ΤΗĒ

INTEREST of

GREAT BRITAIN

CONSIDERED,

With Regard to her

COLONIES,

AND THE ACQUISITIONS OF

CANADA and GUADALOUPE.

To which are added,

OBSERVATIONS concerning the Increase of Mankind, Peopling of Countries, &c.

THE	S	Е	С	Ò	Ν	D	Е	D	Ī	Т	Ι	0	N.
-----	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	----

LONDON:

Printed for T. BECKET, at Tully's Head, near Surry-Street, in the Strand.

M DCC LXI.



ТНЕ

INTEREST

O F

GREAT BRITAIN

With Regard to her COLONIES.

Have perufed with no fmall pleafure the Letter addreffed to Two Great Men, and the Remarks on that letter. It is not merely from the beauty, the force and perfpicuity of expression, or the general elegance of manner confpicuous in both pamphlets, that my pleasure chiefly arises; it is rather from this, that I have lived to fee subjects of the greatest importance to this nation publickly difcussed without party views, or party heat, with decency and politeness, and with no other warmth than what a zeal for the honour and happiness of our king and country may infpire;—and this by writers whose understanding (however they may differ from each other) appears not unequal to their candour and the uprightness of their intention.

But, as great abilities have not always the beft information, there are, I apprehend, in the *Remarks*, fome opinions not well founded, and fome miftakes of fo important a nature, as to render a few obfervations on them neceffary for the better information of the publick. The author of the Letter, who must be every way beft able to support his own fentiments, will, I hope, excuse me, if I seem officiously to interfere; when he confiders, that the spirit of patriotism, like other qualities good and bad, is catching; and that his long filence fince the *Remarks* appeared has made us despair of seeing the subject farther discussed by his masterly hand. The ingenious and candid remarker, too, who must have been missed himself before he employed his skill and address to missed others, will certainly, fince he declares he aims at no fedustion,* be disposed to excuse even the weakest effort to prevent it.

And furely if the general opinions that poffefs the minds of the people may poffibly be of confequence in publick affairs, it muft be fit to fet those opinions right. If there is danger, as the remarker fuppoles, that "extravagant expectations" may embarafs "a virtuous and able ministry," and "render the negotiation for peace a work of infinite difficulty ;" † there is no lefs danger that expectations too low, thro' want of proper information, may have a contrary effect, may make even a virtuous and able ministry lefs anxious, and lefs attentive to the obtaining points, in which the honour and interest of the nation are effentially concerned; and the people lefs hearty in fupporting fuch a ministry and its measures.

The people of this nation are indeed refpectable, not for their numbers only, but for their underftanding and their publick fpirit: they manifest the first, by their universal approbation of the late prudent and vigorous measures, and the confidence they fo justly repose in a wise and good prince, and an honess and able administration; the latter they have demonstrated by the immense supplies

* Remarks, p. 6.

† Remarks, p. 7.

granted

ſ

granted in parliament unanimoufly, and paid through the whole kingdom with chearfulnefs. And fince to this fpirit and thefe fupplies our " victories and fucceffes" * have in great measure been owing, is it quite right, is it generous to fay, with the remarker, that the people " had no fhare in acquiring them ?" The mere mob he cannot mean, even where he speaks of the madness of the people; for the madness of the mob must be too feeble and impotent, arm'd as the government of this country at prefent is, to "over-rule," + even in the flightest instances, the " virtue and moderation" of a firm and fleady ministry.

While the war continues, its final event is quite uncertain. The Victorious of this year may be the Vanquish'd of the next. It may therefore be too early to fay, what advantages we ought abfolutely to infift on, and make the fine quibus non of a peace. If the neceffity of our affairs should oblige us to accept of terms lefs advantageous than our prefent fucceffes feem to promife us, an intelligent people as ours is, must fee that necessity, and will acquiefce. But as a peace, when it is made, may be made haftily; and as the unhappy continuance of the war affords us time to confider, among leveral advantages gain'd or to be gain'd, which of them may be most for our interest to retain, if some and not all may poffibly be retained; I do not blame the public difquifition of these points, as premature or useles. Light often arises from a collision of opinions, as fire from flint and fteel; and if we can obtain the benefit of the light, without danger from the *beat* fometimes produc'd by controverfy, why fhould we difcourage it ?

Supposing then, that heaven may still continue to blefs his Majefty's arms, and that the event of

* Remarks, p. 7. + Remarks, p. 7. B 2

this

this just war may put it in our power to retain fome of our conquests at the making of a peace ; let us confider whether we are to confine ourfelves to those possessions only that were "the objects for which we began the war."* This the remarker feems to think right, when the question relates to " Canada, properly fo called," it having never been ' mentioned as one of those objects in any of our e memorials or declarations, or in any national or ' public act whatfoever.' But the gentleman himfelf will probably agree, that if the Ceffion of Canada would be a real advantage to us, we may demand it under his fecond head, as an " indemnification for the charges incurred" in recovering our just rights; otherwife according to his own principles the demand of Guadaloupe can have no foundation.

4]

Γ

That " our claims before the war were large " enough for poffeffion and for fecurity too," + tho' it feems a clear point with the ingenious remarker, is, Iown, not fo with me. I am rather of the contrary opinion, and shall prefently give my reafons. But first let me observe, that we did not make those claims because they were large enough for fecurity, but becaufe we could rightfully claim no more. Advantages gain'd in the course of this war, may increase the extent of our rights. Our claims before the war contain'd fome fecurity; but that is no reafon why we fhould neglect acquiring more when the demand of more is become rea-It may be reasonable in the case of fonable. America to ask for the fecurity recommended by the author of the letter, || tho' it would be prepofterous to do it in many other cafes : his propos'd demand

* Remarks, p 19. † Ibid. || P. 30. of the Letter, and p. 21. of the Remarks. is founded on the little value of Canada to the-French ; the right we have to ask, and the power we may have to infift on an indemnification for our expences; the difficulty the Franch themselves will be under of reftraining their reftless fubjects in America from encroaching on our limits and difturbing our trade; and the difficulty on our parts of preventing encroachments that may poffibly exist many years without coming to our knowledge. But the remarker " does not fee why the " arguments employ'd concerning a fecurity for a " peaceable behaviour in Canada, would not be " equally cogent for calling for the fame fecurity " in Europe." * On a little farther reflection, he must I think be fensible, that the circumstances of the two cafes are widely different. Here we are feparated by the beft and cleareft of boundaries, the ocean, and we have people in or near every part of our territory. Any attempt to encroach upon us, by building a fort, even in the obscureft corner of these islands, must therefore be known and prevented immediately. The aggreffors also must be known, and the nation they belong to would be accountable for their aggreffion. In America it is quite otherwife. A vaft wilderness thinly or scarce at at all peopled, conceals with eafe the march of troops and workmen. Important paffes may be feiz'd within our limits, and forts built in a month, at a small expence, that may cost us an age, and a million to remove. Dear experience has taught us this. But what is still worfe, the wide extended forests between our settlements and theirs, are inhabited by barbarous tribes of favages that delight in war and take pride in murder, fubjects properly neither of the French nor English, but ftrongly attach'd to the former by the art and indefatigable

* Remarks, p. 24.

induftry

industry of priefts, fimilarity of fuperfittions, and frequent family alliances. These are easily, and have been continually, inftigated to fall upon and massace our planters, even in times of full peace between the two crowns, to the certain diminution of our people and the contraction of our fettlements.* And though it is known they are fupply'd by the *French* and carry their prisoners to them,

* A very intelligent writer of that country, Dr. Clark, in his Observations on the late and present Conduct of the French, &c. printed at Boston 1755, fays,

' The Indians in the French interest are, upon all proper op-· portunities, infligated by their priefts, who have generally the · chief management of their public councils, to acts of ho-" fility against the English, even in time of profound peace be-' tween the two crowns. Of this there are many undeniable ' inftances : The war between the Indians and the colonies of " the Maffachuffetts Bay and New Hampshire, in 1723, by which ' those colonies fuffered to much damage, was begun by the • infligation of the French; their supplies were from them, and " there are now original letters of feveral Jefuits to be pro-" duced, whereby it evidently appears, that they were conti-" nually animating the Indians, when almost tired with the war, to a farther profecution of it. The French not only excited the Indians, and fupported them, but joined their own forces • with them in all the late hoftilities that have been committed " within his Majefty's province of Nova Scotia. And from an ' intercepted letter this year from the Jesuit at Penob/cot, and from other information, it is certain that they have been using * their utmost endeavours to excite the Indians to new acts of " hostility against his Majesty's colony of the Massachusetts • Bay, and fome have been committed. —— The French not only · excite the Indians to acts of hosility, but reward them for it, • by buying the English prifoners of them : for the ranfom of each of which they afterwards demand of us the price that is • usually given for a flave in these colonies. They do this un-· der the fpecious pretence of refcuing the poor prifoners from " the cruelties and barbarities of the favages; but in reality to encourage them to continue their depredations, as they can • by this means get more by hunting the English than by hunting wild-beafts; and the French at the fame time are thereby enabled to keep up a large body of Indians entirely at the exs pence of the English.

we can by complaining obtain no redrefs, as the governors of *Canada* have a ready excufe, that the Indians are an independent people, over whom they have no power, and for whofe actions they are therefore not accountable. Surely circumftances fo widely different, may reafonably authorife different demands of fecurity in *America*, from fuch as are ufual or neceffary in *Europe*.

The remarker, however, thinks, that our real dependance for keeping " France or any other na-"tion true to her engagements, must not be in " demanding fecurities which no nation whilft inde-" pendent can give, but on our own ftrength and our " own vigilance." * No nation that has carried on a war with difadvantage, and is unable to continue it, can be faid, under fuch circumftances, to be independent; and while either fide thinks itfelf in a condition to demand an indemnification, there is no man in his fenses, but will, cæteris paribus, prefer an indemnification that is a cheaper and more effectual fecurity than any other he can think of. Nations in this fituation demand and cede countries by almost every treaty of peace that is made. The French part of the island of St. Christophers was added to Great Britain in circumstances altogether fimilar to those in which a few months may probably place the country of Canada. Farther fecurity has always been deemed a motive with a conqueror to be lefs moderate; and even the vanquish'd infift upon fecurity as a reason for demanding what they acknowledge they could not otherwise properly ask. The security of the frontier of France on the fide of the Netherlands, was always confidered, in the negotiation that began at Gertruydenburgh, and ended with that war. For the fame reason they demanded and had Cape Breton. But

* Remark, p. 25.

a war

a war concluded to the advantage of France has always added fomething to the power, either of France or the houfe of Bourbon. Even that of 1733, which fhe commenced with declarations of her having no ambitious views, and which finished by a treaty at which the ministers of France repeatedly declared that she defired nothing for herfelf, in effect gained for her Lorrain, an indemnissication ten times the value of all her North American possible.

In fhort, fecurity and quiet of princes and ftates have ever been deemed fufficient reasons, when fupported by power, for difpoling of rights; and fuch disposition has never been looked on as want of moderation. It has always been the foundation of the most general treaties. The fecurity of Germany was the argument for yielding confiderable poffeffions there to the Swedes : and the fecurity of Europe divided the Spanish monarchy, by the partition treaty, made between powers who had no other right to dispose of any part of it. There can be no ceffion that is not supposed at least, to increase the power of the party to whom it is made. It is enough that he has a right to ask it, and that he does it not merely to ferve the purpofes of a dangerous ambition. Canada in the hands of Britain, will endanger the kingdom of France as little as any other ceffion; and from its fituation and circumftances cannot be hurtful to any other state. Rather, if peace be an advantage, this ceffion may be fuch to all Europe. The prefent war teaches us, that difputes arifing in America, may be an occasion of embroiling nations who have no concerns there. If the French remain in Canada and Louistana, fix the boundaries as you will between us and them, we must border on each other for more than 1500 miles. The

The people that inhabit the frontiers, are generally the refuse of both nations, often of the worst morals and the least diferetion, remote from the eye, the prudence, and the reftraint of government. Injuries are therefore frequently, in fome part or other of fo long a frontier, committed on both fides, refentment provoked, the colonies first engaged, and then the mother countries. And two great nations can scarce be at war in Europe, but some other prince or flate thinks it a convenient opportunity, to revive fome ancient claim, feize fome advantage, obtain fome territory, or enlarge fome power at the expence of a neighbour. The flames of war once kindled, often fpread far and wide, and the mischief is infinite. Happy it prov'd to both nations, that the Dutch were prevailed on finally to cede the New Netberlands (now the province of New York) to us at the peace of 1674; a peace that has ever fince continued between us, but must have been frequently disturbed, if they had retained the poffeffion of that country, bordering feveral hundred miles on our colonies of Penfilvania westward, Connesticut and the Massachuletts eastward. Nor is it to be wondered at that people of different language, religion, and manners, fhould in those remote parts engage in frequent quarrels, when we find, that even the people of our own colonies have frequently been to exafperated against each other in their disputes about boundaries, as to proceed to open violence and . bloodfhed.

But the remarker thinks we shall be fufficiently fecure in America, if we 'raise English forts at 'fuch passes as may at once make us respectable to 'the French and to the Indian nations.'* The fecurity desirable in America, may be confidered

^{*} Remarks, p. 25. C

as of three kinds; 1. A fecurity of poffeffion, that the French shall not drive us out of the country. 2. A fecurity of our planters from the inroads of favages, and the murders committed by them. 3. A fecurity that the British nation shall not be obliged, on every new war, to repeat the immenfe expence occasion'd by this, to defend its possessions in America. Forts in the most important passes, may, I acknowledge, be of use to obtain the first kind of fecurity : but as those fituations are far advanc'd beyond the inhabitants, the expence of maintaining and fupplying the garrifons, will be very great even in time of full peace, and immenfe on every interruption of it; as it is easy for skulking parties of the enemy in fuch long roads thro' the woods, to intercept and cut off our convoys, unlefs guarded continually by great bodies of men. The fecond kind of fecurity, will not be obtained by fuch forts, unless they were connected by a wall like that of China, from one end of our fettlements to the other. If the Indians when at war, march'd like the Europeans, with great armies, heavy cannon, baggage and carriages, the paffes thro' which alone fuch armies could penetrate our country or receive their fupplies, being fecur'd, all might be fufficiently fecure ; but the cafe is widely different. They go to war, as they call it, in small parties, from fifty men down to five. Their hunting life has made them acquainted with the whole country, and fcarce any part of it is im-. practicable to fuch a party. They can travel thro' the woods even by night, and know how to conceal their tracks. They pass eafily between your forts undifcovered; and privately approach the fettlements of your frontier inhabitants. Thev need no convoys of provisions to follow them; for whether they are fhifting from place to place in the

[10]

the woods, or lying in wait for an opportunity to ftrike a blow, every thicket and every ftream furnifhes fo fmall a number with fufficient fubliftence. When they have furpriz'd feparately, and murder'd and fcalp'd a dozen families, they are gone with inconceivable expedition through unknown ways, and 'tis very rare that purfuers have any chance of coming up with them. * In fhort, long experience has taught

· Although the Indians live fcattered, as a hunter's life re-' quires, they may be collected together from almost any difance, as they can find their fubfistence from their gun in ' their travelling. But let the number of the Indians be what ' it will, they are not formidable merely on account of their " numbers; there are many other circumftances that give them ' a great advantage over the English. The English inhabitants, " though numerous, are extended over a large tract of land, ' 500 leagues in length on the fea fhore; and although fome of · their trading towns are thick fettled, their fettlements in the country towns must be at a distance from each other : befides, that in a new country where lands are cheap, people ' are fond of acquiring large tracts to themfelves; and therefore in the out-fettlements, they must be more remote : and as the people that move out are generally poor, they fit down either where they can easieft procure land, or soonest raise a ' subfistence. Add to this, that the English have fixed settled · habitations, the eafieft and shortest passages to which the . Indians, by constantly hunting in the woods, are perfectly ' well acquainted with ; whereas the English know little or nothing of the Indian country, nor of the paffages through the " woods that lead to it. The Indian way of making war is by fudden attacks upon exposed places; and as foon as they . have done mischief, they retire, and either go home by the ' fame or fome different rout, as they think fafest; or go to ' fome other place at a diftance to renew their ftroke. If a fufficient party should happily be ready to purfue them, it is a " great chance, whether in a country confifting of woods and . Iwamps which the English are not acquainted with, the ene-* my do not lie in ambush for them in some convenient place, ' and from thence deftroy them. If this fhould not be the cafe, " but the English should purfue them, as soon as they have gained the rivers, by means of their canoes, to the ule of which they are brought up from their infancy, they prefently C 2

taught our planters, that they cannot rely upon forts as a fecurity against *Indians*: The inhabitants of *Hackney* might as well rely upon the tower of *London* to fecure them against highwaymen and housebreakers. As to the third kind of fecurity, that we shall not, in a few years, have all we have now done

f fently get out of their reach : further, if a body of men were to march into their country to the places where they are fettled, they can, upon the least notice, without great difadvantage, quit their prefent habitations, and betake themfelves to new ones.' Clark's Obfervations, p. 13.

' It has been already remarked, that the tribes of the In-" dians living upon the lakes and rivers that run upon the back * of the English fettlements in North America, are very numerous, ' and can furnish a great number of fighting men, all per-* fectly well acquainted with the use of arms as foon as capable ' of carrying them, as they get the whole of their fubfiftence " from hunting; and that this army, large as it may be, can be " maintained by the French without any expence. From their " numbers, their fituation, and the rivers that run into the " English fettlement, it is eafy to conceive that they can at any · time make an attack upon, and conftantly annoy as many of the exposed English fettlements as they please, and those at * any diftance from each other. The effects of fach incurfions * have been too feverely felt by many of the British colonies, f not to be very well known. The entire breaking up places ' that had been for a confiderable time fettled at a great ex-' pence, both of labour and money ; burning the houses, deftroying the flock, killing and making prifoners great num-· bers of the inhabitants, with all the cruel usage they meet " with in their captivity, is only a part of the scene. All other * places that are exposed are kept in continual terror; the ' lands lie waste and uncultivated from the danger that attends * those that shall presume to work upon them: besides the * immense charge the governments must be at in a very inef-· fectual manner to defend their extended frontiers; and all + this from the influence the French have had over, but com-* paratively, a few of the Indians. To the fame or greater evils still will every one of the colonies be exposed, whenever the fame influence shall be extended to the whole body · of them.'

Ibid. p. 20.

to

to do over again in America; and be obliged to employ the fame number of troops, and fhips, at the fame immenfe expence to defend our poffeffions there, while we are in proportion weaken'd here : fuch forts I think cannot prevent this. During a peace, it is not to be doubted the French, who are adroit at fortifying, will likewife erect forts in the most advantageous places of the country we leave them, which will make it more difficult than ever to be reduc'd in cafe of another war. We know by the experience of this war, how extremely difficult it is to march an army through the American woods, with its neceffary cannon and ftores, fufficient to reduce a very flight fort. The accounts at the treasury will tell you what amazing fums we have neceffarily fpent in the expeditions against two very triffing forts, Duquesne and Crown While the French retain their influence Point. over the Indians, they can eafily keep our long extended frontier in continual alarm, by a very few of those people; and with a small number of regulars and militia, in fuch a country, we find they can keep an army of ours in full employ for feveral years. We therefore shall not need to be told by our colonies, that if we leave Canada, however circumfcrib'd, to the French, " we have done " nothing;" * we shall foon be made fensible ourfelves of this truth, and to our coft.

I would not be underftood to deny that even if we fubdue and retain *Canada*, fome few forts may be of use to secure the goods of the traders, and protect the commerce, in case of any sudden misunderstanding with any tribe of *Indians*: but these forts will be best under the care of the colonies interested in the *Indian* trade, and garrison'd by their provincial forces, and at their own expense. Their

* Remarks, p. 26.

own

own intereft will then induce the American governments to take care of fuch forts in proportion to their importance; and fee that the officers keep their corps full, and mind their duty. But any troops of ours plac'd there and accountable here, would, in fuch remote and obfcure places, and at fo great a diftance from the eye and infpection of fuperiors, foon become of little confequence, even though the French were left in poffession of Canada. If the four independent companies maintained by the Crown in New York more than forty years, at a great expence, confifted, for most part of the time, of faggots chiefly; if their officers enjoy'd their places as fine cures, and were only, as a writer * of that country ftiles them, a kind of military monks; if this was the flate of troops polted in a populous country, where the impofition could not be fo well conceal'd; what may we expect will be the cafe of those that shall be posted two, three, or four hundred miles from the inhabitants, in fuch obfcure and remote places as Crown Point, Oswego, Duquesne, or Niagara? they would fcarce be even faggots; they would dwindle to meer names upon paper, and appear no where but upon the mufter-rolls.

Now all the kinds of fecurity we have mention'd are obtain'd by fubduing and retaining Canada. Our prefent poffeffions in America, are fecur'd; our planters will no longer be maffacred by the Indians, who depending abfolutely on us for what are now become the neceffaries of life to them, guns, powder, hatchets, knives, and cloathing; and having no other Europeans near, that can either fupply them, or infligate them againft us; there is no doubt of their being always difpos'd, if we treat them with common juffice, to live in

* Douglass.

perpetual

[15] perpetual peace with us. And with regard to France, she cannot, in cafe of another war, put us to the immenfe expence of defending that long extended frontier; we shall then, as it were, have our backs against a wall in America, the fea coast will be eafily protected by our fuperior naval power; and here "our own watchfulnefs and our own ftrength" will be properly, and cannot but be fuccessfully employed. In this fituation the force now employ'd in that part of the world, may be fpar'd for any other fervice here or elfewhere : fo that both the offenfive and defenfive ftrength of the British empire, on the whole, will be greatly increafed.

But to leave the French in possession of Canada when it is in our power to remove them, and depend, as the remarker proposes, on our own "frength and watchfulnefs" * to prevent the milchiefs that may attend it, feems neither fafe nor prudent. Happy as we now are, under the best of kings, and in the prospect of a succession promifing every felicity a nation was ever blefs'd with : happy too in the wifdom and vigour of every part of the administration; we cannot, we ought not to promife ourfelves the uninterrupted continuance of those bleffings. The fafety of a confiderable part of the state, and the interest of the whole are not to be trufted to the wifdom and vigor of future administrations, when a fecurity is to be had more effectual, more conftant, and much lefs expensive. They who can be moved by the apprehenfion of dangers fo remote as that of the future independence of our colonies (a point I shall hereafter confider) feem scarcely confistent with themfelves when they fuppofe we may rely on

* P. 25.

the

[16]

the wildom and vigour of an administration for their fafety.

I should indeed think it less material whether Canada were ceded to us or not, if I had in view only the fecurity of possession in our colonies. I entirely agree with the Remarker, that we are in North America " a far greater continental as well " as naval power;" and that only cowardice or ignorance can fubject our colonies there to a French conquest. But for the fame reason I disagree with him widely upon nother point. I do not think that our " blood and treasure has been expended," as he intimates, " in the caufe of the colonies," and that we are " making conquefts for them :" * yet I believe this is too common an error. I do not fay they are altogether unconcerned in the event. The inhabitants of them are, in common with the other subjects of Great Britain, anxious for the glory of her crown, the extent of her power and commerce, the welfare and future repole of the whole British people. They could not therefore but take a large share in the affronts offered to Britain, and have been animated with a truely British spirit to exert themselves beyond their strength, and against their evident interest. Yet fo unfortunate have they been, that their virtue has made against them; for upon no better foundation than this, have they been supposed the authors of a war carried on for their advantage only. It is a great miftake to imagine that the American country in question between Great Britain and France, is claimed as the property of any individuals or publick body in America, or that the poffeffion of it by Great Britain, is likely, in any lucrative view, to redound at all to the advantage of any perfon there.

* Remarks, p. 26.

On

On the other hand, the bulk of the inhabitants of North America are land-owners, whofe lands are inferior in value to those of Britain only by the want of an equal number of people. It is true, the acceffion of the large territory claimed before the war began, especially if that be secured by the posfeffion of Canada, will tend to the increase of the British subjects faster than if they had been confin'd within the mountains : yet the increase within the mountains only, would evidently make the comparative population equal to that of Great Britain much fooner than it can be expected when our people are fpread over a country fix times as large. I think this is the only point of light in which this question is to be viewed, and is the only one in which any of the colonies are concerned. No colony, no poffeffor of lands in any colony, therefore wifnes for conquefts, or can be benefited by them, otherwife than as they may be a means of fecuring peace on their borders. No confiderable advantage has refulted to the colonies by the conquests of this war, or can result from confirming them by the peace, but what they must enjoy in common with the rest of the *British* people; with this evident drawback from their share of thefe advantages, that they will neceffarily leffen, or at least prevent the increase of the value of what makes the principal part of their private property. A people spread through the whole tract of country on this fide the Miffifipi, and fecured by Canada in our hands, would probably for fome centuries find employment in agriculture, and thereby free us at home effectually from our fears of American manufactures. Unprejudic'd men well know that all the penal and prohibitory laws that ever were thought on, will not be fufficient to prevent manufactures in a country whose inhabitants D furpals

furpass the number that can fublish by the hufbandry of it. That this will be the cafe in America foon, if our people remain confined within the mountains, and almost as foon should it be unfafe for them to live beyond, though the country be ceded to us, no man acquainted with political and commercial history can doubt. Manufactures are founded in poverty. It is the multitude of poor without land in a country, and who must work for others at low wages or ftarve, that enables undertakers to carry on a manufacture, and afford it cheap enough to prevent the importation of the fame kind from abroad, and to bear the expence of its own exportation. But no man who can have a piece of land of his own, fufficient by his labour to fubfift his family in plenty, is poor enough to be a manufacturer and work for a mafter. Hence while there is land enough in America for our people, there can never be manufactures to any amount or value. It is a ftriking obfervation of a very able pen, that the natural livelyhood of the thin inhabitants of a foreft country is hunting; that of a greater number, pasturage; that of a middling population, agriculture; and that of the greateft, manufactures; which last must subsist the bulk of the people in a full country, or they must be sublisted by charity, or perish. The extended population, therefore, that is most advantageous to Great Britain, will be best effected, because only effectually fecured by our poffeffion of Canada. So far as the being of our prefent colonies in North America is concerned, I think indeed with the remarker, that the French there are not " an enemy " to be apprehended," * but the expression is too vague to be applicable to the present, or indeed to any other case. Algiers, Tunis and Tripoli, unequal

* Remarks, p. 27.

equal as they are to this nation in power and numbers of people, are enemies to be still apprehended; and the Highlanders of Scotland have been fo for many ages by the greatest princes of Scotland and Britain. The wild Irifb were able to give a great deal of difturbance even to Queen Elizabeth, and coft her more blood and treasure than her war with Spain. Canada in the hands of France has always ftinted the growth of our colonies : In the course of this war, and indeed before it, has diffurb'd and vex'd even the beft and ftrongeft of them, has found means to murder thousands of their people and unfettle a great part of their country. Much more able will it be to ftarve the growth of an infant settlement. Canada has also found means to make this nation fpend two or three millions a year in America; and a people, how fmall foever, that in their prefent fituation, can do this as often as we have a war with them, is methinks, " an ene-" my to be apprehended."

Our North American colonies are to be confidered as the frontier of the Briti/b empire on that fide. The frontier of any dominion being attack'd, it becomes not merely " *the caufe*" of the people immediately affected, (the inhabitants of that frontier) but properly "the caufe" of the whole body. Where the frontier people owe and pay obedience, there they have a right to look for protection. No political proposition is better established than this. It is therefore invidious to reprefent the " blood and treafure" fpent in this war, as fpent in " the " caufe of the colonies" only, and that they are " abfurd and ungrateful" if they think we have done nothing unlefs we " make conquefts for " them," and reduce Canada to gratify their " vain ambition," &c. It will not be a conquest for them, nor gratify any vain ambition of theirs. It It will be a conqueft for the whole, and all our people will, in the increase of trade and the ease of taxes, find the advantage of it. Should we be obliged at any time to make a war for the protection of our commerce, and to secure the exportation of our manufactures, would it be fair to represent such a war merely as blood and treasure spent in the cause of the weavers of Yorksbire, Norwick, or the West, the cutlers of Sheffield, or the button-makers of Birmingham? I hope it will appear before I end these sheets, that if ever there was a national war, this is truly such a one : a war in which the interest of the whole nation is directly and fundamentally concerned.

Those who would be thought deeply skilled in human nature, affect to discover self-interested views every where at the bottom of the faireft, the most generous conduct. Sufpicions and charges of this kind, meet with ready reception and belief in the minds even of the multitude; and therefore lefs acutenefs and addrefs than the remarker is poffessed of, would be sufficient to persuade the nation generally, that all the zeal and fpirit manifefted and exerted by the colonies in this war, was only in " their own caufe" to " make conquests for " themfelves," to engage us to make more for them, to gratify their own " vain ambition." But fhould they now humbly address the mother country in the terms and the fentiments of the remarker, return her their grateful acknowledgments for the blood and treasure she had spent in " their " caufe," confess that enough had been done " for them;" allow that " English forts raifed in " proper paffes, will, with the wifdom and vigour " of her administration" be a sufficient future protection; express their defires that their people may be confined within the mountains, left they are fuffered

fuffered to fpread and extend themfelves in the fertile and pleafant country on the other fide, they should " increase infinitely from all causes," " live " wholly on their own labour" and become independent; beg therefore that the French may be fuffered to remain in possession of Canada, as their neighbourhood may be useful to prevent our increase; and the removing them may " in its confe-" quences be even dangerous "." I fay, fhould fuch an address from the colonies make its appearance here, though, according to the remarker, it would be a most just and reasonable one; would it not, might it not with more justice be answered; We understand you, gentlemen, perfectly well : you have only your own intereft in view : you want to have the people confined within your prefent limits, that in a few years the lands you are posseffed of may increase tenfold in value ! vou want to reduce the price of labour by increasing numbers on the fame territory, that you may be able to fet up manufactures and vie with your mother country! you would have your people kept in a body, that you may be more able to difpute the commands of the crown, and obtain an independency. You would have the French left in Canada, to exercife your military virtue, and make you a warlike people, that you may have more confidence to embark in schemes of disobedience, and greater ability to fupport them ! You have tasted too, the sweets of two or three MILLIONS Sterling per annum spent among you by our fleets and forces, and you are unwilling to be without a pretence for kindling up another war, and thereby occasioning a repetition of the fame delightful doses ! But, gentlemen, allow us to understand

Remarks, p. 50, 51.

our interest a little likewise : we shall remove the *French* from *Canada* that you may live in peace, and we be no more drained by your quarrels. You shall have land enough to cultivate, that you may have neither necessity nor inclination to go into manufactures, and we will manufacture for you and govern you.

A reader of the remarks may be apt to fay; if this writer would have us reftore Canada on principles of moderation, how can we confiftent with those principles, retain Guadaloup, which he reprefents of fo much greater value ! I will endeavour to explain this, because by doing it I shall have an opportunity of showing the truth and good sense of the answer to the interested application I have just supposed. The author then is only apparently and not really inconfistent with himself. If we can obtain the credit of moderation by reftoring Canada, it is well: but we should, however, restore it at all events; becaufe it would not only be of no use to us, but "the possession of it (in his opinion) " may in its confequences be dangerous *." As how? Why, plainly, (at length it comes out) if the French are not left there to check the growth of our colonies, " they will extend themfelves almost without " bounds into the in-land parts, and increase infinitely from all caufes ;-becoming a numerous, " hardy, independent people, poffeffed of a ftrong " country, communicating little or not at all with " England, living wholly on their own labour, and " in process of time knowing little and enquiring " little about the mother country." In fhort, according to this writer, our prefent colonies are large enough and numerous enough, and the French ought to be left in North America to prevent their increase, left they become not only ufeles but dangerous to Britain.

e Remarks, p. 50, 51.

[23]

I agree with the gentleman, that with Canada in our possession, our people in America will increase amazingly. I know, that their common rate of increafe, where they are not molefted by the enemy, is doubling their numbers every twenty-five years, by natural generation only, exclusive of the accession of foreigners *. I think this increase continuing, would probably in a century more, make the number of British subjects on that fide the water more numerous than they now are on this; but I am far from entertaining on that account, any fears of their becoming either useles or dangerous to us; and I look on those fears to be merely imaginary, and without any probable foundation. The remarker is referv'd in giving his reafons, as in his opinion this " is not a fit fubject for difcuffion." I fhall give mine, becaufe I conceive it a fubject necessary to be difcufs'd; and the rather, as those fears how groundlefs and chimerical foever, may by pofferfing the multitude, poffibly induce the ableft ministry to conform to them against their own judgment, and thereby prevent the affuring to the British name and nation a ftability and permanency that no man acquainted with hiftory durft have hoped for, 'till

* The reason of this greater increase in America than in Europe, is, that in old settled countries, all trades, farms, offices, and, employments are full, and many people refrain marrying till they see an opening, in which they can settle themselves, with a reasonable prospect of maintaining a family : but in America, it being easy to obtain land, which with moderate labour will afford subsistence and something to spare, people marry more readily and earlier in life, whence arises a numerous offspring and the swift population of those countries. 'Tis a common error that we cannot fill our provinces or increase the number of them, without draining this nation of its people. The increment alone of our-present colonies is sufficient for both those purposes.

our

our American possessions opened the pleasing pro-

The remarker thinks that our people in America, " finding no check from Canada would ex-" tend themfelves almost without bounds into the " inland parts, and increase infinitely from all " caufes." The very reafon he affigns for their fo extending, and which is indeed the true one, their being " invited to it by the pleafantnefs, fertility " and plenty of the country," may fatisfy us, that this extension will continue to proceed as long as there remains any pleasant fertile country within their reach. And if we even suppose them confin'd by the waters of the Miffifipi weftward, and by those of St. Laurence and the lakes to the northward, yet still we shall leave them room enough to increase even in the sparse manner of fettling now practis'd there, till they amount to perhaps a hundred millions of fouls. This muft take fome centuries to fulfil, and in the mean time, this nation must necessarily fupply them with the manufactures they confume, becaufe the new fettlers will be employ'd in agriculture, and the new fettlements will fo continually draw off the spare hands from the old, that our prefent colonies will not, during the period we have mentioned, find themfelves in a condition to manufacture even for their own inhabitants, to any confiderable degree, much lefs for those who are fettling behind them. Thus our trade must, till that country becomes as fully peopled as England, that is for centuries to come. be continually increasing, and with it our naval power; becaufe the ocean is between us and them, and our fhips and feamen must increase as that trade increafes.

The human body and the political differ in this, that the first is limited by nature to a certain flature, ftature, which, when attain'd, it cannot, ordinarily, exceed; the other by better government and more prudent police, as well as by change of manners and other circumftances, often takes fresh starts of growth, after being long at a stand; and may add tenfold to the dimensions it had for ages been confined to. The mother being of full stature, is in a few years equal'd by a growing daughter: but in the case of a mother country and her colonies, it is quite different. The growth of the children tends to encrease the growth of the mother, and so the difference and superiority is longer preferv'd.

Were the inhabitants of this island limited to their prefent number by any thing in nature, or by unchangeable circumstances, the equality of population between the two countries might indeed fooner come to pafs : but fure experience in those parts of the island where manufactures have been introduc'd, teaches us, that people increase and multiply in proportion as the means and facility of gaining a livelihood increase; and that this island, if they could be employed, is capable of fupporting ten times its present number of people. In proportion therefore, as the demand increases for the manufactures of Britain, by the increase of people in her colonies, the number of her people ac home will increase, and with them the strength as well as the wealth of the nation. For fatisfaction in this point let the reader compare in his mind the number and force of our prefent fleets, with our fleet in Queen Elizabeth's time * before we had colonies. Let him compare the antient with the prefent state of our towns and ports on or near our weftern coaft, Manchester, Liverpool, Kendal, Lan-caster, Glasgow, and the countries round them, that trade with and manufacture for our colonies,

* Viz. 40 fail, none of more than 40 guns.

not to mention Leeds, Halifax, Sheffield and Birmingham, and confider what a difference there is in the numbers of people, buildings, rents, and the value of land and of the produce of land, even if he goes back no farther than is within man's memory. Let him compare those countries with others on the fame island, where manufactures have not yet extended themselves, observe the present difference, and reflect how much greater our strength may be, if numbers give strength, when our manufacturers schall occupy every part of the island where they can possibly be subsisted.

But, fay the objectors, " there is a certain diftance from the fea, in America, beyond which the expence of carriage will put a ftop to the fale and confumption of your manufactures; and this, with the difficulty of making returns for them, will oblige the inhabitants to manufacture for themfelves; of courfe, if you fuffer your people to extend their fettlements beyond that diftance, your people become useles to you :" and this diftance is limited by fome to 200 miles, by others to the Apalachian mountains. Not to infift on a very plain truth, that no part of a dominion, from whence a government may on occasion draw fupplies and aids both of men and money, tho' at too great a diftance to be fupply'd with manufactures from some other part, is therefore to be deem'd ufelefs to the whole; I shall endeavour to show that thefe imaginary limits of utility, even in point of commerce, are much too narrow.

The inland parts of the continent of Europe are farther from the fea than the limits of fettlement proposed for America. Germany is full of tradefmen and artificers of all kinds, and the governments there, are not all of them always favourable to the commerce of Britain, yet it is a well-

well-known fact, that our manufactures find their way even into the heart of Germany. Alk the great manufacturers and merchants of the Leeds, Sheffield, Birmingham, Manchefter and Norwich goods, and they will tell you, that fome of them fend their riders frequently thro' France or Spain and Italy, up to Vienna, and back thro' the middle and northern parts of Germany, to fhow famples of their wares and collect orders, which they receive by almost every mail, to a vast amount. Whatever charges arife on the carriage of goods, are added to the value, and all paid by the confumer. If these nations over whom we have no government, over whofe confumption we can have no influence, but what arifes from the cheapnels and goodnels of our wares; whole trade, manufactures, or commercial connections are not fubject to the controul of our laws, as those of our colonies certainly are in some degree : I fay, if thefe nations purchase and confume fuch quantities of our goods, notwithstanding the remotenels of their fituation from the fea; how much lefs likely is it that the fettlers in America, who must for ages be employ'd in agriculture chiefly, should make cheaper for themselves the goods our manufacturers at prefent supply them with; even if we suppose the carriage five, fix or feven hundred miles from the fea as difficult and expensive as the like diftance into Germany : whereas in the latter, the natural diftances are frequently doubled by political obstructions, I mean the intermix'd territories and clashing interests of princes. But when we confider that the inland parts of America are penetrated by great navigable rivers; that there are a number of great lakes, communicating with each other, with those rivers and with the fea, very fmall portages here and there $\epsilon x = E_2$ cepted: cepted;

1.1

cepted *; that the fea coafts (if one may be allow'd the expression) of those lakes only, amount at leaft to 2700 miles, exclusive of the rivers running into them; many of which are navigable to a great extent for boats and canoes, thro' vaft tracts of country; how little likely is it that the expence on the carriage of our goods into those countries, should prevent the use of them. If the poor Indians in those remote parts are now able to pay for the linen, woolen and iron wares they are at prefent furnish'd with by the French and English traders, though Indians have nothing but what they get by hunting, and the goods are loaded with all the impofitions fraud and knavery can contrive to inhance their value; will not industrious English farmers, hereafter settled in those countries, be much better able to pay for what shall be brought them in the way of fair commerce?

If it is asked, what can such farmers raise, wherewith to pay for the manufactures they may want from us? I answer, that the inland parts of *America* in question are well-known to be fitted for the production of hemp, flax, potash, and above all, filk; the southern parts may produce olive oil, raisins, currans, indigo, and cochineal. Not to mention horse and black cattle, which may eafily be driven to the maritime markets, and at

* From New York into lake Ontario, the land carriage of the feveral portages altogether, amounts to but about 27 miles. From lake Ontario into lake Erie, the land carriage at Niagara it but about 12 miles. All the lakes above Niagara communicate by navigable firaits, fo that no land-carriage is neceffary, to go out of one into another. From Prefqu'ifle on lake Erie, there are but 15 miles land-carriage, and that a good waggon road, to Beef River a branch of the Ohio, which brings you into a navigation of many thousand miles inland, if you take together the Ohio, the Miffiffiei, and all the great rivers and branches that run into them. the fame time affift in conveying other commo-dities. That the commodities first mentioned, may eafily by water or land carriage be brought to the fea ports from interior America, will not feem incredible, when we reflect, that hemp formerly came from the Ukraine and most fouthern parts of Ruffia to Wologda, and down the Dwina to Archangel, and thence by a perilous navigation round the North Cape to England and other parts of Eu-rope. It now comes from the fame country up the Dnieper and down the Duna with much land carriage. Great part of the Ruffia iron, no high-priced commodity, is brought 300 miles by land and water from the heart of Siberia. Furs, [the produce too of America] are brought to Amsterdam from all parts of Siberia, even the most remote, Kamschatska. The fame country furnishes me with another inflance of extended inland commerce. It is found worth while to keep up a mercantile communication between *Peking* in *China* and *Peterf-*burgh. And none of these instances of inland commerce exceed those of the courses by which, at feveral periods, the whole trade of the East was carried on. Before the prosperity of the Mamaluke dominion in Egypt fixed the staple for the riches of the East at Cairo and Alexandria, whither they were brought from the Red Sea, great part of those commodities were carried to the cities of Calbgar and Balk. This gave birth to those towns, that still subsist upon the remains of their ancient opulence, amidft a people and country equally wild. From thence those goods were carried down the Amu, the ancient Oxus, to the Caspian Sea, and up the Wolga to Aftrachan, from whence they were carried over to, and down the Don to the mouth of that river, and thence again the Venetians directly, and the Genoefe and Venetians indirectly by way of

of Käffa and Trebisonde, dispers'd them thro' the Mediterranean and some other parts of Europe. Another part of those goods was carried over-land from the Wolga to the rivers Duna and Neva; from both they were carried to the city of Wisbay in the Baltick, so eminent for its sea-laws; and from the city of Ladoga on the Neva, we are told they were even carried by the Dwina to Archangel, and from thence round the North Cape.

If iron and hemp will bear the charge of carriage from this in-land country, other metals will as well as iron; and certainly filk, fince 3 d. per lb. is not above 1 per cent. on the value, and amounts to L. 28 per ton.

If the growths of a country find their way out of it, the manufactures of the countries where they to will infallibly find their way into it. They who understand the œconomy and principles of manufactures, know, that it is impossible to establish them in places not populous; and even in those that are populous, hardly poffible to eftablish them to the prejudice of the places already in poffeffion of them. Several' attempts have been made in France and Spain, countenanced by the government, to draw from us and effablish in those countries, our hard-ware and woolen manufactures, but without fuccefs. The reasons are various. A manufacture is part of a great fystem of commerce, which takes in conveniencies of various kinds, methods of providing materials of all forts, machines for expediting and facilitating labour; all the channels of correfpondence for vending the wares, the credit and confidence neceffary to found and fupport this correspondence, the mutual aid of different artizans, and a thousand other particulars, which time and long experience have gradually eftablished. A part of luch a fystem cannot support itself without the whole, and before the whole can be obtained the

the part perifhes. Manufactures where they are in perfection, are carried on by a multiplicity of hands, each of which is expert only in his own part, no one of them a master of the whole; and if by any means fpirited away to a foreign country, he is loft without his fellows. Then it is a matter of the extremest difficulty to persuade a compleat set of workmen, skilled in all parts of a manufactory to leave their country together and fettle in a foreign land. Some of the idle and drunken may be enticed away, but thefe only difappoint their employers, and ferve to discourage the undertaking. If by royal munificence, and an expence that the profits of the trade alone would not bear, a compleat fet of good and skilful hands are collected and carried over, they find fo much of the fystem imperfect, fo many things wanting to carry on the trade to advantage, fo many difficulties to overcome, and the knot of hands fo eafily broken by death, diffatisfaction and defertion, that they and their employers are difcouraged together, and the project vanishes into smoke. Hence it happens, that established manufactures are hardly ever lost, but by foreign conqueft, or by fome eminent interior fault in manners or government; a bad police oppreffing and difcouraging the workmen, or religious perfecutions driving the fober and industrious out of the country. There is, in short, scarce a fingle inftance in hiftory of the contrary, where manufactures have once taken firm root. They fometimes flart up in a new place, but are generally supported like exotic plants at more expence than they are worth for any thing but curiofity, until these new feats become the refuge of the manufacturers driven from the old ones. The conquest of Constantinople and final reduction of the Greek empire, dispersed many curious manufactu-

rers

rers into different parts of Christendom. The former conquests of its provinces had before done the fame. The lofs of liberty in Verona, Milan, Florence, Pisa, Pistoia, and other great cities of Italy, drove the manufacturers of woolen cloth into Spain and Flanders. The latter first lost their trade and manufactures to Antwerp and the cities of Brabanta from whence by perfecution for religion they were fent into Holland and England. The civil wars during the minority of Charles the first of Spain, which ended in the loss of the liberty of their great towns, ended too in the lofs of the manufactures of Toledo, Segovia, Salamanca, Medina del campo, &c. The revocation of the edict of Nantes, communicated, to all the Protestant parts of Europe, the paper, filk, and other valuable manufacturers of France, almost peculiar at that time to that country, and till then in vain attempted elfewhere.

To be convinc'd that it is not foil and climate, or even freedom from taxes, that determines the refidence of manufacturers, we need only turn our eyes on *Holland*, where a multitude of manufactures are flill carried on (perhaps more than on the fame extent of territory any where in *Europe*) and fold on terms upon which they cannot be had in any other part of the world. And this too is true of those growths, which by their nature and the labour required to raife them, come the nearest to manufactures.

As to the common-place objection to the North American fettlements, that they are in the fame climate, and their produce the fame as that of England; in the first place it is not true; it is particularly not fo of the countries now likely to be added to our fettlements; and of our prefent colonies, the products, lumber, tobacco, rice and indigo, great articles of commerce, do not interfere with the products

ducts of England : in the next place, a man must know very little of the trade of the world, who does not know, that the greater part of it is carried on between countries whose climate differs very little. Even the trade between the different parts of these *British* islands, is greatly superior to that between *England* and all the *West-India* islands put together.

If I have been fuccefsful in proving that a confiderable commerce may and will fublift between us and our future most inland fettlements in North America, notwithstanding their distance, I have more than half proved no other inconveniency will arife from their diftance. Many men in fuch a country, must "know," must "think," and must " care" about the country they chiefly trade with. The juridical and other connections of government are yet a fafter hold than even commercial ties, and fpread directly and indirectly far and wide. Bufinefs to be folicited and caufes depending, create a great intercourse even where private property is not divided in different countries, yet this division will always fublift where different countries are ruled by the fame government. Where a man has landed property both in the mother country and a province, he will almost always live in the mother country : this, tho' there were no trade, is fingly a fufficient gain. It is faid, that Ireland pays near a million Sterling annually to its absentees in England : The ballance of trade from Spain or even Portugal is fcarcely equal to this.

Let it not be faid we have no absentees from North-America. There are many to the writer's knowledge; and if there are at prefent but few of them that diffinguish themselves here by great ex-pence, it is owing to the mediocrity of fortune among the inhabitants of the Northern colonies; and F a more a more equal division of landed property, than in the West-India illands, fo that there are as yet but few large eftates. But if those who have such estates, refide upon and take care of them themfelves, are they worfe fubjects than they would be if they lived idly in England? Great merit is affumed for the gentlemen of the West-Indies *, on the fcore of their refiding and fpending their money in England. I would not depreciate that merit; it is confiderable, for they might, if they pleased, spend their money in France : but the difference between their spending it here and at home is not fo great. What do they fpend it in when they are here, but the produce and manufactures of this country; and would they not do the fame if they were at home? Is it of any great importance to the English farmer, whether the West-India gentleman comes to London and eats his beef, pork, and tongues, fresh, or has them brought to him in the West-Indies falted; whether he eats his English cheefe and butter, or drinks his English ale at London or in Barbadoes ? Is the clothier's, or the mercer's, or the cutler's, or the toy-man's profit lefs, for their goods being worn and confumed by the fame perfons refiding on the other fide of the ocean? Would not the profits of the merchant and mariner be rather greater, and fome addition made to our navigation, ships and feamen? If the North-American gentleman stays in his own country, and lives there in that degree of luxury and expence with regard to the use of British manufactures, that his fortune entitles him to; may not his example (from the imitation of fuperiors fo natural to mankind) fpread the use of those manufactures among hundreds of families around him, and

* Rem. r :, p. 47, 48, Gc.

occalion
occafion a much greater demand for them, than it would do if he fhould remove and live in London?

However this may be, if in our views of immediate advantage, it feems preferable that the gentlemen of large fortunes in North America should refide much in *England*, 'tis what may furely be expected as fast as such fortunes are acquired there. Their having " colleges of their own for " the education of their youth," will not prevent it : A little knowledge and learning acquired, increafes the appetite for more, and will make the conversation of the learned on this fide the water more strongly defired. Ireland has its university likewife; yet this does not prevent the immenfe pecuniary benefit we receive from that kingdom. And there will always be in the conveniencies of life, the politenefs, the pleafures, the magnificence of the reigning country, many other attractions befides those of learning, to draw men of substance there, where they can, apparently at leaft, have the best bargain of happiness for their money.

Our trade to the West-India islands is undoubtedly a valuable one : but whatever is the amount of it, it has long been at a ftand. Limited as our fugar planters are by the fcantinefs of territory, they cannot increase much beyond their present number ; and this is an evil, as I shall show hereafter, that will be little helped by our keeping Guadaloupe. The trade to our Northern Colonies, is not only greater, but yearly increasing with the increase of people: and even in a greater proportion, as the people increase in wealth and the ability of fpending as well as in numbers. I have already faid, that our people in the Northern Colonies double in about 25 years, exclusive of the accession of strangers. That I fpeak within bounds, I appeal to the F 2 authentic

authentic accounts frequently required by the board of trade, and transmitted to that board by the respective governors; of which accounts I shall felect one as a sample, being that from the colony of *Rhode-Island* *; a colony that of all the others receives the least addition from strangers. For the increase of our trade to those colonies, I refer to the accounts frequently laid before Parliament, by the officers of the customs, and to the customhouse books : from which I have also felected one account, that of the trade from *England* (exclusive of *Scotland*) to *Pensilvania* +; a colony most remarkable

* Copy of the Report of Governor Hopkins to the Board of Trade, on the Numbers of People in Rhodz-I/land.

In obedience to your lordfhips' commands, I have caused the within account to be taken by officers under oath. By it there appears to be in this colony at this time 35,939 white perfons, and 4697 blacks, chiefly negroes.

In the year 1730, by order of the then lords commissioners of trade and plantations, an account was taken of the number of people in this colony, and then there appeared to be 15,302 white perfons, and 2633 blacks.

Again in the year 1748, by like order, an account was taken of the number of people in this colony, by which it appears there were at that time 29,755 white perfons, and 4373 blacks.

STEPHEN HOPKINS.

Colony of Rhode Island, Dec. 24, 1755.

+ A Account of the Value of the Exports from England to Penfylvania, in one Year, taken at different Periods, viz.

In	1723	they amounted only to	L. 15,992 :	19:	4
	1730	they were	48,592 :	7:	5
	1737	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	56,690 :	6:	7
	1742		75,295 :		
	1 747		82,404 :		
	1752		201,666 :		
	1757		268,426 :	6:	6

N. B. The accounts for 1758 and 1759, are not yet compleated; but those acquainted with the North American trade, markable for the plain frugal manner of living of its inhabitants, and the most fuspected of carrying on manufactures, on account of the number of German artizans, who are known to have transplanted themfelves into that country, though even thefe, in truth, when they come there, generally apply themfelves to agriculture as the fureft fupport and most advantageous employment. By this account it appears, that the exports to that province have in 28 years, increased nearly in the proportion of 17 to 1; whereas the people themselves, who by other authentic accounts appear to double their numbers (the ftrangers who fettle there included) in about 16 years, cannot in the 28 years have increafed in a greater proportion than as 4 to 1: the additional demand then, and confumption of goods from England, of 13 parts in 17 more than the additional number would require, must be owing to this, that the people having by their induftry mended their circumstances, are enabled toindulge themfelves in finer cloaths, better furniture, and a more general use of all our manufac-tures than heretofore. In fact, the occasion for English goods in North America, and the inclination to have and use them, is, and must be for ages to come, much greater than the ability of the people to pay for them; they must therefore, as they now do, deny themfelves many things they would otherwife chuse to have, or increase their industry to obtain them; and thus, if they fhould at any time manufacture fome coarfe article, which on account

> trade, know, that the increafe in those two years, has been in a flill greater proportion; the last year being supposed to exceed any former year by a third; and this owing to the increased ability of the people to spend; from the greater quantities of money circulating among them by the war.

> > ot

of its bulk or fome other circumstance, cannot fo well be brought to them from Britain, it only enables them the better to pay for finer goods that otherwife they could not indulge themfelves in : So that the exports thither are not diminified by fuch manufacture, but rather increased. The fingle article of manufacture in these colonies mentioned by the remarker, is hats made in New-England. It is true there have been, ever fince the first settlement of that country, a few hatters there, drawn thither probably at first by the facility of getting beaver, while the woods were but little clear'd, and there was plenty of those animals. The cafe is greatly alter'd now. The beaver skins are not now to be had in New-England, but from very remote places and at great prices. The trade is accordingly declining there, fo that, far from being able to make hats in any quantity for exportation, they cannot fupply their home demand; and it is well known that fome thousand dozens are fent thither yearly from London, Bristol, and Liverpool; and fold there cheaper than the inhabitants can make them of equal goodnefs. In fact, the colonies are fo little fuited for establishing of manufactures, that they are continually lofing the few branches they accidentally gain. The working brafiers, cutlers, and pewterers, as well as hatters, who have happened to go over from time to time and fettle in the colonies, gradually drop the working part of their bufinefs, and import their respective goods from England, whence they can have them cheaper and better than they can make them. They continue their fhops indeed, in the fame way of dealing, but become sellers of brafiery, cutlery, pewter, hats, &c. brought from England, inftead of being makers of those goods.

Thus

Thus much as to the apprehension of our colonies becoming useles to us. I shall next confider the other fuppolition, that their growth may render them dangerous. Of this, I own, I have not the least conception, when I confider that we have already fourteen feparate governments on the maritime coaft of the continent, and if we extend our fettlements shall probably have as many more behind them on the inland fide. Those we now have, are not only under different governors, but have different forms of government, different laws, different interests, and some of them different religious perfualions and different manners. Their jealoufy of each other is fo great, that however neceffary an union of the colonies has long been, for their common defence and fecurity against their enemies, and how fenfible foever each colony has been of that neceffity, yet they have never been able to effect fuch an union among themfelves, nor even to agree in requefting the mother country to establish it for them. Nothing but the immediate command of the crown has been able to produce even the imperfect union, but lately feen there, of the forces of fome colonies. If they could not agree to unite for their defence against the French and Indians, who were perpetually haraffing their fettlements, burning their villages, and murdering their people; can it reasonably be supposed there is any danger of their uniting against their own nation, which protects and encourages them, with which they have fo many connections and ties of blood, intereft and affection, and which 'tis well known they all love much more than they love one another? In fhort, there are fo many caufes that must operate to prevent it, that I will venture to fay, an union amongst them for fuch a purpose is not merely improbable, it is impossible; and if the union of the

3

, the whole is impossible, the attempt of a part must be madness: as those colonies that did not join the rebellion, would join the mother country in suppressing it.

When I fay fuch an union is impoffible, I mean without the most grievous tyranny and oppression. People who have property in a country which they may lofe, and privileges which they may endanger, are generally difpos'd to be quiet; and even to bear much, rather than hazard all. While the government is mild and juft, while important civil and religious rights are fecure, fuch fubjects will be dutiful and obedient. The waves do not rife, but when the winds blow. What fuch an administration as the Duke of Alva's in the Netherlands, might produce, I know not; but this I think I have a right to deem impossible. And yet there were two very manifest differences between that cafe, and ours, and both are in our favour. The first, that Spain had already united the feventeen provinces under one visible government, tho' the ftates continued independent : The fecond, that the inhabitants of those provinces were of a nation, not only different from, but utterly unlike the Spaniards. Had the Netherlands been peopled from Spain, the worft of oppreffion had probably not provoked them to wifh a feparation of government. It might, and probably would have ruined the country, but would never have produced an independent sovereignty. In fact, neither the very worft of governments, the worft of politicks in the last century, nor the total abolition of their remaining liberty, in the provinces of Spain itfelf, in the prefent, have produced any independency that could be supported. The same may be observed of France. And let it not be faid that the neighbourhoo.l of these to the seat of government has prevented

prevented a feparation. While our ftrength at fea continues, the banks of the Obio, (in point of eafy and expeditious conveyance of troops) are nearer to London, than the remote parts of France and Spain to their respective capitals; and much nearer than Connaught and Ulfter were in the days of Queen Elizabeth. No body foretels the diffolution of the Russian monarchy from its extent, yet I will venture to fay, the eaftern parts of it are already much more inacceffible from Peter burgh, than the country on the Miffiffippi is from London; I mean more men, in lefs time, might be conveyed the latter than the former diffance. The rivers Oby, Jenesea and Lena, do not facilitate the communication half fo well by their courfe, nor are they half fo practicable as the American rivers. To this I shall only add the observation of Machiavel, in his Prince, that a government feldom long preferves its dominion over those who are foreigners to it; who, on the other hand, fall with great eafe, and continue infeparably annexed to the government of their own nation, which he proves by the fate of the English conquests in France.

Yet with all these difadvantages, so difficult is it to overturn an eftablished government, that it was not without the affiftance of France and England, that the United Provinces supported themfelves : which teaches us, that if the visionary danger of independence in our colonies is to be feared, nothing is more likely to render it fubftantial than the neighbourhood of foreigners at enmity with the fovereign government, capable of giving either aid or an afylum, as the event shall require. Yet against even these difadvantages, did Spain preferve almost ten provinces, merely thro' their want of union, which indeed could never have taken place among the others, but for caufes, fome G

of

of which are in our cafe impossible, and others it is impious to suppose possible.

The Romans well understood that policy which teaches the fecurity arifing to the chief government from feparate flates among the governed, when they reftored the liberties of the ftates of Greece, (oppreffed but united under Macedon) by an edict that every flate should live under its own laws*. They did not even name a governor. Independence of each other, and separate interests, tho' among a people united by common manners, language, and I may fay religion, inferior neither in wildom, bravery, nor their love of liberty, to the Romans themfelves, was all the fecurity the fovereigns withed for their fovereignty. It is true, they did not call themfelves fovereigns; they fet no value on the title; they were contented with poffeffing the thing; and poffefs it they did, even without a ftand. ing army. What can be a ftronger proof of the fecurity of their possession? And yet by a policy similar to this throughout, was the Roman world fubdued and held: a world composed of above an hundred languages and fets of manners different from those of their masters+. Yet this dominion was unshakeable, till the loss of liberty and corruption of manners in the fovereign flate overturned it. But

* Omnes Græcorum civitates, quæ in Euroța, quæque in Afia effent, libertatem ac fuas leges haberent, &c. Liv. lib. 33. c. 30.

+ When the Romans had fubdu'd Macedon and Illyricum, they were both form'd into republicks by a decree of the fenate, and Macedon was thought fafe from the danger of a revolution, by being divided, into a division common among the Romans, as we learn from the accounts of the tetrarchs in fcripture. Omnium primum liberos effe placebet Macedonas atque Illyrios; ut omnibus gentibus appareret, arma populi Romani non liberis fervitutem, fed contra fervientibus libertatem afferre. Ut & in libertate gentes quæ effent, tutam eam fibi perpetuamque fub tutela populi Romani esti & quæ fub regibus viverent, & in prefens tempus mitiores ses justiore/que respectu populi Romani batere se; & si quando bel-

But what is the prudent policy inculcated by the remarker, to obtain this end, fecurity of dominion over our colonies? It is, to leave the French in Canada, to "check" their growth, for otherwife our people may "increase infinitely from all " caufes "." We have already feen in what manner the French and their Indians check the growth of our colonies. 'Tis a modest word, this, check, for maffacring men, women and children. The writer would, if he could, hide from himfelf as well as from the public, the horror arising from fuch a propofal, by couching it in general terms : 'tis no wonder he thought it a "fubject not fit for dif-" cuffion" in his letter, tho'he recommends it as " a point that should be the constant object of the " minister's attention !"----But if Canada is reftored on this principle, will not Britain be guilty of all the blood to be fhed, all the murders to be committed in order to check this dreaded growth of our own people? Will not this be telling the French in plain terms, that the horrid barbarities they perpetrate with their Indians on our colonifts, are agreeable to us; and that they need not apprehend the refentment of a government with whofe views they fo happily concur? Will not the colonies view it in this light : Will they have reafon to confider themfelves any longer as fubjects and children, when they find their cruel enemies halloo'd upon them by the country from whence they fprung, the government that owes them pro-

lum cum populo Romano regibus fuisset suis, exitum ejus victoriam Romanis, fibi libertatem allaturum crederent ---- In quatuor regiones describi Macedoniam, ut suum quæque concilium haberet, placuit : & dimidium tributi quàm quod regibus ferre foliti erant, populo Romano pendere. Similia his & in Illyricum mandata:

Liv. lib. 45. c. 18.

* Remarks, p. 50, 51. G2

tection

tection as it requires their obedience? Is not this the most likely means of driving them into the arms of the French, who can invite them by an offer of that fecurity their own government chufes not to afford them? I would not be thought to infinuate that the remarker wants humanity. Iknow how little many good-natured perfons are affected by the diftreffes of people at a diftance and whom they do not know. There are even those, who, being prefent, can fympathize fincerely with the grief of a lady on the fudden death of a favourite bird, and yet can read of the finking of a city in Syria with very little concern. If it be, after all, thought neceffary to check the growth of our colonies, give me leave to propofe a method lefs cruel. It is a method of which we have an example in fcripture. The murder of husbands, of wives, of brothers, fifters, and children, whofe pleafing fociety has been for fome time enjoyed, affects deeply the refpective furviving relations: but grief for the death of a child just born is short and easily supported. The method I mean is that which was dictated by the Egyptian policy, when the "infinite increase" of the children of Israel was apprehended as dangerous to the flate *. Let an act of parliament then be made, enjoining the colony midwives to ftiffe in the birth every third or fourth child. By this means you may keep the colonies to their prefent fize. And if they were under the hard alternative of fubmitting to one or the other of these schemes

* And *Pharoah* faid unto his people, behold the people of the children of *Ifrael* are more and mightier than we; come on, let us deal *awifely* with them; *left they multiply*; and it come to pafs that when there falleth out any war, they join alfo unto our enemies and fight againft us, and fo get them up out of the land. — And the king fpake to the *Hebrew* midwives, *Sc.*

Exodus, Chap. 1.

for

for checking their growth, I dare answer for them, they would prefer the latter.

The objection I have often heard, that if we had Canada, we could not people it, without draining Britain of its inhabitants, is founded on ignorance of the nature of population in new countries. When we first began to colonize in America, it was neceffary to fend people, and to fend feed-corn ; but it is not now neceffary that we should furnish, for a new colony, either one or the other. The annual increment alone of our present colonies, without diminishing their numbers, or requiring a man from hence, is fufficient in ten years to fill Canada with double the number of *English* that it now has of *French* inhabitants*. Those who are protestants among the French, will probably chufe to remain under the English government; many will chufe to remove, if they can be allowed to fell their lands, improvements and effects: the reft in that thin-

* In fact, there has not gone from *Britain* to our colonies thefe 20 years paft, to fettle there, fo many as 10 families a year; the new fettlers are either the offspring of the old, or emigrants from *Germany* or the north of *Ireland*.

fett'ed

fettled country, will in lefs than half a century, from the crowds of *Englifb* fettling round and among them, be blended and incorporated with our people both in language and manners.

In Guadalupe the cafe is fomewhat different; and though I am far from thinking * we have fugarland enough +, I cannot think Guadalupe is fo defirable an increase of it, as other objects the enemy would probably be infinitely more ready to part with. A country fully inhabited by any nation is no proper possession for another of different language, manners and religion. It is hardly ever tenable at lefs expence than it is worth .- But the isle of 'Cayenne, and its appendix EquinoEtial-France, having but very few inhabitants, and these therefore eafily removed, would indeed be an acquifition every way fuitable to our fituation and defires. This would hold all that migrate from Barbadoes, the Leeward Islands, or Jamaica. It would certainly recal into an English government (in which there would be room for millions) all who have before fettled or purchased in Martinico, Guadalupe, Santa-Cruzor St. John's; except fuch as know not the value of an English government, and fuch 1 am fure are not worth recalling.

But fhould we keep Guadalupe, we are told it would enable us to export $f_{...300,000}$ in fugars. Admit it to be true, though perhaps the amazing increase of *English* confumption might flop most of it here, to whose profit is this to redound? to

* Remarks, p. 30, 34.

† It is often faid we have plenty of fugar-land ftill unemployed in Jamaica: but thole who are well acquainted with that ifland, know, that the remaining vacant land in it is generally fituated among mountains, rocks and gullies, that make carriage impracticable, fo that no profitable ufe can be made of it, unlefs the price of fugars fhould fo greatly increafe as to enable the planter to make very expensive roads, by blowing up rocks, erecting bridges, & c. every 2 or 300 yards.

the

the profit of the *French* inhabitants of the ifland: except a fmall part that fhould fall to the fhare of the *Englifh* purchasers, but whose whole purchasemoney must first be added to the wealth and circulation of *France*.

I grant, however, much of this £. 300,000 would be expended in British manufactures. Perhaps, too, a few of the land-owners of Guadalupe might dwell and spend their fortunes in Britain, (though probably much fewer than of the inhabitants of North America). I admit the advantage arifing to us from these circumstances, (as far as they go) in the case of Guadalupe, as well as in that of our other West India settlements. Yet even this confumption is little better than that of an allied nation would be, who should take our manufactures and supply us with sugar, and put us to no expence in defending the place of growth.

But though our own colonies expend among us almost the whole produce of our fugar *, can we or ought we to promife ourfelves this will be the cafe of *Guadalupe*? One 100,000 \pounds , will fupply them with *British* manufactures; and fupposing we can effectually prevent the introduction of those of *France*, (which is morally impossible in a country used to them) the other 200,000 will still be spent in *France*, in the education of their children and fupport of themselves; or elfe be laid up there, where they will always think their home to be.

Befides this confumption of British manufactures, much is faid of the benefit we shall have from the situation of Guadalupe, and we are told of a trade to the Caraccas and Spanish Main. In what respect Guadalupe is better situated for this trade than Jamaica, or even any of our other islands, I am at a loss to guess. I believe it to be

* Remarks, p. 47.

not fo well fituated for that of the windward coaft. as Tobago and St. Lucia, which in this as well as other refpects, would be more valuable poffeffions. and which. I doubt not, the peace will fecure to us. Nor is it nearly fo well fituated for that of the reft of the Spanish Main as Jamaica. As to the greater fafety of our trade by the possession of Guadalupe, experience has convinced us that in reducing a fingle island, or even more, we ftop the privateering business but little. Privateers still fubfist, in equal if not greater numbers, and carry the veffels into Martinico which before it was more convenient to carry into Guadalupe. Had we all the Caribbees, it is true, they would in those parts be without shelter. Yet upon the whole I suppose it to be a doubtful point, and well worth confideration, whether our obtaining poffession of all the Caribbees, would be more than a temporary benefit, as it would neceffarily foon fill the French part of Hi/paniola with French inhabitants, and thereby render it five times more valuable in time of peace, and little lefs than impregnable in time of war; and would probably end in a few years in the uniting the whole of that great and fertile island under a French government. It is agreed on all hands, that our conquest of St. Christopher's, and driving the French from thence, first furmish'd Hispaniola with skilful and substantial planters, and was confequently the first occasion of its present opulence. On the other hand I will hazard an opinion, that valuable as the French poffeffions in the West Indies are, and undeniable the advantages they derive from them, there is fomewhat to be weighed in the oppofite fcale. They cannot at prefent make war with England, without expofing those advantages while divided among the numerous iflands they now have, much more than they would, were they poffeffed

[49]

feffed of St. Domingo only; their own share of which would, if well cultivated, grow more sugar, than is now grown in all their West India islands.

I have before faid I do not deny the utility of the conquest, or even of our future possession of Guadalupe, if not bought too dear. The trade of the West Indies is one of our most valuable trades. Our poffeffions there deferve our greateft care and attention. So do those of North America. T fhall not enter into the invidious tafk of comparing their due effimation. It would be a very long and a very difagreeable one, to run through every thing material on this head. It is enough to our prefent point, if I have shown, that the value of North America is capable of an immense increase, by an acquifition and measures, that must necessarily have an effect the direct contrary of what we have been, industriously taught to fear; and that Guadalupe is, in point of advantage, but a very fmall addition to our West India possessions, rendered many ways less valuable to us than it is to the French, who will probably fet more value upon it than upon a country that is much more valuable to us than to them.

There is a great deal more to be faid on all the parts of thefe fubjects; but as it would carry me into a detail that I fear would tire the patience of my readers, and which I am not without apprehenfions I have done already, I fhail referve what remains till I dare venture again on the indulgence of the publick.

H

In Confirmation of the Writer's Opinion concerning *Population*, *Manufactures*, &c. he has thought it not amifs to add an Extract from a Piece written fome Years fince in *America*, where the Facts must be well known, on which the Reasonings are founded. It is intitled,

O B S E R V A T I O N S

CONCERNING THE

INCREASE OF MANKIND,

Peopling of Countries, &c.

Written in PENSILVANIA, 1751.

1. ABLES of the proportion of marriages to births, of deaths to births, of marriages to the numbers of inhabitants, &c. formed on obfervations made upon the bills of mortality, chriftenings, &c. of populous cities, will not fuit countries; nor will tables formed on obfervations made on full fettled old countries, as *Europe*, fuit new countries, as *America*.

2. For people increase in proportion to the number of marriages, and that is greater in proportion to the ease and convenience of supporting a family. When families can be easily supported, more performs marry, and earlier in life.

3. In cities, where all trades, occupations and offices are full, many delay marrying, till they can fee how to bear the charges of a family; which charges are greater in cities, as luxury is more common; many live fingle during life, and continue fervants to families, journeymen

ببم . to trades, &c. hence cities do not by natural generation fupply themselves with inhabitants; the deaths are more than the births.

4. In countries full fettled, the cafe muft be nearly the fame; all lands being occupied and improved to the heighth; those who cannot get land, must labour for others that have it; when labourers are plenty, their wages will be low; by low wages a family is supported with difficulty; this difficulty deters many from marriage, who therefore long continue fervants and fingle. Only as the cities take supplies of people from the country, and thereby make a little more room in the country, marriage is a little more encouraged there, and the births exceed the deaths.

5. Great part of *Europe* is full fettled with husbandmen, manufacturers, &c. and therefore cannot now much increafe in people : *America* is chiefly occupied by *Indians*, who fubfift moftly by hunting.——But as the hunter, of all men, requires the greateft quantity of land from whence to draw his fubfiftence, (the husbandman fubfifting on much lefs, the gardener on ftill lefs, and the manufacturer requiring least of all) the *Europeans* found *America* as fully fettled as it well could be by hunters; yet thefe having large tracts, were eafily prevailed on to part with portions of territory to the new comers, who did not much interfere with the natives in hunting, and furnished them with many things they wanted.

6. Land being thus plenty in *America*, and fo cheap as that a labouring man, that underftands hufbandry, can in a fhort time fave money enough to purchase a piece of new land fufficient for a plantation, whereon he may fubfift a family; fuch are not afraid to marry; for if they even look far enough forward to confider how their children when grown up are to be provided for, they see that more land is to be had at rates equally easy, all circumstances confidered.

7. Hence marriages in *America* are more general, and more generally early, than in *Europe*. And if it is reckoned there, that there is but one marriage *per annum* among 100 perfons, perhaps we may here reckon two; and if in *Europe* they have but four births to a marriage (many of their marriages being late) we may here reckon eight; of which, if one half grow up, and our marriages are made, reckoning one with another, at twenty years of age, our people must at least be doubled every twenty years.

8. But notwithflanding this increase, so vast is the territory of No th America, that it will require many ages to set the fully; and till it is fully settled, labour will never be cheap here, where no man continues long a labourer for others, but gets a plantation of his own; no man continues long a journeyman to a trade, but gets among those new settlers, and sets up for himself, &c. Hence labour is no cheaper now, in *Penfilvania*, than it was thirty years ago, though so many thousand labouring people have been imported from *Germany* and *Ireland*.

9. The danger therefore of these colonies interfering with their mother country in trades that depend on labour, manufactures, &c. is too remote to require the attention of *Great Britain*.

10. But in proportion to the increase of the colonies, a valt demand is growing for *British* manufactures; a glorious market wholly in the power of *Britain*, in which foreigners cannot interfere, which will increase in a flort time even beyond her power of fupplying, tho' her whole trade flould be to her colonies. * * * * * * * * * *

12. 'Tis an ill-grounded opinion, that by the labour of flaves, America may poffibly vie in cheapnels of manufactures with Britain. The labour of flaves can never be fo cheap here as the labour of working men is in Britain. Any one may compute it. Interest of money is in the colonies from 6 to 10 per Cent. Slaves one with another, coft 30 l. Sterling per head. Reckon then the intereft of the first purchase of a slave, the insurance or risque on his life, his cloathing and diet, expences in his ficknefs and lofs of time, lofs by his neglect of bufinefs (neglect is natural to the man who is not to be benefited by his own care or diligence), expence of a driver to keep him at work, and his pilfering from time to time, almost every flave being from the nature of flavery a thief, and compare the whole amount with the wages of a manufacturer of iron or wool in England, you will fee that labour is much cheaper there than it ever can be by negroes here. Why then will Americans purchase flaves ? Because flaves may be kept as long as a man pleafes, or has occafion for their labour; while hired men are continually leaving their

their mafter (often in the midft of his bufinels) and fetting up for themfelves. \S 8.

13. As the increase of people depends on the encouragement of marriages, the following things muft diminish a nation, viz. 1. The being conquered; for the conquerors will engrofs as many offices, and exact as much tribute or profit on the labour of the conquered, as will maintain them in their new establishment; and this diminishing the fublistence of the natives, discourages their marriages, and fo gradually diminishes them, while the foreigners increase. 2. Loss of territory. Thus the Britons being driven into Wales, and crouded together in a barren country infufficient to support fuch great numbers, diminished till the people bore a proportion to the produce, while the Saxons increased on their abandoned lands, 'till the island became full of English. And were the Englifb now driven into Wales by fome foreign nation, there would in a few years be no more Englishmin in Britain, than there are now people in Wales. 3. Lofs of trade. Manufactures exported, draw subsistence from foreign countries for numbers; who are thereby enabled to marry and raife families. If the nation be deprived of any branch of trade, and no new employment; is found for the people occupied in that branch, it will foon be deprived of fo many people. 4. Lofs of food. Suppose a nation has a fifnery, which not only employs great numbers, but makes the food and fubfiftence of the people cheaper : if another nation becomes mafter of the feas, and prevents the fifthery, the people will diminish in proportion as the lofs of employ, and dearnefs of provision makes it more difficult to subfift a family. 5. Bad government and infecure property. People not only leave fuch a country, and fettling abroad incorporate with other nations, lofetheir native language, and become foreigners; but the industry of those that remain being discouraged, the quantity of fublistence in the country is leffened, and the fupport of a family becomes more difficult. So heavy taxes tend to diminish a people. 6. The introduction of flaves. The negroes brought into the English fugar islands, have greatly diminished the whites there; the poor are by this means deprived of employment, while a few families acquire vast estates, which they spend on foreign luxuries, and educating their children in the habit of those luxuries : the fame income is needed for the fupport of one, that 4 might

might have maintained one hundred. The whites who have flaves not labouring, are enfeebled, and therefore not fo generally prolific; the flaves being worked too hard, and ill fed, their conffitutions are broken, and the deaths among them are more than the births; fo that a continual fupply is needed from Africa. The northern colonies having few flaves, increase in whites. Slaves alfo pejorate the families that use them; the white children become proud, difgusted with labour, and being educated in idleness, are rendered unfit to get a living by industry.

14. Hence the prince that acquires new territory, if he finds it vacant, or removes the natives to give his own people room; the legiflator that makes effectual laws for promoting of trade, increasing employment, improving land by more or better tillage, providing more food by fisheries, fecuring property, &c. and the man that invents new trades, arts or manufactures, or new improvements in husbandry, may be properly called *Fathers of their Nation*, as they are the caufe of the generation of multitudes, by the encouragement they afford to marriage.

15. As to privileges granted to the married, (fuch as the jus trium liberorum among the Romans) they may haften the filling of a country that has been thinned by war or peftilence, or that has otherwife vacant territory, but cannot increase a people beyond the means provided for their fubfiftence.

16. Foreign luxuries and needles manufactures imported and used in a nation, do, by the fame reasoning, increase the people of the nation that furnishes them, and diminish the people of the nation that uses them. Laws therefore that prevent such importations, and on the contrary promote the exportation of manufactures to be confumed in foreign countries, may be called (with respect to the people that make them) generative laws, as by increasing subsistence they encourage marriage. Such laws likewise strengthen a country doubly, by increasing its own people and diminishing its neighbours.

17. Some European nations prudently refufe to confume the manufactures of Ea/t-India: — They fhould likewife forbid them to their colonies; for the gain to the merchant is not to be compared with the loss by this means of people to the nation.

18. Home

18. Home luxury in the great increases the nation's manufacturers employed by it, who are many, and only tends to diminish the families that indulge in it, who are few. The greater the common fashionable expence of any rank of people, the more cautious they are of marriage. Therefore luxury should never be suffered to become common.

19. The great increase of offspring in particular families, is not always owing to greater fecundity of nature, but sometimes to examples of industry in the heads, and industrious education; by which the children are enabled to provide better for themselves, and their marrying early is encouraged from the prospect of good subfistence.

20. If there be a fect therefore, in our nation, that regard frugality and industry as religious duties, and educate their children therein, more than others commonly do; fuch fect must confequently increase more by natural generation, than any other fect in *Britain*.—

21. The importation of foreigners into a country that has as many inhabitants as the prefent employments and provisions for subsistence will bear, will be in the end no increase of people, unless the new-comers have more industry and frugality than the natives, and then they will provide more fubfiftence and increase in the country; but they will gradually eat the natives out.---Nor is it neceffary to bring in foreigners to fill up any occafional vacancy in a country; for fuch vacancy (if the laws are good, § 14, 16) will foon be filled by natural generation. Who can now find the vacancy made in Sweden, France, or other warlike nations, by the plague of heroism 40 years ago; in France, by the expulsion of the protestants; in England, by the settlement of her colonies; or in Guinea, by 100 years exportation of flaves that has blackened half America ?----- The thinnefs of the inhabitants in Spain, is owing to national pride and idlenefs, and other caufes, rather than to the expulsion of the Moors, or to the making of new fettlements.

22. There is in fhort no bound to the prolific nature of plants or animals, but what is made by their crowding and interfering with each other's means of fubfiftence. Was the face of the earth vacant of other plants, it might be gradually fowed and overfpread with one kind only; as for inftance, with Fennel; and were it empty of other inhabi-

inhabitants, it might in a few ages be replenished from one nation only; as for inftance, with Englishmen. Thus there are fuppoled to be now upwards of one Million English fouls in North-America, (tho' 'tis thought fcarce 80,000 have been brought over fea) and yet perhaps there is not one the fewer in Britain, but rather many more. on account of the employment the colonies afford to manufacturers at home. This million doubling, suppose but once in 25 years, will in another century be more than the people of England, and the greatest number of Englishmen will be on this fide the water. What an acceffion of power to the British empire by fea as well as land! What increase of trade and navigation! What numbers of fhips and feamen! We have been here but little more than 100 years, and yet the force of our privateers in the late war, united, was greater, both in men and guns, than that of the whole British navy in queen Elizabeth's time. --- How important an affair then to Britain, is the prefent treaty * for fettling the bounds between her colonies and the French, and how careful fhould fhe be to fecure room enough, fince on the room depends fo much the increase of her people?

23. In fine, a nation well regulated is like a polypus \ddagger ; take away a limb, its place is foon fupplied; cut it in two, and each deficient part fhall fpeedily grow out of the part remaining. Thus if you have room and fubfiftence enough, as you may by dividing, make ten polypufes out of one, you may of one make ten nations, equally populous and powerful; or rather, increase a nation ten fold in numbers and ftrength. * * * * * * *

1751. + A water infect, well known to naturalist.

SINCE the foregoing fheets were printed off, the writer has obtained accounts of the Exports to North America, and the Weft India Iflands, by which it appears, that there has been fome increase of trade to those Iflands, as well as to North America, though in a much less degree. The following extract from these accounts will show the reader at one view the amount of the exports to each, in two different terms of five years; the terms taken at ten years diffance from each other, to show the increase, viz.

First Term, from 1744 to 1748, inclusive.

Northern	Col	onies.	Weft India]lan	ds.
1744-£.640,114	12	4	£.796,112		9
1745534,316		5	<u> </u>	19	9
1746754,945	4	3	-472,994		7
1747-726,648					6
1748-830,243	16	9	7 3 4,095	15	3
Total, L. 3,486,268	I	2 Tot. L.			10
		Difference,	122,930	10	4.
		£.	3,486,268	I	2

Second Term, from 1754 to 1758, inclusive.

Northern C	Northern Colonies.			West India Islands.			
1754							
1755-1,177,848							
1756-1,428,720							
1757-1,727,924		10					
1758—1,832,948	13	10		19	II		
Total, L. 7,414,057	4	3 Tot. L	3,767,841	12	II		
2		Difference,	3,646,215	11	4		
		£.	7,414,057	4	3		

In

[58]

In the first Term, total for West India Islands, 3,363,337 10 10 In the second Term, ditto, - - - - 3,767,841 12 11

Increase, only £. 0,404,504 2 1

In the first Term, total for Northern Colonies, 3,486,268 1 2 In the fecond Term, ditto, - - - - - 7,414,057 4 3

Increase, £ 3,927,789 3 1

By these accounts it appears, that the Exports to the West India Islands, and to the Northern Colonies, were in the first term nearly equal; the difference being only 122,936 l. 10s. 4 d. and in the second term, the Exports to those islands had only increased 404,504 l. 2 s. 1 d.—Whereas the increase to the Northern Colonies is 3,927,789 l. 3 s. 1 d. almost FOUR MILLIONS.

Some part of this increased demand for English goods, may be ascribed to the armies and fleets we have had both in North America and the West Indies; not fo much for what is confumed by the foldiery; their clothing, stores, ammunition, &cc. fent from hence on account of the government, being (as is supposed) not included in these accounts of merchandize exported; but, as the war has accasioned a great plenty of money in America, many of the inhabitants have increased their expence.

These accounts do not include any Exports from Scotland to America, which are doubtless proportionably confiderable; nor the Exports from Ireland.

THE END.