gives the King, *scil.* that he shall charge the Executors of his Accomptant, and therefore it is not worthy any other Answer. But it is not without many Precedents, one of which was adjudged in the Exchequer, which is enter'd Mich. 37 & 38 Regina Eliz. Rot. 312. In an Information preferred by the Attorney-General for the Queen, against Edward Cary and William Doddington, Esqs. Executors of Sir Walter Mildmay, Knt. late Chancellor of the Exchequer, because the said Sir Walter was bound to the Queen the Day of his Death, to render Accompt of divers Sums of Money, amounting together to 1525 l. of the Queen's Treasure, in the Receipt of the Exchequer at Westminster, inter Festum Natalis Domini, Anno 1 Eliz. & idem Festum Anno Regni sui 20. received, to render thereof Accompt to the Queen, & quod nemo prædictum compo-

4 Co. 43. a.

Sir Walter
Mildmay's Case.
O. Bendl. 74.
Godb. 292, 296.
Swimb. 729.
2 Rol. Rep. 295.
Moor 475.
Cro. Eliz. 545.

tum adhuc reddit, nec reddere conatus est, and that the said Sir *Walter* constituted the Defendants his Executors, &c. The Defendants pleaded, *quod predictus Walterus Mildmay non recepit prad' 1525 l. aut aliquam inde parcell, ad inde Domina Regina computandum, nec die quo obiit tenebatur eidem Domina Regina in compoto inde, aut alicujus inde parcell reddend' modo & forma &c. & de hoc pon' se super patriam, &c.* Nota a good Issue by the Rule of the Court, and the Jury found for the Defendant, saving for 1160 l. Parcel, &c. for which they gave a Special Verdict, *sc.* That Anno 1 Eliz. The Queen by her Letters Patents constituted the Marquess of *Winchester* *Treasurar' Scaccarii sui durante bene placito* (and made him Treasurer of *England*, by giving to him the Staff) and afterwards, in the same first Year, she constituted the said Sir *Walter Cancellar' Scaccarii sui pro term' vite sue;* and afterwards, in the same first Year, she constituted, by her Letters Patents, *Richard' Sackvil Militem Subthesaurar' Scaccarii pro termino vite sue:* And that the said Treasurer and Under-Treasurer of the Exchequer, 10 Julii, 1596, made a Warrant in Writing under their Hands, for the Payment to the said Sir *Walter Mildmay*, Chancellor of the Exchequer, of the Queen's Treasure in the Receipt, being 100 l. yearly for his Diet, and 40 l. for his Attendance at *London* in the Vacation Time; during the Queen's Pleasure, because the Chancellor of the Court of First Fruits and Tenths (which Court is now annexed to the Exchequer) had Allowance in the same Court for his Trouble and Attendance in the same Office; and by Reason of the annexing of the said Court of First Fruits, and also of the Court of Augmentation, the said Chancellor of the Exchequer was charged with more Business and Attendance, as well in Term as out of Term, more than any Chancellor of the Exchequer before had been: And these were the Reasons which moved the Treasurer and Under-Treasurer to make the said Warrant, and were express'd in it, and directed to four ordinary Tellers of the Receipt of the Exchequer, or to any of them: And afterwards Queen *Eliz.* 19 Martii, anno Regni sui secundo, directed her Warrant to the Treasurer, Chamberlains, and Under-Treasurer, under her Privy Seal, by which, amongst other Articles concerning the Privy Council and Payment of Fees to some Officers, &c. due and accustomed, the said Queen willed and commanded them, That they, or any one of them, from Time to Time, should pay of the Queen's Treasure for Labour, Costs and Expences of every Person who had been, or should be assigned or appointed by our Commission or Commandment to enquire for us, or by us or our Council, or by you, or any of you commanded, or that shall be

Moor 475.
Cro. Eliz. 545.

Moor 475.

The E. of DEVONSHIRE's Case. PART. XI.

put to labour, ride, write, travel, for or about our Causes, Matters and Affairs, Thing or Things whatsoever, according to their Deserts, in as large Manner and Form as in any Time before had been given or rewarded in our said Exchequer, by any Treasurer, Chamberlains, or Under-Treasurer, and more large by their Discretions; (and this was the Clause upon which the Defendant's Counsel relied;) and the Jury further found, That the said 1160 l. was paid to the said Sir *Walter Mildmay*, after the said Warrant under the said Privy Seal, for his Diet and Attendance in the Vacation Time at *London*, and that the said Money was paid out of the Queen's Treasure by *Richard Stonely*, one of the Tellers of the said Receipt to the said Sir *Walter*, and by the said Sir *Walter* received to his own Use, by Force or Colour of the said Warrant of the said Treasurer and Under-Treasurer; and that the said Sir *Walter* exercised his Office aforesaid, and discharged the Duty thereof during his Life; and that the said *Stonely* did account yearly before the Treasurer and Under-Treasurer, and other Officers to whom it appertain'd, to hear and determine the said Account, and had Allowance for the several Payments aforesaid, and full Discharge from them: And if upon the whole Matter the Court shall adjudge, That the said Sir *Walter* had received the said Money to render Account to the Queen, than they found for the Queen, and if not, for the Defendants. And in that Case three Points were moved. 1. If the Lord Treasurer, by himself, or with any other of the King's Officers, could, for the said, or for any other reasonable Cause, *ex officio*, allow any Fee or Reward for the necessary Service of the King. 2. Admitting that he had not Power, *ex officio*, if he had Power in that Case, by Virtue of the said Privy Seal, to make the said Allowance, or not? 3. Forasmuch as Sir *Walter* received them to his own Use, if he should be charged to render Account for them upon the said Issue joined, as is aforesaid?

Moor 476.
2 Rol. 180.
Cro. Eliz. 545.

As to the first, two Points were resolved. 1. That no Officer that the King has, nor all of them altogether, can, *ex officio*, issue or dispose of the King's Treasure, although it be for the Honour or Profit of the King himself, but it ought to be by Warrant from the King himself; for it is true, that it is for the Honour and Profit of the King, that good Service done to the King should be rewarded; but it ought to be rewarded by the King himself, or by his Warrant, and by no other; for the King's Treasury (being the Bond of Peace, the Preserver of the Honour and Safety of the Realm, and the Sinews of War) is of so high Estimation in Law, in Respect of the Necessity of it, that the imbezilling of Treasure Trove, although it was not in the King's Coffers, was Treason; and

Co. Lit. 90. b.

and Treasure, and other valuable Chattels are so necessary and incident to the Crown, that in the King's Case they shall go with the Crown to the (a) Successor, and not to the Executors, as in the Case of common Persons, as appears 7 H. 4. 43. a. & 44 Ed. 3. 42. and therefore without the King's Warrant no Treasure shall be issued for any Cause whatsoever, by any (b) Officer, *ex Officio.*

2. It was resolved, That every Warrant of the Queen herself to Issue her Treasure, is not sufficient; for the Queen's (c) Warrant by Word of Mouth, or (which is more) the Queen's Warrant in Writing under her Privy Signet, is not sufficient to issue her Treasure: And that appears by a Judgment in the Exchequer in *Petilian's Case, Hill. 1 E. 4. Rot. 14. in dorso*, where such Warrant under the Privy Signet to issue the King's Treasure was disallowed, *Vide 14 E. 4. 2. a.* And yet in some Case the Law takes Notice of the Privy Signet: And therefore, (d) if the King, under his Privy Signet, doth prohibit any to pass out of the Realm, it is sufficient. *F. N. B. 85. a.* But the Warrant which is sufficient in Law to issue the King's Treasure, (e) ought to be under the Great Seal or Privy Seal.

As to the second Question, it was resolved, That the said Clause did extend to Commissioners, and other inferior Persons which should travel about the Queen's Business, and (f) not to the Chancellor of the Exchequer, for whom (among others) an express Clause was before in the same Privy Seal. Also this Clause is, according to their Deserts, &c. So that by the Privy Seal, the Merit ought to precede the Reward; and in this Case the Warrant was made before any Merit or Deserving. Also the Privy Seal is in as large and ample Manner and Form as in any Time before has been given or rewarded, &c. and it is not found, that before, any Allowance had been made to the Chancellor of the Exchequer, of any such Fee or Reward. Also it was touched (but not resolved) That where the Privy Seal gives (g) Authority to four or to one of them, and two make the Warrant, that they have not pursued their Authority. *Vide 36 Hen. 8. 62. & 27 Hen. 6. b.*

As to the third Point, it was resolved, That altho' the said Sir Walter received the King's Treasure to his own Use; yet forasmuch as he received it without a lawful Warrant, he knowing that it was the King's Treasure, the Law makes a Privity in the King's Case, and therefore he may charge him as an Accomptant. And so it was adjudged in the Exchequer, *Pasch. 31 Eliz. Rot. 150. Jurden's Case.*

(a) 2 Rot. 215.
Br. Prerogative
81, 85.
Br. Gard. 73.

(b) Moor 476.
Cro. Eliz. 545.

(c) 2 Rot. 183.
2 Inst. 556.
Lit. Rep. 91.
Cr. El. 545.
Moor 476.

(d) 2 Rot. 183.
Dyer 176. pl. 30.
128. pl. 61. 165.
pl. 6.
2 Co. 17. b.
Moor 675.
2 Inst. 54.
Jenk. Cent. 220.
(e) Moor 476.
2 Inst. 555.
Lit. Rep. 91.
2 Rot. 183.
(f) Moor 475,
476.

(g) 1 Rot. 328,
329.
Co. Lit. 181. b.
Dyer 38. H. 8.
62. pl. 34.
Yelv. 25, 26.
Noy 47.
Hutt. 127.
1 Rot. Rep. 299.
Carter 85.
Cro. El. 913,
914. 5 Co. 91. 2.
Palm. 23, 54.
Pop. 202.
5 Co. 94. b.
Cro. Jac. 553.
3 Bulstr. 210.
2 Rot. Rep. 101.
Perk. Sect. 188.
2 Rot. 161.
Cro. Eliz. 545.
Mo. 476.
1 Leon. 32, 114.
2 Leon. 34.

The E. of DEVONSHIRE's Case. PART XI.

And in the King's Case it is not needful that the Money or Goods come to the Hands of the Testator; but if he be a Means or Instrument by which the King is put to Loss or Damage, he shall be charged for so much as the King is damaged, and he shall be compelled at the King's Suit *reddere rationem* thereof, which is in the Nature of an Account.

(a) 2 Rol. 161.
Godb. 292, 296.
2 Rol. Rep. 296,
300, 301.

And therefore there is a notable Precedent in the Exchequer, *Mich. 30 Ed. 3. Rot. 6.* where the Case was, *Quod Willielm.*

(a) *Porter* being *Magister monetae, &c.* covenanted with the King by Indenture inrolled, That all the Bullion which should be delivered *ad Cambium Regis pro moneta facienda*, that Money should be delivered for it within eight Days, which Covenant the said *William Porter* broke, for he did not deliver to divers Subjects their Money due to them for the Bullion which they brought *ad Cambium*, according to his Covenant. Upon which, (upon shewing the same in the Exchequer) the King paid to them all the Money due

(b) 2 Rol. 161.

for the Bullion; and because (b) *Johannes Walweyn & H. Picard, duxerunt & presentaverunt dictum Will' Porter in Officium illud tanquam sufficientum*; (and that they offered to be Sureties for him, but were not accepted of, which Matter the said *John Walweyn* and *Henry Picard* confessed) *ideo consideratum est quod prædicti Johannes Walweyn & Henr' Picard onerentur versus Dominum Regem, &c.* and were charged to satisfy the King all the Money which the King had paid

(c) 2 Rol. 161.
3 Kcb. 592.

for the said *William Porter*. And (c) although none of the King's Treasure came to their Hands; nor had they any private Benefit by any Matter that appeared in the said Case, yet because they were Means and Causes of the King's Loss and Damage, they were by Judgment charged to the King: And forasmuch as they were chargeable by the Law, in that Case, if they had died before Judgment against them, without Question their Executors, &c. should be charged; for where the Testator is by Law chargeable to satisfy the King for Loss or Damage done to him, his Death shall not dispense with it, but his Executors, &c. shall be charged to the King. And it was resolved, That in the

(d) 2 Rol. 161.
Moor 476.

said Case, the Queen (d) either might charge the Executors of Sir *Walter*, or those who made such unlawful Warrant at her Election. And a Judgment was cited in the Argument of this Case *de Termino Trin. anno 24 Ed. 3. Rot. 4.* in the Exchequer. One (e) *Walter de Chirton*, the King's Customer, had purchased certain Lands with the King's Money, and by Covin had caused the Vendor to entfeoff his Friends in Fee to defraud the King, and nevertheless took the Issues and Profits of the Land to his own Use, and those Lands by Inquisition were returned

(e) 12 Co. 3.
Lane 48, 108.
Godb. 293, 299.
1 Vent. 132.
3 Co. 12. b.
2 Rol. Rep. 296,
297, 303, 334.
2 Inst. 19.
Dyer 160 pl. 41.
225. pl. 32, 33.
295. pl. 10.
2 Rol. 156.

with

with the Values into the Exchequer, and there by Judgment were seized into the King's Hands, *quousque*, &c. and yet the Estate of the Land was never in him. But he who intends to deceive the King of that which belongs to him, will, in the End, deceive himself. *Vide* Reader, where and how the King shall levy the Sum of which any one is chargeable to him by the Law, not only against the Party himself, his Lands and Goods in his own Hands, but in the Hands of his Heirs, Assigns, Executors or Administrators; and if he has no Executors or Administrators, then in the Hands of the Possessors of the Goods of the Deceased, to render an Account to the King, &c. And for that *vide* a notable Record in *Scaccario de Term. Mich. Anno 24 E. 3. Rot. 11. ex parte Rememoratoris Regis, Thomas (a) Favel's Case*, which was an Exchequer Chamber Case, by all the Justices and Barons, and vouched by the Lord Dyer, 4 & 5 *Phil. & Mar.* 160. *Pasch. 2 Eliz. Rot. 111. (b) Sir William Cavendish's Case*, and reported by the Lord Dyer, 5 *Eliz. 225. Plow. Com. in the Case of Mines*, 321. *a. & b. Vide 50 Ass. pl. 5.*

And afterwards the Executors of the said Earl of Devonshire satisfied the King for all the said Iron Ordnance, Shot, or Munition, which he, without Warrant, had converted to his Use.

(a) God. 297.
2 Rol. Rep. 300.
(b) 3 Co. 12. b.
Plowd. 321. a.
Godb. 292, 297.
2 Rol. Rep. 300,
302.
Hard. 25, 26.
8 Co. 171. a.

11 Co. 93.

JAMES BAGG'S Case.

Trin. 13 Jacobi I. Rot. 23.

In the King's Bench.

See Skin. 454,
468, 471, &c.
Car. new 176.
Lucas 54, 108,
146, 175, 176,
343.
1 Mol. Rep. 173,
224.

JAMES, by the Grace of God, of England, Scotland, France and Ireland, King, Defender of the Faith, &c. To the Mayor and Commonalty of the Borough of Plymouth, in the County of Devon, greeting, &c. Whereas James Bagg, one of the twelve Chief Burgeses, or Magistrates of the Borough aforesaid, according to the Custom of the Borough aforesaid, hitherto used, was duly chosen and made. And whereas the same James, in the Office of one of the twelve Chief Burgeses or Magistrates of the Borough aforesaid, a long Time carried and well-governed himself; yet you the Mayor and Commonalty of the Borough aforesaid, little regarding the aforesaid James, unduly, and without reasonable Cause, from the Office of one of the twelve Chief Burgeses and Magistrates of the Borough aforesaid, unjustly have removed, in Contempt of us, and to the no little Damage and Grievance of him the said James, and the Hurt of his Estate, as we, by his Complaint, have understood: We therefore to the said James, willing, due and speedy Justice to be done in this Behalf, as is just, command you, and every of you, as before Time we have you commanded, firmly enjoining you, That immediately after the Receipt of this Writ, the aforesaid James, in the aforesaid Office of one of the twelve Chief Burgeses or Magistrates of the Borough aforesaid you restore, with all the Liberties, Privileges, Commodities, to the Office aforesaid, belonging and appertaining; or that you signify the Cause thereof unto us, left in your Default, Complaint thereof again to us come:
And

And how this our Writ shall be executed to us, from the Day of *Holy Trinity*, in three Weeks, wheresoever we shall be in *England*, you make it to appear, under the Penalty of 40*l.* this our Writ then sending back, &c. Witness *E. Coke*, at *Westminster*, the 12th Day of *June*, in the 13th Year of our Reign, of *Eng. France*, and *Ireland*, and of *Scotland* the 48th.

By the Term of *Trinity*, in the thirteenth Year of King *James*, Rot. 23. Execution of this Writ doth appear in a certain Schedule to this Writ annexed, *John Clement*, Mayor, The Answer of the Mayor and Commonalty of the Borough of *Plymouth* to the Writ, to this Schedule annexed; according to the Command of the Writ aforesaid, to the Lord the King we most humbly certify, That the Lady *Elizabeth*, late Queen of *England*, by her Letters Patents, with the Great Seal of *England* sealed, bearing Date at *Westminster* the 28th Day of *Feb.* in the 43d Year of her Reign, for herself, her Heirs and Successors, granted to the Mayor and Commonalty of the Borough of *Plymouth* aforesaid, and their Successors, amongst other Things, That the Mayor and Recorder of the Borough aforesaid, for the Time being, the Time that they should happen to be in their Offices, and also the Predecessors of the said Mayor then alive, and for the Time being, and their Successors, should be Justices of the said late Queen, her Heirs and Successors, to keep the Peace in the said Borough, and within the Limits, Precincts, and Liberties thereof; and to be kept, and to cause to be conserved and kept, without any Command, Commission, or Warrant for the same to be had, or to be obtained: And further to the said Lord the King, we certify, * That within the Borough aforesaid, there is, and Time whereof the Memory of Man is not to the contrary, there has been a Usage and Custom, that the Mayor and † twelve Chief Burgesses of the same Borough, stood, and were of the private Council of the Borough aforesaid, and twenty-four of the other most discreet Burgesses of the Borough aforesaid, for the Time being, to this chosen and sworn, stood, and were, together with the aforesaid Mayor and twelve Chief Burgesses, of Common Council of the Borough aforesaid, for the regulating and Government of the same Borough. ‡ And that every such Burgess who was chosen into the Fellowship of the twenty-four Burgesses of the Common Council aforesaid, before he was to be admitted to the said Fellowship, || should take corporate Oath before the Mayor of the same Borough, for the Time being, that he should carry himself well and honestly, as well towards the Mayor of the Borough aforesaid, for the Time being, as towards the aforesaid twelve Chief Burgesses of the said Borough for the Time being, and to them from Time to Time should shew Reverence, and that he should maintain and uphold the Liberties and common Profit of the Borough aforesaid, with his best Counsel and Advice:

And

Doctr. pl. 105.

* He ought to have first prescribed that there had been an Incorporation of a Mayor, &c. from Time whereof, &c. Vide 22 H. 6. Prescription 47. 6 E. 6. Dyer 71. &c.

† Twelve Chief Burgesses, de privato consilio Burgi, it would be more aptly said, de privato consilio Majoris & Burgenfium. Doct. pl. 292. Palm. 452.

‡ They do not prescribe nor allege any Charter that they may disfranchise any of the Corporation.

|| The Oath of a Chief Burgess.

JAMES BAGG's Case. PART XI.

* They don't
prescribe in this,
and yet it's a-
gainst common
Right. 1 die
Maii 32 Eliz.
James Bagg
chose one of the
Twenty-four.

And further we certify, That every one of the aforesaid twelve Chief Burgeses, from Time to Time chosen, should be preferred by the Mayor of the Borough aforesaid, or the rest of the aforesaid twelve Chief of the Burgeses, or by the greater Part of them, for the Time being, only without the Consent or Assent of the * aforesaid twenty-four, the other Burgeses who are (as before is said) of the Common Council of the Borough aforesaid, to this required. And further we certify, That the aforesaid *James Bagg*, the first Day of *May*, in the 32d Year of the Reign of the Lady *Elizabeth*, late Queen of *England*, was duly chosen and appointed one of the aforesaid twenty-four of the Burgeses of the Common Council of the Borough aforesaid then being, and the said first Day of *May*, in the 32d Year aforesaid, at *Plymouth* aforesaid, took corporal Oath before the Mayor of the Borough aforesaid, according to the ancient Custom aforesaid, that he the said *James* would carry himself well and honestly, as well towards the Mayor of the Borough aforesaid, for the Time being, as towards the other twelve Chief Burgeses of the said Borough for the Time being, and to them from Time to Time would shew Reverence, and the Liberties and common Profit of the Borough aforesaid, would maintain and uphold with his best Counsel and Advice: And further to the Lord the King we certify, That the aforesaid Borough of *Plymouth* is situate so near to the Shore and Sea Coasts, that by Reason thereof, and by Reason of the daily Meeting there of Ships and Vessels there coming, as well from the Parts beyond the Seas, as from elsewhere, many ill-minded Men, as well Aliens as within born, of evil and perverse Conversation, Contemners of good Government, and Disturbers of the Peace, in the Ships and Vessels aforesaid thither coming, in the Borough aforesaid, and within the Liberties and Precincts of the same staying and remaining, are daily found, who can hardly be there brought to the Obedience of good Rule and Government, unless the Authority of the Mayor of the Borough aforesaid for the Time being, and of the other Chief Burgeses aforesaid, with due Reverence of the other Burgeses and Inhabitants of the said Borough, be fortified, and the Persons of the said Chief Burgeses, and of the Mayor, from the Contempt of the Vulgar be preserved: And further to the said Lord the King we certify, That the aforesaid *James Bagg*, not ignorant of the Premises, little regarding his Oath aforesaid, and the Authority, as well of the Mayor of the Borough aforesaid for the Time being, as his late Predecessors aforesaid, as the other the Chief Burgeses of the Borough aforesaid, setting naught by, and labouring and intending to bring the same Authority into Contempt: The first Day of *May*, in the 6th Year of the Reign of the Lord the now King, the said *James* being then one of the Common Council of the Borough aforesaid, and one of the Chief Burgeses of the same Borough, in the Presence of one

Robert

Robert Trelawny, then being Mayor of the Borough aforesaid, and of many other of the Inhabitants of the Borough aforesaid, at Plymouth aforesaid, within the Borough aforesaid, contemptuously and malapertly carried himself, as well in Gesture as in Words, toward the Mayor aforesaid; and then and there, to the aforesaid Robert Trelawny, contemptuously and scoffingly, without any reasonable Cause, these Words following, openly and publickly said and speak, that is to say, *You*, (the aforesaid Robert Trelawny intending) *are some Prince, are you not?* And further to the said Lord the King we certify, That afterwards, that is to say, the first Day of February, in the 7th Year of the Reign of the Lord the now King, the aforesaid James Bagg continuing his evil Disposition and Intention aforesaid, at Plymouth aforesaid, in the Presence and Hearing of the aforesaid Robert Trelawny, then being a Justice of the Peace of the aforesaid Lord the King, within the Borough aforesaid to be kept, by Reason of his Mayoralty of the Borough aforesaid, the Year then last past, by Virtue of the Letters Patents aforesaid, and in the Presence and Hearing of very many other of the Inhabitants of the Borough aforesaid, openly, publickly, and with a loud Voice, without any reasonable Cause, these Words following, contemptuously, falsely, and scandalously said and speak, that is to say, *You*, (the aforesaid Trelawny intending) *are a cozening Knave*; whereas in Truth, the said Robert Trelawny, all his Life-time, honestly, and from all Suspicion of any Falsity, Fraud, or Deceit, lived altogether unsuspected, and in the Offices, as well of the Mayoralty as of Chief Burgesses of the Borough aforesaid, with Praise, carried and governed himself: And further to the said Lord the King, we do certify, That the 20th Day of November, in the 7th Year of the Reign of the said Lord the now King, the aforesaid James Bagg, continuing his evil Disposition and Intent aforesaid, at Plymouth aforesaid, seditiously and maliciously stirred up, and perswaded one Thomas Shervil, then being of the Chief Burgesses of the Borough aforesaid, that he the said Thomas would join himself with the aforesaid James Bagg in a Conspiracy, to amove and depose one John Battersby, then being Mayor of the Borough aforesaid, from his Office of Mayoralty, without any reasonable or lawful Cause, and then and there maliciously and contemptuously speak to the aforesaid Thomas Shervil of the aforesaid John Battersby, these Words following, that is to say, *Master Mayor* (the aforesaid John Battersby intending) *carrieth himself foolishly in this Place; and if you will join with me, we will turn him out of his Mayoralty and choose a wiser Man in his Place*: Whereas in Truth the aforesaid John Battersby, during the whole Time of his Mayoralty aforesaid, in the

These Words are to be reprehended, but are no Cause to disfranchise him.

These Words are scornful, and worthy of Punishment, sc. to bind him to his good Behaviour, if they were published when the Mayor was sitting in Execution of his Office, but are no Cause to disfranchise the Delinquent. Palm. 127.

These Words are no Cause to disfranchise him, 1. Because nothing was done, and it might be, that there was just Cause to remove him; and the Cause certified ought to be such that it may appear to the Court, that it is a just Cause to disfranchise him; for the Party grieved can't have an Answer to it.

executing

JAMES BAGG's Case. PART XI.

executing of his Office aforesaid, carried himself well and discreetly, and with great Integrity and Gravity. And further to the said Lord the King, we certify, That afterwards, that is to say, the first Day of *February*, in the 8th Year of the Reign of the said Lord the now King, the aforesaid *James Bagg* continuing in his evil Disposition and Intent aforesaid, at *Plymouth* aforesaid, in the *Guild-hall* of the Borough aforesaid, in the Presence of one *Thomas Fowens*, then being Mayor of the Borough aforesaid, in the Presence and Hearing, as well of the Chief Burgeses as of the other Inhabitants of the Borough aforesaid, scornfully, and without reasonable Cause, speak to the aforesaid *Thomas Fowens* these false and injurious Words following, that is to say, *Thou* (the aforesaid *Thomas Fowens*, then Mayor intending) *art an insolent Fellow*; Whereas in Truth the said *Thomas*, in the whole Course of his Life, bore himself towards all Men, honestly, civilly, and with Praise. And further to the said Lord the King we certify, that he afterwards, that is to say, the first Day of *August*, in the 9th Year of the Reign of the said Lord the now King, at *Plymouth* aforesaid, in the Presence and Hearing of the aforesaid *Thomas Fowens*, and of very many other of the Burgeses of the Borough aforesaid, being gathered together in the *Guild-hall* of the Borough aforesaid, the aforesaid *James Bagg* continuing his evil Disposition and Intent aforesaid, divers contemptible Words of the aforesaid *Thomas Fowens*, then being Mayor of the Borough aforesaid, with a loud Voice speak and uttered; upon which the aforesaid *Thomas Fowens*, with mild Words admonishing the aforesaid *James Bagg* that he would desist from uttering such contemptible Words aforesaid, the aforesaid *James Bagg* thereupon, then and there, that is to say, the 10th Day of *August*, in the 9th Year aforesaid, at *Plymouth* aforesaid, and in the Presence and Hearing of the aforesaid *Thomas Fowens*, then Mayor of the Borough aforesaid, and very many others of the Burgeses and Inhabitants of the Borough aforesaid, and in Contempt and Disdain of the said *Thomas Fowens*, then Mayor, turning the hinder Part of his Body in an inhuman and uncivil Manner towards the aforesaid *Thomas Fowens*, scoffingly, contemptuously, and uncivilly, with a loud Voice, said to the aforesaid *Thomas Fowens*, these Words following, that is to say (*come and kiss*.) And further to the said Lord the King we certify, That afterwards, that is to say, the 20th Day of *August*, in the 9th Year of the Reign of the Lord the now King, at *Plymouth* aforesaid, the aforesaid *James Bagg*, with most insolent Words, threatned the said *Thomas Fowens*, then being Mayor of the Borough aforesaid, without any reasonable Cause; and then and there, to the said *John Fowens*, threatingly and maliciously spake these Words following, that

Ut supra.

This is contra bonos mores, and worthy Punishment as aforesaid, but no Cause of Disfranchisement, or of Indictment.
2 Salk. 697.

that is to say, *I will make thy Neck crack.* And further to the said Lord the King we certify, that afterward, that is to say, the third Day of *May*, in the 12th Year of the Reign of the Lord the now King, a certain Order and friendly Instrument of Admonition was made by *John Scobb*, Mayor of the Borough aforesaid, and the greater Part of the Chief Burgeses of the same Borough, in these Words, that is to say, *The 9th Day of May, 1614, the Day and Year above-written, It was agreed by John Scobb, Mayor, and such other of the Masters here under-written, That if Mr. James Bagg the Elder, do not before the next Sessions to be holden within the Borough of Plymouth, reconcile himself to the said Mayor and his Brethren, for such Wrongs as he hath committed against them, and withal, faithfully promise to demean himself more orderly and temperately for the Time to come, that then he shall be clean removed from the Bench, and a new Master chosen in his Room:* Which Order or Instrument was made and subscribed by the said Mayor and nine other of the Chief Burgeses of the Borough aforesaid. And further to the said Lord the King we certify, That the aforesaid *James Bagg*, before the aforesaid next Sessions, in order aforesaid mentioned, did not make any such Reconciliation or Promise of Conformity, as in the Order aforesaid is specified, altho' full Notice of the aforesaid Order, immediately after the making thereof, and before the aforesaid next Sessions was given to him at *Plymouth* aforesaid. And further to the said Lord the King we certify, That afterwards, that is to say, the 20th Day of *February*, in the 12th Year of the Reign of the said Lord the now King, the aforesaid *James Bagg* continuing his evil Disposition, and in his Intent aforesaid, at *Plymouth* aforesaid, in the *Guild-hall* of the Borough aforesaid, in the Presence and Hearing of *John Scobb*, one of the Chief Burgeses of the Borough aforesaid, and then being a Justice for the keeping of the Peace within the Borough aforesaid, by Virtue of the Letters Patents aforesaid, by Reason of his Mayoralty of the Borough aforesaid, the Year then next before, and in the Presence and Hearing of the then Mayor of the Borough aforesaid, and of divers other of the Burgeses and Inhabitants of that Borough, contemptuously these Words following, speak and uttered of the aforesaid *John Scobb*, openly and publickly, falsely and scandalously, that is to say, *You* (the aforesaid *John Scobb* intending) *are a Knave*; whereas the aforesaid *John Scobb* honestly, and

Ut supra.

Doct. pl. 326.
This is repugnant, fo. 3 Mail, & 9 Mail.

Here it appears that he should be removed by the Mayor and nine of the Masters; and in the End of the Return, it is alledged, that he was removed by the Mayor and Commonalty, which is repugnant. Doct. pl. 326.

Ut supra.

JAMES BAGG's Case. PART XI.

and with praise carried and governed himself. And further to the said Lord the King we certify, That afterwards, that is to say, the 10th Day of *December* last past, the then Mayor of the said Borough, and divers of the Chief Burgeses of the Borough aforesaid, at *Plymouth* aforesaid, being assembled together in the Alms-house of the said Borough, to require and receive an Account of the Overseers, Burgeses of the Borough aforesaid, as in Times past, and Time whereof the Memory of Man is not to the contrary, it was used, the aforesaid *James Bagg*, then and there, in the Presence and Hearing of the said Mayor, and other of the Chief Burgeses aforesaid, without any reasonable Cause, openly and publickly said to the said *Thomas Shervill*, there then present, and one of the Chief Burgeses of the Borough aforesaid, and for the Space of ten Years then last past being, these false and scandalous Words following; that is to say, *You* (the said *Thomas Shervill* intending) *are a seditious Fellow*; whereas in Truth the aforesaid *Thomas Shervill* always lived not suspected of any such Crime of Sedition, and from Time to Time in the Office of Mayor of the Borough aforesaid, as in the Place and Office of Chief Burges, honestly, discreetly, and with great Integrity carried and governed himself. And further to the said Lord the King we certify, That whereas the said Lord the King, the Day of *January*, in the 12th Year of his Reign aforesaid, at *Westminster* in the County of *Middlesex*, with the Advice of the Lords of his Privy Council of this his Realm of *England*, ordained and commanded, by publick Proclamation, and by Letters written under the proper Hands of divers of the Lords of his Privy Council sealed, that none, nor any Person whatsoever, should kill, or put to sale any Flesh for Victuals, in the Time of *Lent* then next following, contrary to the Laws and Statutes of this Realm. And that all Mayors, and other Head Officers, in Boroughs and Towns Corporate, within this Kingdom of *England*, in the Beginning of the Time of *Lent* then next coming, or before, should cause all Victuallers, Inn-keepers, Keepers of Ordinary Tables, and Alehouse-keepers, within the Precinct of their Jurisdiction, to be bounden to the Lord the King by Bond, that they should not dress any Flesh for Victuals all the said Time of *Lent* then next following: And whereas afterwards, that is to say, the 20th Day of *February*, in the 12th Year aforesaid, one *John Clement*, then, and yet Mayor of the Borough of *Plymouth* aforesaid, accord-

Ut supra.

according to the Duty of his Place, and in Obedience of the said Ordinance and Command of the said Lord the King, sent to all the Victuallers, Inn-keepers, Keepers of Ordinary Tables, and Alehouse-keepers aforesaid, within the Precinct of the Borough aforesaid, that they become bound by their Writing Obligatory, to the Use of the Lord the King, according to the Tenor and Exigency of the aforesaid Ordinance and Command of the said Lord the King, the due Execution of the Ordinance aforesaid, in that Behalf required and endeavoured, within the Borough aforesaid, the aforesaid *James Bagg*, well knowing the Premisse, and continuing his evil Disposition and Intent aforesaid, at *Plymouth* aforesaid, endeavoured and attempted to hinder, and make void the due Execution of the aforesaid Ordinance and Command of the said Lord the King; and to that Purpose, the same 20th Day of *February*, at *Plymouth* aforesaid, to divers Inhabitants of the Borough aforesaid, and other of the King's Leige People then being, and having then and there speech with the aforesaid *James Bagg*, of and upon the Business aforesaid, the said *James Bagg*, openly and publickly spake and uttered these Words following, that is to say, *Master Mayor* (meaning the said *John Clement*) *doth more herein than he need, and more than he can well answer*; meaning, that the said *John Clement*, in requiring the aforesaid Victuallers, Inn-keepers, Keepers of Ordinary Tables, and Alehouse-keepers, to become bounden to the Use of the said Lord the King, according to the aforesaid Ordinance and Command of the said Lord the King, had done more than was needful, and more than he could well answer; by Reason of which Speech, divers Victuallers, Inn-keepers, Keepers of Ordinary Tables, and Alehouse-keepers, dwelling within the aforesaid Borough, utterly refused to be bounden to the said Lord the King, according to the aforesaid Ordinance and Command of the said Lord the King; and further we certify, that the aforesaid Mayor and Commonalty of the Borough of *Plymouth*, and their Predecessors, Time whereof the Memory of Men is not to the contrary, had and used to have within the Borough aforesaid, a certain Custom of Wine, called Wine-weight, otherwise Wine-wite, payable by every Taverner selling Wine within the Borough aforesaid, of which Custom of Wine aforesaid, the Mayor and Commonalty from the whole Time aforesaid, quietly and peaceably were possessed of, until the aforesaid *James Bagg*, the 29th Day of *November*, in the 4th Year of the Reign of the said Lord, the now King, at *Plymouth* aforesaid, perfidiously and maliciously practised with *William Bentley* and *Thomas Lyde*, being Taverners and Sellers of Wine within the Borough aforesaid, to them revealing divers secret Counsels concerning the common Profit of the Borough aforesaid.

This is no Cause of Disfranchisement without Question, also the Inuendo is idle and vain.

They have not alledged, That there was a Corporation from Time whereof, &c.
1 Rol. Rep. 224, 225.

These Words are too general.

JAMES BAGG's Case. PART XI.

aforesaid; and them the said *William* and *Thomas* then and there persuaded, that they no more should pay the aforesaid Custom of Wine, called Wine-weight, otherwise Wine-wite, nor any Farm or Sum of Money for the same, to the aforesaid Mayor and Commonalty, which very Day, viz. the 29th Day of *November*, in the 4th Year aforesaid, the aforesaid *James Bagg*, being then one of the twelve Chief Burgesses of Common Council of the Borough aforesaid, at *Plymouth* aforesaid, perfidiously and maliciously, spake to the said *William Bently* and *Thomas Lyde* these Words, that is to say; *You need not pay the Money*, (meaning a certain Farm by them the said *William* and *Thomas* for the Custom aforesaid, before then, to the aforesaid Mayor and Commonalty payable for the Wine-weight) *any longer, except you list, for it is not due unto them*: By Reason of which perfidious and malicious Words, the aforesaid *William Bently* and *Thomas Lyde* utterly refused to pay, and yet do refuse, and by Reason thereof divers Strifes and Controversies are risen, and hereafter are like to arise betwixt the aforesaid *William Bently* and *Thomas Lyde*, and the aforesaid Mayor and Commonalty, for the Custom of Wine aforesaid, and the Farm aforesaid, to the great Damage and Prejudice of the aforesaid Mayor and Commonalty: And further to the said Lord the King we certify, that the aforesaid *James Bagg*, the first Day of *May*, in the 12th Year of the Reign of the Lord, the now King, and divers other Days and Times then before, at *Plymouth* aforesaid, perfidiously said to divers Inhabitants of the Borough aforesaid, and to other the Liege People of the said Lord the King, upon Communication between them and the aforesaid *James Bagg* then before had, of and concerning the Liberties and Privileges of the Borough aforesaid, *That he* (the said *James Bagg*) *would overthrow and make void the Charter of the Borough aforesaid*, meaning the Charter aforesaid, by the aforesaid late Queen *Elizabeth* to the aforesaid Mayor and Commonalty, as before is said, granted; and that he the said *James* the Liberties and Privileges of the Borough aforesaid, would call in Question, and the same Privileges and Liberties would overthrow. And further to the Lord the King we certify, that afterwards, that is to say, the 17th Day of *April* now last past, the aforesaid *James Bagg* in the said Writ named, for the Causes aforesaid, by the Mayor and Commonalty of the Borough aforesaid, from the Office of one of the Chief Burgesses and Magistrates of the Borough aforesaid, was amoved. &c.

John Clement, Mayor.

And

This was but his Opinion, which altho' it be false, is no Cause of Disfranchisement, and his Opinion cannot be a Prejudice to their Right; the Inuendo is vain and idle.
1 Rol. Rep. 224, 225, 226.
Yet Remedy lies for this Duty, if they have Right to it by the Law.

Non officiat affectus nisi sequatur effectus; and it may be the Charter was void in Law, or that it was procured by the lesser Number of the Burgesses and then it might be removed; and so he might justify these Words.
1 Rol. Rep. 226.
1 Rol. Rep. 224, 226.
2 Brownl. 100.

AND now in this Term (upon the whole Matter, and for the Causes aforesaid) it was resolv'd by the Court, That there was not any just Cause to remove him; and therefore by the Award of the Court, a Writ was directed to the Mayor and Commonalty to restore him.

See 2 Salk. 697.

And in this Case, 1. it was resolved, That to this Court of King's Bench belongs (a) Authority, not only to correct Errors in judicial Proceedings, but other Errors and Misdemeanors extrajudicial, tending to the Breach of Peace, or Oppression of the Subjects, or to the raising of Faction, Controversy, Debate, or to any Manner of Misgovernment; so that no Wrong or Injury, either Publick or Private, can be done, but that it shall be (here) reformed or punished by due Course of Law.

(a) 4 Inst. 71.
1 Rol. Rep. 225.
Raymond 103.

For the general Learning of this and the like Cases, all that was said in the Argument of this Case, was divided into these Questions. 1. What were sufficient Causes to (b) disfranchise a Citizen, Freeman or Burgefs of any City or Borough incorporate, and to discharge him of his Freedom and Liberty, and what not? 2. How and by whom, and in what Manner such Citizen or Burgefs shall be disfranchised? 3. If the Return of his Removal, or Disfranchisement, imports sufficient Matter, but is false; what Remedy shall be for the Party grieved in such Case?

(b) Cro. Jac. 506.
Cro. Eliz. 33.
1 Rol. Rep. 409.
3 Bullstr. 189, 190.
Styles 478, 479.
2 Rol. 455.

As to the first, it was resolved, That the Cause of Disfranchisement ought to be grounded upon an Act which is (c) against the Duty of a Citizen or Burgefs, and to the Prejudice of the publick Good of the City or Borough whereof he is a Citizen or Burgefs, and against his Oath which he took when he was sworn a Freeman of the City or Borough; for although one shall not be charged in any Judicial Court for the Breach of a (d) general Oath, which he took when he became Officer, Minister, Citizen, Burgefs, &c. yet if the Act which he doth be against the said (e) Duty and Trust of his Freedom, and to the Prejudice of the City or Borough, and also against his Oath, it inforces much the Cause of his Removal, and there is a Condition in Law *tacite* and annexed to his Freedom or Liberty; which if he breaks, he may be disfranchised; but Words of Contempt, or (f) *contra bonos mores*, altho' they be against

(c) Cro. El. 33.
4 Leon. 61.

(d) 3 Inst. 166.
1 Roll. Rep. 226.
Dyer 333. pl. 28.
Palm. 144.

(e) 1 Roll. Rep. 224, 225, 409.
Polluxfen's ARG. in QuotVar. 110.
Poph. 134.
2 Roll. Rep. 11.

Godb. 281.
Palm. 455.
(f) Cro. Jac. 306.

the Moor 411, 512.

JAMES BAGG's Case. PART XI.

(1) Moor 247,
411, 412.
3 Bulstr. 139,
190.
1 Roll. Rep. 79.
224, 225.
Cro. El. 79, 689.
C10. Jac. 506.
Palm. 127.

(b) 1 Rol. Rep.
226.

(c) Cr. Jac. 540.

6 Mod. 99.

See before.

(d) Sawyer's
Arg. in Quo
Warranto 21.
Antea 72. b.
49. b.
1 Roll. Rep. 86,
167.
Br. Deposition
31.
Godb. 259.
Godolph. Abr.
174, 176.
20 H. 6. 46. a.
3 Bulstr. 167.

the Chief Officer, or his Brethren, are (a) good Causes to punish him, as to commit till he has found good Sureties of his Good Behaviour, but not to disfranchise him: So if he intends, or endeavours of himself, or conspires with others, to do a Thing against the Duty or Trust of his Freedom, and to the Prejudice of the publick Good of the City or Borough, but he doth not execute it, it is a good Cause to punish him, as is aforesaid, but not to disfranchise him, for (b) *Non officit conatus, nisi sequatur effectus*; and *Non officit affectus, nisi sequatur affectus*. And the Reason and Cause thereof is, That (c) when a Man is a Freeman of a City or Borough, he has a Freehold in his Freedom for his Life, and with others, in their Politick Capacity, has an Inheritance in the Lands of the said Corporation, and Interest in their Goods, and perhaps it concerns his Trade and Means of Living, and his Credit and Estimation; and therefore the Matter which shall be a Cause of his Disfranchisement, ought to be an Act or Deed, and not a Conation, or an Endeavour, * which he may repent of before the Execution of it, and from whence no Prejudice ensues; and they who have Offices of Trust and Confidence shall not forfeit them by Endeavours and Intentions to do Acts, although they declare them by express Words, unless the Act itself shall ensue, as if one who has the Keeping of a Park should say, that he will kill all the Game within his Custody, or will cut down so many Trees within the Park, but doth not kill any of the Game, nor cut down any Trees, it is not any Forfeiture, & sic de similibus, for in all such Cases, either there ought to be an Act, or such a Negligence as tantamounts, scil. when Destruction of the Game, &c. ensues. If a Bishop, Arch-Deacon, Parson, &c. fells all the Trees, it is a good Cause of (d) Deprivation, 2 H. 4. 3. b. So if a Prior aliens the Land which he has *in jure domus sue*, it is a Cause of Deprivation, as appears in 9 E. 4. 34. a. If a Prior makes Dilapidation, it is a good Cause to deprive him, as it is held in 29 E. 3. 16. a. (20) 28 H. 6. 46. a. But if it be but a Conation, or Endeavour, without any Act done, in none of those Cases is it any Cause of Deprivation, for in those Cases, *voluntas non reputatur pro facto*. And if a Contempt (be

(be it of Omission or Commission) should be a good Cause to disfranchise, the best Citizen or Burgefs might be, at one Time or other, disfranchised, which would be great Cause of Faction and Contention in Cities and Boroughs.

As to the 2d, it was resolv'd, That no Freeman of any Corporation (a) can be disfranchised by the Corporation, unless they have Authority to do it either by the exprefs Words of the Charter, or by Prescription; but if they have not Authority neither by Charter or by Prescription, then he ought to be convicted by Course of Law before he can be removed, and it appears by *Magna Charta, cap. 29.* (b) *Nul-* (a) 1 Styl. 478, 479.
lus liber homo capiatur, vel imprisonetur, aut disseisitur de libero tenemento suo, vel libertatibus, vel liberis consuetudinibus suis, &c. nisi per legale iudicium parium suorum, vel per legem terra, and if the Corporation have Power by Charter or Prescription to remove him for a reasonable Cause, that will be *per legem terra*; but if they have no such Power, he ought to be convicted *per iudicium parium suorum, &c.* as if a Citizen, or Freeman, be attainted of Forgery or Perjury, or Conspiracy, at the King's Suit, &c. or of any other Crime whereby he is become infamous, upon such Attainder they may remove him: So if he be convicted of any such Offence which is against the Duty and Trust of his Freedom, and to the publick Prejudice of the City or Borough whereof he is free, and against his Oath, as if he has burnt or defaced the Charters, or Evidences of the City or Borough, or razed or corrupted them, and is thereof convicted and attainted, these and the like are good Causes to remove him. And altho' they have lawful Authority either by Charter or Prescription to remove any one from the Freedom, and that they have just Cause to remove him; yet if it appears by the Return, that they have proceeded against him without (c) hearing him answer to what was objected, or that he was not reasonably warned, such Removal is void, and shall not bind the Party, (d) *quia quicumque aliquid statuerit parte inaudita altera, aequum licet statuerit, haud aequus fuerit,* and such Removal is against Justice and Right.

As to the 3d Question, If they have Power by Charter or Prescription to disfranchise one, and afterwards the (e) Judges of the King's Bench award a Writ to them to restore him, or signify the Cause, &c. and they certify a sufficient Cause to remove him, but it is false; then the Court cannot award a Writ to restore him, neither can any

(b) 1 Rol. Rep. 225.
4 Leon. 61.

(c) 2 Sid. 97.
1 Sid. 14, 15.
Palm. 453.
1 Rol. Rep. 225.
1 Mod. Rep. 287.
Styl. 447, 453.
(d) 6 Co. 52. a.

(e) Doct. pla. 189.

JAMES BAGG'S Case. PART XI.

(a) 1 Mod. Rep.
83.
2 Keb. 401, 450.
10 Co. 139. b.

any Issue be taken thereupon, because the Parties are Strangers, and have no Day in Court; (a) but the Party grieved may well have an Action upon the special Matter against those who made the Certificate, and aver it to be false, and if it is found for him, and he obtains Judgment against them, so that it may appear to the Justices that the Causes of the Return are false, then they shall award a Writ of Restitution, and this is proved by the Reason of the Book of 9 H. 6. 44. a. where it is held, that upon a *Corpus cum causa*, if the Cause returned be sufficient, but in Truth is false, the Court ought to remand the Prisoner, and he is at no Mischief, for if they have not Authority, or the Cause be false, he may have a Writ of False Imprisonment, (*vide Fitz. Tit. Corpus cum causa*, 2. the said Cause of 9 H. 6. 44. well abridged.) So in the other, upon such false Return, the Party grieved may have a special Action upon his Case as is aforesaid. Also if the Party grieved, who is so disfranchised, is for the Causes of his Disfranchisement committed to Prison, or if his Shop is shut up, or if with Force he is removed out of their Assembly, &c. in these and the like Cases he may have an Action of false Imprisonment, or an Action of Trespass *quare domum fregit*, or of Assault and Battery, and in those Actions, the Causes of his Disfranchisement ought to be pleaded, and shall be decided according to Law, 8 E. 3. 437. 8 Ass. 29. 31. If a Layman is Patron of an Hospital, he may visit it, and depose or deprive the Master for good Cause; but if he is deprived without just Cause, and by Colour thereof is ousted, he shall have an Assise because he has no other Remedy; but if the Ordinary deprives a Master who is Ecclesiastical without a Cause, he shall not have an Assise, for he has other Remedy by Appeal, *vide* 6 H. 7. 14. a. F. N. B. 4 B. 27 E. 3. 85. 10 Eliz. Dyer 273. pl. 35.

Dyer 209. a.
See Skin. 468,
487, 499.

Cro. Jac. 506.

Also it was resolved, That such Return of Disfranchisement ought to be certain, so that sufficient Matter may appear to the Court to disfranchise the Party, and *eo potius*, because the Party cannot have answer to it, as is aforesaid.

Lastly, It was resolved, That for none of the Causes contained in the said Certificate, the said *James Bagg* by Law ought to be removed, and therefore by the whole Court a Writ was awarded to restore him to his Franchise and Freedom, and so he was.

Nota,

Nota, Reader, In the Argument of this *Case* much was said to exhort Citizens and Burgeſſes to yield Obedience and Reverence to the Chief Magiſtrates in their Cities and Boroughs, becauſe they derive their Authority from the King, and *Obedientia eſt Legis eſſentia*, and therefore it appears before, how they ſhall be puniſhed who commit any Contempt againſt them: But the principal Queſtion of this *Case* was, What Acts were ſufficient Cauſes in Law for the Diſfranchiſement of any Citizen or Burgeſſ, &c.

The Order of the CASES reported in this Eleventh Book.

	Folio
1 LORD de la Warre's <i>Case</i>	<i>in Parliam.</i> 39 <i>El.</i> 1
2 Auditor Curle's <i>Case</i>	<i>Hill.</i> 7 <i>Jacobi</i> 2
3 Sir John Heydon's <i>Case</i>	<i>Trin.</i> 10 <i>Jacobi</i> 5
4 Priddle and Napper's <i>Case</i>	<i>Mich.</i> 10 <i>Jacobi</i> 8
5 Dr. Graunt's <i>Case</i>	<i>Pasch.</i> 11 <i>Jacobi</i> 15
6 Sir Henry Nevil's <i>Case</i>	<i>Mich.</i> 11 <i>Jacobi</i> 17
7 Dr. Ayray's <i>Case</i>	<i>Hill.</i> 11 <i>Jacobi</i> 18
8 Henry Harpur's <i>Case</i>	<i>Trin.</i> 12 <i>Jacobi</i> 23
9 Henry Pigot's <i>Case</i>	<i>Trin.</i> 12 <i>Jacobi</i> 26
10 Alexander Poulter's <i>Case</i>	<i>Trin.</i> 12 <i>Jacobi</i> 29
11 Metcalf's <i>Case</i>	<i>Mich.</i> 12 <i>Jacobi</i> 38
12 Richard Godfrey's <i>Case</i>	<i>Mich.</i> 12 <i>Jacobi</i> 42
13 Richard Liford's <i>Case</i>	<i>Mich.</i> 12 <i>Jacobi</i> 46
14 The <i>Case</i> of the Taylors of <i>Ipswich</i>	<i>Mich.</i> 12 <i>Jacobi</i> 53
15 Edward Savel's <i>Case</i>	<i>Mich.</i> 12 <i>Jacobi</i> 55
16 Bentham's <i>Case</i>	<i>Mich.</i> 12 <i>Jacobi</i> 56
17 Dr. Foſter's <i>Case</i>	<i>Mich.</i> 12 <i>Jacobi</i> <i>ib.</i>
18 Magdalen College <i>Case</i>	<i>Pasch.</i> 13 <i>Jacobi</i> 66
19 Lewis Bowle's <i>Case</i>	<i>Pasch.</i> 13 <i>Jacobi</i> 79
20 The <i>Case</i> of Monopolies	<i>Trin.</i> 44 <i>Eliz.</i> 84
21 The Earl of Devonſhire's <i>Case</i> .	<i>Hill.</i> 4 <i>Jacobi</i> 89
22 James Bagg's <i>Case</i>	<i>Trin.</i> 13 <i>Jacobi</i> 93

The Names of the Justices of either Bench,
and Barons of the Exchequer, also of
the Serjeants at Law as were in being at
the Time of publishing this *Eleventh Re-*
port.

De Banco Regis.

Edwardus Coke, C. J. }
Johannes Crook, } mil'
Johannes Doderidge, }
Robertus Houghton, }

De Communi Banco.

Henricus Hobart, C. J. }
Petrus Warburton, } mil'
Humphridus Winch, }
Augustinus Nichols, }

De Scaccario.

Laurentius Tanfield, }
Georgius Snigge, } mil'
Jacobus Altham, }
Edwardus Bromley. }

Servientes ad Legem.

<i>Henricus Montague, mil'</i>	<i>Leonardus Bawtree,</i>
<i>Johannes Sherley,</i>	<i>Henricus Finch,</i>
<i>Thomas Harris,</i>	<i>Thomas Chamberlain,</i>
<i>Robertus Barker,</i>	<i>Franciscus Moore,</i>
<i>Richardus Hutton,</i>	<i>Richardus Athow,</i>
<i>Johannes Davis, mil'</i>	<i>Johannes Moore,</i>
<i>Randallus Crew, mil'</i>	<i>Franciscus Harvey,</i>
<i>Robertus Hitcham, mil'</i>	<i>Carolus Chyborne,</i>
<i>Georgius Wyld,</i>	<i>Thomas Richardson.</i>
<i>Willielmus Towse.</i>	