HISTORIA

PLACITORUM CORONÆ.

THE

HISTORY

OF THE

Pleas of the Crown,

By Sir MATTHEW HALE Knt. fometime Lord Chief Justice of the Court of King's Bench.

Now first published from his Lordship's Original Manufcript, and the several References to the Records examined by the Originals, with large Notes.

By SOLLOM EMLYN of Lincoln's-Inn Esq;

To which is added A Table of the Principal Matters.

In Two Columes.

VOL. II.

In the SAVOY:

Printed by E. and R. NUTT, and R. GOSLING, (Assigns of Edward Sayer, Esq.) for st. Syles over-against Grays-Inn in Holborn, C. Moodward at the Half-Moon between the Two Temple-Gates in Fleet-street, and C. Davis in Pater-noster-row. M DCC XXXVI.

TABLE

OF THE

Several CHAPTERS

Contained in the SECOND PART.

Chap. I. Ouc.	hing the King's bench.	Page 1
Cl	hap. II. Concerning the courts	s before the lord
.	High-steward, and the Stew	ard of his ma-
jesty's houshold.		7
Chap. III. Touching	special commissions of over	and terminer.
and their kinds an	d power.	10
	g general commissions of or	yer and termi-
ner.	- 0:	22
Chap. V. Touching	Justices of gaol-delivery.	32
Chap. VI. Touching prius with relation	the power of Justices of a	affise and nisi
Chan VIII Concernie	ng the commission of many	39
the set in seletion	ng the commission of peace,	and the power
thereof in relation		42
Chap. VIII. Concern	ing the Coroner and his cou	art, and his au-
thority in pleas of	the crown.	53
Chap. IX. Concerning	g the Sheriff, his power in	n pleas of the
	commission as in his Turns.	69
Chap. X. Concerning	the apprehending and arre	sting of felons
ana traitors by pri	ivate persons, and escapes.	72
Vol. II.	[a]	Chap. XI.

A TABLE of the several Chapters

Contained in the SECOND PART.

Chap. XXIX. Concerning the plea of the priloner upon his	ar-
raignment, and first of his confession of the fact charge	cd,
and approving others. Page 2	25
Chap. XXX. Concerning the pleas of the prisoner upon his	ar-
raignment, and first concerning pleas in abatement of the	in-
*• •	36
Chap. XXXI. Concerning pleas in bar of an indictment of	
	, 40
Chap. XXXII. Concerning the plea of auterfoits attaint or co	-
in contract in many	5 I
Chap. XXXIII. Concerning pleas to the felony, viz. Not guile	
2	55
col exercises and it is in a constant	nd
$P \cap P = 1$	59
Chap. XXXV. Concerning challenges, and first of perempto	
4 11	57
Chap. XXXVI. Concerning challenges for cause in case of	
	7 [
and management in a second control of the control o	, 76
	84
Chap. XXXIX. Concerning evidences requifite or allowed by ac	
of parliament, and presumptive evidence. 28	36
Chap. XI Concerning variance between the indictment and	e-
vidence, and where the evidence proves the indictment, a	
where not.	1 Ç
Chap. XLI. Concerning the demeanor of the jury, and ho	W
1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	9.3
Chap. XLII. Concerning the misdemeanors of jurors, and the	eir
punishment.	06
Chap. XLIII. Concerning standing mute, and the punishment	of
Tout Co line	14
Chap. XLIV. Concerning clergy how it stood at common law	w,
and how generally at this day.	23
Chap. XLV. In what offenses clergy is allowable or not. 33	30
Chap. XLVI. Where and in what offenses, that were capit	tal
at common law, clergy is taken away in part or in whole	by
acts of parliament subsequent to 25 E.3. and first of per	tit
	3 4
Chap. XLV.	

A TABLE of the several Chapters, &c.

Chap. XLVII. Concerning the alteration made by severa	al sta-
tutes in cases of murder, manslaughter, rape, and	wilful
burning of houses or barns with corn. Page	
Chap. XLVIII. Concerning clergy in robbery from the I	noule,
or robbery from the person.	348
Chap. XLIX. Concerning clergy in burglary.	360
Chap. I Concerning clergy in simple larciny and other fe	lonies.
	364
Chap. LI. What persons are or are not capable of clergy.	37 I
Chap. LII. At what time clergy is to be allowd.	377
Chap. LIII. Concerning the manner how, and the judg	ge by
and before whom clergy is to be prayed or allowd.	380
Chap. LIV. Concerning the consequences of clergy grant	ed or
prayed.	382
Chap. LV. Concerning judgments in the several kinds of ca	apital
offenies.	391
Chap. LVI. Concerning giving of judgment, by whom,	and
when.	401
Chap. LVII. Concerning executions.	406
Chap. LVIII. Concerning reprieves before or after judge	ment.
	4 I 2

HISTORIA

PLACITORUM CORONÆ.

PART II.

ĆHAP. Ĩ.

Touching the king's bench.

AVING gone through the several kinds of capital offenses, I should now according to my first proposed method proceed to the enumerating and considering of offenses, that are not capital, but I shall reserve that for the third part of this tractate.

1. Because the subject thereof is very large, numerous and various, and would exhaust too much of that time I

have or can spend from other employments.

2. Because the method, order and rules of proceeding in capital causes is different from any other course of proceeding in other criminal causes, and hath an appropriate method of proceeding by law consigned to it, and therefore they are fittest to be handled together.

And in this business I shall proceed in things as they arise in the order of proceeding in capital causes: First I shall vol. II.

A take

take a very brief account of the courts and jurisdictions wherein they are to be decided; and this I shall not do at large, but so far forth only, as it relates to proceedings in capital causes: And when I have briefly passed over that, then secondly I shall proceed with the whole tract of proceeding in criminal causes from the first pursuit of the offender to his execution, as namely arrest, process, outlawry, arraignment, pleading, challenge, trial, clergy, sanctuary, judgment, reprieve, execution, &c. in the very same order as a course of proceeding in capital causes lies.

I. I begin with the jurisdictions, wherein causes of this

nature are handled.

And altho the court of parliament is the highest court in this kingdom, and a court wherein proceedings capital have been often heard and determind, yet I shall decline that business, 1. Because the course of proceeding in parliament is in a different method and order, than what is used in other ordinary courts. 2. Because the instances are many and various, and will take up a volume to give an account of them. 3. Because I have elsewhere gathered up some observations of that kind already.

The highest ordinary court of justice next to the court of parliament is the court of king's bench; I shall not at large pursue the jurisdiction of this court, for it hath been done to

my hands amply already (a).

But I shall only consider it with relation to capital proceedings, namely treasons and felonies, and that very briefly, and therein, I. Concerning the jurisdiction of the court in this particular. 2. Concerning the power of the judges of this court out of court in relation to matters of crime or misdemeanor.

The court of king's bench consists of two kinds of jurif-dictions, viz. the civil jurisdiction or the plea-side, and the criminal jurisdiction or the crown-side.

Till the time of Edward II. the matters of both kinds were entred promiscuously in the rolls; but then the rolls were discri-

discriminated, and those of the crown-side entitled Rex, tho both were filed up together in the same bundles.

And thus it continued very long, but of latter times the records of pleas are bound up by themselves, and the records of the pleas of the crown bound up by themselves, and kept in the crown-office under the immediate custody of the coroner of the king's bench, who is also the king's attorney in that court, and clerk of the crown.

In cases criminal the court of king's bench have a different kind of proceeding touching offenses arising in the same county where they sit, and offenses arising in other counties and removed before them by *Certiorari*.

In the county, where the court fits, there is every term a grand inquest, who are to present all matters criminal arifing within that county, and then the same court proceeds upon indictment so taken; or if in the vacation-time there be any indictment of selony before the justices of the peace, over and terminer, or gaol-delivery there sitting, it may be removed by Certiorari into the king's bench, and they may proceed de die in diem, and there need not be sisteen days between the Teste and return of the Venire facias, because the offense ariseth in the same county.

But if an indictment of felony be removed out of another county, than where the king's bench sits, and the prifoner comes in either gratis or by Habeas Corpus or process, there must be sifteen days between the Teste and the return of the Venire facias. 9 Co. Rep. 118. b. lord Sanchar's case.

At common law if a record of an indictment or other thing come into the court, before the filing thereof the court may remand it, for till it be filed it is no record of the court; but if it be once filed, it is not to be remanded.

But if issue be joined, the transcript may be sent down to be tried by Nish prius, but the original record remains in the king's bench. 5 Maria, B. Coron. 231.

But by the statute of 6 H. 8. cap. 6. in cases of indictments of murder, or other selony removed into that court, the court may remand the indictments and the bodies of the prisoners to the justices of the peace, gaol-delivery, and other

justices,

justices, where the felony was committed, commanding them to proceed thereupon, as if the prisoner or indictment had never been removed.

The court of king's bench is in the county, where it fits, a court in eyre and more, 27 Assiz. 1. and also the sovereign court of gaol-delivery and oyer and terminer. 9 Co. Rep. 1 18. a. lord Sanchar's case.

And therefore when the court of king's bench comes into any county, there can be no session of the commission of gaol-delivery, or over and terminer, or peace during the termine, while the court sits; it doth not determine the commission, but suspends their session during the term, for in the vacation-time they may proceed again upon their former commission, and so it is not like a new commission, which after publication supersedes the former, de quo infra lord San-char's case, ubi supra.

But if an indictment be found before commissioners of over and terminer in the vacation-time in the county, where the king's bench sits, or in any other county in term or vacation, there may issue a special commission to determine that indictment with a writ to the former commissioners to deliver it to the new commissioners; and these special commissioners may sit in the term-time in the county, where the king's bench sits; but then the king's bench must adjourn during that session of this special commission: Ruled in Sir Walter Rawleigh's case, M. 1 Jac. Co. P. C. cap. 2. p. 27. Dyer 286. b. Plond. Com. 390. earl of Leicester's case, wherein is the whole order of such commission. 4 Co. Instit. p. 73.

The court of king's bench is the sovereign court of over and terminer, therefore tho some acts limit proceedings in some criminal causes to the justices of over and terminer, yet the king's bench may proceed upon them; but justices of peace cannot, as upon 5 Eliz. cap. 14. for forgery, 8 H. 6. cap. 12. stealing records, &c.

If a person attainted in the country be removed by Habeas Corpus, and the record removed also by Certiorari, this court may award execution. M. 5 Car. 1. B. R. Coxe's case (b).

This

This court is also the sovereign coroner of England, and therefore may take appeals of death, &c. by bill. 4 Co. Instit.

p. 73.

Where judgment of death is given in the king's bench the execution is to be made by the marshal of the court, for the prisoner is supposed to be in custodia marescalli; and the entry is always, Et praceptum est marescallo, C. quòd faciat executionem periculo incumbente; quod vide Co. Entries in title Indictment, per totum; but there may be a mandate to the sheriff of the county, wherein execution is to be made, to be assisting; and thus it was done in H. 24 Car. 2. in the case of Brown, who had judgment of death in the king's bench for a selony committed in Middlesex, and executed by the marshal in Surrey, because the prison was there, but he might have done it in Middlesex, for he is a minister of the king's bench in each county; and so it might be, tho the selony had been done in any forein county removed by Certiorari (c).

By the statute of 33 H. 8. cap. 12. selonies, &c. within the king's palace are made triable before the lord steward, and a special order of trial directed by that statute, namely by the king's servants in his chequer-roll; yet for a selony within the king's palace, if the king's bench be sitting in the same county, the proceeding may be in the king's bench, for the statute of 33 H. 8. being in the affirmative is not exclusive of the king's bench for selonies that were before that 10 Co. Rep. 73. b. But indeed where a felony is de novo created, and with it a new special form of proceeding, as by the statute of 3 H. 7. cap. 14. for conspiring the death of the king, &c. it is not triable in the king's bench, nor in any other form than is limited by that act. M. 20 Fac. B. R. Castles case (d).

Now concerning the justices of the king's bench.

They are in their persons conservators of the peace throughout *England* without any other commission; and any of them may issue out their warrants for apprehending of Vol. II.

B

a male-

⁽c) Thus it was done in Aithoe's case before mentiond, Part I. p. 464. (d) Cro. Inc. 643

a malefactor or for furety of the peace in any county of England, namely to apprehend and bring him before a justice of peace in the county, where he is apprehended; and this warrant is directed under their hand and feal to sheriffs, constables, and other officers. Each judge of that court hath a tipstaff attending him, being a deputy to the marshal for the execution of his office in that special service; and the chief justice or any one of the other judges of that court may by the custom of that court ore tenus command the tipstaff to apprehend any person for matters of misdemeanors relating to the court or other misdemeanors, and bring him before him, and such arrest is justifiable without any other warrant, and without shewing the cause. T. 11 Car. B. R. 2 Rol. Abr. p. 558. Throgmorton and Allen.

The chief justice of the king's bench is not that Justiciarius Anglia, which was antiently in use, for that Justiciarius Anglia had in effect all the jurisdiction both civil and criminal, that is in the king's bench, chancery, common pleas, and exchequer, and might and did sit in any of those courts as the chief judge of them, as appears by many evident instances.

But the chief justice of the king's bench hath in the court of king's bench, as one of the judges thereof, that part of the jurisdiction of the Justiciarius Anglia, which concerns criminal causes, and the inspection and reformation of the judgments of other courts.

It is true he is frequently called chief justice of England, because he presides in that court, where the Justiciarius Anlie did most frequently and naturally sit, as the king's deputy in administration of justice; but it is a misconclusion that therefore he is that Magnus Justiciarius Anglie, which was in use before the time of Henry III.

He is created by writ and always was, but the Justiciarius Anglia by patent.

CHAP. II.

Concerning the courts before the lord high steward, and the steward of his majesty's houshold.

Ouching the former of these it is instituted for the trial of peers of the realm: More cannot be faid touching it than is already said by my lord Coke, 4 Instit. cap. 4. Co. P. C. cap. 2. p. 28. & sequentibus, and because it doth not concern the usual and common proceedings against common persons, I shall dismiss it.

Touching the fecond, namely the proceeding before the lord steward of the houshold, &c. for treasons, and murder, and manflaughter, and larciny done within the king's pa-

lace.

This court is established, and the method of proceeding therein punctually deliverd by the statute of 33 H. 8. cap. 12. which will not need much explanation, only these things are confiderable therein.

1. As to their power of hearing and determining treasons in that court it feems to be wholly abrogated and repeald

by the statute of 1 & 2 P. & M. cap. 10.

2. Whereas by that act clergy is taken away in cases of manslaughter, felonious stealing of goods in the king's house of the value of twelve-pence; it seems to me clergy is restored in these cases by the act of 1 E. 6. cap. 12. tho the party

be convict according to the statute of 33 H. 8.

3. Whereas breaking of the king's house with intent to steal is made felony by that statute without benefit of clergy, that breaking of the king's house is become no felony by the statute of 1 E. 6. cap. 12. and 1 Mar. cap. 1. tho he be arraigned before the steward of the Marshalfea according to that act.

4. The offense of felonious stealing the king's goods of the value of twelve-pence, or breaking the king's house to steal the king's goods is limited by that all to be tried before the steward of the Marshalsea and others associated to him by the statute, but not before the lord steward, or treasurer, or comptroller of the houshold, as manslaughter or murder is directed to be tried or determind by that statute, nor by the king's fervants.

5. It feems to me, that by the direction of that act the proceeding of the lord steward or steward of the Marshalfea is to be by a fession within the king's house or palace, where the felony is committed; and that statute limits the precinct of the king's palace for that purpose, viz. within any edifices, places, courts, gardens, orchards, privy-walks, tilt-yards, woodyards, tennis-plays, cock-fights, bowling-alleys near adjoining to any of the houses aforesaid, and being part of the same or within 200 foot of the standard of any outward gate or gates of any of the houses above rehearsed, commonly used for any passage out of or from any of the houses above rehearsed.

And therefore it is confiderable, whether as to this purpose, viz. for trial of felonies within the king's palace, the extent of the king's palace of Whitehall limited or rather extended by the act of 28 H. 8. cap. 12. be not restraind; for by that statute that new palace of Whitehall, the old palace of Westminster, St. James's park, and the street leading from Charing-Cross to the sanctuary-gate of Westminster, and all the houses and buildings on both fides the street from the Cross to Westminster-hall, and between the water of Thames on the east and the park-wall on the west, and all the soil of the old palace are made parcel of the new palace.

Upon this doubt I did advise, that the lord steward upon a late occasion upon this act should not sit in Westminsterhall but in Whitehall, according to the restriction of the statute of 33 H. 8. which was after the statute of 28 H. 8. and feems as to this purpose to restrain it; but this advice

was not followd, for he sat in Westminster-hall.

Altho this act erect a new kind of jurisdiction, and that without any commission, yet it being an act in the affirmative, it doth not exclude the jurisdiction of the king's bench, nor of commissioners of oyer and terminer to hear and determine these offenses, tho committed in the king's palace, especially that commission of over and terminer, which hath been usually granted to determine felonies and treatons within the verge, and particularly within the king's palaces; and therefore, tho this act of 33 H. 8. cap. 12. hath been long fince made, and is a commission of itself to the lord steward, and in his absence to the treasurer and comptroller of the houshold, yet till this year I never knew nor heard of any fession upon this statute: but the whole business of this nature was transacted in the king's bench, or by that antient and special commission of over and terminer for offenses within the verge, which commonly also had in it a commission of gaol-delivery, and was usually directed to the lord steward, lord chancellor, treasurer, justices, &c. whereof we may see the precedent 4 Co. Rep. Holcroft's case (a), the record whereof is at large, New Entries, fol. 54. (b) in an appeal, where it appears by the indictment, that the manflaughter was committed infra hospitium domini regis de Hampton-Court, yet the inquisition was found by the coroner, and the party tried before the commissioners of over and terminer and gaol-delivery for the verge, and not before the lord steward by force of the act of 33 H. 8. and adjudged good.

And there it is also resolved 4 Co. Rep. Wrot's case (c) and Swift's case (d), that as the commissioners of gaol-delivery and over and terminer for the verge have power to hear and determine selonies done in the king's palace, so the king's bench or general commissioners of over and terminer or gaol-delivery, and justices of peace for the county have power to hear and determine any selony committed within the verge, so that they have all a concurrent jurisdiction, namely the lord steward, commissioners of over and terminer and gaol-Vol. II.

⁽a) 4 Co. 45. b. (b) This is Co. Entries 53 b. (c) 4 Co. 46. b. (d) Ibid.

delivery for the verge, commissioners of oyer and terminer, gaol-delivery and peace for the county at large, tho the of-sense were committed in the king's palace.

CHAP. III.

Touching special commissions of oyer and terminer, and their kinds and power.

Ommissions of over and terminer are of two kinds,

I fpecial, or general for a whole county.

Special commissions are of several kinds. 1. Commissions of oyer and terminer for the verge. 2. For crimes done upon the sea by the statute of 28 H. 8. cap. 15. 3. Commissions for particular places, that are not counties. 4. Commissions to hear and determine particular facts. 5. Commissions to hear or inquire, and not determine. 6. Commissions to determine and not inquire.

I. Touching commissions of oyer and terminer for the verge, viz. within twelve miles of the king's court some-

what hath been before faid; I shall add farther,

1. That by virtue of that commission they have power to inquire and determine felonies and murders done within the king's house. 2. And these they are to proceed upon not according to the direction given to the lord steward, viz. by the king's yeomen officers, tho there is a grand inquest of them also; but by the good men of the county, wherein the offense was committed, whether it be committed in the palace or elsewhere within the verge. 3. Tho the commission extend into several counties, namely any that are within twelve miles of the tenet of the king's hall, yet they are to hold their sessions in any county within the verge, and a

precept

precept issues to the knight marshal to impanel a grand inquest out of every county within the verge of the men of those counties to appear where they sit, and there to inquire and try the offenses committed in that county. 4. That they can only proceed upon indictments taken before themselves, and therefore cannot proceed upon a coroner's inquest; and to remedy that inconvenience they have always or at least should have in the same commission a commission of gaoldelivery, and by virtue of that part of their commission they may proceed upon the coroner's inquest; vide Co. Entries 54. 5. It feems to me, that if a special comin Holcroft's case. mission for the verge issue, which possibly may extend to Middlesex, Surrey, and Hertford, if a general commission of over and terminer in the county of Middlesex issue after that with notice to the commissioners for the verge, it determines their commission of over and terminer as to Middlesex, but not as to the other counties; and so for a general commission of gaol-delivery; for this is not aided by the statute of 2 & 3 P. & M. cap. 18. for that preserves only the commissions granted to cities and boroughs. 6. And è converso, if a general commission of over and terminer or gaol-delivery for the county issue, and then afterwards a like commission issue for the verge, notice thereof or session by the commission for the verge determines the general commission as to so much of the county, as is within the precinct of the verge; see the whole procedure Coke's Entries p. 54, 55.

Tho commissions for the verge have often issued, I do not remember any session since about 8 Car. 1. for the businesses, that fall within their cognizance, are as well and effectually dispatched in the king's bench, or by general commission of gaol-delivery and over and terminer in the several counties at large; quod vide 10 Co. Rep. 73. b. the case of the Marshalsea, 4 Co. Rep. Wrott and Wigg's case, and Holcrost's case there cited; only indeed the coroner of the verge

is a necessary officer; de quo postea.

But the original power of the steward and marshal touching felonies within the verge, tho I know nothing, that hath expresly

expressly taken it away, yet by disuser is in effect vanished, and that jurisdiction is wholly exercised by this special commission of oyer and terminer, or in the king's bench, or general justices of oyer and terminer or gaol-delivery at large, who have jurisdiction of such felonies, tho committed within the verge; vide Coke super statut. Articuli super Cartas, cap. 3 to. Co. Rep. le case de Marshalsea.

II. The second kind of special commission of over and terminer is that, which is sounded upon the statute of 28 H. 8. cap. 15. for offenses upon the sea, or in great rivers below

the bridges.

I shall not enter into a large description of the admiral's jurisdiction, but only set down briefly some observations in relation to capital offenses, because I have elsewhere more at large examind it.

As to criminal causes, that are capital, as treasons, felonies, &c. there is a threefold jurisdiction relative to the ad-

miral and court of admiralty.

1. Its primitive and original jurisdiction, and this was of treasons, felonies, or piracies done upon the high sea, which was sometimes held before the admiral, or his lieutenant as such without relation to any other commission; and sometimes by special commission under the great seal, even whether there was an admiral in being or not.

The rule of their proceeding was fecundum legem maritimam, their trial by proofs, and therefore tho they did proceed oftentimes to fentence of death and executed it, yet in as much as the proceeding was according to the course of the civil and marine laws, and not according to the common law, it worked no corruption of blood.

Tho their jurisdiction was of things done upon the high fea, yet they might hold their fession in any place upon

land.

And altho at this day it is commonly received, that the courts of the common law have no jurisdiction of felonies committed upon the high sea, yet most certainly the king's bench had usually cognizance of felonies and treasons done upon the narrow seas, tho out of the bodies of counties,

and

and it was presented and tried by men of the adjacent counties. T. 18 E. 2. Rot. 18. Rex Glouc. & Somers. M. 26 E. 3. Rot. 51. Norfolk. T. 34 E. 1. coram Rege. Rot. 34. Norfolk. T. 8 E. 2. ibidem Rot. 111. M. 18 E. 2. Rot. 15. M. 19 E. 2. Rot. 17. Rex. T. 25 E. 3. Rot. 22. Linc. M. 27 E. 3. Rot. 29. Rex (a). 8 E. 2. Coron. 399. 40 Assiz. 25. So that the Vol. II.

(a) The cales referd to here by lord Hale as proofs of the antient jurisdiction of the king's bench in offenses done

upon the feas were as follow.

Trin. 18 E. 2. Rot. 18. Rex. Several persons of Bristol had been indicted before the admiral of the king's flota " per inquisitionem de mandato regis inde factam, per sacramentum marina" riorum, quòd vi & armis, & felonicè " deprædati fuerunt navem de Placen-" tid in alto mari, inter Le Ras sancti " Martini, & Odyern', de bonis & mer-"cimoniis, &c." The indictment was returnd into chancery, and a writ issued to the sheriff of Gloucestershire to attach the said persons, and bring them coram seipso and the mayor of Bristol, & audita querela to do justice to the merchants "super recuperatione bono-" rum secundum legem mercatoriam, & " nichilominus malesactores prædictos " in prisona salvò custodiri sacere," till they should be delivered by course of law. The sheriff neglecting to execute effectually what was injoind him by the faid writ, a fecond writ was directed to the mayor of *Bristol*, "Quòd præmissa "omnia & singula diligenter & effica"citer faceret, &c." Afterwards processus totius negotii prædicti was brought coram rege; by which it appears, that one Clement Turtle had been impleaded before the faid mayor, by the master of the ship, &c. "Quod habuit ad par" tem suam de bonis deprædatis ad va" lentiam 25. injuste, &c. Et hoc parati " funt verificare per mercatores & ma-" rinarios villæ prædictæ." Turtle pleaded not guilty, and was acquitted by a jury of merchants and mariners, the which jury ex officio again indicted the fame persons, who had before been indicted "coram admirallo stora, quòd navem prædictam de bonis, &c. felo-" nice deprædarunt," and thereupon a capius issued to the sheriff to bring

them coram Regé ubicunque, &c. to answer for the said crime, &c.

Mich. 26 E. 3. Rot. 51. in dorfo. coram Rege. Norfolk. John Selondere impleaded several persons, de placito trangressionis per billam, for entring his ship super costerum maris de North'lenn', beating and wounding him, and plundering the ship, quam in mari pradicto reliquerunt in desperatam, per quod navis pradicta periit omnino; and recovered 360 marks against them, for

the damages sustaind thereby.

Trin. 34 E. 1. Rot. 34. coram Rege. Norfolk. Several merchants of Lincoln put on board a ship wool, and other commodities for Brabant, to the value of 896 l. 10 s. The ship in its passage was entred in a hostile manner in the port of Gerstet in Zealand, and plunderd by the subjects of the earl of Hainault: Satisfaction had been demanded of the earl for this depredation in vain, and thereupon at the fuit of the faid merchants of Lincoln, a writ was directed to the bailiffs of Lynn to seize omnia bona, &c. of the merchants of Hainault, and keep them till the Lincoln merchants had received fatisfaction, or till farther order should be taken therein. To this writ the bailiffs returnd, that the Hainault merchants had nulla bona infra bal. livam suam: Upon this a Lincoln merchant came into chancery and alleged, that seizure had been made of goods to the value of 31 l. 17 s. by the said bailiffs, which they had redeliverd to the Hainault merchants without warrant, and thereupon a second writ issued to the faid bailiffs, ordering them to pay indilate the said 31 l. 17 s. to the Lincoln merchants in part of their loss, or else to appear coram Rege in octabis Trin. ubicunque, & interim to seife omnia bona, &c. of the Hainault merchants, as before. It appears afterwards Mich. 15 E. 2. Rot. 142. coram Rege, court of king's bench had certainly a concurrent jurisdiction with the admiralty in cases of felonies done upon the nar-

row

That the said earl of Hainault in the parliament anno 4 E. 2. acknowledged himself, per nuncios suos, to be indebted to the Lincoln merchants in the sum of 954 l. on account of this depredation; 70 l. of which was allotted to Walter le Ken one of them, in satisfaction for his loss; and at his suit a writ was directed to the sheriss, such a writ was directed to the sheriss, and bring the money into chancery, ad satisfaciendum prædicto Waltero le Ken: By virtue of which several sums of money were paid to him, in parte debiti prædicti.

Trin. 8 E. 2. Rot. 111. in dorfo. coram Rege. Kanc. A mandate iffues to the constable of Dover, and warden of the cinque ports, to take into custody several persons, for entring a ship from Flanders vi & armis, laden with cloth and other goods, belonging to certain merchants of Ipres, "quos pannos ab" duxerunt, & mercatores ligaverunt, & imprisonaverunt, &c. ita quòd habeat eos coram rege ad respondendum præstatis mercatoribus super præmissis, &c.

Mich. 18 E. 2. Rot. 15. in dorfo. coram Rege. Lincoln'. The mayor and commonalty of Grymesby implead feveral persons "pro carcandis & discarcan-"dis navibus apud Villam de Cle, infra "quatuer leucas villæ de Grymesby," whereby the said corporation was endamaged, and lost the custom due to them on all goods and merchandise, "carcata, seu discarcata, infra quinque "leucas villæ de Grymesby, in auxilium strmæ suæ de rege," and a precept ifsues to the sherist to attach, and bring them coram Rege, to answer for the said offenses.

Mich. 19 E. 2. Rot. 17. Rex. The king signifies by writ to the justices of his bench, that precepts had issued to several sheriffs to attach certain persons, quorum nomina sub pede sigilli sui eis misst, qui durante sufferentia inter subditos regis Angliæ, & comitis Flandriæ, quandam navem de Flan-

" driâ diversis bonis & mercimoniis, " ad valorem 2000 marcarum, carcatam, " infra aquam de Tyne prope Tynemuth, " vi armatâ ceperunt, & bona & mercimo- " nia prædicta, &c. inter se partiti sue- " runt." In consequence of which process it appears, Rot. 18. ibidem, that several persons were brought coram Rege by the sherisf of Northumberland; where they were impleaded by the king's attorney for having part of the said goods, " Et dicunt quòd nihil cepe- " runt, &c. Et de hoc ponunt se super " patriam." Upon which the king's attorney joined issue with them, and the court bailed them de die in diem, quousque, &c.

Trin. 25 E. 3. Rot. 22. Lincoln. Rex. William Coupeman and Robert Fitz-William had been indicted "coram vicecomite & custodibus pacis in comitatu Linc', Quòd felonicè depræ-"daverunt Johannem Gryme de Kirke"by, in mari apud Freston-kord; Et
"quod de Freston-hord porrexerunt
"fupra mare versus partes boreales, & in alto mari deprædaverunt, & demerserunt octo batellas piscatorum, & sex " homines in prædictis batellis existentes, "felonice interfecerunt." The indictments were fent into the king's bench, and thereupon the said William and Robert were brought " coram Rege apud " Aylesbury, ad respondendum, &c." but it appearing that both of them had been tried upon the faid indictments before the justices of gaol delivery at Lincoln, and acquitted; "Confideratum "est, quod iidem Robertus & Williel-" mus eant inde quieti.

Mich. 27 E. 3. Rot. 29. Rex. London. Henry Pikard coroner of London deliverd with his own hand coram Rege, quasdam cognitiones coram ipso factas in the Tower of London by several persons, who consessed that they had seloniously entred a ship near Feversham, thrown the men on board it into the sea, plunderd it, and then sunk it; that they afterwards went from Waxeryngg usque apud forlongg de Tenet, and seloniously entred another ship there, stripped it of what goods were on board, killed all that were in it

except

row feas or coast, tho it were high fea, because within the king's realm of England.

And as it was thus in the king's bench, so in this case special commissions to hear and determine offenses upon the coast secundum legem & consuetudinem regni Angliæ did often issue.

But indeed a general commission of over and terminer of felonies infra comitatum, &c. did not extend to misdemeanors upon the fea-coast, unless in those creeks and rivers and arms of the sea, that were within the body of the county.

So that even in these cases of felonies or treasons committed upon the fea-coast in the narrow seas the king's bench or special commissions of over and terminer secundum legem & consuetudinem regni Anglia had a concurrent jurisdiction with the court of admiralty.

But this jurisdiction of the common-law courts in cases of felonies and treasons, and other crimes committed upon the fea-coast was interrupted by a special order of the king and his council, Clauf. 35 E. 3. m. 28. dorfo, and by a Superfedeas, that iffued fhortly after; and fince 38 E. 3. I have not obferved, that the king's bench or courts of the common law have proceeded criminally in cases of crimes of this nature committed upon the high sea.

But if any felony or treason was committed within any creek or arm of the fea, which was within the body of a county, the courts of the common law only had jurisdiction in fuch cases, and the admiral had no jurisdiction at the common law in fuch cases.

And

except two women, and flung them into the sea; "Et quod fornicaverunt cum "duabus mulieribus prædictis, quas qui"dem post tres dies elapsos felonicè
"interfecerunt." Upon this sour of the faid criminals were immediately brought coram Rege, and being asked severally, why judgment should not pass upon them "juxta cognitiones suas prædictas, "nihil dicunt. Ideò consideratum est, "quòd trahantur, & suspendantur." As to two others, " quia curia nondum ad-

" visatur de procedendo ad judicium su-" per eis," they were committed to the marshal, and afterwards removed to Newgate by the king's writ, being appeald, "coram Vic' & Coron' Civitatis "London, by Alan de Crendon, de "morte Thome de Crendon fratris sui, "and le forlonges in marijunte info " apud le forlonges in mari juxta infu-" lam de Teneto in com' Kanc' felonice " interfecti, super appello prædicto, se-

" cundum legem & consuetudinem regni " Angliæ, responsuri."

16 Historia Placitorum Coronæ.

And thus far touching the jurisdiction of the admiral or maritime court at common law.

2. But by the statute of 15 R. 2. cap. 3. of the death of a man, or maihem in great ships hovering in the main stream of great rivers below the bridges, (for so is the record and not below the points,) night to the sea the admiral shall have jurisdiction.

This first gave the admiral jurisdiction in any river or creek within the body of the county, which only extends to

the death of a man and maihem.

But yet observe, this is not exclusive of the courts of common law, and therefore the king's bench or the general commission of oyer and terminer to hear and determine selonies, C_c in the county, have herein a concurrent jurisdiction with the court of admiralty.

And as well the coroner of the county, as of the admiral, may take inquisitions upon such deaths happening in great rivers, namely arms of the sea, that slow and reslow

beneath the first bridges. 8 E. 2. Coron. 399.

Only these things are observable. 1. That it extends only to rivers, that are arms of the sea, namely that slow and resslow, and bear great ships. 2. It seems to extend only to such deaths, as happen in those great ships, not in small vessels. 3. That by that statute this jurisdiction is annexed to the court of admiralty, and consequently they may proceed therein by proofs according to the course of the marine law, and hold their session where they please, tho they did often even before the statute of 28 H. 8. proceed by commission under the great seal, and by inquisition.

3. By the statute of 28 H. 8. cap. 15. the course of proceeding in criminal causes is settled in a different method, in which these things are observable, viz. 1. The things to which it extends, treasons, felonies, robberies, murders and confederacies. 2. Where committed, viz. in and upon the sea, or in any other haven, creek, river, or place, where the admiral hath or pretends to have power, authority or jurisdiction: This seems to me to extend to great rivers, where the sea flows and reslows below the first bridges, and also in creeks

of the sea at full water, where the sea flows and reflows, and upon high water upon the shore, tho these possibly be within the body of the county, for there, at least by the flatute of 15 R. 2. they have a jurisdiction, and thus accordingly it hath been constantly used in all times, even when judges of the common law have been named and fat in their commission; but we are not to extend the words (pretend to have) to fuch a pretenfe as is without any right at all, and therefore, altho the admiral pretend to have jurisdiction upon the shore, when the water is reflowed, yet he hath no cognizance of a felony committed there; and therefore it was resolved 25 Eliz. Lacie's case, That if a man be stricken upon the high fea, and die upon the shore after the reflux of the water, the admiral by virtue of this commission hath no cognizance of that felony. 2 Co. Rep. f. 93. a. Bingham's case, 5 Co. Rep. f. 107. a. Constable's case, Co. P. C. cap. 7. p. 48. but of this hereafter. 3. The commission must be directed to the lord admiral or his lieutenant, and three or four others. 4. The proceeding and trial is to be according to the course of the common law, as if the offense were committed at land within 5. Their fession is to be in such places and counties as shall be appointed by the king's commission; no challenge for default of hundreders. 6. The offender excluded from clergy; but quare, whether the statute of 1 E. 6. cap. 12. does not restore it even in this case, as some of the judges in Alexander Poulter's case (d) held; but my lord Coke, P. C. cap. 49. faith piracy is excluded from clergy: It feems to me, that as to all offenses but treason and piracy and murder the offender is to have his clergy by the statute of 7. The hearing and determining being di-1 E. 6. cap. 12. rected to be according to the course of the common law, if the prisoner stand mute, he shall have peine fort & dure. Co. P. C. cap. 49. p. 114. 8. This statute is not repeald by the statute of 35 H. 8. cap. 2. nor by the statute of 1 & 2 P. & M. cap. 10. 9. An accessary cannot be punished by this act, but may be punished by the admiral according to Vol. II.

the marine or civil law. 10. An attainder upon this act worketh no corruption of blood.

Thus far in general of this commission, only I shall add.

1. That touching piracy upon the sea at this day, it is commonly taken the common law hath no concurrent jurif-diction; and therefore if an accessary be at land to a piracy at sea, the commissioners upon this statute cannot try it because done at land, and besides the statute extends only to principals. Co. P. C. p. 112 nor can the common law try it, because piracy is not made selony, whereof the common law can take notice: Again, if A. commit a robbery at sea, and bring the goods to land within the body of a county, this is not felony triable by the common law, because the common law takes no notice of the original fact. Co. P. C. p. 113. Butler's case cited 28 Eliz.

2. That touching treason or felony committed upon the high sea, as the law now stands, it is not determinable by

the common law courts, but only upon this statute.

3. But if a felony be committed in a navigable arm of

the sea, the common law hath a concurrent jurisdiction.

But note well, that besides this commission sounded upon the statute of 28 H. 8. which extendeth only to treason, murder, robbery, and consederacies, there is and for above these hundred years last past there hath been in the same commission a common law commission of over and terminer, and also a commission of the peace and gaol-delivery for all offenses against any penal laws super mare, vel infra fluxum maris ad plenitudinem maris, and also of all treasons, murders, selonies, &c. super mari vel aliquo rivo, portû, aquâ dulci, crecâ, seu infra fluxum maris ad plenitudinem maris, à quibuscunque primis pontibus versus mare & super littus maris, &c. secundum stylum & consuetudinem regni Anglia & curia admiralitatis, and limits the county of their session and inquiry. This may be seen at large in 25 Eliz. in Lacie's case (e).

But then for so much, as lies within the body of any county, their commission is a commission of the peace, gaol-

delivery,

delivery, and over and terminer, and consequently plain commissions at common law, and their sessions ought to be within the county, where the fact inquirable is to be inquired, because it is but a special commission at common law.

The case of Lacy was thus:

Die Luna in quarta septimana Quadragesima 23 Eliz. at the castle of York there was a general session by commission of gaol-delivery and oyer and terminer for the county of York directed to baron Chute and others.

At this session Ambrose Lacy and others were indicted of the murder of Richard Peacock, supposing the stroke given 5 August 22 Eliz. and the death 6 August 22 Eliz. both sup-

posed to be at Scarborough in comitatu Eboracensi.

This indictment was delivered into the king's bench in mense Martii following, and Lacy appearing in the king's bench was thereupon arraigned; he pleaded that the place, where Richard was stricken and after died, was called Scarborough-sands, and that it is and at the time of the stroke & continue postea fuit locus infra fluxum & refluxum maris infra plenitudinem ejus in Scarborough pradict, & parcella portus de Scarborough, and that within that place the admirals 28 H. 8. & semper tam antea, quam postea habebant & pratendebant habere jurisdictionem; then shews the letters patents of over and terminer to baron Chute and others within the counties of York, &c. according to the usual form, which was delivered to baron Chute and the rest 18 Feb. 23 Eliz.

That afterwards 25 Feb. 23 Eliz. the commission upon the statute of 28 H. 8. including also the commission of gaol-delivery, over and terminer, and the peace, ut supra, issued to the earl of Lincoln lord admiral, and divers others, &c. to inquire, hear and determine, and deliver the gaol of all murders tam super mare vel aliquo rivo, portû, aquâ dulci, crecâ, seu loco quocunque infra fluxum maris ad plenitudinem à quibus-cunque primis pontibus versus mare, quam super littus maris & alibi ubicunque infra jurisdictionem nostram maritimam & jurisdictionem curia admiralitatis, &c.

That this commission was deliverd to the lord admiral, &c. 26 Feb. 23 Eliz.

That

That afterward and before the inquisition before baron Chute, &c. the lord admiral gave notice to the said baron Chute of that commission.

And that after that notice, viz. 6 Martii in quarta septimanâ Quadragesime this inquisition was taken before baron

Chute, &c. upon which he is now arraigned.

Then he shews, that 2 Martii 23 Eliz. the lord admiral, &c. issued their precept to the sheriff of York by virtue of the second commission, and thereupon an indictment was found, that Ambrose Lacy killed Peacock se defendendo, and set forth the special manner, and avers that it is the same death, and that the locus, in quo the stroke was given, was called Scarborough-sands infra fluxum & refluxum maris ad plenitudinem ejus, & parcella portûs de Scarborough; and that the admiral 28 H. 8. ac continue postea & antea habebat vel prætendebat habere jurisdictionem, & hc dicit' quòd inquistio coram baron Chute fuit void.

The king's attorney demurred, and Mich. 26 Eliz. judg-

ment was given, quòd eat fine die.

Which judgment doth not at all enforce, that the admiral had jurisdiction by the statute of 28 H. 8. in this case, where a murder was committed in a port, or a stroke given at high sea, and a death upon the sands; but only this second commission extending so large, namely upon the sea-shore and in the ports, did for fo much repeal the former commission in the county at large; for that fecond commission was in part a common law commission, as hath been said.

And therefore I take it to be true, that if a man be stricken upon the shore at full sea, and die upon the shore at low water, this is not within the statute of 28 H. 8. nor within a general commission of over and terminer in the county, but yet I do not think it is to be determind by the constable and marshal, as my lord Coke, ubi supra, intimates, but it may be determind in the king's bench fitting in the county, where the party died, or by a special commission of

oyer and terminer.

III. The third kind of special commission is that, which is limited to particular places, that are not counties: Such are the commissions of oyer and terminer, and likewise of gaoldelivery, or the peace limited and granted within certain corporations or boroughs; nay, I think it may be granted to particular rivers, tho they extend to several counties, but then every county must have a particular session of its own; for so much of the river, as is within the precinct of that county.

If the king issue a commission of over and terminer or gaol-delivery to any city or town not being a county, if a general commission afterwards issue for the whole county, this second commission after notice or a session by virtue thereof determind and superseded the special commission; but this is remedied by the statute of 2 & 3 P. & M. cap. 18. whereby it is enacted, that such a special commission shall not be determind by the granting or sitting of a general

commission in the county at large.

IV. Special commissions of over and terminer may be made for some special offenses: And such were antiently very usual, as touching labourers, weights and measures, and the like, for as a general commission may be to hear and determine

all offenses, so it may be for particular offenses.

V. Special commissions to hear and not to determine of, fenses: Tho by force of some particular statutes such commissions of inquiry may issue, as upon the statute of 23 H. 6. cap. 10. of sheriffs and some others, yet regularly as to matters of misdemeanor, especially such as are capital, as selony or treason, no such commission of inquiry only is warrantable: Vide T. 5 fac. 12 Co. Rep. p. 31.

VI. A commission to determine and not to inquire: Regularly in all commissions ad audiendum & terminandum the commissioners ought to proceed upon indictments before

themselves; de quo infra.

But it hath been not unusual in cases especially of treason, that, where an indictment is taken before justices of over and terminer for an offense committed in the proper county, a special commission may issue to determine that indictment

Vol. II. F in

in another county, but then upon not guilty pleaded the same must be tried before these second commissioners by men of the county, where the offense was committed: Vide Co. P. C. p. 27. Plowd. Com. 390. Casus com' Leicester and Somervill's case,

Uc. (f).

I shall not instance farther touching special commissions: Some acts of parliament have directed commissions of this nature, as upon the statute for treasons and selonies committed in another county by the statute of 33 H. 8. cap. 23. (which, tho repeald as to treasons by 1 & 2 P. & M. cap. 10. yet stands as to murders, and vide Crompt. fol. 22. a. Grevill examind before the council was arraigned for murder in another county upon this statute (*), and standing mute was pressed,) and upon the statute of 35 H. 8. cap. 2. of forein treasons.

Et hac dicta sunt de special commissions d'oyer and terminer.

CHAP. IV.

Concerning general commissions of over and terminer.

Justices of over and terminer are of two kinds, viz. Justiciarii ordinarii, such is the court of king's bench, the supreme ordinary court of over and terminer, and is comprised within the statutes, that give power to justices of over and terminer, as hath been already said.

The delegate or commissionate justices of over and terminer are those, who are by commission, which usually is granted in the circuits directed to justices of assise and divers others, or any three of them, whereof commonly one of the justices of assise is of the quorum; and it is ad inquirendum per sa-

4 cramentum

⁽f) 1 And. 107.

^(*) This case was M. 31 Eliz.

cramentum proborum & legalium hominum of the several counties de quibuscunque proditionibus, &c. and divers other offenses therein mentiond, ac de omnibus injuriis & malefactis quibuscunque in comitatibus Bucks, &c. eaque omnia audiendum & terminandum, facturi inde quod ad justitiam pertinet secundum legem & consuetudinem regni Anglia, &c. and this to be done tàm infra libertates quàm extra.

This commission is specially called a commission of over and terminer, and therefore altho justices of peace have a clause in their commission ad audiendum & terminandum selonies, &c. yet justices of peace come not under the name of justices of over and terminer within those acts of parliament, that mention justices of over and terminer; as upon the statute of 5 Eliz. cap. 14. for forgery, as shall be said farther hereafter in the chapter of justices of peace. 9 Co. Rep. 118. b. lord Sanchar's case, Co. P. C. cap. 41. p. 103.

But the justices of the court of king's bench are the fovereign ordinary commissioners of oyer and terminer, as hath been before said.

My lord Coke in his 4 Instit. cap. 28 & 30. hath laid together the learning of the courts of over and terminer and gaol-delivery, whose method I shall follow.

Commissioners of over and terminer before their sessions is sue a precept to the sheriff much of the same form as commissioners of gaol-delivery do; see the form thereof, Rast. Entries 443. b. title over and terminer. I E. 3.

not be by writ, but must be by commission under the great seal; otherwise their proceedings are void. 42 Asig. 12.

2. Both in commissions of over and terminer and of gaol-delivery, and other commissions of like nature directed to one or more, there may be additional commissions of association, and thereupon writs are to issue to the former commissioners de admittendo in societatem; and if all cannot attend the session, a writ of Si omnes interesse non possitis, tunc vos tres vel duo vestrûm, quos presentes esse contigerit, (quorum aliquem vestrûm A. B. vel C. D. unum esse volumus,) ad premissa faciend' intendatis, &c. Vide F. N. B. p. 111, 112.

3. Justices

. 3. Justices of over and terminer or gaol-delivery, if they once sit without adjournment, their commission is determind; but the they be appointed only pro hae vice, yet they may continue their sessions from day to day by adjournment; the like for all other commissions.

But it is not always necessary nor usual to enter their adjournment on record, (tho it might be fit in many cases,); and then if it be not entred on record, their session always relates to the first day, and so are their records entred as of the first day of the session.

the first day of the session.

But in some cases it is absolutely necessary to enter their adjournments on record, as where an indictment is taken the first day of the session before justices of over and terminer, and they make a precept to the sheriff to return a jury the next day, or at any following day, upon the prisoner's plea of not guilty, there must be a record made of the adjournment of the sessions to that day, otherwise it will be erronious, (because without such entry the whole sessions will be supposed in law to be held the first day,) and out of sessions; the like for justices of peace.

So if after the first day of the sessions either of over and terminer, or gaol-delivery, there be a selony committed and the party indicted for it, there must be an entry of the adjournment, at least till the day of the indictment taken, because otherwise the selony will be supposed in law to be committed after the determination of the sessions. 14 Car. 1.

Sampson's case (a).

4. Commissions of over and terminer, gaol-delivery, and regularly all other commissions are determined by one of these sour ways. 1. By a session and non-adjournment, as before.

2. By the king's death: yet it is held, tho in strictness of law the commissions be determined by the king's death, so as no proclamation without an act of parliament can give them continuance, but they must have new commissions, Croke, 1 Car. 1. p. 1. yet the acts they do by virtue of these commissions after the king's death and before notice thereof stand good. M. 3 Car. 1. C. B. Croke, p. 97, 98. in

Sir Randolph Crew's case (*). 3. By express Supersedeas by a writ, but this Supersedeas by writ, tho it be a Supersedeas omcino, yet is not an absolute repeal of the commission, but only a suspension, for it may be renewed again by a writ of Procedendo. 12 Assiz. 21. adjudged. 4. By the issuing a new commission of the same nature in the same county, and notice thereof.

And therefore before the former commission be determind there must be notice, which is of three kinds. 1. By shewing the new commission; this determines the former, as to all those and those only, to whom it is shewn. 2. By a proclamation of the latter commission in the county; this determines the former commission wholly. 3. By a session in the county by force of the latter commission in the county. Coke, 4 Instit. cap. 28. p. 165.

If a general commission of over and terminer, gaol-delivery, or the peace iffue for the county at large; and afterwards a special commission of the like nature for one town, or for the loca maritima of that county, this new commission with notice, as before, doth determine the general commission pro tanto. 25 Eliz. Lacie's case, 1 Leon. n. 363. p. 270. U su-

pra, cap. præcedente.

And so e converso, if a special commission of over and terminer, gaol-delivery, or the peace issue for a particular town or city, not being a county, or for the loca maritima, a general commission of like nature in the county with such a · Vol. II. notice

(*) But now by 7 & 8 W. cap. 27. and I Ann. cap. 8. it is enacted, "That no commission either civil or military, "That no patent or grant of any office " or imployment either civil or mili-"tary, That no commission of assiste, over and terminer, general gaol delivery, or of association, writ of admittance, writ of si non omnes, writ of " assistance, or commission of the peace " shall be determind by the demise of any king or queen of this realm, but " shall continue in full force for fix " months next enfuing notwithstanding " fuch demife, unless superfeded and de-" termind by the next successor. And also no original writ, writ of Nist

" prius, commission, process, or proceed"ings whatsoever in or issuing out of
"any court of equity, nor any process
or proceeding upon any office or inquisition, nor any writ of Certiorari,
"or Halvas Cortus in any matter or " or Habeas Corpus in any matter or " cause either criminal or civil, nor any " writ of attachment, or process for con-" tempt, nor any commission of dele-gacy or review for any matters ec-" clesiastical, testamentary, or maritime, " or any process thereupon shall be de-" termind abated or discontinued by the " demise of any king or queen of this " realm, but shall remain in full force,
" as if such king or queen had linotice, as before, determines the special commission: But by the statute of 2 & 3 P. & M. cap. 18. this is helped as to special commissions in cities and towns corporate, as hath been before said; but that statute is to be intended only of towns or cities, as it feems, (quare) and extends not to commissions of over and terminer. 4 Co. Instit. p. 165. in mar-

But if there be a general commission of over and terminer, or gaol-delivery, or peace for the whole county, and a special commission of the same nature to a liberty, hundred, or other precinct, as in a hundred, liberty, or franchise within the county, and both bear teste the same day, they all stand. Thus it is in Suffolk, where there have been always three commissions of gaol-delivery to the justices of assise, one for the county at large, another for the franchife, another for the town of Bury, and they impanel several grand juries, and sit and act respectively by each commission.

And the justices of gaol-delivery in the franchise must sit in the franchise by the statute of 27 H. 8. cap. 24. and the reason is, because antiently the abbots of St. Edmunds-Bury did by virtue of the king's letters patents constitute their own justices of gaol-delivery in the franchise and town; and therefore the sessions of gaol-delivery is fittest to be held at Bury; but the commission of over and terminer extends tam infra li-

bertates, quam extra; but of this vide cap. prox.

But a commission of one nature doth not supersede a commission of another nature, as a commission of over and terminer is not repeald by a subsequent commission of gaol-delivery or the peace, nor è converso, for they are of several 3 Mar. B. Commission 24.

These things before mentiond are common to all judiciary commissions; these, that follow, more particularly concern general commissions of over and terminer.

1. Regularly upon the commission of over and terminer there should issue a precept to the sheriff in the name of three commissioners at least, whereof one of the quorum, and under their particular feals bearing date fifteen days at least before their session, to the sheriff to return twenty-four

for a grand inquest ad inquirendum, &c. at such a day; and the sheriff is to return his pannel annexed to the precept.

2. Regularly the commissioners of over and terminer cannot proceed upon any indictment taken before others than themselves. 3 Mar. B. Commission 24. And therefore they cannot proceed upon the coroner's inquest, or upon an in-

dictment of felony before justices of peace.

But this rule hath two exceptions. 1. That it is only intended of a general commission of over and terminer, for, as hath been shewn, there may be a special commission to determine a treason or felony taken before other commissioners of oyer and terminer. Plowd. Com. p. 390. Casus com' Leicester; nay, or by the coroner or justices of the peace. 2. That it doth not extend to an inquisition taken before other commisfioners of over and terminer; for it is and always hath been the constant practice to take indictments before commisfioners of over and terminer, as for highways, barretry, forgery, perjury, &c. and to try them before other commissioners of over and terminer at another subsequent sessions; and if there were any doubt of that at common law, yet the statute of 1 E. 6. cap. 7. hath settled it, viz. "That no pro-" cess or suit made before the justices of assise, gaol-delivery, " oyer and terminer, justices of peace, or any the king's " commissioners, shall be in any wise discontinued by making " or publishing any new commission or association, or by " altering the names of the justices; but the new justices of " affife, gaol-delivery and the peace, or other commif-" fioners may proceed in every behalf, as if the old commif-" fions, justices and commissioners had still remaind and " continued not alterd.

And this gives power to the justices of oyer and terminer, We. to proceed upon indictments taken by former justices of oyer and terminer, as well in cases of treason or felony, as other misdemeanors.

3. In case where a selon or traitor, &c. pleads to an indictment taken before justices of oyer and terminer, they ought not, (as in case of justices of gaol-delivery,) to award a precept ore tenus to the sheriff to return a jury, but it

must be by precept in the names and under the seals of the commissioners, or three of them, whereof one of the quorum. 4 Co. Instit. cap. 30. p. 168. & ibidem cap. 28. p. 164. and the sheriff ought to return the pannel filed to the

precept.

4. But the indictment may be preferd, issue joined, precept made and returnd, and prisoner tried the same day before commissioners of over and terminer: see the precedents cited 4 Co. Instit. cap. 28. p. 164. P. 16 Car. 1. B. R. Croke 583. resolved per omnes Justiciarios Anglia, altho there were no commission of gaol-delivery in that case, but only of over and terminer. Accords H. 9 Car. B. R. Chapman's case for bartetry before justices of over and terminer. 2 Roll. Abr. p. 96. And the same law is questionless for justices of gaol-delivery. T. 9 Car. B. R. Croke 315.

But in cases of justices of the peace it hath been held, that they cannot try the same session, that the party pleads to the indictment, much less the same he is indicted. 22 E. 4. Coron. 44. H. 11 Car. 1. B. R. Croke, p. 438 & 448. adjudged in cases not capital, Bumpsted's case in an indictment of extortion, and accordingly ruled T. 23 Car. B. R. Pue's case for

seditious words. 2 H. 8. Kelw. 259.

But yet it hath been held good even before justices of peace to receive an indictment, and put the party, if present, to plead to it, and try it the same sessions, T. 14 Jac. B. R. Cro. 404. Rice's case adjudged good, 4 Co. Instit. cap. 28. p. 164. without question they may: And there can be no difference assigned between sessions of the peace and over and terminer in this case, nor between causes criminal and capital, for the offenses rise in the same county, and as there goes out a summons of gaol-delivery, so there issues a general summons of the sessions of the peace; and that all constables, &c. then attend; quod vide Crompt. de pace, f. 232. a. 2 Co. Instit. super Articulis, cap. 15. p. 568.

Yet in respect of this contrariety of opinion the use hath commonly obtaind, that in cases not capital both before justices of oyer and terminer, and of the peace, he, that traverseth an indictment, hath time to try it till the next sel-

fion; but where the party is in prison the justices of gaol-

delivery put him to answer, and try it presently.

But in all treasons and felonies, as well before justices of over and terminer or of peace, as well as before justices of gaol-delivery, the constant course is to indict the party, put him to plead, try him, and give judgment, and all at the same sessions; and it is fit to hold the course according to the modern usage; but it seems to me, that in all cases criminal or capital justices of over and terminer may de rigore juris proceed to indictment, trial and judgment the same sessions.

terminer, as likewise that of the gaol-delivery and of assisse, comes under the name of a court of record in relation to those offenses, that by act of parliament are directed to be punished in any court of record; as the statute of 5 & 6 E. 6. cap. 14. of forestallers, &c. and the statute of 33 H.8. cap. 9. of unlawful games, by the opinion of my lord Coke, 4 Instit. cap. 28. p. 164. and according to him, if it be limited to be punished in any of his majesty's courts of record.

But there is a great authority against this, and that in such cases, especially the latter, it only extends to the sour great courts at Westminster, as upon the statute of drapery, 4 & 5 P. & M. cap. 5. which is, that the penalties of that act shall be recovered by action, bill; plaint or information, or otherwise in any court of record, wherein no essoin, protection, wager of law, or injunction shall be allowed, this extends only to the four courts of Westminster, Gregory's case, 6 Co. Rep. s. 19. b. Of tillage, labourers, & c. (e) to be recovered in any of the queen's courts of records, by the opinion of all the judges except Catlin, Sanders and Whiddon, extends only to the sour courts of Westminster, and not to commissioners of over and terminer; but otherwise it is, if no court be appointed. M. 6 & 7 Eliz. Dy. 236. a.

Again, by the statute of 23 H. 8. cap. 4. against brewers for selling beer by less measure than is appointed by the act, Vol. II.

the penalty half to the king half to the informer to be recoverd by action of debt, bill, plaint or information in any of the king's courts, wherein no wager of law, essoin, protection or privilege shall be allowd, T. 4 Car. C. B. Croke, p. 112. Farrington's case: Ruled, that notwithstanding the statute of 21 Fac. cap. 4. this information lies in the common bench, because the justices of Nisi prius, over and terminer, the peace, or gaol-delivery cannot hold plea upon this statute, because these justices cannot allow an essoin or protection; and the statute of 23 H. 8. extends only to such courts as can allow a protection, &c. and accordingly I have known it resolved upon the statute of 7 E. 6. cap. 5. for wines; and about 23 Car. 2. it was resolved upon a writ of error in the exchequer-chamber upon a judgment given in the exchequer for Foly a defendant in an information upon the statute of 1 Eliz. cap. 15. (whereby the cutting of timber within fourteen miles of a navigable river is prohibited on pain of forfeiting of forty shillings for every tree, a moiety to the queen, and a moiety to the informer, to be recoverd by original writ, bill, plaint or information, wherein no essoin, protection, wager of law, or injunction shall be allowd,) that this extends not to the commissioners of over and terminer, nor other courts in the country, but only to the four courts at Westminster. 1. Because original writs are not returnable before them. 2. They cannot allow or disallow protections or essoins; whereupon the judgment for costs was affirmed; and yet here is no mention of any court or court of record or his majesty's courts, but purely upon these two reasons.

And yet I believe hundreds of informations have been before justices of oyer and terminer and assis, year and of the peace in the country upon several acts, that have the like clauses, as 35 H. 8. cap. 7. for the preservation of woods, and infinite others according to my lord Coke's opinion, but when it hath come to be judicially debated, I have not known it to obtain; but the resolution in Farrington's case and in Gregory's case have still been allowd.

6. Com-

6. Commissioners of oyer and terminer cannot assign a coroner to an approver, nor justices of peace, but justices of gaol-delivery may. 4 Co. Instit. p. 165. Stamf. P. C. p. 143. b.

7. By the statute of 5 E. 3. cap. 11. justices of over and terminer may issue process of outlawry in any county of England against persons indicted before them, and also a capias

utlegatum against persons outlawed.

8. By the statute of 9 E. 3. cap. 5. justices of over and terminer, gaol-delivery, and assise are to send their records and processes determind and put in execution to the exchequer at Michaelmas once every year under their seal, to be kept by the treasurer and chamberlains, but are to take out their estretes first.

9. All the precepts and processes of justices of over and terminer regularly are to be in the names and under the seals of the justices, (viz. three of them, one of the quorum,); and altho at this day there is no other warrant for the execution of prisoners condemned, but a calendar left with the sheriff under the hand of the justice that sits, yet antiently there was a warrant under their hands and seals, and in the names of

the commissioners. Co. P. C. p. 31.

But if the prisoner be in custody of the sherist, the truth is there is no need of any warrant or calendar, for the open pronouncing and entring of the judgment Suspendatur is a warrant for the execution, and so it is in the king's bench, the entry on record of the judgment with a praceptum est marescallo quòd faciat executionem periculo incumbente, without any formal writ or precept of the court is sufficient, and more is not usual: and the calendar subscribed by the judge of gaol-delivery is but a memorial; and Rolle would never sign any calendar, but gave his orders openly in court with a charge to the sherisf and gaoler to take notice of them.

More may occur touching these matters in the next chapter.

CHAP. V.

Touching justices of gaol-delivery.

THIS court is by commission under the great seal directed commonly to five or any two of them, quorum aliquem vestrûm A.B. vel C.D. unum esse volumus ad gaolam nostram comitatûs nostri S. de prisonibus in ea existentibus deliberandis; see the whole tenor of the commission. 4 Co. Instit.

cap. 30. p. 168.

1. By the statute of 8 R. 2. cap. 2. no man of law shall be justice of assise or common deliverance of the gaol in his own country: this statute is expounded by 3 3 H. 8. cap. 24. to be meant of the county, where he dwelleth; and as to justices of assise a penalty of one hundred pounds is added, if he exercises that office in the county where he is born or doth inhabit; but both these acts are usually dispensed with by a special non obstante.

By special privilege by charter granted to the city of London the lord mayor is of the quorum, 2 R. 3. 11. a. and so it

is in the city of Norwich.

2. Justices of gaol-delivery may proceed against prisoners (if in gaol) upon inquisition before the coroner or any other justices; and therefore justices of peace must send in their indictments not determind unto the justices of gaol-delivery to be proceeded upon, whether they be felonies or trespasses, if the party be in gaol or set to bail. Stat. 4 E. 3. cap. 2.

3. The justices of gaol-delivery after their commission sealed do or should issue a precept to the sheriff importing

these things, viz.

1. That upon such a day and place Venire facias omnes prifones in prisona domini regis com' prædict' existentes vel per ipsum per manucaptionem dimiss. cum eorum attachiamentis & omnibus aliis

veorum deliberationem tangent' & penes se remanent'. Venire facias at the day and place 24 legales homines de quolibet hundredo ad inquirendum pro domino rege & corpore comitatûs 3. Ac alios 24 probos & legales homines de comitatu prædicto ad faciendam juratam inter dominum regem & prisones 4. Et proclamari facias dictam deliberationem gaole in omnibus civitatibus, burgis & aliis locis, quòd omnes, qui sequi voluerint versus prisones prædictos pro domino rege vel seipsis, ad tunc sint ibi in forma juris prosecuturi. 5. Scire facias etiam omnibus Justiciariis ad pacem comitatûs prædicti, coronatoribus, capitalibus constabulariis pacis, majoribus, ballivis, senescallis magnatum, ballivis hundredorum & libertatum, quòd tunc sint ibi ad faciendum quod ad officium suum pertinet, & tu ad tunc sis ibi unà cum ballivis & ministris suis ad faciendum ea, que tuo & eorum officio incumbunt. 6. Et habeas ibi tàm nomina Justiciariorum ad pacem, coronatorum, capitalium constabulariorum pacis, senescallorum magnatum, ballivorum hundredorum & libertatum, quam juratorum prædictorum, & hoc præceptum.

This precept is made in the king's name, or in the name of the justices of gaol-delivery: Vide formam inde Rast. Entries, p. 385. a. Gaol-delivery 1. Venire facias de quolibet hundredo 24 tàm milites, quàm alios (*), & de qualibet villatà, ubi dicti prisones indictati existunt, quatuor homines & præpositum ad faciendum ea, quæ ex parte domini regis tunc ibidem injun-

gentur.

This is not unlike the summons of the Iters formerly, nor altogether unlike the summons of the sessions of the peace, quod vide Crompton de Pace, p. 232. a. which is in the king's name, and so may this with the Teste of the chief justice: Or it seems it may be in the name of the justices of gaol-delivery and under their seal; vide simile in Holcrost's case, Co. Entries 55. by the justices of gaol-delivery for the verge; this precept is accordingly returnd, the justices of peace, coroners, mayors, bailists of hundreds and liberties, constables of hundreds, and names of the grand inquest returnd and called in order.

Vol. II. 4. And

^(*) The words in Rastel are liberos & legales homines.

34 Historia Placitorum Coronæ.

4. And therefore it hath never been a question but that the justices of gaol-delivery may take an indictment, try and

give judgment the same day. 22 E. 4. Corone 44.

5. But altho this folemnity of summons of the gaol-delivery may be and should be used, yet they may command the sheriff ore tenus to return a pannel without any precept in writing to him, (as is necessary in case of justices of over and terminer,) and the reason is given, because there is a general command to the sheriff by the summons of the gaol-delivery to return twenty-sour to try prisoners. 4 H. 5. Enquest 55. 4 Co. Instit. cap. 30. p. 168.

6. They may deliver by proclamation persons imprisond, where either no indictment is preferd, or an indictment preferd and *ignoramus* found, which is said cannot be done by justices of oyer and terminer, or of the peace. 2 R. 3. Co-

rone 47.

7. They may originally take indictments of felony of fuch prisoners, as are in gaol; this hath been accordingly resolved and is the constant practice, and so may justices of oyer and terminer: So that when the prisoner is in gaol, both have a concurrent jurisdiction. 4 Co. Instit. cap. 30. p. 168 & 169. and accordingly it was resolved in the case of Apharry and Morgan, P. 29 Eliz. there cited. And therefore the case of 3 Mar. B. Commission 24. and Pasch. 32 Eliz. B. R. Pursell's case, Croke, n. 10. p. 179. wherein it is faid, that justices of gaoldelivery cannot take an indictment, unless they be also juflices of peace, and then they may take an indictment as justices of peace, and try him as justices of gaol-delivery, is to be intended, where the offender is at large and out of prison, for if he be in prison, the indictment against him may be taken before them as justices of gaol-delivery, or as justices of over and terminer, or of the peace.

8. And therefore justices of over and terminer, gaol-delivery, and of the peace may make up their record by all three of the powers; and if it be good by one commission or by the other, it is good and not erronious, and the best shall be taken for the king. 9 H. 7. 9. a. 3. Mar. B. Commissions.

sin 24. Cromp. Jurisdiction de Courts 226.

9. If a person be let to bail, yet he is in law in prison, and his bail are his keepers, and therefore the justices of gaoldelivery may take an indictment against him, as well as if he were actually in gaol; but he that is let to mainprise is not in custody, 21 H. 7. 33. a. 9 E. 4. 2. a. 39 H. 6. 27. b. in the one case the entry is traditur in ballium, in the other deliberatur per manucaptionem.

10. They may take an indictment against persons for high treason, if they be in gaol, and may try and give judgment upon them, as well as commissioners of oyer and terminer against the opinion deliverd H. 15 Jac. B. R. Bumpsted's case.

This appears by the statute of 1 E. 6. cap. 7. vide 4 Co.

Instit. p. 169. & libros ibi, and it is constant experience.

- 11. By the statute of 1 E. 6. cap. 7. the subsequent commissioners of gaol-delivery have power to give judgment upon a person reprieved after conviction, and altho it be made a quare, Dy. 205. a. whether they may as well award execution upon a judgment given by the former commissioners of gaol-delivery, &c. yet it feems to be without question they 1. Upon the very common law, if a person be indicted and outlawed for felony before justices of peace, yet if he be in prison the justices of gaol-delivery have power to award execution upon that outlawry, for they are constituted ad gaolam deliberandam 15 H. 7. 5. b. agreed, and certainly if there had been any doubt of that, the statute of 1 E. 6. would have made as special a provision for awarding execution upon a judgment given by former commissioners, as for giving judgment upon a conviction before them. 2. But if there were any doubt thereof at common law, yet the statute of 1 E. 6. cap. 7. hath sufficiently enabled them thereunto by the last clause thereof, viz. that notwithstanding the altering of the. commissions of affise, over and terminer, gaol-delivery, or the peace the new justices may proceed in every behalf, if the old commissions or commissioners had continued not alterd.
 - 12. They may receive appeals by bill against any person being in gaol.

13. They may assign a coroner to an approver, and make out process against the appellee in a forein county by the statute of 28 E. 1.

14. The sheriff is to deliver unto the justices of gaol-delivery the names of all persons in gaol, or that are bailed or let to mainprise by him for selony by the statute of 3 H.7.

сар. 3.

and determind by the justices of peace, as that of 3 H. 8. cap. 5. it is doubtful whether justices of gaol-delivery, yea of over and terminer may hear and determine it; but upon the statute of 7 H. 7. cap. 1. which speaks only of justices in the county, either the commissioners of over and terminer or gaol-delivery may hear and determine it.

delivery or of the peace have power in open session to reform all pannels returnd before them by putting out and putting in names of persons, which pannels so reformed shall be accordingly returnd by the sheriff: And note, this command

is ore tenus.

And hence it comes to pass, that altho upon trials of felons in the king's bench or over and terminer, if the prisoner challenge twenty peremptorily, as he may, so that there be not sufficient remaining of the pannel, there is to be a Tales granted by precept returnable, as the case requires, yet before justices of gaol-delivery the prisoner gets no time by it, for the sheriff by the command of the court ore tenus may enlarge the pannel without any formal precept: Vide Stamf. P. C. Lib. III. cap. 5. fol. 155. b. and therefore Tales are not granted by precept before justices of gaol-delivery, which much expedites all business before them.

17. By the statute of 9 E. 3. cap. 5. The records before them determind are to be deliverd to the treasurer and cham-

berlains of the Exchequer at Michaelmas yearly.

18. By the statute of 34 H. 8. cap. 14. The clerks of the crown, clerks of assise, and clerks of the peace are to certify into the king's bench the names of all persons outlawed, attainted, or convicted, and upon letter from the justices a-

foresaid

foresaid certificates shall be made of such persons outlawed,

attaint, or convict, to the justices of gaol-delivery.

19. Justices of gaol-delivery may send prisoners by Habeas Corpus to the sheriff of another county, and a precept to the sheriff of that other county to receive them, namely for a selony committed in that county, tho that county be out of the circuit of the justice, that sends them; and tho I once knew it scrupled, yet I think the law is clear in it; vide 1 & 2 P. & M. cap. 13. in sine; for of necessity the justices of gaol-delivery have in some cases power out of the precincts of their county or circuit; as where an approver appeals a person in a forein county, and this is certified, as it ought, to the justices of gaol-delivery, where the approver is, the justices of gaol-delivery may make out process of capias, and it seems also of exigent against the appellee, and yet he is neither in gaol nor in the same county. 29 E.3. 42. a. Corone 462.

But upon an inquisition before the coroner returnd before justices of gaol-delivery they cannot make process of outlawry: vide petitionem inde in parliamento 29 E. 3. n. 22. sed non obtinuit, but the answer was only, Soit l'auncient ley sur ceo use.

20. A. and B. are indicted before the justices of peace of Middlesex, and according to the statute of 4 E. 3. cap. 1. the indictment is deliverd over to the justices of the gaol-delivery of Newgate: A. appears and is tried and acquitted, B. appears not. 1. The justices of peace cannot make out process against B. because the record is not before them. justices of gaol-delivery cannot make out process returnable before the justices of the peace, because another court. 3. By fome opinions the justices of gaol-delivery may make out process to the outlawry returnable at the next sessions of gaol-delivery; but others thought they had no fuch power, for their commission is to deliver the gaol, and not to iffue process against them that are out of gaol, neither can they proceed to the outlawry before themselves, as commissioners of over and terminer, because the indictment was taken before other justices, viz. of the peace: It was therefore held the entire record must be removed into the king's Vol. II.

bench by certiorari, and from thence process of outlawry may go against B. T. 11 Car. B. R. 2 Rol. Abr. 96. Storie's case, who in this case was outlawed before the justices of

peace, and the outlawry therefore reversed.

21. By the statute of 26 H. 8. cap. 6. The justices of peace and gaol-delivery in the counties adjacent to Wales have power to hear and determine counterfeiting, washing, or clipping of coin, murder, burnings of houses, manslaughter, robbery, burglary, rapes, and other felonies, and the accessaries thereof committed in Wales, or any lordship marcher, &c. as if committed in the same adjacent county: This is repealed as to treasons by the statute of 1 & 2 P. & M. cap. 10. but stands in force as to other felonies.

22. By the statute of 27 H. 8. cap. 24. The power of making justices of eyre, of assise, gaol-delivery, and of the peace in counties palatine and franchises is resumed, and the same are to be made by letters patents under the great seal of England.

But they shall hold their sessions only within such franchises and liberties, and in none other places, as the justices of the said liberties lately have commonly used within the said liberties; and that no person within the said liberties be compellible by authority of this act to appear out of the same before other justices of assise, gaol-delivery, or of the peace, than those named by the king to sit within the said liberties.

By this statute, 1. These justices sitting within exempt franchises or counties palatine are now the king's courts and the king's justices, and therefore a certiorari issuing out of the king's beach to these justices sitting in Durham or the cinque-ports ought to be obeyed as by other justices out of franchises. 2. That yet where franchises of this nature were antiently granted to abbots to make justices of gaol-delivery to sit within franchises, as for instance in the franchise of St. Edmunds-Bury, there is a special commission of gaol-delivery for that franchise. 3. That this restriction of sitting within the franchise extends not to the commission of over and terminer, for that extends tam infra libertates, quam ex-

tra, and therefore may fit out of a franchife, and determine misdemeanors within the franchise: And this I did once in a fession in the county of Suffolk, which by reason of fickness at that time could not be held in Bury, viz. I kept the session for the whole county by virtue of the commission of over and terminer. 4. This resumption extends not to cities and boroughs, but they are specially excepted, and particular provision for the bishops of Ely, Durham and York to be justices of the peace only within their franchise.

23. By the statute of 6 R. 2. cap. 5. they are to hold their fessions in the principal towns, where the county-court is held; but this is but directive not coercive, for the judges may and usually have appointed their sessions at their plea-

fure in other places.

CHAP. VI.

Touching the power of justices of affise and nisi prius with relation to felony.

THE settled course of granting nist prius was by the sta-

tute of 27 E. 1. de finibus, cap. 3.

By the construction made of that statute, if a man be indicted in the country, and that indictment removed by certiorari, and the body of the prisoner by habeas corpus into the king's bench, and there he pleads not guilty, after that statute and before the statute of 6 H. 8. cap. 6. the transcript of the record might be fent down by nisi prius to try that issue. 22 E. 4. 19. 5 Mar. B. Coron. 231. Statute 42 E. 3. cap. 11. 4 Co. Rep. 43. b. Bibith's case.

And the like may be done in an appeal, 21 H. 7.34. a. 2 & 3 P. & M. Read's case, Dy. 120. a. Rast. Entries in title

Appeal per totum, 8 H. 5. 6. Coron. 463.

Upon the statute of 27 E. I. cap. 3. and the statute of 14 H. 6. cap. 1. there hath been variety of opinion touching their power in cases of felony: Some have thought, that by virtue of those statutes they had originally a power to hear and determine felonies without any other commission, tho as to treason concerning coin upon the statute of 3 H. 5. cap. 7. it is expresly directed, that they shall have a commission for the hearing and determining that offense; thus Stamf. Lib. II. cap. 5. f. 57 & 58. Again, others have thought, that they have not any fuch original power without a special commisfion enabling them to hear and determine felonies originally; but that commission, as it seems by the statute of 27 E. 1. cap. 2. is called a writ, but is in truth no other than a commission, for all associations are commissions, and then the naming of them justices of nish prius is nothing else but the description of those persons, to whom commissions of gaoldelivery shall be directed, and so they are no other but justices of gaol-delivery.

Others have thought, and that truly, that the justices of nisi prius have not any original power of hearing and determining indictments of felony without a special commission for that purpose, but that by virtue of the acts of 27 E. 1. and 14 H. 6. they have a power to determine such felonies only, as are sent down to trial before them; as they have power by the statute of Westm. 2. (a) to give judgment in assistes of darrein presentment and quare impedit, where an issue is brought down to trial before them, tho they have no power originally to hold plea in a quare impedit.

And that this was the meaning of the statute of 14 H.6. cap. 1. and tho it speak of all cases of selony and of treason, yet it is intended only of such selonies or treasons as were at issue and brought down before them to be tried by nist prius, appears in this, that as to those points of treason, which were enacted by 3 H. 5. cap. 7. it is expressly enacted by that statute, that they shall have commissions to hear and determine them, and so as to those they needed not the aid of a new statute to enable it.

Now

Now as to the usage thereupon.

nish prius to be tried, antiently indeed they did not proceed to judgment, but if the defendant were acquitted, they did by the same jury inquire, 1. Of the damages. 2. Of the sufficiency of the plaintiff. 3. Of the abetters; and this inquest being returned into the king's bench, there judgment and execution were made, quod vide 8 H. 5. 6. Coron. 463. yea and by Fairfax, 22 E. 4. 19. If the plaintiff were nonsuit at the nish prius the justices of nish prius should only record n and remit the record into the king's bench, and not arraig the prisoner at the king's suit.

But the later practice and authority is otherwise, viz. That they may not only inquire of the abetters but also give judgment against them; and, if the plaintiff be nonsuit, may arraign the prisoner at the king's suit, and give judgment and make execution. Dy. 120. a. Read's case. And so if he be convict of manslaughter upon an appeal, the justices of nist prins allow his clergy, 4 Co. Rep. 43. b. Bibith's case; and this it seems is warranted by the construction of the statute of 14 H. 6. cap. 1. for the statute of Westm. 2. cap. 12. (b) extends not to this case, especially of arraigning the prisoner upon a nonsuit.

2. As to an indictment of felony or treason removed out of the county by certiorari, and, the party pleading, the record is sent down by nist prius to be tried, the judges of nist prius may upon that record proceed to trial and judgment and execution, as if they were justices of gaol-delivery by virtue of the statute of 14 H. 6. cap. 1.

But if there were any question upon that statute, yet the statute of 6 H. 8. cap. 6. which extends to all justices and commissioners as well as those of gaol-delivery and of the peace; enables the court of king's bench to send to them the very record itself, and by special writ or mandate to command them to proceed to trial and judgment upon such issue joined; as they may command the justices, before whom the indictment was taken, to proceed to hear and determine the same, if no such issue were joined.

Vol. II. CHAP.

CHAP. VII.

Concerning the commission of peace and the power thereof in relation to felonies.

AT common law there were conservators of the peace affigned by the king by commission.

But the first establishment of justices of the peace was by the statute of 1 E. 3. cap. 16. Good and lawful men shall be as-

figned in every county to keep the peace.

And by the statute of 18 E. 3. cap. 2. Two or three of the best reputation in the counties with other wise and learned in the law shall be assigned by the king's commission to hear and determine felonies and trespasses done against the peace in the same counties, and to instit punishment reasonably according to law and reason, and the manner of the deed; and this statute directed their power of hearing and determining, as well as keeping the peace.

In pursuance of these statutes and of other statutes (a) relative to justices of peace they have a commission of the

peace under the great seal directed to them.

And this commission consisted antiently of three clauses of

Affignavimus, and now of two.

The first is, Assignavimus vos conjunctim & divisim & quemlibet vestrûm ad pacem nostram in com' Cant' conservandam, &c. And this makes every of them conservators and justices of the peace for those acts, that are performable by one justice.

The fecond is, Assignavimus vos & quoslibet duos vel plures vestrûm, quorum aliquem vestrûm A. B. C. &c. unum esse volumus, justiciarios nostros ad inquirendum per sacramentum proborum & legalium hominum de comitatu prædicto, per quos rei

veritas melius sciri poterit, de omnibus & omnimodis feloniis, venesiciis, incantationibus, arte magicâ, sortilegiis, transgressionibus, forestallariis, regratariis, ingrossariis, extortionibus quibus-cunque: Ac de omnibus & singulis aliis malesactis & offensis, de quibus justiciarii pacis nostra legitime inquirere possunt aut debent, per quoscunque & qualitercunque in comitatu pradicto sactis & perpetratis, vel qua in posterum ibidem sieri contigerit; and then goes to some particular offenses, and to inspect indictments taken before them or before former justices of the peace, and to make process against persons indicted, quousque capiantur, reddant se, vel utlagentur: Ac omnia & singula felonias & c. & catera pramissa secundum legem & consuetudinem regni nostri Anglia audiendum & terminandum, and to do execution thereupon.

A proviso if a case of difficulty arise, then to respite judgement till the justices of assise come into the county, &c.

So that the commission gives a personal power to every justice of peace by the first clause, but by the second gives to them or two of them, whereof one of the quorum, power to hear and determine selonies, &c.

But belides these powers specially given them by their commission and the general acts of parliament touching justices of peace, there are divers subsequent statutes, that give them powers, sometimes to one justice, sometimes to two, sometimes in their sessions, sometimes out of their sessions, which it were too long here to recite; I shall only apply myself to that power, that they have by their commission or otherwise in relation to treasons, selonies, and capital offenses.

I. And in the first place touching the second Assignavimus, whereby they have power to hear and determine.

Without this clause they have no power to hear and determine felonies or other matters, for the bare making of them justices of peace without this clause doth not give them power to hear and determine indictments: vide Stamf. P. C. Lib. II. cap. 5. f. 58. a. And therefore in all returns or making up of records before justices of peace touching indictments or convictions, they must be mentioned to be justices of peace,

nec non ad diversa felonias, transgressiones, & alia malefacta in eodem comitatu perpetrata audiendum & terminandum assignat.

Yet this clause doth not make them justices of over and terminer, for that is a distinct commission of another nature, as hath been shewn; and therefore those acts of parliament, that create new offenses and limit them to be heard and determined before justices of over and terminer only, give not thereby power to the justices of peace in such cases, unless

also named in the act of parliament.

As the statute of 5 Eliz. cap. 14. of forgery, 3 H. 7. cap. 13. conspiring the king's death, 33 H. 8. cap. 12. murder in the king's palace, 8 H. 6. cap. 12. embezzeling records, 33 H. 6. cap. 1. embezzeling master's goods, 2 & 3 E. 6. cap. 24. stroke in one county and death in another, accessary in one county to a felony in another; for these statutes limit the punishment of these offenses to special judges appointed by the acts themselves, or to justices of over and terminer, under which appellation generally in statutes justices of peace come not. 9 Co. Rep. 118. b. Co. P. C. cap. 41. p. 103. Dalt. cap. 20. (b).

As touching high treason it is not mentiond in their commission, and they have no power to hear and determine it

by the general words of their commission.

But a justice of peace upon complaint of a treason may examine and commit the offender to prison, and take informations touching it, for it is a breach of the peace, and in order to the conservation thereof he may commit the offender to gaol in order to farther proceeding against him by justice.

stices of over and terminer or gaol-delivery.

But by some acts of parliament justices of peace may take indictments of particular treasons, but those presentments they must certify into the king's bench or gaol-delivery, as the case shall require; as upon the statute of 5 Eliz. cap. 1. for maintaining the authority of the see of Rome, 13 Eliz. cap. 2. for bringing in bulls for absolution, Agnus Dei, &c. 23 Eliz. cap. 1. for withdrawing and reconciling, or being withdrawn from the king's alligeance.

By the statute of 3 H. 5. cap. 7. as to treason for clipping, &c. power was given to the justices of peace to inquire and make process thereupon, and antiently that clause was put into their commission, but now omitted; for by the statute of 1 Mar. cap. 1. the act of 3 H. 5. cap. 6. is repeald, and consequently the act of 3 H. 5. cap. 7. that gave power to justices of peace to inquire touching it.

By the statute of 26 H. 8. cap. 6. power is given to justices of peace to the adjacent counties to hear and determine counterfeiting and clipping of coin, and murders and other felonies in Wales; but this also as to treasons is repeald by

the statute of 1 & 2 P. & M. cap. 10.

As touching felonies.

It is true, that by the antient statutes of 6 E. 1. cap. 9. and 4 E. 3. cap. 2. murders and manslaughters were to stay till the gaol-delivery.

But by the statutes of 18 E. 3. cap. 2. 34 E. 3. cap. 1. 17 R. 2. cap. 10. tho they do only mention felonies, and do not expresly mention murders and manslaughters, and altho the commission of the peace mention not murders by express name but only selonies generally, yet by these general words in these statutes and this commission they have power to hear and determine murders or manslaughters, and thus it hath been resolved 5 E. 6. Dy. 69. a. Pres. to 10 Co. Rep. against the opinion of Fitzherbert in his Justice of Peace, and 9 H. 4. 24. Coron. 457.

For till the statute of 13 R. 2. cap. 1. a general pardon of all felonies had pardond murder; and tho that statute require the word murder to be expressed, yet that is with relation only to pardons, and not to restrain the extent of the

word felonies in a commission.

And therefore I know not what my lord Coke means in his comment upon the statute of Gloucest. cap. 9. 2 Inst. p. 316. where he saith, that justices of peace cannot take an indictment of the killing of a man se desendendo, because not within their commission, but justices of gaol-delivery may; for if justices of peace have power to hear and determine murder or manssaughter, it seems they may take an indictment of se de-Vol. II.

fendendo, for the coroner may take an indictment of se defendendo. 3 E. 3. Coron. 286. Co. Entries 354. a. Crompt. Justice 28. a. Holme's case, and so may justices of peace against the opinion of Stamford, s. 15. b. But the justices have this power, yet they do not ordinarily proceed to the hearing and determining of murder or manslaughter, and rarely of other offenses without clergy, and the reasons are,

1. The monition and clause in their commission in cases

of difficulty to expect the presence of the justices of assign.

2. The direction of the statute of 1 & 2 P. & M. cap. 13. which directs justices of peace in case of manslaughter and other selonies to take the examination of the prisoner and the information of the fact, and put the same in writing; and then to bail the prisoner, if there be cause, and to certify the same with the bail at the next gaol-delivery; and therefore in cases of great moment they bind over the prosecutors, and bail the party, if bailable, to the next gaol-delivery; but in smaller matters, as petit larciny and some cases within clergy, they bind over to the sessions, vide Dalt. cap. 20. (c); but this is but in point of discretion and convenience, not because they have not jurisdiction of the crime.

By force of this commission they may take an inquisition touching felo de se, if not inquired before by the coroners; and the coroner's inquisition is to be super visum corporis,

this needs not, but it is traversable. Co. P. C. p. 55.

They may proceed upon an indictment taken before former justices of the peace in the county by the statute of 11 H. 6. cap. 6. and 1 E. 6. cap. 7. but cannot proceed upon an indictment taken before commissioners of oper and terminer or gaol-delivery. Lamb. Justic. p. 551.

But if an indictment be taken before the sheriff in his Turn by the statute of 1 E. 4. cap. 2. those indictments are to be delivered to the justices of peace at their next session,

and they may proceed upon those presentments.

Tho they have power to hear and determine felonies, yet

1. They cannot deliver a person by proclamation, (as justices of gaol-delivery may,) till an inquisition taken; but if an inquisition

4 quisition

quisition be taken, and an *ignoramus* found, they may deliver him, as it seemeth, *Crompt. de Pace*, f. 9. b. 2. They cannot assign a coroner to an approver.

Tho this be not a commission of over and terminer, yet by the opinion B. Commission 8. a commission of over and terminer in the county determines the second Assignavimus of the commission of the peace ad audiendum & terminandum, quod

quære.

A general commission of the peace in a county in two cases doth not determine the power of former justices of peace. 1. Where they are justices by charter, such as are in London, Norwich, &c. for these are perpetual and not amoveable. 2. Justices in a particular city or corporation, parcel of a county, by commission are not superseded by a new commission granted for the whole county by the statute of 2 & 3 P. & M. cap. 18. Vide Statute 11 H. 6. cap. 6.

If the king by charter grant to a corporation, that the mayor and recorder shall be justices of peace within the city, whereby they are justices in perpetuity by charter, yet if there be no words of exclusion, the justices of peace of the county have a concurrent jurisdiction with the justices by charter, and so it is, if they be justices by commission in the town or city: Or the king notwithstanding that charter may grant a commission of the peace specially in that city or county, and they will have a concurrent jurisdiction with

the justices by charter.

But if this franchise of being justices be granted, ita quòd justiciarii comitatus se non intromittant, then, tho a subsequent commission be granted in the county at large, it seems they have no jurisdiction in this corporation or town. 20 H.7.8. Case de Abbè de St. Albans; quere tamen, whether the indictment or session in the franchise be void or only a contempt in the justices: This was heretofore moved between the justices of the peace of Surrey and the borough of Southwark, but never resolved; but some thought it to be like the case of the bailwick of a liberty and retorna brevium granted, ita quòd vicecomes non intret, if the sheriss execute a writ within the liberty,

hiberty, the execution is good, but the sheriff punishable for infringing the franchise.

By the statute of 4 E. 3. cap. 2. the justices of the peace ought to deliver all their presentments to the next session of gaol-delivery, where they shall be finally heard and determind.

It is true the justices of peace may so deliver them over, and if they deliver them so over, the justices of gaol-delivery may proceed to determine them, as well as upon the coroner's inquest, namely if the offender be in gaol, but otherwise not.

But this delivery over of the presentments at the sessions is neither usual nor necessary at this day, for that statute was made when the justices of peace had only power to inquire and not to determine.

But by the statute of 18 E. 3. cap. 2. their commissions were to hear and determine, and so were all the commissions of the peace made after that statute, so that after that statute they might, if they pleased, determine the presentments taken before themselves.

Tho commissioners of over and terminer may indict and try at the same session, yet (as before) it hath been ruled otherwise in case of justices of peace, unless by consent. But certainly constant usage and learned opinion must give hat exposition upon those resolutions, that it must extend only to popular actions or indictments for misdemeanors, and not in cases of selony, for here they may and do proceed de die in diem and at the same sessions, and so much is intimated in Bumsted's case, H. 11 Car. 1. (d) supra, cap. 4. p. 28. and Coke 4 Instit. cap. 28. p. 164. expressly saith it is common experience, and reason speaks for it, as well as in the case of the commission of over and terminer, the session being in the same county, and with a public summons preceding every general sessions.

The ordinary course of proceeding is in their sessions, which are of two kinds, viz. private sessions, or public Touching the former I shall say nothing, for it is ordinarily for the dispatch of country business, or about alehouses, poor, Cc.

The public sessions are of two kinds, viz. the general quarter-tessions, and general sessions, that are not quarter-sessions; both are or should be summoned by a precept in the king's name; quod vide Crompt. Justice 232. a. or of the justices. Lamb. Lib. IV. cap. 2.

As to the jurisdiction in general both agree, that in either of these general sessions of the peace they may proceed touching those matters, that are within their commission, as to

take indictments, try felons, &c.

But by particular acts of parliament some things are limited to the quarter-sessions, and cannot be proceeded in at other general sessions, as 5 & 6 E. 6. cap. 14. for ingrossing, 1 H. 7. cap. 7. hunting, 2 & 3 P. & M. cap. 8. highways, 5 Eliz. cap. 9. perjury, 5 Eliz. cap. 12. licensing badgers, 7 E. 6. cap. 5. wines, and divers others, de quibus vide Lamb. Lib. IV. cap. 19.

These quarter-sessions were by several acts of parliament appointed to be held at several times; by 25 E. 3. cap. 8. at the Annunciation, St. Margaret, St. Michael, and St. Nicholas.

By 36 E. 3. cap. 12. within the utas of Epiphany, within the week of Lent, between Pentecost and Midsummer, within eight days of St. Michael.

By 12 R. 2. cap. 10. the sessions are set at liberty, viz. to be held every quarter of the year at least; only Middlesex is

excepted by 14 H. 6. cap. 4.

By the statute of 2 H. 5. cap. 4. in the first week after St. Michael, Epiphany, clause of Easter, and translation of St. Thomas the martyr.

By the statute of 33 H. 8. cap. 10. the Tuesday after Easter weeks is expounded to be in the week after Clausum Paschæ, for the sessions to be held; yet Clausum Paschæ or Low-Sunday is the first day of that week.

The strict regular exposition of the statute of 2 H. 5. for the week after Michaelmas, &c. is, that if Michaelmas fall upon the Sunday or Monday, the quarter-sessions in strictness should be held in the ensuing week, and not the same week. Yet it is very plain, that the quarter-sessions are variously held in several counties, some at one day, some at another, yet it hath been ruled, that these are each of them good quarter-sessions within the several acts that relate to quarter-sessions; for these acts, especially that of 2 H. 5. is only directive and in the affirmative, and therefore, tho the sessions are held at another day according to the general direction of the statute of 12 R. 2. yet they are quarter-sessions.

Nay in Middlesex, where by the statute of 14 H. 6. there are regularly but two sessions, yet they may hold quarter-sessions (as indeed they do,) in that county: tho these sessions are not precisely held at the times presixed by 2 H. 5. yet they are quarter-sessions, if held quarterly; and so it was agreed by the justices upon a late act (e) this session of parliament for the taking and subscribing the oaths of supremacy.

II. I shall now proceed to some few observations touching the power of particular justices of peace by virtue of their first Assignavimus in the commission, which makes every particular justice a justice of peace, and gives him power to conforms the peace

lerve the peace.

Concerning their power to bail or commit persons brought before them for selony vide infra in capite de bail v main-prise v nota statut. 34 E. 3. cap. 1. v alia statuta.

They are to execute their authority as justices of peace

within the county, wherein they are justices.

If a justice of peace live or be out of the county, wherein he is justice, he cannot by his warrant fetch a person out of the county, whereof he is justice, to come before him in the county, where he is. 13 E. 4. 8. b. Plond. Com. 37. a. Platt's case.

He cannot do a judicial act out of the county, wherein he is a justice of peace, as take recognizances, take examinations, commit offenders, &c. but he may do a ministerial act, as to examine a party robbed, whether he knows

the felons according to the statute of 27 Eliz. cap. 13. H. 6. Car. 1. B. R. Helier's case, Croke, p. 211, 212. yet quære of recognizances and examinations, for they are acts of voluntary jurisdiction, and therefore it seems may be done out of the county, as well as a bishop may grant administration, institution, or orders out of his diocese: But indeed imprisoning of a person for not giving recognizance, or committing a person for a crime are acts of compulsory jurisdiction, and may not be exercised out of his county (g).

Yet suppose a man be a justice of peace in London and in Middlesex, as the recorder is, whether he may not commit a person in Middlesex brought out of London or econverso, it seems it hath been always practised, for he is in commis-

fion in both places.

If A. commit a felony in the county of B. where he lives, and goes into the county of C. and is there taken, a justice of the peace of the county of C. may take his examination and informations in the county of C. tho the felony were committed in the county of B. yet quære, whether upon his arraignment in the county of B. those examinations can be given in evidence, I have not allowd them, because the he may commit and examine and give an oath to the informers, yea and bind them over to give evidence or commit them, yet that is but for necessity of preserving the peace, for he hath really no jurisdiction in the case.

And note the custom of London enables the justices of gaol-delivery to fit at Newgate, which is in London, both for Middlesex and London, but the justices of the peace for Middlesex sit only in Middlesex, and the justices of the peace

for London in London.

(g) By 9 Geo. 1. cap. 7. 9.3. "If a justice happen to dwell in any city or other precinct, that is a county of it " felf, fituate within the county at large, of for which he shall be appointed a justice, the not within the said country, he may grant warrants, take examinations, and make orders for any " matters, which any one justice may " act in at his dwelling-house, tho out " of the county, whereof he is appointed a justice, and in some city or precinct

" adjoining, that is a county of itself; " provided, that no power is thereby given to the justices for the county at " large to hold their fessions in cities or "towns, that are counties of themselves,
"nor to justices, sheriffs, constables, or
"other peace-officers of the county at
"large to act or intermeddle in any
" " matters arising within such cities or " towns, otherwise than as if the said

" act had never been made.

By the statute of 1 & 2 Ph. & Mar. cap. 13. they ought to take the examinations of felons (without oath,) and the informations of accusers or witness (upon oath,) and return

them to the justices of gaol-delivery.

And these examinations may be read as evidence against the prisoner, and so may the informations of witnesses taken upon oath, if they are dead or not able to travel, for they are judges of record, and the statute enables and requires them to take these examinations; but then oath is to be made in court by the justice or his clerk, that these examinations and informations were truly taken.

If A. bring B. before a justice of peace for suspicion of felony, if he can testify materially against him, he may bind him over to profecute, and, if he refuse, the justice may

commit him.

The justices of the peace have jurisdiction of felonies ari-

fing within the verge. 4 Co. Rep. 46. a. Wigg's cafe.

The justices of the peace in their sessions may proceed to outlawry in cases of indictment found before them, and that by the common law; and in cases of popular actions may proceed to outlawry by the statute of 21 Fac. cap. 4.

But they cannot issue a capias utlegatum, but must return the record of the outlawry into the king's bench, and there process of capias utlegatum shall issue. Dalt. p.

406. (h).

CHAP.

4

(h) New Edit. p. 672.

CHAP. VIII.

Concerning the coroner and his court, and his authority in pleas of the crown.

Oroners are of three kinds, viz. 1. Virtute officii. 2. Virtute carta sive commissionis. 3. Virtute electionis, as the coroners of counties.

I. The coroner virtute officii is the chief justice of the king's bench, who by virtue of his office is the chief coroner of England, A Co. Rep. 57. b. in case de comminaltie de Sadlers, and therefore it is there said, "That in the time of H. 7. it was resolved, if a man be slain in open rebellion, the chief justice upon the view of his body may make a record thereof and send it into the king's bench, and thereupon the party slain shall forfeit his lands and goods," which may be true as to goods, but not as to lands, because none can be attainted after his death but by act of parliament.

But of this hereafter.

II. Coroners by charter or commission or privilege: And these ordinarily were made by grant or commission without election; such are the coroners of particular lords of liberties and franchises, who by charter have power to create their own coroners or to be coroners themselves: Thus the mayor of London is by charter coroner of London, the bishop of Ely hath power to make coroners in the isle of Ely by the charter of H. 7. Queen Catharine had the hundred of Colridge granted to her by the king 35 H. 8. with power to nominate coroners. 9 Co. Rep. 29. b. Ameredith's case.

And therefore by the statute of 28 E. 3. cap. 6. where the power of electing coroners is confirmed to the counties, yet there is a faving to the king and other lords, which ought to make such coroners, their seignories and franchises, so that Vol. II.

the king may grant coroners within certain precincts, and lords of franchifes, that have power to nominate coroners by charter, may still do it without election.

There have been two great precincts, that by the king's grants have power of granting or having coroners, namely

the jurisdiction of the admiralty, and the verge.

As touching the former I have not seen the grant, but I have heard the lord admiral is either made coroner or hath power to make them within his jurisdiction; and of the death of a man or other articles belonging to the coroner arising upon the high sea inquisitions have been usually taken by the coroners appointed by the king or his admiral, and

here the coroners of the county have no jurisdiction.

But of deaths of men happening upon arms of the sea below the bridges within the bodies of counties, as upon Thames or Severn, &c. in ships there hovering, tho the coroner of the admiralty hath jurisdiction, yet it is not exclusive of the jurisdiction of the coroner of the county, who may inquire in any great river upon these articles, where a man can see from one side to the other, & E. 2. Còron. 399. Only the inquisitions taken before the coroner of the admiral are returned before the commissioners upon the statute of 28 H. 8. cap. 15. The inquisition before the coroner of the county is to be returned before the commissioners of gaol-delivery for the county.

The other great jurisdiction is the coroner of the king's house usually called the coroner of the verge, who it seems antiently was appointed by the king's letters patent, but by the statute of 33 H. 8. cap. 12. the granting thereof is settled in perpetuity in the lord steward, or lord great master of

the king's house for the time being.

Antiently the coroner of the verge had power to do all things within the verge belonging to the office of the coroner exclusive of the coroner of the county; but because the king's court was moveable often, by the statute of Articuli super cartas, cap. 3. (a) it is ordaind, that of the death of a man the coroner of the county shall join in inquisition to be ta-

ken

ken thereof with the coroner of the king's house, and if it happen it cannot be determind before the steward, process and proceeding shall be thereupon had at common law.

But yet in that case of death within the verge the coroner of the county cannot take an inquisition without the coroner of the verge; and if he doth, it is void; but if one person be coroner of the county and also of the verge, the inquisition before him is as good, as if the offices had been in several persons, and taken by both.

And the court remove, yet he may proceed upon that inquisition, as coroner of the county. 4 Co. Rep. 45 &

46. Wigg's case.

But if a murder or manslaughter be done within the precincts of the king's palace limited by the statute of 33 H. 8. cap. 12. then by that statute the inquisition shall be taken by the coroner of the houshold, without the adjoining or assisting of any coroner of any county, by twelve or more of the yeomen officers of the king's houshold; and this is enacted to be as sufficient, as if taken also by the coroner of the county, and the method of the return and proceeding upon those inquisitions before the lord steward is therein declared and enacted.

III. The general coroners of counties.

These by the statute of Westm. 1. cap. 10. (b), and 28 E. 3. cap. 6. are eligible by the county in the county-court by the king's writ de coronatore eligendo, and sworn by the sheriff for the due execution of their office. F. N. B. 163.

The statute of Westm. 1. directs they should be knights, but that is out of use, but by the statute of 14 E. 3. cap. 8. they ought to have sufficient lands in the county; and by the statute 28 E. 3. cap. 6. they ought to be lawful and sit men.

In as much as their office is by election their offices do not determine by the demise of the king, as sheriffs do. Dy. 165. a. (*)

And in as much as they are elected by the freeholders of the county, if they be infufficient and not able to answer their

⁽b) 2 Co. Instit. p. 174.

their fines and perform the duties of their place, the whole county shall be answerable for them and their miscarriages, and amercements or fines shall be imposed upon them for the same, (viz. if upon process against the coroner for his fine or amercement the sheriff return nihil habet,) and process shall go against the whole county, because elected by them. 2 Co. Instit. p. 175.

In some counties there be only two coroners, in some four, in some six, and by the statute of 34 & 35 H. 8. cap.

26. in each county in Wales, and in Chester two.

If there be above two coroners in a county, and a writ is directed coronatoribus, tho one die, yet as long as the plural number remains a return by the coroners is good; but if there be but only one furvivor, he cannot execute the writ and return it till another be made. 14 H. 4. 35. a. 31 Assiz. 20. But if there be two coroners in a county or more, one may execute the writ, as in case of an exigent, but the return must be in the name of the coronatores. 14 H. 4. 34. b. per Hank. 39 H. 6. 41.

But the there be many coroners in the county, an inquisition super visum corporis may be taken by any one of them.

Stamf. P. C. p. 53. a.

As coroners may be elected by writ de coronatore eligendo, fo they may be amoved for reasonable cause, and new ones chosen in their room by writ.

And altho that cause be not traversable, 5 Co. Rep. 58. b. yet if it be false, he may have a supersedeas to that new writ.

F. N. B. p. 163.

Thus far concerning the constitution of these officers and their different kinds, now touching their jurisdiction and

proceeding.

Before the statute of Magna Carta, cap. 17. (c) the coroner held pleas of the crown, by that statute nullus vice-comes, constabularius, coronator vel alii ballivi nostri teneant placita corona, so that thereby their power in proceeding to trial or judgment in pleas of the crown is taken away.

But yet they retaind a jurisdiction still as to matters of inquiry, taking of appeals, &c. all which is set down at large in the statute of 4 E. i. styled De officio coronatorum, viz.

1. Of the death of a man, whether by felony, missortune, &c. viz. de subitò mortuis.

2. Of treasure-trove.

3. Of appeals of rape.

4. Appeals de plagis & mahemio.

5. Of deodands.

6. Of wreck of the sea, and 7. By some, of breach of prison (d). I shall reduce them to these four, viz.

1. His power to take inquisitions super visum corporis. 2. His power to take appeals. 3. His power to take the accusation

of an approver. 4. His power to take abjuration.

I. For inquisitions.

Regularly the coroner hath no power to take inquisitions, but touching the death of a man and persons subitò mortuis,

and some special incidents thereunto.

If any person die suddenly, tho it be of a fever, and the township bury him before the coroner be sent for, the whole township shall be amerced. Itin. North. Coron. 329. Nota, this case is misprinted, I have seen an antient transcript at large of the Iter of North'ton, and perised this very case, which in libro meo f. 52. b. is morust de feyme, viz. starved by hunger, for tho a man dies suddenly of a sever or apoplexy or other visitation of God, the township shall not be amerced, for then the coroner should be sent for in every case; but if it be an unnatural or violent death, then indeed if the coroner be not sent for to view the body, the town shall be amerced.

And so it is if the vill leaves a body, that died of a violent death, above ground unburied, the township shall be amerced. 3 E. 3. Coron. 339. and the amercements in these cases may be set upon the presentment of the grand inquest, or upon the presentment of the coroner.

But if a prisoner in gaol die a natural death, yet regularly the gaoler ought to send for the coroner to inquire, because it may be possibly presumed, that the prisoner died by the ill

usage of the gaoler.

And if this death happen in the king's bench, the clerk of the crown, who is the coroner for that court, is to view the body. 3 E. 3. Coron. 292. 8 E. 2. Coron. 421.

If the coroner have notice and come not in convenient time to view the body and take his inquisition upon the death of him, that thus dies suddenly, and therefore upon a presentment by the grand inquest of a death by misadventure, if the like presentment be not found in the coroner's roll,

he shall be fined and imprisond. 3 E. 3. Coron. 292.

And by the statute of 1 H. 8. cap. 7. he shall forseit forty shillings for every such default, and the justices of the peace and justices of assis have power to inquire of those defaults, and this without any see to be taken by the coroner. But by the statute of 3 H. 7. cap. 1. If the coroner be remiss, and make not inquisitions upon persons slain, or do not return the same to the next gaol-delivery, he is to forseit 5 l. for every default.

The coroner cannot take an inquisition but upon the view of the body, and if he doth, such inquisition is void; and the reason is, because oftentimes much of the evidence ariseth upon the view, for the inquisition ought to contain the manner of his death, the place, length and depth of the

wound, \mathcal{C}_{c} .

And therefore tho where there are many coroners, one may take the inquisition. Stamf. 53. a. yet it cannot be done by deputy, for by the statute of Exon 14 E. 1. the coroner is to view the body and take the inquisition in his own

person. Crompt. Justice, f. 227. a.

And therefore if the body be buried before the coroner come, tho the coroner ought to record it, and the township shall be thereupon amerced, as before is said, yet the coroner ought to take up the body and take his view thereof, if there be any possibility of it, and therefore the body hath in such case been taken up sourteen days after, and an inquisition thereupon taken. 2 R. 3. 2. a. 21 E. 4. 70, 71. Wingsield's case.

And therefore if the coroner take an inquisition without view of the body, he may take a second inquisition super visum

visum corporis, and that second inquisition is good, for the first was absolutely void. 2 R.3. 2. 21 E. 4. 70.

But if a coroner take an inquisition super visum corporis, and after this another coroner take an inquisition upon the fame matter, the fecond inquisition is void, because the first was well taken. M. 6 R. 2. Coron. 107. Crompt. Justic. 229. b.

If a coroner take an inquisition super visum corporis (as upon a felo de [e,) and that is fent into the king's bench and qualitied, the coroner may take a new inquilition super visum

corporis.

But upon a furmile, that the coroner ought to have found him felo de se and hath not, there shall be no melius inquirendum directed to the sheriff; I have known it often denied, and it was held it was within the restraint of the statute 28 E. 3. cap. 9.

But pollibly a commission or writ may issue for the inquiry of the goods of a felon not mentiond in the coroner's

inquisition.

If the coroner do not inquire of a felo de se or of any other sudden death, the justices of the peace or over and terminer may inquire thereof, and so may the justices of the king's bench, but then that presentment is traversable; but it is held that the presentment of the coroner of a felo de se is not traversable, de quo supra Part I. cap. 31. p. 414. Co. P. C. cap. 8. p. 55.

When notice is given to the coroner of a misadventure, he is to iffue a precept to the constable of the four or fix next townships to return a competent number of good and lawful men of their townships, viz. twelve at least to make an inquilition touching that matter. 4 E. 1. Officium corona.

toris.

If they make not a return, or the jurors returned appear not, their defaults are to be returned by the coroner, and the constables or jurors in default shall be amerced before the justices in eyre antiently, but now before the justices of gaoldelivery.

But if the jurors appear, by Crompt. Justice, f. 226. b. they

are not challengeable by either party.

Yet in Mich. 4 Car. B. R. Sir William Withipole's case by the greater opinion of all the judges of England the statute of 1 1 H. 4. cap. 9. extends to inquisitions before the coroner, and that if in an inquest before the coroner one of the jurors be outlawed, tho but of trespass, this is a good plea to a coroner's inquest of murder. Cro. p. 134.

The jury is to be fworn and charged to inquire upon the view of the body how the party came by his death, whether by murder by any person, or by misfortune, or as felo de se.

In such cases, where the coroner's inquest is conclusive, (as it is commonly held in the case of felo de se,) the coroner must hear evidence as well against the king's interest as for it, and that upon oath, for there is no person to be condemned to death, but only the fact to be inquired into.

And so it was ruled in Barclaie's case who drowned himself, and the coroner would not admit witnesses to prove him to be non compos mentis at the time, but shut them out, and only took witnesses for the king; and for this cause the coroner was reprehended by the court of king's bench, and the inquisition set aside and not sufferd to be filed, and a new inquisition taken, whereby it was found he was non compos, for in this case there was no person put to answer; de hoc

vide supra Part I. p. 415.

But it hath been held, that if a person be kild by another person, and it be certainly known that he kild him, the jury must hear evidence only for the king; and whether the killing were by malice or without malice, nay tho it were fuch a killing as is justifiable, as an officer killing one that asfaults him in doing his office, yet the inquest must find it murder, because the party shall be put to answer, and upon not guilty pleaded the whole matter will come to be tried by the petit jury, where the evidence of both fides may be openly heard in court, and fuch direction given as the nature of the fact requires, viz. to be murder, manslaughter, or per infortunium: and thus it hath been commonly practifed of later years.

But it seemeth to me, that this is neither reasonable nor agreeable to law or antient usage, but is a novelty as to the

case of the coroner's inquest, tho it may be and is reasonable and fit in case of an indictment by the grand inquest of the county, for these reasons. 1. Because the coroner's inquest is to inquire truly (e) quomodo ad mortem devenit, and is rather for information of the truth of the fact as near as the jury can affert it, and not for an accusation. 2. Because tho the prisoner may be arraigned upon the coroner's inquest, if it find it murder or manslaughter, yet neither the court nor the profecutor is concluded by it, but a bill of murder may be preferd to the grand inquest, and upon that new presentment the party may be arraigned and tried, tho the coroner's inquest arise only to manslaughter, or it may be to se defendendo or chance-medley. 3. And accordingly the antient practice hath been for the coroner's inquest to find the matter as they judge it was: vide 26 Eliz. Crompt. Justice, f. 28. a. Holmes's case, Coke's Entries 3 5 3. b. and very often in the antient Iters of E. 2. and E. 3. de quo supra.

And therefore the difference of the penning of the act of 1 & 2 P. & M. cap. 13. touching the examinations taken by the justices of the peace and the coroner is observable: The justices of the peace are to put into writing the informations against the felon of the fact and circumstances thereof, or so much thereof, as shall be material to prove the felony; but the coroner is to put into writing the effect of the evidence given to the jury before him being material, without saying so much as is material to prove the felony, but the whole evidence given, whether to prove or disprove the felony; and all this evidence is to be upon oath before the coroner's inquest, whether it make for or against the prisoner; but indeed when the prisoner is to be tried upon that indictment or the indictment of the grand inquest, those witnesses, that acquit the prisoner, are not to be heard upon oath at his trial, unless the profecutor defire it (f).

Vol. II. Q

So

⁽e) Why should not this argument hold as well in the case of an indictment by the grand inquest, since they are likewise by their oath to present the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth? vide infra p. 157.

⁽f) This was indeed the practice, tho unfupported by any authority in law; but now by 1 Ann. cap. 9. the witnesses on the behalf of the prisoner in all trials for treason or selony are to give evidence upon oath.

So that I do conceive the coroner's inquest ought in all cases to hear the evidence upon oath, as well that which maketh for, as that which maketh against the prisoner, and the whole evidence ought to be returned with the inquisition.

Now sudden violent deaths, which are all within the corroner's office to inquire, are of these kinds. 1. Ex visitatione Dei. 2. Per infortunium, where no other had a hand in it, as if a man fall from a house or cart. 3. By his own hand, as felo de se. 4. By the hand of another man, where the offender is not known. 5. By the hand of another, where he is known, whether by murder, manslaughter, se defendendo, or per infortunium.

is no more to be done, only the inquisition together with the examinations are to be returned to the next gaol-delivery

by the statute of 3 H. 7. cap. 1.

2. If the inquest find the death per infortunium simply, as by a fall, &c. then the coroner is to take the examination and return the same with the inquisition to the next gaoldelivery, and to inquire of the deodand, and the value, and in whose hands, and to seize and deliver the same to the township to be answerable for the same to the king by the statute of 4 E. 1. De officio coronatoris.

But if the person were drowned in a pit, the coroner shall command the vill to stop it, and if it be not done, the vill shall be amerced in eyre, or before justices of gaol-delivery. 8 E. 2. Coron. 416.

And note, that in no case the coroner sets any fine or americement, as for non-appearance of juries or constables, escapes of townships, &c. but only presents it to the next justices in eyre, or now to the next gaol-delivery, and they impose the fine.

3. If the inquest find a man felo de se, they ought to find the special matter, and also what goods and chattels he had, of what value, and seize and deliver the same to the township to be answerable to the king or his almoner, or the lord of the franchise, to whom they belong, and shall bind over the first finder of the body to the next gaol-delivery.

4. If

4. If the party be flain and the felon is not known, they are to find their inquilition accordingly, and shall bind over the first finder of the body to the next gool-delivery, and return his examinations together with his inquilition by the statute of 1 & 2 P. & M. cap. 13.

And note, that the antient manner of inquiry in this case, whether by the coroner or justices in eyre, was, 1. Quis primus inventor? 2. An male creditur? if so, then if he were present, he might be arraigned; if absent, they went on to the outlawry against him; but if they answerd non male crediz tur, then he was discharged. 35 H. G. 15. a. B. Conspiracy 4.

5. But if the person was slain, and the party that did it was known, and the inquisition found him guilty of the death, or that he died by his hand, there were these proceedings, namely,

The inquest were also to inquire of all, that were present.

aiding, and abetting.

They shall also inquire of all accessaries before the fact, but they cannot inquire of accessaries after (*), and therefore a presentment of a fugam fecit upon an accessary after is void.

Stamf. P. C. 183, 184. 4 H. 7. 18. b.

If they find a man guilty as principal or as accessary before, they are also to inquire whether he fled for the same, for if the party be acquit upon his trial, nay tho the petit jury upon his trial find him not guilty, nor that he fled, yet this inquilition before the coroner shall cause a forfeiture of his goods, for it is not traversable. Dy. 238. b. Stamf. P. C. p. 183. b. (†).

If a party be found guilty by the coroner's inquest, or that he fled, they are also to inquire of his goods and chattels: and by the antient law the coroner was presently thereupon to feize and inventory his goods, and deliver them to the villata to be answerable to the king for them, as appears by the statute of 4 E.1. how far this is alterd by the statute of

1 R. z. cap. z. vide que supra Part I. cap. 27. p. 365.

But it feems, that if there be a presentment before the coroner of a fugam fecit, the statute of 1 R. 3. takes no place as to that, because, whether convict or acquit, the fugam fecit stands as an unavoidable forfeiture, and therefore the coroner may without question seize the goods so found by inquisition upon a fugam fecit, and commit them to the township.

If the persons, that are found guilty by the inquest, be taken, the coroner may and must commit them to the sheriff, and he is to send them to the gaol by the statute of 4 E. 1. But if any were present and found not guilty, the coroner was to bind them over to the next gaol-delivery by the same statute, and to record their names in his roll: This was to the intent, that if farther evidence was discovered against them, they might be there proceeded against, if not, then they might be used as witnesses; but the statute of 1 & 2 P. & M. cap. 13. hath made better provision; de quo infra.

If the parties found guilty as principals or accessaries before by the coroner's inquest be not to be found, the coroner might proceed to the outlawry against them at common law, quod vide 27 Assiz. 47. viz. by process of capias to the sheriff; and if they were returned non inventi, then they were demanded at five counties and outlawed: vide Crompt. Fustice, p. 226. b.

But now that course is alterd, and the coroner ought not to proceed to the outlawry, but is to return his inquisition to the next gaol-delivery by the statute of 3 H. 7. cap. 1. and the justices of gaol-delivery are to proceed against the offenders, if in gaol, and if not in gaol, then to certify the inquisition into the king's bench, and there process of outlawry to go against them upon that inquisition.

And by the statute of 1 & 2 P. & M. cap. 13. the coroner is to take the examinations against the principals and accessaries before, and put them in writing, and bind over witnesses by recognizance to the next gaol-delivery, and then to return their examinations, recognizances, and inquisitions upon pain

of 40 s. for every default.

In case of an indictment of murder or manslaughter by the grand inquest, if the prisoner appear, plead, and be acquitted by the petit jury, they say so and no more, only they inquire of the slight.

But if a person be found guilty by the coroner's inquest, and plead and be acquitted, yet in as much as the coroner's inquest have found that he was kild, the court gives credit to it, and therefore the petit jury must also give in, who it was that kild him, which ferves as an indictment against that other person, 13 E. 4.3. b. 14 H.7.2. b. and commonly if they cannot tell, they give in some fictitious name as John a-Noke, which lerves the turn.

If there be an inquisition of manslaughter or murder, and also an indictment by the grand inquest of the same offense, and he is arraigned and found not guilty upon the indictment by the grand inquest, yet it is necessary to quash the other inquisition or arraign the party upon it, and he is to plead auterfoits acquit, or not guilty, and so be acquit upon that also, for it otherwise stands as a record against him, upon which he may possibly be outlawed.

But if both indictments be of the same nature and for the same offense and be good, he may be arraigned and tried

upon both at once.

By the statute of Westm. 1. cap. 10. the coroner was to take nothing for the execution of his office touching the death of a man.

But by the statute of 3 H. 7. cap. 1. in cases of murder or manslaughter he was to have the fee of 13 s. 4 d. out of the goods of the felon, or out of the amercement fet upon the township for an escape.

But by the statute of 1 H. 8. cap. 7. for an inquisition upon the death of a man by simple misfortune or misadventure, he is to take nothing upon pain of forfeiting forty

ihillings.

1. By what hath been before faid it appears, that the cororoner hath power to take an inquisition of felony of the death of a man, and likewise of certain incidents thereunto. 1. Of accessaries before the fact, but not of accessaries after. 2. Of the escape of the manslayer, that thereupon the township may be amerced, which is farther confirmed by the statute of 3 H. 7. cap. 1. 3. Of his flight. 4. Of his goods and chattels: But he hath no power to take an inquisition of any

Vol. II. R . other other felony, tho in some cases he hath power to take appeals of other matters, as shall be said hereafter. 2 Co. Instit. p. 32. Only by custom in Northumberland the coroner hath power to inquire of other felonies. 35 H. 6. 27. b.

But it is faid, that he may take the confession of him, that breaks prison, and upon his record thereof the party shall

be hanged. 8 E. 2. Coron. 435.

2. But altho he hath power to take an inquisition touching the death of a man, it must be super visum corporis, and not otherwise.

And therefore in antient times if a man were hurt in the county of A. and died in the county of B. the coroner of the county of B. could not take an inquisition of his death, because the stroke was not given in that county, nor could the coroner of the county of A. take an inquisition, because the body was in the county of B. but they used to remove the body into the county of A. and there the coroner of that county to take the inquisition. 6 H. 7. 10. a.

But this would not avail, till the statute of 2 & 3 E. 6. cap. 24. gave a remedy in this case by indicting and trying him

in the county where he died.

But if he were stricken and had also died in the county of A. and the body had by some means been after removed into another county, he ought to be removed into the county of A. where he was stricken and died.

3. That altho he might take an indictment of death, and at common law proceed to outlawry, yet by the statute of Magna Carta, cap. 17. he was disabled to hear or determine

that felony, or to make execution upon the outlawry.

4. But the the coroner could not take any inquisition but super visum corporis, yet in some cases, that were not felony, he might take an inquisition, as 1. De Thesauro invento. 2. Of wreck and royal fish. 3. And it seems he had a power to attach a person, that had dangerously wounded another, and that not only upon an appeal of mayhem, but also ex officio, as a thing tending to danger of death; quod vide 4 E. 1. De officio coronatoris.

And thus far touching inquisitions before the coroner.

II. The fecond thing, wherein the coroner's power lies, is taking of appeals, namely appeals of murder, appeals of robbery, appeals of rape, appeals de plagis & mahemio; and this appears by the statute of 4 E. 1. De coronatoribus.

These appeals can be taken only of facts done within the county, whereof he is coroner. Stamf. P. C. f. 63. a. (g).

This appeal is to be by bill in proper person, and before

Ins appear is to be by bill in proper perion, and before

the coroner and sheriff: vide stat. 3 H. 7. cap. 1.

But yet the coroner is the principal judge, and therefore a certiorari to remove such a bill may be to the coroner alone. 4 H. 6. 16. a. Dy. 222. b. or to the coroner and sheriff, because by the statute of Westm. 1. cap. 10. the sheriff hath a counter-roll. 38 E. 3. 14. b. Register 284. a. Dy. 223. a. But not to the sheriff alone neither for appeals nor outlawries, unless in London. Dy. 317. a.

Altho by the statute of Magna Carta, cap. 17. the coroner cannot determine the appeal, yet he may do these things.

1. He may record the nonsuit of the plaintiss in an appeal by bill before him. 22 Assiz. 93. 2. He may award a capias and alias to the sheriss, and may thereupon demand the defendant at five counties, and outlaw the defendant. 22 Assiz. 97. the Stamford make a doubt of it, Lib. II. cap. 14. f. 64.a. and thinks that the appeal must be removed by certiorari into the king's bench, and there only process of outlawry can issue; but when the appeal is sued before the coroner and sheriss, to have the appeal determind it must be removed into the king's bench by certiorari.

III. The third power of the coroner is to take the accusation of an approver, namely when a person is indicted before justices of gaol-delivery or in the king's bench for any felony, he may confess the offense, and impeach or accuse or appeal others of felony, and thereupon the court assigns him a coroner to take his confession.

The coroner upon an appeal by an approver may take an appeal of the approver against any person for any felony or treason

treason committed in the same county, or in any other

county. 29 E. 3. 42. Coron. 462.

If the appeal be in the same county, it seems the coroner may make a precept to the sheriff to take the person appeald; but if he be only a coroner of a franchise, it seems he may make a precept to the sheriff to attach him, quere; but howsoever he cannot make a precept to the bailist of the franchise, because the bailist of a franchise cannot execute a process within his franchise, but by the precept of the sheriff. 29 E. 3. 42. Coron. 462.

And therefore it seems in that case he must return the appeal before the judge of gaol-delivery within the franchise, and he may make process within the franchise to the sheriff; vide the case of Ely 29 E. 3. 41. b. quere, how the usage is there, viz. whether the judge makes process out of

the liberty, and to whom.

But if the appeal be of a felony or treason out of the county, the same must be removed or certified to the justices of gaol-delivery, and they may make process into any county of England to take the person appeald; and so the case of an appeal by an approver differs from the appeal by a person grieved. 5 H. 5. Coron. 437. 29 E. 3. 42. Coron. 462. Stamf. P. C. Lib. I. cap. 52. f. 53.

IV. The fourth power of the coroner is to take the confession of a felony by a felon, tho the felony were committed in any forein county, and to take his abjuration. Stamf. f. 53.

But by the statute of 1 Fac. cap. 25. continued by 21 Fac. cap. 28. the whole business of sanctuary and the abjuration before the coroner relative to sanctuary is taken away; and therefore it is needless to repeat the office or power of the coroner in relation to sanctuary. Co. P. C. cap. 51.

CHAP. IX.

Concerning the sheriff, his power in pleas of the crown, as well by commission, as in his Turns.

THE power of the sheriff to hold pleas of the crown, as well as the coroners and other the king's bailiffs, is restraind and taken away by Magna Carta, cap. 17. recited in the former chapter.

Yet after that statute he had power to receive indictments and presentments of felony, tho he had not power to determine them.

And this power was of two kinds, viz. special by virtue of a special writ or commission, and general or virtute officii in his Turn.

The former of these powers virtute brevis or commissionis continued in use till the statute of 28 E.3. cap. 9. and by that statute all former commissions and writs of that nature are repeald, and enacted, that for the suture no such commission or commissions shall be granted.

And therefore H. 37 Eliz. B. R. where the coroner found a death per infortunium, and it was furmised for the king, that he was felo de se, and a melius inquirendum prayed to the sheriff; ruled that none should issue, because contrary to the statute.

The latter power of the sheriff is virtute officii, and this still continues in the sheriff, namely, that he hath power in his Turn to take inquisitions of selonies, that were selonies at common law; but the sheriff cannot take any inquisition of any selony created by act of parliament, unless the same act likewise give him jurisdiction; and therefore the sheriff in his Turn cannot take an inquisition of rape.

This court is a court of record, and the sheriff or his steward or clerk is judge in it, the style Placita coram vice-comite com' S. in Turno.

The indictments taken here have these requisites.

1. That the courts be held infra mensem Pascha, & mensem Michaelis by the statute of 31 E.3. cap. 15. or else they lose their turn for that time, which hath been expounded their court so held for that turn only shall be void. Stamf. P. C.

f. 84. b. 6 H. 7. 2. a. 38 H. 6. 7. a.

2. The indictment must be under the seals of the indictors, and by twelve jurors at least by the statute of Westm. 2. cap. 13. (a). And by the statute of 1 E. 3. cap. 17. it must be by rolls indented between the sheriff and the indictors, (which last statute extends also to leets and franchises,) otherwise the indictments are void; and one of the indictors must shew one part of the indenture to the justices, when they come to make deliverance.

3. By the statute of 1 R. 3. cap. 4. the indictors in the sheriff's Turn must have 20 s. freehold, or 26 s. 8 d. copyhold, and be of good name, otherwise the sheriff or bailiff

shall forfeit 40 s. and the indictment is void.

And therefore if any be arraigned of felony upon such an indictment, he may plead, that one of the indictors had not 20 s. freehold, nor 26 s. 8 d. copyhold; so that when it is said it shall be void, it must be intended void by plea, for if the prisoner except not to it upon his arraignment, he is concluded by that omission.

Upon these indictments of selonies in the sheriff's Turn, tho they could not proceed to hear and determine them by reason of the statute of Magna Carta, cap. 17. yet the sheriff did commonly make out process or precepts in nature of capias to arrest the parties, as appears by the statute of Westm. 2.

сар. 13.

But now by the statute of 1 E. 4. cap. 2. their power of making out process upon these indictments is taken away, as well in case of indictments of selony, as other misdemeanors within their cognizance; but they are to deliver all such

present-

presentments and indictments to the justices of the peace at their next sessions, who are to make out process thereupon, and hear and determine them; but if the original presentment were not within the jurisdiction of the *Turn*, the justices of peace ought not to proceed upon such indictments, tho removed before them. 4 E. 4.31. a. 8 E. 4.5.b.

And what hath been faid touching the *Turns* of sheriffs is in a great measure applicable to leets, namely they have power to receive indictments of felonies at common law, but not of felonies by act of parliament, unless specially limited

to them.

The statutes of Magna Carta, cap. 17. 1 E. 3. cap. 17. extend to them as well as to Turns, but not the statute of 1 E. 4. and therefore they cannot hear and determine selonies presented in them, but must send their indictments of selony to the justices of gaol-delivery there to be heard and determind, if the offenders are in custody, 8 H. 4. 18. a. Franchise 2. or remove them by certiorari into the king's bench, that process may be made upon them to an outlawry.

And thus far concerning the ordinary jurisdiction, wherein felonies are inquired of, heard or determind; I have wholly omitted the courts in eyre, the courts of the staple, and the franchise of infangthief and utfangthief, because they are wholly disused, and the learning concerning them rather for curiosity and antiquity, than for use in this business of pleas of the crown. The jurisdiction also of the royal franchises of Ely, Hexam and Hexamshire, and other particular franchises remaining excepted by the statute of 27 H. 8. cap. 24. are but particular jurisdictions, and not so useful for the pleas of the crown, as for a tract concerning the jurisdiction of courts.

And thus far touching the ordinary jurisdictions in cases capital.

CHAP. X.

Concerning the apprehending or arresting of felons and traitors by private perfons, and escapes.

Aving in the foregoing chapters considerd the several courts of ordinary jurisdiction, where traitors and felons are to be proceeded against, I shall now descend to the confideration of the means and method of bringing fuch offenders to trial, judgment, and execution.

And herein I shall observe this order, first to consider those courses, that are preliminary to their arraignment, and afterwards to confider of their arraignment, and those proceedings, that are subsequent thereunto, their trial, judgment,

and execution.

Concerning the former, namely the courses preliminary to their arraignment, they are principally these, viz. 1. The arrest or apprehending of them. 2. Their imprisonment or commitment, and therein of bailing or discharging them before indictment. 3. Their indictment.

Touching the first of these, namely their arrests or ap-

prehending them.

This is the first instance of their profecution, and this is done either, 1. By private persons by virtue of the law, or 2. By officers or virtute officii, or 3. Upon hue and cry le-

vied, or 4. By warrant or precept virtute pracepti.

But before I come to these I will consider something concerning escapes of felons, and what punishment lies upon them, that permit it, which will open the confideration of what is every person's duty in this case; and by this escape I do not mean escapes sufferd by sheriffs or gaolers, but escapes sufferd by vills, townships, or private persons.

If there be a murder or manslaughter committed either in the day or night in an inclosed town, if the murderer be not taken, the town or city shall be amerced upon a presentment thereof, either by the coroners or grand inquest before the justices of gaol-delivery. 3 E. 3. Coron. 299. But if it were a vill not enclosed, there if a murder were committed within the precinct of the vill, tho in the field, and the murderer not taken, if it were done in the night, the vill should not be amerced; but if it were in day-light, tho in the evening, the town should be amerced. 3 H. 7. cap. 1. 3 E. 3. Coron. 293.

And the same law is if the killing were by a man by misadventure, if he escape and be not taken. 3 E. 3. Coron. 302. for tho by the statute of Marlebr. cap. 26. that common fine or amercement called murdrum (*) was not to be imposed in cases of death per infortunium, yet the amercement called escapium took place even in that case. Vide Bracton,

Lib. III. cap. 15.

If the malefactor were taken by the township and deliverd to the sheriff or his bailiff, or to the gaoler of the county, and then an escape happen, the township is not chargeable,

but the sheriff or bailiff. 3 E. 3. Coron. 337.

But if he be in guard of the constable, and the constable is bringing him to the gaol, yea tho the gaoler refused to take him, if he escape, it is a charge upon the vill. 3 E. 3. Coron. 346. 10 H.4. 7. a. Escape 8. per Gascoigne; nay, tho in the slight he be slain for necessity of retaking him because he resists, yet it is an escape upon the vill. 3 E. 3. Coron. 328.

And in case the vill be not sufficient to answer the amercement, the hundred shall be charged therewith, and in default of the hundred the county; and if the killing be out of any vill, the hundred is amerceable for the escape. 8 E. 2.

Coron. 425. Stamf. P. C. Lib. I. cap. 31. f. 34. b.

But this is only in case of felony touching the death of a man, for there the fact is apparent, that the man is slain; but in case of other felony, as thest, there tho the thief be not ta-Vol. II.

^(*) Vide Part I. p. 39, 425, 447.

ken, no amercement lies upon the town, nor other penalty at common law, but by the statute of Winton, de quo infra.

But if they had a felon in their custody, or in the custody of the constable, and he escape, the vill had been amerceable, and so is the hundred, if they have him in their custody, or in the custody of the constable of the hundred, and

suffer him to escape. 3 E. 3. Coron. 316.

The law used in the time of H. 3. when Bracton wrote, appears Lib. III. cap. 10. to be thus: If a man had committed manslaughter either by misfortune or otherwise, if he fled and the jury were inquired of by the judge if he were in decenna, then the decenna was to be amerced by the court, because they had him not there; if he were not in any decenna, then the vill was to be amerced, because they received him an inhabitant and had him not in franco plegio, for every one above twelve years old ought to be in frank-pledge, except clergymen, noblemen, and knights and their families (*): And therefore in the case of clergymen, noblemen and knights if any of their family de manupastu committed a murder or manslaughter, the clergyman, nobleman or knight was amerced if the malefactor fled, unless some special custom had abrogated it, as in Hertfordshire: And thus did the practice long after continue: vide 8 E. 2. Coron. 428. Si serviens alicujus domini in servitio suo existens facit feloniam & convincatur, quamvis post feloniam ipsus non receptavit, amerciandus est: and 3 E. 3. Itin. North'ton, Coron. 293. It was presented, that A. had kild B. and it was demanded of the presenters, whether he were in decennâ, they answered He was not; then it was demanded where he abode; they fay with the parson of the town, and thereupon the parson was amerced for his manupast; then it was demanded who was present when he flew him; they fay C. it was then demanded of them, whether C. received him, [took him,] they fay Not, wherefore C. was amerced: then it was demanded where the felon was; they fay he is escaped; then it was demanded whether it were done in the day or the night; they answer in the evening; therefore the whole vill was amerced.

Several things are observable in this case. 1. That if he had been in decenna, the decenna had been amerced, because they had not him present ad standum recto in curia. 2. That because the parson nor his family were not by law to come to the view of frank-pledge, he was amerced for one, that was of his family, one de manupastu. 3. That he, that was present and took not the offender, was also amerced. 4. That because the felony was committed in the day-time and the felon escaped, the whole vill was amerced, 22 E. 3. Coron. 238. so in effect three amercements for one escape.

And note, that according to Bracton ubi supra he is de manupastu, qui est ad victum & vestitum, or ad victum cum mercede, as a houshold servant; and according to the antient law, he that entertaind a man three nights made him to be

de manupastu.

This law of amercing the decenna or him, of whose family an offender is, is not abrogated, but yet it is not now used; but it was certainly a most excellent constitution, whereby every man was under the pledge of his master or father, with whom he lived, or must be within some decenna, that may see him forthcoming: vide Spelman in Glossar. Titul. Friburg &

Leges Edvardi, cap. 19, 20. (a).

As thus the vill is answerable for an escape, so is he that is present when a manslaughter or murder is committed, and doth not do his best endeavour to apprehend the malesactor, tho he were not party or accessary to the crime, with this agrees & E. 2. Coron. 428. before-mentiond, where it is called only an amercement; but & E. 2. Coron. 395. he that was of sull age, that was present when a manslaughter was committed, et ne leva le maine d'attach le felon, was committed to prison, till he made fine to the king, but he that was within age was discharged (b).

And tho in the book of 14 H.7.31. b. a person indicted for being present at a felony, without faying he was aiding and abetting, was discharged, it was, because the indictment there was with intent to make him a felon, and not to charge him with a misdemeanor for not pursuing the felon: vide Co.

P. C

P. C. p. 117. It is a misdemeanor, for which the party shall

be fined and imprisond.

By that which hath been faid it appears, that the apprehending of a felon is in many cases a duty and not arbitrary, even in cases of a private person without any other warrant, than what the law gives, and that the omission thereof is a misdemeanor, and punishable by fine or amercement.

And now therefore I come to confider touching the arrests

by a private person in case of felony.

And this is of these kinds. 1. Where the party arrested hath really committed a selony, and this is known to the party arresting. 2. Where the party arrested hath really committed a selony, but it is only suspected, and not certainly known to the party arresting. 3. Where there hath been a selony committed, and the party arresting doth upon probable grounds suspect the person arrested to have committed it, tho in truth he did it not.

I. As to the first of these, where a person hath committed felony and A. knows it.

It is true in this case, if the time and nature of the fact and the condition of things will bear it, it is best to complain to a justice of peace and have his warrant for the apprehending of him, or if that cannot be had in convenient time, then to call to his assistance the constable; but such the case may be that the delay, that must arise necessarily by these solutions, may give the selon opportunity to escape; and therefore in this case A. without any other authority than what the law gives him may arrest or apprehend the selon; and if he cannot do it by his own strength, he may call others to his assistance, or raise hue and cry for his apprehension; and if he doth not thus, he is punishable, as is above declared, if it can appear that he knew it.

And it will be all one, whether the felony were committed in the same county or in any other county, for the law in this case makes A. an officer; and this was antiently the law and still is. Bracton Lib. ult. in fine, In criminalibus caufis, ubi sequi debet capitale supplicium, vita videlicet vel muti-

latio membrorum, non sequitur attachiamentum alicuod, sed corpus talis, quicunque ille fuerit, ab omnibus arrestetur, qui sunt ad sidem domini regis, sive inde præceptum habuerit, sive non habuerit; and accordingly it is ruled 10 E. 4. 17. b. that it is a good justification for a man in an action of false imprisonment to say, that the plaintist committed a felony, and shew what, and the defendant arrested him and deliverd him to the constable, or he might have brought him to gaol by himself or his servant, as is there agreed.

But the safer way is to bring him before a justice of peace,

who may examine and commit him.

And as a private man may do thus upon a felony committed, so if he see danger of murder by a dangerous wound given, he may pursue the offender. 7 E. 3. 16. Barre 291.

And in both these cases he may break open doors, if he be denied entrance, and if *de facto* the selon or malesactor be there, for the law makes him an officer in this case, as well as if he were a justice of peace or constable. 7 E. 3. 16. b.

Nay yet farther, if the felon resists or flies, so that he cannot be taken without killing him, this is justifiable and no felony; but still it must be where he cannot be otherwise taken, for it is for advancement of justice and suppression of felons, and therefore if they cannot be otherwise apprehended, it is lawful as well, as if A. were a constable or had a warrant; and if the books, that speak of this matter, be but carefully examined, it will appear that the law was fo generally taken, tho he were purfued or taken without any formal process to the sheriff, and that as well before an arrest made, as after; and this appears in terminis 22 Assiz. 55. 3 E. 3. Coron. 346, & 328 & 290. but indeed the books of 3 E. 3. Coron. 288, 289. are of a constable and watchman: but in 3 E. 3. Coron. 349. the townsmen that did it were fined 40 s. but it seems it was more for the escape, than the killing: vide Stamf. P. C. Lib. I. cap. 6. f. 13. a. b. accordant.

As to the statutes of Magna Carta, cap. 29. 25 E. 3. cap. 4. 28 E. 3. cap. 3. 42 E. 3. cap. 3. they do not at all concern this preparatory imprisonment of a felon, as shall be shewn Vol. II.

in due time; and therefore whatfoever hath been before faid holds true in the first instance of his imprisonment, tho the party be not yet indicted.

II. As to the second case, viz. where a selony is committed by B. but A. that arrests him, doth not certainly know

it, as not being present at the committing of it.

I take the law to be all one with the former case, only what he doth herein he doth at his peril, for if in truth B. be a felon, then A. may arrest him, and may break a house to arrest him, if he be within the house and resuse to render himself; yea, and if he will not suffer himself to be taken, he may in case of necessity be kild; but this still is at the peril of A. for if he be no felon, it may be manslaughter at least in A. if he doth it.

But how far forth this will be justifiable in case that A. hath a good cause of suspicion, will be considerable in the

next inquiries.

III. The third case is, there is a felony committed, but whether committed by B. or not non constat, and therefore we will suppose, that in truth it were not committed by B. but by some person else, yet A. hath probable causes to suspect B. to be the felon, and accordingly doth arrest him; this arrest is lawful and justifiable, and the reason is, because if a person should be punished by an action of trespass or false imprisonment for an arrest of a man for felony under these circumstances, malesactors would escape to the common detriment of the people.

But to make good such a justification of imprisonment, 1. There must be in fact a felony committed by some person, for were there no felony, there can be no ground of suspicion. Again, 2. The party, (if a private person,) that arrests, must suspect B. to be the felon. 3. He must have reasonable causes of such suspicion, and these must be alleged and

proved.

1. There must be a felony done; and therefore if a man be taken for suspicion of felony and deliverd to the constable, or remains in the custody of him that took him, yet if in truth no felony were committed, he may be let go at

large, and no punishment shall ensue for the escape. Kelw. 34. a. b. But if a felony were committed, tho he, that is taken for the suspicion thereof, be in truth innocent, and it so appear to the constable or him that arrests him; yet if he let him go before he be indicted, and acquitted or deliverd by proclamation before the justices of gaol-delivery, the party letting him go shall be punished for an escape. 44 Association 12. Poulton de Pace, f. 146. b. 5 H. 7. 4. 7 H. 4. 35. a.

2. The party, that arrests him, must be he that suspects him, and regularly it cannot be done by another; and therefore if a man justify in false imprisonment for suspicion, he must justify it as his own act, and not by the command of the sheriff or other officer, nor can another justify by the

command of him, that so suspects. II E. 4. 4. b.

But this doth not always hold true, for an officer of juffice may in affiftance of him that suspects justify the imprisonment, as a constable, upon a complaint made to him by him that suspects, may justify, but he must allege his justification in the same manner, as he that suspected ought, vize a felony done and cause of suspicion; and therefore the party suspecting and desiring the constable's affistance must acquaint him with the whole matter, and the causes of his suspicion, otherwise he is not bound to assist him. 2 H.7.15. b. And in like manner a justice of peace being applied to by him that suspects and acquainted with the whole circumstances of the case (*).

And this appears beyond dispute even by the statute of 34 E. 3. cap. 1. whereby power is given to the justices of peace to arrest all those, whom they find by indistment or by su-

fpicion, and to put them in prison.

And the reason is apparent, namely the justices of peace are made judges of the reasonableness of the suspicion, and when they have examined the party accusing touching the reasons of their suspicion, if they find the causes of suspicion to be reasonable, it is now become the justice's suspicion as well as theirs, and accordingly adjudged P. 43 Eliz. C. B. Croke, n. 35. Tatam's case (c); and therefore the saying of my lord Coke, 4 Instit. p. 177. "That notwithstanding such warrant or "the

"the aid of the constable upon such complaint, it is still the party's arrest and not the constables or justices, and that he must be present, and that he cannot break open a door by virtue of such warrant," is neither warranted by the law nor the common practice (*); and in the book of 2 H. 7. 15. b. where one justified in aid of the constable upon a felony done and a suspicion and cause thereof ut infra, it was ruled a good justification against the opinion of Bryan; and it is apparent by the statute of 5 E. 3. cap. 14. "If any person hath any evil suspicion of persons to be robberds-men, wasters or draw-latches, they shall be incontinently arrested by the constables of the town, be it by day or night, and if they be arrested within franchises, they shall be deliverd to the bailift of the franchise, if in the gildable, to the sheriff, and kept in prison till the coming of the justices.

The suspicion may be by any person, yet the imprisonment must be by the constable; and the reason is that which is given before, because the constable is a proper officer, to

whom complaints of this nature may be made.

And therefore if a felony be committed upon the goods of A. and the goods be found in the custody of B. and A. comes to a constable and shews him the case, and requires him to bring him before a justice; this is a good justification by A. in false imprisonment brought against him without so much as an averment, that he suspected him. H.-4 Car. Rot. 513. Marbery and Porter, B. R. vide 2 E. 4. 8. b.

But it is true, that he, that is not an officer, cannot justify by the command of him that suspects, if he also be no officer; and so are the books of 5 H. 7. 5. a. per Cur. 12 Co. Rep.

92. Sir Antony Ashley's case, 11 E. 4. 4. b.

But then the case is easily solved, for if a selony be committed, and A. hath probable cause to suspect B. and accordingly suspects B. and acquaints C. with the whole matter, C. upon this having probable cause to suspect B. tho he cannot justify the imprisonment of B. as by the command of A. that first suspected him, he may justify by his own suspicion; and the like of him that comes in aid of A. to arrest B. 5 H.7. 4 5.

3. The third thing to be observed in this arrest by a private person upon suspicion is, that he hath a probable cause of suspicion.

And these probable causes are very many, as for instance common same, 5 H. 7. 4. b. 2 H. 7. 15. b. 11 E. 4. 4. b. &c. hue and cry levied, 21 H. 7. 28. hath part of the goods sound upon him, or be indicted or the like, 12 Co. Rep. 92. Ashley's case, party with him that committed the robbery. 7 E. 4. 20. a.

And note, that the law hath that care, that malefactors, tho but suspected, should be apprehended, that a man may allege twenty causes of suspicion, and it shall not make his plea double, for one answer makes an issue upon the whole, viz. de injuria sua propria absque tali causa, and no issue shall be singly taken upon one cause of suspicion, where many causes are thus alleged. 2 E. 4. 8 & 9. 7 E. 4. 20. a.

Now what is to be done by a private person, that thus arrests a party upon suspicion of selony; if after such an arrest the party arresting discharge him without bringing him to a justice or constable, he shall be punished for the escape at the king's suit, but it makes not the imprisonment unlawful as to the party. 10 E. 4. 17. b.

Or he may carry him to the gaol, and if the gaoler receive him, he that made the arrest is discharged, 10E.4.18.a. but he must not carry him to a gaol of any other county than where he is taken, unless either there be no gaol in the county, or that he cannot for the danger of rebels bring him to that gaol. 11E.4.4.

Or he may deliver him to the constable of the vill, and that is a sufficient discharge. 10 E. 4.17. b.

But the proper way is to bring him to a justice of peace, who may commit, or discharge, or bail him, as the case requires.

Yet if the party so arrested be sick and cannot be removed without danger of death, he may detain him in his own house, till he can reasonably bring him to a justice or officer. 2 E. 4. 8. b.

The arrest of a man upon suspicion of felony by a private person is, as before is said, a thing permitted by law and therefore justifiable; but it is not a thing commanded by law, neither is the party punishable, if he omit it, as in case where it is a known felony, or where done upon hue and cry levied, or by an officer, or by a precept; for no man is judge of a man's suspicion but himself (*).

And therefore there is not the same privilege in all points allowed to him that arrests upon suspicion, as to him that arrests upon hue and cry, or by warrant, or where he is pre-

fent at the felony committed, and fo knows it.

1. It seems he, that arrests as a private man barely upon suspicion of selony, cannot justify the breaking open of doors to arrest the party suspected, but he doth it at his peril, viz. if in truth he be a felon, then it is justifiable, but if he be innocent, but upon a reasonable cause suspected, it is not justifiable, 4 Co. Instit. p. 177, 178. (but yet to prevent a murder or manssaughter a private person may break open a door, 12 H. 8. 2. b.) but he may enter by the doors open, and make the arrest in the house.

But note, that in all arrests he must acquaint the party with the cause of his arrest.

But in case of a known selony done by the party, or where a selony is done and a constable comes and demands entrance upon a complaint to him, or by a justice of peace's warrant, or upon hue and cry; there the doors may be broke open upon potice and demand of antenness and of the

open upon notice and demand of entrance and refufal.

2. Again, if there be a felony committed by B. and A. is present and sees it, and pursues the selon, and he cannot be otherwise taken, and A. kills him in the pursuit, tho he have not arrested him, the law justifies him; and possibly the same law may be in case of an officer, a warrant, or hue and cry, tho the person be not guilty of the fact, if he resulte to submit to the arrest; but de hoc infra.

But if a felony be committed, and A. upon probable cause suspects B. to have been the selon, tho the law permits him to arrest B. tho in truth innocent, yet he cannot justify the kil-

ling of him upon his flight and refusing to submit, justiciari se permittere nolens; but if he kills him, it is at his peril, for if B. be innocent, it is at least manslaughter, Co. P. C. p. 56, 221. 22 Ass. 55. and the reason is, because B. is not bound to take notice of A. as authorized to arrest him, as being no officer, nor having any warrant; it is true, a constable arresting in the king's name, or offering so to do, the party is bound to take notice and submit, as hath been said, Part I. cap. 37. but a mere stranger offering to do it, a man is not bound to take notice of his authority, and therefore may sly from him if innocent, for possibly he may think he came to rob him.

3. Yet farther, if an innocent person be actually arrested upon suspicion by a private person, all circumstances being duly observed, and he breaks away from the arrest, yet I do not think the person arresting can kill him, tho he cannot be otherwise taken, for the person arrested is not bound to take notice of that authority, that the law gives to a private person in this case.

But then can he justify the beating or striking of him in case he cannot otherwise take him, that thus makes the assault? As where a bailist of the sherist by warrant arresteth a person, tho he cannot strike or beat him before the arrest to take him; yet after the arrest and escape such a bailist may justify his beating, if he cannot otherwise retake him according to the opinion of the book 2 E. 4. 6. b.

And it feems he cannot, but only lay his hands gently upon him to lay hold of him for the reason before given.

4. But then suppose that either before the arrest or after the arrest B. draws his sword and assaults A. and A. presseth upon him either to take or detain him, and in the conslict B. kills A. is it murder in B. or if A. kills B. is it justifiable and no selony in A?

If the bailiff of a sheriff is about to take a prisoner, and before he takes him the party draws his sword and kills him, this is murder, as is before said, Part I. cap. 37. And on the other side, if either after or before the arrest the bailiff upon as fault made upon him kills the party, this is no felony, nei-

ther is he bound to give back to the wall. Co. P. C. p. 56

It feems, that if the party arrested kill him, that thus arrests upon suspicion, (always supposed the party killing is innocent,) this is but manslaughter and not murder; and on the other fide, if the party arrefting kill the party arrefted or intended to be arrested by him upon suspicion, that this is manslaughter; and tho the arrest in this case had been lawful, yet the party arresting hath not the same privilege, as in case of killing a man upon hue and cry, tho the party arrested after the arrest or upon the attempt of the arrest asfaulted him, that arrested him or attempted to arrest him. 1. Because in this case, tho the law impower the party to arrest him, yet it is but a power of permission, not an injunction by the law, neither is he punishable, if he had not made fuch an arrest, and so not like the case of an arrest by an officer, warrant of a justice, or hue and cry, where it is a duty to arrest, and the party, that omits his duty in this case, is punishable by fine and imprisonment for his omission. 2. Because he might have had a legal warrant from a justice of peace, or called an officer to his affiftance, and then he had been under a more effectual protection of the law in what he did in pursuance of his duty. 3. It would give too great a latitude for persons to be their own judges in this case, and to take away a man's life who is innocent, and posfibly might not have sufficient assurance, that either a felony had been committed, or that he that arrests had a just or lawful cause of suspicion.

And it seems the law is the same, whatsoever the cause of suspicion were, yea altho the person were indicted for the offense, because a person innocent may be indicted, and because there is another way to bring him in to answer, namely process of capias to the sheriff, who is a known responsible officer. 3 E. 3. Coron. 346.

And thus far concerning arresting by a private person upon suspicion.

4

CHAP. XI.

Concerning arrests or apprehension of selons, or persons suspected of selony by an officer.

There are certain officers and ministers of public justice, that virtute officii are impowerd by law to arrest felons, or those that are suspected of felony, and that before conviction, and also before indictment.

And these are under a greater protection of the law in execution of this part of their office upon these two accounts.

1. Because they are persons more eminently trusted by the law, as in many other acts incident to their office, so in this.

2. Because that they are by law punishable, if they neglect their duty in it.

And therefore it is all the reason that can be, that they should have the greatest protection and encouragement in the due execution of their office, since their actings herein are not arbitrary but necessary duties, (not permissions,) and un-

der severe punishments in their neglect thereof.

And hence it is, that these officers, that are thus intrusted, may without any other warrant but from themselves arrest felons, and those that are probably suspected of selonies; and if they be assaulted and kild in the execution of their office, it is murder; and on the other side, if persons, that are pursued by these officers for selony or the just suspicion thereof, nay for breach of the peace or just suspicion thereof, as night-walkers, persons unduly armed, shall not yield themselves to these officers, but shall either resist or sly before they are apprehended, or being apprehended shall rescue themselves and resist or sly, so that they cannot be otherwise apprehended, and are upon necessity slain therein, because they cannot be otherwise taken, it is no felony in these officers or Vol. II.

their assistants, that upon inevitable necessity kill them, tho possibly the parties kild are innocent, for by their resistance against the authority of the king in his officers they draw

their own blood upon themselves.

The officers that I herein principally intend are, 1. Justices of the peace. 2. Sheriffs. 3. Coroners. 4. Constables. 5. Watchmen. And when I mention these I also include all, that come in their aid and affistance; for every man in such cases is bound to be aiding and affisting to these officers upon their charge and summons in preserving the peace and apprehending of malesactors, especially selons.

And if any being thereunto called shall not give their affishance, they are to be punished by fine and imprisonment, and consequently are under the common protection of the

law equally with the officers themselves.

And that was the reason of the statutes of 7 Jac. cap. 5. and 21 Jac. cap. 12. that gave power as well to assistants of the most usual peace-officers, as to the officers themselves, to plead the general issue, and give the special matter of their justification in evidence, and allow double costs to the defendant.

Wherefoever a private person may arrest a selon or person suspected, there any of these officers may do it; but of this sufficient hath been said before: I therefore come to that power, that concerns them specially as officers in this case.

I. Justices of peace have a double power as in relation to arrest of felons; one upon complaint of another person, whereof hereaster cap. 13. Another primitive and original in themselves, whereof at present.

If a justice of peace see a felony, or other breach of the peace, committed in his presence, he may in his own person

apprehend the felon.

And so he may by word command any person to apprebend him, and such command is a good warrant without writing; but if the selony or other breach of the peace be done in his absence, then he must issue his warrant in writing under his seal to apprehend the malesactor, 14 H. 7. 9. b. adjudged; and by Fineux, if there be any riot or breach of

1

the peace like to happen by a tumultuous meeting, &c. he may command his servants or others to prevent it by arrest-

ing the parties.

And note, that if the justice of peace hath either from himself or by a credible information from others knowledge of a felony done, and just cause of suspicion of any person, he may himself arrest and commit that person, 14 H. 7. 8. per Keble; and according to it are the express words of the statute of 34 E. 3. cap. 1. before-mentiond.

II. Secondly, As to the *sheriff* it is ordaind by the statute of Westminst. 1. cap. 9. (a), "That all generally be ready and

" appointed at the commandment and summons of the she" riff, and at the cry of the country to sue and arrest felons,

" when any need shall be, as well within franchises as with-

" out, and they, that will not so do, and thereof be attaint, " shall make grievous fine to the king, and if default be

" found in the lord of the franchise, the king shall take the

" franchise to himself, &c. And if the sheriff, coroner or

" bailiff will not attach or arrest such felons there, as they

"may, or will not do their office for favour borne to such "misdoers, and be attaint, they shall have a year's impri-

forment and after make grievous fine, if they have where-

" with, and if not, three years imprisonment.

By this statute the sheriff is not only enabled but injoind to arrest felons, and all persons are required to be affishing to him therein upon his summons; and they are punishable by

fine and imprisonment in default thereof.

And altho the sheriff in his *Turn* had power to take prefentments of felonies at common law, yet this was not intended barely of issuing precepts upon such inquisitions, but to a ministerial taking of felons as he was conservator of the peace, for his *Turn* was kept but twice in the year, but the occasions of taking felons were frequent.

And accordingly it was practifed, vide 5 H. 7. 5. a. in fine, the sheriff arrested one suspected of selony, and no question

of the lawfulness thereof.

III. Coroners: Tho coroners had no power of taking inquifitions of any felony but the death of a man, as hath been shewn; and therefore by the express provision of the statute of 4 E. 1. De officio coronatoris he may not only make process but make hue and cry after them, yet by the statute of Westminst. 1. cap. 9. above-mentiond he is a conservator of the peace in relation to all selonies, and can command them to be apprehended, tho he can take no inquisition concerning any but the death of a man.

IV. For the office of *conftable* it is of twofold extent.

1. Ministerial and relative to the justices of peace, coroners, sheriffs, &c. whose precepts he ought to execute, or in default thereof he may be indicted and fined.

2. Original or primitive, as he is a conservator of the peace at common law.

By the original and inherent power in the conftable he may for breach of the peace and fome misdemeanors, less than felony, imprison a person.

If a man leave an infant in the cold to the intent to deaftroy it, or charge the parish, the constable may take him and put him into the stocks. M. 34 & 35 Eliz. B. R. Croke,

n. 1. Beal and Charter p. 287.

So if a constable be affaulted by A. tho it be in his own case, he may imprison the party and carry him to gaol; but for opprobrious words, or a general hindrance of him to summon the trained bands to attend the lord mayor of London upon his precept, he cannot justify the imprisoning of a person in the Compter, T. 31 Eliz. Rot. 1521. Fulmood and Gascoign (c); but he must bring him to a justice of peace: nota, in the justification it was also alleged, that he assaulted him: ideo quære of that judgment.

And what may be done by a constable may be done by his deputy, for by the law a constable may make a deputy, and he is within the statute of 7 fac. cap. 5. to plead the general issue. M. 13 fac. B. R. Phelps and Winchcombe (d).

If A. menace B. to kill him, upon complaint thereof to the constable he may arrest him and put him into the stocks

3 s, till

till he find furety of the peace, 44 E. 3. Barre 202. but that is intended, that he may detain him, till he can conveniently bring him to a justice of peace and to avoid the prefent danger, for the some of the old books seem to hold, that the constable may take sureties of the peace and detain a person till he give him sureties, yet it cannot be by recognizance but by bond, and that for an affray or menace of breach of the peace done in his view. H. 37 Eliz. B. R. Croke, n. 25. Sharrock and Hanmer (e).

If information be given to a constable, that a man and woman are in incontinency together, he may take the neighbours and arrest them, and commit them to prison to find sureties for the good behaviour, 1 H. 7. 6. a. there the custom of London indeed is pleaded; but 13 H. 7. 10. b. adjudged, that it is a good justification for the constable or any in assistance to plead, that A. holds a messuage in the same vill, and she kept persons suspected of common bawdry, and the plaintist suspiciously resorted to that house with women of ill same, and that he arrested the plaintist to find sureties for the good behaviour.

The constable may arrest suspicious night-walkers (f) by the statute of 5 E. 3. cap. 14. and men, that ride armed in fairs or markets or elsewhere. Stat. 2 E. 3. cap. 3. de Northampton.

And it appears by the books before-mentiond, that in cases of arrolls of this or the like nature the constable may execute his office upon information and request of others, that suspect and charge the offenders, nay tho it be but with Vol. II.

Z

fuspicion

"not lawful even for a legal constable to take up a woman upon a bare sufficion only, having been guilty of no breach of the peace nor any unlawful act; and as to the case in 13 H.7. 10. the reason thereof was, because it was in the view of the constable, who found her missoing; that of late constables made a practice of taking up people only for walking the streets, but he knew not whence they had such an authority. MS. Rep.

⁽e) Cro. Eliz. p. 275.

(f) But then that suspicion must not be a mere causeless suspicion, but must be founded upon some probable reason; and so it was ruled in the case of the Queen and Tooley, Mich. 1709. for the murder of Dent, who was kild in aiding the constable, who had taken up a woman, that was walking the street, upon suspicion, as being a woman of ill same. C. J. Holt delivered the resolution of the court, that it was not murder, and gave this for one reason, "That it was

suspicion thereos. 5 E. 3. cap. 14. 13 H. 7. 10. b. 44 E. 3. Barre 202.

But if there be an affray, tho to prevent it, or in the time of the affray the constable may upon information or complaint arrest the offender, yet it is held, that if the affray be past and no danger of death, the constable cannot arrest the parties without a warrant from a justice of peace. 38 E. 3. B. Faux Imprisonment 6.

But the law seems contrary, for tho in that case he cannot take surety of the peace himself, yet upon a complaint to him he may arrest the party to bring him before a justice to find surety of the peace or for appearance. 44 E. 3. Barre

202. 35 Eliz. Sharrock's case.

Now as touching the constable's power of arresting ex officio in relation to felonies it may come under these considerations, 1. What his power is to arrest, when a felony is certainly committed. 2. What his power is to arrest in cases of suspicion of felony. 3. What his power is in case of danger of felony, tho none be committed, as in case of affrays or dangerous wounding.

of all hands agreed, that he may ex officio arrest and imprifon the felon, till he can conveniently be conveyed to a ju-

flice of peace or the common gaol.

And it will be all one, whether the felony were committed in the same vill, or in any other vill or county, if the felons be within the vill, where he is constable.

And this appears clearly by the books of 2 H. 7. 15. b. 7 E. 4. 20. a. and divers others, and by the statute of Westm. 1. cap. 9. 5 E. 3. cap. 14.

And in that case it is on all hands agreed,

- 1. That he may break open doors to take the felon, if the felon be in the house and his entry denied, after demand and notice that he is constable.
- 2. That if in such an attempt of arrest the constable or any that come in his assistance be kild after competent notice that he is a constable, it is murder.

3. That if the felon result and cannot be taken, whether it be after the arrest or before, the killing of the felon, who cannot be otherwise taken, is no felony.

And the reason of all this is, because he is ex officio a confervator of the peace, and is not only permitted but by law injoind to take a felon, and if he omits his duty herein, he

is indictable and subject to a fine and imprisonment.

And it is not material, whether he saw the felony committed, or hath it only by complaint and information; for as well in one case as the other he is bound to apprehend the felon, and make search after him within the limits of his jurisdiction, and to raise bue and cry upon him; and certainly what may be done upon hue and cry raised upon a felon may be done by that conflable who upon the first complaint raifeth it; and the law gives him protection in the execution of his office, and will never punish him in the necessary purfuit of what it injoins him.

And with this all the before cited books in the precedent chapter do agree; for I have before therein determind, that in this case a private person may kill a felon, who is really fuch, if he cannot otherwise be taken: vide supra p. 77.

- 2. I come to the second, namely what if there be a felony done, (suppose a robbery upon A.) and A. suspects B. upon probable grounds to be the felon, and acquaints the constable with it, and desires his aid to apprehend him; in this cale I lay,
- 1. That the constable may apprehend B. upon this account, tho the suspicion arise in A. at first; and with this agree the statutes of 3 E. 1. cap. 9. and 5 E. 3. cap. 14. and the books of 2 E. 4. 9. a. 5 Co. Rep. 91. b. Semain's case, Dalt. cap. 109. p. 292. (g), 13 E. 4. 9. a. accords 2 H. 7. 15. b. tho Brian be to the contrary; but there are to be these circumstances to accompany it, 1. A. the person suspecting ought to • be present, for the justification is, that he did aid A. in taking the party suspected, 2 H. 7. 15. b. 2. He ought to inquire and examine the circumstances and causes of the suspicion of A. which tho he cannot do it upon oath, yet fuch an informa-

tion may carry over the suspicion even to the constable, whereby it may become his suspicion as well as the suspicion of A. And if the constable should not be allowed this latitude in cases of this nature, many felons would escape, and the party arrested hath no prejudice thereby, for the justice of the peace, to whom in such cases he is properly to be brought, may consider the circumstances, and possibly in some cases discharge or bail him, and upon his trial, if innocent, he will be discharged. 3. But there must be a felony in fact done, and the constable must be ascertained of that, and aver it in his plea, and it is issuable.

2. Consequently, if the constable upon such an arrest or attempt thereof be kild, it is murder as well as in the for-

mer cale.

3. That in such case, if the supposed offender fly and take house, and the door will not be opened upon demand of the constable and notification of his business, the constable may break open the door, tho he have no warrant. 13 E. 4. 9. a. for it is a proceeding for the king by persons by law authorized, and therefore there is virtually a non omittas in the

actings of their authority.

And the reason of the difference between private persons arresting upon suspicion and constables is, 1. Because that in the former case it is but a thing permitted to private persons to arrest for suspicion, and they are not punishable if they omit it, and therefore they cannot break open doors; but in case of a constable he is punishable, if he omit it upon complaint.

2. Because it would be a great inconvenience if every private man upon pretense of suspicion should break open houses, for they may not be of known value or responsible; but a constable is an officer known within the vill, and his authority known, and is presumed of sufficiency, for he is chosen by the leet, like a bailist jurus & conus, who need not shew his warrant (*).

4. Again it seems to me, that if a person thus charged with suspicion of felony upon just grounds of suspicion, and where a felony is actually committed, tho he be innocent,

yet if he refilt the officer after notice that he is the officer, and affault him, if the officer kill him, it is no murder.

But it may be more questionable, whether if he fly and cannot be apprehended, the officer may kill him, where he is suspected and innocent, if he cannot be otherwise taken, as he may a selon, as before is shewn p.77. but it seems he may, and it is no selony no more than in the former case, for these reasons, 1. Because the constable is obliged to do his office in case of a probable suspicion, as well as in case of an actual selony. 2. Because he cannot judge, whether the party be guilty or not, till he come to his trial, which cannot be till he be apprehended. 3. Because the party draws upon himself this inconvenience, and makes himself suspected by his very slight from the known officer.

And this is the reason, why tho a man be innocent of a felony committed, yet if he fled for it, and that be presented by the coroner or found by the jury, that acquits him of the felony, yet he forfeits his goods, because it was his own fault, that he did not *stare juri*, and brought upon himself the just cause of suspicion, and put the country to trouble and hazard in pursuing him.

And yet it is true, that if the felon were not once in the hands of an officer, that had warrant to arrest, as if he be in the house and fly out at a back-door before the officer seizeth him, this is not an escape in the officer, 27 Assis, 9. But on the other side it may be said, that it is nevertheless an escape in the township, for which they shall be amerced, tho the person were never actually taken; quod vide supra cap. 10.

And therefore it is at the peril of the whole vill, if they take not a felon (*), and when he is upon probable cause sufficiently spected, he is presumed to be such till the contrary appear upon his trial.

And therefore, as before is faid, a justice of peace cannot discharge a person brought before him but for suspicion of felony, in case a felony were committed, but must either bail or commit him.

Vol. II. A a And

^(*) This holds only as to felony touching the death of a man, but not in safe p. 72.

And upon the reason before given it seems, that if a perfon be charged to the conflable for felony or suspicion of felony in the county of A. and the constable charge him in the king's name to yield himself, and he either before or after the arrest pursue him into another vill, nay into another county, the constable hath the same privilege and protection upon his pursuit and arrest, as if he were taken in the county of A. tho yet he must bring him before the justice of that county, where he was taken: vide Crompton de Pace, p. 172,

173. Dalt. p.340. (h)

But for this latter case I take the law to be all one in case of a constable having a warrant to arrest a felon, or not having one, but doing it by his own intrinsic power virtute officii, namely, that if he hath or hath not a warrant from a justice of peace to arrest a felon, if the felon fly into another county before arrest, he is to be brought before a juflice of that county or to the gaol of that county, where he is arrested; but if he were once arrested and escape, and upon fresh suit he is taken by the constable in another county, yet he may be brought back to the justice or gaol of that county, where he was first arrested (*), for in that case in supposition of law he is always in custody by force and authority of the first arrest, as well where the arrest was virtute officii, as where done by a warrant. Vide 2 E. 4. 6. b. E. 4. 8. b.

And thus far touching the fecond cafe.

3. The third case is, where a felony is not yet committed, but in danger to be committed.

If A. hath wounded B. so that he is in danger of death, and A. flies and takes his house, and shuts the doors and will not open them, the constable of the vill where it is done or upon hue and cry may break the doors of the house to take him, if upon demand he will not yield himself to the constable. 7 E. 3. 16. b. Barre 291.

And in that case if the constable be kild, it is murder; if he kill A. if he cannot be otherwise taken, it is no felony,

but excusable and justifiable by the necessity caused by the obitinacy and default of A. If

⁽h) New Edit. p. 534.

If there be an affray in a house, where the doors are shut, whereby there is likely to be manslaughter or bloodshed committed, the constable of the vill having notice thereof and demanding entrance, if they within resule to do it but continue the affray, the constable may break open the doors to keep the peace and prevent the danger.

Nay yet farther, if there be disorderly drinking or noise in a house at an unseasonable time of night, especially in inns, taverns, or alchouses, the constable or his watch demanding entrance, and being refused may break open the doors to see and suppress the disorder; and this is constantly used in

London and Middlesex.

I come now in the *last* place to consider what the constable is to do with his prisoner, that he hath thus arrested for felony or other causes above-mentiond.

In case of a sudden affray through passion or excess of drink he may put the persons in the stocks, or in a prison, if there be one in the vill, till the heat of their passion or intemperance is over, tho he deliver them afterwards, or till

he can bring them before a justice of peace.

If an offense be committed, for which the constable may arrest, he may convey them to the sheriff or his gaoler of the county; and if it be within a franchise, he may deliver them to the gaol of the franchise, and they are bound to receive them without taking any fine for the same by the Statute of 4 E. 3. cap. 10. vide 5 H. 4. cap. 10. 23 H. 8. cap. 2. But the fafest and best way in all cases is to bring them to a justice of peace, and by them the prisoner may be bailed or committed, as the case shall require, but till they are bailed or discharged, or the sheriff or gaoler hath received them, they are still under the charge of the constable that took them. 10 H. 4. 7. a. Escape 6. Till the constable can conveniently convey the parties arrested to a justice of peace or the common gaol, as when the arrest is in or near night, he may detain the party in the stocks; or if there be no stocks in that vill, he may bring them to the stocks of the next adjacent vill.

And if the person be of quality or sick, the constable may [keep him in an house (i)] for a day and a night at least, and in some cases of necessity for a longer time, till he can with safety and conveniency convey him to a justice of peace or the common gaol. 20 E. 4. 6. b. 22 E. 4. 35. b. Dalt. p. 340.

The charges of fending malefactors to gaol by the common law is to be borne by the vill, where they are appre-

hended. 3 E. 3. Coron. 328. 4 E. 3. cap. 10.

But now by the statute of 3 Fac. cap. 10. the charge is to be borne by the prisoner, if he hath wherewith, the same to be levied by warrant of the justices of peace; and if he hath not wherewith, then the charge to be borne by the parish, township, or tithing, where the offender is apprehended, by a tax or rate to be made, as by the said act is prescribed.

And what I have herein faid touching the conflable is applicable to a tithing-man, headborough, bursholder, for their authority is much the same.

But the constable of the hundred is a distinct officer, and introduced upon the statute of Winton, vide H. 37 Eliz. B. R. Croke, n. 25. Sharrock and Hanmer; yet he seems to be a

conservator of the peace.

V. Watchmen: Watchers are of three kinds, 1. That which is appointed by the statute of Winton, cap. 4. which is that from Ascension-day until Michaelmas watches shall be kept in all towns from sun-setting to sun-rising in boroughs, &c. by twelve, in other towns by six or four, according to the number of the inhabitants: this watch is to be set by the constable, and the neglect thereof punishable by 5 H. 4. cap.3. Their power is to arrest such as pass by until the morning, and if no suspicion, they are then to be deliverd, and if suspicion be touching them, they shall be deliverd to the sherist, viz. to the common gaol there to remain, until they be in due manner deliverd; and if they will not obey the arrest, hue and

(i) These words are not in the MS. are manifestly wanted to supply the but they or others to the like effect sense.

cry shall be levied upon them; but this watch extends only between Ascension-day and Michaelmas.

2. But there is another watch, that may be kept by the constable ex officio, which may extend to other times, because there be other things under his charge, as a conservator of the peace, as for the purpose to raise or pursue hue and cry upon robberies committed by the statute of Winton, cap. 1. to search for lodgers in suburbs of cities, that are suspicious persons, which is to be done every week, or at least once in sisteen days by the same statute, cap. 4. for such as ride or go armed by the statute of 2 E. 3. cap. 3. for night-walkers and persons suspicious either by night or day by the statute of 5 E. 3. cap. 14.

And altho a constable is not bound to any precise time for this kind of watch, nor punishable, if he omit it barely for the omission, if he be ready upon occasion to do his office, when required in these cases, yet it is in his power to hold such watches, as often as he pleases, and it is convenient and justifiable, and herein the watchmen are the ministers and assistants of the constable, and are under the same protection with him, and may act as he doth; and regularly he ought to be in company with them in their walk and watch.

3. There is yet a third kind of watch, which is by authority of the justices of peace, which may be held at other times than the statute of Winton appoints, and the watch thus appointed hath the same power as either of the sormer; and this seems to be within the power of any one justice of peace by the first Assignavimus in his commission; vide Lamb. Fustic. Lib. I. cap. 20. p. 185. Dalt. cap. 60. p. 142. (k), and cap. 109. p. 292. (l); but the safer way and more usual is by order of the sessions of the peace or of the court of king's bench, which hath the highest ordinary authority in matters of the peace and preservation thereof.

Now a watchman hath a double protection of the law, viz. 1. As an affiftant to the constable, when the constable is present or in the watch, for so every man, who is affishing to the constable in the execution of his office, hath the Vol. II.

B b

⁽k) New Edit. cap. 104. p. 352. (l) New Edit. cap. 109. p. 536.

fame protection, that the law gives the conftable. 2. Purely as a watchman fet by order of law; and the law takes notice of his authority fub eo nomine, and therefore killing of a watchman in execution of his office is murder. Co. P. C. cap. 7. p. 52. 9 Co. Rep. 66. a. Mackally's case.

And such a watchman may apprehend night-walkers and commit them to custody till the morning, and also felons

and persons suspected of felony.

And thus far of arrests virtute officii.

CHAP. XII.

Of arrests of felons upon hue and cry raised.

II UE and cry is the old common law process after felons and such as have dangerously wounded any person: And this hath received great countenance and authority by several acts of parliament.

By the statute of Westm. 1. cap. 9. (a), it is enacted, "That" all be ready and apparelled at the summons of the sheriff " & a cry de pays to pursue and arrest selons as well within "Sanahisa as without a and if they do it not and here.

"franchises as without; and if they do it not and be thereof attaint, le roy prendra a eux grevement, they are to be in-

" dicted and fined for the neglect.

By the statute of 4 E. 1. De officio coronatoris "Hue and "cry shall be levied for all murders, burglaries, men-slain,

" or in peril to be flain, as other-where is used in England, and all shall follow the bue and steps as near as they can;

" and he, that doth not and is convict thereof, shall be at-

" tached to be before the justices in eyre.

By the statute of Winton, cap. 1. "From henceforth every country shall be so well kept, that immediately upon robbe- ries and selonies committed fresh suit shall be made from

town

town to town, and from country to country: and cap. 4. " If " any will not obey the arrest of the town, where night-walk-" ers pass, they shall levy bue and cry upon them, and such " as keep the town (viz. the bailiff or conflable,) shall follow " with hue and cry with all the town and the towns near; " and so hue and cry shall be made from town to town, un-" til they are taken and deliverd to the sheriff; and for ar-

" reftments of fuch strangers none shall be punished.

And this is in truth but the antient law; thus it appears by Bracton, Lib. III. cap. 1. where he mentions a provision per dominum regem & ejus concilium, (which must be intended an antient act of parliament,) quòd omnes tàm milites quàm alii, qui sunt quindecim annorum & ampliùs, jurare debent, quòd utlegatos, murdratores, robbatores, & burglatores non receptabunt, nec iis consentirent, nec eorum receptatoribus, &, si quos tales noverint, eos attachiari facient, & hoc vice-comiti & ballivis suis monstrabunt, & si huesium vel clamorem de talibus audiverint, statim audito clamore sequentur cum familià & hominibus de terrâ suâ (*).

And it is one of the articles of inquiry in the leet in the statute de visu franci plegii, de hues levies & nient pursues, and among the capitula Itineris de huefo levato & non secuto: vide

Coke super stat. Westm. 1. cap. 9. 2 Instit. p. 172.

And altho it is a good course to have a justice of peace to direct his warrant for raising hue and cry to prevent causeless bues and cries, yet it is neither of absolute necessity nor fometimes convenient, for the felons may escape before the justice can be found, and hue and cry was part of the law before the statute of 1 E. 3. cap. 16. which first instituted justices of peace.

And altho also it is specially incumbent upon constables to purfue hue and cry, when called upon, and they are feverely punished, if they neglect it (b), and it prevents many inconveniences, if they be there, for it gives a greater authority to

^(*) Vide Part I. p. 23 & 24. in notis.

(b) By 8 Geo. 2. cap. 16. "If any "as he shall receive notice thereof, he constable, headborough, &c. within the hundred, wherein any robbery "forseit five pounds, one half to the final happen, shall refuse or neglect "king, and the other half to such perto make bue and cry after the felons" son, as shall sue for the same.

their pursuit, and enables the pursuants in his assistance to plead the general issue upon the statutes of 7 & 21 Jac. without being driven to special pleading; and therefore to prevent inconveniences, that may happen by unruliness, it is most advisable, that the constable be called to this action.

Yet upon a robbery or other felony committed *bue* and *cry* may be raifed by the country in the absence of the constable,

it is therefore called Cry de pais.

Neither is there any inconvenience confiderable in it, for if hue and cry be raifed without cause, they that raise it are punishable by fine and imprisonment. 2 Co. Instit. p. 173.

And accordingly it was agreed by the two chief justices and three other judges upon the trial of Packhurst, Jackson and others, who were all convicted of murder and executed for killing two of the countrymen, that followed them, being highway robbers. Lent vacation anno Car. 2. 26.

In this matter of *hue* and *cry* these things are considerable, *viz.* 1. By whom it is to be levied. 2. How it is to be levied. 3. In what manner to be pursued. 4. What may be done by them that pursue it. 5. How punished, if omit-

ted or neglected.

I. Hue and Cry may be raised as well by an officer of juflice, as by the precept of a justice of peace upon information of a felony.

Or it may be raised by any private person, that is robbed,

or knows of any felony.

II. Touching the manner of it: It is diverse according to variety of circumstances. 1. The party, that levies it, ought to come to the constable of the vill, and give him notice of a felony committed, and give him such reafonable assurance thereof, as the nature of the case will bear.

2. If he knows the name of him that did it, he must tell the constable the same. 3. If he know it not, but can describe him, he must describe his person, or his habit, or his horse, or such circumstances that he knows, which may conduce to his discovery. 4. If the thing be done in the night, so that he knows none of these circumstances, he must mention the number of the persons, or the way they took. 5. If none of

all these can be discoverd, as where a robbery or burglary or felony is committed in the night, yet they are to acquaint the constable with the fact, and desire him to search in his town for suspected persons, and to make hue and cry after fuch as may be probably fuspected as being persons vagrant in the same night, for many circumstances may ex post facto be useful for discovering a malefactor, which cannot be at first found (c).

III. In what manner it is to be purfued. 1. The conftable is to make fearch in his own vill, 2 E. 4. 8 & 9. is to raife all the neighbouring vills next about, Crompt. de Pace, f. 178. b. 3. It is to be pursued with horse and foot: vide 27 Eliz. cap. 13. Dalt. cap. 28. p. 75. (d), per direction de Hyde chief justice.

IV. What may be done in pursuance of a hue and cry levied, and therein I think as followeth.

1. That in case of hue and cry once raised and levied upon supposal of a felony committed, tho in truth there was no felony committed, yet those, that pursue hue and cry, may arrest and proceed, as if so be a felony had been really com-

And therefore the justification of an imprisonment by a person upon suspicion, and by a person, especially a constable, upon hue and cry levied do extremely differ, for in the former there must be a felony averred to be done, and it is issuable; but in the latter, viz. upon bue and cry it need not be averred, but the hue and cry levied upon information of a felony is sufficient, tho perchance the information were false; Vol. II.

⁽c) By 27 Eliz. cap. 13. To the levying of hue and cry so as to charge the hundred for any robbery it is requisite, "That the party robbed do with all convenient speed give notice thereof to the inhabitants of some town, vil-" of the robbery, or leave notice in

[&]quot; writing of fuch robbery at the dwel-" ling-house of such constable, &c. de-" scribing, as far as the nature and cir-" cumstances of the case will admit, the " felon or felons, and the time and place of the robbery; and also within twenty days next after the robbery "lage or hamlet near the place where "the robbery was committed:" And by 8 Geo. 2. cap. 16. it is further required, "That the party robbed do with all convenient speed give notice there—"of to some constable, headborough, "Ec. of some town, Ec. near the place "whereof he was robbed."

"the robbery, or leave notice in the robbery; and allo within twenty days next after the robbery committed cause public notice to be given thereof in the London Gazette, "therein likewise describing the felon or felons, and the time and place of such robbery, together with the goods "whereof he was robbed."

"All Neve Edit cap to the robbery or leave notice in the robbery together with the goods "the robbery or leave notice in the robbery together with the goods "the robbery and also within twenty days next after the robbery to the robbery and also within twenty days next after the robbery to be where the robbery to be where the robbery and also within the robbery to be the robbery and also within the robbery to be the robbery and also within the robbery to be the robbery and also within the robbery to be the robbery and also within the robbery to be the robbery and also within the robbery to be the robbery and also within the robbery to be the robbery and also within the robbery to be the robbery and also within the robbery to be the robbery and also within the robbery to be the robbery and also within the robbery and also wi (d) New Edit. cap. 54. p. 169.

and therefore I do not find any averment of a felony committed in case of a justification of an imprisonment upon hue and cry. 5 H. 7. 5. a. 21 H. 7. 28. a. per Rede, 2 E. 4. 8 & 9.

And the reasons hereof are these. 1. Because the constable cannot examine the truth or fallhood of the suggestion of him that first levied it, for he cannot administer him an oath, and if he should forbear his pursuit of the hue and cry till it be examind by a justice of peace, the selon might escape, and the pursuit would be lost and fruitless. 2. Because the constable is by the acts of parliament before-mentiond compellible to pursue hue and cry and he is punishable, and so are those of the vill, if they do it not, as appears by the acts of parliament above-mentiond. 3. Because he, that first raiseth a hue and cry, where no selony is committed, viz. the person that giveth the salse information, is severely punishable by fine and imprisonment, if the information be salse. 21 H. 7. 28. a. 29 E. 3. 39. a. b. 2 Co. Instit. p. 173.

And therefore if he raise a hue and cry upon a person that is innocent, yet they, that pursue the hue and cry, may justify the imprisonment of that innocent person, and the raiser is punishable; and by the same reason, if he give notice of a selony committed, when there was in truth none.

2. If bue and cry be raised against a person certain for selony, the possibly he is innocent, yet the constables and those that sollow the bue and cry may arrest and imprison him in

the common gaol, or carry him to a justice of peace.

3. If the person pursued by hue and cry be in a house and the doors are shut, and refused to be opened upon demand of the constable and notification of his business, he may break open the doors; and this he may do in any case, where he may arrest, tho it be only a suspicion of selony, for it is for the king and commonwealth, and therefore a virtual non omittas is in the case: vide 5 Co. Rep. 92. b. Semain's case. And the same law is upon a dangerous wound given, and a hue and cry levied upon the offender. 7 E. 3. 16. b. Barre 291.

And it feems in this case, that if he cannot be otherwise taken, he may be kild, and the necessity excuseth the con-

stable: vide Part I. cap. 9. p. 53.

4. Upon bue and cry levied against any person, or where any hue and cry comes to a constable, whether the person be certain or uncertain, the constable may search in suspected places within his vill for the apprehending of the felons. Dalt. cap. 28. p. 75. (e), Crompt. de Pace, f. 178. b.

But the he may fearch suspected places or houses, yet his entry must be per ostia aperta, for he cannot break open doors barely to fearch, unless the person against whom the hue and cry is levied be there, and then it is true he may; therefore in case of such a search the breaking open the door is at his peril, viz. justifiable, if he be there; not justifiable, if he be not there; but it must be always remembred, that in case of breaking open a door there must be first a notice given to them within of his business, and a demand of entrance, and a refusal before doors can be broken.

5. If the hue and cry be not against a person certain, but by description of his stature, person, clothes, horse, &c. the hue and ery doth justify the constable or other person following it in apprehending the person so described, whether innocent or guilty, for that is his warrant, it is a kind of process, that the law allows, (not usual in other cases,) viz. to arrest a person by description.

6. But if the *bue* and *cry* be upon a robbery, burglary, manslaughter, or other felony committed, but the person, that did the fact, is neither known nor describible by person, clothes, or the like, yet fuch a hue and cry is good, as hath been faid, and must be pursued, tho no person certain be

named or described.

And therefore in this case all that can be done is for those that purfue the hue and cry to take fuch persons, as they have probable cause to suspect; as for instance, such persons as are vagrants, that cannot give an account where they live, whence they are, or fuch suspicious persons as come late into their inn or lodgings, and give no reasonable account where they had been, and the like: vide 2 E. 4. 8. b.

And here the justification of the imprisonment is mixed partly upon the hue and cry and partly upon their own suspicion, and therefore, 1. In respect that it is upon hue and cry there needs no averment, that the felony was done, yet it must be averred, that an information was given, that the felony was done, if the arrest be by that constable that first received the information, and so raised the bue and cry; or if the arrest were made by that constable, or those vills, to whom the bue and cry came at the fecond hand, it must be averred, that fuch a hue and cry came to them purporting fuch a felony to be done; but 2. Also in as much as the bue and cry neither names nor describes the person of the felon, but only the felony committed, and therefore the arrest of this or that particular person, and so applied, is left to the suspicion and discretion of the constable, or the people of the second or third vill, he that arrefts any person upon such general hue and cry must aver, that he suspected and shew a reasonable cause of suspicion.

But now by the statute of 7 Jac. cap. 5. The constable or any that come in his assistance, even in this case of hue and cry, may plead the general issue, and give the whole matter of the justification in evidence, for the pursuit of hue and cry, tho performed by others as well as the constable, is principally the act of the constable of the vill, and the others are but as his deputies or assistants within the precincts of their constable-wick.

V. For the last matter how the neglect of the pursuit of hue and cry is to be punished, it hath been before declared upon the statutes of 3 E. 1. cap. 9. 4 E. 1. and 1 3 E. 1. of Winton, they are to be indicted, fined and imprisond.

CHAP. XIII.

Arrests of felons virtute præcepti, or of warrants.

I Come now to consider of arrests of selons or persons suspected of selony by warrant or precept, namely not of precepts that issue upon matter of record, as upon appeals or indictments, which regularly are to be by writ, but such warrants as are preparatory to it, or for conservation of the peace.

And herein regularly all courts and persons, that have judicial power by the common law, or by act of parliament for the conservation of the peace, have power to grant warrants for arresting of selons; but such as are simply ministerial and have no jurisdiction, as constables, cannot issue warrants for that purpose, but must do their office either alone, or with others called to their assistance.

The court of king's bench hath not only a power to iffue writs upon indictments or appeals before them, but have also power by order to command the sheriff of the county, where they sit, or the marshal of the court to apprehend felons or disturbers of the peace, and bring them before the court; and this is warrantable by the custom of the court, which is part of the law of the land.

Yea I have known by great advice, that where there hath been information upon oath of a breach of the peace, and a defign by persons, whose names could not be known, to commit a riot or breach of the peace, an order hath been made by the court to the sheriff to bring before them such persons, as should be probably suspected to be parties therein, and to bring them into the court, M. 23 Car. 2. in Storie's case for attempting to take away the daughter of Mrs. Gilburn with masks and vizards.

See before cap. 1. concerning the king's bench. Vol. II. D d

A commission issues out of the *chancery* under the great seal to take persons, that were notoriously famed of felony or trespass, tho they were not indicted, and by virtue hereof the commissioners issue a precept to B. to take J. S. that had dangerously wounded another; this was admitted a good justification in the officer, tho the commission itself was against law, 24 E. 3. 9. B. Faux Imprisonment 9. Vide simile 42 Assistant where a commission issuing out of chancery to take J. S. and his goods, before he was indicted, is ruled to be against law.

And therefore I would never advise those general proclamations, that have sometimes issued, to take certain persons

notoriously suspected of felony but not indicted.

It is true, that such a proclamation may be a means to give notice of felons, but so it may perchance include true men; and therefore what by law a constable, sheriss, officer, or private person may do in arresting of felons or suspected persons without such a proclamation may be justifiable, but not by virtue of the proclamation, neither can any justification be made by virtue of it; and therefore such proclamations against persons not indicted are against law, and may bring great inconveniences, 1. By leading the country into an error. 2. By the example itself, which may be of ill consequence to honest men, as well as of use to apprehend felons.

27 Assize 35. A man was indicted of felony before justices of over and terminer: It is admitted, that the justices may not only award process of outlawry thereupon, but may also issue a commission (which is no other than a warrant under their hands and seals,) to take the party, and that by virtue of such a warrant or commission they may break open doors, but they must shew their commission, if demanded.

By the common law the sheriff of the county might give out a warrant for the apprehending a felon before indictment; and this is farther confirmed by the statute of 3 E. 1. cap. 9. au commandment, & a les summons de viscount & au cry de pays must be understood disjunctively, (or at the cry of the country,) for the sheriff had jurisdiction at the common law to take indictments of old selonies in his Turn; and so he hath

still,

still, the he is not now to make process upon them by the

statute of 1 E. 4. cap. 2.

The coroners have also power to attach manslayers by their warrants after inquisition, whereby they are found guilty, but that seems not to be all their power; but they may make out warrants for apprehending those persons that are not, or cannot be presented before them, as those that were present and not guilty; nay also of burglars and robbers, and yet they cannot take an inquisition touching them; this appears evidently by the statutes of 3 E. 1. cap. 9. and 4 E. 1. Officium coronatoris. And with this agrees the common usage at this day for the coroners to take manslayers before their inquisition be taken, for many times the inquest is long in their inquiry, and the offender may escape, if he stay till the inquisition deliverd up.

But because at this day the greatest part of the business of this nature is dispatched by justices of the peace, I shall be more large touching their warrants, wherein nevertheless much of what is said therein will be applicable to the war-

rants, that iffue in like cases by other persons.

And therein I shall principally consider these particulars, 1. When and in what cases they may issue their warrants for the apprehending of selons. 2. To whom. 3. How and in what manner such precept or warrant is to issue. 4. What may be done by the officer in pursuance thereof.

I. As touching the first, in what cases justices of peace may make warrants for the taking of felons or persons suspect

of felony.

My lord Coke in his jurisdiction of courts, cap. 31. p. 176, 177. hath deliverd certain tenets, which, if they should hold to be law, would much abridge the power of justices of peace, and condemn the constant and usual practice, and give a loose to selons to escape unpunished in most cases (*), viz.

1. That a justice of peace cannot upon complaint issue a warrant to apprehend a selon before indictment, grounding himself upon the hasty opinion of Fitzberbert and Brudnell 14

H. 8. 16. a. who yet hold the officer excused, that makes the arrest upon that warrant.

2. That admit he may arrest, yet

he cannot break open a house to take the felon by virtue of that warrant. 3. That admit he may arrest by that warrant, yet he cannot issue a warrant in case only of suspicion.
4. That admit he may, yet no house can be broken by virtue of such warrant.

Touching the fecond and fourth matter I shall consider them, when I come to the business of the officer's power in pursuance of a justice's warrant; but touching the power of issuing this warrant by the justices of peace, I shall now consider it: And therein I say as followeth.

1. That a justice of peace hath power to iffue a warrant to apprehend a person accused of felony, tho not yet indicted.

That, upon which the doubt must arise to those that made a doubt of it, must certainly be the statutes of Magna Carta, cap. 29. Nullus liber homo imprisonetur &c. nist per legale judicium parium suorum vel per legem terra. 25 E.3. cap. 4. "None shall be taken upon suggestion made to the king or his council, unless it be by presentment or indictment, &c. or by writ original at the common law." 28 E. 3. cap. 3. "No man shall be taken, or imprisond, or disinherited, or put to death without being brought to answer by due process of law." 42 E. 3. cap. 3. "Whereas upon salle accusations people have been brought before the king and council, it is enacted, that no man shall be put to answer without presentment before justices or matter of record, or by due process and writ original according to the old law of the land.

Now all the weight of the question upon these statutes is to see what the law of the land is, for if these preparatory arrests of selons be not against the law of the land, they are not restrained by these statutes. Certainly by the law of the land, if a selony were committed, or but suspected to be committed, a man might be arrested by the party that knows, or upon probable grounds suspects him to be the selon; or by a constable upon complaint, or upon hue and cry, and he might be carried to prison, and there detained till delivered by due course of law; and yet this person so ar-

rested not all this while indicted: vide statutes 3 E. 1. cap. 9. 4 E. 3. cap. 10. and 5 E. 3. cap. 14. And all this was in order to preserve the peace of the kingdom, and to suppress felons.

But this being not found effectual enough, by the statute of 1 E. 3. cap. 16. for the better keeping and maintaining of the peace commissions are to issue for the same in the several counties; this was their primitive institution. Their power was enlarged by the statute of 18 E. 3. cap. 2. to hear and determine selonies and trespasses: and by the statute of 34 E. 3. cap. 1. their power is farther enlarged, and particularly their taking as well persons suspected as indicted of selonies mentioned in that act is required, which, the perchance it refer only to those that have been robbers and gone beyond the sea and since returned, yet it is a pattern for others.

Now by these statutes surely as much power is intended to be translated to the justices of peace in order to the prefervation thereof, as was in a constable or private person, for the justices of the peace are conservators of the peace and more.

And let a man look upon all the acts of parliament, that have been down to this day, he shall find that the power of justices of peace to convene and commit felons before indictment 4 E. 3. cap. 2. Sheriffs shall not let to mainprise fuch as be indicted or taken by justices of peace, unless mainpernable by law, 1 R. 3. cap. 3. and 3 H. 7. cap. 3. concerning bailing of prisoners committed upon suspicion, 1 & 2 P. & M. cap. 13. 2 & 3 P. & M. cap. 10. by which it appears, that juflices of peace may commit for felony, yea or for suspicion of felony; 3 Jac. cap. 10. 5 H. 4. cap. 10. fo that the imprisonment before indictment is surely lawful and not within the restraint of Magna Carta; and if so, then surely their arrest is much more lawful, for it is but to bring perfons to an examination in order to their commitment, bail, or discharge; and there is no greater record of their commitment than of their arrests.

2. He may also issue a warrant to apprehend a person suspected of felony, tho the original suspicion be not in him-Vol. II. E e self

felf, but in the party that prays his warrant; and the reason is, because he is a competent judge of the probabilities offerd

to him of fuch fuspicion.

And as a constable may upon complaint arrest a person suspected of felony, as appears by what hath been said in the foregoing chapters (*), fo a justice of peace may do the like by his warrant; and it is also the constant practice accord-

But that I may say it once for all, it is fit in all cases of warrants for arrefting for felony, much more for suspicion of felony, to examine upon oath the party requiring a warrant, as well whether a felony were done, as also the causes of his suspicion, for he is in this case a competent judge of those circumstances, that may induce the granting of a warrant to arreit.

And if there were no other reason to prove it than this, it were fufficient; namely, that the justice of peace may commit him to gaol, that is brought before him for fuch suspicion, or bail him, as appears by the statutes of 1 R. 3. cap. 3. 3 H.7. cap. 3. 1 & 2 P. & M. cap. 10. and therefore à fortiori may make a warrant to convene or bring him before him to examine the cause of the suspicion.

II. As touching the fecond matter, to whom this warrant is to be directed.

Usually the warrant or precept is directed to the sheriff, or bailiff, constable, or tithingman, and they are bound to execute it; and if they do not, they may be indicted and fined for their neglect.

But it may be directed to any private person or his own servant, 14 H. 8. 16. a. Cromp. de Pace, f. 147. b. but he is not bound to execute it, but if he execute it, it is as good as if he were an officer.

If a warrant be directed from a justice of peace to a constable of D. to arrest a selon, &c. he is not bound to go out of the vill, where he is constable, to execute the warrant; but yet if he do execute it in another vill, it is good enough, for he acts herein not simply as constable of D. but by virtue

of

^(*) Fide cap. 10. p. 80. and cap: 11. p. 91. See also Part I. p. 619.

of the justice's warrant; and so it was ruled in my time at the affizes in Norfolk about 1668.

III. As to the third matter, how and in what manner it is to be made or issued; touching which these things are regularly to be observed.

The party that demands it ought to be examind upon his oath touching the whole matter, whereupon the warrant is demanded, and that examination put into writing.

The party charging another thus with felony ought to be bound by recognizance to profecute at the next fessions or assizes, as the case shall require. Dalt. cap. 117. p. 334. (a).

The warrant ought to be under the hand and seal of the justice, 2 Co. Instit. p. 52. It must have a certain date, but the place, tho it must be alleged in pleading, need not be expressed in the warrant. 14 H. 8. 16. a.

Regularly the warrant ought to contain the cause specially, and should not be generally to answer such matters as shall be objected against him, because it cannot appear, whether it be within the jurisdiction of the justice of peace, neither can it appear whether the party be bailable or not. 2 Co. Instit. p. 52, 591.

And therefore upon such a general warrant returned upon an habeas corpus, it is in the pleasure of the court of king's bench to bail or discharge him; and accordingly for this reason, P. 23 Car. B. R. in Brown's case he was discharged.

But yet I hold fuch a warrant is not therefore void, but if de facto the matter be within the jurisdiction of the justice, and so averred, such a general warrant is a good justification especially in case of felony, and antiently it was generally held such general warrants were good in cases of treason or felony (*), tho in warrants of the peace and good behaviour the cause must be shewn, that the party may come provided with his sureties; and accordingly vide Rastal's Entries, tit. Attackment 1. Dalt. cap: 117. p. 329. (b), Crompt. de Pace, f. 148. a.

⁽a) New Edit. cap. 169. p. 579. the case of Kendal and Rowe, State Tr. (*) Cromps. 293. b. 1 Sid. 78. Dalt. Vol. IV. p. 861, 862. 5 Mod. Rep. p. 80. p. 574. and Sir William Hyndham's 82, 85. case, Trin. 2 Gov. I. B. R. Vide tamen (b) New Edit. p. 574.

T. 37 Eliz. C. B. Broughton and Mulshoe (c); and accordingly ruled by my lord Coke himself contrary to his opinion in his comment upon Magna Carta, T. 7 Jac. C.B. the case of the mayor of Canterbury: vide supra Part I. cap. 54. p. 609. Breach of prison.

A justice of peace may make his warrant to apprehend a person suspected by name upon a complaint made to him; but where upon a complaint to a justice of a robbery he made a warrant to apprehend all persons suspected and bring them before him, this was ruled a void warrant, P. 24 Car. 1. in the case of justice Swallowe, and was not a sufficient justification

in falle imprilonment.

A justice of peace may make a warrant as well in case of felony as of the peace to bring the party before himself, and then the officer ought to bring the party before him, that made the warrant, 5 Co. Rep. 59. b. Foster's case; or he may make the warrant to bring him before any of his majesty's justices of the peace, or before himself, or any of his majesty's justices of the peace, and then it is in the election of the officer to bring him before which justice of the county he pleases; and it is not in the election of the party to go before whom he pleases; adjudged 5 Co. Rep. 59. b. Foster's case against the opinion of Fineux 21 H. 7.21. a.

And in some cases he may make his warrant to bring him to the sessions of the peace, tho it is better to bring him before himself or some justice, that the party may be in the mean time bailed, if there be cause, to appear at the sessions of the peace or gaol-delivery, as the cause shall require.

A warrant of the peace may be to bring the party complained of to the justice to the intent to find sureties for his appearance at the selsions, &c. and in the mean time to keep the peace, or the warrant may be si recusaverit then to bring him to the common gaol ibidem moraturus, quousque gratis hoc secerit; and yet the constable or officer may bring him in that case before the justice; and if he resuse there to give sureties, he may by virtue of the first warrant bring him to gaol, and commit without any farther warrant or mittimus, 5 Co. Rep. 59. b. Foster's case.

The warrant of a justice before indictment may be in the king's name with the Teste of the justice, or it may be in the name of the justice of peace himself; the latter most usual; but process after indictment issued from a fessions of the peace is always in the king's name, Dalt. Justice, p. 404, 347, 348. (d). But whether generally a justice of peace out of sessions can issue a warrant to apprehend persons offending against a penal law, tho within their cognizance, and so to bind them over to the sessions, or in default thereof to commit them, and this before indictment, seems doubtful: vide Lamb. 188, 189. Dalt. cap. 117. p. 331. (e). These things seem to make against it. 1. Because some acts of parliament do particularly and expresly authorize them to it, which they would not have done, if it had been otherwise lawful. 2. Because in most cases of this nature, tho the party were indicted or an information preferd, yet the capias was not the first process but a venire facias and distringas, and in cases of information no process of outlawry at all, 8 H. 6. 9. b. until the statute of .2 1 Fac. cap. 4. gave process of outlawry in actions popular, as in actions of trespass vi U armis. of 118 of 1

In case of a complaint and oath of goods stolen, and that he suspects the goods are in such a house, and shews the cause of his suspicion, the justice of peace may grant a warrant to search in those suspected places mentioned in his warrant, and to attach the goods and the party in whose custody they are sound, and bring them before him or some justice of peace to give an account how he came by them, and farther to abide such order, as to law shall appertain: vide Dalt. p. 353. (f).

And this is warrantable by law, and without it felons could not in many cases be discovered, and is the constant practice at this day notwithstanding the opinion of my lord Coke in his jurisdiction of courts; p. 176.

But in that case it is convenient, 1. To express that the searches be made in the day-time. 2. That the party sufpecting be present to give the officer information of his goods.

F f goods.

⁽d) New Edit. p. 593. (e) New Edit. p. 576. (f) New Edit. p. 598.

goods. 3. There can be no breaking open of doors to make the fearch, but he must enter per oftia aperta, or upon the voluntary opening of the door by the house-keeper or his fervants; and the reason is, because the bare having of stolen goods in his house doth not necessarily make a man either a felon or accessary. 4. But because the having of stolen goods in his custody is prima facile an evidence of a felony and a good cause of suspicion, it is a lawful clause in the warrant to attach the party, in whose custody they are found, to come before the justice. 5. The goods being found ought not to be deliverd to the party complaining, but to remain in the constable's hand, till either by a writ of restitution upon the conviction of the felony, or by due order of the court they be deliverd.

But the general warrant to fearch all places, whereof the party and officer have fuspicion, tho it be usual, yet it is not so fase upon the reason of justice Smallow's case before cited; and yet see precedents of such general warrants Dalt. p. 353,

354.

The warrant of a justice of peace ought regularly to mention the name of the party to be attached, and must not be left in generals or with blanks to be filled up by the party afterwards. Dalt. cap. 117. p. 329. (g). If there be a riot or breach of the peace in the presence of one or more justices they may arrest the rioters themselves, or command any officers or others by word of mouth without warrant to arrest them, and they may by virtue thereof flagrante crimine arrest them in the absence of the justice by the true meaning of the statutes of 34 E. 3. cap. 1. and 13 H. 4. cap. 7. quod vide adjudged 14 H. 7. 9 & 10.

And therefore if a riot be committed and dispersed by the coming of the justice of peace, and they be suspected probably to meet again or threaten to do so, the the constables may ex officio suppress the riot, and raise the power of the vill to do it; yet I think it clear that a justice of peace may deliver a special warrant in the hands of any person to arrest the rioters, if they re-assemble, the there be no particular per-

3

fons named in the warrant, because it may be impossible to be known what their names are, and yet the peace is necessary to be kept, as well as the breach of it to be punished; and the justice cannot always personally watch their re-assembling, but must trust others to do it; and this is admitted of all hands in the book of 14 H.7.9. and the only doubt is, whether it may be done by word, which yet is adjudged there good.

And thus far for warrants.

IV. The fourth thing is the manner and order of their execution.

If a warrant or precept to arrest a felon come to an officer or other, if the felon be arrested and after arrest escape into another county, yet he may be purfued and taken upon fresh pursuit, and brought before the justice of the county, where the warrant issued, for the law adjudgeth him always in the officer's cultody by virtue of the first arrest; but if he escape before arrest into another county, if it be a warrant barely for a misdemeanor, it seems the officer cannot pursue him into another county, because out of the jurisdiction of the justice that granted the warrant; but in case of felony, affray, or dangerous wounding the officer may purfue him and raise hue and cry upon him into any county, but if he take him in a forein county, he is to bring him to the gaol or justice of that county, where he is taken, for he doth not take him purely by the warrant of the justice, but by the authority that the law gives him; and the justice's warrant is a sufficient cause of suspicion and pursuit. 2 E. 4. 6. b. Dalt. cap. 118. p. 340. (b), 7 E. 3. 16. b. 11 E. 4. 4. b.

Tho a person, that hath a warrant to arrest for felony or other misdemeanor, may call others to his affistance, yet he cannot make a warrant to another as his deputy to execute it, or command another to execute it in his absence. 8 E. 4. 14. a.

But it is held, that, if the warrant be directed to the sheriff, he may make a warrant to his bailiff to execute it, and may command by word his under-sheriff to execute it without any other warrant. 8 E. 4.14. a. Dalt. cap. 117. p. 332. (i).

If a warrant issue from a justice of peace to a private person to arrest for felony or any other matter, he is not bound to shew his warrant, unless it be demanded, and then he must shew it.

But if it be directed to a known officer, as to the sheriff, who is a known officer in the county, or to a constable, who is a known officer in the vill, he is not bound to shew his warrant, the demanded, no more than a bailiff jurus & conus: it is enough for him to fay I arrest you for felony, &c. in the king's name. 8 E. 4.14. a. 14 H. 7. 9. b. 21 H. 7. 23. a. 9 Co. Rep. 69. Mackally's case (*).

But it is reasonable and also safe for the officer to acquaint him what he attacheth or arrefteth him for, for it is a great fecurity to the officer, that arrests him, and just for the party

arrested to know the cause for what it is.

A warrant of a justice of peace to arrest for felony may be executed in a franchise within the county, for it is the king's fuit, in which a non omittas is virtually included.

Where by virtue of a warrant from a justice of peace the house may be broken to apprehend a felon or other malefactor, there are these diversities.

Upon a warrant to fearch for stolen goods the doors cannot be broken open, for the it be for the king, yet the law enables not the breaking of houses in all cases for the king: (vide statute 12 Car. 2. cap. 19. a special act to enable the search and breaking open of an house in case of goods uncustomed,) and therefore the entry to fearch by fuch a warrant must be per ostia aperta.

So upon an excommunicato capiendo, tho it be the king's suit, yet doors cannot be broken to take him. H. 42 Eliz. C. B.

Croke, n. 17. Smith and Smith (k).

If a justice of peace issue a warrant to apprehend a felon, who is in his own house, and after notice of the warrant and

(*) This was an arrest in a civil action, and the warrant there meant was case of selony, because in such case a not the writ or warrant for arresting the party, but the general warrant constituting him bailiff, and of this are the cases in the year-books here cited to be

understood; tho it may be otherwise in

request to open the door it is refused or neglected to be done, the officer may break open the door to take him; and the fame law is, if it be but for fuspicion of felony.

9. a. 5 Co. Rep. 91. b. Semain's case (†).

And so much more may he break open the house of another person to take him, for so the sheriff may do upon a civil process, 5 Co. Rep. 93. a. Semain's case. But then he must at his peril see that the felon be there, for if the felon be not there, he is a trespasser to the stranger, whose house it is; but in both cases the officer must first notify his bushness that he comes about, and demand admission. Ibidem.

But in case of warrants to search for stolen goods I think

the doors of any person cannot be broken up.

If a warrant of the peace issue from a justice of peace, the officer or minister of such warrant may break open a door in case of refusal to open after demand and notice of his business: ruled by Popham and Clerk 3 Fac. Dalt. cap. 78. p. 204, 205. (1).

Now touching the killing of a man justiciari se nolentis, where there is a lawful warrant against him, much hath been faid before, where I confiderd the conftable's power (*); fome

what I shall say here.

It is necessary in this case to consider the difference between an arrest upon a warrant for felony, and an arrest for a simple mildemeanor.

And also a difference if the officer kills him in case of a flight, or of a resistance and an attempt of a rescue after

arreft.

If there be a warrant against A. for a trespass or breach of the peace, and A. flies and will not yield to the arrest, or being taken makes his escape, the minister kills him, this is murder.

But if A. either upon the attempt to arrest, or after the arrest assault the minister, that hath the warrant to arrest him, to the intent to make his escape from him, and the minister standing upon his guard kills him, this is no fe-

Vol. II. lony,

^(†) Part I. p. 582. (l) New Edit. cap. 127. p. 427. (*) Supra p. 91 & 77. Part 1. p. 489.

lony, for being by law authorized to arrest him, he is not bound to go back to the wall, as in common cases of se defendendo, for the law is his protection. And therefore as on the one fide if A. kill him, it is murder, so on the other fide if upon this affault by A. the minister kill him, it is no felony, the necessity excuseth him, if he cannot otherwise save himself and perform his duty.

And herein it agrees with the common case of a sheriff's bailiff in the execution of his warrant. Co. P. C. cap. 8.

p. 56.

But where a warrant issueth against a felon, and either before arrest or after he flies and defends himself with stones, as the book of 3 E. 3. Corone 290. or with his bow and arrows, as the record is of M. 22 E. 3. Rot. 117. coram rege Ebor (m), so that the officer must give over his pursuit, or otherwise cannot take him without killing him, if he kill him, it is no felony; and the same law is for a constable, that doth it virtute officii, or upon a pursuit of hue and cry.

And the same law it is, if in truth he were no felon, but yet a warrant is against him as suspect of felony, and he having notice thereof flies and refifts, for the officer or minister ought to pursue his warrant, or otherwise he is punishable; and the party by his flight and refistance is accessary to his own death.

But then there must be these cautions. 1. He must be a lawful officer, or there must be a hue and cry, or there must be a lawful warrant. 2. That the party ought to have notice of the reason of the pursuit, namely because a warrant is against him, for his flight must be upon notice to him of the intent to arrest him for felony. 2 E. 4. 9. a.

(m) This was the case of Henry Ve-fcy, who had been indicted before the sheriff in Turno suo anno R. R. nono of divers felonies, whereupon the sheriff mandavit commissionem suam Henrico de Clyderawe & alis ad capiendum prædictum H. Vescy & salvò ducendum usque castrum de Ebor'. Vescy would not submit to an arrest, but sied & interest successive that with his box and ter fugiendum shot with his bow and arrows at his pursuers, but in the end "Clyderawe eat inde quietus.

was kild by Clyderawe, Clyderawe was afterwards indicted, "quòd felonicè in"terfecit prædictum H. Vescy, sed quia "compertum est, quòd prædictus H. de
"Clyderawe prædictum H. Vescy in"dictatum de diversis feloniis sugam " faciendo, ut felonem domini regis, vir-"tute commissionis suæ prædictæ anno
"R. nono interfecit & non selonice;
"consideratum est, quod idem H. de And 3. It must be a case of necessity, and that not such a necessity as in the former case, where an immediate assault is made upon the minister just at his coming to arrest, or to rescue himself from him; but this is the necessity, viz. that he cannot otherwise be taken, and the reason is, because it is for the public good, and they are punishable, if they neglect in any manner what they ought to do, namely the minister by fine and imprisonment, and a township by an amercement.

But tho a private person may arrest a selon, and if he sly so as he cannot be taken without he be kild, it is excusable in this case for the necessity, 22 Assiz. 55. per Thorp, yet it is at his peril, that the party be a selon, for if he be innocent of the selony, the killing, at least before the arrest, seems at least manslaughter for the reason above given, for an innocent person is not bound to take notice of a private person's suspicion (*).

If a justice of peace have jurisdiction in the case, (as he hath in all selonies and breaches of the peace, yea tho it be high treason, so far forth as it is a breach of the peace,) tho he err in granting of his warrant, it seems that the officer, that executes it, is excusable. 14 H. 8. 16. a. per curiam.

Yet in some cases, as touching rates for the poor, tho he hath jurisdiction in the matter by the statute of 43 Elizecap. 2. the officer is punishable for executing the warrant, where none ought to issue, because it is a circumscribed particular jurisdiction given him by act of parliament, which he ought strictly to pursue. T. 10 Car. B. R. 2 Rol. Abr. 560. Nichols and Walker.

When the officer or minister hath made his arrest, he is forthwith to bring the party to the gaol, or to the justice according to the import of the warrant.

But if the time be unseasonable as in or near the night, whereby he cannot attend the justice, or if there be danger of a present rescue, or if the party be sick and not able at present to be brought, he may, as the case shall require, secure him in the stocks, or, in case the quality of the person or the

indisposition so require, secure him in a house, till the next day or such time as it may be reasonable to bring him.

2 E. 4. 9 & 10. (†).

When he hath brought him to the justice, yet he is in law still in his custody, till either the justice discharge or bail him, or till he be actually committed to the gaol by warrant of the justice. 10 H. 4.7. a. Escape 8.

And thus far concerning arrefts by warrant or precept.

CHAP. XIV.

Concerning the office of a justice, when a person charged or suspected of selony is brought before him.

HEN a party thus arrested for felony is brought to the justice of peace, he must either discharge, or commit, or bail him.

But preparatory to these acts there are some things, that

are required of him before he do either.

1. By the statute of 1 & 2 P. & M. cap. 13. and 2 & 3 P. & M. cap. 10. he is to take the informations upon oath of the prosecutor and witnesses and put them into writing; and he is likewise to take the examination of the person accused, but this is to be without oath and put into writing.

And these examinations and informations he is afterwards to deliver into the general sessions of the peace or to the gaol-delivery, as the case shall require; and because it may be unseasonable to take these informations or examinations presently, or possibly it may take longer time, the prisoner may be continued in the custody of the officer, or may be detained in the justice's house, or committed to some near safe place of custody, till the examinations can be taken.

But this must be dispatched in some convenient time, and therefore P. 43 Eliz. C. B. Scavage and Tateham (a) in an action of salse imprisonment brought by a person brought before the mayor of Pomfret a justice of peace upon suspicion of selony, the defendant could not upon the account of examination justify the detaining him in the justice's house nineteen days; but it was held, that he might detain him three days upon that account.

2. It is fit to take a recognizance from the profecutor to appear and prefer a bill of indictment, and also of the witnesses to appear and give evidence at the next sessions of the peace or gaol-delivery, as the case shall require, if he shall find cause to commit or bail the prisoner; otherwise it is, if he shall discharge him.

These things being thus premised, as I said, the prisoner

is either to be discharged, or committed, or bailed.

I. Touching the discharge of a prisoner. If a prisoner be brought before a justice of peace expressly charged with felony by the oath of a party, the justice cannot discharge him, but must bail or commit him.

If he be charged with suspicion only of selony, yet if there be no selony at all proved to be committed, or if the sact charged as a selony be in truth no selony in point of law, the justice of peace may discharge him, as if a man be charged with selony for stealing of a parcel of the freehold, or for carrying away what was delivered him, and such like, for which the there may be cause to bind him over as for a trespass, the justice may discharge him as to selony, because it is not selony, Kelw. f. 34. 44 Assiz. 12. Poulton de Pace 146. b. But if a man be kild by another, the it be per infortunium or se defendendo, (which is not properly selony,) or in making an assault upon a minister of justice in execution of his office, (which is not at all selony,) yet the justice ought not to discharge him, for he must undergo his trial for it; and therefore he must be committed, or at least bailed.

Vol. II.

II. As touching commitment or imprisonment of a party brought before a justice for felony or suspicion thereof, these things are to be observed.

1. The commitment must be by writing under the seal of

the justice.

And therefore altho a justice may by word of mouth arrest a person for a breach of the peace done in his presence, yet in that case the commitment of him ought to be a mittimus under seal; thus it was resolved in Sandford's case (*), P. 23 Car. 1. B. R. but agreed he may detain him in his custody, till a warrant can be made.

And herein the power of a justice differs from the power of a court, for the court of king's bench may commit by order, and so may the court of sessions of the peace, because there is or ought to be a record of the commitment.

Nay in chancery, if an order be made for commitment of a person, till he enter into bond, &c. the warden of the Fleet may justify the imprisonment by virtue of that order. T. 39

Eliz. B. R. 2 Rol. Abr. p. 559. Tayler and Beal.

2. The mittimus ought to have these circumstances. 1. It must contain the certainty of the cause, and therefore if it be for felony, it ought not to be generally pro felonia, but it must contain the especial nature of the felony briefly, as for felony for the death of J. S. or for burglary in breaking the house of 7. S. Uc. and the reason is, because it may appear to the judges of the king's bench upon an babeas corpus, whether it be felony or not (†): and likewise by the statute of 3 H. 7. cap. 3. the sheriff is to make a calendar of the prifoners in his gaol, and deliver it to the justice of gaol-delivery fignifying the prisoners and their causes: vide 2 Co. Instit. 52 591. 2. It is fit to mention the name of the justice, and his authority in the beginning of the mittimus, tho this is not always necessary, for the seal and subscription of the justice to the mittimus is sufficient warrant to the gaoler: vide supra (**) & Dalt. 355 & 383. (b), for it may be supplied by averment, that it was done by the justice. 3. It must have

a certain (*) Part I. p. 612. (†) Vide supra. p. 111. (**) Part I. p. 577. (b) New Edit. p. 575 & 593.

a certain date of the year and day (*). 4. It should have an apt conclusion, namely to detain him till he be thence deliverd by due course of law. 2 Co. Instit. ubi supra (†).

But altho it be true, that these things are regular and fit, namely the cause, the justice committing, the date, the apt conclusion, yet I am far from thinking the warrant void, that hath not all these circumstances.

And therefore the justification in false imprisonment against the gaoler may be good by virtue of fuch a warrant; and it feems to me, (contrary to the opinion of my lord Coke ubi supra,) that if an escape be sufferd willingly by the gaoler upon fuch a general warrant, it will be felony in him: vide que supra cap. 54. Part I. p. 609. De frangentibus prisonam (c).

And therefore if the conclusion of the mittimus be to detain him till further order by the justice, it is true it is an unapt conclusion, and therefore binds not up the hands of the justices, to whom it may belong, to bail or deliver him, as the case shall require; but the commitment is notwithstanding good, if there be any tolerable certainty in the body of the warrant for what it is, as for felony generally, tho the particular is belt to be expressed.

3. Regularly the commitment is to be to the common gaol of the county, or if the offense be committed and the party taken within a franchise, that hath a gaol, (as the Gatehouse at Westminster,) then to the gaol of the franchise by the statute of 5 H. 4. cap. 10.

Only fometimes it hath been used by the justices of peace to fend fuch prisoners, which are bailable and have not their bail ready, to some private prison, as the New Prison in Middlesex for some short time, till they can procure their bail; but this hath always been difliked by the juffices of the king's bench and gaol-delivery as inconvenient, and not agreeable to the law (d).

4. If the prisoner be bailable, yet the justice is not bound to demand bail, but the prisoner is bound to tender it, otherwise

^(*) Supra p. 111. (†) Vide Part I. p. 584. (c) See also Part I. p. 595.

⁽d) See the case of Kendal and Roe, State Tr. Vol. IV. p. 862. & Supra Part I. p. 585. in notis.

therwise the justice may commit him; quod vide 14 H. 7. 10. a. per Fineux accordingly adjudged T. 40 Eliz. C. B. Collen's case; and so of a sheriff, that hath taken a man by capias, where he is bailable.

Thus far touching commitment of an offender.

But in some cases the offender is neither discharged nor committed, but bailed, and that comes next to be considerd.

But because the business of bail is large and various, I shall refer that to the next chapter.

CHAP. XV.

Concerning bail and mainprise.

Ouching bailing of felons, &c. there will be these things inquirable, 1. What it is, and the nature and kinds of it. 2. In what cases it may be, and in what not. 3. By whom (a). 4. In what manner it is to be done, by writ or without writ (b). 5. The penalty of erring therein (c).

Touching the first, namely the nature of bail.

Bail and mainprise are used promiscuously oftentimes for the same thing, and indeed the words import much the same thing, for the former is traditus J. S. and the other is manu-

captus per J. S.

But yet in a proper and legal sense they differ. I. Always mainprise is a recognizance in a sum certain, but bail is not always so. 2. He, that is delivered per manucaptionem only, is out of custody; but he that is bailed, is in supposition of law still in custody, and the parties that take him to bail are in law his keepers, and may re-seize him to bring him in; and therefore if a man be let to mainprise, suppose in the king's bench, an appeal or other suit cannot be brought against him as in custodia marescalli; but if he be let to bail, he is in supposition of law still in custodia marescalli, 33 E.3. Mainprise 12.

36 E. 3.

(a) Infra cap. 16 & sub fine cap. 17. (b) Infra cap. 17. (c) Infra sub fine bujus capitis.

36 E. 3. Ibidem 13. 32 H. 6. 4. a. Protection 13. and accordingly the books of 21 H. 7. 20. b. per Fineux, and 9 E. 4. 2. a. that feem to differ, are to be understood. 3. Tho sometimes the recognizances themselves both in bail and mainprise are in fums certain, as shall be shewn, yet the entry on record in the one case is deliberatur per manucaptionem, and in the other case traditur in ballium.

But now for the kinds of bail properly so called, it is of thele kinds.

1. Sometimes it is in no fum certain at all, but traditur in ballium to 7. S. and this is the usual form in all bails in civil actions in the king's bench; and antiently it was so also in criminal cases, tho now, as shall be shewn, it differs.

And of this kind was the antient form of that bail, which was corpus pro corpore, which now is rarely used in that form, and the reason why that is disused is, because there was antiently a loofe opinion, that he, who was bail in this manner for a felon, was to be hanged, if he brought not in the principal to keep his day, 33 E.3. Mainprise 12. but the truth is, all his punishment is to be fined for his default. Fustice, f. 157. a. 11 H. 6. 31. b.

And so in civil actions, where this kind of bail is sometimes in use, as appears 27 H. 8. 11 & 12. 21 H. 7. 20. b. the bail is amerced, if he have not the principal at the day.

2. Sometimes the bail is only a recognizance in a fum certain for the appearance of a felon, and this is usual, viz. the principal in double the fum; as for instance in 40 % or more, the fureties each of them in 201. apiece ad comparendum & standum recto in curia de latrocinio prædicto secunaum legem &c. Dalt. cap. 114. p. 305. (a).

The fureties ought to be at least two men of ability, and their number and fufficiency and the fum of the recognizance is much in the discretion of him, that is to take it, and therefore he may examine them upon oath; but how these · are punishable, that take insufficient bail, shall be said hereafter.

The fureties ad standum juri doth import also, that he shall plead to the felony, and therefore before the statute of Marlbridge, cap. 28. (b), if the felon had stood upon his privilegium clericale and would not answer the felony, his bail

had been amerced, which is remedied by that statute.

3. The third fort of bail is that, which is indeed the true and regular bail, which is not only a recognizance in a fum certain, but also a taking to bail, the true form whereof is containd in Lambert's Justice, Lib. I. cap. 23. p. 264. Memorand' quòd die, anno, &c. coram, &c. venerunt A. & B. & ceperunt in ballium J. S. captum & detentum pro suspicione cujusdam felonia usque proximam generalem gaola deliberationem in comitatu pradicto tenend', & assumpserunt, viz. quilibet eorum sub pana 20 l. de bonis & catallis, terris & tenementis corum & cujuslibet eorum ad opus dicti domini regis levand, si prædictus I.S. ad eandem proximam gaola deliberationem non personaliter comparebit coràm justiciariis dicti domini regis ad dictam gaolam deliberand' assignatis ad respondendum dicto domino regi tunc W ibidem super pramissis, or super iis, qua ad tunc W ibidem ipsi objicientur, or rather according to the antient form ad standum recto de latrocinio pradicto secundum legem & consuetudinem. regni Angliæ. Dat. sub sigillis nostris die, anno, &c. Vide F. N. B. 250. Crompt. 157. b.

But the seal need not be, for he is a judge of record, only his hand simply subscribed, or subscribed capt. & cognitus die

T anno supradicto coram Math. Hale.

This is the form of a bail, where the principal is either an infant or in prison, and so absent; and thereupon a warrant issues under the hand and seal of him, that takes the bail

for his enlargement, called a liberate.

But if he be bailed by a justice of peace before commitment, or if committed and brought into the court of king's bench or sessions to be bailed, then the party himself is also bound; and sometimes the recognizance is simple with a condition added for his appearance, and fometimes the condition is containd in the body of the recognizance, ut supra: Only it is to be remembred, that when any person is bailed for any misdemeanor either upon the return of an habeas corpus or otherwise, the return or record ought to be first siled, and a committitur marescallo entred, and then bail taken, for all persons, that are bailed in the king's bench, are de facto, or in supposition of law first supposed to be in custodia ma-

rescalli.

The advantage of this kind of bail is this, that it is not only a recognizance in a sum certain, but also a real bail, and they are his keepers, and may be punished by fine beyond the sum mentiond in the recognizance, if there be cause, and may reseize the prisoner, if they doubt his escape, and bring him before the justice or court, and he shall be committed, and so the bail be discharged of his recognizance.

36 E. 3. Mainprise 13. 32 E. 3. Mainprise 23. Crompt. Justice, f. 157. a.

Touching the fecond, in what cases a person is bailable, that is accused or indicted of felony or accessary, or in relation thereunto.

I shall not meddle with bailing of prisoners in civil actions or for offenses less than felony by acts of parliament, only thus much.

Regularly in all offenses either against the common law or acts of parliament, that are below selony, the offender is bailable, unless 1. He hath had judgment. 2. Or that by some particular or special act of parliament bail is ousted.

What acts of parliament out bail in particular offenses against those acts is not my purpose to declare, they are very well collected by Mr. Dalton, cap. 114. (c), and Mr.

Crompton de pace regis, f. 154. b. & sequentibus.

In relation to capital offenses there are especially these acts of parliament, that are the common land-marks touching offenses bailable or not bailable, viz. 3 E. 1. or Westm. 1. cap. 15. 34 E. 3. cap. 1. 23 H. 6. cap. 10. 1 R. 3. cap. 3. 3 H. 7. cap. 3. 1 & 2 P. & M. cap. 13. and 2 & 3 P. & M. cap. 10.

The As to the statute of 3 E. 1. it declares, who are bailable and who not as well in other cases, as in cases capital.

But

But at that time few were concerned in bailing of prisoners, but the sheriff, in whose custody they most commonly were, and such subordinate officers, that either under the sheriff or as bailists of liberties had the custody of prisoners, [as appears from the words of the statute,] Et pur ceo, que viscounts & autres queux ont prise & retenus prisoners: And therefore still the statute did not extend to courts of justice, much less to the court of king's bench: vide 2 Co. Instit. p. 185, 186. Super hoc statutum; neither doth this statute singly of itself extend to justices of the peace, for they were not in being till 1 E. 3. and therefore the statute of 1 & 2 P. & M. cap. 13. especially makes this statute of 3 E. 1. a direction touching bailing of offenders.

And therefore it seems also upon the same reason the statute of 27 E. 1. cap. 3. de finibus levatis, that directs and authorizeth justices of gaol-delivery to inquire of sherists and others, that have let out of prison by replevying persons not replevisable, or have offended against the statute of Westminster, and to punish them according to that statute, extends not to courts or justices of the peace, but only to sherists and subordinate officers.

And the truth is, it could not be well applicable to any but them, for as all writs of homine replegiando, de manucaptione, & de odio & atiâ were directed to the sheriffs, so in most cases what was to be done in those times for bailing of prisoners was most commonly to be done by the sheriff.

This statute declares, 1. Who were not bailable by the common law. 2. Who from thenceforth should not be bailable; and 3. Who should be bailable, and inflicts punishment upon sheriffs and bailiffs bailing those, that are not replevisable, and not bailing those that are replevisable.

And this act extends not only to such bailments as might be virtute officii, but also to bailments by force of the common writ de homine replegiando or de manucaptione; whereof hereafter.

My lord Coke in his comment upon this chapter (d) hath given us the substance and intent of this statute, which I shall therefore but in effect transcribe.

First,

I. As to those that were irreplevisable at common law, I mean before the statute of 3 E. 1. (for possibly more antiently all offenders were replevisable,) they are of sour sorts.

1. First for the death of a man.

At this time there was held little difference between murder and manslaughter but only in degree, for till 23 H. 3. clergy was allowable in the one as well as in the other, nay at this day, if the indictment run only interfecit & murdravit without ex malitia pracogitata, the prifoner hath clergy.

And as to the point of bail no difference was at common law, nor after the statute of 3 E. 1. till later statutes, (de quibus infra,) between murder, manslaughter, or the killing of a man se defendendo, or per infortunium, for they, that could not bail in murder, regularly could not bail in the other three cases.

And this held univerfally as to bailment by the sheriff or by the justices of peace; but as to others it had some exceptions.

The court of king's bench might and still may bail in any case whatsoever, even in high treason or murder, for the court is held in law coram ipso rege. 4 Co. Instit. p. 71. 2 Co. Instit. p. 186. but this is in the discretion of the court, and none can challenge it de jure.

And this bailment in the king's bench may be upon an original indictment before them in the county, where they fit, or upon an indictment removed by certiorari, or upon a prifoner removed by habeas corpus before or after an indictment taken; vide infra.

In some cases justices of gaol-delivery may bail in case of the death of a man.

1. If a man be found guilty of a death fe defendendo, or per infortunium upon his trial, the justices of gaol-delivery may certify the matter into chancery, that the party may sue his pardon of course, and in the mean time bail him till the next sessions. 3 E. 3. Coron. 361.

Vol. II. K k And

And the same law it is, if the coroner's inquest only find it se desendendo, such inquisition shewing the special matter, as it ought, is good, Stamf. P. C. cap. 7. f. 15. b. 26 Eliz. Holmes's case, Crompt. de pace, f. 153. b. & 28, a. and the reason of the book of 12 E. 3. cited by Crompton, that in an indictment before the coroner se defendendo, the words se defendendo were void and stricken out, is not because they were against the king, but because they were too general.

2 Co. Instit. super stat. Glouc. cap. 9. p. 3 16. an indictment se defendendo is good before justices of gaol-delivery, but it is there said it is not good before justices of peace; de quo supra p. 45. and therefore upon such an indictment before the coroner se defendendo specially the justices of gaol-delivery may bail the party till the next sessions to procure his pardon of course, as well as if it had been found upon his trial; and so it was done 26 Eliz. in Holmes's case, Crompt. 153. b. vide Ap-Rice's case, 19 H. 7. Kelw. 53. a. Crompt. ibi-

2. If a man be convict of manslaughter, and hath a pardon to plead, which the justices of gaol-delivery see in the interval of the fession, they may bail him, (notwithstanding his conviction and that of manslaughter,) to another session to plead

his pardon. 2 E. 6. B. Mainprise 94. Crompt. 153. b.

If a person be brought before the judges of gaol-delivery upon suspicion of murder, but before commitment or indictment [it appears] upon examination of the fact by the justices of gaol-delivery, that he is not guilty, (tho in truth a felony were committed,) the justices of gaol-delivery may bail him to another sessions: vide 31 Eliz. in case de Salford, Crompt. 154. a.

But I am not of the mind that the same judge [Shuttleworth was of, that if he be convict upon a trial against the opinion of the judge, that he can bail him to fue his pardon; but all he may do is to reprieve him before judgment, and

certify for him for a pardon.

And therefore it seems to me there is no difference between this case and that of Dy. 179. a. where a man is convict, and it is doubted whether he be within clergy, yet he remaineth not bailable.

4. If a man be indicted of murder at the sessions of galdelivery, and prays his trial, but the prosecutor for the king is not ready with all his evidence, the judge may respite his trial till another sessions; and tho he be not bound to bail him, yet if he do find that it is no contrivance of the prisoner to surprise the prosecutor, but that it is merely the negalect of the prosecutor, or that his pretense is merely a delay to continue the party in prison, I have known it often practised at Newgate and elsewhere for the justice of gaoldelivery to bail the prisoner till another sessions, if it be far off, and upon circumstances considerd.

And yet in none of these cases neither justices of peace nor sheriff can bail, but how far they may bail in cases of manslaughter shall be said hereafter, when we consider the

subsequent statutes.

And thus far at present for bailing in case of the death of a man.

2. The fecond case where a man was not bailable by the common law is, where a man is taken per mandatum domini regis: this is not intended of the personal command of the king, for regularly as the king cannot in person arrest or imprison, so he cannot command another to imprison, but it must be done by some order, writ or precept, or process of some of his courts. 16 H. 6. Monstrauns de fait 182. 1 H. 7. 4. b. 2 Co. Instit. super statutum Westminst. 1. cap. 15. p. 187.

Nay, altho such a mandate be by commission under the great seal, it is void, 42 Assiz. 5. therefore the praceptum or mandatum domini regis in this act is intended of the process of law issuing out of the king's courts according to their several jurisdictions, 2 Co. Instit. Super Mag. Chart. cap. 29. and Westm. 1. cap. 15. But if intended of the king's personal command, tho such a person so taken be not bailable by the court of king's bench or chancery upon an habeas corpus; de quo infra 2 Co. Instit. p. 55, 187.

3. Thirdly,

3. Thirdly, Or of the justices, viz. by writ or process issuing according to law within their several jurisdictions, for altho these were bailable in many cases by the courts that issued the process, yet they were not bailable by the common writ de homine replegiando, but are excepted therein, nor by the sheriff virtute officii till the statute of 23 H. 6. cap. 10.

4. Fourthly, Or for the Forest; persons imprisond by the justice in eyre in the forest are not replevisable by the common

writ de homine replegiando.

II. The second part of this statute is enacting or declarative, who are not bailable; but so far as this statute looks, it only concerns the sheriff and bailiss, and the common writs of homine replegiando or de manucaptione, which are directed to the sheriff, tho afterwards it was made the rule in many things to justices of peace, &c. by the statutes of 1 & 2 P. & M. and 2 & 3 P. & M. de quibus infra.

And the cases, wherein bail is restrained by this statute, are thirteen in number, some in respect of the heinousness and weight of the offense, as treason, burning of houses, breaking of prison, &c. and the rest upon the great evidence and probability of guilt, as persons outlawed, &c. but I shall follow them in the order that the statute sets them down.

1. Persons outlawed; for outlawry is an attainder of selony, and [the outlaw] is presumed guilty, because he withdraws

mself from the process of law.

And upon the same reason it is, that a person convict of felony, while the judge adviseth upon his clergy, is not bailable, because he is convicted, Dy. 179. a. Nay, tho he be convicted against the direction of the court, he is not bailable against the opinion of Shuttleworth, 31 Eliz. Crompt. f. 154. a.

And therefore if the ordinary had had a clerk convict in his custody, if the ordinary let him to bail, he was punish-

able: vide 15 H.7.9. a.

But if a man be outlawed for felony, and be taken upon a capias utlegatum and plead in avoidance of the outlawry against him, that he is of another place, and so not the person outlawed, or bring a writ of error to reverse the out-

lawry

lawry and affign his errors, the court of king's bench may bail him; and it is not unusual so to do, whether the out-

lawry be upon an appeal or an indictment.

If a man be indicted or appealed for such an offense, wherein bail may be taken, the indictment or appeal does not hinder his bailment, because it induceth no sufficient presumption of his guilt; if he were bailable before indictment, he is bailable after, 2 Co. Instit. Super stat. Westm. 1. cap. 15. and statutum ipsum F. N. B. 249. 22 Assiz. 94. but not allowd, till he hath pleaded to the indictment 16 Assiz. 13. 29 Assiz. 44.

But if a man be indicted before justices of a higher jurifdiction, as before justices of oyer and terminer, he cannot be bailed by justices of peace, for they cannot proceed upon an indictment taken before superior judges, tho otherwise the

cause might be within their cognizance.

2. Persons, that have abjured for felony, are not bailable, for they are attainted in law.

3. Approvers in felony are not bailable, because they do

confess themselves guilty.

4. Persons taken with the mainouvre are not bailable, be-cause it is furtum manifestum.

But that is intended of the thief himself, for if A. steal goods and sell them to B. and B. is taken with them, B. is bailable.

5. Persons, that being committed for felony break prison, are not to be bailed, for 1. It carries a presumption of their guilt. 2. It is a superadded felony to the former, for which they stood committed.

6. Notorious thieves: and herein common fame and other circumstances may be opposed against their bailing, unless they can shew reasonable evidence to prove their innocence.

16 E. 4. 5. a. b.

7. Persons impeached and approved by an approver, because it induceth a strong suspicion that they are guilty, because the accuser confesseth himself guilty before he can impeach others.

But this hath certain exceptions. 1. If the approver be dead. 2. If the approver hath waved his appeal. 3. If the person accused by the approver be of good fame.

8. Persons arrested for wilful burning of another man's

house, which was a felony at common law.

9. Persons arrested for fallifying the king's coin.

10. Or for counterfeiting the king's great or privy feal.

bailable, unless it be for a temporal cause, and then upon a prohibition granted he may not only be bailed but deliverd; or upon an appeal and a special writ de cautione admittendâ, if not obeyed by the ordinary, a special writ may issue for his enlargement.

12. Or if he be imprisond for some open misdeed, as if A. dangerously wound B. he may be imprisond till it be known whether the party will die or live; and regularly is not to be bailed, till it shall probably appear, that the danger

is over. 10 H. 7. 20. a. 3 H. 7. cap. 1.

13. Nor he that is arrested for treason, that toucheth the king, whether he be indicted or not; these are neither bailable by virtue of the common writ de homine replegiando, nor ex officio by the sheriff or bailiff of a liberty.

But all or any of these are bailable by the court of king's

bench. 2 Co. Instit. 189.

III. The third thing provided by this statute is to declare, who are bailable by the sheriff, and they are of seven kinds.

1. Persons indicted before the sheriff for larciny, if they have not been accused of other felonies before, or as the writ of the register, f. 83. b. 268. b. styles them, if they are of good same.

This therefore lies very much in the discretion and true information of the sheriff or other justice, that commits

them.

2. Persons imprisond for a light suspicion, dum tamen sue rint bone same.

3. Persons indicted for petit larciny.

4. Persons accused for receiving of felons.

5. Or of commandment, force, or aid to the felony done.

These two last concern accessaries after and before, wherein

there is some divertity of opinion in our books.

Regularly in all cases of felony, tho it be murder, the accessfary is bailable till, the principal be attaint, and this holds as well in cases of the death of a man, as other felonies, 40 E. 3. 42. a. 40 Assiz. 8. But if the principal be once attaint, and then the accessary is taken, he shall not be bailed until he hath pleaded to the indictment; but after plea pleaded by him he shall be bailed, notwithstanding the attainder of the principal, tho it be in case of murder. 43 E. 3. 17. b. 50 E. 3. 15. a. 27 Assiz. 10. 47 Assiz. 16.

6. Or indicted or accused for an offense, for which he ought not to lose life or member, unless in cases of offenses against acts of parliament, where the acts of parliament ex

clude bail.

7. Or appeald by an approver, who is fince dead.

These be the cases, wherein by that act the party is bail-able.

And therefore, tho a party be committed and the tenor of the *mittimus* be to detain him without bail or mainprise, yet if the offense be by law bailable, he that hath the power

of bailing may bail him. Crompt. de Pace 153. a.

This statute adds a penalty, 1. For bailing a person not bailable; if he be a sheriff, constable, or bailiff of see, he shall lose his office; and if he be an under-bailiff or not a bailiff of see, he shall have three years imprisonment and be sined at the king's pleasure. 2. And if he shall detain persons replevisable after surety offerd, he shall be grievously amerced.

And thus far for the statute of 3 E. 1.

C H A P. XVI.

Concerning the statutes of 34 E. 3. I R. 3. 3 H. 7. 1 & 2 P. & M. 2 & 3 P. & M. in relation to bailment of prisoners.

A Ntiently most of the business touching bailment of prifoners for felony or misdemeanors was performed by the sheriff or special bailiffs of liberties either by writ or virtute officii.

But when the offices of justices of peace were instituted by the statute of 1 E. 3. they gradually had the greater businels of committing and bailing offenders devolved into their

hands; and by fuccessive acts of parliament.

1. The power of the sheriff grew out of use. 2. The justices of peace obtaind most of the sheriff's power in relation to bailment. 3. Their power of bailment as in relation to offenses extended larger than the sheriff's, and in fome kind larger than the limits prescribed by 3 E. 1. 4. Yet in some respects the sheriff's power as to bailing in offenses not capital was enlarged by the statute of 23 H. 6. cap. 10.

I shall therefore take these several statutes in order of

time.

I. The statute of 34 E. 3. cap. 1. gave them power to apprehend malefactors, and to commit them to custody, or to bind them to their good behaviour, which was not intended perpetual, but in nature of bail, viz. to appear at fuch a day at their sessions, and in the mean time to be of good behaviour.

By the statute of 23 H. 6. cap. 10. there is not only power but command to the sheriff to let out by sufficient sureties parties arrested in personal actions and upon indictments of trespass, (except persons taken by excommunicato capiendo, condemnation, judgment, execution, furety of the peace, or

by commandment of the justices, or persons taken upon the statute of labourers,) yet their power of bailing of selons, &c. by the statute of 3 E. 1. continued.

II. By the statute of 1 R. 3. cap. 3. "Forasmuch as per"fons have been taken and imprisond upon suspicion of
"felony, sometimes upon light suspicions, sometimes by
"malice, and detaind without bail or mainprise, it is en"acted, that every justice of peace within their limits have
"power to let such prisoners to bail, as if they had been in"dicted before them at their sessions, and shall have power
to inquire of escapes."

This gave power to any one justice of peace to bail any prisoner for felony, and excepts not manslaughter, but withal supposeth, that before this act they could not bail till indictment in their sessions; but it seems was somewhat uncertain, for it was, where they were committed for malice or light suspicions.

III. The statute of 3 H.7. cap. 3. reciting the statute of 1 R. 3. and that by colour thereof divers persons not main-

pernable were let to bail, enacts, "That two justices of the "peace, whereof one of the quorum, have power to let such

" persons, as are mainpernable by law, to bail to the next fessions of the peace or gaol-delivery, and shall according-

" ly return the recognizance under pain of 10 l.

This statute seems, 1. To repeal the statute of 1 R. 3. as to bailing by one justice, and gives it to two justices, whereof one of the quorum. 2. It limits also their power of bailment only to such cases as are bailable by law; and therefore,
it seems, takes in the statute of 3 E. 1. as the directory
what persons are by law bailable. And thus it stood till
1 Mar.

IV. By the statute of 1 & 2 P. & M. cap. 13. these two

things are principally enacted.

1. That whereas the statute of 3 H. 7. is general, that the two justices shall let to bail such as are bailable by law, this statute in express words makes the statute of 3 E. 1. the standard for the taking of bail by two justices.

2. That any person arrested for manslaughter or other felony bailable by law, or suspicion thereof, shall not be baild but by two justices of peace, whereof one of the quorum, both to be present at the bailing of such offender, and to certify it in writing at the next gaol-delivery; but the justices of peace and coroner in London to do as formerly [and the county of Middlesex, and in other cities, boroughs, and towns corporate within their several jurisdictions]: Justices of peace, &c. offending contrary to the true intent of this act, the justices of gaol-delivery may fine them.

V. The statute of 2 & 3 P. & M. cap. 10. only provides for examinations and informations to be taken by the justices of peace as well upon commitment, as bailing of any prisoner

for manslaughter or other felony.

Upon these statutes, and that of 3 E. 1. which expresly saith, "that for the death of a man(*) a person is not bailable by "law", it hath been questiond, whether justices of peace may bail in case of manslaughter.

On the one fide the statutes of 2 Mar. and 3 Mar. expresly admit that they may, and accordingly the usual practice hath

been: vide Lamb. Justice, p. 25. & sequentibus.

On the other fide these things make against their bailing, viz. 1. The statute of Westm. 1. [3 E. 1.] cap. 15. recites expresly, that for the death of a man the offender is not by law bailable, and the very statute of 1 & 2 P. & M. refers to the statute of 3 E. 1. as the rule and standard for justices of peace to proceed by in case of bailing.

2. Again, the statute of Gloucester, cap. 9. (†) expresly provides, "That he, that kills a man by misadventure, shall remain "in prison till the coming of the justices in eyre or gaol-deli-"very, and then he shall be tried"; and if in case of a death by infortunium, much more in case of a simple manslaughter.

3. The writ of homine replegiando excepts the case of the

death of a man from bail.

4. It was resolved by all the judges of England, 7 Car. 1. that a man is not bailable for manslaughter, as I had it from the book of the late chief justice Hyde, who accordingly did

let

(*) Vide Glanvil, Lib. xiv. cap. 1 & 3. (†) 2 Co. Inflit. p. 315.

fet a fine of 20 l. upon a learned reader being a justice of peace, and now an antient serjeant at law, for bailing a man in case of manslaughter in the county of Salop, which I knew to be true; and this was approved by most of the judges that heard it.

To fettle this business therefore I say,

That in case of murder it is of all hands agreed, that the justices of peace cannot bail, but it is to be done regularly

only in the king's bench.

2. That in case of manslaughter, if the fact be apparent by plain proof or confession, that a man is kild, and kild by J. S, whether the same were done ex malitia pracogitata, or upon a sudden falling out, or but se defendendo, yet a justice of peace or two justices, whereof one of the quorum, cannot bail by any law in force.

3. That whether it do constare de persona occidentis, or de modo occidendi, or not, yet if the party be indicted of man-flaughter, nay tho it were but se defendendo, the justices of

peace cannot bail.

4. But if there be a manslaughter committed, and it is certainly no more, and a party suspected is brought before two justices of peace, whereof one is of the quorum, if the matter be doubtful and uncertain, whether this be the person that did the fact, the two justices of peace, whereof one is of the quorum, may bail that man, and that by virtue of the statute of 1 R. 3. cap. 3. which gave power to one justice of peace generally to bail any person suspect of felony, if it appear to him to be a light suspicion, (whereof he must needs be the judge,) which doubtless extended to manslaughter; and although the statute of 3 H. 7. cap. 3. transfer that power to two justices of peace, whereof one of the quorum, yet still it was bottomed upon the statute of 1 R. 3. and the statute of 1 & 2 P. & M. is bottomed upon that of 3 H. 7.

Again, the statute even of Westminster 1. [viz. 3 E. 1.] tho it say de morte bominis there is no bail at common law, yet it must be intended, when the offender is certainly known, for it generally provides, that persons taken upon a light suspicion shall be baild; and therefore the statute of 1 & 2 P. & M. when

it makes the statute of Westminster 1. the standard of their proceeding in point of bailment, and yet supposeth one taken for manslaughter bailable, must mean such a manslaughter, where the party is [only] suspected, not where the thing is done [by him], for the words bailable by law do not only refer to felony, which is the last antecedent, but manslaughter: And by this construction all the statutes and all parts of the statutes stand together (e).

CHAP. XVII.

Concerning the fourth general, namely, the various manner of bailing prisoners.

HE fourth thing comes to be confiderd, namely, the different manner of bailing of malefactors.

And this is of two kinds.

First, By writ.

Secondly, Ex officio without a writ.

2

And

(e) Since our author wrote there has been another very material statute in relation to bailment, viz. 31 Car. 2. cap.
2. commonly called the habeas corpus act. By this statute, 6.7. "If any per"fon committed for high treason or felony shall pray or petition in open court the first week of the term, or first day of the session of over and ter"miner, or general gaol-delivery, to be brought to his trial, and shall not be indicted some time in the next term or sessions after such commitment, the court is required upon motion made the last day of the term or sessions to set at liberty such prisoner upon bail, unless it appear to the court upon oath made, that the witnesses for the king

"could not be produced the same term or sessions. And if any person so committed having made his prayer or petition, as aforesaid, shall not be indicted and tried the second term or sessions after his commitment, or upon his trial shall be acquired, he shall be discharged from his imprisonment.

This as (which is released by 1.16

This act (which is related by bishop Burnet in his history of his own times, Vol. I. p. 485. to have passed the house of lords in a very remarkable manner,) is generally esteemed the great bulwark of English liberty, altho upon some important occasions it has been thought proper, as to treason, to suspend it for a time. See 6 Ann. cap. 15. I Geo. I. cap. 8. Cap. 30. 9 Geo. I. cap. 1.

And first, Concerning bailing by writ.

And these are of four kinds. 1. Homine replegiando. 2. Breve de manucaptione. 3. Habeas corpus. 4. De odio & atiâ.

I. The writ of homine replegiando lies for any person imprisond for a misdemeanor, wherein by the law he is bailable; and therefore in the writ there is an exception of the death of a man, persons imprisond by the command of the king or his justices, or for offenses of the forest, vel pro aliquo alio recto, quare secundum consuetudinem Anglia non sit replegiabilis. F. N. B. 66. f.

But the offenses in the forest are excepted, yet a special writ of homine replegiando lies for one taken by the ministers of the forest (nota, not by the chief justice). F. N. B. 67. a.

So that this writ as to the point of bailing is founded upon the statute of Westm. 1. cap. 15. or at least governed by it, only in the statute there the exception is of persons taken by [command of] the justices, here it is capitalis justicarii.

By this writ the sheriff is to deliver the party by mainprise; and if he return, that \mathcal{F} . S. makes title to the person imprisond, either as his villain or ward, \mathcal{C}_c . he is to take sureties of the party imprisond to appear in the king's bench or common-pleas, and to take bail of him for his appearance at the day, and to attach \mathcal{F} . S. to appear at the same day, \mathcal{C}_c where the business may be determind; and if \mathcal{F} . S. be returned non est inventus, then a capias in withernam may be granted against him to take his body, and if a non est inventus be returned, a withernam to take his goods.

II. The writ of mainprise, and that is of two kinds, namely, 1. The general original writ de manucaptione. 2. Special writs of mainprise, both issuing out of the Chancery.

1. The general writs of mainprise are at large set down in the Regist. f. 268. & seq. and F.N.B. 250. & sequentibus, and these writs seem to be grounded or directed also by the statute of 3 E. 1. cap. 15. for that is the rule and direction, whereby persons are to be baild by this general writ, for no persons criminal are bailable by this common writ of mainprise, for as such they are bailable by that statute; and this common writ of mainprise respects either such as are committed by the Vol. II.

sheriff or bailiff of a hundred, or such as, tho they are in the sheriff's custody, are yet committed to his custody by

others, as justices of the peace, Uc.

1. As to those of the former kind, we must call to remembrance what hath been before faid touching the power of the sheriff to take indictments of felony, either by commission or in his Turn.

The former power is repealed by the statute of 28 E. 3. cap. 9. As to the latter, tho the power of taking indictments continue in the sheriffs Turn, yet by the statute of 1 E. 4. cap. 2. they are to fend them to the justices of peace to be determind in their fessions, but the sheriff nor his bailiss are not to arrest or attach any person thereupon; and the like law is for bailiffs of hundreds, who have a leet of the hundred or Turn accompanying it.

And therefore as to these the writ of mainprise is consequentially taken away according to my lord Coke in his com-

ment super Westm. 1. cap. 15. 2 Instit. p. 190.

But whereas it is there said, that by that statute the writ of mainprife generally is taken away, it is certainly miltaken, for the writ of mainprife hath still its use in cases of persons committed by the justices of peace, and some other cases, as thall be farther shewn.

2. The second fort therefore of these common writs of mainprise were for such malefactors, as were committed by others, if they were such as by the statute of Westm. 1. cap. 15. were bailable, and the writ of mainprise in this case continues in force and use to this day, as for instance, F.N. B. 250. d. for a person approved by an approver, if the approver is since dead; yet such a person can neither be taken by warrant of the sheriff or justice of peace, but by the coroner or justices of gaol-delivery.

F. N. B. 250. g. 251. c. for one indicted before the justices of peace for a trespass, 250. i. for forestalling, 250. e. as accessary to a felony, where the principal is not attaint.

Again, F. N. B. 250. f. for one taken by the king's commission for felony.

And this is that writ, that seems intended by the book of 14 H. 6. 8: a. where it is said, "That he that is taken by suggestion, as by justices of peace, &c. may be baild without writ, but he, that is taken by a writ, must be baild by writ" (*); which seems intended of this writ of mainprise, and tho the saying be not universally true at this day, for some that are taken by process or writ may, at least at this day, be baild virtute officii, especially upon the statute of 23 H. 6. cap. 10. & Westm. 1. cap. 15. yet it sufficiently intimates, that the writ of mainprise was not taken away by 28 E. 3. cap. 9.

And thus far for the general writs of mainprise.

2. Special writs of mainprife were fometimes granted upon special occasions for those, that were not bailable otherwise.

Thus it was usual in antient times by the king's special warrant, sometimes by special commission, sometimes by immediate writ out of chancery in times of war, to deliver persons in prison for selony upon mainprise to go into sore parts in the king's wars, as Gascoigne and elsewhere, at the king's wages, I stabunt recto in curia, after their return, si quis versus eos loqui voluerit, and upon the return of such manucaptions into the chancery to have charters of pardon. See precedents of such commissions and writs, Pat. 22 E. 1. m. 1. to Roger Brabazun and William Brereford. Rot. Vascon. 22 E. 1. m. 8. n. 11. Im. 12. n. 4. for malefactors imprisond in all the gaols in England for selony and other crimes per manucaptionem deliberand; and the like was often practifed upon like occasions in the reigns of other kings.

And thus far for writs of mainprife.

III. The third usual writ for bailing of criminals is by habeas corpus, and this is a writ of a high nature, for if perfons be wrongfully committed, they are to be discharged upon this writ returned; or if bailable, they are to be baild; if not bailable, they are to be committed.

This writ issues out of the great courts of Westminster, but hath different uses and effects.

1. It may issue out of the court of Common-pleas or Exchequer, but that is or ought to be always, where a person

is privileged, or to charge him with an action.

If a person is sued in the common-pleas, or is supposed to be so sued, and is arrested for a pre-supposed misdemeanor, yea or for selony, an habeas corpus lies in the court of Common-pleas or Exchequer, and if it appear upon the return, that the party is wrongfully committed, or by one that hath not jurisdiction, or for a cause for which a man ought not to be imprisond, the privilege shall be allowd, and the person discharged from that imprisonment; or if it be doubtful, he may be baild to appear in the court of King's bench, which hath conusance of the crime returned. Coke Magn. Cart. cap. 29. 2 Instit. p. 55.

And upon this account, P. 43 Eliz. C. B. in the case of Bates, that was imprisond by the council-table, for not bringing in his subscription to the East-India company, and this being returned upon the babeas corpus together with a writ against him out of the common-bench, they adjudged the privilege to be al-

lowd, and the party to be discharged (a).

But if a man be fued in the common-bench, and is arrested and imprisond for felony, tho the gaoler upon the habeas corpus ought to return the causes, as well criminal as that wherewith he is charged out of that court, yet the court of common-pleas ought not to commit him to the Fleet, nor discharge him of the imprisonment, nor yet to take bail of him to answer there, for they have not conusance of such crimes; the like it is, if he be returned committed for a riot or surety of the peace by justices of peace; and therefore all they can do is to take his appearance, and take him to mainprise upon the action, and remand him as to the matter of crime, for which he was well committed by the justices, and to remand his body to the sheriff's custody upon his commitment for the crime. 2 H. 7. 2. a.

But now by the statute of 16 Car. 1. cap. 10. they have an original jurisdiction to bail, discharge, or commit upon an habeas corpus for one committed by the council-table, as

well

well as the king's bench, and that altho there be no privilege for the person committed.

2. As to the King's-bench and Chancery, they have an original power both to grant an habeas corpus, and to bail, or discharge, or remand, as the case requires, tho there be no privilege returned. Coke on Mag. Cart. cap. 29. 2 Instit. p. 55. but some things they differ in.

The king's-bench in matters civil grant their habeas corpus ad faciendum & recipiendum, and this is done as well in vacation as term, and returnable before any particular judge of

that court, or into the court itself.

And if there be returned even upon that writ any civil action, and also a matter of crime, as if a person be arrested for debt, and also charged with a warrant of a justice of peace for felony, in that case, 1. If it appear to the judge or court, that the arrest for debt or other civil action is fraudulent, they may remand him. Dyer 249. b. Harrison's case. 2. If it be found real, they may commit him to the king's-bench with his causes, tho they are matters of crime, for that court hath conusance, as well of the crime, as of the civil action; but then in the term the court may take his appearance or bail to the civil action, and remand him, if they see cause, as to the crime to be proceeded on below.

But upon the writ ad faciendum & recipiendum there ought not fingly a matter of crime to be return'd, for that belongs

to the habeas corpus ad subjiciendum.

The other writ is the habeas corpus ad subjiciendum, which is for matters only of crime, and is not regularly to issue nor be returnable but in the term-time, when the court may judge of the return, or bail, or discharge the prisoner (b).

Vol. II. Oo Til

" or any one on his behalf, complain to "the lord chancellor or lord keeper, " or any one of his majesty's justices el"ther of the one bench or of the other, " or the barons of the exchequer of the "degree of the coif, and the said lord "chancellor, &c. or any of them, upon "view of the copy of the warrant of commitment or detainer, or otherwise "upon oath made, that such copy was "denied"

⁽b) By the statute of 31 Car. 2. cap. 2. such writ may issue in the vacation time on behalf of any person, who stands committed for any crime, (unless for selony or treason plainly express in the warrant of commitment, or as accessary before to any petit treason, or selony, or upon suspicion thereos,) other than persons convict or in execution; for by that statute it is provided, "That is any such person,

Till the return filed the court may remand him, after it is filed the court is either to discharge, or bail, or commit him, as the nature of the cause requires.

If

" denied to be given by fuch person in " whose custody the prisoner is detaind, are hereby authorized and required un-" der the penalty of 500 l. upon request made in writing by such person or any on " his behalf, attested and subscribed by " two witnesses, who were present at the " delivery of the same, to award an habe-" as corpus under the feal of fuch court, " whereof he shall then be one of the " judges, to be directed to the officer, " in whose custody the party so com"mitted or detained shall be, returnable " immediate before the faid lord chan-" cellor or fuch justice, &c. and upon fer-" vice thereof, as aforesaid, the officer " or his under officer shall (within three days after such service, if not beyond " the distance of twenty miles, or ten "days, if above twenty miles, and not beyond the distance of an hundred " miles, or twenty days, if above the di-"flance of one hundred miles,) under the penalty of 100 l, bring fuch prifoner before the faid lord chancellor, or " fuch justice, &c. before whom the said " writ is made returnable, and in case of " his absence before any other of them, " with the return of such writ and the true " causes of the commitment and detainer, " and thereupon, within two days after the party shall be brought before them, " the said lord chancellor, &c. before " whom the prisoner shall be brought, " as aforesaid, shall discharge him from " his imprisonment, taking his recogni-" zance with one or more fureties in any " fum according to their discretions, ha-" ving regard to the quality of the pri-" foner, and nature of the offense, for " his appearance in the court of king's "bench the term following, or at the "next affizes, &c. or in such other court, where the said offense is properly cognizable, as the case shall require, and then shall certify the said " writ with the return thereof, and the " faid recognizances into the faid court, unless it shall appear unto the said lord chancellor, &c. that the party so com-" mitted is detained upon a legal pro-" cess, order, or warrant out of some

court, that hath jurisdiction of criminal matters, or by fome warrant figned and fealed with the hand and " feal of any of the faid justices, or barons, or some justice of the peace for " fuch matters or offenses, for which by law the prisoner is not bailable.

No person to be intitled to the benesit hereof, unless he sirst pay or cause to be paid or tenderd the charges of " bringing, to be ascertaind by the judge " or court, that awarded the writ, and " indorsed thereon, not exceeding 12 d. per mile, and give security by his own "bond to pay the charges of carrying back, if remanded by the court or judge, and that he will not make any escape by the way.

It is further provided by this statute, "That no person set at large upon any babeas corpus shall be re-committed for the same offense, but by order of court having jurisdiction of the cause; any person knowingly offending herein to " forfeit 500 l.

This writ to run into counties pala-

tine and privileged places.

"That no subject of England be sent prisoner into Scotland, or any places be-" yond the sea, either within or without his Majesty's dominions, under the penalty of a præmunire, except persons orderd to be transported, or offenders fent to be tried, where their offenses were committed.

" That after the affizes proclaimed and during the continuance thereof no prisoner be removed but before the judge of affise in open court, nor at any other time, but by babeas corpus, or other legal writ, except where the prisoner is deliverd to the constable, &c. to be carried to the common gaol; or where any perfon is fent by order of any judge of affife, or justice of peace, to any com-mon work-house or house of correction; or is removed from one place to another within the same county, in order for his trial or discharge in due course of Law; or in case of sudden fire, infection, or other necessity.

If together with the habeas corpus there issue a certiorari to remove the indictment, yet in case of selony, tho the body and record be returned and siled, the court may remand him and the record by the statute of 6 H. 2. cap. 6. but in other cases the record cannot be remanded, but they must proceed in the king's bench both to pleading, trial, and judgment.

But if the body be removed by habeas corpus, and the record also by certiorari, but the record not filed, tho the return upon the habeas corpus be filed, a procedendo may issue to

the court below.

And thus far for the habeas corpus in the king's bench.

By virtue of the statute of Magna Carta, and by the very common law an habeas corpus in criminal causes may issue out of the Chancery. Coke on Magna Carta, cap. 29. 2 Instit. p. 55.

But it seems regularly this should issue out of this court in the vacation time, but out of the king's bench in the term-time, as in case of a supersedeas upon a prohibition. 38 E. 3.

14. a. B. Supersedeas 13.

When the cause is returned, the chancellor may judge of the sufficiency or insufficiency thereof, and may discharge or bail the prisoner to appear in the king's bench, or may propriis manibus deliver the record into the king's bench, together with the body, and thereupon the court of king's bench may proceed to bail, discharge, or commit the prisoner.

But if the chancellor shall not discharge him, but bail him, this surety must be to appear in the king's bench, or if

the chancellor shall do neither, it seems he may commit him to the *Fleet* till the term, and then he may be turned over to the king's bench, and there proceeded against, for the

chancellor hath no power to proceed in criminal causes.

And if the habeas corpus, and also a certiorari be granted, returnable in Chancery, and the cause and body be returned there, they may be sent into the king's bench; if the body only be returned with his causes by habeas corpus into the Chancery, and deliverd over into the king's bench, they may proceed to the determination of the return, and either by proceedends remand him, or grant a certiorari to certify the record

allo, and thereupon commit or bail the prisoner, as there shall be cause.

But the fending an habeas corpus ad faciendum & recipiendum by the chancellor for persons arrested in civil causes, especially being in execution, is neither warrantable by law, nor antient usage, and particularly forbidden by the statute 2 H. 5. cap. 2. as to persons in execution.

And thus far of bailing by habeas corpus.

IV. Touching the writ de odio & atia for a man accused of manslaughter, in some places called a writ de bono & malo, and de ponendo ad ballium, it is grounded upon the statute of Magna Carta, cap. 26. repealed by 28 E. 3. cap. 9. and revived again, as is supposed, by 42 E. 3. cap. 1. whereby all acts made against Magna Carta are repealed. It is a writ much out of use, but the whole learning concerning it is put together by my lord Coke upon Magna Carta, cap. 26. 2 Instit. p. 42. and upon cap. 29. 2 Instit. p. 55. and thither I shall refer myself.

It has been disused by reason of the great trouble in the attaining and execution of it, for, 1. There must be a writ to inquire de vita & membris. 2. There must be an inquisition taken. 3. He was to be bailed by twelve persons.

And now the justices of gaol-delivery usually going their circuits twice a year, unless in the four northern counties, a prisoner comes to his trial as soon, if not sooner, than such inquisition and mainprise can be taken.

And thus far of manucaption or bail by writ.

The fecond general is bailing virtute officii.

The court of king's bench may virtute officii bail any perfon brought before them, of what nature soever the crime is, even for treason or murder, as hath been before shewn, p. 129.

Concerning bailment of felons by justices of the gaol-delivery and of the peace virtute officii, and the statutes relating to

them, enough hath been faid before.

The sheriff, it seems, might ex officio without writ at common law bail offenders indicted before him in his Turn, or upon a commission to him; but this power is in effect

T

taken away from him in cases of selony, by the statutes of 28 E. 3. cap. 9. and by the statute of 1 E. 4. cap. 1. and 1 R. 3. cap. 3. transferd to the justices of the peace, as hath been before declared.

The marshal of the king's bench took upon him antiently virtute officii to bail persons indicted or appeald; but this is wholly taken from him by the statute of 5 E. 3. cap. 8.

CHAP. XVIII.

Concerning warrants to fearch for stolen goods, and seizing of them.

Thought fit to infert this business in this place. 1. Because it is a business preparatory to the discovery of felons, and preparing evidence against them, and to the helping of persons robbed to their goods. 2. Because it is found by experience of great use and necessity, especially in these times, where felonies and robberies are so frequent. And therefore this means of discovering of them is now grown common and usual, much more than in antient times; and if it should be disused or discountenanced, it would be of public inconvenience; and therefore I can by no means subscribe to that opinion of my lord Coke's, 4 Instit. cap. 31. p. 176. as it is there generally set down, "That justices of peace have no "power upon a bare surmise to break open any man's house to search for a felon or stolen goods either in the day or night" (c).

The moderation and temperaments, that are to be added to these warrants, are these:

[I. Touching the granting thereof.] Vol. II. P p

1. They

(c) Vide supra, p. 79, & 107.

1. They are not to be granted without oath made before the justice of a felony committed, and that the party complaining hath probable cause to suspect they are in such a house or place, and do shew his reasons of such suspecion.

And therefore I do take it, that a general warrant to fearch in all suspected places is not good, but only to fearch in such particular places, where the party assigns before the justice his suspicion and the probable cause thereof, for these warrants are judicial acts, and must be granted upon examination of the fact.

And therefore I take those general warrants dormant, which are made many times before any felony committed, are not justifiable, for it makes the party to be in effect the judge; and therefore searches made by pretense of such general warrants give no more power to the officer or party, than what they may do by law without them.

2. It is fit that such warrants to search do express, that search be made in the day-time, and tho I will not say they are unlawful without such restriction, yet they are very inconvenient without it, for many times under pretense of searches made in the night robberies and burglaries have been committed (*), and at best it creates great disturbance.

3. They ought to be directed to constables and other public officers, whereof the law takes notice, and not to private persons, tho it is fit the party complaining should be present and affistant, because he knows his goods.

4. It ought to command, that the goods found, together with the party, in whose custody they are found, be brought before some justice of the peace, to the end that upon farther examination of the fact the goods and party, in whose custody they are found, may be disposed, as to law shall appertain.

And the reason is, tho the receiving of stolen goods doth not ipso facto make a man an accessary to selony, tho he know them to be stolen (†), yet it carries with it a great pre
sumption,

^(*) Vide Part I. p. 553. Co. P. C. p. 64. ly buys or receives stolen goods shall be taken as accessary to the felon. Vide Part I. p. 620.

9. & 5 Ann. cap. 31. whoever knowing-

fumption, that the receiving of them was to aid the felon; and besides, by the examination of the receiver evidence may be gotten to discover the selon.

II. Touching the execution of this warrant.

- or not, the officer and his assistants in the day-time may enter per oftia aperta to make search, and it is justifiable by this warrant.
- 2. If the door be shut, and upon demand it be refused to be opend by them within, if the stolen goods be in the house, the officer may break open the door, and neither the officer nor the party that comes in his assistance are punishable for it, but may justify it upon the general issue by the statute of 7 fac. cap. 5. so that in eventu it is justifiable by both; for it is a process for the king, and includes a non omittas, and the very having of the goods carries a sufficient ground primâ facie of suspicion, that he was the selon that stole them, and may be thereupon justifiably arrested.
- 3. If the goods be not in the house, yet it seems the officer is excused, that breaks open the door to search, because he searcheth by warrant, and could not know, whether the goods were there till search made; but it seems the party, that made the suggestion is punishable in such case, for as to him the breaking of the door is in eventu lawful or unlawful, viz. lawful, if the goods are there; unlawful, if not there.

III. Now upon the return of this warrant executed, the justice, before whom it is returned, hath these things to do:

1. As touching the goods brought before him, if it appear they were not stolen, they are to be restored to the possession; if it appear they were stolen, they are not to be delivered to the proprietor, but deposited in the hand of the sheriff or constable to the end the party robbed may proceed by indicting and convicting the offender to have restitution.

2. As touching the party, that had the custody of the goods.

If they were not stolen, then he is to be discharged.

If stolen, but not by him, but by another, that sold or delivered them to him, if it appear, that he was ignorant

that they were stolen, he may be discharged as an offender, and bound over to give evidence as a witness against him that sold them; if it appear he was knowing they were stolen, it is sit to bind him over to answer the felony, for there is a probable cause of suspicion, at least that he was accessary after.

CHAP. XIX.

Concerning Presentments, Inquisitions, and Indictments, and their kinds.

Have gone through those matters, that are preparatory to the proceeding against malefactors in the several courts, wherein their offenses are punishable, namely, the arrest and imprisonment, or bailing of offenders, and the several jurisdictions, and justices, and ministers of justice concerned therein.

That which follows to be considered is the manner of bringing the offender to his legal trial and judgment, which is either by appeal, which is the suit of the party, or by

indictment, which is immediately the king's fuit.

The former of these, namely appeals, I shall consider after the business of indictments, because it is but rare to have an appeal, and the most prosecutions of this nature are by indictment or presentment, and therefore I shall consider this first.

I shall distribute this matter into these general heads, namely, 1. Touching indictments and presentments. 2. Process. 3. Arraignment. 4. Pleas of the offender. 5. Trial. 6. Judgment. 7. Execution; each of which will take in several particular heads and distributions.

Presentment is a more comprehensive term than indictment, for regularly an indictment is an accusation given in against a person by the grand inquest for some misdemeanor,

T

whereunto he is put to answer; but presentments do not only include such indictments, but also some other informations whereunto the party is not put to answer, as presentments of felo de se, of fugam fecit, of deodands, of deaths per infortu-

nium, and many others.

In this title concerning presentments and indictments I shall consider these points. 1. The several kinds of presentments and indictments. 2. Where a man shall be put to answer in criminals without indictment. 3. Who may be indicters, and how returned. 4. Of what they may inquire. 5. What the penalty of not inquiring or presenting. 6. What formalities are required in indictments.

First, Touching the several kinds of presentments, inqui-

fitions and indictments in matters capital.

They may be distinguished, 1. In relation to the courts or judicatories, or jurisdictions, where they are made.

And, 2. In respect of their effects or natures.

I. Touching the former branch of distribution in relation to the jurisdictions where made, and that multiplies presentments or indictments according to the jurisdictions, as some are in the leet, some in the sheriff's *Turn*, some before the coroner, some before justices of peace, justices of over and terminer, gaol-delivery, king's bench, whereof enough before hath been said, and shall not need here to be repeated.

But those, that most concern capital offenses, are such as are taken before the coroner, or such as are taken before justices by commission, whereof more shall be said in the ensuing

chapters.

II. As to the second kind of distribution in respect of the nature and effect thereof.

1. Some presentments are of themselves convictions, and not traversable.

2. Others are not convictions, but only in nature of infor-

mations, and therefore traverfable.

Regularly all presentments or indictments before justices of the peace, over and terminer, gaol-delivery, &c. are traversable, and conclude not the party or those claiming under him.

Vol. II. Q.q And

And therefore, tho it hath been held, that the presentment of a felo de se before the coroner be not traversable, (de quo fubra, yet of all hands it is agreed, that a presentment of a felo de se before justices of peace or over and terminer is traversable by the executors, Uc. Co. P. C. cap. 8. p. 55. H. 37

Eliz. B. R. Laughton's case.

If a prefentment be made *super visum corporis*, that A. kild B. and fled, this presentment of the flight is held not traversable, but conclusive to forfeit the goods, tho he be after acquitted of the felony, and expresly found by the petty jury upon his trial, that non se retraxit (d), 13 H. 4. 13 b. Forfeiture 32. 3 E. 3. Forfeiture 35. 7 Eliz. Dy. 238. b. And the same law is, if it be found super visum corporis, that the felon fled and was kild in the flight, this presentment, tho after the party's death is conclusive as to the forfeiture for the flight. 3 E. 3. Coron. 289, 290, 312.

But if before justices assigned to hear and determine, it be presented, that F. S. committed a felony and fled, or if upon the arraignment of a person for felony he be found not guilty, and that he fled, this is but in nature of an inquest of office, and the flight is traverfable in an action, or information, or scire facias brought by the king for the goods of the person; 37 Assiz. 7. 47 E. 3. 26. a. And all the reason, that can be given why the coroner's inquest of a fugam fecit is conclusive, and not the other, is only that which is given 8 E. 4. 4. a.

Ceo est un ancient positif ley del coron'.

If a man be presented to have sufferd an escape, because in this case the party is at least to be fined, he shall have

his traverse to it, and is not concluded by it.

But if either before the justices in eyre, or before the co-. roner an escape be presented upon a vill either before or after the arrest, this is held not to be traversable, because there is only an amercement to be fet upon the vill, viz. villata in misericordia; and the reason given by Stamford is, quia de minimis non curat lex; Stamf. P. C. Lib. I. cap. 32. f. 35. b.

But if it fall out, that there be an indictment for fuch an escape, (as there hath been formerly against the city of London for the escape of those, that riotously kild Dr. Lamb (e), who were thereupon fined 2000 l.) such an indistment is not conclusive, but traversable.

Whether an inquisition of a felo de se before the coroner

be traversable, vide que supra, Part. I. cap. 31. p. 414.

And there are no presentments besides what are before mentiond, that are in themselves convictions and not traversable, but a presentment in a leet of bloodshed or the like, and in the Swanimote court of the forest for offenses of Vert and Venison.

But even those presentments are traversable also in two cases, viz. 1. If the offense presented be out of their jurisdiction. 2. Or if the presentment be such as concerns the freehold, as presentments of nusances, or such matters as

charge the freehold; 41 E. 3. 26. b. 45 E. 3. 8. b.

And therefore it was resolved in the Exchequer in a quo marranto against the water-bailiff and conservator of the river Severn, 22 Car. 2. that upon a bare presentment the conservators cannot set a fine upon a supposed unlawful fishing or the like, unless the party comes in and confesses it, or plead to it, and be convicted by a jury of the offense.

A presentment of a riot or forcible detainer by a justice or two justices of peace, as the case shall require, is a conviction by the statute of 15 R. 2. cap. 2. 8 H. 6. cap. 9. 13 H. 4.

cap. 7.

But a presentment by a justice of a default in repairs of an highway, tho by the statute of 5 Eliz. cap. 13. it is such a presentment, as the parties shall be put to answer, yet it is not conclusive, but the traverse of the party is saved by the statute; and it is but reason, for the view of the justice can ascertain the decay or want of repairs, yet it cannot ascertain in what parish it lies, or who is bound by tenure or prescription to repair.

CHAP.

CHAP. XX.

Where a man shall be put to answer in criminal and capital offenses without indictment at the king's suit.

AT the common law there were feveral means of put-ting the party to answer a felony without any indictment, some whereof are still in force, others are taken away

by statute.

I. If a thief or robber were taken with the mainouvre, cum manu opere, and the mainouvre brought into court with the prisoner, he should have been arraignd upon the mainouvre at the king's suit; 2 E. 3. Coron. 156. And therefore M. 18 & 19 E. 1. coram rege, rot. 28. Norf. Et quia pradictus Johannes de Brampton [falsarius sigilli regis & brevium suorum, ut dicitur, non est appellatus, nec indictatus, nec captus cum manu opere, per quod secta domino regi in hujusmodi casu potest competere, ideò [consideratum est, quòd] pradictus Johannes [eat inde] hne die, &c.

And T. 10 E. 2. rot. 132. Bucks, Robert Legat was arraignd for counterfeiting the king's seal, upon the counterfeit commission brought into court without indictment, and he

pleaded not guilty, and was acquit (*).

But upon a bare information or bill, without indictment or the mainouvre at common law no party was to be put to answer for a felony; and therefore, M. 20 & 21 E. 1. coram rege, rot. 27. Hibernia, William Prene, the king's carpenter in Ireland, being accused for felony by a bill in the king's-bench there, and convicted and condemned, but after ranfomed for 200 L and a writ of error brought in the king's-bench in England, and affignd, that he ought not to be put to answer in case of life or member per vocem & per billam, quam Nigellus le Broun porrexit versus ipsum, licet non esset indictatus

per 12. (f).

But it seems to me, that this proceeding upon the main-ouvre is wholly taken away by the statutes of 25 E. 3. cap. 4. 28 E. 3. cap. 3. 42 E. 3. cap. 3. and therefore I do not find any proceeding upon the mainouvre since these statutes.

II. A fecond fort of proceeding in cases capital without indictment is, where an appeal is brought at the suit of the party, and the plaintiff is nonsuit upon that appeal, yet the offender shall be arraigned at the king's suit upon such appeal; and so it is in case the appellant die or release; and in such case, althouthe party be indicted as well as appeald, yet upon the nonsuit of the plaintiff, the proceeding for the king shall not be upon the indictment, but upon the appeal. 4 E. 10. a.

But this hath these two qualifications.

1. It must be where the plaintiff in the appeal hath either declared upon his appeal by writ, or formed his appeal by bill, for the bare suing of a writ without a declaration is not such an appeal, as the party being nonsuit the defendant shall be thereupon arraigned, for 1. The writ may be brought in his name by a stranger without his privity. 2. Because the writ alone contains not such certainty of time, place, and other matters, whereby the party may be put to answer. 7 H. 7. 6. b.

2. It must be where an appeal is well begun, and by a party enabled to prosecute it, therefore, if the appeal abate, because the plaintiff is outlawd, or a woman (who cannot bring an appeal, but only of the death of her husband,) or if the year Vol. II.

R r

(f) That case was thus: William Prene assigned for error, that "par ceo que le "commune laie de Engleterre, e de "Irelaund, veut, ke nul homme par bille saunz enditement, ou par sute de apel, sur les plez de corone, ne sait [soit] attache, ne mis en respounz; yet that he the said William had been imprissond, & de diversis feloniis acculpatus par une bille par Nel le Broun bote en mayns des justices; altho par enquest, ne par chapiter, ne sut endite. And upon consideration of the whole matter the court of king's bench in England were of opinion, "Quòd prædictum re-

"cordum est irritandum, & adnichi"landum; & ideò mandatum est capi"tali justic' Hiberniæ, quòd corrigat,
"&c. & accepta securitate de prædicto
"Willielmo ad standum recto in com'
"ubi deliquisse debuit, & vocatis super
"hoc convocandis ponat prædictum Wil"liclmum per apertum & manisestum in"dictamentum de certis feloniis in certis locis, si aliquis vel aliqui eum sorte
"indictare sive appellare voluerit se"cundum legem & consuetudinem re"gni, &c. & quòd interim per manu"captionem bona & catalla, terras & te"nementa, eidem Willielmo deliberet, &c.

and day be past, or by the misnosmer of the defendant, &c. there the appellee shall not be arraigned at the king's suit, because the appeal was never good, but shall be dismissed, only the judges may arraign him upon an indictment, if any be before them for that offense, or if none be, yet they may bind him over to another fessions, and in the mean time to be of good behaviour; 19E. 2. Coron. 317. All the learning touching this business is fully declared by Stamf. Lib. III. cap. 59. f. 147. & sequentibus.

III. A third fort is upon an appeal by an approver, but the whole learning touching that will come in its proper place

hereafter (g).

IV. The fourth fort is by appeals by particular persons, especially of treason in parliament; and this was very frequent in antient times, especially in the time of R. 2. namely anno septimo, undecimo, & duodecimo, which bred great inconveniencies.

And therefore by the statute of 1 H. 4. cap. 14. all these kinds of appeals in parliament are wholly taken away; and fince that time I find not any fuch appeals brought in parliament.

And therefore, when the now earl of *Briftol* in this prefent parliament in the lords house preferd articles of high treason and other misdemeanors against the earl of Clarendon, then lord chancellor, upon a reference unto all the judges and upon great confideration the judges una voce returned their opinions, that these articles were contrary to the statute of 1 H. 4. and could not be preferd in the lords house by the faid earl or any other private person (h).

But impeachments by the house of commons of high treason, or other mildemeanors in the lords house have been frequently in practice, notwithstanding the statute of 1 H. 4. and are neither within the words nor intent of that statute, for it is a presentment by the most solemn grand inquest of the whole

kingdom.

V. If in a civil action de uxore raptà cum bonis viri upon not guilty pleaded the defendant be convicted, this antiently served in nature of an indictment of felony 13 Assiz. 6. 18 E. 3. 32. a. Stamf. P. C. f. 94.b. So if upon a special verdict

in

in trespass brought in the king's-bench it be found, that the defendant took them feloniously, antiently this served for an indictment. 31 E. 1. Enditement 31.

So if in an action of flander for calling a man thief the defendant justifies, that he stole goods, and issue thereupon taken, it be found for the defendant, if this be in the king's bench, and for a felony in the same county, where the court sits, or if it be before justices of assize, who have also a commission of gaol-delivery, he shall be forthwith arraigned upon this verdict, as on an indictment, and the reason is, because here is a verdict of twelve men in these cases, and so the verdict, tho in a civil action, serves the king's suit as an indictment, and is not contrary to the acts of 25, 28, & 42 E. 3. which enact, that no man shall be put to answer, &c. but upon indictment or presentment.

But if the sheriff return a rescue of a prisoner taken for felony, 1 H. 7. 6. a. or a breach of prison by one arrested for felony, 2 E. 3. 1. b. this is not sufficient to arraign the party, nor doth it countervail an indictment, for it is not by the oath of twelve men; vide hoc totum Stams. P. C. Lib. II.

cap. 29. f. 95. a.

By the statute of 11 H. 7. cap. 3. there was power given to proceed upon all penal statutes by information before justices of assize and peace, but there is an exception of all cases of treason, murder and felony.

Ill use was made of this statute by Empson and Dudley, and great inconvenience and trouble to the people did arise

by it, and therefore 1 H. 8. cap. 6. it was repeald.

And the informations are practifed oftentimes in the crown-office in cases criminal, and by many penal statutes the prosecution upon them is by the acts themselves limited to be by bill, plaint, information or indictment, yet thus much is observable.

1. That the method of profecution of capital offenses is still to be by indictment, except the cases above mentiond.

2. That in all criminal causes the most regular and safe way, and most consonant to the statutes of Magna Carta, cap. 29. 5 E. 3. cap. 9. 25 E. 3. cap. 4. 28 E. 3. cap. 3. & 42 E. 3. cap. 3. is by presentment or indictment of twelve sworn men.

CHAP. XXI.

Who may be indictors, and where and how returned.

Nquisitions, presentments, or indictments are taken before courts or officers of feveral kinds, and accordingly by acts of parliament feveral things are prescribed touching them.

I. Touching inquests before coroners: By the statute of 4 E. 1. De officio coronatoris, the coroner is to issue his precept to four, five or fix vills to appear before him at a certain day to make inquiry, this precept is directed to the constables of the vills, who accordingly give fummons to a competent number of inquirers, twelve at least (i), and by them the inquisition is made, when they have been fworn and have heard their evidence upon oath taken before the coroner.

II. Touching inquests of felonies in leets and Turns: By the statute of Westminster 2. cap. 13. indictments in the sheriffs Turns are to be by twelve at least, and they are to set their feals to the inquisitions, otherwise they are void (k).

And by the statute of 1 E. 3. cap. 17. which extends as well to leets as Turns, they are to be by indenture, one part to remain with the indictors, the other with the sheriff or iteward.

And by the statute of 1 R. 3. cap. 4. no person shall be returned upon a pannel in the sheriff's Turns, unless he hath 20 s. per ann. of freehold, or 26 s. 8 d. of copyhold, and all indictments in the Turn taken otherwise shall be void.

But now by the statute of 1 E. 4. cap. 2. the sheriff cannot proceed upon any indictments for felony, or otherwise taken in his Turn, but must send them to the sessions of the peace, and the justices there are to make process and proceed thereupon.

But then there must be care taken, 1. That the indictments be of fuch matters only, as are within the jurisdiction of the sheriff's Turn, otherwise the justices may not proceed upon them,

(k) 2 Co. Instit. p. 387.

4.E. 4. 31. a. 8 E. 4. 5. b.(*) and 2. That they be by indenture and under the feals of the presenters according to the former statutes.

III. Indictments taken in the county of Lancaster before the sheriff or justices against any person inhabiting out of the same county, or taken in any other county against inhabitants of the county of Lancaster ought to be by twelve men, and each indictor to have lands or tenements of the yearly value of 5 l. by the statute of 33 H. 6. cap. 2. (†)

IV. Touching murders, &c. committed in the king's palace the statute of 33 H.S. cap. 12. hath appointed that twenty-four of the king's yeomen officers of the cheque-roll of the king's house shall be returned to make inquiry, and the trial

to be by a jury of the gentlemen officers.

V. Concerning inquiries to be made before justices itinerant, the course was this: There first went out the writ of the common fummons of the eyre, directed to the sheriff to summon de quâlibet villà quatuor homines & prapositum, & de quolibet burgo duodecim legales burgenses to be at the day and place for the eyre, and upon that day the sheriff and lords of liberties were to return the names of the bailiffs of their hundreds and liberties, and those bailiffs were sworn to elect two men in their feveral hundreds, and present their names to the court, and these two hundreders for each hundred were to choose of themselves and the rest of their several hundreders respectively, ordinarily fixteen, or fometimes only twelve, who were feverally fworn upon inquiries and presentments of things done within their hundred, as so many grand inquests for every feveral hundred, and the twelve returned for each borough were the grand inquest for the borough; this caused a vast and chargeable attendance upon the courts in eyre, and hath been long disused, and therefore I shall not say more of it.

VI. Concerning the choosing and returning of the grand jury before justices assigned to keep the peace, over and terminer, and gaol-delivery, I shall be somewhat more large, because before these justices ordinarily criminal and capital causes are

heard and determined.

Vol. II.

Upon

Upon the summons of any session of the peace there goes out a precept either in the name of the king or of two or more justices of peace directed to the sherist, that non omittas propter aliquam libertatem in ballivâ tuâ, quin eam ingrediaris, venire fac' tali die ac loco viginti quatuor liberos V legales homines de quolibet hundredo in ballivâ tuâ, tâm infra libertates, quâm extra, ad faciendum V exequendum ea, quæ ex parte domini regis tunc V ibidem eis injungântur, and a scire fac' to all coroners, constables and bailiss, Vc. to be there at that day. Lamb. Lib II. cap. 2.

And according to others Venire fac' viginti quatuor liberos & legales homines de quolibet hundredo in ballivâ tuâ, quorum quilibet habeat 40 s. per ann. liberi tenementi ad minus, venire fac' etiam viginti quatuor tàm milites quàm alios probos & legales homines de corpore com' tuì, quorum quilibet habeat 40 s. de terris & tenementis liberi tenementi, ad inquirend' super iis, que ex parte domini regis ad tunc & ibidem eis injungerentur, premunientes omnes justiciarios ad pacem, constabularios, & c. Crompt. f. 212. a.

And in cases of commissions of over and terminer and gaol-delivery, Quòd non omittas propter aliquam libertatem, quin eam ingrediaris, & venire fac' tàm viginti quatuor probos & legales homines de quolibet hundredo com' prædict', ad inquirendum, præsentandum, faciendum & exequendum ea omnia, qua ex parte domini regis tunc & ibidem eis injungerentur, quàm alios viginti quatuor probos & legales homines de com' prædict', ad faciend' juratam inter dominum regem & prisones prædictos, & c. Co. Entr. f. 55. a.

Upon this precept the sheriff is to return twenty-sour or more out of the whole county, namely a considerable number out of every hundred, out of which the grand inquest at the sessions of the peace, over and terminer, or gaol-delivery are taken and sworn ad inquirendum pro domino rege & corpore comitatus, (not, as antiently in eyre, a kind of grand inquest out of every hundred;) but in some counties which consist of gildable and such franchise, where anciently several justices of gaol-delivery sat, as in Suffolk(*) there are two grand juries, one for the gildable, another for the franchise, because there are two several commissions of gaol-delivery.

Now touching the grand jury thus returned before justices

assigned there are some things considerable.

They must be probi & legales homines, and therefore, if any one of the indictors be outlawd, tho in a personal action, it is a sufficient plea to avoid the indictment; 11 H. 4. 41 b. M. 4 Car. B. R. Croke p. 134. Sir William Withipole's case, and the statute of 11 H. 4. cap. 9. hereafter mentioned fortifies this, de quo infra.

And therefore, if any of them be attainted in a conspiracy, or decies tantum, or of perjury, or outlawd in any personal action, or attaint of felony or in a pramunire, they are not to be indictors, because in law, they are not probi & legales.

Lamb. Fustic. 391.

Touching their annus census I do not find any thing determined, but freeholders they ought to be. The statute of 2 H. 5. cap. 3. that requires jurors, that pass upon the trial of a man's life, to have 40 s. per ann. freehold, hath been the measure by which the freehold of grand jurymen hath been measured in precepts of summons of sessions (†).

By the statute of 11 H. 4. cap. ultimo, reciting, that inquests had been formerly returned of persons outlawd, fled to sanctuary for treason or felony, &c. enacts, "That no indictments be made by such persons but by inquest of loyal subjects returned by the sheriffs or bailists duly without demonination of any person, but only by the sworn bailists and ministers of the sheriff; and if any indictment be otherwise taken, it be void.

Upon this statute it hath been resolved in Sir William Withipole's case above cited. 1. That it extends to coroners inquests. 2. It is a good plea upon this statute, that one of the indictors is outlawd in a personal action, as well as of selony, or that any of the jurors were impanneld at the denomination of any contrary to this statute.

By the statute of 3 H. 8. cap. 12. it is enacted, "That "the justices of gaol-delivery, and justices of peace, where"of one of the quorum, in open sessions may reform the pannels returned by the sheriff, (which be not at the suit of "the

"the parties,) by putting to and taking out the names of persons returned, and shall command the sheriff to return the same accordingly, upon pain of 20 l. and the king's

" pardon to be no bar to the profecutor.

This act extends not only to pannels of grand inquests returned, but also to pannels of the petty jury, commonly called the jury of life and death, which may be reformed by the justices according to this act, and the sheriff is bound to return the pannel so reformed.

The grand inquest returned the first day of the sessions and sworn commonly serves the whole sessions of the peace, over and terminer, or gaol-delivery; yet the court may command another grand inquest to be returned and sworn, which

is done ordinarily upon two occasions.

1. If before the end of the fessions the grand jury having brought in all their bills are discharged by the court, and after that discharge either some new felony or other misdemeanor is committed, and the party taken and brought into gaol; or if after the discharge of the grand inquest some offender be taken and brought in during the sessions. In the former case, there is a necessity to make a special record of the adjournment of the sessions from day to day, because otherwise the whole sesfions are in supposition of law only the first day, and therefore without the entry of fuch adjournment the offense and proceedings will be in supposition of law after the sessions ended, and so the proceeding will be erronious(*): This was the case of Sampson (b), who being arraigned and tried for a murder committed after the first day of the sessions and before the sesfions ended, for want of entry of an adjournment it was ruled erronious. And the same is to be observed, if upon record it appears, that the grand inquest was returned after the first day of the fessions, unless an adjournment be enterd of record.

2. The second ordinary instance of a new grand jury returned is upon the statute of 3 H. 7. cap. 1. namely, a grand inquest impanneld to inquire of the concealment of another grand inquest, upon which defaults presented the former grand inquest is to be amerced; and this, tho it mention only an inquest thus to be taken by justices of peace, yet it extends to the

(*) Supra p. 248

king's

king's bench, and hath been practifed there accordingly in my knowledge, and possibly at the sessions of over and terminer and gaol-delivery, tho that can rarely come in question, because the sessions of the peace ordinarily accompanies those committions.

And this is the proper and legal way of punishing the grand inquest, if they refuse to present such things as are within their charge, and for which they have probable evidence to make a presentment, but of this more in the next chapter.

CHAP. XXII.

Concerning the demeanor of the grand inquest in relation to their presentments.

HE coroners inquest may and must hear evidence of all hands, if it be offerd to them, and that upon oath, because it is not so much an accusation or an indictment, as an inquisition or inquest of office, quomodo J.S. ad mortem fuam devenit, tho it be also true, that the offender may be arraigned upon that presentment.

But the grand inquest before justices of peace, gaol-delivery, or over and terminer ought only to hear the evidence for the king, and in case there be probable evidence (a), they ought to find the bill, because it is but an accusation, and the party is to

be put upon his trial afterwards.

But if a bill of indictment for murder, or other capital offense be presented against A. if upon the hearing the king's evidence, or upon their own knowledge of the incredibility of the witnesses they are distatisfied, they may return the bill ignoramus.

Vol. II. Ιf

(a) This same doctrine is laid down by C. J. Pemberton in the case of the earl of Shafesbury, Stat. Tr. Vol. III. p. 415.

Vide tamen Six John Haceles remarks on that case, Stat. Tr. Vol. IV. p. 183, supra p. 61.

If A. be kild by B. so that it doth constare de persona occist & occidentis, and a bill of murder be presented to them, regularly they ought to find the bill for murder, and not for manslaughter, or se defendendo, because otherwise offenses may be fmotherd without due trial; and when the party comes upon his trial, the whole fact will be examined before the court and the petty jury, and in many cases it is a great disadvantage to the party accused (*). For if a man kill B. in his own defense, or per infortunium, or possibly in executing the process of law upon an affault made upon him, or in his own defense upon the highway, or in defense of his house against those that come to rob him, (in which three last cases it is neither felony nor forfeiture, but upon not guilty pleaded he ought to be acquitted,) yet if the grand inquest find an ignoramus upon the bill, or find the special matter, whereby the prisoner is dismissed and discharged, he may nevertheless be indicted for murder seven years after.

But if the grand jury had found the bill for murder, (yea or for manslaughter,) and the party pleading not guilty the special matter is given in evidence, and the petty jury find the special matter, (or in the three last cases find him not guilty, as they may,) this acquittal upon this finding will be a good plea of autresoits acquit, and he shall never be ar-

raigned for it again.

If a bill be against A. for murder, and the grand inquest upon the evidence before them or their own knowledge be satisfied that it was but per infortunium, or se defendendo, and accordingly return the bill specially, the court may remand them to consider better of it, or may hear the evidence at the bar, and accordingly direct the grand-inquest; but I have known a judge blamed for setting a fine upon the grand inquest for such a return, because in truth it comes not up to selony.

But if a bill go out against B. for murder, and it doth constare de persona occidentis, may the grand inquest find the bill for manslaughter, and ignoramus for the murder? and is the court bound to receive such a return?

I

In

^(*) Notwithstanding this, according to concern the life of a man, ought to be lord Coke 9 Co. 119. a. indistments, which framed as near the truth, as may be.

In this case of all hands it is agreed (b), that the grand jury is to blame, because they take upon them to anticipate the evidence, that is to be given to the petit jury, and so determine matter of law, which belongs to the court to determine, and by this means many murders may escape under the disguise of manslaughter, and so escape with their clergy.

Some therefore have made it a practice to fet a fine upon the grand jury in this case, and it hath proceeded so far, as to fine petit juries also in such like cases; whereof hereafter.

That which I think herein, and in other concealments of

grand inquests is, as follows, viz.

1. That the Court may receive such a return from the grand inquest, and it is a matter of discretion, especially, if upon inquiry from the indictors or witnesses, or upon view of their examinations it do plainly appear, that the crime amounts to no more.

2. That barely upon such a return no fine can be set upon the grand inquest, unless the evidence to the grand inquest be given at the bar in the presence of the court; for otherwise the court cannot understand, whether the

grand inquest doth well or ill in such case.

3. That if the evidence to the grand inquest be given at the bar upon an indictment in the king's bench, and the grand inquest will not find a bill according to the direction of that court, as for instance, will find a man guilty only se defendendo, or of manslaughter, when it is murder, that court may set a fine upon the grand inquest, and so it hath been practised; for it is the highest court in England of ordinary justice, especially in criminal causes.

4. That

(b) This is far from being agreed of all hands, for such an anticipation of the evidence by the grand jury is what they cannot avoid, they being bound by their oaths, as much as the petit jury, to present the whole truth, and nothing but the truth, nor do they in this case so properly determine matter of law as matter of sact; for whether murder or not depends upon a preconceived malice, which (tho it is to be presumed, where no provocation appears), is matter of sact, and proper for

the confideration of a jury, and tho judges have sometimes fined jurors for not finding such bills for murder, yet such proceedings have been generally censured, as in the case of Sir H. Wyndham and others, P. 19 Car. 2. who were fined by Keeling, C. J. for not finding a bill of murder, albeit they were satisfied the man died by the hand of the party indicted; but upon complaint in parliament the chief justice was sain to submit. 2 Keb. 180.

4. That if, the justices of over and terminer, or gaol-delivery having heard the evidence at the bar, the grand inquest will not find according to their directions, the justices may bind them over by recognizance into the king's bench, and upon an information against them they may be fined.

5. That in such a case justices of peace, over and terminer, or gaol-delivery may according to the statute of 3 H. 7. cap. 1. impanel another inquest to inquire of their conceal-

ments, and thereupon fet fines upon them.

6. But in my opinion fines set upon grand inquests by justices of the peace, over and terminer, or gaol-delivery for concealments or non-presentments in any other manner are not warrantable by law; and tho the late practice hath been for fuch justices to set fines arbitrarily, yea not only upon grand inquests, but also upon the petit jury in criminal causes, if they find not according to their directions, it weighs not much with me for these reasons; 1. because I have seen arbitrary practice still go from one thing to another, the fines fet upon grand inquests began, then they fet fines upon the petit juries for not finding according to the directions of the court; then afterwards the judges of nist prius proceeded to fine jurors in civil causes, if they gave not a verdict according to direction even in points of fact; this was done by a judge of affife (c) in Oxford*shire*, and the fine estreated, but I by the advice of most of the judges of England staid process upon that fine; the like was done by the same judge in a case of burglary, the fine was estreated into the Exchequer; but by like advice I stayed process, and in the case of Wagstaff (d) and other jurors fined at the Old-Bailey for giving a verdict contrary to direction, by the advice of all the judges of England (only one diffenting) it was ruled to be against law; but of this hereafter (e). 2. My second reason is, because the statute of 3 H. 7. cap. 1. prescribes a way for their fining, which would not have been, if they had been arbitrarily subject to a fine before. 3. It is of very ill consequence, for the privilege

vilege of an Englishman is, that his life shall not be drawn in danger without due presentment or indictment, and this would be but a flender screen or safe-guard, if every justice of peace or commissioner of over and terminer, or gaol-delivery may make the grand jury present what he pleases, or otherwise fine them; and there is no parity of reason or example between inferior judges and the court of king's bench, which is the supreme ordinary court of justice in such cases; and thus far concerning fining of grand inquests. (f)

They are fworn to keep the king's counsel undiscoverd, the revealing or disclosing whereof was heretofore taken for felony, 27 Ass. 63. but that law is antiquated, it is now only fineable; if there be thirteen or more of the grand in quest, a presentment by less than twelve ought not to be; but if there be twelve affenting, tho some of the rest of their number dissent, it is a good presentment; for if twelve agree, it is not necessary for the rest to agree. Lamb. Justice

But in case of a trial by the petit jury, it can be by no more nor less than twelve, and all affenting to the verdich, (g) accordingly it was adjudged M. 42 E. 3. Rot. 16. Suff. Rex (b), the judgment was reversed, because but eleven indictors.

·Tt Vol. II. But

true, may much more fafely be trufted, than other inferior courts, but yet our author's arguments do sufficiently evince, that no court whatever ought to have such a power of making juries find what they please, nor has the law vested such a power in any court; for as to matter of fact the jury are the sole judges, and herein are to be guided entirely by their own judgments and consciences; indeed in matters of law the court is the proper judge, and the jury are not to find con-trary to their direction, but even here they are not bound to follow the direction of the court, but, if they cannot affent thereto, ought to find the fact specially; indeed where the fact is agreed, if they will obstinately find matter of law contrary to the direction of the court, there may be some reason why they

(f) The court of king's bench, it is should be fined. See Hood's case Kelyng 50. but barely finding matter of fact a-gainst the direction of the court is no sufficient cause to fine a jury. Bushell's case, Vaugh. 153. and this distinction is founded on the antient maxim of the common law; ad questionem juris non respondent juratores, sed judices; ad questionem fatti non respondent judices, sed jura-ratores. Co. Lit. 6.366. & libros ibi. (g) See the inconveniences hereof;

Pref. to Stat. Tr. p. 7.
(b) This case proves nothing as to the petit jury, it being an indictment on the coroner's inquest, as appears by the record; which is as follows: " John Cobat of Iff" wich was indicted by the coroner's in-" quest consisting only of eleven, quod " die Sabbati prox'ante sestum Sancti ad " vincula anno regni regis E. 3. post " conquestum tricesimo quinto insultum

But if a presentment be delivered into a court of sessions and received, no amerciament lies, that it was not assented to by twelve, but otherwise it is in case of a presentment by a leet, for the party distrained, &c. may aver, that it was not presented by twelve. 45 E. 3. 26. b. B. Leet 7.

The indictors are prefumed in law to be indifferent, unless the contrary appear; 1. Because returned by the sheriff.

2. Because sworn by the court to present, and therefore shall never be charged by writ of conspiracy for any conspiracy before their being sworn, tho the party be acquit. 7 H. 4.

31. b. 19 H. 6. 19. a. But 21 E. 3. 17. by R. Th. it is a good replication to say, he procured himself to be returned of the grand inquest.

If a bill of indictment be for murder, and the grand jury return it billa vera quoad manslaughter, & ignoramus quoad murder, the usual course is in the presence of the grand jury to strike out malitiose & ex malitia sua praecogitata and murderavit, and leave in so much as makes the bill to be but

bare manslaughter, and so to receive it.

But the safest way is to deliver them a new bill forman-slaughter, and they to indorse it generally billa vera, for the words of the indorsement make not the indictment, but only evidence the assent or dissent of the grand inquest, it is the bill itself is the indictment, when affirmed. And so in like cases, where the bill contains two offenses, as burglary and thest, forcible entry and detainer. H. 4 Jac. B. R. Telverton 99. Ford's case.

The

"fecit Johanni le Swon servienti Prioris

fanctæ Trinitat. Gippewici in suburbio

libertat. villæ prædicæ in quodam

campo juxta Tromons' Hegg, & dictum

Johannem le Swon ibidem cum qua
dam arma vocat' Sparth' precii quatuor

denar. verberavit felon' de qua quidem

verberatione dictus Johannes le Swon

moriebatur, sed languebat à dicto die

Sabbati prox. ante sessum Sancti Petri

ad vincula usque ad diem Jovis tune

prox. sequent', the which indictment

was afterwards in Mich' term anno 42 of
the same reign removed into the king's
bench, "& continuato inde processiuversus

præstat Johannem usque à die Paschæ

"in xv dies anno regni regis nunc An"gliæ quadragesimo tertio, ad quem di"em coram domino rege apud Westm"
"venit prædictus Fokannes Cobat per
"man', & viso & diligenter examinato
"per cur' indictamento prædicto, pro eo
"quòd compert. est in eodem, quòd sue"runt nist undecim juratores tantùm in
"inquisitione prædictà, ubi in qualiber
"inquisitione de jure fore deberent xii
"jurati, & sic videtur cur', quòd indicta"mentum prædictum minus sufficiens est
"ad præsat' Johannem Cobat ulterius
"inde ponere responsur'. Ideo idem Jo"hannes Cobat ad præsens eat inde sine
"die, salvo semper jure regis, &c.

The grand jury are fworn ad inquirendum pro corpore comitatus, and therefore regularly they cannot inquire of a fact done out of that county for which they are fworn, unless specially enabled by act of parliament, but only in some facial refer.

special cases. Mich. 9 Car. B. R. Bell's case.

If a man had been stricken in the county of A. and had died in the county of B. the offender had not been indictable of murder, &c. in the county of A. because the death was in the county of B. neither had he been indictable in the county of B. because the stroke was given in the county of A. but by the statute of 2 & 3 E. 6. cap. 24. he may be indicted in the county, where the party died, tho the stroke were in another county, and also the offender shall be tried there, but an appeal may be brought in either county. 7 Co. Rep. 2. a. Bulwer's case.

So if A. had committed a felony in the county of D. and B. had been accessary before or after in the county of C. B. could not have been indicted as accessary in either county at common law, but by that statute he is indictable, and shall be tried in the county where he so became accessary.

Stamf. P. C. Lib. I. cap. 46.

So if a stroke were given super altum mare, and the party came into the body of the county, and there died, this is casus omissus, and the party is neither indictable by the jury of the county where he died, nor before the admiral by the

statute of 28 H. 8. cap. 15. Co. P. C. cap. 7. p. 48.

If A. rob B. in the county of C. and carry the goods into the county of D. A. cannot be indicted of robbery in the county of D. because the robbery was in another county, but he may be indicted of larciny or thest in the county of D. because it is thest wherever he carries the goods; the like law in an appeal, 4 H. 7. 5 b. 7 Co. Rep. 2. a. Bulmer's case.

But by the force of some acts of parliament treasons and felonies committed in one county may be indicted and tried in another county.

By the statute 33 H. 8. cap. 23. upon examination, as in that statute is provided, treasons, misprissions of treasons,

and murders committed in any place within the king's dominions or without may be inquired of, heard, and determined in any county, where the king by his commission shall appoint.

This statute, at least as to the trial of treasons and misprisions, is repealed by the statute of 1 & 2 P. & M. cap. 10. Stamf. P. C. Lib. II. cap. 26. fol. 89, 90. Co. P. C. cap. 2.

p. 27.

But it seems that statute stands in force as to indictments and trials of murder, the circumstances required by that sta-

tute being observed.

By the statute 35 H. 8. cap. 2. because some doubt was conceived, whether forein treasons committed out of this realm might be inquired of, heard and determined within the realm, it is enacted, that such offenses shall be inquired of, heard and determined in the king's bench, or in such counties, where the king shall issue his commission, by the good men of the same county.

This statute stands in force, not repealed by 1 & 2 P. &

M. cap. 10. Co. P. C. cap. 2. p. 24.

By the statute 27 Eliz. cap. 2. treasons by priests or jesuits coming into England, and selony for receiving them; and by the statute 1 Jac. cap. 11. selony for taking a second husband or wife, the first living, are inquirable and determinable where the offender is apprehended; the like for selony in exportation of wools by the statute of 14 Car. 2. cap. 18. But yet it was held at common law, that treason in adhering to the king's enemies beyond the sea was inquirable and triable where the offender had lands, vide Coke super Littleton, Sect. 440. p. 261. b. 5 R. 2. Trial 54. but this is now settled by the statute of 35 H. 8. cap. 2. vid. Co. P. C. cap. 1. p. 11.

If A. by reason of tenure of lands in the county of B. be bound to repair a bridge in the county of C. if the bridge be in decay, he may be indicted in the county of C. that he is bound ratione tenure of lands in the county of B. to repair

the bridge. 5 H. 7. 3. 3 E. 3. Assis 446.

CHAP. XXIII.

Concerning the forms of indictments in cases capital, and first touching the form of the caption returned upon a certiorari.

IT will be a business of too much length and besides my intention to treat of all indictments in cases criminal, but I shall confine myself only to those that are capital.

Touching the forms of indictments there are two things considerable, 1. The caption of the indictment, 2. The indictment itself.

The caption of the indictment is no part of the indictment itself, but it is the style or preamble, or return that is made from an inferior court to a superior, from whence a certiorari issues to remove; or when the whole record is made up in form, for whereas the record of the indictment, as it stands upon the sile in the court, wherein it is taken, is only thus; Juratores pro domino rege super sacramentum suum prasentant, when this comes to be returned upon a certiorari it is more full and explicite, viz. in this form,

Norss. Ad generalem sessionem pacis tent' apud S. in comit' pradicto 5 die Octobris anno regni, &c. 25 coram A. B. C. D. & sociis suis justiciariis domini regis ad pacem dicti domini regis in comit' pradict' conservand', necnon ad divers' felonias trangress' & alia malefacta in eodem comitat' audiend' & terminand' assignatis, per sacrament' E. F. G. H. &c. proborum & legalium hominum comit' pradict' jurat' & onerat' ad inquirend' pro dicto domino rege & pro corpore comit' pradict' existit prasentatum.

1. First, the name of the county must be in the margin of the record, or repeated in the body of the caption.

2. The court, where the presentment is made, must be expressed, viz. ad generalem sessionem pacis, &c. or ad generalem

goala regis deliberationem, Uc.

3. It must appear where the sessions was held, and that the place, where it was held, is within the extent of the commission, and therefore, if Dorset be in the margin, and the caption be ad generalem sessionem pacis tent' apud S. and says not in comitat' pradicto, it is naught. H. 42 Eliz. B. R. Ludlow's case, Croke, n. 10. p. 738. P. 40 Eliz. B. R. Croke, n. 4. p. 606. Child's case; so if west-riding in comit' Eborum be in the margin, and caption be apud S. in comit' pradicto, it shall be quashed, because it doth not say apud S. in west-riding in comitatû pradict'. T. 5 Jac. B. R. and P. 9 Jac. B. R. Thorny's case, Croke, n. 6. p. 276.

4. The justices names, H. 42 Eliz. B. R. Ludlow's case.

But it is not necessary to name all the justices by name, but the rest may be supplied by the words (\mathcal{O} sociis suis, $\mathcal{O}c$.) But so many are sit to be named, as are enabled by their commission to hold a session, and the return of the caption is supposed to agree with the title of their sessions.

5. The title of their authority, as justic' ad gaolam domini

regis com' prædict' deliberand'.

And note, that if there be a session by three commissions, as of gaol-delivery, over and terminer, and the peace, if it be returned at a session holden before them, and the record be made up; as upon all three commissions, if they have jurisdiction to take the indictment but by one of those, it is good, tho not enabled to take it by the other. 9 H. 7. 9. a.

Tent' coram justiciariis ad pacem, without saying necnon ad divers' felonias Uc. audiend' U terminand' assignatis, is not good to remove an indictment, because tho that clause be usually added to all commissions of the peace, yet they are not thereby justices of over and terminer, and that clause ought to be added to their return, because without that clause they cannot proceed by indictment. 22 E. 4. 12. b. 2 R. 3. 9. a. b.

And

And altho in all commissions of oyer and terminer, gaol-delivery, and of the peace, there be some that are of the quorum, without which there can be no sessions held, yet in the caption there need not be any mention, whether any of them, or which of them are of the quorum, but generally as before, for it is sufficient, if de facto the sessions be held before him or them, that are of the quorum, tho not so mentioned in the return, and so is the usual course.

But it seems, that if an act of parliament do expresly limit, that such or such an offense shall be heard and determined before two or more justices of the peace, &c. whereof one to be of the quorum, the caption of such an indictment of such an offense ought to mention, whereof A. &c. is of the quorum, as is used in the return of orders made by two justices touching bastard children upon the statute of 18 Eliz. cap. 3. because the act of parliament precisely limits one to be of the quorum, and therefore must be pursued.

- 6. It must return, that the indictment was made per sacramentum.
- 7. It must name the jurors, that presented the offense, and therefore a return of an indictment or presentment per sacramentum A.B. C. & D. & aliorum is not good, for it may be the presentment was by a less number than twelve, in which case it is not good. H. 41 Eliz. B. R. Croke, n. 16. Clyncard's case, p. 654. and it seems to me, that all the names of the jurors ought to be returned; for the party indicted may have an exception to some or one of them, as that he is outlawd, in which case the indictment may be quashed by plea, tho there be twelve besides without exception, for possibly that one, who is not legalis homo, may influence all the rest, and so vitiate the whole indictment.
- 8. They must be returned to be probi & legales homines, and de comitatû pradicto, and this holds as well in the case of the coroner's inquest, as of other indictments or presentments. P. 20 fac. B. R. Croke 2. Oily's case, p. 635.

9. It seems requisite also to add this clause, onerati & jurati ad inquirendum pro domino rege & pro corpore comit' pradict'; and if it be a presentment by the grand jury of a liberty,

berty, ad inquirend' pro domino rege & pro libertate de S. vel

rapâ de S.

10. If it concludes qui dicunt, and says not super sacrament, &c. or prasentatum existit, and says not per sacramentum, &c. prasentatum existit, it shall be quashed, for their presentment must be upon oath, and so returned; so ruled T. 23 Car. 1. B. R.

And thus far for the caption of the indictment, where note, 1. That if the caption be faulty in the form, yet the same term it may be amended by the clerk of the assists, or the peace, but not in another term.

2. But in another term the clerk, that returns it, shall be

fined for his informal return.

CHAP. XXIV.

Concerning the body of the indictment in cases capital, and the several parts thereof, and the forms requisite therein.

THIS is a large and uncertain title, and hard to be reduced to any certain orders; 1. Because the parts of an indictment are many. 2. The strictness required in indictments is great, because life is in question. 3. Therefore very nice and slender exceptions have been of latter ages allowd, and they have been with too much facility quashed and reversed. 4. The circumstances of facts and crimes are very various.

Yet I shall endeavour to reduce this title to as much certainty, and as good a method as I can, confining myself to capital causes, tho there be many things that will arise equally applicable to causes of an information mature.

qually applicable to causes of an inferior nature.

An

An indictment is nothing else but a plain, brief, and certain narrative of an offense committed by any person, and of those necessary circumstances, that concur to ascertain the fact and its nature; and therefore I shall consider 1. Some generals, that concern indictments in general. 2. I shall consider the several parts of indistments in their order.

Among these generals these will come to be considerd, that follow.

I. Regularly, every indictment ought to be in Latin, as all pleadings in the courts of law ought to be, and it is of excellent use, because it being a fixed, regular language, it is not capable of fo many changes and alterations, as happen in vulgar languages. (a)

If there be a proper Latin word for any offense or thing containd in an indictment, it may not be supplied with ge-

neral words and an Anglice.

Therefore an indictment, quòd exercuit quasdam diabolicas artes, Anglice witchcraft, was qualhed, because there is a proper Latin word for it, viz. Incantatio. M. 2 Car. B. Dr. Lamb's case. (b)

Regularly, false Latin doth not vitiate an indictment, if yet the indictment be reasonably intelligible, 5 Co. Rep. 121.a. Long's case, M. 30 & 31 Eliz. Croke, n. 3. B. R. Brickett's case, (c), as prafato regina, where it should be prafata.

But if the words be words of art, and by omission or misplacing of letters become infignificant, they vitiate the indictment, as burgariter for burglariter, feloniter for felonice, murdredavit for murdravit; but burgulariter hath been held good, 4 Co. Rep. 39. b. Brook's case, ibid. 41. b. Haydon's case, 5 Co. Rep. 121. a. Long's case. H. 45 Eliz. B. R. Croke, n. 15. Ryle's case. (d)

Vol. II.

 $\mathbf{X} \mathbf{x}$

So

(a) This is now alterd by 4 Geo. 2. in a language capable of being known cap. 26. 6 Geo. 2. cap. 6. which requires all indictments, &c. to be in the English tongue; for notwithstanding the excellency of the use here mentiond by our author, it was thought to be of much greater ule and importance, that they should be

and understood by the parties concerned, whose lives and liberties were to be affeeled thereby.

(b) Noy 85. Latch 156. W. Jones 149.

(c) Cro. Fliz. 108.

(d) Cro. Elio. 920.

170 Historia Placitorum Corona.

So if it make the indictment insensible or uncertain, as if A. and B. be indicted for stealing, felonice cepit & asportavit, where it should be ceperunt, it shall be quashed, P. 42 Eliz. B. R. Lane's case (e); so in an indictment of murder, the stroke laid in sinistro bracio, where it ought to be brachio, for it appears not where the wound was, the words being insensible. T. 31 Eliz. B. R. Webster's case. (f)

Abbreviations, that are usual, are allowable in indictments, as well as in other pleadings, and shall be construed to the best advantage for the maintaining of the indictment, as if an indictment be maintainable upon one statute or more, a conclusion contra formam statut. in hujusmodi casu edit. U provis. shall be construed singularly or plurally, as makes best for the maintenance of the indictment. (g)

Figures to express numbers are not allowable in indictments, tho sometimes literal numbers be allowable in returns, but in indictments the numbers, whether cardinal or ordi-

nal, must be expressed in Latin.

II. An indictment grounded upon an offense made by act of parliament must by express words bring the offense within the substantial description made in the act of parliament, and those circumstances mentiond in the statute to make up the offense shall not be supplied by the general conclusion contra formam statuti.

And so it is, if an act of parliament oust clergy in certain cases, as murder ex malitia pracogitata, robbery in or near the highway, stabbing one not having struck first, nor having a weapon drawn, tho the offenses themselves were at common law, yet because at common law within clergy, they shall not be ousted of clergy, the convicted, unless these circumstances, as ex malitia pracogitata, or prope altam viam, &c. be expressed in the indictment.

But where an offense is made felony, or otherwise punishable by act of parliament, tho the indictment must take in the circumstances, which in the body of the act make up the offense, yet if by a proviso in the same statute,

⁽e) Cro. Eliz. 754.

(f) By the name of Gossen's case. Cro. Eliz. 137.

⁽g) This is also alterd by 4 Geo. 2. cap. 26. 6 Geo. 2. cap. 6. which prohibits all abbreviations in indicaments, &c.

or by any subsequent statute some cases or circumstances are exempted out of the act, the indictment need not mention and qualify the offense, so as to exempt it out of the proviso, but the party shall have advantage of the proviso by pleading not guilty, and in the same manner shall have advantage of the subsequent statute to excuse him by virtue of

the statute of 21 Fac. cap. 4.

If a statute prohibit any act to be done, and by a substantive clause gives a recovery by action of debt, bill, plaint, or information, but mentions not indictment, the party may be indicted upon the prohibitory clause, and thereupon fined, but not to recover the penalty, as upon the statute of 3 fac. cap. 5. prohibiting recusants to baptize their children by a popish priest. 'H. 7. Car. B. R. per Cur', but then it seems the fine ought not to exceed the penalty, P. 22 Car. B. R. College of Physicians, vide tamen M. 20 fac. B. R. Croke, n. 4. Castle's case contra. (h)

But if the act be not prohibitory, but only that if any person shall do such a thing, he shall forfeit 5 l. to be recovered by action of debt, bill, plaint, or information, he cannot be indicted for it, but the proceeding must be by action, bill, plaint, or information. P. 6 Car. B. R. Day's

case.

If a man be indicted for an offense, which was at common law, and concludes contra formam statuti, but in truth it is not brought by the indictment within the statute, it shall be quashed, and the party shall not be put to answer it as an offense at common law.

As if a man be indicted for drawing his dagger in the church upon J. S. contra formam statuti, viz. 5 E. 6. cap. 4. but omits these words with an intent to strike, the indictment shall be quashed, and the party not put to answer the assault at common law. P. 33 Eliz. B. R. Croke, n. 23. Penhallo's case. (i)

So if a man be indicted for a riotous and forceable entry contra formam statuti, viz. 8 H. 6. cap. 9. and the statute is misrecited, he shall not be put to answer the offense at com-

mon law, but the indictment shall be quashed. M. 35 & 36 Eliz. B. R. Croke, n. 10. Hall and Gaven (k), M. 41 Eliz. B. R. Croke, n. 10. Eden's case (l) yet vide M. 10 Car. Holme's case. (m) A man indicted for felonious burning of a house, upon not guilty pleaded a special verdict was found, it was adjudged no felony, as the case was found, yet upon the same indictment he was adjudged to the pillory, and fined 500 l. and bound to his good behaviour, but quare of that case, for it seems unreasonable, because being tried for felony, he hath not those advantages for his defense, as if he were indicted only for trespass; (n) M. 10 Car. B.R. Croke, in. 3. vid. 2 H. 7. 10. b.

If a statute be particular, it must be recited in the indictment, and proved by an examined copy upon the trial.

But if a man be indicted quòd furatus est, and says not se-lonice, this indictment imports but a trespass, and the offender may be put to answer it as a trespass. 2 H. 7. 10. b. 18 E. 4. 10. b.

And so it seems, if a man be indicted at a leet, quòd fe-lonice rapuit such a woman, and this indictment is removed into the king's bench; because the leet hath no jurisdiction to take an indictment of rape as a felony, he shall not be put to answer it as a felony, but shall be fined as for a trespass, because as a trespass the leet may enquire of it. 6 H. 7. 5. a.

If it be a general statute, it need not be recited, but it is sufficient to conclude contra formam statuti in hujusmodi casu edit' & provis', for the court ought to take notice of it, and all penal statutes, that induce a forfeiture to the king, or make a felony or treason are general statutes, because it concerns the king; but if a general statute be recited in an indictment, and be misrecited in a point material, and conclude contra formam statuti predicti, it is satal, and the indictment shall be quashed, but it seems, that if it conclude generally contra formam statuti in hujusmodi casu edit' & provis',

⁽k) Cro. Eliz. 307. (l) Cro. Eliz. 697. (m) Cro. Car. 376.

⁽n) For instance, he could not have the affishance of counsel.

it is good, for the court takes notice of the true statute, and will reject the misrecital as surplusage. M. 7 Car. B. R. Croke, n. 14. Barn's case (o) in maintenance, and M. & Car. B. R. per Jones super stat' de cottages (p).

1. If an act of parliament making a felony or other offense be but temporary, and made perpetual by another statute, the indictment concluding contra formam statuti is good.

2. If the former statute be discontinued, and revived by another statute, the best way is to conclude contra formam statutorum, M. 31 & 32 Eliz. B. R. Mill's case, tho there is good opinion, that it is good enough to conclude contra formam of the first statute, as in case of the statute of 5 E. 6. of ingrossing, 37 H. 8. for usury, and 5 Eliz. for perjury, which were discontinued and revived, yet indictments good concluding contra formam of the first statute. T. 9 Jac. Rot. 124. C. B. Westwood's case.

3. If one statute be relative to another, as where the former makes the offense, the latter adds a penalty, as the statutes of 1 and 23 Eliz. the indictment ought to conclude contra formam statutorum. P. 42 Eliz. B. R. Croke, n. 6. Dingly

and Moore (q).

III. Touching the joining of persons and offenses in one indictment.

If there be one offender and several capital offenses committed by him, they may be all contained in one indictment, as burglary, and larciny: Larcinies committed of several things, tho at several times, and from several persons, may be joined in one indictment.

If there be several offenders, that commit the same offense, tho in law they are several offenses in relation to the several offenders, 21 E. 4. yet they may be joined in one indictment, as if several commit a robbery, or burglary, or murder.

And so it is, tho the offenses are of several degrees, but dependent one upon another, as the principal in the first degree, and the principal in the second degree, viz. present, aiding, and abetting the principal, and accessary before or after. Vol. II.

Yy

IV. Touching

⁽o) Cro. Car. 232. (p) 31 Eliz. cap. 7. (q) Cro. El. 750.

174 Historia Placitorum Coronæ.

IV. Touching the joining of several offenses of the same nature, but distinctly committed by several offenders, some have been ruled insufficient, as an indictment of several persons, quòd non escourarunt sossata separalia ante separalia sua pomaria, quashed in 23 Car. 1. B. R. so of several officers, quòd colore separalium officior' suorum separaliter extorsivè ceperunt, &c. M. 33 & 34 Eliz. B. R. Lake's case in Hughe's Rep. and so if two are indicted for using a trade not being bound apprentice, it is not good. P. 16 Car. 1. B. R. Brooke's case (r).

But yet in T. 21 fac. B.R. A. B. C. and D. were indicted for erecting four several inns ad commune nocumentum, it was ruled, that for several offenses of the same nature several persons may be indicted in the same indictment, but then it must be laid separaliter erexerunt, and for want of that word (separaliter) the indictment was quashed.

And it is common experience at this day, that twenty persons may be indicted for keeping disorderly houses, or baudy-houses, and they are daily convict upon such indictments, for the word separaliter makes them several indictments.

CHAP. XXV.

Concerning the forms of indictments in particular, and the several parts thereof.

THE most considerable parts of an indictment in capital offenses are, 1. The name and addition of the party offending. 2. The day and time of the offense committed.

3. The place where it was committed. 4. Upon or against whom committed. 5. The manner of the commission of it.

6. The fact itself and the nature of it. 7. The conclusion.

This

This is the grammatical order, wherein things are set down in the indictment, and upon these parts most of the considerations and observations touching indictments do arise, and those, that are not reducible to these heads, are partly observed before, and shall be more fully prosecuted in the end of this chapter.

I. As to the name and addition of the party indicted, this regularly ought to be inferted, and inferted truly in e-

very indictment.

But if the party be indicted by a wrong christian name, firname, or addition, and he plead to that indictment not guilty, or answer to that indictment upon his arraignment by that name, he shall not be received after to plead mismomer, or falsity of his addition, for he is concluded and estopped by his plea by that name, and of that estopped the gaoler and sheriss, that doth execution, shall have advantage.

M. 16 Jac. and P. 17 Jac. B. R. Debt was brought against Sir Francis Fortescue knight and baronet, and he appeard, and judgment given against him, ruled 1. That he shall never assign for error, that he was no baronet, tho baronet be parcel of the name. 2. If execution be sued against him by the name of Sir Francis Fortescue knight and baronet, and he brings salse imprisonment against the sheriff, the sheriff shall have advantage of this estoppel, adjudged (a).

Therefore he, that will take advantage of the missioner of his christian name, addition, or sirname, must do it upon his arraignment, and the entry must be special, viz. super quo venit Robertus Williams, qui indictatus est per nomen Johannis Williams, & dicit quòd ubi in indictamento supponitur, quòd quidam Johannes Williams vi & armis, &c. ipsius nomen est Robertus & non Johannes; for, if he should say venit prædictus Johannes Williams, he concludes himself, and cannot plead, that his name is Robert, and so I have known it ruled against the book of 1 E. 4. 2. b.

The misnaming of the sirname of the offender in an appeal is a good plea in abatement, but the sirname be mistaken

mistaken in an indictment, yet it shall not abate. 1 H. 5. 5. b. per Hankford. Stamf. P. C. Lib. III. cap. 18. tamen quære.

But the mistake of the christian name is pleadable, as well in case of an indictment, as an appeal, and the party shall be dismissed from that indictment. II H. 4. 41. b. Coron. 88. Stamf. P. C. ubi supra, but by Rolf 3 H. 6. 26. a.

it is no plea in an indictment (b).

But the fafest way is to allow his plea of missioner both as to his sirname and as to his christian name, for he, that pleads missioner of either, must in the same plea set forth what his true name is, and then he concludes himself, and if the grand jury be not discharged, the indictment may presently be amended by the grand jury, and returned according to the name he gives himself.

By the statute of 1 H.5. cap. 5. in all indictments, &c. the party indicted ought to have the addition of his mystery,

degree, place, and county.

Therefore, if the party indicted have no addition, or a false addition, he may upon his arraignment except to the former, and plead to the latter.

And if he be outlawed upon fuch indictment, where there is no addition, or a false addition, he may avoid it by writ of error, &c.

But altho there be no addition, yet if he appear, and plead not guilty without taking advantage of that defect, he shall never allege the want of addition to stop his trial or judgment, for by such his appearance and pleading to issue the indictment is affirmed, and the want of addition salved, and the statute satisfied. H. 18 Jac. B. R. Croke, n. 5. Johnson's case, (c) adjudged.

The addition required by the statute is of his degree, as Yeoman, Gent. Esq; of his mystery, as husbandman, sailor, spinster, &c. therefore, if the addition be only general, as servant, farmer, citizen, 9 E. 4. 48. a. or of crimes or mistereamors only, as extortioner, vagabond, heretic. 22 E. 4.

1. a. these are no good additions.

The

The addition ought to be to his substantive name, not only to the alias dictus. M. 33 & 34 Eliz. Croke, n. 11. Leke's case (d) as A. B. alias dictus A. C. butcher, because regularly the addition refers to the last antecedent, and upon the same reason it is, if the indictment run Sibilla B. nuper de C. uxor Johannis B. nuper de C. spinster, because spinster is an addition applicable to the husband, as well as to the wise; but an indictment of John B. vir. Emelin B. nuper de C. yeoman is good, because yeoman is not applicable to a woman, but to a man. P. 31 & 32 H. 8. Dyer 46, 47. adjudged, and 4 H. 6. 4. b.

Single woman is a good addition, 14 E. 4.7. b. so is widow, 10 H. 6. 21. a. so is uxor J. S. adjudged, P. 42 Eliz. B. R. Eleanor Gower's case.

An indictment against a peer of the realm is good without an addition, because no process of outlawry lies against him. M. 31 & 32 Eliz. B. R. Croke, n. 15. Lord Dacre's case (e).

If several persons be indicted for one offense, misnomer or want of addition of one quasheth the indictment only against him, and the rest shall be put to answer, for they are in law as several indictments, and so in trespass. 7 E. 4. 10. b.

Because the titles of misnomer and addition are general titles, whereof much is said in our books, as well in cases of civil suits as indictments, this shall suffice in this place touching this part of the indictment.

II. Touching the time, viz. the year and day, wherein the fact was committed; this is necessary to be contained in the indictment.

Tho the day be inserted, but not the year, the indistment is insufficient, and it shall not be supplied by intendment of (ultimo praterito,) unless it be so exprest, but if it be so exprest, it is sufficient ascertaining the year by the day of the sessions. Lamb. 49.

If the sessions be held the 20 day of May, and the indictment suppose the offense to be the 10 day of Maii ultimit Vol. II.

Z z prate-

prateriti, it relates to the month, if ultimo praterito it relates to the day by the necessary grammatical construction, but if it be ult' praterit' with an abbreviation without the termination of the genitive or ablative case, it shall relate to the day, viz. the 10th day of the same May, as if it were in English the 10th day of May last past, it relates to the day and not to the month regularly, and so for the words next ensuing, vide P. 23 Eliz. B. R. Rot. 39. b. Sir Richard Shuttleworth's case, M. 21 Jac. B. R. Croke, n. 14. Buckley's case (f).

If A. be indicted, that he in festo Sancti Petri anno 20 Car. kild J. S. this is not good, because there be two feasts of St. Peter, and neither without addition. 3 H. 7. 5. b. viz. St. Peter ad vincula, and St. Peter in cathedra.

If A. be indicted, quòd primo die Maii & secundo die Maii apud D. he made an assault upon B. & quandam togam ipsus B. adtunc & ibidem invent' felonice cepit, &c. this indictment is not good, because there are several days mentioned before, and it is uncertain to which the felonious taking shall relate. 2 H. 7. 7. b. & 10. b.

A. is indicted, quòd primo die Maii anno 21 Eliz. in quendam B. insultum fecit & ipsum verberavit, and says not adtunc & ibidem verberavit, yet ruled good, for the vi & armis, day and place named in the beginning refer to all the enfuing acts. 5 H. 7. 17. b.

But in an indictment of felony there must be adtunc & ibidem to the stroke or to the robbery, and the day and place of the assault is not sufficient, * and this is in favorem vita. P. 43 Eliz. B. R. Richardson's case. And therefore it is usual to repeat the adtunc & ibidem to the several parts of the fact, as in larciny or robbery from the person, quòd A. B. die, &c. anno, &c. apud, &c. in quendam C. D. insultum fecit, & bona & catalla ipsus C. D. scilicet unam togam ad valenc', &c. adtunc & ibidem inventam adtunc & ibidem felonice cepit & asportavit; A. is indicted, quòd primo die Maii anno 2 Eliz. apud C. babens in manu sua dextra gladium, &c. percussit B. and it is not said adtunc & ibidem

⁽f) Cro. Jac. 677. * Because it is the stroke or robbery, which makes the selony.

dem percussit, quashed, because the day, and year, and place relate only to the having of the sword, not to the stroke.

H. 42 Eliz. Croke, n. 11. Cotton's case (g).

If A. be indicted of murder or manslaughter, as well the day and place of the stroke or other act done inducing death, as of the death, must be exprest, the former, because the escheat or forfeiture of lands relates thereto, the latter, because it must appear, that the death was within the year and day after the stroke.

But tho the day or year be mistaken in the indictment of felony or treason, yet if the offense were committed in the same county, tho at another time, the offender ought to be found guilty; but then it may be requisite, if any escheat or forfeiture of land be conceived in the case, for the petit jury to find the true time of the offense committed, and therefore it is best in the indictments to set down the times as truly as can be, tho it be not of absolute necessity to the defendant's conviction. 2 Co. Inst. 318. P. 32 Eliz. Syer's case adjudged. Co. P. C. p. 230.

And therefore, if for that variance he be acquitted, he is erroniously acquitted, and yet that erronious acquittal shall be a good plea of auterfoits acquit, for if he be afterwards indicted for the same felony, and the day truly set forth, he may aver it to be the same felony notwithstanding the variance in the day. 2 Co. Inst. ubi supra in felony, and the

same law is in treason. Co. P. C. p. 230.

Where the time of the day is material to ascertain the nature of the offense, it must be exprest in the indictment, as in an indictment for burglary it ought to say tali die circa horam decimam in nocte ejustem diei felonice & burglariter fregit; yet by some opinion burglariter carries a sufficient expression, that it was done in the night.

So upon breaking a house in the day-time, to oust the offender of his clergy upon the statute of 39 Eliz. cap. 15. it is usual to add tempore diurno, for the statute expresseth it so, otherwise, tho the indictment be good, yet he shall not

be ousted of his clergy.

III. Touching

III. Touching the place, where the felony is committed; regularly the vill, or hamlet and county must be exprest in the indictment.

And herein much of what hath been said of the time will be applicable to the place, for where the time must be repeated again upon several acts done, regularly the place

also must be repeated, viz. adtunc & ibidem.

In some crimes no vill need be named, as upon an indictment of barretry, because he is a barretor every where, and it shall be tried de corpore comitatûs. T. 43 Eliz. B. R. Tunstall's case, but P. 3 Car. B. R. Man's case the indictment was quashed for want of a vill alleged; the latter resolution is sittest to be pursued.

Suff. In the margin, the indictment supposing a fact done apud S. in com' pradict' is good, for it refers to the county in

the margin.

But if there be two counties named, one in the margin, another in the addition of any party, or in the recital of an act of parliament recited in the premisses of the indictment, the fact laid apud S. in com' pradicto vitiates the indictment, because two counties are named before, and it is uncertain to which it refers. H. 42 Eliz. B. R. Croke, n. 12. Wing field's case (b).

Indictment against A. B. that he apud N. in com' pradict' made an assault upon C. D. of F. in com' pradict', & ipsum adtunc & ibidem cum quodam gladio, & c. percussit, & c. this indictment is not good, because two places named before, and if it refers to both, it is impossible, and if only to one, it must refer to the last, and then it is insensible. 2 H. 7. 10. b. P. 44 Eliz. B. R. Ogle's case.

A. is indicted, quòd ipse tali die & anno apud C. in quendam B. insultum fecit, & ipsum cum quodam cultello, &c. felonice percussit, occidit, & murdravit without saying adtunc & ibidem percussit, occidit, & murdravit, the indictment is not good, for the assault may be at one day and place, and the killing at another. P. 5 E. 6. Dy. 68, 69. 1 R. 3. 1. a.

If a man be indicted for that ratione tenura of certain lands he is bound to repair a bridge, and that it is in decay, it must be alleged where those lands lie. 5 H. 7. 3. b.

IV. Touching the name of the person upon whom the

offense is committed.

An indictment of murder cujusdam ignoti is good, and so for stealing of the goods cujusdam ignoti, Plo. Com. 85. b. Patridge's case, I Mar. Dy. 99. a. so of an assault in quendam ignotum, and if he be acquitted or convicted, and be afterwards indicted for an assault or murder of such a man by name, he may plead the former conviction or acquittal, and aver it to be the same person. II Eliz. Dy. 285. a.

But an indictment, quòd invenit quendam hominem mortuum, ac felonice furatus est duas tunicas, without saying de bonis & catallis cujusdam ignoti, is not good. 11 R. 2. Enditement 27.

If the goods of a chapel be stolen, the indictment shall say bona & catalla capella in custodia prapositorum, if it be done in time of vacation bona & catalla capella tempore vacationis; but if the goods of a parish church be stolen, as the bell, the books, &c. it shall run bona parochianorum de S. in custodia gardianorum ecclesia, and shall not suppose them bona ecclesia. 7 E. 4. 14, 15. M. 31 & 32 Eliz. B. R. Hadnam & Green versus Ringwood. (i) T. 36 Eliz. B. R. Methold & Barefoot.

If the goods, which A. hath as executor of B. be stolen, the offender may be indicted, quòd bona B. testatoris in custo-diâ A. executoris ejusdem B. Uc. Lamb. 496. or it may be ge-

neral bona ipsius A.

If A dying be buried, and B opens the grave in the night-time and steals the winding-sheet, the indictment cannot suppose them the goods of the dead man, but of the executors, administrators, or ordinary, as the case falls out. Co. P. C. 110 (k).

If A. deliver goods to B. a common carrier to carry for him, and B. is robbed, the indictment may suppose them the goods of A. or the goods of B. at election, for B. hath a kind of special property, because chargeable for them to A.

Vol. II.

Aaa

An

(i) Cro. Eliz. 145, 179.

(k) Haine's case. 12 Co. 112.

An indictment, quòd felonice, &c. cepit quandam peciam panni cujusdam J. S. without saying de bonis & catallis cujusdam J. S. was therefore quashed. M. 38 & 39 Eliz. B. R.

Croke, n. 6. Long's case (1).

There is no need of an addition of the person robbed or murderd, &c. unless there be a plurality of persons of the same name, neither then is it essential to the indictment, tho sometimes it may be convenient for distinction sake to add it, for it is sufficient, if the indictment be true, viz. that J. S. was kild or robbed, tho there are many of the same name.

V. Touching the thing wherein or of which the offense is committed, there is required a certainty in an indictment.

An indictment against A. that he is communis latro, 29 Ass. 45. communis champartor, conspirator, consecutor, 29 Ass. 45. defamator bonorum nominis & same, M. 14 Jac. B. R. Jones's case (m), Communis malesactor, 22 Ass. 73. common robber, 3 E. 2. action sur statute 26. communis malegestûs, & communis perturbator pacis domini regis, M. 6 Car. 1. B. R. Periam's case (n) are not good, because they are too general and contain not the particular matter, wherein the offense was committed.

But communis barrectator & pacis domini regis perturbator & litium seminator is good, because barretry is an offense known in law, and consists of divers particulars, and the rest that is added thereunto are but the aggravations of the offense, for barretry itself is the crime, M. 15 Fac. B. R. Bowser's case (0); so an indictment, that he is noctivagus is good. H. 2 Car. 1. B. R.

An indictment against A. quòd felonice cepit & asportavit bona & catalla B. without shewing what in certain, as scilicet unum equum, unum bovem, &c. is not good. Lamb. 496.

The number of things stolen must be exprest, therefore it is not sufficient to say felonice furatus est oves or columbas out of a dove-cote, or young hawks out of the nest without expressing their number.

If

If theft be alleged of any thing, the indictment must set down the value, that it may appear, whether it be grand or petit larciny. Lamb. 497.

Where theft is charged in an indictment for a living thing, as a horse or sheep, the regular way is to say pretiit 5 s. &c. if it be of a dead thing, that is estimated in the indictment by weight or measure, there also it ought to be pretii, and so it may be, if it be of any single thing, tho

dead, and not estimated by weight or measure.

But if it be dead things in the plural number, there it ought to be ad valenciam. Lamb. 497. but this I take to be but clerkship and not substantial, for if pretii be set instead of ad valenciam, or e converso, I think it doth not vitiate the indictment, and so it is, if one pretii or ad valenciam be added to several things, where in true clerkship it should be applied severally, it is good if the party be convict of all, but possibly, if the party be convict but of part, it is not good, because it will be uncertain whether grand or petit larciny. Noy's Rep. 115. Wood and Smith, yet vide T. 23 Car. 1 & M. 23 Car. 1. B. R. Brook's case and William Arundell's case in [action of] trespass, where there is but one ad valenciam, where divers goods were taken, tho it be aided after a verdict, yet, if the judgment be by nihil dicit, it was ruled error, and indictments are not aided by verdict.

An indictment, quòd felonice cepit 20 oves matrices & agnos or matrices & verveces, is not good, because it doth not appear how many of one sort and how many of another, but 20 oves generally might have been good without distinguishing matrices & verveces, as in case of replevin or trespass.

But an indictment de quatuor riscis & cistis, Anglice chests and coffers is good, because synonyma, P. 40 Eliz. Drecot & Henshaw; regularly the same certainty is required in an indictment for goods, as in trespass for goods, and rather more certainty, for what will be a defect of certainty in a count will be much more defective in an indictment, therefore for this matter vide title Count & Breve per totum.

VI. The fact itself must be certainly set down in an in-

dictment.

Historia Placitorum Coronæ. 184

An indictment against A. quòd felonice abduxit unum equum without faying cepit & abduxit is not good, for he might have the horse by bailment, and then it is no felony. 13 E. 4. 10. a.

An indictment of poisoning, wherein it is alleged, that J. S. fidem adhibens to the prisoner, & nesciens potum pradictum cum veneno fore intoxicatum accepit & bibit, and fays not venenum prædictum, is not good, and shall not be supplied by the implication of other parts of the indictment. 4 Co. Rep. 44. b. Vaux's case.

An indicament of rape, quòd felonice & carnaliter cognovit without the word rapuit is not good, tho it conclude contra formam statuti. 9 E. 4. 26. a.

An indictment, that A. exoneravit quoddam tormentum, &c. versus B. dans ei unam mortalem plagam without saying percusst, is not good. 5 Co. Rep. Long's case 122. a.

So if it be dedit mortalem plagam without percussit it is not

good. P. 9 Jac. B. R. Bulstrode's Rep. p. 124.

For burglary, the offense must be fregit & intravit.

VII. The offense itself must be alleged, and the manner of it (p).

An indictment of felony must always allege the fact to be done felonice; an indictment of burglary must lay the offense to be felonice & burglariter fregit & intravit; an offense of high treason must be laid to be done proditorie; petit treason felonice & proditorie, for the he acquitted of the petit treason, he may be convict of the manslaughter or murder.

A. is indicted, that furatus est unum equum, it is but a trespass for want of the word felonice. Stamf. P. C. p. 96. a.

If A. be indicted, quòd I Decemb. anno, &c. apud, &c. felonice & ex malitià suà pracogitatà in & super B. insultum fecit, & cum quodam gladio, &c. adtunc & ibidem percussit, & dedit eidem B. mortalem plagam, &c. whereof he died, the first felonice & ex malitià suà præcogitatà applied to the as-

(p) This should have been the 5th a new fifth head touching the thing head according to our author's division at the beginning of this chapter, but our author has here transposed it, and added this chapter eight instead of seven.

fault runs also to the stroke; 1. because placed in the beginning of the sentence; 2. because done adtunc & ibidem.

An indictment of murder or manslaughter hath these certainties and requisites to be added to it more than other indictments, for it must not be only felonice, and ascertain the time of the act done, but must also

1. Declare how, and with what it was done, namely cum

quodam gladio, Uc.

Yet if the party were kild with another weapon, it maintains the indictment, but if it were with another kind of death, as poisoning, or strangling, it doth not maintain the indictment upon evidence. 2 Co. Inst. 319. Co. P. C. p. 48.

And if A. and B. are indicted for murder, and it is laid, that A. gave the stroke, and B. was present, aiding and abetting, yet if it fall out upon evidence, that B. gave the stroke, and A. was present, aiding and abetting, it maintains the indictment. 9 Co. Rep. Sanchar's case (q).

So if A. be indicted for poisoning of B. it must allege the kind of poison, but if he poisoned B. with another kind of poisoning, yet it maintains the indictment, for the kind of

death is the same.

2. He must shew in what hand he held his sword.

If an indictment runs thus, that cum quodam gladio, quem in dextrâ suâ tenuit, percussit, without saying in dextrâ manu, for this cause an indictment was quashed. P. 44 Eliz. B. R. Cuppledick's case.

3. Regularly it ought to fet down the price of the sword or other weapon, or else say nullius valoris, for the weapon is a deodand forfeited to the king, and the township shall be charged for the value, if deliverd to them.

But this seems not to be essential to the indictment.

4. It ought to shew in what part of the body he was wounded, and therefore if it be *super brachium*, or *manum*, or *lazus* without saying whether right or left, it is not good. 5 Co. Rep. 121. b. Long's case.

Vol. II. B b b So

⁽q) 9 Co. 119. a. all that this case guilty as accessary to one, the verdict proves is only, that if a man be indicted is good. as accessary to two, and he be found

186 Historia Placitorum Coronæ.

So if it be in smistro bracio, where it should be brachio, it is not good, because insensible. T. 31 Eliz. B. R. Webster's case.

So if the wound be laid circiter pectus, it is not good. T. 29 Eliz. Clenche's Rep. 10. Super partes posteriores corporis not good. H. 23 Car. 1. B. R. Savage's case (r).

But super faciem, or caput, or super dextram partem corporis, or in infimâ parte ventris are certain enough. Long's

caie, 5 Co. Rep. 121. b.

5. Regularly the length and depth of the wound is to be shewed, but this is not necessary in all cases, as namely where a limb is cut off, 4 Co. Rep. 42. a. Haydon's case; so it may be also a dry blow, and plaga is applicable to a bruise or a wound.

But tho the manner and place of the hurt and its nature be requisite, as to the formality of the indictment, and it is fit to be done, as near the truth, as may be, yet if upon evidence it appear to be another kind of wound in another place, if the party died of it, it is sufficient to maintain the indictment.

6. It is usual to allege the party stricken to have been in pace Dei & domini regis, but not necessary to be inserted.

4 Co. Rep. 41. b. Haydon's case.

7. It is necessary to allege in fact, that the party wounded died of that wound, and also the time and place, as well of the death as of the wound given, that it may appear, that he died within the year and day of that wound, as de quâ quidem plagâ idem J. S. adtunc & ibidem instanter obiit, or de quâ quidem plagâ mortali idem J. S. languebat, & languidus vixit usque talem diem anno supradicto, quo quidem die idem J. S. de plagâ mortali predictâ obiit.

Altho as well in the indictment of manslaughter as murder the stroke is to be alleged to be mortalis plaga, and given felonice, and in both cases interfecit, yet in case of murder there is somewhat more to be laid, or otherwise it will amount but to an indictment of manslaughter, and the offen-

der shall have his clergy.

And

And the special words in an indictment of murder are 1. Ex malitià pracogitatà. 2. Murdravit, this word murdravit is a word of art, and cannot be otherwise exprest, therefore murderavit instead of murdravit vitiates an indictment of murder. H. 45 Eliz. Croke, n. 15. Ryle's case (s).

Tho murdravit be in the indictment, yet if it want the words ex malitia sua pracogitata, the party shall have his cler-

gy. Dy. 224. b. 11 Co. Rep. 37. a.

An indictment of treason for counterfeiting the king's coin ought to shew particularly what kind of coin, viz. groats or shillings. 27 H. 6. Enditement 10. but altho it is usual to express the numbers of each kind, yet it is not of absolute necessity in the indictment. M. 38 & 39 Eliz. B. R. Long's case.

An indistment of high treason for conspiring the king's death ought not only to contain the compassing or conspiring to do the ast, but must also set down an overt ast in

pursuance of it.

As in all indictments of felony there must be felonice, and of treason there must be proditorie, so it must be laid to be done vi & armis at common law. Stamf. P. C. 94. a.

But the statute of 37 H. 8. cap. 8. hath now made that

not to be necessary.

And therefore P. 16 Jac. B. R. Croke, n. 2. Hart's case (t) it was adjudged and affirmed in a writ of error, that an indictment of rescue without the words vi & armis is good by reason of this statute, which extends to make good indictments of selony, treason, or other misdemeanors, notwithstanding the omission of vi & armis, as well as notwithstanding the omission of gladiis, baculis & cultellis, but this statute extends not to declarations in trespasses, suits between party and party, or informations for the king, but only to indictments.

VIII. Touching the conclusion of the indictment.

Upon an indictment of murder, where the stroke is supposed to be done at one day or place, and the death at another day or place, the conclusion ought not to be of sice felonice.

⁽s) Cro. Eliz. 920. (t) Cro. Jac. 473. vide Cro. Jac. 345.

nice, voluntarie, & ex malitià suà præcogitatà prædictus J. S. præfatum A. B. at the day and place, where the stroke was given, interfecit & murdravit, this is not good, because tho the stroke is the offense and cause of the death, yet it is neither murder nor manslaughter till the party die. M. 32 & 33 Eliz. B. R. Croke, n. 13. Foster's case (u).

But if it suppose the murder or manslaughter to be where the party died, this is good, for then and not before it is

murder. 4 Co. Rep. 41. b. Haydon's case.

But the best way is U sic præsatus A. ipsum B. Uc. modo U sormà prædictis intersecit U murdravit. 4 Co. Rep. 42. b. Haydon's case.

But if the conclusion be & sic prafatum B. apud C. (where the stroke only was given) modo & formâ pradict' interfecit & murdravit, it is not good, for it is repugnant. M. 32 &

33 Eliz. B. R. Croke, n. 13. Foster and Hume.

And if in the same case the conclusion be only & sic die & loco pradictis interfecit & murdravit, it is doubtful whether it be good, because one time and place is alleged for the stroke, another for the death, and (pradictis) may refer to either. H. 42 Eliz. B. R. Croke, n. 12. Wingsield's case (x).

Regularly every indictment ought to conclude contra pacem domini regis, for that is not taken away by the statute

of 37 H. 8. cap. 8.

And therefore an indictment without concluding contra pacem, &c. is insufficient, tho it be but for using a trade not being an apprentice. H. 23 Car. 1. B. R. for every offense against a statute is contra pacem, and ought so to be laid.

But an indictment need not conclude, & contra coronam & dignitatem ejus, tho it be usual in many indictments.

M. 23 Car. B. R.

An indictment, that concludes contra pacem, and faith not

domini regis, is insufficient. M. 23 Car. 1. adjudged.

If A. be indicted for an offense supposed to be committed in the time of a former king, and concludes contra pacem domini regis nunc, it is insufficient, for it must be supposed

(u) Cro. El. 196. 4 Co. 42. b. by the name of Hume and Ogle. (x) Cro. El. 739.

supposed to be done contra pacem of that king, in whose time it was committed.

But if a man be indicted in the time of one king contrapacem domini regis nunc, he may be arraigned for that offense in the time of his successor. I E. 6. B. Corone 178. Enditement 44. neither is the indictment itself discontinued by the demise of the king, tho in some cases the process be. 7 Co. Rep. 30, 31.

If an offense be supposed to be begun in the time of one king, and continued in the time of his successor, (as a nusance,) it must conclude contra pacem of both kings, or else it is insufficient. T. 3 Jac. B. R. Yelverton's Rep. 66. Sir

John Winter's case.

If an offense be alleged in the time of Q. Eliz. and the indictment taken in the time of K. James, and concludes contrapacem nuper regina & domini regis nunc, it seems good, and domini regis nunc but surplusage, as well as in a count in trespass. M. 13 Jac. Croke, n. 3. Cottington and Wilkins, (z) quere.

Touching the conclusion contra formam statuti, somewhat hath been said in the last chapter; I shall add some things

more.

If an offense be newly enacted, or made an offense of an higher nature by act of parliament, the indictment must conclude contra formam statuti, as an indictment for buggery; transporting of wool, &c.

Rape, tho before the statute of Westminster 2. it was a trespass, yet being made felony by that statute, the indictiment ought to conclude contra formam statuti. 6 H. 7. 5. a.

If an offense were high treason, &c. at the common law, and a declarative act of parliament declares it so, as the statute of 25 E. 3. de proditionibus, the statute of 3 H. 5. of clipping the coin, &c. till repealed by 1 Mar. the indictment is good with a conclusion contra formam statuti, or without such a conclusion.

But at this day the indictment for clipping, washing, &c. of coin enacted to be treason by the statutes of 5 & 18 Vol. II. Ccc Eliz.

Historia Placitorum Coronæ. 190

Eliz. must not only express, as the statute requires, that it was (causa lucri,) but must conclude contra formam statuti.

If an offense were felony at common law, but a special act of parliament outs the offender of some benefit, (that the common law allowd him,) when certain circumstances are in the fact, tho the body of fuch indictment must express those circumstances according as they are prescribed in the statute, yet the indistment must not conclude, contra formam statuti.

Thus the statute of 21 Fac. cap. 27. concerning murdering of bastard children requires proof by one witness, that the child was dead born, the indictment must shew, that it was a bastard child, to bring the offender within that

statute, but concludes not contra formam statuti.

So by the statute of 8 Eliz. cap. 4. in cases of pickpockets, 39 Eliz. cap. 15. breaking houses in the day-time, and stealing to the value of 5 s. the statute of 23 H. 8. cap. 1. in cases of petit treason, wilful murder of malice prepense, robbing in or near the highway, 18 Eliz. cap. 7. in case of burglary, the statute of 4 & 5 P. & M. cap. 4. in case of malicious commanding, &c. any person to commit murder, robbery, wilful burning, the offenders are ousted of their clergy; the body of the indictment must bring them within the express purview of the statutes, or otherwise they shall have the benefit of clergy, but it need not conclude contra formam statuti, neither is it usual in such cases, for they were felonies before, and the statutes do not give them a new punishment, nor make them to be crimes of another nature, but only in certain cases take away clergy.

But yet, if they should conclude in these cases contra formam statuti, it would not vitiate the indictment, but would be only furplufage, for tho the statutes do not give a new penalty, yet they take away an old privilege, when the case

falls within the circumstances mentiond by the act.

Upon the statute of 1 Jac. cap. 8. ousting persons of clergy in case of stabbing, the other party not having a

weapon drawn, nor stricken first, I have known it held it is sufficient, that the indictment bring the sact within the purview of the statute, tho it conclude not contra formam statuti, because it was felony before, and the statute only takes away clergy. H. 23 Car. 1. Page and Harwood (a).

Yet the usual course at this day is to conclude such an indictment contra formam statuti, and accordingly it hath been ruled good. T. 9 Jac. B. R. Croke, n. 4. Bradley and Banks, but it is not there questiond but that it may be good without it, so that in these cases, where clergy is specially ousted by an act of parliament, the indictment is good with this conclusion or without it, but the best way in these cases is to follow what is most usual.

If an offense be at common law, and also prohibited by statutes, the indictment may conclude contra formam statuti or statutorum; thus in barretry, tho there be no direct statute against it by that name, yet the general tenor of the several acts running against it by circumlocutions, the indictment concluding contra formam statuti, or diversorum statutorum is good, and it is the usual form. M. 31 & 32 Eliz. B. R. Croke, n. 14. Burton's case (b), H. 9 Car. 1. B. R. Chapman's case (c), but it must conclude also contra pacem, M. 6 Car. B. R. Periam's case (d).

If an offense be at common law, and also prohibited by statute, with a corporal or other penalty, yet it seems the party may be indicted at common law, and then, tho it conclude not contra formam statuti, it stands as an indictment at common law, and can receive only the penalty, that the common law inslicts in that case.

Thus an indictment for a riot is good, tho it conclude not contra formam statuti, because an offense at common law, tho prohibited also by acts of parliament under severer penalties. P. 5 Fac. B. R. Wormall's case (e).

So it seems, if perjury be committed, that is within the statute of 5 Eliz. cap. 9. but concludes not contra formam statuti,

⁽a) Aleyn 43. Styl. 86. (b) Cro. Eliz. 148.

⁽c) Cro. Car. 340.

⁽d) 2 R. A. 82. pl. 9. (e) 2 R. A. p. 82. pl. 4.

tuti, yet it is a good indictment at common law, but not to bring him within the corporal punishment of the statute:

And yet Mich. 10 Jac. B. R. an indictment of forceable entry upon the statute of 8 H. 6. cap. 9. and Mich. 9 Car. 1. B. R. an indictment for forgery quashed for not concluding contra formam statuti, Smith's case (f); yet both these were offenses at common law, tho restitution were not at common law in the first case, nor pillory and loss of ears in the second, but only fine and imprisonment, or at most standing in the pillory, but without mutilation.

Regularly, if a statute only make an offense, or alter an offense from one crime to another, as making a bare misdemeanor to become a felony, the indictment for such new made offense, or new made felony must conclude contra for-

mam statuti, or otherwise it is insufficient.

And on the other fide, if an offense be purely at common law, if it conclude contra formam statuti, it is insufficient, and shall be quashed, except in the instance above given touching clergy, de quo supra.

And therefore an indictment of battery concluding contra formam statuti is insufficient, and shall be quashed. T. 12

Car. B. R. Croke, n. 2. Cholmley's case (g).

These general observations I shall add touching indictiments upon statutes, and concluding contra formam statuti.

Altho an indictment grounded upon a statute must conclude contra formam statuti, yet it is not necessary to recite the statute in the indictment, unless it be a private statute, whereof the court cannot take notice. Plo. Com. 79. b. Patrick.

tridge's case. Dy. 347. a. 363. a.

Altho it need not recite a general penal statute, yet it must bring the fact within the express prohibition of the statute, otherwise the conclusion contra formam statuti, and the implication thereof will not aid the indictment, but it will be insufficient, 9 E. 4. 26. b. As in an indictment in a premunire for aiding one being a principal maintainer of the jurisdiction of the see of Rome contra formam statuti, yet these words being omitted to the intent to set forth the authority, &c.

which are part of the qualification of the offense containd in the statute, the indictment is insufficient, and not aided by the conclusion contra formam statuti. T. 20 Eliz. Dy. 363. a. ibid. 347. a. so an indictment upon the statute of 1 Fac. cap. 12. of witchcraft, if A. be indicted, that exercuit incantationem, anglice witchcraft contra formam statuti, without saying, that thereby any person was pined, lamed, &c. in his body, it is insufficient, because that is a circumstance required to make it felony; but if the indictment be, that exercuit, anglice did imploy malos & nefarios spiritus ea intentione to de-Itroy J. S. this is good, tho no other event enfues, for the bare imployment of evil spirits to or for any intent is felony. T. 24 Car. I. B. R. upon an indictment removed from St. Edmnds-Bury.

And thus far touching the forms of indictments, wherein generally we are to take notice, 1. That none of the statutes of jeofails extend to indictments, and therefore a de-

fective indictment is not aided by verdict.

z. That in favour of life great strictnesses have been in all times required in points of indictments, and the truth is, that it is grown to be a blemish and inconvenience in the law, and the administration thereof; more offenders escape by the over easy ear given to exceptions in indictments, than by their own innocence, and many times groß murders, burglaries, robberies, and other heinous and crying offenses escape by these unseemly niceties to the reproach of the law, to the shame of the government, and to the encouragement of villany, and to the dishonour of God. And it were very fit, that by some law this over-grown curiosity and nicety were reformed, which is now become the disease of the law, and will I fear in time grow mortal without some timely remedy (h).

is denied the prisoner in cases of felony, for if no exceptions were to be allowed, but what went to the merits, there would then be no reason to deny that assistance in cases, where life is concerned, which

⁽h) This advice of our author would, if complied with, be of excellent use, for it would not only prevent the guilty from escaping, but would likewise be a guard to innocence, for thereby would be removed the only pretense, upon which counsel yet is allowed in every petit trespass.

CHAP. XXVI.

Concerning process upon indictments.

In many cases upon an indictment process of outlawry lies not at common law, nor at this day, as in an indictment of forestalling, 22 E. 4. 11. b. but in an indictment of trespass the process is venire facias, and when non inventus is returned, capias and exigent.

But in all indictments of felony or treason process by capias and exigent lies, and at the common law in case of felony or treason there was but one capias, and upon non inventus returned an exigent awarded, and so to the outlawry.

22 Ast. 81. 1 H. 5. 6. a.

But by the statute of 25 E. 3. cap. 14. If a man be indicted before justices in their sessions to hear and determine, and be returned non est inventus upon the capias issued, annother writ of capias shall issue returnable three weeks after with a precept to seise his goods, and detain them till the precept returned, and if again non inventus be returned, then an exigent shall issue, and the goods forfeit, and if he yield himself upon the capias, then the goods saved.

This statute extends not to treason, and therefore certainly in treason the exigent must issue upon non inventus re-

turned upon the first capias.

And altho the statute speaks generally of selony, it seems that upon an indictment of murder the exigent shall issue after the first capias, as at common law, and accordingly in an appeal of robbery. 8 H. 5. 6. b. Process 226. Coron. 184.

But it is said, that in an indictment (or appeal) of robbery there shall be two capias in the king's bench before the exigent shall issue. 8 H. 5. ubi supra. Stamf. P. C. Lib. II. cap. 17. fol. 67. a.

But at this day the process in case of an indictment of

any felony is only one capias, and then an exigent.

For this statute of 25 E. 3. rap. 14. as to the second case is hardly applicable to the king's bench, nor indeed well to other justices, that sit by commission, for the second capias is to be returned at three weeks after, which may be out of term, or after the session of the justices ended; therefore

quare the ulage.

By the statute of 8 H. 6. cap. 10. upon appeals or indictments of treason, selony, or trespass before justices of peace or any other having power to take such indictments or appeals, or other justices or commissioners in any county or franchise against any person dwelling in any other county than where the indictment or appeal is taken, after the first capias another capias shall issue to the sherist of that county, wherein the party indicted is supposed to be conversant, returnable before the said justices or commissioners three months after, &c. with a precept to the sherist to make proclamation at two county courts for his appearance at the day of the return, and then the exigent to issue upon his default, and in case any exigent be awarded, or outlawry pronounced, otherwise to be holden for none.

But if the party were conversant in the county, where he is indicted at the time of the felony or treason com-

mitted, the process to be, as was at common law.

A proviso not to extend to the king's bench nor Chester.

By the statute of 10 H. 6. cap. 6. the same process is directed upon indictments of felony or treason removed into the king's bench by certiorari, or into any other courts.

But as to indictments of felony or treason originally taken in the king's bench, they are not within these statutes, but by the statute of 6 H. 6. cap. 1. there is special provision made, that before any exigent awarded the court shall issue a capias to the sheriff of the county, where the indictment is taken, and another to the sheriff of that county, whereof he is named in the indictment, having six weeks time or more before the return, and after these writs returned the exigent to issue as before.

Upon these statutes little effect hath been obtaind, for if the party were conversant in the county, where the selony or treason was committed, (as indeed he cannot be otherwise,) then he may be named of that place, where the sact was committed in the indictment, and then the process is to go, as at common law before the statutes, and this is the usual course at this day, that if the felony be committed in A. in the county of B. the indictment runs only, quòd J. S. nuper de A. in com' B. prædict' (where the indictment is taken.)

And upon the same reason it is, if J. S. be indicted in the county of B. for a selony there committed, and the indictment runs thus: J. S. nuper de A. in com' B. alias J. S. nuper de D. in com' S. there shall no process go to the sheriff of S. because that addition is only in the alias dictus, which is neither material nor traversable, and therefore process shall issue only in the county of B. where he is indicted, and no capias with proclamation in the county of S. and the

fame law in an appeal. I E. 4. 1. a.

If \mathcal{F} . S. be indicted in the county of B. in this manner; I. S. de A. in com' B. nuper de C. in com' D. the capias shall issue only in the country of B. for there the indictment supposeth him actually conversant at the taking of the indictment, but if the indictment runs thus; J. S. nuper de A. in com' B. nuper de C. in com' D. in this case there shall go a capias not only into the county of B. where he is indicted, but upon the return thereof, (if it be before commissioners,) a capias with proclamations to the sheriff of D. and (if in the king's bench upon an indictment originally found there,) one capias to one sheriff, and another to the other sheriff according to the statutes of 8, 10 and 6 Hen. 6. abovenamed, because he is not named de A. in com' B. but nuper de A. in com' B. and nuper de C. in com' D. and not with an aliàs dictus, as in the former case. 30 H. 6. Process 192 (h).

If a man be indicted by the name of J. S. nuper de A. in com' Cestria, the second capias with proclamation shall be awarded to the prince or his lieutenant, 31 H. 6. 11. and

the like to the bishop of Durham, or chancellor of Lancaster.

There was a very sharp, yet useful statute, 2 H. 5. cap. 9. " If any person make complaint in the chancery of any " felony or riot committed, and that the offender fly or " withdraw himself to the intent to avoid execution of the " common law, a bill thereof shall be made for the king, " and deliverd to the chancellor, who, (if he be duly in-" formed, that such bill containeth truth,) shall at his dis-" cretion grant a capias to the sheriff of the county, where "the offense is committed, returnable in chancery at a cer-" tain day, and if the persons yield themselves to the she-" riff, they shall be committed or baild, as the case shall " require, and it shall be commanded to inquire of the fact, " and thereupon to be done, as the law requireth; but if " they appear not, then a writ of proclamation to issue to " the theriff returnable in the king's bench, by which it " shall be commanded, that he make proclamation in two " counties, that the parties appear in the king's bench to answer the matters in the bill (the substance whereof is to be recited in the writ,) upon pain to be convict of the " offense, and if they come not at the day, to stand at-" taint, and if they come then, the fact to be inquired of " as above.

"Provided that the fuggestion of such riots be testified to the chancellor under the seals of two justices of the peace and the sheriff of the county before the capias granted, the substance of the complaint to be exprest in the writ of capias, and also in the writ of proclamation".

Like provision for the county palatines.

This is marked as an obsolete statute, but I know no act of parliament that repeals it, unless it be the implication of the statute of 16 Car. 1. cap. 10. which yet seems not to extend to the repeal of these statutes, for the chancellor hath no power to hear and determine the offenses, but only to grant preparatory process to bring them in to answer according to law, for they are to be proceeded against by indictment, if they appear.

Vol. II.

198 Historia Placitorum Coronæ.

Yet this statute hath not been, that I know of, put in ure. 1. Because it seems doubtful, whether it extends to murders or robberies, unless accompanied with a riot. 2. Because it is left to the discretion of the chancellor to issue the process. 3. Because so many things previous to the process are required, as bill, certificates, probable evi-4. Because it takes up so much delay, that they may as foon be taken up by the ordinary way of indictment and process of outlawry. 5. And especially, because in such case the warrant of the chief justice or any other judge of the king's bench, upon oath made touching the offense and the offenders, reacheth all parts of England. 6. Because it is so severe, for an innocent person may be convicted upon default of appearance, and yet have had no notice; but in case of an outlawry, tho it be an attainder in itself, yet fmall exceptions are commonly allowd to the process or return, and so by writ of error usually and easily reversible, and the party put to plead to the indictment.

But certainly it might be of great use to bring in and punish notorious offenders, if issued discreetly and upon great occasions, provided the parties were first indicted by the

grand inquest.

Now, for the farther declaring the business of process upon indictments of felony these points are considerable.

1. Who may issue process of outlawry.

2. Against whom it is to be issued in relation to principals and accessaries.

3. What the tenor of the exigent and outlawry.

4. What the effect or consequence of either.

5. How avoided either by discontinuance, supersedess, or error.

I. As to the first of these, namely, who may issue process

by capias and exigent.

The court of king's bench either upon an indictment originally taken before them, or removed thither by certiorari may issue process of capias and exigent into any county of England upon a non est inventus returned by the sheriff of the county, where he is indicted, and a testatum, that he is in some other county.

Justices of gaol-delivery regularly cannot issue a capias or exigent, because their commission is to deliver the gaol de prisonibus in ea existentibus, so that those, whom they have to do with, are always intended in custody already; vide supra cap. 5.

Justices of over and terminer may issue a capias or exigent, and so proceed to the outlawry of any person indicted before them, directed to the sheriff of the same county, where

they hold their fession at common law.

But by the statute of 5 E. 3. cap. 11. they may issue process of capias and exigent to all the counties of England against persons indicted or outlawed of selony before them.

Justices of peace may make out process of outlawry upon indictments taken before themselves, or upon indictments taken before the sheriff, and returned to the justices of peace by the statute of 1 E. 4. cap. 1. but the power of the sheriff to make any process upon indictments taken before him is taken away by that statute.

The process to the outlawry, viz. the capias and exigent must be in the king's name, and under the judicial seal of the king appointed to that court, that issues the process, and with the teste of the chief justice, or chief judge of that court or sessions.

A man is indicted by inquisition before the coroner, quere, if he can by law make out process of outlawry; videtur quòd sic. 27 Assiz. 47. B. Outlawry 38.

II. Against whom process of outlawry shall issue upon an indictment.

Altho in civil actions between party and party regularly a capias or exigent lies not against a lord of parliament of England, whether secular or ecclesiastical, yet in case of an indictment for treason or felony, yea, or but for a trespass vi & armis, as an assault or riot, process of outlawry shall issue against a peer of the realm, for the suit is for the king, and the offense is a contempt against him: And therefore, if a rescue be returned against a peer, 1 H.5. or if a peer of parliament be convict of a disseisn with force, H. 3 2 Eliz.

Lord Stafford's case (i), or denies his B. R. Croke, n. 9. deed, and it be found against him, M. 38 & 39 Eliz. B. R. Croke, n. 26. the earl of Lincoln's case (k), a capias pro fine and exigent shall issue, for the king is to have a fine, and the same reason is upon an indictment of trespass or riot.

and much more in the case of felony.

In an appeal by writ against principal and accessary, because the writ is general and distinguisheth not which is principal and which accessary, the process by capias shall go against them all, but if the defendants make default, the plaintiff in the appeal ought to declare, which is principal and which accessary before the exigent issue, and then the exigent shall go only against the principal, and if he distinguisheth it not, but prays an exigent against all, he is con-

cluded to charge any as accessary.

But in an appeal by bill or an indictment the bill or indictment declares, which is principal and which accessary, and there indeed the process by capias is against them all, but when it comes to the exigent, the exigent shall issue only against the principal, and process continue by capias infinite against the accessary, till the principal be outlawd, and then an exigent to iffue against the accessary, because then the principal is attaint by outlawry; and if the accessary appear upon the capias, he shall be let to bail, and have idem dies by bail till the process be determind against the principal, and this was the common law, but farther fettled by the statute of Westm. 1. cap. 14. 2 Co. Inst. p. 183. and Stamf. P. C. Lib. II. cap. 17. fol. 69 & 70.

If A. and B. be indicted as principals in felony, and C. as accessary to them both, the exigent against the accessary shall itay as before, till both be attainted by outlawry or plea. 40 Assiz. 25. & 7 H. 4. 36. b. for it is said, if one be acquitted, the accessary is discharged, because indicted as accessary to both, and therefore shall not be put to answer till both be attaint. 2 Co. Inst. 183. Plowd. Com. 99. b. dubitatur; for the C. be accessary to both, he might have been indicted

as accessary to one, because the felonies are in law several, but if he be indicted as accessary to both, he must be prov'd so. 4 Co. Rep. 44. b. Vauxe's case, 47. b. Waite's case, 2 Co. Inst. ubi supra; but vide 9 Co. Rep. 119. a. lord Sanchar's case contra per totam Curiam.

Nota the diversity seems to be between an accessary to two principals in an appeal, there he shall not be convict, if he be only accessary to one; but if A. and B. be indicted as principals, and C. be indicted as accessary to both, if he be found accessary to one, he shall be convicted, because the king's suit; quare, 8 H. 5. 6. b. 9 Co. Rep. 119. a. lord Sanchar's case (*).

III. As to the writ of exigi facias, and the return thereof.

If the defendant render himself to the sheriff before the quinto exactus, and appear in court at the return of the exigent and plead, and is baild to attend the trial, and then make default, the inquest shall not be taken by default in any case of selony, either upon an indistment or an appeal, tho it may in other cases, but a new capias, and after that an exigent shall issue, and a capias against the bail. 19 E. 3.

Exigent 10.

If an exigi facias be deliverd to the sheriff, and there are but two county-courts before the return, and the sheriff return the first and second exactus & non comparuit, and that there were no more county-days between the delivery of the writ to him and the day of the return, there may iffue a special exigi facias with an allocato comitatu, if it be prayd, after the return, and before any new county-day be past, but if any county-day be past between the last of the former county-days and the return, no exigi facias shall issue with an allocato comitatu, but an exigi facias de novo, for the demand of the party must be at five county-courts successively held one after another without any county-court intervening, 22 E. 3. 11. a. so if after the second exactus the offender render himself and find mainprise, and at the day of the return make default, no exigi facias with an allocato comitatu shall issue, because three county-days intervened, but Fff Vol. II. a new

a new exigent and a capias against the bail. 22 E. 3. ubi su-

pra, and 32 E. 3. Exigent 14.

And therefore in London, where the holding of the hustings is uncertain, no exigi facias shall issue with an allocato husting, because the court cannot take notice of the set times of holding it, as they may of the times of holding the county-court. 21 E. 3. 35. b. 17 E. 3. 43. b. Exigent 11. but vide contrarium at this day an allocato husting, H. 19 Jac. B. R. Archer and Dalby (1), where it was agreed, that if an exigent issues in London, and they begin in husting de placito terra, (as they may) they shall proceed along at that hustings to the outlawry without mingling their hustings de communibus placitis, but if an allocato busting comes, they shall proceed without omitting any husting.

If the offender appear at the capias and plead to iffue, and is then let to bail to attend his trial, and then make default, the inquest in case of selony shall never be taken by default, but a capias ad audiendam juratam shall issue, and if he be not taken an exigent, vide 26 Ass. 51. Coron. 196. and if he appeard upon the exigent and then made default, an exigi fa-

cias de novo shall issue. 16 Ass. 13.

But, if upon the capias or exigent the sheriff return cepi corpus, and at the day hath not his body, the sheriff shall be punished, but no new exigent awarded, because in custody of record. 30 Assiz. 23. but if the party be returned outlawd, the process thereupon is a capias utlegatum.

And that I may say is once for all, as well this process of capias utlegatum as all other process upon an indicament, and generally all process for the king are with a non omittas prop-

ter aliquam libertatem.

And therefore by virtue of these processes the sheriff may

enter into any liberty to execute the same.

And if the party be in his own house, or in the house of any other, if the doors be shut, and the sheriff having given notice of his process demand admittance and the doors be not opend, he may break open the doors and enter to take the offender. 5 Co. Rep. 91. b. Semayne's case, & libros ibidem.

Nay

Nay farther, if a party outlawd be in a house, and the door be refused to be opend, the constable or any other person in pursuit of the felon may break open the doors and apprehend a person outlawed or indicted of felony.

The return of the outlawry must be certain.

It must shew where the county-court was held, and in what county, therefore ad comitatum meum S. tent. apud C. and fays not in comitatu pradicto or in com' S. is erronious. 11 H. 7. 10. a. dubitatur. 10001 ر (<u>()</u> د،

The like if it be ad comitatum meum tentum apud S. in com' Somers', and fays not ad comitatum meum Somers', or ad comitatum Somers' without saying ad comitatum meum Somerset. P. 7 fac. B. R. adjudged, Whiting's case (m). 6 H. 7. 15. b. 11 H..7. 10. a.

And yet in that case at the desire of the king's attorney, in case of an outlawry of felony a certiorari issued to the coroners to certify the truth, and thereupon the return was amended according to a like precedent in the time of E. 4. T. 3 Car. B. R. Plum's case (n).

The sheriff must return the day and year of the king to

every exactus.

If the day and year of the king be inserted in the 1, 2, 3 and 5 exactus, but omitted in the 4th exactus, it is erronious, and shall not be supplied by intendment.

Fac. B. R. Chapman's cale adjudged (o).

So if it be anno regni domina regina without saying Elizabetha, or domina Elizabetha without saying regina. P. 7 Jac. C. B. Burford's case (p) and Brandling's case (q), or anno regni domini regis Jacobi without saying regni sui Anglia, for the year of England and Scotland differ. H. 7 Jac. Pen's case (r), so if there be less than a month between the first and second exactus. H. 13 Jac. B. R. Taverner's case (s).

Ad bufting tent' apud Guildhall civitatis London without faying de communibus placitis is erronious, because they have

(m) 2 R. A. p. 802. pl. 2. (n) Palm. 480. Latch 210. (o), 2 R. A. p. 803. pl. 1. (p) 2 R. A. p. 802. pl. 6.

two

⁽q) Ibid. p. 802. pl. 9. (r) Ibid. p. 802. pl. 8. (s) Ibid. p. 802. pl. 5.

two hustings, one de communibus placitis, another de placitis terra. 6 H. 7. 15. b. 11 H. 7. 10. a.

So if an exigent be against A. and B. and the return is primo exacti fuerunt & non comparuerunt without saying nec eorum aliquis comparuit, it is erronious. H. 13 Jac. B. R. Taverner's case adjudged (t), & sapius alibi.

If there be two coroners in a county, the calling upon the exigent may be by one of them, and likewise one alone may give the judgment of outlawry. 14 H. 4. 34. b. per Hankf. 39 H. 6. 40. b.

But it seems the return must be by two in ministerial

acts. 14 H. 4. 34. b. 39 H. 6. 40. b.

The name of the coroner must be subscribed to the judgment of outlawry at the quinto exactus. M. 9 Car. B. R. Ethrington's case upon an outlawry of felony, and it must be subscribed also by the name of their office A.B. and C.D. coronatores, unless in London, where the mayor is coroner. M. 12 Fac. B. R. Earle's case (u). P. 17 Fac. Croke, n. 11. Garrard's case (x).

The sheriff's name and office must also be subscribed to the return of the exigent, e.g. A.B. armiger, vicecomes.

IV. As to the effect of the exigent or outlawry in treason or felony.

1. As to the exigent the very issuing of the writ of exigent in case of treason or felony gives to the king or the lord of a franchife, to whom that liberty is granted, the forfeiture of all the goods of the party so put in exigent from the time of the teste of the writ of exigent. 41 Assiz. 13.

And therefore, if in an appeal the exigent be well awarded, tho the writ of appeal be abated, the forfeiture of the goods by the exigent stands in force. 43 E. 3. 17. b. Stamf. P. C. Lib. III. cap. 22. fol. 184. b.

And tho the outlawry be reversed for error in law or in fact, as if the party were imprisond at the time of the out-

lawry and after the exigent, whereby the outlawry is reversed

(t) Ibid. p. 802. pl. 1. (u) 2 R. A. p. 802. pl. 3 & 4. (x) Cro. Jac. 531.

versed, yet the exigent being well awarded the forfeiture of the goods stands. 19 E. 3. Forfeiture 19. 30 H. 6. ibid. 31.

And therefore a special writ of error lies even upon the award of the exigent for the party so put in exigent or his executors to reverse the award of the exigent, if it were erroniously awarded for error in law or error in fact. M. 33 & 34 Eliz. B. R. Marshe's case adjudged, cited in Foxley's case, 5 Co. Rep. 111. a. but not without reversal by writ of error, Ibid. As if he were in prison, or beyond the sea, or had a charter of pardon before the exigent awarded, and thereupon the very award of the exigent shall be reversed, and the party restored to his goods, and so it is for matter of law, as if the exigent issued against the accessary before the principal attainted. Stams. ubi supra.

But the avoiding only of the outlawry avoids not the exigent if well awarded, nay althouthe party render himself after the exigent awarded and plead to the indictment, and is found not guilty, yet the forseiture by the exigent stands in

force. 22 Assiz. 81.

Therefore it is necessary for a party outlawd in felony to bring his writ of error specially tam in adjudicatione brevis de exigi facias, quam in promulgatione utlegaria, for the outlawry be reversed, it doth not reverse the award of the exigent.

But error in the exigent is cause to reverse the outlawry, and error in the appeal or indictment, upon which the exigent is awarded, is cause to reverse both outlawry and

exigent.

But without a judgment of reversal in a writ of error the forfeiture by the exigent awarded stands, tho the indictment be quashed or the appeal abated, because the king's title being of record must be avoided by a record, and so are the books of 41 Assiz. 13. 43 E. 3. 17. b. to be reconciled, vide Foxley's case, ubi supra.

2. As touching the forfeiture by outlawry. Outlawry of treason or felony is a conviction and attainder of the offense

charged in the indictment.

And as the award of the exigent gives the forfeiture of the goods, so the outlawry gives the forfeiture or loss of the lands of the party outlawd, viz. in case of outlawry of treafon his lands are forfeited to the king, of whomsoever they are held, and in case of outlawry of felony to the lord by escheat, of whom they are immediately holden.

But it must be remembred, that the bare judgment of outlawry by the coroners without the return thereof of record is no attainder, nor gives any escheat. Co. Lit. §. 197. fo. 128. b. 28 Association 49.

But it must be returned by the sheriff with the writ of exigi facias, and the return indorsed.

And therefore, if there be a quinto exactus, and thereupon utlegatus est per judicium coronatorum, but no return thereos is made, there lies a writ of certiorari to the coroners, 9 H. 4. 7. b. 36 H. 6. 24. b. Dy. 223. a. or to the sheriff and coroners. Register 284. a. 38 E. 3. 14. b. vide Dy. 317. a. to certify the outlawry into the king's bench, but this is only either to ground a charter of pardon upon it. 9 H. 4. 7. b. or to amerce the sheriff, where he returned only a quarto exactus when it was quinto exactus, 36 H. 6. 24. b. but of what essecti it is otherwise there seems diversity of opinions: I think as followeth.

- 1. That it doth not disable the party to bring an action, because in relation to party and party it stands as nothing, till returned by the sheriff. Mich. 14 & 15 Eliz. Dy. 317. a. Puttenham's case.
- 2. That consequently, barely upon such a return of an outlawry upon a certiorari without the writ of exigent indorsed and returned together with the certiorari, it seems no writ of escheat lies for the lord; quere.
- 3. But if the writ of certiorari be directed to the sheriff and coroners, and the writ of exigent be extant in court, and they return this outlawry, possibly this may be a sufficient warrant to enter it of a record, as a return upon the exigent, for the king's advantage, and to issue upon it a capias utlegat. 38 E. 3. 14. b. to have the forfeiture of his goods. 14 U 15 Eliz. Dy. 317. a. Co. Lit. fol. 288. b. 37

H. 6. 17. a. vide Proctor's case. P. 5 Eliz. Dy. 223. a. And Stanley's case there cited out of 18 E. 4. to this purpose.

4. But unless the writ is some way returned or extant, I think it gives the king no title to land or goods, for the writ of exigi facias is the warrant of the outlawry, and that which gives the coroners their authority in such a case to give judgment of outlawry.

And it is not like the case, where there was once a writ and return of outlawry, and the record since lost, for that upon circumstances a jury upon the general issue may find a record, tho not shewn in evidence, but here the writ

was never in truth indorfed nor returned.

5. But if the writ of certiorari were directed to the coroners alone, tho it may be a ground to cause the sheriff to mend his return and make it according to the truth, yet the certificate of the coroners will not make a record to intitle the king or lord to any thing without the writ of exigent extant, and the return upon it amended by the sheriff, for without the exigi facias and the return of the outlawry upon it, I think there is neither disability, forfeiture, nor escheat, and therefore P. 8 Jac. C. B. a certiorari shall not be so much as granted to the coroners to remove an outlawry after the parties death. Sir John Fit's case.

V. Touching the avoiding of the outlawry, it is to be done either by plea or by writ of identitate nominis, or by

writ of error.

voided but made good against another person, as where the outlawry is against J. S. de B. and the party taken upon it is another person of another addition, as J. S. de C. or J. S. junior, Uc. vide 19 H. 6. 58. a. 10 E. 4. 16. a. 20 H. 6. 19. a.

2. By writ of identitate nominis, vide F. N. B. 267. 20 E. 3. Brief 683. 14 H. 4. 27. a.

3. By writ of error, for it is a judgment of record and

must be avoided by record.

The errors affignable are either errors in law, whereof before, or errors in fact, which are many, as if the party outlawd lawd were an infant under fourteen years old in case of felony. Dy. 104. b. 3 H. 5. Utlagarie 11.

So if he were imprisoned at the time of the outlawry, unless being brought to the bar and demanded, if he will appear, and he refuse it. M. 8 Jac. C. B. 1 H. 7. 13. 21 E. 4. 73. b.

As touching avoiding of an outlawry of felony, because H. 15 Jac. B. R. Carter's case (y) these beyond the fea. differences were agreed by the court, whereby the differing books are reconciled upon view of divers precedents.

1. If a man having committed a felony goes beyond the fea voluntarily, or upon his own occasions, and not in the king's service before any exigent awarded, tho after the indictment, and then an exigent is awarded, and the offender being beyond the sea is outlawd for the felony, he may aslign it for error.

2. But if after the exigent awarded upon the indictment of felony, then he goes beyond the fea voluntarily or upon his own occasions, and being so beyond sea is outlawd, he shall not avoid it by such being beyond sea, because the exigent awarded gives him notice of the profecution, and by fuch a means he may avoid his conviction by staying till all the witnesses are dead.

3. But yet prima facie the error in that case is well assigned by alleging he was ultra mare tempore promulgationis utlegaria, and if he were in the realm after the exigent issued, it shall come in by the plea of the king's attorney to shew it.

4. But if he were within the realm at the time of the exigent issued, and went beyond sea upon the service of the king or kingdom, and then is outlawd being beyond fea. this outlawry shall be reversed, and if the party allege generally, that he was ultra mare tempore promulgationis utlegaria, and the king's attorney reply, that he was in England tempore emanationis brevis de exigi facias, it is a good replication for the plaintiff in the writ of error to allege, that he went out after the exigent and before the outlawry pronounced upon the king's command or service, and shew it specially, and so confess and avoid the plea.

And it is to be observed, that altho the death of the king doth not discontinue the indistment, yet the king's death pending the process and before the outlawry discontinues the process, and this is not aided by the statute of 1 E. 6. cap. 7.

Upon a writ of error upon an outlawry in felony the record of the outlawry cum omnibus ea tangentibus is removed into the king's bench, wherein these things are observable.

1. That the party outlawd must render himself in custody, and in custody must come in person to the bar, and when he is demanded what he can say, he is in person

to pray allowance of the writ of error.

2. The writ being allowd the record is to be removed, namely the indictment, process, and return, and outlawry, he is then to assign his errors in person, and a day is given to the king's attorney to reply to him, and in the mean time a scire facias to the lords mediate and immediate is to issue returnable at fifteen days ad audiendum errores.

3. If any lords do appear, they may plead to the errors; if the sheriff return there are no lands, Cc then the court

proceeds to examine the errors.

4. The outlawry being reversed he is put to answer the indictment, and may plead to it, and be tried at the king's bench bar, or the record may be remitted into the country, if it were removed into the king's bench by certiorari, with a command to the justices below to proceed by the statute of 6 H. 8. cap. 6. de quo supra, p. 3.

CHAP. XXVII.

Touching certiorari out of the king's bench.

THO a writ of certiorari be not properly or directly a process upon an indictment, yet it has relation to it, and in order to the full understanding of the pleas of the crown is necessary to be considerd.

The king's bench is the fovereign ordinary court of justice in causes criminal, and therefore may issue a certiorari unto inferior justices to remove indictments or appeals, and that is done for several ends.

1. Sometimes to consider and determine the validity of indictments, and to quash or affirm them, as there is cause.

2. Sometimes to have the prisoner or offender tried either at the bar, or by nisi prius before the king's justices of the courts of Westminster.

3. Sometimes to examine, and affirm or reverse the proceedings and judgments given by inferior judges, for it was frequent heretofore to have the record removed by certiorari first, and then a writ of error, quod coram vobis residet, tho it is now ordinarily done together by writ of error.

4. Sometimes to plead the king's pardon.

5. Sometimes to iffue process of outlawry against the offender in those counties and places, where the process of inferior justices cannot reach them.

Tho this be usual to remove records of indictments by certiorari, yet the chancellor may deliver an indictment removed before him, or the justices of peace, or other commissioners of open and terminer or gaol-delivery may deliver indictments taken before them manibus propriis without writ, and such a record so removed, and a record made of it removes the record.

If there be an indicament to be removed and the party be in custody, it is usual to have an habeas corpus to re-

move the prisoner, and a certiorari to remove the record, for as the certiorari alone removes not the body, so the habeas corpus alone removes not the record itself, but only the prifoner with the cause of his commitment, and therefore altho upon the habeas corpus and the return thereof the court can judge of the fufficiency or infufficiency of the return and commitment, and bail or discharge, or remand the prifoner, as the case appears upon the return, yet they cannot on the bare return of the habeas corpus give any judgment, or proceed upon the record of the indictment, order, or judgment without the record itself be removed by certiorari, but the same stands in the same force it did, tho the return should be adjudged insufficient, and the party discharged thereupon of his imprisonment, and the court below may issue new process upon the indistment, tho it be otherwise in an habeas corpus in civil causes, for it is a supersedeas, and closeth up the hands of the inferior court in civil caules.

By the statute of i & 2 of P. & M. cap. 13. an habeas corpus or certiorari to remove a prisoner or a recognisance ought to be signed with the proper hand of the chief justice, or in his absence by one of the justices of the court, out of which it issues.

By the statute 21 Jac. cap. 8. all certiorari's to remove indictments before justices of peace shall be delivered at the quarter-sessions in open court, and the party indicted shall become bound with sufficient sureties in ten pounds to the prosecutor with condition to pay him such charges as the justices of peace shall asses, if the party be convicted, otherwise the justices of peace may proceed to trial notwithstanding such certiorari.

A certiorari may issue to the justices of a county palatine, or to the mayor of the cinque ports to remove an indictment taken before them, and must not be directed to the chancellor of Durham, &c. or warden of the cinque ports, for now by the statute of 27 H. 8. cap. 24. all commissions of the peace, gaol-delivery, over and terminer, &c. are to be made in the king's name, and these justices in criminal causes are immediated.

diately

diately subject to this court, as other justices of like nature elsewhere are; and if they return a privilege of the county palatine or cinque ports upon the certiorari, it shall not be allowd, but an aliàs certiorari shall issue with a precept to produce their charters, by which they claim such exemption. P. 43 Eliz. B. R. Rot. 119. T. 8 Car. B. R. (a) and M. 8 Car. B. R. (b) upon an indictment of sodomy in the cinque ports. T. 1653. Rutabie's case upon an indictment of murder in Durham (c).

A certiorari issues bearing teste the last day of Trinity term to remove all indictments against A. and B. returnable tres Michaelis; at the quarter-sessions it is deliverd, and then an

indictment is found against A. B. and C.

Ruled 1. That tho the delivery of a certiorari supersedes the proceeding upon an indictment, yet it doth not hinder the taking of an indictment after the delivery of the writ.

2. Altho the indictment be taken after the teste of the certiorari, and before or after the delivery thereof, yet all such indictments against A. and B. ought to be removed, and the justices below cannot proceed upon such indictments to trial, judgment, or execution; and if they do, it makes their proceedings erronious and void, and likewise subjects the justices to an attachment for the contempt, whether they proceed at the same sessions, or a private sessions after.

3. That such a certiorari to remove all indictments against A. and B. removes all indictments wherein A. or B. are indicted either alone or together with any other person. M. 22 Car. 1. B. R. Orfener's case (d) adjudged. 1 R. 3. 4. b.

6 H.7. 16. a.

If A. B. and C. are indicted, (suppose for a battery,) ruled, 1. Tho A. alone tender security for the costs, it is sufficient within the statute, and the record ought to be removed into the king's bench. 2. If the indictment be at a private sessions, this indictment ought to be deliverd into the quarter-sessions, yet the delivery of the certiorari at the private sessions.

⁽a) Hofstil Tilden's case, 1 R. A.395.

⁽b) Dugdale's case. ibid.

⁽c) Vide supra, Part I. p. 467. and also Simpson's case, 1 R. A. 395. pl. 5.
(d) The same points resolved in Cheyney's case. 1 R. A. 395. pl. 1, 2.

fessions closeth the hands of the justices, altho the allowance of the writ and the tender of the security must be by the statute at the quarter-sessions. M. 1653. B. R. adjudged. Nota, T. 15 Car. 1. B. R. in Hancock's case these points were resolved. 1. That if many are indicted, and one only tender sureties for the costs upon the statute of 21 Jac. it is sufficient.

2. If the furety be sufficient as to 101. that is a sufficient surety, and ought to be allowed by the justices of peace.

3. A feme covert is not within the statute of 21 Jac. to find sureties.

4. If a certiorari issue and ought to be allowd, the proceeding of the justices after is coram non judice.

5. It was resolved M. 4 Car. that the removal of an indictment of forceable entry by the prosecutor is not within the statute of 21 Fac.

And so note a difference between a writ of error and a certiorari, the former is a supersedeas to the issuing of execution from the time of the delivery of the writ till the day of the return be past, but then if the plaintiff proceed not to the removal of the record, execution shall be granted for his delay, but a certiorari is a supersedeas from the time of the delivery thereof for ever, unless a procedendo issue. 21 H. 6. 28. b. Dy. 245. a.

If at the sessions of the peace an indictment of forceable entry be, and restitution be awarded, and after the sessions and before restitution actually made a certiorari is deliverd to one justice of peace, before the statute of 21 Jac. it closed up their hands, and no restitution shall be awarded, but the justice ought to make a supersedeas thereupon.

And it seems the same law still remains at this day upon indictments of forceable entry found at private sessions, because the justices make execution thereupon before any quarter-sessions come by virtue of the statute of 8 H. 6. cap. 9. and if the certiorari should not be obeyed, it would be fruitless.

If A. B. C. and D. be actually indicted in one indictment for one offense, and a certiorari be to remove all indictments Vol. II.

I i i against

against A. and B. this will be sufficient to remove the indictment against A. and B. and also it removes the indictment as to C. and D. for the justices may deliver the indictment per manus proprias. M. 37 & 38 El. B. R. Woodward's case, contra 6 E. 4. 5. a.

But if the indictment be but one, but the offenses several, as if A. B. C. and D. be indicted by one bill for keeping several disorderly houses, a certiorari to remove this indictment against A. and B. removes not the indictment as to C. and D. for the they are all comprised in one bill, yet they are several indictments and several offenses, and so the record is in the king's bench virtually and truly as to A. and B. but as to C. and D. the record remains below.

But if the justices per manus suas proprias deliver the bill into court against all of them as they may, then if a record be made of that delivery, the indictment is entirely removed against A. B. C. and D. because not done upon the writ of certiorari, but per manus suas proprias: But otherwise it is, where the offenses are several, and the indictment against A. and B. is removed by writ, and by a return indorsed upon the writ, for then that single indictment, that concerns A. and B. is removed, and not the others, where the offenses are several, and severally charged.

But as I said, if there be one indictment against A. B. C. and D. for one murder or burglary, another against the same persons for robbery, and a third against the same persons for a rape, a certiorari to remove all indictments against A. and B. removes all these several indictments against A. B. C. and D. for the in law each of them be severally a felon, yet inasmuch as they are jointly charged they shall be all removed as to A. B. C. and D. by virtue of this one writ, contrary to the opinion of Markham. 6 E. 4. 5. a.

And yet in some cases variance between the *certiorari* and the record causeth the record not to be removed, as if the *certiorari* be to remove the record of an inquisition in curià nostrà, whereas it was in curià of the predecessor, the record is not removed. 3 Eliz. Dy. 206. b.

So if it be to remove an indictment for stealing of two horses, and the record is but for one. 3 Ass. 3. Plow. Com. 393. a.

If a certiorari issue, it is a supersedeas in law, and it makes judicial proceedings after the certiorari deliverd erronious, but possibly it makes ministerial proceedings, as the award of restitution in a forceable entry, void also; vide 6 H. 7. 16. a. per Keble, altho it doth not remove the record before the return. Dy. 245. a.

After a certiorari issued and deliverd, and before the record removed the inferior judge may be enabled to proceed by a procedendo or supersedeas of the certiorari issuing out of the court of king's bench.

But if the record be removed and filed in court, at common law no procedendo could be granted, neither could the record be remitted, but now by the statute of 6 H. 2. cap. 6. the court of king's bench may remand the record, and command the judges below to proceed upon the indistment so remitted.

And note the difference between a certiorari in the king's bench and chancery: In the king's bench the very record itself is removed, and that which remains in the court below is but a scroll. But usually in chancery, if the certiorari be returnable there, they remove but the tenor of the record, and therefore if the tenor of a record of an indictment, or attainder, or conviction be removed by certiorari into the chancery, and thence sent by mittimus into the king's bench, they cannot thereupon proceed either to judgment or execution, because they have only the tenor of the record before them, and not the record itself, as in the former case. Vide 37 H. 6. 17. 39 H. 6. 4. Dy. 217. a. 2 F. 3.21. a. (e).

(e) Vide Dyer 369. b.

CHAP. XXVIII.

Touching the arraignment of offenders in capital offenses.

In the former chapter I have shewd how the prisoner is to be accused, namely by indictment, and how to be brought in by process to his answer, and how to be dealt with, if he make default, or stand out against the process of law.

I am now to confider how he is to be proceeded against, if he be taken, or render himself, and appear in court.

For in case of an indictment of treason or felony no offender can appear by attorney, but in person, tho in some cases of other indictments after plea pleaded, the defendant may appear by attorney. 9 E. 4. 4. a. 22 Assiz. 73. B. Attorney 63.

When the offender in treason or felony comes into court, or is brought in by process, sometimes of capias, and sometimes of habeas corpus directed to the gaoler of another prison, the first thing, that follows thereupon, is his arraignment.

And herein I will consider, 1. What the arraignment of a prisoner or malefactor is. 2. How it is performed, and in what manner. 3. When it is to be done.

I. Arraignment therefore is nothing else but the calling of the offender to the bar of the court to answer the matter charged upon him by indictment or appeal.

And the word in Latin is no other than ad rationem ponere, and in French ad reson, or abbreviated a reson, for as the vox forensis disrain or derayn used antiently in our books de ceo tend suit & derayne imports in Latin disrationare to disprove or evince the contrary of any thing, that is or may be affirmed,

1ee

See Spelman's Gloss. title Dirationare, and Selden's notes upon Fortescue, cap. 21. p. 23. so arraigne is ad rationem ponere to call to account or answer.

And this appears to be the true sense and etymology of the word by the excellent record of the reversal in parliament of the judgment given against the Mortimers E. 2. the reversal and whole record is entred verbatim Patents 1 E. 3. part 2. m. 3. where there are three errors assigned in that arbitrary judgment, and all ruled in parliament to be errors, and the attainder reversed. 1. Quòd cum aliquis de regno regis tempore pacis deliquerit erga dominum regem vel alium, per quod debeat vitam vel membrum perdere, & super hoc coram judicibus in judicium ductus fuerit, primo debeat poni rationi & super delieto sibi imposito responsiones ipsius audiri, priùs quam procedatur ad judicium de eo; sed in recordis & processibus prædictis continetur, quòd prædicti Rogerus & Rogerus coram justic' ducti adjudicati fuerunt judicio tractus & suspendii, & postea perpetuæ prisonæ adjudicati & mancipati absque hoc, quòd iph fuissent inde arrenati, seu quòd iph ad aliqua els imposita respondere possint, quod est contra legem & consuetudinem regni, C'c. per quod ad judicium de eis erronice processum est.

2. Dicit etiam quòd in recordis & processibus prædictis continetur, quòd dominus rex recordabatur versus ibsos Rogerum & Rogerum, quòd ipsi hostiliter equitaverunt cum Humfredo de Bohun nuper com' Heref. & aliis inimicis domini regis contra ipsum regem & populum regni sui diversa mala & facinora perpetrando, quare judicia prædicta super eisdem reddita fuerunt, cujusmodi recorda non est domino regi facere, nisi de inimicis suis tempore guerra, & boc, viz. quando idem dominus rex equitat cum vexillis explicatis, & non tempore pacis, sed eo tempore dominus rex non equitavit cum vexillis explicatis, nec fuit tempore guerra, cancellario domini regis & justiciariis placearum de utroque banco sedentibus ad justitiam unicuique conqueri volenti & prosequenti faciend', per quod ad judicium de eis, ut prædictum est, erronice processium est. 3. Dicit etiam quòd erratum est in hoc, quòd, cum en Magna Charta de libertatibus Anglix continetur, quod nullus liber homo capiatur, aut imprisonetur, aut de libero tenemento suo disseissetur, vel de libertatibus vel liberis consuetudinibus suis, aut Kkk Vol. II. utle=

utlegatur, aut exulet, aut aliquo modo destruatur, nec dominus rex super eum ibit, nec super eum mittet, nist per legale judicium parium suorum vel per legem terræ, sed in recordis & processibus prædictis continetur, quòd prædicti Rogerus & Rogerus figillatim judicio tractûs & suspendii adjudicati f.uerunt, & postea perpetue prisone adjudicati & mancipati absque legali judicio parium fuorum ad hoc vocatorum, & contra legem terra. And thereupon judgment of reversal is given in these words, Et quia inspectis recordis & processibus prædictis compertum est in eisdem, quòd prædicti Rogerus Mortimer & Rogerus Mortimer coram justic' ducti judicio tractûs & suspendii adjudicati fuerunt, & postea perpetuæ prisonæ adjudicati U mancipati absque hoc, quòd ipsi ad aliqua eis vel eorum alteri imposita possint respondere, & hoc tempore pacis, & absque hoc, quòd dominus rex equitavit cum vexillis explicatis, & cancellario domini regis & justic' de utroque banco sedentibus, ut prædictum est, & absque legali judicio parium suorum, quod est contra legem & consuetudinem regni Anglia & tenorem Carta pradicta, consideratum est per dominum regem nunc & ejus concilium in pleno parliamento, quòd omnia judicia prædicta ob defectus & errores prædictos & alios in recordis & processibus pradictis compertos revocentur Uc.

I have transcribed the record more at large, because there are many useful parts in it, some whereof will be useful to

other purposes.

But as to the business in question these two things are observable. 1. What arraignment is, namely it is ad rationem ponere, for that, which in one part of the record is arrenatus, is before rendred rationi ponere, to be put to answer; and therefore Spelman, who is seldom mistaken, is yet herein mistaken both in the nature, orthography, and etymology of the word, which he saith is arramare or adrhamire, for it is nothing so. 2. Of what importance and how essential it is, that in capital offenses the offender being in court should be arraigned or put to answer, the want whereof rendred the judgment given against the Mortimers erronious, and reversed by the king and his parliament.

The Arraignment of a prisoner therefore consists of these

parts.

1. The calling the prisoner to the bar by his name, commanding him to hold up his hand, which tho it may feem a trifling circumstance, yet it is of importance, for by holding up his hand constat de persona indictati, and he owns himfelf to be of that name (a).

2. Reading the indictment distinctly to him in English,

that he may understand his charge.

3. Demanding of him, whether he be guilty or not guilty, and if he pleads not guilty, the clerk joins issue with him cul. prist, and enters the prisoner's plea; then he demands how he will be tried, the common answer is by God and the country, and thereupon the clerk enters po. se, and prays God to fend him good deliverance.

But if the prisoner hath any matter to plead either in as batement or in bar of the indictment, as misnomer, auterfoits acquit, auterfoits convict, a pardon, Uc. then he pleads it without immediate answering to the felony, but in some cases si trove ne soit, then to the felony not guilty, de quo po-

stea. And thus far what the arraignment is.

II. How to be done or performed.

On the part of the court what is to be done is shewn before, but in relation to the prisoner and his coming to the bar.

The prisoner, tho under an indictment of the highest crime, must be brought to the bar without irons and all Stamf. P. C. fol. 78. a. 2 Co. manner of shackles or bonds. Inst. 316. Co. P. C. p. 34, 35. Bract. Lib. III. fol. 137. a. & alios libros ibi, unless there be a danger of escape, and then they may be brought with irons.

But note at this day they usually come with their shackles upon their legs for fear of an escape, but stand at the bar unbound, till they receive judgment (b).

III. When

Mohun's case, State Tr. Vol. IV. p.

⁽a) The ceremony of holding up the hand is not required in the case of a peer, nor is it of absolute necessity in the case of a common person, it being sufficient, that it appears to the court, who is the person indicted. See Lord Delamere's case, State Tr. Vol. IV. p. 411. and Lord till after his conviction, when he comes

⁽b) By this it appears to have been our author's opinion, that upon whatever occasion a prisoner be brought into court, he ought not to stand there in vinculis

III. When the party is to be arraigned.

In case of murder at the common law the judges did ufually forbear to arraign the prisoner upon an indictment till the year and day were past, whether an appeal were depending or not per omnes justic' Anglia, 22 E. 4. Coron. 44. unless the evidence were very clear to convict him, and no appeal depending, or altho an appeal were depending, if the appellant were an infant. 21 E. 3. 23. b. Stamf. P. C. fol. 107. a. because of the delay.

But now by the statute of 3 H. 7. cap. 1. the justices shall proceed to try him upon an indictment of murder (or manflaughter,) tho within the year, and if acquitted, yet he shall not be discharged, but at the discretion of the justices shall be continued in custody or upon bail, till the year and

day be pait.

So that by this statute auterfoits acquit of principal or accessary, or auterfoits attaint of the principal upon an indictment is no bar to an appeal, but auterfoits acquit upon an appeal remains a bar to an indictment for the same offense.

But auterfoits convict upon an indictment and having had his clergy is a good bar to an appeal notwithstanding this statute, de quo infra; and yet in favour of an appeal, if a man be indicted of murder, and plead to it, and be convict, if the wife enter an appeal for the same death against the prifoner, as long as that appeal is depending judgment shall be respited, but if the wife be nonshit in her appeal, then judgment shall be given upon the conviction. Vide M. 12 🗗 13 Eliz. B. R. Dy. 296. a. Stanley's case.

But as to other indictments, as of robbery, &c. the same remain at common law, as before this statute, yet it is the constant course, unless an appeal be depending, to arraign the prisoner upon an indictment within the year, for now by the statute of 21 H. 8. cap. 11. the party robbed hath as effectual restitution of his goods upon his prosecution of an

indictment,

to receive judgment, not even at the time our author is here discoursing of,) a difference was taken between the time 231,

of arraignment, and the time of trial, and time of his arraignment, (for that is the accordingly the prisoner in that case stood time our author is here discoursing of,) at the bar in chains during his arraign-yet in Layer's case, Mch. 9 Geo. 1. B. R. ment. See State Tr. Vol. VI. p. 230, indictment, as upon an appeal, and so an appeal of rob-

bery is rarely brought.

Nay, tho an appeal of robbery be brought by writ, the juffices will not stay the arraignment of the prisoner upon the indictment, unless it be by bill, or that the plaintiff in an appeal by writ hath declared upon the writ, because the writ is general, and it cannot appear what the goods are till declaration: But in an appeal of death by writ the person kild is certain. 31 H. 6. 11. a. Stamf. P. C. Lib. II. cap. 36.

fol. 107. a.

If a man be indicted and appealed before the same justices for the same murder or other felony, the party shall be arraigned upon the appeal first, and not upon the indictment, in favour of the appellant, as I have said; but if the appellant be nonfuit upon his appeal, the prisoner shall be arraigned upon the appeal (c), and process shall cease upon the indictment. 4 E. 4. 10. a. and it shall be entred cesset processus upon the indictment. 4 E. 4. 10. a. And if the prisoner plead and be acquitted, or plead the king's pardon and it be allowd, regularly the acquittal or pardon, and the allowance thereof shall be entred upon the appeal, tho it be safe to enter it likewise upon the indictment; and therefore, if in that case thro the mistake of the clerk there be no entry of cesset processus upon the indictment, and the indictment lying thus open there be process of outlawry made upon the indictment, and the party be outlawd, he hath no remedy but to bring a writ of error upon the outlawry, and he may affign for error his acquittal upon the appeal, and aver it to be the same felony, and upon confession of the king's attorney it shall be reverst. 4 E. 4. 10. a.

If there be an inquisition before the coroner of murder, and returned, and likewise an indictment for the same offense by the grand inquest, it is usual to arraign the prifoner upon the indictment, but he may be arraigned upon both at the same time, but if arraigned upon the indictment only, there ought to be an entry of cesset processus upon the coroner's inquest as to the prisoner, who may otherwise be outloved upon in

outlawd upon it.

Vol. II.

If a prisoner be found guilty of murder by the coroner's inquest, and a bill of indictment of murder be against him at the sessions of gaol-delivery for the same murder, it is usual to arraign him upon the coroner's inquest, and not upon the indictment, and if he be acquit upon that, then to arraign him upon the bill, and put him to his plea of autersoits acquit.

But to avoid the trouble of a double arraignment and

plea, I have observed this course.

1. If one indictment be of manslaughter, and the other of murder, then to arraign him of that offense, which is highest, and spare the other.

2. If both be of murder, but one is insufficient, as for the most part coroners inquests are, then to arraign him

upon the good indictment, and quash the other.

3. If both presentment and indictment be of the same nature, and both (for instance) of murder, and both good, and both returned into court the same sessions, I have usually arraigned, the prisoner upon both, (so as they be put upon the same inquest to be tried,) to avoid the trouble of the plea of autersoits acquit or attaint, and to indorse his acquittal or attainder upon both presentments, always directing the jury to acquit him upon both, if acquitted upon one, and è converso.

Now concerning the arraignment of the accessary, regularly the accessary shall not be arraigned, nor put to answer till the principal be attaint by outlawry or confession, or be convict and attaint also by judgment upon verdict, for it is an offense dependent upon the principal, and tho the principal be convict, yet if he have his clergy, the accessary is discharged thereby, and shall not be arraigned. 2 Co. Inst. 183. Super stat. Westm' 1. cap. 14.

But yet the principal and accessary being indicted by one or several indictments, and both appearing may be arraigned together at the same time, (d) and both pleading not

guilty

⁽d) They may be, but not necessarily mark. See State Tr. Vol. III. p. 465. must, as was laid down for law by C. J. and Sir John Hawle's remarks thereon. Pemberson in the trial of count Conings
State Tr. Vol. IV. p. 199.

guilty the same jury shall be charged with both, and directed to inquire of both, viz. first of the principal, and if they find him guilty, then to inquire of the accessary. 9 Co. Rep. 119. a. Lord Sanchar's case. 2 Co. Inst. 184. super Stat. Westm' 1. cap. 14.

But if A. and B. be indicted for murder, A. as giving the stroke, and B. as being present, aiding, and abetting, if A. flies, and B. is apprehended, B. may be arraigned and tried before A. be attainted by outlawry, tho he be principal but in the second degree, for they are both principals, and so it was done in the case of Thady H. 25 & 26 Car. 2. tho in point of discretion it is good to try them both together.

If A. be indicted of high treason, and B. be indicted for receiving or comforting him, or procuring or abetting, (but not present), here it is true they are all principals, but in as much as B. in case of a felony would have been but acceffary, and it is possible that A. may be acquitted of the fact, it seems to me, that B. shall not be put to answer of the receit or procurement till A. be outlawd, or at least jointly with A. (e), and in this case the same jury may be charged with both, and their charge shall be first to inquire, whether A. were guilty, and if not, then to acquit both A. and B. and if A. be found guilty, then that they inquire of B. And in Somervill's case 26 Eliz. (f) mentioned before, the inquiry was first of the principal offender, and then of the receiver or procurer to avoid that inconvenience and ameroust, that might happen in case B. were first convict of the procurement and receit, and yet possibly A. might be acquitted of the principal fact.

If the principal do not plead not guilty, but some other plea, as in abatement, or in bar, the accessary shall not be put to plead till the plea of the principal be determind. 9 H. 7. 19. b. but if the principal plead not guilty, then the accessary, if present, shall be put to plead presently, and they may be tried by the same inquest, ut supra.

In

⁽e) Yet in lady Lisle's case, State Tr. trary. Vide supra, Part 1. p. 238. in Vol. 1V. 1. 105. it was without any notis. foundation in law practised quite con(f) 1 And. 109.

In antient time, if the principal made default, and appeard not, the accessary was not put to answer. 44 E. 3.7. b. Coron. 216. But of later times the accessary, if he appear, hath been arraigned and put to plead, but process against the inquest, and trial ceaseth till the principal come in or be attaint by outlawry. 9 H. 4. 2. a. 7 H. 4. 36. a. Stamf. P. C. Lib. I. cap. 49. fol. 46. a.

But the accessary may pray process against the principal, & renuntiari juri pro se introducto, and his consent makes it not error. 8 H. 5. 6. b. Coron. 463. and therefore, if the accessary be acquitted before the principal tried, it is agreed, that it is a good acquittal, and by the same reason, if he were convict, it is a good conviction, yet no judgment shall be given against him upon that conviction till the principal tried.

And upon this reason it is, that if A. be arrested or in prison for felony, and B. rescue him, or the gaoler suffer him voluntarily to escape, tho this be a distinct felony in B. the rescuer, and in the gaoler, that voluntarily suffers him to escape, for which they may be presently indicted, yet they shall not be arraigned or put to answer till A. be convicted and attainted by judgment, or outlawd. 1 H. 7. 6. a. 1 E. 3. 16. b. 2 Co. Inst. 592. Super stat. de frangentibus prisonam, for if A. be acquitted upon the indictment, the rescuer or gaoler shall be discharged.

But if A. be indicted of the felony or not indicted, and be lawfully imprisond and break the prison, he may be indicted and arraigned for his felony in breaking the prison before his conviction of the felony, for which he was com-

mitted. 2 Co. Inst. ubi supra.

And yet, if after that indictment A. be arraigned of the principal felony and acquitted, he may plead that acquittal of the principal felony in bar to the indictment for the breach of prison; vide rationem supra, Part. I. cap. 54. p. 611.

If a capias be awarded against a selon, and he render himfelf and plead not guilty, and is let to bail, and then make default, a capias ad audiendam juratam shall issue, and if brought in, he shall be tried upon his plea, but it is said by Scot, that if he had rendred himself upon the exigent,

and

and pleaded not guilty, and been let to bail till the trial, and then made default, whereupon an exigent is awarded, and the felon is brought in upon the exigent, he shall plead de novo, and consequently be arraigned de novo, for by the exigent awarded the first issue is discontinued. 16 Assiz. 13.

CHAP. XXIX.

Concerning the plea of the prisoner upon his arraignment, and first, of his confession of the fact charged, and approving others.

HEN the prisoner is arraigned, and demanded what he faith to the indictment, either he confesseth the indictment, or pleads to it, or stands mute and will not answer.

The confession is either simple, or relative in order to the

attainment of some other advantage.

That which I call a simple confession is, where the defendant upon hearing of his indictment without any other respect confessesh it, this is a conviction; but it is usual for the court, especially if it be out of clergy, to advise the party to plead and put himself upon his trial, and not presently to record his confession, but to admit him to plead. 27 Afric. 40.

If it be but an extrajudicial confession, tho it be in court, as where the prisoner freely tells the fact, and demands the opinion of the court, whether it be felony, tho upon the fact thus shewn it appear to be felony, the court will not record his confession, but admit him to plead to the felony

Vol. II. M m m

not guilty. 22 Assiz. 71. Stamf. P. C. Lib. II. cap. 51. fol. 142.b.

A confession in order to some other advantage is either, where the prisoner confesseth the selony in order to his clergy, de quo infra, cap. 44. or where he confesseth the offense, and appealeth others thereof thereby to become an approver, and thereupon to obtain his pardon, if he convict them, and this lets in the whole learning touching approvers and approvement, which I shall here open in the order that Mr. Stamford hath gone before me.

1. Of what offenses a man may be an approver. 2. In what suits. 3. At what time. 4. Before whom. 5. In what manner. 6. How he shall be ordered before and after his appeal. 7. What process shall issue against the party appeald. 8. What pleas he shall have, and how tried. 9. How proceeded in. 10. What judgment shall

be given for or against the appellor or appellee.

Before I come to these particulars we are to know, that it is purely in the discretion of the court to admit the approver to appeal or not, or to give him any respit from judgment or execution upon his confession and approvement, for otherwise it would be in the power of any party arraigned for felony by becoming an approver to delay judgment, where (it may be) his appeal is but seigned, for the admission of his appeal or respit of judgment is but a matter of grace and discretion. 21 H. 6. 34. b. Coron. 66 & per omnes justic' utriusque banci. Co. P. C. cap. 56. p. 129.

And therefore this course of admitting of approvers hath been long disused, and the truth is, that more mischief hath come to good men by these kind of approvements by false accusations of desperate villains, than benefit to the public by the discovery and convicting of real offenders, gaolers for their own profits often constraining prisoners to appeal honest men, and therefore provision made against it by 1 E. 3.

сар. 7.

And upon this reason it is, that as of later times the admission of such appeals hath been wholly disused, so in times, when they were admitted, a great strictness was held

· upon

upon such appeals, as will appear upon the examination of the ensuing particulars.

I. Therefore touching the offenses, whereof an approve-

ment may be.

It may be only of capital offenses, as of treason or felony, whether they be at common law, or by act of parliament.

When a prisoner is admitted to be an approver, he is sworn in court to approve, or rather to discover all felonies and treasons that he knows, and a certain time prefixt, (as three or four days,) to make his appeal, and a coroner assigned to him to receive such his appeal and discovery. 12 E. 4. 10. b.

And yet the appeal is not good, as an appeal, or as an approvement to compel the parties appealed to answer, but only as to such felonies or treasons, that were committed by the appellee together with the appellor, and whereof the appellor stands indicted in court, and as to other treasons or felonies, [than] whereof the appellor so stands indicted, it is no legal appeal or approvement to put the appellee to answer.

And therefore, if A. being indicted for robbing of B. and he appeal C. that he robbed A. himself, this is a void appeal, and the appellor shall be executed, and the appellee shall not be put to answer to it. 25 E. 3. 39. (a).

So if he appeal C. as accessary to the robbery of B. either before or after, C. shall not be put to answer, for it is not the same felony charged upon A. but only an accessary to it. 10 E. 4. 14. a.

So if A. be indicted of felony, and he appeal B. of treafon, B. shall not be put to answer that appeal, but B. being so accused it may be a ground for the justices in point of discretion to make B. find sureties for his appearance at the next sessions or in the king's bench, and in the mean time to be of good behaviour towards the king and his people, as was done when a person, that had abjured for felony, made such an appeal of treason. M. 19 E. 2. Coron. 387. vide simile 21 E. 3. 18. a. Coron. 449.

II. In

II. In what fuits.

Approvement lies not in an appeal of felony, for the delay, that may come thereby to the plaintiff. M. 15 E. 3. Coron. 113. 2 R. 3. 22. b. And therefore, if a party be indicted of felony, and the prisoner becomes an approver, if an appeal for the same felony be sued afterwards, all proceedings upon the approvement shall stay. 8 H. 5. Coron. 442.

But if A. be indicted of felony, and he becomes an approver, and appeal B. as a companion with him in the same felony, and B. comes in, it feems he may not become an approver, and appeal C. of the same felony, 15 E. 3. Coron. 113. Stamf. P. C. Lib. II. cap. 58. fol. 147. a. tho 11 H. 4.

93. b. B. Coron. 34. seems to be contrary.

If a man be arrested and imprisond for suspicion of felony, he cannot become an approver, because he is not indicted. Stamf. P. C. Lib. II. cap. 55. Co. P. C. cap. 56. p. 129. against the opinion of Strange and Hankf. 6 H. 6. Coron. 231.

III. At what time a man shall become an approver.

After a person is abjured for felony, 19 E. 2. Coron. 387. 19 E. z. Ibid. 443. or be outlawd, 21 E. z. 17. b. Coron. 452. or otherwise attaint, and hath his clergy 17 E. 3. Coron. 445. he shall not be admitted to be an approver; nor one convict by verdict. 19 H. 6. 47. b. Coron. 8.

If A. be indicted of felony, and plead not guilty, and put himself upon the country, and the jury is charged with him, yet before the evidence fully heard, and the jury gone from the bar, he may be admitted to be an approver. 12 E. 4. 10. b. 11 H.7. 5. b. per omnes justic': Vide contra 2 H. 7. 3. a. (b), 9 H. 5. Coron. 440.

But if the whole evidence be heard, then he shall not be admitted to be an approver. 21 E.3.18. a. Coron. 449. 2 H. 7.3. fo that it feems much in the discretion of the court to admit him to be an approver at any time before verdict

(b) In this case the whole evidence had been given, and the jury gone from the bar, which was one reaten affigned by the court, why they could not admit the prisoners to become approvers, so that this case no way contradicts what is

before faid, but there was another exception besides, on which the court laid the greatest stress, because they only prayd a coroner, but did not acknowledge the felony.

given, tho after not guilty pleaded. 12 E. 4. 10. b. in B. R. U 11 H. 7. 5. b. per omnes justic', which is of greater weight than the other books.

IV. Before whom a man may become an approver.

It may be before the justices of the king's bench, or justices of gaol-delivery, or justices in eyre, for they may assign a coroner to the prisoner to receive his appeal.

But it cannot be in inferior courts, as those that have foke and sake, and infangtheft, and utfangtheft. Bract. Lib. III.

сар. 35.

But in case of a royal franchise, as a county palatine, or the royal franchise of *Ely*, where the bishop hath justices and coroners of his own making, there a felon may become an approver. 29 E. 3. 42. a. Coron. 462. in the case of *Ely*.

Neither can a man become an approver before justices of peace, nor oyer and terminer, for they cannot assign a coroner. 9 H. 4. 1. Coron. 457. 4 Co. Inft. 165, 169. Co. P. C. 130.

V. The manner of approver, and of the allowance of it.

Before any man shall be admitted to be an approver he must confess the indictment in open court, and pray a coroner to be assigned him, and regularly this is to be done upon his arraignment before plea pleaded, tho as hath been said, his confession hath been sometimes admitted after not guilty pleaded. II H. 7. 5. b. I2 E. 4. 10. b. and therefore, if he hath pleaded before not guilty, and then prays a coroner without confessing the selony, the inquest shall be taken, and if sound guilty he shall be executed. 2 H. 7. 3. a. adjudged; and if he hath not pleaded to the country, but prays a coroner, and will say no more, the shall have peine fort & dure, tho the book of I H. 5. Coron. 44 I. be that he shall be hanged.

Upon confessing the felony and praying a coroner to be

assigned the court doth these things.

1. They assign him a coroner to take his appeal. 2. They prefix him a time to make his appeal, sometimes three, sometimes four days. 8 H. 5. Coron. 439. 12 E. 4. 10. b. 26 Assiz. 19. 3. He shall be removed out of strait custody, and make his appeal before the coroner, that he may not Vol. II.

N n n have

VI. Touching process upon an appeal by an approver.

It is to be known, that altho a coroner cannot receive an original appeal but of fuch felonies, as are committed in that county, whereof he is coroner, yet if a felon become an approver, the coroner may take an appeal of any felony, tho

committed in a forein county. 9 H.5. Coron. 437.

Altho it seems, that book is not law, for he can appeal only in the county, where he is indicted, and he cannot be indicted in one county of a felony committed in another county, therefore quare librum; it seems it must be intended, where A. is indicted in the county of B. and taken in the county of C. and there the coroner receives his confession

and appeal, which possibly he may do without any special assignment virtute officii, as he may take an abjuration of a priloner in a foreign county.

But the coroner in that case cannot make process against the appellee in a forein county. 29 E. 3. 42. a. Coron. 462. but he may in the same county. Stamf. P. C. 146. a. b.

And therefore the bishop of Ely having the royal franchise of Ely and justices and coroners of his own, and also having franchise of retorna brevium in divers hundreds in the county of Suffolk, and likewise a gaol there, a felon indicted and in prison at Ely became an approver before the coroner of the franchise of Ely, and appeald one in the bishop's gaol in his hundred in the county of Suffolk, the coroner of Ely cannot make process to the bishop's bailist of his liberty in the county of Suffolk to bring the appellee to Ely, which is in another county, viz. Cambridgeshire, adjudged 29 E. 3. 42. a. Coron. 462.

At common law it seems, if an approver appeal parties, that are demurrant in a forein county, there could be no process made but in the king's bench by removing the record thither by the justices of gaol-delivery, before whom the parties became an approver.

But this is remedied by the statute of 28 E. 1. de appellatis, whereby power is given to justices of gaol-delivery to issue process to the sheriffs of forein counties to take the appellees, and bring them before the justices in that county,

where the appellor is indicted.

If the appellor allege the place, whereof the appellees are, (as he must,) and thereupon process issues to the sheriff of that county, and he return there are no such persons in his bailiwick, 25 E. 3. 42. b. or non sunt inventi, 21 H. 6. 34. b. the approver shall have judgment and be executed, and he shall not be received to say they are in another county, and pray process thither. 22 E. 3. Coron. 460. for if he be once found salse in what he saith, he shall not be credited in any thing, but his appeal shall be presumed untrue: Vide 21 H. 6. 34. b. Coron. 456.

If the approver die before his appeal determind, or be executed for the felony. 21 E. 3.18. a. 21 E. 3.17. b. Coron. 452. or hath the advantage of his clergy. 3 E. 3. Coron. 369. or disavows his appeal and will not prosecute it 21 H. 6. 34. b. 3 H. 6. 50. b. yet process shall be continued against the appellee at the king's suit, and the appellee, if he come in, shall be arraigned, for the appeal was well commenced, and it stands, as an indictment, by reason of the great presumption, that a man, that confesseth himself guilty, would not charge another falfly to be companion with him in the same felony.

But if the appeal were never well commenced, as if the appellor were convicted by verdict or outlawry, de quibus infra, or if the king pardons the approver after the approvement made, and before trial. 47 E. 3. 16. a. Stamf. P. C. fol. 149. a. the appellee shall be discharged without arraignment at the king's fuit, or further process upon the appeal, for now the approver having his pardon is fure to escape, and therefore shall not be trusted in his prosecution against another for the same felony: But of these matters farther under the next head.

If the appellee be returned non inventus, the appellor, as hath been said, may be executed, but process of outlawry shall issue against the appellee, as it seems, not by one capias and exigent, but by capias, alias, pluries and exigent; quare.

VII. Touching proceedings upon the appeal after

pearance of the appellee.

He that is appeald shall not be let to bail but in three 1. If the approver be dead. 2. If the person ap-3. If the appellor wave his appeal. peald be of good fame. Westm' 1. cap. 15. (a). Stamf. P. C. Lib. II. cap. 18. fol. 74. a.b.

And therefore, if A. be severally appeald by two approvers B. and C. indicted severally of several felonies, and A. join battle and vanquish B. yet he shall not be let to bail till

the appeal of C. 'be determind. 25 E. 3. 42. b.

When the appellee comes in he may take his legal exceptions to the infufficiency of the appeal, as that the appellor is not in prison but at large. 21 E. 3. 18. a. Coron. 448. 6 H. 6. Coron. 231. or that the appellor is within age, or above seventy years old, or a woman, or maimed, whereby the appellee loseth his trial by battle. Stamf. P. C. cap. 58. fol. 147. b. or that he is a clerk convict, and hath not made his purgation. 17 E. 3. 13. a. or that he is abjured the realm. 19 E. 2. Coron. 387. or that he was convict by verdict before he appeald of the same offense: Vide Co. P. C. cap. 56.

Also he may have all those exceptions, which an appellee at the suit of a lawful person either by writ or bill may have, as that the plaintiff is outlawd for another selony, or in a personal action, but if he hath obtaind his pardon, the appellee shall be put to answer, as in another appeal. 2 i E. 3. 17. b. but if the approver be pardond that selony, upon which he makes his appeal, the appellee shall not be put to answer neither at the party's suit, nor at the suit of the

king, 47 E. 3. 16. a. ubi supra.

If the appellee hath no exceptions to the appeal, or to the disability of the appellor, but pleads to the felony, he may put himself upon trial; either by battle, or by the country.

Touching the form of the trial by battle, I shall make no long narrative at this time, because it is an unusual trial at this day, and besides, it will come more aptly in another place.

If when battle is joind they come to the combat, and the appellee be vanquished, it is an attainder of the appellee, and the appellor shall have the benefit of the king's

grace and a pardon tanquam ex merito justitiæ.

But if the approver appeal several persons, and they severally join battle, the appellor shall not have his pardon till he vanquish them all successively, for if he be vanquished by the last, or disavow his appeal against the last, he shall be executed. 41 E. 3. Coron. 98. 21 H. 6. 34. b. Coron. 456.

And note, that, if in the field when they come to battle, the appellor disavow his appeal, the approver shall be executed, and the appellee deliverd without being arraigned at Vol. II.

Ooo the

the king's suit, for his disavowing in the sield is quasi a trial of the fact. 21 H. 6. 34. b. Coron. 456. Stamf. P. C. 148. a. b.

But if before the deraigning of battle the approver difavow his appeal, the approver shall be hanged, but the appellee shall be put to answer at the king's suit, for it may be the king hath other evidence besides the approver to convict him.

If A. becomes approver, and appeals B. C. and D. of the fame felony, and in his combat with B. becomes recreant, B. shall be discharged, but the appeal shall stand against C.

and D. 41 E. 3. Coron. 98.

If three be indicted for the same felony, and they become approvers, and the appellee joins battle with them all, he shall perform it severally, but if he vanquish one of the appellants, he is thereby acquitted against all the rest, and the approvers shall be executed, and the appellee deliverd. 7 E. 3. 12. a.

But if the appeal be of several felonies, tho he vanquish one appellant, he must fight successively with the rest. 19 H. 6. 35. a. 47 E. 3. 5. a. for the charges are several by the

several appellants.

If the appellee put himself upon trial per patriam, the approver shall be sworn as well to the petit jury upon the trial, when he gives his evidence, as well as make a general oath at the time of his first becoming approver, and hence he is called probator, (quod tamen quere, because he is a person convict,) so that altho he were a partner in the offense, and tho he stand indicted of it, and tho he be convicted by his confession, yet he is admitted a witness upon his own accusation or appeal, and the reason is, because he accuse th himself by his confession, as well as he doth the appellee by his appeal, and therefore gains a probable credibility of his testimony.

And therefore P. 19 Fac. in the star-chamber, Noye's Rep. p. 154. in Sir Percy Cresby's case, one defendant, that accuse the not himself, is not admitted as a witness to convict his companion, but if he accuse himself, he is a witness against

his companion.

But this testimony or evidence is not conclusive to the jury, for the jury may consider as well the credibility or not credibility of the witness, as the matter he swears.

And altho it seems it is now no plea for the appellee to say, he is boni nominis & fama, & in franco plegio & in assistantial domini regis, & babet dominum, qui ipsum advocet, as it was in Bracton's time, it is good evidence for the prisoner, if there be no other evidence against him but the testimony of the approver; and therefore, if the appellor die, yet the king may proceed with the appeal, because the he cannot have the testimony of the approver himself, yet there may be other evidence of the fact.

But yet, when the approver is dead after his appeal, and before trial, the party is bailable, because much of the evidence, which may conduce to the conviction, namely the oath of the approver, is lost, and so less probability of his conviction.

If the approver be vanquished and kild upon the place in the battle, or if the appellee be acquitted by verdict, yet a judgment must be entred upon his confession, for his bare confession of the felony is a conviction, it is true, but not an attainder till judgment given, quòd suspendatur per collum, which is not presently entred upon his becoming approver, but when either by trial, or for any other cause before shewn, the court thinks not fit to spare his execution.

And on the other fide, if the appellee be convict by veridict or battle, or flain upon the field, yet judgment must be given, quòd suspendatur per collum. 8 E. 3. Judgement 225. And in that case, altho the life of the approver is saved, yet he shall be banished, unless he obtain the king's pardon. Stamf. P. C. Lib. II. cap. 52. lord Coke P. C. cap. 56. saith he shall have a pardon ex debito justitia. And thus far concerning approvers.

I should now consider the business of abjuration, which is always accompanied with a confession of the selony before the coroner, but because that was a kind of appendant to sanctuary, which is wholly and finally taken away by the statute of 21 Jac. cap. 28. I shall not incumber myself with that business.

C H A P.

CHAP. XXX.

Concerning the pleas of the prisoner upon his arraignment; and first, concerning pleas in abatement of the indictment.

HE prisoner upon his arraignment either confesseth, or pleads, or stands mute; the first of these is dispatched in the former chapter, the second matter comes now to be considerd, viz. his pleas upon his arraignment.

Pleas upon the arraignment are of four forts.

- 1. Pleas that are declinatory of his trial, and such were antiently the plea of privilege of sanctuary, and the plea of clergy; the former is taken away by the statute of 21 Jac. cap. 28. the latter stands still in force; but because for the most part that benefit is claimed after conviction, and rarely before, I shall refer the whole business of clergy to a distinct examination, after I have done with the conviction of the prifoner.
 - 2. Pleas in abatement of the indicament.

3. Pleas in bar of the indictment.

4. Pleas to the matter of the indictment, viz. Not guilty. Now as to pleas in abatement of the indictment they are of these kinds.

I. Such defects as arise upon the indictment itself, and the insufficiency of it, which hath been at large considerd in the 24th chapter; if any such exception be taken by the prisoner, he may pray counsel to be assigned to him to manage his exceptions and take more; but he shall not have a copy of the indictment (a) from the court, but he and the counsel assigned may have over of the indictment, and press their exceptions upon it.

I

But

⁽a) But now by 7 W.3. cap.3. in all the prisoner shall have a copy of the incases of treason, which works corruption of blood, or of misprission of such treason,

But it is rare to take any exceptions to indictments before conviction, unless upon indictments removed into the king's bench by *certiorari*, which the court may in difcretion hear or not hear, but remand the prisoner and indictment.

And the reasons, why they are not taken in the country before conviction are, 1. Because he may have the same advantage of the exceptions after his trial and before judgment, as before trial. (*) And 2. Because if the exceptions appear material, the court can quash that indictment, and direct a new bill to be sent out to the grand jury, wherein these faults may be amended, and the prisoner arraigned de novo.

II. Such defects as are in matters of fact, as misnosmer, or false addition of the prisoner.

As to the plea of misnosmer: In appeals or actions between party and party, or in indictments, if the defendant plead misnosmer, he must be careful, that he conclude not himself by the manner of his pleading.

Therefore, where Alan Gerard was committed upon a capias in felony, the pleading was & statim ductus ad barram in propriâ personâ, & quasitus quomodo se velit inde acquietare statim dicit, quòd ipse habet nomen Johannis Allen, & non Alani Gerard, and pleads over to the felony not guilty, and the king's attorney replied, that tempore indictamenti pradicti suit adhuc est cognitus tâm per nomen Alani Gerard, quâm per nomen Johannis Allen, & quòd culpabilis est de felon' & c. Et hoc petit quòd inquiratur per patriam, & c. ideo venit inde jurata, & pradictus Alanus Gerard per nomen Johannis Allen traditur in ballium: Vide 6 H.7.7. a.

In an appeal or other action at the suit of the party mif-nosmer is a good plea.

Vol. II.

Ppp

If

(*) But now by 7 W. cap. 3. no indictment for high treason, whereby any corruption of blood may be made, or for misprission of such treason, nor any process or return thereupon shall be quashed for mis-writing, mis-spelling, false or improper Latin, unless exception be ta-

ken in court before any evidence given upon fuch indictment, nor shall any such mis-writing, &c. be any cause to arrest judgment after conviction, but such judgment may nevertheless be reversed upon writ of error, as if this act had never been made.

If the defendant in an appeal or indictment plead misnofmer of his firname, the plaintiff or king may aver, que conus per un nosme & lautre. 1 H.7.29. a.

But in an appeal or action at the suit of the party, if misnosmer be pleaded of the christian name, the plaintiff must take issue, and cannot plead conus per lun nosme & lautre.

1 H. 7. 29. a. 21 E. 3. 47. b.

In an indictment of felony if the prisoner plead misnosmer of his christian name, some books hold it a good plea. 1 1 H. 4. 4 1. b. Misnosmer 18. Stamf. P. C. Lib. 3. cap. 18. fol. 181. b. but other books of greater and later authority be to the contrary. 1 H. 5. 5. b. Misnosmer 9. Coron. 274. 26. a. (b), B. Misnosmer 6. per Rolf.

It feems by the case of Gerard before cited, which was a record of a plea in the time of E. 4. tho the defendant may plead misnosmer of his christian name, yet the king may aver conus per l'un nosme & lautre, tho it be otherwise in an appeal, but in all cases of pleading misnosmer, he must plead over to the felony: Vide Dy. 88. a. b. 21 E. 4. 71. a. b.

But, as hath been before faid, there is little advantage comes by these pleas to the prisoner upon these reasons; 1. Because, if this exception be taken in the country at the gaol-delivery, the court may allow the exception, and direct a new bill according to what the prisoner says his true name or addition is, for, as has been faid, whofoever pleads misnomer or a false addition must give himself the true name and true addition by his plea, and that will be conclusive to him.

2. Because this plea of misnosmer or untrue addition shall be always tried by the same inquest, that is to pass upon the prisoner, and is ready at the bar, and at common law should never be sent to be tried in a forein county. 34 H. 6. 50. a. I E. 4. 3. a. tho the book of 5 E. 4. 2. a. as to the addition of place be contrary.

But

⁽b) The case in 1 H. 5. 5. b. was a missons from the firname, and in the abridgment of that case, by Fitzh. Coron. 274. there is a quære added, quære si foit en nosme de baptisme, and in 3 H. 6.

But however in all cases of indictments of felony, tho the plea in itself were a forein plea, and triable in another county, yet by the statute of 22 H.8. cap. 14. (continued by 28 H. 8. cap. 1. made perpetual by the statute of 32 H. 8. cap. 3.) all forein pleas shall be tried by a jury of the same county, where the party is indicted, but that statute extends not to treason, nor to an appeal of felony, but 32 H. 8. cap. 2. extends to appeals of felony, but not to an indictment of treason, so that forein pleas in case of indictments of treason stand as they did at common law. Co. P. C. p. 27.

And note, that regularly in all pleas, whether to the writ or in bar, by matter of record or by matter of fact, or both, if the plea do not confess the felony, as the plea of a pardon in case of an indictment, or a release in case of an appeal, tho his plea be found against him by issue tried or adjudged against him by the court, yet he shall not be convicted thereupon, but plead over to the felony not guilty, as well upon an indictment, as upon an appeal, and this in favorem vita, 22 E. 4. 39. per cur. 9 H. 4. 1. b.

III. A third fort of pleas in abatement by matter dehors is matter of record.

If A. be indicted of the murder of B. and there is another indictment afterwards taken of the same death against the fame person, and he is arraigned upon the second indictment, because it is the king's suit the second shall not abate, yet usually the justices quash the other by judgment.

Yet nota the common course to prefer a new indictment of murder to the grand jury, altho an inquisition of murder be returned by the coroner, and if the coroner's inquisition be insufficient indeed, it shall be quashed, but if sufficient, it is usual to arraign the prisoner upon both indictments, and an acquittal upon one shall be upon both, and this is done, because otherwise the coroner's inquest will stand as a charge on record against the prisoner, tho acquitted upon the indictment, and process of outlawry will issue thereupon.

So it is the constant use at this day to prefer two indictments upon the fame killing against the same person, one

of murder, and the other of manslaughter upon the statute of 1 Fac. for stabbing, and the prisoner arraigned upon both pleads to both, and the jury charged with both, viz. that if they find him guilty of both indictments, to return it so, if not guilty of murder, yet to inquire, whether guilty upon the other indictment.

If a duke, or an earl, or baron be indicted by a common name of J. S. miles, or J. S. armiger, he may plead the mismosmer to the indictment, viz. that he is a duke, or an earl, or baron, or peer of the realm, nient nosme, &c. because that title is part of his name, and intitles him to be tried by his peers, but then he must shew forth a writ testifying it upon his plea pleaded, because it is but dilatory, and shall not be tried by the country, but by the record 35 H. 6. 46. a. per Fortescue. 6 Co. Rep. 53. a. countess of Rutland's case, per curiam.

And thus far touching dilatory pleas.

C H A P. XXXI.

Concerning pleas in bar of an indictment of felony or treason, and first, of autersoits acquit.

PLeas in bar of the indictment of felony or treason are of two kinds, viz. 1. Such as are purely matters of record, or 2. Such as are mixt, partly consisting of matters of record, partly of matters of fact.

Of the former fort are the pleas of pardons, either general by act of parliament, or special by the king's charter.

But because the business of pardons is not only a large title and full of variety, but is also applicable to all offen-

fes

fes criminal, whether the party be indicted or not indicted, or whether convicted, or attainted, outlawd, or put in exigent, I shall reserve the discussion of pardons towards the end of this book.

Of the latter fort are many pleas confifting of matters of record, and also matters of fact. And they are of these forts principally.

i. Auterfoits acquit of the same felony.

2. Auterfoits attaint or convict of the same felony.

3. Auterfoits attaint of another felony.

4. Auterfoits convict of another felony and had his clergy.

Now as to the plea. of auterfoits acquit, (as also auterfoits attaint de mesme felony ou treason,) it consists of two kinds of matters. 1. Matter of record, namely the former indictment and acquittal, and before what justices, and in what manner, viz. by verdict or otherwise; and 2. Matter of fact, namely, that the prisoner is the same person, that was acquitted, that the fact is the same of which he was acquitted, and whereof he is now indicted. This plea, tho the prisoner ministreth rudely, yet counsel shall be assigned to him to put his plea in due form, because it is a special plea.

Mr. Stamford tells us, that the prisoner need not have the record of his acquittal in poigne, because the plea is not dilatory, but in bar, (and so in the other case of autersoits attaint, as it seems,) according to the difference taken by Frowick. 21 H. 7. 9. a.

But if that should be law, it were in the power of every prisoner to delay his trial, as he pleaseth, by pleading autersoits acquit or attaint in another court, and so to put the king to reply nul tiel record, and then day given over to the next gaol-delivery to have the record, and to remove it by certiorari into the king's bench, if the trial be there, or the tenor of it by certiorari into chancery, and by mittimus into the court, where the trial is.

For regularly, if a record be pleaded in bar, or declared upon in the same court, the other party shall not plead nul tiel record, but have over of the record; but if it be in an-Vol. II.

Qqq other

other court, he shall plead nul tiel record, and a day given to procure the certificate of the record, or the tenor thereof. 5 H. 7. 24. a.b.

But it feems, that for the avoiding of false pleas and surmises and to bring offenders to speedy trial in capital causes the prisoner must shew the record of his acquittal, or

vouch it in the same court one of these ways.

1. By removing the tenor of the record of his acquittal into chancery by certiorari, and having it in poigne, or fent to the justices by mittimus sub pede sigilli, and thus the prisoner pleading auterfoits acquit shewed the record of his acquit-

tal sub pede sigilli. 2 E. 3. 26. b. Coron. 150.

2. Or else if he be arraigned in the king's bench upon an indictment removed, or found before them, and were formerly acquitted of the same felony, either before justices of peace or gaol-delivery, the court will give him a writ of certiorari to remove the record before them, and respit his plea till he can remove his acquittal into the court, that fo he may form his plea upon it, for the record is part of his plea, and thus it was done. 20 E. 2. Coron. 232. and thereupon his plea is put into form fetting out the record in certain, Et hoc vocat recordum acquietanciæ prædictæ coram ipso rege hic ad mandatum domini regis missum & coram ipso rege remanens, and thus it is pleaded in 2 E. 4. in Hodson's case, who was arraigned in the king's bench for murder, and pleaded an acquittal before the justices of peace in Lincoln-

But it is to be observed, that the record must be removed by writ, for altho the king's bench may take an indictment or other record of the justices of peace propriis manibus, where it is to be proceeded on for the king, yet they cannot take a record of an acquittal to serve the prisoner's plea without writ. 8 E. 4. 18. b. 3 E. 3. B. Coron. 2 18.

If a man pleads auterfoits acquit de mesme felonie, and vouch the record, the court may examine proof, that it is the same felony, and thereupon allow it without any folemn confession by the king's attorney, 26 Affiz. 15. But the safest way is the confession of the king's attorney, or an inquest charged

3

to inquire, whether it be the same fact: Vide R. Entriès 385. a. his plea allowd by the testimony of the justices of peace, before whom he was acquit, ideo consideratum est, quòd prædictus B. de felonià prædictà sit quietus & eat inde sine die.

3. If the prisoner be indicted and arraigned in the country before justices of gaol-delivery, &c. and the prisoner pleads autersoits acquit of the same felony before the same justices in that country, or other justices of the same country, that were before them, then he concludes his plea, Et boc vocat recordum acquietancia pradicta coram prasatis justiciariis at such a gaol-delivery; and if it be in the king's bench, he mentions the term and roll, and thus is the plea in 13 E.4. Clud's case in the king's bench.

So that the prisoner, tho he do not shew the record sub pede sigilli, yet he must plead it certain, and have the record in court, and remove it thither, if it be not in the same court, and not expect till nul tiel record be pleaded, for it is part of the prisoner's plea, tho the court may favour him with time to procure the removal of the record.

Now the matter of fact of his plea consists in his averment, that he is the same person, and that the selony, whereof he was acquitted, is the same whereof he is indicted, which is issuable, and the king's attorney may take issue upon it, or confess it, if it be true, and then thereupon judgment shall be entred, quòd eat sine die, or the court may examine proofs and allow it. 26 Assiz. 15.

But it is to be known, that there must not only be an acquittal by verdict, but a judgment thereupon, quòd eat sine die, for the bare verdict of his former acquittal is not a sufficient bar without a judgment pleaded also, tho the acquittal regularly is a warrant for entry of the judgment at any time after.

And note also, that a former acquittal by judgment is not only a bar of a new indictment for the same offense, but if the party be outlawd upon that new indictment, he may assign his former acquittal for error in that outlawry, and reverse it for that cause, and in that case the judgment is not only for the reversal of the outlawry, but also farther

quòd ipse tàm de indictamento de morte & murdro prædict &c. quàm de utlegaria prædicta eat sine die, and such is the judgment in Clud's case 14 E. 4. where that error is assigned to

reverie the outlawry.

Now for the full declaration of this plea these things are considerable. 1. What shall be said the same felony, whereof the party was acquitted. 2. What manner of acquittal there must be to make it a bar. 3. In what suits autersoits acquit is a plea.

I. As to the first of these.

If A. and B. be indicted as principals in robbing or killing of D. and B. be convict, as principal, and A. be acquitted, if after this A. be indicted, as accessary after the fact, this former acquittal, as principal, is no bar, for it is another offense. 27 Assiz. 10. Coron. 200. 8 H. 5. 6. b. Coron. 463. Stamf. P. C. fol. 105. a.

But if A. be indicted, as accessary before the fact, he may (as it is held,) plead auterfoits acquit, as principal, because it is in effect the same offense. 2 E. 3. 26. b. Coron. 150, 282. but antiently the law was otherwise. 8 E. 2. Coron. 424.

Itinere Kant'.

If A. be indicted in the county of B. for the murder of C. and it be supposed, that the murder was committed I Martii 17 Car. and he be acquitted, and after indicted again in the same county, supposing the murder 21 Car. yet notwithstanding that variance he may plead autersoits acquit, and aver it to be the same felony, for the day is not material, and besides the death is of a person certain, who can be but once kild. 3 Assiz. 15. 25 E. 3. Coron. 136. 22 Assiz. 55.

And the same law seems to be in an indictment of robbery, tho it is possible several robberies may be committed at several days, for still it lies in averment, that it is the

fame notwithstanding the variance.

If a man be indicted for the robbery or murder of John a Stiles and acquitted, and after indicted for the robbery or murder of John a Nokes, yet he may plead auterfoits acquit, and aver it to be the same person notwithstanding the va-

riance

riance in the sirname, for a man may have divers sirnames, and he may aver, que conus per l'un nosme & l'autre. 26 Assiz. 15 Coron. 189. 11 H. 4. 41. a.

If A. be indicted in the county of B. for a robbery or other felony supposed to be done at D. in the county of B. and be acquitted, and be afterwards indicted for a robbery upon the same person in the county of B. but at another vill, yet he shall plead autersoits acquit notwithstanding the variance of the vill, and may aver it to be the same; but if he be afterwards indicted in the county of C. for a robbery supposed to be committed in the same county of C. (as it must be,) he shall never plead autersoits acquit of the same robbery in the county of B. for the justices in the county of B. can only inquire touching a felony in that county, and therefore it can never be averred to be the same, but it is said, that it is otherwise in an appeal. 4 H. 7. 5. b.

And therefore the book of 41 Assiz. 9. where an acquittal pleaded in a forein county was allowd, must be intended of an indictment removed out of that county, where he was

first indicted and acquitted.

If A. rob B. in the county of C. and carry the goods into the county of D. tho he cannot be indicted of robbery in the county of D. yet he may be indicted of larciny in the county of D. because the goods were carried thither; but suppose he be acquitted of larciny in the county of D. yet that acquittal is no bar to an indictment of robbery in the county of C. because it is another offense.

Nay it seems, it is no bar to an indictment of larciny in the county of C. for tho he be acquitted in D. it may be, because the goods were never brought into that county, and so the felony in C may not be in question, neither can the grand inquest or petit jury in the county of D take notice of any felony committed in the county of C and so the felony in C is a distinct felony from that containd in the indictment in D.

If A. commit a burglary in the county of B. and likewise at the same time steal goods out of the house, if he be indicted of larciny for the goods and acquitted, yet he may be indicted for the burglary notwithstanding the acquittal.

Vol. II. Rrr And

And è converso, if indicted for the burglary and acquitted, yet he may be indicted of the larciny, for they are several offenses, tho committed at the same time. And burglary may be where there is no larciny, and larciny may be where there is no burglary.

Thus it hath happend, that a man acquitted for stealing the horse hath yet been arraigned and convict for stealing

the faddle, tho both were done at the same time.

But if a man be acquit generally upon an indictment of murder, auterfoits acquit is a good plea to an indictment of manslaughter of the same person, or è converso, if he be indicted of manslaughter, and be acquit, he shall not be indicted for the same death, as murder, for they differ only in degree, and the fact is the same. 4 Co. Rep. 46. b. Holcroft's case per cur', and upon the same reason auterfoits acquit upon an indictment of murder is a good bar to an indictment of petit treason, and è converso.

II. As to the second what manner of acquittal is a good plea. It must be an acquittal upon trial either by verdict or

battle.

And therefore, if A. be accused and committed for felony, but no bill preferred, or ignoramus found, so that at the end of the sessions he is quit by proclamation, and deliverd, yet he may be afterwards indicted, for he is not le-

gitimo modo acquietatus.

If A. be affaulted upon the highway, or in his house by thieves or burglars to rob him, and he kill one of the thieves, which is no felony in law, and this matter be specially found by the coroner's inquest, or the grand inquest, whereupon he is discharged, yet he may be indicted de novo seven years afterward for murder or manslaughter, and cannot plead the acquittal by the grand inquest.

But if he had been indicted generally of murder or manflaughter, and plead to it not guilty, and this special matter had been found by the petit jury, and thereupon judgment given, quòd eat sine die, if he be afterwards indicted for the same fact, he may plead autersoits acquit. Crompt. fol. 28. a.

Bull's case 26 Eliz.

Therefore it is no prudence to have the matter in any case found specially by the grand inquest or coroner's inquest, tho the fact being truly found by them amounts not to selony, as in the case before; and so per infortunium, or se defendendo.

If A. be indicted for felony, and be erroniously acquit by the mistaken direction of the judge, as for that the felony was not committed the day mentiond in the indictment, yet that mistake lies not in averment, but to another indictment setting the day right he may plead autersoits acquit.

2 Co. Inst. 318.

If A. be indicted of murder or other felony, and plead non culp. and a special verdict found, and the court do erroniously adjudge it to be no felony, yet as long as that judgment stands unreverst by writ of error, if the prisoner be indicted de novo, he may plead autersoits acquit and shall be discharged: vide 9 H. 5. 2. b. for it is the king's own suit; and tho the error appear, and regularly the judgment against the king is salvo jure regis, yet it is otherwise in case of life.

But if the judgment be reverst the party may be indicted de novo; quare, whether in that case upon the reversal upon the point of the verdict the party shall not be executed, for the judge a que should have given that judgment, but it seems in favorem vita he shall be arraigned de novo, for possibly he hath other matter for his defense.

If at common law A. had committed murder, and had been arraigned within the year upon an indictment, and had been acquitted, tho this arraignment should not have been, yet it stands as a good acquittal pleadable to another indictment or appeal: vide 8 H. 5. 6. b. Coron. 463. 16 E. 4. 11. a.

A. was indicted for the murder of B. by poisoning, and the indictment runs, quòd B. sidem adhibens persuasioni dicti A. nesciens pradictum potum cum veneno fore intoxicatum recepit & bibit, per quod pradictus B. immediate post receptionem veneni pradicti obiit; but it is not alleged, quòd venenum pradictum recepit & bibit; upon this he was arraigned and acquitted, and had judgment, quòd eat sine die. Afterwards he was in-

dicted again for the same offense, and pleaded autersoits acquit, and shewd the record in certain, and pleaded over to

the felony and murder not guilty.

It was refolved, 1. That the indictment was infusficient for this cause. 2. That in this case auterfoits acquit was no plea, because the indictment itself was insufficient, for it containd not any matter of felony. 3. And so he is not legitimo modo acquietatus, and so the difference is between this case and those above of an erronious judgment, for here the foundation itself, namely the indictment containd no 4. But if the error be only in the process in an appeal or indictment, and yet the prisoner appear and plead not guilty and be acquit, this acquittal is pleadable 19 E. 3. 5. But if he had been attainted upon this infufficient indictment and judgment given, he should not have been auterfoits arraigne upon a new indictment for the same offense, unless the former judgment had been first reversed. 6. But auterfoits convict or auterfoits acquit by verdict, &c. is no plea, unless judgment be given upon the conviction or acquittal in any case, 4 Co. Rep. 44, 45. Vauxe's case.

And the true reason of this judgment is rightly given by my lord Coke P. C. 214. because the judgment upon the acquittal is only, quòd eat sine die, which may be upon the defect in the indictment, which the judges are bound to look into, and it shall be supposed, that it was given upon that defect, and not upon the verdict, for the judgment is the same in both, but the judgment upon a conviction is, quòd suspendatur, which is all the judgment that can be given.

But in the case of the special verdict above, where an erronious judgment of acquittal is given, yet it is conclusive to the king till the judgment be reversed by error, for the judgment could be only given upon the verdict, the indict-

ment being sufficient, and so is the diversity.

And note generally, that where auterfoits acquit or attaint is pleaded, yet in favorem vita he shall plead over to the felony, and be tried for the same, tho his special plea be found or adjudged against him, Vauxe's case, ubi supra, & 22 E. 4. 39. b.

III. The

III. The third general is where, and in what fuits auter-

foits acquit is a good plea.

If \vec{A} , be appeald of murder of \vec{B} , by \vec{C} , as fon and heir of B. and is acquitted, and in truth C. was not the heir, but D. and thereupon D. brings an appeal, this auterfoits acquit is no plea, because not brought by the right party. 21 H. 6. 28. b. neither is it a bar to the king, but he may be indicted notwithstanding that acquittal, or if D. be nonsuit in his new appeal, he may be arraigned upon that appeal at the king's fuit. 21 H. 6. 28. b.

If an appeal of murder or robbery be brought by A. against B. and B. is thereupon acquit by verdict, regularly this is a good bar to an indictment preferd by the king for the fame robbery or murder both at common law and at

this day.

But an acquittal by battle upon an appeal is held to be no bar to an indictment for the same offense: vide Stamf.

P. C. Lib. II. cap. 36. p. 106. b. (*)

And at common law, if A. had been arraigned upon an indictment for murder or robbery, tho within the year, if an appeal be after brought for the same crime auterfoits acquit upon the indictment had been a good bar to the appeal. 16 E. 4. 11. a.

And therefore the justices at common law would rarely arraign a prisoner upon an indictment, especially for murder within the year after the death in favour of the appeal. 22 E. 4. Coron. 44. unless the appellant had been an infant 32 H. 6. Coron. 278 & 279. or the evidence had been very pregnant. 21 H. 6. 28. b.

But now by the statute of 3 H.7. cap. 1. in case of murder or manslaughter the justices shall proceed to arraign the prisoner upon an indictment, tho within the year; and if the principal or accessary be acquitted or attainted within Vol. II.

acquit by battle, he shall go quit not only against the appellants, but also from the

^(*) The reason assigned for this by Stamford is, because trial by battle does not lie against the king, wherefore he shall not be bound by such trial, yet stamford makes a quære of this, for Bract. Lib. III. co. 19. §. 8. is express to the contrary, and says, that if he be

the year and day, yet this shall be no bar to an appeal against them, as if there had been no such acquittal, and therefore the upon the indistment the offenders be acquit within the year, the court ought not to discharge them, but at discretion to bail or commit them, till the year and day be past, vide le statute.

So that by this statute auterfoits acquit or attaint upon an indictment of murder or manslaughter is no bar of an appeal for the same death, tho on the other side auterfoits acquit or attaint upon an appeal stands still a good bar to an indictment for the same murder or manslaughter. Stamf. P. C.

ubi supra. 4 Co. Rep. 40. a. Darley's case.

But auterfoits convict of murder or manslaughter, and had his clergy upon an indictment is a good bar to an appeal notwithstanding this statute, for indeed the statute itself hath this exception, the benefit of clergy not being had, 4 Co. Rep. 45. b. Wigg's case, and this, tho an appeal were depending, whereunto the prisoner had not pleaded at the time of his acquittal. 4 Co. Rep. 45. b. Holcroft's case.

But the case of other appeals, as of robbery, rape, &c. are not within this statute, and therefore autersoits acquit upon an indistment within the year stands as at common law a good bar to an appeal of robbery, or any other of-

fense other than murder or manslaughter.

And yet at this day the judges never forbear to proceed upon an indictment of robbery, rape, or other offense, altho within the year, and the reason is, because appeals of robbery especially are very rare, and of little use since the statute of 21 H. 8. cap. 11. gives restitution to the prosecutor upon an indictment, as effectually as upon an appeal.

CHAP. XXXII.

Concerning the plea of auterfoits attaint or convict of the same felony, or any other offense.

IF A. be indicted and convict of felony, but hath neither judgment of death, nor hath prayd his clergy, this is no bar of a new indictment for the same offense, if the first were insufficient. 4 Co. Rep. 45. a. Vauxe's case, and it seems, tho it were sufficient, yet it is no bar without clergy or judgment; but if he had his clergy allowed him, autersoits convict and had his clergy is a good bar to an indictment, or an appeal for the same crime, and so remains at this day, notwithstanding the statute of 3 H. 7. cap. 1. 4 Co. Rep. 40. a. 45. b. Wigg's case.

And so it is tho he prays his clergy, and the court will advise upon it, tho the clergy be not actually allowd. (*)

4 Co. Rep. 46. a. Holcroft's case. Co. P. C. cap. 57.

Auterfoits attaint de mesme felonie, tho upon an insufficient indictment, was at common law a bar to appeals, as well as indictments of the same offense. 4 Co. Rep. 45. a. Vauxe's case, and remains so still at this day in all cases but in appeals of death, which is alterd by the statute of 3 H.7.

сар. 1.

If A. be attaint of felony by outlawry, yet, if he reverse the outlawry, he shall be put to answer the same felony, and plead to the indictment, whereof he was outlawd; but if he reverse the outlawry for this error, because he was autersoits acquit for the same felony, (which, as before is said, is assignable for error,) he shall be discharged of the indictment, for it stands as well a plea to the indictment, as an error in the outlawry.

If A. be indicted of piracy and refusing to plead hath judgment of peine fort & dure, and by the general pardon piracies are excepted, but the judgment of peine fort & dure is pardond by the general words of all contempts, quere, whether he may be arraigned for the same piracy, but by the better opinion he may be arraigned of any other piracy committed before that award. 14 Eliz. Dy. 308. a.

If A. be attaint of treason or felony by outlawry, yet he shall not be de novo indicted or appeald for the same felony till the outlawry be reversed, for auterfoits attaint of the

fame felony is a good plea. Co. P. C. 213.

Autersoits attaint de murder is a good plea to an indict-

ment of petit treason.

If A. had been indicted at common law of felony, and had judgment of death, yet he may notwithstanding his attainder be arraigned for treason committed before the felony for the advantage of the king, who is to have the escheat, but not for a treason committed after the felony. I H. 6. 5. b. Stamf. P. C. Lib. II. cap. 37. fol. 107. b. But in this my lord Coke differs from Stamford, and saith that for a treason committed after he shall be arraigned. Co. P. C. p. 213. (a.)

If A. commit divers robberies, one upon B. another afterwards upon C. and afterwards another upon D. and they bring several appeals, and he be attaint at the suit of B. yet he shall be put to answer to the appeals of C. and D. for the benefit of the restitution of their goods. Stamf. ubi supra.

And if there be an indictment and attainder at the profecution of B. yet quare, whether after at the profecution of C. he may not be put to answer an indictment at his profecution to have benefit of restitution upon the statute of 21 H. 8. cap. 11. Stamf. Lib. 3. cap. 10.

It seems in that case there may be an inquest of office to inquire of the robbery of C. so as to intitle him to restitution without arraigning the party upon the indictment of C.

If

If A. commit several felonies and be attaint for one of those felonies, and the king pardon that attainder and the felony, for which he was attaint, if he be after indicted or appeald for the same felony, he may plead his attainder, and it will be no good replication to say he was pardoned after.

But yet he may be indicted or appeald for the other felonies, and if he plead his former attainder, it is a good replication to fay he was pardoned after, whereby he is now restored to be a person able to answer to those offenses. 6 H. 4. 6. b. 10 H. 4. Coron. 227. vide contra Co. P. C. p. 213.

And so if a person attaint commit a felony after, and be pardoned the first felony and attainder, yet he shall be put

to answer the new felony. 6 H. 4. 6. b.

If A. commit several felonies and be convict for one of them, but no judgment of death nor clergy given him, he may be indicted for all those former felonies. Stamf. ubi

Supra.

But if he had been convict for any one felony, and prayd his clergy, and read and been deliverd to the ordinary, he should never be arraigned for any of those former felonies. And it seems by the better opinion, that if he had prayd his clergy, & tradito ei libro legit ut clericus, but no award of tradatur ordinario, yet he should not be arraigned for any felony committed before his clergy allowd, for it was the fault of the court, that they did not award tradatur ordinario. 4 Eliz. Dy. 211. b. Co. P. C. cap. 57.

And the reason is, because the statute of 25 E.3. cap. 5. pro clero enacts, that he shall be arraigned of all his offenses together, and then deliverd to the ordinary, and therefore if once deliverd to the ordinary, all his capital offenses committed before are in effect discharged, and therefore at least before the prisoner departs from the bar after his clergy allowd, he must be indicted, or otherwise he is for ever dis-

charged.

But for any felony committed after conviction and clergy allowd, he may be indicted and arraigned, but not if he stands attainted and unpardoned.

Vol. II. Ttt But

But at this day that old law concerning the discharge of offenses by clergy allowed is alterd.

By the statute of 8 Eliz. cap. 4. it is enacted, "That if "any person admitted to his clergy shall before such his admission have committed any offense, whereupon clergy is not allowable by the laws and statutes of this realm, and not being thereof indicted and acquitted, convicted or attainted, or pardoned shall and may be indicted or appeald for the same, and put to answer, as if no such ad-

" mission to clergy had been.

And by the statute of 18 Eliz. cap. 7. delivery to the ordinary is taken away, and burning in the hand wholly substituted in lieu thereof, and that every person admitted to his clergy shall answer such felonies or offenses, as he should have done, if he had been deliverd to the ordinary and made his purgation.

So that now clergy doth discharge all offenses precedent within clergy, but not such other offenses, as are out of the

benefit of clergy.

There remains one special kind of auterfoits acquit of another person, than he that pleads it, which I shall mention and so conclude this chapter.

The accessary upon his arraignment may plead the ac-

quittal of the principal.

A gaoler arraigned for the voluntary escape of a prifoner for felony may plead the acquittal of the felon of the principal felony, and so may the rescuer arraigned upon an indistment for rescue of a felon, and that is the reason, that the gaoler and rescuer shall never be arraigned till the principal felon be tried and convicted, because if he be acquitted, the gaoler or rescuer cannot be guilty of felony.

If A. Iteal the goods of B. and break prison, A. may be arraigned for the felony of breaking the prison before the arraignment upon the principal felony, but if A. be arraigned upon the principal felony and acquitted before conviction of the felony for breaking the prison, A. may plead this acquittal, for hereby that felony is purged before his

3

conviction, this was Mrs. Samford's case in Kent for stealing

the goods of the earl of Leicester (*).

To conclude this whole matter of auterfoits acquit, convict or attaint these things are to be observed. 1. The party that pleads the record must plead it specially setting forth the record. 2. He must either shew the record sub pede sigilli, or have the record removed into the court, where it is pleaded by certiorari, or if it be a record of the same court must vouch the term, year and roll, for the record is part 3. He must make averments, as the case shall of his plea. require, as that he is the same person, that it is the same offense. 4. No issue shall be taken upon the plea of nul tiel record, because it is pleaded in court, but the king's attorney may have over of the record. 5. The averments are issuable. 6. If issue be taken upon them, they shall be tried by the jury, that is returned to try the prisoner by the statute of 22 H. 8. cap. 14. 7. He, that pleads these pleas, must also plead over not guilty to the felony, for if the pleas be adjudged against him, yet he shall be tried upon the not guilty.

C H A P. XXXIII.

Concerning pleas to the felony, viz. Not guilty.

Regularly, where a man pleads any plea to an indictment or appeal of felony, that doth not confess the felony, he shall yet plead over to the felony in favorem vita, and that pleading over to the felony is neither a waving of his special plea, nor makes his plea insufficient for doubleness. 22 E. 4. 39. b.

And

And therefore, if he pleads any matter of fact to the writ or indictment, or pleads auterfoits convict, or auterfoits acquit he shall plead over to the felony; and altho he doth it not upon his plea, but his plea be found or tried against him, yet he shall not be thereby convict without pleading

to the felony and trial thereupon. 22 E. 4. 39. b.

But if a man plead to the jurisdiction of the court, as if an indictment of rape be found before the sheriff in his Turn and deliverd to the justices, because the sheriff hath no jurisdiction to take an indictment of rape, the prisoner may plead to it without answering to the felony, thus it was done, 22 E. 4. 22. b. which was one Wheeler's case; so if the justices of peace should arraign one for treason.

Or if a man plead a plea, that confesseth the fact, as a release in an appeal, he shall not plead over to the felony.

22 E. 4. 39. b. 9 H. 4. 1. b.

But yet even in that case it seems to me, that he may, if he please, plead over to the selony not guilty, and accordingly it is held by Markham, 7 E. 4. 15. a. in case of a release.

If A. be indicted of felony and plead the king's pardon, for instance, if the indictment be of murder, and the party plead a pardon of felonies, or the like, he shall not need to plead over to the felony, because it suits not with his plea.

And yet, if the pardon upon a demurrer of the king's attorney, or upon advisement of the court be adjudged infufficient, the party shall not be thereupon convict, but shall be put to plead to the felony and be tried for it, and yet the pleading of the pardon is a kind of confession of the fact, but yet in favorem vita the party shall be put to answer the felony (*); and thus it was done in the case of Ruttaby, (†) who was indicted for murder in Durham, and the indictment removed by certiorari into the king's bench, and there he pleaded the king's pardon of murder, which for some defects was adjudged insufficient to pardon him.

He was thereupon remanded, and the indictment remitted, and tried for the fact in *Durham*, and, as I have heard, acquitted. Hill. 1653.

ed. Hill. 1053.

And

And regularly in all cases of felony or treason, where a man pleads a special matter, tho he conclude his plea with not guilty to the felony, or do not conclude it so, yet if his plea be tried or found, or ruled against him, he shall be put to his plea of not guilty and be tried for the felony, for tho a man shall lose his land in some cases for mispleading, yet he shall not lose his life for mispleading. P. C. Lib. II. cap. 34. fol. 98. b.

And therefore the book of 14 E. 4. 7. a. that faith, if the appellee demurs, and it be judged against him, it is peremptory, and he shall be executed, must be understood cum grano salis; and therefore Brook in abridging it. B. Peremptory 86. makes a doubt of it.

But the true difference seems to be this, if a person be indicted or appeald of felony and he will demur to the appeal or indictment and it be judged against him, he shall have judgment to be hanged, for it is a confession of the indictment, and indeed a wilful confession, for he may have all the advantages of exception to the infufficiency of the indictment or appeal by way of exception either before his plea of not guilty, or after his conviction and before judgment, as he might have by demurrer, and in case of his demurrer no judgment of peine fort & dure can be given, because the demurrer is a plea, and thus the book of 14 E.4. 7. a. and 7 E. 4. 29. a. are to be understood, and accordingly 2 Co. Inst. 178. Super stat. Westm. 1. cap. 12.

But if the prisoner pleads in bar, and concludes, as he ought to the felony, or plead a pardon, where he concludes not to the felony, and the attorney general demur, and he join in demurrer, and it be adjudged against the prisoner, yet he shall be put to answer the felony, for this demurrer is no confessing of the indictment, and it is all one, as if his plea were found against him by the jury, or by certificate of the bishop, which yet is not so peremptory (a) but he shall be after tried for the felony. Stamf. P. C. Lib. II. cap. 34. fol. 98. b.

Vol. II.

If A. be indicted of murder and he hath the king's pardon of manslaughter, if he be arraigned upon the indictment for murder, he must not plead generally not guilty, for then he waves his pardon, but he must confess the indictment as to manflaughter, and plead thereunto the king's pardon, and as to the murder, viz. interfection' ex malitià pracogitata he is to plead not guilty, and if he be found guilty of murder, he shall have judgment, if acquit of the murder, then his plea shall be allowd, and thus I directed it in Sir Thomas Pettus's case in Norfolk about 24 Car. 2. and it is pursuant to the direction of the statute of 13 R. 2. cap. 1. which requires, that before the pardon allowd it shall be inquired by the country, whether the party were flain of malice prepense, and if so, the pardon to be disallowd.

Now the plea to the felony confifts of two parts, viz. 1. The iffue of not guilty, whereunto the clerk joins iffue cul. 2. The putting himself upon the country, when the

clerk demands how he will be tried.

2

If either of these fail, it is in law a standing mute, whereupon in case of felony he is put to his penance, and in case of treason he hath judgment, as upon a *nihil dicit*, and so is attainted. 14 E. 4. 7. a.

In case of an indictment of felony or treason there can be no justification made, as a man cannot plead, that what he did was se defendendo, or in his defense against a burglar

or robber, tho it amount in truth to no felony.

And the reason is, because the indictment supposeth in treason, that the fact was done proditorie & contra ligeantia fue debitum, and in felony, that the fact was done felonice, which is the point of the indictment, and must be answerd directly, but upon not guilty pleaded he shall have the advantage of all such defenses, as he can make to acquit himself of the felony or treason, and may give all his special defense in evidence, tho the matter of fact be proved upon him, and so it is the most advantageous plea for the prisoner.

If duress and compulsion from others will excuse him or his own necessary defense in safe-guard of his life, or any other matter, the jury upon the general issue ought to take notice of it, and to find their verdict accordingly, as effectually, as if it were or could be specially pleaded.

And now we have brought the prisoner to his trial, wherein we shall now proceed. And these trials of prisoners

are of two kinds, viz. by battle, or by the jury.

The former doth not concern indictments, for therein there is no trial by battle, but concerns only appeals and approvers, and I shall therefore defer the discussion of trials by battle, till I come to consider of appeals in the end of this book, and proceed to the business of trial by jury.

CHAP. XXXIV.

Touching the trial of offenders by jury, and first, the process.

A Fter the prisoner hath pleaded and put himself upon the country, the next thing in order of proceeding is the trial of the offender.

And therein these things will be necessary to be considered. 1. The process, that brings in the jury to try the prisoner. 2. The return to be made of them, and of what nature and quality they ought to be. 3. What is to be done, if they appear not, or be challenged off. 4. Concerning the challenge of the king, or of the prisoner unto them, if they do appear. 5. The trial and allowance, or disallowance of the challenge. 6. The order of the swearing of the jury. 7. The evidence to be given to the jury, what, and how, and in what manner. 8. The demeanor of the jury before and at the time of the delivering of the verdict. 9. The verdict itself, how to be given and orderd by the jury and by the court. 10. What is to be done in case of miscar-

riage of the jury either in their verdict, or the circumstances that attend it.

I. And first therefore I will consider what, and how pro-

cess is to issue to bring in the jury.

And this will be various according to those courts or judicatories, wherein the prisoner is to be tried, viz. 1. In the king's bench. 2. Before commissioners of over and terminer. 3. Before justices of gaol-delivery. 4. Before justices of peace, for these are the usual tribunals, where matters of this nature are determind.

1. Therefore, as to the king's bench.

If the offense be committed in the county, where the king's bench sits, and the indictment be originally taken in the king's bench, and the prisoner arraigned there, the court may proceed de die in diem in the term-time, and there needs not fifteen days between the teste and return of the venire fac. to bring in the jury. 9 Co. Rep. 118. b. lord Sanchar's case.

And the same law is, if the offense be committed in the same county, where the king's bench sits, and the indictment be taken before justices of peace of the same county, and removed into the king's bench by certiorari, and the prifoner be there arraigned and plead.

But if the offense be committed, and the indictment taken in another county, than where the king's bench sits, and it be removed into the king's bench by certiorari, and the prisoner be there arraigned and plead, there must be sisteen days between the teste and return of the venire fac. or other process. Lord Sanchar's case, 9 Co. Rep. ubi supra.

The venire fac. as all other process of that court, issues in the king's name under the seal of the court and teste of the chief justice, and always ought to bear teste after the issue

joined between the king and the prisoner.

2. As to the commission of over and terminer. Tho there goes out a general precept in the name of three or more of the commissioners, and under their seals sisteen days before their session directed to the sheriss to return twenty-four jurors to try the issue between the king and the pri-

ioners

foners to be arraigned, yet this is but preparatory, and to have a jury in readiness; for after the prisoners arraigned and pleaded to the country a precept ought to iffue to the sheriff in nature of a Venire facias, which may bear teste the fame day, that the prisoners plead, commanding the sheriff to return twenty-four, &c. to try the issue upon such a day, and this precept must be in the names and under the seals of the commissioners or three of them, whereof one of the quorum, 4 Co. Instit. cap. 28. p. 164. and not barely by an award upon the roll.

Or they may make their precept returnable the same day that the prisoner pleads, viz. ad horam primam post meridiem, Uc. for justices of over and terminer may take their indictment, and arraign the prisoner and try him the same day, against the opinion of 22 E. 4. Coron. 44. as appears by the precedents cited 4 Co. Instit. ubi supra, and by common expe-

rience.

If they make their precept returnable any day after, as for instance the second day of the sessions, they must not only make an adjournment, but record the adjournment, or else it will be intended returnable after their sessions, for the fessions is intended only the first day and no longer,

unless an adjournment be entred.

3. Justices of gaol-delivery, after the prisoner hath pleaded, may take his pannel from the sheriff without making any precept to him, 4 H. 5. Enquest 55. 4 Co. Instit. cap. 30. p. 168. the reason given is, because justices of gaoldelivery fend out a general commandment to the sheriff before their fession to return juries against they come, otherwise it is, where they have a special commission per Hankf.

But this is not the reason, for so it is done by justices of over and terminer and justices of peace, and yet they make

special precepts of venire fac. vide antea, cap. 4.

4. Justices of peace, as to the point of their precepts of venire fac. agree with justices of over and terminer, for they are as to this purpose commissioners of over and terminer, and may indict, arraign and try the same day in Vol. II.

cases of felony, as it is agreed 4 Co. Inst. p. 164. and usual rractice.

Now there be certain general observations touching the

process against the jury.

1. In all cases, where the process is by writ or precept, as well the award, as the writ or precept ought to mention truly the visne, from whence the jury shall come, and where it is only by award without writ or precept, as in case of the justices of gaol-delivery, the award ought to mention the visne, from whence the jury shall come.

As if a murder be supposed to be at D. the venire fac.

ought to return a jury de vicineto de D.

If the murder be alleged apud civitatem Bristol, the venire fac. is most properly de Bristol, and it is good, because a city, 7 H. 4. 13. a. Enquest 36. but if it be from a place not a city, it must be de vicineto de D.

But tho it be a city, yet the venire fac. de vicineto civitatis Bristol is good, tho it be also a county, as hath been often resolved against the opinion of Stamford, Lib. III. cap. 4. fol. 154.b.

If the stroke be laid at B. and the death at C. in the same county, the venire fac. must be de vicineto B. & C. because both make the felony.

But by the statute (a), where the stroke is in one county, and the death in another, the indictment shall be, where the death was, and the visne shall be from the place, where he is alleged to die, for necessity, because the process is not

to go into the other county.

If a murder be laid in quadam platea vocat. Kings-street in parochia Sancta Margaritæ apud civitatem Westm. the visne shall be neither from Kings-street, because it is alleged to be only platea nor de vicineto civitatis Westm. but de vicineto parochie Sancta Margarita, because more certain. 6 Co. Rep. 14. a. Arundel's case.

But if a murder be laid apud B. in parochià de C. the venire fac. shall be de vicineto de B. because more certain, for it shall be intended a vill or hamlet within a parish, and by

common

common intendment a parish may contain many vills. 11 Co.

Rep. 25. b. Harper's case.

But at this day by the statute of 22 H. 8. cap. 2. made perpetual by 32 H. 8. cap. 3. if a forein plea be pleaded in case of an indictment of felony, it shall be tried by the jury, that should try the issue of not guilty, but in case of an indictment of treason, as I have before said, that statute takes not place, but it shall be tried by a jury of that place or county, where the forein matter pleaded ariseth.

2. As to the number of the jury the venire fac. or precept is only venire fac. twelve, but the sheriff ought to re-

turn twenty-four.

But the general precept, that issues before a sessions of gaol-delivery, over and terminer, and of the peace before mentiond is to return twenty-four, and commonly the sheriff returns upon that precept forty-eight.

But the award or precept to try the prisoner after he hath pleaded is only venire fac. twelve, and twenty-four are re-

turned by the sheriff upon that pannel.

3. Touching the manner of the precept, writ, or award.

If A. B. C. and D. be indicted for one felony or murder before any justices, they may issue one venire fac. or may issue several venire fac. or precepts, or awards of that kind.

If the venire fac. be joint, then if A. challenge twenty peremptorily, or challenge for cause, the jurors challenged shall be drawn against all, for each may have his several challenge, and the like, if it were in an appeal; so that, if there were eighty upon the pannel, they may be all challenged off by their several peremptory challenges, which is a great inconvenience, and therefore in such case they antiently used to sever the prisoners, and so put them to challenge apart, whereby they may possibly hit upon the same persons. 9 E. 4. 27. b. 21 H. 6. 22. a. 22 H. 6. 4. a. therefore the best way is to make out several venire fac. and consequently, if the pannel be challenged off, yet forty tales may be granted upon each venire fac.

And if the venire fac. in an appeal be once granted jointly, it cannot be afterwards feverd, neither can there be several

tales, for if the venire fac' be joint, the tales must be joint. 27 H. 6. 5 & 6.

And it seems, that in case of an indistment, tho it be the king's suit, if once a venire fac. issue joint, there cannot issue a several venire fac. nor a several tales, which in many

cases may much delay, if not frustrate the trial.

But before justices of gaol-delivery, where there is no precept but only an award, tho at first the award be joint, and the pannel accordingly returned by the sheriff, and the prifoners challenge peremptorily severally, whereby there are not enough left upon the pannel to try them, and a tales is awarded returnable the next day, yet the court may sever the first award and also the tales. Plow. Com. 100. a. b. Salisbury's case adjudged.

It is therefore considerable, whether the difference between the cases of the old books and this be, that those were of an appeal, which is the party's suit, and this of an indistment, which is the king's suit, or rather, (as I think,) because this was in case of justices of gaol-delivery, where there is neither writ nor precept, but a command ore tenus, and when the record is made up, then an award upon the roll, which the justices may model, as they please, at any time before the trial, and requires not such strict formality as a writ. 4 H. 5. Enquest 55.

II. The second general is touching the return of the sheriff

upon the precept, and the quality of the jurors.

Upon the writ or precept, or command to the sheriff he ought to make the return, whether the place or visue be within a franchise or not, and cannot return a mandavi ballivo, as in some cases of appeals, for here the writ is for the king, and therefore with a non omittas propter aliquam libertatem.

The writ commands him to return duodecim liberos & legales homines de vicineto; they must be, 1. Freemen and regularly freeholders. 2. Legales, without any just exception. And 3. They are to be de vicineto, but this is not necessarily required, for they of one side of the county are by law de vicineto to try an offense of the other side of the county.

But

But concerning the quality of the jurors more shall be

faid, when we come to consider of challenges.

The jurors returned by the sheriff were at common law those, that were to try the prisoners, but by the statute of 3 H. 8. cap. 12. all pannels returned by sheriffs or their ministers, (which be not between party and party,) before any justices of gaol-delivery, or of the peace, whereof one of the quorum, shall be reformed by putting to, and taking out the names of the persons impannelled, by discretion of the justices, before whom such pannel shall be returned, and the pannels so reformed shall be good and lawful, and the sheriff shall return the pannel so reformed upon pain of 20 l.

This statute, which began to be set on foot 11 H.7. cap. 24. hath much reformed many practices of sheriffs in pack-

ing of juries in cases capital.

Note, tho the preamble of this statute mention inquests of inquiry, the body of the act seems to extend to all pannels, as well of the petit jury, as of the grand inquest, and so it hath been constantly practised, for if a prisoner be arraigned before the judge, that sits upon the crown-side, it hath been always usual for the judge to send for a jury to the judge of nist prius, and when the jury is brought, the sheriff returns them between the king and the prisoner, which is by virtue of this statute.

Where the jury must be de medietate lingua, and other matters relating to the quality of the jurors will be considered, when we come to consider of challenges.

III. The third general is to confider what is to be done, if the jury appear not, or be so challenged off, that there are not enough upon the pannel to try the prisoner.

If the process be in the king's bench, and the jury fill not, or be challenged off, that there are not enough to try the prisoner, there ought to issue a distring as juratores, and a command to return tales.

But if the whole jury be challenged off, then a new venire facias, and if none of the jury appear, then a distringas juratores shall issue, and no tales.

Vol. II. Y y y But

But if some of the jury appear, but not a full jury, or if so many of them, that appear, are challenged off, that there remains not a full jury, a distringus shall issue with a tales.

If a full jury appear, and before they are sworn one of them die, so that there remains not a full jury, a tales shall be granted, and so it is, if one juryman dies after he be returned and sworn. 12 H. 4. 10. a. 20 E. 4. 11. b.

If a tales issue, and they do not appear full, or be challenged off, so that those, that appear upon the principal pannel and tales make not up a full jury, another tales

inay be granted. 14 H. 7. 1. b.

In case of felony a tales may be granted of a greater number than the principal pannel in respect of challenges, so that there may be forty tales or more. 14 H.7.7. but if several succeeding tales be granted, the latter must be less in number than that which was next before, unless the array of the preceding tales be quashed, and then the number of the next may equal it. 20 H. 6. 40. a.

The times between the teste and return of the tales must be (as it seems,) as in the principal venire fac. viz. if the indictment be in a forein county and removed into the king's bench, sisteen days, if in the same county, de die in

diem.

If the indictment be before justices of over and terminer, the tales, as well as the principal pannel, ought to be by precept in the names of three of the justices, and may be made returnable de die in diem, or de horâ in horam of the same day.

And as to all other matters they refemble the proceedings in the king's bench, viz. the number, the manner,

and times of granting it, and so need not be repeated.

Before justices of gaol-delivery this learning of tales is not of much use, because there is no particular precept to the sheriff to return either jury or tales, but the general precept before the sessions and the award, or command of the court upon the plea of the prisoner. 4 H. 5. Enquest 55. Stamf. P. C. Lib. III. cap. 6. fol. 155. b.

And

And yet, vide Plow. Com. 100. a. in Salisbury's case before justices of peace and gaol-delivery, a tales granted returnable the next day.

CHAP. XXXV.

Concerning challenges, and first, of peremptory challenges.

Hallenges in respect of the parties taking them are of two kinds. 1. Challenges by the prisoner. 2. Challenges by the prisoner.

lenges by the king.

Challenges by the prisoner are of two kinds. I. Without cause shewn, which are commonly called peremptory challenges. 2. With cause shewn, which again are of two sorts. 1. Of the array. 2. To the poll.

In this chapter I shall consider peremptory challenges

what they are, and what is to be done upon them.

By the common law, if a man were outlawd of felony or treason, and brought a writ of error upon the outlawry, and assigned some error in fact, whereupon issue was joined, he should not challenge peremptorily or without cause. Stams. P. C. Lib. II. cap. 7. fol. 158. a.

The like law feems to be, if he had pleaded any forein plea in bar or in abatement, which went not to the trial of

the felony, but of some collateral matter only.

But if a man be indicted or appeald of treason or felony, and plead not guilty, or plead any other matter of fact triable by the same jury, and plead over to the felony, because his life is now at stake he might challenge peremptorily and without cause any jurors under the number of three whole juries, namely thirty-five of the jurors re-

turned,

turned, and they are to be withdrawn out of the pannel; and this was in favorem vita, Moore 12.

And if twenty men were indicted for the same offense, tho by one indicament, yet every prisoner should be allowd his peremptory challenge of thirty-five persons. 9 E. 4. 27. b.

And if there were but one venire fac. awarded to try them, the persons challenged by any one should be withdrawn against them all. 9 E. 4. 27. Plow. Com. 100. Salisbury's case.

But if he had peremptorily challenged above thirty-five persons, and insisted upon it, and would not leave his challenge, then in case of an indictment of high treason, it amounted to nihil dicit, and judgment of death should be given against him.

But in case of petit treason or felony the prisoner was antiently put to peine fort & dure, as declining the trial by law appointed, the consequence whereof was only the forfeiture of his goods, but it amounted to no attainder, and confequently no escheat of his lands; vide 14 E. 4. 7. a. Plow. Com. 262. b. and thus the practice was until the beginning of H.7. vide 17 Assiz. 6. 17 E. 3. 23. a.

But afterwards by the advice of all the judges of both benches it was refolved, that the party so peremptorily challenging above thirty-five should have judgment of death, and it amounted to an attainder, 3 H.7. 12. a. Co. P. C. 227, 228. for having pleaded to the felony, and put himself upon the country here could be no standing mute, and therefore the judges resolved on this course, as most consonant to law, to be practised in all circuits. 3 H. 7. 12. a.

But for all this the better opinion of latter times, as well as of former is, that the judgment in case of such a peremptory challenge of above thirty-five at the common law before 22 H.8. in case of felony was not an attainder but only penance according to the resolution of the judges in the time of E. 4. mentiond by Hussey 3 H.7. 12. a. Stamf. P. C. Lib. II. cap. 61. fol. 150. b. Stamf. prærogat. 46. a. Plow. Com. 262. b. per Weston.

And

And in this case the jury it seems was not to be sworn, but the judgment was given singly upon his peremptory challenge.

And yet, if a prisoner plead not guilty, and put himself upon the country, and the prisoner challenge peremptorily under three juries, viz. thirty-five, whereby the jury remains, and a tales is granted, and the jury appears, and the prisoner then stands mute, yet the jury shall pass upon him upon his plea of not guilty, which he had before pleaded. 15 E. 4. 33. b.

But by the statute of 22 H. 8. cap. 14. it is enacted,

"That no person arraigned for petit treason, murder, or felony be admitted to any peremptory challenge above

"the number of twenty, this act was continued until

" 32 H. 8. cap. 3. and then made perpetual.

By the statute of 33 H. 8. cap. 23. it is enacted, "That

" in cases of high treason, or misprission of treason, peremp-

" tory challenge shall not be allowd.

But notwithstanding these statutes, by the statute of 1 & 2 P. & M. cap. 10. enacting, "That all trials for any trea-

"fon shall be according to the due order and course of the common law," peremptory challenge of thirty-five or under is at this day allowable in cases of high treason and petit treason. Co. P. C. 227. Stamf. P. C. Lib. 3. cap. 7. fol.

158. a.

And consequently all the consequences thereof, namely the attainder of the prisoner, that peremptorily challengeth above thirty-five in an indictment of high treason or petit treason, stand as at common law.

But as to all murders and other felonies the statute of 22 H. 8. cap. 14. taking away the peremptory challenge of above twenty stands in force. Co. P. C. 227, 228.

But then suppose the prisoner in case of felony peremptorily challenges above twenty, what shall be done? shall judgment of death be given, as where he challenged above thirty-five at common law? And it should seem by the opinion of former times it should.

For the feveral statutes, that oust clergy in case of challenging above twenty, import, that by such challenge the party should be convict, otherwise clergy were needless to be ousted upon such challenge, as 25 H. 8. cap. 3. vide 11 Co.

Rep. Poulter's case 30 b. 4 & 5 P. & M. cap. 4.

But yet, if he challenge above twenty, as the law stands at this day, he shall not have judgment of death, but only his challenge shall be over-ruled, and the jurors sworn for 1. Because the statute hath made no provision to attaint the felon, if he challenge above the number of twenty. 2. Because the words of the statute of 22 H. 8. are, That he be not admitted to challenge above the number of twenty, so that, if he challenge above twenty peremptorily, his challenge shall be only disallowd. . Co. P. C. cap. 102. p. 227, 228.

. If A. be indicted and plead not guilty, the jury appears, he challengeth fix of the jury for cause, and the causes found insufficient, and the fix are sworn, and the rest of the jury challenged off, whereby, the inquest remains pro defectû juratorum, a tales granted and the jury appear, the prisoner may challenge peremptorily any of the fix, that were before challenged for cause, allowd, and sworn 32 H. 6. 26. b. 14 H. 7. 19. a. for it is possible a new cause of challenge may intervene after the former swearing. 2 R. 3. 13. a. but if a man challenge him for cause, he must shew a cause happend after the former swearing.

But if the prisoner upon the first pannel had challenged for instance fifteen peremptorily, and then the jury remains for default of jurors, and a distringas with a forty tales is granted, he shall challenge peremptorily no more than will fill up his number, viz. in case of felony at this day five more, and in case of treason or petit treason twenty more to make up his full number of twenty peremptory chal-

lenges in the first case, and thirty-five in the last.

CHAP. XXXVI.

Concerning challenges for cause in case of indictments for treason or felony.

Hallenges for cause upon indictments are of two kinds, either for the king, or for the prisoner, and each of these are again of two kinds, either to the array, or to the poll.

The king may challenge the array or the poll. 4 H.7. 3. b. Stat. 33 E. 1. Ordinatio de inquisitionibus, but then he must shew cause of challenge, but he need not shew the cause upon his challenge to the poll, till the whole pannel be perused. Stamf. P. C. Lib. III. cap. 7. fol. 162. b.

Challenges by the prisoner for cause shewn are of two kinds, viz. Either to the array or to the poll, but it is no principal challenge either to the array or poll, that the sheriff or juror is of the king's livery, but he must conclude to the favour. 3 H. 6. Challenge 17.

If an alien be indicted or appealed of felony, tho the indictment ought to be by a grand inquest of English, yet by the statute of 28 E. 3. cap. 13. the trial shall be per medictatem lingua, viz. half the jury to be of aliens, except in case of selony by Egyptians, within the statute of 1 & 2 P. & M. cap. 4.

And this statute extends to felonies, as well made after the statute of 28 E.3. as before, for the statute is general all manner of inquests.

And this statute extended to trial of aliens indicted of treason also, and so the law stood till 1 & 2 P. & M. cap. 10. which restored the common-law trial in treason, and consequently outled medietas lingua. 1 Mar. Dy. 145. a. Shireley's case. Co. P. C. p. 27.

If upon an indictment of felony against an alien he plead not guilty, and a common jury be returned, if he doth not surmise his being an alien before any of the jury sworn, he hath lost that advantage, Dy. 304. a. but if he allege, that he is an alien, he may challenge the array for that cause, and thereupon a new precept or venire facias shall issue, or an award be made of a jury de medietate lingua. 21 H. 7. 32. b. but it is more proper for him to surmise it upon his plea pleaded, and thereupon to pray it.

It seems, that upon indictments of treason or felonies, the prisoner pleading not guilty there ought at common law to be four hundreders returned: vide Stat. 33 H.8. cap. 23. that ousled challenge for shire or hundred in cases of treason, but that statute as to treason was altered by 1 & 2 P.

& M. cap. 10.

But the statute of 35 H. 8. cap. 6. requiring six hundreders, and that of 27 Eliz. cap. 6. requiring only two hundreders in personal actions extend not to trials upon indictments of treason or felony.

Yet I never knew any challenge for default of hundreders upon a trial of an indictment for felony or treason.

Challenges to the poll for cause are many, as in other cases, which I shall not mention at large, because they are all gatherd up by my lord Coke super Lit. § 234. but shall only mention such, as more specially belong to capital causes.

By the statute of 33 H. 8. cap. 12. for treason or felony committed in the king's house and tried before the lord steward all challenge except for malice is taken away. By the statute of 25 E. 3. cap. 3. it is enacted, "That no in-"dicter be put in inquest against the party indicted, if he be challenged for that cause.

By the statute of 2 H. 5. cap. 3. no man is to be admitted in any inquest upon the trial of the death of a man (a), unless he have lands or tenements of the value of

(a) That is to fay in capital causes: the freehold, for by the common law it This statute was introductive of a new law only with respect to the quantum of freeholder, so that, tho this statute be repealed.

40 s. per ann. above all charges, if he be challenged: And by the construction of this statute, 1. It must be land of that value in the same county 9 H. 7. 1. b. Again 2. He must not only be seised thereof at the time of the pannel made, but also at the time that he comes to be sworn, otherwise he may be challenged 12 H. 7. 4. a.

And altho the statute of 27 Eliz. cap. 6. hath raised it to 41. per annum, yet that extends only to issues joined in the king's bench, common pleas, exchequer, and justices of assise, so that it reacheth not to trials of felons before justices of gaol-delivery, over and terminer, or of the peace, but these trials stand as they did by the statute of 2 H. 5. as to the value of jurors, vide stat. 33 H. 8. cap. 23.

But yet by some subsequent statutes the value of jurors freehold in cases of trial of felony is changed.

Vol. II.

peald by the general words of 1 & 2 P. Ef M. cap. 10. as to treason, yet some freehold was still necessary, and so it was allowed in Fitzharris's case by Pemberton C. J. See Stat. Tr. Vol. III. p. 263. notwithstanding it was ruled otherwise in the case of lord Russel by the same judge, Stat. Tr. Vol. III. p. 634. and in the case of Col. Sidney. Ibid. p. 736. which last resolutions were declared to be illegal by several acts of parliament. See 1 W. & M. Seff. 2. cap. 2. 7 W. 3. cap. 3. See also Sir John Hawles's remarks on those trials. Štat. Tr. Vol. IV. p. 169, & p. 189. By 4 & 5 W. & M. cap. 24. continued by 10 Ann. cap. 14. & 9 Geo. 1. cap. 8. 'tis not sufficient, that a juror be a freeholder, but he must also have within the same county freehold or copyhold lands to the clear yearly value of ten pounds, and tho this statute seems principally to regard counties at large, yet it hath been allowd to extend to trials in London for high treason. Francia's case. Stat. Tr. Vol. VI. p. 58. and Layer's case Stat. Tr. Vol. VI. p. 245. See the statutes of 3 Geo. 2. cap. 25. & 4 Geo. 2. cap. 7. made perpetual by 6 Geo. 2. cap. 37. whereby it is provided, "That all "leaseholders upon leases for the term " of 500 years or more, or for 99 years, " or any other term determinable upon " one or more lives of an estate in pos-

4 A By

" fession in land in their own right of the yearly value of twenty pounds or " upwards over and above the referved rent payable thereout, (or in the county of *Middlesex* upon any leases, " where the improved rents or value a-" mount to fifty pounds or upwards per " annum over and above all ground " rents or other refervations) may be furmoned or impannelled to ferve on " juries in like manner as freeholders, " &c. And that the sheriffs of London " shall not impannel or return any per-" fon to try any issue in King's bench,
" Common Pleas, and Exchequer, or to " ferve on any jury at the sessions of over and terminer, gaol-delivery, or sessions of the peace, but such who " shall be an housholder within the " faid city, and have real or personal " estate to the value of one hundred " pounds, and that no person shall be impanneld or returned to serve on any "jury for the trial of any capital of-fense, who shall not be qualified to ferve as a juror in civil causes; and the fame matter and cause alleged by way of challenge and fo found shall be ad-" mitted as a principal challenge, and " the person so challenged may be ex-" amined on oath as to the truth of the " faid matter.

By the statute of & H. 6. cap. ultimo upon a trial per medietatem linguæ aliens need not have 40 s. per ann. so defectus annui censûs is no challenge as to the aliens, but still it remains a good challenge as to the other half of the jury, that are denizens. Stamf. P. C. fol. 160. b.

By the statute 23 H. 8. cap. 13. upon trials of felony or murder in cities or boroughs a citizen or burgher worth 401. personal estate may pass, tho he have no freehold, but knights or esquires living there are not within this provision.

The statute of 33 H. 6. cap. 2. concerning indictments of

persons living in Lancashire refers not to trials.

By the statute 11 H. 6. cap. 1. a challenge is allowed of any person living in the stews of Southwark, the he be of sufficient freehold.

When a prisoner challengeth for cause he ought to shew his cause presently (b), because it is the king's suit, 1 H. 5. 10. b. 38 Assiz. 22. (c) but some books are, that he shall not shew cause till the pannel be perused 6 R. 2. Challenge 105. but he must shew all his causes together per 24 Eliz. C. B. Bracket's case.

If in a trial upon an indictment of felony eleven be sworn, and the twelfth challenged, whereby the inquest remains for default of jurors, and a distring as with a tales issue, and the jurors appear, ruled 1. The king shall not challenge any of the eleven sworn, unless it be for a cause happened since their swearing; if it happen before, tho not known till after, it shall not be allowd. 2. That the eleven, that were last sworn, shall not be now first sworn, but they shall be called, as they happen in the pannel. M. 43 & 44 Fliz. B. R. Wharton's case, Telv. 23.

And the same law is for the challenge of the prisoner for cause, but he may challenge them peremptorily notwithstanding they were formerly sworn, as before is shewn, p. 270.

Touching the trial of a challenge for cause made to the poll, vide Co. Lit. p. 158. a. If a juror be challenged before

⁽b) Mo. 846. Luke and Clerk. (c) See Challenge 128.

any juror sworn, two triers shall be appointed by the court, and if he be found indifferent and sworn, he and the two triers shall try the next challenge, and if he be tried indifferent, then the two first triers shall be discharged, and the two jurors tried indifferent shall try the rest.

If the plaintiff challenge ten and the prisoner one, then he that remains shall have added to him one chosen by the plaintiff, and another by the prisoner, and they three shall try the challenge. If six be sworn, and the rest challenged the court may assign any two of the six sworn to try the

challenges.

If the array be challenged, it lies in the discretion of the court how it shall be tried, sometimes it is done by two attornies, sometimes by the two coroners, and sometimes by two of the jury with this difference, that if the challenge be for kindred in the sheriff, it is most fit to be tried by two of the jurors returned; if the challenge sound in savour of partiality, then by any other two assigned thereunto by the court. 29 Eliz. C. B. Lester's case, Trin. 21 Jac. B. R. Loyd and Williams (e).

But all this learning touching challenges to the poll, whether peremptory or for cause, is intended of trials by ordinary juries, not of trial by peers, for there no challenge is allowable, for they are not only triers of the sact but in some respects judges. P. 7 Car. 1. Casus comitis Castlehaven, (f), but of this more hereafter.

(e) 2 Rol. Rep. 363.

(f) Stat. Tr. Vol. I. p. 366.

C H A P. XXXVII.

Concerning evidence and witnesses.

Aving gone through those things, that are previous and preparatory to the trial, I come now to consider the trial it felf by jury, and the things concomitant with it, and first concerning the evidence to be given to prove the pri-

ioner guilty.

To give a full account of evidence of this kind there will be these things examinable. 1. The quality and qualifications of witnesses. 2. The manner of their testimony, what upon oath, and what without oath. 3. Those evidences and examinations, that are in writing, what, and when allowable, and what not. 4. The things tellified, and therein of prefumptions and prefumptive evidences by the common law, and by acts of parliament. 5. What variance between the evidence and indictment maintains the indictment.

I. Concerning the quality and competency of witnesses

to be produced.

It is to be observed, that there be many circumstances that disable a juror or are sufficient causes of exceptions or challenges of him, that are not allowable exceptions against a witness.

The exception of kindred is a good cause of challenge against a juror, but not against a witness, therefore the father may be a competent witness for or against his son, or è converso, the master for his servant or è converso. These and the like exceptions may be to the credit or credibility of a witness, but are not exceptions against his competency.

For that I may observe it once for all, the exceptions to a witness are of two kinds. 1. Exceptions to the credit of the witness, which do not at all disable him from being fworn, but yet may blemish the credibility of his testimony,

and in such case the witness is to be allowd, but the credit of his testimony is left to the jury, who are judges of the sact, and likewise of the probability or improbability, credibility or incredibility of the witness and his testimony, and these exceptions are of that great variety and multiplicity, that they cannot easily be reduced under rules or instances.

2. Exceptions to the competency of the witness, which do exclude him from giving his testimony, and of these exceptions the court is the judge, and of these latter kind of exceptions I am here to treat.

If a person be outlawd in a personal action, it is a good cause of challenge against him as a juror, but yet he shall be sworn as a witness notwithstanding his outlawry. Co. super Lit. §. 1. fol. 6. b.

The common incapacities or incompetencies of witnesses are reckoned up by my lord Coke ubi supra, viz. 1. If he be attaint of giving a false verdict. 2. Or attaint of a conspiracy at the king's fuit, for then he is to have a villainous judgment and amittere liberam legem, otherwise it is if he be only attaint at the suit of the party: vide 24 E. 3. 73. b. 43 E. 3. 33. b. 4 H. 5. Judgment 220. 46 Assiz. 11. 27 Assiz. 59. 3. If he be convict of perjury. 4. Convict of a 5. Convict of forgery upon the statute of 5 Eliz. cap. 14. but [not] a conviction upon the statute of 1 H.5. 6. If he be convict of felony (a). And therefore it should feem, that an approver shall not be sworn as a witness, if the appellee plead to the country, but only his general oath, that he taketh at the time of his becoming an approver, shall be taken, quod tamen quare, for this case differs from the testimony of a person convict, for the approver accuseth himself as well as the appellee. judgment he hath lost his ears. 8. Or by judgment stood 9. Or tumbrel. Co. P. C. 219. for they upon the pillory. 10. Or been branded, stigmaticus. are thereby infamous. 11. Or being a champion in a writ of right becomes recreant Vol. II. 4 B

⁽a) See Dangerfield's case in the trial Cellier, Stat. Tr. Vol. III. p. 35. Raym. of lord Castlemain, Stat. Tr. Vol. III. p. 369.
42. Raym. 379. and the trial of Eliz.

or coward, for these render a person infamous, so that he loseth liberam legem.

But yet in these exceptions these things are to be observed. I. That he that allegeth this exception ought to shew forth a copy of the record attested or vouch the roll in court. 2. That if the king pardon these offenders, they are thereby renderd competent witnesses, tho their credit is to be still lest to the jury, for the king's pardon takes away panam & culpam in foro humano, M. 12 Jac. B. R. Cuddington & Wilkins (b): but yet it makes not the man always an honest man, and therefore he shall not be a juryman II H. 4. 41. but yet may be a witness against the opinion of my lord Coke in Crasham's case, M. II Jac. B. R. Bulstrode 154. quod vide.

If a man be convict of felony, and prays his clergy, and is burnt in the hand, he is now a competent witness, for by the statute of 18 Eliz. cap. 7. it countervails a purgation and a pardon, and he is thereby enabled afterwards to ac-

quire goods. Hob. 288. Searle and Williams.

And so it is if he be in orders, whereby burning in the hand is discharged by the statute of 4 H. 7. cap. 13. Hob. ubi supra.

And so it is if the burning in the hand be pardoned, *Hob.* ibid. or if he prays his clergy, tho the court do respit his

reading, quære, vide Holcroft's case, 4 Co. Rep. 46. a.

There are certain other matters, that render a man incompetent to be a witness, tho they are not such as render him infamous by judgment or award in any of the king's courts.

I. Some are disabled in regard of defect of intellectuals: A person of non sane memory cannot be a witness, while he is under that infanity, but if he have lucida intervalla, then during the time he hath understanding he may be a witness. Co. Lit. ubi supra. But it is a difficulty scarcely to be cleared, what is the minimum, quod sic disables the party.

If an infant be of the age of fourteen years, he is as to this purpose of the age of discretion to be sworn as a witness, but if under that age, yet if it appear, that he hath a

competent discretion, he may be sworn.

But in many cases an infant of tender years may be examined without oath, where the exigence of the case requires it, as in case of rape, buggery, witchcraft, de quibus vide qua supra, Part I. cap. 24. p. 302. & cap. 58. p. 634. & infra, p. 283.

2. It is faid by my lord Coke ubi supra, that an infidel is not to be admitted as a witness, the consequence whereof would also be, that a Jew, (who only owns the old testa-

ment,) could not be a witness.

But I take it, that altho the regular oath, as it is allowed by the laws of England, is tactis facrofanctis Dei evangeliis, which supposeth a man to be a christian, yet in cases of necessity, as in forein contracts between merchant and merchant, which are many times transacted by Fewish brokers, the testimony of a Few tacto libro legis Mosaica is not to be rejected, and is used, as I have been informed, among all nations.

Yea, the oaths of idolatrous infidels have been admitted in the municipal laws of many kingdoms, especially si juraverit per verum Deum creatorem, and special laws are instituted in Spain touching the form of the oaths of infidels. Vide Co-

varruviam, Tom. I. Part. 1. de juramenti forma (c).

And it were a very hard case, if a murder committed here in *England* in presence only of a *Turk* or a *Jew*, that owns not the christian religion, should be dispunishable, because such an oath should not be taken, which the witness holds binding, and cannot swear otherwise, and possibly might think himself under no obligation, if sworn according to the usual style of the courts of *England*.

But then it must be agreed, that the credit of such a te-

stimony must be left to the jury.

3. Some regularly are disabled in respect of the civil unity of their persons, as the husband regularly is not allowed to be a witness for or against the wife, or è converso; but vide touching this also at large Part I. cap. 24. in sine & ibid. cap. 64. p. 693. Super statut. I Fac. cap. 11.

4. Some are disabled to be witnesses in respect, that they

are concerned in interest.

Historia Placitorum Coronæ. 280

And therefore a party to an usurious contract, if the money be unpaid, shall not be received as a witness to prove the usury, because he avoids thereby his own security, but otherwise it is, if the money be already paid, and the fecurity taken up, for then he is allowable to be a witness for the king (d).

A. wounds B. for which he is indicted, yet B. may be a witness for the king: but this shall be no evidence in an action brought by B. for the affault, tho A. be convict at

the king's fuit.

If a reward be promifed to a person for giving his evidence before he gives it, this, if proved, disables his testimony.

And so for my own part I have always thought, that if a person have a promise of a pardon, if he give evidence against one of his own confederates, this disables his testimony, if it be proved upon him (e).

Yet in some cases a consequential benefit to the witness doth not disable his testimony, tho it may abate the credit

of his testimony.

A. B. and C. are severally indicted for perjury in proving a bond, A. traverseth the indictment, B. and C. tho indicted for the same offense, yet not being convicted may be witnesses for A. to prove the bond sealed. P. 19 Car. 1. B. R. Rot. 2. adjudged in the case of Billmore, Gray and Harbin, and accordingly ruled P. 40 Eliz. C. B. Gunston and Downes (f) in three actions feverally brought against three persons for perjury in Chancery in one and the same point, for the other two are not immediately concerned in this trial, tho confequentially they are concerned, the point being the same.

If A. bring an action upon the statute of Winton against the hundred, none that live or have land in the hundred shall be admitted to give evidence for the hundred. M. 1650.

Bennet versus Hundred de Hertford (g).

Yet

⁽d) Co. Lit. 6. b.

⁽e) However the contrary opinion hath prevailed, fee Tong's cafe, Kel.

18. and Layer's case Stat. Tr. Vol. VI.

p. 257. but most certainly it is a great objection to the credibility, if not to the for by that statute, "Any person inhabi-

competency of the witness, vide supra,

Yet if a person be taken and indicted for the robbery, they of the hundred may be admitted to prove the defendant guilty of the robbery, and that he was taken upon their pursuit, tho this doth consequentially discharge the hundred upon the statute of Winton, & 27 Eliz. cap. 13.

A. brings an action against B. wherein C. is produced as a witness for A. and A. recovers upon his testimony, C. is thereupon indicted of perjury contra formam statuti * ad grave dampnum ipfius B. C. pleads not guilty, ruled that B. shall not be received to give evidence against C. because he is the party grieved, and shall recover 201. M. 1650. B. R. Bacon's case, 2 Rol. Abr. 685. pl. 4. and yet it seems he shall not recover the 201. upon the indictment, but must bring his action upon the statute; and yet constant experience, and the very statute of 21 H. 8. cap. 11. that gives restitution of goods to the party profecuting an indictment of felony makes it evident, that he may be, and indeed ought to be the witness to convict the felon, tho thereupon he is to have restitution of the goods stolen.

If the tenant robs his lord, or the lessee for life the reverfioner, or a refiant the lord of the franchise, that hath bona felonum, these may be witnesses upon an indictment or trial of the felon, notwithstanding the consequential advantage, that accrueth by the attainder or conviction of the party, yet the credibility of their testimony is to be left to the jury. But if A. hath a promise or grant of the goods of B. arrested of feloify, in case he be convict, I should never allow A to be a witness to convict B. for he by his own act after the felony committed acquires the interest, and so acts and swears for his own advantage.

A. brings an appeal against B. for the death of C. his father or her husband, A. cannot be a witness against B. upon not guilty pleaded, because it is his or her own suit.

Vol." II.

4 C

But

[&]quot;ting within the hundred or any fran"chile thereof shall be admitted as a
"witness on behalf of the hundred in

[&]quot; the fame manner, as if he were not an

[&]quot; inhabitant of that hundred, but resi-" ded in any other hundred whatsoever. (*) Viz. 5 Eliz. cap. 9.

But if A. be nonsuit upon the appeal, and so the prisoner is arraigned upon the appeal at the king's suit, now A. may be a witness, because now the prosecution is merely for the king.

If a man be indicted of high treason, the king cannot by his great seal or ore tenus give evidence, that he is guilty, for then he should give evidence in his own cause; vide supra, cap. 28. p. 217. & Part I. cap. 26. p. 344. the case of

the earl of Lancaster.

Nay, altho he may in person sit on the king's bench, yet he cannot pronounce judgment in case of treason, but it is personned by the senior judge, for as he cannot be a witness,

so he cannot be a judge in propria causa.

in some cases the king's testimony under his great seal is allowable, as in an essoin de servitio regis, the warrant under the great seal (h) is a good testimonial of it. F. N. B. 17.

Stat. Glouc, cap. 8.1

Now as touching the compulsory means to bring in witnesses they are of two kinds. It. By process of subpana is sued, in the king's name by the justices of peace, over and terminer, good-delivery, or king's bench, where the plea of not guilty is to be tried. 2. Which is the more ordinary and more effectual means, the justices or coroner, that take the examination of the person accused, and the information of the witnesses, may at that time or at any time after, and before the trial bind over the witnesses to appear at the sessions, and in case of their resusal either to come or to be bound over, may commit them for their contempt in such resusal, and this is virtually included within their commission and by necessary consequences upon the statute of 1 & 2. P. & M. cap, 13. whereof before, p. 52.

But that, which is a great-defect in this part of judicial administration, is that there is no power to allow witnesses their charges, whereby many times poor persons grow weary of attendance, or bear their own charges therein to their

great hindrance and loss.

II. As

⁽b) But not under the privy feal. 2 Co. Inft. 314. Super stat. Glocester.

- II. As to the second matter in what manner the evidence

is to be given.

Regularly the evidence for the prisoner in cases capital is given without oath, tho the reason thereof is not manifest, (i) but [otherwise it is] in all cases not capital, tho it be misprission of treason: neither is counsel allowd him (k) to give evidence to the fact, nor in any case, unless mat-

ter of law doth arise. 1 H.7. 23. Co. P. C. p. 137.

But in some special felonies by act of parliament the prifoner's witnesses in cases capital shall be examind upon oath at his trial, namely the statute of 31 Eliz. cap. 4. against imbezzelling of the king's ordnance, giving liberty to the prisoner to make lawful proof by witness or otherwise, feems virtually to allow the prisoner's testimony upon oath. Co. P. C. cap. 22. p. 79.

And the statute of 4 Jac. cap. 1. touching felonies upon the borders, &c. gives examination of the prisoner's wit-

nesles upon oath.

If a witness be produced and sworn for the king, yet if that witness allege any matter in his evidence, that is for the prisoner's advantage, (as many times they do,) that stands as a testimony upon oath for the prisoner, as well as for the king.

Regularly the king's evidence is given upon oath against the prisoner, and ought not to be admitted otherwise than upon oath; nay, instances have been given of very young

(i) Nay, it is manifestly against all "very person indicted for high treason, reason, that the prisoner should not be "whereby corruption of blood may be allowed the same liberty to make out his imported, as is allowed to prove his guilt, and tho it has been an usual practice not statute being defective it is surther protos suffer witnesses for the prisoner in cavided by 1 Ann. cap. 9. "That the witnesses to be examined upon oath, "nesses for the prisoner in any trial for treason or felony shall give their evithere is not so much as scintilla juris "dence upon oath in like manner, as the sort it being unsupported by any act of parliament, antient author, book case, or "victed of persurv shall be subject to the parliament, antient author, book case, or record: See Sir John Hawles's remarks on Collège's trial. State Tr. Vol. IV. p.: (k) Upon an indistment, but it is o- tys. To remedy this inconvenience it was provided by 7 W. cap. 3. "That e- 2. a. 1 H. 7. 26. a.

"dence upon oath in like manner, as the "witnesses for the crown, and if con"victed of perjury shall be subject to the

witnesses sworn upon evidence in capital causes, viz. one of nine years old. Dalton's Justice, cap. 111. p. 297. (1).

Yet such very young people under twelve years old I have not known examind upon oath, but sometimes the court for their information have heard their testimony without oath, which possibly being fortified with concurrent evidences may be of some weight, as in cases of rape, buggery, witchcraft, and such crimes, which are practised upon children: vide supra, Part I. cap. 24. p. 302 & cap. 58. p. 634. & supra, p. 279.

CHAP. XXXVIII.

Concerning evidence in writing.

BY the statute of 1 & 2 P. & M. cap. 13. and 2 & 3 P. & M. cap. 10. Justices of peace and coroners have power to take examinations of the party accused, and informations of the accusers and witnesses, (the examinations to be without oath, the informations to be upon oath,) and are to put the same in writing, and are to certify the same to the next gaol-delivery.

These examinations and informations thus taken and returned may be read in evidence against the prisoner, if the informer be dead, or so sick, that he is not able to travel, and oath thereof made; otherwise not.

But then, 1. Oath must be made either by the justice or coroner, that took them, or the clerk that wrote them, that they are the true substance of what the informer gave in upon oath, and what the prisoner confessed upon his examination. 2. As to the examination of the prisoner, it must be testified, that he did it freely without any menace, or undue terror imposed upon him; for I have often known the prisoner disown his confession upon his examination, and

hath

hath sometimes been acquitted against such his confession; and the reason why these examinations and informations are allowable in evidence (under the cautions above premised,) is, because they are judges of record, and the informations before them upon oath are authorised and required by act of parliament, and they are judges of the crimes upon which the informations are taken.

Wellh forceably took away Mrs. Puckring and married her, and thereupon a temporary act of parliament was obtained, enabling commissioners therein named to hear and determine that marriage, and to dissolve it, if there were cause: In that cause Mrs. Puckring her self was examind touching the manner of the marriage, as a supplemental proof, and died hanging the fuit, Welsh was after indicted upon the statute of 3 H.7. for this fact for felony, and it was moved, that this examination of Mrs. Puckring might be read in evidence against the prisoner, but it was denied. it was a proceeding according to the civil law in a civil cause. 2. Because that suit was originally at the instance of Mrs. Puckring and her own cause, and tho she be according to the civil law examinable, as a supplemental proof, yet it was a cause for her own interest, and therefore at common law not allowable, tho the commissioners, that took the examination were judges constituted by that, which then was allowd to be an act of parliament. M. 1652. B.R.

A. commits a felony in the county of B. and flies into the county of C. and there is taken and brought before a juffice of peace of the county of C. where A. is examind, and informations upon oath taken by that justice, tho the justice of peace of the county of C. had not an original cognifance of a felony committed in the county of B. yet these examinations and informations being transmitted into the county of B. where A. is indicted, may be read in evidence against him. Dalt. Just. cap. 111. p. 299. for the hath not an original jurisdiction of the cause, yet he hath a confequential jurisdiction thereof, having the party before him, and it is in order to the preservation of the peace.

If a justice of peace take informations in a case of high treason, it seems these cannot be read in evidence upon an indictment of treason, because high treason is not within that commission, but it is of use only, as an information upon oath, which they may take, tho they cannot proceed upon it, for all treason is a breach of the peace; quere tamen, if it be not allowable to be given in evidence.

C H A P. XXXIX.

Concerning evidences requisite, or allowd by acts of parliament, and presumptive evidence.

BY the statutes of 1 E. 6. cap 12. 5 E. 6. cap. 12. there ought to be two witnesses to an indistment of high treason, and these witnesses are to be sworn before the jury also upon his trial, unless he willingly without violence confess the same.

These two witnesses are still required upon his indictment, and it is not altered by the statute of 1 & 2 P. & M. cap. 10. which restores the common law trial, but extends not to the indictment. Co. P. C. cap. 2. p. 25. vide supra, Part I. p. 298.

A confession upon examination before a competent judge before indictment is such a confession, as the statute allows. Co. P. C. ubi supra, and so it was agreed in the case of Tonge and others 14 Car. 2. (a).

If one witness be positive, and the other witness is only by hearsay, these are not two lawful accusers within the statute, agreed by all the justices in the lord Lumley's case Hill.

1 4 Eliz. cited, Co. P. C. ubi supra against the opinion in Dy. 99. b. Thomas's case; but two witnesses are not requisite either upon the indictment or trial of treasons for counterfeiting money by the express proviso of the statute of 1 & 2 P. & M. cap. 11. which directs, that in all treasons for counterfeiting or impairing of coin the offenders shall be indicted, arraigned, tried, convicted and attaint by fuch evidence, and in fuch manner as was used before. I E. 6.

The words of the statute 5 & 6 E. 6. cap. 11. are; "That no person shall be indicted, convicted, or attaint for " any the treasons aforesaid, or for any other treasons, that " now be, or hereafter shall be, which shall hereafter be " perpetrated, committed or done, unless the same offen-" der be thereof accused by two lawful accusers, &c." It may be considerable, whether this act extends to treasons de novo made by act of parliament after 5 & 6 E. 6. (b).

If fuch new treasons be enacted after, as that of 5 Eliz. cap. 11. and 18 Eliz. cap. 1. concerning clipping and washing of coin, and also 1 Mar. cap. 6. which have this expression (being thereof lawfully convict or attaint, according to the due order and course of the laws of this realm shall suffer death, Uc.) there seems to be no necessity of two witnesses upon the indictment or trial. 1. Because according to the due order and course of the laws seems to intend common law (c). 2. But if there were doubt of that, yet in these acts concerning coin the statute of 1 & 2 P. & M. cap. 11. enacts; "That all offenses concerning counterfeiting, forging, or " impairing any coin current within the realm, shall be in-" dicted, arraigned, tried, convict and attaint by fuch evi-" dence, and in fuch manner, as hath been used before the " first year of E. 6." therefore, if the statute of E. 6. should be construed to refer to any future statute making treason, there will be the same reason to carry over the statute of i U 2 P. U M. cap. 11. to the treasons enacted against impairing of coin by 5 & 18 Eliz.

But

⁽b) See Kel. 9, 18, 49. vide Part I. common law, fince the laws in the plural

p. 297.

(c) I cannot see why these general most naturally to include all the laws of words should be confined only to the land, whether common or statute.

But yet, as to other treasons, it may be very questionable, whether 5 & 6 E. 6. doth as to this point extend to treasons newly enacted after, 1. Because tho a former act may direct the proceedings upon a new offense made after, (as the statutes of 18 Eliz. cap. 5. 31 Eliz. cap. 5. concerning informers, 21 Fac. cap. 4. concerning fuing informations in the proper county, and pleading the general iffue,) yet this doth not in terminis extend to offenses to be committed against statutes to be made, but only in all other treasons hereafter to be committed (d). 2. Because most commonly in the acts, that after 5 & 6 E. 6. enacted new treasons, if the parliament intended two lawful witnesses, it most commonly expresses it accordingly; quare, for 1 & 2 P. & M. cap. 11. seems to import, that in new treafons concerning counterfeiting forein coin made current by proclamation, there would have been a necessity of two witnesses by the statute of 5 & 6 E. 6. and therefore provides against it.

By the statute of 21 Jac. cap. 27. the mother of a bastard child concealing its death shall suffer as in murder, unless she prove by one witness, that the child was born dead; this statute stands yet continued among many others by a clause in the latter end of the act for relief of the northern army. 16 Car. 1. cap. 4. (*) until by parliament it be otherwise enacted.

The indictment to put the prisoner to this proof by one witness, that the child was dead born, must contain this special matter, that the prisoner was deliverd of a child, which by the laws of the kingdom was a bastard, and that it was born alive, and shew how she kild it.

1

But

(*) Vide 3 Car. 1. cap. 5. §. 22. in fine.

⁽d) The statute of 5 & 6 E. 6. seems expressly & in terminis to extend to treasons, which should be afterwards enacted; what else can be the meaning of the words, any other treasons, that now be, or hereaster shall be? for these words cannot reasonably be intended only of offenses hereaster to be committed, because that is provided for by the other words immediately following, which shall here-states.

after be perpetrated, committed or done: but to obviate all doubts, it is fince provided by 7 W. 3. cap. 3. "That in all camer fes of high treason, whereby any corruption of blood shall ensue, no person shall be indicted, tried or attainted, but upon the oaths of two lawful witnesses.

But the indictment need not allege, that she conceald it, but it must be proved upon evidence (d), if advantage be taken of this statute against her.

The indictment doth not conclude contra formam statuti; for the statute only directs the evidence, where the case is

within it, but created not a new crime (e).

If there be no concealment proved, yet it is left to the jury to inquire, whether she murderd it or not, by those circumstances, that occur in the case, as if it be wounded or hurt, &c. but it doth not put her upon an absolute necessity of proving it born alive by one witness, and so the evidence stands but as at common law.

If upon the view of the child it be testified by one witness by apparent probabilities, that the child was not come to its debitum partûs tempus, as if it have no hair or nails, or other circumstances, this I have always taken to be a proof by one witness, that the child was born dead, so as to leave it nevertheless to the jury, as upon a common law evidence, whether she were guilty of the death of it or not.

In some cases presumptive evidences go far to prove a person guilty, tho there be no express proof of the fact to be committed by him, but then it must be very warily presented, for it is better five guilty persons should escape unpu-

nished, than one innocent person should die.

If a horse be stolen from A. and the same day B. be found upon him, it is a strong presumption that B. stole him, yet I do remember before a very learned and wary judge in such an instance B. was condemned and executed at Oxford assistes, and yet within two assists after C. being apprehended for another robbery and convicted, upon his judgment and execution confessed he was the man, that stole the horse, and being closely pursued desired B. a stranger to walk his horse for him, while he turned asside upon a necessary occasion and escaped; and B. was apprehended with the horse, and died innocently.

Vol. II. 4 E I would

⁽d) If no intent to conceal, it is not murder within the statute, tho no body Kel. 33.

(e) See Ann Davis's case, Kel. 32.

290 Historia Placitorum Coronæ.

I would never convict any person for stealing the goods cujusdam ignoti merely because he would not give an account how he came by them, unless there were due proof made, that a felony was committed of these goods.

I would never convict any person of murder or manusular, unless the fact were proved to be done, or at least the body found dead (f), for the sake of two cases, one mentioned in my lord Coke's P.C. cap. 104. p. 232. a War-

wickshire case (g).

Another that happend in my remembrance in Staffordsbire, where A. was long missing, and upon strong presumptions B. was supposed to have murderd him, and to have consumed him to ashes in an oven, that he should not be
found, whereupon B. was indicted of murder, and convict
and executed, and within one year after A. returned, being
indeed sent beyond sea by B. against his will, and so, tho B.
justly deserved death, yet he was really not guilty of that
offense, for which he sufferd.

But of all difficulties in evidence there are two forts of crimes, that give the greatest difficulty, namely rapes and witchcraft, wherein many times persons are really guilty, yet such an evidence, as is satisfactory to prove it, can hardly be found; and on the other side persons really innocent may be entangled under such presumptions, that many times carry great probabilities of guilt. Tutius semper est errare in acquietando quàm in puniendo, ex parte misericordia, quàm ex parte justitia.

С н а Р.

(f) This was also a rule in the civil law. Dig. Lib. XXIX. Tit. 5. 9. 24.

(g) That case was thus, An uncle, who had the bringing up of his niece, to whom he was heir at law, correcting her for some offense, she was heard to say, Good uncle do not kill me, after which time the child could not be found, whereupon the uncle was committed upon suspicion of murder, and admonished by the justices of assist to find out the child by the next affises, against which

time he could not find her, but brought another child as like her in person and years as he could find and appareld her like the true child, but on examination she was found not to be the true child; upon these presumptions he was found guilty and executed; but the truth was, the child being beaten ran away, and was received by a stranger, and afterwards, when she came of age to have her land, came and demanded it, and was directly proved to be the true child.

CHAP. XL.

Concerning variance between the indictment and evidence, and where the evidence proves the indictment, and where not.

IF A. be indicted, that the first of July 21 Car. 2. he robbed or murderd B. and upon evidence it appears, that it was committed another day or another year, either after or before the time laid in the indictment, yet this proves the iffue for the king; only it is requisite, if there be an escheat in the case, and that the felony were committed after the day laid in the indictment, for the jury to find the day, because the relation of the escheat to avoid mesne grants and incumbrances relates to the time of the felony committed 32 Eliz. per omnes justic' Co. P. C. cap. 104. p. 230.

If A. be indicted for a robbery or murder apud A. in com' B. if it were committed in another county, regularly he ought to be found not guilty, because regularly an offense of that nature in one county is not presentable out of the county where it was done, but tho it were done in another vill in the county of B. yet he is to be found guilty, for the

vill is not material.

If the evidence in murder differ from the indicament in specie mortis, as if the indictment were for killing by poison, and the evidence be of killing by stabbing, it doth not maintain the indictment. 9 Co. Rep. 67. a. Mackally's case.

But if the indictment were for poisoning with one kind of poison, and the proof be of another kind of poison, or the indictment be for killing with a fword, and the evidence be of killing with a staff, or with a gun, it maintains the indictment, for the common effectual word in both is percussit: vide 9 Co. Rep. 67. a. Mackally's case, Co. P. C. cap. 62. p. 135. Sir Thomas Overbury's case (a).

And

Historia Placitorum Coronæ. 292

And the same law holds in relation to the accessaries to

fuch principals, and with the same difference.

If A. B. and C. be indicted for the murder of D. and it is laid in the indictment, that A. gave him the stroke, whereof he died, and that B. and C. were prasentes, auxiliantes \mathcal{C} abettantes, tho upon the evidence it appears, that B. alone gave the stroke, whereof he died, and A. and C. were præsentes, auxiliantes & abettantes, it maintains the indictment, for they are all principals, Mackally's case, ubi supra. (b)

If A. and B. be indicted of the murder of C. and upon the evidence it appears, that A. committed the fact, and B. was not present, but was accessary before the fact by command-

ing it, B. shall be discharged. 26 H. 8.5.

If A. and B. be indicted as principal, and C. is indicted as accessary to both after the fact done, A. and B. are convicted, or only A. is convicted, and upon the evidence against C. it appears he was accessary only to A. it maintains the indictment. 9 Co. Rep. 119. a. lord Sanchar's case per curiam (c).

A. is indicted for murdering B. ex malitià pracogitatà, evidence of malice in law, as killing an officer or watchman in the execution of his office, or killing a man without any provocation maintains the indictment, because the law inter-

prets it malice. 4 Co. Rep. 67. b.

A. is specially indicted upon the statute of 1 Fac. cap. 8. for stabbing B. not having a weapon drawn, nor stricken first, contra formam statuti, upon the evidence it appears, that the person kild struck first, yet it is good evidence to convict A. for manslaughter. H. 23 Car. 1. Harwood's case (d).

So if A. be indicted for petit treason for killing his master felonice, proditorie, & ex malitià suà pracogitatà, tho he were not his master, he may be found guilty of murder (e), and tho it were not ex malitià pracogitatà, he may be found guilty of manslaughter, and not guilty as to the petit treafon; and fo I have known it ruled oftentimes.

So

⁽b) See 1 Salk. 334. Wallis's case. (c) Vide Part I. p. 624.

⁽d) Style 86.

⁽e) Vide Part I. p. 378. & postea, cap. 46. Sub fine.

So if a man be indicted of burglary, and quòd felonice & burglariter cepit bona, &c. he may be acquit of the burglary, and found guilty of simple felony, if the evidence

riseth no higher.

So if a man be indicted of murder ex malitia pracogitata, an evidence proving the killing upon a sudden falling out is a good evidence to prove him guilty of manslaughter, and the jury ought accordingly to find it. Plow. Com. 101. a. Co. Lit. 282. a. And so in an appeal.

CHAP. XLI.

Concerning the demeanor of the jury, and how their verdict is to be given.

AFTER the arraignment of the prisoners, and their pleas of not guilty received and recorded, the sheriff returns the pannel of the jury, the prisoners are again called to the bar, and the jury being called, and appearing the prisoners are told by the clerk, that these good men now called and appearing are to pass upon their lives and deaths; therefore, if they will challenge any of them, they are to do it before they are sworn.

If no challenge hinder, the jury are commanded to look on the prisoners, and then severally twelve of them, neither more nor less, are sworn, You shall well and truly try, and true deliverance make between our sovereign lord the king and the prisoners at the bar, whom you shall have in charge, [and true verdict give] according to your evidence. So help you

God.

After the jury fworn proclamation is to be made, "That if any can inform for our lord the king against the prifoners at the bar, let them come forth and they shall be heard"; then the prisoners are called successively to the Vol. II.

4 F "bar,

294 Historia Placitorum Coronæ.

bar, first A. and he is commanded to hold up his hand, the indictment is repeated, "To this he hath pleaded not guilty; "the issue is to try, whether he be guilty or not guilty; if you find him guilty, you shall say so, and inquire what goods or chattels, lands or tenements he had at the time of the felony or treason committed, or at any time after. And if you find him not guilty, you shall inquire, whether he did sly for it, and if you find, that he sled for it, you shall inquire of his goods and chattels, and if you find him not guilty, and that he did not sly for it, you shall say so and no more. Hear your evidence.

I have set down the clerk's charge to the jury, because it

contains the effect of their inquiry.

Tho there be twenty prisoners at the bar for several felonies, and the oath is general to try between the king and the prisoners at the bar, yet the jury is to inquire of no more than what they are particularly charged with, as before; and therefore, tho twenty have pleaded, and stand at the bar when the jury is sworn, yet the court may stay at any number of the prisoners, and so the jury stand charged with no more than what are thus particularly charged upon them.

And when they go from the bar, and have brought in their verdict touching these particulars thus charged upon them, then, if the same jury pass upon the remaining prisoners, yet they are to be called over again, the prisoners reminded of their challenges, and the jury sworn de novo

upon the trial of the rest of the prisoners.

For in law the jury is charged with no more than those, that have their indictments and plea of not guilty, and evidence concluded against and for them before the jury, tho possibly all the prisoners, that have pleaded, stood at the bar, when the jury was first sworn; and this is the constant course at Newgate.

By the antient law, if the jury sworn had been once particularly charged with a prisoner, as before is shewed, it was commonly held they must give up their verdict, and they could not be discharged before their verdict given up,

and

and so is my lord Coke P. C. cap. 47. p. 110. and this is the reason given 22 E. 3. Coron. 449. why after the plea of not guilty, and the inquest charged, the prisoner cannot become an approver, because the inquest shall not be discharged; but the book at large, viz. 21 E. 3. 18. a. mentions not the charging of the inquest, but the plea of not guilty and the jury at the bar. Co. Lit. 227. b. But yet the contrary course hath for a long time obtaind at Newgate, and nothing is more ordinary than after the jury sworn, and charged with a prisoner, and evidence given, yet if it appear to the court, that some of the evidence is kept back, or taken off, or that there may be a fuller discovery, and the offense notorious, as murder or burglary, and that the evidence, tho not sufficient to convict the prisoner, yet gives the court a great and strong suspicion of his guilt, the court may discharge the jury of the prisoner, and remit him to the gaol for farther evidence, and accordingly it hath been practifed in most circuits of England (a), for otherwise many notorious murders and burglaries may pass unpunished by the acquittal of a person probably guilty, where the full evidence is not fearched out or given.

If after the jury sworn and departed from the bar, one of them, viz. A. wilfully goes out of town, whereby only eleven remain, these eleven cannot give any verdict without the twelfth, but the twelfth shall be fined for his contempt, and that jury may be discharged, and a new jury

(a) And so it was practised in White-bread's case in treason, see State Tr. Vol. II. p. 710, 827. See also Kel. 47, 52. But the reason given for this practice, if it were law, (which yet without the prisoner's consent is unwarranted by antient u-fage; wide 3 Co. Inst. 110. Co. Lit. 227. b. 1 And. 103. Raym. 84. State Tr. Vol. II. p. 951.) seems to hold as strongly in be-half of the prisoner as of the king. State Tr. Vol. IV. p. 190. and yet I do not find any instance, where a jury once sworn was ever discharged, because the prisoner's evidence was not ready; on the

they could not do it without the consent of the attorney general, altho in that case the jury were not sworn, and the prifoner urged, that he had witnesses, who could not be in town till night, in which case it was certainly in the discretion of the court to put it off or not. State Tr. Vol. III. p. 630, 631. It hath however been since holden for law, that a jury once charged in a capital case cannot be discharged, till they have given their verdict, and the case of Whitebread was fworn was ever discharged, because the prisoner's evidence was not ready; on the contrary in lord Russel's case, the court refused to put off the trial only till the afternoon of the same day, pretending state Tr. Vol. IV. p. 659, 661. and Cook's case, State Tr. Vol. IV. p. 731.

fworn, and new evidence given, and the verdict taken of the new jury, and thus it was done by good advice at the gaol-delivery at *Hartford Aug.* 15 Car. 1. in the case of *Hans-*

com the departing juryman.

And so it is usual at the gaol-delivery at Newgate, if a jury be charged with several prisoners, and the court finds by probable circumstances, that the jury is partial to one of the prisoners, the court may discharge the jury of that prisoner, and put him upon his trial by another jury, and this

is used also in other circuits (*).

Upon not guilty pleaded twelve are fworn to try the issue, after their departure A. one of the twelve leaves his companions, which being discovered to the court, by consent of all parties B. another of the pannel is sworn in the place of A. and afterwards A. returns to his company, which being made known to the court, A. is called and examind why he departed, he answerd to drink, and being examind, whether he had spoken with the defendant, denied it upon his oath, whereupon B. was discharged from giving any verdict, and the verdict taken of A. and the other eleven, and A. fined for his contempt, 34 E. 3. Office de Court 12. in trespass.

If thirteen are by mistake sworn, the swearing of the last of the thirteen is void, and the other twelve shall serve.

If only eleven be sworn by mistake, no verdict can be taken of the eleven, and if it be, it is error; and so in a presentment, but if twelve be recorded sworn, no averment lies, that one was unsworn. Lamb's Justice 395.

The justices at common law may upon a just cause re-

move a juror after he is sworn. 20 H. 6. 5. a.

When the jurors depart from the bar, a bailiff ought to be fworn to keep them together, and not to fuffer any to speak with them.

After their departure they may desire to hear one of the witnesses again, and it shall be granted, so he deliver his testimony in open court, and also they may desire to propound questions to the court for their satisfaction, and it shall be granted, so it be in open court.

The

The jury must be kept together without meat, drink, fire, or candle, till they are agreed. 24 E. 3. 75. (b). Co. Lit. 227. b.

If they agree not before the departure of the justices of gaol-delivery into another county, the sheriff must send them along in carts, and the judge may take and record their verdict in a forein county; quere, whether in such cases the session may be adjourned before the verdict taken. 19 Assiz. 6.

per Scot. 41 Assiz. 11.

If there be eleven agreed, and but one dissenting, who says he will rather die in prison, yet the verdict shall not be taken by eleven, no nor yet the refuser fined or imprisond, and therefore, where such a verdict was taken by eleven and the twelfth fined and imprisond, it was upon great advice ruled the verdict was void, and the twelfth man deliverd, and a new venire awarded. 41 Asiz. 11. for men are not to be forced to give their verdict against their judgment (c): vide P. 20 E. 1. Rot. 43. Norf. coram rege.

In

(b) N. Edit. of year-books 24. a.

(c) But is it not a force, when any of the jurors are obliged to comply under the peril of being starved to death, for how can it be expected, that twelve confidering men should in all cases happen to be of the same sentiments? and therefore antiently it was not necessary, (at least in civil causes,) that all the twelve should agree, but in case of a difference among the jury, the method was to fe-parate one part from the other, and then to examine each of them as to the rea-fons of their differing in opinion, and if after such examination both sides perfisted in their former opinions, the court caused both verdicts to be fully and diftinctly recorded, and then judgment was given ex dicto majoris partis juratorum; thus in a great affise upon a writ of right between the abbot of Kirkstede and Edfound de Eyncourt eleven of the jury found for the abbot, and one for Edmund de Eyncourt, in this case the verdict of the eleven was first recorded, Robertus de Harblinge & omnes alii prætom Podulakum filma Simonia diama filma f ter Radulphum filium Simonis dicunt super sacramentum suum, &c. and then follows the dictum of the twelfth Et Vol. II. prædictus Radulphus filius Simonis dicit super sacramentum suum, &c. then sollows the judgment, Sed quia prædicti undecim concorditer & præcisè dicunt, quòd prædictus abbas & ecclesia sua prædicta majus jus habeant tenendi &c. ideo consideratum est, quòd prædictus abbas & successores sui teneant prædicta tenementa de cætero in perpetuum, &c. Placita coram justic' itinerant' in com' Lincoln anno 56 Hen. 3. Rot. 29. in dorso.

In an affise of novel disseis between William Tristram plaintist, and John Simenel and others desendants, where the whole jury consisted of only eleven, ten found for Tristram, and one for Simenel, and both verdicts are recorded in this manner, Decem jurati dicunt, quòd, &c. & undecimus juratorum, scilicet Johannes Kineth dicit, &c. Et, quia dicto majoris partis juratorum standum est, consideratum est, quòd prædictus Willielmus recuperet seisinam suam de prædictis tenementis versus prædictos Johannem & alios per visum recognitorum & dampna, que taxantur per jur' ad duas marcas, & Johannes & alii in misericordia. Pas. 14 E. 1. Rot. 10. coram Rege.

In capital causes, whether upon indictment or appeal, no verdict can be given by default in the absence of the party. 16 Assiz. 13.

But

The like practice is supposed in the case here quoted by the author, Pas. 20 F. I. Ret. 43. coram rege, which was thus, Martin Fitz-Osbert recoverd seisin of certain lands, &c. in West-Somerton against the Prior of Buttelye before John de Lovetot and William de Pageham, judges of affife in Norfolk anno 16 E. 1. The prior afterwards complaind greatly, that injustice had been done him by Lovetot at the said affise, and thereupon the bishop of Winchester and others were ordered to hear the matter and do justice to the prior. Upon this Lovetor and Pageham were called before the faid bishop, &c. and the prior objected to Lovetot, "Quòd fieri fecit falsam irro"tulationem in rotulis suis, & contrariam " veredicto juratorum assiste prædictæ, " &c. & hoc paratus est verificare per "prædictos juratores, qui omnes sunt su-"perstites, &c." To which Lovetot and Pageham replied by justifying them-selves, and insisting, "Quòd bene, & " ritè processerunt ad captionem illius " assis, unde vocant recordum rotulo-" rum suorum, &c." in which the judgment pronounced by Lovetot was entred in the following manner, "Et quia per "prædictam affifam convictum [comper-" tum] fuit, quod Edricus, de quo præ-" dictus Martinus exivit, fuit liber homo " & liberæ conditionis; & quamvis ipse " Edricus, & exitus de ipso proveniens tenuissent de prædicto priore & de prædecessoribus suis, tenementa sua in villenagio, & per villana servitia, hoc eis non præjudicat, quò minus cor-" pora sua sint libera; eò quòd nulla præscriptio temporis potest liberum " sanguinem in servitutem reducere, " ideò consideratum est, quòd prædictus Martinus recuperet inde seisi-" nam suam, &c. Et Johannes de Pyke-" ring unus recognitorum præfatæ affisæ,
" pro eo quòd in veredicto præfatæ af" fisæ, narrando illud veredictum, con-" trarius fuit omnibus aliis recognitori-" bus, narrando aliud quam inter illos " fuit provisum, ficut per examinationem " eorum convictum [compertum] fuit, & " manucaptus est per, &c. ideò ipse &

" manucaptores sui in misericordia. Et præceptum est vic', quòd capiat prædictum J. de Pykering, & salvò, &c. ita quòd habeat corpus ejus apud Kente-" ford, &c. ad faciendam redemptionem fuam pro transgressione prædicta." The bishop of Wynton and his fellows then proceeded to examine Lovetot and Page-ham touching the said judgment." "Et quia in consideratione super veredicto primæ assisæ compertum est, quòd J. de Pykering unus recognitorum prædictæ assisæ, narrando illud veredictum, contrarius fuit omnibus aliis recognitoribus, narrando aliud quam inter eos fuit provisum; & nichil de illo contrario in recordo prædicto specifica-" tur sive declaratur; immo quòd veredictum captum fuit & receptum, ac si omnes de uno & de eodem assensu fuissent in veredicto prædicto; nec etiam veredictum ipsorum undecim de-claratur sive specificatur, &c. nec duodecimus ab undecim suit separatus, nec examinatus per se; nec undecim à duodecimo fuerunt separati, nec per se examinati &c. prout moris est in tali casu; & sic ex contrario veredicto subsecutum suit judicium non legi sive consuetudini regni consonum, videtur " manifeste, quod recordum illud non est plenum, seu perfectum, in hoc casu, &c. Concordatum est quòd affisa prædicta re-examinetur, &c." Upon this the sheriff was orderd, quod venire faciat hic &c. recognitores assiske prædictæ, & quòd scire faciat Martin to appear at the same day ad audiendum, &c. "Postea ad prædictum diem vene-" runt recognitores assise prædictæ. Et quia prædicti Johannes & Willielmus aliud recordati fuerunt, quàm compertum fuit per recordum rotulorum ip-" fius Johannis; & etiam quia juratores prædicti minus sufficienter suerunt ex-" aminati super articulis prædictis, sicut " patet in recordo prædicto, iteratò sue-" runt juratores jurati, & examinati; qui dicunt super sacramentum suum, quòd prædictus Martinus fuit villanus ipsius prioris die, quo ejectus fuit de priedictis tenementis, &c. Et quia com-

But if the prisoner hath pleaded to the country, and when he is to be tried will fay nothing, yet no penance shall be inflicted, but the jury shall be taken. 15 E. 4.33. b.

Now touching the giving up of their verdict, if the jury fay they are agreed, the court may examine them by poll, and if in truth they are not agreed, they are fineable. Assiz. 27. 40 Assiz. 10.

If the jurors by mistake or partiality give their verdict in court, yet they may rectify their verdict before it is recorded, or by advice of the court go together again and con-

" pertum est, &c. & quòd prior ad præ-" dictam assisam coram præsatis J. & "W. respondebat per ballivum suum, " qui quidem ballivus non potuit dedu-" cere in judicium jus sanguinis nativi do-" mini sui absque præsentia domini sui, " &c. ac etiam in supradicto recordo, " quòd nulla præscriptio longi temporis " potest liberum fanguinem in servitu-" tem reducere, quòd omninò falsum est, " &c. videtur, quòd judicium J. de "Lovetot erroneum est; ideò conside-" ratum est, quòd prædictus prior reha-" beat prædicta tenementa, ita quòd om-" nia fint in eodem statu, in quo fuerunt " ante captionem prædictæ affilæ." Afterwards by writ of error the record coram episcopo Wynton & sociis suis auditoribus querelarum was brought coram rege, and Martin Fitz-Osbert affigned for error, that he had recoverd feisin against the said prior "in grosso veredicto super " disseifina secundum legem commu-" nem; & auditores fine brevi regis inde " eis directo, & fine aliqua præmuni-" tione ipso Martino rite facta, contra " legem communem, ipsum à prædicto tenemento abjudicaverunt, & contra tenorem Magnæ Cartæ domini regis: " Dicit insuper, quòd prædicti auditores " venire fecerunt coram eis juratores " præfatæ assisæ in forma certificationis, " & ipsos juratores per sacramentum " fuum re-examinaverunt & admiserunt " veredictum eorum contrarium vere-"dicto per ipsos priùs pronuntiato; unde dicit, quòd in hiis & aliis erratum est, &c." To this the prior replied, that the said Martin had been "Præ-" munitus per breve, quod vocatur scire " facias; & quòd prædicti auditores ha-" buerunt plenam potestatem, tam per

" breve domini regis, quam per speciale præceptum domini regis, ad corrigenda recorda justiciariorum vitiosa & " erronea inventa; & hoc fatis constat
" domino regi & ipsius consilio, &
" quòd prædictus Martinus non recupe" ravit per grossum veredictum; quia
" non fuit ibi veredictum nisi tale, quale imperfectum, quia per xi juratores captum; & quod prædicti auditores non admiserunt contrarium veredictum priori veredicto, quia veredictum

" priùs captum coram J. de Lovetot

" fuit tale, quale imperfectum, & con" tra legem terræ captum per xi juratores, de statu sanguinis ultra tempus limitatum; secundum veredictum magis deberet dici suppletio prioris vere-"dicti defectivi, quam eidem contra-"riari." To which Martin rejoined, and infifted, "Quod prædicta affisa suit "plena & persecta coram 7. de Loveplena & perfecta coram J. de Lovetot & sociis suis justic' capta, & hoc liquet expresse in eodem recordo, ubi dicit, Jurati dicunt, &c. Et quòd ipse recuperavit prædicta tenementa per grossum veredictum præsatæ assisæ, pe-tit judicium, si prædictum grossum ve-redictum super disseisna præcise sacta aliquo modo secundum legem & consuetudinem regni Angliæ debet adnichillari, absque brevi de attinctà, &c.

The judgment in this case does not appear, but it should seem, that the reason why the record of the verdict is said to be impersect was not, because all the twelve did not agree, but because the dista utriusque partis were not distinctly specified and recorded, which is declared to be the usage in such case. declared to be the usage in such case,

prout moris est in tali casu.

300 Historia Placitorum Coronæ.

sider better of it, and alter what they have deliverd. Plow. Com. 211. b. Saunder's case.

But if the verdict be recorded, they cannot retract nor alter it. Co. Lit. 227. 7 R. 2. Coron. 108. 20 Assiz. 12. 5 H. 7. 22. b.

In a case of felony or treason the verdict must be given in open court, and no privy verdict can be given. Co. Lit.

227. b. Co. P. C. 110.

If a man be arraigned upon an inquest of murder or manslaughter taken by the coroner, and be found not guilty, the jury that acquits him ought to inquire, who committed the fact, and that shall serve as an indictment against that person, that the jury find did the fact.

But it is held, that if a man be arraigned upon an indictment found by the grand inquest, and be acquitted, the jury shall not make such further inquiry. 14 H. 7. 2. b. 13 E. 4. 3. b. 37 H. 8. B. Coron. 117. 11 H. 4. 93. a. B. Coron.

32. 21 E. 3. 17. b. B. Coron. 39.

But surely the antient law was otherwise, and that the jury, that acquits, whether upon a presentment, or upon an indictment of homicide, shall be chaced to say, who did the fact. 37 Assa. 13.

So if a man be indicted de morte cujusdam ignoti, the inquest shall be charged to tell the name, if they can. 2 E. 3.

Coron. 159.

A man is indicted of robbery and acquitted, but it appeard to the court, that a robbery was done, but the prifoner not guilty, and therefore upon the statute of Winchester the court compeld the jury to present who did it, for the hundred is to answer for the bodies of the offenders, and the book concludes generally, Et tiel course tiendra, ou home est indite de mort de home & acquit 3 E. 3. Iter North. Coron. 307. so that they made no difference, where the [indictment was by the grand inquest, or by the coroner's inquest.]

The same law in an appeal 22 Assiz. 39. Coron. 178. 4 H.

7. Rot. 21. Rastal's Entries 57. a.

But at this day the law and practice hath obtaind, that only upon an arraignment upon the coroner's inquest the jury, if they acquit the prisoner, shall inquire who did the murder or manslaughter, and commonly it is a business of form, for they usually say, if it be not known, that John a-Nokes did it. 37 H. 8. B. Coron. 32. 21 E. 3. 17. b. B. Coron.

39. Dy. 238.b.

And as to indictments of robbery, if the petit jury acquit the prisoner, they do not inquire who did it, and the reason of the difference is, that for the most part in Eyre the petit jury were all of the same hundred, where the offense was committed, and then upon the statute of Winton the hundred were to answer de corporibus malesactorum, and therefore it was reason to put them upon the inquiry, who committed the robbery, if it appear to the court, that a robbery was committed, and the case of 3 E. 3. Coron. 307. was in Eyre, but now the jury, that tries, as well as inquires, is for the most part of the rest of the county, and therefore they answer only the point of guilty or not guilty: vide Stams. P. C. 181. a.

The jurors of the petit inquest are charged to inquire if the party sled, and so of his goods and chattels, this is but an inquest of office, and traversable; vide supra Part I. cap. 27. p. 362. But it hath been held, that a presentment of slight before the coroner super visum corporis is conclusive to the party, and not traversable: vide qua supra dixi, Part I.

cap. 31. p. 416, 417.

And therefore it is, that if the coroner's inquest super visum corporis present a sugam secit, and the party be taken and arraigned, and plead to that indictment, the jury shall not be charged to inquire of the sugam secit, because found before by the coroner's inquest, and if they be charged therewith and acquit the prisoner, and likewise say, that he did not sly, yet the record of the inquisition before the coroner sinding the slight shall take place to intitle the king. 3 E. 3. Forseiture 35. P. 7 Eliz. Dy. 238. b.

The jury may find a special verdict, or may find the defendant guilty of part, and not guilty of the rest, or may Vol. II.

4 H find

find the defendant guilty of the fact, but vary in the manner.

If a man be indicted of burglary, quòd felonice & burglariter cepit & asportavit, the jury may find him guilty of the fimple felony, and acquit him of the burglary and the burglariter.

So if a man be indicted of robbery with putting the party in fear, the jury may find him guilty of the felony,

but not guilty of the robbery.

The like where the indictment is clam & secrete à per-

sonâ.

So if a man be indicted upon the statute of 1 Fac. of stabbing contra formam statuti, the jury may acquit him upon the statute, and find him guilty of manslaughter at common law. 23 Car. 1. Harwood's case (d).

So if a man be indicted of stealing of goods of the value of 10 s. the jury may find him guilty only of goods to the value of 6 d. and so guilty only of petty larciny. 41 E.3.

Coron. 451. Stamf. P. C. L. III. cap. 9. fol. 165. a.

So if a man be indicted of murder ex malitia pracogitata, the jury may find him guilty of manslaughter. Co. Lit. 282. a. or that he kild him le defendendo, or per infortunium; but nota in these cases it is not sufficient generally to find it done se defendendo, or per infortunium, but the special matter must be fet down how it was done, and if upon the special matter shewn it shall appear to be murder or manslaughter, the court will accordingly judge of it, tho the jury conclude, Et sic per infortunium, or sic de defendendo. 3 E. 3. Coron. 284. 286, 287, & 43 Affiz. 31. Coron. 226.

And in these cases, tho it be found per infortunium, or se defendendo upon the special matter set forth, yet this special matter must be recorded, for the it be not such a felony, as hath judgment of life, yet it is such an offense, as gives the forfeiture of goods, and therefore they may not find a general not guilty, but must find the special matter, and leave

it to the court to judge.

At the sessions at Newgate 16 Car. 2. upon the evidence it appeard, that A. a boy riding in the street upon an horse, B. another boy whipt the horse, the horse ran away against the will of A. and ran over a child and kild it, for this A. was indicted of murder by the grand inquest, and the jury found him generally not guilty; the court was in doubt of receiving the verdict, because it was per infortunium, and so ought specially to be found, but because the coroner's inquest had found the special matter, and concluded it, as in truth it was, per infortunium, which presentment A. was ready to confess, that so he might have his pardon of course, the verdict of not guilty was recorded, and so it was said was the usual course in that case; but it was agreed, that if A. had of his own accord put the horse into speed, and he had fo kild the child, it had not been per infortunium but man-Richard Pretty's case for killing Anne Jones.

But now suppose the prisoner kild the party, but yet in such a way as makes no felony, as if he were of non sane memory, or if a man kills a thief, that comes to rob him, or to commit a burglary, or if an officer in his own defense kills one, that assaults him in the execution of his office, which are neither felony nor forfeiture, whether is it necessary to find the special matter, or may the party be found

not guilty?

And I think, and so I have known it constantly practifed, the party in these cases may be found not guilty, and the jury need not find the special matter.

And the reason is, that in these cases there is neither fe-

lony nor forfeiture.

And this is in effect declared by the statute of 24 H. 8. cap. 5. "If any attempt to commit murder, robbery or burglary in or nigh any common high way, or in the mansson-house, "&c. and the evil-doer be slain, and if the same by ver-dict be found or tried, the slayer shall not lose any goods or chattels, but shall thereof be fully acquitted and discharged in like manner as he should be, if he were lawfully acquit of the death," and accordingly ruled in Cooper's case. P. 15 Car. B. R. Croke, p. 544.

But

304 Historia Placitorum Coronæ.

But it is used in such cases (and prudently enough,) for the coroner's inquest to find the special matter, and the bill of indictment of the grand jury to be for murder, and to have the party arraigned upon the bill of indictment, and to be acquitted thereupon upon trial, and to enter the acquittal upon the bill, and then to confess the coroner's presentment, and to have judgment also thereupon; thus it was done in the case of Richardson keeper of Newgate, who kild Hyde, that had committed a robbery and made resistance, that he could not be taken without being kild. M. 25 Car. 2. at Newgate.

And therefore, where a thief was kild in pursuit because of necessity, if the special matter be found, the killer shall have judgment, quòd eat sine die. 22 Assiz. 55. Coron. 179. 22 E. 3. Coron. 258. 26 Assiz. 23. Coron. 192. 22 E. 3. Coron. 261. and the reason is, because it is no felony, nor causeth any forfeiture so much as of goods, but is a justifiable act, and so differs from se defendendo, or per infortunium, which give a forfeiture of goods.

And fince in an indictment or an appeal of felony the defendant cannot plead a justification, he shall have the advantage of it upon the general issue pleaded. 26 H. 8. 5. b.

37 H. 8. B. Appeals 122.

Yet vide 37 H. 6. 20 & 21. per Needham upon an indictment of murder the defendant may plead, that in an appeal before the constable and marshal of treason he being appellee kild the appellant; yet in that case it seems, if he pleaded not guilty, he shall have advantage of that special justification upon evidence.

But [notwithstanding] this, that I have said, where the matter itself appears not to be felony, the prisoner upon not guilty pleaded may be found not guilty, without sinding the special matter, and accordingly ruled. P. 15 Car. 1.

Croke, p. 544.

Yet if the coroner's inquest find not the special matter but murder or manslaughter, and the prisoner is arraigned upon it and plead not guilty, and upon the evidence it appear, that the prisoner kild the man, but in such a manner as makes no felony, as a thief that assaults him upon the highway, or a thief that resists the arrest, in this case the jury cannot find a general not guilty, but must find, that the prisoner did it and the manner how, and this is to be entred of record,

as in case of a verdict se defendendo.

And the reason of the difference is, because in the former case the jury gives a verdict of not guilty generally, without inquiring who did the fact. But where a man is arraigned upon the coroner's inquest super visum corporis, and pleads not guilty, if the jury acquit the prisoner by not guilty, yet they must inquire who did it, for here it is apparent there was a man flain, because the coroner takes the inquest upon view of the body, and if they should find him generally not guilty, and yet should upon their other inquiry find he kild him, it would be a contradiction in itself, and therefore in this case, they are to find the special matter, and thereupon the court shall give judgment for his discharge.

Many special verdicts have been found, as upon the statute of stabbing, so upon the point, whether murder or not, but it is difficult to find them so that judgment be given for murder, because there are so many circumstances required to be found, that if any be omitted, the verdict will

fall only to manslaughter.

I have rarely known upon any special verdict, where the question was murder or manslaughter, judgment to be given for murder (d), but commonly for manslaughter or se defendendo. Tutius erratur ex parte mitiori.

Vol. II.

4 I

CHAP.

instances, wherein it has been done, viz. all which were special verdicts, and the Maskally's case, 9 Co. Rep. 70. a. Mawgridge's case, Hill. 5 Ann. B.R. Kel.

(d) There have been however several 120. Oneby's case. Trin. 13 Geo. B. R. cours ruled them to be murder.

CHAP. XLII.

Concerning the misdemeanors of jurors, and their punishment.

IF any of the jury eat or drink without license of the court before they have given up their verdict, they are fineable for it.

But tho it be not at the charges of either party, antiently it was held it would avoid the verdict. 24 E.3. 24. a.

But at this day the law is settled, that it is only a misdemeanor fineable in them that do it, but avoids not the verdict. 14 H. 7. 29. b. (a). 20 H. 7. 3. a.

But if it be at the charge, for the purpose, of the prisoner, and the verdict find him guilty, the verdict is good; but if they find him not guilty, and this appears by examination, the judge, before whom the verdict is so given, may record the special matter, and thereupon the verdict shall be set aside and a new trial awarded. 14 H. 7. 30. a.b.

If a juryman before he be sworn take information of the case, this is cause of challenge, as the law stands at this day, but antiently it was held otherwise, and that it was lawful, and that was the reason given in the statute of 6 H. 6. cap. 2. which enacts, "That pannels of assists be deli"verd by the sheriff to either party six days before the sessions, namely, that they might inform the jurors of their right before the session.

But this brought great inconvenience in embracery and tampering with jurors, and therefore it is justly disused and disapproved.

If a juryman have a piece of evidence in his pocket, and after the jury sworn and gone together he sheweth it to them, this is a misdemeanor fineable in the jury, but it a-

voids not the verdict, tho the case appear upon examination. M. 23 Car. 1. B. R. M. 40 & 41 Eliz. B. R. Croke, n. 1. Graves & Short (b): vide tamen contra 11 H. 4. 18. a.

But if after the jury sworn either party deliver a piece of evidence to the jury, and the verdict is given for him, that deliverd it, it shall avoid the verdict, but then this must appear by examination, and be indorsed upon the postea or verdict, so as it appear of record, and it must not be barely by affidavit made after. M. 40 & 41 Eliz. B. R. Graves & Short. Co. Lit. 227. b.

But if the verdict be given against him, that deliverd the

evidence, the verdist is good. Ibid.

If a piece of evidence under seal be read in court, the jury ought regularly to have it with them, but not if it be not under seal.

But yet if after the jury sworn a piece of evidence not under seal be by the court deliverd to the jury, it doth not avoid the verdict, and so it is, if it be deliverd by a mere stranger, or if it be deliverd by one of the parties, and the verdict be given against him, on whose behalf it was

deliverd. M. 37 & 38 Eliz. B. R. Croke, n. 1. (c).

If after the jury sworn and gone from the bar they send for a witness to repeat his evidence, that he gave openly in court, who doth it accordingly, this appearing by examination in court and indorsed upon the record or postea will avoid the verdict. T. 32 Eliz. B. R. Croke, n. 17. Metcalse & Deane (d). M. 20 fac. B. R. Hillord & Hall (e), because not done openly in court, nor in the presence of the parties concerned. M. 32 Eliz. B. R. Leon. n. 426. Elme's case (f).

But if the jury after their departure from the bar defire to hear the teltimony of a witness again, they may be sent for into court, and the witness may be heard again openly, where the court or parties may ask what questions they

think fit.

If

⁽b) Cro. Eliz. 616. (c) Vicary & Farthing, Cro. Eliz.

⁽d) Cro. Eliz. 189. (e) 2 Rol. Rep. 261. Palm. 325. (f) 1 Leon. 305.

If depositions are read in court to the jury, and after the jury sworn and going from the bar the solicitor or prosecutor for the king or party without consent of parties or order of the court deliver the copies of the depositions to the jury, if they find against him, on whose part the copies were deliverd, the verdict is good, but if they find for him, on whose part they were deliverd, and this appear by examination, and be (as it ought to be,) indorsed upon the posses or record, the verdict shall be quashed, and a new venire facias, or award for a new jury shall be returned. M. 20 Jac. B. R. Hillord and Hall.

If after the evidence given, where divers evidences are read on both fides, and the clerk is making up his bundle of evidences, that were under feal, to deliver to the jury, the folicitor for the plaintiffs delivers a bundle of depositions to the jury, some whereof were read, and some not read, and upon examination this appeard, tho the jury swore they opened not the bundle deliverd by the solicitor, yet the verdict for the plaintiff was for this cause avoided, (the matter being indorsed upon the record,) and a new venire facias awarded, for great inconvenience may be by such a practice, and the oath of the jury, that never looked into them, was not regarded, for possibly it may be a misdemeanor in them to look into it, which they shall not excuse in this manner. T. 1653. Webb & Taylor, 2 R. A. 714. pl. 6.

If the party after the jury sworn speak with a juryman, but nothing touching the business in issue, this doth not avoid the verdict given after for him. M. 7. B. R. per

But if he or any in his behalf say to a juryman after his departure from the bar and before verdict given, the case is clear for the plaintist, this shall avoid the verdict, if given for the plaintist, for it is new evidence. H. 22 Jac. B. R. Athil & Bulmer adjudged. 2 Rol. Abr. 716. pl. 20.

If A. be challenged off, and twelve more sworn, yet A. goes along with the twelve sworn and is present at their consultation, if A. give no new evidence, nor advised or directed

them

them to find for that party, for whom the verdict is given, the verdict is good, but A. shall be fined for his misdemeanor. P. 17 Jac. B. R. Park's case.

Now touching fining of jurors I shall add farther.

If a man, that is one of the indictors, be returned upon the petit jury, and do not challenge himself, he shall be fined. 40 Assiz. 10.

If a jury fay they are agreed, and it being asked, who shall fay for them, they say their foreman, but upon farther inquiry they are not agreed, the jury shall be fined, viz. every one apart. 40 Assiz. 10. 29 Assiz. 27.

If a juryman be called and refuse to appear, or if having appeard withdraw himself before he be sworn, the court may set a fine upon him at their discretion: vide Stat. 35 H. 8. cap. 6.

So if he be challenged, and while the challenge is trying withdraw himself, and the challenge is upon the trial disallowd, and he be not present to be sworn 36 H. 6. 27. a. or being sworn withdraw himself from his fellows before the verdict given. 34 E. 3. Office de court 12.

If eleven of the jury be agreed, and the twelfth refuse, and make his companions lie by it, heretofore such juryman hath been imprisond for his wilfulness, 8 Asiz. 35. and fined, and the inquest taken by the other eleven jurors. 3 E. 3. Verd Et 40.

But upon great consideration both these courses have been disallowd, and the judgment upon the verdict of eleven jurors reversed, and the juryman (fined and imprisond) discharged, as being contrary to law, for it may be the twelfth was in the right, yet howsoever his conscience is not in this manner to be forced, and therefore former precedents of this kind have been disallowd. 41 E. 3. 11. a. 41 Assix.

But what if a jury give a verdict against all reason, convicting or acquitting a person indicted against evidence, what shall be done? I say, if the jury will convict a man against or without evidence, and against the direction or opinion of the court, the court hath this salve to reprieve

Vol. II. 4 K the

the person convict before judgment, and to acquaint the

king, and certify for his pardon.

And as to an acquittal of a person against full evidence it is likewise certain the court may send them back again, and so in the former case, to consider better of it before they record the verdict, but if they are peremptory in it, and stand to their verdict, the court must take their verdict and record it, but may respite judgment upon the acquittal.

But as touching punishing the jury, I shall say, what I

think may be done, and what may not be done.

1. I think in such a case the king may have an attaint, for altho a man convicted upon an indictment can have no attaint, because the guilt is affirmed by two inquests, the grand inquest, that presents the offense upon their oaths, and the petit jury, that agrees with them, yet where the petit jury acquits, they stand as a single verdict, for they disaffirm what the grand inquest of twelve men have upon their oaths presented, and with this agrees the book 10 H. 4. Attaint 60, 64. per Thorn.

2. By the statute of 26 H. 8. cap. 4. the justiciar or stew-ward, before whom any person is acquit of felony against pregnant evidence in Wales or the marches thereof, may bind over the jurors to appear before the president and council of the marches of Wales, who may, as they see cause, fine

and imprison such jurors by their discretion.

3. I do confess in the king's bench there have been many precedents of jurors, that have acquitted persons of murder, or other felony tried in that court, if they have gone against pregnant evidence, that have been fined, imprisond and bound to their good behaviour during their lives (g).

The like hath been done before justices in Eyre, and the court of king's bench is a court in Eyre and much more, for that court may reverse judgments given in Eyre. See for this purpose T. 43 Eliz. B. R. Rot. 979. Noy's Rep. p. 48 & 49. Wharton's case, where the jury in the king's bench acquitting the prisoner of murder against pregnant evidence, and finding it only manssaughter were fined 201. apiece,

bound

bound to the good behaviour and for the good behaviour of the prisoner, and committed, and this was done by the advice of all the judges. See the same case M. 44 & 45 Eliz. B. R. Telv. Rep. p. 23.

M. 42 & 43 Eliz. B. R. Croke, n. 12. p. 778. Wats & Braines. In an appeal of murder there was a confederacy among the jury to bring in the verdict not guilty, and if the court disliked it, then to change their verdict, and accordingly they did, and the court disliking their verdict they went out and found him guilty, and this agreement being discoverd the principal confederates were fined and imprisond, but this fine was for their confederacy and practice, not for their verdict.

7 R. 2. Coron. 108. The jury acquitted a notorious robber in the king's bench against great evidence, and the court bound the jury for the good behaviour of the prisoner; the reporter makes a quare per quel ley, vide the notes annexed to Benloe 153. to the same purpose.

4. Again, in cases of inquest of office there have been precedents in the Exchequer, and more frequent in the court of wards for fining of jurors, that would not find according to their evidence. H. 28 Eliz. in Scaccario coram Thes. & baro-

nibus. 3 Hughes 196.

5. The practice of the king's bench to fine jurors for finding verdicts contrary to their evidence was endeavouring to be brought in practice before judges of nish prius; and about 14 Car. 2. in an Oxfordshire case Huntington and his eleven companions jurors were fined 5 l. apiece for such a verdict, and the fine estreated into the Exchequer, but by the whole court by the advice of the greater part of the rest of the judges process was stayed upon that estreat, as being imposed contrary to law (h).

6. Before justices of over and terminer and gaol-delivery, if the jury acquitted a felon contrary to their evidence, the use was to bind them over to appear in the king's bench to answer an information, but I never knew any preferd, and indeed it were impossible almost for any judge or jury to convict a jury upon such an account, because impossible,

that

that all the circumstances of the case, that might move the jury to acquit a prisoner, could be brought in evidence; this therefore feems to me to be but in terrorem.

7. But then it was endeavoured to bring the practice of the king's bench into use before justices of gaol-delivery and over and terminer to fine jurors in criminal causes for not observing the judges directions, and acquitting felons against their evidence, and accordingly a jury in Glocestersbire was fined 5 l. a man for acquitting a person indicted of burglary, the form of the fine was much the same as is hereafter mentiond, this fine was also effreated into the Exchequer, but all the court after great advice with the judges of the common pleas orderd a stay of process thereupon, as being neither warrantable by law nor antient precedents in any court less than Eyre.

At the gaol-delivery at Newgate 10 Maii 17 Car. 2. Wagstaff (i) and eleven other jurymen were fined five marks apiece for acquitting Richard Tomson and others indicted for conventicles, Eò quòd ipsi juratores adtunc & ibidem eosdem Ricardum Tomson Uc. de pradictà transgressione U contemptu contra regem hujus regni Anglia, & contra plenam evidentiam, 🗗 contrà directionem curiæ in materià legis ibidem de 🗗 super præmissis eisdem juratoribus versus præsatos Ricardum Tomson Uc. in dictà curià ibidem apertè dat' U declarat' de præmissis eis impositis in indictamento prædicto acquietaverunt in contemptum dicti domini regis nunc legumque suarum, & ad magnam obstructionem & impedimentum justicia, necnon in malum exemplum omnium aliorum juratorum in confimili casu delinquentium.

They were thereupon committed, and brought their babeas corpus in the court of common-bench, and all the judges of England were assembled to consider of the legality of this fine, and the imprisonment thereupon, wherein there was some little diversity of opinion, whether without a cause of fuit returned also the common pleas could give judgment touching this fine, and if there were cause, deliver the party, or whether he must go into the king's bench by ba-

beas corpus and certiorari.

But it was agreed by all the judges of England, (one only dissenting,) that this fine was not legally set upon the jury; for they are the judges of matters of fact, and altho it was inserted in the fine; that it was contra directionem curio in materia legis, this mended not the matter, for it was impossible any matter of law could come in question, till the matter of fact were settled and stated and agreed by the jury, and of such matter of fact they were the only competent judges.

And altho the witnesses might perchance swear the fact to the satisfaction of the court, yet the jury are judges as well of the credibility of the witnesses, as of the truth of the fact, for possibly they might know somewhat of their own knowledge, that what was sworn was untrue, and possibly they might know the witnesses to be such as they could not believe, and it is the conscience of the jury, that

must pronounce the prisoner guilty or not guilty.

And to say the truth, it were the most unhappy case that could be to the judge, if he at his peril must take upon him the guilt or innocence of the prisoner, and if the judge's opinion must rule the matter of fact, the trial by jury would be useless.

Whereupon, and upon view of the precedents in the court of common bench, where prisoners not legally committed or fined had been discharged, tho no cause of privilege were returned, the jurors were discharged of their im-

prisonment.

And therefore, altho the long use of fining jurors in the king's bench in criminal causes may give possibly a jurisdiction to fine in these cases, yet it can by no means be extended to other courts of sessions of gaol-delivery, over and terminer, or of the peace, or other inferior jurisdictions.

CHAP. XLIII.

Concerning standing mute, and the punishment of penance, or peine fort & dure.

Have hitherto considerd the pleas of the prisoner in capital causes, namely, 1. Confession, 2. Pleas in bar, and 3. Pleas to the felony, or not guilty.

And I have confiderd the proceedings in order to bring the party to his trial, and the trial thereupon by the jury.

It remains, that I should now come to consider what is to be done in case the prisoner will not answer, but stand mute and make no defense.

In this matter these things are considerable.

- 1. What shall be said in law a standing mute, and what not.
- 2. What the consequence or penalty is of a standing mute in capital causes, and therein of peine fort and dure.
- 3. What cautions are to be used before the inflicting
 - 4. By what law it is introduced.
 - I. As to the first of these.

If the prisoner hath received his judgment already, or be convicted and brought to the bar, and demanded what he can say, why judgment should not be given against him, if convicted, or why execution should not be awarded, and he saith nothing, yet this is not such a standing mute as is in hand, for he is already convict or attaint: And therefore in such case, if the party so called hath always remained in custody from the time of his plea of not guilty, if he be called to shew what he can say, why he should not have judgment upon his conviction or execution upon his former

judgment

judgment, and he say nothing, it shall not be inquired, whether he can speak or not, but he shall have present judgment or execution, as the case requires. 10 E. 4. 19. b. But if long time hath passed between his conviction or judgment and this second calling to the bar, it is prudent to make the inquiry, at least by witnesses, whether he can speak, for pos-

fibly he may have a pardon to plead.

But if a man abjure or be outlawd of felony, and after return again, and be taken and brought to the bar to shew cause why execution should not be done, if he stand mute, an inquest of office is to be taken by the court to inquire, whether he can speak or not, and if it be found, that by visitation of God since his abjuration, &c. he hath lost his speech, it shall be also inquired, whether it be the same person containd in the record of outlawry or abjuration, before judgment or execution (as the case requires,) shall be awarded against him, for he may plead in bar of execution in such case, that he is not the same person. 10 E. 4. 19. b. 8 H. 4. 1. b. And so it seems to be, if he were brought in upon a capias utlegat, or habeas corpus by the sherisf; de quo infra.

And therefore the book of 26 Assiz. 19. that saith a party abjured standing mute shall have peine fort & dure, is mistaken, for he shall be hanged, if he stand mute of malice.

Stamf. P. C. Lib. II. cap. 60. fol. 150. b.

If a man indicted of felony demur to the indictment, and will not otherwise answer, this is no standing mute, but if the demurrer be ruled against him, he shall have judg-

ment of death. 14 E. 4. 7. a. per cur.

If a man indicted or appeald of felony pleads not guilty, and puts himself upon the country, and the jury remains upon challenges till another day and then appears, and the prisoner at the bar will say nothing but stand mute, yet this is not a standing mute, for the inquest shall be taken upon the issue already joined; and so in an appeal. 15 E. 4. 33. b.

And yet even in that case it is possible the prisoner may be taken dumb between his plea and his trial, and so lose some

316 Historia Placitorum Coronæ.

fome advantages, that the law gives him for his defense, as challenges, examination of witnesses, and many matters for his defense; [therefore] the court hath used sometimes by inquest, sometimes by inquiry ex officio by the inquest impannelled to try his issue to inquire, whether he stand mute of malice, and then to try him, or if it be ex visitatione Dei, then to respite his trial, but if he spoke the same day in the hearing of the court, then such inquest of office is not taken, for the court is of their own knowledge ascertained of his ability to speak. 43 Asiz. 30. 8 H. 4. I & 2.

The standing mute of a prisoner is not, where he hath pleaded not guilty and put himself upon the country, tho

afterwards he would retract it.

If a prisoner for felony plead not guilty and put himself upon the country, and when the jury appears he challengeth peremptorily above thirty-five, in such case the jury was not to be taken, but judgment of penance was antiently given against him, and so it was no attainder in case of selony. 17 Asiz. 6. 17 E. 3. 23. a. 14 E. 4. 7. a. 3 H. 7. 12. a. 2. a.

But the law herein was after declared otherwise, and by the advice of all the judges judgment of death shall be given, and so it was an attainder. 3 H. 7. 12. a. where it was settled for a rule in all circuits, and so it continued until 22 H. 8. cap. 14. when by act of parliament the challenge was reduced to twenty, and so the judgment of death upon peremptory challenge ceased, unless in high treason or petit treason, where it stands on foot as before, vide Co. P. C. cap. 102. p. 227, 228. who seems to hold, that for challenging above thirty-five judgment of peine fort & dure shall be given according to 14 E. 4. 7. a. & 3 H. 7. 2. a. per omnes justiciarios contra Keble.

Regularly therefore a man is faid to stand mute, when being arraigned for felony or treason, either 1. He answers not at all, or 2. If he answers with such a matter, as is not allowable for answer, and yet will not answer otherwise, or 3. Where he pleads not guilty, but when demanded how he will be tried, either will say nothing, or not put himself upon the country.

Tf

If he stand mute and say nothing at all, in case of selony the court ought ex officio to impannel a jury and swear it as an inquest of office to inquire, whether he stand mute of malice, and if sound so, he shall have the judgment of peine fort & dure, or whether it be ex visitatione Dei, and if sound so, they are to inquire touching all those points, which he might possibly plead for himself, as whether a selony were done, whether he be the same person, that is indicted for it, whether he did it, and whether he hath any matter to allege for his discharge.

But what if all this be found against the prisoner, what shall be done? whether judgment of death shall be given against him, tho he never pleaded, seems yet undeter-

mined (a).

If a man plead not guilty, and being demanded how he will be tried answers by God and holy church 4 E. 4. 11. a. or delivers in a protection 7 E. 4. 29. a. Coron. 30. or will not put himself upon trial of his country, this is a standing mute, as much as if he had not at all pleaded.

II. As to the consequences of standing mute.

In case of an indictment of high treason, the party standing mute, judgment of high treason shall be given against him as upon a nihil dicit, M. 3 & 4 Eliz. Dy. 205. a. rule accordant. Stamf. P. C. Lib. II. cap. 60. fol. 150. a. 2 Co. Inst. Super stat' Westm' 1. cap. 12. vide infra, cap. 44.

In an appeal antiently it had been held, that if the prifoner stand mute, judgment should be given for the appellant.

21 E. 3. 18. a. (*).

But afterwards the law was held all one in case of an appeal and of an indictment, namely the defendant standing mute judgment of peine fort & dure was given against him, yet the statute of Westm' 1. cap. 12. speaks only of the king's suit, (†) vide 43 Assiz. 30. 3 H. 7. 2. a. 14 E. 4. 7. a.

If a man be indicted of felony and stands mute, he shall be put to penance, T. 18 E. 2. B. R. Rot. 20. in derso, Berks, Vol. II.

4 M

rex

⁽a) Vide B. Corone 217. where a perfon, who could neither speak nor hear, was arraigned for felony: vide Part I.

(*) See State Tr. Vol. I. p. 367. lord, Audley's case.

(†) 2 Co. Inst. 178.

f. 34. in notis.

rex (b). And yet vide H. 18 E. 3. B. R. Rot. 16. Ebor. rex, Petrus Geldhird arraigned (c) pro depradatione in regià vià stood mute, and an inquest of office being charged to inquire, if it were wilful, and found so, he had judgment to be hanged.

On the other fide T. 30 E. 3. Rot. 11. in dorso Hunt. rex, The bishop of Ely arraigned for felony dicit, quòd ipse est membrum sancta ecclesia, & episcopus unclus, & frater domini Papa, and that he could not answer without the archbishop of Canterbury [his ordinary] coram laico judice; there went out thereupon a writ to the sheriff of Hunt. to return twenty-four to inquire of the whole fact, and by the inquest he was found guilty of the felony charged upon him, [de receptamento felonum] and his goods seised, but he was demanded by the archbishop of Cant. and deliverd to him as a member of holy church, so that there the fact was inquired of, tho the bishop refused to answer, which was a kind of standing mute (d).

By the statute of 33 H. 8. cap. 12. any person arraigned before the lord steward for treason, murder, manslaughter, or blood-shed in the king's palace, and standing mute shall have judgment, as if convicted, so there is no penance in that case.

But upon the statute of 28 H. 8. cap. 15. for commissioners of the admiralty proceeding in maritime felonies &c.

(b) This was the case of Stephen le Ferrour, who was indicted before justices of over and terminer pro receptamento selonum, and upon being arraigned mutum se tenuit, a jury was impannelled ex efficio, who sound quòd mutum se tenet de merà & spontaneà voluntate suà, & quòd loqui potest si velit, and he was thereupon put to penance, ad pænam; the record was removed by writ of error coram rege, where he pleaded net guilty, and was committed to the marshal and afterwards produced the king's parden, Ideo inde quietus.

(c) It appears by the record, that it was not upon an arraignment, that he flood mute, for he had fled from justice and was outlawd, but being afterwards taken he was brought into court, and demanded why execution should not be

done upon him in pursuance of the outlawry, to this he made no answer; but this is not a standing mute to the purpose in hand, as our author himself has shewn at the beginning of this chapter.

(d) This was not properly a standing mute, but a claiming the benefit of clergy, (which in antient times was usually done before pleading,) and was of the like nature with the case of Alan de Beckingham Mich. 20 & 21 Edw. 1. Rot. 4. in dorso coram rege, Nottingham, see Part I. P. 343. in notis, and the case of John de Besco, P. 6 E. 2. B. R. Rot. 2. Essex, see Part I. p. 180. in notis, the reason therefore, why the sast was inquired of, was the same in this case, as in those, viz. that it might be known, pro quali ordinario liberari debeat, whether as a clerk convict or acquit. Vide 2 Co. Inst. p. 633.

there is no fuch exclusive provision, and therefore they follow herein the course of the common law, so that any perfon indicted for piracy before these commissioners standing mute shall have judgment of peine fort & dure. T. 7 Eliz. Dy. 241.b. Brooke's cafe.

The judgment of peine fort & dure is, as it is recited by Stamf. P. C. Lib. II. cap. 60. fol. 150. b. & 4 E. 4. 11. b. viz. "That he be fent to the prison from whence he came, " and put into a dark, lower room, and there to be laid " naked upon the bare ground upon his back without any " clothes or rushes under him or to cover him except his " privy members, his legs and arms drawn and extended " with cords to the four corners of the room, and upon " his body laid as great a weight of iron, as he can bear, and " more. And the first day he shall have three morsels of " barly bread without drink, the fecond day he shall have " three draughts of water, of standing water next the door " of the prison, without bread, and this to be his diet till " he die" (e). Vide the entry thereof Rast. Entries 385. a. This judgment is given for his contempt in refusing his

legal trial, and therefore he thereby forfeits his goods, but it is no attainder, nor gives any escheat or corruption of blood: vide 34 E.3. Escheat 10. Dy. 308. a. 14 E. 4.7. a.

The severity of the judgment is to bring men to put themselves upon their legal trial, and tho sometimes it hath been given and executed, yet for the most part men bethink themselves and plead.

If a peer of the realm arraigned upon an indictment of felony before his peers refuse to plead, [he shall have] this judgment of peine fort & dure. P. 17 Car. 1. casus domini Ca-Alehaven (f).

And a woman shall have the same judgment, if she stand mute. 2 Co. Inst. 177. Super stat. Westm' 1. cap. 12. Wiseman's case there cited.

If

⁽v) But before they proceed to this tying his thumbs together with whip-extremity, it has been the practice to cord. Thorely's case Kel. 27. endeavour to make the prisoner plead by

(f) State Tr. Vol. 1. \$\psi \cdot 367\$.

If a man be indicted of petit larciny and refuse to plead, it seems judgment of peine fort & dure shall not be given, but the party convict, for he is not to have judgment of death.

But if a woman be indicted for simple larciny of goods under 10s. tho she shall not die for it, but only be burnt in the hand by the statute of 21 fac. cap. 6. yet if she refuse to plead, the judgment of peine fort & dure shall be given against her, because it may fall out upon the case, that she hath been burnt in the hand before, and then she is to be executed; and it is but a privilege, as clergy is, which she must put herself by her defense into a capacity of enjoying.

If a new felony be made by act of parliament, tho it make no provision touching the penalty of standing mute, yet it is a necessary consequence thereof, tho not specially provided for, if it be not ousted by the act, that makes it felony; as clergy is an incident to every new created felony, unless specially ousted by act of parliament (*), for they are inci-

dents: vide Dy. 241.b.

And therefore in rape, tho made felony by Westm' 2. cap. 34. if the party indicted stand mute, he shall have judg-

ment of penance. P. 7 Car. 1. lord Castlehaven's case.

Tho judgment be given of peine fort & dure, yet if the offense laid in the indictment be within clergy, his clergy shall be allowed him, which appears by the statutes of 23 H. 8. cap. 1. 25 H. 8. cap. 3. and other statutes that oust clergy, where the party stands mute, in some particular cases, and by the books.

III. As for the third general, the necessary cautions to be

used in inflicting this severe punishment are these.

1. Let not the judgment be too hastily given, let the prifoner have not only trina admonitio, but also some convenient respite, possibly till the afternoon, to bethink himself, if the arraignment be in the morning; or till the next morning, if the arraignment be in the afternoon: and let the judgment itself be distinctly read to him, that he may know

know his danger before his final refusal with due admo-

nition not to destroy himself. 4 E. 4. 11. b.

2. Before any judgment final be given, if the prisoner stands wholly mute and says nothing at all, let an inquest of office be taken to inquire, whether it be ex malitia, or ex visitatione Dei, unless he hath spoken in court the same day, vide Rast. Entries title gaol-delivery.

3. And likewise let the judge hear the witnesses upon oath to give a probable testimony of his guilt, for the his malicious silence carries with it a presumption of guilt, yet it is good to have some concurrent testimony. 1. In respect of the severity of the judgment. 2. Because the statute of Westm' 1. cap. 12. de quo infra, seems to require it.

4. If the offense laid in the indictment be within clergy, tho in strictness of law the prisoner ought to pray it, yet it is the duty of the judge to allow it, tho not prayd, and

that as well after judgment pronounced as before.

IV. Concerning the fourth particular, by what law this

judgment of peine fort & dure is introduced.

By the statute of Westm' 1. cap. 12. Purvieu est ensement que les felons escries. U queux sont apertement de male fame, U ne soy voilent mitter en enquest de felonies, que homes met sur eux devant justices a la suit le roy soient mises en la prison fort U dore, come ceux queux resusent estre al common ley de la terre, mes ceo nest my a entender pur prisoners, que sont prises per legier suspicion.

Some (b) have antiently thought, that this act of parliament introduced the penance, and therefore they did antiently think it did not extend to an appeal, because that is the suit of the party and not the suit of the king, de quo

antea p. 317.

But it seems, that altho this statute is in some points directive, namely, that it should be applied to those, that are of ill same, and not those, who are taken upon a light suspicion, and therefore the court before they give this judgment ought either by inquest of office, or at least by examination of witnesses to inquire concerning the probabilities Vol. II.

2 Inst. p. 179.

And this appears 1. Because this statute only speaks of imprisonment fort & dure, but enacts not the punishment itself by this lingring painful death, therefore the punishment, as it is thus inflicted, was at common law, and is by force of the common law. 2. Because tho some antient opinions were, that it extended not to the case of an appeal of felony, yet the law hath constantly for many ages extended it to an appeal (i), which cannot be by force of this statute, but by the common law.

3. The antients, as Fleta (k), Britton (l) and Horn (m), tho they wrote fince the making of this statute, mention the penance without referring of it to this act of Westm' 1. (n).

CHAP.

(i) Kel. 37. (k) Lib. I. cap. 34. 6. 33. (l) Cap. 4. 8. 23. & cap. 22. 8. 73.

(m) Mirror, cap. 1. 6.9.

(n) This statute was made 3 E. r. and the by the manner of the expression it does not seem to have introduced this penance, but father speaks of it as a thing already known, yet I cannot find, that it is ever taken notice of in any antient author, book-case, or record before the reign of E. 1. on the contrary I find some instances in the preceding reign of persons arraigned for selony standing mute, who yet were not put to their penance, but had judgment to be hanged: at which time it feems to have been the usual practice, that if the prisoner stood wil-. fully mute, a jury of twelve were impanneld ex officio, and if they found him guilty, another jury of twenty-four were chosen to examine the verdict of the former; and if they were of the same opinion, the prisoner was sentenced to be hanged. Placita coronæ coram justic itinerant' in comitatû Warwicensi anno 5 H. 3. Rot. 1.

" Agnes, quæ fuit uxor Roberti de "Bosco, appellat Thomam filium Huberti

" de morte Roberti viri sui, & Thomas " venit, & quia ipsa habet virum Rober-" tum de Verdun nomine, qui nullum " facit appellum, ipsa non habet vocem " appellandi, & ideò inquiratur veritas per patriam, & Thomas defendit mortem, sed non vult ponere se super pa-"triam, & xii juratores dicunt, quòd culpabilis est de morte illà, & xxiv milites, alii a prædictis xii, ad hoc electi idem dicunt, & ideò suspendatur. Catalla Thoma xxxiv solidos & vi de-

narios, unde vicecomes respondebit.

Ibidem in dorso. "Thomas de la "Hethe captus per indictamentum pro " furtis & aliis nequitiis & pro recepta-" mento venit, & non vult ponere se super patriam; & juratores dicunt super " sacramentum suum, quòd malè cre-" dunt eum de receptamento Holbæ Go-" lichtly, qui fuit latro cognitus, & po-"flea suspensus apud Caunped'em, & de " hoc & de aliis furtis eum male cre-" dunt, & xxiv milites ad hoc electi di-" cunt idem, quod prædicti xii juratores, & quòd latro est de ovibus & de a-" veriis & aliis rebus, & ideò fuspen-

CHAP. XLIV.

Concerning clergy how it flood at common law, and how generally at this day.

Having in the former chapter gone through the pleas and trials of the prisoners, and the proceeding upon standing mute, I come to consider the privilegium clericale, and I the rather refer it to be examind in this place, because the antiently clergy was prayd and allowed upon the arraignment of the prisoner, yet at this day it is rarely done but upon his conviction or standing mute, and this is, 1. For the convenience of the court to be ascertained first of the nature of the crime by the confession or trial of the prisoner.

2. For the advantage of the prisoner, who possibly may be acquitted, and so need not the benefit of clergy: vide Hob. Rep. 288. Searle & Williams.

And for the full discussion of this matter, (which I must needs say is one of the most involved and troublesome titles in the law,) I shall, as near as I can, hold this method.

1. To consider somewhat in general touching the original and alteration of the privilege of clergy.

2. In what cases it is to be allowed, and in what not (a).

3. What persons are capable of this privilege, and what not (b).

4. At what time it is to be allowed, and when not (c).

5. The manner how it is to be allowed, and who the judge of it (d).

6. The consequences of the praying or allowing of it (e).

For the first of these, namely the original and progress of this privilegium clericale.

Antiently princes and states converted to christianity in favour of the clergy, and for their incouragement in their offices and imployments, and that they might not be so much intangled in suits, did grant to the clergy very bountiful privileges and exemptions, principally of two kinds. 1. Ex-

emption

⁽a) Cap. 45, 46, 47, 48, 49, 50. (b) Cap. 51. (c) Cap. 52. (d) Cap. 53. (e) Cap. 54.

emption of places confecrated to religious duties from arrests for crimes, which was the original of sanctuaries.

2. Exemption of their persons from criminal proceedings in some cases capital before secular judges, which was the true

original of the privilegium clericale.

The clergy increasing in wealth, power, honour, number, and interest afterwards set up for themselves, and that, which they obtained by the favour of princes and states at first, they now began to claim as their right, and a right of the highest nature, namely jure divino; and by their canons and constitutions endeavourd, and (where they met with tame and easy princes and states,) obtaind vast extensions of these exemptions. 1. In the persons concerned, namely to all that had any kind of subordinate ministration relative to 2. In the causes, exempting as far as they the church. could all causes of clergymen, as well civil as criminal, from the jurisdiction of the secular power, and wholly subordinating them immediately and only to the ecclefiaftical jurisdiction, which they supposed to be lodged first in the pope by divine right and investiture from Christ, and from the pope thed abroad into all subordinate and ecclesiastical jurisdictions, whether ordinary or delegate.

And by this means they endeavourd and in some kingdoms and for some ages obtained, that there was a double supreme power, or two kingdoms in every kingdom, the one a regnum ecclesiasticum, absolute and independent upon any but the pope over ecclesiastical men and causes, exempt and separate from the secular magistrate; the other a regnum seculare of the king or civil magistrate, which yet was not so absolute, but that it had subordination and subjection to this regnum ecclesiasticum; so it was regnum sub gration to this regnum ecclesiasticum; so it was regnum sub gra-

viori regno.

He that lists to see the whole scheme of their claim, let him read Suarez his large discourse of the monumenta eccle-

hastica in his opuscula.

But altho the usurpations of the pope were very great and obtained much in this kingdom, until the extermination of his pretended supremacy by king H. 8. yet this claim of the

exemption

exemption of the clergy totally from secular jurisdiction grew so burdensome and intolerable, that it was from time to time qualified and abridged by the civil power, sometimes by acts of parliament taking it away in some cases, sometimes by the interpretation and construction of the judges, and sometimes by the contrary usage of the kingdom; for ecclesiastical canons never bound in England sarther than they were received, and so had not their authority from their own strength and obligation, but from the usages and customs of the kingdom that admitted them, and only so far forth as they were so admitted. And therefore,

I. As to the exemption of the clergy from civil suits between party and party only, if upon the distringas he was returned clericus & beneficiatus non habens laicum feodum, process issued to the bishop to bring him in, and in case of a statute merchant they were by special acts exempted from arrests by capias. But yet they were not exempt from the jurisdiction of civil courts in civil causes, yet antiently they attempted this also in the king's courts but with ill success, and so they never attempted it after, that I remember.

M. 7 & E. I. B. R. Rot. 13. Cant. William Joye plaintiff [brought an action] against Guy Mortimer rector of Kingston for beating him and cutting off his upper lip with a knife, the defendant pleaded quòd ipse est clericus, & non debet hic respondere, and that was all the answer he would give, Et quia querela ista non tangit vitam & membrum, sed est de quâdam transgressione personali, nec ipse vult in curià domini regis respondere ad querelam istam, judgment was given for the plaintiff to recover 100 l. damages taxed by the court, and [the defendant was] committed to gaol, and afterwards paid twenty marks to the king for a fine (o).

II. If they were indicted in cases criminal but not capital, nor wherein they were to lose life or limb, there privilegium clericale was not allowed them, and therefore not in Vol. II.

4 O indictments

⁽⁰⁾ The record of that case was thus, " de Guydone de mortuo mari, restore " Willichnes Joye de Kyngeston queritur " ecclesiæ de Kyngeston, & Thoma le " Clerk

indictments of trespass, petty larciny, or killing se defen-

Stamf. P. C. fol. 124. a.

III. If they were indicted of high treason, clergy was not allowable, and therefore Hill. 2 H. 4. Rot. 4. B. R. rex, where the bishop of Carlifle was indicted of high treason, and insisted upon his privilegium clericale, quia episcopus unctus, yet this claim was disallowd and he put upon his trial, and con-

victed (p).

Yet Hill. 17 E. 2. Rot. 87. in dorso, Heref. coram rege, the bishop of Hereford indicted of high treason for levying war against the king alleged, that he was episcopus Heref. ad voluntatem Dei & summi pontificis, and could not answer absque offensa divina & saneta ecclesia. Thereupon the plea was adjourned into parliament, where the bishop answerd as before, and the archbishop of Canterbury claimed him and had him; thereupon it was orderd, that day should be given in the king's bench to the bishop, and the archbishop was to have him there at the day, and in the mean time a writ issued to the sheriff of Heref. to return twenty-four to inquire, as if he had pleaded, squod venire faciat tot & tales, &c. ad inquirendum prout moris est, Uc. pro quali, Uc. returnable at the same day; the bishop appeard accordingly in the custody of the arch-

" Clerk de Harengton de hoc, quòd " Thomas fimul cum aliis ex præcepto " prædicti Guydonis ipsum Willielmum "insultaverunt, verberaverunt, & male "tractaverunt, ita quòd de vità ipsius "desperabatur; & dictus Guydo manû "sua proprià, & knypulo suo labium ipsius Willielmi superius abscidit, unde "isita quòd deterioratus all & damp " dicit quòd deterioratus est & damp-" num habet ad valentiam centum li-" brarum; & inde producit sectam. Et
" prædicti Guydo & Thomas veniunt &
" dicunt, quòd clerici sunt, & non de" bent hic respondere, & sæpiùs quæsiti " si velint respondere, semper dicunt quod clerici sunt, & sine ordinariis suis nolunt respondere. Et quia que-" rela ista non tangit vitam & mem-" brum, sed est de quâdam transgres-" fione personali, nec ipsi volunt in cu-" rià domini regis respondere ad quere-" lam illam, confideratum est, quòd " prædicti Guydo & Thomas de præ-

" dictà transgressione convincantur, & " satisfaciant prædicto Willielmo Joye de "dampnis, scilicet quilibet eorum de "centum libris, & domino regi de "misericordia, & committantur gaolæ pro transgressione &c.

"pro transgressione &c.

(p) The reason given in the record is in these words, "Quicumque ligeus do"mini regis, cujuscumque status, seu "conditionis, spiritualis, vel temporalis "fuerit, in terra Angliæ pro alta pro"distance & crimine læsæ majestatis in"distance & secoram reger vel justi-" dictatus est, & coram rege, vel justi-" ciariis fuis inde arrenatus tenetur, & " debet per legem Angliæ inde respon-

Yet in antient times a difference was made between treasons, that were immediately against the king's person, and other treasons: vide Part I. p. 185, 186, 222. in notis; and the case of the bishop of *Hereford* here mentiond.

bishop, and the jury found him guilty, Ideo considerat' est, quod pradictus episcopus tanquam convictus &c. remaneat penes pradictum archiepiscopum ut priùs, &c. and all his goods and chattels, lands and tenements were seised into the king's hands by writ directed to the sheriss: Upon which it is observable, 1. That a kind of allowance is made of clergy in high treason. 2. That notwithstanding his claim of clergy, yet a writ issued to summon a jury, who inquired whether guilty or not. 3. That upon this plea and this inquisition, tho he had his clergy, it was ut clericus convictus.

Nota in the parliament of the 1 E. 3. this judgment was reverfed for this cause, that the justices took the inquisition, licet idem episcopus in aliquam inquisitionem se non posuisset. Claus. 1 E. 3. Part I. M. 13. so that the judgment was given upon the inquisition, and not upon nihil dicit for standing

mute, and therefore erronious (q).

But afterwards T. 21 E. 3. Rot. 23. Hertford, rex, John Gerberge was indicted for a constructive treason namely, accroaching royal power, de quo vide supra, Part I. cap. 11. p. 80. 138. and thereupon claimed the privilege of clergy, Et quia privilegium clericale in hujusmodi casu seditionis secundum legem & consuetudinem regni hastenus obtentas & usitatas non est allocandum & c. quasitum est ab eo sapiùs qualiter se velit acquietare.

(q) The error of this judgment consisted not merely in its being given upon an inquisition "in quam episcopus se non po"fuisset," but because it was given upon an inquest, "in quam episcopus se non
"posuisset," after he had been allowed
his clergy and deliverd to his ordinary.
For the Placita Coronæ of those times
shew, that it was the constant practice
for inquests ex officio to pass upon clerks
pleading their privilegium clericale,
where clergy was allowable; the method
whereof was thus. The clerk upon his
arraignment pleaded his privilegium clericale; then came the ordinary and demanded him; then a jury ex officio was
summond to inquire into the truth of the
charge; or as it is express in this record,
"ad inquirendum, prout moris est, pro
"quali, &c. (i. e. pro quali eidem ordi"nario liberari debeat,") and according
to such inquest, the clerk was deliverd
to the ordinary as acquit, or convict.

Thus are the entries upon the rolls. "A.B. indictatus de feloniâ, eò quod, "&c. & ductus coram rege, & allocu"tus qualiter se velit de feloniâ præ"dictâ acquietare, dicit quòd clericus
"est, & sine ordinario suo non deber hîc
"respondere. Et super hoc venit C.D.
"&c. Et petit ipsum tanquam clericum
"fibi liberari; sed ut sciatur pro quali
"eidem ordinario liberari debeat, in"quiratur rei veritas per patriam."
Then a jury ex essicio was summond, by which if it was found, "Quòd A.B.
"non est culpabilis, liberatur ordinario
"pro tali &c." But is culpabilis "liberatur ordinario tanquam clericus
"convictus, salvò custodiendus, sub pœ"nâ quâ decet &c." Vide M. 20 &
21 E. I. Rot. 4 in dorso, B. R. Hill. 22
E. I. Rot. 15. Ibid. Trin. 30 E. 3. Rot.

11. B. R. Rex. Trin. 31 F. 3. Rot. 15.
Ibid. Rex.

tare, he still replied, that he was a clerk, asserens se nolle a-liam responsionem exhibere; and thereupon he is committed to the marshal ad pænitentiam suam secundum legem & consuetudinem regni subiturum &c.

Nota clergy denied in such a treason, yet penance a-

warded, tho the charge was treason.

Yet at common law before the statute of 25 E.3. cap. 4. pro clero, it seems that clergy was allowable to him, that was indicted for counterfeiting coin, or for counterfeiting money. B. Clergy 31. But that is altered by the statute of 25 E.3. pro clero.

IV. If clerks were indicted with these clauses, insidiatores viarum & depopulatores agrorum, clergy was denied them, and therefore the act of 4 H. 4. cap. 2. was made to put these clauses out of indictments and to allow clergy, if they were in the indictment.

Again, as it was denied in respect of some offenses, so this privilegium clericale was by the common law abridged in respect of the person; for certainly by the canon laws Nuns had the exemption from temporal jurisdiction, but the privilege of clergy was never allowed them by our law: vide stat' 21 Jac. (r).

Again, tho the ordinary took himself to be the judge of the allowance of the clergy and of the purgation of the clerk, yet the king's courts took that courage to make the ordinary but a minister, and themselves judges of the allowance and disallowance of the clergy and purgation. 21 E. 4. 21. b. 9 E. 4. 28. a.

And so the judges of the common law would oftentimes deliver the clerk to the ordinary, but absque purgatione, as where the clerk is attaint by outlawry or by judgment, or convict by his own confession, or upon an appeal. Stamf. P. C. Lib. II. cap. 49. 3 H. 7. 12. a. 10 E. 3. Coron. 247. Hob. Rep. 288. Searle & Williams, or if he were a notorious malefactor, vide 10 E. 3. Coron. 247. or if he be convict by verdict of counterseiting the seal or coin at common law before the statute of 25 E. 3. Lib. Parl. 18 E. 1. Berton's case (*), or if he

⁽r) Cap. 28. 9. 6 & 7. (*) Ryley's Plac. Parl. p. 56.

be committed by record to the ordinary absque purgatione.

Hob. ubi supra.

And in these cases, if the ordinary admitted him to his purgation, he was fineable for it as a great misdemeanor, and the party deliverd by fuch purgation shall be again committed to prison, M. 34 & 35 E. 1. Rot. 59. Kanc. B.R. the case of Hugh Forsham deliverd by William Testa, and another commissionated from the pope (s); and the entry in such cases is, liberatur ordinario tanguam clericus convictus & utlegatus ad salvò custodiend' periculo, quòd incumbit &c. & inhibitum est eidem ordinario, ne ad aliquam purgationem ipsius A.B. procedat domino rege inconsulto, eò quòd prædictus A. B. pro feloniis Uc. utlegatus est Uc. H. 14. E. 3. B. R. Rot. 19. Rex. Suff. Lond. The case of John de Hemmyngeston chaplain. But indeed, if the clerk had his clergy and were generally deliverd to the ordinary, he might admit him to make his purgation, and upon fignification thereof by the ordinary into the chancery a writ should issue to the sheriff to deliver unto the party fo purged all his goods and chattels feised into the king's hands upon that occasion, nifi fugam fecerit ea occasione. F. N. B. 66. a. And all this is to shew, that whatfoever weight the clergymen laid upon their canons and their exemptions from the fecular jurisdictions, yet their canons or constitutions, or pretensions or claims of this kind were not binding here, nor so taken farther than either by acts of parliament or the common acceptation of Vol. II.

That case was thus: Whilst the temporalties of the archbishop of Canter-Wiry were in the king's hands, two clerks convict of selony imprisond in the archbishop's prison had been admitted to purgation, and delivered out of custody by master Hugh Forskam, "Per man-"datum magistrorum Willielmi Testa, "& Geraldi, clericorum papæ, admi-"nistratorum spiritualitatis archiepisco-"patûs prædicti, absque mandato do-"mini regis." Forskam was brought coram rege, and arraigned for the said offense; and the keeper of the gaol was also arraigned for bringing the said

clerks "coram præfato Hugone ad pur"gandum, absque præcepto domini re"gis;" and were both convicted by their own confession, and committed to the marshal, "Et postea sinem secerunt "pro transgressione & contemptu præ"dictis." Afterwards the two clerks, who had been deliverd by such purgation, were brought from the tower, where they had been imprisond by the king's writ. "Et separatim allocuti qua"liter de selonia prædicta se velint ac"quietare, dicunt quòd clerici sunt, & "liberantur ordinario sub pæna, qua de"cet, &c.

Historia Placitorum Coronæ. 330

the kingdom they were received, and therefore these privileges received divers alterations and corrections and reftrictions by the temporal judges, as the occasion required.

C H A P. XLV.

In what offenses clergy is allowable or not.

OW touching the offenses, wherein clergy is or was allowable, and in what not.

There are these general rules, that have influence in this whole discourse.

1. That in case of high treason against the king clergy was never allowable in this kingdom.

2. That at common law in all cases of felony or petit

treason clergy was allowable, excepting two.

3. That where a statute makes a new felony, clergy is incident thereunto, unless it be specially taken away by acts of parliament; but where it makes a new treason, there is no clergy.

Upon these generals much of the succeeding business of

this chapter, and some that follow will be built.

I. As to the first of these I say generally in all cases of high treason clergy was never allowd.

And this proposition will be considerd two ways. 1. How the common law stood before the statute of 25 E. 3. pro clero, and 2. How it stood after.

The statute of 25 E. 3. for the clergy was made in the parliament held in Hill. 25 E. 3. which was in the same parliament, wherein the statute of declaration of treason is made, commonly called The statute of purveyance.

By this statute pro clero cap. 4. it is enacted, "That all " manner of clerks, as well fecular as religious, which

"fhall be from henceforth convict before fecular judges for any treasons or felonies touching other persons than the king himself or his royal majesty, shall from henceforth

" have and enjoy the privilege of holy church, and shall be "without impeachment or delay deliverd to the ordinaries

" demanding them, and upon this the archbishop promiseth,

"that upon the punishment and safe keeping of such clerks

" offenders, which shall be deliverd to the ordinaries, he shall thereof make a convenient ordinance, whereby they shall

" be fafely kept and duly punished, so that no clerk shall

" take courage to offend for default of correction.

At the same parliament it was declared what was treason, and among the rest counterfeiting the great or privy seal, or the king's coin is declared treason, and put in the same rank with compassing the king's death or levying of war, and it is thereby enacted, "That no other offenses, than "what are therein declared, be treason till declared by par-" liament.

Before this statute there were two sorts of treasons, that concerned the king, one was of a greater note, and another of a less note.

Those of the greater note were conspiring the king's death, levying of war against the king, adhering to his enemies, and two others, that are since abrogated by the statute of 25 E.3. which came under the general and obscure names of sedition, and accroaching of royal power.

In any of these a party convict had not his clergy at common law, this appears by the judgment cited in the former chapter (a). T. 21 E. 3. B. R. Rot. 23. Rex.

But there were other treasons, that concerned the king, which were of an inferior note, namely counterfeiting the feal and counterfeiting the coin and these, (the latter especially,) had only judgment as in case of petit treason, namely to be drawn and hanged.

And it seems before the statute of 25 E.3. de proditionibus clergy was allowed in both these cases, as appears by the old book of E.3. B. R. title Clergy, placito ultimo, and the judg-

ment in parliament of 18 E. 1. in Berton's case, who being convict for counterfeiting the king's seal had his clergy, but

tradatur ordinario fine purgatione (b).

But now as to the statute of 25 E. 3. pro clero, and the statute of 25 E. 3. at the same parliament de proditionibus laying them both together in all cases of treason touching the king himself or his royal majesty clergy is wholly taken away, and in all other cases of treason or felony clergy is allowd; and confequently in murder, robbery, petit trea-

fon clergy is fettled by this act of parliament.

But whatfoever is declared treason against the king by the statute of 25 E. 3. de proditionibus, as well counterfeiting the feal or the money of the kingdom, as any other treason therein declared, is wholly exempted from clergy. 19 H. 6. 47. b. Stamf. P. C. Lib. II. cap. 42. fol. 124. a. M. 31 E. 3. coram rege Rot. 18. Rex, in dorso, Bucks, casus abbatis de Mussenden (c) pro resecatione & falfificatione legalis moneta, 24 H. 8. Spelman's Rep. accordant adjudge. 2 Co. Inst. 635, 636. Super Artic' cleri.

So that at this day in all cases of high treason, whether those declared by the statute of 25 E.3. de proditionibus, or any other treasons newly enacted fince, the privilege of clergy is wholly taken away; and, (which is the second propolition above mentiond.)

II. In all felonies, that were at common law before the statute of 25 E. 3. pro clero, and in all cases of petit treason by that statute the privilege of clergy is restored and settled.

And therefore in all fuch felonies or petit treasons, which were such at the time of the statute of 25 E. 3. cap. 4. pro clero clergy is allowable, unless in such cases where it is taken away by subsequent acts of parliament, and so far forth only as the same is so taken away.

But in what cases subsequent acts of parliament have taken away clergy, where at the time of the statute of 25 E. 3. it was allowable, shall be the business of the next

chapter.

But

⁽b) Vide supra p. 328. See also Part I. p. 185, 186. in notis, & p. 223. in tis. (c) Part I. p. 216.

But yet there seem to be two felonies, where clergy was not allowable notwithstanding this act, namely certain acts, that by interpretation of law were hostile acts, which was the reason, that I long since heard Mr. Noy then the king's attorney give for it in the king's bench about 7 Car. 1. viz. 1. Insidiato viarum & depopulatio agrorum. 2. Wilful burning of houses.

1. Concerning the former of these it appears, that insidiatores viarum and depopulatores agrorum were ousted of their clergy notwithstanding the statute of 25 E. 3. cap. 4. pro clero.

Rot. Parl. 4 H. 4. n. 30. there was a complaint in parliament by the archbishop of Canterbury and clergy, where upon it was enacted, that that general clause should be left out in indictments and words of the same effect inserted, and that notwithstanding the indictment carried the same effect, yet benefit of clergy should not be denied, as appears at large by the statute of 4 H. 4. cap. 2.

2. As touching wilful burning of houses I have heard, as before, that clergy was not allowable by the common law,

but of this more fully in the next chapter.

Now touching facrilege tho some later statutes were made to oust clergy in that crime, yet it seems at common law, or at least after the statute of 25 E. 3. cap. 4. pro clero it was allowable, as appears 26 Assiz. 27. where it is agreed by the justices, that a person indicted of robbing a chapel and breaking a church should have his clergy; but it seems, it was with this difference, that if the ordinary refused him, as he might, he should not have his clergy. 20 E. 2. Coron. 283. Stamf. P. C. 123, 124. but otherwise the court would allow it him. 26 Assiz. 27.

C H A P. XLVI.

Where and in what offenses, that were capital at common law, clergy is taken away in part or in all by acts of parliament subsequent to 25 E.3. and first, of petit treason.

Have before declared what capital offenses were exempt from clergy at common law, and how the law flood in relation thereunto before and by the statute of 25 E.3. and have there settled it, that regularly in all capital offenses, except treasons, which touch the king, the offender is to have the privilege of his clergy.

But as touching treasons, that touch the king, by virtue of the common law and the declaration of that statute the benefit or privilege of clergy is not allowable, neither is there any statute, that hath alterd the law in that point of treason, but it stands still excluded from the privilege of clergy.

But as to petit treason and felonies subsequent statutes have made great alteration as to the point of clergy from what was declared by the statute of 25 E.3. cap. 4. pro clero.

The inquiry therefore touching the alterations made by subsequent statutes in point of petit treason and felony may be confiderd in this method.

- 1. What alterations have been made by acts of parliament in relation to new felonies made by acts of parliament lince 25 E.3. And
- 2. What alterations have been made in such offenses, as were petit treason or felony at the time of the making of that statute.
- I. As to the former of these this general rule holds, that if an act of parliament make a felony, and doth not take

away clergy in express words, in all these cases clergy is allowable.

And if it doth make a felony and takes away clergy not generally, but in such or such cases, regularly in other cases clergy is allowable, as if it take away clergy in case the party be convicted by verdict, yet he shall have his

clergy, if he stand mute.

But if it enacts generally, that it shall be felony without benefit of clergy, or that he shall suffer as in case of selony without benefit of clergy, this excludes it in all circumstances, and to all intents; and because I have before in the particular enumeration of selonies by act of parliament taken notice all along what are excluded of clergy and what not, I shall dismiss that part of the inquiry referring myself to the several acts of parliament, that enact the selonies themselves; and shall proceed to the second part of the inquiry.

II. Therefore as to those felonies, that were such at the

time of the statute of 25 E. 3. cap. 4. pro clero.

I shall first deliver some general positions, and then

proceed to the particular felonies themselves.

or felony there was at the time of the making of that statute, it was within the privilege of clergy by force of that statute at least, except those two above mentiond in the last chapter.

2. That therefore all fuch petit treasons and felonies are at this day within clergy, unless where it is ousted by sub-

sequent statutes now in force.

3. That where any statute subsequent to 25 E.3. cap. 4. hath ousted clergy in any of those felonies, it is only so far ousted, and only in such cases and as to such persons, as are expressly comprised within such statutes, for in favorem vite & privilegii clericalis such statutes are construed literally and strictly.

And therefore, if clergy be oufled as to the principal, it is not oufled as to the accessary; if as to the accessary before, it is not extended to the accessary after; if where the

prisoner

prisoner is convict by verdict, it holds not as to a conviction by confession, nor as to an attainder by outlawry, nor to a standing mute, as we shall see in the subsequent initances.

- 4. That in all cases, where a subsequent act of parliament ousteth clergy in case of any felony, the indictment must precisely bring the party within the case of the statute, otherwise, altho possibly the fact itself be within the statute, and it may so appear upon the evidence, yet if it be not so alleged in the indictment, the party, tho convict, Stamf. P. C. fol. 130. a. Dy. 99. a. Inall have his clergy. 183. b. 224. b. 261. a.
- 5. Altho the case be so laid in the indictment, that it comes within the statute to exempt the prisoner from clergy, yet if upon the evidence it fall out that, tho it be a felony, yet it is not so qualified, as laid in the indictment, the jury ought to find him guilty of the felony fimply, but not as to the manner laid in the indictment, (as for instance guilty of the felony, but not of the robbery, or not of the breaking of the house,) and thereupon the prisoner shall be admitted to his clergy; and this is commonly done.

And now I come to the particular offenses, wherein clergy is taken away from such felonies, where by the common law and the statute of 25 E. 3. cap. 4. it was allowable.

And those offenses are these that follow.

1. Petit treason. 2. Murder. 3. Manilaughter. 6. Burglary. 7. Larciny of several 5. Robbery. kinds and degrees.

And I shall now pursue them in the same order, as they

are fet down.

First, Petit treason, as the servant killing his master, &c. It is plain, that after the statute of 25 E.3. cap. 4. clergy was to be allowed until 12 H.7. cap. 7. & 23 H.8. cap. 1.

The first statute, that ousted clergy generally in petit treason, was that of 12 H.7. cap. 7. which yet extended but to conviction or attainder, and only to the principal not to the accellary.

By the statute of 23 H. 8. cap. 1. it is enacted, "That " no person, which shall be found guilty after the laws of " the land for any manner of petit treason, or wilful mur-" der of malice prepensed, or for robbing any churches, chapels, or other holy places, or for robbing any person " or persons in their dwelling house or dwelling place, the " owner or dweller of the same house, his wife, children, " or servants then being within, and put in fear or dread " by the same, or for robbing any person or persons in or " near the highways, or for wilful burning of any dwelling " houses or barns, wherein any corn or grain shall happen " to be, nor any person found guilty of any abetment, pro-" curement, helping, maintaining or counselling of or to any fuch petit treasons, murders or felonies shall from " henceforth be admitted to the benefit of clergy, except " clerks in holy orders, viz. in the order of subdeacon or a-" bove; and that fuch persons in orders convict of those of-" fenses shall be delivered to the ordinary, but shall remain in " prison without purgation, unless he become bound by recognisance before the king's justices, where he was con-" vict, with two sufficient sureties for his good behaviour. " Persons attaint by judgment upon confession, outlawry, " or verdict admitted to clergy to remain in prison without

"Clerks convict, and upon their clergy allowed deliverd to the ordinary may be degraded, and then fent into the king's bench by the ordinary to receive judgment upon their conviction, and the justices having the record before them shall give judgment upon such conviction, as if he

" had not had clergy.

purgation.

This act, tho temporary, was continued by the statute of 28 H. 3. cap. 1. and made perpetual by 32 H. 8. cap. 3. and by the same act persons in orders are put into the same condition, as other persons not in orders, notwithstanding this statute of 23 H. 8. cap. 1. or 25 H. 8. cap. 3.

This statute of 23 H.8. as to all these crimes extended to principals and accessaries before the fact, but not to acces-

saries after.

But yet it extended to exclude principals and accessaries before, only in case where they were found guilty after due course of law, viz. by verdict or confession, &c. and extended not to standing mute, &c. And therefore by the statute of 25 H. 8. cap. 3. It is enacted, "That every persion, that shall be indicted of petit treason, wilful burning of houses, murder, robbery, or burglary, or other selony according to the tenor or meaning of the said statute of 23 H. 8. and thereupon arraigned do stand mute of malice or froward mind, or challenge peremptorily as bove the number of twenty, or do not answer directly to the indictment and selony, whereof he shall be arraigned, shall be excluded from clergy in like manner, as if he had pleaded to the offense and been found guilty according to the laws of the land.

And provides, "That if any person be indicted in a fo"rein county for stealing of goods in another county, and
be found guilty, stand mute, challenge above twenty pe"remptorily, or will not directly answer, he shall be ex"cluded from clergy, as he should have been, if he had
been arraigned for the robberies or burglaries in the same
shire, where they were done, if by examination it shall
appear to the justices, that had he been indicted and arraigned in the county, where the burglary was done, he
should have been excluded from his clergy by the said
statute, had he been found guilty there.

This statute was but temporary, because bottomed upon the statute of 23 H. 8. cap. 1. that was but temporary, but by the statute of 28 H. 8. cap. 1. was continued till the last day of the next parliament, and by the statute of 32 H. 8. cap. 3. made perpetual.

But hitherto in this case of petit treason, (and indeed generally in all these cases of the statute of 23 H.8.) there were these defects.

1. That as to the principal the statute of 23 H.8. cap. 1. did extend to appeals, as well as indictments for the offenfes described in that statute, and if they were found guilty by verdict or confession, the appellee and accessary before

were excluded of clergy, but the statute of 25 H.8. cap. 3. extended only to indictments, and therefore an appelled standing mute, &c. was to have his clergy in the cases of the statute of 25 H.8. cap. 3. Again,

2. Neither of these statutes extend, where the party is

outlawd for these crimes.

3. As the law was then taken, challenging above twenty had been a conviction, or at least had put the party to his penance; but that I may observe it once for all, now that clause of challenging above twenty mentiond in the statute of 25 H. 8. and other statutes hereafter mentiond imports nothing as to the point of clergy, for his challenge is over-ruled and he put upon the jury, as hath been before observed (*).

But because the statute of 1 & 2 P. & M. cap. 10. in case of petit treason restores the peremptory challenge of thirty-five, it should seem, that if he challenge peremptorily above thirty-five, he shall have the benefit of his clergy,

for it is now become casus omissus.

And therefore by the statute of 4 & 5 P. & M. cap. 4.

"If any should malitiously command, hire or counsel any
"to commit petit treason, wilful murder, or to do any
"robbery in any dwelling house or houses, or to do any
"robbery in or near the highway, or to burn any dwel"ling-house or any part thereof, or any barn then having
any corn or grain in the same, then every such offender,

I. Being outlawd for the same, or 2. Arraigned and
found guilty by order of law, or 3. Otherwise lawfully
"convict or attaint of the same, or 4. Who shall stand
"mute of malice or froward mind, or 5. Shall perempto"rily challenge above twenty persons, or 6. Will not di"rectly answer, is ousted of his clergy.

But nota, every indictment to oult the accessary before of his clergy must run malitiose, otherwise he shall have his

clergy. 2 Eliz. Dy. 183. b.

But now by the statute of 1 E. 6. cap. 12. it is enacted, "That no person, that hath been, or shall be in due form of law attaint or convict of murder of malice prepensed,

" or of poisoning of malice prepensed, or breaking any house by day or by night, any person being then in the house, where the same breaking shall be committed, and thereby put in fear or dread, or of or for robbing " any person or persons in or near the highway, or for fe-" lonious stealing of horses, geldings or mares, or of felo-" nious taking goods out of any parish church or other " church or chapel, or being indicted or appeald of any of " the faid offenses, and thereupon found guilty by twelve " men, or shall confess the same upon his or their arraign-"ment, or will not answer directly according to the laws " of this realm, or shall stand wilfully or of malice mute, " fhall be admitted to have the privilege of clergy or " fanctuary, but shall be put from the same, and that all " persons in all other cases of felony, other than such as " are before mentiond, which shall be arraigned or found " guilty upon their arraignment, or shall not confess the " same, or stand mute, or will not directly answer, shall " have and enjoy the benefit of clergy and fanctuary, as "they might have had before the 24th of April 1 H.8.

This statute doth not restore clergy to the principal in case of petit treason, but leaves the law in relation thereunto, as it stood before, and upon the statutes of 23 & 25 H. 8. tho here be no word of petit treason, for if the opinion of Walsh and my lord Dyer M. 6 & 7 Eliz. Dy. 235. a. be law, viz. that a general pardon of all offenses except murder, doth not except petit treason, and so petit treason comes not within the expression of felony, then the clause, that in all other cases of selony clergy shall be allowed, doth not extend to allow clergy in petit treason.

But if that opinion be not law (a), (as I think it is not,) then the exclusion of clergy from murder by this statute excludes it also in petit treason.

But if it did not, yet it does not restore clergy in petit treason to the principal (b), where found guilty or attaint, because

⁽a) Vide supra Part I. cap. 29. p. 378. the principal,] but the scope of our author's argument plainly shows he intend MS. are [takes not away clergy from ed to have wrote [does not restore]

because before 1 H.8. clergy was taken away in petit trea-

fon from the principal by 12 H.7. cap. 7.

Again, by the statute of 5 & 6 E. 6. cap. 10. taking notice, that by the act of 1 E. 6. the act of 25 H. 8. cap. 3. touching robbers and burglars arraigned in a forein county, and ousting them of clergy by examination stands repeald, whereby offenders were much emboldened, it is enacted, "That the said act made in the 25th year of King H. 8. "touching the putting such offenders from their clergy, "[and every article, clause and sentence containd in the same touching clergy] shall from henceforth touching fuch offenses from henceforth to be committed or done stand, remain, and be in full strength and virtue in such

" manner, as it did before the making of the said act in

"the faid first year of King E. 6. any clause, article or

" sentence comprised in the said act of 1 E. 6. to the con-

" trary thereof notwithstanding.

Now upon this act of 5 E. 6. cap. 10. it hath been taken, that not only the clause of the act of 25 H. 8. cap. 3. touching forein felonies ousled of clergy upon examination, but the whole act of 25 H. 8. cap. 3. is re-enacted, and upon that account wilful burning stands by virtue of that act ousled of clergy, because ousled of clergy by 23 & 25 H. 8. tho no mention be made thereof in the statute of 1 E. 6. and accordingly resolved 11 Co. Rep. 33. Alexander Poulter's case, de quo infra.

Upon the whole matter it seems plain, that at this day in

relation to petit treason the law stands thus.

1. The principal convict by verdict or confession is ousted of clergy by 23 H.8. cap. 1. both in appeals and indictments.

2. The principal standing mute, or not directly answering is ousled of clergy by 25 H. 8. cap. 3. in cases of indictment, but not in case of an appeal; and the statute of 1 E. 6. cap. 12. doth not alter the case as to the principal in petit treason.

3. Yet I see no provision to oust clergy of a clerk attaint of petit treason by outlawry, but that he may claim his clergy and be delivered to the ordinary, as a clerk at-

Vol. II. 4 S taint

taint without purgation, for this is not provided for, as it feems by these statutes.

4. But in my opinion the statute of 1 E. 6. cap. 12. taking away clergy from persons attaint, as well as from perfons convict of murder doth extend to petit treason, which is in truth murder, and consequently a person outlawd of petit treason, tho not by the statutes of 23 or 25 H.8. yet by the statute of 1 E. 6. is exempt from clergy under the name of wilful murder (c).

And the statute of 4 & 5 P. & M. cap. 4. taking away clergy from the accessary before in case of petit treason, where attainted by outlawry, had committed a great piece of abfurdity in putting the accessary in a worse case than the principal, unless the law had been taken, that the statute of 1 E. 6. cap. 12. had taken it away from the principal in the like case of outlawry, which is an attainder in

5. As to the accessary before the fact, he is ousted of clergy in all the cases before mentiond by the statute of 4 U 5 P. U M. cap. 4. and fo the law stands at this day, but it must be laid malitiosé. 2 Eliz. Dy. 183. b.

6. But the accessary after the fact hath his clergy in all cases in petit treason, for no statute takes it from him.

I have been the longer in this, because it was necessary to take notice of the feries of all the statutes, and to difintangle them, and it will ferve for the briefer collection of what follows in other cases.

İ

CHAP.

(c) If this statute be construed to take away clergy from petit treason, it takes it also where he will not answer directly, away, as well in case of an appeal, as of or shall stand wilfully mute. an indictment, not only where the party

C H A P. XLVII.

Concerning the alteration made by several statutes in cases of murder, manslaughter, rape, and wilful burning of houses or barns with corn.

I. I Shall briefly confider how the privilege of clergy stands as to murder, and therein.

1. At the common law, and by the statute of 25 E. 3. cap. 4. clergy was to be allowed as well in murder, as any

other felony.

2. Tho there were some particular statutes, that in particular cases took away clergy in case of heinous murders (*), yet the first general law, that took away clergy in case of wilful murder ex malitià pracogitatà generally was 23 H. 8. cap. 1. which extended only to a conviction by verdict or confession, and included accessaries before, and extended to appeals, as well as indictments.

3. The statute of 25 H. 8. cap. 3. extended only to indictments but not to appeals; to principals and not to ac-

cessaries before or after.

4. But the statute of 1 E. 6. cap. 12. took away clergy from principals in murder in all cases, viz. conviction by verdict or confession, attainder by outlawry or otherwise, standing mute, or not directly answering (a), but this statute of 1 E. 6. extended not to accessaries.

5. By

^(*) Vide 12 H. 7. cap. 7. 4 H. 8. cap. 2 22 H. 8. cap. 9.

⁽a) This statute omits the case of challenging above twenty, but this our fra cap. 48. however this omission is supauthor thinks unnecessary to be inserted, plied by 3 & 4 W. & M. cap. 9. as to because since 22 H. 8. cap. 14. neither peindschments.

nance nor judgment of death is to follow in that case, but only the challenge is to be over-ruled, vide supra p. 270. & in-

344 Historia Placitorum Coronæ.

5. By the statute of 4 & 5 P. & M. cap. 4. all that shall malitiously command, hire, or counsel any to commit any wilful murder are ousted of clergy in all cases.

6. But accessaries to murderers after the fact have their

clergy in all cases.

So that the principal stands at this day ousled of clergy in all cases, and the accessary before is also ousled of clergy in all cases, but the accessary after is in no case ousled of clergy.

But it must be remembred, that the party indicted must

be brought within the very letter of the statute.

If the indictment be felonice & ex malitià suà praeogitatà interfecit, yet he shall have his clergy, because there wants the word murdravit. Dy. 261. a.

So if it be felonice interfecit & murdravit, and says not ex malitia sua praecogitata, it is but an indictment of man-flaughter, and the prisoner shall have his clergy.

So if a man be indicted, as accessary before, viz. quòd pracepit, and says not malitiose pracepit. P. 2 Eliz. Dy.

183.b.

II. As to manslaughter, regularly in all cases the person in-

dicted or appeald ought to be admitted to his clergy.

But if A. B. and C. be indicted specially upon the statute of 1 Fac. cap. 8. setting forth, (as the indictment must,) "That A. felonice pupusit & percussit D. not having any weapon drawn, nor having stricken sirst, and that B. and "C. were present, aiding and abetting," tho A. B. and C. are all principals in manslaughter at common law, yet A. only, that gave the stroke, shall be ousted of his clergy. H. 23 Car. 1. B. R. Page's case (b).

And therefore it seems in that case, if it be found, that A. gave not the stroke, but B. and that A. and C. were aiding and abetting, not only A. and C. that gave not the stroke shall have their clergy, but also B. because, tho the case of B. is within the statute, yet as to him the indistment brings him not within the statute, and so differs from the case of a general indistment of murder, where tho it be laid, that

A. gave the stroke, and B. was present, aiding and abetting, yet if upon the evidence it appears, that B. gave the stroke, and A. was abetting, Uc. both shall be convict of murder, for both are equally murderers, and the indictment is true as to both, quòd ex malitià suà pracogitatà interfecerunt & murdraverunt (*).

By the statute of 1 Fac. cap. 8. clergy is ousted as to him, that so stabs upon any conviction by verdict, confession or otherwise, and that as well in case of an appeal as of an indictiment; but it extends not to standing mute or not directly answering, for there is no conviction in that case, and so it seems as to an outlawry (c).

III. As to rape, by the statute of 18 Eliz. cap. 7. If any man be convict thereof by verdict or confession, or be outlawd for the same, he is excluded of clergy, but this act extends not to a standing mute or not directly answering, for this is casus omissus (d), and he shall have his clergy 11 Co. Rep. 35. b. Poulter's case.

But at this day in all cases challenging above twenty makes nothing either for or against clergy, for the party shall not be put to his penance nor be convict thereupon, but only his challenge shall be over-ruled and he put upon his trial, as hath been before observed (†), and therefore the clause in the act of parliament outling clergy, where he challengeth above twenty, or the not mentioning of that clause makes nothing at this day one way or another as to the point of clergy.

But neither accessaries before or after are upon this statute exempt from the privilege of clergy.

IV. As to the case of wilful burning.

It thands now a fettled point, that if the principal be convict by verdict or confession, or stand mute, or will not directly answer, he shall not have his clergy, this is the point resolved 11 Co. Rep. 35. a. Poulter's case, and the constant practice is, and always hath been accordingly.

Vol. II. And

is excluded from clergy by 3 & 4 W. & cap. 9. M. cap. 9. upon an indictment, but not (†) in an appeal.

^(*) Vide supra p. 292. I Salk. 334.

(d) But this is provided for in case of (c) But in all these cases the offender an indictment by 3 & 4 W. & M. (d) But this is provided for in case of

^(†) p. 270.

And the statute of 4 & 5 P. & M. cap. 4. Strongly proves the law to be so, for clergy is taken away from the accessary before, and it were a strange oversight, if an act of parliament should exempt the accessary from clergy in this case, and yet the principal should have the benefit of it.

That which caused the doubt was the statute of 1 E. 6. cap. 12. where it enumerates all the offenses, which were then to be exempt from clergy, and mentions not the case of wilful burning, and enacts, "That in all other cases of selony the offenders shall have clergy, as they should have had before 1 H. 8." and the first statute, that took away clergy from wilful burning of houses or barns with corn was a statute made after 1 H. 8. viz. 23 H. 8. cap. 1. & 25 H. 8. cap. 2.

There have been three answers given hereunto (*), viz.

1. That this was a felony, that even by the common law before 1 H. 8. was exempt from clergy, being an act of hostility, and this I remember was given by Noy attorney general about 8 Car. 1. but possibly this may be doubtful as to the fact, whether at common law clergy were not allowable upon this offense, and if it were not, yet it is a greater doubt, whether that law were not alterd by the act of 25 E. 3. cap. 4. pro clero, wherein clergy was settled in all cases, except treasons or selonies, that touch the king or

his royal dignity.

2. Others have agreed, that clergy was taken away in these cases of wilful burning by the statutes of 23 H. 8. cap. 1. and 25 H. 8. cap. 3. and consequently this offense not being enumerated in the statute of 1 E. 6. cap. 12. is by the general concluding clause of that statute restored to the benefit of clergy: But then they think, that by the statute of 5 & 6 E. 6. cap. 10. the statute of 25 H. 8. cap. 3. is wholly revived, and consequently now the repeal of the exemption of clergy in case of wilful burning is repeald by the revival of the statute of 25 H. 8. cap. 3. by the subsequent statute of 5 & 6 E. 6. cap. 10. and thereby exemption from clergy in case of wilful burning is again established.

But this hath in it many difficulties. 1. It feems by the whole scope of the preamble and the strict penning of the body of the act of 5 & 6E. 6. cap. 10. that that act revived only so much of the act of 25 H. 8. cap. 3. as concerns the oufting of felons of their clergy upon examination, where robberies or burglaries were committed in forein counties. 2. Again, the statute of 25 H. 8. took away clergy from wilful burning only in cases of indictment, and that only where the prisoner stands mute, answers not directly, or challengeth above twenty, but the outling of clergy in case of appeals, as well as indictments upon conviction by verdict or confession stood purely upon the statute of 23 H. 8. cap. 1. which is no where revived as to the point in question, and yet that is the case, that must most ordinarily occur, namely, where the party is convict.

. 3. Therefore the last and I think the surest answer as to this difficulty is, that the statute of 3 & 4 P. & M. cap. 4. taking away clergy in all cases from him, that malitiously commands, hires, or counfels the wilful burning of any dwelling-house or barn with corn, in all cases of conviction, attainder, standing mute, outlawry, peremptory challenge of above twenty, or not directly answering, doth by necessary consequence take away clergy in all these cases from the

principal offender in fuch wilful burning.

But quâcunque viâ datâ the law stands settled, that clergy is taken away in all cases from the principal in wilful burning of a dwelling-house or a barn with corn, quod vide 11 Co. Rep. Alexander Poulter's case per totum.

And therefore I can by no means think, that outlawry of the principal in this offense is within the privilege of clergy, for the accessary even in that instance is exempt from (e) cler-

gy by 4 & 5 P. & M. cap. 4.

Now as touching the accessary by the statute of 4 & 5 P. & M. cap. 4. they that shall malitiously command, hire,

or

⁽e) The MS. has it [is subject to] but both the statute and the sense require it should be [is exempt from].

or counsel this fact, viz. accessaries before, are exempt from the benefit of clergy in all cases.

But accessaries after are within the benefit of clergy in all cases.

C H A P. XLVIII.

Concerning clergy in robbery from the house, or robbery from the person.

RObbery is of two kinds, from the person, and from the house of another.

First, Robbery from the person is a violent assault upon the person, and felonious and violent taking away his goods putting him in fear.

The principal in case of robbery in or near the highway

is ousted of his clergy, viz.

1. By the statute of 23 H. 8. cap. 1. "Where he is con"victed by verdict or confession, whether it be in an ap"peal or an indicament.

2. By the statute of 25 H. 8. cap. 3. " In an indict-"ment, where the party stands mute, will not directly an-

" fwer, or challengeth above twenty.

And in case the robbery were in or near the highway in the county of A. and he carry the goods into the county of B. and there be indicted of larciny, and upon examination it appears it was such a robbery in the county of A. that had he been indicted in the county of A. he should have been ousted of his clergy by the statute of 23 H. 8. cap. 1. the justices of the county of B. shall oust him of his clergy in the county of B. whether he be convicted, stand mute, challenge above twenty, or answer not directly.

And

And tho this clause be repeald by the statute of 1 E. 6. cap. 12. it is again revived by 5 & 6 E. 6. cap. 10. and stands now in force as to all robberies, where the party, if convict, is to be ousted of his clergy by the statute of 23 H. 8. cap. 1.

But it extends not to any felony, where clergy is ousled by any statute after 23 H. 8. Co. P. C. cap. 50. p. 115. Stamf.

P. C. fol. 128. a. (*).

If A. commit a robbery near the highway in the county of B. and take away but to the value of 6 d. yet if indicted for robbery in the county of B. he shall have judgment of death without benefit of clergy, but if he carry those goods into the county of C. and there is indicted and pleads, and the jury find him guilty to the value of 6 d. tho upon the evidence it appears, that it was a robbery in the county of B. yet he shall not have judgment of death, because as it now stands, it is but petit larciny (a), where the prisoner is not to have his clergy but to be whipt, and the examination given by the statute of 25 H. 8. is only to oust clergy, where demandable. M. 31 Eliz. Moore's Rep. n.739. p.550.

If a man be indicted for a robbery in viâ regiā (†), or in altâ viâ, or in altâ viâ regiā and be convict, he shall be ousted of his clergy by the statute of 23 H.8. but if it be laid to be in viâ regiā pedestri ducent' de London ad Islington, tho he be convict, he shall have his clergy; adjudged 38 H.8. Moore's Rep. n. 16. p. 5.

But in that case it might have been laid prope altam viam regiam, and he should have been oust of his clergy, for the words of the statute are in or near the highway.

If a man be robbed upon the river *Thames* or other public river within the body of a county, this is a robbery upon the king's highway, and may be so laid in the indictment, and the party shall be ousted of his clergy upon these statutes, and so it was agreed in *Hide*'s case at *Newgate*, *M*.

Vol. II. 4 U 23

^(*) Vide Part I. p. 518. But by 3 & 4 W. & M. cap. 9. the like clause is enacted as to all felonies, wherein clergy was ousted by that or any other statute.

(a) Vide Part I. p. 536.

^(†) According to what our author says Part I. p. 535. if the indictment be laid only in via regia, this will not be sufficient to oust clergy.

23 Car. 2. for the public streams are highways, and therefore they are called hault streames le roy (*).

But this statute of 25 H. 8. extends not to standing mute, or not directly answering in an appeal, but only in an indict-

ment, and therefore,

3. The statute of 1 E. 6. cap. 12. ousts such robbery of clergy as well in an appeal as indictment, where the offen-

der stands mute, or will not directly answer.

But mentions nothing of challenging peremptorily above twenty, neither need it, for, as hath been faid (†), he shall be only put from his challenge, and the jury shall be charged to pass upon him, and no conviction or peine fort & dure shall ensue upon his peremptorily challenging above twenty, as the law now stands.

But whereas Stamf. Lib. II. cap. 42. fol. 129. b. affirms, "That upon all these statutes, and in all the cases mentiond "in them there are two cases, wherein the offender in mur-"der, robbery, &c. shall have his clergy, namely, where the "offender is outlawd, or convict by battle," it is not true of the former, for outlawry is an attainder, and tho 23 H. 8. & 25 H. 8. speak neither of outlawry nor attainder, yet the statute of 1 E. 6. cap. 12. saith, if any person be attaint or convict of murder, &c. he shall be ousted of clergy.

And the same law it is, if the appellee of robbery be vanquished in an appeal, for he is thereby convict, and the statute doth not mention only a conviction by twelve men, but any person in due form of law attaint or convicted of mur-

der, &c.

And thus far concerning principals.

As touching accessaries by malitious commanding, hiring or counselling any such robbery, they are ousted of clergy by 4 & 5 P. & M. cap. 4. in all cases, namely being convict, standing mute, not directly answering, or outlawd, &c.

But accessaries after have the benefit of clergy in all cases. Secondly, As touching a robbery from the house of any person.

This divides itself into these several heads.

ı. Rob-

(*) Vide Part I. p. 536.

(†) Supra p. 270.

1. Robbing in the dwelling house, the owner, his wife of family in the house and put in fear.

2. Robbing in the dwelling house, any person being in

the house and put in fear.

3. Robbing in the house or tent, the owner, his wife, or servants being in the house, tho not being put in fear.

4. Robbing a house, and no person being therein.

As to these in their order.

I. Robbing any person in his dwelling house or dwelling place, the owner or dweller, his wife, children, or servants being within the same, and put in fear or dread by the same.

By the statute of 23 H. 8. cap. 1. as well in an appeal as an indictment, the principal and accessary before the fact are ousted of clergy in two cases, namely,

1. If convict by verdict. 2. If convict by confession.

By the statute of 25 H. 8. cap. 3. there is farther provision made, but only in case of indictment, not of appeal, and only against the principal, but not the accessary before or after, viz. 1. If the principal stand mute of malice or froward mind. 2. If he challenge above twenty peremptorily. 3. If he will not directly answer.

There is farther provision made for ousling of clergy, where robbers of houses carry the goods into another county and be there indicted of larciny, if upon examination they should be ousled of clergy, had they been indicted in the first

county; but, as hath been before observed,

1. This ousling of clergy by examination in a forein county refers only to such robbery, as by the statute of 23 H.8. cap. 1. is ousled of clergy, namely, where the owner, his wife, children, or servants are then in the house and put in fear, not to such robberies, as by acts of parliament made since are put out of clergy. 2. In case of an arraignment in a forein county, if the goods prove to be but of the value of 12 d. here is no clergy to be demanded or allowd, being but petit larciny, and therefore no ousling of clergy by examination.

Dorothy Cole (*) was indicted in Suffex for stealing goods, upon the evidence it appeard, that she broke a house in Kent, and brought the goods into Suffex, the jury found the goods to be of the value but of 7 s. yet in as much as there was no putting in fear of the owner, his wife, or family, she was to have the benefit of the statute of 21 Fac. and could not be ousted of it by examination, for the by the statute of 39 Eliz. cap. 15. clergy were taken away, yet the taking away of clergy upon examination in a forein county extends only to robberies, where clergy is taken away by 23 H. 8. but if it had been with a putting in fear, fo that in case of a man he should have been ousted of his clergy, it deserves confideration, whether the woman, if under 10s. should have been ousled of the benefit of the statute of 21 Fac. cap. 6. by examination, the originally it were a burglary and robbery. Sed de hoc infra.

But these statutes did not extend to any such robbery, where 1. There was no putting in fear. 2. Where the owner, his wife, children or fervants were not in the house, but only a stranger were there and put in fear. 3. Neither did they extend to one attaint by outlawry or battle.

4. The statute of 25 H. 8. extended not to appeals.

As to accessaries before the fact, by the statute of 4 & 5 P. & M. cap. 4. it is enacted, " That if any shall com-" mand, hire, or counsel any person to do any robbery in " any dwelling house or houses, they shall be excluded " from clergy in all cases, viz. convict, outlawd, standing " mute, &c.

Upon this statute these things are observable,

1. It requires an actual robbing, viz. taking away some goods; a bare breaking of the house is not sufficient.

2. It extends to a robbing, without mentioning put in

- 3. It extends to outlawry, which 23 or 25 H. 8. extended not to.
- 4. It extends to appeals as well as indictments; but accessaries after are in no case excluded from clergy.

II. Rob-

II. Robbing of any person by day or night, any person being then in the same house, and put in fear or dread

thereby.

By the statute of 1 E. 6. cap. 12. clergy is taken away in all cases, viz. if he be attaint by outlawry or otherwise, convict by verdict, confession, or wager of battle, stands mute, or will not directly answer: And this as well in appeals as indictments.

It is true, it mentions not peremptory challenge of above twenty, neither is it material for the reason before given.

But this statute, tho it speaks generally of breaking a house by day or by night, hath had this construction always allowd, viz.

If the breaking of the house be in the night, then it must be such a breaking as amounts to burglary, viz. with an intention to commit a felony, and then it ousts clergy, if it

be with a putting in fear.

If it be a breaking the house in the day-time, then it must be also such a breaking, as hath an actual robbery joined with it, and then if there be a putting in fear also, the clergy is ousted in all the cases mentiond in this statute.

But in both cases there must be a putting in fear, other-

wife this statute ousts not clergy.

This statute therefore hath made these additions to the statutes of 23 & 25 H. 8. viz. 1. It exempts burglary from clergy, tho there be no robbery, if there be a putting in sear. 2. If there be a burglary in the night, or robbery in the day committed in the house, and any stranger be then in the house and put in sear, it excludes from clergy, tho it be not the owner or any of his family. 3. It excludes the principal from clergy in cases, where he is not excluded by any of the two former statutes (b).

But again on the other fide, it restores clergy to the accessary before the fact, tho convict by verdict or confession, and repeals so much of the statute of 23 H.8. as excludes the accessary before from clergy. But as hath been said, Vol. II.

⁽b) Viz. in case of attainder by outlawry, and also in case of standing mute, or not directly answering in an appeal.

the statute of 4 & 5 P. & M. cap. 4. takes off the clergy again from accessaries, where there is a robbery, and a putting in sear, but not where there is only a burglary with a putting in sear, but without robbery; but accessaries after

in all cases have their clergy.

III. If any person be found guilty of robbing any person in any part of his dwelling house or dwelling place, the owner or dweller of the same house, his wife, children or servants then being within the same, or in any other place within the precinct of the same house or place, such offender shall not be admitted to his clergy, whether such dweller or owner, his wife or children then and there being shall be sleeping or waking. 5 & 6E.6. cap. 9.

And the same provision is made for excluding clergy, where a person shall commit a robbery in a booth or tent in any fair or market, the owner, his wise, children or servant being then in the same booth sleeping or waking.

Upon this act we are to observe,

a breaking as would make a burglary, if committed in the night, and the indictment must run fregit & intravit domum mansionalem J. S. prasato J. S. uxore & liberis suis in eadem domo existent, and such a breaking of the house must be proved in evidence: vide supra, Lib. I. cap. 44. p. 522.

2. The alleging of such a breaking of the house is sufficient to bring him within this statute to oust him of his clergy, if it be proved, tho it be not alleged by the way of robbery, viz. violenter & à persona, but only è domo pradictà, for it countervails a robbery within this statute.

If the servant steal goods out of his master's house in the day or night, the master, his wife and children being in the house, the servant is not to be ousted of clergy by

this statute, for here is no breaking of the house.

If the servant unlatch a door, or turn a key in a door in the house and steal goods out of that room, tho if he had been a stranger, that had not to do in the house, he should hereupon be ousted of his clergy, yet it seems to me the servant shall not be thereupon ousted of his clergy, for

the

the opening the door in this manner is within his trust and so no breaking of the house, nor robbery within this act, and the same law seems to be upon the statute of 39 Eliz.

сар. 15.

But if the servant break open a door, whether outward or inward, (as for the purpose a closet, study, or counting-house,) and steal goods, this is a robbery and breaking the house within this statute, as also within the statute of 39 Eliz. for such a breaking, tho by a servant in the night, would make burglary, for such an opening is not within his trust.

3. But there must not only be a breaking of the house, the owner, his wife, children or servants being within the same, but there must be also a felonious taking of the goods out of the house to exclude clergy by this statute.

4. But a bare felonious taking of goods out of the house; whether by night or day without such a breaking, as would make burglary, if done in the night, excludes not from

clergy within this statute.

5. This statute both as to robbery in dwelling houses or booths requires, that the dweller or owner, his wife, children, servants or servant be then within the house; so that the being of a stranger in the house excludes not clergy no more than upon the statute of 23 H. 8. cap. 1. Stamf. P. C. fol. 129. b.

6. It extends to no other case, but where the party is found guilty, viz. either by verdict or confession, and not to outlawry, standing mute, or not directly answering, there-fore in all these cases the offender shall have his clergy (c).

7. It extends to an appeal, as well as indictment.

8. It doth not exclude accessaries neither after nor be-

fore from clergy.

Neither doth the statute of 4 & 5 P. & M. cap. 4. extend to accessaries in this case, but only where robbery is committed, and any person within the house put in sear.

So that upon this statute all accessaries to the felony de-

scribed by this statute are to have their clergy.

IV.

⁽c) But by 3 & 4 W. & M. cap. 9. clergy is taken away in these cases also.

356 Historia Placitorum Coronæ.

IV. Robbing from the house goods to the value of 5 s. in the day-time, no person being in the house.

By the statute of 39 Eliz. cap. 15. it is enacted, "That if any person be found guilty by verdict, confession, or otherwise for the felonious taking away in the day-time of any money, goods or chattels of the value of 5 s. or upwards in any dwelling house or houses, or any part thereof, or in any outhouse belonging or used with the said dwelling house, altho no persons shall be in the said house or outhouse at the time of the felony committed,

" fuch perfons shall be excluded from their clergy.

1. Altho this statute speak only of felonious taking in the body or purview, yet inasmuch as in the preamble it speaks of robbery of houses, a bare taking of goods out of a house, no body therein, without an actual breaking of the house, such as would make burglary were it in the night, is not such a taking out of a house, as excludes from clergy, and thus it hath constantly obtaind in practice against the opinion in Popham's Reports 84. Bayne's case (d).

2. The indictment must run according to the statute, viz. quòd tempore diurno, scilicet inter horas &c. domum mansionalem J. S. fregit & intravit nullà personà in eadem domo tunc existente, & ibidem &c. in eadem domo inventa adtunc & ibidem felonice furatus suit, cepit & asportavit, for breaking the house in the day without taking goods is no felony. 11 Co. Rep.

36. a. b. Poulter's case.

And if upon the evidence it fall out, that it was in the night, or that any person was in the house at the time, or that he stole, but broke not the house, he shall be found guilty of a simple felony and have his clergy, but not guilty according to the statute (e).

But

(d) This case therefore was not effected to be law. Kel. 68. but now by 10 & 11 W. 3. cap. 23. clergy is taken away from all, who shall by night or day privately and seloniously steal to the value of 5 s. in any shop, ware-house, coach-house or stable, or by 12 Ann. cap. 7. to the value of 40 s. in any

dwelling house or outhouse thereto belonging, altho it be not broken, nor any person therein.

(e) But these cases are now provided against by 10 & 11 W. 3 & 12 Ann above mentiond. Vide Part I. p. 564. in notis.

But there need not either in this case, or upon the statute of 5 & 6 E. 6. above-mentiond be a formal mention of a robbery, as is used in an indictment for robbery from the person, for fregit domum imports it.

3. It takes away clergy only from the principal, and that only where the person is convict by verdict, confession, or otherwise, and therefore excludes not clergy, where the party stands mute, or is outlawd (f), or will not directly answer, nor from the accessary. 11 Co. Rep. 36. b. Poulter's case.

4. If a man break the house in the day-time with intent to steal, but steals nothing, this is no felony, but otherwise in case of breaking the house in the night with intent to

steal, this is burglary 11 Co. Rep. 31. b. Poulter's case.

If a man enter by the doors or windows open and steal goods, this excludes not clergy upon this statute, nor upon the statute of 5 & 6 E. 6. cap. 9. for it must be such an act to make a robbery within either of these statutes, as would make a burglary, were it in the night; it must be fregit & intravit.

And therefore the conftant use at Nemgate is, and always hath been upon these statutes, that if a man enter the doors being open, and breaks open a cheft and steals goods to the value of 5 s. this shall not oust him of his clergy within this statute, or the statute of 5 & 6 E. 6. cap. 9. (g).

But if a man enters an house the outward doors being open, and when he is in the house, breaks open, or unlocks or unlatcheth an inward door and iteals goods out of the room to the value of 5s. he shall be ousted of his clergy upon this statute, the same being done in the day-time no body being in the house; or if he steals goods of any value out of that inward room fo opened by day or by night, the owner of the house, his wife, children, or servants being in the house, he shall be ousted of his clergy, being indicted upon the statute of 5 & 6 E. 6. cap. 9.

Vol. II. 4 Y T. 16

⁽f) These cases are since taken in by who comfort, aid, abet, assist, counsel, 3 & 4 W. & M. cap. 9. by which sta-hire, or command. tute clergy is also taken away from all

⁽g) Vide Part I. p. 523, 524, 527, &

358 Historia Placitorum Coronæ.

T. 16 Car. 2. Simpson's case (h) at Cambridge assises. A. being indicted upon the statute of 39 Eliz. it was found by special verdict, that A. breaking into the house by day, no body being in the house, and breaking open a chamberdoor and a chest took out goods to the value of 5 s. and laid them on the sloor, and before he could carry them out of the house was taken: By the advice of all the judges of England he was ousted of his clergy upon this statute, for the taking them out of the chest was felony, and the statute doth not alter the selony, but excludes from clergy, if it were done in the house, and of the value of 5 s. and none in the house.

Trin. 13 Car. 1. Evans & Finch (i) were indicted, for that they tempore diurno, viz. circa horam 12. did break domum mansionalem Hugonis Audley in the Inner-Temple London, nullâ personâ in eâdem domo existent, and stole thence 40 s. Upon a special verdict found in this case, these points were refolved.

- 1. That a chamber in an inn of court is domus mansionalis within this statute.
- 2. That if no body were in the chamber at the time, tho others were in other chambers of the temple, yet this was a breaking of the domus mansionalis Hugonis Audley nullâ perfonâ in eâdem domo existente, and maintains the indictment.
- 3. Because only one of the persons indicted did actually enter the chamber and took out the money, viz. Evans, and the other stood without upon the ladder and received it, Evans was excluded his clergy, and the other who stood upon the ladder and received the money had his clergy.

And

(b) According to this state of the case here was a breaking not only of a chest, but also of a chamber door, which is on all hands agreed to be an act sufficient to make a robbery within the statute, and so the difficulty removed, which arises from this case, as stated above Part I. p. 524 & 527, and indeed as that case is reported in Kelyng p. 31. and in hoc libro Part I. p. 508. & p. 526. the question about the chest or trunk seems to

have been only with relation to the taking away, whether the taking goods out of a cheft and laying them on the floor without carrying them out of the chamber was a taking away or stealing within the statute, and not whether it was a robbery, for if it were a stealing, that would be clear by the breaking open the chamber door.

(i) Cro. Car. 473. vide Part 1. p. 527.

550

And possibly the same law may be upon the statute of 5 \mathcal{E} 6 E. 6. cap. 9. that he only, that enters the house in the day-time without putting in fear, and actually takes the goods shall be excluded from clergy, and those, that stand without the house and are present and abetting, tho all principals, yet shall have their clergy, for I can see no difference in the cases; quare tamen (k).

But if it were a burglary, then as well those without, that were present and assisting, as those within, shall be excluded from clergy by the general words of the statute of 18 Eliz. cap. 7. they that commit any manner of burglary; and

the like in rape and in murder.

And so I do take it without any difficulty, if A. B. & C. come to commit a robbery upon the person of a man, and A. only takes the money from the person, and B. and C. are present and assisting, or if they break a house in the day-time and commit a robbery in the house putting in sear, tho A. only enter the house, and B. and C. watch without, they shall be all excluded from clergy, for they are all robbers.

And if it should be otherwise, this great absurdity would follow, that B. and C. that are present, aiding and assisting in the robbery, should have a greater privilege, where they are present and so principals in the felony, than they should have had, if they had been absent, and only accessaries before the fact, in which case the statute of 4 & 5 P. & M. cap. 4. excludes them from clergy in all cases.

CHAP.

⁽k) This doubt is now at an end, for by 3 & 4 of W. & M. cap. 9. clergy is excluded from all aiders, abettors, &c.

CHAP. XLIX.

Concerning clergy in burglary.

Burglaries may be of two kinds. 1. Simple burglary, that hath no robbery joined with it. 2. Burglary, that hath robbery or theft joined with it.

I. The former of these is, when a man in the night-time breaks and enters a house to the intent to commit a rob-

bery, theft, or other felony.

And this, as it had the benefit of clergy by the common law, and by the statute of 25 E. 3. cap. 4. pro clero, so it was not ousted of clergy neither by the statute of 23 H.8. nor the statute of 25 H.8. but the first statute, that ousted

clergy in burglary was 1 E. 6. cap. 12.

This simple burglary is again of two kinds. 1. Where any person is in the house and put in sear or dread. 2. Where no person is put in sear or dread, as possibly where no person is in the house, which yet taketh not away the offense of burglary. Popham's Rep. 42. per omnes justiciarios Anglia, or if any person being in the house, yet is sleeping and perceives not the burglary till the next morning, &c.

1. In the first of these cases of simple burglary, namely with putting in sear or dread, the statute of 1 E. 6. cap. 12. takes away clergy from the principal in all cases, viz. tho attaint by outlawry or otherwise, or convict, or standing mute, or not directly answering, as appears by the statute itself, and the interpretation made of it. Stamf. P. C. fol. 126. a. 11 Co. Rep. Poulter's case.

But clergy is not taken away from accessaries before or after by this or any other statute, for as to the statute of 4 & 5 P. & M. tho it take away clergy from those, that malitiously command or hire, or counsel any person to do any robbery in any dwelling house, yet unless there be a rob-

bery

bery in the dwelling house, as well as a burglary, it takes not away clergy from the accessary before (a), nor at all

from the accessary after.

2. As to the fecond kind of simple burglary without putting in fear, the statute of 18 Eliz. cap. 7. generally takes away clergy from all persons, that shall commit any manner of burglary in three cases. 1. If he be outlawd for it. 2. If he shall be found guilty of it by verdict, or 3. If upon his arraignment he shall confess it.

But in all other cases of standing mute, or not directly

answering he is to have his clergy (*).

And therefore, if a man be generally indicted of burglary without pursuing the statute of 1 E. 6. cap. 12. viz. without alleging in the indictment, that the owner, his wife, children or servant were in the house and put in fear, the prisoner standing mute, or not directly answering shall have his clergy, (namely, where the indictment is general,) notwithstanding the statute of 18 Eliz. cap. 7.

But the accessaries as well before as after are within privilege of clergy, for neither this nor any other statute

hath excluded them (a).

II. But now as to burglary joined with larciny or robbery in the dwelling house, this again is of two kinds, either with putting in fear, or without putting in fear.

If with putting in fear, then by the statute of 23 H. 8. cap. 1. & 25 H. 8. cap. 3. the owner or dweller, his wife, children, or servants being within the house and put in fear, the offender is ousted of his clergy, not upon the account of the burglary simply considerd, but upon the account of the robbery, if the party be found guilty by verdict or confession, or stand mute, or will not directly answer.

But by the statute of 1 E. 6. cap. 12. he is excluded from clergy in all cases, if any person were in the house and put

in fear.

Vol. II. 4 Z And

(a) But by 3 & 4 of W. & M. cap. 9.

clergy is taken away from the acceffary W. & M. clergy is taken away also in cases of standing mute, or not directly answering.

362 Historia Placitorum Coronæ.

And altho as to the accessaries before, the statute of 1 E. 6. cap. 12. restores clergy unto them, yet by the statute of 4 & 5 P. & M. cap. 4. clergy is in this case taken away from accessaries before the fact, viz. counsellors, or commanders to do any robbery in a mansion-house are ousted of

clergy in all cases.

But if it were a burglary joined with robbery of goods out of the house, whether the party were put in sear or not, the principal is ousted of clergy by the statute of 18 Eliz. cap. 7. upon the single account of the offense of burglary, (if the offender be outlawd or convict by verdict or confession,) for that statute as to the point of clergy is not at all concerned as to the robbery, but singly upon the account of burglary the clergy is ousted, tho he be acquit of the robbery or larciny.

But then as to the accessaries before the fact it is considerable, whether in burglary joined with robbery without putting in fear the accessary shall be ousled of clergy by the statute of 4 & 5 P. & M. cap. 4. it seems to me to be with

this difference.

If the principal be indicted upon the statute of 5 & 6 E. 6. cap. 9. specially, setting forth, that the offender felonice & burglariter fregit domum J. S. pradicto J. S. uxore, liberis & servientibus suis in eadem domo existentibus, and stole the goods in the same house, then the accessary to such an indictment shall be arraigned and tried, and if convicted shall be ousted of his clergy by force of the statute of 4 & 5 P. & M. cap. 4.

But if in that case the principal be convict of the burglary, but acquit of the robbery, the accessary shall have his clergy, for the statute of 4 & 5 P. & M. doth not exclude the accessary from clergy, but where there was a

robbery.

And again, if the principal be indicted generally of burglary and robbery without forming the indictment either upon 23 H. 8. of putting in fear, or upon the statute of 5 & 6 E. 6. the owner, his wife or children being in the house,

house, tho the principal be convicted and ousted of his clergy by the statute of 18 Eliz. yet the accessary shall have his clergy, altho here were a robbery committed in the dwelling house, and so within the statute of 4 & 5 P. & M.

cap. 4. and the reasons are apparent.

1. Because the principal is not ousted of his clergy in respect of the robbery, for that not being laid according to either of the statutes of 23 H.8. or 5 & 6E.6. if there were no burglary in the case, he should have had his clergy, and he is ousted of his clergy merely upon the account of the burglary by the statute of 18 Eliz. cap. 7. and not of the robbery, because not laid pursuant to either of these statutes of 23 H.8. & 5 & 6E.6. and the statute of 4 & 5 P. & M. ousts the accessary of clergy in relation to the robbery in the dwelling house, and not in relation to the burglary.

2. Because the statute of 4 & 5 P. & M. cannot at all have any respect to the statute of 18 Eliz. which was made twenty years after, and at the time of the statute of the queen neither simple burglary, nor burglary joined with robbery had ousted the principal of clergy, unless the robbery were pursuant to the statutes of 23 H. 8. or 5 & 6 E. 6. which is not laid in the indictment pursuant to either, and therefore the accessary could not be ousted of clergy by 4 & 5 P. & M. in this case, when if the principal himself had been indicted of burglary and robbery generally, he should have had his clergy both as to the burglary and as to the robbery; so that upon a general indictment of the principal of burglary and robbery in the house, the accessary can in no fort be excluded of clergy, unless the principal be specially indicted of the robbery pursuant to the statute of 23 H. 8. the owner, his wife or children being in the house and put in fear, or according to the statute of 5 & 6 E. 6. cap. 9. the owner, his wife or servants being in the house, for tho the principal upon a general indictment of burglary and robbery may be oufted of his clergy by the statute of 18 Eliz. if found guilty of the burglary, yet he cannot be ousted of his clergy upon the account of the rob-

364 Historia Placitorum Coronæ.

bery, because not particularly laid according to the old statutes, and consequently the accessary must in that case have his clergy (b).

But in all cases accessaries after must have their clergy.

CHAP. L.

Concerning clergy in simple larciny and other felonies.

I come now to confider of some other kinds of felonies, wherein clergy is taken away, and especially in larcinies of several kinds.

- 1. Stealing of horses. 2. Sacrilege. 3. Taking from the person clam & secrete. 4. Servants robbing their massers. 5. Taking clothes off from racks. 6. Stealing king's stores. 7. Taking away women against their wills. 8. I shall consider of piracies and robberies upon the sea. 9. Concerning clergy of prisoners arraigned before the steward and marshal.
- I. By the statute of 1 E. 6. cap. 12. the felonious stealing of horses, mares or geldings is put from the privilege of clergy.
- 1. If the person be attainted. 2. Or convict by verdict or confession. 3. Or stand mute. 4. Or will not directly answer. This was in effect enacted before by 37 H. 8. cap. 8. but it was necessary to be re-enacted here, because otherwise the general clause in the act of 1 E. 6. cap. 12. restoring clergy in all cases, where they had it before 1 H. 8. had restored clergy in this case.

There

⁽b) But as to this point the law is 9. clergy is taken away from the accessnow alterd, for by 3 & 4 W. & M. cap. fary before in all cases of burglary.

There arose a doubt, whether, if there were one horse, mare, or gelding stolen, the offender should have had clergy; and the reason of the doubt was not singly, because the statute of 1 E. 6. was in the plural number, horses, mares, or geldings, for then it might as well have been a doubt, whether upon the statute of 23 H. 8. cap. 1. he, that had wilfully burned one house, should not have had his clergy, because the words of that statute are in the plural number dwelling houses or barns; and so for robbing any churches or chapels.

But the reason that made the scruple was, because the statute of 37 H. 8. cap. 8. was expressly penned in the singular number, If any man do steal any horse, mare or silly: and then this statute of 1 E. 6. thus varying the number, and yet expressly repealing all other exclusions of clergy introduced since the beginning of H. 8. made some doubt, whether it were not intended to enlarge clergy, where only one horse was stolen.

To remove this doubt was the statute of 2 & 3 E. 6. cap. 33. whereby clergy is excluded from him, that steals one horse, gelding or mare in all the cases of attainder, conviction, standing mute, or not directly answering.

These statutes exclude the principal from clergy in all these cases, but the accessary before or after have the privilege of clergy. 1 Mar. Dy. 99. a.

But by the statute of 31 Eliz. cap. 12. in fine statuti accessories both before and after in horse stealing are ousted of clergy, as the principal ought to be.

II. As to facrilege, viz. the felonious taking of any goods out of any parish church, or other church or chapel, the principal is ousted of clergy by the statutes of 23 H. 8. cap. 1. 25 H. 8. cap. 3. and lastly by 1 E. 6. cap. 12. in all cases above mentiond.

And by the statute of 23 H.S. cap. 1. the accessary before, if found guilty by verdict or confession, was ousted of clergy, but that is repeald by 1 E. 6. cap. 12. as to all accessaries.

Vol. II.

And the statute of 4 & 5 P. & M. cap. 4. extends not to this case, for it takes away clergy from robbery of any dwelling house, but doth not extend to robbing of churches

or chapels (c).

And certainly clergy was not taken away in case of sacrilege at common law, or if it were, yet the statute of 25 E. 3. pro clero cap. 4. restored clergy in that case as well as others, and the statutes of 23 H. 8. & 1 E.6. had been needless in this case, if sacrilege were ousted of clergy at common law, and accordingly is the book of 26 Assiz. 19. (d), and consequently it is mistaken in Poulter's case 11 Co. Rep. 29. b.

III. As to picking of pockets, by the statute of 8 Eliz. cap. 4. " If any person be indicted or appeald for felonious "taking any money, goods, or chattels from the person of " another privily without his knowledge in any place what-" foever, and be found guilty by twelve men, or confess " upon his arraignment, or be outlawd, or stand obsti-" nately mute, or will not directly answer, or challenge " peremptorily above twenty, he shall be excluded from " clergy.

. Upon this statute these things are observable.

1. It must be taken from the person.

2. It must be taken privily without his knowledge, and so laid in the indictment, otherwise he shall have his clergy.

3. The goods must be above the value of 12 d. for tho in robbery of never fo small a value clergy is ousted, because done violently, yet here it is otherwise, for if it be not above the value of 12 d. it is but petit larciny, for the statute did not intend to alter the nature of the crime, but to exclude clergy, where it was grand larciny. Co. P. C. cap. 16. p. 68. (e).

4. It

(e) Vide Part I. cap. 44. p. 529.

⁽c) But if this should be construed a burglary, as it feems to be according to the book of 22 Assiz. 95. then clergy would be excluded from the accessaries nary to claim him or not. Vide Co. P. C. before by the 3 & 4 of W. & M. cap. 9. p. 114. (d) Vide accordant 26 Affiz. 27. Corone

^{193.} Vide contra 20 E. 2. Corone 283. but according to Stamf. P. C. fol. 123. b. it was left to the discretion of the ordi-

4. It doth not oust the accessary either before or after of

the privilege of clergy.

IV. Concerning servants carrying away their masters goods to the value of 40 s. this was made felony by the statute of 21 H. 8. cap. 7. (f). And by the statute of 27 H. 8. cap. 17. clergy was taken away.

By the statute of 1 E. 6. cap. 12. restoring clergy in all cases, as it was before 1 H. 8. except the cases mentiond in

that statute, clergy is restored to that offense.

By the statute of 1 Mar. cap. 1. repealing all felonies enacted since 1 H. 8. the very act itself of 21 H. 8. making

this felony is repeald.

But by the statute of 5 Eliz. cap. 10. the statute of 21 H. 8. is again re-enacted to have continuance for ever; but the statute of 27 H. 8. cap. 17. taking away clergy in that offense is not revived, and so clergy stands allowable as to that offense at this day (g).

V. By a statute made the 22 Car. 2. cap. 5. clergy is taken away from those, that steal clothes off the racks, with power in the judge to transport them to the king's planta-

tions (h).

VI. By

(f) This statute is to be taken strictly with relation to such goods, as are actually delivered to keep by the master or mistress. Dy. 5. a. b. for as to other goods, it was a felony at common law, tho under the value of 40 s. but where there was a delivery, the servant being in lawful possession, it could not at common law be a felony, vide Part I. p. 667. Otherwise therefore it is in the case of a lodger stealing goods or surniture belonging to his lodgings, because he is not intrusted with the possession, but only with the use, and therefore it was selony at common law; vide Part I. p. 506. however to obviate all doubt, it is enacted and declared by 3 & 4W. & M. cap. 9. "That if any person or persons shall take a-" way with an intent to steal, imbezzle, "or pursoin any chattel, bedding or sure-" niture, which by contract or agree-

"ment he or they are to use, or shall be let to him or them to use in or with fuch lodging, such taking, imbezelling, or purloining shall be to all intents and purposes taken, reputed and adjudged to be larciny and felony, and

" the offender shall suffer as in case of felony.

(g) But fince our author wrote is taken away again by 12 Ann. cap. 7. from all persons, (except apprentices under the age of fifteen years, who shall rob their masters,) if the offense be committed in a dwelling house or outhouse.

(b) By 4 Geo. 2. cap. 16. the stealing linen, sustain, &c. from any whitening grounds to the value of 10 s. or buying or receiving the same, knowing it to be stolen is excluded from clergy with power to the court upon the circumstances of the case to transport the offen-

der for feven years.

VI. By the statute of 22 Car. 2. cap. 5. clergy is taken away from those, that imbezzle or steal the king's stores (i).

VII. By the statute of 39 Eliz. cap. 9. Clergy is taken away from offenses committed against 3 H.7. cap. 2. concerning taking away and marrying or defiling of women in all cases, viz. upon attainder, conviction by verdict or confesfion, standing mute, challenging above twenty peremptorily, outlawry, not directly answering.

It extends to take away clergy in these cases from all principals and accessaries before the fact by express words,

but not from accessaries after.

VIII. As to the statute of 28 H. 8. cap. 15. concerning piracy, robbery, murders and manslaughters upon the sea, it is enacted, "That for treason, murder, robbery, felonies " and confederacies done upon the fea or in any places, " whereto that commission extended (k), the offenders shall " not be admitted to have the benefit of clergy or fanctu-" ary, but are excluded from the same (1).

(i) Viz. in fuch manner as is forbid by 31 Eliz. cap. 4. whereby it was made

felony: vide Part I. p. 688.

(k) It was a doubt upon this statute, whether an accessary at land to a felony or piracy at sea was included within the extent of the commission directed by this act, Yelv. 134, 135. but by 11 & 12 W.3. cap. 7. (continued by 5 Ann cap. 34. 1 Geo. 1. cap. 25. and made perpetual by 6 Gco. 1. cap. 19.) it is provided, "That acceffaries to pi-" racy before or after shall be tried and " adjudged according to 28 H. 8. and " shall suffer the same penalties and " in like manner as the principals.

If a mortal stroke be given on the high sea, or on the shore at full sea, and the party die upon the shore at low water, this is not within this statute, nor shall the admiral have jurisdiction to try the offense, nor yet can it be tried at common law by a general commission of oyer and terminer: vide supra p. 20 & Part I. p. 426. To remedy this inconvenience it is provided by 2 Geo. 2. cap. 21.

"That where any person shall be felo-" nioufly stricken or poisoned upon the fea or any place out of England,

" and shall die thereof in England; or shall be feloniously stricken or poifoned at any place in England, and "fhall die thereof upon the sea or any place out of *England*, an indistment may be found in such county, where "fuch death, stroke, or poisoning shall happen, against both principals and accessaries, and may be proceeded upon in the same manner as if such fe-" lonious stroke and death, or poisoning " and death had happend in the same " county, where such indictment shall be " found.

(1) It was doubted, whether this statute of 28 H. 8. had not taken away the trial of these offenses before the admiral, or his lieutenant or commissary, which had occasiond a total disuser of such manner of trial to the encouragement of pirates, who could not be tried by this statute, unless (at great trouble and expense) brought to England, and therefore the aforesaid statute of 11 & 12 W. 3. cap. 7. provides, that they may be tried by the court of admiralty according to the directions of that act, which are there particularly mentiond.

Upon consideration of the statute of 1 E. 6. cap. 12. which in all cases not mentiond in that statute restores the privilege of clergy, as it was before 1 H. 8. it is said in Poulter's case 11 Co. Rep. 31. b. that thereby clergy is restored in case of piracy.

But upon confideration of both these statutes I think as

followeth, viz.

1. First, That by the statute of 1 E.6. cap. 12. in all other felonies (not particularly excepted by the statute of 1 E.6. cap. 12.) that the common law takes notice of, clergy is restored by the statute of 1 E.6. cap. 12. notwithstanding this statute of 28 H.8. cap. 15. even for felonies within that jurisdiction or commission of the admiralty settled by that statute.

And therefore, if a man be flain below the bridges upon the river *Thames*, but not *ex malitiâ*, or if a larciny be committed there, that is within clergy, if committed upon the land, the party shall be admitted to his clergy by force of the statute of 1 E. 6. cap. 12.

2. Secondly, if such a felony were committed upon the high sea, that were not excepted by the statute of 1 E. 6. cap. 12. but should have had clergy by that statute were it upon the land, in such case, tho the proceeding be by the statute Vol. II.

By the same statute it is enacted, "That if any of the king's natural-born subjects shall commit any piracy, robbery, or act of hostility against others the king's subjects, altho it be under colour of a commission from any forein prince; or being a commander or master of a ship, or seaman shall seloniously run away with his ship, &c. or voluntarily yield up the same to any pirate, or bring any seducing message from any pirate, enemy, or rebel, or endeavour to corrupt any commander, &c. to yield up or run away with any ship, &c. or turn pirate, or go over to pirates, or if any person shall lay violent hands on his commander to hinder him from sighting in defense of his ship or goods, or shall consine his master, or endeavour to make a revolt in his ship, every such person shall be adjudged a pirate, selon and robber.

By 8 Geo. t. cap. 24. "All persons, "who by 11 & 12 W. 3. cap. 7. are declared accessaries to any piracy there mentiond, are declared to be principal pirates.

By the same secure it is provided.

"pirates.

By the same statute it is provided,

"That if any one shall trade with or

"furnish any pirate, &c. with provision,

&c. or shall fit out any ship or vessel

with such design, or shall consult or

correspond with any pirate, &c. know
ing him to be such, or shall forceably

board and enter any merchant ship on

the high seas, or in any port, haven

or creek, and shall throw over-board

or destroy any part of the goods or

merchandizes belonging to such ship,

such offender shall be adjudged guilty

of piracy, and shall be tried according

to the statutes of 28 H. 8. & 11 & 12

W. 3. and being convicted shall suffer

as a pirate without benefit of clergy.

of 28 H. 8. the party shall have his clergy, for the statute of 1 E. 6. is general, in all other cases of felony clergy shall be allowd as it was before 1 H.8. and the exemption of clergy (*) was before that statute of 28 H. 8. extendible to the admiral's jurisdiction, as well as to courts of common law.

3. Thirdly, But as to piracy or robbery upon the fea by pirates and rovers I think clergy remains still taken away by the statute of 28 H. 8. and is not restored by 1 E. 6. cap.

12. (m), and the reasons are,

1. Because I take it before 1 H. 8. there was no clergy allowable for it at common law, for it was an act of hostility, and consequently is not touched by the statute of 1 E. 6. cap. 12.

- 2. Admitting, that clergy were allowable in piracy before 1 H. 8. and taken away merely by the statute of 28 H. 8. cap. 15. yet clergy is not restored by 1 E. 6. therein, because it restores it only in all other cases of felony, which is intended only of felony, whereof the common law takes notice, but piracy is of another nature, and the common law takes not notice of it under the name of felony, and therefore a pardon of all felonies pardons not piracy: vide Co. P. C. cap. 49. p. 112, 113. and accordingly the use hath obtained in the proceedings of the commissions founded upon 28 H. 8.
- IX. As to the statute of 33 H. 8. cap. 12. touching felonies in the king's houshold and proceedings thereupon before the lord steward there is a clause, that in case of manflaughter in the king's house tried before the lord steward. and also in all other felonies committed within the king's house, the offenders, the abetters, procurers, and receivers being convict shall suffer pains of death, as appertaineth to felons, without benefit of clergy.

our author here means by exemption of clergy the privilege of being exempted on account of clergy from punishment in the king's temporal courts.

(m) As to those, who shall commit any offense, for which they ought to be adjudged pirates, felons, and robbers by

(*) Viz. the allowance of clergy; for 11 & 12 W. 3. cap. 7. clergy is expresly taken away from fuch by 4 Geo. I. cap. 11. and as no mention is made of fuch as were deemed pirates before that statute, it is an argument, that the law was taken to be, that they were oufled of clergy before.

In

In my opinion the statute of 1 E. 6. cap. 12. hath repeald so much of this statute, as excludes from clergy such offenses, as are not exempt from clergy by 1 E. 6. for these are felonies, that the law takes notice of, and such wherein clergy was allowable before 1 H. 8. and consequently the general words of that act restore clergy in these cases, tho the proceeding thereupon be before the lord steward by this act of 33 H. 8. cap. 12. for the words of 1 E. 6. cap. 12. are general in all other felonies, and they are in materia favorabili, in case of life, and in case of a privilege, which hath been ever favoured in law, and therefore shall be generally construed and not restrained by construction or interpretation.

CHAP. LI.

What persons are or are not capable of clergy.

I have gone through the confideration of the crimes or offenses, wherein clergy is or is not allowable; I now come to confider the persons, that are or are not capable thereof, admitting the crimes themselves within clergy.

Touching persons to be admitted to clergy, succession of times hath made great change in the law. Antiently Nuns professed were admitted to the privilege of clergy, tho they could not be priests, yet they are within the privilegium or immunitas ecclesia, and had their clergy, 22 E. 3. Coron. 461. but other women had not by the common law the privilege of clergy.

But at this day profession is abolished, and no woman admitted to the privilege of clergy at this day; only by the statute of 21 Fac. cap. 6. if a woman be lawfully convict by

Historia Placitorum Coronæ.

verdict or confession of stealing goods under the value of 10 s. and above the value of 12 d. being such an offense, wherein a man might have his clergy, she shall for the first offense be burnt in the hand, and to be farther punished with whipping, fending to the house of correction, impriforment, &c. as the judge shall in discretion think fit, this act hath continuance to this day by the statutes of 3 Car. 1.

cap. 4. 16 Car. 1. cap. 4. (a).

Again, by the statute of bigamy cap. 5. (b) Bigamus was ousted of clergy, 40 Asiz. 17. but by the statute of 1 E. 6. cap. 12. he is restored to the benefit of clergy, if the offense be within clergy, and tho Stamf. Lib. II. cap. 46. fol. 134. b. doubts whether that point of the statute be not repeald by the statute of 1 & 2 P. & M. cap. 8. whereby all statutes against the authority of the Pope or See of Rome are repeald, yet the law hath been sufficiently settled in this point, that bigamus hath his clergy at this day T. 3 Eliz. Dy. 201. b. Lamb's case, for by the statute of 1 Eliz. cap. 1. all the clauses in the statute of 1 & 2 P. & M. cap. 8. not specially excepted are repeald, and this is none of the excepted clauses, and so the statute of 1 E. 6. cap. 12. Stands renewed by 1 Eliz. cap. 1. if at all impeached or repeald by 1 & 2 P. & M.

Again, at common law, if the clerk convict deliverd to the ordinary had broke the bishop's prison and been after taken, he had lost the benefit of his clergy, 22 E. 3. Coron. 257. but at this day that can never come in question, for by the statute of 18 Eliz. cap. 7. clerks convict are not now to be deliverd to the ordinary, but burnt in the hand and fo discharged.

Again, antiently the law was held, that if the prisoner had not habitum & tonsuram clericalem, he should not have the benefit of clergy, 26 Assiz. 19. 20 E. 2. Coron. 233. or

(a) But now by the statute of 3 & 4 of W. & M. cap. 9. a woman convicted burnt in the hand and detained in prior outlawd for any felony, for which a man might have his clergy, shall upon exceeding one year. praying the benefit of that statute be fubject only to such punishment, as a

man would be in the like case, viz. be

(b) 2 Co. Inst. 273.

the ordinary might have refused him, tho he could read; but in process of time that law was alterd, and the court would admit him to his clergy, if the case were within clergy, tho he had not habitum & tonsuram, if he could read, and tho the ordinary refused him upon that account. 9 E. 4. 28. b. 34 H. 6. 49. a. b.

A man attaint (*) of heresy, a Jew, or a Turk shall not have their clergy, but a person excommunicate shall have

his clergy. 11 Co. Rep. 29. b. Poulter's cafe.

A Greek or alien, who knows not our letters, shall have his clergy, and shall read in the book of his own country. B. Clergy 20.

A bastard, a man blind shall have his clergy (c), if he

can speak Latin congruously. B. Clergy 21, 22.

By the statute of 4 H. 7. cap. 13. "A man not within "holy orders, that hath once had his clergy, shall be burnt in the hand with M or T, and being after arraigned for any such offense, (viz. an offense within clergy,) he shall not be admitted to his clergy a second time. "And if any man upon a second arraignment for such offense claim his clergy, as being a clerk in orders, if he have not his letters of orders, or certificate of the ordinary witnessing the same, the justices shall by their discretion give him a

" his clergy that fecond time.

Note no man shall be ousted of his clergy a second time by the bare mark in his hand, or by a parol averment without the record testifying it (†), and it seems, that if he deny he is the same person, issue must be joined upon it and tried to be the same person, before he can be ousted of clergy.

" day to bring them, at which day if he fail, he shall lose

The orders, that come under the name of holy orders, were four, viz. a bishop, a priest, a deacon, and a subdeacon; Vol. II.

5 C other

above makes a quære of it, because he can by no dispensation be a clerk in orders, aliter of a bastard, for he may be a priest by license.

(†) Or a transcript thereof, for the manner of certifying which see 34 & 3; H. 8, cap. 14. and 3 & 4 W. & M. cap. 9.

^(*) This should be convict, and so it is express in the authority here cited, viz. 11 Co. 29. b. for herely wrought no attainder, altho by 2 H. 5. cap. 7. fee-simple lands were forfeited upon conviction.

⁽c) This is denied of a blind man. 11 Co. Rep. 29. b. & Broke in the place cited

374 Historia Placitorum Coronæ.

other inferior orders, as exorcista, lectores, acoluthi, Uc. were not called holy orders, but were called clerici in minoribus.

By this and some other instances, which appear in the statutes, it is evident, that the clergy in orders had a greater

privilege allowd them than others.

1. A clergyman in orders in such cases, wherein clergy is oussed by the statute of 1 E. 6. cap. 12. as murder, robbery, &c. hath no more privilege than a layman, because the statute makes no exception or provision for him.

2. If a statute be made after 1 E. 6. outling clergy generally, as the statute of 4 & 5 P. & M. cap. 4. 18 Eliz. cap. 7. a clergyman in orders hath no more privilege than another, for the statute provides not for him. Stamf. P. C.

135. b.

3. And therefore, tho the statute of 23 H. 8. cap. 1. and 25 H. 8. cap. 3. excluding clergy from those found guilty in petit treason, murder, robbery, &c. excepts such as are in the order of subdeacon, or any superior orders, and directs them to be deliverd to the ordinary to remain in prison without purgation, or to be degraded, and then fent by the ordinary into the king's bench to be executed, it feems, that this privilege is at this day gone, 1. Because by the statute of 18 Eliz. cap. 7. all delivery of clerks convict to the ordinary is wholly taken away. 2. Because in all those cases, where clergy is ousted by the statute of 23 H. 8. clergy is ousted by the statute of 1 E. 6. cap. 12. (except burning of houses, and accessaries before the fact, which stand within clergy by the statute of 1 E. 6. cap. 12.) and in that statute there is no faving of any privilege for clerks in orders, as there is by 23 H. 8.

And then as to accessaries before the fact clergy is likewise generally taken away by the statute of 4 & 5 P. & M. cap. 4. without saving of more privilege to clergymen than

to laymen.

4. But as to the privilege of a second allowance of clergy, it should seem at this day clergymen in orders shall have benefit of clergy a second, third time, or oftner.

The statute of 28 H. 8. cap. 1. puts clergymen in orders under the same pains and dangers in relation to the statute of 23 H. 8. cap. 1. 25 H. 8. cap. 3. as other persons not in orders; this takes away the privilege given by 23 H. 8. and 25 H. 8.

Then by the statute of 32 H. 8. cap. 3. which makes the former perpetual, it is farther enacted, "That such persons as be within holy orders, which by the laws of this realm ought or may have their clergy for any selonies, and shall be admitted to the same, shall be burnt in the hand as lay clerks be accustomed in such cases, and shall suffer and incur afterwards all such pains, dangers and forfeitures, and be ordered and used for their offenses of selomy to all intents, purposes and constructions, as lay persons admitted to their clergy be, or ought to be ordered or used by the laws and statutes of this realm, any law or statute to the contrary notwithstanding.

This act was perpetual and subjected clerks in orders, notwithstanding the statute of 4 H.7. cap. 13. to two inconveniences, viz. 1. To burning in the hand. 2. Exclusion of clergy a second time.

But then the statute of 1 E. 6. cap. 12. restores the privilege of clergy in all cases, (except those offenses containd in the statute of 1 E. 6. and expressly excluded from clergy,) as it was before 1 H. 8.

And altho by this statute of 1 E. 6. the burning of a clergyman in orders in the hand is not taken away by express words, yet he is restored to his clergy a second or other time, notwithstanding he had formerly his clergy and was burnt in the hand.

But altho in express words it restores not to clergymen in orders the exemption from burning in the hand given by 4 H.7. cap. 13. yet it doth in equivalence, for it restores clergy in all other cases in like manner and form, as it was before 1 H.8. which as to clergymen in orders was without burning in the hand, and accordingly to this day their privilege in that kind continues: vide 2 Co. Inst. 637. Hub. Rep. 294. Searle & Williams.

And tis a mistake to say, that if he challenge above twenty, he shall lose his clergy a second time, because the statute of 1 E. 6. in letting loose the clergy in other offenses mentions not that case, for in case of challenging above twenty, there follows neither penance nor judgment of death, but only his challenge is over-ruled (*).

But indeed the case of outlawry is casus omissus, for tho in the clause excluding clergy the word attainder is in, which extends to an outlawry, yet in the second clause restoring clergy as it was before 1 H. 8. in other cases attainder and

outlawry are omitted.

As to clergy of noblemen.

By the statute of 1 E. 6. cap. 12. "Peers of parliament committing felonies within clergy may pray the benefit of this act, and shall not be put to read, nor be burnt in the hand for the first offense, without any attainder or corruption of blood to be incurred thereby, and for the first offense shall be deemed, taken and used as clerks convict, which may make purgation, without any further privilege of clergy from thenceforth at any time after for any cause to be allowed or admitted.

This privilege of peers to be discharged in this manner by this statute, 1. Must be prayd by them. 2. Extends to all cases, where a common person may have his clergy. 3. To all cases excepted from clergy by that statute, except

murder and poisoning of malice prepense.

But it extends not 1. To felony put out of clergy by any subsequent statute. 2. Nor to felonies within this statute, where he cannot make purgation, as if he abjure, confess the felony, or be outlawd, by the opinion of Stamf. P. C. fol. 130. a. but this latter seems doubtful, especially at this day, when delivery to the ordinary and purgation are both taken away by 18 Eliz. cap. 7.

I think it was never meant, that a peer of the realm should be put to read or be burnt in the hand, where a common person should be put to his clergy, neither is it said, that he shall be discharged by his praying of the benefit of this statute, where a common person shall have the privilege of clergy and may make his purgation, but only where he may have the benefit of his clergy in the first clause of the statute, the other clause, (shall be in case of a clerk convict, that may make purgation,) is only for his speedier discharge and farther advantage, and not to restrain the general clause.

And therefore a great lawyer in the late times hath been much blamed for burning a peer of parliament in the hand, that confessed an indictment of manslaughter; and it was the only error of note, that that person erred in to my observation.

CHAP. LII.

At what time clergy is to be allowd.

A Ntiently the law was very unfettled in this point, till fettled by subsequent acts of parliament and resolutions of the judges.

Before the statute of Westm. 1. cap. 2. the ordinary would challenge clerks as soon as they were indicted, nay sometimes, as soon as they were imprisoned (*), before they were indicted, as appears by the statute of Marlbr. cap. 28. (a).

By the statute of Westm. 1. cap. 2. it is provided, Que quant clerke est prise pur rette de felonie & soit demand per l'ordinarie, il lui soit liuer solonque le privilege de Saint Esglise en tiel peril come il appent solonque le custome avant ces heures use, and a direction given thereby to the ordinary, Que ceux que sont endites de tiel rette per solemne inquests des probes hommes Vol. II.

fait in le court le roy, en nul manner les deliverent sans due purgation, issint que roy n'eit mestier de metter autre remedy (b).

After this statute the prisoner was not only to be indicted before clergy allowd, but many times inquisitions ex officion were taken (†). 1. Whether he were a clerk or no, and if not a clerk, he was not delivered to the ordinary. 2. Whether he were guilty or not, and if not guilty, he was discharged. 3. If found guilty, he was then delivered to the ordinary, vide 2 Co. Inst. 164. 8 E. 2. Coron. 417. 17 E. 2. Coron. 386. 3 H. 7. 12. b. but his goods were seised.

But this was found a great inconvenience to the prisoner, because in case of an inquest of office he lost his challenges, and besides possibly he might be quit of the selony, were he

put upon the jury.

And therefore in the time of H. 6. the course was changed by Prisot, and the prisoner hath been always since put to plead to the indictment, and if convict, then to pray his clergy: vide 3 H. 7. 12. b. Stamf. P. C. fol. 131. a. 11 Co. Rep. Poulter's case.

But if the prisoner will wave that advantage and will pray his clergy, he may, for no law outs him of it, but then, if the indictment be out of clergy, he must answer to

the felony, or he shall have his penance.

But at this day clergy is never granted, unless the party

confess the felony, or be convict by verdict.

If a man be indicted of a felony within clergy, and he plead and be convict, and it be demanded of him, what he can fay why judgment should not be given against him, he may pray his clergy, tho there be no ordinary to demand him, for as shall be said in this case, the ordinary is but the minister of the court, and it is not now, as antiently, used for the ordinary to demand a prisoner, but he may pray his clergy himself.

If in that case the ordinary demand not the prisoner, nor the prisoner himself prays his clergy, yet if it appear to the court, that he is a clerk, or be so named in the in-

dictment

⁽b) 2 Co. Inst. 163. (†) Vide Part I. p. 180. in notis, p. 343. in notis, & supra p. 318. in notis.

dictment or appeal, the court may, and it seems ought ex officio to allow him his clergy, but howsoever they ought not to execute him. 22 E. 3. Coron. 254. Abridg. Assiz. 74. (c).

If by any mistake or over-sight the court should give judgment against him, yet they may, (and as I think,) ought to

allow him his clergy after his attainder.

And therefore the prisoner condemned shall in such a case be allowed his clergy under the gallows, if the judge come that way, 34 H. 6. 49. a. b. This is agreed may be done by the judges of the king's bench, as justices of peace, because their commission continues, but it is doubted, how it can be done by justices of over and terminer after their session ended, Crompt. Fust. 119. a.

And it is true, that tho they may allow clergy during the adjournment of their commission, yet they cannot do it after their selsion is over, but they may reprieve him after judgment, notwithstanding their selsion determind, upon consideration that he can read, and then may allow him his clergy as a clerk attaint at the next selsion. 3 & 4 Eliz. Dy.

205. a.

A. is indicted of a felony within clergy, and hath his book deliverd him but cannot read, and the ordinary returns accordingly non legit, and it is entred of record non legit, and the court reprieves him till another fessions, and by that time he hath learned to read, tho the gaoler, that taught him to read in the mean time, was antiently punishable, yet he shall be admitted to his clergy and be delivered. 27 Assistant 1. Dy. 205. a. b. per omnes justiciarios, Dy. 214. b. Stone's case.

And the same law it is, if judgment of death were entred against him upon non legit returned, yet if he can read after, he shall be delivered to the ordinary and have his clergy per omnes justiciarios. 34 H. 6. 49. Coron. 20. (*)

If a man abjure the kingdom, (which is an attainder in law,) and come back again, he shall have the privilege of his clergy, as a clerk attaint. 8 H. 6. Kelw. 186. b. Rast. Entr. fol. 1. b.

Rut

⁽c) This case is in 12 Assiz. 15. question, for the necessity of reading is (*) These points cannot now come in entirely taken away by 5 Ann. cap. 6.

But in antient time he was not deliverd to the ordinary, but remanded to prison till he obtained the king's pardon for returning into the kingdom without license, and that being obtained he should be delivered to the ordinary, 1 E. 3. 16. b. Coron. 155. Stamf. P. C. Lib. II. cap. 50. but this law is antiquated: vide Rast. Ent. fol. 1. b. ex T. 15 H.7. rot. 2.

If a man indicted of a felony within clergy stands mute, yet he shall have his clergy. Moore's Rep. n.738. p. 550. Winter's case, yea tho judgment of peine fort & dure were given against him, if the case, as it appears upon the indictment, be within clergy, for the court in this case ought to be of counsel with a prisoner in favorem vita, tho he be wilful.

If the approver disavow his appeal, or be vanquished in battle, or become recreant therein, yet he shall have the privilege of clergy, if the cause, for which he is indicted, be within clergy.

But in these cases of attainder antiently they were deliverd to the ordinary absque purgatione. 15 H.7. Rast. Ent. 1. b.

CHAP. LIII.

Concerning the manner how, and the judge by and before whom clergy is to be prayd or allowd.

A Ntiently the ordinary took upon him, as the person that was to judge of the competency or incompetency of the clerk. But in truth the king's justices were the judges both touching the competency of the clerk to be admitted, and the sufficiency or insufficiency of his person-

ance

ance therein, and the ordinary was in truth but the minister to the court. 5 Co. Rep. 26. b. case of ecclesiastical law (a).

If the ordinary had challenged one as a clerk, that the court judged not to be fuch, the ordinary or bishop should be fined, and his temporalties seised, 7 H. 4. 41. b. Stamf. P. C. 132, 133. and the felon shall be hanged. 7 E. 4. 29. a. 9 E. 4. 28. a.

Again, if the ordinary refuse one that can read, and return non legit, yet the court may hear him, and if they judge him to read fufficiently, the prisoner shall be saved notwithstanding the refusal and return of the ordinary, and accordingly, if the ordinary be absent, the court may give him his book. 7 E. 4. 29. a. 9 E. 4. 28. a. 17 H. 4. 41. b. 34 H. 6. 49. a. b. Stamf. P. C. Lib. 2. cap. 45. fol. 132, 133.

And therefore the judge may and usually doth appoint the verse, that the clerk shall read, Stamf. P. C. ubi supra, and therefore the practice of Bryan and Starkey, 21 E. 4. 21. is justly reprovable, who when they deliverd a book to the prisoner and he read well in the presence of the justices, yet when the ordinary returned non legit gave judgment of death against the prisoner, for in truth the ordinary is but the minister, or at most the assistant to the court, and not the judge. Hob. Rep. p. 290. Searle & Williams (b).

Vol. II. 5 E CHAP.

(a) Vide Kel. 28, 51.

(b) But this learning is now out of use, for by 5 Ann. cap. 6. every person convicted of a felony within the benefit advantageous to him, as if he had read as

of clergy shall, upon praying the benefit a clerk.

CHAP. LIV.

Concerning the consequences of clergy granted or prayd.

THE consequences or effects upon clergy granted are considerable in two ways, 1. What they were before the statute of 18 Eliz. and 2. What since.

Touching the consequences of clergy before the statute

of 18 Eliz. they were these.

I. Regularly when clergy was granted, there was an entry made by the court of king's bench, Et tradito ei hic per curiam libro legit ut clericus, & J. S. (the ordinary or his deputy,) petit ipsum, ut clericum, prafato ordinario deliberari, ideo consideratum est, quòd prædictus A.B. liberetur præfato ordi-And if it be without purgation, then there is this added, salvo custodiend' absque aliqua purgatione inde de cætero faciend' sub periculo, quod incumbit. 17 H.7. Rot. 2. Rast. Entries 121. a. But if it be not without purgation, then that clause is omitted.

This is the form of the award in the king's bench, but before justices of gaol-delivery the entry commonly is, Et traditur ordinario, either generally or absque purgatione, as the cause requires. M. 2 & 3 Eliz. Dy. 205. b. & ibid. 215. a.

II. When he was fo deliverd to the ordinary, he was to remain in the ordinary's prison; viz. if committed generally, then he was to remain till he had made his purgation, if absque purgatione, then he was to remain there during his life, unless the king pardon him.

And if the clerk had broke prison, this was not a felony within the statute of 1 E.2. de frangentibus prisonam (*); but if the clerk were attaint and deliverd to the ordinary

and

(*) Because that statute was construed to extend only to the king's prison: vide Part I. p. 608.

and broke prison and escaped, and were after taken, he should have been executed upon his first attainder, quod vide 27 Assiz. 42.

But by the statute of 23 H.8. cap. 11. if such a clerk break the prison of the ordinary and escape, this is made felony without clergy; only the clerk, if in orders, being convict was to be delivered to the ordinary without purgation, and he might, if he pleased, degrade him and send him into the king's bench with letters signifying his degrading, and that court, having the record of the conviction before them, might give judgment and award execution, as if he had been a layman and found guilty before them.

But this among the rest of the selonies enacted in the time of H. 8. was repeald by 1 E. 6. cap. 12. & 1 Mar.

сар. 1.

III. If the clerk were committed generally, he might make his purgation (*), the form whereof is unnecessary to recite, being it is now taken away by 18 Eliz. and is fully described and directed by Stamford Lib. II. cap. 48. fol. 138. and the statutes of Westm. 1. cap. 2. 4 H. 4. cap. 3.

And if the ordinary would not admit a clerk to his purgation, a writ might issue out of the chancery to command it, where by law it might be done. 15 H.7. 9. a. per Fineux.

And when he had made his purgation, he had always reflitution of his lands seised, unless he were attaint. & E. 2. Forfeiture 34.

But as touching goods the difference was thus:

If before conviction upon his arraignment the prisoner had his clergy, (as was used commonly before the time of H. 6.) then if he made his purgation, upon signification thereof to the chancery he had a writ to the sheriff to restore him his goods, nisi eà de causà fugam fecerit, for then he had no restitution, F. N. B. 66. a. but if he died before purgation, his executors could not have it.

But if he had pleaded to inquest, and were convict, then the goods were forfeited by the conviction, and he should

(*) This was a trial before the ordinary by a jury of twelve clerks, wherein found guilty, he was degraded.

not have restitution of his goods upon his purgation, and altho the law was taken antiently, that even in case of a conviction, unless there were an attainder also by judgment, he should upon his purgation have had restitution. 3 E. 3. Coron. 365. 40 E. 3. 42. a. yet of latter times the law hath constantly obtained otherwise, as appeareth 8 H. 4. 2. a. 20 E. 4. 5. B. Coron. 166. Plow. Com. 262. b. Stamf. P. C. Lib. III.

cap. 23. vide 3 E. 3. Coron. 332.

And the same law seems to be, if he come not in upon the exigent awarded, if he sled, if he stood mute, or challenged above thirty-sive, for in all these cases he forseited his goods, and should not have restitution upon his purgation, vide 8 E. 2. Coron. 417. where, tho he prayd his clergy before conviction, yet upon an inquest of office sinding him guilty he forseited his goods; the like H. 17 E. 2. B. R. rot. 87. Heref. in the bishop of Hereford's case before cited cap. 44. p. 326.

But if the clerk were deliverd to the ordinary absque purgatione, there he continued prisoner during his life, unless pardoned by the king, and the king had not only his goods, as absolutely forfeited, but also the profits of his lands du-

ring his life, as appears by the books above cited.

And if the clerk were so deliverd absque purgatione, if the ordinary went about to admit him to purgation, a writ might issue out of the chancery to prohibit him. Claus. 22 H. 3. m. 17. dorso, Episcopo Exon. H. 14 E. 3. B. R. rot. 19. Lond. and he shall for it be fined, and his temporalties seised for the contempt, and by some books it is an escape in the ordinary. 9 E. 4. 28. a.

There were certain cases, wherein the clerk was deliverd to the ordinary absque purgatione. 1. Where he was outlawd of felony 23 H. 8. cap. 1. Rast. Entries 121. a. 2. Where he confessed the felony either upon his arrraignment, or became an approver, or confessed and abjured and after came into the realm again, for against his own confession he should not be admitted to purge himself of the crime he confessed. 3 H. 7. 12. a. 3. If he had judgment given against him, whereby he was attaint. 10 E. 3. Coron. 247.

4. If

4. If he were in orders and broke the prison of the ordinary and made his escape, by the statute of 23 H. 8. cap. 11. 5. Where a man in orders was convict of any of the felonies ousted of clergy by 23 H. 8. cap. 1. he was to remain during his life without purgation, and the ordinary might degrade him and fend him into the king's bench to receive judgment. 6. If he were only convict by verdict in an appeal, he should not make his purgation. 12 R.2. Coron. 109. 10 E. 2. Coron. 247.

IV. If a felon had his clergy, regularly he was never to be arraigned again before the king's justices for the same felony, unless it were in case, where he broke the prison of

the ordinary and escaped. 20 E. 2. Coron. 232. (a).

V. If a clerk had his clergy for felony, he was not to be arraigned for any other felony by him committed before his clergy allowd, for by the statute 25 E. 3. cap. 5. pro clero, it is enacted, "That he shall be arraigned of all his " felonies at once (b)," yea and altho he only prayd his clergy, tho there be no entry of record, that he read or was deliverd to the ordinary, yet by force of this statute he shall not be arraigned of any felony committed before, for the first felony being within clergy, and he praying his clergy, it was the fault of the court, that he had it not, which shall not turn to his disadvantage. T. 4 Eliz. Dy. 214. b. Stone's case.

Yet this hath some exceptions, for if he had committed treason against the king before his clergy admitted, he may after his clergy and after his purgation also be indicted and arraigned for that treason, because it was an offense not within benefit of clergy.

VI. If he had committed a felony after he had his clergy, and was deliverd to the ordinary, he should be put to answer that felony, vide 4 Eliz. Dy. 214. b. and if he had kild his keeper and thereby escaped out of the ordinary's Vol. II.

coronà, f. 131. a.

(b) This statute was only in affirmance of the common law, for he was to be defined before degradation; vide Bracton Lib. III. de coronà, cap. 9.

f. 123. b.

⁽a) For in that case ecclesia ipsum tueri non debet, vide Bracton Lib. III. de thought a sufficient punishment for all

prison, he should not for that felony have had his clergy for it, frustra legis auxilium quarit, qui in legem committit. 8 E. 2. Coron. 419. 22 E. 3. Coron. 250.

The case of Stone, 4 Eliz. Dy. 214. b. was this.

Stone committed two felonies the same day, one (suppose it burglary) out of clergy, the other (suppose it larciny) within clergy, he is indicted of the larciny, he pleaded and was convict, and prayd his clergy, and entred non legit ut clericus, and no judgment, quòd tradatur ordinario, but is reprieved without judgment to another sessions, at which he is indicted of the other felony out of clergy, but supposed to be the same day when the former felony was committed, he is arraigned and pleads non culp. and is found guilty, the petit librum to legit ut clericus, sed non crematur, neque traditur ordinario.

I. It was agreed, that this fecond reading, notwithstanding the non legit first entred, is a good discharge of the first felony within clergy per omnes justiciarios, Dy. 205. a.b. but then, 2. The question was, what should be done as to the second not within clergy, whereof he was indicted and convicted; by seven justices he shall have judgment to die, because it shall be intended a felony committed after the first arraignment, but by other seven he shall be discharged, for it shall be intended a felony committed the same day, as it is laid, and tho there be no award, quòd tradatur ordinario, yet that was the act of the court and shall not prejudice him; but he shall be adjudged in the custody of the ordinary from the first prayer of his clergy.

But afterwards 28 Maii 8 Eliz. he was indicted for murder committed the first of April 1 Eliz. and was convict and had judgment, and was executed, and yet that murder was before his clergy prayd, and before the statute of 8 Eliz. cap. 4. therefore it seems the former opinion obtained, for if he had been discharged by his reading as to the selony, whereof he was first indicted, he must have been discharged of all selonies committed before his first arraignment: The only salve that I can think of is either, 1. That he should have pleaded it, and did not; or 2. That the legit ut clericus

must

must be intended to be applied to the second felony only, and not to the first, whereupon non legit was entred. Dy. 215. a.

And thus far touching the effect of clergy, as it food

before 8 & 18 Eliz.

By these two statutes two great alterations were made in the whole business of clergy, which took away many of those intricate questions, tedious proceedings, and great inconveniencies, that were therein before this time.

"every person, which shall hereaster upon his arraignment for any felony be admitted to the benefit of clergy by the laws of this realm, and deliverd to the ordinary for the same, and shall make his due purgation for the same offense or offenses, whereupon he was so admitted to his clergy, and shall before his admission to his clergy have committed any other such offense, whereupon clergy by the laws or statutes of this realm is not allowable, and not being thereof before indicted and acquitted, convicted, or attainted, or pardond shall and may be indicted or appeald for the same, and thereupon put to answer, and orderd and used in all things according to the laws and statutes of this realm in such manner and form, as the no such admission to clergy had been.

By this statute, tho all other felonies within clergy before clergy admitted stand discharged, as they were at common law, yet felonies out of clergy committed before clergy allowd may still be prosecuted, notwithstanding clergy allowd, and so as to so much it repeald the statute of 25 E.3.

pro clero, cap. 5.

Then at the parliament of 18 Eliz. cap. 7. it is enacted, "That every person, which at any time hereaster shall be admitted and allowed to have the privilege of clergy, shall not thereupon be delivered to the ordinary, as hath been accustomed, but after such clergy allowed, and burning in the hand according to the statute in that behalf provided, shall forthwith be enlarged and delivered out of prison by the justices, before whom such clergy shall be granted, that cause notwithstanding, provided, that the justices

" may for farther punishment detain the clerk in prison for

" any time not exceeding one year (c).

" Provided that, if any one shall be convicted of carnal " knowlege, and abusing a woman child under ten years,

" fuch offense shall be felony without clergy.

" Provided, that any person admitted to the benefit of " clergy shall notwithstanding the same be put to an-" fwer other felonies, whereof he shall be indicted or ap-" peald, not being thereof before acquitted, convicted, at-" tainted, or pardoned, and shall in such manner be ar-" raigned, tried, adjudged, and fuffer fuch execution for " the same, as he or they should have done, if as a clerk " or clerks convict they had been deliverd to the ordinary, " and there had made his or their due purgation.

Upon this statute these points are clear.

1. That if before his clergy admitted, he had committed any other felony within clergy, he is cleard of them as well as of that whereupon he hath his clergy, for his burning in the hand is in lieu of his delivery to the ordinary and purgation.

2. That as to former felonies out of clergy he is not difcharged by his admission to clergy, but shall be put to an-

iwer them.

3. That by his conviction he forfeits all his goods, that he hath at the time of the conviction, notwithstanding his burning in the hand.

(c) By 5 Ann. cap. 6. it is enacted, "That where any person shall be con-" vist of larciny the judges shall award him to the work-house or house of " correction, there to be kept without " bail at the discretion of the judges, " not less than fix months, nor more " than two years from the conviction, " an entry whereof is to be made on re-" cord, and if such offender escape he fhall be committed to such house there to remain not less than twelve " months, nor more than four years.

By 4 Geo. 1. cap. 11. and 6 Geo. 1. cap. 23. "The court may order any person convicted of larciny, or any felonious flealing of money, &c. within clergy, " (except persons convict for receiving

" stolen goods, knowing them to be sto-" len,) instead of being burnt in the hand " or whipt, to be transported to any of his "Majesty's plantations in America, for "the space of seven years; and persons convict for receiving stolen goods, knowing them to be stolen, or for offenses without clergy, but pardond generally upon condition of transpor-" tation, to be transported for the term " of fourteen years; and if any shall re" scue or aid such offender to make his " escape, or if such offender shall return " or be found at large without leave be-" fore the expiration of his term in Great Britain or Ireland, he or they " shall be deemed guilty of felony with-" out clergy.

4. That yet by his burning in the hand he is put into a capacity of purchasing and retaining other goods, because the statute taking away delivery to the ordinary and purgation, which should have restored him to that capacity, gives him the capacity of purchasing and retaining other goods, and is in nature of a pardon.

5. That prefently upon his burning in the hand he ought to be restored to the possession of his lands, and from

thenceforth to enjoy the profits thereof.

6. That altho he be not burnt in the hand, but the king pardons it, he is thereby put into the same condition, as if he were burnt in the hand, and renderd a person capable now to purchase and retain goods, altho the words of the statute are after clergy allowed and burning in the hand he shall be delivered. These are all points resolved in 5 Co. Rep. 1 10. a. Foxley's case, and P. 41 Eliz. Heston's case therein cited (*).

7. And consequently after clergy and burning in the hand he shall not be proceeded against by the ecclesiastical judge to deprivation or other ecclesiastical censure, for it amounts to a pardon by the king. Hob. Rep. p. 288. Searle

& Williams.

8. That notwithstanding this statute requires burning in the hand to discharge a clerk convict, yet a clerk in holy orders, viz. in the order of subdeacon or above shall not be burnt in the hand, but the privilege allowed them by the statute of 4 H. 7. cap. 13. to be saved from burning in the hand continues to them. 2 Co. Inst. 637.

9. And upon the same account they may have their clergy in cases within clergy a second time according to the statute of 4 H. 7. cap. 13. notwithstanding this statute.

10. That altho a clergyman in orders shall not be burnt in the hand, yet by virtue of the statute 4 H.7. cap. 13. and of this statute after his discharge given by the court he shall have the same privilege, as if he had been burnt in the hand, and therefore shall not be drawn in question in the ecclesiastical court to deprive him or instict any ecclesiastical censure upon him. Hob. Rep. 288. Searle & Williams.

Vol. II. 5 G 11. That

Historia Placitorum Coronæ. 390

11. That notwithstanding this statute takes away delivery to the ordinary, and inflicts burning in the hand, yet the privilege of peers of parliament exempting them from reading and burning in the hand for the first offense is not hereby at all diminished or alterd.

12. That the plea of auterfoits convict and had his clergy stands as a good bar to a new arraignment for the same fe-

lony, as it did before this statute.

13. That if a man be indicted of murder, and upon not guilty pleaded is found guilty of manslaughter, and prays his clergy, tho he neither be burnt in the hand, nor hath his clergy allowd, but the court will advise upon it, yet this stands as a good bar to a new indictment or appeal for the same felony, for the prisoner hath done what he can in praying his clergy, which prayer is recorded petit librum, and it is the act of the court to advise, and their delay in allowing him clergy, or burning him in the hand shall not prejudice the prisoner. 4 Co. Rep. 45. b. Wigg's case and Holcroft's case adjudged. Co. P. C. cap. 57. p. 131. (d).

CHAP.

tigated, and at last solemnly settled in the case of Armstrong and Liste T. 8 W. 3. B. R. rot. 565. Kel. 93. that a conviction of manslaughter, and that he was a clerk and ready to read, if

(b) This point was however much li- the court would have allowed him, is a good bar to an appeal, altho the court had not cald the defendant to judgment, but continued him over with a curia advisare vult.

CHAP. LV.

Concerning judgments in the several kinds of capital offenses.

Aving now gone through the preparatories to judgment, namely indictment, pleas, trial, and clergy, I come to consider of the judgments, that are to be given in several capital offenses, and therein, 1. I will consider the several kinds of judgments. 2. Who are the judges, that may give them. 3. How and in [what manner.]

First, for the several kinds of judgments I shall consider

these particulars.

1. What judgment is to be given in case of an acquittal of any capital offense.

2. What when clergy is allowd.

3. What to be given against a person convicted of treason, as against the king.

4. What to be given in case of petit treason.

5. What in case of felony.

6. What in case of peine fort & dure.

I. The judgment upon the acquittal of the prisoner is either when he is acquitted by special plea, as of autersoits acquit, or of a pardon, &c. or other matter in bar, or else when he is acquitted upon not guilty pleaded; and of these in their order.

If the prisoner plead the king's pardon, the conclusion of his plea is ordinarily thus, quarum quidem literarum domini regis (ac dicti brevis, if there be a writ of allowance also pleaded,) pratextu pradictus T. H. petit, quòd ipse de pramissis per curiam hic dimittatur, &c. super quo visis & per curiam hic intellectis omnibus & singulis pramissis consideratum est, quòd pradictus T. H. eat inde sine die, &c. and in the margin of the roll there is commonly enterd litera patentes allocantur: sine

392 Historia Placitorum Coronæ.

die, &c. and no other judgment is usually enterd in such case. Rast. Entries 455. a. b.

If the prisoner pleads autersoits acquit, or convict, or attaint de mesme felony, and avers it to be the same, (as he must,) the conclusion of his plea is, & hoc paratus est verisicare, unde petit judicium, & quòd ipse de pramissis per curiam hic dimittatur, and sometimes and most commonly pleads over to

the felony not guilty.

Et David Waterhouse armiger, coronator & attornatus domini regis in curia ipsus regis coram ipso rege, qui pro eodem domino rege in hac parte sequitur, pro eodem domino rege dicit & cognovit, quòd pradictus Johannes Sayer, qui modo comparet, & pradictus Johannes in inquisitione pradicta nominatus per nomen Johannis Sawyer, nuper de W. in com. S. &c. est una & eadem persona, and so goes along to all the averments modo & forma, prout pradictus Johannes Sayer superiùs placitando allegavit, super quo visis & per cur. hic intellectis omnibus singulis pramissis tàm in placito pradicto ipsus Johannis Sayer in forma pradicta placitat. & recordo convictionis pradict. quam dicti domini regis attornati ejusdem placiti cognitione, consideratum est, quòd pradictus Johannis Sayer eat inde sine die. H. 5 Jac. B. R. Sayer's case, where he pleaded autersoits convict and had his clergy.

And judgment is in like manner enterd, H. 6 Jac. B. R. in the case of Francis Smith upon autersoits acquit pleaded, Et David Waterhouse armiger, qui pro domino rege in hâc parte sequitur, viso placito pradicti Francisci Smith & diligenter per ipsum examinat. pramissis, pro eo, quòd evidenter & maniseste apparet eidem David Waterhouse, quòd placitum pradictum per prafatum Franciscum superius placitatum & c. hoc non dedicit sed placitum illud ex parte dicti domini regis in omnibus fatetur, & cognovit fore verum: Ideò ut supra eat sine die.

The like form of judgment, viz. quòd eat sine die was antiently used in case of autersoits acquit pleaded. 2 E. 4. John

Hodgson's case.

And note, this judgment of eat fine die is of two kinds, fometimes it is special, sometimes it is general.

If A. bring an action of covenant against B. and a special verdict is found, but upon the perusal of the declaration a fault therein appears, Et quia videtur curiæ, quòd narratio est insufficiens, consideratum est quòd querens nihil capiat per billam, sed quòd desendens eat indè sine die, this judgment shall not be a bar in another action, because special and not given upon the verdict, but upon the insufficiency of the declaration; otherwise it had been, if given generally, sor it should have been intended upon the verdict and merits of the cause. T. 1650. Eales & Lambert (a).

In a quare impedit by the king issue is joined and found for the defendant, at the day in bank it is alleged in arrest of judgment, that no patron is named in the writ, the judgment shall be enterd generally, quòd eat sine die, and not specially upon the plea in abatement, but it seems, it shall not bar the king in a new action, for the eat sine die shall be applied to the plea to the writ: vide 3 H. 4. 2 & 11. (b).

But it seems, that if a man pleads a plea in bar of the indictment, as autrefoits acquit, or a pardon, yet if the indictment be insufficient, upon the reason of Vaux's case 4 Co. Rep. 45. a. the eat sine die shall be applied for the advantage of the king to the insufficiency of the indictment, and not to the plea in bar; quære tamen, non obstante Vaux's case.

It is reason to have the eat sine die special in that case, eò quòd indictamentum prædictum apparet minus sufficiens, ideò consideratum est, quòd eat sine die, and then it is applicable only to the insufficiency of the indictment.

Vol. II. 5 H

(a) This case (but not this point) is

reported in Scyl. 37, 54, 73.

(b) This case, (which is obscurely stated by our author,) appears from the year-book to have been thus. A quare impedit was brought by the king, and a verdict past for the defendant, upon which the defendant prayd judgment, but the counsel for the king desired, that the writ might abate, because it was brought against the incumbent only, and not against the patron, but this was resused, because the king was estopped from abating his own writ; then they prayd, that if judgment were enterd a-

gainst the king, the cause thereof should likewise be enterd, but this also was refused by the court as needless, and the judgment enterd generally, quòd defendens eat sine die, (the same judgment, that should be in case the writ had been brought against the patron and incumbent, and it had been found against the king,) because the king will receive no prejudice thereby, for if this judgment should afterwards be pleaded in bar, the king might reply, that judgment was given against the writ, because the patron was not named therein.

If

394 Historia Placitorum Coronæ.

If a man plead not guilty and is acquitted, antiently the judgment was not only, quòd eat fine die, but ideò confideratum est, quòd eat indè quietus, tho it were at the king's suit, quod vide Rast. Entries 51. a. 2 E. 4. in the case of Hodgson before cited, and so in the case of Smith before cited, viz. H. 6 fac. and accordingly H. 3 fac. B.R. Rast. Entries 57. Ideò consideratum est, quòd idem T. sit indè quietus, & eat sine die. Rast. Entries, fol. 385. Gaol-delivery 6, 7, 10, 11.

Yet at common law without the aid of 18 Eliz. he might be bound to his good behaviour, if it were testified he was of ill same, and shall be committed till he find sureties.

Rast. Ent. 385. Gaol-delivery 5.

And if the entry were such, I do not think the prisoner could ever be arraigned again notwithstanding the insufficiency of the indictment, till that judgment of acquittal were reversed, for eat inde quietus cannot go to the insufficiency of the indictment, but must go to the matter of the verdict.

But indeed in Vauxe's case, 4 Co. Rep. 44. a. who was acquit by verdict upon not guilty pleaded, the judgment is only Ideò consideratum est, quòd prædictus Willielmus Vaux de selonià & murdro prædicto in indictamento prædicto superiùs specificat. necnon de dictà selonicà venenatione prædicti Nich. Ridley in eodem indictamento nominat. eidem Willielmo imposit. eat sine die, not eat indè quietus: he was afterwards indicted de novo and pleaded the sormer acquittal, and yet because the indictment was not sufficient, he was put to plead to the felony, and had judgment and was executed.

The truth is the best reason to maintain that judgment is that, which is given by my lord Coke P. C. 214. in these words, In the case of acquittal the judgment is, quod eat sine die, which may be given as well for the insufficiency of the indictment, as for the party's innocence or not guiltiness of the offense, and the judges of the cause ought before judgment to look into the whole record, and upon due consideration thereof to cause it to be en-

terd, ideò consideratum est, quòd eat sine die.

This is the best reason to support that judgment, but if the judgment had been, quòd eat indè quietus, as the antient form is in case of acquittal upon not guilty pleaded, that

could

could never refer to the defect of the indictment, but to the very matter of the verdict; and if in Vaux's case the judgment had been so enterd, he could never again have been indicted for the same offense, notwithstanding the defect of the indictment, till that judgment reversed by writ of error, tho, as it was, that judgment in Vauxe's case was one of the hardest that ever I met with in criminal causes, for where the prisoner excepts to the insufficiency of the indictment, or the court doth it ex officio, the judgment is special, quòd indictamentum ob insufficientiam cassetur, & quòd the prisoner eat inde ad prasens sine die.

If a man be indicted of homicide se desendendo, or per infortunium, he must plead to it or confess it, and there is no judgment of death given against him, but remittitur prisone,

or baild ad expectand. gratiam regis.

But if a man by the coroner's inquest be found to have kild a thief, that assaulted him to rob him or to commit a burglary, which is not felony, he shall neither be arraigned nor put to answer upon that indictment, but shall be dismis-

fed without any judgment.

But if he had been indicted of murder or manslaughter, and upon not guilty pleaded the special matter is found, or the jury acquits him, the judgment shall be quòd eat indè quietus, and it is a perpetual discharge; and if he be found guilty se defendendo, yet the judgment given thereupon, quòd expectet gratiam regis is a perpetual bar to another indict-

ment. Co. P. C. cap. 101. p. 213, 214.

II. The judgment in case of allowance of clergy is thus, Super quo adtunc & ibidem quasitum est per cur. domini regis de eodem Johanne, si quid pro se habeat vel dicere sciat, quare curia domini regis hic ad judicium & executionem de eo super veredictum pradictum procedere non debeat; idem Johannes dicit, quòd ipse est clericus, & petit beneficium clericale sibi in ea parte allocari, & tradito eidem Johanni libro idem Johannes legit ut clericus, super quo consideratum est per curiam hic, quòd idem Johannes in manu sua lava cauterizetur & deliberetur, and the execution is accordingly enterd, & instanter crematur in manu sua lava. & deliberatur juxta formam statuti.

And

And if he be a nobleman, and be demanded wherefore judgment should not be given upon the verdict, he
may aver, that he is a peer of the kingdom habens locum &
vocem in parliamento, and pray the benefit of the statute of
1 E. 6. cap. 12. and if it appear so in the indictment, or in
case it do not, if the court be ascertained thereof either by
writ or certiorari to the clerk of parliament, or if it be consessed by the king's attorney, then the judgment is ideò consideratum est quòd deliberetur secundum formam statuti in hujusmodi casu edit. E provis.

And if it be alleged, that he is a clerk in holy orders, then it shall be enterd after his reading, Et quia curia hic constat per certificationem Episcopi &c. or per literas testimo-niales Episcopi, quòd ipse est clericus in sacris ordinibus constitutus, viz. in ordine subdiaconatus, ideo considerat. est per curiam, quòd deliberetur secundum formam statuti in hujusmodi casu edit. I provis. sine cauterizatione. And the like, if he plead the

king's pardon of burning in the hand.

And if a layman pray his clergy, and it appear of record, that he had it before, then the entry is, Et quia per inspectionem recordi coràm domino rege hic missi &c. quòd aliter idem J. S. indictatus existit &c. setting out the essect of the record, & quòd ipse est eadem persona, & hoc idem J. S. non dedicit, ideò consideratum est, quòd privilegium clericale eidem J. S. non allocetur, & quòd suspendatur per collum quousque &c.

And so if he prays his clergy, [and cannot read,] Et tradito ei per curiam libro idem J. S. non legit ut clericus, ideò confiderat. est, quòd suspendatur per collum, quousque mortuus fuerit.

III. The judgment in high treason against the king for conspiring his death, or levying war, or for a priest upon the statute of 27 Eliz. cap. 2. or for any new treason made

by authority of parliament is in this manner.

First the king's serjeant or attorney juxta debitam legis formam petit versus ipsum E. D. super veredicto pradict. judicium & executionem pro dicto domino rege habend. &c. but this is not of absolute necessity, for the court ex officio ought to give judgment.

Et super hoc visis & per curiam hic plenius intellectis omnibus U fingulis pramissis considerat. est, quòd pradictus E.D. ducatur per vicecomitem com. Middlesex, or per marescallum hujus curia, or per constabular. turris London usque marescalciam &c. or usque turrim London, or usque gaolam domini regis com. prædicti (according as the prisoner is in custody,) Et de inde per medium civitatis London directe usque ad furcas de Tiburne trahatur, & super furcas illas ibidem suspendatur, & vivus ad terram prosternatur, & interiora sua extra ventrem suam capiantur (c) ipsoque vivente (d) comburantur, & caput ejus amputetur, & corpus ejus in quatuor partes dividatur, & caput & quarteria illa ponantur ubi dominus rex ea affignare voluerit.

But if the prisoner be in the king's bench and the judgment be given in that court, the entry is, quòd prædictus J. S. ducatur per prædictum marescallum usque prisonam marescalciæ domini regis coràm ipso rege, & de inde ad quendam locum executionis, vocat. St. Thomas Watringes, trahatur, & supra furcas ibidem suspendatur, and so forward as in the judgment.

Thus the judgment was enterd against Barkly a seminary priest upon an indictment in Middlesex, P. 38 Eliz. upon the statute of 27 Eliz. But the judgment against a woman in all cases of high-treason is to be drawn and burnt. Co. P. C. 211.

Upon an indictment of treason for counterfeiting the king's coin the judgment is only, as in petit treason, viz. quòd ducatur usque gaolam domini regis de Newgate per vic. com. Middlesex, & ab inde usque ad furcas de Tiburn trahatur & ibidem suspendatur, quousque mortuus fuerit.

And the judgment against a woman is also, as in petit trea-

fon, to be burnt. 25 E. 3. 42. (e).

This is agreed of all hands, but as to clipping or impairing of coin [there hath been some doubt], and likewise as to counterfeiting of forein coin made current by proclamation, because these are new created treasons. Co. P. C. p. 17.

Vol. II.

others tantamount are absolutely necesfary, otherwise the judgment is erronious. See 2 Salk. 632. Show, cases in partie-ment p. 127. Rew versus Walcot. (e) N. Edit. 85. b.

⁽c) Secreta membra amputentur is here sometimes inserted, Show. cases in parliament p. 187. but is not of necessity, vide the sentence in lord Derwentwater's case, State Tr. Vol. VI. p. 16.

⁽d) These words ipsoque vivente, or

But yet in cases of clipping or washing made treason by the statute of 5 Eliz. cap. 11. & 18 Eliz. cap. 1. the judgment is now fettled to be only drawn and hanged, as in case of counterfeiting of the coin of the kingdom by 25 E.3. de proditionibus, and this was agreed, and accordingly judgment given against two Frenchmen Hill. 25 Car. 2. (f). ac-

cording to the book of T. 6 Eliz. Dy. 230. b.

And with this agrees the resolution of 24 H.8. in justice Spilman's reports cited 2 Co. Inst. p. 636. A priest drawn and hanged for clipping the king's coin, and yet clipping was not held to be treason within the statute of 25 E. 3. but made so [by the statute of 3 H. 5. cap. 6. according to the common opinion and the recital of the statute of 5 Eliz. (g), and so repeald by the statute of 1 Mar. cap. 1. yet even while that statute of 3 H.5. was in force, the judgment was only drawing and hanging in that cafe.

And upon fearch of precedents both in the king's bench and at the Old Baily, tho some precedents were of hanging drawing and quartering for clipping, yet the most usual

were only drawing and hanging (b).

And upon the same reason I think, that in case of counterfeiting of forein coin made current by proclamation, made treason by the statute 1 Mar. cap. 6. and the clipping or washing thereof, likewise made treason by 5 and 18 Eliz. I think there ought to be no other judgment but drawing and hanging, for by the proclamation and the act of I Mar. it is now become as the coin of this realm, and it were an incongruous thing for a man to be hanged and quarterd for counterfeiting forein coin made current by proclamation by interpretation of the statute of 1 Mar. and yet to be only drawn and hanged for counterfeiting the proper coin of the kingdom.

For counterfeiting the great or privy feal certainly there was antiently no other judgment but that of petit treaton.

⁽f) Bellew & Norman. 1 Ven. 254. (g) In the original MS. the words in this place are, By the statutes of 5 & 18 Eviz. according to the common opinion and the recital of those two statutes; but

it appears by what follows, that the statute of 3 H.5. was intended here to be mentiond, nor is it recited in the statute of 18 Eliz. but only in that of 5 Eliz. (b) Vide Part I. p. 352.

qui

treason, namely drawing and hanging, as appears by the book of 2 H. 4. 25. a. (i), and the record of that case, tho my lord Coke excepts against it in P. C. p. 15. sed de his vide

que supra dixi Part I. cap. 16. p. 187.

IV. The judgment in petit treason is for a man to be drawn and hanged, for a woman to be drawn and burnt, as also in high treason, Co. P. C. p. 211. for the other judgment is unseemly for that sex. Stamf. P. C. Lib. III. cap. 19. fol. 182. b.

V. The judgment in all cases of felony is, quòd suspen-

datur per collum, quousque mortuus fuerit.

But if a man be outlawd of treason or felony, tho there be no other judgment, but utlegatus est per judicium coronatorum, yet it is of itself an attainder, and subjects the offender to such an award thereupon to be made by the court, where he is brought, as is suitable to the offense, for which he is indicted and outlawd.

And this judgment is as well to be given against a nobleman as another in case of felony, and cannot be given otherwise by the court, or executed otherwise by the sheriff. Co. P. C. p. 211 \mathcal{C} 52. (k).

VI. The judgment of peine fort & dure at this day in case of felony is only where the prisoner stands mute of malice upon his arraignment or will not directly answer, for upon challenging above twenty his challenge shall be only over-ruled (1), and the trial proceed.

But at common law in all cases of felony and at this day in petit treason, if he challenge thirty-six peremptorily, he should have his judgment of penance (m), and this holds as well in an appeal as in an indictment, and as well in case of women as men. 2 Co. Inst. 177. Super stat. Westm. 1. cap. 12.

The entry of the judgment is thus:

Et quesitum est per curiam ab eo qualiter se velit inde acquietare, qui dicit, quòd ipse non vult se super aliquam juratam patriæ ponere, nist solummodo in Deum; tunc insuper dictum est ei per curiam bic, quòd nist aliter in hâc parte respondeat mori debet,

⁽i) Clement Peytenin's case, vide (1) Supra p. 270.

Tart I. p. 181. in notis, & p. 352. (m) Supra p. 268, 316.

(k) Vide Part I. p. 501.

qui dicit, quòd non vult aliter respondere in hâc parte nisi ut priùs, ideò considerat' est, quòd idem R.B. ducatur ad prisonam mares-calcia domini regis coràm ipso rege, & ibidem nudus prater baccas suas ponatur ad terram super dorsum suum directe jacens, & foramen in terrà sub ejus capite siat & caput ejus in eodem ponatur, & super corpus suum ubi libet ponatur tantum de petris & ferro, quantum portare potest & plus, quamdiu vivit, & quòd habeat de pane & aquà pessimis & prisona ei proximis, & illà die quà comedit non bibat, neque illà die quà bibit non comedat, sic vivendo quousque mortuus fuerit (n).

And if he stand wholly mute, then the entry is thus:

Et allocutus quomodo se velit de felonià pradictà acquietare, qui quidem R. nihil respondet, sed se mutum tenet, & super hoc captà inquistione per sacramentum 12 &c. si pradictus R. loqui possit, vel si pradictus R. pradicto die &c. loquutus fuerit necne, qui dicunt super sacramentum suum, quòd pradictus R. loquutus fuit isto eodem die & benè loqui potest si velit, ideò idem R. ut ipse qui legem recusat, hoc casu eat ad panam &c. ut supra. Catalla ipsius nulla.

And sometimes also the jury were charged to inquire so male credatur, but that was but rarely in case of an indictment (0), for the indictment itself carries a probability, that he may be guilty, when joined with his own wilful refusing his trial, so that he forfeits his goods by such standing mute.

VII. Judgment in petit larciny is only to be whipt, or imprisoned by way of chastisement (p).

VIII. Judgment in misprission of treason is forfeiture of all his goods, forfeiture of the profits of his land during his life, and imprisonment during his life (q).

IX. Judgment in theftbote is fine and imprisonment.

CHAP.

(n) Vide supra cap. 43. p. 319. Rast. fender may be transported. See 4 Geo. 1. Entr. fol. 385. pl. 2. cap. 11. and 6 Geo. 1. cap. 23. vide su(o) Vide the case of Thomas de la pra p. 388. in notis.

(o) Vide the case of Thomas de la pra p. 388. in notis. Hethe supra p. 322. in notis. (q) Part I. p. 374. (p) But by subsequent statutes the of-

Č H A P. LVI.

Concerning giving of judgment, by whom, and when.

HAT courts have jurisdiction in causes criminal and capital have been handled before in the beginning of this Part; I am now to consider when one judge may give judgment upon a conviction before another judge, and how.

The king's bench is the center of all subordinate juris-

dictions, especially in matters capital.

If A. be indicted of felony before justices of peace, over and terminer, or gaol-delivery, and be convict by verdict or confession, if the record of the conviction be removed into the king's bench by certiorari, and the prisoner also be removed thither by babeas corpus, that court may give judgment upon that conviction, but there must be first a filing of the record in the king's bench, and a commitment of the prisoner to the custody of the marshal, and he must be called to say what he can, why judgment should not be given against him, and thereupon judgment may be given: vide 23 H.8. cap. 1 & 11. 10 H.4.9. a. Coron. 467.

And indeed there was no other remedy before the statutes of 11 H. 6. cap. 6. & 1 E. 6. cap. 7. for judgment to be given upon persons reprieved before judgment, for the former commissions are determind by new ones at common

law.

But if the conviction were not before the judge of the king's bench, so that the offender continued not always in cultody of the marshal or of those that are his bail, but be removed by habeas corpus or brought in by process, the party so removed may plead he is not the same person and give some diversity of name, and if the king's attorney confess it, Vol. II.

he shall be discharged and process made out against the other person, thus it was done in the case of John Apare, Lib. placitor. Coron. n. 7. who was taken upon a capias utlegat. and pleaded he was not the same person.

Or the king's attorney may take issue upon it and aver him to be the same person, and known by one name or the other. 21 E. 4. Surry. Lib. placitor. Coron. placito 31. Nicholas

Browne's case.

Or if he answers nothing but stands mute, it shall be inquired whether he be the same person by inquest, before judgment be given against him, for he shall not be concluded by the return of the sheriff either upon a cepi corpus or babeas corpus, if he was not always in custody of the same court from the time of his first arraignment, vide accords 10 E. 4. 19. b. but if he had been always in cultody of the court of king's bench from the time of his arraignment, or had been baild by the court, and came in and rendred himfelf upon his bail, then no fuch inquiry shall be made upon his standing mute. 10 E. 4. 19. b.

And that I may fay it once for all, the same law is where a party is outlawd or abjured, and comes by capias utlegat. or other process into the king's bench, he shall be demanded what he can fay why execution should not be awarded against him upon the record removed, which 7 H. 6. 25. a. B. Coron. 44. is called an arraignment; if he confess himself to be the same person, execution shall be awarded; if he deny himself to be the same person and the king's attorney confess it, he shall be discharged; if the king's attorney take issue upon it, it shall be tried; if the prisoner say nothing, it shall be inquired by an inquest of office whether he be the same person: vide 8 H. 4. 3 & 18. B. Coron. 22, 23. 10 E. 4. 19. b. M. 5 Car. Croke, p. 176. Coxe's case.

If an issue be joined in the court of king's bench in an appeal of felony, or in an indictment of treason or felony either upon a record originally begun in that court, or removed thither by certiorari, the usual course now is to try it at the bar, or if it were removed by certiorari out of another county, to remit the record according to the statute of 6 H. 8. cap. 6. to the justices, before whom such indictment was originally taken, with a writ to command them to proceed therein, whether the record were so remitted before or after issue joined in the king's bench.

But many times that court antiently did, and at this day may fend down the transcript to be tried by nife prius, as well in an indictment as an appeal, and upon the return thereof the court may give judgment of death or acquittal, according to the verdict returned; quod vide sepius L.

But whether they might inquire of abetters there hath been diversity of opinions, vide 2 & 3 P. & M. Dy. 120, 121, 131. b. but by the better opinion they cannot, 10 E. 4. 14. b. 4 Co. Inst. 160. nor can they arraign the felon at the fuit of the king, if the plaintiff be nonfuit in his

appeal. 22 E. 4. 19. a. (*).

It hath been held by some, that justices of assise and nise prius may by virtue of the statute of 27 E. 1. de finibus cap. 3. without any other commission deliver the gaol and give judgment of felons, vide Stamf. P. C. Lib. II. cap. 5. fol. 57. b. but yet that hath not been used, neither is it safe to be practifed without a commission of gaol-delivery: vide stat. 3 H. 5. stat. 2. cap. 7.

But certainly at common law justices of nist prius could not give judgment upon an appeal or indictment sent to them out of the king's bench by nift prius to be tried, no more than in other ordinary civil causes, for they have but the transcript of the record before them, and their commisfion is only ad triandum exitum, and to remit the transcript

with the verdict indorsed upon the postea (†).

But by the statute of 14 H. E. cap. 1. justices of nife prius have power in all cases of felony and treason to give judgment of acquittal or attainder at the day and place where their inquisitions, inquests, and juries are taken, and then and there to award execution to be made by force of the tame judgments.

But yet it seems this statute gave them not power to inquire of abetters in an appeal, nor to arraign the prisoner upon a nonsuit before them at the king's suit, 10 E. 4. 14. b. 22 E. 4. 19. a. but this was to be done in the king's bench upon the return of the postea.

But upon this statute these things are to be observed.

1. That they might return the postea into the king's bench, and there judgment may be given as at common law, for tho the statute gives them power to give judgment and award execution, yet it leaves them power to return the postea, and takes not away the power of the king's bench to give judgment and award execution upon the postea returned, as they might have done at common law.

2. That as the prisoner cannot be arraigned nor plead to issue in the king's bench, unless the record and also the prisoner be there, so the record itself still remains in the king's bench, and only the transcript deliverd to the judges of nist prius and not the record itself, as upon the statute of 6 H.8. yet upon that transcript the judges of nist prius may give judgment and award execution by virtue of the statute of 14 H.6. cap. 1.

But then the prisoner must either be sent down by habeas corpus to the sheriff of the county, where the nist prius is, in custody, or else baild to appear there, for no inquest can be taken by default, or in the absence of the prisoner

in cases capital.

And if the prisoner be baild by the king's bench to appear at the nist prius, (as he may,) yet if he appear not, the inquest cannot be taken, but only the prisoner called upon his bail, and the default recorded, and so upon the return of the postea new process against the prisoner, and also against his bail.

At common law by granting a new commission of the peace all proceedings before former commissioners of the peace were discontinued, and if an issue were joined, or a person convicted, or had judgment, the new commissioners could not proceed to trial, judgment, or execution, but all that could be done was to remove the record by certiorari and the prisoner by habeas corpus into the king's bench, and there to proceed where the justices left off.

And

And to remedy this the statute of 11 H. 6. cap. 6. was made, whereby it is enacted, "That such proceedings shall "not be discontinued by such new commission, but the new justices after they have the records before them shall "have power to continue the same pleas and processes, and "the same pleas and processes and all that depend upon "them to hear and finally determine, as the other justices might have done, if no new commission had issued.

By virtue of this statute new commissioners might not only give judgment upon conviction before former justices of peace, but might award executions upon judgments given by the former justices, as shall be farther shewn.

But this statute extended not to commissions of over and terminer and gaol-delivery, but only to commissions of the peace.

And therefore the statute of 1 E. 6. cap. 7. was made, which among other things enacts, "That where any per"fon shall be found guilty of treason or any felony, for which judgment of death should be given, and be reprieved before judgment, new commissioners of gaol-delivery may give judgment upon such conviction, as the justices of gaol-delivery, before whom he was convicted, might have done.

"And that no manner of process or suit made, sued, or had before any justices of assise, gaol-delivery, over and terminer, of the peace, or other the king's commissioners shall in any wife be discontinued by the making and publishing any new commission or association, or by altering the names of such justices or commissioners, but that the new justices of assise, gaol-delivery, and of the peace, and other commissioners may proceed in every behalf, as if the old commission and justices and commissioners had still remained and continued not alterd.

Tho this statute in the first part thereof mentions giving of judgment upon a person convict, yet I take it very clear they may award execution upon a party reprieved after judgment by former commissioners, for by the second clause they may proceed in every behalf as the former Vol. II.

5 L commissioners

406 Historia Placitorum Coronæ.

commissioners might have done, and therefore there is little cause for the quere made touching that point in Dyer (g), yet I have generally observed this one rule, that I would never give judgment, or award execution upon a person reprieved by any other judge but myself, because I could not know upon what ground or reason he reprieved him (h).

CHAP. LVII.

Concerning executions.

MUCH of what concerns this matter hath fallen in under the former chapter, and therefore I shall be brief in it. I shall consider,

1. Who may award execution.

- 2. In what manner it is to be awarded.
- 3. By what warrant to be made.
- 4. By whom it is to be done.
- 5. In what manner.
- 6. Concerning reprieves or respite of judgment or execution.
- I. As to the first of these it hath been dispatched in the former chapter, they that may give judgment may award execution.

And therefore the court of king's bench upon an habeas corpus and a certiorari to remove the body of a prisoner and the record of his outlawry or attainder before them may

(g) Dyer fol. 165. a.

(b) The usefulness of this caution may be seen from what is observed by Sir John Hawles in his remarks on Cornish's trial, State Tr. Vol. IV. p. 203. where he relates the case of some persons, "Who had been convicted of the murder of a person absent barely by inferences from soolish words and actions; but the judge before whom it was tried was so unsatisfied in the

"matter, because the body of the per"fon supposed to be murderd was not to
be found, that he reprieved the per"fons condemned; yet in a circuit afterwards a certain unwary judge, without inquiring into the reasons of the
"reprieve, orderd execution and the
"persons to be hanged in chains, which
"was done accordingly; and afterwards
"to his reproach the person supposed to
"be murderd appeard alive.

award execution upon him. M. 5 Car. B. R. Croke p. 176. Coxe's case, vide que dicta sunt supra cap. 56.

II. Touching the manner of it there be certain cases, wherein tho the prisoner be attainted, yet he is not to have execution awarded against him, till he be demanded what he can say why execution should not be awarded against him, viz.

- 1. Where a woman is convicted and attaint by judgment, tho she remain always in custody, so that constat de persona, yet execution is not to be awarded against her till she be demanded what she can say why execution should not be awarded, for she may allege pregnancy, which, tho it be no cause to respite judgment, is a good cause to respite execution.
- 2. Where the judgment was given at a former session, for in that interval between this and the former session he may have a pardon to plead.
- 3. Where the prisoner hath not always remained in the custody of the court, where he first had judgment, for in that case, if he be brought in by a capias by the sherist, he shall not be concluded, but that he may say he is another person, and issue may be taken upon it, and that issue shall be tried before he shall have execution awarded against him, and if he stand mute, it shall be inquired whether it be of malice. 10 E. 4. 19. b. Again,
- 4. If judgment were given in another court, or by other justices, as in case where a record of an attainder comes from another court by certiorari into the king's bench, or if a man be outlawd for felony, and the outlawry either removed or returned into the king's bench, and the felon brought in by habeas corpus or capias utlegat. he shall be demanded what he can say why execution should not be awarded against him, which 7 H. 6. 25. a. is called an arraignment, for in these cases, 1. He shall not be concluded by the return of the sheriff from saying he is not the same person, that was outlawd, and upon that issue may be joined, and it shall be entered of record and tried (*), unless the king's attorney confess it: vide supra cap. 56. 2. He may

may have the king's pardon to plead. 3. In case of an outlawry he may assign error in the outlawry, and pray respite to purchase a writ of error, and the court usually in such a case president him a day, and gives him respite to purchase a writ of error, and in the mean time remits him to the marshal and respites his execution.

Thus it was done in the case of David Dene, H. 16 E. 4. Placit. cor. n. 57. who was taken by a capias utlegat. returnable in the king's bench, Et statim quasitum est ab eo, si quid pro se habeat vel dicere sciat, quare ad executionem de eo super utle-

garia prædicta procedi non debet.

He alleged, that at the time of the outlawry pronounced he was in prison in the tower of London, Et statim quasitum est ab eo per cur. si habeat aliquid breve de errore necne, qui dicit quòd non; ideò injunctum est eidem David ex gratia per curiam, quòd ipse breve de errore in hac parte habeat coram domino rege in octabis Hillarii, and upon his failure a second and a third peremptory day was assigned him, at which day he shewd to the court a writ of error and assigned the same error in fact, and issue was taken upon it, and a venire facias returnable in Mich. term, the prisoner still remaining in custody, and execution respited till the issue tried.

But it is to be noted, that he, that will delay his execution by alleging error in the outlawry and praying liberty to purchase a writ of error, must allege error in fact, or error in law upon the outlawry to obtain that respite of execution before his writ of error be brought, for if the court be satisfied, that it is merely a pretense, they may chuse, whether they will allow him a day to sue forth a writ of error, but may award execution presently. I H. 7. 13. b. John

Collin's case, vide Co. P. C. p. 212.

If either the prisoner himself, or any as amicus curia, inform the court of any error in the outlawry, the court ex officio must prefix him a day to purchase his writ of error, and in the mean time respite execution, but if he purchase not his writ in convenient time, execution shall be awarded.

III. By what warrant the execution is to be made.

In the king's bench there is no other writ nor warrant but an award of the court upon the judgment, viz. Et dictum est marescallo, quòd faciat executionem periculo incumbente, for in the king's bench the marshal is the immediate officer of the court to make execution in these cases, for that court never gives judgment against any, that is not in custodia marescalli in cases capital, and so are all the antient and modern precedents, vide 3 H. 7. 7. a. M. 5 Car. B. R. Cro. p. 176. Coxe's case, and so was directed by the court upon view of the precedents themselves mentioned in my lord Coke's book of Entries, Tit. Indictment per totum, P. 25 Car. B. R. in Brown's case (a).

When an attainder of felony or treason is against a nobleman, the judgment is pronounced by the lord high stewward, and the warrant for execution is under his precept and seal in his own name. Co. P. C. p. 31.

When judgment is given by commissioners of over and terminer, regularly the precept for execution should issue to the sheriff in the names and under the hands and seals of three of the commissioners, whereof one to be of the quorum; before whom judgment was given, Co. P. C. p. 31. but by usage (as far as I can learn of late times,) it is now done only by leaving a calendar with the sheriff declaring their judgments (*).

When a man hath judgment of death before justices of gaol-delivery, the regular way is either to issue a precept to the sheriff in the names of the commissioners reciting the judgment, and commanding execution to be done, or otherwise by an award upon the record, Et dictum est per curiam hic vicecomiti comitatûs predicti, quòd faciat executionem periculo incumbente.

But of latter time there is no more done, but after judgment enterd the judge subscribes a calendar in paper, directing the several judgments of deliverance of the parties acquitted, or the execution of the parties condemned.

Vol. II. 5 M z Only

(a) 3 Keb. 193. i Vent. 243. (*) Supra p. 31.

Only Rolle would never subscribe any such calendar (*), but would command the sherist openly in court to take notice of the judgments and orders of what kind soever, and

command the sheriff to execute them at his peril.

The reason of the difference between justices of gaol-delivery and of oper and terminer is this; all the precepts, that issue at a sessions of oper and terminer, as for a venire facias tales &c. ought in true order of law to be by precept in the names and under the seals of the justices, but the precepts by justices of gaol-delivery need not be otherwise than by a simple award upon the roll; Ideò praceptum est vicecomiti, quòd venire faciat hic &c.

IV. By what officer execution is to be made.

Regularly the officer, that is to make the execution, is that officer in whose custody by law the prisoner is at the time of the judgment given, for into his custody he is to be remanded after judgment pronounced, and there to stay till

judgment executed.

Therefore, where judgment is given at the sessions of gaol-delivery, the execution is to be made by the sheriff, or his under-sheriff or deputy, for regularly he is in his custody ordinarily, but if the prisoner be in the Tower of London, (which is oftentimes the case of persons indicted for great treasons,) and he be arraigned before justices of over and terminer, he is commonly brought before them by a precept to the constable of the Tower, (which is an exempt prison from that of the fheriff,) and if he be convict and attaint, he is commonly remitted thither, and the precept or warrant for execution must go to the lieutenant or constable of the Tower, for it is pursuant to the judgment, viz. quòd predictus E. ducatur per præfatum locumtenent' turris London usque ad dictum turrim, & deinde per medium civitatis Lond. directe trahatur usque furcas de Tiburn &c. And thus it was done in the cales of the traitors at the powder-treason 3 Jac. But ufually a command or precept is made to the sheriffs of London and Middlesex to be affifting to the lieutenant.

If the prisoner be arraigned in the king's bench either for treason or felony, he is or ought to be always first committed to the marshal, and by him is to be brought to the bar upon his trial and judgment, and to him he is to be remitted after judgment till execution; and wheresoever the felony or treason was committed, yet the marshal is to make execution, for he is in this case the immediate officer to the court, and the prisoner is not in the custody of any sheriff, but of the marshal.

And therefore the entry in this case of felony is, Et dictum est marescallo, Quòd faciat executionem periculo incumbente.

But in case of high treason the marshal is mentiond in the very judgment, viz. quòd ducatur per presatum marescal-lum usque prisonam marescalli marescalciæ domini regis, & deinde usque ad surcas sancti Thomas Watrings trahatur & ibidem suspendatur & c. thus is the entry of the judgment, P. 44 Eliz. against Patrick Dalph B. R. T. 43 Eliz. B. R. against John Tipping, T. 39 Eliz. B. R. against John Jones.

And in the case of Brown P. 25 Car. 2. that had judgment in the king's bench for felony upon the statute of 3 H.7. for an offense committed in Middlesex, and there presented and convicted, the execution was made by the marshal in the usual place of execution in the county of

Surrey (b).

Only in these and the like cases the court gives order to the sheriff of the county, where the execution is made, to be assisting to the marshal.

V. As to the manner of the execution, as it is to be done by the proper officer, so it is to be done pursuant to the judgment.

The judgment in case of selony is, suspendatur per collum,

quousque fuerit mortuus.

The sheriff may not alter the execution, if he doth, it is felony, and some say murder. Co. P. C. p. 211, 217. (c).

If

⁽b) The like was done in Althoe's (c) Vide Part I. cap. 42. p. 501. in case T. 9 Geo. 1. B. R. vide supra in no-notis.

412 Historia Placitorum Coronæ.

If the party be hanged and cut down and revive again, yet he must be hanged again, for the judgment is to be hanged by the neck till he be dead (d).

The judgment in high treason is complicated, viz. hang-

ing, beheading, imbowelling, Uc.

The king may pardon all but the beheading, for this is part of the judgment, the judgment is not alterd, but part of it remitted. Co. P. C. p. 52.

But this must be under the great seal. Co. P. C. p. 31.

CHAP. LVIII.

Concerning reprieves before or after judgment.

REprieves, or stays of judgment or execution are of three kinds, viz.

I. Ex mandato regis, thus we find it done in 3 H.7.7.a. tho ore tenus, or by some message, or by sending his ring, but at this day it is ordinarily signified by the privy signet,

or by the master of requests.

II. Ex arbitrio judicis. Sometimes the judge reprieves before judgment, as where he is not satisfied with the verdict, or the evidence is uncertain, or the indictment insufficient, or doubtful whether within clergy; and sometimes after judgment, if it be a small felony, tho out of clergy, or in order to a pardon or transportation. Crompt. Fust. 22.b. and these arbitrary reprieves may be granted or taken off by the justices of gaol-delivery, altho their sessions be adjourned

or

or finished, and this by reason of common usage. Dy. 205. a.

III. Ex necessitate legis, which is in case of pregnancy (e), where a woman is convict of felony or treason. Co. P. C. 17.

Stamf. P. C. Lib. III. cap. ult.

1. Enseinture is no ground to stay judgment, and therefore if a woman convict be asked what the can fay why judgment should not be given, enseinture is no cause of stay; but when judgment is given, the ought again to be demanded why execution should not be made, and there she may allege enseinture in retardationem executionis. 22 Assiz. 71. Coron. 180.

2. Enseinture is no cause to stay execution, unless she be enseint with a quick child, or which is all of one intendment, if she be quick with child. 22 Assiz. 71. Coron. 180.

3. When this is objected in delay of execution, it ought to be inquired of by a jury of twelve discreet women, and their verdict is to be recorded, and according as they give it the execution is to proceed or to stay.

4. This privilege is to be allowed but once, for if the be a fecond time with child, she shall not thereby delay execution, but the gaoler shall be punished for not looking better to her. 12 Assiz. 11. Coron. 168. 23 Assiz. 2. Coron. 188.

5. If she be priviment enseint and not quick with child, and only so found by the jury of women, that is no cause of respite; but I have rarely found but the compassion of their sex is gentle to them in their verdict, if there be any

colour to support a sparing verdict.

6. This reprieve is or ought to be a matter of record, and therefore I have always taken it, that altho she be deliverd before the next sessions, yet the sheriff ought not to make execution after her delivery, neither ought the judge to give such direction upon the reprieve granted, but at the next fessions the woman must again be called to Vol. II. 5 N

⁽e) Thus it was by the civil law. Dig. ror 1.35. vide Bract. de Coron. cap. 32. Lib. XLVIII. tit. 19. de pænis 1.3. and s. 11. Fleta Lib. I. cap. 38. s. 15. vide also by the laws of William the conque-

414 Historia Placitorum Coronæ.

shew what she can say why execution should not now be made, and she is to be heard 12 Assiz. 11. Coron. 168. amesne al barre, for it may be the tempus prestitutum for her delivery since the last sessions is not yet past, and she must stay till then, or it may be she hath since had the king's pardon, which the sheriff cannot allow nor judge of.

And therefore the books tell us, that after her delivery she was brought to the bar again to shew what she could say why execution should not be made; this bringing to the bar must needs be at a second or following sessions.

12 Assiz 11. Coron. 168. 22 E.z. Coron. 253.

4

The End of the Second Volume.

A

TABLE

OF THE

Principal MATTERS

Containd in the

Two PARTS of this TREATISE.

N. B. In the following Table, where there are no numeral letters, the pages referd to are in the first Part, and so are the pages, which precede these letters, II; but the pages, the numbers of which come after these letters in the Table, are in the second Part.

In the first Part (through mistake) after page 146 follows a repetition of the pages 143*, 144*, 145*, 146*, which are distinguished by afterisms both in the book at large, and in the Table; so in the second Part after page 156 ensues an iteration of page 149*, and of the successive numbers to 157 exclusive, which iteration or repetition is also pointed out by afterisms as well in the Table, as in the book.

Abatement.

Ppeals, for what causes abated. II. Page 149, 150
Prisoner missioned, or having a false addition pleads to indictment, or answers to it on his arraignment, is estopped from taking advantage of missioner or falsity of addition.

II. 175, 176

Misnomer in civil action, not error. II. Page 175
Where prisoner will take advantage of misnomer, how special entry to be. II. 175, 237
Misnaming sirname in appeal, cause of abatement; contra of indictment, tamen quare. II. 175, 176
Misnomer of christian name in appeal or other action at suit of

party, good plea. II. 237, 238

A TABLE of the Principal Matters

name in indictment be a good II. Page 176, 238 plea. Safest to allow plea of misnomer both as to christian and sirname, and if grand jury not discharged, indictment may be amended by them, and returned according to true name fet forth in plea. II. 176, 237, 238 Exposition on 1 H. 5. of additions. II. 176, 177 If party indicted have no addition or a falle one, he may except to former, and plead to latter. II. 176 Outlawry on fuch indictment, erronious. What good additions of degrees or mysteries, or not. II. 176, Addition to be to substantive name, not only to alias ditt. II. 177 It refers to last antecedent. ib. Spinster applicable to man or woman, but yeoman not. Single woman a good addition. Indictment against peer good without addition. Several indicted for one offense, missioner, &c. of one quasheth indictment only against him, and rest put to answer, and so in trespass. If in appeal or indictment misnomer of firname is pleaded; replication, que conus per une nosme & lautre, good; but in appeal or action, if missiomer pleaded of christian name, plaintiff must take issue, and cannot reply in that manner. II. 238 In all cases of *mismomer* prisoner to plead over to felony. ib.

Whether missioner of christian name in indictment be a good plea. II. Page 176, 238
Safest to allow plea of missioner both as to christian and sirname, and if grand jury not discharged, indictment may be amended by them, and returned according to true name set forth in plea. II. 176, 237, 238

Plea of missioner or false addition tried by same inquest, that passes on prisoner, and not in second rein county. II. Page 238

Of pleas in abatement by matter of record.

One indicted of same murder on two indictments, is arraigned on indictment last taken; second shall not abate, but usu-

How peer indicted by a common name may plead *misnomer*; title part of his name; but on plea pleaded must shew forth writ testifying it; plea triable by record.

II. 240

ally former quashed by judg-

Abettozs. Vide Murder, Pzíncípal and Accessary, Statutes in general, Treason, &c.

Abjuration.

Taken away. 605. II. 68
Vide Religion.

Accessary. Vide Principal and Accessary.

Acquittal. Vide Judgment, Pleas, Aerdia.

Addition. Vide Abatement.

Adjournment. Vide Commif-

Admiralty.

What shall be faid sea or falt water.

Admiral at common law had conusance of capital crimes committed on the sea.

500

containd in the Two PARTS.

In capitals he had three forts of	One stricken on sea dies on shore
jurisdiction. II. Page 12	after the reflux, admiral by
Of the primitive jurisdiction of the	this act hath no jurisdiction.
admiralty. ib.	II. Page 17, 20
They proceeded by maritime	Of the commission directed by this
laws; trial by proofs. ib.	act.
A capital fentence wrought no	Proceedings thereon by the courfe
corruption of blood. ib.	of the common law. ib.
Might hold their fession any where	Accessary dispunishable by this act,
on land. II. 12, 16	but how otherwise punishable.
In creeks, Gc. infra corpus com.	II. 17, 18
they had jurifdiction at com-	In all crimes within it, clergy al-
mon law. II. 15	lowd, fave in treason, piracy
General commissions of oyer and	and murder. H. 17
terminer infra com. extended	A mute shall have peine fort &
not to misdemeanors on sea-	dure. ib.
coasts, save in creeks, Gc. in-	Whether attainder thereon works
fra corpus com. II. 16, 17	corruption of blood, and how
Exposition on 15 R . 2. giving ad-	indictment to be for that pur-
miral jurifdiction in any river	pose. 355. II. 18
or creek within body of coun-	If formerly a mortal stroke had
ty. II. ib .	been given on the sea, and par-
It extends only to death of a man	ty had died within body of
and maihem. ib.	county, neither admiral nor
B. R. and general commissioners	common law had jurifdiction.
of oyer and terminer have a	426. II. 19 to 21, 162
concurrent jurisdiction. II. ib.	A robbery at fea, goods brought
Coroner of county, as well as of	to land infra c rpus com. not triable at common law. II. 18
admiralty may inquire of fuch	Treason or selony at sea, not tri-
deaths happening in great ri-	
vers, viz. arms of the fea flow-	able at common law, but on this act. ib.
ing and reflowing beneath first	To commissions on this att is ge-
bridges. ib.	nerally added a commission of
What rivers this act extends to.	peace, over and terminer, and
II. 16	gaol-delivery. II. 18, 19, 20
Extends to deaths happening in	Therefore Seffion ought to be in-
great ships, not small vessels. ib.	fra com. II. 19
This jurisdiction being annext to	Vide Piracy.
the admiralty, they may pro-	
ceed by marine law, tho be-	Affray.
fore 28 H. 8. they proceeded	Second blow makes the affray. 456
by commission under great seal,	Vide Arrests.
and by inquisition. ib.	Aiding and Allisting. Vide In-
Exposition on 28 H. 8. of pro-	diament, Hurder, Pzincipal
ceeding in treasons and felonies	and Accessary, Statutes in
done on the fea. II. 16, 17	general, &c.
Vol. II.	5 Ó Alien.

A TABLE of the Principal Matters

Alien.

Where aliens guilty of treason, where not. Page 59, 60, 92 to 96How merchant alien enemy shall be used. 60, 93, 94 If aliens renounce king's protection, not to be dealt with as sub-60, 93, 94 Sometimes alien enemies constraind to give security, quod se bene gerant erga regem, Gc. at other times to swear fealty to the king. Their Debts and goods confilcate. What a good plea in bar to debt brought by alien enemy prima facie; what a good replication to avoid it. How king may authorize alien enemies to fue for their debts here. A fubject of an hostile prince is prefumed to adhere to him; he shall be ransomd, and his goods bere confiscate. 164, 165 Where statute speaking of subjects extends to aliens here. 541, 542 How alien indicted and tried. Challenge, Jury Crial. Vide Alligeance, Ambassadors, Treason.

Alligeance.

Divided and subdivided. 62
Oaths of alligeance by common law and statute. ib.
Antient oath how comprehensive; where and by whom to be taken. 63, 64, 65
Alligeance or fidelitas regia due only to a sovereign. 64, 65

Double kind of lige fealty, as where one prince is subordinate to another, and yet hath summa imperia over his subjects. Page 65 Exemplified from history. 65 to 68, 72, 73 Instance of rex pater & rex filius. (fupreme alligeance being referved to the father,) and of a king subordinate to both. Oath applicable to king's person, as well as crown. Salvâ fide & ligeantiâ domini regis, in all oaths of fealty, as well as profession of homage to a fubordinate lord; omiffion punishable. 67, 68 Ought not to be two co-ordinate, absolute alligeances to several independent princes. Divers before loss of Normandy, ad fidem regis Anglia & Francia; how they orderd their homages and fealties. 68, 69 Difficulties thence arising. Homage, its division, and in what homagium ligeum differs from alligeance, and by whom to be performed, and when. Wherein that agrees with and differs from bomagium feudale. Of mixt homages, partly lige, partly not. Instance of mixt homage, when a fovereign prince hath a vaffalage or possession in another prince's dominions. 73, 74, 75

Ambassadoz.

If a fubject born, tho he never took oath of alligeance, become a fworn subject to a forein prince, and is sent hither as his minister,

minister, and conspire against king's life, treason. Page 96 Whether a foreiner being agent of a forein prince, either in amity or enmity, come over with or without king's fafe conduct, and here conspire against king's life, or to raise rebellion or war, ought to be treated as traitor or enemy. Whether punishable as an enemy for inconfummate attempts. 97, The prince to whom fent the proper judge. For rape, Gc. indictable in ordinary courfe. Whether comites legati have same privilege as ambailador.

Amendment.

Clerk of affife forgets to enter adjournment of commission of gaol-delivery; record not amendable 494
8 H. 6. gives liberal power to justices to amend records. 649
Where caption of indictment returnd is faulty in form, it is same term amendable by clerk of affise or peace, but not in another term. II. 168
In another term clerk, that returns it, shall be fined for his informal return. ib.

amercements.

A man drowned in a pit, coroner may charge vill to stop it, and if not done before next gaol-delivery, vill amerced. 424 Vill amerced for burying body before coroner sent for. ib.

One flain in the day in a town walled or not, town amerced; it infufficient, hundred; and in default, county; so upon an escape. Page 448, 603. II. 73 So it out of any vill; hundred, and in their default, county amerced. 448. II. 73 Murdrum, what fort of amercement; how far taken away by 425, 447, 448 Not imposed in cases of death per infortunium. Latrocinium, what. 425 A man kild either in day or night, and offender committed to constable or vill, upon escape vill, where party slain, or offender taken, finable. Felon in bringing to gaol escapes, is kild in pursuit, yet town amerced. 489, 490, 602 A man flain within precinct of the vill before day-light gone, offender escapes, vill amerced; otherwise, if slain in the night, except Town be walled, and then in either case it shall be amerced; because gates ought to be shut from sun-set till sunrifing. 448, 604. II. 73 American on country for escapes of felons, by whom to be fet. 600,603 Vill amerced, if felon escape from private person without default. If felon in carrying to execution elcape, vill not amerced. If vill commit a felon to four men to convey to gaol, who fuffer an escape, vill amerced. If a stranger prevents arrest, whereby felon escapes, vill amerced.

Negligent

Negligent escape presentable in a
leet, but they cannot fet a com-
mon fine or amercement there.
Page 603
Amercement called escapium takes
place in cases of death per in-
fortunium. II. 73
Felon taken by township, and de-
liverd to sheriff, &c. escapes,
township not chargeable, but
sheriff, &c. ib.
But if in guard of constable, who
is bringing him to gaol, he e-
fcapes; tho gaoler refused to
take him, vill chargeable; nay,
tho he be flain, because he re-
fifted. ib.
Vill amerceable only in case of death of a man, but in o-
ther felonies; as theft, tho thief
not taken, no amercement or
other penalty at common law,
but by stat. of Winton. II. 73,
74
But if they had a felon in their
custody, or in custody of con-
stable, and he escapes, vill had
been amerceable, and so of the
hundred. II. 74
In case of manslaughter, where
the decenna was antiently a-
merceable, where vill. ib.
If any of the family of clergy-
men, noblemen or knights antiently committed a murder,
Ge. and fled, his master was
amerceable. <i>ib</i> .
How one present, that takes not
offender, is punishable. II. 75,76
Where three amercements for one
escape. II. 75
Township amerced for escape, tho
felon never actually taken.
II. 93
Apostacy. Vide Religion.
4

Appeals.

In treason long disused; appeals of treaton in parliament wholly taken away by stat. Page 349. II. 150 Year and day for bringing appeal of murder, how computed. Whether jury may find guilty of manslaughter one appeald of 449, 450 In appeals, justices of nisi prius may inquire of abettors, and give judgment, and if plaintiff nonfuit, arraign prisoner at king's fuit. II. 41, * 149 May allow clergy to a convict of manslaughter on appeal. If appellant die or release, tho appellee be indicted also, yet on nonfuit of plaintiff, proceeding for king shall not be on indictment, but appeal. II. *149 What causes of abatement of ap-II. 149, 150 Where party stricken in one county dies in another, appeal in el-11. 163 In appeal of death by writ, perfon kild is certain, but in appeal of robbery writ general, and it appears not, what goods are till declaration. Auterfoits convict and clergy bad a good bar to indicament or appeal for fame crime. II. 251 And so, if clergy prayd, and court will advise upon it, the clergy not actually allowd. For pleas to appeal. Vide Diea. If appellee sand mute, judgment of peine fort & dure shall be given. 11. 317

Vide Mute.

For restitution in appeals of robbery. Vide Restitution. For appeal of rape. Vide Rape. For power of coroners in taking appeals. Vide Cozoners. For process in appeal. Vide Process. Where clergy oufted in appeal, or Vide Clergy. For appeal by approver. Vide Approver. For arraignment on appeal. Vide Arraignment. Appzover. Coroner has power to take his II. Page 67, 227 accusation. Approvement described. II. 67 In discretion of court to admit him to approve or not. II. 226 Admitting approver long difused. Of what offenses approvement II. 227 may be. II. 228 In what fuits. When one shall become approver. II. 228, 229 Before whom he may become II. 229 Of the manner of approvement and allowance of it. What duty of court on party's confessing felony and praying a II. 229, 230 What process on appeal by ap-II. 230, 231 prover. Of proceedings on appeal after appearance of appellee. II. 232, 233 Arraignment. Definition and various etymologies of the word. 344. II. 216 A rescuer of a traitor, or one suf-

fering a wilful escape, or re- !

Vol. II.

Page 237, 238, 591, 598. None to be attaint of treason without arraignment, except outlawd, Gr. 344, 347 to If indictment of murder charge one as principal in first degree, another as present and affitting, if principal in first degree, neither appear, nor be outlawd, principal in fecond degree arraigned. 437. II. 223 Felons arraigned on the mainouvre at king's fuit. 156, 348 But fuch arraignment wholly ouft-II. 149* ed by statutes. Appeal brought at party's fuit; and plaintiff nonfuit on that appeal, offender arraigned at king's fuit thereon, and fo if appellant die or release, and tho he be indicted as well as appeald, yet on nonfuit of plaintiff, proceeding for the king shall be on appeal only. II. 149*, But it must be where plaintiff hath declared on appeal by writ, or hath formed his appeal by bill. II. 149 * Where defendant shall be arraigned on appeal, where not. II. 149*, 150*, 221 Where appeal bad, defendant may be arraigned on indictment, if any before the court. II. 150* If none, court may bind him over to another fessions, and in mean time to be of good behaviour. In civil action de uxore rapta cum bonis viri, if defendant was convicted, it antiently ferved for indictment. II. 150* 5 P

So

ceiver of a traitor, shall not be

arraigned till principal convict.

So in special verdict in trespass for taking goods in \mathcal{B} . R. if it was found, that defendant took them feloniously, antiently this served for indictment. II. Page 150*, And so if on a justification in slander for calling a man thief, verdict be for defendant, and this be in \mathcal{B} . R. and for felony in fame county, where court fits, or if before justices of affife; having also a commission of gaoldelivery, Plaintiff shall be forthwith arraigned on this verdict. II. 151* But return of rescue of felon, or breach of prison, not sufficient to arraign party upon. In treason or felony offender must II. 216 appear in person. Of what parts arraignment of a prisoner consists. II. 218, 219 If prisoner hath any matter to plead either in abatement or bar, then he pleads without immediate answering to the felony, but in some cases si trove

guilty. II. 219
Prisoner to be brought to bar
without irons, unless danger of
escape. ib.

ne foit, then to the felony, not

But usually brought to bar in vinculis for fear of escape, but stands at bar unbound, till judgment. ib.

In murder antiently court forbore to arraign prisoner on indictment, till year and day past, whether pending appeal, or not. II. 220, 249

But now by statute justices shall try him on indictment of murder, &c. tho within year, and if acquitted he shall not be discharged, but at discretion of

justices continued in custody, or on bail, till year and day past. II. Page 220, 249, 250

Where an inquisition before coroner is returned, and there is also an indictment for same offense, on which best to arraign prisoner; and where there ought to be a cesset processus on coroner's inquest.

II. 221, 222

In case of appeal and indictment for same offense, where there ought to be a cesset processus on indictment. II. 221

If indictment be of manslaughter, and coroner's inquest of murder, best to arraign of highest offense, and spare the other. II. 222

If both of murder but one infufficient, then to arraign on good one. II. 222, 239

If both good, and returned into court fame fessions, best to arraign prisoner on both, (so as they be put on fame inquest to be tried,) and to indorse acquittal or attainder on both presentments; jury to be directed to acquit him on both, if acquitted on one, and e converso.

II. 222, 239

Felon may be arraigned of breach of prison before convict of first felony; contra of escape or rescue. II. 224

Yet if A. be acquitted of principal felony, he may plead that acquittal in bar to indictment for breach of prison. 611, 612.

II. 224 Where one brought in on exigent thall be arraigned de novo. II. 224, 225

If any exception taken by way of abatement, counsel shall be afsigned.

II. 236
Prisoner

580 Where

Prisoner should not formerly in	
any case have had a copy, but	Arrest.
only over of indictment. II.	****
Page 236	Who pursues not a felon is fine-
If exceptions to indictment appear	able. Page 448, 449, 484, 593
material, court can quash it,	II. 75, 76
and direct new bill to be fent	On cap. ad satisfaciendum, doors
to grand jury, wherein the	cannot be broke open, but on
faults may be amended, and	an habere facias possessionem,
prisoner arraigned de novo.	they may. 458
II. 237	Officer entring by outward door
One indicted on two indictments;	open, may break open inward
one for murder, other on 1 Fac.	doors. ib.
of stabbing; he shall be ar-	If warrant not strictly lawful, yet
raigned on both. 468. II. 239,	if matter within justice's juris-
2,0	diction, and warrant under his
If on special verdict finding a	feal, officer not to dispute va-
felony, court erroniously ad-	lidity. 460
judge it none, and that judg-	Justice's warrant, where void, and
ment be reverst, whether party	officer subject to false imprison-
fhall be executed or arraigned	ment. 577
de novo. II. 247	Where matter being within ju-
Justices would rarely arraign pri-	flice's jurifdiction, officer ex- cufed. <i>ib</i> .
foner on indictment, especially for murder, within year after	Warrant not expressing certainty
death, in favour of appeal, un-	of crime, irregular, and officer
less appellant an infant, or evi-	cannot break open doors. 577,
dence very pregnant. II. 249	584
A. attaint of felony by outlawry;	One taken on fuch, how to be
outlawry reversed, he shall be	discharged. 578
put to answer same felony.	If rescued or wilfully let go, such
II. 251	escape or rescue, not felony.
One by coroner's inquest found to	ib.
have kild a thief assaulting to	Such warrant erronious, not void;
rob, &c. shall not be arraigned	it excufeth in false imprison-
on that indictment, but dismis-	ment, real crime being felony,
fed without any judgment.	or crime within justice's cogni-
II. 395	zance. ib.
Justices of affise cannot arraign at	General warrant to take all fuf-
K.'s fuit on nonfuit before them	
on appeal, but this done in $B.R.$	ment lies for one taken there-
on return of postea. II. 404	on; contra of rule in B. R. of
How a madman shall be treated	
on his arraignment. Vide Ideat.	
For arraignment of accellary.	
Vide Principal and Accessary.	treason, examine and commit.

Where justice of forein county may issue his warrant against a felon, and commit. Page 580 Warrant issued by justice of proper county to take a felon, he before arrest flies into forein county, and is purfued and taken, he must be carried before justice of forein county; but if taken in proper county, he efcape into the foreign, he may be brought before justice of ei-581. II. 94, 115 Constable hath same protection on pursuit and arrest in forein county, as proper, whether he hath a warrant or not. II. 94 Warrant, to whom directed. 581. II. 110 May be directed to a private man, but he not compellible to exe-581. II. 110 Officer refusing or neglecting may be indicted. 581 Constable cannot substitute. Constable not bound to execute warrant out of his district, yet fattum valet. 582. II. 110 Before whom warrant returnable; difference, where returnable generally, and where before justice who made it; not in election of party to go before whom 582. II. 112 he pleases. On warrant for furety of peace, or good behaviour, or against one, that hath dangerously wounded another, business declared, and prisoner demanded, doors may be broke open. 459, 582. 11. 94, 95, 117 If officer hath once laid hands on prisoner, he may break open outward doors to take him. If warrant directed to five bailiffs,

Sheriff or bailiff, on cap. ut/egatum, cap. pro fine, or other process for king, may break open doors, if not opend on Page 459 Where officer must shew his warrant, or not. 458, 462, 583. II. 92, 116 A private man must shew his warrant, or fignify contents. What sufficient notice of a man's being a constable, &c. 460 to Justice of peace may grant a warrant on probable fuspicion, and doors may be broke open by him, to whom directed. 579, 580, 583 For what end constable, or any other, during affray, may break open doors, unless one dangerously wounded or kild. 589. Of what offenses constable having received information, or any private man, without a warrant may arrest, and break open doors on refusal to open them, or deliver up party. Where law makes a private man an officer, and he may arrest a felon, and in order thereto break open doors. 588. II. 76, 77, 92, 202, 203 Where constable ex officio may break open doors to take felon. 11.90,92,202,203 Where constable on bue and cry may break open doors or not. II. 102, 103 What previous to breaking open II. 103 Difference between private man's and constable's arresting on fus-

picion.

II. 92

two or three may execute it. ib.

On excommunicato capiendo doors cannot be broke open. II. Page	brought before justice, or de- liverd to constable. <i>Page</i> 558.
If justice issue a warrant to take a felon, who is in justice's house, officer after demand, &c. may break open the door; and so for suspicion of felony. II. 116,	II. 77 Felony committed, a private man may arrest on probable cause of suspicion. 558, 595. II. 78, 81 What are probable causes. 588. II. 81
Where sheriff on civil process may break open the house of another than the party, against whom process is. II. 117	How and in what time private man to difmifs himfelf of offender. 589. II. 77, 81 Most usual and safe to bring him
Judge of B. R. may ore tenus command a tipstaff to arrest, without expressing cause. 586	before a justice, or if that can- not be done in time, to call constable to one's assistance. 590.
Officer or private man breaking o-	II. 76, 77 Whether private man can raise
pen a house to take a felon, a	whether private man can rang
trespasser to the owner, if fe-	power to take or detain a felon.
lon not there. II. 117	601. II. 76
Justices of over and terminer	Prevention of arrests, a misde-
may issue a commission to take	meanor, not felony. 606
one indicted before them,	Of persons that may arrest.
whereby doors may be broke	II. 72
open, but commission must be	What required to maintain a ju-
shewn, if demanded. II. 106	stification of imprisonment, on
Constable, or his watch may break	fuspicion, and how officers and
open doors to keep the peace,	private men are to justify in
or in case of disorderly drink-	fuch case. II. 78, 79, 80, 91
ing, or noise in a house at un-	No felony, no ground of suspi-
feafonable hours. II. 94, 95	cion. II. 78
Constable ex officio may arrest one,	No felony done, party arrested
that has broke the peace in his	may be inlarged, and escape
view, and keep him in his house	dispunishable. II. 78, 79
or stocks. 587	But in case of a felony, tho party
	arrested innocent, who lets him
Where one is dangerously hurt,	
constable may imprison on com-	go not being duly deliverd, is
mon fame, or report of another.	punishable. II. 79
ib.	Regularly, party suspecting must
Whether on an affray out of view,	arrest. ib.
he can arrest without warrant. ib.	What party suspecting is to do to
Where private man may arrest	oblige constable to affist. ib.
without warrant, whether fe-	Justice to be acquainted by him
lony done in fame county, or	with whole case. ib.
not. 587, 588. II. 76	Justification in aid of constable on
A. dangerously wounds B. C. be-	felony done, and a suspicion, is
ing present may imprison A. till	good. II. 79, 80
Vol. II.	5 Q Suspicion

forment must be by constable. II. Page 80 If goods of A. be stolen, and found in \mathcal{B} .'s custody, and \mathcal{A} makes the case appear to the constable, and requires him to bring \mathcal{Z} . before a justice, this is a good justification in A. sans averment, that he suspected him. ib. A felony committed, A. has probable cause to suspect B. and acquaints C. with the whole matter, C. hereupon having probable cause to suspect B. may justify by his own suspicion; and fo may one coming in aid of A. to arrest B. ib. One may allege twenty causes of fuspicion, and it shall not make his plea double; what makes an issue upon the whole. II. 81 If private man discharge party sufpected without bringing him to justice or constable, it is an escape, but makes not imprisonment illegal. He must not carry him to gaol of any other county, than where taken, unless there be no gaol in that county. Arrest on suspicion permitted by law, not commanded. II. 82, Not fame privilege in all points allowd to him, that arrests on fulpicion, as to one arresting on hue and cry, or by warrant, or his certain knowledge of the II. 78, 82, 84 felony. If he, that arrests on suspicion, break open doors, it is at his peril; if party be a felon, it is justifiable, otherwise not; but he may enter by the doors open to arrest.

Suspicion may be by any; impri-

To prevent murder, a private perfon may break open doors. II. Page 82 But in all arrests must a quaint party with cause thereof. Party not bound to take notice of a private man, as authorized to arrest, and may fly from him, if innocent. But is bound to take notice, and fubmit to a constable arresting in king's name, or offering to to Private man cannot beat innocent man arrested on suspicion, but only lay his hands gently on A bailiff cannot beat defendant before the arrest, yet after arrest and escape, a bailiff may justify beating him. Of officers of publick justice virtute officii impowerd by law to arrest telons and persons sufpected of felony, and who they II. 85, 86, &c. are? How they are protected by law. Their actings not arbitrary, but necessary duties; they under severe punishments for neglect. Need no warrant to arrest felons, and those probably suspected. All persons bound to be aiding and affifting to these officers upon their fummons in preferving the peace, and apprehending malefactors, especially felons. II. 86 If any refuse, how punishable. 581. II. 86, 115 Affiltants under common protection of law with officers. II. 85

By statute may plead general is-
fue, and have double costs, as
well as officers. II. Page 85 Where a private man may arrest
a felon, these officers may do
it. <i>ib</i> .
What power justices of peace have,
quoad arrest of felons. ib. Justice seeing a felony, or other
breach of peace done in his pre-
fence, may arrest felon. 587.
II. 86
So he may by word command any one to take him, which is a
good warrant without writing.
ib.
But if done in his absence, then
must issue his warrant in writing.
If there be any riot or breach of
peace like to happen by a tu-
multuous meeting, &c. he may
command his fervant, or others to prevent it by arresting par-
ties. II. 86, 87
If he hath, either from himself or
by a credible information, know-
ledge of a felony done, and just cause of suspicion of any
one, he may himself arrest and
commit that person. II. 87 By statute sheriff injoined to arrest
By statute sheriff injoined to arrest
felons, and all persons required to assist on his summons.
<i>10.</i>
Taking felons belongs to sheriff,
as confervator of peace. ib.
Sheriff may arrest one suspected of felony. ib.
Coroner conservator of peace with
regard to all felonies, and can
command them to be appre-
hended, tho he can take no inquisition, but of death. II. 88
Office of constable, ministerial and
original, or primitive, as con-

fervator of peace at common II. Page 88, 90 Ought to execute precepts of justices, coroners, Gc. or in default, finable. By his original power may, for breach of peace and some misdemeanors less than felony, imprison. If one expose an infant in the cold to destroy it, or charge parish, constable may take him and put him in the stocks. II. 88, 90 If assaulted, tho in his own case, may imprison party and carry him to gaol. But for opprobrious words, or a general hindrance of him to fummon traind bands to attend mayor of London on his precept, held he could not justify imprisoning, but ought to have brought party to a justice. What may be done by constable, may be done by his deputy; for by law he may make a deputy, who within 7 Fac. may plead general issue. If one menace to kill another, on complaint constable may arrest and put him into the Stocks, till he can conveniently bring him to a justice, and to avoid present danger. II. 88, 89 Constable cannot take furety of peace by recognifiance, but whether by bond, and that for affray or menace of breach of II. 89, 90 peace in his view. If he be informed, that a man and woman are incontinent together, he may take neighbours and arrest them, and commit them to find fureties for good ib. behaviour.

Whether

Whether he may arrest one suspi-
ciously reforting with women
of ill fame to a house suspected
of common bawdry, and what
a good justification for him, or
any in his affiftance to plead.
II. Page 89, 90
May arrest suspicious night-walk-
ers, and men that ride armed
in fairs or markets, or elfe-
where. II. 89, 90
May execute his office on infor-
mation and request of others,
that suspect and charge offenders
1
Where felony done, he may ex
officio arrest and imprison till
felon can conveniently be con-
veyd to a justice, or common
gaol. ib.
All one, whether felony done in
fame vill, or in any other vill
or county, if felon be within
vill, where he is conftable. ib.
Is by law injoined to take a felon,
and, if he neglects his duty, in-
dictable. II. 91
Not material, whether he saw fe-
lony committed, or hath it by
complaint and information; in
both cases bound to take felon,
and fearch for him within li-
mits of his jurifdiction, and to
raise hue and cry. ib.
If a felony done, A fuspects B .
If a felolity dolle, A. furpeds D.
on probable grounds, and ac-
quaints constable, and requires
his aid, constable may appre-
hend B . tho suspicion arise in
A. first. ib.
But A. ought to be present. ib.
He ought also to inquire and ex-
amine circumstances and causes
of suspicion of A. which tho
he contact do on each most fresh
he cannot do on oath, yet fuch
information may make it con-

4

stable's suspicion. II. Page 91, A felony in fact must be done, and constable must aver it in his plea, and it is issuable. Constable, officer known within vill, prefumed of fufficiency. Constable to do his duty, as well in case of probable suspicion, as actual felony. On a fudden affray he may put parties in stocks or prison, till their passion or intemperance If crime committed, for which constable may arrest, whither he may convey prisoner. II. 95 Safest to carry him before a justice. Till he can conveniently convey parties arrested to a justice, or common gaol, he may detain them in stocks, if none in that vill, then in those of next. II. 95, 119 If he be of quality or fick, how long, and where constable may, and ought to keep him. II. 96, 119, 120, 122 Charges of fending malefactors to gaol by common law are to be born by vill, where apprehended; but by statute by prisoner, if able; if not, how levied. II. 96 Commission issuing out of Chan-cery to take J. S. and his goods, before indicted, against II. 106 Yet a good justification in officer. Sheriff at common law might issue a warrant, to take a felon before indictment. II. 107

Coroners

Coroners by their warrants may charge or bail him, or till he attach man-slayers after inquibe actually committed. II. Page fition finding them guilty. Page 107 For more touching warrants. May also make out warrants a-Vide Justice of Peace. gainst persons present, and not Where killing peace-officer is murguilty; against burglars and der or manslaughter. Vide Murder and Mansaughter. robbers. If warrant be barely for a milde-Where killing a felon, that remeanor, officer cannot pursue fifts or flies, before or after arparty into another county, but rest, is justifiable or not. Vide in case of felony, affray, or Domicide. dangerous wounding, officer Vide Commitment, Due and may pursue him, and raise hue Cry, Justification, and Peaces and cry upon him into any Officer. II. 115 county. 'Aultice's warrant sufficient cause Arcon. Defined. of fuspicion and pursuit. One having warrant to arrest for Felony at common law; irreplefelony, &c. cannot make a visable by statute; antient judgwarrant to another as his dement burning. puty, or command another to By 8 H. 6. letters of menace were execute it in his absence. treason. Warrant directed to a known of-Not faid in indictment domum ficer, enough for him to fay, I mansionalem, but domum. arrest, &c. II. 116 What shall be said domus. 567, Safe for officer to acquaint party with what he arresteth him for. ib. Where felony, or not, to burn A warrant of a justice may be exa barn or out-house. ecuted in a franchise. II. 116 In Northumberland felony by sta-If justice hath jurisdiction, the he tute to burn a stack of corn. err in granting his warrant, of-Burning house of another, felony; ficer in executing it excufable. but if tenant for years burn his Yet in some cases, as touching own with intent to burn anrates for the poor, tho he hath other's, and none but his own jurisdiction by 43 Eliz. officer is burnt, only a misdemeanor; is punishable for executing warcontra, if house of another rant, where none ought to ifburnt. 567, 568 Setting fire to a house without fue, because a circumscribed jurisdiction. burning any part, no felony; II. 119 After arrest officer forthwith to contra, if part burnt, felony by common law. bring party to gaol, or to ju-568, 569 Must be a wilful and malicious flice, according to warrant. ib. burning, else only trespass. 569 When he hath brought him to justice, yet in law he is in cu-A. intending to burn \mathcal{B} .'s house, stody, till either justice disburns C.'s; felony. -Vol. II. W here 5 R

566

ib.

567

ib.

568

567

Where burning a house, out-house or barn shall be oust of clergy, or not. Page 567 to 575 Accessaries before ousted by 4 5 7. 5 M. 572 to 575 Whether attainder by outlawry formerly ousted them of clergy.

Whether men in orders convict hereof shall have clergy. 574

Affault.

Where one enters on possession of another, where justifiable, where not. 485, 486

Vide Pomícíde, Hurder and Mansaughter.

Assembly. Vide Riot.

Affent. Vide Pzíncípal and Accessary.

Affile. Vide Justice of Affile.

Association. Vide Commission, Saol-delivery, Oyer and Terminer.

Attainder.

None to be attaint without being arraigned. 344 to 350 Clergy allowd to one attaint.

Whether outlawry is an attainder.
521. II. 350, 352

Vide Fozseiture.

Auterfoits acquit, attaint or convia. Vide Judgment, Pleas, Aerdia.

Bail.

NE baild is in custodia; contra of one let to mainprize. Page 325, 620 How the feveral entries are, and of other differences between bail and mainprize. 11. 35, 124, Admitting bail, where it ought not, a negligent escape, but not voluntary, except by delign. 596, 597 Bail antiently taken in no fum certain, but traditur in ballium to 7. S. which is the usual form in all civil actions. II. 125 Of bail corpus pro corpore; now rarely used; why disused; only fined, if he brought not in principal at the day. In civil actions this bail fometimes in use. Usually bail only a recognisance in a fum certain, for appearance of a felon; principal bound in double the fum. Vide the form. ih. What fort and number of fureties are required, but these things, as well as the fum, discretionary in him, who takes recognizance; therefore fureties may be examined on oath. What the words ad standum juri import. Form of the true and regular ball, where party an infant, or in prison, and so absent; hereupon a warrant issues under hand and seal of the person, who takes the bail, for his in-

largement, called liberate.

scription sufficient.

Seal of justice not necessary; sub-

If party baild by justice before	der, but this discretionary, not
commitment, or if committed	de jure. II. Page 129, 148
and brought into $\mathcal{B}.R.$ or fef-	Whereon bail may be taken by
fions to be bailed, then himself	B. R. viz. on original indict-
is also bound. II. Page 126	ment, &c. II. 129
Sometimes recognizance fimple,	One found guilty of homicide se
with a condition added for his	defendendo, justices of gaol-de-
appearance, and fometimes con-	livery may certify it into chan-
dition containd in body of re-	cery, that he may fue his par-
cognizance. ib.	don on course, and may bail
When any is baild for any mifde-	him till next fessions. ib.
meanor by B.R. either on re-	They on inquisition before coro-
turn of habeas corpus, or o-	ner finding it se defendendo
therwise, the return or record	fpecially, may bail party till
ought to be first filed, and a	next fessions to procure such
committitur marescallo enterd,	pardon. II. 130
and then bail taken. II. 126,	They may in the interval of the
127	fession bail a convict of man-
All baild in B. R. are de facto,	slaughter having a pardon to
or supposed in law to be in	plead, to another fessions. ib.
custodiá marescalli. II. 127	But if one be convict on trial a-
Such bail not only a recognizance	gainst opinion of the judge,
in a sum certain, but also real	judge cannot bail him to fue
bail, and they are his keepers,	his pardon. II. 130
and, if cause, are punishable by	While court adviseth, whether con-
fine beyond the fum mentiond,	vict within clergy, he is not
and may reseise prisoner, if they	bailable. II. 130, 131, 132
fear his escape, or render him	One indicted of murder at a sef-
in their own discharge. II. 126,	fions of gaol-delivery prays his
127	trial, but profecutor for king
Regularly, in all offenses against	is not ready; on cause shewn,
common law or statutes, that	justice of gaol-delivery may bail.
are below felony, offender bail-	II. 131
able, except after judgment, or	One arrested by king's personal
bail be ousted by statute. II.	command, not bailable on writ
127	de homine replegiando, yet by
What statutes relate to bailing of-	B. R. or chancery on an ba-
fenders. <i>ib</i> .	beas corpus. ib.
Who antiently were principally	
concerned in bailing them.	
II. 127, 128, 136	
Who bailable by common law, or	ando, or de manucaptione di-
not. II. 128, 129	
Exposition on $3E.1.$ II. 127 to	
136	
\mathcal{B} . R. may bail in any case what-	
foever, either in treason or mur-	- 1

Persons outlawd not bailable by	special writ de cautione admit-
g E. 1. II. Page 132	tenda, which if not obeyd by
If an outlaw of felony be taken or	
a capias utlegatum, and plead	
in avoidance of outlawry, or	II. Page 134
bring error to avoid it, $\mathscr{B}.R$	
may bail, whether outlawry on	pen mifdeed; as if A. dange-
appeal or indictment. II. 132.	rously wound \mathcal{B}_{\bullet} he may be
133	imprisond till it be known,
If one be indicted or appeald for	
a bailable offense, indictment or	and regularly, not bailable till
appeal hinders not his bail-	danger appear to be over. ib.
ment; vide where not allowd	Nor prisoner for treason, that
till he had pleaded to the in-	toucheth the king, whether in-
dictment. II. 133	dicted or not. ib.
If one be indicted before justices	But all these crimes are bailable
of a higher jurisdiction; as be-	by \mathcal{B} . R . ib.
fore justices of over and termi-	Who bailable by sheriff by 3 E. 1.
ner, he cannot be bailed by ju-	II. 134, 135 Persons indicted before him of lar-
flices of peace. ib.	cipy if of good fame. It is
Persons having abjured for felony,	ciny, if of good fame. II. 134.
not bailable. <i>ib</i> . Taken in the <i>mainouvre</i> not bail-	Or imprisond for a light suspicion.
able, but that is intended of	Or indicted for petit larciny. ib.
thief himfelf. ib.	Or accused of receiving selons.
Felons breaking prison, not bail-	ib.
able. ib.	Or of commandment, force or aid
Nor notorious thieves; herein	to felony done. ib.
common fame may be opposed	Regularly in all felonies, even
against their bailing, unless they	murder, accessary bailable, till
shew reasonable evidence to	principal attaint. II. 135
prove their innocence. ib.	But principal once attaint, and
Nor persons approved, except ap-	then accessary taken, he shall
prover be dead, or hath waved	not be bailed, till he hath
his appeal, or person accused	pleaded to indictment, but after
be of good fame. ib.	plea pleaded, he shall. ib.
Nor persons arrested for arson.	One indicted for offense, where-
Nor for falfifying king's coin.	fore he ought not to lose life or
	member, bailable, fave for of-
II. 134	fenses against atts ousting bail.
Nor for counterfeiting king's great	ib.
or privy feal. ib.	One appeald by an approver fince
Nor one excommunicate, unless	dead, bailable. ib.
for a temporal cause, and then	If tenor of <i>mittimus</i> be to detain
on a prohibition granted, he	one without bail or mainprife;
may not only be bailed, but de-	yet if offense bailable, he may
liverd, or on an appeal, and a	be baild. <i>ib</i> . Penalties
•	2011101101

Penalties of 3 E. 1. for bailing one not bailable, and for detaining persons replevisable, after surety II. Page 135 Tustices of peace being instituted, bailing offenders devolved on II. 136 Their power of bailment extended farther than sheriff's, and in fome kinds, than limits preferibed by 3E.1.In some respects sheriff's power, as to bailing in crimes not capital, inlarged by 23 *H*. 6. II. 136, 137 By 34 E.3. Justices of peace have power to take and commit malefactors, or bind them to good II. 136 behaviour. 1 R.3. gives to any one justice power to bail any prisoner for felony, and excepts not manflaughter. II. 137 Before this att doubtful, whether they could bail till indictment at their sessions. 3 H.7. repeals 1 R.3. as to bailing by one justice, and gives it to two justices, whereof one of the quorum; it limits their power of bailment to cases bailable by law, and takes in 3 E. 1. as the directory, who are bailable by law. 1 6 2 P. 6 M. expressy makes 3 E. 1. a direction for bailing offenders. II. 128, 132, 137 By $1 \circ 2 \circ P$. $\circ M$. one arrested for manslaughter, or other fe-Iony bailable by law, or fuspicion thereof, shall not be baild but by two justices, one of quorum, both to be present at bailing fuch offender, and certify it at next gaol-delivery. II. 138 But justices of peace and coroner in London, &c. to do as formerly. Vol. II.

Justices of gaol-delivery may fine justices of peace offending against this act. II. Page 138 2 & 3 P. & M. only provides for examinations, Gc. to be taken by justices, as well on commitment, as bailing prisoner for manslaughter, or other felony. The question, whether justices of peace may bail in manslaughter, confiderd. II. 138, 139, 140 In murder B. R. only can bail. II. 139 In manslaughter, if there be plain proof, or a confession, that one was kild, and that by 7. S. whether done ex malitiá pracogitata, or on a sudden falling out, or but se defendendo; yet one or two justices, (whereof one of the quorum), cannot bail by any law in force. Whether it doth constare de perfond occidentis, or de modo occidendi, or not, yet if party indicted of manslaughter, or, tho it were but se defendendo, ju-Rices of peace cannot bail. ib. But if manslaughter committed, and a party suspect is brought before two justices of peace, (whereof one of quorum), and there be any doubt of identity of the person, they may bail him by IR.3. $\mathbf{1}$ R.3. the *basis* of 3 H.7. and this of 1 & 2 P. & M. ib. 3 E. 1. (Westm. 1.) tho it say de morte hominis there is no bail at common law, it must be intended, when offender is certainly known, for it generally provides, that perfons taken on a light suspicion shall be bailed.

5 S

1 & 2 P. & M. supposing one taken for manslaughter bailable, must mean such a manslaughter, where party is only suspected, not where fact is done by him. II. Page 139, 140 Where writ de homine repleziando lies, or not. II. 141 Of the general writ manucaptione. Where it lies. II. 141, 142 Some taken by writ or process may be baild, virtute officii. II. 143 Where special writs of mainprise Prisoners for felony antiently baild to ferve king in his wars. B. R. may, virtute officii, bail any brought before them. II. 148 Sheriff might formerly, ex officio, at common law bail offenders indicted before him in his Turn, or on a commission to him, but this power transferd to justices II. 148, 149 of peace. Marshal of B. R. took on him antiently, virtute officii, to bail persons indicted or appeald, but this power wholly ousted by II. 149 statute. At common law, without aid of 18 Eliz. prisoner acquitted might be bound to his good behaviour, if of ill fame. II. 394 In indictment or appeal transmitted to justices of nisi prius, if prisoner be baild to appear in $\mathcal{B}.R.$ and appear not, prifoner to be called on his bail, and default recorded; and so on return of postea, new process against prisoner and his bail. II. 404 Personating bail, felony sans clerBail taken, Sut not filed, not within it, [but fince made fe-Page 696 lony]. Vide *Habeas Corpus*.

Bailiff. Vide Arrest, Homis cide, Burder.

Ballment. Vide Larciny.

Barretry.

Whether in indictment vill need be alleged. Triable de corpore comitatús. ib. A barretor is so every where. *ib*.

Bastardschild. Vide Evidence. Indiament.

Behaviour.

At common law, without aid of 18 Eliz. prisoner acquitted might be bound to his good behaviour, if of ill fame. II. 394

Bigamy. Vide Poligamy.

Bona & catalla. Vide India= ment, Restitution.

Breach of Peace. Vide Arrest, Riot, Justice of Peace.

Breach of Prison.

Thereby causing escape of traitors, treason; but to make it fo, they must be actual traitors; fo of felons, felony. 141, 234 to 237, 326

Prisoner for treason, &c. breaking prison may be indicted before convict of principal offense; contra of escape or rescue. 237, 238, 269, 611. II. 224, 254 Breach of prison by traitor, only felony.

War

gy by 1 Fac. but no corruption

of blood, or loss of dower. 696

War levied to break prison, with intent to deliver some particular persons, unless imprisond for treason, only a great riot; but if to break prisons generally, treason. Page 134 Conspiracy to inlarge a traitor, a misdemeanor only. Rescuing prisoners for a new treason, tho not expresly mentiond in the att, is by necesfary construction treason. 236 If commitment not in writing under seal, breach of prison, save by a court of record, no fe-583, 584 Breach of prison by prisoner for a misdemeanor, felony by common law. Exposition on statute de frangentibus prisonam. 608 to 612 What a prison within this act. 608,609 What being in prison for such a cause, as requires judicium vita vel membrorum. 609 to 611 Capital offenses only intended by these words. A prisoner for petit larciny or homicide se defendendo, breaks prison, no felony. But if commitment express larciny above value, or manslaughter, tho de facto otherwise, felony. If mittimus want a regular conclusion, sufficient; but sans cause expressed, whether feib. When breach of prison first became felony. Enough, if prisoner hath a notification of offense whereof arrested, to make breach of prifon felony. If offense, for which party committed, appear not by matter of

record, as indictment, necessary a felony be done, and fo laid in indictment, and proved; else breach of prison no felony; contra, if it appear by record. Page 599 610 Breach of prison within clergy, tho principal felony not. Breach of prison by one committed for suspicion of felony, if a felony done, is felony. One committed for a felony made by statute Puisse to 1 E. 2. breaks prison, felony. Prison fired without privity of prifoner, he may break it to preferve himself. If gaoler fet open prison doors, and a felon escape, no felony in prisoner. If prisoner rescued, or prison broke by strangers without his privity, no felony in prisoner, but in strangers as a rescue; but if by his procurement, felony in him, as a breach of prison. If felon acquitted of principal felony, he shall not be arraigned of breach of prison, or if indicted for the latter before acquitted of the former, and then be acquitted of the former, he may plead it in bar to indictment for the latter. 611, 612. II. 224, 254, 255 Breach of prison carries a prefumption of guilt, and is a felony super-added to the former. 11. 133 Vide Escape, Evidence, Indiament, Rescue.

Bzibery.

Thorpe C. J. adjudged to death for it. 262, 263
Buggery.

Buggery.

Buggery with man or beaft, prin-. cipal ousted of clergy by 25 H. 8. revived by 5 Eliz. accellaries before and after within it. Page Debet elle penetratio. 628, 629 Emissio, evidence of penetration. 628 Minima penetratio makes the crime absque emissione. Woman may be guilty with a beast within this act. 669 If committed on one of age of difcretion, both felons; otherwise only the agent. All present and assisting, princiib. pals.

Burglary.

Watching at lane's end a presence, and makes party a principal. 439, 534, 555, 563 Hamsecken explained. 547 In a vulgar acceptation how burglary understood. Division and description of legal burglary. 549. II. 360, 361 It must be in the night. 549, 550 How indictment must be. When it shall be faid night. 550, Both breaking and entring must be in the night, and a felony done or intended. 551,555,559 But if hole broke one night, with intent to enter another, and they come the next night, and commit felony thro the hole, burglary. Antiently barely coming with intent to commit it, capital. Distinction between breaking in law and fact. 551, 552 4

A bare breaking in law not fufficient to make a burglary. Page 551, 552 What an actual breaking. Burglary by fraudulent pretences, and where it may be without actual breaking. 55², 553 Whether going down a chimney to steal, be burglary. Servant in the night opens a door and lets in a thief to rob his mafter, burglary in both. A. enters by an open door, Gc. and then unlatcheth a chamberdoor to steal, burglary. If a thief be lodged in an inn, and in the night steal goods, and go away, or if he enter fecretly in the day, and stay till night, and then steal and go away, not burglary; contra, if he open an inner chamber-door and steal. ib. Servant in the night draws the latch of a stair-foot-door, and enter's his master's chamber to murder him, burglary. Whether opening door to go out, be burglary. Whether, if door of the chamber of a lodger in an inn be latched, and the lodger in the night open his own door and steal goods in the house, be burglary. But if he open chamber of another lodger to steal his goods, burglary. It must in that case be supposed of the mansion-house of the inn-keeper. 554,557 Entring per oftia aperta, breaking a chest and stealing, not burglary, because chest not part of house; contra of a study, counting-house or shop, tho none ulually lodged in the study, $\mathcal{O}c$. quare

containd in the Two Parts.

quere of a cup-board or coun-	A shop is parcel of a mansion-
ter fixed to the house. Page	house. Page 557
554,555	A. demises to \mathcal{B} . a shop parcel of
A. with intent to rob B. breaks a	his house, burglary may be
hole in his house, B. for fear	committed of it, if lessee or ser-
throws out his money, A. takes	vants ever lodge there; contra,
it and carries it away, whether	if they only work there. 557,
burglary. 555	558
Where putting hand, hook or pistol	Where out-buildings, as barns,
within window, burglary. 553,	Gc. are parcel of mansion-
555	house, and burglary may be
But whether shooting without,	committed of them, or not. 558,
and bullet coming in be an en-	559, 567
try, to make it burglary. 555	Burglary, how antiently defined.
A. fends infant of seven years in	559
at window, who steals and de-	Whether breaking wall about
livers goods to A . burglary in	house or gate thereof, and en-
A. tho child, who made the	tring per oftia aperta, be bur-
entry, be excused. 555, 556	glary. ib.
So in husband, where wife in his	Clearly not, if thief come over
presence, and by his coercion	wall of the court. ib.
commits burglary, tho she shall	Must be proved to be ea inten-
be acquitted. 556	tione to commit some felony,
How indicament ought to be for	not ad verberandum, tho kil-
breaking and entring a church. ib.	ling may be the consequence.
May be committed of a house,	561
tho all persons out. ib.	Tho intent not accomplished, yet
So, if one have two houses, and	burglary, and so differs from
live in them alternately. ib.	robbery. 561, 562
A chamber in a college or inn of	Intention must be of a selony by
court, a mansion-house. 522,	common law, and not newly
527, 528, 556	made by <i>ftatute</i> . 562
Must be laid domum mansionalem,	Breaking house with intent to com-
and not domum. 550	mit a rape, tho <i>per afcuns</i> no
How indicament laid for breaking	burglary, yet otherwise. ib.
lodgings of a fervant of the king	In burglary those without affishing,
at Whitehall. 522, 523	oufted of clergy. II. 359
Whether it can be committed of	Simple burglary, 1. When any
a lodger's chamber in house of	one in the house, and put in
another, and whose mansion-	fear. 2. Where none put in
house it shall be supposed. 556	fear, or none in the house.
If fervant's lodging be broke o-	II. 360 Principals oufted of clergy in for-
pen, whose mansion-house it	mer by 1 E . 6. and in latter by
fhall be supposed. 557	
A tent or booth not a manfion-	18 Eliz. 549,555,562. II. 369,
house, therefore provided for by statute. <i>ib.</i>	•
Vol. II.	5 T Accessary
V Ul. II.) A recellary

A Table of the Principal Matters

Accessary before not ous [till late act]. II. Page 361, 363 4 5 5 P. 5 M. extends not to burglary at large. 564

Of special or improper burglaries, or larciny from, or robbing of houses.

——Robbing one in his dwelling-house, the owner, &c. therein, and put in fear.

In what cases, (as verdicts, confession, standing mute, challenge ultra twenty, and not directly answering) clergy taken away. 518,548,562. II. 351 Accessaries before in all cases aforesaid out on indictment, in what cases only in appeal. 521, 562, 563. II. 362
23 & 25 H. 8. & 4 & 5 P. & M. require stealing as well as breaking. 563. II. 352, 361 Exposition on 25 H. 8. as to ousting clergy in a forein county, revived by 5 & 6 E. 6. and

now in force. 518, 519, 536.

II. 341, 348, 351, 352
In 23 H. 8. a faving for clerks,

but by 1 E. 6. equally exempt with others. 517 to 521 Clergy allowd to one attaint. 521. II. 352

If a stranger only be in the house, clergy allowd. II. 352, 353

Bzeaking a house by day or night with intent to feal, any one being therein and put in fear.

In what cases principal oust by 1 E. 6. both in appeals and indictments. 520. II. 353, 360,

Where in a forein county by 5 & 6 E. 6. II. Page 353, 360, 361
Accessaries before outled. 562, 563. II. 362

If breaking be in the night, such breaking must amount to burglary, and then it ousts clergy, if it be with a putting in fear, tho no robbery.

II. 353

It requires an actual breaking, and an entry, to commit a felony, and fo laid in indictment, and also a putting in fear. 548,

If it be in the day, it must be such a breaking, as hath an actual robbery joind with it, to oust clergy.

II. 353

In case of breaking a house in the day, committing a robbery therein, and putting in sear, tho one only enters, others present and affishing, ousled of clergy.

II. 359

Robbing any person in his dwelling-house, the owner, &c. heing in any part of the house, or within its precings neeping or waking, tho there be no putting in fear, and this extends to booths in fairs.

Principal oust by 5 & 6 E. 6. on conviction only, [but by late act in other cases].

Accessaries before, where a robbery committed, and any perfon within the house put in sear, oust by 4 & 5 P. & M. but not without robbery. 521, 548.

II. 355, 360, 361, 362
Actual breaking, such as would make a burglary, and taking required, but not putting in fear. 526, 527, 548. II. 354,

355, 362 How

361

II. Page 354 A thief coming into the house by doors open, breaks a chamberdoor or counter, and takes goods, a breaking within this act, whether breaking a chest not fixed to the freehold be fo. 523, 524, 526, 527 One coming to a tavern stole a cup brought him to drink in, the owner, $\mathcal{C}c$. being in the house, was ousted of his clergy on this att, quare. Robbing a shop in Westminsterhall not within 5 6 6 E. 6. to be ousted of clergy. 524, 525 Where a fervant shall be faid to break the house, or not. II. 354, A stranger only being in the house, clergy not oufted. II. 355 To what cases it extends. It extends to appeal, as well as indictment. Whether, on this statute, he that enters only be ousted of clergy. 11. 359 Robbery to the value of 5 s. out of any dwelling-house, or outhouse thereto belonging, tho none in the house. Principal oust by 39 Eliz. 524,525 Accessaries before not | till late sta-11.357 tute | To what cases 39 Eliz. extends. 528. 11.357 If none in the house, or it was in

> the night, or he stole, but broke not the house, party shall be convict of simple larciny,

Persons assisting without, but not

entring, shall have clergy. 526,

537, 563

but not on the statute.

How indictment must be laid.

An actual breaking, fuch as would make a burglary in the night, and a taking required. Page 526, 527, 548. II. 356, 357 4 6 5 P. 6 M. extends not to accellaries in any offense made after. 522. II. 363 Entring by the door open, and breaking open a chest and stealing goods to the value of 5 s. not ousted by this statute, nor 5 G 6 E. 6. II. 357 Entring by outward doors open, and breaking open, unlocking or unlatching an inward door, and stealing goods to 5 s. ousted of clergy on this act. One breaking open a house by day, none being therein, and alfo breaking open a chamberdoor and a cheft, took out goods to 5 s. and laid them on the floor, and before he could carry them out of the house, was taken, ousted of clergy. II. 358 Chamber in an inn of court domus mansionalis within this act. What breaking will bring party within 5 & 6 E. 6. & 39 Eliz. which will not make a burglary. Burning in the hand.

Vide Clergy.

Vide Burning of houses. Arlon.

Canon

Canon Law. Vide Laws.

Capias. Vide Dutlawzy, Pzocets.

Certiogari.

Riminal causes not capital, as indictments of riots committed in Wales, may be removed by certiorari into B.R. and when issue joined, it may be tried in next English county, as well as in a quo minus. Page

Whether it lies into Wales on indictment of treason or felony, and for what special purposes, but not for trial of the fact, but it shall be sent down by mittimus according to 6 H. 8. 158

A felony or treason committed in Durham, a certiorari lies to remove it into B. R. out of Durham, and to whom it is to be directed. ib.

But if party plead not guilty, it shall be sent down thisher to be tried. ib.

Indictment of treason or felony removed out of county by certiorari, and party pleading, record sent down by nisi prius to be tried; judge of nisi prius may on that record proceed to trial, judgment and execution, as justices of gaol-delivery, by 14 H. 6.

6 H. 8. extends to all justices, as well of gaol-delivery, as of the peace, and enables B. R. to fend to them the record itself, and by special mandate to command them to proceed to trial and judgment on such issue join-

ed, as they may command juffices, before whom indictment taken, to proceed, if no issue joined.

II. Page 41

Appeal taken before coroner, certiorari how to be directed.

II. 67

If a certiorari issue to remove record, and babeas corpus the body, yet in felony, tho they be returned and filed, court may remand him and record by 6 H. 8. but in other cases record cannot be remanded, but they must proceed in B. R. II. 147

But if body removed by babeas corpus, and record by certiorari, and the record not filed, tho return of babeas corpus be filed, a procedendo may issue. ib.

If cause and body be removed into chancery by habeas corpus and certiorari returnable there, they may be sent into B. R. if body only be returned with causes by habeas corpus into chancery, and deliverd over to B. R. they may determine the return, and either by procedendo remand or grant a certiorari to certify record, and thereon commit or bail. II. 147,

Where B. R. either on indictment taken before them, or removed thither by certiorari, may iffue cap. and exigent into any county. II. 198

Barely on return of outlawry on certiorari without exigent indorsed and returned together with certiorari, no writ of escheat lies for the lord. II. 206
But if certiorari directed to sherisf and coroners, and exigent be extant in court, and they re-

turn this outlawry; possibly this may be a fufficient warrant to enter it on record, as a return II. Page 206, on the exigent. Certiorari to coroners to remove outlawry after party's death, not grantable: II. 207 For what purposes B. R. issue's writs of certiorari. II. 210 With writ of error, quod coram vobis residet, formerly went a certiorari. Habeas corpus removes the body, certiorari the record; court on return of former cannot give any judgment, or proceed on record of indictment without record removed by the latter, but proceedings stand in same force they did, tho return be adjudged insufficient, and party inlarged; and court below may issue new process on indictment, tho contra on babeas corpus in civil causes, for therein it is a II. 210, 211 supersedeas. Certiorari's to remove indictments before justices of peace by 21 Fac. to be deliverd at quarterfessions in open court; recognizance in what penalty, and with what condition to be entred into, otherwise not a su-II. 211 per edeas. By whom certiorari to be ligned. To whom to be directed, where it issues to remove indictment taken before justices of a county palatine, or the mayor of cinque ports. If they return privilege of county palatine or cinque ports, it shall not be allowed, but an alias certiorari issue, with a precept to produce their charters, where-Vol. II.

by fuch exemption is claimd. II. Page 212 Delivery of it doth not hinder taking an indictment after. Indictments taken after teste of certiorari, and before or after delivery thereof, ought to be removed; and if court below proceed afterwards; proceedings void; and justices below in contempt, whether they proceed at same sessions, or private sesfions after. II. 212; 215 Certiorari to remove all indictments against A: and B. removes all indictments wherein A. and B. are indicted, either alone, or together with any other. II. 212 Where one indictment is against divers men, and the offenses are feveral, as in case of indicament against divers persons for keeping feveral diforderly houses, a certiorari removes it only as to those named in it; and as to the others record remains below; but contra, if justices per manus suas proprias deliver the bill into court against them all, as they may, and a record be made of that delivery. II. 214 If divers be indicted, and one tender fecurity for costs, it is sufficient: II. 212, 213 If indictment be at private fessions, it ought to be deliverd into quarter-sessions, but delivery of certiorari at private sessions clofeth hands of justices, tho allowance of writ and tender of fecurity must be by statute at II. 212, 213 quarter-fessions. Feme covert not within 21 Fac. to find fureties. If certiorari ought to be allowd, proceedings of justices after coram non judice. Removal 5 U

Removal of indictment of forceable entry by prosecutor, not within 21 Fac. II. Page 213 Indictment of forceable entry at fessions of the peace, and restitution awarded, and after seffions, and before restitution actually made, a certiorari deliverd to one justice of peace; before 21 Fac. it closed up their hands, and no restitution was awarded, but justice made a supersedeas thereon; and the same law now remains on indictments of forceably entry found at private fessions. Difference between writ of error and certiorari, as to superseding proceedings. Certiorari a supersedeas from the delivery thereof for ever, unless a procedendo issue. In what cases variance between certiorari and record causeth record not to be removed. II. 214, 215 Tho judicial proceedings void after certiorari deliverd, whether ministerial be so. II. 215 Record not removed before re-After certiorari issued and deliverd, and before record removed, inferior judge may be enabled to proceed by procedendo or supersedeas out of $\mathcal{B}.\,R.$ ib. Record removed and filed, at common law no procedendo could be granted, nor record remitted; but contra by 6 H. 8.

if certiorari be returnable there, tenor of record only is removed; and if tenor of record of indictment, attainder or conviction be removed by certiorari into chancery, and thence fent by mittimus into B. R. they cannot thereon proceed to judgment or execution. II. Page

Vide Habeas Coppus.

Challenge.

Challenge for want of freehold allowd in treasons, misprissions of treason, and murders by stat. One outlawd in trespass, neither lawful juryman, nor indictor in felony or treason. 303. II. 155*, Whether father or fon, or adverfary in a fuit, be a lawful juryjurors to be freemen, regularly treeholders. Legales, i.e. without any just exception. Division and subdivision of challenges. II. 267 By common law, if one outlawd of felony, &c. brought error on outlawry, and affignd error in fact, whereon iffue was joind, he should not challenge peremptorily. Like law, if he had pleaded any forein plea in bar or abatement. But if one had been indicted or

appeald of treason or felony, and had pleaded not guilty, or any other matter of fact triable by same jury, and pleaded over to the felony, he might have challenged

ib.

Difference between certiorari in

 $\mathcal{B}.R.$ and chancery: In $\mathcal{B}.R.$

record itself is removed, and

what remains below is but a

fcroll; but usually in chancery,

challenged peremptorily any jurors under the number of three whole juries. II. Page 267, If twenty indicted for same offense by one indictment, every prifoner allowd his challenge of II. 268 thirty-five. If but one venire fac. awarded to try them, persons challenged by any one drawn against all. II. 263, 268 But in treason, if prisoner challenge above thirty-five, and infift on it, and will not leave his challenge, it amounts to nel dicit, and judgment of death II. 268 shall be given. By 22 H. 8. none arraigned for petit treason, murder or felony, shall peremptorily challenge a-II. 269 bove twenty. In high and petit treason challenge of thirty-five now allowd, because 1 & 2 P. & M. restores trial of petit treason to the course of the common law. II. 269, 339 In petit treason, if party challenge thirty-fix peremptorily, he shall have judgment of penance, as well in appeals as indictments, and in case of women

as well as men. II. 399, 400 Acts ousling clergy, in case of challenging above twenty, import, that by such challenge party should be convict; but yet if he challenge above twenty, he shall not have judgment of death, but only his challenge shall be over-ruled, and jurors shall be sworn. II. 269,

If prisoner challenge six of the jurors for cause, and causes be found insufficient, and the six are

fworn, whereby inquest remains pro defectu juratorum, a tales granted, and jury appear, the prisoner may challenge peremptorily any of the six; but if it happen, that a new cause of challenge intervene after former swearing, and he challenge for cause, he must shew the cause happend after former swearing. II. Page 270, 274. If prisoner on first pannel challenge sisteen peremptorily, and then jury remains for default of jurors, and a distring as with

then jury remains for default of jurors, and a distringas with forty tales is granted, he shall challenge peremptorily no more than will fill up his number.

II. 270

The feveral kinds of challenges for cause. II. 271
No principal challenge either to array or poll, that sheriff or juror is of king's livery, but he must conclude to the favour.

If alien be indicted or appeald of felony, the indictors ought to be English, yet by 28 E. 3. trial shall be per medietatem linguæ, save in selony by Egyptians.

This statute extends as well to felonies made after as before. ib. Extends not to trial of aliens for treason. ib.

If alien indicted of felony plead not guilty, and a common jury be returned, if he furmife not his being an alien before any of jury fworn, he hath lost that advantage; but if he furmife it, he may challenge the array for that cause, and thereon a new venire fac. shall issue, or award be made of a jury de medietate lingue; but more proper to sur-

mise it on plea pleaded. II.

Page 272

In treason or felony, whereto prifoner pleads not guilty, at common law four hundreders ought to be returned. II. 272

and 27 Eliz. requiring only two in personal actions, extend not to trials on indictments of treason or felony.

Yet never any challenge for default of hundreders on trial of indictments for felony or treafon. ib.

By 33 H. 8. for treason or felony committed in king's houshold, all challenges, save for malice, ousted. ib.

By 2 H.5. none to be jurymen on trial of capital felony, unless he have a freehold of 40 s. per ann. above all charges, if he be challenged, and by construction it must be land in same county.

II. 272, 273

He must not only be seised thereof at time of pannel made, but when he comes to be sworn; else may be challenged. II. 273

27 Eliz. hath raised it to 41. per ann; yet that extends only to issue joind in B. R. C.B. and Exchequer, and justices of assiste, and not to justices of gaoldelivery, oyer and terminer, or the peace; but these trials stand as they did by 2 H. 5.

By statute defettus annui census no challenge as to aliens, but yet remains a good challenge to the other half, who are denizens.

II. 274

By statute, on trials of felonies in cities or boroughs, a citizen or

burgher worth 40 l. personal estate may pass, tho he hath no freehold; but Knights or Esqrs. living there, not within this provision.

II. Page 274

33 H. 6. of indictments of persons living in Lancashire, extends not to trials. ib.

By statute challenge allowd of any person living in the stews of Southwark, the of sufficient freehold.

Where prisoner challengeth for cause, he ought to shew it prefently; must shew all his causes together. ib.

If in trial of indictment of felony eleven be fworn, and the twelfth challenged, whereby inquest remains, &c. and a distringas with a tales issues, and jurors appear, king shall not challenge any of the eleven sworn, save for cause happend since their swearing; if it happen before, tho not known till after, it shall not be allowd; jurors to be called, as they happen in the pannel.

Same law for challenge by prifoner for cause. ib.

Of trial of a challenge for cause made to the poll. II. 274, 275 If a juror be challenged before any juror sworn, by whom, and how challenge tried, and by whom the next and the rest of

If plaintiff challenge ten, and prifoner one, how challenge tried.

the challenges tried.

If fix fworn, and rest challenged, by whom challenges tried. ib. In discretion of court, by whom array to be tried. ib.

In trial of peers no challenge al-II. Page 275 Tho king pardons an infamous man, he shall not be a jury-II. 278 Prisoners to challenge jurors before they are fworn. If juryman, before he be fworn, take information of the case, it II. 306 is cause of challenge. In petit treason, 1 6 2 P. 6 M. restores peremptory challenge of thirty-five; if prisoner challenge above thirty-five, he by that statute should have had his clergy, being casus omissus, but clergy now outled by 4 & 5 P. & M. II. 339 In inquests of office, no challenges. 11. 378

Chancery.

Vide Jury, Hute.

As to English proceedings, is no court of record, but processes under great feal in order thereto are matters of record. By virtue of order made for commitment of one, till he enter into bond, Gc. warden of fleet may justify imprisonment of party. Chancellor hath no power to proceed in criminal causes. 11. 147 Habeas corpus ad faciendum & recipiendum, issued by chancellor to remove persons arrested in civil cases, unwarranted by law, and as to perfons in execution, prohibited by statute. II. 148 For habeas corpus ad subjictendum

Habeas Cozpus.

For Certiorari. Vide Certiozari.

Vol. II.

issuing out of chancery.

Cheats.

Receiving money by false tokens punishable at common law, or by statute. Page 506
One maining himself for a colour to beg, how punished. 412
Civil subjection. Vide Coverture, King, Master, and Servant, Parent and Child.
Civil Law. Vide Laws.

Clergy.

A relaxation of the feverity of judgment of law. In manslaughter committed by husband and wife, formerly he had clergy, but she not. In fome treasons antiently allowd. 181, 185, 186. II. 326 to 329 But never in treasons touching king's person. 223, 517. II. 330, In petit treason and murder, in what cases, as verdict, &c. taken away, both in appeals and indictments, from principal and accellary before, not after. 382, 450, 466. II. 129, 336 to 343, 344 By I Fac. of Stabbing, he who stabs only ousted, and in what cases, both in appeals and indictments, but in all other cases of manslaughter allowd. 11. 344, 345 If he, that actually gave the stroke, be indicted as present and asfifting to another supposed to

his clergy; contra in murder.

II. 344, 345

Knowingly

have given it, and be convict,

on fuch indictment he shall have

Knowingly aiding and comforting	Acts outling clergy alter not the
a jesuit out of hold, ousted.	offense, but oust clergy where
Page 336, 688	offenie capital, as in grand lar-
Servants embezzling their ma-	ciny. Page 531 Voluntary escape, rescue ana
fters goods formerly had cler-	Voluntary escape, rescue ana
gy. 505. II. 367 Clergy allowd till 25 E.3. pro	breach of prison within clergy,
clero, in all treations and felonies	607, 612
not touching king himself, save	
in two felonies, ciz. infidiatio	
viarum & dep pulatio agrorum	
Garfon, clergy allowed in for-	
mer by 4 <i>H</i> . 4. 517. II. 328,	1 1 1
332, 333, 335, 346 25 E.3. pro clero made Hiliary	his clergy. II. 345 Forceably carrying away women
in fame year. II. 330	and marrying them, principals
Indiament and evidence must both	and accellaries before, ousted.
bring case within ast ousling	661
clergy, otherwise allowd. 517,	Whether receiver being made
525, 526, 535. II. 336	principal by statute, be ousted.
If felony be fo laid, as to come	ib.
within alt, yet if evidence	Acts ousting clergy from 24 Apr.
come not up to it, where pri-	1 H. 8. repealed by 1 E. 6.
foner is to be found guilty only	fave in cases excepted, which
of simple felony. II. 336	fee. 667, 679 Forging a deed after former con-
Robbing in or near the highway,	Forging a deed after former con-
whether on appeal or indict-	viction and judgment, oussed. 682, 686
ment, and in what cafes, as	682, 686
verdict, &c. oulled of clergy.	Clergy allowd without special
517, 518, 519, 535. II. 348	words to oust it. 704. II.334,
Accessaries before, not after, oust-	Referred to award lawsing and
ed. 519. II. 350	Restored to grand larciny com- mitted within king's palace,
Indictment, in vel prope, sufficient	tho party convict by 33 H. 8.
to ascertain clergy. 535	II. 7
Where accessary before ousted of	And to breaking king's bouse with
clergy, a fortiori principal.	intent to steal, tho arraigned
522. II. 346, 347	according to that act. ib.
<i>Forse-stealing</i> , principal and ac-	In all crimes within 28 H. 8. for
cessaries before and after oust in	trial of treasons, Gc. done on
all cases. 528, 529. II. 364, 365	the sea, clergy allowd, save in
Larciny from the person, clam &	treason, piracy and murder.
secrete, principal ousted, but	II. 17
not accessaries; if under 12 d. it	Justices of nisi prius may allow
remains petit larciny. 529.	clergy to a convict of man-
11. 365	flaughter. II. 41
A	

What words in indicament of murther women had no privilege of der oust clergy. II. Page 129, clergy, but by statute shall be burnt in the hand. II. Page 187, 344 By 8 & 18 Eliz. clergy dischar-320, 328, 371, 372 ges all offenses precedent within, Ordinary but a minister; king's courts judges of allowance or but not without clergy. II. 254, 387, 388 disallowance of clergy and purgation. II. 328, 380, 381 By 18 Eliz. burning in the hand, substituted in lieu of delivery to In what cases they would often the ordinary. deliver clerk to the ordinary, As to acts outling clergy in case absque purgatione. II. 328, 329 of challenging above twenty, If ordinary admitted him in party shall not have judgment fuch cases to his purgation, he was finable, and party deliverd of death, his challenge only over-ruled. II. 270 by fuch purgation recommitted If judgment of peine fort & dure to prison. be given, yet if offense within If clerk had his clergy, and was generally deliverd to the ordiclergy, clergy allowd. II. 320, nary, he might admit him to Judge ought to allow it, (tho make his purgation, and on significavit into chancery a writ strictly prisoner ought to pray it), and prays it not. II. 321, issued to sheriff to deliver to party his goods and chattels 378, 379, 381 Antiently clergy prayd and alfeised, nisi fugam fecerit ed oclowd on arraignment of prifoib. calione. Where new felony made by staner, now rarely done but on tute, clergy incident thereto. conviction or standing mute. II. 323, 377, 378 11. 320, 330 Clergy allowd before 25 E. 3. Claim by clergy of exemption from in treason for counterfeiting the fecular jurisdiction growing intolerable, how they were a-Jeal and coin. II. 331,332 In all treasons, whether declared II. 325 bridged therein. by 25 E.3. de proditionibus, or In civil fuits had no exemption, fave by special acts, from arrest, made fince, clergy oufted. II. by cap. on stat. merchant. 11. Clergy allowd in facrilege after 325 25 E. 3. pro clero, but if ordi-If on distringas one was returned nary refused the clerk, he had clericus beneficiatus non habens laicum feodum, process issued to II. 333 not his clergy. ib. Sacrilege not ousted at common bishop to bring him in. In cases criminal, not capital, as But ousted by 23 H. 8. trespass, petit larciny, Gc. pri-517. vilegium clericale not allowd. 11. 333, 365 4 & 5 P. & M. extends not to II. 325, 326 II. 366 By canon laws nuns were exempt it. A statute generally enacting, that from temporal jurisdiction, but a crime shall be felony withwhether by common law; o-

out clergy, or that offender	By statute of bigamy, Bigamus
shall suffer as in felony with-	ousted of clergy, but now shall
out clergy, clergy ouffed to all	have clergy. II. Page 372
intents. II. Page 335	At common law, if clerk convict
Acts oufting clergy construed	had broke bishop's prison, and
firially. II. 335, 371	been after taken, he had lost
Clergy ousted as to principal, not	his clergy. ib.
ousted as to accessary; if as to	Clerks convict are now to be
accessary before, not as to ac-	burnt in the hand, and dif-
cessary after; if where prisoner	charged. <i>ib</i> .
is convict by verdict, it holds	By antient law prisoner, not having
not as to conviction by confef-	habitum & tonsuram clerica-
fion, nor attainder by outlawry,	lem, had not his clergy. ib.
nor standing mute. II. 334,	Or ordinary might have refused
335	him, if he could read. II. 372,
Every indictment to oust accessary	373
before of his clergy on 1 &	Heretick convict, a Jew or Turk
2 P. & M. must run malitiose.	shall not have clergy; contra of
II. 339, 342	one excommunicate. II. 373
Where att outling clergy men-	A Greek or Alien shall have his
tions indictments, but not ap-	clergy, and read in a book of
peals, appellee within clergy.	his own country. ib.
ib.	So shall a bastard, one blind. ib.
Whether clerk attaint of petit	By 4 H. 7. one not in orders, that
treason by outlawry may claim	hath once had his clergy, shall
his clergy, and be deliverd to	be burnt in the hand, and
the ordinary as a clerk attaint	shall not have his clergy again,
without purgation. II. 341,	but a clerk in orders shall have
34,2	his clergy a fecond time. II. 373,
In robbery committed on a man's	389
· person, those, who are present	How clerks in orders shall prove
and affifting as well as taker,	themselves such. ib.
ousted. II. 359	None ousted of clergy a second
How far piracy and felonies on	time by the bare mark; if pri-
the fea ousted of clergy. II. 368,	foner deny himself to be the
Diracy being not taken notice of	fame person, that had his cler-
Piracy, being not taken notice of	gy, how tried. ib.
as felony by common law, was not thereby ousted of clergy.	Of holy orders and inferior or-
II. 270	ders, or clerici in minoribus.
Exemption of clergy extendible	II. 373, 374
to admiral's jurisdiction before	Clergymen, whether principals or
28 <i>H</i> . 8. II. 270	accessaries, have now no more privilege than laymen, save that
How much of 33 H.8. outling fe-	they are not burnt in the hand;
lonics in king's houshold of	but quære, whether, if attaint
clergy, repeald by 1 E. 6. ib.	by outlawry, they shall have
ciorgi, reposite by 122. 0. 10.	
•	more

more privilege than laymen.	Approver disavowing his appeal,
II. Page 374, 375, 376,	vanquishd in battle or recreant,
389	shall have his clergy. II. Page
'Tis a mistake, that if a clerk in	379
orders challenge above twenty,	If ordinary return non legit, court
he shall lose his clergy a second	may give him the book and
time. II. 376	hear him, and fo in absence of
By t E. 6. Peers to have clergy,	ordinary. II. 281
but for first offense not to be	ordinary. II. 381 Judge usually appoints verse the
burnt in the hand, or put to	clerk shall read ih
read.	clerk shall read. <i>ib</i> . The entry of clergy. II. 382
How they must pray their clergy;	By 18 Eliz. a convict within cler-
how court to be afcertained of	
· ·	gy forfeits all his goods he had
their peerage. 376, 396 This of outendance to clergy out	at the time of conviction, the
This att extends not to clergy oust-	burnt in the hand. II. 388
ed by any subsequent statute,	Yet may purchase and retain other
but to what cases. ib.	goods, and on burning in the
Whether it extends to felonies	hand shall be restored to his
within the fame, where they	lands. II. 388, 389
cannot make purgation, as if	If king pardon it, he may pur-
they abjure, confess, or be out-	chase and retain goods. II.389
lawd. II. 276, 277, 390	After clergy and burning in the
Never meant that a peer should	band, a clerk in orders shall not
be put to read, or burnt in the	be proceeded against by eccle-
hand in any cafe. 11. 376,	fiastical judge to deprivation, or
377	other censure, for it amounts to
Clergy allowd to an attaint. 521.	a pardon. ib.
II. 379	He shall have same privilege, as
Where judges may allow clergy	if he had been burnt in the
under the gallows, if they go	band. II. 389
that way; but whether it may	Plea of auterfoits convict, and
be done by justices of oyer and	had his clergy, as good bar as
terminer after their sessions,	before 18 <i>Eliz</i> . II. 390
quære; but they may reprieve	A conviction of manslaughter, and
him, and allow him clergy at	that he was a clerk and ready
the next session. ib.	to read, if court would have al-
If one cannot read, and non legit be	lowd, a good bar to an appeal;
recorded, and court reprieve	tho court had called him to
him to another fessions, and in	judgment, but continued him
the mean time he learns to read,	on a curia advisare vult. II.
he shall have his clergy. II.	390
379	How prayer of clergy entred. ib.
So if judgment of death be entred	Where principals and accessaries
upon non legit returned. ib.	before ousted of clergy in proper
One abjuring after his return shall	burglary. Vide Burglary.
have his clergy. II. 379	
Vol. II.	5 Y In
• •	

In what cases, as verdict, &c. and under what circumstances principals and accessaries before ousted in special or improper burglaries, or not. Vide subdivisions under Burglary. Vide Felonies by Statute, &c.

Clerk of the Crown, Affife and Peace.

To certify into B. R. names of persons outlawd, attaint or convict.

II. Page 36, 37

Tho clerks of assise enter respites and awards of execution only in a book of Agenda, yet regularly are supposed to be entred of record, and these memorials are warrants for such entries.

Clipping. Vide Coin.

Coin. A differtation on the coin and coinage of England. 188 to What sterling imports, what the standard of it. 188, 189, 203, Weight, allay, and extrinsic value of coin inter jura majestatis. 191, 192, 204 Franchises of coinage antiently granted by the king. 191, 192 Where proclamation necessary to inhanse or decry coin, Gc. 196, 197, 198 Where necessary to make forein coin current, and what evidence to prove it legitimate. 198, 213, 310, 316, 327 How impairment in weight and al-

Payment in numero, ad scalam, ad pensum, explaind. Page Dealbare firmam expounded. 206 Difference between fo much numero, and fo much blanc. 206, 207, 208 Exportation of bullion, gold and filver, not felony, but causes a forfeiture. 654 to 657 Counterfeiting forein coin legitimate by proclamation, treason. 192, 210, 211 Counterfeiting forein money not legitimate, not treason within 25 E. 3. but misprission of trea-Whether counterfeiting farthings or *halfpence* be within 25 E.3. What shall be said the king's coin. 211, 212 What evidence that it is such. 196, 197, 213, 310 In what cases the minters guilty of treason. Not compassing, but actual counterfeiting, treason. Yet if many conspire, and one counterfeits, treason in all. Receiver not a principal in this treason. Uttering counterfeit money purfuant to agreement precedent to the fact, treason. One knowing counterfeiter, and uttering the false money after the fact without fuch agreement, is qualita receiver of him. Barely uttering false money knowingly is only a cheat, and not milprisson, but to know counterfeiter and conceal it, misprifion. 214, 373, 375

Knowledge

lay may happen.

Knowledge of the fact, and bare concealment, misprission only. Page 214 Counterfeiting the stamps barely [till late act], not treason. ib. Counterfeiting coin, without ut-215, 228 tering, treason. Tho in another metal, and with a different impression to elude the law, treason. Clipping, washing or impairing forein coin legitimate by proclamation, treason by 5 Eliz. but no corruption of blood, or loss of dower. ib. Whether clipping, &c. king's coin be treason within 25 E. 3. material as to judgment. How the law stood with respect to clipping, washing, &c. from 215 to 222 Falsifying, impairing, scaling or lightning king's coin, or forein coin legitimate, treason by 18 Eliz. but without corruption of blood, or loss of dower. 218, 219 What evidence necessary on 5 & 18 Eliz. against impairing and clipping forein coin to prove it legitimate. Indicament for clipping or impairing, Gc. must pursue 5 G 18 Eliz. and how conclude. 220 Two witnesses not necessary, either on trial or indictment. 221, 297, 298 Irish coin of baser allay, tho king's coin, not current here. 221, 222 Whether counterfeiting it be treafon within 25 E.3. But clipping, &c. Irish coin here, 221, 222 treason. How counterfeiters of coin punish'd before 25 E. 3. and how 222 to 225 Knowingly importing false mo-

ney ad instar the king's coin, with intent to merchandize or pay, treason; by what intent to be tried. Page 225, 228, 229, Counterfeiting out of the realm triable by statute in \mathcal{B} . R. or before special commissioners; but otherwise at common law. Importing counterfeit coin from Ireland, or the I/le of man, not treason. 225, 226, 317 Antient statutes against importing false money. 226, 227 This offense never settled to be treason before 25 E. 3. which makes only the apporters and their aiders, traitors, but not receivers at fecond hand. Knowingly importing false money ad instar foreign legitimate coin, treason by 1 6 2 P. $\mathcal{O}M$. 227, 228 Counterfeiting forein coin not current here, a fubstantive misprifion of treason by 14 Eliz. 228, Command. Vide Principal and

Commission.

Accessary.

Justices of gaol-delivery sit one day, and forget to adjourn their commission, or clerk to enter it, and a felony being done next day, they proceed in feftions, and take indictment, and give judgment of death, it is erronious, and record not amen-- 498, 499. II. 156* dable. Where necessary to enter adjournment or not; session relates to first day and no longer; records entred as of first day. II. 24, 261 ${f W}$ her ${f e}$

Where proceedings of judges in capitals without strict extent of their commissions, or where determind, is a great misprission. $\it P$ age 498, 499 King dies after commissions of gaol-delivery issued, they subfist till notice. II. 24, 499. By what means commission of gaol-delivery determind. Of the different kinds of special commissions of over and termi-II. 10 to 22 Commission pro bac vice may continue their session from day to day by adjournment. 11. 24 Supersedeas suspends power of commissions, but procedendo re-II. 25 vivės it. Where a special new commission determines a former commission pro tanto. II. 20, Where a general and special commission are dated same day, both stand. II. 26 A commission of one nature superfedes not commission of another. All affociations are commissions. II. 40 Former commissions were determind by new ones at common II. 401, 404 In which case record and prisoner were removed into $\mathcal{B}.R.$ who proceeded, where justices left II. 404 By 11 H.6. proceedings before justices of peace, not discontinued by new commission. II. 405 Nor before justices of gaol-delivery, and over and terminer by 1 E. 6. II. 405 Vide Admiralty, Court, Gaoldelivery, Justice of Asse, Justice of Peace, King's Bench, Oper and Terminer.

Commitment.

One baild or committed not to be discharged till convict or acquitted, or deliverd by proclamation. Page 583 Mittimus ground of felony in case of breach of prison. Ought to be in writing under feal, unless by court of record; if not, what the effect. 583, 584. It must express the cause, and if felony, the species. Tho cause not inserted, gaoler in false imprisonment may aver, that it was for felony. 584, 585, 609. II. 123 Ought to be to the common gaol of the county; but if offense committed, and party taken within a franchise, then to gaol there by statute. 585. II. 123 If it express larciny above value or manslaughter, tho in fact only petit larciny, or fe defendendo; escape, felony. Sufficient, if it be generally for fe-609, 610 Antiently more committed without mittimus than with it. Mittimus not of so antient date, as justices of peace. Tho no cause exprest, sufficient, that gaoler or prisoner hath a proper notice of offense, to make voluntary escape, or breach of prison telony. 610. II. 123 In chancery, if order be made for commitment, till party enter

into bond, &c. warden of fleet

may thereby justify imprisonment. II. Page 122 Proper to mention name of justice, and his authority, in be-

ginning of *mittimus*, tho not always necessary. II. 122

It must have a certain date of the year and day, and an apt conclusion.

II. 122, 123

Those things are regular, viz. cause, justice committing, date, apt conclusion, yet warrant not void, that hath not all these circumstances. 584, 585, 609.

II. 123

If conclusion be till further order by justice, it binds not up hands of other justices, quoad bailing or delivering prisoner. ib.

Sometimes justices send bailable prisoners not having their bail ready to some private prison, as new prison, &c. till they can find bail, but this disliked by the judges. ib.

If prisoner bailable, justices not to demand, but prisoner to tender it, otherwise justice may commit him. ib.

Vide Arrest, Breach of Prison, Escape, Justice of Peace, Rescue.

Computation.

Of the year, day, and wast. 360 Of the year and day, wherein to bring appeal. 427

Concealment.

For concealments by grand inquest. Vide Jury.
Vide Mispisson.

Condition. Vide Fozseiture. Vol. II.

Confession.

Court not to record confession of infant under twenty-one, but to put him to plead not guilty, or inquire by inquest of office of the fact.

Page 24

Voluntary confession of treason before a privy counsellor, or justice of peace, sufficient to satisfy 5 & 6 E. 6. not necessary to be in court.

A fimple confession is a conviction; but court, if crime ousted of elergy, usually advise party to plead.

II. 225

If it be but an extrajudicial confession, tho it be in court, as where prisoner freely tells the fact, and demands opinion of court, whether it be felony, tho it appear to be felony, court will not record his confession, but admit him to plead to felony not guilty. II. 225,

Consent. Vide Pzíncípal and Accessary.

Constable. Vide Arrest, Justice of Peace, Peace-officer, Homicive, Hurder and Panstaughter.

Constable and Harshal.

Judices ordinarii of cases within martial law; their jurisdiction defined.

Where it is murder to execute another by martial law in time of a peace, 499, 500

Neither

5 Z.

Neither foldiers, nor mariners on land, or at sea, in actual service, to be capitally proceeded against by martial law in time of peace.

Page 500

Leges maritima differ from martial law; by those admiral had jurisdiction in capital offenses committed on the high sea. ib.

Construction.

For construction of grants. Vide Grants.

For construction of statutes. Vide Statutes in General.

Conviction.

Where fecond offense subject to severer punishment than former, there must be a previous conviction of former.

Where forging a deed after former conviction is felony by 5 Eliz. it must be conviction by judgment.

384, 682 to 687 Presentment by grand inquest not sufficient conviction of a negligent escape.

603
What a proper conviction thereof.

ib.

Vide Pleas, Aerdia.

Cozoner.

Whether inquisition before him of flight be traversable. 363, 414, 415. II. 63, 64, 301
Where his inquest, as to flight, shall be taken for the king, before that of petit jury. 363.
II. 63, 154, 301

Such inquests only quasi, inquests of office. Page 363. II. 63, Has power to inquire of accellaries before, but not after. 363, 416. Il. 63, 65 Two in a county, whether outlawry to be given by one or both; one may take inquisition Super visum corporis. 417. II. 56 Inquisition de villis A. &c. without saying de quatuor villatis proxime adjacen, according to statute, inquisition good; statute only directory; coroner to iffue precept to constable to summon jury, twelve at least. II. 59, 152* By statute to return inquisitions taken by him to next gaol-delivery, or B.R. 418. II. 58 Where one is kild per infortunium, but not by man, what 418, 419 he is to inquire of. One drowned in a pit, he may charge township to stop it, and enter it in his rolls; and if not done before next gaol-delivery; township amerced. 422. II.62 If he on notice refuse to inquire of one come to a violent death, how and before whom punishable. II. 58 424. Ought to inquire of death of one dying in gaol. 432. 11. 57, Ought to hear evidence on both fides. 415. II. 60 Where coroner orderd to inquire de novo super visum corporis. 415. II. 59, 60 Where a writ of melius inquirendum shall, or not be granted. 415, 416. II. 59, 60, 69 In bomicide by necessity, the matter may be specially presented,

either

containd in the Two Parts.

either by grand or coroner's in-	[II H . 4. of the return of inquests
quest, and thereupon party pre-	extends to coroners inquests.
fently discharged, without be-	II. Page 60, 61, 155*
ing put to plead, but he may	Inquest to be probi & legales ho-
be indicted again, if matter of	mines, and of the proper coun-
former indictment false; contra	ty. II. 167
where indictment simply of	Where two coroners in a county,
murder or manslaughter. Page	in ministerial acts return to be
9	by both. II. 104
Whether inquisition super visum	Justices of over and terminer, or of
corporis finding one felo de se	peace cannot affign a coroner,
be traversable. 414, 415. II. 59,	as justices of gaol-delivery may.
60, 154	II. 32
If body cannot be feen, death in-	Coroner may take indictment of
quilible before justices of oyer	se defendendo. II. 46
and terminer, or peace. 414,	Three kinds of coroners, and who
419	they are. II. 53
Where, either coroner of county,	Mayor of London by charter co-
or admiral may take inquisitions	roner thereof. <i>ib</i> .
in great rivers. II. 16	Bishop of $ extit{Ely}$ hath power to make
Stroke in one county, death in	them in the Isle. ib.
another, justices, or coroner of	By statute power of electing coro-
county, where party died, shall	ners confirmed to counties, yet
inquire and proceed, as if stroke	a faving to king and other lords,
in fame county. 426, 427.	who ought to make fuch coro-
II. 66	ners. <i>ib</i> .
\mathcal{B} . R . is fovereign coroner in	So king may grant coroners within
England. II. 5	certain precincts; and lords of
Coroners may attach manslayers	franchifes, having power to no-
by their warrants after inqui-	minate them by charter, may
fition finding them guilty. II.	fill do it without election. II.
May also make out Warrants for	54 Admiralty and verge by king's
May also make out warrants for	grants have nower of granting
taking persons, that neither are,	grants have power of granting,
or can be presented before	or having coroners. <i>ib</i> .
them, as persons present and	Of death of man, or other articles
not guilty; and also burglars	belonging to coroner, arifing
and robbers, tho they cannot	on the high sea, inquisitions
take an inquisition touching	have been usually taken by co-
them. ib.	roners appointed by king, or
If it be found super visum corpo-	his admiral, and coroners of
ris, that the felon fled, and	county have no jurisdiction. ib.
was kild in the flight, this pre-	Inquisitions taken before coroner
fentment, tho after party's	of admiral are returned before
death, is conclusive as to for-	commissioners on 28 H. 8. and
feiture for the flight. II. 154	inquisition before coroner of
5	county

county are returned before commissioners of guol-delicery for II. Page 54 the county. Coroner of the verge, or of king's house, by whom nominated, his power; coroner of county by statute to join in inquisition of death of a man, what case ex-II. Page 54, 55 cepted. But he cannot take inquisition without coroner of verge; but both offices united in one, inquisition taken before him is good; and if court remove, he may proceed on that inquisition, as coroner of county II.55 In what case coroner of houshold shall take inquisition without coroner of county by a jury of the houshold; the return and proceedings on these inquisitions regulated by statute. General coroners of counties, how and where eligible, and how to be fworn. ib. How to be qualified. ib.Their office not determind by king's demise. Being elected by freeholders of county, if they be infufficient, whole county shall answer. II. 55, 56 Some counties have more, some fewer; by statute each county of Wales two, and Chester two. II. 56 If there be above two in a county, and a writ is directed coronatoribus, the one dies, whilst plural number remains, a return by the coroners is good; but if only one furvive, he cannot execute and return it, till another made. But if two coroners in a county, or more, one may execute the writ, as in case of an exigent;

but the return must be in the name of coronatores. II. Page Coroners amoveable for cause, and new ones may be chosen by writ, tho cause not traverfable, yet if false, they may have a *supersedeas* to the new writ. Their power of proceeding to trial or judgment in pleas of the crown, or execution upon outlawry, taken away by Magna Carta. II. 56, 66 Of what they yet retain a jurifdic-Regularly have no power to take inquisitions, but de subito mortuis, and some special inci-II. 65 If one die of hunger, and vill bury him before coroner fent for, it shall be amerced; contra, if of a fever or apoplexy. If vill leaves a body, that died of a violent death, above ground unburied, it shall be amerced: fuch amercements may be fet on presentment of grand inquest, or coroner. If prisoner die in king's bench priion, clerk of the crown, who is coroner of that court, is to view the body. II. 58 Coroner must take inquisition in person, or else it is void. What inquisition to contain. If body buried before he come, what he ought to do in fuch cafe, cannot take inquisition otherwise than super visum cor-II. 58, 66 If he take inquisition without view of the body, he may take fecond inquisition upon view of it; second good, first void. II. 58, 59

But

But if first be taken on view, se-	Difference of penning 1 &	$_2$ \mathcal{P}°
cond is void. II. Page 59	\mathcal{O} M . of examinations	taken
In default of coroner, who may	by justices of peace and	
inquire of a felo de se, or other	ner. II. Pag	ge 61
fudden death; justices of peace,	mer. II. Pag Whole evidence to be reti	irned
or over and terminer may in-	with inquisition.	ib.
quire thereof, and fo may	Several kinds of sudden, vi	olent
2. R. but then that present-	deaths.	L 62
ment is traversable. ib.	deaths. If inquest find he died ex v	ilita-
If constables make not a return	tione Dei, or per infortu	nium.
of coroner's precept, or jurors	what only to be done.	
appear not, constables or jurors	In no case coroner sets any f	
in default, by whom amerced.	amercement, but only pr	
II. 59	it to next gaol-delivery,	
Jurors not challengeable by either	impose it.	ib.
party. ib.	If inquest find one felo de se,	what
11 H. 4. extends to these inquisi-	they are further to find ar	
tions; and if a juror be out-		ib.
lawd, tho but of trespass, this	First finder of body to be	
a good plea to coroner's inquest	over to next gaol-de	
of murder. II. 60		62, 63
Of what matters jury charged to	If party flain and felon not k	
inquire. II. 60, 61	inquest to find accord	
If one be kild by another, and it	what the antient manner	
be certainly known, that he kild	quiry.	63
him, it hath been held, that	If felon known, and inqu	
jury must hear evidence only	found him guilty, what	
for the king, and jury must	the proceedings.	ib.
find it murder, tho justifiable or	One found guilty by corone	
excufable bomicide; but this	quest, or that he fled, th	
practice neither warranted by	to inquire of his good	
law nor reason. II. 60, 61	chattels; and antiently of	
His inquest rather for his infor-	was prefently to seife a	
mation of the truth of the fact,	ventory the goods, and	deliver
than for an accufation. II. 61	them to villata; how	far al-
Tho prisoner may be arraigned	terd by 1 R.3.	ib.
on coroner's inquest finding it	Coroner must commit	perfons
murder or manslaughter, yet		to she-
bill of murder may be preferd		nem to
to grand inquest, and thereon	gaol by statute.	II. 64
he may be arraigned and tried	If any present found not	guilty,
tho coroner's inquest comes up		ib.
only to manslaughter, &c. ib.	. If parties found guilty as	princi-
Antient practice hath been for co-		be not
roner's inquest to find the mat-		ntiently
ter, as they judge it. ib		
• · •	them.	II. 64
Vol. II.	6 A	That

That practice alterd by statute; justices of gaol-delivery are to proceed against offenders, if in gaol; if not, then to certify inquisition in \mathcal{B} . R. and thence process of outlawry is to issue on that inquisition. II. Page 64 By statute coroner to take examinations against principals and accellaries before, and put them in writing, and bind over witnefles to next gaol-delivery, and then to return their examinations, recognizances and inquilitions. One indicted on coroner's inquest is found not guilty, petit jury to inquire who kild the deceased, which ferves as indictment against him; and if they cannot tell, they commonly give in fome fictitious name. II. 65 If there be an inquisition of murder or manslaughter, and also indictment of fame offense, and party is arraigned and acquitted on indictment; necessary to quash inquisition, or arraign party upon it, who is to plead auterfoits acquit, or not guilty, and so be acquit on that also; otherwise he may be outlawd on record thereof. But if both of same nature, and for fame offense, and be good, he may be tried on both at Where coroner is to have a fee, or not. ib. Hath power to take inquisition of elcape. II. 62 65 Hath no power to take inquisition of any other felony than death of a man and the incidents, except in Northumberland. II. 65,66

Where he may take appeals of other matters. II. *Page* 66 In cases not felony, what formerly he might have taken inquisition May take confession of one that breaks prison, and on his record party to be hanged. Had power to attach one, that had dangerously wounded another, and not only on appeal of maybem, but ex officio. What appeals coroner may take; they must be of facts within his county: Appeal to be by bill in proper perfon, and before coroner and theriff. Yet coroner principal judge, and certiorari may be to him alone, or to him and sheriff; but not to sheriff alone, neither for appeals nor outlawries, unless in London. What coroner may do upon an appeal. Whether he may outlaw defendant in appeal. When appeal fued before coroner and sheriff, for determination it must be removed into B.R. ib. Has power to take accusation of approver. He may on appeal by approver take his appeal against any one for any felony or treason in any county. II. 67, 68 If appeal in fame county, coroner may make a precept to fheriff to take appellee. But if he be only coroner of a franchise, whether he may make precept to theriff to attach him. Cannot make precept to bailiff of the franchile, because he can-

not

not execute process within his franchise, but by sherist's mandate.

II. Page 68

How that is to be remedied. ib.

If appeal be of selony out of the county, it must be removed to justices of gaol-delivery, and they may make process into any county to take appellee! ib.

Vide Amercements, Arraignment, selo de se, so selecture.

Corporation:

For forfeitures by corporations: Vide Kozfeiture. Vide Franchise.

Corruption and Restitution of Blood.

Corruption of blood effect of judgment in high, petit treason, or felony, except faved by statute.

Several ways of faving it. 703
Tho there be a clause to fave it, king to have forfeiture of lands during felon's life; and his goods; no escheat to the lord, where inheritance saved to the heir; it virtually makes heir inheritable, and wife dowable. 703,

One attaint of piracy on 28 H. 8. no corruption of blood, unless indictment formed, as of a robbery at common law, and how to be for that purpose. 354, 355. II. 18

If one be attaint by course of civil law before admiral for treason or selony on the sea, or constable and marshal for treason, Gc. beyond fea, it works no corruption of blood. Page 355.
II. 18

But attainder of treason or felony done on the sea, on 28 H.8. by jury, as well as attainder of forein treason on 25 H.8. corrupts the blood. 355. II. 17.

By Westm. 2. de donis conditionalibus, tenant in tail attaint of felony or treason, there is no corruption of blood as to the issue, save for their benefit.

Son of donee in tail, attaint of treason in vita patris dies leaving issue, estate shall descend to grand-child; contra of a fee-simple.

In all cases, but entails, attainder of treason or felony corrupts blood upward and downward.

Father and two fons; elder attaint dies improles in vita patris, younger shall inherit; contra, if elder survive the father, except elder an alien nee. 356,

One hath two fons, and then is attaint, elder purchaseth, and dies *fine prole* in life, or after death of father, his attainder hinders not descent from brother to brother.

Same law, if father was first attaint, and then had two sons.

Two brothers; elder is attaint, and hath issue, and dies in life of younger; younger dies improles, his lands in fee shall not descend to his nephew. ib.

So if fon of party attaint purchase land, and die without issue, it shall

In the first of the second to his uncle.

Page 357

Judgment of peine fort of dure corrupts not the blood. II. 319

Tho a pardon restores not blood, yet as to issues born after, it is a restitution.

358

But restitution in its true extent can only be by parliament. ib.

Such acts construed liberally. ib.

Tho it be to restore B. only as heir to A. it restores also his lineal and collateral heirs. ib.

Vide forseiture, felony by Statute, Statutes in General.

Coverture.

A feme covert indicted of a misdemeanor may be fined and imprisoned. But in affife, if she vouch a record, and fail at the day, she shall not be imprisoned. Command of husband exempts not wife in treason, murder or manilaughter. 45, 47, 434, 516 But if the commit larciny or burglary with him, she is to be acquitted. 45, 516 Yet coercion is only prefumed till contrary appears. 45, 516 Wife accessary before to a murder committed by husband, not excufed. Her assent to his treason makes them both principals. Where he may be accellary to her, but not she to him. She cannot be accessary after with him to a felony, nor commit treason by receiving a traitor jointly with him, unless she consent to the treason. 47, 48 She may be guilty of misprission of treason of a stranger; but whether concealing her husband's treason be misprision. Page 48 Baron and feme joint lessees of a term, he kills himself, she shall not hold it against king or almoner.

413,414

Council.

Who antiently were the king's legal council. 421
Where prisoner allowed to have council. Vide Arraignment.

Counterfeiting Coin. Vide

Counterfeiting Great Seal, Privy Signet and Privy Seal. Vide Seal.

Counterfeiting Sign Panual. Vide Sign Panual.

County.

Where amerced in default of vill and hundred. 448, 603 Where stroke in one county, and death in another, by statute justices or coroner of county, where party died, shall inquire and proceed. 427. II. 163 Appeal may be brought in either county. Goods stolen in one county, and carried into another, party indictable for larciny in forein county, but not of robbery. 507. II. 163 Intire felony done in two counties dispunishable, yet misprission thereof punishable in either. 651, 652, 653

Essential

Essential, that felony or treason be tried in proper county, except otherwise provided by sta-Page 158. II. 163 tute. 33 H. 8. of trial of treason and murder in a forein county, repeald as to the former, but in force as to the latter. II. 22, 163, 164 Whether receipt of felon, after attainder in same county, makes an accellary fans notice. 323, 622 By statute accessary indictable and triable in county, where accef-II. 163 427,623. Att making a felony, and ordering trial where party taken, without negative words, party may be indicted where offence done. 694, 695, 705 Making a new felony of offense, confifting partly in the realm, partly out, where trial to be. 706 By 35 H. 8. forein treason, misprisions and concealments thereof, triable in \mathcal{B} . R. by a jury of county, where court fits, or before fuch commissioners, and in such thire as K. Thall appoint, by a jury of such shire. 283. II. 164 Peer of Ireland tried here by a Middlesex jury for a treason By 28 H. 8. treasons, selonies, murders and confederacies committed on the sea, or in a place, where admiral hath jurisdiction,

triable where king shall order.

and misprissions thereof, (com-

mitted in Wales) in the next

English county, and where king

should appoint, repeald.

Vol. II.

Certain acts for trial of treasons

282.

What crimes committed in Wa'es justices of peace and gaol-delivery in English counties adjacent have cognizance of. Page II. 38 157. Where special commissions of over and terminer may be limited to particular rivers extending into feveral counties, but then every county must have a particular fession pro tanto. Where indictment taken before justices of over and terminer in proper county, may be determind by special commission in a forein county, but jury must be of proper county. II. 21, 22, Where offender may be executed in a forein county. In what county offender against 8 H. 6. making avoiding records felony, shall be tried. Offender against 3 H.7. in taking away in one county, and marrying in another, where indictable. 660 If a mortal stroke had [before 2 G. 2.] been given at sea, and party died in body of county, neither admiral nor common law had jurifdiction. II. 19 to 21, 162 Treasons by 37 Eliz. by priests, To. coming into England, and felony for receiving them, indictable and triable, where offender taken. II. 164 So is felony by statute for taking a second husband or wife, the first living. If one, by reason of tenure of lands in Com. B. be bound to repair

ib.

a bridge in com. C. he may be

indicted in com. C.

6 B

II. 16, 17

Forein pleas by statute triable by a jury of same county, where party indicted, except in trea-II. Page 239, 263

Where stroke in one county, and death in another, indictment to be where death was.

Where admiral hath jurisdiction infra corpus com'. Vide Admíralty.

For arrests in proper or forein counties. Vide Arrest.

County Palatine.

Felony or treason committed in Durham, removeable in B.R.by certiorari, but on pleading not guilty remandable.

They of Durham claim exemption from being fworn out of precinct of that county palatine.

Of treasons and felonies in Tindal and Hexamshire.

By feveral acts outlawries of treafon, Gc. in Lancashire caused not a disability of the parties, but are repeald by 33 H.6. 286

How indictors in Lancashire to be qualified, where indictment supposes party inhabiting out of it, or where indictment is taken out of it against persons refiding there. 28*6*. II. 152*

All powers in county palatines and franchifes for making justices of affife, gaol-delivery, or peace, refumed by 27 H. 8. 286. II. 38, 211, 212

Under what feals the commissions now pass.

Processes to be in king's name, under whose teste

Indictments to conclude contra paib. cem regis.

All process of outlawry, attainder, Gc. in county palatines, now of fame effect, and induce fame forfeitures, as if offense done, tried and determind in any other English county. Page 286 Royal forfeitures of treason not

touched by 27 H. 8. Tultices litting within exempt fran-

chifes or counties palatine, now king's courts and his justices. II. 38 ·

Certivrari issuing out of B. R. to be obeyd by justices sitting in Durham, or within cinque ports. II. 38, 211, 212

They shall hold their session within such liberties, and not elsewhere.

None within fuch liberties compellible to appear out of fame before other justices.

Where fuch franchifes were antiently granted to abbots, there is a special commission of gaoldelivery for that franchise. ib.

Restriction of sitting within franchife extends not to commission of oyer and terminer.

This resumption extends not to cities and boroughs. Vide Franchife, Certiozari.

Court.

In dubiis rather to incline to acquittal than conviction. 300,

509

Not bound to take notice at another fessions, that a man is at-

Ought not to be transported with heinousness of offense. 87, ,636

Of the court before lord high
steward for trial of peers. 11.
Page 7
Amply treated by lord Coke. ib.
Exposition on 33 H. 8. for trial of
treasons and felonies within
king's palace before steward of
household, and on subsequent atts derogating from it. II.8,9
Of commissions of over and termi-
ner for the verge, the extent
and manner of trial of felonies
within fame. II. 10, 11
Can only proceed on indictments
taken before themselves, and
not on coroner's inquests, and
therefore have in fame commif-
fion one of gaol-delivery. II.
Where such commission deter-
mind by general commission of
oyer and terminer in fame coun-
ty with notice, and where this
fpecial commission determines
the general pro tanto of county,
as within verge. <i>ib</i> . Commissions for verge disused.
Committions for verge diffused.
II. 11, 12 If penalty be recoverable in any of
king's courts of record, att ex-
tends only to the four <i>superior</i>
courts. II. 29
Where the words, no wager of
law, essoin, protection, &c. shall
be allowd, tie up jurifdiction to
courts, that can allow pro-
tection, &c. II. 30 Where penalty made recoverable
by original writ, it is restrained
to superior courts, the many
contrary instances. ib.
Where one attaint is brought into
another court, execution not to
be awarded against him, till
demanded what he can fay a-

gainst it.

By 3 H.7. imagining and confpiring to kill the king, or any of his council is made felony; who the only judges, and by whom prefentment and trial must be.

Page 663

All courts, having judicial power by common law, or statute, have power to grant warrants for arresting felons, but such as are simply ministerial, and have no jurisdiction, as constables, cannot issue warrants.

Custom of the court of B.R. part of the law of the land. ib.

vide Admiralty, Certiquari, Commission, Gaol-delivery, Justices of Asile, of Peace, King's Bench, Oper and Cerminer.

Day and Might.

AY and Night defined. 550, 551 Crepusculum explained. 550

Deer.

Where it is lawful to shoot them.

Demise of the King. Vide Commission, King.

Demurrer. Vide Pleas.

Deodand.

Described; how applied. 419
Not forfeit till death found; cannot be claimed by prescription, and why. ib.

368

On death by misadventure, what inquest to inquire of. Page 419 Villata, chargeable with process for deodands or value, tho not deliverd to them. ib. Sword, &c. of a stranger forfeit. Distinction between deodands moventia ad mortem & quiescen-420, 421 What moveable things shall be deodands, or not. 420, 421 What things not moveable. Where there shall be deodand, where party flain is within age of discretion, or not. Where a ship, &c. shall be a deodand, or not. 422, 423, 424.

Discontinuance.

Indictment not discontinued by king's death, tho in some cases process be. II. 189, 209
Where prisoner hath pleaded not guilty, and then makes default, whereon exigent is awarded, first issue is discontinued. II.

224, 225

Domus Mansionalis. Vide Burglary.

Dower. Vide Fozfeiture.

Dzunkennels.

Where it excuseth, or not. 32

Durels. Vide Mecellity.

Engleshery.

Explained.

447. 448

Erroz.

Erronious judgment in treason given by one that had authority, was at common law reversible by writ of error. Page 353 But 29 Eliz. secures all former attainders, where party executed, from fuch reversal, but meddles not with other attain-Neither doth 33 H. 8. take away writs of error on attainder of treason. 26 H. 8. & 5 & 6 E. 6. take away from one outlawd in treaion reversal of outlawry, becaule party out of the realm, but extends not to other offen-Missiomer in civil action not er-But outlawry of one indicted without addition, or by a false one, erronious. II. 176 Writ of error, a supersedeas to the issuing execution, from delivery of writ till day of return past; but if plaintiff proceed not to removal of record, execution in the granted for his de-Where auterfoits acquit affignable for error, as well as plead-II. 221, 243, 251 One outlawd, on alledging error in law or fact to fatisfaction of $\mathcal{B}.\ R.$ shall have a respite of execution to purchase writ of error, and be remitted to marshal in mean time. II. 408 Amicus curiæ may inform B.R. of any error in the outlawry.

Vide Dutlawzy.

Escape.

Escape.

One mortally wounded, offender in custody of constable, who fuffers him to escape before death of party; no felony in constable, tho he dies within the year. Page 427, 591 One escaping is supposed always in custody. Gaoler voluntarily fuffering a traitor to escape, treason. Wilful escape by gaoler, no felony, if *mittimus* not in writing under feal; but contra, where commitment by court of 583,584 Nor is it felony, except cause expressed in mittimus, or offense be notified to gaoler. 578, 584, 595, 596, 610 An unapt conclusion of mittimus excufeth not gaoler from felony. 595 The feveral forts of escape. 590 Voluntary escape defined. 590, It becomes fame crime, as party was committed for, viz. treafon or felony. 590, 591 It is felony, tho party be not convict or attaint, but till then gaoler shall not be arraigned, tho he may be indicted. 598. II. 254 Felony committed, constable takes one on fuspicion, and voluntarily lets him go at large, it is felony, tho party not indicted. Tho officer after arrest be assured of party's innocence, he may not fafely discharge him, but bring him before a justice. ib. He discharges him at his peril, if

Vol. II.

felony committed, and party Page 592 A convict of petit larciny fufferd to e cape, no felony. The same law of escape of one committed for, or convict of homicide se defendendo. But if commitment or indictment be for manslaughter, tho in truth but se defendendo; yet prima facie escape indictable as felony, tho in eventu otherwife. One indicted of murder is pardoned or acquitted within the year, but lest in gaol till year elapsed on 3 H.7. and within year gaoler fuffer him to escape, it is felony prima facie, for possibility of appeal; but if not brought within the year, or party be acquitted thereon, gaoler to be acquitted. Escape before clergy allowd, felony; but party retaken, and clergy had, felony purged. ib. Clergy had, and prisoner continued for farther correction by 18 Eliz. escape fineable. If A commit a felony before B. who neither takes him, nor attempts it, not felony in B. 594 If A commit a felony, and B. knowing it receive him, and then fuffer him to depart, no escape by \mathcal{B} . Gaoler refusing to receive a felon from constable, constable lets him go, it is an escape. Private man, on delivering felon to constable or vill, is discharged; fo are constable and vill on delivering him over to sheriff, or his gaoler. Custody of felon belongs to old sheriff till turned over by indenture. 6 C A pri-

A private man knowing B. to	lony, but fined. Page 598, 599
have committed a felony ar-	
rests him, and wilfully suffers	
him to escape, felony; or a fe-	
lony being done, he arrests $\mathcal B$	
on fuspicion, and then lets him	
escape, selony in eventu. Page	
595	
If mittimus contain no cause,	
gaoler not bound to receive fe-	
lon. ib.	
A private man carrying felon to	
the common gaol acquaints	
gaoler by word only, that it is	lony be done, or elfe escape no
for felony, gaoler chargeable	felony; but contra, where it
on escape. 596	appears by a record. ib.
Constable wilfully suffering felon	If it appear by record, how in-
to escape from the stocks, se-	dictment to be, and how, if o-
lony. ib.	therwise. <i>ib</i> . Calling record of prisoners over
Prisoner rescued, gaoler dispunish-	Calling record of priloners over
able. ib.	as in B. R. fufficient to con-
Where in case of fire, enemies or	vict of a negligent escape, but
rebels, escape by gaoler is ex- cusable. <i>ib</i> .	not voluntary, except gaoler
cusable. <i>ib.</i> Admitting bail, where it ought	confess. 599, 603 By whom country to be amerced
not, where a negligent escape,	for an escape. 600
where wilful. 596, 597	Division of negligent escapes. ib.
If gaoler voluntarily licence felon	Negligent escape finable, what the
to wander out and return, if	measure of the fine. 600, 602,
he return before gaoler indict-	604
ed, it is a misdemeanor; but	What shall be said a negligent
whether a voluntary escape.	escape. 601
597	If prisoner break gaol, it is a ne-
Sheriff finable for wilful or negli-	gligent escape. ib.
gent escape by his gaoler. 597,	Where lawful to fetter prisoners.
598	ib.
But not for escapes by gaolers in	If private man arrest a felon, who
particular franchifes; these con-	escapes by force, without his de-
cern themselves and their lords	fault, he is excused. ib.
only. 598 Officer permitting escape and	Officer bringing prisoner to gaol,
Officer permitting escape, quasi accessary. ib.	prisoner rescuesh himself, how
If principal be found not guilty	far officer excused. 601, 602 If felon in carrying to execution
or guilty of a fact not capital,	If felon in carrying to execution
or be only convict and not at-	be rescued, sherist punishable.
taint, and hath his clergy, gao-	Gaoler may take prisoner seven
ler shall be discharged of se-	years after, tho out of his view,
I.	but
res.	Lat

but that excuseth not negligent Page 602 escape. Having once lost view, it is an escape, tho taken after; but if gaoler take him on fresh purfuit, and hath still the view, no escape. Gaoler fined for negligent escape may retake felon, but fine not discharged. What a proper conviction of a negligent elcape. Sometimes coroner's roll. ib. Prefentment by grand inquest not sufficient, because officer finable. Presentable in a leet, but they cannot let a common fine or amercement; but it may be removed in B. R. where amercement may be fet. Executors fined for negligent efcape in their testator. Escapium, and the franchise to be quit thereof, explained. 604 Coroner hath power to take inquilition of elcape. 11. 62, 65 If private man discharge one suspected, whom he hath arrested, without bringing him to justice or constable, it is an es-II. 81 cape. If felon not once in the hands of the officer, that hath warrant to arrest, no escape; but yet it may be an escape in township, for which they shall be amer-Vide Amercement, breaking Pri= son, Evidence, Indiament, Rescue.

Estoppel.

Where defendant is indicted, and pleads to indictment by a wrong name, that estoppel shall avail

fheriff or officer, that doth execution. II. Page 175
So in a civil action, if defendant appear by wrong name, fheriff in false imprisonment shall have like advantage. ib.

Evidence.

How coin proved current. 197, 204, 212, 213 How forein coin proved legitimate. 197, 198, 213, 310, 316 Intention how to be proved. 229 Where by 1 & 2 P. & M. Informations taken before justices of peace may be read in evidence against a prisoner. 305, 306, 586. II. 284, 285 Whether, if informant was bound over, and appear not, they may Whether justices of peace of a forein county may transmit such informations before justices of gaol-delivery of proper county. 305, 306. II. 285 As to examination of prisoner, it must be testified, that it was without menace, or undue ter-II. 285 Depositions taken in a cause of divorce, offerd to prove force on indictment for forcible marriage, rejected, and why. 306. II. 285 Hear-say no evidence, but from offender himfelf. In murder A. indicted, as having given the mortal stroke, B. and C. as present and affilting, if proved that \mathcal{B} , gave the mortal stroke, and A. and C. were prefent and affilting, it maintains indictment, and all outted of clergy; but contra, on indictment on 1 Fac.

1 Fac. of stabbing; for he, that
gave the stroke, shall have his
clergy on fuch indictment. Page
437, 463. II. 185, 292, 344,
Whence evidence of malice must
arise to constitute murder. 451,
452
Where malice in law maintains in-
dictment of murder. 460.
II. 292
What circumstances are evidence
of a felonious intent on indict-
ment of larciny. 508, 509 Thieves come to rob A. and force
Thieves come to rob A. and force
him by menace of death to go
and fetch them money, which
he doth, it maintains a general
indictment of robbery. 532, 533 In indictment of robbery violen-
In indictment of robbery violen-
tèr must be both alledged and
proved. 534
proved. 534 What evidence to maintain indict-
ment for a felonious escape,
breach of prison, or rescue. 599
Ravisher, having kept the wo-
man as a concubine before the
supposed rape, evidence of as-
fent. 628 620
What concomitant circumstances
necessary evidence of a rape.
633 to 636
On indictment of fecond forgery to
make it felony, record of first
conviction by judgment must be
proved. 686
If alledged, that party was kild
with a fword, and proved that
he was kild with another wea-
pon, indicament maintained; but
contra, if by another kind of
death, as strangling, &c. II.
185
Whether an information taken in
treason can be read in evidence

By 21 Fac. mother of bastardchild concealing its death, shall fuffer as in murder, unless she prove by one witness, that child II. Page 288 was born dead. Indictment need not alledge, but it must be proved on evidence, that she concealed it, if advantage be taken of this statute. II. 289 If no concealment proved, left to jury to inquire by circumstances, whether she murderd it or not; but it doth not put her under an absolute necessity of proving it born alive by one witness; so evidence stands but as at common law. If on view of child it be testified by one witness from probable circumstances, that child was not come to its debitum partus tempus, this is proof by one witness, that child was born dead, so as to leave it to the jury, as upon a common law evidence, whether she were guilty of death or not; what fuch circumstances are. In some cases presumptive evidences go far to prove party guilty, but better five guilty persons escape unpunished, than one innocent man die. Cautions against convicting on doubtful evidence, with instances of innocent persons having fufferd thereby. 300, 509. II. 289, 290 Not fit to convict any man for stealing goods cujusdam ignoti, merely because he cannot give an account how he came by them, unless due proof made, that a felony was committed of those goods. II. 290

on indictment of treason.

II.

286

Nor to convict any one of murder or manslaughter, unless fact proved to be done, or body found dead. II. Page 290 Variance between indictment and evidence in county material, but not in vill. II. 291 If evidence in case of murder differ from indictment in specie mertis, it doth not maintain indictment; as if indictment be for killing by poifon, and evidence be of killing by stabbing; but contra, if indictment vary in species of the possion, or indictment be for killing with a fword, and evidence be of killing with a staff or gun; effectual word in both percussit. ib. And fame law with respect to accellaries to fuch principals. II. 292 If A. and B. be indicted as principal, and C. as accessary after to both, A and B are convict, or only A. is convict, and on the evidence against C. it appears he was only accessary to A. it maintains indictment. ib. One indicted on 1 Fac. against stabbing, if it appears on evidence, that person kild struck first; yet good evidence to con-

If one be indicted of petit treason for killing his Master, tho he were not such, he may be found guilty of murder, and tho not ex malitia pracogitata, he may be found guilty of manslaughter, and not guilty as to the petit treason.

ib.

vict party indicted of man-

ilaughter.

If one be indicted of burglary, and quòd felonicè & burglaritèr cepit bona, &c. he may be acquit of the burglary, and found Vol. II. guilty of simple felony, if evidence riseth no higher. II.

Page 293

If one be indicted of murder ex malitia pracogitata, evidence proving killing on a fudden falling out, is good to find him guilty of manslaughter. ib.

What evidence the jury shall have out with them or not. Vide Jury.

Vide Indiament, Aerdia, Alitnels.

Examination. Vide Confels from, Evivence, Justice of Peace.

Execution and Replieve.

Where one attaint is brought into

another court, or reprieved to another fessions, execution not to be awarded, till first demanded, what he can say against it. 368 Execution of drawing to be on a hurdle. Antiently without any thing un-A stranger of his own head executes a criminal, murder. 501 Execution must pursue judgment, otherwise murder. 501. 11.411 Who are the ordinary ministers in execution of malefactors. 501. II. 409,410 What warrant issued out by lord high steward for execution of 501. II. 409 a peer. How many commissioners of oyer and terminer formerly figued warrant, where they gave ien-Leaving a calendar with theriff, the only warrant now. II. 409

No warrant under leaf of justi	
of gaol-delivery for execution but only a calendar. Page 5	on .
but only a calendar, Page 5	o I
II. 4	_
What warrant for execution v	
antiently issued, or awarded	by
them. II. 4	109
7. Rolle would never subscr	ibe
J. Kone would never indice	100
calendar, but after judgm	
command sheriff in court,	to
do execution, and for not of	do-
ing it fined a sheriff 200	$\sim L$
ing it miled a merin 200	
501. II. 31, 4	.10
A prisoner removed in B.R.	by
habeas corpus, or taken on	in-
dictment of felony in Mida	
$\int ex$ is committed to the m	or
g 1 to 1 to 1 to 1	a1-
shal, arraigned and adjudged	to
die; court may send him	to
Newgate, and command st	ı¢∸
riff to do execution. 5	
But if remitted to the marsh	aı,
(as regularly he ought), th	en
marshal is proper officer, a	nd
may execute party in Midd	1/0_
Caralage Garage Garage	:
fex, wherever offense comm	
ted; and court may ore tend	
or by order command sheriff	to
assist. 464, 502. II	
Harr onter to be for marshal	•) •0
How entry to be for marshal	U) TT
do execution. 464, 502.	H.
409, 4	II
They that give judgment may	a-
ward execution. II. 4	06
Therefore D D on removal	رن ع
Therefore B. R. on removal	
prisoner and record, may gi	
judgment, and award exec	u-
tion. II. 406, 4	
1 1	
B. R. never gives judgment	
gainst any, not in custodia m	a-
rescalli.	ib.
How warrant for execution	to
lieutenant of the tower to b	
II. 4:	10
Sheriffs of London and Middless	еx
	b.

If party revive after being cut down, he must be hanged a-II. Page 412 gain. Judge hath power to reprieve, before or after judgment, infant convict of capital offense in order to king's pardon. Of Reprieves ex arbitrio regis; how the king may command a reprieve. 3*6*8. II. 412 Ex arbitrio judicis; judge may reprieve one attaint of treason before him, but ought to be cautious in doing it. After he hath granted it, may command execution after seffions and adjournment of commission. 368. II. 412, 413 Reasons for a reprieve. II. 412 Of reprieves that are quasi de jure, or necessitate legis. If. 368, 413 In respect of pregnancy; duty of judge, before he finishes his seffions, to demand of every woman attaint of treason or felony, what she has to say against execution. What incidents to a plea of pregnancy in retardationem execu-Enseinture with quick child being found, execution to be refpited till another sessions, but no stay of execution, except woman with quick-child. 368, If she once hath had benefit of this reprieve, and be deliver'd, and afterwards be with quick child again, she shall not have a further respite. If jury of women find her quick, whereas the was not at all with child, if next fessions happen, so as to render it impossible, that she could be with child,

but must be deliverd mesne between former fessions and this, she shall be executed, otherwife not. Page 369 Such juries prone to favour the II. 413 If the were not quick with child, when fuch verdict given, nay, tho not then with child at all, but became quick before fecond fessions, she shall have a second reprieve in favorem prolis. 369 But gaoler punishable in the latter II. 413 cafe. When the $f \alpha t u s$ shall be said to be quick. In all cases of reprieves for pregnancy, judge to make a new demand, what prisoner has to fay against execution; after delivery she must be brought to the bar again for that purpole, which must be at the following feffions. 369, 370. II. 413, Reprieves ought to be matter of

Reprieves ought to be matter of record, and tho she be deliverd before next sessions, sheriff not to make execution. II. 413

Nor ought judge to give such direction. ib.

If mesne between judgment and award of execution, offender becomes non compos, a jury shall be sworn to inquire ex officio, whether counterfeit or not. 35,

What parts of execution for treafon may be abated by king's warrant under great or privy feal, &c. 370. II. 412 If jury convict against or without evidence, and against direction

of court, court may reprieve the convict before judgment, and certify the king for his pardon.

II. 309, 310

In what cases prisoner not to have execution awarded, till he be demanded what he can say against it. II. Page 407, 408

Where one outlawd shall have a respite of execution, till he can purchase a writ of error. II.

Where clergy may be allowd under gallows to one wrongfully attaint, if judge come that way.

This may be done by judges of B.R. as justices of peace; but quære, whether by justices of oyer and terminer after their session ended.

Vide Jungments.

Executors.

Term for years not extinguished by accession to an executor, who hath the see, because en autre droit.

250
Fined for negligent escape in their testator.

604
Exigent. Vide Dutlaway, Pagetess.

Fallifying Attainders.

Where alienee may not only falfify attainder, in point of time
of treason or felony, but also offense itself.

Not concluded by confession of alienor.

Purchaser mesne between time of
felony committed and attainder by verdict cannot falsify,
in point of offense, but time.

ib.

Featty. Vide Alligeance. Feat. Vide Mecesity.

Felo de se.

Must be of age of discretion, and compos mentis at time of giving the mortal stroke. Page 411,412 What shall be said age of discre-120n. Vide Infant. For non compos mentis. Ideot. Why inquilition runs felonice. 411, 412 Death must ensue within year and day after stroke. Lunatick killing himself in a fit of lunacy cannot be a felo de se; contra during a lucid interval. There must be an intent to commit the fact. What shall be said a voluntary killing. 412, 413, 480, 481,

Villain gives himself a mortal stroke, lord seiseth goods, king shall have them.

He forseits his goods and chat-

tels, but not his lands, nor wife's dower.

Demon and ferms joint leffees of a

Baron and feme joint lesses of a term, he kills himself, she shall not hold it against king or almoner.

413, 414

How the forfeiture shall relate.

There must be an inquisition to intitle the king; if the body cannot be seen, conviction to be before justices of oyer and terminer, or peace, and then traversable; but if it can be seen,

then before coroner super visum corporis, and whether traversable. Page 414 to 418
Where inquisition super visum corporis quashed, and coroner orderd to inquire de novo. 415
Where party found to have died
per infortunium, and suggested
on part of king or almoner,
that he was felo de se, writ of
melius inquirendum denied; if
granted, would have been void.

ib.
What default in coroner's inquisition shall be supplied by this

writ. ib.

Process may be made against those who detain the goods in the inquisition. 416.

Vide Cozoner, Deodand.

Felony by Common Law.

Open resistance of justices of over and terminer, selony. 146 Every selony includes misprission, and offender may be indicted of the latter only. 652, 708 Vide Arson, Breach of Prison, Burglary, Escape, Felo de se, Homicide, Larciny, Hurder and Hanslaughter, Resecue, Robbery.

Felony by Statute.

Westm. 2. de uxore abductá sive raptá cum bonis viri, makes it felony.

Exposition thereon. 637 to 640 Wise voluntarily going away with a stranger, and taking husband's goods, felony in neither; but trespass in the stranger. 637

; · k

Judgment of death antiently given	1 H.7. Hunting with vizors and
in a civil action brought on this	rescuing such hunters; expo-
att, but disused. Page 638	sition thereon. Page 656 to
If defendant convicted in this ac-	659
tion, it antiently ferved for in-	3 H. 7. Imagining and conspiring
	to hill the king on any of his
dictment. II. 150*	to kill the king, or any of his
Articuli super cartas make pur-	council, clergy allowd. 661 to
veyors felons in certain cases.	664
But purveyance now taken away.	Who a felon for conspiring the
But purveyance now taken away.	death of any, and what great
640	persons within this act; who
14 E. 3. makes it felony in gaoler	the only judges, by whom pre-
by duress of imprisonment to	fentment and trial, and where
make one become approver a-	
	trial to be. 663 1 E. 6. repeald all new felonies
gainst bis will, clergy allowd.	
640, 641	enacted tempore H. 8. 6 1 M.
But extends not to a gaoler de	repeald the same, and the new
fatto.	created felonies tempore E. 6.
18 H. 6. makes exportation of	308, 309, 664
wool and woolfells other than	But neither extended to piracy, or
to the staple of Calais or	any att, which did not consti-
ftreights of Morocco, felony;	tute a new felony, but only di-
clergy allowd; quære whether	rected proceedings in old felo-
in force. 642, 653	nies with respect to clergy,
37 E. 3. makes stealing falcons,	trial, &c. 308, 309, 664, 665
Oc. felony, what proof re-	A division of statutes of H. 8. G
quired; within clergy. 642	E. 6. constitutive of new felo-
5 H. 4. c. 4. multiplication of gold	nies. 665
and filver, clergy allowd. 644	Which of these never after revi-
Cap. 5. malicious cutting out	ved or re-enacted. ib.
tongue, or putting out eyes, cler-	Which repeald, but re-enacted
gy allowd. 645	with, or without alterations.
3H.5. coining or bringing in gally-	ib.
halfpance Cushing or dadking	Some offenses made felonies before
balfpence, suskins or dodkins.	.
ib.	H. 8. but extended farther by
2 H. 6. Payment or receipt of	atts in his time, and then
blanks, clergy allowd; now	old felonies stand, but addi-
difused.	tional repeald by 1 E. 6. 6
3 H. 6. Congregation of masons to	ib.
prevent statutes of labourers,	21 H. 8. Servants imbezzling
but acts relating thereto re-	goods deliverd to them; 27 H.8.
peal'd. 645	ousting clergy, being repeald by
33 H. 6. Servants after mafter's	i E. 6. clergy allowd, [how
decease taking and spoiling his	far ousted by 12 Ann]. 666,
goods, &c. clergy allowd. 653	667
Wherein extended beyond the let-	This act, but not 27 H. 8. revi-
ter. 654	ved by 5 Eliz. 667
Vol. II.	6 E 22 H. 8

22 H. 8. 2 & 3 P. & M. Cutting powdike, clergy allowd. Page 669 1 & 2 P. & M. Egyptians above fourteen remaining here a month, 5 Eliz. ousts clergy. 670, 671 18 H. 6. Soldiers retained, as prescribed by the act, departing from their captains without licence. 671, 672 2 E. 6. ad idem. 674, 675 Exposition on the several acts making departure of foldiers, mariners and gunners fans license, felony. 671 to 681 A mariner or gunner, who hath taken prest, and departs sans licence, hath clergy. 678, 679 Where a foldier departing without licence shall have his clergy, or not. 677 to 681 A division of acts tempore Eliz. making new felonies. 681, 682 8 Eliz. Sending sheep beyond sea after former conviction, clergy allowd. 687 27 Eliz. Receiving, retaining, or maintaining a popish priest knowingly; no clergy. 336, 614, 615, 688 31 Fliz. Imbezzling king's armour; within clergy. ib. Exposition thereon. 35 Eliz. cap. 1. A recusant refusing to abjure, or after abjuration to depart, without clergy. ib. Exposition on this act. 688 to *69*0 Circumstances necessary to be alledged and proved. 689, 690 -Cap. 2. A popish recusant refusing to abjure, or after abjuration to depart; without cler-690 gy. I

39 Eliz. 1 Jac. Dangerous rogue banished, or adjudged to the gallies, returning fans licence, within clergy. Page 691 But branded rogue begging or wandring, ousted of clergy. 39 Eliz. Wandring soldiers or mariners, or idle persons wandring as such; felony in certain cases, no clergy. 691, 692 1 Jac. cap. 1. Witchtraft; no clergy. 694, 695 -Cap. 4. Subjects passing sea to Jerve forein prince, without taking oath directed; clergy allowd. -Cap. 21. Of murder of bastard-children. Vide Evivence, Indíament. -Cap.26. Acknowledging fine,recovery, deed inrolled, statute, or recognitance, bail, or judgment in name of another not privy to fame; clergy ousted, but no corruption of blood or loss of dower. 696 Bail taken, but not filed not within this alt. Thut fince made felony |. 696 —Cap. 31. Going with plague fore, but discontinued. 695, 696 Statutes enacting felonies continued down from the time the author wrote till this time. 697 to 703 Vide Bzeaking Pzison, Buggery, Burglary, Forcible Marriage, Fozgery, Polyga-

Fine.

in general, &c.

my, Rape, Recozd, Statutes

All acts speaking of sines or ranfoms at king's pleasure, mean of king's Justices. 375 Presentment

Presentment of a negligent escape by grand inquest not sufficient to convict officer, because he must be fined; but sufficient for an amercement of a vill. Page

Where executors were fined for a negligent escape in their testator. 604

What the measure of a fine for a negligent escape. *ib*.

Where a prohibitory all makes a penalty recoverable by action of debt, but mentions not indictment, party may be indicted, but fine not to exceed penalty.

II. 171

Force. Vide Becefity.

Fozcible Entry.

If one be indicted for a riotous and forcible entry contra formam stat. and the statute is misrecited; indictment quashed.

II. 171, 172

Justices by statute may make restitution on indictment found at private sessions before any quarter-sessions happen. II. 213
For removal of indictment of forcible entry by certiorari. Vide Certiozari.

Fozcible Detainer.

If one hath been in possession of a house for three years, he may detain it by force, by 8 H. 6.

Fozcible Marriage.

By 3 H.7. Taking a woman against her will and marrying ber, made felony. Page 614, Exposition on this act. 614,659 to 662 Accessaries before and receivers principals. 614, 661 To what women it extends or Taking away in one county and marrying in another, where offender indictable. Marriage with confent excufeth not, if first taking away against her will. She may be a witness, tho a wife de facto. 301, 302, 660, 661 Principals and accessaries before ousted of clergy. Whether receivers of fuch women be ousted of clergy. 614,661

Fozseiture.

Forfeiture of goods for treason fame as for felony; but some difference as to grants of goods fo forfeited. Forfeiture of lands for treason. 239 to 259, 356 At common law lands intailed forfeited for treason, and so by 26 H. 8. & 33 H. 8. now in In the case of grandfather, father and fon, grandfather is tenant in tail, father attaint of treason dies first, the lands shall defcend to the grandchild; father could forfeit nothing, and 26 H, \S .

H. 8. corrupts not the blood by attainder of the father. Page

If after 26 H.8. and before 33 H.8. which vests all in the king without office, tenant in tail had been attainted of treason, and had died in that interval, the lands would have descended to the son till office found; but contra in case of tenant in see-simple attainted, and dying before office; in this case freehold is cast on king without office, and none can take it else. 242

King at common law, and by 26 H. 8. was intitled to a right of entry, where party was in merely by diffeifin or abatement, but not to a right of entry, where possessor was in by title; but by 33 H. 8. king is intitled to right of entry in both cases, and that without office; but there must be inquisition or seisure to bring king into actual possessor.

If king grant over before such feisure, how grant is to be, or else void.

One committing treason hath then a bare right of action touching lands, or a right to reverse judgment given against him, or to bring a formedon or writ of entry, but hath no right of entry without recovery in such action; this right neither by common law, nor 33 H.8. is given to the king by attainder of treason; sed quære. ib.

Tenant in tail of the gift of H.7. reversion in the crown, made a feoffment in fee, and then was attaint of treason, and died, leaving issue; the feoffer against his own feoffment could

not claim any right at time of the treason, yet there remained in him a right of intail forseited to the king; and king is in as of his reversion, which is not subject to leases duly made by tenant in tail before his attainder.

Page 242, 243

Tenant in tail general makes a feofiment to the use of himself in fee, and before 26 or 27H.8. commits treason, and is attaint, and dies leaving issue inheritable to the intail, then a special att is made, whereby he was to forfeit all estates and rights: • tenant in tail can have no right against his own feoffment; but when estate returns to him, that is forfeited by the attainder; and king shall hold this estate discharged of the right of old intail, and that shall never revive to the issue; retrospect of king's title by attainder shall over-reach and avoid the remitter wrought in the issue before king's actual feifin by the attainder, or office thereon. 243

King makes a gift in tail, saving reversion to himself, attainder of treason of tenant in tail bar'd not his issue, because of 34 H.8. which derogates from 26 6 33 H.8.

But 5 & E.6. being puisse to those atts makes lands of the gift of the king in tail, subject to forseiture for treasons. 244

At common law king not intitled to a condition of re-entry in party attaint; but in what cases he is intitled to such a condition, or not, by 33 H. 8. 244 to

Title to a condition of re-entry described. 244

Difference

containd in the Two Parts.

Difference, where condition is	any chattels, which party had
tied up to the person, or not.	en autre droit, as executor, &c.
Page 244, 245	or in right of a corporation ag-
At common law king by attainder	gregate. Page 251
of treason not intitled to uses	gregate. Page 251 Baron possessed of a term in right
or trusts. 247	of the feme forfeits it by attain-
Trusts differ not from uses in sub-	der of treason, &c. 251
ftance. 248	But as to lands of inheritance,
Whether any other uses but trusts,	whereof he is feifed in her
at making of 33 H.8. 248, 249	right, if he be attainted of trea-
Why trusts kept from being exe-	fon, king hath the freehold du-
cuted by 27 H. 8. 248	ring the coverture. ib.
Wherein held and used as dif-	So if tenant for life be attainted
ferent from uses. ib.	of treason, king hath freehold
Whether trust of a freehold for-	during life of party attainted;
feited by attainder of treason.	and so he had before 26 H. 8.
248, 249	by attainder of tenant in tail.
King made a lease for years to	251, 252
one for provision of wines for	At common law and now, in case
the king in trust for another,	of a corporation aggregate, no-
who was afterwards attainted	thing was, or is forfeited by
of felony, held king should have	attainder of the head of the cor-
the trust. 248	poration. 252
So if one outlawd have a bond	At common law a fole corpora-
made to another in trust, it	tion, as abbot, Cc . by attain-
shall be executed by informa-	der of treason forfeited to the
tion in exchequer or chancery.	king the profits of their abbey,
ib.	&c. during their incumbency;
By attainder of cesty que trust in	but their fuccessors not bound
fee-simple, neither land, nor	by fuch forfeiture. <i>ib.</i>
trust comes to the king, or lord	But by 26 & 33 H. 8. these fole
by escheat. 249	corporations forfeited the inhe-
Escheat only ob defectum tenentis.	ritance, and their fuccessors
ib.	were bound by fuch attainders.
Attainder of felony not within	ib.
33 H. 8. ib.	But 5 & 6E.6. restores the right
Difference between a term in groß	of fuccessors 253
in trust for party attaint, and	By common law all heredita-
trust of a term to attend inheri-	ments, whether in tenure, or
tances, quoad forfeiture for fe-	not, as rents, &c. are forfeited
lony. 250, 251	to the king by attainder of trea-
	fon; but inheritances purely in
King intitled to a term for years	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
in gross, not in point of escheat	privity, appropriate to the per-
by his prerogative, but as ha-	fon, are not forfeited either by
ving bona & catalla felonum. ib.	common law, or statute, as a
At common law king by attain-	foundership, $Gc.$ ib.
der of treason, not intitled to	
Vol. II.	6 F At

At common law by husband's attainder of treason, or selony, wife lost her dower; but contra by 1 *E. 6*. Page 253 By 5 \mathcal{G} 6 E. 6. husband attaint of treason, wife shall lose her dower, and it stands fo now, fave in treasons made by particular acts, where dower is faved, as, &c. Tho these are called royal escheats, the king hath these forfeitures in jure corone, of whomfoever the lands be immediately held. A manor is held of the king, as of his honour of \mathcal{D} . and manor escheats for felony of tenant, it is now parcel of the honour; and if king grant it out again generally, it shall be held of the honour; but if it efcheat for treason, it is no parcel of the honour; and if granted out generally, it shall be held in capite. Where land comes to the crown by attainder of treason, all mesne tenures of common perfons are extinct; but if king grant it out, he is de jure to revive the former tenure, for which petition of right lies. ib. If tenant in tail of the gift of the king, the reversion in the king, make a lease for years, and then is attainted of treason, the king shall avoid that lease, tho tenant in tail have issue living; yet if he after fuch leafe had bargained and fold, or levied a fine to the king, the king should be bound by such lease, as long as there is islue. At common law divers lords had by special grant, or in right of

their counties palatine, royal e/-

cheats of the lands held within their franchises of persons attaint of treason, and several instances thereof. Page 254, 255, In what treasons or not they shall have such royal escheats. 256, 257, 258 26 H. 8. in force as to forfeitures for treafons within 25 E. 3. 257 There is a proviso in 5 Eliz. whereby clipping is made treafon, to fave or confirm the rights of perfons intitled to fuch royal escheats on attainders of treason within this att. No like clause in any other act of new treason. He, who hath jura regalia, shall not have forfeitures of tenant in tail for treason. Royal escheats by prescription extend not to new treasons. 256, Of the forfeiture of lands in a county palatine by attainder of treason out of a county palatine, or è converso. Antiently, if one had been flain in open war against the king, the king did de fatto take a forfeiture, and how, and where But in all other cases, whether of felony or treason, if party died before attainder, or after conviction, and before judgment, there enfued neither attainder, nor forfeiture of lands. If a traitor, or felon rescue himfelf, or will not submit to be arrested, and on resistance is flain, on presentment thereof he shall forfeit his goods and chattels; but whether presentment traversable; per ascuns, he shall forfeit the issues of his lands

lands for a year and day. Page 343, 363, 489 to 492, 602 One arraigned for felony or treafon, tho he be acquitted, yet if it be found he fied, forfeits his goods. How far in treason attainder after party's death ousted by sta-343, 344 One attaint of piracy before commissioners of oyer and terminer on 28 H. 8. according to courfe of common law forteits lands and goods; but it works no corruption of blood. If husband seised in jure uxoris hath issue by her, and then she commits treason, and is attaint and dies, husband shall be tenant by courtefy; contra, if treason committed by her before iffue had. 35*9* Tenant in tail is attaint of treafon; king on office found hath the freehold during life of te-35*9*, 360 nant in tail. Attainder of treason, or selony of a copyholder gives the king no forfeiture; but it regularly belongs to the lord, if not a contrary custom. By custom of Kent lands of one attaint of felony descend to the heir; contra of treason; but the lands of one attaint of felony by outlawry, or one abjuring, escheat. In petit treason and felony lands escheat to the lord; but king shall have diem, annum G 360 vastum. ib. How to be computed. Tenant in tail, or for life, or husband seised in right of his wife is attaint of felony, king shall have the year, day and wast against wife, issue and reversioner.

Forfeiture for treason or felony to avoid mesine incumbrances relates to time of offense committed. Page 360 Two jointenants in fee; one is attaint of treason, and dies; land furvives to the other, but subject to the title of forfeiture. 360, 361 If one be outlawd on indictment of felony or treason, and pending the process alien the land, yet king or lord shall have the land, which he held at time of felony committed; attainder by outlawry relates to day and year in indictment. In appeal of felony or murder by writ, if pending it party aliens, and then is outlawd before appearance, lord's escheat is lost, because it relates only to the time of outlawry pronounced, the writ containing no certain time of offense committed. 361 But contra, if defendant had appeard, and plaintiff had declared on his writ, and defendant had been convict and attaint; or if appeal had been by bill, and thereon party had been outlawd, tho before appearance, escheat had related to time of fact committed to avoid mesne incumbrances. 362 Goods of persons convict of treafon or felony, or put in exigent for the same, or who fled, or stand mute, forfeit to the king. To what times respectively these forfeitures relate. ib. Alienation made of goods bonå fide by felon, or traitor, or one that Hies, mesne between offense, or flight and conviction, or presentment of flight is

good, and binds the king, if fraudulent, it is void by 13 Eliz. Page 362, 367 If a felon be kild in flight, and it be found by inquitition, forfeiture of his goods relates to the flight. If party comes not in, his goods forfeit on award of exigent. 365 In murder, title of lord by efcheat to avoid messive incumbrances relates to stroke given. II. 179 426, 591. In homicide per infortunium, party forfeits his goods, tho intitled, quasi de jure, to a pardon on course. 477, 47⁸, 49² Officer killing felon in flight, or that reliss, forfeits nothing. 481, 493, 494 If the killing cannot be attributed to any all of the person killing, but of the deceased, the former forfeits not his goods, quare. Where one killing another in his own defense forfeits his goods, or not. 493, 494 Forfeiture for flight distinct from that for the felony. In petit larciny party forfeits his goods, and so if acquitted, and it be found he fled. In appeal of felony or robbery, if appellant omit any of the goods stolen in his appeal, they are forfeit; and so in the case of a false appeal of robbery, where appellee came to the goods by bailment, or finding. If it be found super visum corporis, that felon fled, and was kild in flight, this presentment, tho after party's death, is conclusive as to the forfeiture for the flight. 11. 154

By judgment of peine fort & dure party forfeits his goods; but it is no attainder, nor gives any eicheat, nor works corruption of II. Page 319 blood. A convict within clergy forteits all his goods, tho he be burnt in the hand; yet thereby becomes capable of purchasing and retaining other goods. II. 388, 38*9* On burning in the hand, he ought to be immediately restored to possession of his lands. II. 389 Where alienee may fallify attainder in point of offense, as well as time, or not. Vide fallifping Attainder. For forfeiture by felo de se, and bow it relates. Vide Den= dand, Felo de se. Vide Corruption and Restitu-

Fozgery.

Exposition on 5 Eliz. making it felony without clergy after for-

tion of Blood.

mer conviction. 683 to 687 Former conviction to be conviction by judgment. 384, 682, *6*83, *6*85, *6*8*6* Offense in any forgery or publication within this all after former conviction, felony. A convict of publishing a false deed forges a deed, it is felony within the act; so e converso. How indicament for fecond offense to be. On evidence, record of first conviction to be proved, yet the matter of it not be re-examined.

Where

Where antedating a deed to avoid a man's own feofiment, is forgery; intent herein confidera-Page 683 What a making forging, or af-683 to fenting to a forgery. 686 Assent after makes not a principal, for that end it must be prece-684 dent, or concomitant. Making a false customary, forib. gery. Where inserting a clause in a will without direction of devisor is ib. forgery, or not. Forging furrenders, admittances, or court-rolls, within this act. Forging deed or will, purporting a lease, or rent-charge for years is within it; so is forging asfignment of leafe for years, but not of lands in Ireland. ib. Where one publishes a deed, which he has been told to be false, is punishable within this 685 Forging a will of goods within it. What statutes or recognizances within it, or not. Justices of assise, or over and terminer have expresly cognizance of oftenles against this att; it extends to \mathcal{B} . R. but not to 686 justices of peace.

Franchise.

He, who hath franchise of infangthief, gives judgment of death against a felon not within his jurisdiction, it is cause of seisure of liberty, but not murder. Larciny cannot be committed of treasure trove, or wreck till seised; tho he, that hath them in point of franchise, may have a special action against him, that takes them.

Pailing of franchise land against him.

Bailiff of franchife having a gaol and custody of a felon is charge-able for his escape, and not the sheriff.

Franchises to be quit de murdro, latrocinio & escapiis explained.

Bailiff of franchise cannot execute process within his franchise, but by sheriffs mandate. II. 68
For franchises of coinage. Vide Coin.

Vide County Palatine, Fozfeiture.

Frank-pledge.

Formerly all under twelve ought to have been in frank-pledge, fave clergy-men, noblemen and knights, and their families.

II. 74
A most excellent constitution. II.

Vide Amercements.

Fresh Suit and Pursuit.

Of restitution on appeals on fresh suit. 539, 540, 541 How fresh suit to be inquired. 540, 541

Kugam fecit. Vide Cozoner, Fozfeiture, Inquest of Office.

6 G

Saol,

Gaol, Gaoler and Pzisoner.

Mprisoning one so strictly, that he dies, murder; therefore, where any one dies in gaol, coroner to be fent for to inquire Page 432 of his death. Clerk of crown to view body of one dying in king's bench pri-If mittimus be not in writing and fealed, gaoler liable to false

imprisonment; but not, if party committed by a court of record. 583, 584

If commitment express no cause, gaoler in false imprisonment may aver that it was for fe-

But he is not bound to receive felon on fuch *mittimus*.

Commitment by a justice ought to be to common gaol; but if offense committed, and party taken within a franchife, then by statute to gaol there. 585. II. 123

Sometimes justices fend prisoners, not having their bail ready, to fome private prison, as New Prison, &c. till they can find bail; but this disliked by the ib. judges.

Sheriffs and gaolers bound to receive felons, whether committed by justices, or attached ex officio by constables, or private men. 585, 594 to 597

By statute gaols of counties re-598 joined to counties.

Where gaolers may put prisoners in irons to prevent their escape.

60 I Felony in gaoler by duress of imprisonment to make a man be-

come approver against his will. Page 640, 641 Tower, an exempt prison from that of the sheriff. Charges of fending criminals to gaol by common law to be born by vill, where taken; but by statute by prisoner, if able; if not, how levied. Vide Arrest, Breach of Prison,

Commitment, Cscape, Refcue.

Gaol-delivery.

Justices may assign a coroner to an approver. Must send their records determind into the exchequer. II. 31, 36 The direction and tenor of their commission. By 8R.2. no man of law shall be justice in his own county. How expounded by 33 H. 8. as to the county; this prohibition ufually difpenfed with by a non obstante. By charter mayor of London of the quorum, and fo in Norwich. May proceed against prisoners, (if in gaol) on inquisition before coroner, or any other justices.

Tuffices of peace must send to them their indictments not determind, whether of felonies, or trespas-

The import of their precept to the theriff; in whose name, and under whose teste it is to be.

32, 33, 261 What to be done on return of precept.

May take indictment, try and give judgment same day. 11. 29, 34

May command sheriff ore tenus to
return a pannel. II. Page 34 They may deliver by proclama-
They may deliver by proclama-
tion persons imprisond, where
no indictment preferd, or in-
dictment preferd, and ignora-
mus found, which per ascuns,
cannot be done by justices of
oyer and terminer, or peace. ib.
May originally take indictments
of felony of prisoners in gaol.
ib.
Where felon is at large, by which
of their powers in their com-
mission they may take indict-
ment. ih.
Justices of over and terminer,
gaol-delivery, and of the peace
may make up their records by
all three of their powers; and
if good by any one commission,
it is sufficient, and best shall be
taken for the king. ib.
Prisoner let to bail in law is in
prison, and therefore justices of
gaol-delivery may take indict-
ment against him; but one let
to mainprise, not in custody.
II. 35
They may deliver gaol of persons
committed for treason. ib.
Others may be added, or their
power contracted, by affocia-
tion, or si non omnes. II. 23 Subsequent justices have power by
Subsequent justices have power by
flatute to give judgment on one reprieved after conviction. II.
reprieved after conviction. 11.
35
May award execution on judg-
ment given by former justices.
ib.
If one be indicted and outlawd
for felony before justices of
peace, yet if he be in prison,
by common law justices of gaol-
delivery may award execution
on that outlawry. ib.

New justices by 1 E. 6. may proceed in every behalf, as if the old commissions or commissioners had continued not alterd. II. Page 35, 405 Tustices may receive appeals by bill against any one in gaol. ib. May affign coroner to an appeal, and make process against appellee in a forein county. Sheriff is to deliver to them names of all persons in gaol or baild. If an act limit specially an offense to be heard and determind by justices of peace; quere, whether justices of gaol-delivery, or over and terminer may hear and determine it. But where att speaks only of justices in the county, it may be tried either before justices of over and terminer, or gaoldelivery. By statute they have power to reform pannel ore tenus, either of grand, or petit jury. II. 36, 156*, 265 Clerks of the crown, Go. are to certify into B.R. names of all persons outlawd, attaint or convict, and fuch names shall be certified to justices of gaol-deli-11. 36, 37 Tuffices of gaol-delivery may fend prisoners by habeas corpus to sheriff of another county, with a precept for him to receive them, viz. for a felony committed in that county, tho it be out of II. 37 their circuit. Of necessity justices of gaol-delivery have in some cases power out of the precincts of their ib.

county or circuit.

Whether

Whether they can issue process of outlawry. II. Page 37, 199 A. and B. are indicted before justices of peace, and by 4 E.3. indictment is deliverd over to justices of gaol delivery, A. appears, is tried and acquitted, \mathcal{B} . appears not, the justices of peace cannot make out process against B. because record not before them; nor can justices of gaol-delivery make out process to outlawry returnable before the justices of peace. Neither can they proceed to outlawry before themselves, as justices of over and terminer, where indictment taken before justices of peace, but the intire record must be removed into B.R. by *certiorari*, and from thence process of outlawry issue.

By 26 H. 8. what crimes committed in Wales, justices of peace and gaol-delivery in counties adjacent to Wales have power to hear and determine.

Repeald, as to treason, by 1 & 2 P. & M. but in sorce as to other selonies. ib.

They are by statute to hold their fessions, where county-court held, but statute only directive.

They may, after prisoner has pleaded, take his pannel from sheriff without making any precept to him.

II. 261

Where commission special, they do not send out a general precept to the sheriss to return juries against they come. ib.

New justices may award execution on a party reprieved after judgment. 405

But not fit to give judgment, or award execution on one reprieved by another judge, without knowing on what grounds the reprieve was. II. Page 406 Precepts, as venire fac. &c. by them, need not be otherwise.

them, need not be otherwise than by award on the roll.

II. 410

For jury-process issued by justices of gaol-delivery. Vide Exial. Power of making justices of galdelivery in counties palatine, and franchises resumed. Vide County Palatine.

Vide Commission, Court, Iustices of Asse, Justices of Peace, King's Bench, Oper and Terminer, Process.

Goods. Vide Fozseiture, Restitution, Seizure.

Grand Larciny. Vide Larciny. Grand Jury. Vide Jury.

Grant.

Grant of judicial, or ministerial offices, concerning administration of justice, during king's pleasure, is determind by his death.

706

Grant of a judicial office, quam din se bene gesserit, tho a free-hold, is determind by his death.

But grants of offices of a different kind, or of lands durante beneplacito, are not determind without some att or declaration by the successor. ib.

Forfeiture of goods for treason, fame as forfeiture for felony; but a difference in grants thereof.

A manor

A manor held of the king, as of his honour of D. escheats for felony of tenant, it is now parcel of the honour; but if it escheat for treason, it is no parcel of the honour; and if granted out generally, shall be held in capite. Page 254

Haheas Coppus.

Here temporal judge might have taken notice incidently, whether a tenet was herefy or not, and nient obstante return of diocesan, have deliverd party imprisond on this 400, 407, 408 Cause necessary to be expressed in commitment in order to be returned on babeas corpus, 584 It is quali a writ of right, or error to determine, whether imprisonment good, or erronious. Where justices of gaol-delivery may fend prisoners by this writ to sheriff of another county out

of their circuit. II. 37
Of writ of babeas corpus ad fubjiciendum, and bailing thereon.
II. 143 to 148

What to be done on return being filed. II. 143

Party to be remanded till return filed. II. 146

Whence it issues. II. 143, 144
When it issues out of C. B. or
Exchequer, it is where party is
privileged, or to charge him
with an action. II. 144, 312,

If one be sued in C.B. or is supposed to be so sued, and is arrested for a pre-supposed misdemeanor, or for selony, this Vol. II.

writ lies there; and if it appears on return, that party is wrongfully committed, the privilege shall be allowed, and party discharged; or if doubtful, baild to appear in B. R.

II. Page 144

If one be fued in C.B. and is arrested and imprisoned for felony, &c. tho gaoler on babeas corpus ought to return the causes, as well criminal, as that wherewith he is charged out of that court, yet C.B. ought not to commit to the Fleet, nor discharge him, nor take bail to answer there, but may bail on the action, and remand him to sheriff as to the crime. ib.

C. B. have now by ftatute original jurisdiction to bail, discharge, or commit on this writ one committed by council-table, as well as B. R. and that the party hath no privilege. II.

B.R. and Chancery have an original jurisdiction to grant this writ and bail, &c. tho no privilege returned. II. 145, 147 Of habeas corpus ad faciendum & recipiendum granted by B.R. when granted, and be-

If a civil action and matter of crime be returned, and action appear to be fraudulent, party may be remanded; if real, court may commit him to the marfhal with his causes, tho they are matters of crime. ib.

fore whom returnable.

On writ ad faciendum, &c. not fingly a matter of crime ought to be returned, for that belongs to writ ad subjiciendum. ib.

When babeas corpus in criminal causes issues out of chancery, and 6 H cause

cause is returned, chancellor may judge thereof, and may discharge or bail prisoner to appear in B.R. or may propriis manibus deliver record in B.R. and thereon $\mathcal{B}.R.$ may proceed II. Page 147 to bail, Gc. But if chancellor discharge him not, but bail him, furety must be to appear in $\mathcal{B}.R.$ or if chancellor will do neither, he may commit him to Fleet till term, and then he may be turned over to B.R. and there proceeded against; chancellor hath no power to proceed in criminal causes. Habeas corpus [before 31 Car. 2.] in criminal causes should regularly have iffued out of chancery in vacation, and B. R. in Sending babeas corpus ad faciendum & recipiendum by chancellor for persons arrested in civil causes not warranted by law, and as to perfons in execution, forbidden by statute. II. 148 Habeas corpus removes body, certiorari record. II. 210, 211 Court cannot on bare return of habeas corpus give any judgment, or proceed on record of indictment, unless removed by certiorari; but it stands in same force it did, tho return be adjudged ill, and party be difcharged; and court below may issue new process on indictment, tho contra on babeas corpus in civil causes, for therein it is a supersedeas. II. 210, 211 By whom babeas corpus to be figned. II. 211 For other matters. Vide Cer= tiozari.

hawks. Vide Felony by Statute, Larciny.

Perely. Vide Religion.

Pomage. Vide Alligeance.

Pomicide.

The feveral kinds of homicide. Page 424 Homicide defined. Involuntary homicide defined. 471 In this case, indicament must find special matter, or if indictment be of murder or manilaughter, and on trial it appear to be involuntary, how jury to find. ib. Prisoner in such case must plead not guilty. 471, 478 Division of involuntary homicide, Homicide per infortunium defined and exemplified. If one shoot at butts, and by cafualty his hand shakes, and arrow kills a by-stander, per infortunium. So if a carpenter or mason in building cafually let fall a piece of timber, Gc. and kills another. 472,475 But if he voluntarily let it fall without giving due warning, whereby it kills another, it will be at least manslaughter, quià debitam diligentiam non adbibuit, So if one be felling a tree in his own ground, and it fall and kill another, chance-medley. ib. Tho chance excuseth from felony, it excuseth not from trespass. ib. If two play at barriers, or run atilt by king's command, and one

one kill the other, it is per infortunium; but without it, manflaughter. Page 473

If a school-master correct his scholar, &c. who by struggling, or otherwise dies, only per infortunium. 474

But if correction be with a *lethal* instrument, or outragious, it is murder. ib.

Several come to enter the house of A. as trespassers, A. shoots and kills one, manslaughter; contra, if they had entred to commit a felony. ib.

Where, on an alarm that thieves were breaking into the house in the night, the master kild a servant hid in a buttery thro' fear of being discovered by them, (the servant being mistaken for one of the thieves, and not discerned in the dark,) it was held no felony; quare, whether homicide per infortunium. ib.

If one knowing that people are passing along the street throw a stone, or shoot an arrow over the house or wall, with intent to do hurt, and one is thereby slain, this is murder; and if without such intent manslaughter, and not per infortunium, because att unlawful.

One, in shooting at a deer in his own park, by accident kills another man, homicide per infortunium; but contra, if it be in the park of a stranger without his licence, then it is manslaughter.

A. throws a stone at a bird, and thereby kills a man, to whom no harm intended, per infortunium. ib.

But if he had thrown it to kill the poultry, or cattle of B. and the like accident had happend, it had been manslaughter, but not murder; because not with intent to hurt the by-stander.

Page 475

An att prohibits shooting in a gun without such a qualification, and under a penalty; one unqualified shoots with a gun at a bird, and it kills a bystander by some accident, that in another case would have amounted only to chance-medley; this no more than chance-medley in him, keeping a gun in such case, being only malum probibitum.

475,476

A fervant fet by his master to watch in the night in a cornfield with a gun charged, and orderd by him to shoot when he heard any bustle in the corn by deer; master himself improvidently rushes into the corn, fervant supposing it to be deer shoots and kills his master, only chance-medley, because fervant misguided by his orders. 476

But if master had not given such orders, it would have been manslaughter, because he did not adhibere debitam diligentiam to discover his mark. ib.

A. drives his cart carelessy, and it runs over a child in the street, if A. having seen the child, yet drives on upon him, it is murder; but if he saw not the child, manslaughter; but if child had run cross the way, and cart run over it before it was possible for carter to stop, it is per infortunium.

If one riding in the street whip his horse to put him into speed, and run over a child and kill him, homicide, and not per infortunium; and if he had rid so in a press of people with intent to do hurt, and horse had kild another, it had been murder. Page 476 But if one be riding in the street, a by-stander whips the horse, whereby he runs away against will of rider, and runs over and kills a man, it is chancemedley only, in which case jury are to find the special matter; yet where coroner's inquest finding special matter stands untraversed, court will receive verdict of not guilty on indictment by grand inquest, and party confessing indictment by coroner, shall have his pardon of course. 476, 477 Killing another per infortunium, not in truth felony, how verdict concludes; party forfeits his goods, and why; tho he ought to have quasi de jure a pardon of courfe, yet he is not to be discharged, but baild till next term or sessions to sue out fuch pardon. Homicide ex necessitate, partly voluntary, partly involuntary. 478 Necessity of two kinds: 1. Of a

private nature. 2. That which relates to publick justice and fafety.

Former obliges one to his own defense and safe-guard, and what inquiries this takes in. ib.

Two kinds of homicide se defendendo, and respective consequences thereof,

Homicide se defendendo defined.

What circumstances therein ob-Page 479 to 484 fervable.

There being malice between A. and B. they appoint time and place to fight, and meet, A. gives first onset, B. retreats as tar as he can with fafety, and then kills A. who had otherwise kild him, murder; because they met by compact. 479

There being malice between A. and B. they meet calually; A. assaults B. and drives him to the wall; B. in his own defence kills A. this se defenden-

A. affaults \mathcal{B} . and \mathcal{B} . presently thereon strikes A. without flight, whereof A. dies, this is manflaughter; but if \mathcal{B} , strike \mathcal{A} . again, but not mortally, and blows pass between them, and at length B. retires to the wall, and being pressed on by A. gives him a mortal wound, whereof A. dies, only fe defendendo.

A. by malice makes a fudden affault on \mathcal{B} . who strikes again, and bearing hard on A. A. retreats to the wall, and in faving himself kills B. whether murder, or se defendendo; what fact the question depends on. 479, 480

In homicide se defendendo, some att to be done by party killing, for if he be merely passive, only per infortunium.

A. assaults B. who flies to the wall, or falls holding his fword, Gc. in his hand, A. runs violently, or falls on knife, $\mathcal{C}c.$ of \mathcal{B}_{i} without any stroke or thrust offerd by B. and dies, per infortunium; quare, whether A. felo de se. .480,481

479 1

He, who kills in his own defense, ought to fly, as far as he may, to avoid violence of assault, before he turn on assailant. Page 481, 483, 48*6* Argument against duelling. If gaoler be assaulted by prisoner, or sheriff, or bailiff in execution of his office, he is not bound to give back to the wall; but if he kill assailant without fuch retreat, only se defen-The like of a constable, or watchdendo. But if prisoner relists not, but flies, yet officer for fear of rescue gives prisoner a mortal stroke, it is murder; for here was no assault first made by prisoner, and so cannot be se defendendo in officer. Difference between civil actions and felonies. If one be in danger of arrest by cap. in debt, Gc. and he flies, and bailiff kills him, murder. But if felon flies, and cannot be otherwise taken, if he be kild, justifiable, and officer forfeits nothing; but person kild forfeits his goods. A thief assaults a true man, either abroad, or in his own house, to rob or kill him, true man not bound to give back, but may justify killing assailant, and it is no felony. If A. assault B. so fiercely, that B. cannot fave his life, if he give back, or if B. fall to the ground, whereby he cannot fly, if B. kills A. it is se defendendo. 482 Where first assailer may be said to kill the assailed se defendendo, 482 to 484 or not. Vol. II.

If A. affault B. and B. thereon re-assault A. and A. flies to avoid the affault of \mathcal{B} , who purfues him, and then A. being driven to the wall turns again, and kills B. whether se defendendo. Pagé 482 But if A. assaults B. first, and B. re-assaults A. so fiercely, that A. cannot retreat to the wall, or other non ultra, without danger of his life, nay, tho A. fall on the ground on the affault of \mathcal{B} . and then kills \mathcal{B} . murder or manslaughter. Where one is affaulted to fiercely, that he cannot fly, law will interpret this necessity to a flight to give him the advantage of fe defendendo; but contra, where first assailant is re-assaulted so vigorously that he cannot fly, law will not let him take advantage of this necessity, the consequence of his own wrong. Where A. the first assailant flies. and the affray is interrupted, and B, the first assaulted pursues A. to kill him, and A. after his flight, on necessity of saving his life, kills B. it is but se defendendo; but when done altogether, without any interval of flight or parting, and \mathcal{B} . gains the present advantage by his address or courage to preclude flight of A, and then A, kills him, manflaughter. Flight to gain advantage of fe defendendo to party killing must not be feigned, or to gain advantage of breath, &c. it must be from the danger, as far as party can, either by reason of some wall or other non ultra, or as fierceness of assailant will permit.

6 I

Whether

Whether party killing was guilty of first breach of peace, considerable in these cases. Page 483 What offense, if one kill another in the necessary saving of the life of a man affaulted by party A. assaults the master, who flies as far as he can to avoid death, fervant kills A. in defence of his master, it is homicide defendendo the master, and servant shall have his pardon on course; fo where master kills in necesfary defence of fervant. Like law, where husband kills in defence of wife, parent of child, and è converso. How far relation of acquaintance,

How far relation of acquaintance, and mutual fociety will excuse one companion killing in necessary safe-guard of life of another.

ib.

Killing one attempting to rob or kill another in case of necessity puts him in condition of se defendende his neighbour. 484,485

A woman kills a man affaulting to ravish her in the attempt, fe defendendo.

485

So it is, if husband or father kill him in the attempt, if it could not be otherwise prevented; but if it might, it is manslaughter. 485, 486

What the offense in killing him, that takes the goods, or doth an injury to the house or possession of another, herein many differences, as between a trespassable and felonious act, and felonious acts themselves. 485

If A. pretending title to the goods of B. take them away from B. as a trespasser, B. may justify beating A. but if he beat him,

fo that he dies, it is manflaughter. Page 485, 486 I is in possession of R's house

A. is in possession of B.'s house, B. endeavours to enter on him, A. can neither justify assault, nor beating of B. because B. had right of entry; but if A. be in possession of a house, and B. as trespasser enters on him, A. may molliter manus imponere to put him out, and if B. resist, and assault A. then A. may justify beating him de son assault demession 485

But if A. kill him in defence of his house, it is manslaughter.
485, 486, 487

A. being in possession of a house by title, B. endeavourd to enter, and shot an arrow at them within, and A. from within shot an arrow at those that would have entred, and kild one of them; not see defendendo, but manslaughter, because no danger of A.'s life from them without.

485, 486

If B. had entred into the house, and A. had gently laid his hands on him to turn him out, and then B. had turned on him and assaulted him, and A. had kild him, so if B. had entred on him and assaulted him first, and A. had kild him, it had been only se defendendo, tho entry of B. was not to murder, but as a trespasser to gain possession. 486

A. in fuch case being in his own house need not fly as far as he can.

Husband kills adulterer in the alt, manslaughter. 486

Difference between killing a man attempting an att, which is felony or otherwise, as to making it see defendendo, &c. ib.

I

If one come to rob me, and take my goods as a felon, and I kill him, it is me defendendo at least, and in some cases justifiable.

Page 486
At common law, if a thief had assaulted a man to rob him, and

faulted a man to rob him, and he had kild him in the affault, it had been fe defendendo; but quære, whether he had forfeited his goods.

487

One attempting a burglary with intent to steal, or kill, or attempting to burn the house of another, if owner of the house, or any within had shot and kild the person so attempting, this had been no selony or forseiture.

By 24 H. 8. killing any one attempting any robbery or murder in or near the highway, Gc. or in a mansion-house, or attempting to break a mansion-house in the night by any person, Gc. he who kills (tho a lodger or servant) shall be absolutely acquitted and discharged of the death of such person. ib.

There being malice between A. and B. and having fought often, and afterwards meeting fuddenly in the street, A. said he would fight him, B. declined it, and fled to the wall, and called others to witness it, and A. pursued and struck him first, and B. in his own defence kild him, he was acquit from any forseiture by this act. 487, 488

Trespasses in houses, or in or near highways are left as before this act. 488

It doth not indemnify killing a felon, where felony not accompanied with force, as killing one attempting to pick a pocket.

Page 488

What breaking of a house in the day this att extends to, or not ib. One attempting wilful burning of a house is kild in the attempt, the killer is free from forfeiture without aid of this att. ib.

If any felon, after felony committed, relift those that attempt to take him, or fly, and be kild, this killing no felony; but this aft relates not to it. 488

If a felon before arrest resists and flies, or after arrest escapes and flies, and the officer, not being able to take him without killing, kills him, officer shall be found not guisty; but if he could have been taken without killing, it is manslaughter at least in the officer, and jury is to inquire, whether done of necessity or not.

489, 490

So where private man without warrant, of necessity, kills a selon resisting and flying before arrest, or after arrest escaping and flying, tho selon not indicted, it is justifiable, for in such case law makes every one an officer to take a selon. 587,

588. II. 77, 78, 82 A felon is taken, and in bringing to gaol escapes, villagers pursurfue, and of necessity kill him, they shall be acquitted 489,490

A felony done, but not by A. and B. hath a warrant, or hue and cry comes to B. as constable to take A. A. attempts an escape, or resists, B. kills him of necessity, the A. be not indicted, justifiable.

In all cases of homicide by necesfity matter may be specially presented by grand or coroner's inquest,

inquest, and thereon party may be presently discharged without being put to plead, but may be indicted again, if former indictment falle; contra, where indictment simply of murder or manslaughter. Page II. 158 491, 492. Exposition on 21 E.1. de malefactoribus in parcis. Cases, where prisoner is not to forfeit his goods, but be acquitted, re-capitulated. 493, 494 A. shooting at rovers, if \mathcal{B} . after arrow deliverd of his, own accord runs into the place, where it is to fall, and fo is kild, A. forfeits nothing, quare. If coroner's inquest find specially se defendendo, party shall be arraigned and tried, whether it was in his own defence or not, before he shall have his pardon of courle. A constable, who commands king's peace in an affray, is relisted, he need not give back to the wall, and if he kill those that resist, it is justifiable. Killing a rioter, who resists, by sheriff, justice of peace, or constable, or his assistants, no felony at common law, nor makes any forfeiture. 53, 294, 495, 496 What authority bomicide in execution of justice requires in the judge and officer, who executes the judgment. Where judge hath jurisdiction, tho he err, officer in executing judgment justified; contra, where judge hath no jurifdic-If after or before arrest A. an innocent man suspected draws his fword and affaults B. the party suspecting, and B. presseth on

him, either to take or detain him, and in conflict A. kills B. it is murder; but if B. kills A. justifiable. II. Page 83 If before or after arrest, bailiff on assault made on him kills the party, it is justifiable, neither is he bound to retreat. II. 83, 100 118 If persons pursued by peace-officers for felony, or breach of peace, or just suspicion thereof, as night-walkers, Gc. shall not yield themselves to these officers, but either refift or fly be-, fore taken, or being taken refe cue themselves, and resist or fly, and are on necessity slain; no felony in officers or affift--, ants, tho parties kild are innocent. II. 85, 86 By their relistance against authority of the king in his officers, they draw their own blood on themselves, and are accessaries to their own deaths. 11. 86. One charged with fuspicion of felony on just grounds, and where a felony is actually done, tho he be innocent, yet if he resist officer after notice that he is fuch, and affault him, and officer kill him, no murder. II. 92, 93 If he fly, and cannot be otherwise taken, whether officer may kill 490. II. 93 One is dangerously wounded, and constable is kild on pursuit of offender, murder; but if he kills offender, justifiable. II. 94 A warrant iffues against one for trespals, or breach of the peace, and he flies and will not yield to arrest, or being taken escapes, and officer kills him, murder. II. 117

But

But if he either on attempt to arrest, or after arrest assault officer, who hath the warrant, with intent to escape, and officer standing on his guard kills him, necessity excuseth him, and he is not bound to retreat. II. Page 117, 118 Where a warrant issues against a felon, or only as one suspect, and either before or after he flies and defends himfelf with stones, Gc. so that officer kills him on necessity, no felony; and fo where constable doth it virtute officii, or on pursuit of bue and cry. But where warrant is against one fuspect only, what cautions to 🐪 be used. · II. 118, 119 Private man may arrest a felon; if he kill him of necessity, he is excusable; but then it is at his · peril that he be a felon; if not, at least manslaughter. II. 119 In case of justifiable homicide, or that which is not felony, what coroner's inquest to find, and how indictment to be, and what proceedings to be had therein in order to discharge the prisoner. II. 303 In indictment or appeal of felony defendant cannot justify, but shall have advantage of it on general islue. 11. 304 Felony committed by B. but A. that arrests him, knows it not, law same as if he knew it, only what he does, is at his peril.

Vide Forfeiture, Indiament, Judgment, Hurder and Hanflaughter.

Vol. II.

Pomine replegiands. Vide Bail. Boute.

Where assembly to defend one's house justifiable; it is a man's castle of defence. Page 445, 487, 547 If one be affaulted in his house by a trespasser attempting to gain possession, he need not fly as far as he can, for he hath the protection of his house to excuse him from flying. Where an affault, battery or bomicide is excusable, or justifiable in defence of possession of a man's house, or not. Vide Do= micide, Murder and Mannaughter.

Due and Cry.

A good warrant to pursue and take criminals without warrant of justice of peace, and tho no constable be in pursuit; and killing any of the pursuants by malefactor, murder; all malefactors in same sield principals in the murder. 465 Constable and vill bound to pursue, or else sineable. 588.

II. 99, 102
One present at commission of a

One present at commission of a felony bound to endeavour to take felon, or raise *bue* and *cry*.

It is the old common law process after felons, and such as have dangerously wounded another.

By Westm. 1. persons on bue and cry not pursuing felons, how punishable. ib.

6 K

Вy

By 4 E. I. how, and in what cases to be levied. II. Page 98 Statute of Winton makes a further provision touching bue and cry. II. 98, 99 Levied and not purfued, an article inquisible in the leet. II. 99 Tho fometimes proper to have a justice of peace to direct his warrant for raising bue and cry, yet not of necessity, or sometime convenient. II. 99, 100, Pursuants in constable's affistance may plead the general issue on 7 & 21 Fac. therefore expedient constable be called to this II. 99, 100, 104 Yet may be raised by country in his absence, which is called cry II. 100 de pais. If raifed, and no felony committed, or on an innocent man, how they that raised it are pu-II. 102, 104 nishable. Where murder to kill pursuants, tho without warrant or con-465. II. 100 stable. What party raising it is to do, and what duty of constable II. 100, 101 thereon. Pursuants on a supposed felony, not actually committed, may arrest and proceed, as if real II. 101, 102 felony done. There needs no averment that a felony was done in justification of imprisonment, on bue and cry levied. II. 101, 102, 104 Yet it must be averd, that an information was given that the telony was done, if arrest be by that constable, that first received the information, and fo raised the bue and cry; or if arrest was made by that constable or those vills, to whom bue

and cry came at second hand, what must be averd. II. Page As bue and cry neither describes, nor names person of felon, but only felony done; and therefore arrest of person is left to discretion of constable, or people of fecond or third vill; he that arrests any one on such general bue and cry must aver that he suspected, and shew a reasonable cause of suspicion. If one purfued on hue and cry be in a house, and doors shut, and refused to be opend, constable on demand, &c. may break open doors. Same law on dangerous wound given, and bue and cry levied on offender. If offender cannot be otherwise taken may be kild. Constable on bue and cry may fearch in suspected places within his vill, yet his entry must be per oftia aperta, for he cannot break open doors barely to fearch, unless party be there. It is a fort of process, whereby constable may arrest by deicription. Pursuants to take such as they have probable cause to suspect. Who are fuspicious persons. Where they, that live and have land in the hundred, are competent witnesses, or not, in action against hundred. II. 280, 281 Husband and Wife. Vide Co= verture.

Ideot, Lunatick and Hadman.

Egularly no incapacities ex-Cuse the parties from damages to be recover'd against them in a civil action for any att done by them; but contra, where proceedings are ad $p\alpha$ - $\it Page 16$ Ideocy, madness and lunacy fall under the general name dementia. Tho by law no man shall avoid his own att for these defects, tho his heir or executor may, yet as to capital offenses he hath the advantage thereof. ib. Ideat described; ideacy or not, how Dementia accidentalis, vel adventitia, from what causes it proceeds. Distributed into a partial and total inlanity. 30, 412 Of partial infanity. It feems not to excuse party in doing any capital offense. Most offenders under a degree of partial infanity, when they commit their offenses. 30, 412 Difficult to define indivisible line, that divides perfect and partial infanity. It must rest on circumstances. Caution against inhumanity towards defects of human nature, and econtra, against too great indulgence to great crimes. ib. What measure of understanding is fulficient not to excuse in capital offenses. A total alienation of mind excuseth. Accidental dementia, whether total or partial, distinguished into phrenelis and lunacy.

in all diseases of the brain. Page 30 When fuch perfons are in the height of their distemper. One absolutely mad for a day, killing another in that distemper is equally not guilty, as if mad without intermission. When lucid intervals ordinarily happen. Crimes committed by lunaticks during their lucid intervals are subject to same punishment, as if they had no fuch defect. ib. Alienations and contracts made during fuch intervals bind their heirs and executors. Accidental dementia, whether temporary or permanent is either furor, &c. or delirium, 60 C. The causes and effects of furor, Gc. and fo of delirium, Gc. ib. Dementia affectata, or drunkenness regularly excuseth not, except in two cases, and what these are. Easy to counterfeit madness. Degrees thereof various, some sufhcient, some not, to excuse in capital offenses. 32, 33 How madness with respect to offenders, to be tried. Ideocy, &c. how tried in order to the commitment or custody of person and estate. King has an interest in an ideot, but in case of a *lunatick* it is only a trust in him. Party found ideat, &c. may be brought before chancellor or king for inspection. All men of age of discretion supposed fane, unless contrary proved, and that as well in cases civil, as criminal. If.

The moon hath great influence

If one be a lunatic, and hath lucida intervalla, and this be proved, yet law prefumes acts or offenses of such a person to be committed in those lucid intervals, unless contrary appears, and that as well in civils as criminals.

Page 34

In civil causes he, who alledges an att done in time of lunacy, must strictly prove it so; yet in criminals, (where court is to be so far of counsel with prifoner, as to assist in matters of law, and true stating the sact,) if a lunatick be indicted of a capital crime, and this appear, witnesses must be examined, whether prisoner under actual lunacy at time of offense done.

Surdus and mutus a nativitate prefumed an ideot, unless contrary appear; if so, he may be tried and executed, the caution to be used herein. ib.

If one, while *fane*, commit a capital offense, and before arraignment become absolutely mad, he ought not to be arraigned during such phrensy, but remitted to prison till he recover.

If fuch a man after his plea, and before trial, become of non fane memory, he shall not be tried; or if after his trial, he become so, he shall not receive judgment; or if after judgment, his execution shall be spared, and why.

Proper to impannel a jury to inquire ex officio touching fuch infanity. ib.

If a madman commit homicide during his infanity, and con-

Į,

tinue fo till he comes to be arraigned, he shall neither be arraigned nor tried, but remitted to gaol, to remain in expectation of king's grace. Page 35 But sit in such case to swear a like

But fit in fuch case to swear a like inquest ex officio.

ib.

If one in a phrenzy happen by fome over-light to plead to indictment, and is put on his trial, and it appears to the court, that he is mad, judge in discretion may discharge jury of him, and remit him to gaol to be tried after his recovery; but if there be no colour of evidence to find him guilty, or there be pregnant evidence to prove his infanity at time of fact done, in favour of life and liberty it is fit that the court proceed to trial in order to his acquittal and inlargement. 35,36

One during his infanity commits homicide or petit treason, and recovers his understanding, and being indicted or arraigned for same pleads not guilty, he ought to be acquitted, quia non felleo animo.

No difference, whether phrenzy permanent or temporary, provided fact be done, while party under that diffemper. ib.

Madman cannot act per electionem, or intentionem. 36, 37
Such infanity, as excufeth in bomicide, excufeth in treason. ib.
Whether there be any exception to this rule.

Jury may find one non compos either not guilty, or the matter specially.

Jectafis.

None of the statutes extend to indictments, nor is a defective indictment aided by verdict. 'II.

Page 193

Vide Amendment.

Jesuit. Vide Felony by Statute, Religion, Treason.

Ignozance.

Ignorance of law or penalty excuseth not one of age of discretion, or compos mentis from penalty of the breach of it; but in some cases ignorantia facti excuseth. Ignorantia eorum, que quis scire tenetur, non excusat. Where ignorance of the person excufeth in homicide. Fac. 1. issued several commissions of gaol-delivery, &c. justices went their circuit, king died, yet they proceeded, tho in strictness of law they were determind by king's demise, yet judges were excused. 499 Ignorantia juris in some cases excufeth a judge, much more ignorantia fatti. Receipt of felon after attainder in fame county makes not person accessary without notice. Every man within vill bound to take notice of constable in the day, tho not in night without notice. Sufficient notice that a man is a bailiff by faying I arrest you. ib.

Justices of gaol-delivery not bound to take extrajudicial notice of a second commission, (nor of rumours and reports) the like in case of a sherist. Page 499 For cases of missortune, and casualty falling in with the case of ignorance. Vide spanicide.

Impeachment.

Appeals of treason by particular persons ousted by 1 H.4. impeachments by the commons frequent, because rather quasi grand indictments than appeals.

349. II. 150*

It is a presentment by the most folemn grand inquest in the the realm.

II. 150*

Impzisonment. Vide Arrest, Commitment, Justice of Peace.

Incapacities.

Divided and fubdivided. Ordinarily no incapacity excuses these persons, that are under them, from civil actions; but contra, where proceedings are ad panam. In all cases of infancy, Gc. if one incapable to commit a felony be indicted by grand inquest, and thereon is arraigned, petit jury may either find him generally not guilty, or the matter specially. Vide Coverture, Ideot, Igno-rance, Infant, King, Haffer and Servant, Decesity, Parent and Child. For casualty. Vide homicide.

Indiament.

Indiament.

The specification of high treason confifts principally in this aggravation, that it is contra ligeantia sine debitum. Page 58 Proditoriè imports a breach of trust owing to the king. How indictment of treason shall conclude. Indicament of treason against alien amy shall be laid to be contra dominum fuum, and not naturalem, &c. but shall conclude contra ligeantix sux debitum. ib. For compassing death of king, queen, or prince, how treason and the inducement to the overt-act to be fet forth. The overtact to be set forth in pursuance of the treason alleged. 108. II. 187 Several overt-acts may be laid, and proof of any of them maintains indictment. An actual rebellion or infurrection must be exprest in indictment by the name of levying war. Indicament for counterfeiting king's coin ought to thew particularly what kind of coin, but, tho ufual to express the numbers, unnecessary. II. 187 For clipping or impairing coin, Gc. it must pursue the words of 5 6 18 Eliz. and conclude contra formam statuti; and this not only in case of clipping sorein coin, but also the coin of England. Indictment must shew it was lucri 328. II. 190 caufa. Indictment against receiver of a traitor must be special of the receipt, and not generally that

he did the thing, which may be otherwise in case of a procurer, counfellor or confenter. All treason is a misprission of treafon and more; and he, who is affifting to a treason, may be indicted of misprission of treason How indicament of murder ought to conclude, Et sic, &c. 427. II. 187, 188 In indictment of manslaughter only, if others be indicted as accessaries before, indictment is void against them. If A. be indicted of murder, and B, as accessary before by procurement, and A. is found goalty of maniflaughter only, \mathcal{B} . shall be discharged. How indicament to be against corfons prefent and affifting to a murder. Where A. came with B. to beat C. and B. beat him till he died, indicament antiently fet forth the matter specially, but now it only runs that A. was present, aiding and affifting. Indictment of murder requires these words, felonice ex malitia sua pracogitata interfecit & murdravit, of simple homicide only felonice interfecit. 450, 466. II. 186, 187, 344 Implied malice, or malice in law maintains general indictment of murder. Where *homicide* is within 1 7ac. of stabbing, usual to prefer two indictments; one of murder, another on the alt, and put prifoner to plead to both, and to charge jury first with indicement of murder, and then with the other on the att, because if

convict on either, clergy oufled. Page 468. II. 239, 240 How indictment on this act to be to oust clergy. It need not conclude contra formam stat. because it makes not the offense, but ousts clergy. ib. Tho none ousted of clergy but he who stabs, yet being formed on the act is a good indictment of manslaughter against those, who were present and affishing, and thereon principal in first degree may be convict of simple manslaughter, and acquit of manslaughter on the act. 468, In case of involuntary homicide, indictment may find the special In homicide of necessity grand inquest may present the special case, and party be presently discharged; but he may be indicted de novo, if matter false; contra, if indictment simply of murder or manflaughter. 491, One indicted on coroner's inquest is acquitted, petit jury are to inquire of the manslayer; which serves as indictment against him. II. 65, 300 Indictment se defendendo good before justices of gaol-delivery, II. 130 but not of the peace. A. indicted quòd primo die Maii secundo Eliz. apud C. babens in manu, Gc. gladium, Gc. [felonice] percussit, &c. and not said adtunc & ibidem, malè; because day, year and place relate only to the having the fword, not to the stroke. 178, 179 In indictment of murder, day and place, as well of stroke as o-

ther act done inducing death as of death must be exprest. II. Page 179, 180 Indictment against A, that he apud N. in com. pr.ed. assaulted B. of O. in com. pred. & ipsum adtunc & ibidem cum, &c. percullit, nought; it cannot refer to both; and if only to one, it must be to the last, and then it is insensible. II. 180 Indictment of murder cujusdam ignoti, good. No need of addition of person robbed or murderd, fave for distinction. II. 182 Indicament charges that J. S. fidem adhibens to the prisoner, and nesciens potum prad. cum veneno fore intoxicatum accepit & bibit, and fays not renenum prad. nought, not to be supplied by implication. II. 184 Indictment, quòd A. exoneravit tormentum, &c. versus B. dans ei unam mortalem plagam, without faying percussit, nought. So if dedit mortalem plagam, without percussit. If A. be indicted, quod 1 Dec. Gr. apud, &c. felonicè, & ex malitia sud præcogitata in G super B. infult. fecit, & cum quodam Cc. advunc & ibidem percussit, Gc. these words felonice & ex malitia sua applied to the assault run also to the stroke, because placed in the beginning of the fentence, and done adtunc & ibidem. 184, 185 What requisites to indictment of murder or manslaughter more than other indictments. II. 185 to 189 If alleged that party was kild with a fword, and proved that

he was kild with another weapon, indicament maintained; but contra, if with another kind of death, as strangling, Page 185 The kind of poison must be alleged; but if proved to be done with another kind, benè. If killing was with a fword, it must be shewn in what hand offender held it. In dextra sud, without saying dextrâ manu, nought. Price of weapon to be fet down, or else must be said to be nullius valoris. 419. Indictment must shew in what part of body party kild was wounded, if fuper brachium, Oc. without faying right or left, malè. II. 185 In sinistro bracio, instead of brachio, infensible and ill. II. 186 Wound laid circiter pettus, ill. ib. Super partes posteriores corporis, nought. But super faciem, or caput, or dextram partem corporis, or in infima parte ventris, certain enough. Where length and depth of wound to be shewn, or not. If in evidence it appear to be another kind of wound in another place, indictment maintained. Usual to allege deceased was in pace Dei, but unnecessary. ib. Necessary to allege party died of the wound, and also time and place, as well of death, as the wound given, and why. The form of fuch allegation. As well in indictment of manflaughter, as murder, the stroke is to be alleged to be mortalis plaga.

Plaga expounded. II. Page 186 If indictment of murder wants ex malitia sua præcogitata, clergy allowd. II. 187 What indicament on 21 Fac. against concealing death of ba-Stard-children by the mothers must set forth. II. 190, 288 It need not conclude contra formam stat. att creating no new crime, but directing only the evidence. II. 289 If one be indicted and appeald before fame justices for fame murder or other felony, where there shall be a cesset processus on the indictment; and fo where there is an inquisition before the coroner of murder, and returned, and likewise indictment for same offense by grand inquest. II. 221 What words necessary in indictment of murder to oust clergy. II. 344 A. indicted as having given the mortal stroke, B. and C. as present and assisting, if proved that B. gave the mortal stroke, and A. and C. were prefent and assisting, it maintains indictment, and all oust of clergy; contra on such indictment on 1 Fac. of stabbing, he that gave the stroke in such case shall have his clergy. 463. II. 185, 292, 344, 345 Every indictment to oust accessary before of his clergy by 4 & 5 P. & M. must run malitiose, as in murder, &c. II. 339, 344 How indictment for robbing houses (within 5 \mathcal{O} 6 E. 6.) to be laid in order to oust persons present and affifting, but not entring, of their clergy, by 4 & 5 P.

 \mathcal{O} M.

521, 522 How

How indictment laid on 5 6	county, and be indicted there of
6 E. 6. for breaking the lodg-	larciny and convict, and jury
ings of A. at Whitehall, (king's	find value of goods to be only
manuon-house,) and taking	12d. tho it appear on trial in
thence several goods of A. and	forein county that it was within
others. Page 322, 523	the act, he shall only have
How indictment to be formed on	judgment of petit larciny. Page
this act. II. 354, 362	536
Ali lodgings in Whitehall part of	How indictment of burglary to be
the king's house, but in an inn	laid, and what words effential.
of court each chamber is a se-	549, 550. II. 168, 184
veral mansion-house, and whose	How indictment of burglary shall
it thall be supposed to be. 522,	be laid for breaking and entring
523, 517, 528, 554, 556	a church with a felonious in-
Indictment on 39 Eliz. against	tent. 556
breaking a house in the day	If A. hires a chamber in the house
must precisely, and in what	of \mathcal{B} . for a certain time, and it
manner, follow the att. 525.	is broke open, whose mansion-
II. 170	house it shall be supposed. 556
Usual to add tempore diurno to	It shall be supposed mansion-house
oust clergy. II. 179	of owner, where lodgings of
If either indicament pursue not the	fervants are broke open. 557
act, or evidence maintain not	How indicament to be laid to
indictment, prisoner to have his	comprise both burglary and fe-
clergy; and on such indictment	lony. 559, 560
may be acquitted of stealing a-	Where in burglary these words
gainst the $a\mathcal{E}t$, and convict of	ea intentione ad furandum, or
timple felony, the indictment	commit some other felony are
conclude contra formam stat.	necessary. 560, 561
fo on indictment on 23 H.S. or	How indicament to be for bur-
5 6 E. 6. 525, 526, 535	glary, felony, and felony on
The indictments in those cases be	5 & 6 E. 6. 560
fpecial, and conclude fometime	Where time of day is material to
contra formam stat. yet they	ascertain the nature of the of-
include felony at common law;	fense, it must be express in in-
for contra formam stat. is un-	dictment, as in burglary, &c.
necessary, so as circumstances	II. 179
required by the act be pursued;	Indictment of burglary must be fe-
for these acts make not the se-	lonice & burghariter fregit & intravit. II. 184
lony, but oust clergy. 525,	1 .
535 One indicated on an Elia Chall	In case of burglary or robbing
One indicted on 39 Eliz. shall	houses, on what statutes principal to be indicted to ous ac-
not be ousted of his clergy by any other act.	
If A. commits larciny in a dwel-	
ling-house within 23 H.8. and	
carries the goods into a forein	6 M

If A. feloniously take my horse from me, and B. from him, B. may be indicted as of a selonious taking from me. Page	Digging a dead body out of the grave, and stealing shroud, whose it shall be supposed. Page 515. II. 181 If A. put a winding sheet on the
Every indictment of larciny ought to suppose the goods stolen to be the goods of some body.	dead body of B. and a thief digs up the body and steals the sheet, it may be supposed de bonis A.
Quòd felonicè cepit quandam pe- ciam panni — J. S. without faying de bonis & catallis J.S. nought. II. 182	Indictment of larciny from the person how to be laid to oust clergy by 8 Eliz. 529 A. commits a robbery on the high-
Indictment, quòd felonicè cepit bona ignoti, bona capella tempore vacationis, or bona capella in custodia J. S. good. 512. II. 181	way in com. B. of goods to value of 12 d. only, and carries them into a forein county, A. may be indicted of larciny in forein county; but he must be indicted
How indictment to be for stealing bells, or goods from a church. ib. If A. have a special property in	of robbery in proper county. 507, 536. II. 349 If A. be indicted of larciny in forein county, and jury find va-
goods, as by pledge, &c. and the goods be stolen, they must be supposed in the indictment the goods of A. 512	lue to be only 12 d. he shall only have judgment of petit larciny, tho it appear on examination on trial, that it was a
If A. bails goods to B. to keep, &c. and B. be robbed of them, felon may be indicted for larciny of the goods of A. or B.	robbery. 536 Larcinies committed of feveral things, the at several times, and from several persons, may
Indictment for stealing goods of a feme covert, male. 513	be joined. II. 173 Indictment, quòd invenit quen- dam hominem mortuum, ac fe-
If goods of an intestate before administration be stolen, they shall be supposed the goods of the ordinary; or if the goods of an	without saying de bonis & ca- tallis cujusdam ignoti, ill. II.
executor before probate be sto- len, they shall be supposed the goods of the executor; neither ordinary, nor executor need shew his title. 514,515. II.	Quòd cepit & asportavit—bona & catalla B. without shewing what in certain, ill. II. 182 Number and value of things stolen to be express. II. 182,
But without faying de bonis & ca- tallis of the executor, or ordi- nary, malè. 515. II. 181	Where proper to use the word pretii, or ad valenciam. II.
7-7-	103

But not material, if these terms be II. Page 183 converted. If one pretii, &c. be added to several things, where it should in clerk-flip be applied feverally, it is good, if party be convict of all; but possibly contra, if convict but of part. Quòd fel nicè cepit 20 oves matrices & agnos, or matrices & verceces, ill; but contra, if 20 oves generally. De quatuor riscis & cistis, good, because synonyma. Quòd felonicè abduxit unum equum, nought, without faying cepit, Oc. Quòd furatus est unum equum. but trespass for want of felonice. II. 172, 183 If a thief finding little about A. by menace of death force him to fwear to fetch him a greater fum, which he doth, a general indictment of robbery will do. 532,533 Violenter in indictments of robbery must be both alleged and proved. How indictment must be. ib. To oust clergy indictment and conviction must be of robbery in vel prope altam viam regiam, and not vid regid pedestri, &c. 535. II. 349 But if laid prope altam viam regiam, sufficient. Disjunctive in vel prope usual in these indictments, tho they ought to be certain, yet not fubstance, but only to ascertain point of clergy. Thames alta via regia, and if it were not, yet near it; how indictment to be of a robbery committed in a ship below the bridge. 535, 536. II. 349, 350 1

How indicament of piracy to be to work corruption of blood. Page 355 In arfon how indictment to be. 567 A. intending to burn the house of \mathcal{B} . thereby burns the house of C. how to be indicted. In rape, how indictment must be laid. 628, 632. II. 184 If one be indicted at a leet, quòd felonice rapuit, if removed into \mathcal{B} . R. he shall not answer to it as a felony, but trespass. II. 172 In case of *escape*, if party hath not been indicted at time of escape, how indictment against garler to be, and how in the case of a rescue, where party rescued before he was indicted. But if party hath been indicted and taken by cap. before escape or rescue, how then it must be. ib. How indictment must be laid in cale of felony for breach of. prison, if party hath not been indicted before breach of prison, and how otherwise. 599, 607, City of London indicted for an escape, as a misdemeanor, which was not conclusive, but traver-II. 154, 155 Indicament of forgery after a former conviction, and judgment must recite the record thereof. 686 If one be indicted, for that ratione tenuræ of certain lands he is bound to repair a bridge, it must be alleged, where those lands lie. II. 181 Indictment of affault in quendam ignotum, good. Indictment against A. that he is communis latro, champartor, Gc. malè. 512. II. 181, 182

But

But communis barrectator, & pacis domini regis perturbator, & litium seminator, benè; so of indictment, that he is nottivagus. II. Page 182 Indictment of barretry, concluding contra formam stat. or diversorum statutorum, good, tho no direct statute against it.

II. 191
Whether in barretry vill need be

alleged. II. 180
How indictment must be for aiding a maintainer of the pope's
jurisdiction. 332

General rules touching indittments.

Husband and wife indicted of felony, such indictment is joint or several, as the fact happens, and on such indictment, baron may be acquitted, and feme convicted, and è converso. 46, 516 Whether indictment be a part or distinct thing from the trial.

Variance between day in indictment of felony or treason, and in evidence, immaterial; yet if variance be great in point of time to avoid the danger of the relation of the attainder to the day in indictment, fit that jury find the true day. 361. II. 179

In all cases to oust clergy, indictment and evidence must both bring case within the act, otherwise clergy allowd. 525, 526, 535. II. 336

Whether owner of stolen goods shall have more restored, than what are contained in indictment. 545

Usually at same sessions the several indictments against same person are tried by same jury.

Page 545

Accessary may be indicted with principal, which is most usual; but when indicted severally, indictment must contain certainty and kind of principal selony.

Every felony includes misprission, and party may be indicted of misprission only. 652, 708

Where party to answer to a felony without indictment at king's fuit. II. 156, 149* to 152*

In all criminal causes most safe and regular way to proceed by indictment. II. 151*

The indorfement, billa vera, makes not the indictment; the bill itself, when affirmed, is the indictment. II. 162

Of the caption of the indictment on return of *certiorari*. II. 165 The form of the caption, and the

analysis thereof, Norf. ad generalem sessionem, &c. II. 165

Record of indictment, as it stands on the file of court, wherein taken, is only, juratores pro domino rege super sacramentum suum prasentant, when returned on certiorari is more explicit.

II. 165

Name of county must be in margin of record, or repeated in body of caption. II. 166

Court, where presentment made, to be express. ib.

It must appear where sessions held, and that place, where held is within extent of commission; and therefore, if Dorset in the margin and caption be ad generalem sessionem pacis tent. a-

and Sws not in comin | Whore werh of Granler

find S. and fays not in comi-	Where verb of fingular number is
tatu præd. it is nought. II.	used for a plural, indictment
· P ge, 66	shall be quashed. II. Page
So if west-riding in comit' Eborum	170
be in the margin; and caption	Of abbreviations, and where con-
be apud S. in com. prad' it	strued to best advantage for
shall be quashed, because not	maintaining indictments. ib.
faid apud S. in west-riding in	Figures not allowd in indictments,
com. pr.ed. ib.	tho fometime literal numbers
How many justices to be named,	be in returns. <i>ib.</i>
but rest may be supplied by the	Indictment grounded on offense by
words (& fociis fuis, &c.) ib.	statute must bring it within
How title of their authority to be	fubstantial description of it; o-
set forth, as justic. ad gaolam,	therwise contra formam stat.
ib.	will not fupply it. II. 168,
If there be a fession by three com-	192, 193
missions, as of gaol-delivery,	If offense be made felony, or other-
&c. if it be returned at a sef-	wise penal by att, and by proviso
fion holden before them, and	in same, or any subsequent att
record be made up, as on all	fome cases are exempted out of
three commissions, if they have	it, indictment need not qualify
jurisdiction to take indictment	the offense, so as to exempt it
but by one, it is good, tho not	out of the proviso; but party
enabled to take it by the other.	shall have advantage of it by
II. 166	pleading not guilty, or in fame
Where return must mention any,	manner fhall have advantage of
and which of the justices to be	the subsequent statute by 21 Fac.
of the quorum, or not. II. 167	II. 170, 171
Where caption faulty in form,	If at be prohibitory, and by a
fame term it may be amended	substantive clause gives a reco-
by clerk of affifes, or peace,	very of penalty by action of
but not in another term. II.	
168	
In another term clerk, that returns	prohibitory claufe, and thereon
it, shall be fined for his infor-	fined, but not to recover penalty, but fine ought not to ex-
mal return. ib.	nalty, but fine ought not to ex-
Indictment described. II. 169	ceed it. II. 171
Latin a fixt regular language.	But if att be not prohibitory, but
ib.	only if any shall do such a
False Latin did not vitiate in-	thing, he shall forfeit 51. to
dictment. ib.	be recovered by action of debt,
But words of art by omillion, or	il & Ge. but mentions nothing of
misplacing letters becoming in-	indictment, he cannot be in-
fensible vitiate. ib	. dicted for it. ib.
Of insensible and incertain words	. If one be indicted for offense,
II. 170	
Vol. II.	6 N and

and indictment concludes contra formam stat. but in truth is not brought within the ast, it shall be quashed. II. Page 171, 172

One indicted for arfon; on not guilty pleaded, a special verdict was found, which was adjudged no felony; on same indictment he was adjudged to pillory, Gc. sed quære, for being tried for felony, he had not those advantages for his defence, as if indicted for trespass. II.

A particular statute must be recited and proved by examined copy.

II. 172, 192

All penal acts inducing a forfeiture to the king, or making a felony or treason are general acts. II. 172

A general att need not be recited, but it is sufficient to conclude contra formam stat. in bujusmodi casu edit. G provis. but if misrecited, court takes notice of true statute, and rejects misrecital as surplusage. II. 172, 173

But if recited, and misrecited in a point material, and concludes contra formam stat. pr.ed. it is fatal, and indictment quashed.

II. 172

Where act is repealed and reenacted, or temporary, and expires, or continued to the end of next fession, and before it is continued over, how indictment to conclude as to contra formam, &c. 667, 706. II. 173

Where one att relates to another, as where former makes offense, latter adds a penalty, how indictment to conclude. II. 173

If one offender, and feveral capital offenses be committed by him, they may be joined in one indictment. II. Page 173

If feveral offenders commit one offense, tho in law they are se-veral offenses, yet they may be joined. ib.

So if offenses be of feveral degrees, but dependent one on another, as in the case of principal in sirst degree, and principal in second degree, and accessary before or after. ib.

Where joint indistments for feveral offenses of same nature distinctly committed by feveral offenders have been held ill, or not. II. 174

Where divers persons are inserted in one indictment, the word separaliter makes them several. ib.

The grammatical order of the feveral parts of an indictment.
II. 174, 175

If day be inferted, and not year, nought, and shall not be supplied by intendment of (ult. praterito), unless so exprest; but if so, sufficient to ascertain year by day of sessions. II. 177

Sessions held 20 May, offense supposed on 10 of May last past, it shall relate to day, and not month, and so for the words next ensuing. II. 178

One indicted, that he in festo Santti Petri, &c. ill, because two feasts of that name. ib.

Indictment, quòd primo die Maii, & c. in quendam B. infult. fecit, & ipfum verberavit, and
fays not adtunc & ibidem verberavit, good; vi & armis,
day and place named in beginning refer to all ensuing acts;
but contra in felony, there must
be adtunc & ibidem to the
stroke, or the robbery, & c.

containd in the Two Parts.

Why indictments conclude contra
pacem, &c. Page 130
For offense supposed to be com-
mitted in time of former king,
and concludes contra pacem re-
gis nunc, nought. II. 188, 189
One indicted in time of one king
contra formam domini regis
nunc, may be arraigned for
that offense in the time of his
fuccessor. II. 189
Indictment not discontinued by de-
mise of the king. ib.
If the offense be supposed to be
begun in the time of one king,
and continued in the time of
his fuccessor, it must conclude
contra pacem of both kings. ib.
If an offense be alleg'd in the time
of one king, and indictment ta-
ken in the reign of the fucceed-
ing king, and concludes contra
pacem nuper regis, & regis
nunc, good; regis nunc furplu-
fage. ib.
Where indicaments shall conclude
contra formam statuti, or sta-
tutorum, or not, and where
only furplufage. 468, 561,
667. II. 189 to 194
If an offense be at common law,
and also prohibited by statute
with a corporal, or other pe-
nalty, party may be indicted at common law; and if it con-
clude not contra formam stat.
it stands only as indictment at
common law, and he can re-
ceive only the penalty the com-
mon law inflicts. II. 191, 192
Great strictnesses required in in-
dictments, the reproach of the
law, Gc. II. 193
Rare to take any exceptions to in-
dictments before conviction,
fave on indictments removed
into

into B.R. by certiorari, which B.R. may in discretion hear or not hear, but remand prifoner and indistment. II. Page

If exceptions to an indictment appear material, court can quash that, and direct a new bill to be fent to grand jury, wherein faults may be amended, and prisoner arraigned de novo. ib.

One attaint by outlawry shall not be indicted till outlawry reversed.

II. 252

For what causes indictments abated. Vide Abatement.

Where best to arraign prisoner on indistment, or coroner's inquest, or both, and for other matters. Vide Arraignment.

Where offender indictable. Vide

County.

What evidence maintains indictment. Vide Evivence.

What the quality of indictors.

Vide Jury.

For indictments in leets and torns.
Vide Leet, Sheriff.

Infant.

Wherein the civil and common law agree, or differ with refpect to the full age of a man for making contracts, being a procurator or executor, or con-17, 28 senting to marriage. As to crimes, into what periods civil law distinguisheth the ages, and at what age it prefumeth men capaces doli, or 18, 19, 20 Both civil and common law leave the question, whether party capax doli, or not, ad arbitrium judicis on the circumstances, and with what caution.

By common law, as to offenfes not capital, in some cases infant is privileged by his nonage; and herein privilege is all one, whether above fourteen or under, if he be under twenty-one years; but with fome, and what differences. Page 20 Infant convict of riot, Gc. Ihall be fined and imprisoned, and not be privileged barely because under twenty-one; but court ex officio on his trial ought to examine, whether he is doli capax, and had differention to do the act, wherewith he is charged. But if offense charged be a mere non-feasance, (unless of such a thing as he is bound by tenure,

non-feasance, (unless of such a thing as he is bound by tenure, or the like to do, as to repair a bridge, &c.) there in some cases he shall be privileged by his non-age, if under twenty-one, the above sourteen; because lackes in such case shall not be imputed to him.

If infant in assiste vouch a record, and fail at the day, he shall not be imprisoned; and yet Westm. 2. that gives imprisonment in such case, is general.

If A. kills B. and C. and D. are present, and attach not offender, they shall be fined and imprisoned; but if C. within twenty-one, he shall not. 21. II.75
Where corporal pupishment is but

Where corporal punishment is but collateral, and not direct intention of proceeding against infant for his misdemeanor, there in many cases infant under twenty-one shall be spared, tho possibly punishment by statute.

If infant of eighteen be convict of disseisin with force, he shall not be imprisoned, and yet feme covert shall. Page 21. II. If infant be convict in action of trespals vi & armis, the entry shall be nihil de fine, sed pardonatur, quia infans; if a capiatur be entred, it is error, for it appears judicially to court, that he was within age, when he appears by guardian, nor shall he be in milericordia pro falso clamore. General statutes, that give corporal punishment, extend not to infants. But where a fact is made felony or treason, it extends as well to infants, if above fourteen, as others. 21, 22 Civil law uncertain in defining etas pubertati proxima; but laws of *England*, antiently determined it to be twelve years for both fexes; under that age none could regularly be guilty of a capital offense, and above that age he might, or not, according to circumstances, that might induce court or jury to judge him doli capax, vel inca-22, 23, 24, 515 Infant of twelve compellible to take oath of alligeance. Against infant under twelve process of outlawry on indictment was not awardable; and if awarded, error; but if above that age, such process was awardable. 23, 24 If infant under twenty-one thall confess indictment, court ought not to record it, but put him to plead not guilty, or at least inquire by inquest of office of] Vol. II.

Page 24, 27, truth of fact. 491, 492 How the law now is with respect to infants and their punithments. 25 to 29 Infant above fourteen and under twenty-one is equally subject to corporal punishments, as well as others of full age, for it is presumptio juris, that after fourteen they are doli capaces. A lad of fixteen convict of fucceffive wilful burning three dwelling-houses, &c. had judgment to die, and was executed. Fourteen years common standard, at which both males and females are subject to capital punishments for offenses committed by them at any time after that age. 25, 26, 28 Infant under fourteen, and above twelve, is not prima facie prefumed doli capax, and therefore regularly for a capital offense committed under fourteen is not to be convicted, but may be found not guilty, or jury may find specially, and how; in which case court ought to discharge him, because no felony. 26 to 29 Yet if it appear to the court that he was doli capax, when offense committed, he may be convicted and fuffer death, tho he hath not attained annum pubertatis, viz. fourteen. 26, 434, 569, 570 Infant [ten years old] that had kild his companion, and hid himself, hanged; malitia supplet ætatem. A girl of thirteen burnt for petit treafon. 10.

If infant be above feven, and under twelve, and commit a felony, he is prima facie to be judged not guilty, and found so, because supposed not of discretion to judge between good and evil; yet in that case, if it appear by pregnant evidence that he had such discretion, judgment of death may be given against him.

Page 26, 27
Infant of nine kild infant of like

Infant of nine kild infant of like age, he confest felony, and on examination found he hid the blood and body; held he ought to be hanged.

Circumstances to be inquired of by jury. ib.

If convict, court cannot ex officio discharge infant. ib.

If infant be infra atatem infantia, viz. feven years, he cannot be guilty of felony, whatever circumstances proving discretion may appear, for ex prasumptione juris he cannot have discretion, and no averment shall be received against it. 27, 28

As to matters of crime, females have fame privilege of non-age, as males. 28

If infant be first arraigned and acquitted on indictment of murder by grand inquest, he may plead that acquittal on arraignment on coroner's inquest, and that will discharge him. 28,

Infant under fourteen presumed unable to commit a rape, but present and affishing therein may be a principal. 630

Art making offense felony binds not one under fourteen. 706

Informations.

in all penal statutes by information before justices of assistant and peace, treason, murder and felony excepted. II. Page 151*

Ill use being made of it, repeald.

The informations are often practifed in the crown-office in cases criminal, and by many penal acts the prosecution is by the acts themselves to be by bill, plaint, information, or indictment, yet prosecution in capital cases is still to be by indictment; except in cases excepted, which see. II. 156 to 152*

In all criminal causes the most regular and safe way, and most consonant to magna charta is by presentment or indictment of twelve sworn men. II. 151*

37 H. 8. for curing omission of the words vi & armis, gladiis, &c. in indictments, extends not to informations. II. 187

Inquest of Office.

If jury find one, whom they acquit of treason or felony, sled; they must inquire what goods he had; but this only an inquest of office, and traversable by party, or those who have the goods.

362, 414, 493.
II. 154, 301

Where in case of inquest of office jurors not finding according to evidence have been fined. II.

311

Where court may inquire ex officio, whether prisoner be of years of discretion. Vide Infant.

Whether he be compos mentis. Vide Joent, &c.

Where one stands mute ex visitatione Dei, or ex malitia. Vide Bute.

Vide Cozoner per totum.

Inquisition of Death. Vide Cozoner, Felo de se.

Intention.

To be tried by circumstances.

Page 229, 429, 508, 509

In cases of larciny what are circumstances evidencing a felonious intent.

509

Antiently, the not now, voluntas reputabatur pro facto.

532

If primary intention be to beat, the killing may be the effect, yet it is not such felonious intent as makes burglary.

561

Ireland.

Of the laws there of treason. 147
Cessing of soldiers expounded;
treason by 18 H. 6. ib.

It was chief treason charged on earl of Strafford king's lieutenant there, what his defence. ib.

By 10 H.7. in Ireland (Poining's law) all statutes of England are enacted to be observed there.

ib.

25 E. 3. declaring treasons, and 1 H. 4. enacting, that nothing shall be treason, but what is within that att, treasons enacted there tempore H. 6. and af-

terwards before 10 H.7. feem not to be repeald. Page 147 General introduction of statutes of England, being an affirmative law cannot be intended to take away those acts, which were made in Ireland for declaring treason, as 18 H.6. Att for earl of Strafford's attainder justly repeald, and why. Tho part of the dominions, yet no part of the realm of England, nor infra quatuor maria. 155, 317, 693 Ireland hath same laws for treafon that England, and some more, yet for treason in Ireland offender may be tried in England by 35 H. 8. A peer there tried bere for treafon by a common jury. 317, 693

Judgment.

Court ex officio to give judgment for treason; but if king's serjeant or attorney prays it, how prayer to be entred. The form of severe judgment for high treason. 350, 351, 382. II. 396, 397 King often pardons all, but beheading, under great seal, Gc. 351, 370. II. 412 Women to be drawn and burnt, as well in high as petit trea-351. II. 397, 399 Form of less solemn judgment, which is only to be drawn and 351. II. 397 banged. But women to be drawn and burnt. For counterfeiting great or privy feal, or privy signet, or sign manual. 187, 351, 352. II. *39*8, *399* For

For counterfeiting or clipping, washing, &c. king's coin, or forein coin made current. Page 219, 220, 223, 224, 351 to 354. II. 397, 398 For knowingly importing falle money ad instar the king's coin, with intent to merchandize, In new treasons it is to be the most severe judgment; but con-220, 221, 352, tra as to coin. 353. II. 396, 397 What the consequents of judgment in treason. In high treason marshal is mentioned as officer, by whom execution is to be made, in the very judgment in $\mathcal{B}.R.~\mathrm{II}.$ 411 Judgment of treason given by the lords per assent du roy as a transcendent punishment of the murder of the duke of Glou-266, 267 There may be reasons not only in policy, but justice, sometimes for a parliament to vary the punishment of crimes, in substance the same, when differenced by circumstances. Judgment for misprisson of trea-II. 400 374. For petit treason. 382. II. 399 A wife or fervant accellary before to petit treason, judgment to be as against principal. But if accellary, whether before or after, be a stranger, judgment shall be, quòd suspendatur, &c. Judgment of peine fort & dure, where prisoner challenges thirty-six in petit treason, as well in appeals, as indictments, and

in case of men, as well as wo-

men.

II. 319, 399, 400

Misprission of petit treason punishable by fine and imprisonment; so is misprission of felony. Page Judgment in all cases of felony, guèd suspendatur per colium, quousque mortuus fuerit. 399 What judgment by Westm. 1. 111 misprision of felony, if concealer an officer, as sheriff, &c. er if a common person. 374, 375. If jury in case of indictment for murder or manslaughter, find the *homicide* to have been involuntary, & sic, &c. court may give judgment against conclusion of verdict, as that the tact is manslaughter, the veridict conclude per infortunium, or se defendendo. Where thief is kild of necessity in pursuit, if special matter be found, the killer shall have ' judgment, quòd eat inde sine die, because it is no felony, nor caufeth any forfeiture, so much as of goods, and fo differs from fe defendendo, or per infortunium as to forfeiture of goods. One indicted of bomicide se defendendo, or per infortunium must plead to it, or confess it, and no other judgment, but remittitur prisonæ, or he is baild ad expectandam gratiam regis. 11.395 If one by coroner's inquest be found to have kild a thief affaulting to rob him, Gc. he thall not be arraigned on that indictment, but be dismiss without any judgment. If one be indicted of murder or manslaughter, and on not guilty fpec:al

fpecial matter is found, judgment is, quòd eat indè quietus, which is a perpetual bar; but if found guilty se defendendo, judgment is, quòd expettet gra-II. Page 395 tiam regis. Tudgment in grand and petit larciny. II. 400 504, 530. II. 400 In theft-bate. Judgment of peine fort & dure, and how entred. II. 319, 399, 400 How judgment in case of allowance of clergy entred. II. 395 How a peer must aver his peerage, and pray benefit of 1E.6. and how judgment for his deliverance shall be entred. 396 If it be alleged that prisoner is a clerk in orders, how judgment shall be entred after his reading; and the like, if he plead king's pardon of burning in the If a layman pray his clergy, and it appear on record, that he had it before, how the entry is. ib. And so if he prays his clergy, and cannot read. Where judgment on acquittal is only quòd eat inde sine die, and there is defect in indictment, it shall be supposed to be given on that defect. Judgment of eat inde sine die, general and special. In covenant a special verdict is found, and on perusal of declaration a fault therein appears, how judgment shall be, so as not to be a bar in another ac-If given generally, it shall be intended on verdict and merits. ib. In a quare impedit by the king issue is joined, and found for Vol. II.

defendant, it is alleged in arrest of judgment that no patron is named in the writ, judgment ihall be entred generally, quod eat inde sine die, and not specially on plea in abatement; but it shall not bar the king in a new action, for the eat fine die shall be applied to the plea to the writ. II. Page 393 If one plead in bar to indictment, yet it indictment infufficient, whether eat sine die shall be applied to insufficiency of indictment, or to plea in bar. Fit to have the eat fine die special in that case, and how. If one plead not guilty, and be acquitted, judgment is not only quòd eat indè sine die, but ideo consideratum est, quòd eat indè quietus, tho at king's suit. II. 394 If entry be, quòd eat indè quietus, prisoner cannot be arraigned again, tho indictment infufficient, till judgment of acquittal reversed, because it must go to matter of the verdict. II. 394, 395 Where prisoner excepts to infufficiency of indictment, or court doth it ex officio, how judgment to be. Judgment on auterfoits acquit, convict or attaint, and confesfion by king's attorney, how to be enterd. 11.392 How judgment enterd on plea of pardon, and how allowance thereof enterd in the margin. 11. 391, 392 One outlawd of felony or treason, tho no other judgment but utlegatum est per judicium coronatorum is of itself an attain-

der,

der, and subjects offender to such award thereon to be made by court, as is suitable to the offense.

II. Page 399

Judgment, quòd suspendatur, &c. to be given, as well against a peer, as another, in case of selony, and cannot otherwise be given by court, or executed by sheriff. ib.

Tho judgment regularly against the king is falvo jure regis, yet contra in case of life. II. 247

Former acquittal by judgment not only a bar of new indictment for fame offense; but if party is indicted de novo, and outlawd thereon, he may assign his former acquittal for error in that outlawry, and reverse it for that cause, and in that case how judgment to be. II. 243,

These words, Eyt judgement de vy & member in an att create a selony. 627, 641, 703

Court may respite judgment on acquittal, if against sull evidence.

II. 310

In England law more determinate, than in other places, and leaves as little as may, to arbitrium judicis.

It doth not allow an arbitrary power to the judge to change punishment law inflicts. 19

Thorp, C. J. was sentenced to death before special commissioners assigned ad judicandum secundum voluntatem regis, in respect of the oath made by him to the king and broken, whereby he had bound himself to that forseiture, that judgment was assirted in pariament, but with what caution to prevent such arbitrary course of

proceeding for the future. Page 262, 263

One indicted of arfon pleaded not guilty, and a special verdict was found, and special matter adjudged no felony; yet on same indictment prisoner adjudged to the pillory; sed quere. II. 172

One indicted of felony before juffices of oyer and terminer, &c. is convict, if record of conviction and prisoner be removed into B. R. B. R. may give judgment thereon, but what to be done previously thereto. II.

No other remedy before 11 H.6. & 1 E.6. for judgment to be given on persons reprieved before judgment.

Where prisoner hath not always from his arraignment remained in custody of court, where he first had judgment, but is brought in by cap. by sherist, he shall not be estopped from saying he is another person, and issue may be taken thereon, and shall be tried before execution awarded; and if he stand mute, it shall be inquired exospicio, whether of malice. II.

But contra, if in custody of same court from his first arraignment, or if he had been baild, and came in and rendred. ib.

Where party outlawd, or abjured comes by process into B.R. he shall be demanded what he can say why execution should not be awarded on record removed; and if he confess himself same person, execution shall be awarded.

ib.

By 14 H.6. justices of nisi prius on transcript of record have

power to give judgment and award execution; but then prifoner must be sent by habeas corpus to sherist of county, where nist prius is, or essential shall be baild to appear there. II. Page 403, 404

But they may return postea into B.R. and there judgment may be given, as at common law.

In what cases execution shall not be awarded without demanding what prisoner has to say against it. II. 401, 407, 408

Form of award of execution in B. R. II. 409, 411

Vide Corruption and Restitution of Blood, Execution and Reprieve, Forfeiture, Gaol-delivery, King's Bench, Oyer and Cerminer.

Jurisdiation.

Where temporal judge may incidently take notice, whether a tenet be *berefy*, or not. 400, 407, 408

Interpretation of a statute belongs

Justices of C.B. cannot hold plea on indictment or appeal in capital causes.

408

Where proceedings of judges in capitals without strict extent of their commission, or where their commission happens to be determind, are great misprissons.

498, 499

Altho felony be limited to special jurisdiction, yet misprision of it may be tried by a common jury, and before general commissioners of over and terminer.

A justice of peace of county, where fact done, cannot in so-reign county do any att of jurisdiction, as imprison, but what he may do. Page 581. II. 50,

Vide Admiralty, Arrest, Commission, Court, Gaol-delivery, Pomicide, Justice of Alsile, Justice of Peace, King's Bench, Hurder and Panstaughter.

Jury.

Grand Furys

Special provision made for quality indictors in Lancashires 286. II. 52* So in cases of murders, &c. committed in king's palace. II. 53* Of grand inquests before justices in $\it Evre$. On fummons of a fessions of the peace, form of precept for return of grand jury; a scire facias also issues to all coroners, constables, Gc. to be there at that day. According to others, a venire facias issues to summon grand jury. Vide the form. On commissions of over and terminer, or gaol-delivery form of like venire.

miner, or gaol-delivery form of like venire.

On this precept sheriff returns twenty-four or more out of whole county, viz. a competent number out of every hundred, out of which grand inquest of sessions of peace, over and terminer, or gaol-delivery are taken and sworn ad inquirendum, &c. not as antiently in Eyre, which was a kind of grand

grand inquest out of every hun-Page 154* In some counties, which consist of gildable and fuch franchise, where antiently feveral justices of gaol-delivery fat, as in Suffolk, there are two grand juries, one for the gildable, another for the franchise. Indictors to be probi & legales bomines, and must be so returned; and this holds, as well in coroner's inquests, as other indictments or presentments II. 155*, 167 If any of them be outlawd, tho in a personal action, it is pleadable in avoidance of indictment. II. 155* 303. Who not probi & legales homines; where one is not *legalis homo*, tho twelve beside without exception, indictment may be quashed by plea. II. 155*, 167 They must be king's lige people. II. 155* And must be returned by sheriff or bailiffs of franchises, without nomination of any, fave by fworn bailiffs. All indictments taken contrary, What freehold indictors ought to have. By statute how justices of gaoldelizery, or peace, (one of quorum) in open fessions may reform pannel of grand jury. II. 36, 155*, 156* Grand jury fworn first day commonly ferves whole fessions of peace, &c. yet court may grant another grand inquest to be returned and Iworn, and in what cases that may be. II. 156*

If on record it appear that grand

inquest was returned after first l

day of fessions, unless adjournment be entred on record, it is erronious. II. Page 156* By statute grand inquest may be impanneld to inquire of concealment of another grand inquest, and tho it mentions only a grand inquest to be returned by justices of peace, yet it extends to B. R. and possibly to seffions of over and terminer, and gaol-delivery; tho that can rarely come in question, because sessions of peace ordinarily accompany those commisfions. II. 156, 157, 160 This proper way of punishing grand inquest, if they refuse to present such things, as are within their charge. Grand inquest before justices of gaol-delivery, &c. ought only to hear evidence for the king, and in case of probable evidence ought to find the bill, and why, [sed quere]. II. 157 Where they may return the bill ignoramus. If it appear that A. was kild by \mathcal{B} . and a bill of murder be prefented, regularly they ought to find the bill for murder, and not for manslaughter, &c. and 491, 492. II. 158 why. If a bill be against one for murder, and grand inquest on evidence before them, or their own knowledge be fatisfied, that it is but per infortunium, or se defendendo, and accordingly return bill specially, court may remand them to confider better of it, or hear evidence at the bar, and accordingly direct grand inquest. A judge blamed for fining grand inquest for such a return. ib. If

If a bill be for murder, and it doth constare de persona occidentis, whether they can find bill for manslaughter, and ignoramus for the murder, and whether court be bound to receive such a return. II. Page 158 to 162 Whether they can be fined for such a return. ib.	If thirteen or more be of grand inquest, a presentment by less than twelve ought not to be; but if there be twelve assenting, tho some of the rest of their number dissent, it is a good presentment. II. Page 161 But on trial by petit jury, it can be by no more or less than twelve, and all assenting to the
If evidence to grand inquest be given at the bar on indictment in B.R. and grand inquest will not find a bill according to direction of that court, in what	verdict. ib. If prefentment be deliverd into a court of fessions and received, no averment lies, that it was not assented to by twelve. II.
instance they are finable. ib. If justices of oyer and terminer, or gaol-delivery having heard evidence at bar, grand inquest will not find according to their directions, justices may bind them over to appear in B.R. and on information against them, they may be fined, [fed quere].	Contra in case of presentment by a leet, for party distrained may so aver. Why indictors presumed to be indistrement. A good exception, that one procured himself to be returned on grand inquest. Is till be for murden, and they re-
Fines set on them by justices of peace, over and terminer, and gaol-delivery for concealments or non-presentments in any other manner than that prescribed by 3 H.7. not warrantable by law. Progress of sines set on juries, first on grand inquests, then on petit juries for not finding according to direction of court, and then on jurors, in civil causes for not finding in point of sact according to court's direction. II. 160, 311	If bill be for murder, and they return it billa vera quoad manflaughter, and ignoramus quoad murder, what words it is usual to strike out in presence of grand jury, and so to receive bill. What safest way with respect to this and like cases. ib. Indorsement makes not indictment, but bill affirmed. ib. Difference between presentment by grand jury of county and a liberty. II. 167, 168 Indictment of aliens to be by grand inquest of English. II.
Objections against setting such fines. II. 160, 161 Grand jury sworn to keep king's council undiscoverd; disclosing	In what county party indictable. Vide County.
whereof was formerly felony, which is now only finable. II.	

Petit Jury.

By 27 Eliz. precedent of commission to give judgment without trial by jury prime impres-Page 336 • In dubiis rather to incline to acquittal than conviction; caution against the wit and invention of accusers, and odiousness of the accused. 87, 300, 509, 636 Not to be transported with heinousness of the offense. Usually at same fessions the several indictments against same persons tried by same jury. 545 Jurors triers of credit of witnesses, as well as truth of fact. II. 235, 276, 277, 313 After not guilty received and recorded, sheriff returns pannel of II. 293 jury. Oath of jury. The form of charge given by clerk to jury; containing the effect of their inquiry. II. 294 Tho there be twenty prisoners at the bar for several felonies, and the oath is general to try between king and prisoner at the bar, yet jury to inquire of no more than what particularly charged with; and tho twenty have pleaded and stand at the bar, when jury is Iworn, yet court may stay at any number, and jury stand charged with no more. When they go from the bar, and have brought in their verdict, then if fame jury pass on the remaining prisoners, yet they are to be called over again, and re-

minded of their challenges, and jury sworn de novo on their trial. II. Page 294 By antient law, if jury sworn had been once particularly charged with a prisoner, it was commonly held they must give up their verdict, and they could not be discharged before. Yet contrary course hath long obtained. Where court may discharge jury fworn, and charged to try one non compos. If after jury fworn and departed from bar, one wilfully goes out of Town, the eleven cannot give any verdict without the twelfth; but twelfth shall be fined for his contempt; and that jury may be discharged, and new jury fworn, and new evidence given, and verdict taken of new jury. II. 295, 296, 309 If a jury be charged with feveral prisoners, and court finds jury partial to one, court may difcharge jury of that prisoner, and put him on his trial by another jury. Twelve fworn to try the issue; after departure A. one of them leaves his companions; by confent of all parties **B**. another of the pannel is fworn in A.'s place. A. returns, and being examined, why he departed, answer'd to drink, and denied on oath, that he had spoken with defendant; whereon B. was discharged, and verdict taken of A. and the other eleven, and A fined for contempt. If thirteen be fworn by mistake, fwearing of last is void, and the other twelve shall serve.

No

No verdict can be taken of less than twelve, and it is error; and so in a presentment; but if twelve be recorded sworn, no averment lies that one was unsworn.

II. Page 296
Court at common law may on just

Court at common law may on just cause remove a juror after sworn. ib.

When jurors depart from the bar, a bailiff ought to be fworn to keep them together, and not to fuffer any to speak with them.

After their departure they may hear one of the witnesses again in open court, and may desire to propound a question to the court for their satisfaction; and it shall be granted, so it be in open court; but if otherwise, this appearing by examination in court, and indorsed on postea will avoid the verdict. II. 296,

If they agree not before departure of justices of gaol-delivery, they must be conveyed along in carts, and judge may take and record their verdict in a forein county; quere, whether in such cases, the session may be adjourned before verdict taken.

If there be eleven agreed, and but one diffenting, who fays he will rather die in prison than consent, yet verdict shall not be taken by eleven, nor resuser fined or imprisoned. ib.

For most part in Eyre petit jury were all of same hundred, where offense done; but now jury that tries, as well as inquires, is generally of rest of county.

II. 301

Any of the jury eating or drinking before they have given up their verdict, finable. II. Page 297, 306

But antiently, if at charges of either party, verdict set aside, but not so now. ib.

If at charges of prisoner, afterwards found guilty, verdict stands.

But if they acquit him, judge before whom verdict given, may record special matter, and thereon verdict shall be set aside, and a new trial granted. *ib*.

A juryman, who hath a piece of evidence in his pocket, and after jury sworn and gone together, shews it to them, is sinable, but verdict not avoided, tho case appears on examination.

II. 306, 307

But if, after jury fworn, either party deliver a piece of evidence to jury, and verdict is given for him, it shall avoid it; but then it must appear by examination, which must be indorsed on postea or verdict, so as it appear of record, and not barely by affidavit made after; but if verdict be given against him that deliverd the evidence, it is good.

II. 307

If a piece of evidence under feal be read in court, jury ought regularly to have it with them; but contra, if not under feal. ib.

If after jury sworn, a piece of evidence not under seal be by court deliverd to jury, it avoids not the verdict.

So if deliverd by a mere stranger, if verdict given against him, on whose behalf deliverd. ib:

If after jury gone from bar, they fend for a witness, who repeats

his evidence to them, this appearing by examination, and being indorsed on postea avoids the verdict; but witness may be heard again in open court, and court or parties re-examine him.

II. Page 296, 307

If depositions are read in open court to the jury, and as they are going from the bar, solicitor for the king, without confent of parties, or order of court delivers copies of them to the jury, if they find against him, on whose part these were deliverd, verdict is good; but if for him, and this appears by examination indorsed on postea, verdict shall be quashed, and a new venire or award for new jury returned.

II. 308

After evidence given, where divers written evidences are read on both fides, and clerk is making up his bundle of evidences under feal to deliver to jury, folicitor for plaintiff delivers a bundle of depositions to jury, fome whereof were read, fome not, and on examination this appeard, tho jury fwore they opend no bundle deliverd by folicitor, yet verdict for plaintiffs for this cause avoided (matter being indorfed on the record), and a new venire awarded.

It might have been a misdemeanor for jury to have looked into bundle deliverd by solicitor. ib.

If party after jury fworn speak with a juryman of forein business, this avoids not verdict after given for him.

ib.

But if he, or any in his behalf fay to a juryman after his departure from the bar, and before for plaintiff, this shall avoid verdict, if given for him, for it is new evidence. II. Page 308

If A. be challenged off, and twelve more fworn, yet A. goes with them, and is present at their consultation, if A. gives no new evidence, nor intermeddles, verdict good, but A. shall be sined.

II. 309

If one of the indictors be returned on petit jury, and do not challenge himself, he shall be fined.

If a jury fay they are agreed, and it being asked, who shall fay for them, they fay their foreman, but on further inquiry they are not agreed, every one of them shall be fined apart. ib.

If a juryman be called and refuse to appear, or if having appeard withdraw himself before sworn, finable. *ib*.

So if challenged, and while it is trying he withdraw, and challenge be over-ruled, and he be not prefent to be fworn. ib.

If eleven were agreed, and the twelfth refused, formerly such juryman hath been fined, and inquest taken by the other eleven.

But both these courses now disallowd. ib.

If jury convict against reason and evidence, or without evidence, and against direction of court, court may reprieve convict before judgment, and certify king for his pardon. II. 309, 310

Court may respite judgment on acquittal, if against sull evidence.

II. 310

In fuch case king may have an attaint. ib.

Ву

By statute justiciar or steward, before whom any one is acquit of felony against pregnant evidence in Wales, or the Marches thereof, may bind over the jurors, Oc. II. Page 310 Several instances of jurors finding against evidence, being fined, but not warranted by law. II. 160, 310 to 314 Where fined for their confederacy and practice. II. 311 Where in case of inquest of office jurors not finding according to evidence, have been fined. Whether $\mathcal{B}.R.$ can fine jurors for verdict against evidence. Turors to be freemen, regularly freeholders. II. 264 Legales; without any just exception. ib. De vicineto; but this not strictly required, for they of one fide of the county are by law de vicineto to try an offense of the other fide of the county. By antient law, if jurors by mistake or partiality give their verdict in court, yet they may rectify it before recorded, or go together again and reconsider it. II. 299, 300, 310 If recorded, they cannot retract, or alter it. II. 300 In felony or treason no privy verdict can be given. For jury-process. Vide Crial. Vide Challenge, Aerdia.

Justice of Assile and Mili prius.

Justices of assiste are to send their records determind into the Exchequer at Michaelmas. II. 31 By statute no man of law shall be justice of assiste, where born, or Vol. II.

he doth inhabit, but it is usually dispensed with by a non ob-II. Page 32 stante: Whether, by 27 E. I. de finibus, they may deliver gaol without any other commission, and give judgment of felons. II. 39, 403 Safe to have a special commission for that purpose. II. 39, 40, In case of counterfeiting coin on 3 H. 5. they expresly must have a special commission. II. 40 If indicament in the country had been removed into B.R. and prisoner there had pleaded not guilty, after 27 E. 1. and before 6 H.8. the transcript of record might have been transmitted to have been tried at nisi prius, and so in appeal. II.39, Naming them justices of nisi prius in 27 E. 1. is nothing, but the description of their persons, to whom commissions of gaol-delivery shall be directed. II. 40 Tustices of nish prins could not at common law give judgment in appeal or indictment fent them out of B.R. by nisi prius to be tried, no more than in other ordinary civil causes, because they have but transcript of record, and their commission is only ad triandum exitum. In appeals, justices of nisi prius may inquire of abettors, and give judgment, and if plaintiff nonsuit, arraign prisoner at king's luit. 11.41 May allow clergy to a convict of manslaughter on appeal. May by statute proceed to trial

and execution on indictment re-

moved

6 R

moved by certiorari, and fent down to be tried by them.

II. Page 41

By 14 H. 6. have power in all felonies and treasons to give judgment, and to award execution.

350. II. 403

This statute gives them no power to inquire of abettors in appeal, nor to arraign on a nonsuit before them at king's suit. ib.

Justices of nisi prius, nient obstante 14 H.6. may, in case of indictment or appeal sent them out of B.R. return prstea into B.R. and there judgment may be given as at common law.

II. 404

Justice of Peace.

They have no jurisdiction in treafon, except as a felony [which treason includes], and as a breach of the peace; they may take examination of traitors, and imprison them, and take information of witnesses, and bind them over, and transmit these examinations and informations to next gaol-delivery, &c. 350, 372,580. II. 44

They cannot regularly arraign, try, and give judgment in treafon, unless in such cases, as are by special ast committed to their cognizance, because their commission extends not to it.

Per Rolls, C.J. they may take indictment of treason, tho they cannot try it.

By some acts may take indictments of particular treasons, but must certify them into B.R. or gaol-delivery. II. 44 May issue their warrants within precincts of their commission for taking persons charged of crimes within cognizance of sessions, and bind them over to appear there, the not indicted, not-withstanding lord Coke's opinion to the contrary. Page 579

Where justice of forein county may grant his warrant, and commit offender; and where offender taken in a forein county must be carried before a justice of proper or forein county, or which of them. Vide arrest.

If A, be in commission of peace in proper county, and happen to be in a forein county, and complaint is made to him of a felony done in proper county, as he cannot iffue a warrant to take party, fo neither can he imprison in forein county, because an att of jurisdiction; but he may take oath of party robbed in pursuance of 27 Eliz. or may take examination or information, or recognizance in forein county, (sed quare of the last), but cannot compel them by imprisonment. 581. II. 50,

One is a justice in two adjacent counties, tho by several commissions, whilst he lives in one county, may fend his warrant to arrest in the other. 580

Convenient, tho not always necessary, to take information on oath; if party suspected, then to set down cause of suspicion.

When necessary or not to bind party to prosecute before warrant issued. ib.

Previous to commitment three things required. 1. Examina-

tion

	•
tion of party accused, but with-	prized under name of justices
out oath. 2. Further exami-	of oyer and terminer. Page
nation of accusers and witnes-	686, 687. II. 23, 44
fes on oath. 3. Binding over	Where necessary to enter their ad-
profecutor or witnesses to next	journments. II. 24
assistes, Go. Page 585. II. 111	Where they may, or not proceed
Examinations ought to be in wri-	fame fessions against party in-
ting without oath, and returned	dicted before them. II. 28, 29,
or certified to next gaol-deli-	48
very, &c. and being fworn by	They were by statute to fend their
justice or clerk to be truly ta-	indictments not determind to
ken, may be given in evidence.	justices of gaol-delivery, whe-
585. II. 52	ther felonies or trespasses, if
If justice at return of warrant	party in gaol or baild, but now
cannot take examination; he	unnecessary. II. 32, 48
may ore tenus order officer to	Where they may deliver prisoners
detain prisoner till next day,	by proclamation or not. II.
and this detainer justifiable	34, 46
without shewing particular	Have power by statute to reform
cause, or any warrant in scrip-	ore tenus either pannel of grand
tis. ib.	or petit jury. II. 36, 155*,
Time of detainer must be reason-	156*, 265
able. 586. II. 46, 121	They cannot make out process,
Information of profecutor or wit-	when indictment deliverd over
nesses to be in writing on oath,	to justices of gaol-delivery. II.
and returned or certified at next	37
sessions, &c. and being sworn	Conservators of the peace how an-
by justice or clerk, &c. to be	tiently affigned. II. 42
truly taken, may be given in e-	First establishment of justices of
vidence against prisoner, if wit-	peace by 1 E.3. II.42,99
nesses dead, or unable to travel.	Hearing and determining given
305, 306, 586. II. 52, 120	them by 18 E.3. II. 42, 48,
Whether justices of peace of a fo-	109
reign county may transmit such	Commission of peace founded in
informations before justices of	these and other acts. II. 42
gaol-delivery of proper county.	Confisted antiently of three, now
305,306	only two assignavimus. ib.
If justice commit or bail prisoner,	Of the first, and the powers it
he is to take furety of profecu-	gives. <i>ib</i> .
tor to prosecute, and of witnest-	So of the fecond. II. 42, 43, 44
fes to appear and give evidence,	Distribution of powers given them.
and on refusal may commit them	II. 43
to gaol. 585, 586. II. 121	In returns or making up of records
Escapes within their jurisdiction.	before justices of peace touch-
600	ing indictments or convictions,
They (nient chstante clause in	how they must be stiled. II.
their commission) are not com-	1
,	Justices

Justices of peace have power by statute to hear and determine murders or manilaughters, but feldom do, or any crime oust of clergy, and why. II. Page 45, 46 Justices of peace may take indict-II. 45 ment of se defendendo. May take inquisition touching felo de se, if not inquired before coroners, it need not be super vifum corporis, but is traversable. 414, 419. II. 46 May by statute proceed on indictment taken before former justice of peace in the county, but cannot proceed on indictment taken before commissioners of over and terminer, or gaol-delivery. II. 46 But by statute indictment taken before sheriff in his Turn, to be deliverd to them at next sefflions, and they may proceed thereon. Commission of over and terminer in the county determines fecond assignavimus of commission of peace ad audiendum & terminandum, quod quære. General commission of peace in county determines not power of former justice by charter, nor of justice in a city or corporation parcel of county. Where no words of exclusion, juflice of peace of county have a jurisdiction concurrent those by charter, and so if they be justices by commission in town or city. King notwithstanding charter may grant commission of peace specially in that city or county, and they will have concurrent jurisdiction with justices by charib. ter.

But if franchise be granted, ita quòd justiciarii comitatús se non intromittant, the subsequent commission be granted in county at large, they have no jurifdiction in this corporation or town; but quere, whether indictment or fellion in the franchile be void, or only contempt II. Page 47, 48 in justices. Sellions private and public. II. 48 Bulinels of private, ale-houses, pour, oc. Public fubdivided into general quarter-fessions and general sef-Both to be fummond by precept in king's name. In either of these sessions they may proceed in matters within their commission, as to take indictments, try felons, &c. By particular acts fome things limited to the quarter-fessions. ib. When quarter-sessions to be held. II. 49, 50 Are variously held in several counties, and yet good. II. 50 In *Middlesex* regularly but two fessions, yet they may hold quarter-sessions. Justices to execute their authority as justices of peace within county, where justices. If justice live, or be out of his county, he cannot by warrant fetch one out of it into county where he is. Whether a justice, who is such, both in London and Middlesex, may not commit one in Middlesex brought out of London, and è converso. Felon taken in forein county, justice there may commit, examine, give oath to informers, and bind them over to give evi-

dence.

dence, or commit them for neceffity of preserving the peace; but quære, whether such examination and informations be evidence on arraignment of fe-Page in proper county. 305, 306, 586. II. 51 The by custom of London justices of gaol-delivery fit at Newgate, which is in London, both for Middlesex and London, yet justices of peace for Middlesex fit only in that county, and justrices of peace for London there. II. 51 One is brought by A. before jufrice on fuspicion of felony, if A. can materially testify, justice may bind him over to profecute, and if he refuse, may commit II. 52 They have jurifdiction of felonies arising within the cerge. In their fellions may by common law proceed to outlawry on indictments fou d before them, and in popular actions by statute. ** But cannot issue a capias utlegatum, but must return record of outlawry in B.R. and thence this process shall issue. Where justices may proceed on indictments taken in Turns or Leets, or not. II. 70, 71 Tustice cannot discharge brought before him for suspicion of felony, if felony was committed, but must bail or II. 93 commit. One suspected on probable cause -mprefumed such till contrary ap-16. pear. Some mistakes of lord Coke, as that a justice of peace cannot issue a warrant before indict-Vol. II.

ment, Oc. refuted. II. Page107 to 111 By 34 E.3. their power further inlarged as to their taking perfons suspected of felonies. II. 109 They are conservators of the peace and more. Justice may by his warrant arrest one suspected of felony, tho original fuspicion not in himself, but in party praying the war-II. 109, 110 Fit in all cases of warrants for arresting for felony, much more for fascion thereof, to examine on oath party requiring it touching whole matter, whereon warrant demanded. II. 110, Warrant to be under hand and II. 111 577. Regularly ought to contain cause. If general, to answer such matters as skall be objected, in discretion of B. R. to bail or difcharge party. 578. II. 111 It may excuse an officer in false imprisonment, if true cause or misdemeanor within conusance of justice. Antiently fuch warrants in treason or felony held good; in warrants of the peace and good behaviour cause must be shewn, o and why. Tustice may make his warrant to take one suspected by name, but not all persons suspected; contra of a rule in B. R. for that purpose, 580, 586, 587. II. 105, 112 Tustice may make a warrant, as well in case of selony as the peace, to bring party before him!elf

himself only, or generally before any other justices, and then officer may bring him before any other justice of the county, and it is not in election of party to go before whom he pleases. Page 582. II. 112

In fome cases may make his warrant to bring him to the sefsions, tho it is better to bring him before himself, or some justice, that party may be baild.

II. 112

Warrant may be to bring party to the justice to find sureries for his appearance at the fessions, Gc. and in mean time to keep the peace, or may be *streeusa*cerit, to bring him to common gaol ibidem moraturus quoufque gratis hoc fecerit, and yet constable may bring him before the justice, and if he refuse there to give fureties, he may by virtue of first warrant bring him to gaol, and commit without any further warrant or mit-II. 112 timus.

Warrant may be in king's name with teste of the justice, but more usually in name of justice.

II. 11

Whether justices out of fessions can issue a warrant to take perfons offending against a penal law, tho within their cognizance, and so to bind them over to fessions, or in default commit them, and this before indictment.

On complaint and oath of goods folen, and that party suspects goods are in such a house, and shews the cause of his suspicion, justice may grant a warrant to fearch in those suspected places mentiond therein, and to at-

tach goods and party, in whose custody they are found, and bring them before him, or some other justice to shew how he came by them, &c. this warrantable, nient obstante opinion of Lord Coke. II. Page 113,

But convenient to express that fearches be made in the daytime, and that party suspecting be present to give officer information of his goods. II. 113,

114, 150

Entry to be per oftia aperta; but if doors be shut, and be refused to be open'd on demand, officer may break open doors. II.

114, 116, 117

Lawful clause in such warrant to attach party, in whose custody the goods are found. ib.

If the goods stolen be not in the house, officer is excused that breaks open the door to search for them on justices warrant; but party, that made suggestion, punishable, for in eventu it is punishable in him. II. 151

On return of this warrant executed, if it appear they were not stolen, they are to be returned to the possession; but if it appear they were, they are not to be delivered to the proprietor, but to remain with sherist or constable, that party may proceed by convicting offender to have restitution.

If goods not stolen party to be difcharged. ib.

If stolen, but not by him, but another that fold or deliverd them to him, and prisoner appear to be ignorant that they were stolen, he may be discharged as an offender, and bound over to

give evidence as a witness against him that fold them. II.

Page 151, 152

If he knew they were stolen, fit to bind him over to answer the felony.

II. 152

These warrants are judicial acts, and must be granted on examination of the fact. II. 150

To whom to be directed, and what the purport thereof. *ib*. General warrant to fearch all places, whereof party and officer have suspicion, tho usual, not safe.

II. 114, 150

Warrant ought to mention name of party to be attached, and must not be lest with blanks to be filled up by party, such warrant void. 577. II. 114

If there be a riot or breach of the peace in presence of a justice, he may arrest the rioters, or command any officer, or others ore tenus, without warrant to arrest them, and they by virtue thereof may arrest flagrante crimine in absence of the justice.

If a riot be committed, and rioters dispersed by coming of the justice, and they be suspected probably to meet again, or threaten it, tho constables may exosficion suppress the riot, and raise posse of vill to do it; yet a justice may deliver a special warrant to any person to arrest the rioters, if they reassemble, tho there be no particular persons named in warrant; he may even authorize them by word.

II. 114, 115

Justice must either discharge or commit, or bail one arrested for felouv brought to him. II. 120

If one be brought before a justice expressly charged with selony by oath, justice cannot dicharge him, but must bail or communication.

II. Page 121

If charged with suspicion only, yet if no selony proved to be committed, or if sact be no selony, justice may discharge him as to selony; tho if a trespass, he may bind him over for it.

If one be kild by another, tho per infortunium, or se defendendo, (which is not properly selony), or in assault on an officer, (which is no felony at all) justice ought not to discharge him; therefore he must be committed or at least, baild.

II. 121

They cannot proceed on an indictment taken before superior judges, tho otherwise the cause might be within their cognizance.

II. 133

They, as to their venire facias, agree with justices of oyer and terminer, and may indict, arraign and try same day in cases of felony. II. 261, 262

By statute proceedings before them not discontinued by new commission.

II. 401, 405

Vide Arrest, Bail, Commit-

Juffification.

Where warden of the *Fleet* may justify imprisonment by virtue of an order of *Chancery*. II.

In treason or felony there can be no justification, as fe defendendo, &c. II. 258

But

But on not guilty prisoner shall have advantage of all such defenses, and where matter appears not to be felony, he on not guilty pleaded may be acquitted. II. Page 258, 259,

Where, and how arrest on suspicion may be justified, or not. Vide Arrest.

Where one may justify breaking open doors, or not. Vide Arrest, Due and Cry, Justice of Peace.

Where one may justify beating a trespasser, come to take his goods, or endeavouring to enter on his possession, or not. Vide Domicioc.

King.

Resumed that he neither will, nor can do any wrong, and therefore, if he command an unlawful att to be done, the instrument is not thereby indemnished, but punishable. 43,

Tho he is not under the coercive, yet in many cases his commands are under the directive power of the law, which makes the act itself invalid, if unlawful.

In time of peace, if two men combat together at barriers, &c. and one kill the other, it is homicide; but if by king's command, it is said to be no felony.

By descent of crown king invested with the right of sovereignty.

Supremacy of the king in matters eccleliastical a most unquestionable right. 75

4

Weight, allay and extrinsic value of coin inter jura majestatis.

Page 191, 192, 204

Clause in 28 H.8. enabling him to dispose of lands by will was necessary, for otherwise he could not have done it by will. 278

But without this act he had power to dispose of lands belonging to the crown or duchy by letters patents under these respective seals.

Where there are three powers, as of justices of oyer and terminer, gaol-delivery, and the peace, and record is made up by all these powers, the best shall be taken for the king.

II. 34

Office of coroners being by election is not determind by king's demife. II. 55

King cannot arrest in person, or imprison or command another so to do, but by writ, &c. II.

King's title being of record must be avoided by record. II. 205 If king sit in person in B.R. he cannot pronounce judgment in treason; for, as he cannot be a witness, so he cannot be a judge, in propria causa. ib.

Where king's testimony allowd, or not, and how king to exhibit his testimony. II. 282

Who king, queen, prince, &c. within 25 E. 3. de proditionibus. Vide Treason.

Vide Alligeance, Fozfeiture, Gzant, &c.

King's Bench.

Marshal immediate officer to execute judgments of death. 464, 502. II. 5
And

And for that purpose is mentiond	It is the center of all subordinate
in judgments of treason. II.	jurisdictions; especially in mat-
Page 411	ters capital. II. Page 401
There may be a mandate to the	It hath two kinds of jurisdiction,
sheriff to affish. II. 5	the plea and crown-side. II. 2
Chief Justice, or any other judge	Antient and modern way of keep-
of B. R. may issue a warrant	ing and titling the records. II.
into any county for taking or	23
bringing before him a felon, or	Grand inquest to present all mat-
one suspected of felony into any	ters criminal within com. Mid-
county in England, or Wales.	dlesex, and then B. R. pro-
578. II. 5, 6, 198	ceeds on indictment so taken
They are conservators of the peace	II. 3
through all England, more than	Where B.R. proceed on offense
justices of over and terminer.	committed in fame county, they
578. II. 5	may proceed, de die in diem,
To avoid trouble of bringing up	fifteen days betwixt teste and
offenders, before whom their	return of venire fac. not requi-
warrants are made returnable,	red; otherwise, if they proceed
and to whom directed. 578.	on a cause removed by certio-
II. 6	rari, except on indictment ta-
How their warrants ought to run	ken by justices of peace of
in cases of surety of the peace,	county, where B. R. fits. II.
Gc. against one in another	3, 260
county, than where they are.	At common law record before fi-
578. II. 5, 6	ling remandable, afterwards not.
Tipstaves the marshal's deputies;	II. 3
each judge hath one. 586.	But if issue joined, transcript may
II. 6	be sent down to be tried at nisi
A judge of $\mathcal{B}.R$, may order an	prius; but original record re-
arrest ore tenus, without expres-	mains in $B.R.$ ib.
fing cause. ib.	By 6 H.8. court may remand in-
Where $B.R.$ hath made an order	dictments of felony removed
to take persons that party	hither, and bodies of prisoners
grieved suspects, and bring them	to the justices of peace, &c.
into court. 586, 587	where felony committed. II.
B.R. swear a grand inquest, and	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$
take indictments every term.	
651. II. 3	
Highest ordinary court of justice	fion of commission of gaol-deli-
next to parliament. II. 2, 159	very, over and terminer, and
It is more than a court in Eyre;	the peace. II. 4
where it fits, it is fovereign	Where special commissioners of
court of gaol-delivery, and over	oyer and terminer may fit in
and terminer; the judges are	
justiciarii ordinarii. II. 4, 22	
1701 YT	journ. ib.
Vol. II.	6 T Tho

The some acts limit proceedings in criminal causes to commisfioners of over and terminer, $\mathcal{B}.R.$ may proceed upon them; but justices of peace cannot. II. Page 4 One attaint, and record removed, it may award execution. It is fovereign coroner of England, and may take appeals of death, Cc. by bill. Chief Justice chief coroner virtute officii. II. 53 Felonies within king's palace, nient obstante 33 H. 8. triable in B.R. contra where felony created de novo with special form of proceedings. By custom may ore tenus command a tipstaff to apprehend for mildemeanors. Chief Justice not the Justiciarius Angliæ. The immense authority of the antient Justiciarius Anglia. 106. Chief Tustice created by writ. II. 6 B.R. had antiently, in cases of felonies and treasons done on the narrow feasout of bodies of counties, a concurrent jurisdiction with the admiralty. II. 12, 13 B. R. comprised in acts giving power to justices of oyer and terminer. On trial of felons in B.R. if prifoner challenge twenty peremptorily, fo that those remaining of the pannel be not sufficient; tales to be granted by precept returnable, as the case shall re-11.36 quire. Clerks of the crown, affife and peace to certify hither names of all persons outlawd, attaint II. 36, 37 and convict. One flain in open rebellion, Chief I,

Justice on view of body may make a record thereof, and fend it into $\mathcal{B}.R.$ and thereon party shall forfeit his goods, but not lands. II. Page 53 Clerk of crown, coroner for B. R. to view body of prisoner dying in king's bench. II. 58 Have not only power to issue writs on indictments and appeals before them; but also may by order command sheriff of county, where they fit, or their marshal to take felons or disturbers of peace, and bring them before the court. II. 105 Custom of the court part of the law of land. Where on information on oath of breach of the peace, and a defign by perfons, whose names could not be known, to commit a riot, B.R. hath made an order to the sheriff to bring before them fuch as should be probably suspected to be parties therein. 586, 587. II. 105 Where B.R. may give judgment on record of conviction removed before them, &c. Vide Judament. Vide Certiogari Court, Erecution and Replieve, Gaol-delivery, Habeas Cozpus, Iustice of Asile, Justice of

Larciny.

Peace, Dutlawzy, Dyer and Cerminer, Process, &c.

lent; fimple larciny fubdivided into grand and perit. 503 Grand and petit larciny described.

530
Same in nature, but different in degree of punishment. ib.

Definition of larciny. Page 504 Yet it leaves him in fame condi-How the indictment must be. tion, as to any felony at com-What are the ingredients in this mon law, as if he was not excepted. Page 668 And therefore, if my butler or What shall be faid a taking. 505, shepherd under eighteen, or if 2506 A. lends his horse to B. who rides my apprentice take away my away with him, no felony. 505 goods teloniously, without my If a man feeing a horse in pasture actual delivery, tho under value of 40s. he is indictable of of owner, having a mind to steal him, obtains a replevin, felony at common law. and thereby hath the horse deli-667, 668 If a man deliver a bond to his ferverd, this a felonious taking. vant, or goods to fell, and he A. steals horse of B. and afterfells them and receives the mowards delivers it to C. who is ney, and carries it away animo no party to first stealing, and furandi, not felony. C. rides away with it animo fu-Whether delivery of master's goods randi, larciny. by one fervant to another, in master's absence, may be said to But if A. feloniously take the horse of B, and after C, steal him be by the master; delivery of from A. C. is a felon to both, the goods by master's wife withand C. may be appeald or inin the att. dicted as of a felonious taking A fervant receives his master's from \mathcal{B} , for by the theft \mathcal{B} . rents, and animo furandi carries them away, not felony. ib. lost not property, nor in law possession of his horse. A. delivers the key of his chamber to \mathcal{B}_{\bullet} who unlocks the Where a carrier shall be laid to be guilty of a felonious taking chamber, and takes A.'s goods animo furandi, felony. of goods deliverd to him or not. One that hath a bare charge of 504, 505 Carrying the goods to the place of goods, tho not possession, may be guilty of felony at common delivery, and taking them aflaw, as a butler that hath terwards, a new taking. Before 21 H.8. if a fervant had charge of plate, a shepherd of carried away goods deliver'd sheep, the like of him that hath a bare special use, as the guest, to him by his master animo furandi, it had not been felony. that hath plate let before him. 506, 667, 668 If A. by false tokens receives mo-By this att made felony, if of vaney of B. and carries it away, lue of forty shillings; offender no felony. 506 intitled to clergy, Lbut where Master delivers silver to servant ousted by 12 Annæ . to change into gold, or leather An Apprentice or servant under eighteen exempt from felony to make thoes, and he runs away with it, felony. enacted de novo by 21 H, 8. Thow far alterd by 12 Annal. ib.

Finding a purse in the highway, and denying or fecreting it, not felony. Page 506 Taking treasure-trove, wrecks, waifs and strays before seifure no felony, but party must believe them to be fuch. Where a man's goods are in fuch a place, where ordinarily they are, or may lawfully be placed, and a person takes them animo furandi, felony. If a sheep of A. stray from his flock into the flock of \mathcal{B} . and \mathcal{B} . drives it along with his flock, or by mistake shears it, no felony; but otherwise, if he knows it to be another's, and marks it with his mark, this evidence of felony. A fervant finds his mafter's purse in his corn-mow, and takes part, if he knew his master laid it there, felony. If A. Iteals goods in county of B. and carry them into county of C. he may be indicted for larciny in county of C. but can only be indicted of robbery in county of \mathcal{B} . 507, 508 Where continuance of the asportation is a new caption. A. takes the horse of B. and before he gets out of the close is apprehended, larciny. 508 If a guest takes sheets of the bed feloniously, and carry them out of his chamber into the hall, felony. A. came into dwelling-house of \mathcal{B} . where no body was, and broke open a chest, and took out goods to the value of 5s. and laid them on the floor, and

was taken before he could re-

move them, he being indicted

on 39 Eliz. was ousled of clergy. Page 508 If A hath his kevs tied to the strings of his purse, B. a cutpurse takes A.'s purse with money in it out of his pocket, but the keys, which were tied to the strings of his purse, hang in his pocket, A. takes B. with his purse in his hand, but the strings hang to his pocket by the keys, no felony; for licet cepit, non asportavit. Where there is a pretense of title, regularly no felony; but yet it may be a trick to colour a felony. What circumstances are evidence of a felonious intent. 508, If A takes away goods of B openly (otherwise than by robbery) this evidence only of a trespass. A. leaves his harrow in the field, B. having land in the same ufeth the harrow, and returns it to the place where it was, no felony, but trespass. If A. and B. being neighbours, and A. having a horse on the common, and \mathcal{B} . having cattle there that he cannot readily find, takes up the horse of A. and rides about to find his cattle, and having done turns off the horse again in the common, no felony, but at most a trefpais. So if my fervant without my privity take my horse, and ride a few miles and return, no felony; but contra, if in his journey he fell it. Of what things larciny may be committed, or not. 509,510

Of creatures of a vaje nature,	nim with an action, felony.
as dogs, bears, Gc. or their	Page 513
whelps there can be no felony;	Wife cannot commit felony of her
but of <i>hawks</i> reclaimed it may	husband's goods; and therefore,
be. Page 512	if she take and deliver them to
Larciny may be committed of	\mathcal{P}_{\bullet} who knowingly carries
young bawks in the nest, but	them away, no felony in \mathcal{B} .
not of their eggs; taker of eggs,	513,514
how punished.	If husband deliver goods to B.
Larciny cannot be committed of	and wife take them feloniously
wild fwans, or their young;	from B. felony. 514
but contra, if they be made	Taking away another man's wife
tame, or if they be marked or	against her will cum bonis viri,
pinioned; but if marked, and	felliny by statute. ib.
yet flying swans that range a-	Servants in the house imbezzelling
broad out of precincts of the	their master's goods after his
owner, no felony to kill them.	decease by 33 H. 6. if they ap-
ib.	pear not on proclamation, at-
On indictment for stealing goods of	taint of felony.
B. who is proved to be a feme	To steal shroud of a person buried,
covert, party may be acquitted,	felony. ib.
fo if it appear that the supposed	Larciny from the person clam &
owner had neither interest nor	secretè by 8 Eliz. except un-
possession in the goods, but he	der value of 12 d. principals
ought to be indicted de novo for	ousted of clergy, accessaries
goods of husband or true owner.	A
513	Horse-stealers ousted of clergy by
Where a man may commit felony	1 E. 6. & 2 & 3 E. 6. but ac-
of the goods, wherein he hath	· ·
a property. ib.	Petit larciny, felony. 530
Tointenants or tenants in common	cessaries not. 529 Petit larciny, felony. 530 No accessaries either before or af-
of a horse, one cannot be a fe-	ter. ib.
lon to the other. ib.	If A. steal 12 d. at one time, and
1011 to 1110 0111011	\mathcal{B} . 12 d. at another, fo that
A. takes away the trees of B. and cuts them into boards, B. may	the acts were feveral, tho the
take them away, and not fe-	goods of the fame person; petit
	larciny in each. <i>ib</i> .
lony; and fo of cloth made into a doublet. ib.	If A. be indicted of larciny of
If A. take away the hay and corn	goods to the value of 5 s. pe-
of \mathcal{B} . and mingle it with his	tit jury may find it of value
own stock, or take the cloth of	of $12d$. or under. <i>ib</i> .
\mathcal{B} . and embroider it, \mathcal{B} . may	If A. steal from B. to value of
	6d. and then to value of 8 d.
take the whole heap or garment,	it is grand larciny, if put to-
and embroidery also, and be	gether in one indictment. 531
not guilty even of trespass. ik.	If goods be stolen at several times
Yet if A. bail goods to B. and	
fleal them from him to charge	6 U apar
N/4NI FF	a a c

apart under value, several petit larcinies, tho in one indictment.

Page 531

But contra, if fuch goods of feveral persons were in one bundle, or on one table, or in one shop, grand larciny.

If a man steal a horse not above value of 12 d. or break a house in the day-time, and steal goods only of that value, the owner, Cc. not put in fear, this but petit larciny, notwithstanding 5 6 6 E. 6. for that status only ousts clergy, where offense capital, as grand larciny. Taking from person without putting in fear or violence is not

robbery, but larciny. 534 Where words of menace are used after the taking, only larciny. ib.

For larciny from the house or fpecial burglaries. Vide Bur= alary.

Vide Clergy, County, Felony by Statute, Indiament, &c.

Laws.

Laws of England.

More determinate, than forein laws, and leave as little as may be ad arbitrium judicis.

This law allows not the judge to change the punishments, it in-

Always affects certainty. 22 Hath no dependance on the civil

Is excellently adapted to the conveniencies of English government, and full of excellent reafons. 489 Common law in and after E.3. received a greater perfection, not by change thereof, for that could not be but by statute; but men grew to greater learning and experience, and rectified mistakes of former ages and Page 24, 25 judgments. The king and his laws are vindi-

ces injuriarum.

Natural Law.

If one be violently affaulted, and cannot otherwise save his own life, law of nature permits him in his own defence to kill assailant.

Nature prompts all men in whatfoever condition to preferve themselves, which cannot be without fociety.

Law of nature makes a man his own protector cum debito moderamine inculpata tutela. 51

Positive Law.

Penalties, as to their degrees and applications, are juris politisi G non naturalis.

Lex talionis, except in murder, is purely juris politivi, and the Fews made a commutation of it.

The antient divine Law, the Mosaic, Attic, and Roman Laws touching Homicide.

By the antientest divine law homicide was capital. Delivery of a man into his neighbour's hand, explained.

 \mathbf{W} ho

Who were intitled, or not, by the Mosaic law to the privileges of places and cities of refuge. Page 2 Killing a thief found breaking up in the night, not capital; but contra in the day. The judicial laws made no difference in punishment of bomicide on malice frethought, and on a fudden falling out, both capital; but they extended not that rigour to cafual homicide, but yet were so strict, as to fuffer avenger of blood to kill fuch manslayer before he got to city of refuge. There is not amongst these laws any one which is express touching bomicide fe defendendo, but custom of the Fews, and interpretation of their doctors exempted a fact so circumstanced from capital punishment. If a woman quick with child took, or another gave her a potion to cause abortion, or one struck her, whereby fatus was kild, by the judicial laws it was ca-Many of the Attic laws touching . bomicide collected by Petit cited; between which and the judicial laws there is a great ana-Wherein the Roman laws differ from, and agree with them. 6, 7,488

The Attic, Fervish, Roman and antient English Laws touching Theft.

By Jewish law thest not capital, the accompanied with burglary,

fave that plagium was capital, and that by the civil constitution of that state, punishment of theft was in some cases enhanted even to death. Page 9 Of the law of restitution in case of theft. Wherein the Attic and Jewish laws agree and differ with regard to theft. The Roman laws concerning punillment of theft. 11,503 The antient laws of England touching the fame. 11, 12, 503 Notwithstanding opinion of fchoolmen, &c. policy of most countries hath made it capital. Among the Jews lawful in case of hunger to pull the ears of standing corn and eat, and for one, that passed thro a vineyard, &c. to gather and eat, without carrying away.

Punishments inflicted by the Laws of several Countries.

What the rule to be observed in ordaining punishments. How divided. The penalties instituted by God, amongst the Fews, on breach of their laws are the best pattern for institution of punishments, tho they conclude not other states. Instances of various kinds of punishments inflicted by laws of feveral countries, especially in bomicide and theft. 2 to 15 The degrees and orders of the Roman punishments. The end and defign of punishments. By

By Jewish law thief had no exemption from punishment by reason of necessity. Page 55

Law of Nations.

Allows a fovereign prince to begin hostilities with another defigning a war against him; but contra between subjects of same prince, there one cannot kill another by way of prevention.

Where the king may deal with a merchant stranger, who commits treason, either as an alien enemy by the law of nations, or as a traitor by the law of England.

Vide Alligeance, Ambassadoz, Parque or Repzisal, War.

Civil Law.

Civil laws wife and well composed laws, of great use to be known, tho not to be made the rules of our English laws; no stress to be laid on them, either for discovery, or exposition of English laws, farther than by customs of England or statutes they are here admitted.

Where civil law lays a penalty on tutor for offense done by infant incapax doli.

Instances of artificial accessions by adjunction, commixtion and specification in the common law [the same as are in the civil law].

Where party according to civil law may be examind, as a supplemental proof. II. 285

How the civil law distinguisheth the age of man for several purposes, and wherein the civil and common law agree and differ. Vide Infant.

By the antient Jewish law he, that was but a day above thirteen years, was adjudged in virili statu, but not if under that age.

Page 18

Canon Law.

Canons or decretals of popes, or of provincial councils, or imperial conftitutions never bound in England, farther than by statutes or common usage they were received. 399. II. 325, 329, 330

By canon laws nuns exempt from temporal jurisdiction. II. 328 Vide Clergy, Religion.

Rhodian Law.

If common provision for ship's company fail, master may under certain temperaments break open private chests of mariners or passengers, and distribute private provision for preservation of ship's company.

It is a received custom, if a ship wants necessaries, and inhabitants of continent will not surnish them for money, they may by usage of the sea and nations take provisions by force, making inhabitants reasonable satisfaction.

But contra, where this done by English mariners on English shore, where there is one common magistrate, because capable of other remedy.

For maritime and martial laws. Vide Admiralty, Constable and Marshal.

Leet.

Escape presentable there, but common fine or amercement cannot be set there, but it may be removed in B. R. and there amercement may be set. Page 603

Felony newly created not inquirable there, unless specially limited to them, but contra of felonies at common law. 632.

Cannot hold pleas of the crown.
II. 69, 71

Hath in effect fame jurisdiction with the Turn. II. 71

They cannot try felonies presented there, but must fend such presentments before justices of gaol-delivery, or they must be removed into B.R. that process may be made on them to outlawry.

Vide Sheriff.

Local. Vide County, Indiament, Trial.

London.

By charter mayor to be in commission of oyer and terminer, but not on indictment grounded on 8 H. 6. against avoiding records.

He is also of the quorum in commissions of gaol-delivery by charter.

II. 32

Whether justice both in London and Middlesex may not com-

mit one in Middlesex brought out of London, and è converso.

II. Page 51

Custom of London enables justices of gaol-delivery to sit at Newgate, which is in London, both for Middlesex and London; but justices of peace of the said counties sit in their respective counties only.

Mayor by charter coroner. II. 53

Lunatic. Vide Iveot, &c.

Madman. Vide Ideat, &c.

Painouver. Vide Arraignment.

Mainpzize. Vide Bail.

Malum pzohibitum.

Being only under a penalty will not inhanse effect of crime beyond its nature, as if one unqualified to keep a gun shoots at a bird, and casually kills a man, it is only chance-medley.

475, 476

. 4

Pansaughter. Vide Purder and Pansaughter.

Mariner.

Whether lawful to impress them.
678,679
Vide Admiralty, Felony by Statute, Rhodian Law under title Laws.

6 X

Market:

Parket-overt. Vide Restitu-

Marque, or Repzisal.

A species of war. Page 162
Particular, granted to some particular men on certain occasions to right themselves. (Vide 4 H.5.)
General, tho it hath the effect of war, is not a regular war, and wherein it differs. ib.

Martial Law. Vide Constable and Marshal.

Marriage.

A forcible marriage, tho voidable, is a marriage de facto. 660, 661
Vide Foscible Marriage, Po-lygamy.

Master and Servant.

Command of master excuseth not fervant in treason or selony.

44, 516

Possession of servant is possession of master.

668

Menial servant, how described by Bratton.

II.75

For homicide in servant defendendo the master, and e converso.

Vide Domicide.

Marims.

Malitia supplet ætatem. 26 Ignorantia eorum, quæ quis scire tenetur, non excusat. 42 Expressum facit cessare tacitum. Page 235, 614 Quod dubitas, ne feceris, especially in cases of life. 300, 509 Stabit prasumptio, donec probetur in contrarium. A man shall not take advantage of his own wrong to gain the favourable interpretation of Nor can he apportion bis own wrong and breach of duty. De minimis non curat lex. 603. Fictio juris intenta ad unum. 630 Nullum tempus occurrit regi. 632 [Unicuique licet] renunciare juri pro se introducto. II. 224 Tutius semper est errare in acquietando, quàm in puniendo, ex parte misericordia, quam ex parte justitia. Iutius erratur ex parte mitiori II. 305 Frustra legis auxilium quærit, qui in legem committit. II. 386

Pílítía.

By what all s declared to be the right of the crown. 130

Psisnomer. Vide Abatement.

Milpzisson.

Whether a wife can be guilty of misprission of treason committed by husband; quære. 48
Two witnesses requisite both on indictment and trial of misprission of treason. 300

A phy-

A physician, &c. ministers help to a lick traitor, tho he know him to be such, this makes phylician, Gc. not incur the guilt of treason; but it will be misprission of treason, if he know it, and discover it not. Page

332 Misprision of treason at common law defined.

By statutes concealment of treafon shall be deemed only misprision of treason.

By I Mar. enacted, that nothing be adjudged to be misprission of treason, but what is containd in 25 E.3. and the that abt do not make or declare misprision of treason, yet it virtually doth it by declaring and enacting what is treason. 371, 372,

Uttering false money knowingly not misprission of treason, without knowing the counterfeiter, and concealing it. 372,373 Att puisne to 1 Mar. makes a

new treason, concealment of fuch treason, misprission thereof. **373, 375**

Concealment of treason or felony by common law or statute is a misprission of the respective of-374, 618, 708

Every treason is a misprission thereof, and more, and he, who is affifting to treafon, may be indicted of misprision only. 374

Every felony includes misprission thereof, and offender may be indicted of the latter only. 652,

708 Misprission remains so long, as the att making treason continues, and so of misprission of felony enacted by statute. 375

Beside consequential, there are substantive misprissions, as by 14 Eliz. against forging forein coin not current, 13 Hiz. against concealing the publishing by others of bulls of absolution, and 23 Eliz. against aiders and maintainers of persons abfolving or withdrawing the subjects from their obedience, or persuading them from the established religion, &c. Page 376,

Where felony by statute limited to a special jurisdiction, and manner of trial, misprission of it triable by common jury and general commissioners of over and terminer. 653

Where upon commission of felony, looking on without using means to take the felon is a misprission of felony. 439, 448, 449, 593.

II. 75, 76

Mittimus.

Vide Arrest, Commitment, Iustice of Peace.

For mittimus and transcript of Vide Certiozari, record. Pleas.

Murder and Manslaughter.

If one ex intentione do an unlawful att tending to bodily hurt of another, as by striking him, tho not with intent to kill him, but his death happens within year and day, or if he strikes at one, and missing him kills another, whom he did not intend, it is felony and hemicide.

micide, and not cafualty, or per infortunium; so it is, if he do an unlawful act, tho not intending bodily harm of any man, as if he throw a stone at another's horse, and it hits a man and kills him. Page 39, 440, 472 Want of due diligence and inspection may make that manflaughter, which otherwife would be only chance-medley. 475, 476 Homicide justifiable by statute de malefactoribus in parcis not to be committed on any former malice, what required to justify such homicide. In time of peace, if two combat together at barriers, or for trial of skill, if one kill the other, it is homicide; but contra, if by king's command. 44, 473 33 H. 8. as to trial in a forein county of murder, now in force, tho not as to treason. 283, 374 Murder and homicide defined. 425, 449, 450, 466 Murdrum, what it antiently imported. 447, 448 Stroke without death, nor death without stroke, or other violence, makes not the homicide. To what intents murder or manflaughter relates to the stroke, or other cause of death, and to what purposes it relates to the death only. 426, 427, 428 If a mortal stroke [before 2 G. 2.] had been given on the high fea, and party had come to England and died, neither admiral nor common law had jurisdiction.

3

By 2 \mathcal{O} 3 F. 6. the justices or coroner of the county, where party dies shall inquire and proceed, as if stroke had been in iame county. Page 427 By fame att indictment and trial of accessaries shall be in county, where accessary. No murder till party dies. If one gives another a stroke, not fo mortal, but that with good care he might be cured, if he dies of the wound within year and day, homicide or murder according to the cafe. But if it be not mortal, but with ill application party dies, if it appear clearly, that the medicine and not wound was cause of his death, not homicide. ib. If not in itself mortal, if either for want of applications or neglect thereof, it turns to a gangrene, or tever, which proves immediate cause of his death, murder, or manslaughter; wound caufa caufati. One gives a wound to another fick of a disease, which by course of nature might end his life within half year, it hastens his end by irritating the disease, murder or manslaughter. If a man by working on the fancy of another, or by harsh usage put another into fuch a passion of grief or fear, that party dies fuddenly, or contracts a mortal disease, the murder before God, yet not so in foro humano. Physician or furgeon gives a potion with a good intent, it kills patient, no homicide; neither if he be no licensed surgeon or phylician. 429, 430 One

One gives a pregnant woman a	
potion to destroy the child, it	
kills her, murder. Page 429	
Owner of a beaft used to hurt	
people not knowing it dif	
people not knowing it, dif-	
punishable: 430	
Knowing it, and not keeping him	
up from doing hurt, how pu-	
nishable. 430, 431	
Tho owner have no notice, if it	
be a beast feræ naturæ, as a	
lion, &c. if he gets loose and	i
doth harm, owner liable to da-	
mages, for he must at his peril	i
keen him up from doing hurt	
keep him up from doing hurt.	
430	
If owner, knowing that his ox is	
used to hurt people, use due di-	
ligence to keep him up, yet ox	l
breaks loose, and kills a man,	١
no felony. 43 I	١
If through negligence beast goes a-	l
broad after warning of his con-	
dition, manslaughter. ib.	۱
If owner purpofely let him loofe	١
to do mischief, or with a design	
only to fright people and make	١
only to fright people and make fport, and it kills a man, mur-	١
der. ib.	١
	1
Laying poison to kill rats, a man	1
casually is poisoned, no selony.	
ib.	
But if to kill B. and C. by mistake	1
takes it and is poisoned, mur-	
der; so in all cases, where ma-	
lice intended to one egreditur	
personam. 436, 441, 442,	,
467	
Tho party take poison by the per-	
Tho party take poison by the per- fuation, but in absence of an-	
other, perfuader is principal in	ı
the murder.	
A. gives poison to \mathcal{B} . intending	
to poilor him D ignorantly	,
to poison him, B. ignorantly	f
gives it to another, who dies o	1
it, murder in A. but B. nor	
guilty. 430	7
Vol. II.	

A. gives purging comfits to B. to make fport only, he dies of it, manslaughter. Page 436 Various instances of killing, as by exposing sick persons or infants, Oc. 431, 432 A man infected with the plague goes abroad with intent to infeet another, who is thereby infected and dies, whether murder. If a woman quick with child takes, or another gives her a potion to cause abortion, or one strikes her, whereby child within her is kild, it is a great misprission, but no felony; so it is if fuch child were born alive and baptized, and after die of the stroke given to the mother, not homicide [sed quere]. One counfels her before the birth to destroy it, and after child is born, and the woman destroys it accordingly, she guilty of murder, and procurer accessa-Killing one attaint of felony, otherwise than in execution of the fentence by lawful officer is murder, or manslaughter, according to the cale. Homicide to kill one outlawd of felony. Sheriff beheads one condemned to be hanged, murder. 454, 466, 5 Eliz. makes killing a man attaint in a pramunire, murder. Killing an alien enemy murder, unless flagrante bello. If there be an actual forcing a man, as if A. by force take the

arm of \mathcal{B} , and the weapon in his hand, and therewith mor-

tally

6 Y

tally stabs C. murder in A. but B. not guilty. Page 434 But otherwise of a moral force, as by duress, &c. If A, command B, to beat C, and he beat him to death, murder in B. and in A. also, if present; if absent, accessary. 435, 440 A. indicted of murder, and B. as accessary before by procurement, A. is found guilty only of manflaughter, B. shall be dischar-All present and affishing to murder, principals. If A. is indicted, as having given mortal stroke, and B. and C. as present and affishing, and on evidence it appears that B. gave the stroke, and A. and C. were only aiding and affifting, it maintains indictment. 437, 438 If A, lies in wait to kill B, and C. fervant of A. being present takes part with his master, and fervant or master kills ${\cal B}_{*}$ murder in A. only, homicide in C. A. having malice against D. master of B. by mistake assaults and kills \mathcal{B} , the fervant, or \mathcal{B} . comes in aid of his master, and A. kills him, murder in A. ib. On indictment of murder, tho party acquit thereof, and convict of manslaughter, he shall receive judgment, as if he had been indicted of manilaughter, for offense in substance the same. 449, 450, 466

ing it comes in, and finding A. and D. struggling affist and abet A. in killing the constable, murder in A. but manslaughter in B, and C, but others of them, that did not know him, or abet, are not guilty. Page An abettor of murder and homicide must be present and assist-One procuring or abetting, and absent, only accessary in mur-If present, and not aiding and abetting to the felony, neither principal nor accessary, but looking on without using means to take felon, a misprission. 439, 44⁸, 449, 593. II. 75, Divers of same party come to make an affray, &c. and come into one house, all are faid to be prefent, tho in another room. So are they faid to be, if they come into one park, tho at a distance from each other. One ready to aid, tho but a looker on, is a principal. 4395 44 I Divers come with one affent male faire, as to rob, kill, beat, or do any trespass, and in doing it one kills a man, all princi-440, 441, 463 If A come in company with B. to beat C. and B. beat him till he die, A. is a principal. 472 A. and B. combat, C. comes to part them, A. kills C. murder in A. and per ascuns, in both; but if falling out on a fudden, then only manslaughter in him that kild him. 441, 442 A.

If A and B and C and divers o-

thers be ingaged in an affray together, and D. the constable

comes to appease it, and A. knowing him to be such kills

him, and \mathcal{B} and \mathcal{C} not know-

A. with above thirty enterd with force on a manor-house, and ousted B. and his family; twenty others on part of B. three days after in the night came with weapons in order to re-enter, and one of them cast fire into a thatcht house adjoining to the house; whereon one in the house should be manslaughter. Page 440, 441

A man feileth goods of an alien enemy, and carries them to his house; a stranger under pretense of being deputy-admiral, with a great multitude came with force to the house, and at the gate made affault upon those within, a woman issuing out, without any weapon, was kild by a fervant, who came to take the goods, by throwing a stone at another in the gate; per a(cuns, if the woman came in defense of master of the house, it was murder in vice-admiral and his company; per auters no malice against the woman, and murder shall not be extended farther than intended; touts, manilaughter. 441, 442 Divers come to commit a riotous,

unlawful att, if in pursuit thereof one commit murder or manflaughter, all of that party that
committed the diforder, are
guilty.

442, 443, 463

But in that case it must be intended, when one of same party commits murder, &c. on one of the other party, or on those, that come to appease, or part them, or by law to disperse them.

443

A. and B. fight upon premeditation, A. takes C. for his fecond,

B. takes D. A. kills B. murder in C. formerly held to be murder in D. also; but it seems otherwise. Page 443, 452,

If one have no particular malice against any individual man, but comes with a general resolution against all persons, if att be unlawful, and death ensue, it is murder; as if it be to commit a riot, or enter into a park.

A. and divers others come together to commit a riot, and in their march A. meets with D. with whom he had a former quarrel, or by reason of some collateral provocation given by D. to A. A. kills him without any abetting by his company, they not principals in the murder or manslaughter. 443, 444

Where many came to commit a diffeifin, and one kild, all the company arraigned as principals, and condemned; but it feemeth to be only manslaughter.

444

If many come together on an unlawful design, and one of the company kills one of the adverse party without abetment of the rest to the homicide, none guilty, but those that gave the stroke, or actually abetted. ib.

Many come to remove a nusance committed in the highway, they are opposed by divers others, one of the former party strikes one of the latter suddenly, and kills him without abetment of the rest, he, who strikes, is guilty of manslaughter, rest not guilty without abetment. ib.

But if it had been no nusance, rest had been guilty. 444, 445

If A. hath good title to his house, or be in possession for three years (in which case he may detain by force by 8 H. 6.) if any person come to rob or kill him, and he shoot and kill him, no felony, nor forfeits he his goods, as in case of homicide se defendendo. Page 445 But if A. come to enter with force [being ouffed], and in order thereto shoot at his house, and B. the possessor having other company in his house shoots and kills A. manslaughter in B. In this case, if B. shoot out of his house and kill A. not felony in the rest of the houshold; nay, tho he had hired an extraordinary guard (as by law he might), yet this not manslaughter in the rest of the company, because assembly lawful. Actual abetting will make the rest principals. Some prefent and abetting may be guilty of homicide, and not murder, others of murder. ib. The master assaults another with malice prepense, servant ignorant of the malice, takes part

with master, and kills the other, manslaughter in servant, and murder in master. 446
Wherein murder and manslaughter differ. 449, 466
In appeal of murder, whether jury may acquit, or must find party guilty of manslaughter.

What malice constitutes murder.

Malice in fact defined. ib.

The distinction of malice in law into its different kinds. 451,455

From what circumstances evidences of malice in fact must arise.

Page 451

It must be compassing some bodily harm. ib.

A long fuit in law not fufficient evidence of malice in fact, but how it may be heightend into malice prepense.

A and B. are at malice, and reconciled, and after on a new occasion fall out, and one kills the other, not murder; contra, if reconciliation counterfeit. ib.

If malice between A. and B. and they meet and fight, A. gives first blow, yet if B. kill him (otherwise than in his own defense) it is murder.

If malice between them, and A. affault B. and after flies to the wall, and there in his own defense kill B. by some it is murder; sed quare.

A quarrel between A. and B.
A. challenges B. B. declines it,
but at length to vindicate his
reputation meets and fights, and
kills A. murder.
452,453

A. challenges B. B. declines it, but signifies that he will defend himself, if B. going about his occasions is assaulted by A. and kild, murder in A. but if B. had kild A. it had been se defendendo, if he could not escape, otherwise manslaughter; but if only a disguise, murder.

If A. and B. fall out on a sudden, and presently agree to fight, and each fetcheth a weapon, and goes into the field, and one kills the other, only manslaughter; if they had time to deliberate, murder.

ib.

But

6 Z

The child of A beats child of B .	nace of bodily harm. Page
who runs home to his father,	456
and he runs three quarters of a	If A give indecent language to B .
mile, beats the other child, and	and B. thereon strikes A. but
kills him, manslaughter. Page	not mortally, and then A.
453	strikes B . again, and then B .
Keeper of a park finding a boy	kills A. by many only man-
stealing wood bound him to his	flaughter. ib.
horse's tail, and beat him, horse	A: fitting in an ale-house, a wo-
ran away, kild the child, mur-	man calls him a Son of a
	whore, A. at a distance throws
der. 454 From moderate correction of a	a broomstaff at her, and kills
fervant death cafually ensues,	her; quære, whether murder or
homicide per infortunium. ib.	
But if master design immoderate	manslaughter. <i>ib.</i> The nature of the weapon, where-
correction, or strike with a le-	with party is kild, confiderd.
thal weapon, and kills fervant,	A 7 57
murder; what circumstances	A. and B. at difference, A. bids
considerable in this case. 454,	B. take a pin out of his sleeve
474	to take occasion to strike B.
One hath liberty of infangthief,	B. doth accordingly, A. strikes
fteward gives judgment of death	B. whereof he dies, murder.
against a prisoner against law,	ib.
not murder, quia factum judi-	A chiding between husband and
cialitèr, licèt ignorantèr. 454	wife, thereon he strikes her
Killing without provocation, mur-	with a pestle, and kills her,
der. 455	murder. 457
Wilfully poisoning implies ma-	A bailiff comes to execute a pro-
lice. ib.	cess, but hath not a lawful war-
Killing one come to demand debt,	rant, if fuch bailiff be kild, on-
or serve process, murder. ib.	
A. distorts his mouth, and laughs	ly manslaughter. <i>ib.</i> If any minister of justice be kild
at B. who thereon kills him,	doing his office, it is murder,
murder. <i>ib</i> .	tho in the night, or on a Sun-
A. passing the street, B. takes the	day. 457. II. 85
wall, and thereupon A. kills	But if the process be executed out
him, murder; but if B. had	of jurisdiction of the court, on-
justled A. it had been only	ly manslaughter; so it is, if
manslaughter; so if A. riding	court had no jurifdiction of pro-
on the road, B. whips his horse	cefs. 458
out of the tract, and then A.	Murder to kill an officer, tho he
lighting kills B. manslaughter.	fhew not his warrant or mace,
455, 456	where it is not demanded. 458,
Words no provocation to kill a	462
man, nor will they lessen a	Tho bailiff use no words of arrest,
crime from murder to man-	nor shews his warrant, murder
flaughter, except words of me-	to kill him doing his duty. ib.

Vol. II.

But if officer doth what is not warrantable, as break open window to arrest, there, if slain, manslaughter only. Page 458, If he enter by an outward door, he may break open inner door, killing him in fuch cafe, murder. 458, 45*9* Where officers may justify breaking open doors to arrest, and consequently murder to kill them so doing. 459. Where constable acts out of his vill without special warrant, killing him only manslaughter; but killing a private man in execution of a particular precept from a justice of peace directed to him by name to suppress a riot in the vill of B. or to arrest one for some misdemeanor, and within the jurifdiction of the justice, murder; such private man must shew his warrant, or fignify the contents. If justices warrant express not the cause sufficiently enough, yet if he had jurisdiction, killing officer in execution of it, murder. 460 Where two constables and their assistants are engaged one against another, and party of one constable kills one of the other party, but manslaughter. Sheriff having a writ of possession against house of A. A. gains constable of vill to oppose sheriff, and in conflict constable is kild, not so much as manslaughter; but if any of sheriff's officers are kild, murder. Killing bailiff, constable or watchman doing his duty; murder. 457, 460, 463. II. 90, 98

What sufficient notice that a man is a bailiff, constable or watchman, to make it murder. Page 460 to 464. II. 90 What a necessary northeation of a man's being a private bailiff. Rioters affembled in a house, some issue out and kill a constable's affistant within view, murder in those in the house, who abetted the assault. 463, 464 Killing those, that come voluntarily to a constable's affistance, as well as those that are called, murder. Killing affiftant during necessary retreat of constable, murder. On hue and cry, tho without justice's warrant, or constable, a pursuant is kild by a malefactor, murder; all malefactors in the fame field principals; one taken before party hurt, not guilty, unless after taken he had animated malefactor to kill the 465. II. 100 A press-master impressed B. and with affistance of C. laid hold on him, D. finding fault with C's rudeness a quarrel arose, D. kild C. but manslaughter. A. comes to rob B. and either without, or on relistance, A. kills him, murder. 465, 474 So if men come to steal deer in a park, or rob a warren, and parker or warrener resists, and is kild, murder. If prisoner die by duress of gaoler, murder. What the form of pardon of murder, what of manslaughter: Where a special non obstante of 13 R. 2. necessary. 466, 467

How

How one indicted of murder having a pardon of felony, or felonica interfectio must plead. Page 467 1 Jac. of stabbing, the temporary, by 17 Car. 1. continued till some other att should be made to continue, or discontinue it. 468 Usual to prefer two indictments, one of murder, another on this act, and to try that of murder first, convict on either, ousted of clergy. How indictment on this all must be to oust clergy; need not conclude contra formam stat. good with, or without it. ib. Throwing a hammer and killing a man, not a stabbing or thrusting within this act. 469,470 Stabbing, or thrusting with a fword or pike-staff within it; whether a shot with a pistol, or blow with a fword or staff be within it, quare. A cudgel in the deceased's hands, a weapon drawn within it, viz. fuch as might do hurt. One within words of the att, not within the reason. If a mason in building voluntarily let fall a stone, and kill another, without due warning, at least manslaughter. 472,475 Two playing at cudgels, or wrestling by confent, or playing at foils, one casually kills the other, manslaughter. 472, 473 If A. cut the hedges of B. and B. beat him, whereof he dies; manslaughter. Several come to enter A.'s house as trespassers, A. kills one of them, manslaughter. If one throws a stone over an house amongst people to do hurt,

the intention makes it murder or manslaughter. Page 475 Shooting at deer in another's park Jans licence, the arrow glanceth and kills, manflaughter. Throwing a stone with intent to kill another's poultry, and it kills a by-stander, manslaugh-A. drives his cart carelesly, and it runs over a child in the street, and yet drives on, and kills the child, murder; but if he saw it not, manslaughter. One riding in the street whips his horse, and runs over a child and kills him, manslaughter. But if he rid so in a press of people to do hurt, and horse had kild another, murder. If there be malice between A. and B. and they meet and fight upon it, the A. gives first blow, and B. retreats, as far as he can with safety, yet if B. kill him, murder. A. aisaults B. B. thereon strikes A. without flight, and kills him, manslaughter. If A. upon malice prepense strikes B. and then flies to the wall, and there in his own defense kills B. under what circumstances murder, or se defendendo. If a prisoner relists not, but flies, yet officer for fear of a rescue strikes him, whereof he dies, murder. Bailiff killing a man flying to avoid arrest in a civil action, murder. If A. assaults B. first, and B. reassaults A. and so fiercely, that A. cannot retreat to the wall

without danger; nay, tho he

fall

fall on the ground upon B's affault, and then kills B. it is not fe defendendo; but murder or homicide according to the case.

Page 482

Necessity of flying shall not be taken, as a flight, in favour of assailant. ib.

A. affaults B. B. by his own courage and address precludes flight of A. then A. kills him, man-flaughter. 483

Killing on a fudden falling out, mantlaughter. ib.

A. affaults the master, and servant in defense of him kills A. if master not driven to extremity, manslaughter in servant. 484

Like law of a master killing in defense of his servant; husband of the wife, the child of the parent, and è converso. ib.

If husband or father kill one that attempts to ravish the wife or daughter, if it might have been otherwise prevented, manslaughter. 485

Killing one, who pretending title takes goods as a trespasser, man-flaughter. 485, 486

Killing a trespasser in defense of a man's house, manslaughter. 485,

Killing adulterer in the act with the wife, manslaughter. ib.

A. is suspected by B. of selony, tho no selony committed, neither is A. indicted, nor probable cause of suspicion, if on offer to arrest him by B. he resists or slies, whereby B. cannot take him without killing, and B. kills him, at least manslaughter; but if a selony committed, and there be cause to suspect A. tho innocent, if B. kill A. in this pursuit, whether

it excuse him from manslaughter. Page 490

If a man have a park within a forest, where he may hunt, and forester kills purloin-man, or his servant hunting in his purloin, murder or manslaughter according to the case.

491

What authority homicide in execution of justice requires in the judge that gives, and officer that executes judgment. 497,

Giving judgment of death without jurisdiction, if executed, murder.

Justice of peace gives judgment in treason, whether murder or misprission only. 497, 498

Where proceeding of judges in capitals without strict extent of their commission, or where their proceeding after their commission is determined, is not murder, but a great misprision.

498, 499

Executing martial law in time of peace, murder. 499, 500

Where the judge hath jurisdiction of the cause, officer executing sentence not guilty, tho the judge err; but otherwise, if he hath no jurisdiction.

If a stranger of his own head execute criminal, murder. ib.

If private man kills one suspected on his slight, and resusing to submit, if innocent, at least manslaughter, because innocent man not bound to take notice of private man, as authorized to arrest him.

II. 82, 83

If one arresting on suspicion break open doors, if party a felon, justifiable; contra, if innocent.

II. 82

If before or after arrest, B. an innocent man suspected draws his fword and assaults A. the party **fuspecting, and** A. presses upon him to take or detain him, and in conflict B. kills A. it is murder, or if A. kills B. it is justi-II. Page 83 If one arrested on suspicion kills party arresting, (always supposing party arrested innocent,) only manslaughter. 11.84 Bailiff about to take a prisoner, before arrest prisoner draws his fword, and kills him, murder. If there be a felony done, A. fuspects B. on probable grounds, and acquaints constable with it, and defires his aid to take him, if constable on such arrest or attempt thereof be kild, it is murder. 135 If there be a warrant against one

murder. II. 92
If there be a warrant against one for trespass, or breach of the peace, and he slies, and will not yield to the arrest, or being taken makes his escape, and officer kills him, murder. II. 117
Vide Arrest. Clergy, Domicioe

Vide Arrest, Clergy, Homicide per tout, Indiament.

Wute.

If prisoner prosecuted on 28 H. 8.

for trial of treasons, &c. on the high sea stand mute, he shall have peine fort & dure. II. 17,

318, 319

Whether peine fort & dure be pardon'd by general words of all contempts.

II. 252

In case of demurrer no such judgment can be given. II. 257,

315

. vol. II.

Where defendant fails in pleading not guilty, or putting himself on his country, it is in law a standing mute. II. Page 258 Antiently, if selon peremptorily challenged above thirty-five, he was put to peine fort & dure.

If before 22 H.8. felon had pleaded not guilty, and put himself on the country, and challenged peremptorily under three juries, whereby jury remained, and a tales was granted, and he then stood mute, yet jury past on him on his plea not guilty.

II. 269, 316

If prisoner hath pleaded to the

If prisoner hath pleaded to the country, and when tried says nothing, no penance shall be inflicted, but jury shall be taken.

II. 299

If felon challenge above twenty, challenge only over-ruled, and jurors fworn. II. 270, 316

If he hath received his judgment already, or be convict, and brought to the bar, and be demanded what he can fay, why judgment should not be given against him, or why execution should not be awarded, if he fay nothing, it shall not be inquired whether he can speak or not, but he shall have present judgment, or execution. II. 314,

But if a long time hath past between his conviction and judgment and this second calling
to the bar, it is prudent to inquire by witnesses, whether he
can speak.

If one abjure, or be outlawd of selony and return, and be brought
to the bar to shew cause, why

7 A

execution

execution should not be done, if he stand mute, an inquest of office is to be taken, and if it be found that he hath lost his fpeech by visitation of God fince his abjuration, they shall inquire of the identity of the person before judgment or execution shall be awarded; so if he were brought in on a cap. utlegat. or hab. corpus.

Page 315

If one indicted or appeald of felony pleads not guilty, and puts himself on the country, and jury remains on challenges till another day, and then appear, and prisoner stands mute, yet this not standing mute, for inquest shall be taken on issue al-II. 315, 316 ready joined.

In that case court having any doubt, hath used to inquire by inquest, sometimes by inquiry ex officio by inquest impannelled to try the issue, whether he stands mute of malice, or ex vistatione Dei. II. 315, 316, 321

When one faid to stand mute.

II.316,317

If felon stand mute, court ex officio ought to impannel a jury as inquest of office to try, whether it be of malice, or not; and if they find it to be of malice, he shall have judgment of peine fort & dure, if otherwise, they are to inquire of all the points material for his defense.

11. 317

On indictment of treason judgment of treason shall be given against party standing mute. ib. In treason, the one standing mute shall be convicted, yet there are

fome antient instances to the

contrary, as on indictment for counterfeiting coin, but now the law is otherwise.

223, 328 One arraigned for petit treason, challenging above thirty-five

shall have judgment of peine fort & dure, and how judg-

ment enterd. 382. II. 268,

399, 400 In appeal, if appellee stand mute, judgment of penance shall be given. II. 317, 321, 322

One arraigned before lord steward on 33 H.8. and standing mute shall have judgment, as if convicted. II. 318

Peer arraigned on indictment of felony before his peers refusing to plead, shall have this judgment.

A woman shall have same judgment if she stand mute.

One indicted of petit larciny refuling to plead shall have the fame.

If a woman be indicted for timple larciny of goods under 10 s. tho she shall only be burnt in the hand for it, yet if she refuse to plead, judgment of peine fort of dure shall be given against her.

If a new felony be made by ftat. tho it be filent as to standing mute, this judgment incident to

In rape, made felony by Westm. 2. if party stand mute, he shall have judgment of penance.

If this judgment be given, yet if offense within clergy, clergy allowd.

Prisoner to have trina admonitio. and a respite to bethink himself.

> ib. Judge

Judge to hear witnesses on oath to give a probable testimony of II. Page 321, 322 his guilt. If the offense be within clergy duty of judge to allow it, tho not prayd, and that as well after judgment pronounced, as be-II. 321, 323 Judgment of penance was by com-II. 321 mon law. One indicted of felony before justices of over and terminer, Gc. is convict, if record of conviction be removed into \mathcal{B} . R. and the prisoner also, he shall be demanded what he can fay, why execution should not be awarded on record removed;

How judgment of peine fort of dure enterd, where prisoner doth not directly answer. II. 399
How enterd, where he stands wholly mute. II. 400

if he say nothing, it shall be

inquired by inquest of office,

whether fame person. II. 401,

Mecessity.

DEcessity of preserving the peace by taking notorious malefactors excuseth some acts from being felony, which otherwise were felony.

53
The dangerous doctrine of the cafuifts on this subject resuted.

By the laws of England, if one being under a necessity for want of victuals or clothes, shall on that account clandestinely, Ganimo furandi steal another man's goods, it is capital. 54 This rule, in casu extremæ necessitatis omnia sunt communia,

holds in some particular cases, where by tacit consent of nations, or some particular countries or societies, it hath obtained.

Page 55

If king's enemies come into a county with a power too strong for county to resist, and will plunder the country, unless a composition be made with them, such a ransoming themselves is so far from being treason, that it hath been allowed as lawful, and in what respects.

Joining with rebels pro timore mortis and departing from them, as foon as parties can, no levying war.

139

Quicquid necessitas cogit, defen-

dit, refuted. 565
Difference between times of war and public infurrection or rebellion, and times of peace, for in times of war and publick rebellion, when one is under fo great a power, that he cannot resist or avoid it, law in some cases allows an impunity for parties compelled, or drawn by fear of death to do some acts in themselves capital, which ad-

But if whole circumstances of case be such, that he can conveniently resist or avoid the power of the rebels, he is not excused; if on pretense of fear or doubt of compulsion, he assist them.

mit no excuse in time of peace.

If a man be menaced with death, unless he will commit an act of treason, &c. fear of death doth not excuse him, and why. ib.

If one be desperately assaulted, and in peril of death, and cannot otherwise escape, unless to satisfy

his affailant's fury he will kill an innocent man then present, fear and actual force will not acquit him of murder, if he do the fact.

Page 51

A bare fear, tho upon a just cause, and tho it be fear of life, gives not a man power to take away life of another, but what kind of danger it must be.

52

Where vis major quam resisti potest excuseth in criminal, tho not in civil actions. 139

Confcience binds not one to keep an oath extorted by menace of death.

One of necessity kills a felon or suspected person flying or resisting before, or after arrest, where homicide justifiable, or excused. Vide pomicioe.

Mon-feasance. Vide Infant.

Mon obstante.

Prohibitory clause in 8 R. 2. that no man of law shall be justice in his own county, usually dispensed with by a non obstante.

II. 32

Motice. Vide Ignozance.

Mul tiel Record. Vide Pleas.

Dath. Vide Allegiance.

Overt Aft. Vide Treason.

Dutlawzy.

A T what age awardable against an infant for felony on indictment. 23. II. 208

Possibly process of outlawry may go against receiver of a traitor at same time as against principal, and the principal appear, process may go on against the other; contra in selony. Page

A traitor rendring himself on outlawry within a year shall be received to traverse indictment.

What atts take away from perfon outlawd for treason advantage of reversal of outlawry, because party out of the realm, but extend not to other offenses.

Where justices of oyer and terminer may issue process of outlawry. II. 31

On inquisition before coroner returned before justices of gaoldelivery, they cannot make process of outlawry. II. 37

They cannot make out cap. or exigent. II. 199

A. and B. indicted before justices of peace, indictment deliverd over to justices of gaol-delivery, A. appears and is acquit, B. appears not, record must be removed into B. R. and thence process of outlawry issued. II.

Justices of peace by common law in their sessions may proceed to outlawry on indictments found before them, and in popular actions by statutes. II. 52

21 Fac. gave process of outlawryin popular actions. II. 113

But they cannot iffue capias utlegatum, but must return record of outlawry into B. R. and thence it shall iffue. ib.

W.hether

Whether coroner can make out process of outlawry against defendant in appeal. II. Page 67, Where process of outlawry lies against a peer, or not. II. 177, 199, 200 In some cases on indicament it lies not against a commoner. Whether outlawry an attainder. II. 205, 206, 350, 352 52I. Tho attainder, yet fmall exceptions are allowd to process or return, and so by writ of error eafily reversible, and party put to plead to indicament. II. 198 One outlawd prays respite to purchase a writ of error, B.R. ufually prefixeth a day for that purpose, and in mean time remits him to marshal, and refpites his execution; but prifoner must allege error in law or fact to satisfaction of court; if court dissatisfied, they may award execution prefently. One attaint by outlawry of treafon, Gc. shall not be appeald or indicted till outlawry rever-· II. 252 If two coroners in a county, or more, one may execute the writ, as in case of an exigent; but return must be in name of coronatores. 417. II. 56, 195 By 5 E. 3. justices of oyer and terminer may issue process against felons in a forein county. In whose name, and under whose teste such process shall issue; clerk of affife has a special leal 576. II. 199 for them. Tho indictments be not discontinued by demise of king, in some cases process are. II. 189, 209 Vol. II.

In indicaments of trespass, venire facias first process, and when non est inventus is returned, cap. and exigent. II. Page 194 In all indictments of felony or treaion process by cap. and exigent lies, and at common law there was but one cap. and on non eft inventus an exigent, and so to the outlawry. 576. II. 194 In what cases 25 E. 3. requires two capias's, and how fecond capias returnable. ll. 194 It extends not to treason; exigent in treason must issue on return of non est inventus on first cap. ib. So in indictment of murder or appeal of robbery, but in $\mathcal{B}.R.$ there shall be two cap. in indictment or appeal of robbery. ib. At this day process on indictment of any felony is only one cap. and then exigent. 25 E.3. an impracticable law. ib. But by 8H.6. in indicatments or appeals of treason, or any felony or trespass against one of another county, after one cap. a fecond cap. with proclamations, and how returnable, shall be granted to sheriff of that county, wherein he is supposed to be conversant, before exigent shall issue. 576. II. 195 But if party were conversant in county, where indicted, at time of felony or treason committed, process to be at common law. 576. II. 195 A proviso in 8 H. 6. not to extend to B.R. or Chester. By 10H.6. fame process [as by 8 H. 6. directed on indictment of felony or treason removed into B. R. by certiorari, or into any other courts. Indictments

7 B

Indictments of felony or treason originally taken in B. R. are not within these acts, but by 6 H.6. before exigent awarded court shall issue a cap. to sheriff of county, where indictment taken, and another to sheriff, where party is named, having six weeks time at least before the return. II. Page

6 H.6. made perpetual by 8 H.6.

These acts of little effect; for if party was conversant in county, where fact committed, (as he cannot be otherwise) then he may be named of that place in indictment, and process is to go as at common law before these acts; and this is now the usual course.

II. 196

If J. S. be indicted in county of B. for a felony there committed, and indictment runs J. S. nuper de A. in com. B. alias dict. J. S. nuper de D. in com. S. there shall no process go to sheriff of S. because that addition is only in the alias dictus, and therefore process shall only iffue in county of B. and same law in appeal.

If it runs, J.S. de A. in com B. nuper de C. in com. D. cap. shall issue only in com. B. but if it runs, J.S. nuper de A. in com. B. nuper de C. in com. D. a cap. shall only go into the county of B. where he is indicted, but on return thereof, (if it be before commissioners) a cap. with proclamations shall issue to sherisf of D. and if in B.R. on an indictment found there, one cap. to one sherisf,

and another to the other sheriff, according to 6, 8 & 10 H. 6.
II. Page 196

If one be indicted by name of J.S. nuper de A. in com. Cestriæ, the second cap. with proclamation shall be awarded to the prince, or his lieutenant, and the like to bishop of Durham, or chancellor of Lancaster. II.

Exposition on 2 H.5. enabling the chancellor on complaint of any felony or riot to issue a cap. and writ of proclamation.

Tho this be marked as an obsolete statute, no alt repeals it, save implication of 16 C. 1. which it seems not to do. ib. Yet never put in ure. II. 198 B.R. either on indictment taken before them, or removed thither by certiorari, may issue cap. and exigent into any county in England on a non est inventus returned by sheriff of county, where party indicted, and a testatum that he is in some other county. ib.

neral till decleration, plaintiff must at his peril distinguish the process, for if he take his exigent against all, he must count against all as principals. II. 200 But in appeal by bill or indictment, cap. is against them all, but when it comes to the exigent, it shall issue only against principal, and process be continued by cap. infinite against accessary till principal be outlawd, and then exigent shall issue against accessary

fary.

In appeal by writ against principal and accessary, which is ge-

ili.

containd in the Two Parts.

If accessary appear on cap. he shall not be let to bail, and have idem dies by bail till process be determined against prin-II. Page 200 cipal. If two be indicted as principals in felony, and another as accessary to them both, exigent against accessary shall stay till both be attainted by outlawry or plea. If one of the principals be acquitted, whether accellary shall be discharged. 624. II. 200, Whether there be any, and what diversity in this case between indictment and appeal. II. 201 If defendant render himself to sheriff before quinto exactus, and appear in court at return of the exigent, and plead, and is baild, and then makes default, inquest shall not be taken by default in felony, either in indictment or appeal, tho it may in other cases, but a new cap. shall issue, and after that an exigent, and a cap. against the bail. II. 201, 202 Where exigi fac. with an allocato comitatu shall issue, and where exigi fac. de novo. Demand of party to be at five county-courts fucceffively held one after another, without any II. 201 -court intervening. If where there are but two countycourts before return, if after second exactus defendant render himself, and find mainprize, and at return make default, there shall issue no exigi fac. with an allocato com. but a new

exigent, and a cap. against the

bail.

II. 201, 202

How an exigi fac. with allocato busting proceeded on. II. Page If defendant appear on cap. and plead to issue, and is then let to bail, and then makes default, a cap. ad audiendam juratam shall issue, and if not taken thereon, exigi fac. de novo; but if brought in, he shall be tried on his plea. II. 202, 224 But if he render himself on exigent, and plead not guilty, and be let to bail till trial, and then make default, whereon exigent is awarded, and he is brought in thereon, he shall plead, and be arraigned de novo, for by exigent awarded first issue is discontinued. If on cap. or exigent sheriff return a cepi corpus, and at the day hath not the body, he shall be punished, but no new exigent awarded, because in custody of record. But if party returned outlawd, process cap. utlegatum. If party outlawd be in a house, and doors refused to be opened, constable, or any other person in pursuit of one outlawd for felony, may break open doors and take him. II. What certainties return of outlawry must have. II. 203, 204 Where a certiorari issued to coroners to certify the truth in order to amend return of outlawry. II. 203 Goods forfeited from teste of exi-II. 204, 206 In appeal, if exigent be well awarded, tho writ of appeal abated, forfeiture of goods by exigent stands in force. 204

Tho

Tho outlawry be reverfed for er-	turn thereof made, there lies a
ror in law or fact, exigent be-	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
ing well awarded, forfeiture of	
goods stands. II. Page 204, 205	fame in $B.R.$ and for what
Special writ of error lies on award	purpose. II. Page 206
of exigent for party or his ex-	Till return by sheriff party out-
ecutors to reverse award of exi-	lawd not difabled to bring an
gent. II. 205	action. ib.
Instances of errors in fact. II. 205,	Barely on return of outlawry on a
207, 208	certiorari without exigent in-
Avoiding outlawry avoids not ex-	dorsed and returned together
igent, if well awarded. II. 205	with certiorari, no writ of ef-
If party render himself after exi-	cheat lies for the lord. ib.
gent awarded, and plead to in-	If certiorari be directed to sheriff
dictment, and is found not guil-	and coroners, and exigent be
ty, forfeiture by exigent stands.	extant in court, and they return
ib.	this outlawry, possibly it may
Necessary for party outlawd in	be a sufficient warrant to enter
felony to bring his writ of er-	it of record as a return on the
ror specially, tam in adjudica-	exigent. ib.
tione brevis de exigi facias,	Unless exigent is some way re-
quam in promulgatione utlega-	turned or extant, it gives king
riæ. ib.	no title to land or goods, it is
Error in exigent cause to reverse	the warrant of the outlawry.
outlawry, and error in appeal	II. 207
or indictment, on which exi-	Without exigent and return of
gent is awarded, is cause to re-	outlawry on it there is neither
verse both outlawry and exi-	disability, forfeiture, nor es-
gent. ib.	cheat. ib.
Without judgment of reversal in a	A certiorari not grantable to co-
writ of error forfeiture by exi-	roners to remove outlawry af-
gent awarded stands, the in-	ter party's death. ib.
dictment quashed, or appeal a-	Outlawry avoidable by plea, by
bated. ib.	writ of identitate nominis, or
Outlawry gives forfeiture of lands	by writ of error. ib.
in treason to the king, and in	No error in fact, if defendant be
felony to lord. II. 206	imprisoned, provided he be
But bare judgment of outlawry	brought to the bar, and de-
without return of record is no	manded, if he will appear and
attainder, nor gives any escheat.	refuse. II. 208
ib.	Of avoiding outlawry of felony
It must be returned by sheriff	because beyond sea; the di-
with writ of exigi facias, and	stinctions, where one goes be-
return indorfed. ib.	yond fea voluntarily, or in
If there be a quinto exactus, and	king's fervice, and where he
thereon utlegatus est per judi-	goes before exigent awarded,
cium coronatorum, but no re-	or after. <i>ib</i> .
Annie Ani Aliabani milit 3 mar 170 am	

How errors in these cases are assigned; how attorney general to plead to the errors, &c. II.

Page 208

Error brought on outlawry in felony, record of outlawry cum comnibus ea tangentibus is removed into B.R. II. 209

Party must render himself in custody, and so must come in person to the bar, and when demanded what he can say, he is
to pray allowance of writ of
error.

ib.

Writ being allowd, record is to be removed; what parts record confifts of. ib.

Then party to affign errors in perfon, and a day is given to king's attorney to reply to him, and in mean time a fcire fac. to lord mediate & immediate is to iffue, returnable at fifteen days ad audiendum errores. ib.

If any lords appear, they may plead to the errors; if sheriff returns there is no land, then court proceeds to examine errors.

ib.

Outlawry being reversed, defendant to answer indictment; where indictment to be tried.

For forfeiture by outlawry, and to what time it shall relate.

Vide Forfeiture.

What judgment in outlawry of felony or treason, and what award of execution to be made.

Vide Judgment.

Vide Gaol-delivery, Justice of Peace, Dyer and Terminer.

Vol. II.

Oyer and Terminer.

Justices must be by commission, and not writ, otherwise their proceedings void. Page 498.

II. 23

May iffue warrants in the counties within their commission for taking felons or surety of peace within their limits.

579

Quere, whether they may not iffue their warrants to take any indicted of felony within their precincts, tho they be abroad in a forein county, by 5 E. 3.

Distinction between ordinary and delegate, justices of oyer and terminer. II. 22

To whom commission directed, and how it runs. II. 22, 23 Commissioners before their set-

fions issue a precept in writing to sheriff to return a jury of same form, as commissioners of gaol-delivery do, but precept by justices of gaol-delivery may be ore tenus.

II. 23, 34

The substance of the precept. II.

Others may be added, or their power contracted by affociation, or fi non omnes, and so in commissions of gaol-delivery. II. 23

One sitting without adjournment determines their commission, but the appointed pro bac vice only, they may continue their session from day to day by adjournment; the like for all other commissions. 498, 499.

Not always necessary to enter adjournment on record, (tho in many

many cases sit), and if not entred, session relates to sirst day, and records are entred as of that day. II Page 24	Whether they may proceed fame fessions against a party indicted before them. II. Page 28, 29, 261
Where necessary to enter their adjournment. II. 24, 261	Difference, where party in prison,
How many ways these commis- fions are determined. II. 24, 25	or at large. II. 29 Difference between treasons and felonies, and other crimes. ib.
Superfedeas suspends their power, procedendo revives it. II. 25	Whether, if cognizance of an of- fense be limited to any court of
How many kinds of notice of a new commission determines the former. 499. II. 25	record, it may be heard and determined by them. ib. They cannot affign a coroner. II.
Where a general commission is determined pro tanto by a spe-	Where by statute, they may issue
cial commission. II. 21, 25 Where a special by a general, or	process of outlawry into any county, and a capias utlega-
not. <i>ib.</i> If there be a general commission,	They are to fend their records de-
and a special one for a fran- chise, Gc. infra com. both da- ted same day, both stand. II.	termined into the Exchequer, but to take out their effretes first. II. 31
General commission extends tâm	How their precepts and processes are to be signed and sealed. ib.
infra libertates, quàm extra. ib.	How they antiently made their warrants for execution of ca-
Regularly they cannot proceed on any indictment taken before o- thers than themselves, and	pital offenders. <i>ib.</i> They cannot deliver prisoners by proclamation. II. 34
therefore they cannot proceed on coroner's inquest or indict-	May originally take indictments of felony of prisoners in gaol. ib.
ment of felony before justices of peace. II. 21, 27	Justices of oyer and terminer, gaol- delivery, and the peace may
This rule extends only to general commissions. II. 27	make up their record by all three of their powers, and best
Not to inquisitions taken before o- ther commissioners of oyer and terminer. ib.	fhall be taken for the <i>king</i> . II. 34, 166 They may take indictments of
A general power is given by statute to justices of over and ter-	treason, if parties in gaol, and may try and give judgment. II.
miner to proceed on indictments taken by former justices. II. 27,	Whether they, if an att limit an
How precept to return a jury	offense to be heard before ju- stices of peace, have a juris-
ought to be, and how the sheriff ought to return it. II. 26,	diction. II. 36
27, 260, 261	Where

Where an att speaks only of justices in the county, they may hear and determine it. II. Page

On trial of felons before them, if prisoner challenge twenty, so that there be not sufficient remaining of the pannel, tales to be granted by precept returnable, as case requires; contra, on trial before justices of gaoldelivery.

They may fit out of a franchife, and determine misdemeanors within the same. II. 38, 39

New commissioners may award execution on one reprieved. II.

But not fit to give judgment, or award execution on one reprieved by another judge, without knowing reason of reprieve.

II. 406

All precepts that issue at sessions of over and terminer, as venire fac. Gc. ought strictly to be by precept, and under seals of the justices, but precepts by justices of gaol-delivery need not be otherwise than by award on the roll.

II. 410

Special commissions of oyer and terminer may be limited to particular rivers, extending to several counties, but then every county must have a particular session pro tanto. II. 21

In case of a commission of over and terminer, or gaol-delivery to any city or town not a county, a general commission for the county after notice or session, by virtue thereof determined the special commission; but this remedied by 2 & 3 P. & M.

II. 21, 25, 26

Special commissions of over and terminer may be for some special offenses. II. Page 21, 27 To bear and not determine; where lawful, or not. II. 21 Of a commission to determine and not inquire. ib. But sometimes, where indictment taken before justices of over and terminer in proper county, special commission may issue to determine it in another county; but it must be tried by jury of proper county. II. 21, 22,

Vide Commission, Court, Gaolvelivery, King's Bench, Crial.

Palace.

THAT the extent of it for trial of felonies within the fame. II. 8, 9

For trial of fuch felonies. Vide Court.

Pardon:

Capital punishments may be discharged by all parties interested; by appellant by release, by king by his pardon.

Tho a pardon restores not the blood, yet as to issues born after, it is a restitution.

358
At common law a pardon of all felonies (petit treason being not excepted) extended to petit treason, and so now doth a pardon of murder.

378
Where all felonies are pardoned by att, but murder is excepted, whether a murder, which is petit treason be excepted.

378
II. 340, 342

In case of a mortal wound given, if mesne between stroke and death there comes a general pardon, whereby all misdemeanors are pardoned, this pardons the selony consequentially, and why.

Page 426

By 13 R. 2. pardon of murder must either be by express word of murder, or else it must be a pardon of felonica interfectio, with a special non obstante of 13 R. 2. 466, 467. II. 45 Pardon of manslaughter may be

general by the words felonia, or felonica interfectio only. 467 If one indicted of murder obtains a pardon of felony, or felonica interfectio, and is afterwards arraigned on that indictment, he must plead quoad murdrum, Gc. not guilty, and as to the felony and interfection his par-

don. ib.

Antiently pardon of all felonies discharged some treasons. 498

Approver vanquishing appellee in battle shall have his pardon tanquam ex merito justitie. II.

Whether peine fort & dure be pardoned by general words of all contempts. II. 252

King's pardon renders an infamous man a competent witness, for it takes away pænam & culpam in foro humano; but his credit is to be lest to the jury, yet not a lawful juryman. II.

Pardon of all felonies extends not to piracy. II. 370

How plea of pardon shall conclude, and how judgment shall be entred. II. 391, 392

In margin of roll in such case is commonly enterd literal patent

tes allocantur sine die, &c. II. Page 391, 392

Parent and Child.

Command of parent excuseth not child in treason or selony. 44,

516

For bomicide in parent defendendo the child, and è converso. Vide Domicide, Butader and Panssaughter.

Park.

Park or warren must be either by charter or prescription. 491
Where homicide justifiable, or not, by statute de malefatioribus in parcis. Vide Homiscide, Hurder and Hanslaughster.

Parliament.

War succeeds best, when concerted with the parliament. 159 Caution used in 28 H.8. for pardon of the attempt to repeal 25 H.8. unnecessary, for parliament not restrained by a precedent act. 280 Vide Impeachment, Creasan.

Peace-Omcers.

Are under more special protection, than private men. 481

Law makes every one an officer to take a seion siying, or religions. 449

Constable bound to execute his warrant, and to pursue on but and erg, if he doth not, he is indictable

indictable for a contempt. Page 1 Safer way to appoint them by order of fessions, or $\mathcal{B}.R.$ II. If peace-officers or affiftants kill Page 97 rioters resisting them, they are Watchman hath a double prodispunishable, either by comtection: as affistant to constamon law, or statute. ble, when present, or in the watch, and as a watchman let Where constable may command by order of law. II. 97, 98 others to affift him, and if they Law takes notice of his authority refuse, they are finable. fub eo nomine, and therefore 588 killing him in execution of his In courts of over and terminer, office, murder. Gc. Sheriff and his substitutes He may arrest night-walkers, and are the ordinary ministers in commit them till morning, and execution of criminals. also felons and persons suspect-Officer not supposed conusant of ed of felony. Where killing them in execution of the law, or to advile with counfel on all occasions. their office, murder, &c. Vide Every one within vill to take nohomicide, Hurder and Mantice of constable in the day; naughter. contra in the night without a Vide Arrest per totum, Escape, hue and Cry, Justice of special notification. Peace, Rescue, Riot. Stocks the prison of the consta-Authority of constable, tithingman, headborough and bur-Peers. (holder much the same. II. 96 Constable of a hundred a distinct A peer in Ireland tried here by a Middlesex jury for a treason officer, introduced by stat. of Winton, yet a conservator of the there. In misprission of treason or felony, The feveral kinds of watchmen. or being accellary thereto, a peer tried by his peers, tho indicted by common grand in-Watch appointed by statute of Winton, from what time to be How lord high steward elected, kept; to be fet by the constable; neglect thereof punishable; and commissionated for trial of of the duty of fuch watchmen. peers, and of his office and du-II. 96, 97 Of the court before lord high What the use of the watch kept *steward* for trial of peers. by constable ex officio; regularly constable ought to be in company with them in their That subject amply treated of by lord Coke. walk and watch. II. 97 Indictment of a peer good fans What the power of watch apaddition. pointed by justices of peace. II. 177 ib.In Vol. II. 7 D

In trial of peers no challenge allowd, for they are not only triers of fact, but in some respect judges. II. Page 275

Peer arraigned on indictment of felony before his peers resusing to plead shall have judgment of peine fort of dure. II. 319

Where process of outleways or

Where process of outlawry, or cap. pro fine, lies against a peer, or not. II. 177, 199, 200

What warrant issued by lord high feward for execution of a peer.

501. II. 409

What parts of execution for treafon may be abated by king's warrant under great or privy feal, Gc. 370. II. 412 For the clergy of peers. Vide Clergy.

Peine fozt & dure. Vide Pute. Petit Larciny. Vide Larciny.

Perjury.

If perjury be committed, that is within 5 Eliz. but indictment concludes not contra formam stat. yet it is good at common law, but not to bring party within the corporal punishment of the act.

II. 191, 192

Petit Treason.

To what particulars 25 E. 3. reduces petit treason. 377, 378
One having committed this offense may be indicted of murder.

Servant kills master on a sudden falling out, not petit treason, but manslaughter. ib.

If wife or fervant procure a stranger to kill the husband, or mater, procurer only accessary to murder, and being only accessary, where the principal is only murder, cannot be petit treason; but if wife and servant conspire death of husband, and servant effect it in absence of wife, it is petit treason in fervant, and she is accessary before to it, and shall be burnt.

Page 378, 379, 381, 382

If wife or fervant, and a stranger conspire to rob husband or master, and servant or wife be present, and hold candle, while husband or master is kild, stranger is guilty of murder, and wife and servant of petit treason as principal.

379

Wife or fervant intending to kill a stranger by mistake kills husband or master, petit treason.

If wife or fervant conspire with a stranger to kill husband or master, if he or she be in same house, when sact done, tho not in same room, he or she is principal in petit treason; if absent, he or she only accessary before to murder.

If wife or fervant command one to beat husband or master, and he beat him, whereof he dies, if wife or fervant in same house, petit treason in wife or fervant as principal, but murder in stranger.

380

What will make a man guilty or principal in murder will make him fuch in petit treason. ib.

Eadem lex for an inferior clergyman in relation to his killing his superior. ib.

Who shall be said a servant or master within 25 E.3. with regard to this offense. Page 380 This all finall not be extended by equity. Who is a wife within it, or not. Where it is petit treason for a clergyman to kill his prelate or metropolitan. Principals in petit treason, as well before as after. One arraigned of petit treason standing mute, or challenging above thirty-five peremptorily shall have judgment of peine fort & dure. 382. 11.399, 400 Whether exclusion of clergy from murder by 1 E. 6. oults it also in petit treason. 340 to 343 Auterfoits acquit, or attaint of murder, a good bar to indictment of petit treaton, and è conver fo. II. 246, 252 Vide Clergy, Indiament, Judgment, Pardon.

Physician.

Physician or surgeon, tho not licensed, gives a potion with a good intent, which kills the patient, no homicide. 429, 430 Physicians and surgeons are to be licensed according to 3 G 14 H.8. 429 In what cases statute of 34 G 35 H.8. dispenseth with the penalties of the former acts. ib.

Piracy.

How indictment to be to work a corruption of blood. 355

Common law takes no notice of it under name of felony. II.

Page 18, 370

1 E. 6. & 1 M. repealing all new felonies tempore H. 8. extend not to piracy.

Pardon of all felonies reacheth it not.

II. 370

Vide Admiralty, Clergy, Infament.

Plague.

1 Jac. now discontinued. 432
If one infected goes abroad with intent to infect another, who dies of it, whether it be murder.

Pleas.

If one indicted of murder obtains a pardon of felony, or felonica interfectio, and afterwards arraigned on that indictment, he must plead quoad murdrum not guilty, and as to the felony and interfection his pardon.

If found guilty of murder, he shall have judgment; if not, his plea shall be allowd. II. 258 How plea of pardon concludes. II. 391

If owner of goods stolen supposed in indictment be a feme covert, or appear to have no interest or possession in the goods, the party shall be acquit, but may be indicted de novo for the goods of husband, or true proprietor.

If A be indicted as principal, and B. as accessary before or after, and both be acquit, yet B. may be indicted as principal, and former

former acquittal as accessary is no bar. Page 625. II. 244

But one indicted as principal and acquitted, shall not be indicted again as accessary before; and if he be, his former acquittal is a good bar, for it is in substance same offense, but antient law was otherwise. 626. II. 244

If he be indicted as principal or accessary before, and acquitted, he may yet be indicted as accessary after, they being offenfes of several natures. 626.

II. 244

If there be an inquisition of murder or manslaughter, and an indictment for same offense, and party is acquitted on indictment, 'tis necessary to quash inquisition, or arraign party upon it, who in such case may plead autersoits acquit, or not guilty.

In all cases of homicide by neceffity, which are no felony, where the matter is specially presented, as it may, party shall be presently discharged, without being put to plead; but then this acquittal by presentment is no final discharge, for he may be indicted and arraigned again afterwards, if matter of former

where indictment or coroner's inquest is of murder or manflaughter, and thereon he is arraigned and tried, and this special matter proved in evidence, he shall be acquit thereon, and

indictment false; but contra,

this acquittal is a perpetual bar against any other indictment for same death. 491, 492. II. 158, 246, 247, 303, 304

Same law in case of homicide se defendendo, or per infortunium,

only petit jury shall find the special matter, and not acquit the party. II. Page 158, 246,

Where offense made felony, or otherwise penal by statute, if by proviso in same, or by any sub-

fequent act, fome cases are exempted out of it, indictment need not mention and qualify the offense, so as to exempt it out of the proviso, but party shall have advantage of it on not guilty, and in same manner

fhall have the benefit of subsequent at to excuse him by 21 fac.

II. 170, 171

If year be mistaken in indictment of felony or treason, and therefore offender be acquit, it is an erronious acquittal, and yet

fhall be a good plea of auterfoits acquit. II. 179

If one indicted of murder cujufdam ignoti, or affault in quendam ignotum, be acquitted or convicted, and afterwards indicted for affault or murder of fuch a man by name, he may plead former conviction or acquittal, and aver it to be fame person.

II. 181

By statute auterfoits acquit of principal or accessary, or auterfoits attaint of principal on indictment is no bar to an appeal; but auterfoits acquit on appeal remains a bar to indictment for same offense. II. 220, 250 Auterfoits acquit of robbery, rape,

Gc. on indictment a good bar to appeal of robbery, Gc. II.

In favour of appeal, if one be indicted of murder, and plead to it, and be convict, and wife enter appeal for fame death against

gainst

3 gainst prisoner, pending appeal judgment shall be respited; but if wife be nonfuit judgment . Inall be enterd on the convic-II. *Page* 220 If one be both indicted and appeald before fame justices of fame murder, or other felony, and plead, party shall be arraigned on appeal first, and not on indictment; and if appellant be nonfuit on his appeal, prisoner shall be arraigned on appeal, and process shall cease on indictment; and if prisoner plead, or be acquitted, or plead king's pardon, and it be allowd, regularly acquittal, or pardon and allowance thereof shall be enterd on appeal, tho it be safe to enter it likewile on indicament; if there be no ceffet processus on indictment, and party be outlawd, he hath no remedy, but by writ of error non the outlawry, and he may affign for error his acquittal on appeal, and aver it to be same felony. If a cap. be awarded against a felon, and he render himself, and plead not guilty, and is let to bail, and then makes default, a cap. ad audiendam juratam shall issue, and if brought in, he shall be tried on his plea; but if he render himself on the exigent, and plead not guilty, and be let to bail till trial, and then make default, whereon an exigent is awarded, and felon is brought in on the exigent, [quere whether] he shall plead de novo. 11. 224, 225 The feveral kinds of pleas on ar-II. 236 raignment. Of pleas declinatory. Vol. II.

In all cases of missiomer party must plead over to felony. II. Page 238, 248, 255, 256 All forein pleas to be tried by jury of county, where party indicted, except in treason. 239 Regularly in all pleas, whether to the writ, or in bar, by matter of record, or fact, or both, if plea doth not confess, as a plea of pardon to indictment, or of release to an appeal; tho this plea be found against him by issue tried, or adjudged against him by the court, yet he shall not be convicted thereon, but plead over to the felony not guilty, as well in indictment as appeal. II. 239, 248, 255, 256 If one be indicted of felony, and plead a pardon, as if indictment be of murder, hand he plead a pardon of felonies, or the like, he need not plead over to the felony, because it fuits not within his plea. Yet if pardon on demurrer by king's attorney, or on advisement of court, be adjudged insufficient, party shall be tried for the felony. ... II. 256, 257 The leveral kinds of pleas in bar. II. 240, 241 Of what kinds of matters plea of auterfoits acquit, &c. consists. II. 241 Counsel shall be affigued to put plea in form. Priloner mult shew record of his acquittal, or vouch it in fame court. II. 241, 242, 243 The like of attainder in case of II. 241, auterfoits attaint. 242

Regularly, if a record be pleaded in bar, and declared on in same court, other party shall not plead nul tiel record, but have over of record; and if it be in another court, he shall plead nul tiel record, and day be given to procure record, or certificate thereof. II. Page 241, 242, 243

Prisoner may remove tenor of his record of acquittal into chancery by certiorari, and have it in poigne, or sent to the justices by mittimus sub pede sigilli.

II. 242
If one be arraigned in B. R. on indictment removed or found before them, who hath been formerly acquitted of fame felony, either before justices of peace, or gaol-delivery, court will grant a certiorari to remove the record before them, and respite his plea, till he can remove his acquittal into the court, that so he may form his plea upon it, for record is part of his plea.

II. 142

Plea must set forth record in certain; how it is to conclude. ib. Record must be removed by writ,

for tho B.R. may take an indictment, or other record of justices of peace propriis manibus, where it is to be proceeded on for the king, yet they cannot take record of acquittal to serve prisoner's plea without writ.

ib.

If one pleads auterfoits acquit de mesme felony, and vouch the record, court may examine proof, that it is same felony, and thereon allow it, without any confession by king's attorney,

but what the fafest methods. II. Page 242, 243

Plea allowd by testimony of justices of peace before whom he was acquit. II. 243

If prisoner be arraigned before justices of gaol-delivery in the country, and plead autersoits acquit of same felony before same justices in that county, or other justices of same county that were before them, or in B. R. how plea to conclude.

Of what averment matter of fact of the plea consists. ib.

There must not only be acquittal by verdict, but a judgment thereon, quòd eat indè sine die, and pleaded also. ib.

Acquittal regularly a warrant for entring of judgment at any time after.

One may plead auterfoits acquit notwithstanding variance in the times alleged in first and second indictment, and aver it to be same felony.

II. 244

If one be indicted for murder of \mathcal{F} . S. and acquitted, and after indicted for murder of \mathcal{F} . N. yet he may plead auterfoits acquit, and aver it to be fame man, G que conus per l'un nosme G l'autre. II. 244, 245

Where there is a different vill laid in the first and second indictment, he may plead autersoits acquit, and aver it to be same vill; but contra, where party indicted first in one county, and then in another, but said to be otherwise in an appeal. II. 245

If one commit a robbery in one county, and carry the goods into another, and is indicted of larciny

larciny in forein county, and is not have been, yet it stood as there acquitted of the larciny, a good acquittal pleadable to it is no bar to indictment of another indictment, or appeal. robbery in proper county, be-II. Page 247, 249 cause another offense. II. Page Auterfoits acquit no plea, where indictment infufficient, or con-245 tains no felony. Neither is it a bar to indictment II. 247, 248 One must be legitimo modo acof larciny in proper county. ib. quietatus. Burglary committed, and goods II. 248 Itolen, if indicted of larciny If error only in process in appeal, or indictment, and yet prisoner for goods stolen and acquitted, yet he may be indicted for burappear and plead not guilty, glary, and è converso. II. 245, and be acquit, this acquittal pleadable: 246 One attaint on insufficient indict-One acquitted for stealing the ment shall not be arraigned on horse may be arraigned and convict for stealing the saddle, new indictment for fame offense, unless former judgment tho both done at fame time. II. 246 first reverst. Acquittal of murder a good plea Where a wrong person brings an to indicament of manilaughter, appeal, auterfoits acquit is no or è converso, so acquittal of plea, neither is it a bar to the murder a good bar to indictking, but he may be indicted ment of petit treason, and è connient obstante that acquittal; and if right heir bring a new II. 246, 252 ver/o. It must be acquittal on trial eiappeal, and be nonfuit, he may ther by verdict, or battle. be arraigned on that appeal at king's fuit. 246, 252 If defendant be acquit on appeal Party acquitted by mildirection of judge, may plead it. II. 247 of murder, or robbery by ver-Special verdict found in felony, dict, regularly a good bar to and court erroniously adjudge indictment. it no felony, as long as that Acquittal by battle on an appeal no bar to indictment for same judgment remains unreverst, if prisoner be indicted de novo, he offense. may plead auterfoits acquit. Indictment and conviction of fe-II. 247, 248 lony without judgment of death, If judgment reverst, party may be or prayer of clergy, no bar of indicted de novo; but quare, a new indictment, nor is auterwhether in point of the verdict foits acquit by verdict, unless party shall not be executed. II. judgment given. II. 248, 251 Auterfoits convict and clergy had If at common law one had been ina good bar to indictment or apdicted of murder, and arraignpeal for same crime. II. 220,

250, 251

And

ed within the year and acquit-

ted, tho arraignment should

And so it is if he pray his clergy, and court advise upon it, tho clergy not actually allowd. II.

Page 251, 390

Auterfoits attaint de mesme selony, tho on insufficient indictment, was at common law a bar to appeals, as well as indictments of same offense, and so remains except in appeals of death. II. 251

If A. be indicted of piracy, and hath judgment of peine fort of dure, and by a general pardon piracies are excepted, but judgment of peine fort of dure is pardoned by general words of all contempts, quere, whether, if he may be arraigned for fame piracy, but he may be arraigned of any other piracy committed before that award. II. 252

If A. be attaint of treason, &c. by outlawry, he shall not be indicted or appeald of same felony till outlawry reversed.

One indicted at common law of felony, and having judgment of death, yet may nient obstante his attainder, be arraigned for treason committed before the felony, but quare as to treason committed after it. II. 252

Where one is appeald of robberies committed on divers persons, and they bring several appeals, and he is attaint at suit of one, yet he shall be put to answer to appeals of the others. ib.

If there be an indictment and attainder at the profecution of one, quere, whether after he may not be arraigned on an indictment at profecution of another to have restitution on the statute.

545. II. 252

One commits feveral felonies, and is attaint of one, and king pardons that attainder and the felony for which he was attaint, if after indicted or appeald for fame felony, he may plead his attainder, and no good replication to fay, he was pardoned after.

II. Page 253

But yet may be indicted or appeald for the other felonies; and if he plead his former attainder, it may be replied that he was pardoned after, whereby he is restored to be a perfon able to answer those offenfes.

If one attaint commit a felony after, and be pardoned the first felony and attainder, he shall be put to answer the new felony.

If one commit feveral felonies, and be convict of one, but no judgment of death, nor clergy given him, he may be indicted for all the former felonies. II.

If one had been convict any one felony, and prayd his clergy, and read, and had been deliverd to the ordinary, formerly he should never have been arraigned for any of the former felonies.

For any felony done after conviction, and clergy allowd, he may be indicted; but not if he stand attaint and unpardoned.

Now by statute clergy dischargeth all offenses precedent within clergy, but not such other offenses as are ousted of it. II.

Accessary on arraignment may plead acquittal of principal. *ib*.

Gaoler

I

may plead acquittal of felon of principal felony, and fo may rescuer arraigned on indicament for rescue of a felon. Page 611, 612. II. 254 Felon acquitted of first felony shall not be arraigned of breach of prison, or if indicted of it before acquittal, and then is acquitted of principal felony, he may plead it in bar to indictment for breach of prison. 611, II. 224, 254, 255 612. He who pleads auterfoits acquit, convict or attaint must plead it specially setting forth record. He must either shew record sub pede sigilli, or have record removed into court, where it is pleaded, by certiorari, or if it be record of same court, must vouch term, year and roll, for record is part of his plea. ib. He must make averments, as case shall require, that he is same person, and it same offense. II. No issue shall be taken on plea of nul tiel record, because pleaded in court, but king's attorney ib. may have over of record. The averments are issuable. If iffue taken on them, they shall be tried by jury, that is returned to try prisoner, by 22 H.8. ib. He that pleads these pleas, must plead over not guilty to the felony, for if the pleas be adjudged against him, yet he shall be tried 255, 256 on not guilty. Where one may plead to jurifdiction of court without answering to the felony. 11. 256 Vol. II.

Gaoler arraigned for voluntary | If one by plea confess the fact, escape of a prisoner for felony | he need not answer to the felony, but he may in that case, if he will, plead over to the felony. II. Page 256 If one be indicted or appeald of felony, and he will demur to the appeal or indictment, and it be adjudged against him, he shall be hanged, for it is a confession of the indictment; he may take all exceptions to indictment or appeal, as might have been taken on demurrer, either before his plea, or in arrest of judgment. In case of demurrer no judgment of peine fort & dure can be given. Plea to the felony confifts of not guilty, (whereto clerk joins issue cul. prist,) and the putting himself on his country. If either of these fail, it is in law standing mute, either in treason II. 258 or felony. In treason or felony there can be no justification, as se defendendo, Oc. But on not guilty he shall have the advantage of all fuch defenses. If durefs and compulsion from others, &c. will excuse him, jury on the general issue ought to find accordingly. II. 258, Where matter appears not to be felony, prisoner on not guilty pleaded may be acquitted. II. 303, 304 Where party is convict within being burnt in the hand, plea of auterfoits acquit, and had his clergy, as good a plea as before 18 Eliz. II. 390

If prisoner plead a pardon, how it concludes, and what judgment enterd. II. Page 391, 392 What conclusion of plea of auterfits acquit, or convict, or attaint de mesme felony; most commonly prisoner pleads over II. 238, 248, 255, not guilty. 256, 392 How fuch plea is confessed by king's attorney, or coroner. ib. If one plead in bar to the indictment, yet if indictment insufficient, whether eat fine die shall be applied to insufficiency of indictment, or plea in bar. II. 393, 394 Reasonable to have the eat sine die special in that case, and II. 393 If entry of judgment of acquittal te quòd eat inde quietus, prifoner cannot be arraigned again, tho indictment infuffici-II. 394, 395 One indicted of murder or manflaughter, on not guilty the special matter is found, or jury acquits him, judgment is quòd eat indè quietus, it is a perperual bar; fo, if found guilty se defendendo, judgment is quòd expettet gratiam regis. II. 395 For pleas in abatement. Vide 9= batement. Vide Judgments.

Polygamy.

I Jac. Bigamy, or [rather] Dolygamy felony within clergy. 692 Cases excepted out of the act. ib. Difference, where first wife married beyond sea, and second

here, and è converso. Page 692, 693 A feme takes baron in Holland, and during his life marries another there, then true husband dies, she (second living) marries a third bere, debors the But if, living first, she had married third in England, felony within it. Where notice of party's being alive necessary, or not. What beyond feas, and what within king's dominions, quoad this alt. Having two wives, one of which is divorced a mensã & thorô not within it; if divorced causa savitia, whether within it. Where divorce a vinculo matrimonii, either party without proviso in act may freely mar-If wife be divorced from the husband causa adulterii vel sacitia, cinculum matrimonii not diffolved; but contra, if divorced causi consanguinitatis cel præcontractús. 124, 381 Where divorce a vinculo, and one appeals, marriage pending appeal, dehors the act; contra, if fentence of divorce repeald. 694 If either within age of confent, fecond marriage not within att; but contra, if feme of twelve, and man of fourteen. Trial directed to be where party taken; he may be indicted, where fecond marriage was, tho never taken. 694, 695, 705 Marriage to a former husband, fe-

19ope. Vide Religion.
19zæmunite.

cond being alive, fimply void.

Pzæmunire.

Mar. repeals all premunires enacted in or after 1 H.8. Page 308

Vide Religion.

Pzerogatíve. Vide King.

Pzescription.

Why deodands, goods of felons of themselves, felons and outlaws cannot be claimed by prescription.

419

Pzesentment.

A more comprehensive term than II. 152, 153 indictment. Some presentments of themselves convictions and not traversable, others not so, but in nature of informations, and therefore tra-II. 153, 154, 155 versable. Presentment of a felo de se before justices of peace, or oyer and terminer, traversable by the ex-II. 154 ecutor. Why coroner's inquest of a fugam fecit is conclusive, and not that of grand inquest, or petit jury. ib. If party be presented to have suffer'd an escape, because at least he is to be fined, he shall have his traverse to it. But if escape be presented upon a vill, it is not traverfable, and 603. II. 154 why. Indictment against city of London for an escape traversable. 154, 155 A presentment of a riot, or forci-

ble detainer by a justice, or

two justices of peace is a conviction by stat. II. Page 155
Presentment of justices of peace of default in repairs of an highway, traversable. ib.
Inquests must be returned to be probi & legales homines de comitatu pradicto. II. 167
For presentments before coroner.
Vide Cozoner.
Vide Inquest of Ossice.

Pziest. Vide Clergy, Felony by Statute, Religion.

Pzincipal and Accessary.

Who shall be faid principals in felony in the first and second de-233, 437, 615 Accessaries before and after described. 233, 613, 615, 618 In treason no accessaries but all principals, so procurer before, or a knowing receiver after; but whether a knowing receiver of a counterfeiter of the great, or privy feal, or the coin be a principal in treason. 234, 237, 613 Whether receipt of a felon after attainder in fame county makes an accessary without notice. 323,622 Confenting to a felony makes a man principal or accessary, bare concealing, only misprision. 374

man principal or accessary, bare concealing, only misprision. 374 If servant or wise be of confederacy to kill the husband or master, and be in same house, where he is kild, tho not in same room, they are principals, and guilty of petit treason, for it is a presence, and so in other cases.

379, 439

There

There are principals and accessa-If A. be indicted of murder, and ${\it B.}$ as accessary ${\it before}$ by prories both before and after in pe-Page 381 tit treason. curement, and A. be found guil-If one take poison by persuasion, ty only of manslaughter, \mathcal{B} . but in absence of another, and shall be discharged. Page 4375 die of it, persuader is principal 450 All prefent and affifting to murin murder. Counselling, commanding, or dider, principals. recting killing a man, if he that In burglary or robbery, those who watch at lane's end, &c. tho counsels, &c. be absent, makes him accessary before to the murnot actually prefent, burglars, or robbers. der. 439, 534, 537, In case of poisoning, he that coun-555, 563 Otherwise on 39 Eliz. that all fels another to give poison, if he doth it, he, who counsels it, binds up exclusion of clergy to if absent, but accessary before. stealing in the house. Persons ready to aid, tho but 435, 615 He, who lays, or gives poilon, lookers on, principals. tho absent when taken, is a Divers come with one affent to do principal. mischief, as to kill, rob, beat, 435, 615 If A, command B, to beat C, and Cc. and in execution thereof one commits murder, all prinhe beat him to death, murder in B, and if A, be present, murcipals. 440,441 der in him also, if absent, he is Divers aiming to rob a person charge him with felony, one accessary. If A counsels B to poison his robs him, robbery in all; but wife, \mathcal{B} . obtains poison from A. without fuch intent, rest not and gives it his wife, who igguilty. norantly gives it to a child, this If A comes in company with B. is murder in B. but A. who to beat C. and B. beat him fo was absent is not accessary to that he dies, A. is principal. ib. the murder, because the com-Several rioters in a house, some issue out and murder one within mand shall be construed strictview, who came to constable's If A. counsel B. to beat C. with a assistance, all within the house, fmall wand, if \mathcal{B} beat him to who abetted the allault, princideath with a great club, A. is pals. 1 7ac. ousts none of clergy but not accessary. If A. counsel B. to kill C. and behim who stabs, not even perfore the fact done countermands fons prefent and affifting. Where principal agent shall be exit, if B. does it afterwards, it is murder in B. but A. not accused from felony, and principal in fecond degree be guilty. 436,452 In manslaughter there can be no accessaries before, nor in homi-All that come in company to rob,

> 533 Where

principals, tho one only do it.

cide se defendendo.

437,450

Where one may be principal in	Receivers or comforters accessa-
robbery, tho neither actually	ries after. Page 614
present at the assault, nor rob-	In offenses unpremeditated no ac-
bery, nor assenting thereto. Page	cessaries before, as per infortu-
534, 537, 538	nium, &c. 615, 616
In acts making felony accessaries	Words of bare permission make
	not an accellant
before and after implied. 613,	not an accessary. 616
614, 615, 632, 644, 704	All prefent, when poison infused,
Whether all making offense se-	principals; but hiring another
lony in offenders, their counfel-	to do it, without being present,
lors, procurers and abettors,	makes him only accessary. ib.
and being filent as to accessa-	Buying materials of poison makes
ries after, extends not to acces-	party only accessary. ib.
faries after. 704	Where the execution varies from
In treason, whether principal in	the command in person slain, or
first degree shall be tried before	in nature of offense, commander
those in second degree. 613.	is not acceffary; contra, where
II. 223	offense is only varied in degree.
In cases criminal, not capital, no	617
accessaries. 530, 613, 616,	A. gets \hat{B} , with child, and before
618	the birth counfels B. to kill it,
Accessaries after to crimes not ca-	child is born, B. murders it,
pital by receiving offenders can-	A. accessary: ib.
not be in law under any penal-	Where the instrument, as a mad-
ties as accellaries, unless the	man, or wild beast cannot be
acts inducing the penalties ex-	a principal, party, tho absent, is
tend to receivers, or comfort-	principal. ib.
ers, as fome do. 613	A. commands B. to kill C. and
Maintainers in certain acts denote	before the fact repents and coun-
maintainers of offense, and not	termands it, yet B. kills him,
parties. <i>ib</i> .	A. not accessary; contra, if he
where an att makes a felony, it	had not countermanded it. 618
incidently makes fuch accessa-	A. knows that B. hat a committed
ries, as would be accessaries be-	a felony, but conceals it, net
fore or after to a felony at	felony, but misprisson. ib.
common law, but the special	A. fees B. commit a felony, but
penning sometimes varies the	consents not, nor takes care to
case. 613, 614, 615, 632	apprehend him, not accessary,
But if the act express accellaries	
before and not after, there can	A. knowingly fuffers a felon in his
be no accellaries after. 614	
Accessaries after an offense of a	not accessary; but contra, if
lower degree than accessaries	corrupted by money. 619
before. 614,615	So if he shut fore-door, and de-
Precurers, counfellors and abet-	ceive pursuers, this being an
tors import accessaries before.	act, and not bare omission, he
614	√
Vol. II.	7 G A. hath

A. hath his goods stolen by B. if A. receives his goods without any contract to favour him, it is lawful; but otherwise theftbote, but yet A. not accellary. Page 619 Where receipt of stolen goods before 3 & 4 W. & M. &c.] made an accessary, or not. 619, 620. II. 150 Relieving a traitor or felon in prison or baild out makes not an accessary. 620, 621 Conveying instruments to a felon to break prilon, or bribing gaoler to fuffer an escape makes an accessary. Writing in favour of a felon for his deliverance, or instructing him to read to fave him by his clergy makes not party an accellary. If A. be committed for felony, and B. an attorney advise the friends of A. to write to the witnesses not to appear against him, who writes accordingly, this makes neither \mathcal{B} , nor the friends accessary, but punishable, and how. A husband receiving the wife may be an accellary, but not wife for receiving the husband. If the wife alone, without his privity, receive a felon, she only accellary. If they jointly receive a felon, it is only the act of the husband. Accessary cannot be, unless felony committed; A wounds B dangerously, C. receives A. then dies, C. not accessary. One may be accellary to an acceffary by receiving him, knowing him to be an accellary to felony. ib.

No accessary in receipt of a felon, without knowing that the party hath committed a felony. Page Accessary may be indicted with principal, or feverally. 11. 223 An accellary before or after in another county, than where principal felony committed, dispunishable at common law. By 2 \bigcirc 3 E. 6. accessary indictable in county, where acceslary, and to be tried there; this att gives no power to justices 623. II. 44 of peace. Justices before whom forein accellary is, shall write to those before whom principal is attaint. for record of attainder, and how the writ is to be. Process of outlawry must stay against accessary, till principal attaint. 623. II. 200 Accessary shall not answer till principal be tried, but otherwife, if he will wave benefit of the law. 623. II. 200 But if he wave it, necessary to respite judgment till principal be convict and attaint, for if principal be after acquit, conviction of accessary annulled; but if acquit of the accellary, acquittal good. 624. II. 224 If he be indicted as accessary to three, he shall not be arraigned till all the principals be attaint or outlawd; but if he be indicted as accellary to one of them only, if that one be attaint, tho the others be not, he thall be arraigned. 624. 200, 201 But the court may, if he be indicted as accellary to three, arraign him only as accessary to

the party attaint, and if acquit of that, he may be arraigned de novo as accessary to the other two. Page 624. II. 200, 201
Best to respite arraignment of accessary till all principals appear, or be outlawd. ib.

If principal and accessary appear, and plead together, they may be tried by same inquest; but principal must be first convict and attaint, and how jury to be charged. 624. II. 223

If principal plead in bar, or abatement, accessary not to answer till plea determined, if plea maintained, accessary discharged, if over-ruled, principal shall plead over to selony, and may be acquitted. 624. II.

If A. be attaint of murder on an appeal, and then A. is indicted of murder as principal, and B. as accessary, principal pleads former attainder, B. shall not be put to answer as accessary, because he is not attaint upon same suit; and so it is, if attainder of A. were first on the appeal.

625

If principal was acquit, or convict, and had his clergy, a pardon, &c. accessary should not [before 1 Ann.e] have been arraigned; contra, if after attainder.

598, 625

If principal be erroniously attaint, accessary shall be arraigned, but principal reversing his attainder reverseth also attainder of accessary.

625

One acquit as principal cannot be indicted as accessary before, but acquit as accessary before or after may be arraigned as principal. 625, 626. II. 244

But one acquit as principal or accessary before may be indicted as accessary after. Page 626.

II. 244

Accessary to crimes within 28 H.8. of trial of treasons, &c. upon the high sea, not punishable thereby, but by the marine law.

II. 17, 18

Principal in murder in fecond degree may be arraigned and tried before principal in first degree.

437. II. 223

Lately accessary, if he appear, hath been arraigned and put to plead, but process against inquest and trial ceaseth, till principal come in, or be attaint by outlawry.

II. 224

Accessary may pray process against principal, and therefore, if accessary acquitted before principal tried, it is a good acquittal; and if convict, a good conviction; but no judgment shall be given on conviction till principal tried. ib.

If A. be arrested, or in prison for selony, and B. rescue him, or the gaoler suffer a voluntary escape, tho they may be presently indicted, yet they shall not be arraigned till A. be convict, or attaint by judgment, or outlawd; for if A. be acquitted on the indictment, the rescuer, or gaoler shall be discharged.

How far a wife may be accessary to her husband's treason, or felony. Vide Coverture.

Prison. Vide Arrest, Breach of Prison, Commitment, Estrape, Gaol, &c.

Pzivilege. Vide Habeas Coz-

Procedendo. Vide Certiogari.

Piocels.

Regularly no process issues in king's name to take a felon, unless on indicament, or matter of record in court. Page 575, 576. II. 113

Of the writ de securitate pacis.
51, 52

In all cases king's writs directed to sheriff, which he executes per fe, or by his warrant to his bailiffs.

Sheriffs or bailiffs may require any one to affift in the execution. ib.

If above two coroners in a county, and a writ is directed coronatoribus, tho one dies, whilst plural number remains, a return by the coroners is good; but if only one survivor, he cannot execute and return it till another made.

II. 56

But if two coroners in a county, or more, one may execute the writ, as in case of an exigent, but return must be in name of coronatores. ib.

Coroner on appeal in his county may iffue process to take appellee. II. 68

But if only coroner of a franchife, whether he may make precept to sheriff to attach him. ib.

He cannot make precept to bailiff of a franchife, because bailiff of a franchise cannot execute process within it but by sheriff's mandate. ib.

Process after indicament issued from a sessions of the peace is always in king's name. II. 113 On indictment or information preferd on a penal law, capias not first process, but venire fac. and distringas, and in cases of information no process of outlawry at all till 21 Jac. II. Page 113

Superfedeas on a prohibition iffues from chancery in vacation, from B.R. in term. II. 147

Of the writ de odio & atiâ; its different names. II. 148

Why disused. *ib.*Party to be baild by twelve perfons. *ib.*

The indicaments be not discontinued by demise of the king; in some cases process are. II. 189, 209

In indictments of trespass venire fac. first process, and when non est inventus is returned, cap. and exigent.

II. 194

All process on an indictment, and generally all process for the king are with a non omittas, &c. 577. II. 224

By virtue thereof sheriff may enter into any liberty to execute the same. II. 224

If party be in his own house, or in the house of another, and doors be shut, and sheriss having given notice of his process demand admittance, and the doors be not opend, he may break open the doors, and enter to take the offender. ib.

For jury-process. Vide Ctial.
For process in outlawry. Vide
Outlawry.

For warrants. Vide Arrest, Justices of Peace.

Vide Certiozari, Habeas Coz-

Pzoperty.

One hath a property ratione loci, privilegii & impotentia, in young beafts and birds fera natura in his park. Page 511

A lodger hath a special property in his chamber. 554

Artificial accessions by adjunction, commixtion and specification.

Vide Burglary, Indiament, Larciny, &c.

Purveyozs. Vide Felony by Statute.

Ducen.

A queen regent, who is married, holds her fovereignty as intirely, as if she were sole.

Who shall be said a queen within 25 E. 3. de proditionibus. Vide Treason.

Rape.

Elony at common law, then by statute made a misdemeanor, and by 13 E.1. felony 627, 632 How antiently punished, but it was in the woman's power to fave ravisher by marriage. 627 Not inquirable in a Leet or Turn. II. 69 627, 632. 628 Rape defined. Carnal knowledge of a girl under ten, felony without clergy by 18 Eliz. If above ten, and under twelve (deins age of confent to mar-

riage) tho she consent, it is a rape. Page 63 1 Debet esse penetratio, as well as emi []io. 628 Least penetration absque emissione makes it a rape. An aider a ravisher. Keeping a woman as concubine before the rape, antiently a good exception, and now may be evidence of affent. Husband cannot commit it on his wife. But aiding another to commit it upon her, indictable as a rape, tho wife cannot have appeal of it against her husband. Wife in fuch a case may be a witnels against her husband. ib. Infant under fourteen presumed incapax, tho he may be principal in aiding, Gc. Confent on menace of death excuseth not. 63 I Mulier vi oppressa concipere potelt. Subsequent consent not extorted creates a bar of her appeal, but ravisher indictable. In fuch case who shall have the 631, 632 appeal. What forfeitures the ravisher and ravilhed allenting incur by sta-There may be accessaries before and after. 632 Fresh discovery and pursuit necesfary on part of ravished. 632, Year and day not allowed for bringing appeal, but it is in difcretion of court. Principals ousted of clergy, but not accellaries before, or after.

7 H

What

What necessary concurring evidence to confirm that of the ravished. Page 633, 634, 635 Where an infant ravished shall be heard without oath. 634, 635 Accusation of a rape easily made, hard to be proved, and harder to be defended by the accused, tho never so innocent, and many instances thereof. 635, 636. II. 290

Realm.

Realm of England comprehends the narrow feas, invading king's ships in the same, levying war en son realm within 25 E. 3.

Ireland, the part of dominions of this crown, yet no part of the realm of England, nor infraquatuor Maria. 155

The like [formerly] of Scotland, even while it was under the power of the crown of England, as in fometimes of E. 1. and E. 3. ib.

Isles of Man, Fersey, Guernsey, Gc. the parcel of dominions of this crown, for what purpose they are not within the realm.

156

For trial of forein treasons, and of an offense made felony by statute, consisting partly in the realm, partly out. Vide County.

What shall be said beyond seas, and what within king's dominions with respect to 1 Jac. of bigamy. Vide Jospamy. Vide Ireland.

Receiver.

Whether receipt of a felon after attainder in same county, makes an accessary fans notice. Page 323, 622

Vide Fozcible Marriage, Pzincipal and Accessary, &c.

Recognisance. Vide Bail, Jutice of Peace.

Record.

A judge fined for rafing a record.

On Westm. 1. an attorney imprifoned for a year and a day, and banished the court of C. B. for embezzling part of a record.

If a clerk had made a misentry of record, the judge of the court, might ore tenus have rectified it, tho sometime after. ib.

A judge of record is quasi a living record, and controls the entry of the clerk.

king's title being of record must be avoided by record. II. 205 Judgment of record to be avoided by record. II. 207

Acquittal generally a warrant for entry of the judgment at any time after. II. 243

By 8 H. 6. flealing, carrying away, or avoiding records, felony, clergy allowd. 645, 646, 653

Felony in principals and accessaries before. 645, 646

Exposition on this att. 645 to 653

Punishment

Punishment of this offense before
the act. Page 646 to 649
If judgment thereby be reverfible,
tho not reversed, it is within
the act. 650
What records are within it. 649,
650
No difference, whether judgment
be in a criminal, or civil case,
or whether reverfible by error
or plea. 650 If it be in affirmance of judgment,
punishable as a misdemeanor,
but not felony. 650, 651
But if A. be fued by original to
exigent and outlawd, and after-
wards exigent is made B. by
one man, and original the same
by another, felony in him, who
rased original, and in him also
who rased exigent. 651
If this offense arise in two coun-
ties, dispunishable as felony,
but punishable as misprission in
either. 651, 652, 653
Justices of either bench enabled to
hear and determine it, and
C.B. has conusance, the of-
fense in record of B.R. 651
Trial to be by party-jury of clerks
and others. ib.
C. B. may take indictments there- of. ib.
0.0
Indictment may be taken by clerks
alone, or foreigners alone, or both; but trial to be by party-
jury only. In what county to be tried. 652
Where by special commission. ib.
Where indictment removeable in
B.R. $ib.$
If offense committed in London,
mayor not to be named in com-
mission. 1b.
I Jac. acknowledging fine, reco-
very, deed involled, statute or
recognizance, bail or judgment
1 CLUZALECTRICOS DELLA DE JOURS

in name of another not privy to same, felony, clergy ousted, but no corruption of blood, or loss of dower.

Page 696

Bail taken, but not filed, not within this act, [but since made felony].

696

How record of acquittal or conviction shall be pleaded, shewn forth, or certified upon plea of auterfoits acquit, &c. and where nul tiel record pleadable, or not. Vide Plea.

Vide Amendment, Chancery,

Certiozari.

Recusant. Vide Religion.

Relation. Vide Felo de se, Fozseiture, &c.

Religion.

Herefy in common speech comprehended apostacy, witchcraft, and formal berefy. ib. Apostacy defined. What the punishment of it by the old laws *here*, and by the imperial laws. ib. Soliciting others to apostacy most Witchcraft antiently punished by writ de heretico comburendo. ib. Heresy variously defined. 383, The definition of the papal canonists makes the smallest deviation from them berefy. Who the judge of berefy according to the common and imperial law. 384, 385 The folemnity that accompanied the degradation of one in or-384, 385, 389 ders, Inqui-

inquisitors thereunto deputed by	How the law and ulage obtained
the pope, or ordinary. Page	here touching heretics before
384, 385	R. 2. Page 390 to 396
Heretics distinguished into seve-	Fitzberbert mistaken in saying
ral ranks. 385	that the writ de haretico com-
A simple heretic defined. ib.	burendo issued only in case of a
	1 1 6
Dismissed on abjuring specially the	relapie. 394
particular opinion, or gene-	Death rarely inflicted on heretics
rally all heretical opinions. ib.	before R. 2. ib.
The latter abjuration required of	Quo jure goods forfeit for heresy
those, that were graviter su-	before $2H.5.$ <i>ib.</i>
<i>Specti.</i> 385, 386	Of heresy in the times of $R.$ 2.
Who shall be said bereticus con-	H. 4. H . 5. and fo to 25 H . 8.
tumax. 386	395 to 402
Who a relapsed heretic. ib.	The power of the diocesan touch-
If after conviction heretic abjured	ing berefy by 2 H. 4. and an
his opinion, his life was faved;	exposition thereon. 395 to 400,
but if he relapsed after abjura-	409, 410
tion, then deliverd over to fe-	Temporal judge might incidently
	have taken notice, whether a
cular power; no fuspension of	
fentence. 386, 387	tenet was herefy or not, and
By civil and canon law convicting	the diocesan had so certified,
and fentencing heretics were	temporal judge might have de-
lest to the ecclesiastical judge,	liverd party imprisond on ha-
without which civil jurisdiction	beas corpus, and false impri-
could not punish. 387	fonment lay against party de-
Several penalties consequential on	taining him. 400, 407, 408
fentence of <i>berefy.</i> ib.	Exposition on 2 H.7. against be-
Whence burning all heretics in-	retics and Lollards. 401
distinctly, if pertinacious or re-	What the method of proceeding,
lapsed, took its rise. 388	and how the law touching he-
Penalties by canon law go no fur-	retics and their punishment
ther than ecclesiastical censures,	stood from 25 H.S. until first
and what these are. ib.	of Eliz. and from that time to
Secular power made the minister	the time the author wrote. 401
in execution of heretics. 389	<i>to</i> 411
Princes were not fufferd to shew	1 Eliz. defines herefy. 408, 409
_	
any curtefy to heretics. ib.	Expedition on that all. 404 to
When fentence given by the ordi-	411
nary, he was deliverd over to	It fet first boundary to herefy.
the lay-officer, and then a man-	406
date issued from chief magistrate	Significavit of conviction ought
to execute offender. ib.	to have contained (even at
Reflections on the miserable ser-	common law) the particular
vitude of christians under the	herefy, without it no writ de
papal hierarchy. 389, 390	haretico comburendo ought to
	have issued. 407, 408
d.	Whether
* A	

containd in the Two Parts.

Whether conviction of heretics	Non-conformity within three
was practifed in queen Eliza-	months after conviction, party
beth's time. Page 405	shall abjure the realm. $Page$
9 Fac. two men convict of Aria-	Not departing, or returning, fe-
nism before the diocesan burnt	Not departing, or returning, fe-
by writ de hæretico comburendo.	lony without clergy. ib.
507	Submitting discharged of the pe-
[This writ is taken away by	nalty of this act. ib.
29 Car. 2. heresy bow punish-	Relapsing loseth benefit of the sub-
able at this time, vide in no-	mission. ib. Penalty for retaining or relieving
tis]. 410	recollect often notice
Great privileges granted to the	recusant after notice. ib.
clergy by antient kings and states. II. 323, 324	Exposition on this act. ib.
In fome kingdoms double supreme	Circumstances necessary to be alleged and proved. <i>ib.</i>
power; regnum ecclesiasticum	leged and proved. <i>ib.</i> By 35 Eliz. popish recusant refu-
& feculare; former only de-	fing to abjure, or after abjura-
pendent on the pope, the latter	tion to depart, felony without
fubordinate to the former, fo	clergy. 690
regnum sub graviori regno. II.	Not to remove five miles from
324	London. ib.
The original of fanctuary, and o-	By 1 Eliz. against maintaining any
riginal and progress of privile-	forein ecclefiastical authority
gium clericale. II. 323 to 330	within these realms, first offense,
The clergy claimed their privi-	forfeiture of goods, &c. fecond
leges jure divino. II. 323,324	incurs penalty of priemunire,
Sanctuary ousled by statute. 605	third treason. 329, 330
Supremacy of king in matters ec-	Exposition on this act. 330
clesiastical, a most unquestion-	By 5 Eliz. maintaining the au-
able right of the crown. 75	thority of the pope made a pra-
Of the papal incroachments there-	munire the first time. 330
on, and also on his sovereignty	So refusing to take the oath of su-
in matters civil under pretence of in ordine ad spiritualia. 76	Second offense in both cases; trea-
Bringing in pope's bulls against	
common law, and fometimes	Exposition on this act. 331,332
antiently punished as treason.	
643	
Felony by 13 R. 2. ib.	
By 13 Eliz. the offense as well in	larged by 3 Fac. 333,337,338
the bringers in of these bulls	Exposition on both these acts. ib.
&c. as executors thereof, trea-	
fon as well in ecclefiaftics as	
laymen. ib	. Aiders and maintainers of offen-
By 35 Eliz. penalty of dissuading	ders within 23 Eliz. and con-
from church, holding of con-	cealers of such offenses, how
venticles. 688, 689, 690	punished. ib
, Vol. II.	7 I

By 27 Eliz. where a subject, not being a jesuit, educated in a forein seminary, and not returning after proclamation, or a popish priest coming into the realm shall be guilty of treason.

Page 336
Receiving of fuch popish priest
knowingly, felony sans clergy.

336, 615, 688

Sending relief to a feminary, a præmunire.
336

Concealers of fuch priefts, how punished.
337

Justice of peace, to whom discovery made, not informing one of the privy council, &c. shall forfeit 200 marks. ib.

25 Eliz. a suspected jesuit or priest resusing to answer directly to be imprisond, till he shall make direct answer. ib.

Vide Clergy, Mispzision.

Repzieve. Vide Execution and Repzieve.

Rescue.

Rescue of one taken on general warrant to answer what shall be objected, no cause being express, not felony. 578, 609

He, who rescues a felon, may be indicted, but shall not be arraigned till principal be convict, or attaint. 598, 607.

II. 254

Rescuer is quasi accessary, if principal be convict and not attaint, but hath his clergy, or be acquitted, rescuer shall not be put to answer the rescue, but be discharged. II. 254

If principal be found not guilty, or guilty of a crime not capital, rescuer ought to be discharged, but he may be fined for the rescue. Page 599. II. 254

Tho prisoner indicted of several felonies, yet the rescue makes but one.

A man arrested on mesne process in carrying to gaol is rescued, the return of the rescue excuseth the sheriss; contra of an execution. 601, 602

If a felon be attaint and carried to execution, and be rescued from the sheriff, sheriff is punishable, because he should have taken sufficient power with him.

602

Hindrance of arrest of felon, misdemeanor, but no felony. 606 Where arrest of a felon lawful,

refcue of him, felony. *ib*. If in custody of a private man,

notice that he is arrested for felony necessary to make it felony; contra, if in custody of an officer.

Return of rescue of a felon against A. by sheriff not sufficient to put him to answer to it without indictment. ib.

If prisoner under custody be refcued, or prison broke by strangers without his procurement, no felony in the prisoner, but felony in the strangers as a rescue; but if by his procurement, felony in him as a breach of prison.

If party rescued be imprisond for felony, and be rescued before indictment, what indictment must surmise; but if party be indicted and taken by a cap, and rescued, then there need only a recital that he was in-

dicted

dicted prout, and taken and referred.

Page 607

Vide Breach of Prison, Escape,

Treason.

Restitution.

The feveral means of restitution of goods to party, from whom stolen.

On appeal of robbery, &c. and conviction thereon, goods contained in appeal were to be reflored to appellant. 538,539

If he omit any in his appeal, they are confiscate. 538, 545

If party brings appeal of robbery, Cc. and it appears appellee came to the goods by bailment, Cc. without felony, plaintiff forfeits his goods to the king for his false appeal.

Where one steals goods of divers men severally, and one of them convicts offender on his appeal, before judgment rest may pursue their appeals. ib.

If judgment be given against A. on the appeal of B. yet if appeal of C. were begun before the attainder, A. shall be arraigned on appeal of C. because he is to have restitution of his goods thereby; second trial at suit of C. only in nature of an inquest of office to intitle him to restitution; whether the attainder be a bar to C. ib.

But if C. doth not commence his appeal before A. is attaint, A. shall not be arraigned thereon; but if afterwards pardoned, he shall be arraigned at suit of C. but contra, if attainder were at king's suit.

ib.

Where appellent shall have restitution. Page 540 When he shall have restitution.

540, 541 Of what things he shall have re-

Of what things he shall have reflitution.

541

If felon waive goods stolen without any pursuit after him, they are not in law bona waviata, nor forfeit; but contra, if he waive them on pursuit. 541

This forfeiture not like a stray; where tho lord may seize, yet owner may retake it within year and day; but here true owner cannot seise his own goods, tho on sresh suit within year and day, which is an expedient in the law to compel owner to prosecute his appeal.

Of what things owner shall have restitution on 21 H.S. he should have had restitution of on conviction in appeal at common law.

Before this act no restitution on indictment. 542

This att speaking of king's subjects extends to aliens robbed.

If fervant be robbed of master's money, and master or servant by procurement give evidence, and convict selon, master shall have a writ of restitution. ib.

Restitution to be to party robbed, or owner. *ib.*

If A be robbed by B. and C. and B. only is convict of robbery by evidence of A he shall have restitution. ib.

If A. be robbed of an ox by B. who fells him to C. who keeps the money in his hands, and after kills the ox and fells it, or

if the money be seized in the hands of the thief, A. may have a writ of restitution for the money.

Page 542

So if money be stolen, and thief taken, he shall have restitution.

Testator robbed, thief convict on procurement of executor, he shall have restitution. ib.

If goods be stolen, and by thief fold in market-overt, thief being convicted on evidence of party robbed, he shall have restitution on this act of thing fold

542 to 547

By 31 Eliz. notwithstanding sale of a horse in market-overt, owner may take him within six months after the selony on proof of his property, which shews that after six months he shall not have restitution.

Scrivener's shop no market-overt [for plate] by custom of L_{on-don} .

By 31 Eliz. & 1 Jac. no fale of folen goods in London, West-minster, or Southwark, or within two miles to a broker shall change the property. ib.

Shops in London a market-overt [with respect to goods usually fold therein]. 543,544

Whether a fale in market-overt had bar'd restitution in appeal.

A. commits a robbery, king's officer feizeth goods stolen, and sells them in market-overt, party robbed convicteth A. on his appeal, he shall have restitution, if he made fresh suit.

If offender be convict on evidence of party robbed, or owner, he shall have restitution, tho there were no fresh suit, or any inquiry by inquest touching the same; but contra in appeal.

Page 545

Owner prefers indictment against the thief, who flies, and is thereon outlawd, owner shall have restitution.

Two perfons have their feveral goods stolen, tho thief convicted on the prosecution of one of them, the other must prosecute his indistment in order to have restitution of his goods. 545.

Antiently if C. was attaint on indictment preferd by A. and reprieved till another fessions, and then B. preferd indictment of another robbery committed on him by C. C. might if he would have pleaded to the countrey, and on conviction B. should have had restitution; but he might have pleaded autrefoits attaint, and have refused to have answerd, and then \mathcal{B} . should have had no restitution, but by 21 H.8. court ought to inquire by inquest of office touching robbery of B. and being afcertained thereby to grant restitution. 545, 546. II. 252 Restitution by course of law, ei-

ther by taking his goods, or action.

146

1546

16 A. Iteal goods of B. and B. take his goods of A again to

take his goods of A. again to favour him, or maintain him, how punishable; but if he take them again without any such intent, no offense, but justifiable.

ib.

But after felon convicted, it can be no colour of crime to take his goods again, where he finds them, and why.

ib.

A. steals

A. steals 50 l. in money of B.

A. is convicted and hath his clergy on prosecution of B.

B. brings trover for it, and held it well lies; but contra, if before prosecution by indictment party robbed brings trover, or if plaintiss in former case had not given evidence on conviction.

Page 546, 547

A convict within clergy on being burnt in the hand shall be restored to possession of his lands.

For restitution of blood. Vide Corruption and Restitution of Blood.

Return.

In temporal matters a general cause or return of herefy or criminousness, insufficient; fignificavit of conviction of herefy ought to contain particular herefy. 407, 408 Insufficient cause of refusal, or non admission of a clerk, to allege that he is criminosus & non idoneus, or that he is schismaticus inveteratus. Literal numbers allowd in returns, tho not indictments. II. 170 Vide Certiogari, Pabeas Cozpus, Dutlawzy, Pzocels.

Right. Vide Fozseiture.

Ríot.

Where one may affemble people to defend his house by lawful means, which he cannot do with regard to a journey. 445,

Where by common law and statutes, sherisf, justices of peace, &c. may raise a power to suppress and take rioters; and where, if they disperse not on proclamation, and any of the rioters be kild, or maimed by justices, or those assembled by them to suppress the riot, it is justifiable. Page 53, 293, 294,

A presentment of a riot by a justice, or two justices of peace, as case shall require, is a conviction by several acts. 155 Vide Arrest, Homicide, Justice of Peace, Hurder and Mansaughter, Peace-officers, Treason.

River.

Thames is alta via regia, king's high stream. 536

Robbery.

Defined. What ingredients in robbery. ib. Something must be feloniously ta-A mere assault to rob without taking [till late act] only a mifdemeanor. What a taking in law and fact. If a thief compel true-man by fear to fwear to fetch him money, which he doth, and thief receives it, robbery. If A. affaults B. and demands his purse, and B. delivers it, it is a taking, and so if B. refuse, and A. pray a loan or gift of money, which B. gives or lends, robbery.

Vol. II.

1

7 K

So

So if B. throws his purse in a bush, and A. takes it up, and carries it away, so if B. slying from thief let fall his hat, and thief takes it, and carries it away, all effect of same fear. Page 533

If a thief without weapon drawn bid party deliver his purse, which he doth, robbery, tho finding little in it he return it.

A.'s purse being fastned to his girdle, B. atsaults him to rob him, and in struggling girdle breaks, and purse falls to the ground, no robbery; but if B. take up the purse, or if B. had purse in his hand, and then girdle breaks, and striving lets purse fall to the ground, and never takes it up again, robbery. ib.

Taking in the presence is taking from the person, but to consti-

from the person, but to conftitute robbery it must be with putting in sear.

Taking my cattle in my presence, and putting me in fear, robbery.

Where one, neither in view, nor affenting, nor prefent at robbery or affault may be guilty of robbery. 534, 537, 538

Where words of menace are used after taking and not before, larciny, not robbery.

534

Tho thing taken be under 12 d. value, felony fans clergy. 536 But in forein county petit larciny,

for it is not robbery there. ib.

A. rides out with others with de-

fign to rob, he parts from his company, and pursues not his design, they afterwards commit a robbery, A. not guilty. 537 of a robbery be committed before

If a robbery be committed before fun-rising, or after sun-set,

whilst it is so far day-light that the face of a man is discernible thereby, Hundred chargeable. Page 557

A. intending to rob B. breaks a hole in his house, B. for fear throws out his money, which A. takes and carries away, robbery.

One indicted for robbery in vid regia, or in alta via, or alta via regia, and convict, is ousted of clergy; contra, if in via regia pedestri ducent, &c. II. 349 For robbing of bouses. Vide divisions in titulo Burgiary. Vide Que and Cry, Larciny.

Rogues. Vide Felony by Sta-

Sacrstege. Vide Clergy.

Sale in Market-overt. Vide Restitution.

Sanduary. Vide Religion.

Scotland. Vide Realm, Creas

Seal and Signet.

F the great feal, and what things are passed under it. 170, 171, 174, 175 Pes sigilli, or le targe explained, and the use of it. 171 The use of the privy seal. ib. Of the privy signet. ĩb. Seals of B. R. C. B. and exchequer, duchy and county palatine of Lancaster, &c. by whom kept, in what manner, and by whom deliverd. 171, 172

contained in the Two Parts.

When king dies, great feal continues till another made. Page

176, 177

Proclamation de sigillo amisso, when either king or subject lost his seal casually. 183, 184

King had power to dispose of lands belonging to the crown or ducby by letters patents under these respective seals, [but how restrained at this time, vide 1 Ann. Sess. 1. cap. 7.]

Where counterfeiting old great

What may be faid a counterfeiting the great or pricy feal.

181 to 186

By 1 M. forging queen's sign manual, privy signet or privy seal, treason. 178, 184

Antiently counterfeiting any of the king's feals, treason. 179 to 184

It must be an actual counterfeiting, barely compassing, not treason. 181

Affixing a true great feal to another patent, a great misprission, but not treason. 181, 182

Fixing great feal by chancellor to a charter without warrant, no treason. 183

Counterfeiting king's judicial feal for writs only a great misdemeanor, so of the feal of a statute merchant. ib.

What the judgment in counterfeiting great and privy feal, privy fignet and fign manual. 187, 351, 352. II. 398, 399

Sedition.

In fome old books treason exprest by that name, yet a charge of doing any thing seditionally amounts not to a charge of treafon. Page 77

Se defendendo. Vide Homí= cíde.

Beizure.

Whether goods of an offender, and in what cases at common law might be seised on the offense committed, or inventoried and appraised only; in what cases and on what security they were to be delivered to the bailist of party indicted, or to the constable or villata to be answerable for them, and in the last case, what maintenance the party and his samily should have had out of them.

If no indictment, then he who feifed, did it at his own peril, no felony being committed. ib.

What regulations made therein by 5 6 25 E.3. 364, 365

If party comes not in his goods

If party comes not in, his goods forfeit on award of exigent, which is awarded on the second cap. returned non est inventus, as well in treason as felony, tho 25 E. 3. mentions only felony.

Second cap. iffues with a precept to feife offender's goods, out of which sheriff is to allow him and his family sufficient for their suffenance. ib.

Whether in case of such a seizure a sale for valuable consideration before conviction and after seizure binds the king, as in case of seizure and delivery to villata. ib.

of a party imprisond, the indicted

365 Whether this all extends to trea-366 fon, tho not mentiond. Whether it extends to a party at large, whether indicted or not; it repeals not 25 E.3. touching fecond cap. with a feizure of goods; as to other persons that are at large and not indicted, nor process made on their indictment, if they fly not, no feizure can be made, whether they be indicted, or not. If a man be at large and fly for it by this act, his goods cannot be feifed and removed, whether he be indicted or not. 366 If indicted and at large goods cannot be removed, but only viewed, appraised and inventoried where they lie. Tho they may not be removed, yet where party is at large, party removing not within penalty of double value. Nient obstante this att, the use of feizing of goods of persons accufed of felony, tho imprisond or not imprisond, prevails. 366, A true exposition of this act. 367

Vide Restitution. Vide Adjournment, Sellions.

Justice of Peace.

Sheriff.

Not to take notice in pais of ap-

pointment of a new sheriff. Custody of a felon belongs to old sheriff till turned over by indenture.

494, 495

dicted, but not convicted. Page | Ought to deliver to commissioners of gaol-delivery the names of persons in prison, or let to bail or mainprize. II. *Page* 36 Sheriff finable for wilful or negligent escape in his gaoler, but not in gaolers of gaols in particular franchises. 595, 597, 598

His power to hold pleas of the crown taken away by Magna Charta. II. 69 Yet afterwards had power to take

indictments of felons. II. 69, 87, 106

Such power either virtute commiffionis, which is taken away by statute, or virtute officii in his Turn, which continues. II. 69,

The Turn can take no indicament but of felony by common law, or of fuch matters, as are by statute limited to them, and therefore indictment of rape void there.

It is a court of record; who the judge there, and what the style H. 70

When it is to be held, if not held within the stated times, court held for that Turn only void.

Indictments by one att must be under feal of the jury, by another all indented. II. 70, 152*

What freehold or copyhold indictors must have, otherwise sheriff punishable, and indictment voidable by plea.

He can make out no process on these indictments, but must fend them to justices of peace, who have power to proceed thereon. II. 70, 71, 106, 107, 142, 152*

But if original presentment not within jurisdiction of the Turn, justices of peace ought not to proceed thereon, the removed before them. II. Page 71, 152 By common law he might issue a warrant for taking a felon before indictment. II. 106 Where bailist of franchise cannot execute process within his franchise, but by sherist's mandate. II. 68

Sign manual.

By I Mar. forging queen's fign manual, treason. 178, 184 Judgment in this treason. 187

Sí non omnes. Vide Commission.

Sodomy. Vide Buggery.

Soldier.

How foldiers were antiently retained.

672, 673
Who bound to ferve the king in his wars.

675
Whether impressing them justifiable.

678, 679
Etymology of Prest.

677
Vide Felony by Statute, Confidule and Marshal.

- Stabbing. Vide Clergy, Indiament, Hurder and Pannaughter.
- Statutes in general, and their Exposition.
- Tho Westm. 2. which gives imprisonment, where party in af-Vol. II.

fife vouches a record and fails of it, is general, yet neither an infant, nor a feme covert in that case shall be imprisoned. Page

General acts, that give corporal punishment, are not to extend to infants, therefore infant convict in ravishment of ward stall not be imprisond, tho act be general, but where a fact is made felony or treaton, it extends as well to infants above fourteen, as to others. 5 Eliz. & 18 Eliz. which require a conviction and attainder according to the order and courfe of law, these words include indictment as well as trial, yet the words all trials of treason shall be according to the course of the common law, as in 1 & 2 P. & M. include not indictment as well as trial. 22I,

Mar. reducing all treasons to 25 E.3. repeals not only treasons enacted de novo, but also declarative acts. 222, 260 Some things enacted to be treasons by new and temporary laws, which were treason by 25 E.3. 261, 262

An att for fafety of king's perfon, &c. enacts an offense felony, or a misdemeanor (without a special clause) carries a presumption, that same was not treason before, and is a judgment of parliament in point.

Tho 1 E. 6. & 1 M. have taken away treasons of 11 R. 2. & 1 H. 4. yet they are so far of force as to damn the salse and extrajudicial opinions of Tressilian, &c. 266
7 L. Where

Where an act makes felony treafon by the repeal of that all it becomes felony again. Page When an offense is made treason or felony by act, and that act is repeald; offenses committed betore fuch repeal, and the proceedings thereon are discharged by fuch repeal, without a special clause in att of repeal. 291, 309 Whether the two penalties previous to treason in case of words, viz. for the first and fecond offense in 5 & 6 E. 6. be repeald by any alt, quare. **296,** 309, 310 The manner of proceeding (in cases of treason) on a subsequent act may be directed by a 297. II. 288 precedent att. Where the word king in an act is personal, or includes his fuc-101, 310, 318, 669, ceffors. 706, 707, 708 Where fecond offense is subjected to a feverer punishment, there must be a conviction of the former, and judgment given there-324, 685, 686, 705 upon. Preamble of an att an estoppel, and prefumed to be true | 327 Tho 25 E.3. 6 1 R.3. mention only felony, yet they extend to treason, being atts for advancement of justice. 365, 366 Acts speaking of fines or ransoms at king's pleasure mean of his Where commons affent to an act is not exprest in parliament The words bear and determine in an act import a trial by jury.

Interpretation of an alt (especially where a temporal interest is concerned) is of temporal cognizance. Page 409 Construction of many acts touching matters of ecclefiaftical cognizance belongs to the temporal Where all speaking of king's fubjects extends to aliens bere. Where maintainers denote maintainers of offense, not of parties. Procurers, counsellers, abetters import accessaries before, receivers or comforters, after. Where an att makes an offense felony, it incidentally makes fuch accessaries, as would be accessaries before or after at common law, but the special penning fometimes varies the case. 613, 614, 615, 632, 644, Eyt judgement de vy & member create a felony, whereon enfue corruption of blood, eschete to the lord, and loss of dower. 627, 641, 703 Act mentioning inferior officers extends not to superior. Where atts making felony extended beyond the letter. 632, 654. II. 365 Where att is repealed and reenacted, or temporary, and expires, or is continued till end of next fessions, and before that is continued over, where it shall be said contra formam statuti or statutorum, or of which statute. 667, 706. 173

Where

Where one att relates to another, as where former makes offense, latter adds a penalty, how indictment to conclude. II. Page The feveral ways of providing that there shall be no corruption of blood, &c. But the there be fuch a clause, king shall have forfeiture of lands during felon's life, and his goods, for there is no efchete to the lord, where inheritance is faved to the heir. ib. By special clause forfeiture of goods, as well as lands, may be provided against. ib. Saving corruption of blood virtually makes heir inheritable and faves dower. 704 Clergy not ousted without special · words. In all acts making treason, selony or misprission of treason, peers to be tried by peers. An att makes offense felony in offenders, their counsellers, procurers and abetters, and is filent as to accellaries after, whether these implied. An att making an offense by name, as rape, Gc. felony makes all present aiders and abetters principals, tho only one commits it; but as to clergy in some cases it differs. If it makes the offender, his counfellers and abetters felons, yet it makes not the counfellers and abetters principals, unless prefent; if absent, they are accesfaries before, unless expresly made all principals, whereof only one, and what instance. AET making a felony, and limiting it to be tried in county, where I

party taken without negative words, but cumulative, and party may be indicted, where offense committed. Page 694, 695,705 A fecond att enacting offense felony, that was fo enacted before, with fome alterations is but cumulative. If one att be grafted on another relative to it for the better execution of the former, if former be repeald, latter thereby virtually repeald. Att making a new felony of an offense that confists of a fact partly in the realm, and partly out, and limiting it to be tried where offense committed, shall be construed to be where that part of the offense is committed, that is within the realm. Aft making a new felony binds not infant under fourteen. How atts enacting capital offenses are limited to continue. AET making offense felony includes milprission, and party may be indicted of the latter only. 652, 708 Where a puisse affirmative aft repeals not a former att. B.R. comprised within alts, that give power to justices of over and terminer. But justices of peace are not. II. If a penalty be made recoverable in any of the king's courts of record, to what courts it ex-II. 29 tends. Where the words no wager of law, effoin, protection, &c. shall be allowed tie up the jurisdiction to courts that can allow a

protection, &c.

II. 30

Where

Where the penalty is recoverable by original writ, &c. it is restrained to the superior courts. II. *Page* 30 Whether justices of gaol-delivery, or over and terminer have a jurisdiction, where att limits offense to be heard before justices of peace. Where att speaks of justices in the county, justices of gaol-delivery, or over and terminer may hear and determine it. Acts making new treasons to be taken strictly. 218 Where an att for trial of treason is to be taken strictly. Of offenses by statutes, whereof justices of peace have not conufance. Where by a provision per dominum regem & ejus concilium is meant an antient statute. Where mandatum domini regis fignifies process. 11. 131 If all be prohibitory, and by a substantive clause gives a recovery by action of debt, &c. but is filent as to indictment, party. may be indicted on the prohibitory clause, and thereon fined, penalty not recoverable, but fine not to exceed it. 173 If all be not prohibitory, but only if any shall do such a thing, he shall forfeit 51. recoverable as aforefaid, offender not indictable. All penal alts inducing a forfeiture to the king, or making a felony or treason are general II. 172 acts. Where fatal to recite and mifrecite them, or not. II. 172,

Acts ousting clergy construed II. Page 335, 371 strictly. Whether the word murder includes petit treason. II. 340, Where an att oults accellaries before of clergy, whether it necessarily ousts principals not mentiond. II. 346, 347 Whether outlazery is included in word attainder. 521. II. 350, All felonies include not piracy. One of the reasons for making 27 H. 8. for transferring uses into possession. In most of the particular acts of attainder there is a special provision that parties attaint should forfeit all their lands, whereof they, or others were scised to their use, and also a provision to fave from forfeiture such lands, whereof parties were feifed to the use of any other, 247, 248 AEts may diverlify the offenses of accellary or principal according to the various penning. Vide Felony by Statutes, Indiament, **Treason**.

Stray.

Tho lord may feize it, owner may retake it within year and day.

541

Suits.

Difference betwixt civil suits in compensationem damni illati, and criminal prosecutions in vindistam criminis commission 38

173

Steward.

For the court of lord high steward for trial of peers. Court, Peer.

For court before steward of bousebold. Vide Court.

Vide Witness. Subvæna.

Supersedens. Vide Certiogari, Commission, Erroz, Pabeas Coppus.

Supzemacy. Vide King, Religion.

Surdus & Mutus. Vide Joeot.

Vide Bail. Surety.

Suspicion.

No man bound to suspect another; it is the act of his own judg-Page 490 Where suspicion will justify the imprisonment of the party, or justify or excuse homicide, or not. Vide Arrest, Homicide, Murder and Mandaughter.

Swans. Vide Larciny.

Vide Fozfeiture. Tail.

Vide Trial. Tales.

Tenure. Vide Forfeiture.

Theft-bote.

Wner taking his goods again not to prosecute theftbote. 6191 Vol. II.

But taking them again no offense, unless to favour the thief. Page 619

Tudgment in theft-bote. II. 400

Theft. Vide Larciny.

Token. Vide Cheat.

Coan. Vide Sheriff.

Cown and Cownship. Vide 3 = mercement.

Traverse.

All inquisitions taken by sheriff by writ of melius inquirendum, traversable.

If dozeners in Eyre, or in $\mathcal{B}.R$. present escape of a felon, whereby vill is to be amerced, because this but an amercement, presentment not traversable.

Vide Cozoner, Felo de se, inquest of Office, Presentment.

Treason.

Where king's life is concerned, not fafe easily to admit an excuse by misfortune, tho a fact purely casual cannot be treason.

Why the offense and punishment fo great. Treason may be committed against person of an usurper.

What the great brand of treason.

Of treasons at common law; great Iatitude in their construction. 79, 80, 81, 82

Accreaching

7 M

Accroaching royal power an an-	Who inall be laid a king, queen
tient and usual charge of trea-	or their eldest son, or not, with
fon. Page 80	in this act. Page 100, 101
Various arbitrary constructions of	700
	Wherein compassing death of
treasons in the reign of $R.2$.	wherein compaying acath of
83	queen or prince differs from
The ill effect of an extrajudicial	compassing mort de roy. 127
declaration of treason by the	What shall be faid a compassing
judges of that time. 84	king's death. 107, 108
	Calculating his nativity not a
21 R. 2. & 25 E. 3. compared,	Calculating his nativity, not a
and the differences remarked.	compassing, tho a great offense.
85	108, 334, 335
Just and expedient to lay an overt-	Compassing king's death, tho not
act in indictments of treason.	effected, treason. 108
85	What overt-act necessary to make
The unhappy consequences of	fuch compassing treason. 108,
breaking the great boundary,	109
the $25 \tilde{E}$. 3. 85, 86	Conspiring mort de roy, and there-
21 R. 2. repeald by 1 H. 4. 86	upon providing weapons, or
Objections against constructive trea-	fending letters for the execution
fons. 86, 87, 293	thereof is an overt-act of com-
The petition of the commons,	passing king's death. 109
whereon 25 E. 3. was made,	If men conspire to imprison the
and the king's answer thereto;	king by force till he hath yield-
the att is made conformable to	ed to certain demands, and for
the latter. 87	that purpose gather men, or
The feveral high treasons declared	write letters, it is compassing
by 25 E.3. 91 Where a merchant stranger may	king's death. ib.
	A conspiring to depose the king is
be dealt with as an alien enemy,	an overt-act of compassing his
and where either as an alien e-	death. 110
nemy, or as a traitor. 94	A forein ambassador for compas-
With what allay these general	fing king's death shall be exe-
words of lord Coke, an alien e-	cuted here for treason, but for
nemy cannot be guilty of trea-	other treasons shall be remitted
son, are to be taken. ib.	to his own country to be tried.
Spies fent over by a forein prince	
in hostility, within that rule. ib.	Where an ambassador may be guil-
For other matters touching aliens,	ty of treason, vide Ambassa=
vide Alien.	DOS.
Treason in compassing death of	Compassing by words not an o-
king, queen, or prince, &c.	vert-act, as appears by many
Consort of king or queen regent	temporary acts against it, but
may be guilty of compassing the	words reduced to writing, Gc.
death of the king within this	are an overt-act. 111 to 120,
att. 100	310, 312, 322, 323
I	J - ~ J - ~ J - ~ J - ~ J - ~ J - ~ J - ~ J - ~ J
-	

Words may expound an overtact indifferent in itself. Page 115, 116 Whether words menacing king's death be an overt-act of compailing his death. 115, 116, Assembling together to consult how to kill the king is an overt-act of compassing his death. 119, 120, 121, 122 Whether conspiracy to levy war be an overt-act, unless levied 119, 133, 145, 148, 322 Writing letters to a forein prince inciting an invalion is an overt-120, 122 As an overt-act must be laid, so it must be proved. 121, 149 If an overt-act sufficiently laid in the indictment be proved, any other may be given in evidence in aggravation. Buying a dagger for the purpole is an overt-act of compassing king's death. Assembling together to levy war against the king, either to depose or restrain, or enforce him to any act, or to come to his presence to remove his counfellors or ministers, or to fight against his lieutenant, or military commissionate officers, &c. is an overt-act of same kind. 122, 123, 138, 148, 151 A levying war by construction, as to pull down all inclosures, or all bawdy-houses, not evidence of an overt-act of compaffing king's death, when it is disclosed upon proof, or so laid in indictment, but it is an evidence thereof till disclosed. 123 Fighting king's forces fent to suppress a riotous assembly is an

overt-act of same nature. Page

Treason in violating king's wife, &c.

Who shall be faid king's wife, his eldest son's wife, or his eldest daughter, to make the violation of any of them treafon.

128, 229

Treason in levying war against the king.

Levying war only treason within this act.

111, 322
What must concur to make levying war treason within this act.

130
What shall be said a levying of war, or not: 130, 131, 149,

150, 152
A bare conspiracy to levy war

A bare conspiracy to levy war and provide weapons for that purpose, tho in some cases it may amount to an overt-act of compassing the king's death, yet it is not a levying war within this act.

Assembling many rioters to do unlawful acts, if they be not in specie belli, nor have any arms, oc. may make a riot, but it is not a levying war. 131, 149,

War must be levied against the king; contra, tho it be more guerrino, it is not treason. 131, 149, 152

If on a private design, or private quarrel, it is no levying war against the king. 131, 133 to 138, 140, 141, 149, 152, 260

Difference

Difference between bellum levatum & bellum percussum. Page

15

A war levied against the king is of two sorts, expressly and constructively. First, Against his forces, &c. Secondly to throw down inclosures generally, to inhanse servants wages, alter religion, expulse strangers, or remove counsellors, or against any statute, &c. this is a levying war, because end public. 131, 132, 133, 134, 152, 153

Conspiring to levy such a war treason by several temporary

Clause in 25 E.3. of consulting the parliament in new cases leaves no latitude to multiply constructive treasons, very dangerous so to do. ib.

If a war levied, conspirators are traitors, as well as actors. 133

War levied to break prisons to deliver one, or some particular persons out of lawful prison, unless imprisoned for treason, only a great riot; but if to break prisons generally, it is treason.

too persons modo guerrino assembled to pull down bawdy-houses, and marched with a stag on a staff and weapons, and pulled down certain houses, held to be a levying war within this ast by all the judges but one; objections to that judgment.

134, 135

In earl of Essex's case resolved, that when queen sent lord keeper to him commanding him to dismiss the armed people in his house and come to her, and he resused to come, and continued the arms and armed persons in

his house, it was treason. Page

That when he went with a troop of captains and others from his house into the city, and there prayd aid of the citizens in defense of his life, and to go with him to the court to bring him into the queen's presence with a strong hand, so that he might be powerful enough to remove certain of his enemies attendant on the queen, it was treason, the fact in London rebellion. ib.

That the adherence of earl of Southampton to earl of Essex in London, tho he knew not of any other purpose than of a private quarrel, which Essex had against certain servants of the queen, was treason in him, because a rebellion in the earl of Essex.

That all they, that went with Effex from his house to London, whether they knew of his design, or not, were traitors, whether they departed on the proclamation, or not; but that those, that suddenly adhered to him in London, and departed on proclamation made, ought to be pardoned.

ib.

A declaration of the king and lords [fans the commons] not fufficient within this act. 140, 260, 263

Where 300 persons going in a warlike manner with drums and arms to surprize a privy counsellor, held treason. 141,

Breaking prison where traitors are, and causing them to escape, treason, tho parties knew not that they were there. 141

Marching with a great army modo
guerrino arraiati, express levy-
ing war, tho no blows struck.
Page 144, 145, 152
A rebellion is a levying war within
this act, and by name of levy-
ing war it must be exprest in
indictment. ib.
Bare detaining king's forts or ships,
or conspiring to take them, not
treason; actual taking them by force, a levying war within
25 E 2
25 E.3. 146, 296, 325 Detaining king's towns, &c. after demanded by king's com-
ter demanded by king's com-
missioner, and repelling an af-
fault made by him, is a levying
war within this act. 146, 325,
326
Difference between an insurrection
on account of civil interest, and
levying war. 146
Holding one's house against the
sheriss and posse comitatus with
force, and assembling persons
to oppose the execution of a
writ of possession, no treason,
but a great riot; the like of keeping possession against a re-
flitution on indictment of forci-
ble entry. <i>ib.</i> Assaulting king's lieutenant in time
of hostility or rebellion within
the realm, in their march or
quarters, as enemies, levying
war. ib.
If on fudden falling out, or injury
done by the foldiers, the coun-
trymen rife and drive them out,
it may be a great riot, but not
levving war, except some trai-
terous delign under cover of
it. <i>ib</i> .
Open resistance of justices of over
and terminer, only felony. ib Touching laws of treason in Ire-
Touching laws of treaton in Ire-
Vol. II.

land by 18 H. 6. and cessing Vide Ireland. foldiers. What usually is the overt-act of levying war. Page 150 Whether the bare affembling an enormous multitude for doing unlawful acts without any weapons, &c. be a sufficient overtact of levying war within this att, especially if they commit fome of these acts. Realm of *England* comprehends the narrow seas, invading king's ships in the narrow seas, levywar en son realm; where trial to be. For trial of treasons in Scotland, provision made by what statutes. What is faid of *Ireland* in all particulars applicable to the Isles of Man, Fersey, &c. 155, 156, 157 Vide Ireland. Treason committed in Wales before 26 H.8. was not inquirable, or triable before justices of over and terminer, or in B.R. but before justices assigned by the king in those counties of Wales, where fact committed. By 26 H. 8. counterfeiting, washing, clipping, or minishing coin,

felonies, murders, &c. and accessaries of same and other offenses feloniously done in Wales, or any lordship marcher may be inquired of and tried before the justices of gaol-delivery, &c. in next adjacent county. 156,157 26 H. 8. confirmed by 34 & 35 H. 8. which settles the grand sessions, so that as to those offenses enumerated in 26 H. 8. justices of gaol-delivery in the 7 N adjacent

adjacent counties, viz. Gloucester, &c. had thereby a concurrent jurisdiction with justices of grand sessions. Page 157

Whether 26 H. 8. extended to treason for compassing king's death, or levying war, or whether same remained triable by justices of grand sessions, but now 26 H. 8. stands repealed by 1 & 2 P. & M. as to trials of treason. ib.

For what special purposes *certio-rari* lies into *Wales*, but not as to trial of fact, but it shall be fent down by *mittimus* by 7 [27] *H.* 8.

Wales within England, and therefore not within 35 H. 8. for trial of forein treasons. ib.

If treason, &c. be done in Durbam, a certiorari lies to remove it into B.R. out of Durbam, and to whom directed; but if party plead not guilty, it shall be sent down thither to be tried. ib.

Of treasons, &c. in Tindal and Hexamshire. ib.

Adhering to the king's enemies.

Who shall be said an enemy; jury on trial shall inquire, whether the person to whom the party indicted adhered was an enemy, or not, and whether there was a war between king of England and that other prince, whereunto party adheres.

164

Enemy extended farther than king or state at enmity, even to an alien coming into England in hostility.

Duke of Norfolk adhering to lord Herise, a subject of king of Scots

in amity with queen Eliz. that made an actual invasion upon England without the king's commission, adjudged a traitor.

Who shall be faid to be a person adhering, and what an adhe-

ring. 165, 166, 263
If there be war between England and France, those English that live in France before the war, and continue after, they are not adherents, unless they assist the French king in his wars, or re-

fuse to return on privy seal, or proclamation, and this refusal, tho evidence of an adherence, not simply so in itself. 165

Whether subjects of forein prince continuing under king's protection after war proclaimed, and assisting the forein prince before renouncing his subjection to the king, or an enemy staying here under king's safe conduct, be an adherent; in time of truce an Englishman goes into France, and stays there, and returns before truce expired, no adherence; but contra, if he confederate with the enemy.

165, 166

Kings of England and France in amity, the king's subjects solicit king of France to an invasion, it is treason and an overt-act of compassing king's death, but not of adherence.

An Englishman during the war is taken by the French, and swears fealty to the king of France, if voluntary, it is an adherence, but if for fear of life, and he return as soon as he can to his alligeance, this not an adherence. 167, 168

Delivering up the king's castles or garrisons treacherously, or by bribery, an adherence. Page 168, 169

If deliverd on cowardice or imprudence, and not treacherously, tho party subject to death by martial law, not treason by common law. 169

If detaining king's castles or forts, or the castles of any other be barely such, and without assault, yet if in compliance or confederacy with a forein enemy, it is an overt-act of adhering to king's enemies, and treason within this act. 326

By 35 H. 8. forein treasons how tried; by the common law triable in any county, especially where offenders lands lie. 169,

Treasons on the sea triable by special commission grounded on 28 H. 8. at common law it might be tried in any adjacent county.

1 Mar. reducing all treasons to the standard of 25 E.3. not only repeals treasons newly enacted, but atts declarative, and all treasons farther than the very declaration of 25 E.3. extends. 222, 260, 263, 265, 269, 308

Killing chancellor, treasurer, &c. in their place doing their office.

This extends only to killing, not to conspiring; but if many conspire and only one do the act, they are all traitors; 3 H.7. makes the conspiring selony. 230 Exposition on this branch of the act.

Justice of peace, as such, not within it, except he be likewise justice of oyer and terminer. Page

What shall be said f ant en son place, fesant son office. 232

Touching principals in treason, vide Pincipal and Accessary.

In treason no accessaries, all principals; but quiere, whether receiver of a counterfeiter of the seal or money be a traitor. 233,

Gaoler voluntarily permitting traitor imprisoned to escape, treason. 234

Rescue of a person arrested for treason same offense. 234, 269
Breaking prison to inlarge a traitor, treason; but he must really be a traitor. 234, 235,

Conspiracy to inlarge a traitor, neither treason, misprisson, nor felony, but a misdemeanor. 326 Offenses incident to the treasons declared by 25 E. 3. are virtually included within the same, as receiving, Gc. 235, 237,

If an act make a new treason, and enact that the offender his counfellors, abetters and aiders thereunto shall suffer as traitors, this makes not receivers or comforters after the fact traitors.

235, 236, 328, 376

But whether receiving a counterfeiter of coin within 25 E. 3.
where no fuch restriction, be
treason. 328

If offense be made treason in offender, his procurers, counsellors, abettors, consenters (without the word thereunto) knowing receivers not traitors, un-

less

í-

forters be exprest. Page 236 The import of the words procurers, counsellors, abettors, consenters, aiders, receivers and comforters: Receivers, traitors by necessary construction of a new act of treason. Where an act general, probably receiver knowing it virtually a traitor. Certainly aiders, &c. are traitors. He who rescueth one imprisoned for treason, or suffers him voluntarily to escape, or receiver of a traitor shall not be arraigned till principal offender be convict, and why. 237, 238 Receiver of a traitor how to be indicted. If indicted of the receipt in the fame indictment with the principal, principal to be tried first; and if found guilty, then jury to inquire of the receipt; and if principal not guilty, then to acquit both. Whether process of outlawry may go against receiver of a traitor at same time as against princi-What will make a man accessary after to felony will not make a man principal in treason. How far charitable relief will do 239, 324, 325 The words in 1 Mar. refer to treasons, not forfeitures; the forfeiture of traitors, as to old treasons, stands in force. 257, 275, 283, 3c9 Of declaring treasons by parliament, and those that were enacted or declared between 25 E. 3. \mathcal{O} 1 M. 258, 259, 260

less the words receivers or com-

Some things enacted to be treason by new and temporary laws, which were treason by 25 E.3. Page 261, 262, 322 An act for fatety of king's person enacts an offense to be felony only, or a misdemeanor, (without the clause, the same not being treason within 25 E. 3.) carries a prefumption with it. that same was not treason before, and is a judgment of parliament in point. 261, 262 Commons impeach feveral of treafon, lords only give judgment, this not per modum legis declarative. In the att of attainder against the earl of Strafford, proviso that it should not be a precedent, needless; it did not egredi perfonam, and was no general declarative law to serve 25 E.3. 269, 270 Proper proviso in enacting new treasons to save to the lords their liberties, as in case of selony. 11H.7. for securing the attendants on the king in his wars is perpetual. 272, 273 What acts for trials of treason are in force, or repeald or derogated from by any, and what other statutes. 282, 283, 284, Trial of treasons committed in rivers, or ports within counties, restored to the common law. 282,316 Exposition of 1 E. 6. 3 \mathcal{O} 4 E. 6. 5 6 6 E. 6. 287, 288 Writing of scandalous words (mentioned in 5 σ 6 E. 6. and thereby made treason) not treason within 25 E. 3.

So much of 5 0 6 or £.6. as en-	
acts new treason, repeald by	
1 Mar. but the clauses in that	W
att touching trial of forcin trea-	
fons, outlawry of persons be-	W
yond the feas, forfeiture of	
lands, loss of dower stand un-	A
repeald, and fo, according to	
many, doth the clause concern-	
ing two accusers. Page 296	
In what cases of treason two ac-	
cusers are required by 5 6 6	M
	7.47
E. 6. whether it extends to new treason.	
Whether 1 & 2 P. & M. took a-	т
way the necessity of two wit-	Ir
nesses on the indictment. 298,	
299, 300	
Touching the competency of fuch	
witnesses, vide Mitness.	If
Of treasons declared and enacted	
from 1 Mar. till 13 Car. 2.307,	
308	1
1 Mar. repeald all treasons and	
misprissions of treasons enacted	
fince 25 E. 3. 308, 310	2
1 Mar. meddles not with those	
new laws regulating proceed-	E
ings and trials, but that done	
afier by 1 & 2 P. & M. 309	
Treason generally spoken intended	
of high treason. 316	-
Denomptony challenge in case of	١
Peremptory challenge in case of high treason, restored; prisoner	1
mgn treaton, renored; prinoner	"
may challenge thirty-five pe-	
remptorily. 317	
To make a man principal in trea-	1
fon by comfort or aid after of-	1
fense committed, it must be	
knowingly. 323	
In new treason aiding and com-	
forting thereof, treason. ib.	•
If a physician relieve a lick often-	1
der, tho knowing him to be a	-
traitor, no treason. 332	
Some words or writings may be	
construed to stir up insurrection,	
Vol. II.	

and yet be not within 25 E.3. Page 334 hat courts have jurifdiction in treason. hat the consequents of a judgment in treason. ll treasons are misprission of treafon, and more, and he who is assenting to a treason may be indicted of misprission of treason, if king pleases. listrifion of treason may yet be tried according to 33 H.S. for trials of treason in forein counties. misprission of treason or felony, or accessary thereto, a pecr tried by peers, tho indictment by common grand inquest. ib. a person arraigned of high treafon stand mute, he shall be convicted. - 223,382 'reason is felony, tho more, and the king may proceed against a traitor for felony only. 5 E. 3. of treasons called the statute of purreyance. II. 330 Before 25 E. 3. What treasons of greater note, what of less note. Statutes relating to treason enacted since the author wrote; in notis . 339 to 342 Whether in any case a non compos mentis can commit treason. Vide Iveot. For treasons in maintaining pope's supremacy, in reconciling and being reconciled to the popils religion, and in bringing in pope's bulls, and for treasons committed by jesuits, &c. Vide Religion. For treason in counterfeiting the Vide Coin. In counterfeiting the great and privy seals, privy signet and

sign

Jign manual. Sign manual.

For petit treason, Vide Petit Treason.

Where alien may be guilty of trea-Vide Alien. son, or not.

Vide Alligeance, Cozruption and Restitution of Blood, Fozfeiture, Indiament, Judgment, Mispzision, Mecesity, War.

Treasure-trove. Vide Franchise, Larciny.

Trespals.

Chance excufeth from felony, but not trespais. Page 472 Trespass lies for taking away dogs, bears, &c. or their whelps.

It lies for church-wardens for taking bona & catalla parochianorum; substance of declara-

If A. take away the hay or corn of B, and mingle it with his own heap or stock, or take the cloth of \mathcal{B} . and embroider it, **B.** may retake the whole heap or flock, or garment and embroidery, and be not guilty even of trespass.

Feme takes Baron's goods, and delivers them to \mathcal{B}_{\bullet} who knowingly carries them away, tref-

In trespass, where it is of their own possession, the executor, ordinary, &c. need not shew their title. 514, 515 Vide Justification.

Trial.

By jury the best method of trial. 33

Vide Seal and | Where a non compos mentis shall be tried, or not, and where court in discretion may discharge the jury of him, vide Ideat.

Where felony by statute limited to a special jurisdiction, and manner of trial, misprission of it triable by a common jury and general commissioners of oyer and terminer. Page 653

On 8 H. 6. against avoiding records trial to be one half by the clerks of the court, and the other half by others.

Trial of aliens for felony to be per medietatem linguæ. II. 271 Whether indictment be a distinct thing from the trial. 221, 298

to 301 Iri/h peer tried here by a com-

155, 317, 693

Touching Jury process.

mon jury.

If venire fac. or distring as be erronious, and would make judgment fo, if filed, but being not filed is aided by 18 Eliz. court never compels clerk to file fuch writs after verdict, much less punishes them for not doing it.

If offense committed in county, where B.R. fits, and indictment be taken there, B. R. may proceed de die in diem, and there need not fifteen days between teste and return of venire. II. 3, 360

And so if indictment was taken before justices of peace of fame and removed into B.R. by certiorari; but contra, if taken in another county than where B.R. fits,

Of venire fac. issuing out of B.R. how to bear teste, Gc. II. Page 260 Justices of over and terminer issue a general precept for the return of twenty-four jurors to try the issue between king and prisoners to be arraigned. II. 26, 27, 260, 261 After the prisoners are arraigned, and have pleaded to the country, a precept illues in nature of a venire fac. when such precept bears teste, and when returnable; in whose name, and under whose seals, it must be. II. 261 If they make it returnable any day after the first day of seffions, they must make an adjournment, and record it. Tultices of gaol-delivery after prifoner hath pleaded may take pannel from sheriff without making any precept to him. Whether process be by writ or precept, as well the award, as writ or precept must mention truly the visne, and where it is only by award without writ or precept, as in case of justices of gaol-delivery, the award ought to mention the visne. II. 262 If murder be supposed at D. venire fac. must be de vicineto D. if at Bristol de vicineto Bristol, because a city; yet de vicineto civitatis Bristol, tho also a county, good. If stroke be laid at B. and death at C. how visne must be. Where stroke in one county, and death in another, vifue shall be from place, where party alleged to die. If murder be laid in quadam platea vocat. King-street in paroch.

SanEt e Margaritæ apud Westm. whence visite to arise. II. Page If murder be laid apud B. in paroch. de C. whence visne shall Vill or hamlet may be within a parish, and a parish may contain many vills. II. 262, 263 Forein pleas triable by a jury of fame county, where party indicted, except in treason. 239, 263 Tho venire fac. is only to return twelve, yet sheriff ought to return twenty-four, the general precept that issues before a seftions of gaol-delivery, over and terminer, and peace is to return twenty-four, but commonly forty-eight are returned. II. 263 Several indicted for one felony, justices may issue one or several venire fac. or awards of that II. 263, 268 If it be joint, and one challenge twenty peremptorily, or for cause, jurors challenged shall be drawn against all, and so in appeal. II. 263 Expedient to make out feveral venire fac. and if pannel be challenged off, yet forty tales may be granted on each venire If venire fac. in appeal be once granted jointly, it cannot be feverd, neither can there be feveral tales, for if venire fac. be joint, tales must be joint, and to in case of indictment. II. 263, 264 Before justices of gaol-delivery, where only one award, tho at first it be joint, and pannel ac-

cordingly returned, and the

priloners

prisoners challenge peremptorily feverally, whereby there are not enough left on the pannel to try them, and a tales is awarded returnable the next day, yet court may fever first award, and also the tales. II. Page 264 Record being made up, the award is made on the roll, which the justices of gaol-delivery may model, as they please, at any time before trial. On the writ or precept, or com-mand to the sheriff he cannot return a mandavi ballivo, as in fome cases of appeal, writ, &c. being for the king. The analysis of the writ of venire They of one fide of the county are by law de vicineto to try an offense of the other side of the county. Justices of gaol-delivery and peace have power to reform the pan-II. 36, 156*, 265 Usual for the judge on crownfide to fend for a jury to judge at nisi prius. II. 265 If process be in B.R. and jury fill not, or be challenged off, fo that there is not a full jury, there ought to issue a distringas juratores, and a command to return a tales. II. 265, 266 But if whole jury be challenged off, then there shall be a new venire fac. and if none appear, then a distring as juratores thall issue, and no tales. II. 265 If a full jury appear, and before they are fworn, one of them dies, fo that there remains not a full jury, a tales shall be granted; and fo if a juryman dies after returned, and fworn. II. 266 If a tales iffue, and they do not appear full, or be challenged off, fo that those, that appear on principal pannel and tales make not up a full jury, another tales may be granted. II.

Page 266

In felony a tales may be granted of a greater number than the principal pannel in respect of the challenges, so that there may be forty tales, or more, but if several succeeding tales be granted, the latter must be less in number than that which was next before, unless the array of the preceding tales be quashed, and then the number of the next may equal it. ib.

The times between teste and return of tales must be as in principal centre fac.

If indictment be before justices of over and terminer, the tales as well as principal pannel ought to be in the name of three justices, and may be returnable de die in diem, or de borâ in boram of same day.

As to all other matters, they agree with proceedings in B.R. above-mentioned. ib.

Before justices of gaol-delivery no particular precept to return either jury or tales, but the general precept before the fessions and the award. ib.

And yet there is an instance before justices of peace and gaoldelivery of a tales granted returnable the next day. II.

After not guilty received and recorded sheriff returns pannel of jury.

II. 293

Where

Where trial of treason or felony shall be, vide County, County ty Pasatine.

Where new trial shall be granted, vide petit jury in titulo Jury.

Vide Arraignment, Certiozari, Challenge, Court, Evidence, Saol-delivery, Indiament, Iurisdiation, Iurices of Alfile and Will prius, Iurice of Peace, King's Bench, Oper and Cerminer.

Trust. Vide Fozseiture.
Turn. Vide Sheriff.

Menire facias. Vide Trial. Menue. Vide Trial.

Merdia.

N indictment of treason in adhering to king's enemies, what jury shall inquire of. Page

In all cases of infancy, infanity, Gc. if one uncapable to commit a selony be indicted by the grand inquest, and thereon arraigned, petit jury may either find him not guilty, or find the matter specially, and how, and thereon court gives judgment of acquittal. 28. II. 303

But if one in such case be arraigned on indictment of murder or manslaughter by coroner's inquest, there if party committed the fact, regularly the matter ought to be specially found, because if the jury find him not guilty, they must inquire how party came by his death, and how in that case they must find.

28. II. 303

But if he be first arraigned, and acquitted on the indictment by Vol. II.

the grand inquest, and found not guilty, he may plead that acquittal on his arraignment on the coroner's inquest, and that will discharge him, and petit jury shall inquire farther how he came by his death. Page 39 If prisoner indicted of murder or manilaughter by grand inquest be acquitted by petit jury, they fay fo and no more, and only inquire of the flight; but if acquitted on pleading to coroner's inquest, petit jury also find, who kild the party, if they do not know, how in that case they find. II. 64, 65, 300, 301, 304,

If indictment be of murder or manslaughter, and on trial it appear to jury to be involuntary, (as per infortunium, or fe defendendo) jury ought to find the special matter, and conclude, Et fic per infortunium, &c. and not generally, that it was per infortunium, &c. for on the special matter found court may give judgment against conclusion of verdict. 471,

476, 477. Il. 302
If jury find him not guilty, they must inquire, whether he fled; and if they found he did fly, they must inquire of his goods and chattels, which is an inquest of office and traversable.

362, 493. II. 301
Where one of full age shall be found guilty of burglary, and an infant, who was principally concerned in it, not guilty. 556
Baron shall be found guilty, where feme in his presence, and by his coercion commits burglary, or larciny, but she shall be acquitted.

45,516,556

7 P.

If indictment comprises burglary | If duress and compulsion will exand felony, prisoner may be acquitted of burglary, and convicted of felony within clergy, or he may be acquitted of the felony; but quære, whether he can in that case be convicted of burglary. Page 559, 560. II. Where burglary, felony and felo-

ny on 5 & 6 E. 6. are joined in one indictment, prisoner may be acquitted of one, and convicted of the other two.

If he be found guilty of burglary, and not of stealing, he may be convicted of burglary; and if acquitted of burglary, he may be convict of felony within 5 & 6E.6. and if acquitted thereof, he may be convict of larci-

If A. kills B. upon affault made on him in executing process, or in his own defense in the highway, or in defense of his house against persons come to rob him, on not guilty pleaded, he ought to be acquitted. II. 158, 303

In treason or felony, if any eschete or forfeiture of land be conceived in the case, petit jury ought to find true time of offense committed. 361. II. 179,

In petit treason prisoner may be acquitted thereof, and be convict of murder or manilaughter. II. 184

Where there was once a writ of exigent and record fince loft, on circumstances the jury may find the record, tho not shewn in evidence.

Where two indictments for same fact; one of murder, the other on I Fac. of Stabbing; how jury to find. 468. II. 239, 240

cuse the prisoner, jury on general issue ought to find accordingly. II. Page 258, 259

If A. be indicted for a robbery or murder in wrong county, he ought to be acquitted, but variance between indictment and evidence in the vill, immaterial.

If verdict be given by mistake, or partiality, jury may rectify it before recorded, or by advice of court go together again, and reconsider it. II. 299, 300

If recorded, they cannot retract, or alter it.

In felony or treason no privy verdict can be given.

If one be indicted de morte cujusdam ignoti, jury shall be charged to tell his name, if they ib. can.

Where prisoner was acquitted of robbery, court antiently compeld jury to prefent who did it, but now contra. II. 300, 301

If coroner's inquest super visum corporis present a fugam fecit, and party be arraigned, and plead to that indictment, jury not charged to inquire of the flight, and why. II. 301

Tury may find a special verdict, or may find prisoner guilty of part, and not guilty of the rest, or find him guilty of the fact, but vary in the manner. II. 301, 302

One indicted of robbery may be found guilty of felony, and not robbery. II. 302

So where indicament charges the larciny to be clam & secrete a per foná.

One indicted on 1 Fac. of stabbing contra formam stat. may be acquitted on the alt, and convicted of manslaughter. ib.

One

One indicted of grand larciny may be convicted of petit larciny.

II. Page 302

One indicted of murder may be convicted of manslaughter. ib.

Where coroner's inquest found that it was per infortunium, and jury found him generally not guilty, tho fact appeard to be infortunium, verdict of not guilty recorded.

II. 303

II. 303
If coroner's inquest find not the special matter, but murder or manslaughter, and prisoner is arraigned on it, and pleads not guilty, and on evidence it appears that the prisoner kild the man, but not feloniously, in this case jury cannot find a general not guilty, but must find that the prisoner did it, and the manner how, and this to be entred of record, as in case of a verdict se defendendo. II. 304,

Many special verdicts have been found, as on 1 fac. of stabbing, so on the point, whether murder or not, but it is difficult to find them so that judgment be given for murder, and why.

Rarely on any special verdict, where question murder or manflaughter, judgment given for murder, but commonly manflaughter. ib.

Felony laid as required by att outling clergy, but evidence comes not up to it, where prifoner shall be convicted of simple felony.

II. 336

Where verditt avoided for mifdemeanors of jury, &c. the special matter being indorsed on the postea, vide petit jury sub titulo Jury.

Vide Evidence.

Merge.

For commissions of over and terminer for the verge, the extent thereof, and manner of trials within the same, vide Court.

Association (1864) (186

Waifs.

If a felon waive the goods stolen without any pursuit after him, those goods are not in law bona waviata, nor forfeit to the king or lord; but if he waive them on pursuit, then they are bona waviata, and forfeit to the king or lord. Page

This forfeiture is not like a stray, where, tho the lord may seife, yet owner may retake them within year and day, but here true owner cannot seize his own goods, tho on fresh suit within year and day. ib.

How a man shall obtain restitution of goods waived. 9541

Males.

Before 26 H.8. no treason or selony committed in Wales was inquirable or triable before justices of oyer and terminer, or in B.R. in England, but before justices assigned by the king in those counties of Wales, where sact committed. 156

But by the same act, what offenses and accessaries of the same, feloniously done in Wales, or any lordship marcher may be inquired of, and tried before justices

justices of gaol-delivery, and the peace in next adjacent coun-Page 156, 157. II.38 ty. This att confirmed by the great statute of Wales 34 & 35 H. 8. which fettles the grand fessions and justices thereof. As to offenses mentiond in 26 H.8. justices of gaol-delivery in the adjacent counties, and what counties these are, had thereby a concurrent jurisdiction with the justices of grand festions. ib. But whether 26 H. 8. extended to treason for compassing king's death, or levying war, or whether same remained only triable by justices of grand fessions, doubtful; but now 26 H. 8. stands repeald by 1 6 2 P. \mathcal{O} M_i as to trial of treasons. 157, 282. II. 38 In other criminal causes not capital, as in indictments of riots, they may be removed into $\mathcal{B}.R.$ by certiorari, and when iffue is joined they may be tried in next English county. Whether a certiorari lies into Wales on indicament of treason or telony. 158 It feems it may issue for special, and what purposes, but not as to trial of fact, but it shall be fent down by mittimus according to 6 *H*. 8. ib. Wales within realm of England, and therefore not within 35 H. 8. for trial of forein treasons.

War.

Jus gladii, both civil and military, and so is power of making peace, inter jura fummi imperii; none can levy war here without the king's commission.

War succeeds best when concerted with the parliament. What shall be said enemies of the king, subjects not properly hostes, but rebels or traitors. 159 The feveral kinds of peace. 160 A truce described. 159 A league explaind, and distributed into its several kinds. 159, 160 Ubi bellum non est, pax est. War by the *Spaniards* on the *In*dians under pretence of religion, injurious, tho there intervened no former articles of peace between them. War divided into bellum solemne & non solemne. What circumstances a solemn war amongst the Romans had attending it. 160; 161 These solemn denunciations of war had place only in offenfive or invalive wars, and even then had many, and what exceptions. Many of these antient folemnities antiquated. If *de fatto* there be a war between princes, they and their fubjects are bostes to each o-Of the modern practice of arms. 162, 163, 164 The wars we have had with forein kings divided into special and general. Special usually called marque or reprisat, subdivided into particular and general marque or reprifal. 162, 163 Vide Marque oz Repzifal.

General reprifal may grow into a formed war; an instance

Dutch.

thereof between us and the

164

A general war of two kinds; bellum solemniter denuntiatum; or bellum non solemniter denuntiatum, both illustrated. Page 163, 164

A war may be between two kingdoms without any proclamation or indiction thereof, or other matter of record to prove it. 164

When the king's courts are open, it is time of peace in judgment of law.

347

In time of war, if one enemy plunder, or rob the house of another, it is only an act of hostility.

Offenses of this kind, committed on some of same party, or others who are not in an hostile state, are felonies. *ib*.

Vide Treason.

Marrant.

For warrants to arrest felons, vide Arrest, Justice of Peace.
For warrants to search for stolen goods, vide Justice of Peace.
Vide Commitment.

Marren. Vide Park.

Mera, or Meregild.

Amongst the Saxons a commutation of judgment of death in case of homicide; but if party insolvent, he was to suffer death.

How long this custom prevailed, and how it came to surcease.

Witchcraft.

Before 1 Fac. it was not felony, because it wanted a trial [how far 1 Fac. derogated from by 9 Geo. 2. vide act]. 429
Vide Felony by Statute, Resigner.

Vol. II.

Witness.

Whether 5 & 6 E. 6. requiring two witnesses on trial and indictment of treason extends in law to new treasons made after the act. Page 297, 324. II. 287, 288

If a new treason were made by a subsequent att without any clause directing indictment or trial in any other manner than is appointed by this att, there must be two lawful accusers, both on the indictment and trial.

If there be by a subsequent att any derogatory clause from this att, then there need not be two witnesses. ib.

Whether by any alt this be repeald or derogated from with respect to indictment or trial.

297 to 301

As to counterfeiting coin, or so much as was treason for impairing it, by 1 & 2 P. & M. it is expressly provided, that no other evidence shall be requisite, either on indictment, or trial than was before 1 E. 6.

221, 297, 298. II. 287

As to clipping and washing, 5 & 18 Eliz. in express terms require only a conviction and attainder according to the order and course of the law, and 5 & 6 E.6. is so far derogated from by these acts:

As to all other treasons than counterfeiting, clipping and washing coin, 1 & 2 P. & M. hath taken away necessity of two witnesses on trial, but whether it hath taken away necessity of two witnesses on indict-

7 Q

ment.

ment. Page 297 to 301, 324.	witness, tho no lawful jury
II. 286, 287	man. P_{axe} 30:
In misprission of treason two wit-	A father or fon, or master or fer
nesses necessary, both on in-	vant a lawful witness for hi
dictment and trial. 300	correlative. 303. II. 276
What shall be said two lawful	An adversary in a suit a good
witnesses within 5 & 6 E. 6.	1
301 to 307	
[By 7 W.3. no person shall be in-	fes a lawful accuser within 5 &
ditted, tried or attainted of	6E.6.
treason, but on the oaths of two	
	An approver shall be sworn to his
lawful witnelles, which two	appeal, but whether on the
witnesses must be to same trea-	trial, if appellee puts himfel
fon, the not necessary that they	on his country. 303. II. 234
stain notice	Two charged with a crime, one
act; in notis]. 341	shall not be examind against
Lawfulness of witnesses respects	the other, except he confess
either the persons or testimony	himself guilty. 303. II. 234
of the witnesses. 301	The party that is to be a witness
Where feme a lawful witness a-	against his accomplices never
gainst baron, or not. 302.	indicted, because it doth weak-
II. 279	en his testimony, the not take
She is not bound to swear against	it away. 303, 304, 305
another in theft, if her husband	A party to the treason who hath
was concerned; the not di-	confessed it, may be one of the
rectly against him.	two accusers in case of treason,
A woman taken away and forci-	and is fufficient to fatisfy 5 &
bly married contra 3 H. 7.	6 E. 6. 304
may be fworn against her hus-	A promise of pardon to a party
band; but otherwise, if she as-	to the treason if he will discover
fent to the marriage by free co-	the plot, no impediment to his
habitation. 301, 302, 660, 661	testimony; but if the king pro-
Infant under fourteen not regu-	mise a pardon on condition
larly admissible, but in what	that if he will witness against
cases under that age he may be	any others, he will pardon him,
admitted a witness. 278, 279	and that be acknowledged,
A party interested not a lawful	whether it will make him un-
witness. 302, 303. II. 280,	capable. 304. II. 280
281, 282	Hard to take away life on the e-
A party to an usurious contract	vidence of a party to the crime
where a lawful witness, or not.	fingly, unless there be strong
302. II. 280	circumstances. 305
One having a promise of the goods	A remainder man expectant on an
or lands of a party attainted,	estate-tail not a good witness,
no lawful witness to prove the	but a diffeifor may be a witnefs
treason. 303	to a deed made to the tenant.
A person outlawd in trespass a	306
	Öne

One convict of conspiracy, perjury or forgery, not a lawful witness; contra, if he be pardoned. Page 306 Trespals against A.B. and C. if no evidence be given against one to prove him guilty, he may be examined on the part of the other defendants; and if two be indicted, and there be no evidence against one, whether he may not be a witness for the other; but otherwise it is, if there be a colourable evidence against him. 306, 307 Wife may be an evidence against her husband indicted for aiding another to commit a rape on her. 629 Where an infant under twelve in capital cases may be heard without oath. 634, 635. II. 284 Difference between admitting a witness to be heard, and believing him when heard. Second wife married, living the former, may be a witness against the husband, but not the 693 first wife. Turors triers of credibility of witneffes, as well as truth of the 635. II. 235, 276, 277 Many things disable a juror, which disable not witnesses. II. 276 Distinction between exception to the credit, and to the compe-II. 276, 277 tency. One outlawd a competent wit-II. 277 Who witnesses or not, and how incompetent witnesses restored. II. 277 to 285 He that allegeth an exception of record ought to shew forth a copy of the record attested, or vouch the roll in court. II. 278

If king pardon incompetent witnesses, they are rendred competent, tho their credit shall be left to the jury. II. Page 278 Whether an infidel be a competent witness; a Few may be fuch. II. 279 A reward given to one for giving his testimony, disables him. II. Consequential benefit to the witness disables not his testimony, tho it may abate his credit. II. 280, 281 Three actions feverally brought against three persons for perjury in the same point, on trial of the first action, the other two are competent witnesses being not immediately concerned, tho consequentially the point being the fame. II. 280 So where indicted of perjury on three feveral indicaments touching same matter, while the other two stand unconvicted, they may be examind. 203 Where one not a witness, because his own fuit. II. 281, 285 Appellant nonfuit on appeal may be a witness for the king. Where king's testimony allowd or not. Witnesses are brought in by subpana issued by justices of peace, over and terminer, gaol-delivery, or B.R. where the plea triable. ib. Or the justices that take examination of the accused, and information of witnesses, or the coroner that doth the same, may at that time, or any time after, and before trial bind over the witnesses to appear at the seffions, and in case of refusal ei-

ther to come, or be bound over, may commit them for con-II. Page 52, 282 Justices, &c. have no power to

allow witnesses their charges.

Formerly evidence given for priioner in cases capital examind without oath; but contra in cafes not capital. II. 283

If a witness be sworn for the king, yet if that witness allege any matter in his evidence that is for the prisoner's advantage, that stands for a testimony for the prisoner as well as the king. ib.

One of nine years hath been fworn on evidence in capital causes. II. 283, 284

Where they that live, and have land in the hundred, are competent witnesses, or not, in an action against the hundred on the statute of Winton. II. 280, 281

Vide Evidence.

Wool. Vide Felony by Statute.

Mords.

Words are easily subject to be mistaken or misapplied, or misrepeated or misunderstood by the hearer. Page 111, 112 I E. 6. puts the very same oftenses in words spoken in a lower rank of punishment than the fame things written or printed.

291, 296, 315 Writing scandalous words mentiond in 5 & 6 E. 6. and thereby made treason, not treason within 25 E.3.

Whether the two penalties previous to treason in case of words, viz. for the first and fecond offense in 5 G 6 E. 6. be repeald by any act.

Words no provocation to kill a man, nor will they lessen a crime from murder to manflaughter, except words of menace of bodily harm. 456, 457 Vide Murder, Treason.

Pear and Day. Vide Computation.

F I N I S.

CORRIGENDA in the TABLE.

In titulo Alligeance for fidelitas regia read fidelitas ligea. From Ambassador refer to Ereason. In titulo Arrest after these words, For what end constable or any other during affray may break open doors, insert but not after—unless, &c. Under Burglary dele clergy allowed to one attaint, which is inserted under its proper head Clergy. For the form and analysis of caption of indictment on return of certiorari, refer from Certiforari to Indictment. From Certiforari refer to Poles. Certiogari refer to Plea. Refer from Coverture to Principal and Accessary. Under Jesuit dele reference to Treason. Under Justice of Poace in some places justice to be made justices.

138. to do as firmerly to come after the brackets.

162. l. z. for amerciament r. averment. 411. l. ul. in notis, for p. 312. r. Part I. p. 464.